

How to Prepare an Evaluation Scope of Work

Prepared by Janet Kerley, PPC/CDIE
April 30, 2005

A evaluation scope of work is a plan for conducting an evaluation; it conveys clear directions to the evaluation team.

The following sections briefly describe the elements usually found in scopes of work for USAID evaluations; Additional information on each element is found in TIPS: Preparing an Evaluation Scope of Work.

I. Elements of an Evaluation Scope of Work

A. Introduction/Purpose and Goals of the Evaluation

Principal questions: Why is the evaluation being done? Specify the need for the evaluation, its audience, and purpose. What type of evaluation is needed (mid-term, final, impact)?

- Who wants the information? What do they want to know?
- How will the information be used? When will it be needed?
- How accurate must it be?

B. Background of the Activity Being Evaluated

Principal questions: What problem did the project address? What are the assumptions and hypotheses about the problem and the solutions? What were the major interventions used to address the problem and what is their status?

- Give a brief description of the history and current status of the activities or programs, the names of implementing agencies and organizations involved to help the evaluation team understand the background and context of the activity or activities being assessed. SOWs for external teams will require more detail on background context.
- Identify what is being evaluated. Is the focus on a single activity, a set of related activities in a results package, or a broader strategy for achieving a strategic objective? Use appropriate activity names,

titles, authorization numbers, funding levels, completion dates, and short descriptions to specify what is being evaluated.

- The SO description, project design documents, program reviews, and related material need to be provided to the evaluators.

C. Program Theory and Design of the Intervention

Principal Question: What was the underlying program theory of the interventions?

Describe briefly the problem that the project intervention was designed to solve. The design of an intervention follows an analysis of the problem. Such analysis will look at the context, assess the information available about the unacceptable situation, prioritize the various explanations, extract the main causal factors, and develop the main hypotheses about what are the most important factors to change and/or manipulate in order to bring about a better outcome. This process creates the underlying program theory of the intervention.

The theory of the intervention (Program Theory) can usually be deduced from the project proposal that lays out the design of the project. This is sometimes modified in the process of awarding a grant or contract.

These project documents need to be made available to the evaluation team.

D. Evaluation Questions – The Most Important Part of the SOW

Principal question: What are the key questions the evaluation will answer? Are they clear and specific?

Ensure that questions are management priorities. One approach to selecting the key questions is to ask the intended users (and stakeholders) of the evaluation to state those questions they would like answered.

Include the most important ones in the SOW.

E. Evaluation Methodology

Principal Questions: What is the overall research design strategy to answer the evaluation questions? What is the plan for collecting and analyzing the data?

Identify the standards of evidence required, the degree of data validity and reliability required.

- One approach is to prescribe the design (case studies, sample surveys, rapid appraisal method, comparative evaluation designs, and analysis of existing data, i.e. performance monitoring data, other secondary sources, and literature review).
- An alternative approach is to ask the evaluation team to propose a research design for the evaluation, in which they specify the methods to be used to answer the research questions, at the level of specificity required.

F. Evaluation Team Composition

Principal Question: The evaluation focus and methods determine the composition of the team.

Identify the team size, the qualifications and skills team members should have, such as language proficiency, in-country work experience, evaluation methods and data collection skills, knowledge of the sector/technical area, facilitation skills, gender mix, participation of USAID staff, partners, customers, and other stakeholders.

Including USAID staff strengthens the Agency's learning from its own experience but if the evaluation identifies problems, it may create a "conflict of interest" that could harm the candor of evaluation findings. (See TIPS Series: [Conducting a Participatory Evaluation](#))

G. Schedule and Logistics

Principal Question: How much time will be needed in Washington and in the field and who will arrange the logistics?

A Bureau of the Census review of research plans shows the following allocation of cost /time to be spent on each aspect of the evaluation was typical. (link to document:

Illustrative Allocations of Resources to various Study Stages and Activities)

- Planning Stage -- 15 percent
- Preparation for field work -- 20 percent
- Field Implementation -- 30 percent
- Data Analysis -- 15 percent
- Reporting -- 20 percent

H. Budget

Principal Questions: How much will the evaluation cost and what is the source of the funds?

I. Deliverables: Report and Disseminating the Evaluation Findings:

Principal Question: What is the evaluation team expected to submit to USAID?

All the evaluation findings, conclusions, and recommendations should be documented in the Final Report. You may require other written reports, as milestones, during the evaluation. These can include a summary of the literature review, a draft work plan, data collection instruments, mid-evaluation report, and a draft as well as a final report.

This section should include the following:

- dates when draft and final reports are due;
- number of copies of report needed;
- languages in which report is needed;
- page limits and formats for the report;
- requirement for submitting copies of the evaluation report, in electronic form, to CDIE.

Oral Briefings: The contractor should organize two Mission-wide debriefings --- one in the beginning and one at the end of the assessment. Other briefings should be added as required.



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

sample

Evaluation Statement of Work

Participant Team: _____

USAID Project to be Evaluated:	Initial and Final Funding Years:
Type Evaluation: _____ Mid-Term (Formative) _____ Final (Summative) _____ Impact (<i>Post-Facto</i>)	Purpose and Intended Uses of the Evaluation:
Brief Description of Project, the “Program Theory” that underlies it and it’s Intended Results:	
Existing Performance Information Sources:	
Evaluation Questions:	
Evaluation Methods: Only indicate requirements that will affect the choice of methods. For purposes of this class exercise we are assuming that USAID will ask the evaluation team to propose a set of methods in its Evaluation Plan (due on Friday)	
Deliverables: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluation Plan covering (a) the overall design strategy for the evaluation, (b) the data collection and analysis plan for the evaluation, (c) a list of the team members, and which one will serve as the team leader and primary contact (an e-mail and phone contact for the team leader should be provided) and (d) the team’s schedule for the evaluation. Draft Evaluation Report, consistent with guidance provided in Tab 14. Length of the report: Not to exceed 20 pages plus annexes and an Executive Summary of not more than 2 pages. Oral Presentation of the evaluation. Be ready on the first day of Phase III, including handouts. Final Evaluation Report, incorporating advice you have been given by course instructors. 	
Evaluation Team Composition: <i>(For purposes of the course, include each team member’s name and key skills relevant to this evaluation as well as any division of responsibility on which the team has agreedn)</i>	
Schedule and Logistics: <i>(Note anything relevant to the work of this team)</i>	
Reports and dissemination: <i>(Be aware that the evaluations you do will become the property of USAID and any distribution beyond that will require USAID/PPC permission)</i>	
Budget: <i>(For purposes of the course no budget is required. However, if the team anticipated any special expenses for which they need an advance or reimbursement, they should be noted here.)</i>	