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## SURMLARY

This report analyzes agricultural product and input prices in Costa Rica for the 1972-1980 period. Products included are cocoa, sugar cane, beef, rice, beans, corn, sorghum, onions, potatoes, plantains, tomatoes and cassava.

Prices for the following inputs were analyzed: fertilizers-urea, 10-30-10, 15-15-15; herbicides - 2-4, D, Gramoxone; a fungicide, Manzate; and an insecticide, Dipterex. Hourly costs for agricultural labor were also examined.

Product prices generally increased from 1972 to 1980 , but real prices, measured in 1975 colones, were below those for 1972 in most cases. Wages increased substantially over the period.

Fertilizer and agricultural chemicals generally increased in price from 1972 to 1975, then dropped till 1978. Input prices increased again - in 1980. Inflation, as measured by the wholesale price index, accounted. for most of the increase in input prices. Exchange rate changes beginning in late 1980 and continuing through 1981 contributed to input price increases, also.

Production costs for the four basic grains, rice, beans, corn and sorghum, and for sugar cane were also analyzed. The costs were taken from enterprise budgets published by the Central Bank.

Crop production costs reported in these budgets generally increased from 1975 levels, but patterns were not uniform across products. Costs reported did not increase as rapidly as did the wholesale price index.


ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL INPUT PRICES AND
FARM GATE PRICES FOR SELECTED AGRICULTURAI PRODUCTS

Kary Mathis

## INTRODUCTION

This report provides the analyses specified in Work Order Number 1 of the Technical Support to Mission, established between USAID/Costa Rica and the University of Florida. Specific directives for that work order were:
"The Contractor shall analyze price trends since 1972 for major agricultural inputs such as fertilizer, herbicides, fungicides, pesticides, farm implements, agricultural machinery, hired $\therefore \therefore$ Iabor (peones), custom land preparation/harvesting costs, etc. $\because$ Price indices for these inputs shall be developed.
$\because$ The Contractor shall also analyze trends in support prices. for basic grains and other selected agricultural products. In addition, the Contractor will prepare farm-gate price indices for a variety of fruits and vegetables (based on the availability of such data).

The Contractor will also analyze trends in costs of production data found in the avios (budgets) prepared by the Central Bank for crops/livestock operations as well as the overall amount per hectare in-these avios which can be credit-financed.

All these analyses shall contain supporting data tables."

[^0]The report is organized into six sections: the introduction, a discussion of economic measures such as wholesale price indices, analysis of product prices, relations of input prices and farm production costs, and finally a sumary with conclusions.

AID personnel specified the years 1972 through 1980 as the appropriate period for this study. The products selected were:
cocoa sorghum
sugar cane onions beef potatoes plantains tomatoes
cassava

Prices for nearly all the products for most years of the period were collected and production costs were gathered from available published sources. Costs were not available for all products for all years. Prices for certain pur$\therefore$ chased inputs and for hired labor were also available for some products in some years in some regions of the country. Prices and costs are discussed in more details in the following sections.

- As indicated just above, published reports were used•for much of the data needed, as well as other material prepared by Costa Rican and U.S. agencies (see reference list). Complete series for all products, inputs or cossts for all years were not available during the initial collection effort in Costa Rica. However, analyses were conducted with the information at hand and a number of findings and conclusions are reported here.

GENERAL ECONOMIC MEASURES

Indices of both wholesale and consumer prices are published by the Central Bank of Costa Rica. These measures were used in analyzing and describing agri-
cultural product and input price trends and behavior. Exchange rates between the colon and the U.S. dollar and between the colone and other currencies changed considerably beginning in 1980 and affected the agricultural sector, just as many other sectors of the Costa Rican economy were affected. There-. fore, some information concerning exchange rates and their effects on agricultural prices and costs is included.

## Wholesale and Consumer Prices

The Central Bank calculates and publishes a general wholesale price index and indices for several groups of commodities. Four of these groups, foods of animal and of plant origin, fuels and energy, and chemicals, are shown in Table 1 along with the general wholesale price index. These indices are pubilished with 1966 as the base year, and a new series uses 1978 as a base. Consumer price indices used 1964 and then 1975 as base years. All indices in this report were transformed to a $19 \dot{7}$ base, for ease of comparison. Since 1975 is also the mid-point of the 1972-1980 period of anaylsis, 1975 is a. convenient base year.

The general wholesale price index almost doubled from 1972 to 1975, then showed an increase of 73 percent from 1975 to 1980 (Table 1 and Figure 1). Average annual rate of increase in wholesale prices was 14.7 percent over the 1972-1980 period. The index for fuels and energy increased the most, as would be expected, reaching a 1980 level almost five times the 1972 figure (Figure 1). Foods of plant origin showed the nexthighest growth, followed by chemicals and then foods of animal origin (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Average annual rates of increase for the product groups shown were: foods of animal origin, 12.4 percent; foods of plant origin, 17.6 percent; fuels and energy, 20.5 percent; and chemicals, 14.0 percent. These indices will be used in comparing price trends for both products and inputs in later sections of the report.

Table 1. Wholesale price indices: general, foods, energy and chemicals, Costa Rica, 1972-1980



Figure 1.--Wholesale and consumer price indices (1975=100), Costa Rica, 1972-1980.

The consumer price index for all items nearly tripled from 1972 to 1980, and the index for foods was somewhat higher (Table 2 and Figure 1). The general consumer price index increased at an average annual rate of 12.0 percent over the period, while the index of food prices increased at 13.1 percent per year.

## Exchange Rates

Costa Rican exchange rates have received a great deal of attention over the past year. The only aspect of concern in this report is the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on exports and imports of agricultural products and inputs. Cocoa, sugar and beef are important export products as well as being important domestic food items. Many essential inputs fertilizers, agricultural chemicals and fuels - are imported. Thus, prevailing exchange rates and fluctuations in those rates affect Costa Rican export earnings and competitive position in world markets, domestic food consumption and productivity and financial health of agricultural producers and input supply firms.

The official exchange rate for the colon with the U.S. dollar was fixed at 8.57 from 1975 through 1980, after rising slightly from 1972 to 1975 (Table 3). The official rate averaged 17.037 for the first half of 1981. Effects of exchange rates on product prices and inputs costs will be discussed in those later sections.

Table 2. Consumer price index: general and foods, Costa-Rica, 1972-1980


Table 3. Costa Rica, exchange rate with U.S. dollar, 1972-1980


## PRODUCT PRICES

The twelve agricultural products discussed in this report can be divided into three groups: products both exported and consumed domestically, made of cocoa, sugar and rice; the "basic grains", rice, beans, corn-and sorghum, which are important domestic food crops and the vegetable crops of onions, potatoes, plantains, tomatoes and cassava. Price trends in both current and constant colones are discussed for each one of those three groups and effects of ex-: change rates are included in the discussion of the first group.

## Export and Domestic Products

## Cocoa

. . Export prices for cocoa increased sharply from 83,262 per metric ton in 1972 to a high of 425,993 in 1977, then declined to 817,426 by 1980 (Table 4). Domestic prices were comparable, rising from $\not \subset 3,128$ in 1972 to $\not \subset 27,352$ by 1977, then declining to $\$ 20,609$ by 1980 . $\therefore \therefore$.

Changes in export and domestic prices for cocoa are shown in Figure 2, $\because \because$ for both current and 1975 colones. The figures for prices in 1975 colones are calculated by dividing current prices by the general wholesale price index (Table 1). The comparisons are shown in Figure 2, and Appendix Table 1.

Cocoa export prices in 1975 colones were somewhat above nominal prices from 1972 through 1974. From 1976 on, nominal cocoa prices rose more rapidIy than the general level of wholesale prices, as reflected in 1976-1980 prices in 1975. terms (Figure 2 and Appendix Table 1).

## Sugar cane

Prices paid to Costa Rican producers for sugar cane were reported in the publications and sources available. Sugar cane prices almost tripled from 1972 to 1975, then dropped and rose again to a higher level yet by 1980 (Table 4 and Figure 2).


Table 4. Cocoa, sugar cane and beef: Annual average producer prices for export and domestic markets, 1972-1980

| Year | Cocoa |  | Sugar cane Domestic | Beef |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Export | Domestic |  | Export | Domestic |
| - colones per metric ton - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 3,262 | 3,128 | 53 | 3,733 | 2,850 |
| 1973 | 6,080 | 6,274 | 56 | 4,688 | 3,910 |
| 1974 | 11,035 | 9,947 | 92 | 4,330 | 4,190 |
| 1975 | 8,923 | 9,321 | 148 | 4,785 | 3,806 |
| 1976 | 14,200 | 13,623 | 110 | 4,984 | 3,869 |
| 1977 | 25,993 | 27,352 | 127 | 5,327 | 4,043. |
| 1978 | 22,038 | 24,118 | 129 | 7,046 | 5,270 |
| 1979 | 19,598 | 21,963 | 134 | 8,741 | 7,190 |
| 1980 | 17,426 | 20,669 | 182 | 9,774. | 8,520 |
| 名 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| apreliminary |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```Source: Cifras Sobre Producción Agropecuaria, 1957-1974, #, Central Bank of Costa Rica. San Jose, Costa Rica, 1975, Cifras Sobre Producción Agropecuaria, 1975-1980, Central Bank of Costa Rica. San Jose, Costa Rica, 1981.``` |  |  |  |  |  |

Sugar cane prices in 1975 colones were considerably above nominal prices in 1972 and 1973, and substantially below nominal prices from 1978 on (Figure 2 and Appendix Table 1). Nominal sugar cane prices increased more rapidly than the general level of wholesale prices prior to 1975 , but rose much less rapidly after that year.

Beef

Both export and domestic prices for beef rose from 1972 to 1974 , then were relatively stable through 1977 before increasing substantially to 1980 levels. Export prices were above domestic prices throughout the period (Table 4 and Figure 2).
:. ... Beef prices in 1975 colones showed the same pattern as cocoa prices -- rising less rapidly from 1972 to 1975 than the wholesale price index and $\therefore$ more rapidiy than wholesale prices after 1975 (Figure 2 and Appendix Table 1). Thi-spattern was true for both export and domestic beef prices, with domestic "....... prices rising at a higher rate than export prices.

## Exchange Rate Effects

$\because \because$ The rate of exchange between the colon and the U.S. dolla: changed some from 1972 to 1975, was fixed until 1980, -and then moved upward substantially (Table 3). An exchange rate index was calculated by dividing the rate for each year of the $1972-1980$ period by 8.57 , the rate prevailing for 1975 through 1980. This index was then multiplied times current product prices in each year to calculate an "indexed" export price. This "indexed" price simply expresses the product value in relation to the prevailing exchange rate.

Thus, for 1972 and 1973 export quantities of cocoa, beef and sugar were worth 29 percent more than nominal prices, and eight percent more in 1974
than for the fixed-rate period 1975-1980 (Table 5). Though the analysis in this report does not include 1981, the change in exchange rates during that year affected the "value" or international purchasing power of export products. For example, the average exchange rateduring the first six months of 1.981 was Q17.037 per dollar (Table 3) or an index of .503 (Table 5). If cocoa price in that period were 420,000 per metric ton, the indexed price would be only Q10,060, or just over half the value at the 1975-1980 exchange rate.

## Basic Grains

Four major domestic food crops, rice, beans, corn and sorghum, are classified as "basic grains" by Costa Rican government agencies, and producer prices for those products are established by support programs. The National Production Council (Consejo Nacional de Producción, CNP) administers these programs. Support prices are established for the fiscal year, July 1-June 30, and published at the beginning of that ...year for producers to use in planning. In recent years, prices for some of the products have been adjusted during the year to reflect rising production costs or market conditions. Support prices for 1971-72 through 1980-81 are shown in Table 6.

The CNP increased prices during the year for beans and sorghum in 1973-74 and 1974-75, for white corn and sorghum in 1977-78 and rice in 1978-79. Prices for beans, white corn and sorghum were increased during 1979-80, and were increased twice or more for all products during 1980-81.
-
Average annual producer prices for calendar years are shown in Table 7.
General patterns for each commodity are the same as for support prices. Support prices also have schedules of discounts for quality, grade and foreign material so that actual producer prices over a year will generally average below support prices.

Table 5. Exchange rate index, and current and indexed prices for cocoa, sugar cane and beef exported from Costa Rica, 1972-1980

## Export prices

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exchange ${ }_{b}$ rate index | Current ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oa } \\ & \text { Indexed } \end{aligned}$ | Curre | ane <br> Indexed | Curren | $\text { Indexed }{ }^{d}$ |
| - colones per metric ton - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1.292 | 3,262 | 4,215 | 53 | 68 | 3,733 | 4,823 |
| 1973 | 1.289 | 6,080 | 7,837 | 56 | 72 | 4,688 | 6,043 |
| 1974 | 1.081 | 11,035 | 11,929 | 92 | 99 | 4,330 | 4,681 |
| 1975 | : i. 1.000 | 8,923 | 8,923 | 148. | 148 | 4,785 | 4,785 |
| 1976 | 1.000 | 14,200 | 14,200 | 110 | 110 | 4,984 | 4,984 |
| 1977 | -1.000 | 25,993 | 25,993 | 127 | 127 | 5,327 | 5,327 |
| 1978 | $\because 1.000$ | 22,038 | 22;038 | 129 | 129 | 7,046 | 7,046 |
| $1979{ }^{\circ}$ | - 1.1 .000 | 19,589 | 19,589 | 134 | 134 | 8,741 | 8,741 |
| 1980 | $\because 1.000$ | 17,426 | 17,426 | 182 | 182 | 9,774 | 9,774 |
|  | $\because:$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| $a_{\text {Index }}$ for the first six months of 1981 was 0.503 (see Table 3, note a). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Calculated by dividing exchange rate for each year by 8.57; see Table 3 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{c}_{\text {From Table }} 4$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Calculated }}$ by multiplying index times current price; for example $3,262 \times 129.2=$ 4, 215. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Support prices for basic grains, 1971-72-1980-81

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Rice | Beans | - Co |  | Sorghum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | White | Yellow |  |
|  | colones $_{b}$ per bag | ------- | lones per | quintal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 1971-72 | 68 | 75 | 30 | 30 | 25 |
| 1972-73 | 68 | 75 | 33 | 33 | 25 |
| 1973-74 | 73 | 85-100 | 38 | 38 | 36 |
| 1974-75 | 110 | 190-225 | 75 | 75 | 45-60 |
| 1975-76 | 142 | 225 | 75 | , 75 | 60 |
| 1976-77 | 125 | 225 | 70 | 75 | 60 |
| 1-977-78 | 132 | 225 | 70-75 | 75 | 60-65 |
| $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978-79 | 136-139 | 225 | 82 | 82 | 65 |
| 1979-80 | 149 | 225-285 | 89-98 | 98 | 74-84 |
| $1980-81^{c}$ | 160-177 | 285-306 | 98-112 | 98-120 | 84-91 |
|  | 186-192 | 430-529 | 130-172 | 161 | 101-107 |
|  | 216-312 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 324 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {July }}$ 1-June 30. |  |  | -- |  |  |
| $b_{B a g}=73.6$ kilograms or 160 pounds: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ More frequent increases were made during 1980-81 than in earlier years. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source:- CNP, Annual Statistical Report. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Rice, beans, corn and sorghum: Annual average producer prices, 1972-1980


Rice

Rice prices increased from an average of \&82. per bag in 1972 to 8176 in 1975, then dropped in 1976. Rice prices increased to $\$ 172$ in 1977 and reached $\$ 200$ per bag in 1980 (Table 7). The same pattern can be seen in support prices, allowing for the July-June year (Table 6).

The increase from 1972 to 1975 was considerably more than the general wholesale price index so that rice prices in 1975 colones were above nominal prices (Figure 3 and Appendix Table 1). Producer prices for rice; while rising in current terms, showed substantial declines in 1975 colones, as the wholesale price index increased more rapidly (Figure 3 ). $\therefore$
Beans

Producer prices for beans showed the same general pattern as did rice (prices. Prices for beans increased more sharply even than rice from 1972 too 1975, xising from $\$ 67$ per quintal in 1972 to 8207 by 1975 (Table 7). Bean prices were very stable within a range of $\$ 206$ to $\$ 209$ from 1975 through 1979 then jumped to $\$ 268$ by 1980.

Bean prices in 1975 colones reflect the sharp increases from 1972 to 1975, when nominal prices for beans rose more rapidly than the general level of wholesale prices. The wholesale price index rose more rapidly than did bean prices from 1975 to 1980 (Figure 3 and Appendix Table 1). Thus, bean producers . were receiving less in real terms, as measured by 1975 colones, in 1980 than they received in 1973.

## Corn

Average producer prices for corn showed a pattern very similar to those for rice and beans, with even sharper increases from 1972 to 1975, and from


Figure 3.--Rice, beanṣ, corn, and sorghum: Nominal prices and.prices in 1975 colones, Costa Rica, 1972-1980.

1977 to 1980. Corn prices rose from $\& 27$ per quintal in 1972 to \&68 by 1975. Corn prices dropped to $\$ 56$ by $1977^{\circ}$, then jumped to $\$ 81$ in 1980 (Table 4).

Corn prices, expressed in 1975 colones, also showed the same pattern as for rice and beans. Real producer prices in 1980 , in 1975 terms, were below real prices for all earlier years, 1972 through 1979 (Figure 3 and Appendix Table 1).

Sorghum

Prices received by producers for sorghum were not available for 1972, 1973, or 1974. Patterns for the 1975-1980 period were similar to those for the otler basic grains. Sorghum prices declined slightly from 652 in 1975 . to $\$ 49$ in 1976 and 1977 , then rose to $\$ 70$ by 1980 (Table 7). Again, 1980 pricès in 1975 colones were below those for all earlier years shown except 1979 (Figure 3 and Appendix Table 1).

## Vegetables

Onions

- Producer prices for onions climbed from $\& 1,037$ per metric ton in 1972 to $d 1,859$ by 1975. Onion prices dropped in 1976, then began increasing again, reaching $\$ 3,462$ by 1979. Prices in 1980 were down to $\$ 2,372$ per metric ton (Table 8). Onion prices in 1975 colones reflect the increase in the wholesale price index after 1975. Those prices, expressed in 1975 colones, showed 1972 and 19973 levels above prices for all other years in the period except 1979 (Figure 4 and Appendix Table 1).


## Potatoes

Potato prices showed a pattern similar to that for onions, rising from 41,423 per metric ton in 1972 to 42,794 in 1975 and 82,809 in 1976. However,


Figure 4.--Onions, potatoes, plantains, tomatoes, and cassava: Normal prices in 1975 colones, Costa Rica, 1972-1980.
potato prices dropped only a small amount in 1977, then rose sharply each year to the 1980 level of 44,509 per metric ton (Table 8). Measured in 1975 colones, however, prices for this important food crop have varied within a fairly narrow range (Figure 4 and Appendix Table 1).

## Plantains

Also an important food crop, plantains exhibited price behavior similar to that for potatoes. Plantain prices increased from 8614 in 1973 to 81,499 by 1976 then reached $\not \subset 1,771$ per metric ton in 1980 (Table 2). Again, prices in 1975 colones showed that only in 1976 did plantain prices in real terms exceed those in 1972 (Figure 4 and Appendix Table 1).

## Tomatoes

$\therefore$ Tomato prices for each year of the period were not available. Published data for 1972-1976, and for 1980 were included. Tomato prices.did not follow the same general pattern as the other vegetables during 1972 through 1976. Tomato prices rose from $\$ 1,934$ per metric ton in 1972 to $\$ 2,735$ by 1975 then $\because$ dropped to 82,170 in 1976. By 1980, tomato prices reached $\mathbb{C} 5,453$ per metric ton (Table 8). In real terms, as measured by 1975 colones, tomato prices were higher in 1972 and 1973 than in any later year for which data were available (Figure 4 and Appendix Table 1).

## Cassava

Cassava prices, while at lower levels than prices for the other vegetables, showed much the same pattern as prices for onions, potatoes and plantains. Gassava increased in price from $\mathbf{\phi} 222$ per metric ton to $\$ 399$ by 1975, then dropped to $\$ 299$ in 1977. Prices climbed from that level to $\$ 452$ by 1979 then dropped slightly in 1980 (Table 8).

Table 8. Onions, potatoes, plantains, tomatoes and cassava: Annual average producer prices, 1972-1980


The difference in nominal and constant (1975) prices is more marked for cassava than even for the other vegetables. Cassava prices for 1972 through 1975, in 1975 colones, were substantially above those for 1976-1980 (Figure 4 and Appendix Table 1).

## INPUT PRICES AND PRODUCTION COSTS .

## Input Prices

Average annual prices paid by agricultural producersfor fertilizers, chemicals and hired labor are published by the Ministry of Agriculture and - Livestock (MAG). As with product prices, data on input prices were not available.for a large number of inputs applied to all products in all
regions. However, prices for certain widely used fertilizers or mixes and $\therefore$ chemicals were published and are discussed here. Also, a wholesale price index for fertilizers, chemicals and pharmaceuticals is published by the Central Bank (BC).
$\therefore \because \because$ This wholesale index almost doubled from 1972 to 1975, then increased another 70 percent by 1980 (Table 1). This index followed the same pattern at very similar levels throughout the period as the general wholesale price index.

## Fertilizers

Annual or monthly average prices for urea, 10-30-10 and 15-15-15 are ished by the MAG. Prices for urea and $10-30-10$ jumped sharply from 1973 to 1975 then dropped substantially by 1977. Fertilizer prices started. up in 1978 and exceeded 4170 per quintal during 1980 (Table 9). The balanced mix, 15-15-15, rose considerably from 1973 to 1975 , remained stable and then increased from 1978, averaging nearly 4150 per quintal in 1980 (Figure 5).

Täble 9. Fertilizers: Annual average prices, Costa Rica, 1973-1980


## Agricultural Chemicals

Only a few of the wide array of agricultural chemicals used in Costa Rica had data on prices for 1973-1980 period. Two herbicides, 2-4,D and Gramoxone, a fungicide, Manzate, and Dipterex, an insecticide, are discussed here. ${ }^{1}$

The herbicides both increased sharply in price from 1973-1975, then declined, with Gramoxone showing a larger drop than 2-4,D. Both herbicide prices increased in 1980 , with $2-4, D$ being higher, at $£ 24.80$ per liter than the 1975 price of 822.75 (Table 10 and Figure 6).

The fungicide.showed a relatively slow rate of increase, from $\mathbf{C} 23.60$ per kilogram in 1973 to $\$ 33.15$ in 1979 , then jumped to $\$ 38.80$ in 1980. The insecticide increased fairly sharply from 429.90 per kilogram in 1973 to $\$ 56.00$ by 1975 then gradually until 1980 , when the price jumped to ¢86. 15 per kilogram (Table 10 and Figure 6).

## Effects of Exchange Rates

Since the fertilizers and chemicals discussed here are imported, either in final or ingredient form, exchange rates have definite effects on domestic prices for these items. Figure 7 shows the effects of the 1980-81 change in exchange rates (from Table 3) and the effects of inflation since 1975 on Costa Rican prices for the fertilizers and chemicals discussed. It is clear that inflation since 1975 has contributed greatly to the price increases for $\because$ these inputs. Devaluation of the colon during 1981 also contributed, but not so greatly as the six years of inflation.

[^1]Table 10. Agricultural chemicals: Annual average prices, Costa Rica, 1973-1980



Figure 5.-Fertilizer: Nominal prices and prices in 1975 colones, Costa Rica, 1973-1980.


Figure 6.--Agricultural chemicals: Nominal prices and prices in 1975 colones, Costa Rica, 1973-1980


Figure 7.--Fertilizers and agricultural chemicals: 1980 prices and effects of inflation and exchange rate changes, Costa Rica.

## Agricultural Labor

Average labor costs per hour were available for one or more years during the 1972-1980 period for 11 of the 12 agricultural products considered. No information on labor costs for beef cattle production was found. Labor costs increased from less than two colones per hour in 1972 and 1973 to five to six colones by 1980 (Table 11). The data, while not complete, should be representative of most crops and most regions. . The general trend has been upward (Figure 8), with an average annual rate of increase of nearly 18 percent from 1.5 colones per hour in 1972 to 5.5 colones in 1980.

## Production Costs

Major Regions
Production of the twelve products considered in this study is divided $\therefore$ among, several regions of Costa Rica (Table 12): Government agencies have delineated specific planning regions, and most of the production cost data are designated according to these planning divisions. For this study, costs. and other relevant data for each product were selected for the one region of ${ }^{\text {g greatest }}$ importance for that product. In some products, enterprise budgets were published for the country as a whole. The regions used in study are identified by the boxes enclosing the percentages in Table 12.

Published data did not always identify these regions clearly and consistently. Also, the regional names were changed, apparently in 1981, and the complete list of new names was not available. The former names are used in this report.

## Central Bank Enterprise Budgets

The Central Bank (BC) and an interbank commission prepare and publish production cost estimates for a number, of. crops for which government agencies

Table 11. Average labor cost per hour from two sources, eleven agricultural products, Costa Rica, various years 1972-1980

| Product | Years | Average labor cost per hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. |  |  |

Table 11. Continued

## Sources: M.A.G. - Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock, Planning Directorate, "Analysis and Summary of Costs of Production of Agricultural Crops and Livestock Proudcts 1971-1980", July 1981 (mimeo). <br> B.C. - Central Bank of Costa Rica, Department of Development Credit, crop enterprise budgets, 1975-1980.

Table 12. Twelve agricultural products: Shares of production in planning regions of Costa Rica



Figure 8.--Average agricultural labor cost per hour, Costa Rica, 1972-1980.
provide financing. For this study, budgets were collected for each of the four basic grains and for sugar for most of the years from 1975 to 1980 (Appendix Table 3). Budgets were available for one or more of the primary. producing regions, for one or more production systems.

## Basic Grains

From the budgets selected for analysis; key items are used in Tables 13 and 14. Table 13 shows yields and prices that'generated revenues for the grains in the years for which budgets were available. Prices are support prices established by CNP (Table 6) and yields were usually specified in the budgets or calculated from them.
$\therefore$ The yields apparently were expected yields for the system and.region. No data were available to compare these with historical yields.
$\because . \because$ In Table 14 , revenues from Table 13 and costs From enterprise budgets are listed and used to calculate returns per hectare. Thesereturn figures言 ${ }^{\prime}$ are shown in both nominal and 1975 colones.
$\therefore$ Production costs for each of the four basic grains followed different patterns in the short period shown. Costs for rice dropped from 1975 levels in 1972 and 1977, then increased in 1979 and 1980, but the 1980 figure was siill considerably below that for 1975 (Table 14). .Production costs for beans increased each of the years shown until 1980, when a slight decline was listed.

Corn production costs increased steadily but not too greatly, with in-. creases of two to seven percent each year, and 1980 cost was only 17 percent above the 1975 level (Table 14). Sorghum costs were available for each year from 1975 to 1980. These costs dropped from $1975^{\circ}$ to 1977 , then increased by 1980. However, 1980 cost was only 4 percent above the 1975 figure.

Revenues in nominal terms fluctuated considerably. This is not surprising, since it is the nature of agricultural products to vary in both yield, total

Table 13. Yields, prices and revenues for rice, beans, corn and sorghum, selected regions, Costa Rica, 1975-1980

| Crop, region <br> and item | Unit | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rice, Pacific Dry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Yield | Qq. | 57.2 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Price }{ }^{b}$ | $\phi$ per qq. | 88.75 | 78.12 | 82.50 | 86.90 | 93.12 |
| Value | $\phi$ per hectare | 5,076 | 4,922 | 5,198 | 5,475 | '5,866 |

Beans, ${ }^{c}$ all regions


Corn, Pacific South

${ }^{a}$ Mechanized.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Support prices (see Table 6).
${ }^{c}$ Şembrado a espeque.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Semi-mechanized.
Source: Central Bank of Costa Rica, Department of Development Credit, crop enterprise budgets.

Table 14. Basic grains, selected regions: Revenues, costs and returns, 1975-1980

Crop, region and item 1975 1976. 1977 1978 $1979 \quad 1980$
Rice, Pacific Dry

| Revenue | 5,076 | 4,922 | 5,198 | 5,475 | 5,866 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost | 4,836 | 4,013 | 3,511 | 3,692 | 4,427 |
| Return-nominal | 240 | 909 | 1,687 | 1,783 | 1,439 |
| 1975 | 240 | 789 | 1,339 | 1,141 | 832 |

Beans, all regions

| $\because$ Revenue | 5,625 |  |  | 6,300 | 5,625 | 5,625 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost | 3,082 |  |  | 3,604 | 3,899 | 3,819 |
| Return-nominal | 2,543 |  |  | 2,696 | 1,726 | 1,806 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \because \div 1975 \end{aligned}$ | 2,543 |  |  | 2,140 | 1,105 | 1,044 |
| Corn, Pacific South |  | * |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\dot{\beta}$ |
| Revenue | 4,125 | . | 3,850 | 4,200 | 4,920 | 4,895 |
| cost: | 3,241 |  | 3,309 | 3,440 . | 3,689 | 3,801 |
| Retuṛn-nominal | 884 |  | 541 | 760 | 1,231 | 1,094 |
| 1975 | 884 |  | 470 | 603 | 788 | 632 |

Sorghum, Pacific Dry

| Revenue | 4,200 | 3,000 | 4,200 | 3,250 | 4,225 | 4,366 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cost | 3,036 | 2,589 | 2,557 | 2,602 | 2,677 | 3,151 |
| Return-nomina1 | 1,164 | 411 | 1,643 | 648 | $1,548$. | 1,215 |
| $\quad 1975$ | 1,164 | 383 | 1,427 | 514 | 991 | 702 |

${ }^{a}$ Mechanized.
${ }^{b}$ Sembrado. a espeque.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Semi-mechanized.
${ }^{d}$ Deflated by general wholesale price index, $1975=100$ (Table 1).
Source: Table 13, and crop enterprise budgets, Central Bark. © F Costa Rica, Department of Development Credit.
output and price. However, the fact that the revenues shown were based on expected yields and support prices does not make them suitable for evaluating the financial strength of farmers growing these crops. Revenues expressed in 1975 colones showed the effects of inflation, particularly during 1979 and 1980 (Table 14).

Data from the Central Bank budgets on production costs for the basic grains present a confusing picture. The index of wholesale prices, one measure of the general trend in prices of goods purchased by producers, increased. 73 percent from 1975 to 1980, 50 percent from 1977 to 1980 or 11 percent from 1978 to 1980 (Table 15). Costs reported in the budgets showed increases from 1975 to 1980 of 4 to 24 percent for sorghum, corn and beans, and a decline of 8 percent for rice. In the shorter period of 1977 to 1980 , cost increases ranged from 10 percent for rice to 23 $\therefore$ ?
percent for sorghum, compared with 50 percent for the price index (Table 15).

Sugar cane
$\because$ Central Bank budgets for establishment and operating costs for sugar cane-were available for three years of the period. Establishment costs were reported as considerably higher in 1975 than in 1980 (Table 16). Operating costs increased from 82,484 per hectare in 1976 to 43,492 in 1980, an increase of 41 percent. Operating costs increased 25 percent from 1977 to 1980 (Table 15).

No data were reported for actual or expected yields so no revenue estimates could be made. The declining trend in establishment costs reported in the budgets is contrary to the increasing pattern of wholesale prices (Table 15). Operating costs did increase from 1976 or 1977 to 1980, through not as much as the increase in the wholesale price index.

## Table 15. Changes in wholesale price index and in production costs from Central Bank budgets, basic grains and sugar cane, 1975-80



Table 16. Sugar cane: Establishment and operating costs, Central. region, 1975-1980

```
                                    Cost
                                    Establishment.b Cost Operating
                                    1975 5,848 -- 
                                    1976
                                    _a
                                    2,484
                                    1977
                                    5,502
                                    2,789
                                    : 1980
                                    4,270
                                    3,492}\mp@subsup{}{}{c
                j
```

5,848 $-{ }^{a}$ 2,484 2,789 4,270 $3,492^{\text {c }}$

```
Budgets For 1978, 1979, operating cost for 1975 and establishment
%}\mathrm{ cost fort }1976\mathrm{ not available.
bA11 regions.
cAverage of first and second cuttings.
    Source: Central Bank of Costa Rica, Department of Development Credit,
        crop enterprise budgets.
```


## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Costa Rican economy has experienced substantial inflation since 1972. Price indices reflect average annual increases of nearly 15 percent in the general level of wholesale prices and 12 percent in consumer prices. Particular groups of goods such as fuels, have shown even greater rates of price increase.

Prices for the twelve agricultural products considered in this study have also increased since 1972, but not by as much as the general price level. Thus, farmers generally are realizing less in real terms for the products they sell now than they were in the early 1970's. Costs of purchased inputs such as fertilizers and chemicals, have also risen rapidly, so that many farmers are likely to be in a serious cost-price squeeze. This is certainly $\therefore:$
true in the United States now, as well as in Costa Rica.
Production costs used by the Central Bank and other development funding agencies are difficult to analyze. Trends in production costs for four of the five crops analyzed show substantially smaller increases than the general wholesale price index, and smaller increases than prices for individual purchased inputs.studied.

Reported costs for producing rice, beans, corn and sugar cane increased less than did the wholesale price index. Production costs for sorghum rose at $\dot{a}$ much greater rate than did the other four crops listed, but still showed a smaller increase than the wholesale price index. Production costs were not available for enough years for the other seven products included to draw any conclusions. The Central Bank does not prepare budgets for those seven crops, and M.A.G. data on production costs did not provide enough detail for comparisons.
$\therefore \therefore \therefore$

$\therefore \because$

$\because=$
－••
电
$\because \therefore$

Appendix Table 1 . Twelve Costa Rican agricultural products: Annal average producer prices in 1975 colones ${ }^{\text {a }, ~} 1972=1980$

| Product | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cocoa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Export | 6,497 | 9,578 | 12,581 | 8,923 | 13,243 | 22,573 | 17,495 | 12,537 | 10,069 |
| - Domestic | 6,229 | 9,883 | 11,341 | 9,321 | 12,704 | 23,753 | 19,146 | 14,056 | 11,943 |
| Sugar cane | 105.56 | 88.67 | 104.89 | $148.00^{\circ}$ | 102.58 | 110.29 | 102.40 | 85.76 | 105.17 |
| Beef |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Export | 7,435 | 7,385 | 4,937 | 4,785 | 4,648 | 4,626 | 5,593 | 5,594 | 5,648 |
| Domestic | 5,676 | 6,159 | 4,777 | 3,806 | 3,608 | 3,511 | 4,183 | 4,602 | 4,923 |
| Rice | 2,231 | 2,068 | 2,254 | 2,385 | 2,062 | 2,026 | 1,931 | 1,570 | 1,567 |
| Beans | 2,918 | 4,134 | 4,003 | 4,505 | 4,236 | 3,933 | 3,605 | 2,859 | 3,363 |
| Corn | 1,185 | 1,059 | 1,2b1 | 1,482 | 1,250 | 1,064 | 1,033 | 919 | 1,014 |
| Sorghun | - | - | - | 1,133 | 997 | 916 | 879 | 770 | 882 |
| Onions | 2,065 | 2,071 | 1,481 | 1,859 | 1,228 | 1, 399 | 2,026 | 2,217 | 1,375 |
| Potatocs | 2,834 | 2,705 | 2,243 | 2,794 | 2,620 | 2,174 | 2,786 | 2,693 | 2,601 |
| Plantains | 1,32.6 | 967 | 895 | 1,290 | 1,398 | 1,234 | 1,059 | 900 | 1,023 |
| Tomatoes | 3,852 | 4,116 | 3,046 | 2,735 | 2,024 | - | - | -- | 3,151 |
| Cassava | 442 | 376 | 370 | 399 | 318 | 260 | 294 | 289 | 234 |

[^2]Appendix Table 2. Fertilizers and agricultural chemicals: Prices in 1975 colones, Costa Rica, 1972-1980


Source: Calculated from Tables I, 9 and 10.

Appendix Table 3. Central Bank crop enterprise budgets available

| Crop and system | All | Regions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and years available |  |  |  | Atlantic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Central | North | Pac |  |  |
|  |  |  | . | Dry | South |  |
| Sugar cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment | 1980 | . |  | 1977 |  | 1977 |
| Operations |  |  |  | 1975 |  | 1975 |
|  |  |  |  | 1976 |  | 1976 |
|  |  |  |  | 1977 |  | 1977 |
| 1st cutting |  | 1980 |  | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 |
| 2nd cutting |  | 1980 |  | 1980 | 1980. | 1980 |
| Rice | . |  |  | , |  |  |
| Mechanized | 1975 |  |  | 1977. | 1977 | 1977 |
|  |  |  |  | 1978 | 1978 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1979 | 1979 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1980 |  |  |
| 1st crop |  |  |  | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 |
| 2nd crop | - |  |  | 1980 | $1980{ }^{\circ}$ | $1980{ }^{-}$ |
| A espeque | 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1976 |  | . |  |  |  |
|  | 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$, | 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beans |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| Mechanized | . |  | - | 1975 |  |  |
| Semi mechanized | 1976 |  | 1979 |  |  |  |
|  | 1977 |  | 1980 |  |  |  |
| Sembrado a espeque | 1975 | 1979 | 1978 |  | 1979 |  |
|  |  | 1980 |  |  | 1980 | . |
| Con quia | 1975 | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tapado | 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1978 |  |  |  |  | . |
|  | 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix Table 3. Continued
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