

# A DUE DILIGENCE TOOL FOR SAVINGS AND CREDIT COOPERATIVES (SACCOs)



#### **July 2006**

This publication was produced for review by the United States Agency for International Development. It was prepared by FRIENDS Consult for Chemonics International Inc.



# A DUE DILIGENCE TOOL FOR SACCOS

The author's views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Agency for International Development or the United States Government.

Rural SPEED A USAID-funded project Contract No. PCE-I-00-99-00003-00 TO 826 This report submitted by Chemonics International Inc. / July 2006

# TABLE TO CONTENTS

BACKGROUND		1
How to Use the '	TOOLE THE TOOL	1
THE TOOL		2
QUALIFIERS		2
MANAGEMENT EF PRODUCT DEVELO ASSET-AND-LIABI ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL SUSTA RURAL OUTREACH PRUDENCE AND TI	ECTIVENESS AND STRATEGY FECTIVENESS PMENT AND DELIVERY LITY MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INABILITY I RANSPARENCY IN PRODUCT PRICING PARENCY	
SUMMARY OF	SCORES BY ASSESSMENT AREA	10
APPENDIX I QU	JALITATIVE NON-RATERS	11
APPENDIX II	WORK METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT	13
APPENDIX III	INFORMATION USAGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT	14

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### Introduction

This manual is a tool for a quick and focused assessment of savings and credit cooperative organizations (SACCOs). It is meant to be used as a handbook for assessing the performance and institutional health of SACCOs by officers of various stakeholders such as:

- > Development/ working partner organizations
- ➤ Banks in their screening of SACCOs for a relationship
- ➤ Apexes involved with SACCOs
- > Government and its agencies
- > Institutional rating agencies
- ➤ The SACCOs themselves (management and board)
- ➤ Consultants and other technical assistance providers.

The tool should be helpful in assessing the institutions in a timely way (one day per SACCO) in an environment of weak regulations of the financial sector and accounting profession, such as is the case in Uganda. The use of this tool is not intended to replace statutory or internal audits of the institutions or their regulation by the respective authorities. It is only intended to help the assessor carry out due diligence in a fairly systematic, comprehensive but concise way. The tool is further not meant to replace the detailed appraisal of any SACCO, which could take a week or several days for each SACCO

#### **How to Use the Tool**

The tool, presented in section 4 of this manual, is divided into three parts, which should be used as follows:

The Qualifiers – These are five vital aspects that an institution should have in place, as a minimum qualification to be considered a SACCO. As you start assessing the institution, you need to check that the institution qualifies on the basis of all the five attributes. If it does not qualify on any of the attributes, drop the application or proposal because you are dealing with a time waster that will not easily turn into a sensible working partner.

The Raters – These are attributes on which a SACCO that passes the qualifier screening stage should be rated. They should be scored and aggregated to form the basis on which the institutional health and performance should be determined. Thus the raters rank the institutions that pass the *Qualifier* test. The following general guideline should be followed:

- ➤ Call the SACCO to be visited and inform them of the assessment visit at least 2 days in advance, clarifying the documents they have to get ready
- > During the visit, do a quick assessment on the attributes in the tool, through interviews and record examination
- ➤ Point-score each attribute according to the your fairest judgment
- > Turn the total score into a percentage

#### Who Should Use the Tool

Users of this tool need to be financially literate (above average knowledge of financial accounting, budgeting, internal audit and control procedures etc). They also need to have experience in the microfinance or banking sector, especially in the areas of credit operations. Persons who do not have these attributes may use this tool, but might need significant training and might take far more than one day assessing one SACCO using the tool.

#### THE TOOL

#### Qualifiers

For the purpose of this tool, the qualifiers are the minimum or basic conditions that have to be met for a SACCO to be considered eligible to be assessed. If the answer to any of these questions is "No", the SACCO should be immediately dropped and no further assessment of it should be carried out. The only possible answers to each of the questions in this Qualifier section of the tool are "Yes" or "No". Answers like "almost", "quite well", "significantly", "to a lager extent" etc; should not be used here.

Does the SACCO have (please tick Yes or No):

	Yes	No
1. A Valid registration certificate from the Department for Cooperatives? <sup>1</sup>		
2. Identifiable place of business where they carry out their activities?		
3. At least one full time staff?		
4. Financial services as its core business?		
5. Active loans and savings operations?		
6. An active Board/ Committee Directors?		

#### Decision at this stage:

	Did you	tick	Yes	for	all	the	above?
--	---------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	--------

V	(n	10000	continua	with	tho	assessment	١
 · 1 e	s (D	iease	continue	with	une	assessmem	)

Rural SPEED 2

\_

<sup>-----</sup> No (please drop the application and stop further assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An institution that is, at the time of the assessment, changing its form from NGO or any other into a cooperative will for this purpose be deemed to be registered as a cooperative society

#### **RATERS**

On each of the following attributes (save for the six aspects relating to financial transparency), please score 0 to 2, with 0 representing the lowest score (poor) and 2 representing the highest score (good) for each. The notches are in increments of "0.5". As an example, the first item under Governance Effectiveness & Strategy herein would be score as follows:

0 if control lies completely beyond the membership, board and management
0.5 If the board has minimal control but the SACCO is heavily controlled externally
1.0 If management, the board and members have control over some strategic issues and others
are controlled externally – control is more-or-less 50:50 between external and internal
1.5 If the board has most of the strategic control but the SACCO is minimally controlled

2 if all aspects of the SACCO are fully controlled by management, board and members.

For the six aspects relating to financial transparency, adjust the above scoring scheme to 0, 2, 4 and 6

On the basis of the above two-tiered scoring scheme, the maximum scores add to 100 and thus the actual aggregate score of each SACCO assessed will be a percentage.

#### **Governance Effectiveness and Strategy**

externally

Assessment Questions	Max Score	Score	Remarks
Does The Sacco Have			
1.1 Full control of its affairs resting on the membership, board and management, with no external superior authority?			
1.2 An able board, with the right skills and dedication to move it forward?	2		
1.3 A clear governance structure?	2		
1.4 Well laid out and followed responsibility and accountability practices for all organs & persons?			
1.5 A clear ownership structure?			
1.6 A focus on the savings and credit business?			
1.7 A strategic/ business plan, supporting the mission that is followed?			
1.8 Beneficial linkages with external Partners			
1.9 Its by-laws, constitution, or such other internal governance guidance documents?	2		

# **Assessment Guidelines: Governance**

Assessment	Means of Verification
Area	
1.1	Check for evidence: records of major decisions taken without reference to outsiders.
	Probe management and board to ascertain if there is any external control.
1.2	List of board members & their qualifications/ experience; board minutes showing
	regularity of meetings. Check that least some members have high school education or
	higher.
1.3	Check that there is an organisational structure with the board reporting to the AGM
	and management reporting to the board; no parallel organ with equal powers as the
	board, and that there is an audit committee, operations/ loans committee and other
	necessary committees in place. Check that the board might not have implied power
	concentration by virtue of blood-based, faith-based or other relationships that could
	compromise independence of board members.
1.4	Check for i) existence of operations/ loan committee, supervision or similar
	committees ii) a written statement of responsibilities of management, committees,
	board, AGM. Sample Mgt reports to the board and board reports to the AGM, and
	evidence of follow-ups for total accountability where applicable. Check whether the
	loans to directors as a proportion of total loans are tracked, and if so, that it does not
	exceed say 5% of the total loan portfolio. And that these loans are not in arrears.
1.5	Check that the members own, and know that the institution is theirs; they have share
	certificates entitling them to vote in the AGM, and that there is an up-to-date share
	holders' register.
1.6	Interview the manager or other key staff on the proportion of their time spent on the
	finance (savings and loans) business; ascertain that there is no other main business of
	the SACCO apart from financial services.
1.7	Examine a copy of the plan and enquire on the activities therein so far accomplished
1.8	Check that the SACCO is a member of AMFIU, UCA, AMFIA or such other <i>sound</i>
	practice apex/ network organization.
1.9	Evidence: Physical presence of these documents and a quick check that they are
	complied with

# **Management Effectiveness**

2.1 Management staff with suitable	2	
qualifications and experience to manage		
the SACCO?		
2.2 A balance of focus between profit &	2	
development in the SACCO operations?		
2.3 Observable business growth?	2	

# **Assessment Guidelines: Management Effectiveness**

2.1	Check that the manager has at least a diploma in business or coop plus 5 yrs experience.
	Accountant, diploma in accounting or business plus 2 yrs experience
2.2	Check for i) continual efforts at member development and benefits (improving members'
	economic welfare and institutional profitability) – the plans and activities of the SACOO include
	member training.
2.3	i) Percentage and absolute growth in the number of members in a year. 30% or more is good,
	15% to 29% average, lower than 15% marginal ii) Percentage and absolute growth in the loan
	portfolio in a year - % guidelines as above iii) Percentage and absolute growth in the volume of
	savings in a year –% guidelines as above.

# **Product Development and Delivery**

3.1 Variable and adaptable products?	2	
3.2 Documented operational policies and	2	
procedures		
3.3 Efficiency in service delivery	2	
mechanisms?		

# **Assessment Guidelines: Product Development and Delivery**

3.1	Check for evidence of different loan and savings products within the SACCO, with varying loan
	periods, interest and other loan/ savings features
3.2	Check for existence of policies and procedures manuals for loans and savings, delinquency
	management, portfolio reporting etc.
3.3	Lead time between loan application and disbursement (5 quick samples); ease of access to
	savings by the savers. Up to 2 week good; up to 3 weeks fair; over three week poor.

# **Asset and Liability Management**

4.1 Effective delinquency management?	2	
4.2 Safety of clients' deposits?	2	
4.3 Moderate gearing?	2	
4.4 Prudence in intermediation	2	
4.5 Proper assessment of borrowers and anti- concentration	2	
4.6 Clear documentation of loans, savings, other assets and liabilities	2	

# Assessment Guidelines: Asset and Liability Management

4.1	i) evidence from records that loans are aged and PAR or equivalent portfolio quality measure is consistently tracked ii) PAR (30 days) of 5% or less for a full year, running – good; PAR
	>5%<10%, fair; PAR >10%, poor
4.2	i) Check for the use of safe cash custody (strong room, strong safes etc); safety of tills, savings
	deposits and withdrawals promptly recorded and passbooks updated instantly with each
	transaction
	ii) Check for insurance cover of cash at premises and in transit
4.3	Overall debt/equity ratio not exceeding 2:1, good. D/E > 3:1 up to 4:1 fair; over 4:1; poor
4.4	i) Savings cash cover(total liquid assets/average savings volume) 50% or higher, good >30 but
	<50%, fair; lower than 30%, poor
	ii) Quick Ratio (average liquid assets/average current liabilities) guidelines as for cash-savings
	cover above.
4.5	Documented loan appraisal template or questionnaire, used in practice
4.6	Well organized and up-to-date Loans ledger, Savings Ledger, Shareholders' register, Fixed asset
	register, Loans payable accounts – and all the related contract and internal appraisal documents

# **Accounting and Financial Management**

5.1 A proper book-keeping system?	2	
5.2 A suitable accounting system?	2	
5.3 Proper financial management principles?	2	
5.4 Documented accounting & financial management manual	2	

## Assessment Guidelines: Accounting and Financial Management

5.1	Evidence: i) use of a full double entry system ii) active cash book, general ledger, assets register,
	loans & savings ledgers, or automated accounting computer package iii) previous two days'
	postings into the accounts(quick glance)
5.2	i)Periodic (monthly or quarterly) income statement and balance sheet produced regularly; ii) date
	of the latest BS and income statement; iii) annual audited accounts produced (audits by
	professionals rather than Dept for Coops)
5.3	i) full long term and monthly budgets ii) evidence of budgetary control in all expenditure ii)
	variance analysis embedded in management accounts
5.4	Evidence: Physical presence of the manual and a quick check to ascertain that it is followed.

#### **Financial Sustainability**

6.1 Measures of sustainability consistently monitored?	2	
6.2 Good levels of operational and financial sustainability?	2	
6.3 Profitability and sustainability embedded in business plans	2	

#### **Assessment Guidelines: Financial Sustainability**

6.1	i) Operational sustainability tracked and documented regularly ii) Financial sustainability tracked and documented regularly iii) A loan loss reserve at least equivalent to the PAR (30 days and over) is taken into account in calculating both OSS and FSS
6.2	i) OSS at least 100%= fair 120%-150% good, over 150%= excellent ii) FSS at least 90%=fair100%,- 130% good, over 130 excellent iii) A loan loss reserve at least equivalent to the PAR (30 days and over) is taken into account in calculating both OSS and FSS (as in 6.1 above)
6.3	OSS, FSS or net operating profits clearly targeted in the business plan, and the levels monitored consistently by management

#### **Rural Outreach**

7.1 Rural operations	2	

#### **Assessment Guidelines: Rural Outreach**

7.1 Percentage of members/clients outside a 10 km radius of Kampala, Jinja, Entebbe, Mbale and Mbarara: Over 70% good, 50% to 69% fair, under 50% marginal

# **Prudence and Transparency in Product Pricing**

8.1 All direct and indirect overhead costs	2	
taken into account in determining interest		
rates and fees		
8.2 Market rates charged by competitors	2	
taken into account in determining interest		
rates and fees		
8.3 All interest rates and charges displayed	2	
and fully disclosed to the client before		
they decide to borrow		

#### **Assessment Guidelines: Prudence and Transparency in Product Pricing**

8.1	Evidence: operating net profits realised; costing statement for product pricing available
8.2	i) Management and staff knows what competitors charge, and keeps track ii) SACCO's interest
	rate and charges fairly comparable with those of competitors or peers in the market
8.3	Evidence: i) visible display of charges in the banking hall; in brochures or flyers, available to
	each prospective and existing member/ client before they make up their minds to join or transact.

#### **Financial Transparency**

9.1 General Ledger	6			
9.2 Customer savings	6			
9.3 Loan/ Credit	6			
Ledger				
9.4 Income	6			
9.5 Expenditure	6			
9.6 Loan loss	6			
provisions				
TOTAL SCORE	100			

## **Assessment Guidelines: Financial Transparency**

Under each accounting area, choose one or two aspects to verify, and then proceed as explained in the "method" column below.

Accounting		Items To Check	Method	
Area				
9.1 Ledger	General	1.Fixed asset purchase records	Ask for the most recent fixed asset acquisition; check that the source documents are in place, posting to the ledger was properly done (or to the asset register)	
		2.Loans payable account	Pick one or two loans payable; check that the initial loan amount and the latest repayment installment are both properly posted	
		3.Share capital/ equity accounts	Check the share holder' register and compare it with the share capital account in the ledger.	
		4.Bank account balances/	Check on the date and accuracy of the any two bank	

	records	reconciliation statements.
9.2 Customer	1. Consistency of the	Get a customer at random and ask for his/her pass
Savings	customer's savings pass book	book; do a spot-check of the balance therein against
	and respective ledger card.	that customers' ledger card.
	2.Interest payable on savings	Check that interest is calculated and posted to the savers' accounts as per policy. Pick any one savings account and check the accuracy of interest calculation.
	3.Savings withdrawal records	At random, pick two savings accounts and for each trace a withdrawal transaction back to the source documents
	4. Savings deposit records.	At random, pick two savings accounts and for each, trace a deposit transaction back to the source documents
9.3 Loan/ Credit	1. Consistency of the	Get a customer at random and ask for his/her loan
Ledger	customer's loan pass book and respective ledger card.	pass book; do a spot-check of the balance therein against that customers' ledger card.
	2.Interest receivable on loans	Check that interest is calculated and posted to income and appropriate asset accounts as per policy.
	3. Interest payable on loans	Check that interest is calculated and posted to the appropriate liability or expense accounts as per policy.
	4. Loans ledger records.	Pick one loan debit or credit entry and follow it backwards to the source documents, to ascertain the accuracy of posting
9.4 Income	1.Accuracy of reported interest income	Check the interest receivable account for the previous period. Compare the balance as at the last date of the period with the amount of interest income posted to the income statement for that period.
	2.Prudence in income recognition	Check, from the aged loan portfolio and that loan
		loss provisions have been done as per policy, and that the figure has been appropriately charged to the P/L
	3.Accuracy in reporting of	
	non-interest income	Pick any non-interest income in the P and L, and check the consistency of the account balance at the end of the last period with the relevant line item figure reported in the income statement for that period
9.5 Expenditure	Accuracy of expenditure reported in the income	Take three expense items, e.g. rent, salaries and communication, and note the closing account
	statement. Could choose any	balances for the last reporting period. Compare the

	of the following:	balance as at the last date of the period with the
	-Rent	amount of interest income posted to the income
	-Electricity	statement for that period.
	-Salaries	
	-Communication	
	-Stationery/ supplies	
	-Fuel and motor-vehicle	
	running expenses	
	-Security	
9.6 Loan loss	1.Accuracy of treatment of	Check the previous period's loan loss provisions,
provisions	loan loss provision in the P	and ascertain that the figure has gone to reduce the
	and L	gross loan amount and the profit.
	2.Accuracy of calculation of	Check that the provision policy is used in making
	provisions	provisions, and that loans are properly aged and
		provided for.

# SUMMARY OF SCORES BY ASSESSMENT AREA

Assessment Area	Max Score	Score
Governance Effectiveness and Strategy	18	
Management Effectiveness	6	
Product Development and Delivery	6	
Asset and Liability Management	12	
Accounting and Financial Management	8	
Financial Sustainability	6	
Outreach	2	
Prudence and transparency in product pricing	6	
Financial Transparency	36	
TOTAL	100	

# **Score Interpretation Guidelines**

Score	Interpretation
Over 80%	A promising institution with a nearly all the requisite structures and systems in
	place thus there is potential for high performance in most areas
70-79	A fairly promising institution with most of the requisite structures and systems in
	place, though in need of improvement. Performance and impact could be greatly
	enhanced through focused and well targeted technical and/ or financial assistance
60-69%	Average institutional health and performance. Careful discretion needs to be
	exercised in determining whether or not the institution is suitable
50-59	Poor health and performance. Deep rooted weaknesses are likely to hinder any
	potential impact of BDS, technical or financial assistance.
Below 50%	Just a non-starter; do not enter the relationship.

#### APPENDIX I QUALITATIVE NON-RATERS

The following options, though not used in the rating within the tool, are optional considerations for assessors who would like to delve deeper than the guidelines of the one day tool. They should be used as additional rather than mainstream determinants in the decision to work with a SACCO. Ordinarily, the assessor may not need to use them in the determination of SACCOs to work with, but may use them to determine areas of weakness that need to be addressed for the SACCOs that qualify on the basis of the rating criteria under 4.2 above.

#### • Governance effectiveness & Strategic focus of the SACCO

- Adequate separation of duties among organs
- An effective board succession policy and practice
- Board manual used as a reference point.
- Appropriate skill mix at the board
- Independence of individual directors
- Member interest in governance issues

#### • Strategic focus

- Extent to which the SACCOs Vision & Mission are shared among its members, board, leaders, management and staff
- Work plans stemming from SACCO's business strategy or plan

#### Management effectiveness

- Business orientation
- Transparency & honesty
- Staff development & management succession
- Continual aptitude improvement / human resource development
- Planning & monitoring effectiveness.

### • Product Development and delivery

- Continual innovations
- Suitable delivery mechanisms
- Client participation in product development

#### • Asset-and- Liability Management

- -Clear borrower records
- Budgetary controls
- Clear loan tracking & recovery system
- Minimum investments in fixed assets
- Liquidity and cash management
- Cost effectiveness
- Accessibility by the client
- Proper recording and accounting
- Minimize costs of funding
- Timely servicing

#### • **Customer care** – the tool will address the following areas:

- Value addition
- Loan sizes
- Processes
- Customer focused culture
- Staff training and sensitization in customer care

# • Financial sustainability

- Structures that support sustainability
- Invest in scale & efficiency
- Preference for independence

#### • Outreach

- Double pronged mission (profit and development)
- Mix of clients (poor and not-so-poor)
- Gender equity in membership (exp numbers of women served compared to men)

#### APPENDIX II WORK METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT

#### **Work Flow**

The work plan for the one-day assessment needs to follow a fairly defined and systematic sequence summarized below:

- ➤ Call the manager of the SACCO to be visited and inform him/her of the assessment visit at least 2 days in advance, clarifying the documents they have to get ready, the stakeholder that the assessor would like to meet and the exact time of the start and end of the meetings, as well as the venue of the meeting. If possible, send the Usage and Confidentiality Agreement in advance
- ➤ On arrival at the SACCO, execute the confidentiality agreement
- > During the visit, do a quick assessment on the attributes in the tool, through interviews and record examination. In doing this, follow the guidelines in the footnotes of the tool(notes that will guide the tool user on details of point-scoring on each aspect)
- ➤ Use your knowledge and experience to cross-check and verify vital information as need arises
- ➤ Point-score each attribute according to the your fairest judgment, and in line with the scoring scheme in the tool
- > Turn the total score into a percentage
- ➤ Decide on the suitability (health and performance) of the institution according to the interpretation guideline in 2.1 above.
- Make brief notes and memoir that you consider vital to support your assessment and conclusion
- ➤ Write a brief report embracing all the above and make the necessary recommendation(s). Ensure that the following disclaimer text appears in the introduction of the report:

This report is based on a one-day, limited due diligence and is in no way a substitute for external audit, internal audit or extended/in-depth due diligence in circumstances where any of these would be required

#### Persons to Meet at the SACCO

Different SACCOS have different titles for people holding similar functions. Thus this recommendation focuses on the function rather than the title of the office. For each one-day assessment, the assessor will need to meet the following at a minimum:

- i Overall SACCO Manager
- ii -
- iii Finance Manager (accountant, book-keeper, accounts clerk etc as the case might be)
- iv Chair or member of the audit committee
- y Board Chair or another board member
- vi Operations manager, supervisor or credit officer
- vii One ordinary member (non-board, non-staff member)/customer of the SACCO. This person will be chosen at random during the business day of the SACCO, to avoid management choosing and pre-briefing him/her

Date:

# APPENDIX III INFORMATION USAGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT (Month), (Year) between Savings and Credit Society (hereafter called the SACCO) Whereas the Assessor has been requested by to carry out a due diligence on the SACCO and whereas the SACCO has agreed to furnish the Assessor with all the information on its activities, status and performance that the Assessor might reasonably require to carry out the said due diligence, it is now agreed by the Assessor and the SACCO as follows: SACCO's Responsibilities 1. Provision of complete information: the SACCO hereby commits itself that it will give full and complete information on all areas and aspects of assessment that the assessor wants to address. 2. Provision of accurate information: the SACCO hereby commits itself that all the information so disclosed or availed to the Assessor will be true and accurate, and warrants that all the information given to the Assessor will be authentic and verified in-house before it is given to the Assessor. 3. Ensuring independence of other interviewees: The SACCO management hereby commits itself to restrain from influencing other respondents involved in this due diligence process, and warrants that no response from any non-managers of the SACCO will have been influenced directly or indirectly by management. Assessor's Responsibilities 1. Duty of care: the Assessor hereby undertakes and commits to keep all the information received from the SACCO with strict and utmost confidence so that no third party will access such information 2. Non-disclosure to third parties: the Assessor shall not, under any circumstances, disclose any information that has come into his/ her possession by virtue of the activities pursuant to this agreement. No disclosure, intentionally or inadvertently, shall be done by the Assessor without prior written permission of the SACCO. 3. Restriction in the use of information availed to the Assessor by the SACCO: the Assessor shall use the information obtained from the SACCO pursuant to this agreement solely for the stated purposes of the due diligence and for no other reason. Signed by: Name: Position: Signature: Date:

Rural SPEED 14

Organization name and address:

Signature:

Name of Assessor: