The integration of STI and HIV/AIDS information and services into existing MCH/FP programs has been strongly supported in recent years, following recommendations from the 1994 Cairo ICPD. Moreover, it is now widely accepted that early detection and management of STIs can reduce the incidence of HIV infection. Thus there are powerful incentives to increase efforts to broaden the capacity of MCH/FP programs to provide STI prevention and management information and services for their clients, both as a comprehensive reproductive health service and as a preventive measure for reducing the transmission of HIV.

The Africa OR/TA Project II, in collaboration with several agencies in the region, undertook a number of OR studies to assess the preparedness of programs to provide STI, HIV/AIDS and MCH/FP services using an integrated approach. These diagnostic studies were then followed by intervention studies to test ways of improving the existing approaches to implementing integrated services as shown below.

### Activities Under the Africa OR/TA Project II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Situation Analysis studies of integration at clinics of STI/HIV information and services in national MCH/FP programs</td>
<td>Botswana, Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania/Mbeya region, Zambia, Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case studies of programs providing MCH/FP, STI and HIV/AIDS services using an integrated approach</td>
<td>Mkomani Clinic Society, Mombasa, Kenya, Botswana Population Assistance Project (BOTSPA), Botswana, Nakuru Municipal Council clinics, Kenya, Family Life Education Program, Busoga diocese, Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments of integration of STI/HIV information and services in community-based programs</td>
<td>Kenya (seven CBD programs), Community Health and Family Planning Project, Navrongo, Ghana, Community-Based Reproductive Health Services, Bazêga, Burkina Faso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative studies of perceptions towards RTIs and health-seeking behavior</td>
<td>Navrongo, Ghana, Bazêga, Burkina Faso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measuring the prevalence of RTIs among MCH/FP clients</td>
<td>Nakuru Municipal Council clinics, Kenya, Zimbabwe National Family Planning Council clinics, Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating the effectiveness of STI syndromic management among MCH/FP clients, and testing strategies to improve this strategy</td>
<td>Nakuru Municipal Council clinics, Kenya, Zimbabwe National Family Planning Council clinics, Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is there a need to offer RTI/HIV information and treatment services to women attending MCH/FP clinics?

OR studies conducted in Nakuru, Kenya and Harare and Bulawayo in Zimbabwe show that more than half of women attending clinics for family planning or antenatal services have a reproductive tract infection (RTI). Among these women, non-sexually transmitted infections (i.e. Candidiasis and Bacterial Vaginosis) are much more prevalent than sexually transmitted infections (STIs) (Gonorrhea, Chlamydia and Trichomoniasis).

Prevalence of reproductive Tract Infections among family planning and antenatal clients in Kenya and Zimbabwe

Because of their more serious effects on women’s and infant health, most efforts to integrate RTI detection and treatment services have focused on sexually transmitted infections. However, these data suggest that programs aimed at improving women’s reproductive health should also consider ways of detecting and treating non-sexually transmitted RTIs, given their widespread prevalence and the inconvenience and suffering they can cause many women. Moreover, recent evidence linking Bacterial Vaginosis with increased likelihood of sexual transmission of HIV, and the difficulty in distinguishing between this and other vaginal infections, suggests that program managers may need to consider vaginitis as being as important to detect and treat as the sexually transmitted infections.

Knowledge about signs, symptoms, risk factors and ways of preventing sexually transmitted infections is poor among women attending MCH/FP clinics. Less than one-fifth of clients attending MCH/FP clinics were aware that HIV infection could be transmitted through blood transfusion, and from mother to baby. Nearly half of these women did not consider condom use an effective protection against STIs and HIV/AIDS.
In rural communities of northern Ghana, both men and women have many beliefs concerning the cause of STIs. In addition to having sex with multiple partners and infidelity, God’s will, lack of hygiene, insect bites and inadequate or poor diets are also cited as possible causes. Because of their perceptions of the causes of STIs, for many individuals the first choice of treatment is the traditional healer. It is only when the traditional remedies have failed that they seek medical help from health facilities.

Are STI and/or HIV/AIDS services currently provided at MCH/FP clinics?

Data from Situation Analysis studies in the region show that many MCH/FP programs in the region are already providing STI and/or HIV/AIDS information and services using an integrated approach, albeit to varying degrees. For example, 69 percent of facilities claim to offer STI management services and 49 percent HIV management services. Findings from case studies suggest that clinic-based programs in the region are adopting integration strategies that seek to include four major service components.

**Major components of integration strategies used by MCH/FP clinic-based programs**

- STI case finding, diagnosis, and treatment among family planning clients and to a lesser extent antenatal clients attending MCH/FP clinics
- Communication of messages to clients about prevention of STIs and HIV transmission and good health seeking behavior
- Screening and treatment of syphilis among antenatal clients
- Voluntary counseling and testing for clients suspected to have HIV

Situation Analysis studies in five countries found that although many MCH/FP facilities were offering STI and HIV/AIDS services, few clients were actually receiving these services. In Ghana, Zambia and Zimbabwe, clinics were found, on average, to provide STI services to one client per day and HIV/AIDS services to one client per month, although huge variations were found. Moreover, fewer than half of one percent of family planning and MCH clients interviewed reported having received an STI or HIV service during their visit. Given the levels of infection indicated above, there would appear to be much scope for greater utilization of these services.
Are MCHFP programs and facilities prepared to provide STI and/or HIV/AIDS services?

If clinics are claiming to offer integrated services, are they ready and able to do so? One of the justifications for service integration is that the basic physical environment, equipment, supplies and IEC materials required to provide STI and HIV/AIDS services are similar to those required for MCHFP services, and that MCHFP clinics already have most of these in place. However, Situation Analysis studies and case studies indicate that:

- Although wall posters with STI and HIV/AIDS messages were available at more than two-thirds of the health facilities in five countries, other materials essential for individual education and counseling (flipcharts and brochures) were rarely available. Also group health talks – a useful strategy for communicating health information to clients in busy clinics and populations with low literacy rates - were rarely given at the MCHFP clinics.

- Fewer than half of the facilities in the programs studied had all four basic items of equipment and supplies required to perform a pelvic examination (directable source of light, at least one speculum, gloves and antiseptic lotion) – an essential step in assessing clients for STIs.

- A significant number of MCHFP clinics do not have available the first line drugs recommended in their country guidelines for treating STIs. As a result, service providers often have to use alternative medication that may not be as effective in treating the STIs. Availability of drugs at the health facilities is influenced by both the availability of resources to purchase the drugs, and the presence of good logistics to ensure appropriate distribution.

- Most programs have revised their family planning guidelines and service standards to include STI and HIV/AIDS services and other reproductive health issues. However these documents are often not available at health facilities, and most service providers are unaware of their existence and/or the revisions.

Readiness of facilities to communicate messages on STIs and HIV/AIDS

(a) no distinction was made between posters describing STIs and HIV/AIDS when the data were collected.
None of the programs had undertaken revisions of their forms for collecting service statistics (for example client record cards, daily registers and service statistics summary forms) to cater for the additional information required in the management of STIs and HIV/AIDS. The absence of checklists, client record forms and reporting forms that reflect and support an integrated rather than specialized approach to interacting with the client has been blamed by providers themselves as contributing towards their inability to implement a fully integrated approach.

**Are service providers in MCH/FP clinics prepared to provide STI and HIV/AIDS services?**

In addition, staff providing MCH/FP services are thought to already have most of the basic skills required for offering an integrated service, and are assumed to be willing and able to provide information and services for STI and HIV/AIDS routinely. However:

- The majority of service providers in MCH/FP clinics have not attended a post-basic or refresher course on STI and HIV/AIDS management. Basic training of service providers rarely includes STI and HIV/AIDS management, and most providers were trained many years ago. Moreover, the skills required for managing STIs and HIV/AIDS change rapidly, and so there is an urgent need for in-service training programs for providers and to establish systems that facilitate more frequent refresher and up-date courses.

- STI and HIV risk assessment involves asking clients questions about their sexual behavior, that of their partners and other personal behaviors. Although up to two-fifths of service providers feel comfortable asking clients about these issues, one-fifth do not feel at all comfortable. Training and appropriate supervision to address these concerns will be required.

- Service providers often cite heavy client loads and a lack of time as the major hindrance to the provision of good quality and integrated services. A time motion study carried out for one family planning program revealed that staff spent up to one half of their official working time on tea/lunch breaks and non-work related activities. Moreover, most MCH/FP clients are served in the morning only, with the clinics being underutilized in the afternoons.

- The study also showed that risk assessment and counseling for STIs and HIV/AIDS did
not substantially increase the duration of consultations with new family planning clients, repeat clients attending for their annual check-up, or clients using a method but having a problem. Consequently, time may not be a constraint to adding STI services to existing MCH/FP programs. What may be required is a re-organization of how staff utilize their time.

What quality of STI and HIV information and services are MCH/FP clients actually receiving?

STI and HIV risk assessment, history taking and pelvic examination are rarely and inconsistently undertaken for MCH/FP clients. However, the proportion of clients for whom these procedures are undertaken are higher for those programs where service providers have attended a post-basic and/ or refresher course in the management of STIs. Thus a concerted effort to improve integration does appear to have some effect, but more attention is needed to ensure that these procedures are routinely undertaken.

Proportion of new family planning clients asked risk assessment questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Number of new partners in last year</th>
<th>Concerns about STIs</th>
<th>Sexual relations</th>
<th>History of STIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCH/FP clients are rarely informed about STIs and HIV/AIDS during clinic consultations. In the five Situation Analysis studies, the proportion of new family planning clients with whom STIs were discussed range from 40 percent in Zambia to 12 percent in Kenya, and the proportion with whom HIV/AIDS was discussed ranged from 21 percent in Botswana to two percent in Zimbabwe. For those who were informed, the emphasis was on condom use, but symptoms, signs, risk factors, complications and modes of transmission of STIs and HIV infection were rarely discussed.

Although the majority of new family planning clients receiving services at MCH/FP clinics are informed about the role of condoms in preventing pregnancies, few are given information about its role in preventing STIs and HIV/AIDS. Those who received a “dual protection” message (i.e. that a condom can protect against both pregnancy and infection) ranged from four percent in Zimbabwe to 31 percent in Botswana.
Proportion of new family planning clients informed about condom use for pregnancy or STD prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Condom for pregnancy</th>
<th>Condom for STD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are partners of clients treated for STIs at MCH/FP clinics also treated?

Partner notification and treatment is an essential component of STI management to prevent re-infection. However, data from two programs show that less than one-third of the sexual partners of family planning clients treated for STIs come for treatment, even when steps are taken to encourage them to do so. However, the case studies show that staff rarely inform clients treated for STIs about the importance and need to get their partners treated.

Partner notification and treatment is also complicated by the use of syndromic management of STIs in women. Most women diagnosed syndromically as having an abnormal vaginal discharge do not actually have a sexually transmitted infection (although they may have a naturally occurring infection). Therefore, great care needs to be taken in handling cases where it is not completely clear whether the infection was acquired through sexual transmission or not. Unfortunately, the use of syndromes (such as vaginal discharge) for the identification and management of reproductive tract infections does not make such a distinction.

Are antenatal clients routinely tested and treated for syphilis at clinics?

As noted above, one particular STI, syphilis, has been given special attention because of its impact on the health and survival of pregnant women and their babies. Consequently, syphilis testing and treatment for pregnant women is mandated in almost all countries because of its proven cost-effectiveness. Data collected from two programs in Kenya indicate, however, that between 27 and 52 percent of antenatal clients are being tested. Even when testing is done, not all...
women return for the results and treatment – in one program, of the 31 women tested and proving positive, only 18 returned for treatment – and an even smaller number of their contacts get treated. Use of procedures that require clients to make more than one visit to the clinic or that include referral to another clinic, and the costs clients have to pay for the test are some of the reasons given for the poor performance of this intervention.

What are clients’ perceptions about integrating STI, HIV/AIDS and MCH/FP services?

The majority of MCH/FP clients indicated that they are comfortable with being asked questions about their sexual behavior and that of their partners. Moreover, when clients participating in a study that involved detailed risk assessment, history taking, a pelvic examination and laboratory testing, were asked to name what they liked most about their consultation, 70 percent named pelvic examinations and having a laboratory test. The clients felt that these were helpful in determining their health status. These findings suggest that, contrary to popular perceptions that clients do not like being asked about their sexual behavior and/or receiving intrusive pelvic examinations, the majority of MCH/FP clients do appreciate these procedures and would welcome a more comprehensive reproductive health consultation.

What role can Community Based Distributors play in the provision of STI and HIV/AIDS information and services?

Many CBD programs in the region are reviewing the scopes of work for their agents, to expand their role beyond family planning to include other reproductive health services, especially education, counseling and referral for STI and HIV/AIDS services. Although most CBDs are knowledgeable about family planning, their knowledge of STIs and HIV/AIDS is relatively poor. For example in two programs, many CBDs were found to have poor knowledge of most of the common symptoms of STIs, and in many cases their level of knowledge was not significantly different from that of the clients they served.

CBD agents appear to have the potential to reach many people with detailed information about STI prevention and management, and particularly the higher risk groups of men and adolescents. However, the feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of doing so is not known and so further research is essential to guide CBD programs seeking an expanded role.
communities they serve, and may be related to or friends with many of their clients. Some CBD agents saw this as a handicap to discussing STIs, and especially when an infection is suspected, with their clients. This factor needs to be taken into account when reviewing their responsibilities to include STI management beyond education.

How effective are existing procedures for detecting and managing STIs in MCH/FP clients?

Two OR studies supported findings from other studies in the region that many women with laboratory evidence of an RTI did not have any symptoms of abnormal vaginal discharge when asked their history and/or during clinical examination. The study in Kenya found that 49 percent of asymptomatic family planning clients and 56 percent of asymptomatic antenatal clients had laboratory evidence of an RTI. The Zimbabwe study found that 29 percent of asymptomatic family planning clients had a positive lab test for an RTI. For these asymptomatic women, the syndromic approach cannot be used to detect and manage their infection.

These studies also showed that many women who complained of an abnormal vaginal discharge and/or were found to have one on examination, did not have any infection on laboratory testing. In Kenya for example, 33 percent of the antenatal clients and 46 percent of the family planning clients with a vaginal discharge symptom and/or clinically determined sign did not have laboratory evidence of an infection. Among family planning clients in Zimbabwe, the proportion was even higher at 64 percent. Thus diagnosing and treating these women according to their symptoms has led to overtreatment and represents wasted resources.

Observations of client-provider interactions showed that even when clients have symptoms and/or signs suggestive of an infection (as described by the national syndromic management algorithms) clinic staff do not always make a diagnosis as described in these algorithms. This was so, despite the refresher training in syndromic management the staff received at the beginning of the two OR studies. Consequently, if the syndromic approach is going to be used, great care must be taken to make sure that the training is effective and that supervision maintains compliance with protocol guidelines.

Many programs in the region (e.g. Kenya) recommend that women presenting with vaginal discharge and/or found to have vaginal discharge on examination should be treated for vaginitis (e.g. Trichomoniasis, Candida or Bacterial Vaginosis) first. They are requested to then come back after seven days to assess clinical progress, and if no improvements are found the client is then treated for cervicitis. In the Kenya study, however, 48 percent of family planning clients and 38 percent of antenatal clients with a vaginal discharge were found not to have vaginitis. Moreover, among those women who did have vaginitis, 88 percent of family planning clients and 67 percent of antenatal clients did not have the vaginal discharge symptom. Using the presence of vaginal discharge symptom and/or sign as a predictor of vaginitis, therefore, leads not only to many women who need to be treated being missed, but also to over-treating many women who do not have such infections.
In addition, the studies found that fewer than one-half of women came back for the follow-up visit, regardless of their infection status. Therefore, many women requiring a change in their treatment will be missed when this approach is used.

Given this problem with follow-up and the seriousness of cervical infections, other programs in the region (including Zimbabwe), recommend that all women presenting with and/or found to have a vaginal discharge should be treated for gonorrhea and chlamydia, that is, for cervicitis. The two studies show, however, that this leads to many women without a cervical infection being prescribed antibiotics. For example, fewer than 15 percent of clients with vaginal discharge symptom and/or sign were found to have laboratory evidence of cervicitis. Moreover, many women with a cervical infection did not have vaginal discharge symptom and/or sign (88 percent and 61 percent for antenatal and family planning clients respectively in Kenya, and 40 percent in Zimbabwe). As with vaginitis, therefore, the presence of vaginal discharge symptom and/or sign as a predictor leads to both over and under treatment.

The syndromic approach was developed for use when clients visit clinics explicitly seeking STI treatment, rather than for screening clients attending MCH/FP clinics. The two OR studies show that the syndromes for vaginal discharge and lower abdominal pain have statistically strong sensitivity for detecting cervical infections among clients who actively seek STI services, but have very poor specificity and positive predictive values. This means, therefore, that the overall effectiveness of the syndromic approach is poor, even for those clients who are actively seeking treatment for a suspected STI.

Effectiveness of symptoms and/or signs in predicting RTIs among clients seeking STI services from a family planning clinic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINICAL INFORMATION</th>
<th>TYPE OF RTI</th>
<th>EFFECTIVENESS IN PREDICTING RTIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal discharge symptom</td>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal discharge sign</td>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal discharge symptom and sign</td>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>Cervicitis</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three major factors appear to contribute to the ineffectiveness of syndromic management. First, most women have poor knowledge of STI symptoms, and many are asymptomatic and/or have no clinical signs even when they have an infection. Research is urgently needed to find out whether improving women's knowledge and ability to identify symptoms will improve their health-seeking behavior, thereby increasing the effectiveness of the syndromic approach.

Second, as seen above, the symptoms and/or signs used in the existing algorithms for syndromic management have been shown not to be effective in predicting whether or not a woman has an infection, due to their poor sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values. The Africa OR/TA Project II undertook studies in Kenya and Zimbabwe to determine whether adding risk assessment to the client consultation would improve the effectiveness of detecting and managing STIs (see below).

Third, staff were found to not always follow the service delivery guidelines for screening and managing MCH/FP clients for possible infections, even when there is sufficient information from history-taking and clinical examination to suggest the possibility of an infection. The studies in Kenya and Zimbabwe also tested whether using a checklist would improve the provider’s capacity to correctly implement the standard procedures for an integrated approach (see below).

Can the effectiveness of the syndromic approach be improved by adding risk assessment?

Adding risk assessment has been proposed as a means for improving the effectiveness of the syndromic approach for detecting infections among MCH/FP clients who present without symptoms. A risk assessment is relatively simple to undertake – it requires the provider to ask the women a number of questions about her and her partners’ behavior, the answers to which may indicate that she is at increased risk of contracting an STI. The factors most commonly included are: multiple sex partners; current or past STIs; perception of STI risk; and staying away from partner.

For these two OR studies, the objective was to find out whether it was possible to establish a small number of questions that would significantly improve the ability of providers to detect and manage MCH/FP clients with STIs. To do this, a set of 23 risk factors was identified from the literature and through expert knowledge of the local situation. Researchers interviewed clients after their consultation to obtain information about each of these factors. These data were then analyzed in relation to whether or not the woman was actually infected, as indicated by a laboratory test. From this analysis, a reduced set of six key risk factors was identified as being those most likely to predict an infection. The findings were as follows:
The factors that are usually considered to be indicative of increased risk were uncommon among women attending MCH/FP clinics in Kenya and Zimbabwe.

Strengthening the algorithm by combining selected information from the client's medical history, a clinical examination and risk assessment leads to a small improvement in the effectiveness of the algorithms to correctly identify clients with a cervical infection (the positive predictive value). There is also a small improvement for identifying those without a cervical infection (the specificity). However, the ability of the algorithm to identify cervical infections in a pool of clients known to be positive (its sensitivity) remained low.

Does the use of a standardized checklist improve the quality of an integrated approach?

A major reason identified by staff for their not systematically assessing and counseling MCH/FP clients on STI/HIV was the lack of a checklist and recordkeeping system that would facilitate an integrated consultation. Drawing from this finding, the studies in Kenya and Zimbabwe developed and introduced an integrated checklist to be used by clinic staff during history taking, clinical examination and STI/HIV risk assessment for all MCH/FP clients. The checklist included 23 STI/HIV risk factor items and 13 counseling and information items that a provider should discuss with a client, and allowed space for the information obtained to be recorded. The results of this study showed that:

- Although staff found it difficult and time consuming at first to use the checklist, they gradually accepted it as part of their routine procedure for collecting information from and giving information to their clients. With time, the staff reported that the checklist enabled them to spend the time with clients more efficiently, and were thus able to build a better rapport, collect more detailed information required for proper diagnosis and management, and to counsel their clients in a more systematic manner.

- Clients felt that service providers were now spending more time with them and providing a more thorough examination, resulting in a better understanding of their problems.

- Both the staff and clients felt comfortable with the risk assessment questions. One reason given by the clients was that the questions on sexual behavior gave them the opportunity to think about and re-examine their own lives.
Following the introduction of the checklist, the vast majority of clients (78-99%) were now receiving systematic counseling on key STIs and HIV/AIDS topics. The quality of information given on family planning also improved significantly.

How has operations research strengthened integration of STI/HIV and family planning services?

Kenya: The two case studies undertaken in Kenya helped to identify weaknesses in the strategies used to integrate STI and family planning services. The strategy had focussed on training staff in syndromic management and providing STI-dedicated drug kits. The research found that the training did not cover the essential skills required by the providers, the MIS had not been revised to facilitate recording and reporting of information needed for providing and monitoring integrated programs, prescribing policies had not been reviewed to allow the trained nurses to prescribe STI drugs, indicators of program performance had not been reviewed to include STI and HIV services and there were no job aides to assist the providers providing services using an integrated approach. The two programs have already reviewed their training curricula and MIS to cover those areas found to be deficient by the studies, and have also reviewed their cost recovery strategies.

Results from these studies contributed to the inclusion of a section on the integration of STI/HIV/AIDS control into the MCH/FP program within the revised national Reproductive Health/Family Planning Policy Guidelines and Standards for Service Providers. The findings also led to national debate on the prescribing policies that currently do not allow nurses to prescribe STI drugs. The matter was taken up by parliament and changes in legislation to allow the nurse to prescribe have been approved.

Uganda: Results from the case study helped the program in identifying its requirements and were used to inform and solicit for support from donors. The program was able to get the MOH to provide KEPI fridges to some of their clinics, they were able to get more equipment and drugs from donors using the study findings to back up their requests. The program reviewed its training curriculum to cover areas in which the study found the staff to be deficient. Client flow and privacy were found to be a problem in many of the facilities and structural adjustments were required. The program used these results to convince the communities to undertake the necessary structural adjustments and to put billboards at the clinics to inform clients of the availability of STI/HIV services.

Botswana: The MCH/FP unit has utilized the results to more accurately define areas in their program that need attention. For example, the trainers from the
Botswana Population Assistance (BOTSPA) Project used the results to revise the training curriculum for nurses in providing STI/HIV services in MCH/FP clinics to put more emphasis on those skills found to be deficient. The MCH/FP unit also revised their client record forms to facilitate the providers in obtaining, recording and reporting information essential for providing STI/HIV and family planning services using an integrated approach. Study results have been used in training workshops for supervisors in the District Health Management Teams and the development of supervision checklists. The MCH/FP unit has used information from the studies to develop indicators to monitor the performance of their program and many of these indicators have been incorporated in the Ministry of Health Information System for use at a national level.

Zimbabwe: A new training module specifically addressing the provision of MCH/FP and STI services using an integrated approach was developed as part of the study activities and will form part of the national training curriculum. This new module has also been used by WHO to train staff in other programs with Sub-Saharan Africa. The study also helped to identify weaknesses in the current treatment algorithms given the disease patterns. Information from the studies is being used by the national STI management committee to review the STI management guidelines in view of the study findings. The study findings are being used by the ZNFPC to revise their MIS to facilitate the provision of STI and family planning services using an integrated approach.
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