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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Millennium Science & Engineering, Inc. in association with Chemonics International (MSE/Chemonics) has prepared this Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA). The purpose of the EIA is to address the impacts associated with the remediation of Seoudi Smelter in Shoubra El Kheima, Qalyoubia. This EIA is being funded through the United States Agency for International Development’s (USAID) Livelihood and Income from the Environment Program, Lead Pollution Clean-Up in Qalyoubia Project (LIFE-Lead).

Project Description

Lead contamination from secondary lead smelters in Shoubra El Kheima poses serious health threats to the people living and working near the former smelters. To address this problem, the USAID and the Government of Egypt (GOE) designed a lead pollution clean-up component under LIFE. The goal of the project is to remediate lead pollution in five secondary lead smelter sites (i.e., Awadallah Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and the El Mahy and Seoudi Secondary Lead Smelters) and the El Shahid Ahmed Shaalan School located near the lead smelters in Shoubra El Kheima.

The remediation of the lead smelters will result in reduced risks to human health and the environment by lowering lead contamination to acceptable levels. In addition to site remediation, the project includes activities in community involvement and public participation, communication, capacity building, and policy/legal support.

Several governmental and non-governmental entities are directly or indirectly involved in the implementation of the project activities. Governmental entities include the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA), the Governorate of Qalyoubia (GOQ), and the Ministries of Health, Education, and Industry. Non-governmental organizations include Community Development Associations, the Integrated Care Society, the National Council for Women, the private sector, and the media.

This EIA focuses only on remediation of the Seoudi Secondary Lead Smelter. The remediation design calls for remediation of buildings, structures, and floors to levels equal to or less than the proposed baseline human health risk goals set by the project in cooperation with the EEAA. After remediation, the future use of the smelters sites will be safe for workers and occupants. In addition, lead pollution hazards will be significantly reduced for the people of Shoubra El Kheima.

Following the conduct of site characterization studies, a short list of remediation alternatives was developed.

Environmental Setting

The population in the Hai Shark (East District) of Shoubra El Kheima increased from 454,000 in 1996 to 536,900 in 2001 with an annual population growth rate of 3.7 percent. This annual growth rate is higher than in other parts of Shoubra El Kheima, the GOQ, or in Egypt as a whole.
The population of concern is 182,096 residents in the study area, which is defined as a circle with a radius of one kilometer around the Awadallah Secondary Lead Smelter No. 1. The population inhabiting this area is distributed among four administrative subdistricts: Mostorod, Bahteem, Masaken El Amiria, and El Zawya El Hamra. Approximately 20 percent of the population in Mostorod, Bahteem, and El Zawya El Hamra and 80 percent of the population in Masaken El Amiria are located within the study area. Table 3.4 presents population estimates for the study area, including estimates for the children seven years old and under and the females of reproductive age sub-populations that are at highest risk from lead contamination.

The secondary lead smelter to be remediated is located in mixed industrial, residential, and agricultural land uses. The smelter is located within the Nile River flood plain. The topography of the area is almost flat with an average elevation of 17 meters above mean sea level. The climate of the site is considered arid with annual rainfall of approximately 25 millimeters per year.

The general area of the smelter is underlain by two hydrogeologic units, an upper silt and clay layer beneath which is an alluvial aquifer. The top of the water table is from five to six meters below the ground surface. The groundwater flow direction trends to the NNW consistent with the flow direction of the Ismailia Canal. Seasonal variations in flow direction are negligible as the canal is maintained at near the same level throughout the year. Generally, the aquifer in Shoubra El Kheima is used by local industries and is not a drinking source. Potable water is provided to the residents by the GOQ. The Ismailia Canal is a source of recharge to the aquifer as well as a source of drinking water in other areas of the GOQ.

Air pollution in Shoubra El Kheima is mainly a result of industrial activities and vehicle traffic. Particulate matter (PM) and lead monitoring results were obtained from 36 sites in the Greater Cairo Metropolitan Area from October 1998 to July 1999. The results indicated that the highest PM10, PM2.5, and lead concentrations were observed in the industrial areas of Shoubra El Kheima.

The project site is located within the urban landscape matrix of Greater Cairo, parallel to the Ismailia Canal. The project area, which can be considered as a man made environment, appears to have little ecological significance and low biodiversity due to the immense alteration of the natural ecology. The most important ecological feature is Ismailia Canal that runs as a corridor to the south of the project site.

**Impact Assessment**

The Government of Egypt (GOE) is currently developing an industrial relocation plan for industries located in residential areas that have a significant negative impact on public health and the environment. The project is consistent with those planning efforts, as the secondary lead smelters were some of the initial industries identified in the GOE industrial relocation plan.

The remediation of the secondary lead smelters will have a positive impact on the residents of Shoubra El Kheima. The positive impacts include the following:

- Employment benefits for the local community during the period of site remediation.
Initiation of new hazardous waste site remediation businesses.

Improvement of the environmental quality (i.e., air, soil, and water quality) in the area, due to elimination of lead health exposure pathways.

Improvement in the quality of life.

Appreciation of land values in Shoubra El Kheima.

Minimal or negligible negative impacts are expected in the following areas:

- Air Quality (i.e., fugitive dusts and gaseous emissions).
- Noise.
- Traffic.
- Soil quality along transportation routes to disposal sites could be negatively impacted if transported waste is not properly covered.
- Potential risks to workers health and safety associated with remediation activities.

Surface, groundwater, and sediment samples taken within the vicinity of the smelter site tested for lead indicated lead concentrations below the legal limits with the exception of one sample collected from a shallow groundwater monitoring well adjacent to the Ismailia Canal. Careful control of the remediation activities and periodic monitoring will ensure no alteration to such status.

No major negative impacts on natural, physical, or economic resources were identified during the development of this EIA. No cross-sectoral or cumulative impacts have been identified.

**Comparison of Alternatives**

The No-Action Alternative represents further increase in lead pollution hazards for the population of Shoubra El- Kheima and the environment. Exposure to lead contamination from secondary smelting may cause a wide variety of adverse health effects, ranging from reduction in the intelligence quotient of children to kidney cancer. Lead emissions are of particular concern for women of childbearing age and children under seven years of age. Children and young adults in areas that have been contaminated by smelter emissions can be exposed to lead through inhaling or ingesting dust and soil. Fugitive dust from the smelter and the surrounding area can be wind blown and deposited on uncovered food and water and subsequently ingested.

The project will improve air quality in Shoubra El Kheima through the removal of contaminated lead dust. Improvement of soil, and surface water and groundwater quality within the area will result from the remediation activities. In the absence of significant negative impacts, the proposed remediation actions will offer substantial benefits relative to the No-Action Alternative.
Management, Mitigation, and Monitoring

Mitigation measures will be developed to control potential negative impacts to the environment due to the remediation process. Mitigation measures may include the following:

- Dust generation control.
- Noise abatement.
- Onsite storage and handling of hazardous materials.
- Mitigate potential impacts on traffic through traffic control planning.
- Health and safety protection will be enforced on workers.

Monitoring of the following will be undertaken during the remediation activities:

- Air quality (i.e., ambient and workplace).
- Noise.
- Soil.
- Surface water and groundwater quality.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In 1994, a comparative risk analysis study funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) ranked lead contamination as one of Egypt’s most serious environmental problems. Consequently, more effort was exerted to identify sources of human exposure to lead in Egypt and potential mitigation measures, the Lead Exposure Abatement Plan (LEAP), and to define activities to reduce the threat of secondary smelters, the Lead Smelter Action Plan (LSAP).

Beginning in 1997, the Cairo Air Improvement Program (CAIP), focused efforts on the area of Shoubra El Kheima by implementing the LSAP, relocating and upgrading smelters, supporting policy dialogue to reduce demand for lead-based products, and beginning to investigate contamination at smelter sites following relocation and closure. An early CAIP study indicated that there were 22 lead smelters the Governorates of Cairo, Giza, and Qalyoubia, which represent the majority of secondary lead smelting operations in Egypt.

In addition, the Egyptian Environmental Policy Program (EEPP, 2003) addressed contaminated smelter sites, as well as prohibited smelting activities and forced proper smelter site clean-ups in Shoubra El Kheima. The investigations showed that, the Awadallah family owned several facilities in Shoubra El Kheima and is responsible for an estimated 65 percent of the national production. Lead smelter facilities in this neighborhood were also heavily contaminated with lead and other metals.

Lead concentrations of more than 60 percent by weight were found in some of the soil samples during CAIP investigations at an Awadallah site. In addition, the areas surrounding the smelter facilities, including nearby streets, play areas, homes, and other buildings, were heavily contaminated with lead and other metals. A health risk assessment and community health study to measure lead levels in blood demonstrated the severity of the problem. In the worst areas, 80 percent of the children and 100 percent of the adults had blood lead concentrations exceeding levels that are considered to be safe.

Given the substantial health threat posed by lead contamination in Qalyoubia and opportunities to involve the community in mitigating the problem, USAID designed a lead pollution clean-up component under its Livelihood and Income from the Environment Program (LIFE).

1.2 LIFE-Lead Project

Lead contamination from secondary lead smelters in Shoubra El Kheima, Qalyoubia poses serious health impacts for the residents living near the smelters. To address this problem, the USAID and the Government of Egypt (GOE) designed a lead clean-up component under the Livelihood and Income from the Environment Program (LIFE). The clean-up project is called LIFE Lead Pollution Clean-up in Qalyoubia (LIFE-Lead). Life-Lead is being implemented by Millennium Science & Engineering, Inc. in association with Chemonics International (MSE/Chemonics).

The goal of the project is to remediate lead pollution in five secondary lead smelter sites (Awadallah Nos. 1, 2, and 3, El Mahy, and Seoudi Smelters) and the El Shahid Ahmed
Shaalan School located within the lead smelters area of Shoubra El Kheima. This will help reducing risks to human health and the environment by lowering lead contamination to acceptable levels. In addition to site remediation, the project includes activities in community involvement and public participation, communication, capacity building, and policy/legal support.

Several governmental and non-governmental entities are directly or indirectly involved in the implementation of the project activities. Governmental entities include the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA), the Governorate of Qalyoubia (GOQ), and the Ministries of Health, Education, and Industry. Non-governmental organizations include the Community Development Associations, the Integrated Care Society, the National Council for Women, the private sector, and the media.

1.3 Scope of the EIA

This Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) focuses on the remediation activities that will take place in the Seoudi Smelter. A number of proposed remediation alternatives are compared with respect to their environmental impact in order to select the option with the least impacts and maximum long term benefits. The selected option is subjected to more detailed analysis with the aim of evaluating its potential environmental impact. In addition, a set of mitigation and monitoring measures are recommended to minimize the impact of the selected remediation alternative on the environment.

The assessment covers the proposed on-site clean up/remediation activities (e.g., structure cleaning, soil excavation inside the smelter sites); as well as the transportation of the generated waste to the appropriate waste disposal sites. The scope of the EIA does not include assessment of final waste disposal activities since the contaminated waste will be disposed in a licensed hazardous waste landfill and the non-contaminated waste will be disposed in a licensed sanitary landfill. These landfills are designed and managed according to the type of waste that they are licensed to receive.

This EIA involved a public consultation process, where the concerned stakeholders were consulted at the scoping stage to identify their concerns towards project implementation. All issues and concerns, relevant to the remediation activities, raised during public consultation were considered in the EIA.
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Location of Seoudi Smelter

All of the sites that will be included in the Life-Lead remediation project are located near the southern border of the GOQ in the Hai Shark (East District) of the City of Shoubra El Kheima as shown in Exhibit 2.1.

Exhibit 2.1: Locations of the Contaminated Sites under Investigation

2.2 Description of Seoudi Site

The Seoudi Smelter is located in a mixed industrial and residential area on Decorama Street just south of Ismailia Canal Road. Land use in the vicinity of the smelter is a mixture of industrial and residential. However, agricultural land is located within 0.5 kilometers of the smelter. About 250 meters to the east of the site is the Awadallah Smelter No. 2.

The Seoudi Smelter began operations in the late 1980’s on land leased from the El Araby family. It became one of the main sources of lead pollution in the project area. The 305 m² smelter site included one rotary furnace (Exhibit 2.2). The furnace was not designed according to environmental standards. The filter on the furnace was not properly designed to regulate emissions from the smelter according to the Law 4/1994 requirements. The operation of the smelter was out of compliance with the law, in that the furnace was charged with whole batteries that had not been broken and with the lead separated from their plastic covers. As a result, the smelter was shut-down by the GOQ in 2001 and the land was
returned to the El Araby family. At the time of the closure, the smelter equipment was removed from the site.

2.3 Proposed Remediation Activities

Site Characterization Studies were conducted by LIFE-Lead at the Seoudi Smelter during the winter of 2004. The site characterizations indicated that lead contamination levels in the smelters exceeded the recommended clean-up levels developed by LIFE-Lead and the EEAA in exposed structures such as walls and windows (Life-Lead Site Characterization Study, 2005). Findings of this study are summarized in Chapter 4.

Based on the collected baseline information; site characterization; laws and regulations; future use of the site; and within a national and international policy context (i.e., Law 4/1994 and USAID requirements); a long list of remediation alternatives was proposed to remediate the project sites (Appendix A).

The proposed long list of remediation alternatives, developed for different contaminated media, were subjected to further analysis to identify a short list of remediation techniques that could remediate the site to the required standards and reduce risks to human health and the environment. The USEPA Evaluation Criteria (USEPA, 1994) were used as a base for this remediation method selection process. These criteria are in compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements, long-term effectiveness and performance; reduction of toxicity; mobility or volume; short-term effectiveness; implementability, and cost.

2.3.1 Construction Activities

Four remediation alternatives were developed for the Seoudi site. Alternative 3 is the recommended alternative and consists of the following major activities:

- Implement institutional and engineering controls.
- Site preparation, placement of asphalt layer lined by crushed and compacted limestone at the entrance to minimize drag in and out.
- Construction of decontamination station.
  - A Decontamination Station will be constructed for workers at the smelter. It consists of a clean room, shower area, a dirty room, and water collection and filtration system. Used water will be piped to sediment tanks or barrels. Disposable towels will be provided. Workers will wear impermeable type coveralls (DuPont Tyvek or similar) that are to be disposed daily as hazardous waste.
  - Water in the Decontamination Station will be collected in a sedimentation tank, filtered, and stored in a filtration tank prior to disposal in the municipal sewage system or as hazardous waste at the Alexandria Hazardous Waste Landfill. Water samples will be analyzed before discharge to meet the requirements of the appropriate Egyptian Law.
Exhibit 2.2:

Location of Operations inside Seoudi

- Iron Chips & Soda Ash
- Bathroom
- Admin
- Gate
- Battery Breaking
- Rotary Furnace
- Production Area
- Filter
- BH1
- BH2

Groundwater Flow
- The filtration systems will be of sufficient size to accommodate the quantity of water from the Decontamination Station and other project related activities.

- Wall decontamination using HEPA vacuum and high-pressure water machines with TSP detergent.

- West wall and interior building demolition.

- Collection and testing of all debris using an XRF. Hazardous debris shall be disposed in the Alexandria Hazardous Waste Landfill, while non-hazardous material shall be disposed in the Abu Zaabal Landfill.

- Contaminated soil excavation.
  - Excavation will range from 0.5 to 3 m deep depending on the location within the smelter site.
  - The excavated soil shall be hauled and disposed in the Alexandria Hazardous Waste Landfill. Non-leachable soil shall be disposed in Abu Zaabal Landfill.
  - Large oversized or dissimilar debris (i.e., bricks, wire, steel, concrete, etc) will be separated from soils and considered for onsite decontamination.

- Backfilling and compaction of the excavated areas with clean fill material.

- Reconstruction of the west wall.

- Wall encapsulation with padded plaster paint (tartasha mamsousa).

- Placement of impermeable concrete hard cap inside the facility.

The remediation activities at the Seoudi site will commence in January 2006 and will require approximately twelve weeks to complete.

2.3.2 Operation and Maintenance

The proposed remediation action possesses good short-term effectiveness when building surfaces are properly prepared. Long-term effectiveness will depend on coating maintenance and ability to control dust from outside sources, and/or occupants education/training. With limited clean-up offsite and capping, long-term effectiveness will be protective of worker health and safety in the facility under the proposed new use.

2.4 Waste Transportation Routes

Contractors will be responsible for transportation of waste generated from the remediation actions. The non-hazardous waste generated from clean-up activities will be disposed in the Abu Zaabal Landfill. The waste will travel from Shoubra El Kheima to Abu Zaabal along the Ismailia Canal Road for approximately 25 km. The route passes through agricultural land and next to industrial sites and the Abu Zaabal Prison near the landfill (Exhibit 2.5).
The hazardous waste will be disposed in the Alexandria Hazardous Waste Landfill. The waste will travel from Shoubra El Kheima to Nasereya along the Ring Road and then the Alexandria Desert Road for approximately 250 km. The route crosses the Nile River and passes through agricultural land in route to the landfill (Exhibit 2.3).
Exhibit 2.3: Transportation Routes to Alexandria and Abu Zaabal Waste Disposal Sites
3. BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

3.1 Physical Environment

3.1.1 Climate

According to meteorological records, Table 3.1 and data from the Climatic Atlas of Egypt, EMA, (1996), the climatic features of the project area are characterized by the following:

- The annual mean air temperature is approximately 19.9 °C and the average monthly temperature reaches its maximum value in July and August (26.9 °C) and its minimum value in January (11.2 °C).

- The average annual relative humidity is approximately 68 percent, and the average monthly relative humidity reaches its maximum value in December (81 percent) and its minimum value in May (53 percent).

- Rainfall is very limited. The average annual rainfall is about 25.5 mm. The majority of the rainfall is limited to three months (December through February), with the highest in December. Annual rain days are very few and storms occur occasionally and are usually of short duration.

- The dominant winds over the year have a northern component with an annual mean velocity of 12.27 km/h. The dominant winds over the winter season trend SSW, S, and SW. The affecting dominant winds over the summer period are multidirectional and trend NNW, N, and NNE. In transitional periods (spring and autumn), the winds trend dominantly in N and NNE directions.

The wind roses, Exhibit 3.1, represent the percentage ratio of the frequencies of occurrence of wind (the length of the column) blowing from a certain direction. The different parts (with different colors and widths) of the column represent the wind speed range in knots. The number in the circle represents the percentage ratio of calm wind frequency multiplied by 10. Table 3.2 gives the distribution of wind direction throughout the year.

3.1.2 Air Quality and Noise

Air Quality

During the period October 1998 to July 1999, high particulate matter PM 10, PM 2.5, and lead were detected from air samples (CAIP, 2002). Particulate matter and lead were monitored in 36 sites in Greater Cairo. The results showed that, in the industrial area of Shoubra El Kheima, the highest mean inhalable PM was found to be 313 μg/m³ exceeding the allowable limit of Law 4/1994 (70 μg/m³) by more than 4 times. Lead concentrations of 26 μg/m³ were recorded which also exceeded Law 4/1994 annual average of 1.0 μg/m³.

In 2004, air quality in the Shoubra El Kheima industrial area was improved, where the mean PM 10 levels dropped to 178 μg/m³. Also, lead levels dropped to 1.02 μg/m³ which almost meets the Law 4/1994 annual average of 1.0 μg/m³ (EEPP, 2004).
### Table 3.1: Meteorological Records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Daily Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Daily Relative Humidity (%)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Wind Speed (km/h)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevailing Wind Direction</td>
<td>SSW</td>
<td>SSW</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>NNE</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Total: 25.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Egyptian Meteorological Authority, 1996
Exhibit 3.1: Mean Monthly Wind Roses Recorded at the Cairo Station
(Egyptian Meteorological Authority, 1996)
Table 3.2: Distribution of Wind Direction throughout the Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind Direction</th>
<th>Velocity (Km/hr)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calm Wind</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-east</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-east</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-west</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-west</td>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Wind Velocity</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.27</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Noise

A noise survey was carried out for the project area to acquire baseline data. The level of noise was measured around the Seoudi smelter (Exhibit 3.2).

Measurements were taken during the day, evening, and night as per the requirements of Law 4/1994. Each reading was repeated 3 times to reflect different local conditions (e.g., no traffic, and heavy traffic). The results of the survey are presented in Table 3.3. The following can be concluded:

- Noise readings were generally within the legal limits during periods of low and light traffic throughout the day.
- During periods of heavy traffic, the noise levels exceeded the limits, regardless of the time of the day.
- Noise readings taken during the daytime and evening were higher than night readings due to the presence of traffic.
- Noise levels detected at the existing noise sources (factories and workshops) were found to be higher than those detected at the existing receptors (residential areas).
- Noise readings were highest along the Ismailia Canal Road.

3.1.3 Topography, Geology, and Hydrogeology Characteristics

The site where the project is located is within the flood plain of the Nile River. The topography of the area is almost flat with an average altitude of 17 m above mean sea level. The area, in general, is a part of the Northern tip of the Nile Delta and alluvial plain, which consists of silty and sandy clay deposits (Holocene-Q3) that overlay the graded sand and gravel Pleistocene aquifer (Pleistocene-Q1). The main aquifer belongs to the Quaternary formation that is a Nile River recharged formation. The Holocene (Q3) layer is about 15 m thick and the thickness of the Pleistocene (Q1) is not definitely known but extends beyond 200 m deep. The layers forming the aquifer can be classified into the following (Exhibit 3.3):
Exhibit 3.2: Noise Monitoring Locations around the Seoudi Smelter
Table 3.3: Results of Baseline Noise Monitoring Survey around Seoudi Smelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Measured Noise Level, dB(A) and Noise Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 am – 6 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awadallah Smelter No. 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Illegal Housing Area South of Awadallah Smelter No. 2</td>
<td>57, 60, 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Decorama North of Awadallah Smelter No. 2</td>
<td>56, 60, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seoudi Smelter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 South of the Seoudi Smelter</td>
<td>53, 61, 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 West of the Seoudi Smelter</td>
<td>55, 60, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Limit for Dwelling Zone Including Workshops or Public Road</td>
<td>50-60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A clay cap that is the surface layer over the aquifer were formed from clay precipitants that belong to the Holocene Era. The thickness of this layer ranges from 2 to 10 m. This semi-permeable layer allows for water penetration to the aquifer. The vertical permeability of this layer depends on several conditions including the following:
  - The permeability factor to the vertical direction.
  - The thickness of the surface layer.
  - The layer sequence.
  - Piezometric pressure difference between the groundwater and the free water surface level in the clay layer.

- Sand and gravel layers of the aquifer follow the contour of the surface layer. The thickness of this layer ranges from 100 to 130 m. Previous studies indicated that the average hydraulic conductivity is 30 m/day and the average transmissivity is 1,000 m²/day.

- The lower clay layer, where it exists, below the aquifer consists of very rigid clay and is considered to be impermeable.

The main groundwater flow is from the south to the north and from west to east. There are some secondary movements due to some depletion in the groundwater level due to excessive pumping. The main sources of groundwater recharge in the Study Area are the Nile River and the Ismailia Canal. Seepage from the sewage system and drainage networks are the secondary recharge sources. The groundwater discharge is mainly from the groundwater wells.

Underlying the smelters and the school there are two hydrogeologic units, an upper silt and clay layer, and a major alluvial aquifer. The groundwater depth is between 5 and 6 m below the ground surface (Exhibit 3.4). Two hundred meters to the south of the site is the Ismailia Canal, which is a source of recharge to the aquifer.
Exhibit 3.3: Thickness of the Silty Clay Layer underneath Seoudi Smelter

3.1.4 Water Supply and Quality

Groundwater Supply

Shoubra El Kheima--

Generally, the aquifer in Shoubra El Kheima is highly productive. The aquifer is continuously recharged from the Ismailia Canal and from the Nile River, the contribution of rainfall to the recharge of the aquifer is minor. The groundwater is highly abstracted in this area. Exhibit 3.5 shows the hydrological map of Shoubra El Kheima. The industrial water wells are used only for industrial purposes and not a potable water source. Potable water is provided to all residents and industries by the GOQ.

Abu Zaabal--

The aquifer in the Abu Zaabal area is highly to moderately productive consisting of quaternary graded sand and intercalated by clay lenses. The aquifer is occasionally recharged from rainfall, surface runoff, and/or irrigation water.

At the landfill, the area is formed of non-aquiferous ingeous or metamorphic rocks and non aquiferous clastics consisting of tertiary clay and shale. Local groundwater occurs in fissured and weathered zones. The main lithology in the area of the landfill is either coarse sands and gravel with limestone interbeded (Miocene "Tm") or basalt (Oligocene "To"). Exhibit 3.6 shows the hydrological map of Abu Zaabal.
Surface Water Supply

The nearest surface water to the project site is the Ismailia Canal which is located within 100 meters of the smelters. The Ismailia Canal is a source of recharge to the aquifer as well as a source of drinking water.

Groundwater Quality

A water and sediment sampling program was carried out at Shoubra El Kheima and Abu Zaabal. The main objective was to evaluate current baseline groundwater and surface water conditions, primarily water quality and heavy metals contamination, in the vicinity of the proposed sites to be remediated and around the Abu Zaabal Landfill. Groundwater samples were collected according to the USEPA low-flow groundwater sampling procedures (EPA/540/S-95/504). The sampling program was performed under the supervision of the Quality Assurance Manager of Life-Lead. Samples collected were as follows:

- Eight samples were collected from six wells in the Abu Zaabal area, six samples in addition to a duplicate and a cross reference.
- Three surface water samples were collected from two locations in the Ismailia Canal, two samples in addition to a cross reference.
- Four sediment samples were collected from three locations in the Ismailia Canal, three samples in addition to a duplicate.
- Twenty five samples were collected from twenty one wells in the Shoubra El Kheima area, twenty one samples in addition to two duplicates and two cross-references.
Additionally, three spikes were sent to the laboratories for quality assurance. Two spikes were sent to Ain Shams University-Reference Laboratory (ASU-RL) and one spike to Egyptian Mineral Resources Authority (EMRA) Laboratory.

Exhibit 3.5: The Hydrological Map of Shoubra El-Kheima

Exhibit 3.6: The Hydrological Map of Abu Zaabal

Forty-three samples were sent to the laboratory for analysis, 39 water samples and 4 sediment samples. Locations of water samples are provided in Appendix B, Exhibit 1.

Water Quality Analysis Results--

On-site water quality analyses were performed during sample collection for all groundwater and surface water samples. The water quality data for the collected surface and groundwater samples are shown in Appendix B, Table 1.
Groundwater Samples at Shoubra El Kheima--

Twenty wells representing the vicinity of the six sites were selected. Additionally, a well was selected about one kilometer upstream, in terms of ground water flow, to the above-mentioned sites representing a blank sample. Of the 21 wells, 19 wells were productive and equipped with water pumping installations. Water was pumped for an identified period of time prior to samples collection to ensure that samples represent the aquifer. The other two were monitoring wells installed during the Cairo Air Improvement Project. Appendix B, Table 2 provides groundwater sample analysis results from Shoubra El Kheima.

Groundwater Samples at Abu Zaabal--

Six wells were identified and sampled at the Abu Zaabal disposal site and the surrounding area. Appendix B, Table 3 provides groundwater sample analysis results at Abu Zaabal disposal site.

Surface Water Samples from the Ismailia Canal--

Two locations were identified for surface water sample collection. The first location was about 200 meters upstream the Awadallah Smelter No. 2, and the second location was 200 meters downstream the El Mahy Smelter. Appendix B, Table 4 provides surface water sample analysis results.

Sediment Samples from the Ismailia Canal--

Three locations were identified for sediment sample collection. The first location was about 200 meters upstream of the Awadallah Smelter No. 2, the second location was in front of the El Shahid Ahmed Shaalan School and Awadallah Smelter No. 1, and the third location was 200 meters downstream of the El Mahy Smelter. Appendix B, Table 5 provides sediment sample analysis results.

**Water Sampling and Analysis Findings**

The following provides a summary of the groundwater sampling from Shoubra El Kheima:

- pH and nitrate concentrations in all sample were within the permissible limits set in Law 48 and its executive regulations.

- Ammonia concentration in all samples was above the legal limits.

- Lead concentrations in all samples collected were below the legal limits, with the exception of the sample collected from the willow monitoring well (6m) at the Ismailia Canal reading a higher concentration (0.2089 mg/l) compared to the legal limits (0.05 mg/l)

The following provides a summary of the groundwater sampling conducted at Abu Zaabal:

- TDS concentrations in samples collected from the disposal site (sample AZ101) and the neighbouring settlement (samples 201, 202) were very high (4,078 and 6,336 mg/l).
These wells were drilled in rocky areas, limestone in the disposal site, and basalt in the neighbouring settlement.

- pH, alkalinity, and nitrate concentrations in all samples were within the permissible limits set in Law 48 and its executive regulations.
- Ammonia concentration in all samples was above the legal limits.
- Lead concentrations in all samples were below the legal limits.

The following provides a summary of the surface water sampling from the Ismailia Canal:

- pH, TDS, and nitrate concentrations in all samples were within the permissible limits set in Law 48 and its executive regulations.
- Ammonia concentration in all samples was above the legal limits.
- Increased alkalinity concentration in the downstream sample.
- Lead concentrations in all samples were far below the legal limits.

Lead concentrations in the sediment samples from the Ismailia Canal were very low and no legal limits are set for soil contamination.

### 3.2 Biological Environment

#### 3.2.1 Terrestrial Ecology

The project site is located within the urban landscape matrix of Greater Cairo, parallel to the Ismailia Canal. In general, there are no significant habitats within the project area of influence. Vegetation, an important ecological indicator, is found far from this area. The only and most important ecological feature is the Ismailia Canal that runs as a corridor to the south of the project site. The Ismailia Canal bank on the project site is used as a plant nursery with many different species of plants. Some plant species grow along the bank slope.

The project area, which can be considered as a man made environment, appears to have little ecological significance and low biodiversity due to the immense alteration of the natural ecology. In these areas, only plants and animals that tolerate urban pressures and that can live close to man are found (EEAA, 1993). None of these appear to be of conservational or ecological importance.

#### 3.2.2 Aquatic Ecology

The following fish species are recorded in the Ismailia Canal around the project site: Oreochromis spp, Tilapia zillii, Anguilla anguilla, Clarias gariepinus, Heterobranchus spp, Lates niloticus and Synodontis clarias. In the vicinity of the project site, only recreational fishing is practiced. Interviews with the local community indicated that the catch is generally low and that no commercial fishing takes place near the site.
3.3 Socio-economic Conditions

The Seoudi Smelter is located in Hai East in the area of Shoubra El Kheima. It is located in a mixed industrial and residential area.

3.3.1 Demography

The population in Hai Shark (East District) of Shoubra El Kheima increased from 454,000 in 1996 to 536,900 in 2001 with an annual population growth rate of 3.7 percent. This annual growth rate is higher than in the city, governorate, or in Egypt as a whole.

The population of concern is 182,096 residents in the immediate Study Area, which is defined as a circle with a radius of one kilometer around the Awadallah Smelter No. 1. The population inhabiting this area is distributed among four administrative subdistricts: Mostorod, Bahteem, Masaken El Amiria, and El Zawya El Hamra. Approximately 20 percent of the population in Mostorod, Bahteem, and El Zawya El Hamra and 80 percent of the population in Masaken El Amiria are located within the Study Area. Table 3.4 presents population estimates for the Study Area including estimates for some of the sub-populations that are at highest risk from lead contamination.

Table 3.4: Estimated Population in the Study Area by Age and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mostorod</th>
<th>Bahteem</th>
<th>Masaken El Amiria</th>
<th>El Zawya El Hamra</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>17,946</td>
<td>72,845</td>
<td>40,097</td>
<td>51,208</td>
<td>182,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children up to 7 years old</td>
<td>4,845</td>
<td>19,668</td>
<td>10,826</td>
<td>13,826</td>
<td>49,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females of reproductive age (15 – 49 years)</td>
<td>4,487</td>
<td>18,211</td>
<td>10,024</td>
<td>12,802</td>
<td>45,524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Economic Activities and Employment

The major economic activities in Hai Shark are industry and services (UNDP HDR, 2003). Nearly 45 percent of the labor force in the Hai Shark is in the industrial sector, 43 percent in the services sector, and 12 percent in the agricultural sector. One third of the total labor force and one half of the women in the labor force work for the government or in the public sector (which cuts across the other three sectors). The labor force comprised 25.2 percent of the total population in the Hai Shark in 2001. Men comprise 88.7 percent of the labor force, and most people in the labor force are wage earners (78.8 percent).

Overall unemployment in the Hai Shark is relatively low (5.6 percent of the labor force), but is twice as high for women (12 percent) and adults from ages 15 to 29 (11.2 percent). However, all of these unemployment rates are lower than the rates for the City of Shoubra El Kheima, the Governorate of Qalyoubia, and Egypt as a whole.
3.3.3 Quality of Life Indices

Life Expectancy and Mortality Rates

According to official data, life expectancy at birth for Hai Shark in 2001 was 68.0 years. Such average shows to be slightly higher than Shoubra El Kheima (67.6 yr) and total of Egypt (67.1 yr), but is still lower than total Governorate (68.5 yr). Infant mortality and maternal mortality rates for Hai Shark are 12.7 per 1,000 and 22.0 per 100,000, respectively. Infant mortality and maternal mortality rates for Shoubra El Kheima are 12.7 per 1,000 and 23.0 per 100,000, respectively, and are significantly lower than the total Governorate of 17.7 per 1,000 and 30.3 per 100,000, respectively.

Infant mortality measures suffer from under-registration. Therefore, the registered and adjusted infant and under 5 mortality rates are calculated. Registered infant mortality rates in Hai Shark reached 12.3 per 1,000 births in 2001; this was adjusted to 12.7 in 2000. While registered under 5 mortality rates reached 19.5 per 1,000 births in 2001 and were adjusted to 20.0 in 2000.

Maternal Care and Child Survival

As registered in 2001, more than half of pregnant women in Hai Shark and Shoubra El Kheima City get prenatal care (52.4 and 52.9 percent, respectively). Births in Hai Shark and Shoubra El Kheima were under the supervision of health personnel 56.3 and 56.9 percent of the time, respectively. Records indicate that approximately 87.1 and 87.9 percent of children born were breastfed in Hai Shark and Shoubra Al Kheima City. Such rates are lower than the total of the Governorate and Egypt. In contrast, data indicates that only 2.7 and 2.9 percent of children under 5 in Hai Shark and Shoubra Al Kheima City are underweight compared to 3.6 and 8.8 percent in total for the Governorate and Egypt.

3.3.4 Transportation and Support Services

Data on the road infrastructure is only available from Shoubra El Kheima City. Available information illustrates that a total of 121 km of paved roads covers 12 km of highways, 17 km of main roads, 28 km of regional roads, and 64 km of internal roads. Per capita of paved roads is estimated at 7,605 people/km. Unpaved roads cover a total of 178 km (IDSC, 1998).

In the area of Shoubra El Kheima, there is only one water plant. While total water production rates reach 200,000 m$^3$/day, the total consumed amount is approximately 190,000 m$^3$/day. Domestic water consumption per capita is estimated to be 190.18 liters/day (IDSC, 1998).

With respect to sanitation, there are seven sanitation plants in the area of Shoubra El Kheima. Total capacity of these plants is estimated at 350 thousand m$^3$/day, with a total per capita of 380 liters/day (IDSC, 1998). In 2001, households with access to sanitation reached 98.7 percent of the total population (EHDR, 2003).
3.3.5 Education, Health, and Social Services

Literacy and Education Levels

Total and female literacy rates (15+) in Hai Shark reached 72.6 and 62.8 percent, respectively. In Shoubra El Kheima City, the total and female literacy rates are 75.7 and 66.6 percent, respectively, and are relatively higher than total Governorate and total of Egypt in 2001. However, gross enrollment ratios in primary, preparatory, and secondary schools in Hai Shark remain lower than the average in the City, Governorate, and Egypt in 2000/2001. More than 23 percent of the Hai Shark population has secondary or higher education that is similar to the total in Egypt.

School Enrollment

Official data for Hai Shark in 2000/2001 shows that gross enrollment ratio in 1st, 2nd, and 3rd level is 33.2 percent. On the other hand, the basic and secondary enrollment ratio is 72.6 percent for all students and 68.8 percent for females. With the absence of Azhar Schools in Hai Shark, 91.9 percent of the total pupils go to governmental schools and only 8.1 percent go to private schools. Secondary technical enrollment represents 74.1 percent of the total secondary enrollment (EHDR, 2003).

Education Imbalances

According to the official data for Hai Shark in 2000/2001, class density is 39.9 pupils in primary schools with a rate of 21.6 pupils per teacher. Imbalances in preparatory schools are much higher as class density reaches 56.1 pupils with a total of 33.1 pupils per teacher. Moreover, a total of 7.3 percent of all school buildings are completely unfit and in need of repair (EHDR, 2003).

Education Services

Data on education services in terms of the number of schools, institutes, classes, pupils, and teachers is only available on the Shoubra El Kheima City level. There are about 78 public primary and 45 public preparatory schools in Shoubra El Kheima City (IDSC, 1998). These schools include a total of 182,884 pupils enrolled in basic education. In addition, a total of 45,716 pupils are enrolled in secondary schools.

Health Services

Health services in Hai Shark and Shoubra El Kheima City fall within the average of the total for the Governorate. The exception is the number of physicians and nurses per 10,000 people, which shows to be significantly higher in the Governorate and the total for Egypt. The nurse/physician ratio in Hai Shark and Shoubra El Kheima City is 238.8 percent and is significantly lower than the ratio in total for the Governorate which is 287.6 percent. However, the ratio is significantly higher than the ratio for Egypt which was 224.4 percent in 2001.

On the other hand, the Information Decision Support Cabinet (IDSC), Statistical Book of 1998 indicates a wide range of health services in Shoubra El Kheima City. These include different public centers, units, offices, praxes, laboratories, and hospitals affiliated to the
Ministry of Health with a total of 69 units. At the same time, 60 of the total are considered day care centers with no facilities for inpatients, while only 4 are equipped for inpatients (IDSC, 1998).

Social Services

There are almost 10 social units in Shoubra El Kheima City, where the ratio of units per 1,000 people reached was 92.02 in 1998. The total number of Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs) reached about 127 organizations, where the ratio of organizations per 1,000 people reached was 7.25 in the same year. Activities undertaken by NGOs includes a total of 172 “productive family projects” (i.e., income generation enterprises for poor households), 4 women clubs, 47 nurseries, and 3 children clubs.

Youth centers in the Shoubra El Kheima City are estimated at 12 centers, 10 sport clubs, and one sport committee. The ratio of total youth organizations per 1,000 people reached 40.01 in 1998. These organizations provide various activities in the field of culture, religion, camping, arts, and public works.

Religious Services

The Information Decision Support Cabinet (IDSC) data shows that there were 39 governmental mosques and 183 civil mosques in 1998. These Exhibits indicate active involvement of the civil society in the area. The number of churches in the city was estimated at 7 institutions in 1998 (IDSC, 1998).
4. SITE CHARACTERIZATION

Site Characterization Studies were conducted by LIFE-Lead at the Seoudi Smelter during the winter of 2004. The vertical and horizontal extent of lead contamination at the Seoudi Secondary Lead Smelter site has been delineated to levels sufficient to develop a remedial action plan.

4.1 Results of Site Characterization

Results of the site characterizations of the Seoudi Smelter indicated the following:

- Lead levels detected in all of the surface soil samples collected from the smelter property exceeded the proposed site specific RBRG of 1,500 µg/g. Lead concentrations ranged from 42,945 to 409,020 µg/g (from 39 to 371 times greater than the proposed RBRG), with an average of 125,206 µg/g.

- Lead levels detected in the borehole samples exceeded the proposed site specific RBRG of 1,500 µg/g at several depths.
  - In the borehole at the vicinity of the battery storage area, lead levels exceeded the proposed RBRG up to a depth of 0.5 meter. Lead levels generally decrease with depth in this borehole due the existence of the concrete floor that covered this area.
  - In the borehole at the location of the furnace outlet lead levels exceeded the proposed RBRG from the surface to a depth of 1.5 meters, and again between the depths of 2.5 and 3.5 meters. The increase in lead levels at these depths may be attributable to slag burial.

- All of the dust wipe samples collected from the interior perimeter walls contained lead levels greater than 500 µg/ft². The sample from the east wall of the site, near where the furnace had been located, contained the highest concentration of lead dust (139,505 µg/ft²).

- The lead levels in the samples collected from inside the administration building were from 11 to 470 times greater than the contamination assessment level.

- Four of five surface soil samples collected in the streets and on the canal bank adjacent to the site contained lead that exceeded the proposed RBRG for residential areas of 400 µg/g. Lead levels ranged between 239 and 7,400 µg/g.

The BHHRA indicated that the non-carcinogenic HI for workers at the Seoudi Smelter is 40 and the carcinogenic risk to workers at this smelter is 7 x 10^-4. These health risks are due to lead, antimony, and arsenic. Blood lead modeling showed that 100 percent of the workers are expected to have blood lead levels greater than 10 µg/dl. These risk estimates indicate that corrective action is necessary.

Given these results, Life-Lead recommended the following:

- Elevated lead levels and the presence of other heavy metals in the soil on the smelter property should be remediated.
• Elevated lead dust levels detected on the interior perimeter walls and inside the administrative building should be remediated.

• Elevated lead levels detected in surface soils adjacent to the site boundary exceeding the residential RBRG for lead of 400 µg/g require further investigation.

4.2 Future Conditions Without the Project

Ceasing operation of the furnaces at the smelters was a major step in reducing the amount of lead emitted to the environment. However, this has only eliminated a portion of the smelters' impacts on the site and the surrounding community. Because lead does not dissipate, biodegrade, or decay, the lead deposited at the smelter site and in the surrounding community remains a source of lead exposure even after the smelter closure.

Chronic exposure to lead contamination may cause a wide variety of adverse health effects, ranging from reduction in the intelligence quotient of children to kidney cancer. Lead emissions are of particular concern for women of childbearing age and children under seven years of age. Children and young adults in areas that have been contaminated by smelter emissions can be exposed to lead through inhaling or ingesting dust and soil. Fugitive dust from the smelter and the surrounding area can be blown and deposited on uncovered food and water and subsequently ingested.

Without the project, the polluted media (dust, and structures) will act as potential sources of lead contamination causing further deterioration of the environmental quality of the area as well as the health quality of the residents and workers of Shoubra El Kheima.
5. POLICY, LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK

This section of the EIA summarizes national environmental legislation, regulations and guidelines as well as international guidelines, which are directly or indirectly relevant to the project. Firstly, the legislation pertaining to the execution of the EIA will be reviewed. Secondly, the national environmental regulations relevant to the project will be introduced.

5.1 Legislation Pertaining to the EIA

According to the Egyptian Law of the Environment, Law 4/1994 and its Executive Regulations (ERs), an EIA must be submitted with new projects and/or extension of existing facility licensing. Therefore, environmental requirements are integrated into the existing licensing system.

According to the law, the EIA must be submitted to the Competent Administrative Authority (CAA), under which project jurisdiction falls. The CAA should assess the environmental impacts of the project and send the EIA to the EEAA to issue its response within 60 days. If no response is received within 60 days, the study is automatically approved. The proponent is informed of the decision and, in the event of an approval, the requiring conditions for both construction and operation phases. The proponent has the right to issue an appeal within 30 days from the receipt of the decision. The EIA process and roles of key stakeholders are represented by Exhibit 5.1. The CAA for this project is the Governorate of Qalyoubia.

Proposed developments are classified into three categories according to the severity of potential environmental impacts. The three categories include the following:

- Category A: projects with minor environmental impacts.
- Category B: projects with substantial impacts.
- Category C: projects with high potential impacts

The EIA should be prepared according to the Egyptian Guidelines for EIAs (EEAA, 1996), which describe in detail the procedures for the preparation of an EIA.

This project is of a special nature since remediation and clean-up activities are not classified under the three EEAA categories. Life-Lead has therefore consulted the EIA Unit of EEAA. Based on the fact that remediation activities involved the handling, transportation, and disposal of contaminated material and the project area includes a number of sensitive receptors such as residential areas, schools, and the Ismailia Canal, this project was classified as Category C. A full environmental impact assessment was requested by EEAA.

5.2 National Environmental Regulations Pertaining to the Project

5.2.1 Air Quality

With respect to air quality, the Egyptian Law of the Environment (Law 4/1994) regulates the levels of different emissions released to the atmosphere. Article 40 of the Law and Article 42 of its Executive Regulations determine the maximum allowable limits for the concentrations of pollutants resulting from the burning of fuels.
Exhibit 5.1: The Environmental Assessment Process and Roles of Key Stakeholders

Tasks:
- Life-Lead Project & Smelter Owner
- EIA Consultant
- EEAA

Project Design and Alternatives

Environmental Baseline

Deciding on the Coverage of the EIA (Scoping)

PREPARATION OF THE EIA

Description of the project and Environment

Impact Prediction

Impact Significance

Mitigation

Reviewing the EIA

Decision Making

Monitoring Project Impacts

Public Meeting
In addition, Article 36 of Law 4/1994 and Article 37 of its Executive Regulations identify the maximum allowable limits for exhaust gases from machines, engines, and vehicles.

For ambient air pollutants, Article 35 of Law 4/1994 and Article 34 of its Executive Regulations determine the maximum allowable limits for those pollutants, Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Maximum Limits of Outdoor Air Pollutants
Appendix 5 of the Executive Regulations of Law 4/1994

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Maximum Limit</th>
<th>Exposure Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide (μg/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide (mg/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide (μg/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1 hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended Particles, measured as black smoke (μg/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Particles (μg/m3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10 (μg/m3)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb (μg/m3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2 Solid Waste

Similar to air quality, the Egyptian Law of the Environment regulates different activities associated with the management of solid waste. The collection and transportation of solid waste are regulated by Articles 37 of the Law 4/1994 and Articles 38 and 39 of the Executive Regulations.

Article 39 of Law 4/1994 and Article 41 of its Executive Regulations set the precautions to be taken during digging, construction, demolition, or transport of resulting waste and dust in order to avoid wafting.

Law 38/1967 is concerned with cleanliness and sanitation. Also, Law 38/1967 Executive Regulations (Decree 134/1968) regulates the collection, transportation, storage, and disposal of solid waste.

5.2.3 Noise

Noise is one of the impacts which is caused by different equipment used for remediating the project site. Therefore, it is important to check the maximum allowable sound level permitted by the Egyptian Law of the Environment, Law 4/1994.

Article 42 of Law 4/1994 and Article 44 of its Executive Regulations determine the maximum allowable limits for sound intensity. Tables 5.2 and 5.3 show the maximum allowable sound levels for different activities and the period of exposure in case of increasing noise level intensity over 90 dB (A), respectively.
Table 5.2: Maximum Allowable Sound Levels for Different Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type of Place/Activity</th>
<th>Maximum allowable sound level (decibel (A))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Work place with up to 8 hour shifts and aiming to limit noise hazards on sense of hearing</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Work place where acoustic signals and good audibility are required</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Work rooms for the follow up, measurement and adjustment of high performance operations</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Work rooms for computers, typewriters or similar equipment</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Work rooms for activities requiring routine mental concentration</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: Period of Exposure in Case of Increasing Noise Level Intensity over 90 dB (A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise intensity level dB (A)</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>105</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>115</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period of exposure (hour)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.4 Hazardous Substances and Waste

Hazardous waste (HW) management is addressed by Law 4/1994 for the Environment and its Executive Regulations, stipulating requirements to be implemented in order to ensure the safe handling of this type of waste. HW transportation is primarily addressed by point 3 of Article 28 of the Executive Regulations and is presented below:

- **HW Transport Permit (Article 28.3A - ER).** HW is to only be transported by transport operators possessing transport permit. In addition, HW is only to be transported in transport vehicles owned by entities/operators possessing transport permits.

- **Specifications of Transport Vehicles (Article 28.3A.1&2- ER).** HW transport vehicles are to be equipped with the necessary safety equipment. The vehicles must be in good working condition and suitable for operation and of adequate capacity and have rotation frequency suitable for the quantities of HW intended for transport.

- **Drivers of HW Transport Vehicles (Article 28.3A.3 – ER).** Drivers of HW transport vehicles must receive adequate training to be qualified and capable to act in cases of emergency.

- **Labeling of HW Transport Vehicles (Article 28.3A.4 - ER).** Clear and visible labels must be placed on HW transport vehicles indicating the type of transported waste and the associated hazard as well as action to be taken in cases of emergency.
• Routing of HW Transport Vehicles (Article 28.3B&C - ER). HW transport routes are to be determined. Any change in the routing plan requires notification of the Authority for Civil Defense. The concerned competent authority should be notified of the garage address in which the vehicles park as well as the number and date of their licenses. HW transport vehicles are not allowed to pass through residential and other populated areas and city centers during daytime.

• Maintenance and Cleaning of HW Transport Vehicles (Article 28.3E – ER). HW transport vehicles must be continuously washed and cleaned after each use according to the instructions set by the Ministry of Health in coordination with the concerned competent administrative authority.

It should be pointed out that the stipulations of Law 4/1994 and the Executive Regulations with regards to HW transportation do not detail the operational procedures to be followed during transport operations, nor the technical specifications for the means of transport for this type of waste. In this regard, HW transportation guidelines were developed by EEAA presenting the operational procedures to be followed for ensuring proper control of transport operations and effective tracking of transported waste; the necessary technical and safety specifications and equipment of the means of transport; as well as the general operational provisions ensuring the safe handling of the waste during the transportation operations.

5.2.5 Protection of Water Resources

Law 48/1982 and its Executive Regulations focus on protecting potable water and non-potable/agriculture use water from pollution. These waters include the Nile River, all irrigation canals, drains, and lakes. The water quality standards are shown in Table 5.4.

Law 93/1962 sets the conditions for discharge of wastewater to public sewer systems.

5.2.6 Work Environment

Due to the importance of workers health and safety, both the Egyptian Law of the Environment (Law 4/1994) and the Labor Law (Law 137/1981) regulate different issues related to workers at work places. As indicated by Articles 43 through 45 of Law 4/1994, protective equipment must be provided to workers at the project site.

Safety and occupational health issues are also addressed in Chapter 5 of Law 137/1981, Labor Law.

5.3 Site Specific Clean-up Levels

Remediation and clean-up goals for lead have not been established in Egypt. Several meetings were held between Life-Lead and the EEAA’s Environmental Quality Sector, Hazardous Waste Department, and the Environmental Health Department to discuss and agree on procedures to establish clean-up levels. The consensus was reached that clean-up levels would be set on a site-specific basis based on the results of baseline human health risk assessment.
Table 5.4: Water Quality Standards for Fresh Water Bodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Limits (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>100 NTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>5°C above normal temp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solids</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen</td>
<td>Not less than 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Not less than 7 not more than 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Nitrogen</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and Grease</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alkalinity</td>
<td>Not less than 20 and not more than 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfates</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury compounds</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detergents</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorides</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The non-carcinogenic HI for workers at the Seoudi Smelter is 40 and the carcinogenic risk to workers at this smelter is 7 x 10^-4. These health risks are due to lead, antimony and arsenic. Blood lead modeling showed that 100% of the workers are expected to have blood lead levels greater than 10 µg/dl. These risk estimates indicate that corrective action is necessary.

The assessment was done for lead only. Blood lead modeling showed that 100% of workers in other industrial areas are expected to have blood lead levels greater than 10 µg/dl. The carcinogenic risk caused by lead for a worker in other industrial areas is 6 x 10^-4.

5.3.1 Results of the Adult Lead Model

Based on results of the Adult Lead Model and USEPA guidance, the proposed risk-based remediation goals (RBRGs) for lead were calculated to meet recommendations of USEPA, limiting exposure to soil lead levels at industrial/commercial sites to be protective to the fetus.
of a pregnant female worker so that no more than 5 percent probability that fetuses exposed to lead would exceed a blood lead level of 10 microgram lead per deciliter blood.

The RBRGs based on a 10% probability of exceeding a blood lead level of 10 microgram lead per deciliter blood are presented as an upper bound. The resulting RBRGs are shown in Table 5.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Pb) in soil</td>
<td>1,500 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Egypt currently does not have standards for lead in dust wipe samples, so the project has proposed clean-up levels based on USEPA standards. USEPA only has dust wipe standards for residential dwellings. These standards are 40 µg/ft² for floors and 250 µg/ft² for windowsills. The project proposes to use 500 µg/ft² standard for dust wipe samples from interior walls and the perimeter walls.
6. ALTERNATIVE REMEDIATION ACTIONS

All proposed alternatives (except the No-Action Alternative) are capable of meeting the health based clean-up goals of the project. The No-Action Alternative is proposed to provide a comparison of the benefits provided by the remediation alternatives. The selected alternative is described in Section 2.3 above. The following provides a summary description of the other alternatives evaluated.

- **Alternative 1: No Action.**

- **Alternative 2: Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Limited Soil Removal, and Capping.** Alternative 2 is similar to the proposed action described in Section 2.3.1 except that soil excavation is limited to approximately a depth of 25 cm.

- **Alternative 3: Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Excavate/Dispose Offsite as Hazardous Waste, and Capping.** This is the proposed action described in Section 2.3.1.

- **Alternative 4: Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Excavate/Stabilize/Dispose Offsite as Non-Hazardous Waste.** Alternative 3 is similar to the proposed action described in Section 2.3.1 except that soil stabilization is carried out before disposal. The excavated soil will be disposed offsite as hazardous waste in a regulated facility and excavation will be backfilled with structural backfill and sealed with an impermeable cap.
7. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

7.1 Identification and Assessment Methodology

The impact identification and assessment methodology (Exhibit 7.1) starts with identifying potential primary environmental impacts caused by the proposed remediation alternatives. This is carried out using a modified version of the Leopold Matrix (Table 7.1, 7.2). Impact identification was based on the analysis of project specifications and baseline information collected in the field, literature review and internet search of similar projects, interviews with governmental and non-governmental stakeholders as well as information received from stakeholders during the Scoping Meeting (Life-Lead Scoping Report, 2005).

The interactive scoping matrix was used to pinpoint areas where project activities would interact with components of the receiving environment (potential impacts). These could be both positive or negative interactions. The layout of the matrix is arranged as follows:

- The “y” axis of the matrix consists of a list of remediation activities. It also contains in a parallel column a list of aspects associated with each activity or group of activities.

- The “x” axis consists of the resources and receptors encountered in the receiving environment including its physical, biological, and socio-economic components. Resources and/or receptors of the receiving environment include the following:
  - Air quality.
  - Noise.
  - Soil quality.
  - Surface water quality.
  - Groundwater quality.
  - Terrestrial life.
  - Aquatic life.
  - Public health and safety.
  - Employment and training.
  - Work place health and safety.
  - Traffic.
  - Utilities.
  - Livelihood.

Using this matrix, interaction between project activities and environmental components were identified. The identified interactions are then subjected to further analysis to examine whether they produce direct effects on the environment (primary impacts) or they would trigger sequential events that would finally affect other environmental receptors (secondary and higher order impacts). Here, the EIA methods used are network and expert judgment.

The identified impacts were then subjected to a process of impact evaluation. Impact evaluation was based on pre-established criteria including:

- Magnitude of the impact.
- Impact duration.
- Reversibility of the effect on receptor.
A comparative analysis between the four remediation alternatives, with respect to the identified significant impacts, is carried out. Based on this analysis, the alternative(s) with the least significant impacts on the environment and which are easy to mitigate and/or manage are selected.

Significant environmental impacts of the selected alternative were subjected to further analysis for consideration of alternative mitigation measures, while insignificant impacts were not considered further. Mitigation measures were either incorporated as an integral part of the design or through management measures.

A monitoring plan was then formulated to ensure that project performance meets the standards and that the mitigation measures effectively achieve the desired level of impact minimization.

**7.2 Key Sensitivities**

A key input in the process of impact assessment is the identification of the sensitivities and constraints specific to the receiving environment. Potential impacts are usually evaluated in respect to their effects on specific receptors. Therefore, knowledge and information on the environment within which the proposed project will be located are essential.

The EIA team has gathered sufficient information on the project area and has analyzed their sensitivities as a crucial step in the assessment process. This information was gathered through literature reviews, interviews with officials and local residents, satellite image analysis, aerial photography analysis, and field surveys.

The sensitivity or importance of the receptors depends on its nature, value, scarcity, and the zone of effect, etc. They can be categorized as follows:

- On site receptors such as soil, workplace health.
- Receptors surrounding the site such as ambient air, noise, and public health.
- Final sinks/receptors such as surface and groundwater qualities. Impacts on these receptors are usually indirect (secondary/tertiary).

The network diagram (Exhibit 7.2) shows that dust emissions caused by wind will primarily affect the ambient air quality. It could then deposit on the soil and surface water and potentially leach to the groundwater. Lead dust deposited on the soil could also affect public and/or workers health through direct contact. Human health could also be impacted through the inhalation of lead dust or the ingestion of contaminated groundwater or surface water.
Exhibit 7.1: Impact Identification, Evaluation, and Mitigation Framework

Step I

Identifying Primary Environmental Impacts using Leopold Matrix → Identifying Secondary and Higher Order Impacts using Networks

Identifying Potential Impacts for Each Activity

Quantitative and/or Qualitative Impact Evaluation and Prediction for Each Remediation Alternative

Identifying Significant Environmental Impacts for Each Remediation Alternative

Step II

Comparing the Remediation Alternatives Based on the Significant Environmental Impacts

Identification of the Preferred Alternative(s)

Step III

Proposal of Mitigation Measures for Significant Negative Impacts of the Preferred Alternative

Formulation of the Management & Monitoring Plan
Exhibit 7.2: Ecological Pathways Leading to First and Higher Order Environmental Impacts (primarily due to lead dust)
## Table 7.1: Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts During Remediation of Seoudi Smelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities (Sources of Impacts)</th>
<th>Aspects</th>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
<th>Biological Environment</th>
<th>Socio-economic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Soil Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1: No Action</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Construction of Decontamination Pad and Temporary Containment</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Pressure Washing of Walls</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Dry Vacuum Cleaning of Walls</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Demolish Walls</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Containment/Storage of Waste on Site</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Transportation of Material, Labor and Equipment to Smelter Site</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2,3,4: Exterior Wall Painting</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 3,4: Soil Removal/Excavation and backfill</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 3,4: Application of Reinforced Concrete Cap</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1/2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 4: On-site Soil Treatment and Backfill</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1/2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Environmental Attributes

**Shoubra El Kheima**

Route from Seoudi to Alexandria Hazardous Waste Landfill
- Dust Emissions
- Vehicles Emissions and Noise
- Traffic Accidents
- Spillage of hazardous waste or contaminated soil
- Increase in soil volume (10-15%)
- Non hazardous soil
- Dust Emissions
- Vehicles Emissions and Noise
- Traffic Accidents
- Spillage of hazardous waste or contaminated soil

Route from Seoudi to Abu Zaabal Landfill
- Dust Emissions
- Vehicles Emissions and Noise
- Traffic Accidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>- Negative Impact</th>
<th>+ Positive Impact</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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7.3 Impact Evaluation

After considering the project interaction with the previously described receptors, certain interactions with other receptors still remain. These include primary and higher order impacts of the proposed project. Such impacts were then evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Magnitude of the impact.
- Impact duration.
- Reversibility of the effect on receptor.
- Spatial extent.
- Sensitivity or importance of the receptor.

The impact evaluation also takes into consideration the mitigation measures included in the Front End Engineering and Design (FEED) to which the project is committed. This is in addition to measures of good international practice.

7.3.1 Positive Impacts

Remediation of existing polluted sites will lead to the following long-term positive impacts:

- Remediation of existing polluted sites will lead to long-term improvement in the soil and groundwater quality within the remediated sites and in the neighborhood due to the removal and/or treatment of the contaminated soil.

- Improvement of the overall public health of the lead smelter area through the removal/treatment of the persistent source of lead hazard.

- Improvement of the quality of life due to improvement of the air quality in the project area.

- Remediation of smelters will lead to new employment opportunities for the local community during the period of site remediation. Wages will be paid to local labor as the remediation activities are implemented.

- This is one of the first site remediation projects in Egypt and it is anticipated to initiate new hazardous waste site remediation businesses. A cadre of specialized construction contractors and workers has been trained as part of the project to remediate lead and other contaminated sites.

- Appreciation the value of land in Shoubra El Kheima due to improvement of the health and environmental quality of the area. The property in the area is changing from industrial to residential causing property values to increase significantly. The remediation of these sites will lead to increased property value throughout the area.
7.3.2 No Impacts

Examination of the environmental setting of the area in which the project is located has shown that project activities will not interact with some of the receptors and so there will be no direct impact on these receptors including:

- Impact of On-site Remediation Activities on Terrestrial Life. The smelters lie within an industrial area that is devoid of sensitive terrestrial fauna and flora except for some common trees and plants that already have lead dust deposited on their leaves. Remediation activities will not therefore have any additional direct impacts on terrestrial life.

- Impact of the On-site Remediation Activities on Surface Water Quality and Marine Life. All remediation activities that will be carried out within the smelter boundaries will be contained within the site and thus there will be no direct impact on the Ismailia Canal or its aquatic life.

7.3.3 Negative Impacts

A number of potential negative impacts associated with on site remediation activities and transportation of waste to final disposal are evaluated below. Insignificant impacts are disregarded while mitigation measures are proposed to prevent/minimize significant negative impacts.

Air quality (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

The ambient air quality at the project site may be impacted by gaseous emissions and fugitive dusts from remediation activities. The main sources of emissions on site include the following:

- Decontamination activities.
- Vacuum cleaning and pressure washing.
- Demolition of existing structures
- Soil excavation and backfilling operations
- Wind erosion of exposed waste material or soil.
- Construction equipment and machinery

Transportation of raw material, labor, and equipment to the smelters sites and transportation of the contaminated waste from the smelters sites to its final disposal site will have impacts on the air quality levels along the transportation route.

Mitigation Measures--

Highly pressurized water shall be used for structure and wall decontamination. No dust emission is expected during operation. The contaminated water shall be collected in sedimentation tanks, left to settle for 72 hours, and then sampled prior to discharge to the public sewers to meet the requirements of Law 93/1962 for discharge of wastewater to public sewers.

Sludge shall be transferred to the Hazardous Waste Landfill in Alexandria.
Floor dust shall be collected by a HEPA vacuum cleaner; the dust shall be properly handled and stored in closed containers until it is transferred to the Hazardous Waste Landfill in Alexandria.

Dust generated during operation, loading, and transportation will be controlled with windscreens (plastic sheets) and water spraying to suppress dust as needed.

Life-Lead will monitor the contractor’s implementation of mitigation measures throughout the project. The mitigation measures will include dust suppression measures at the site by watering of haulage roads, and maintaining machinery and vehicles in a working condition to minimize fugitive emissions. All equipment will be frequently inspected and maintained to ensure no fugitive emissions are generated, such as volatile hydrocarbon or nitrogen oxides.

Residual Impact--

Acceptable under normal operation conditions, however, regular periodic monitoring is done to insure compliance to standards.

**Noise (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)**

During the implementation of the remediation activity, noise will arise mainly from the equipment used for concrete cutting, placement, and compaction. Also the equipment used for dry and wet building cleaning (e.g., High Efficient Particulate Air (HEPA)) are another source of noise. Table 7.2 shows the average noise level, in decibels, at a distance of 20 m between an observer and the source of noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Type</th>
<th>Average Noise Level (decibels) at 20 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loader</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibration Roller</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprayer</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Drill</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concreter Mixer</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic Hammer</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remediation activity will result in an increase in the traffic load, especially heavy traffic such as buses and trucks used for the transportation of workers and material to and from the site, and transportation of the generated waste to the disposal sites. This will lead to increased neighborhood noise levels.

Mitigation Measures--

When construction equipment is used, such as during the site excavation, earth moving, and land grading, workers at distances less than 5 m from the construction equipment must wear ear protective equipment to minimize possible impacts from noise.
Equipment and transportation vehicles are periodically maintained to minimize noise levels to design limits. Monitoring will ensure that the noise levels are kept below legal limits set forth in Law 4/1994.

Residual Impact--

Acceptable under normal operation conditions, however, regular periodic monitoring is done to ensure compliance to standards.

Soil (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

The impact on soil quality from clean-up and decontamination activities of the smelter buildings can only result if contaminated dust emissions or debris are allowed to deposit on the soil or through spills or leakage of contaminated wastewater (from washing activities) or fuel to the soil.

The impacts on the soil within the smelter boundary from soil removal, backfilling, and then capping will have a positive, rather than a negative impact, on the soil quality that was treated. However, dust resulting from excavation and hauling of soil may have negative impacts on the soil quality outside of the smelter boundaries if it is not prevented from forming or not properly contained.

Moreover, the soil quality along the transportation routes to the smelter and from the smelter to the disposal sites could be negatively impacted if the transported material or waste was not properly contained or if contaminated emissions were wind blown and deposited on soil. This impact could be significant if the soil on which the contaminated dust deposits is of high economic value, e.g. agricultural land.

Mitigation Measures--

All liquid and solid waste as well as fuel and chemicals used, during site remediation will be properly stored above ground and contained to avoid spills and leaks to the soil. The storage tanks will be frequently inspected for leaks and damage.

Residual Impacts--

Acceptable if mitigation measures are applied and site management practices are applied.

Groundwater (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

There will be no direct contact between the remediation and clean-up activities carried out within the smelters buildings and the groundwater. The only activity that can pose a potential source of contamination to groundwater is soil excavation.

Mitigation Measures--

Excavation of the soil within the smelter will reach a depth of 0.5 m. As for the hot spots (e.g., in the rotary furnace area) excavation will proceed in parallel with XRF testing to determine the depth of excavation. Excavation will not exceed 3 m in depth from the ground.
LIFE-Lead Pollution Clean-Up in Qalyoubia

Residual Impacts--

Acceptable under normal operating conditions, however, regular periodic monitoring will be done to ensure compliance to standards.

Surface Water Quality and Marine Life (Short Term Direct/Indirect Avoidable Negative Impacts)

The water quality of surface water bodies such as the Ismailia Canal may be negatively impacted during transportation of raw material to the smelters sites and especially during transportation of contaminated waste from the smelters to the disposal site. This could be as a result of the deposition of wind blown dust on the surface water or direct spills into the canal in case of traffic accidents.

Mitigation Measures--

The risk of polluting surface water bodies (i.e., Ismailia Canal) and affecting its marine life could be mitigated through the following:

- Provision of containment and cover for transported waste to prevent dust from becoming wind blown and depositing on the neighboring water body.
- Following planning and emergency response measures provided in Section 8.5 to minimize risk of accidental spills.

Residual Impacts--

Acceptable under normal operation conditions, however, regular periodic monitoring will be done to insure compliance to standards.

Public Health and Safety (Short Term Direct/Indirect Avoidable Negative Impacts)

Remediation activities especially those done outside the contamination chambers such as soil excavation and demolition activities could disturb the neighboring residents and impact their health through inhalation of released emissions or dust or through oral intake if the contaminant reaches the food chain.

Public health and safety could be jeopardized by the risk of accidents due to increased traffic in the smelters areas and along the transportation routes to disposal sites.

Mitigation Measures--

The project is located in an industrial area where the residents live with noise and dust that may not occur in other residential areas. In addition, air pollution controls will be provided as previously mentioned. Containment of remediation activities and the establishment of decontamination chambers during smelters remediation and clean-up activities as well as dust
suppression measures such as water spraying will greatly reduce the impact on neighboring communities.

Workers will not be allowed to leave the work site wearing lead contaminated clothing and equipment to prevent it from reaching the workers’ homes and vehicles. This will reduce the movement of lead contamination from the workplace into a worker’s home and provide added protection to the worker’s families and neighbors.

Training of drivers on defensive driving and frequent inspection of the haul trucks will greatly reduce the risk of accidents.

Residual Impacts--

Acceptable if mitigation measures are applied and site management practices are applied.

Work Place Health and Safety (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

Workers health could be affected during the remediation project through the following:

- Inhalation of lead contaminated dust during building cleaning.
- Direct contact with contaminated soil, waste piles, or contaminated walls, floors, and ceilings.
- Inhalation of exhaust gases caused by transportation activities or utilized equipment.
- Accidents.
- Spill of solvents or other harmful materials.

Mitigation Measures--

The Life-Lead Site Engineer will have a continuous presence on-site for close inspection and management of the construction activities. The contractor will apply a number of control measures including the following:

- Contractor’s employees involved in any facility remediation of lead contaminated sites must have received Health and Safety Training in the form provided by LIFE-Lead to the pre-qualified contractors. The Contractor must verify that the nominated Project Manager has provided Health and Safety of Hazardous Waste Operations Training to contractor employees working on the project. The contractor must, at a minimum, provide all required personal protection equipment (PPE), personal decontamination stations, personal medical monitoring, air monitoring, and required record keeping.

- The General Health and Safety Plan will be required as part of the bid submittal whereas the Site Specific Heath Safety Plans will be required after Notice of Award.
• The contractor will provide documentation and results that all medical monitoring has been conducted prior, during, and after the project; and provide records of air monitoring results.

• Engineering control (e.g., the design of the decontamination areas in which the workers will operate will ensure proper ventilation and dust collection).

• The workers and visitors will use appropriate PPE at all times.

• Personnel working at heights over 2 m will have the proper safety harnesses and lanyards.

• Flammable material will be stored in an isolated, shaded, and labeled area. Fire extinguishers will be provided in designated places at the site and will be regularly inspected.

An Emergency Response Plan was developed to mitigate the occupational health and safety hazards of the workplace, as presented in Section 8.5 below.

Residual Impacts--

Acceptable, if mitigation measures are applied and site management practices are applied.

Traffic (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

Heavy traffic during remediation activities will be experienced in the area around the smelter and at the intersection with the main road parallel to the Ismailia Canal during transportation to the disposal site. This could result in traffic congestion and increase the probability of accidents. However, because the duration of remediation activities at the smelter will be relatively short and the Ismailia Canal Road is already a busy road since it is an industrial area, the overall impact on traffic in the area should be minimal.

Risks of vehicle accidents from the hauling of excavated soil and waste from the remediation site to the disposal facilities are included in the Emergency Response Plan. The vehicular risks are addressed by measures such as proper training of drivers on defensive driving and by regular inspection and maintenance of the haul trucks.

Utilities (Short Term Direct Avoidable Negative Impacts)

Water, wastewater, gas pipelines, and electricity cables will not be affected by remediation activities except if soil excavation is deep enough to reach their level. The area layouts would be used to identify if any of these utilities run under the areas where soil will be excavated and to avoid such impacts. The contractors selected to perform the remediation will be responsible for working with the GOQ to identify and verify the location of underground utilities.
7.4 Comparative Analysis of Remediation Alternatives

7.4.1 No-Action Alternative (Alternative 1)

This alternative is not recommended. If remediation activities are not carried out, then the existing lead pollution hazards will persist causing further deterioration of the environmental quality of the area. No-action will also impact the workers in the smelter and the residents of Shoubra El Kheima.

7.4.2 Comparison of Alternatives

From an environmental standpoint, Alternatives 3 and 4 are equally recommended for all sites. The choice of the proposed alternative depends on other factors that include effectiveness, implementability, and cost. The cost factor provides a decisive criterion for choosing among different alternatives. The objective of the financial analysis is to estimate the present value of the expected cost of the four alternatives under investigation, in order to propose the alternative with the most cost effectiveness. The proposed alternatives with appropriate mitigation and monitoring measures should be implemented.

Prior to the beginning of remediation, baseline environmental conditions will be defined for monitoring during the remediation activities. Baseline conditions will be established for air quality, noise, soil, surface water, and groundwater. The baseline conditions will be used to monitor the remediation activities impact to the environment and to insure that mitigation measures are established and functioning properly.

Table 7.3 Comparative Analyses of Remediation Alternatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term Impacts</th>
<th>Long Term Impacts/ Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternative 2:</strong> Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Limited Soil Removal/ Cap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary negative impacts on air quality, ambient noise, workplace health and safety as well as traffic. In addition, contamination on walls will be transferred to the soil since the contaminated wash water will be allowed to seep to the soil.</td>
<td>This alternative will lead to long-term benefits to the environmental quality of the smelter area, and public and workers health as long as concrete capping of the soil is maintained. However, if the cover is eroded or broken, the contaminated soil may be exposed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No direct impact on surface water quality, biological life.</td>
<td>This alternative is considered a partial solution to the problem and is therefore not recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7.3 Comparative Analyses of Remediation Alternatives, cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term Impacts</th>
<th>Long Term Impacts/ Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Alternative 3:** Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Excavate/Dispose Offsite as Hazardous Waste/ Cap | This alternative will result in incremental short-term environmental impacts in addition to the impacts encountered in Alternative 2 due to the environmental concerns resulting from the following additional activities:  
  • Deep excavation, hauling and loading of contaminated soil.  
  • Placement of hard cap.  
  • Transportation of waste soil.  
  Environmental concerns associated with these activities include increased dust and fugitive emissions, noise, traffic, transportation accidents, and spills.  
  This alternative will result in long-term environmental benefits to the environmental quality of the area and the health of the public and smelter workers due to the remediation of the two major contaminated media; surfaces of existing structures and soil.  
  From an environmental standpoint, this alternative is recommended. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term Impacts</th>
<th>Long Term Impacts/ Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Alternative 4:** Institutional/Engineering Controls, Decontaminate Structures and Debris, Excavate/Stabilize/Dispose Offsite as Non-Hazardous Waste/Cap | This alternative will result in incremental short-term environmental impacts to the impacts already described in Alternative 2 due to the environmental concerns resulting from the following additional activities:  
  • Deep excavation and stabilization of soil.  
  • Backfilling with stabilized soil and/or structure backfill.  
  • Hauling and loading of extra uncontaminated soil.  
  • Placement of hard cap.  
  • Transportation of waste soil.  
  Environmental concerns associated with these activities include increased dust and fugitive emissions, noise, traffic, transportation accidents, and spills. However, a smaller volume of contaminated material will be transported to the El Nasereya Landfill. This will decrease impacts associated with handling and transportation of contaminated soil.  
  This alternative will result in long-term environmental benefits to the environmental quality of the area and the health of the public and smelter workers due to the remediation of the two major contaminated media; surfaces of existing structures and soil.  
  From an environmental standpoint, this alternative is recommended. |
8. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

8.1 Purpose

The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) consists of a set of mitigation, monitoring, and institutional measures to be taken into consideration during and after the implementation phase. This aims at eliminating adverse environmental and social impacts and preventing or reducing them to acceptable levels. The plan also includes the actions needed to be taken to implement these measures.

In addition, the EMP aims at measuring and reporting environmental performance as part of a continuous improvement process, creating a climate of transparency and strategic partnerships with key stakeholders, and developing effective training in order to raise public environmental awareness.

The EMP will be regularly updated by the project team to reflect the ongoing activities in the site. The EMP will be reviewed and revised according to various project activities. For each of the project activities, the EMP will list the requirements to ensure effective mitigation of each relevant potential impact.

8.2 EMP Components

The EMP consists of the following components:

- Mitigation measures to identify feasible and cost effective measures that will reduce potentially significant adverse environmental impacts to acceptable levels.
- Monitoring and validation during and after project implementation to provide information about key environmental aspects of the project, particularly the environmental impacts of the project and the effectiveness of mitigation measures.
- Emergency response plan to manage risks that might occur during the different project phases.
- Capacity development and training of the project workforce to support timely and effective implementation of environmental project components and mitigation measures.

8.3 Management Measures

Environmental management of the project started early in its life cycle with a “prevention” rather than “mitigation” approach. This proactive approach ensured that as many impacts as possible are taken into consideration in the planning phase and therefore are already mitigated.

Other impacts were mitigated by the incorporation of mitigation measures in the project design and others through the incorporation of management measures. Management principles that will be integrated in the specific management plans during the different project stages include the following:
8.3.1 Commissioning Phase

The Commissioning Phase of the project is divided into Health and Safety and Training and Capacity Building as described below.

Health and Safety

The following health and safety issues will be incorporated into the Environmental Plan of Action:

- Assign a project Health and Safety Manager.
- Assign responsibilities within the contractor and project’s supervision team.
- Surround specific hazardous areas of the installation site with a fence to prevent unauthorized access to the site.
- Inform local residents and other users of the area of the equipment installation and construction schedule.

Training and Capacity Building

Training for contractors concentrated on the following main topics:

- Health and safety of workers and the public.
- Remediation technologies and methods to implement differing remediation options.

This training concentrated on the two main topics listed above. Areas that were included in the training included the following:

- Introduction to hazardous waste remediation requirements in Egypt.
- Evaluation of hazardous materials and wastes.
- Design/remediation of hazardous waste and contaminated properties.
- Specialized safety measures for hazardous waste site remediation.
- Environmental compliance monitoring.
- Hazardous waste remediation business opportunities in Egypt.

8.3.2 Implementation Phase

The following topics will be included in the Implementation Phase of the Environmental Management Plan.

Health and Safety

The following health and safety topics will be included in the Implementation Phase:

- Regular control of health and safety measures by the contractor and project supervisors.
• Use of personal protection equipment (PPE) including disposal coveralls or washable full-body work clothing, full face or half face air purifying respirators with P3 filters\(^1\), gloves, hard hats, steel-toed leather boots, face shields or safety glasses.

• Adherence to strict public health and safety standards.

• Storage of materials and provision of measures to prevent leaks and spills.

• Labeling of stockpiled material, access control measures to prevent accidental exposure, and the provision of protection equipment and first-aid kits.

• Storage of flammable materials (e.g., solvents), if any, in isolated, shaded, and well ventilated areas.

**Emissions Control**

The following emission control measures will be included in the Implementation Phase:

• Maintain machinery and vehicles in good working conditions to minimize fugitive emissions.

• Use dust control measures such as water spraying for dust suppression.

**Noise Control**

Machinery and vehicles will be maintained in good working condition during the Implementation Phase to minimize noise levels.

**Inventory Control**

A “first-in, first-out” policy will be applied and auxiliary material, such as chemicals will be labeled with their name, date of purchase, and date of expiration.

**Housekeeping**

The following housekeeping practices will be followed during the Implementation Phase:

• Minimization of the amount of wash water used.

• Minimization of spills during handling, transport, and use of products.

**Waste Management**

Waste management is a very important consideration since large quantities of contaminated material will be excavated. Responsibility for waste that is generated will be clearly specified and will follow the procedure listed below.

---

\(^1\) Half face respirator will be used when the concentration of lead in air does not exceed 500 \(\mu g/m^3\)
Full face respirator will be used when the concentration of lead in air up to 2500 \(\mu g/m^3\)
P3 filter is 99.7% efficient against particle size of 0.3 microns in diameter
• Store excavated contaminated soil, waste piles, and other contaminated materials, prior to transportation to the appropriate disposal site in a designated location.

• Transport and dispose the waste produced in the designated and approved disposal sites to minimize negative environmental and health impacts.

• Contain demolition material from the buildings and temporary construction facilities for disposal at the designated disposal location on site.

Maintenance Program

The following procedures will be included in the maintenance program for the Implementation Phase:

• Regular checks and cleaning of equipment to insure proper working order.

• Repair of damaged equipment immediately.

• Maintain records of equipment checks, repairs, cleaning, and equipment failure to minimize equipment breakdown and any associated pollution releases.

• Prepare a maintenance schedule for mechanical work as well as periodic replacement of parts before breakdown occurs.

• Regular control of the compliance of the measures by the designated supervisors.

8.4 Monitoring Plan

The monitoring program is an essential element of the environmental management scheme of the project. It provides information for periodic review and adjustment of the EMP as necessary. This ensures that environmental protection is achieved through early detection of negative environmental impacts.

Monitoring programs will be designed for the different parameters. The monitoring results will be fed into the decision making process as a trigger for the implementation of corrective actions, in order to maintain compliance with environmental laws and regulations, ensure environmental protection, and workplace safety, as well as to ensure appropriate operation of the mitigation measures and management plans. The monitoring results will be included in an environmental register of the project.

All of the monitoring programs will be initiated before equipment installation begins to measure background levels of different parameters; air quality, noise, and groundwater quality. This monitoring information will serve as baseline values for future comparison.

8.4.1 Environmental Monitoring

A monitoring program will be required during and after the implementation of the chosen alternative. Monitoring and analysis during the Implementation Phase will also provide important data for the validation phase of the contaminated land management process.
Within the monitoring program, instrumentation and its detection limits will be specified. Prior to commencement, the monitoring instruments will be checked and calibrated. Monitoring can be carried out by those implementing the chosen alternative or by an independent organization.

**Monitoring of Air Quality**

As mentioned earlier, degradation of air quality negatively affects human health and the environment. Ambient air quality data in the vicinity of the smelters will be collected prior to the start of remediation, in collaboration between the contractor, LIFE-Lead, and EEAA. This data will be used to monitor air quality during remediation. Therefore, it is important to monitor the air quality parameters that might negatively affect different environmental elements. Parameters that will be monitored include:

- Dust, including total suspended particles and inhalable particulate matter (PM10).
- Lead concentrations.

The monitoring plan will include the following:

- Measurements of Particulates Plan.
- Methodology.
- Range and sensitivity.
- Responsible persons.
- Procedure (sample preparation, collection, storage, analysis methods, and calculations).
- Results Tabulated for each parameter.

**Monitoring of Noise**

Operational noise will be monitored during the implementation phase by the contractor. The measurements will take place at the same points identified during baseline information collection phase (Section 3.1.2).

The monitoring plan will include the following:

- Measurements of Noise Plan.
- Purpose/Scope.
- Responsible persons.
- Procedure (equipment used, results at each point, and results interpretation).

**Monitoring of Groundwater Quality**

Groundwater samples will be collected from the same representative industrial wells used to collect the baseline groundwater quality data by Life-Lead. Samples will be taken prior to the beginning of the smelter remediation and following the completion of all remediation activities.
Monitoring of Surface Water Quality

Surface water and sediment samples will be collected from different locations along the Ismailia Canal by Life-Lead. The measurements will take place at the same points identified during the collection of baseline data. Sediment samples will be taken from the canal prior to construction activities at the smelter sites and following the completion of all remediation activities. Surface water samples will be taken following the completion of the remediation activities.

Equipment Monitoring

Utilized equipment will be periodically checked to detect any failure or inefficient performance.

8.4.2 Monitoring Program

Life-Lead initiated the necessary environmental analysis activities to address the issues identified for the EIA in December 2004. Table 8.1 provides a detailed schedule for environmental analyses. The baseline data has already been collected in April 2005 and included in this EIA. Additional data detailed in the above sections will be collected prior to and at the end of the remediation activities starting in December 2005. It is worth noting that the monitoring plan is presented for all the sites that will be remediated as part of the Life-Lead project and not only for the Seoudi Smelter site.

**Table 8.1: Schedule of Environmental Analyses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media to be Analyzed</th>
<th>Prior to Remediation</th>
<th>Completion of Remediation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>December 2005</td>
<td>Monitoring will be continuous during the remediation process using data provided by EEAA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>December 2005</td>
<td>Monitoring will be continuous if needed during the remediation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Site characterization conducted on February 2005</td>
<td>May 2006 - Clearance sampling will be conducted following the completion of remediation activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Quality – Abu Zaabal Landfill</td>
<td>April 2005</td>
<td>June 2006 – Following completion of the smelter remediation activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.5 Risk Prevention and Emergency Response Plan

8.5.1 Objectives

The Emergency Response Plan (ERP) was developed to provide the following control measures:

- Identification of potential sources of hazards that may be present during the lead remediation activities.
- Identification of the chain of events that may occur and result in environmental risk.
- Qualitative evaluation of the likelihood of the occurrence of each of these events.
- Qualitative assessment of the severity of the potential consequences.
- Ranking of the environmental risks in terms of severity.
- Recommendation of appropriate mitigation measures and emergency response procedures to properly manage the identified risks.

8.5.2 Applicability

The ERP was developed for the remediation option which was deemed most favorable as a result of the multi-criteria analysis. It has been prepared as a guideline document to provide contractors with procedures that will allow them to identify risk situations and to respond appropriately to emergencies that may occur during project implementation. Life-Lead will require contractors to modify and update the plan periodically during the remediation process as needed. Table 8.2 provides a detailed summary of the environmental risks.

Specific emergency response procedures are developed for each type of emergency situation (e.g., transport accident, fire, etc.) and facilities involved based on the general principles outlined in Table 8.3.

8.5.3 Reporting Procedures

Record-keeping and reporting are important aspects of the Emergency Response Plan. The company will establish a method of reporting incidents involving injuries, property damage, environmental damage, and near accidents. Such information and records will be used for improving response procedures and minimizing and controlling potential hazards. General information that will be recorded is listed below:

- Date, time, and location of the incident or emergency.
- Person or people involved or affected.
- Description of the situation and site conditions.
- Identification and estimated extent of injury, loss, damage, or contamination.
### Table 8.2: Summary of Environmental Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard Type</th>
<th>Prevention Measure</th>
<th>Hazard Rating</th>
<th>Response (Table 5.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Onsite Storage and Handling of Hazardous Materials (All Sites)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spills associated with liquids causing impacts to soil and the possibility of fire.</td>
<td>Worker training on chemical handling and storage and provision of proper containment mechanisms</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>SP, ME, FE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazards associated with human contact with chemicals.</td>
<td>Workers training, strict operational procedures, and containment practices.</td>
<td>Moderate to high</td>
<td>SP, ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decontamination of Building and Building Improvements (All Sites)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents involving workers slipping, tripping, or falling; and resulting from the use of equipment.</td>
<td>Worker training, use of PPE at all times, exercising common sense, and using harnesses and wires when working on elevated surfaces.</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Accidents (All Transportation Routes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents during transportation causing personal injury and spills onsite or along the road to the final disposal site(s).</td>
<td>Strict safety procedures for drivers, regular vehicle maintenance, appropriate containment of waste while transporting, and vehicle escort service as practicable.</td>
<td>Moderate to high (human error is an important factor that needs to be managed)</td>
<td>TR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Actions used to control the extent and severity of the situation.
- Documentation of corrective actions or responses taken to restore or mitigate the situation.

### 8.5.4 Post Emergency Actions

Post emergency activities are designed to provide the following:

- Define the causes of the emergency.
- Assess efficiency of procedures carried out for emergency response.
- Propose mitigation measures required for implementation to prevent reoccurring accidents of this type.
- Determine the need for implementing remediation and/or monitoring measures for the recovery of the affected area.
- Monitor health recovery for those who may have been affected.
- Manage public communications.

The operational ERP provides specific procedures to comply with the above objectives.
### Table 8.3: Guidelines for Response Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Risk Situation</th>
<th>Potential Substances or Facilities Involved</th>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Key Elements of the Emergency Response Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| TR   | Transportation | Transport within and near the smelters sites, and along long access roads to the Abu Zaabal (non hazardous waste) and the Nasereya (hazardous waste) landfills. | Injury or fatality, and spill of transported materials. | Notification and containment of spills on-site or near-site as per procedures in Item SP. Medical emergencies will adopt procedures as per Item ME. For fires located along the transport route, the following will be applied:  
  - Each truck will be equipped with a fire extinguisher that will vary depending on the material being shipped.  
  - For small fires, dry chemical CO\(_2\) extinguishers will be used.  
  - For large fires, the fire area will be flooded with water from a distance. The water jet will not be projected over the spilled material. Water will not be used if the material is acidic. Vehicles will be equipped with proper fire extinguishing materials.  
  - The truck will be removed from the fire area if possible without invoking further risk.  
  - Water will be applied to the shipment to cool the sides exposed to flames until the container is within normal temperatures.  
  - Workers will stay at a safe distance from the burning materials. |
<p>| SP   | Spills         | Solvents and chemicals used to remove paints. Paint materials for walls. | Potential health hazard due to ingestion, inhalation, or dermal contact. Possible flammability and corrosivity, depending on chemical. | Notification of emergency to the Site Engineer and the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Risk Situation</th>
<th>Potential Substances or Facilities Involved</th>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Key Elements of the Emergency Response Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ME   | Medical Emergencies            | On site, all activities                     | Injuries to workers.    | The contractor will have a specialized person (or a person of the team) on site and at all times who is trained in the disciplines of first aid, CPR, fire rescue, and evacuation. All workers will be trained in the proper response to specific injuries (e.g., not moving workers with potential spinal injuries). The injured workers will be transported to the local medical facility. The following procedure will be employed at the location of the incident:  
  1. Assess the location and severity of the situation.  
  2. Avoid taking health or safety risks by entering a dangerous or unstable area.  
  3. Restrict access to the area.  
  4. Notify the Health and Safety Manager.  
  5. Assist in extinguishing the fire and securing the area only under the direction of the Health and Safety Manager.  
  6. Contact the local fire fighting authority to start mobilizing. |
| SF   | Slope failure of the excavation pit | Contaminated areas being excavated          | Injury to workers       | The following procedures will be employed at the location of the incident:  
  1. Notification of emergencies to Health and Safety Manager.  
  2. Definition of danger area.  
  3. Assessment of the situation, including impacts to the environment and the workers.  
  4. Attention to injured as per ME.  
  5. Employment of measures for stabilizing the area. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Risk Situation</th>
<th>Potential Substances or Facilities Involved</th>
<th>Hazard</th>
<th>Key Elements of the Emergency Response Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| FE   | Fire within project site       | Onsite, particularly chemical or fuel storage areas                             | Fire, with potential subsequent damage to property, injury, or explosion | Fire fighting equipment will be maintained onsite during all site operations.  

Key procedures within the project site include the following:  
• Assess the location and severity of the situation.  
• Avoid taking health or safety risks by entering a dangerous or unstable area.  
• Address life-threatening issues such as the lack of pulse, blocked air passages, or severe bleeding using basic first aid techniques.  
• Notify the Health and Safety manager/site manager according to established protocols.  
• Assist in securing the situation and transporting the victim under the direction of the Health and Safety Manager on site. |
8.5.5 Procedures for Revising and Updating the Emergency Response Plan

Prior to the remediation, procedures will be established for consolidating the plan with specific information required for its implementation. This will include specific site information, responsibilities of personnel, contractor information, contact information, etc. Summary sheets will be developed with procedures specific to each main project activity and will be posted in visible locations on the project site. The plan will be updated as required due to changes in relevant information.

8.5.6 Organizational Aspects

Responsibilities

As part of the operational Emergency Response Plan to be developed by the contractor, organizational responsibilities will be assigned to facilitate decision-making, communications, and procedures in the event of an emergency. These procedures will be developed based on the following guidelines:

- All on-site managers will be responsible for implementing procedures in the workplace aimed to do the following:
  - Identifying potential safety issues and environmental risks within their respective workplace.
  - Notifying appropriate personnel in the event of an emergency.
  - Coordinating emergency response procedures.

- An emergency response team will also be formed within the project. They will operate in the field with available resources to control the emergency under the command of the team supervisor and will likely involve the following:
  - Health and Safety Manager or his/her designated replacement.
  - Trained team members.
  - Equipment operators, if required.
  - Third party staff (e.g., subcontractor).

- The contractor will be responsible for identifying risks, designing, and implementing emergency response procedures, assigning responsibilities within their team, and training their employees in their respective work areas.

- The project will apply procedures for verifying that the contractor has taken adequate responsibility for risk management in their respective areas.

Accessibility and First Response Locations

Easy access to and around the site and effective communication systems are critical for successful implementation of the Emergency Response Plan. As part of the operational Emergency Response Plan, a project layout will be developed to show the locations of high hazard areas or facilities, first response facilities, first aid stations, and other pertinent
response facilities. Major medical emergencies that cannot be handled on site will be transported from the site to the nearest hospital, which is the Nasser Medical Institute.

**Notification and Communication**

**Project Site Communication**–

The contractor will use an internal communication system involving alarms or short signals that can easily be conveyed by audible signals to alert workers to danger, convey safety information, and maintain site control. Training in the use of the internal communication systems will be provided to all employees as part of the orientation program. During the emergency, the EMS manager will be contacted immediately. The EMS manager will be accessible via mobile phone 24 hours a day by site personnel and will be equipped to handle radio and telecommunication in the event of emergency.

**Public Meetings**–

Public meetings will be held as required to disseminate relevant information relating to on-site emergencies, situations that could be present public hazards, and information on measures applied by the contractor to control and monitor hazards. Employees, local residents, community leaders, and other stakeholders are contacted as appropriate and invited to attend theses meetings.
9. INTERAGENCY COORDINATION AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION

9.1 Interagency Coordination

Interagency coordination is crucial for an effective EIA because environmental issues in their complexity and variety are often inter-sectoral and regional. The authority and responsibility to deal with them (to collect information, prepare plans, approve designs, issue permits, and regulate activities, etc) is spread over a number of agencies at all governmental levels. Consultations for this project began in the scoping phase.

Before project consultations, it was necessary to identify the project’s stakeholders. This step was based on careful analysis of the institutional, legal, and administrative framework of this type of project. Preliminary site surveys have also assisted in the identification of the communities affected by the project and of local NGOs with environmental interests in the project. In addition, more stakeholders have been identified during meetings with key agencies and interviews with officials and the public. A list of the stakeholders identified during this process and their relevant interest in the project is given in Table 9.1.

During the course of the present EIA, interagency coordination has been achieved through interagency or individual agency meetings. Meetings at the time of scoping were important to the progress of the EIA. These meetings aimed to provide the following:

- Inform all interested agencies about the project and the intention to prepare an EIA.
- Seek their views throughout the process.
- Identify pertinent issues.
- Discuss any special types of analysis required, data sources, management procedures, responsibilities, and schedules.

Outputs of the consultation/coordination meetings directly assisted in finalizing the scope and depths of the EIA. A summary of the meetings is presented below.

9.1.1 Meetings with Governmental Agencies

Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA)

Working Group on EA/EIA--

An EA/EIA Working Group was formed to facilitate the preparation of the EA. The working group consisted of staff from LIFE-Lead as well as the EEAA and GOQ. The EEAA staff included members from the EIA, Hazardous Waste, Hazardous Substances, Regional Branch, and Industrial Departments. The Working Group meets every other week to prepare and discuss EA/EIA project components.
Table 9.1: Stakeholders and Their Relevant Role/Interest in the Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholders</th>
<th>Role/Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA):</td>
<td>Overall coordinating body of monitoring, enforcement and regulating developments through setting the EIA system, the use of hazardous substances in coordination with concerned and responsible authorities, and determining clean-up levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EIA Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hazardous Substances</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hazardous Waste Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Industrial Departments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental Health Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cairo Regional Branch Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Directorate and the Agency for Educational Buildings</td>
<td>Discussion of the school activities to be implemented by the project including the sampling activities to be undertaken for the site characterization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governorate of Qalyoubia (GoQ)</td>
<td>Legal/administrative role on the local level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management Unit, (GoQ)</td>
<td>Preliminary revision of the EIA to be send to the EEAA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoubra El Kheima East District</td>
<td>Provide some services to the project while considering possible socioeconomic impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs and/or representatives of the public (city council members)</td>
<td>Safeguard the environment and influence decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific communities</td>
<td>Research in related topics and influence decision making through public channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local residents and neighboring smelters</td>
<td>Affected community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Remediation Clean-up Goals--

Remediation clean-up goals have not been established in Egypt. Several meetings were held with the EEAA’s Environmental Quality Sector, Hazardous Waste Department, and Environmental Health Department to discuss clean-up levels and to agree upon a procedure to establish clean-up levels.

The consensus was reached that clean-up levels would be set on a site specific case based on the results of a Health Risk Assessment. In addition, the EEAA agreed to set action levels that would trigger investigation of a potentially contaminated site.

Meetings with Governorate of Qalyoubia (GOQ)

Weekly meetings were convened with General Farouk Khater, Head of the Shoubra El Kheima East District. Although those regular weekly meetings were for the overall coordination of project activities; issues related to the EA/EIA tasks were also on the agenda at these meetings. General Farouk Khater has also facilitated two site visits for the EA/EIA team to the smelter sites and the Abu Zaabal Landfill in Abu Zaabal.
9.1.2 Meetings with the Smelter Owners

During the weekly meetings at the Shoubra El Kheima East District, the smelter owners/representatives were invited to attend and were present in most meetings. This was a significant factor in opening a forum with them on the different stages of the project. The process of the EA/EIA and the need for a defined future use for the sites were the primary issues discussed with the smelter owners and their representatives.

9.1.3 Meetings with Community Representatives and NGO’s

Local NGO’s attended the weekly meetings at Shoubra El Kheima East District. A meeting was held with the Community Advisory Committee on Wednesday, January 26, 2005 to obtain their thoughts on the remediation process and to encourage them to attend the Scoping Meeting.

9.1.4 Tripartite Legal Agreements

Tripartite legal agreements were drafted by the project and signed by the school management, EEAA and the GOQ representatives to stipulate the mutual commitments of all parties. By signing these agreements the school management, smelters owners, guarantee accessibility to their facilities, declare the planned future use of their lands, and commit themselves to cooperate with all project’s activities.

9.2 Public Consultations (Scoping Meeting)

Community involvement is also important in order to understand the nature and extent of potential impacts, especially the socio-cultural impacts, and to assess the suitability and acceptability of various measures that might be used to prevent or mitigate impacts, or to compensate affected groups for unavoidable impacts. Community involvement is also useful in the analysis of the distribution of project costs and benefits. A genuine effort is required to provide the public with information about the project and to solicit public reactions and suggestions.

A Scoping Meeting was held on February 2, 2005 in the Shoubra El-Kheima City Council Main Hall. The agenda and list of attendees present at the Scoping Meeting is provided in Appendix D. The purpose of the Scoping Meeting was to disseminate information about the project to the stakeholders and receive their comments. The meeting introduced the potential environmental issues that would be handled in the EIA.

A scoping comments statement was provided to allow participants an opportunity to comment in writing if they were reluctant to provide verbal comments. The participants were given a week after the session to send their written comments if not provided during the session. During the meeting, a number of concerns were raised. They are already incorporated into the EIA and some of the issues that were raised were highlighted in the baseline surveys. A detailed summary of the participant remarks and scoping statement responses are provided in Appendix E.

The meeting was attended by high-level representatives of the stakeholders. The Governor of Qalyoubia, H.E./ Adly Hussien addressed the meeting in the opening session. Minister of State for Environmental Affairs Dr./Magued George nominated Dr. Fatma Abou Shouk,
Head of the Environmental Management Sector in EEAA to represent the Ministry of State for Environmental Affairs and address the meeting. Opening remarks by Mr. Ron Daniel, USAID Environment Office; and Mr. Kirk Ellis, Chief of Party, LIFE-Lead concluded the opening session.

Sixty-five invitations (65) invitations to stakeholders and individuals outside EEAA and the project team were circulated one week prior to the meeting. An announcement for the meeting was posted in the public announcements board at the Shoubra El Kheima East District seven days before the meeting. Fifty-seven (57) participants registered at the meeting. These registrants included the following:

- Twelve (12) from the GOQ or Central Government Departments.
- Twelve (12) representatives from the parliament and the local popular councils.
- Five representatives of Shoubra El-Kheima East District.
- Three representatives from Shoubra El-Kheima West District.
- Six representatives from the Education Directorate Agency for Educational Buildings and School.
- Four representatives from active local NGO’s in East District.
- One representative from the Health Directorate.
- Five representatives from the smelter and foundry owners.
- Seven representatives from Universities, lawyers and consultancies.
- Four representatives from the local media.

In addition, sixteen (16) representatives of EEAA, four representatives from USAID, and 19 members of the LIFE-Lead participated in the meeting.
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APPENDIX A

PROPOSED REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGIES FOR CONTAMINATED SOIL, WASTE PILES, BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, AND EQUIPMENT
### Table 1: Proposed Remedial Technologies for Contaminated Soil - Long List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Response Action</th>
<th>Remedial Technology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>The no action alternative provides a baseline against which other alternatives can be compared. Although no remedial action will be carried out, environmental monitoring and institutional controls (IC), which restrict land use, are required in most cases. The simplest form of IC is in a regulatory notice or certification of No Further Action (NFA), where land use restrictions could be imposed by the regulating authority. This alternative includes negotiations between landowner(s) and responsible authorities, establishment of the legal framework necessary to implement the IC, and limited corrective action and/or long-term monitoring of site contamination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Controls</td>
<td>Access Controls</td>
<td>Site controls are used in conjunction with short- and/or long-term remediation, and may be a condition of an IC. Site controls may include access controls (e.g., fencing, warning signage, security monitoring, and alarm systems); traffic controls (e.g., regulated speed limits and no stopping zones); and environmental controls (e.g., water use). For this alternative public education and enforcement activities might be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Recovery</td>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>Soil contaminated with elevated lead concentrations could be processed for lead recovery using conventional base metal extraction processes. This involves segregation of high-grade contaminated soil followed by lead extraction by leaching, concentrating, smelting, or electro-winning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Response Action</td>
<td>Remedial Technology</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Treatment               | Solidification/stabilization and off site disposal | Solidification involves the addition of chemical reagents to the contaminated soil and results in the formation of a solid monolithic mass. The contaminants do not necessarily interact chemically with the solidification reagents (e.g. cement/lime), but are physically encapsulated in a solidified matrix. This reduces the accessibility of contaminants to mobilizing agents such as groundwater or storm water.  

Stabilization technologies use chemical reagents which react with the soil contaminants and transform them into an immobile form. The produced mixture is resistant to leaching.

This alternative encompasses contaminated surface and subsurface soil excavation, followed by soil treatment with a pozzolanic stabilization process. If the treated soil is less than the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) for lead, it can be disposed on site or off-site in a sanitary landfill.                                                                 |
| Soil Washing            | Soil washing                                    | Soil washing exploits size, density, surface chemistry and magnetic differences between contaminants, and contaminated and uncontaminated soil particles. Soil washing relies on favorable distribution of soil contaminants (e.g., according to particle size) which can be exploited by separation processes to produce a concentrated fraction.  

The process starts by screening contaminated soil according to particle size. The larger particles can be washed either by water or solvent and recycled to the site. The smaller particles are washed by a wash solution to suspend or dissolve the contaminants into the solution or to concentrate the contaminants into the sludge remaining from this treatment process. While in the former case, the wastewater must be treated, in the later case the sludge must be disposed off appropriately in a landfill site.  

This technique involves excavation of contaminated soil, followed by soil washing with a solution (nitric acid or EDTA), where treated soil is returned to the site for disposal in the excavated area. Produced wastewater requires post treatment. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Response Action</th>
<th>Remedial Technology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Containment</td>
<td>Capping/Covering</td>
<td>A cap is an engineered barrier of asphalt, concrete, heavy-duty plastic lining, ca. 40 cm of compacted clay, etc., which is placed over landfills or repositories of consolidated waste to prevent water penetration. Caps usually require some level of long-term monitoring and may include institutional controls (IC) or land use restrictions. This technique involves contaminated soil consolidation into a cell or repository, followed by contouring or grading, and compaction to specifications. A cap is constructed over the completed repository and may consist of several layers depending on requirements. Earthen caps are commonly vegetated and drainage systems are usually included to control stormwater. Sometimes institutional and/or site access controls as well as public education are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal</td>
<td>Excavation and off-site disposal</td>
<td>Solid or semi solid materials can be removed by excavation. This technique could be cost-prohibitive for sites of large volumes, great depth or complex hydro-geologic environments. The feasibility of this process requires knowledge of land disposal restrictions and other regulations developed by the government. Land filling of hazardous material is expensive due to regulatory control. Excavation can be accomplished by a wide range of conventional equipment such as cranes, draglines, dozers, and loaders. The hauling equipment includes scrapers, haulers, dredges, bulldozers, and loaders. Fugitive dust from excavation is commonly controlled by chemical dust suppressants, wind screens, water spraying and other dust control measures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Proposed Remedial Technologies for Waste Piles - Long List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Response Action</th>
<th>Remedial Technology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>Similar to the remediation of contaminated soil, the No Action alternative will be used as a baseline against which other alternatives can be compared. This alternative might include environmental monitoring to prevent contaminants from off site migration, institutional control, and/or limited corrective action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>On Site Washing</td>
<td>This process is similar to but less complicated than acid leaching of soil. This process involves waste piles screening and washing with a leaching agent (e.g., nitric acid) to remove lead. The sludge washed from the plastic, ebonite battery casing, and debris is recovered as a by-product. The cleaned plastic casing chips can be sold to a plastic manufacturer for recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal</td>
<td>Waste Piles Removal Off-Site Disposal</td>
<td>Waste pile removal and off-site disposal encompasses excavation, removal, transportation, and disposal in a landfill site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Recovery</td>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>This process comprises excavation of waste piles, followed by on-site separation of fragments, and recycling of components that can be recycled. Recycling of components may be carried out on site or off-site. During recycling the mixed primary source materials are separated into components of lead fines, plastic, and ebonite.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: Proposed Remedial Technologies for Buildings, Structures, and Equipment - Long List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Response Action</th>
<th>Remedial Technology</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>No Action</td>
<td>This alternative might involve institutional control, environmental monitoring, and site use restrictions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Demolition</td>
<td>Demolition and Off-site Disposal</td>
<td>This technique involves equipment dismantling into components or manageable pieces. This method separates types of equipment that are not feasible to be decontaminated and have to be disposed off as hazardous waste from that equipment which can be disposed off as non-hazardous waste.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decontamination</td>
<td>Washing/ Cleaning</td>
<td>Decontamination of structure and equipment includes waste removal, treatment of process equipment, containers, and any other equipment used to treat hazardous waste. The decontamination process will vary based on the materials being decontaminated. There is no regulatory requirement specifying decontamination methods. Among others hydro-blasting, steam cleaning, solvent washings, blasting, and pressure flushing could be used for building structures decontamination. All cleaning residues (e.g., rinse water, sandblasting grit) are hazardous wastes and must be managed accordingly unless it can be demonstrated that these residues are non-hazardous.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

LOCATIONS OF THE WATER SAMPLING AND BOREHOLES STRATIGRAPHY
Exhibit 1: Locations of the Water Sampling Around the Sites
Exhibit 2: Borehole No. 1 at Seoudi Smelter

Project: Life Lead Pollution Clean-up in Shoubra EL-Kheima Qalubiya

Location: Seoudi Smelter

SUBSURFACE PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty Clay-fragments</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drill method: Rotary drilling
Drill date: December 2004
Exhibit 3: Borehole No. 2 at Seoudi Smelter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silty Clay-fragments</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drill method: Rotary drilling
Drill date: December 2004
APPENDIX C

WATER QUALITY ANALYSES RESULTS AT SHOUBRA EL KHEIMA AND ABU ZAABAL
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Type of Sample</th>
<th>Grid Pos. XY</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Time of Sampling</th>
<th>Temp. °C</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ec (µS)</th>
<th>TDS (mg/l)</th>
<th>Alkalinity (mg/l)</th>
<th>NO2 (mg/l)</th>
<th>NO3 (mg/l)</th>
<th>NH4 (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Store at end of Kamal St.</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332115, 3332316</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>12:00 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>204.8</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beside Seoudy</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332471, 3332264</td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>10:25 4/5/2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>556.8</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beside Seoudy</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Duplicate</td>
<td>0332381, 3332262</td>
<td>30+</td>
<td>10:40 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>204.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awadalla Smelter-1</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332381, 3332262</td>
<td>30+</td>
<td>10:40 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>204.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Elm wa El Iman School</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332307, 3332258</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>11:05 4/5/2005</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap Store Mokhles Anwar</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332605, 3332291</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>11:05 4/5/2005</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Fuel Station</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333029, 3332339</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11:15 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>293.8</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Smelter Essam A. Hamid</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333176, 3332411</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11:40 4/5/2005</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>274.6</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Smelter Saad Tomas</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333258, 3332439</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11:50 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>291.8</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Fotouh Cotton Factory</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333256, 3332386</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12:45 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>316.2</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misr for Concrete</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333810, 3332609</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13:15 4/5/2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>185.6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Ekwha for Plastic</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0334053, 3332759</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>13:30 4/5/2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>761.6</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Masria for Tanks</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0334468, 3332578</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>13:40 4/5/2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>934.4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. El Gabbar Dyeing Co.</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332916, 3332954</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14:10 4/5/2005</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>806.4</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honey Factory</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332858, 3332726</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>14:30 4/5/2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>217.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiba</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332791, 3332726</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14:50 4/5/2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>473.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Sample No.</td>
<td>Type of Sample</td>
<td>Grid Pos. X,Y</td>
<td>Depth m</td>
<td>Time of Sampling</td>
<td>Temp. °C</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Ec µS</td>
<td>TDS mg/l</td>
<td>Alkalinity mg/l</td>
<td>NO2 mg/l</td>
<td>NO3 mg/l</td>
<td>NH4 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyeing Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332946</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Forming Workshop</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333179</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332808</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noval Factory</td>
<td>1601</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333229</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15:45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332516</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House 22 Ter'at El Shaboura St.</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333448</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16:10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>569.6</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332521</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rashed Assal Smelter</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0332383</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16:45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332330</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ismailia Monitoring Well</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0333183</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16:15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1299.2</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3332320</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saad Tomas Monitoring Well</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>439.04</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Zaabal Dumpsite</td>
<td>AZ101</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12:15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>6370</td>
<td>4077</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashour ElSayed</td>
<td>AZ201</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0342591</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12:40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>6336</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imam House</td>
<td>AZ202</td>
<td>Duplicate</td>
<td>3305550</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway Crossing</td>
<td>AZ301</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0342372</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12:50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AZ303</td>
<td>Cross Ref.</td>
<td>3349962</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Zaabal Minning Graves</td>
<td>AZ401</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0341676</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13:55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3350029</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Mawgood Abu Youssef House</td>
<td>AZ501</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0341157</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14:10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3350559</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim Abu Youssef Farm</td>
<td>AZ601</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>0341233</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3352411</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/6/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infront Armenian Entrance</td>
<td>IW0101</td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>200m U.S</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>17:40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IW0103</td>
<td>Cross Ref.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS0101</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infront of Ismailia</td>
<td>IS0201</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>17:15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Well</td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>Depth (D.S)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>COD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infront of Egyptian Welding Academy IW0201</td>
<td>200m</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td>18:15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4/5/2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Groundwater Samples Analysis Results at Shoubra El Kheima

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lead (Pb) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Store at end of El Kamal Street</td>
<td>0332115</td>
<td>3332316</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beside Seoudi</td>
<td>0332471</td>
<td>3332264</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Awadalla Smelter</td>
<td>0332381</td>
<td>3332262</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>El Elm wa El Iman School (under construction)</td>
<td>0332307</td>
<td>3332258</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Scrap Store – Mokhles Anwar</td>
<td>0332605</td>
<td>3332291</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mobil Fuel Station</td>
<td>0333029</td>
<td>3332339</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Iron Smelter – Essam Abdel Hamid</td>
<td>0333176</td>
<td>3332411</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Iron Smelter – Saad Thomas</td>
<td>0333258</td>
<td>3332439</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>El Fottouh Cotton Factory</td>
<td>0333256</td>
<td>3332386</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Misr for Concrete</td>
<td>0333810</td>
<td>3332609</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>El Ekhwa for Plastic</td>
<td>0334053</td>
<td>3332759</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>El Masria for Tanks</td>
<td>0334468</td>
<td>3332578</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Abdel Gabbar Dyeing Company</td>
<td>0332916</td>
<td>3332954</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Honey Factory</td>
<td>0332858</td>
<td>3332726</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tiba Dyeing Company</td>
<td>0332791</td>
<td>3332946</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Metal Forming Workshop</td>
<td>0333179</td>
<td>3332808</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Phone1</td>
<td>Phone2</td>
<td>Phone3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Noval Factory</td>
<td>0333229</td>
<td>3332516</td>
<td>1601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>House 22 Ter'at El Shaboura Street</td>
<td>0333448</td>
<td>3332521</td>
<td>1701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Rashed Assal Smelter</td>
<td>0332383</td>
<td>3332330</td>
<td>1801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ter'at El Ismailia Monitoring Well (Willow)</td>
<td>0333183</td>
<td>3332320</td>
<td>1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Saad Thomas Monitoring Well (Deep)</td>
<td>0333258</td>
<td>3332439</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Groundwater Samples Analysis Results at the Abu Zaabal Disposal Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lead (Pb) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abu Zaabal Disposal Site (from a pit)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>AZ10</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ashour El Sayed Emam House</td>
<td>0342591</td>
<td>AZ20</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Railway Crossing</td>
<td>0342372</td>
<td>AZ30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Abu Zaabal Mining Graves</td>
<td>0341676</td>
<td>AZ40</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Abdel Mawgood Abu Youssef House</td>
<td>0341157</td>
<td>AZ50</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ibrahim Abu Youssef Farm</td>
<td>0341233</td>
<td>AZ60</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Surface Water Samples from the Ismailia Canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lead (Pb) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infront of Armenian Entrance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IW010</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Infront of Egyptian Welding Academy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IW020</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Sediment Samples from the Ismailia Canal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GPS</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lead (Pb) ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infront of Armenian Entrance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IS010</td>
<td>4.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Infront of Ismailia Monitoring Well</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IS020</td>
<td>233.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Infront of Egyptian Welding Academy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>IS030</td>
<td>19.195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS AT THE SCOPING SESSION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOQ</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E./Adly Hussein, GOQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raafat Fathy Abd El-Latif</td>
<td>Manager of Environmental Office</td>
<td>Environmental Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahmoud Hassan Osman</td>
<td>Manager of Security Department</td>
<td>Security Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Abd El-Rahman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127061786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EEAA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Fatma Abou Shouk</td>
<td>Head of Environmental Management Sector</td>
<td></td>
<td>012 214 4830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Adel El Shafei</td>
<td>Head of Hazardous Waste Department</td>
<td></td>
<td>010 558 2275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ahlam Farouk</td>
<td>Head of Inspection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ahmed Abou El Seoud</td>
<td>Head of Air Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>0123102068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Hanan El Hadary</td>
<td>Head of Industrial Unit (EPAP)</td>
<td></td>
<td>010 124 0814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam Mohamed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>012 370 0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atef Yaccoub</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Inspection Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Mona Habib</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Cairo Branch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Elham Refaat</td>
<td>Head of Hazardous Substances Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Sabry Abdel Menem</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Health Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Safwat Aly Kashaba</td>
<td>Head of Environmental Inspectors</td>
<td></td>
<td>123320920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussien Moawad Mahmoud</td>
<td>Cairo Regional Branch Office (RBO)</td>
<td></td>
<td>106157698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eklas Gamal El-Din</td>
<td>Manager of Water Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>105666208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayed Mohamed Abd Rabuh</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chemist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hany Mohammed Nabil</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Researcher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahmoud Medhat Allam</td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Office for Environmental Impact Assessment</td>
<td>122723635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ministry of Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansour El Husseiny</td>
<td>General Manager of Education Department Shoubra El Kheima</td>
<td>Education Directorate</td>
<td>0121624277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galal Hamed M. Khaled</td>
<td>Head of Primary Education Department</td>
<td>Education Directorate</td>
<td>0106595230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atef Abd El-Mohsen Shahin</td>
<td>Researcher in the Institute of Studies and Environmental Researches</td>
<td>Education Directorate</td>
<td>106329400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed Samy Mohammed</td>
<td>Manager of the Educational Buildings Authorities</td>
<td></td>
<td>123407801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smelters Owners</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahgat Abd El-Fattah</td>
<td>Awadallah Secondary Lead Smelter Manager</td>
<td>Awadallah Secondary Lead Smelter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Zaki</td>
<td>Awadallah Secondary Lead Smelter Manager</td>
<td>Awadallah Secondary Lead Smelter</td>
<td>101179034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emad Ismail Seoudi</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>Seoudi Secondary Lead Smelter - El-Amal Factory</td>
<td>123245624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Kassem</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>El-Mahy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shoubra El Kheima - East District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mervat Hassan</td>
<td>EU Manager</td>
<td>0121091884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saadeya Ragab Abd Allah</td>
<td>Information Center Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albair El-Baialy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mousrafa Ali Hassan</td>
<td>Environmental Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrahim Ahemd El-Sayed</td>
<td>Environmental Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parliament**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Oda</td>
<td>People Assembly - Parliament</td>
<td>People Assembly - Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Farouk el-Deeb</td>
<td>People Assembly - Parliament</td>
<td>People Assembly - Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Mahdy Asr</td>
<td>Shoura Council member</td>
<td>Shoura Council member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lawyers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amin Sayed Amin</td>
<td></td>
<td>127714959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehab Abd El-Monaem</td>
<td></td>
<td>101775312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shobra El Kheima City**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Ahmed Mohammed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Abd El-Hafez</td>
<td>Factory Owner</td>
<td>122143616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fouad El-Diamry</td>
<td></td>
<td>122912378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somaya Mohammed</td>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayed Ahmed Sayed</td>
<td>Head of Local Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essam El-Din Zaki Hamed</td>
<td>Manager of the Environment Office</td>
<td>Environment Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed El-Gool</td>
<td>Member of the Local Council</td>
<td>124918690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mourad Ghaly</td>
<td>Member of the Local Council</td>
<td>127295937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed Hussien El-Badawy</td>
<td>Member of the Local Council</td>
<td>101787238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed El-Haag</td>
<td>Head of the Local People Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adel Ibrahim Ayad</td>
<td>Member of the Local Council</td>
<td>127441732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mona Mohammed Hafez</td>
<td></td>
<td>101764329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salah Sayed Imam</td>
<td>Member of Shouobra El-Kheima Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Mohammed Kassim</td>
<td>Public Relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shoubra El Kheima-West District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Samy Helmy</td>
<td>Head of the Local People Council - West District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Ahmed</td>
<td>Manager of Environmental Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iman Hassan Abd El-Wahhab</td>
<td>Environmental Researcher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khadiga Hanfy Maddkor</td>
<td>Journalist</td>
<td>El-Gesser El-Arabi Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdy Mohammed</td>
<td>Chief in Editor</td>
<td>El-Gesser El-Arabi Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laila Mohammed Mohammed</td>
<td>Ahmed Shaalan School Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samya Abd El-Wahed Elneshooky</td>
<td>Ibn Kaldoon School Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Hosny Ibrahim Mohammed</td>
<td>Assistant Professor- Faculty of Science, Cairo University</td>
<td>105356987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ahmed Farouk</td>
<td>Teaching Assistant- Faculty of Science, Cairo University</td>
<td>105160284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samir Abd El-Baky Zain</td>
<td>Head of Environmental Engineering Department</td>
<td>Helwan University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Zainab Safar</td>
<td>Head of Mechanical Engineering Department</td>
<td>Cairo University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yossry Ibrahim Youssef</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amr Abd El-Salam</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>Shoubra El-Keima Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younan Rizk</td>
<td>Priest</td>
<td>Mar Girgus Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Mohammed</td>
<td>Shaikh</td>
<td>Awkaf of Shuobra El-Kheima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nwaheeb Farahat</td>
<td>Head of Protective Division</td>
<td>Health Sector of Shuobra El-Kheima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanaa Saeed Abd El-Mohsen</td>
<td>Manager of the Nile and Media Institute</td>
<td>The Nile and Media Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali Ali Hussien</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE-Lead Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirk Ellis</td>
<td>Chief of Party</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amr Ismail</td>
<td>Site Engineer</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby Emam</td>
<td>Site Engineer</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dina Alaa</td>
<td>Training &amp; Communication Assistant</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatheya Soliman</td>
<td>Technical Design Manager</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heba El-Toudy</td>
<td>Training Specialist</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heba Wafa</td>
<td>Health Risk Assessment</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Baker</td>
<td>MSE Specialist</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Christenson</td>
<td>MSE Specialist</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Mackey</td>
<td>MSE Specialist</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khaled Fahmy</td>
<td>Deputy Chief of Party</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Krahl</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madiha Afifi</td>
<td>Training &amp; Communication Manager</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magued Youssef</td>
<td>Technical Planning Manager</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salwa Tobbala</td>
<td>Communication Specialist</td>
<td>Life Lead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Ali Nasser</td>
<td>Environmental Assessment Expert</td>
<td>101417105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Magued Hamed</td>
<td>Risk Assessment</td>
<td>17340619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Dalia Nakhla</td>
<td>Environmental Assessment Specialist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Abeer Shokayer</td>
<td>Contaminated Sites Remediation Specialist</td>
<td>103300346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**USAID**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ron Danial</td>
<td>Special Project Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seif Allah Hassanin</td>
<td>Environment Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amany Gamal El-Din</td>
<td>Project Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Abd El-Rahman</td>
<td>Projects Engineer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX E

DETAILED SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND SCOPING RESPONSES FROM THE SCOPING SESSION
Introduction

The LIFE-Lead Pollution Clean-Up in Qalyoubia Scoping Session was held on Wednesday February 2, 2005 at the Shoubra El-Kheima City Council Hall. The session was attended by approximately 90 participants from local government, executive agencies, and community representatives in addition to the project team. The following is a summary of comments either made by the participants during the session or submitted in writing during or within one week after the session in response to a scoping response form distributed at the beginning of the session.

Summary of Comments Made during the Session

Atef Shaheen
Post-graduate Student, Institute of Environmental Studies, Ain Shams University and a Local Resident.

Mr. Shaheen stressed that the social aspects should be covered in parallel with the environmental impact e.g. to include impacts on workers of the smelters that are no longer operating. Also there was an inquiry about the activities under each component of the project and which ones have already been accomplished. It was not clear in the handout.

In addition, Mr. Shaheen had concerns about the impacts of the project activities and the processes following remediation since it is a pilot project. The other concern was of the children already impacted from the high lead levels and how they would be treated to reduce the concentration in their blood.

This final concern was the scope of the project since it involves only the smelters, while there are other sources of lead pollution in the neighborhood

Zeinab Safar and Khaled Fahmy

In response for the item related to children and residents, Dr. Safar clarified that blood samples were taken and the National Council for Women is planning to take health measures through nutrition and medical treatment for example to try to reduce lead levels in the blood.

In response, Dr. Fahmy clarified regarding the social assessment, the social component of the project will address this issue.

Ekhlás Gamál El Din
General Manager Water Quality Unit, EEAA

Ms. Gamál El Din commented on the remediation already performed by Awadallah and whether is has been done properly or would further remediation actions need to be done by the project to his smelters.

2 Recorded in sequence of speaking during the session
Khaled Fahmy

In response Awadallah status, there will be an agreement with EEAA that there will not be inspected or fined for the period of remediation.

Ahmed Abu El Soud, EEAA

Eng. Abu El Soud said that regarding clean-up done by Awadallah, the sites will be assessed and if required clean up levels are not reached, the sites will be remediated.

Ahmed Sami Mohamed
General Manager of Education Buildings, Qalyoubia

The agency where Mr. Mohamed works is involved in annual maintenance of schools, therefore Mr. Mohamed expressed an interest in the recommendations that would result from this project regarding school clean-up and remediation. In addition, it would be beneficial if the project resulted in guidelines that can be utilized and distributed to maintenance contractors.

Mr. Mohamed asked why was only “Ahmed Shalaan” school included in the project and whether this implies that it suffers from the highest pollution compared to other schools. In addition, an inquiry on the role that the Education Buildings (his agency) would have in this project was raised.

Zeinab Safar

In response, Dr. Safar also added that “Ahmed Shalaan” school was selected since it had the highest pollution and it will be a pilot to demonstrate how NGOs and Education Buildings could repeat clean-up activities in schools of similar conditions.

Fatheya Soliman

Responding, Dr. Soliman said that the Agency for Education Building will be invited to the training that will be given to contractors by Life-Lead they are responsible for cleaning-up schools of similar conditions.

Bahgat Abdel Fatah
Lead Smelter Manager (Awadallah)

Although Mr. Abdel Fatah took the initiative of cleaning, EEAA are giving him fines (مخالفات) for his smelter and vehicles on the road (اشغال طريق).

Mr. Abdel Fatah also stated that this area is industrial and sensitive establishments such as schools were misplaced or located without planning within the smelters’ area and not vice versa. Therefore this should be taken into consideration in Abu Zaabal industrial estate to ensure that it is planned properly and no sensitive establishments (schools) would be improperly located.

Mr. Abdel Fatah was also concerned with the need to move to Abu Zaabal and not allowed to stay in Shoubra El Kheima and upgrade their technology to a cleaner one.
Amr Mohamed Abdel Salam  
Library Manager Shoubra El Kheima, NGO-Integrated Care Society  

Mr. Abdel Salam asked why weren’t they involved in such project especially that they are an active NGO in the area and pointed out that NGOs should be involved in “awareness” campaigns and the implementation.

Zeinab Safar and Khaled Fahmy  

In response, Dr. Zeinab pointed out that NGOs will play a major role in this project. While one NGO is already involved, other agencies that are also in the project are the Governorate, EEAA, Education Directivity and Dr. Wafia Eteba (from Ministry of Health and Integrated Care Society).

Ali Kasem  
El Mahy Smelter  

Mr. Kasem stated that the objective of the project is to combat pollution and have clean air. He has several requirements:  
- Allocating an alternative piece of land in Abu Zaabal area.  
- Assistance in using the current land for a suitable project.  
- Assistance with the situation of Abu Zaabal land payment. El-Mahy smelter owners have paid a down payment for the new land, but were not able to make the rest of the installments on time and now have trouble in paying the interests.

Khaled Fahmy  

Now, Mr. Ali is cooperating and has reserved land in Abu Zaabal but could not pay in time and has accumulated high interest on his money, which he is unable to pay. In response, the Life project have already spoken to the Governor and El Mahy will be excused from paying the accumulated interest on the price of land but El Mahy is expected to pay for the land in Abu Zaabal as soon as possible.

Morad Ghali  
Local Council, Shoubra El Kheima  

Mr. Ghali asked whether all concerned agencies are involved in project implementation such as: Education Buildings, Ministry of Industry., Ministry of Heath, Local council and Ministry of Environment

Khaled Fahmy  

Responding, Dr. Fahmy said that the LIFE-Lead project will perform the technical component in clan-up but other important stakeholders will continue working in this area to assure sustainability.

Ahmed Farouk  
Faculty of Science, Cairo University.
Mr. Farouk pointed out that the project area is one of earthquakes and has a number of willow tectonics which may result in fractures. This should be considered or included as a criterion for alternative selection especially during soil removal/excavation. Excavation may lead to fractures opening and lead transfer to the groundwater and eventually to the Ismailia Canal.

Ali Hassan  
EIA Expert

In response, Dr. Hassan commented that the geological setting of the area will be included as part of the baseline environmental conditions of the EIA and that the concern of Mr. Farouk will be taken into consideration.

Ahlam Farouk  
Head of Inspection Unit, EEAA

Ms. Farouk asked where would the contaminated soil go to. Abu Zaabal landfill is a sanitary one and is not prepared to accommodate hazardous waste. Also, Ms. Farouk recommended solidification of the soil before land filling.

In addition, Ms. Farouk was concerned with the handling and management of the glass removed from El-Shahid Ahmed Shalaan school windows. What about ensuring Personal Protective Equipment (PPEs) during the operations?

Fatheya Soliman

Dr. Soliman responded that health and safety regulations and requirements will be followed during remediation activities and will be included as part of the training to the clean-up contractors. As for land filling, leaching test will be performed to the soil in all forms before it is landfilled to ensure that it is in a form that results in no leaching.

Elham Refaat  
Head of Hazardous Waste Unit, EEAA

Ms. Refaat asked if there are other sources of lead contamination in the project area and whether they represent a pollution load. In addition, Ms. Refaat recommended that the “secure landfill” of Nasereya, Alexandria could be used by the project since Abu Zaabal is not suitable.

Safwat Khashaba  
Inspection Unit, EEAA

Mr. Khashaba ensured the recommendation made by Ms. Refaat on the use of Naseerya landfill.

Ahmed Abu El Soud,  
EEAA

Dr. Abu El Soud responded that the choice of landfill depends on economical factors as well as environmental and technical factors. However, the length of journey is important to minimize risk of transportation. Finally Dr. Abu El Soud added that the smelter owners
should sign an agreement with the Governorate on the intended future use of the smelter site in Shoubra El-Kheima, since this will determine the level of remediation.

Summary of Comments Submitted in Writing (during and within one week of the session)3

**Ali Kasem**
**El Mahy Smelter**

Mr. Kasem comments dealt with the nature of the remediation process. He requested that the remediation process takes place without causing any causality and with complete protection to the health of the various people working in the remediation. He wanted to know how the remediation will be carried; is it by removing soil from the site, or by covering it with a suitable liner? He wants the remediation problem to be solved within the available techniques and resources either through the smelter itself or through the project. One final comment was the he wants the governorate to help them in getting the land for re-establishing the smelter in Abu Zaabal Industrial Area.

**Eng. Safwat Khashaba**
**Environmental Inspection, EEAA**

Eng. Khashba mentioned again that El-Naseryia landfill could be an efficient place to receive the hazardous wastes driven from the sites. This landfill could receive inorganic hazardous wastes (as in our case) and the cost of one ton is approximately 338 L.E. This landfill is located at 11 Mahmoud Kattab Street-Alexandria. He also gave us his mobile phone number and the phone numbers of the landfill. He appreciated the work to be done by the project as it is a pioneer in the area. He wanted all the results to be documented especially the side effects so that it be handled in future projects. He wanted a bigger and a more effective role for the media sector, especially the media targeting the youth. He wanted the people to know that EEAA is not just a place to collect fines as known by the various factory owners, but it is a place to protect the labor working in these factories besides protecting all the people of this country. He wondered what the situation in the hospitals and various medical centers is, because if no environmental precautions are implemented there then they will be a contamination source.

**Mrs. Fayza Ragab Mohammed Aly (was not present at the meeting)**
**Education Directorate, Shoubra El-Kheima**

Mrs. Ragab had many comments which could be summarized in the following:

1. Nothing was mentioned on the pupils of Ahmed Shaalan School who have graduated and left the school,
2. Residents should have known earlier about the problem through mosques, cafes, and churches,
3. Are maps available for the complete infrastructure in the area to ensure that no contradictions occur between the activities of the project and the existing facilities,
4. Were all the criteria for selecting among the remediation activities well studied, and
5. A list containing the remediation alternatives should be presented on the concerned parties in another session. This should be accompanied by a media campaign to help the people form a positive attitude on the activities.

---

3 Received until Tuesday February 8, 2005.
She mentioned that the Environmental and Population Sector in the East Shoubra El-Kheima Educational District could initiate an awareness campaign among the residents of the area. This will help in clearly showing the positive effects of the projects together with highlighting the drawbacks of living with all the sources of contamination active as the case now.