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INTRODUCTION

Evaluation of the 1978 Senegalese Fertility Survey (SFS) provides a major opportunity to study national fertility factors. The survey was well designed and executed and provides nationwide information in such quantity and detail as has rarely been available in sub-Saharan Africa. The SFS is yielding results which should prove to be extremely useful for Senegal and for those involved in fertility in general and in African demography. By working with the World Fertility Survey (WFS) to carry out a detailed nationwide survey, Senegal is likely to gain a much clearer and more extensive picture of its population processes, problems, prospects, and related areas of interest than the nations of sub-Saharan Africa which have not conducted such a survey. The availability of SFS information regarding fertility, infant mortality, nuptiality, breastfeeding, and contraceptive knowledge and use, to mention some of the most important areas covered, should aid Senegal by allowing evaluation of past and present trends and levels in numerous demographic areas. More importantly, it helps to provide a firm foundation upon which to develop a national population strategy and should be valuable in forming the policies necessary to achieve whatever population goals and, in turn, economic and social goals Senegal might set for itself.

One of the most vital subjects which the survey assesses is population growth and its components. Population growth is a process with far-reaching social, economic, and other implications for any nation. Population growth, of course, consists of three components—fertility, mortality, and migration. The focus of this presentation will be on only one of these components, fertility, and is intended to suggest means of utilizing SFS data.
in ways that will enhance the knowledge of trends and levels of fertility in Senegal. I will also discuss other information which, in concert with the SFS, will be likely to contribute to the knowledge of recent Senegalese fertility patterns.

All determinants of fertility, whether biologically or behaviorally based, work through a set of 11 "intermediate variables," as they have been called by Davis and Blake (1956). Bongaarts (1978) distilled these determinants down to eight variables and collapsed them into three categories: exposure factors, deliberate marital fertility control factors, and natural marital fertility control factors (control factors not influenced by parity). Changes in any of the intermediate variables in a population will lead to corresponding changes in childbearing levels and patterns.

As societies in numerous parts of the world have modernized, their fertility has tended to fall, generally through changes in exposure (rising age at marriage) and/or deliberate fertility control measures (increased contraception sometimes combined with greater use of induced abortion). However, these two categories of fertility-depressing intermediate variables, generally associated with economic development, are by no means the only ones of importance in maintaining fertility at levels below their biological maxima; nor are they the only intermediate variables which are likely to change as modernization occurs. Most often discussions of fertility in developing countries center on why childbearing is so high relative to that in
other nations. Discussions less frequently concern why fertility is so much lower than it might be. To examine childbearing from this perspective the natural fertility control factors must be examined.

A listing of fertility rates for the nations of tropical Africa reveals at a glance that in every country one or more factors exist which hold fertility far below the biological maxima. According to the Population Reference Bureau, (1981) almost all nations have total fertility rates above five births per woman, but only a handful have rates as high as seven births per woman. Senegal's 1978 total fertility rate, estimated from the SFS, is 7.0. Such fertility levels, although high by most standards, are far below the levels which would be achieved if childbearing were controlled through neither intentional nor unintentional means. The observed range of potential fertility, according to Bongaarts (1978) is 13.5 to 17.0. Henry (1961) found that Hutterite (a non-contracepting North American population) women married at age 15 would average about 13 births apiece by the end of childbearing.

The two classes of fertility-reducing variables most important in the low fertility populations of the world, exposure and deliberate control factors, are of minor significance in keeping Senegalese women from having far more children than they actually do. Marriage among women in Senegal, as in most of sub-Saharan Africa, typically occurs early in life and is nearly universal. According to the SFS, the mean age at marriage has been about 16 years in recent decades, and over 99 percent of women eventually marry. Therefore, the exposure factor (the proportion of women in sexual unions) is of relatively minor importance in depressing fertility unless there is
considerable marriage dissolution or long-term temporary separation of spouses. Likewise, use of modern contraceptive methods is not an important factor in depressing fertility. Less than 2 percent of SFS respondents claim to have ever used effective methods. (The other intentional control factor, induced abortion, may be somewhat more important than contraception, but the SFS gathered no data on its incidence.).

When employing the results of the SFS to analyze the fertility component of Senegal's population growth, the greatest potential comes from examining the natural fertility control variables (those factors which are apparently chiefly responsible for maintaining levels of childbearing far below the biological maximum) and through determining what effects on Senegalese growth changes in these phenomena could bring about. Knowledge of the factors which shape the various aspects of fertility is vital if one expects to be able to predict future trends and levels of childbearing in the presence of social and economic changes which might occur. Therefore, much of the SFS analysis should focus on the traditional fertility-inhibiting variables in tropical Africa.

Although it is impossible to make unqualified assertions about most features of sub-Saharan Africa as a whole because of its extreme diversity of cultures, some broad generalizations about fertility control may be put forward. Use of modern methods of fertility control are notably rare in most instances. The phenomena most often attributed with suppressing fertility are prolonged breastfeeding and the practice of postpartum abstinence, which prolong
interbirth intervals, and high levels of pathological sterility or subfecundity. (Weis, et al., 1981) Some other factors which might inhibit childbearing are lengthy spouse separations, low coital frequency, and abstinence not associated with the postpartum period (e.g., the termination of sexual relations upon attaining grandmaternal status). Naturally, some of the factors are interrelated. Lesthaege, et al. (1980) present a highly insightful analysis of many of the important issues involved in child-spacing in Tropical Africa. One major premise is that fertility is kept below potential levels via long interbirth intervals rather than through the intentional cessation of childbearing. The SFS results indicate that this generalization most assuredly applies to Senegal.

One potential use that can be made of the SFS results is not only in the study of Senegalese fertility but also in the shaping of population policy. One could evaluate the roles played by various factors in maintaining long birth intervals and total fertility of only 6 to 7 births per woman in Senegal. Once the roles of various factors have been estimated, one may proceed to estimate how changes in them will influence fertility levels and birth intervals. These estimates will aid in projecting how various changes would affect, for instance, growth rates, age structure, family size, and, in turn, future requirements for food, housing, and educational facilities.

EFFECTS OF NATURAL MARITAL FERTILITY CONTROL FACTORS
One procedure for estimating the fertility-inhibiting effects of various factors consists of determining the potential total fertility rate in a population and subtracting the actual fertility. One might start with an
estimate of potential fertility (the mean number of children per woman if everyone married early, had no fecundity impairments and did nothing that would prevent childbearing, intentional or unintentional) of about 15 to 16. This difference provides an estimate of the childbearing averted by all causes combined. Then, an assessment can be made to determine to what degree various factors are responsible for the averted births. This approach closely resembles that used by Bongaarts (1978) who viewed potential fertility as consisting of actual fertility plus fertility inhibited by various causes. In the case of Senegal, the effects of some variables will be measurable from the SFS, but the survey may not provide the means to determine the effects of others. As has been mentioned, the inhibiting effects of contraception and delayed marriage are small and should be easily measured from the SFS data. The natural fertility control variables are the most important in Senegal and throughout sub-Saharan Africa and will be the focus of this discussion.

Breastfeeding

Because lactation delays the resumption of ovulation following pregnancy, it is a major contributor to the lengthy birth intervals found in populations where most children are breastfed for a considerable period of time. Throughout tropical Africa, breastfeeding is almost universally practiced, and breastfeeding durations tend to be quite long. In Senegal, less than 1 percent of SFS respondents did not breastfeed their most recent child (excluding children not surviving long enough to be breastfed), and the mean reported duration of breastfeeding was 20 months. Thus, lactational amenorrhea has undoubtedly contributed to fertility being lower than it might otherwise be
with birth intervals longer than they would otherwise be. In virtually all
developed areas of the world, and more recently in some less developed areas,
dependence on breastfeeding has decreased. Consequently, breastfeeding
durations have fallen dramatically. Of course, such changes lessen the
natural contraceptive effect provided to women by lactation.

If one could estimate the fertility which does not occur because of breast-
feeding in Senegal, one could also estimate the effects on fertility of any
changes in breastfeeding patterns. Bongaarts has derived a simple formula for
calculating an "index of lactational infecundability," a ratio of the expected
infecund period between a population being examined and a non-breastfeeding
population. This measure or some variant of it should be useful in
ascertaining the effects of lactation on birth intervals and, thus, on total
fertility. Ideally, the age when supplementation begins and the number of
times a day a baby is nursed should be taken into consideration when
lactational infecundability is calculated, since lactational infecundability
tends to be mediated by these and other (e.g., maternal nutrition) factors.
Therefore, the amount of contraceptive protection provided by a month of
lactation may vary considerably from country to country.

Postpartum Abstinence

A second factor of considerable importance in inhibiting fertility in much of
tropical Africa is postpartum abstinence. However, there are some indications
that this factor, which is closely related to lactational infecundability, may
be less widespread in Senegal than elsewhere. Ferry (1980) reported that in
west-central Senegal "the long postpartum taboo is virtually absent and as a result, the length of the birth interval is largely a function of lactational amenorrhea," by which he may mean abstinence rarely outlasts lactational amenorrhea. Practice may differ in other regions, though. Only about 8 percent of SFS respondents reported ever having used abstinence as a contraceptive measure. However, people practicing postpartum abstinence do not necessarily view its intent as one of contraception, although it obviously prevents pregnancy. It is generally accepted that postpartum abstinence is a common practice virtually throughout Senegal.

Women were not asked about postpartum abstinence in the SFS; therefore any attempt to describe its prevalence and its effects on fertility in Senegal must be by indirect means or by use of other data sources. Most of this abstinence occurs during a period of lactationally-induced infecundability or subfecundability, so the bulk of its fertility-inhibiting effects will already have been accounted for in the breastfeeding analysis. However, in situations where abstinence continues until weaning (a common African practice), there will be a significant fertility inhibiting effect since complete infecundability rarely lasts as long as lactation. When using the methodology suggested, we can attribute the difference between potential and actual fertility not explained by other factors to the combined effects of abstinence and other variables not measurable from SFS data.

Other Variables
Fortunately, the SFS collected information on some of the most important of the other natural fertility control variables, so their individual impacts on
Senegalese fertility and birth intervals may be estimated. Pathological sterility is a major impediment to fertility in some parts of Africa. However, there is strong evidence that this is not the case in Senegal. In 1972, Ferry (1981) found that the proportion of women in Dakar over 30 years of age reporting no live births closely resembled the worldwide "normal" of 5 percent. Likewise, the Senegalese national demographic survey of 1960-61 found only slightly higher levels of sterility for Senegal as a whole. The SFS results show only about 4 percent of women over the age of 30 to be nulliparous. These figures imply that primary sterility is relatively rare.

No information on secondary sterility is presented in the published SFS data, but analysis of birth intervals should demonstrate whether it is important.

Temporary separation of spouses for extended periods of time can obviously depress marital fertility. The SFS obtained from each respondent a listing of all separations lasting at least 3 months which occurred since her most recent birth or pregnancy. This information may provide good indications of the prevalence and fertility effects of spouse-separations in Senegal. This variable potentially has noteworthy impact on levels of childbearing since there is significant labor migration of males from Senegal to France and possibly to other African nations.

Polygyny, a common practice in much of Africa may also have a fertility-depressing effect. The presence of more than one wife may decrease the exposure to risk of pregnancy of each. Also, the presence of multiple wives increases the likelihood that any postpartum taboo will be observed. Almost one-half of SFS respondents reported that their husbands had more than one
wife (the sample generally included only one wife per family). Since the SFS includes information on the number of wives of each respondent's husband, the fertility-inhibiting influences of polygyny can be examined by comparing the fertility of women in monogynous and polygynous unions. It has also been suggested that polygyny increases fertility via competition between wives to produce children whereby wives can obtain greater household status and gain favor with their husband.

Unfortunately, information is not included in the SFS on all factors which could be suppressing fertility. Neither postpartum abstinence, as mentioned previously, nor other types of abstinence, such as seasonal or grandmaternal abstinence, are covered. We might obtain a crude estimate of whether grandmaternal status causes the termination of sexual activity based on whether fertility tends to end about 20 years after first birth, even when this occurs during the fecund ages. The incidence of induced abortion (which is not a natural fertility variable) cannot be estimated from the data. Evidence indicates that induced abortion, in fact, is not a rarity in Senegal. However, at present we have no way of measuring its effect on fertility levels.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED SFS ANALYSIS

It is suggested that the SFS data be used to determine, to the extent possible, the effects of natural fertility factors on childbearing. Instead of looking at the mechanisms which limit fertility, as Bongaarts does, it may be preferable in this instance to investigate the behavioral and physiological factors themselves. For instance, Bongaarts' scheme would jointly consider
the overall effect of all variables which act to lengthen postpartum infecundability. In contrast, in Senegal, we recommend looking separately at the effects of breastfeeding, abstinence and separation. The procedure suggested in this presentation lends itself more easily to policy formation since policymakers would be most interested in the specific factors involved in fertility and to what extent those factors might be modified. It also aids in forming any models of future fertility by allowing one to estimate the results of any specific behavioral changes.

Once the current relationships between various factors and fertility has been evaluated, the next step is to estimate the fertility changes likely to occur if particular factors are altered. Models might be developed, for instance, to show the changes in fertility if the mean duration of breastfeeding were cut in half over a period of several years. It would also be useful to determine how decreases in the prevalence of polygyny or the incidence of induced abortion would alter fertility. Decreases in breastfeeding or abstinence would contribute to increased population growth, a possibility for which planners and policymakers should be prepared. It is often assumed that the forces of development act on fertility only in a downward direction; this is by no means the case, and it is not surprising to discover at least temporary upturns in fertility in developing nations.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Despite the fact that the SFS provides a substantial amount of valuable information on population processes, as with almost any broad survey, it did not deal with everything that might be considered important by analysts.
Supplementation of the data with more information in three areas, breastfeeding, abstinence, and induced abortion, would provide substantially more complete information on the inhibitors of fertility in Senegal. Additional information might make it possible almost to fully document the causes of the differences between potential and actual fertility.

The SFS data on breastfeeding is already extremely useful. However, since lactation is probably the single most important contributor to the difference between actual and potential childbearing in Senegal and is also related to infant health, it might be examined in even more detail in future health and population surveys. Questions on postpartum amenorrhea, supplemental feeding, and intensity of breastfeeding might be asked. Besides revealing greater detail about breastfeeding practices as they relate to fertility control, such additional information will help determine if changes have begun to occur in breastfeeding practices. If it cannot otherwise be shown to be of minor importance, attempts to learn more about the practice of abstinence and its effects in Senegal would be worthwhile. A simple question on when sexual relations commenced following birth should be all that is needed to determine the prevalence and duration of postpartum abstinence. Such a question has been used in a survey on health and population in Nigeria (Weis, 1981), and is apparently not so sensitive that it cannot be asked on a survey in Senegal.

Since Senegalese law forbids abortion for most reasons, there is little hope of obtaining reliable information on its occurrence by means of a household survey. However, work projected to be done in the near future on the sociomedical costs of abortion complications in Senegal might provide a crude estimate of how commonplace abortion is. Hopefully, this could be translated
into some idea of the amount of fertility reduction through abortion. The key to such an estimate would be trying to determine the proportion of those receiving abortions who seek professional treatment for complications and the proportion requiring hospitalization.

Even without additional data, the SFS affords a major opportunity for describing Senegalese fertility and possible consequences of changes in the factors related to fertility. Since social and economic changes in much of the world are occurring rapidly, one cannot be certain that all fertility variables have remained unchanged since the SFS was conducted in 1978. Therefore, future studies can provide information on whether the various fertility factors show any signs of movement, in addition to adding to the information gathered in the SFS.
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