RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE:
a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Welcome

This Sourcebook is a new type of electronic resource to assist in the design, award, and administration of results-oriented grants and cooperative agreements to implement foreign assistance activities.

Background: In June 1997, the Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid recommended that USAID "develop and disseminate models and train USAID, PVO and NGO staff on the use of performance based," (results-oriented) assistance instruments. In response, the USAID Office of Private Voluntary Cooperation and Office of Procurement developed this Sourcebook for results-oriented assistance (grants and cooperative agreements). Stakeholder interviews conducted in December 1997 and January 1998 confirmed the need for a user-friendly primer on using results-oriented assistance instruments. This Sourcebook also responds to recommendations made by USAID's Acquisition and Assistance Task Force “… to consolidate and simplify the sources of information” in order to “enhance empowerment, the exercise of judgment, and the ability to make astute choices among development alternatives.” The Team hopes the Sourcebook will facilitate and simplify the process of designing and implementing results-oriented assistance instruments.

Intended Audience: The Sourcebook is intended for both USAID staff and Development Partners.

Sourcebook Objectives: In working with Development Partners (e.g., PVOs, NGOs and educational institutions), USAID's goal is to reduce unnecessarily burdensome administrative requirements and become "user-friendly" to our Development Partners.

The Sourcebook will help USAID staff and Development Partners improve their ability to fulfill their responsibilities to:

- Manage for results through partnership relationships with the people and governments of assisted countries, U.S. businesses, private voluntary organizations (PVOs), non-governmental organizations (NGOs), the academic community, and other U.S. Government agencies;

- Use results-oriented assistance instruments to plan, monitor, and evaluate achievement of results and performance targets;

- Gather, analyze and report overall performance against intended results and Strategic Objective(s); and,

- Use performance monitoring and evaluation information to inform decision-making, make flexible adjustments when necessary, and highlight achievement of results.

The Sourcebook assembles a great deal of information for the first time on the Internet. It is designed to integrate information on assistance instruments (grants and cooperative agreements) with material on USAID's core values, particularly managing
for results. Development Partners and potential Recipients will find the site useful in understanding how USAID parses "results" and "performance."

An electronic website format was chosen in order to make information more easily accessible and to facilitate updating and supplementation. This is a living document that will be revised continuously to address issues, problems, and points as they are identified by USAID and its Development Partners in practice.

What the Sourcebook is not is a collection of rigid rules or an Agency directive. In keeping with the spirit of re-engineering and the National Performance Review (NPR), the Sourcebook is a resource, not a requirement. While some of the primary sources discussed in this website are mandatory, this Sourcebook is not, and is not intended to be cited as prescribing methods of achieving results. Examples and links are for reference only, and do not imply USAID endorsement of their content. Exploration and innovation are encouraged!

The Sourcebook is based on managing for results best practices developed by USAID and Development Partners. Throughout the Sourcebook, users have an opportunity to link to appropriate statutes, regulations, USAID policies, directives, and programming documents, such as results packages and results-oriented Requests for Applications (RFAs).

In addition, links to other organizations whose practices have been reviewed include: National Performance Review (which is now the National Partnership for Reinventing Government), African Development Foundation, the InterAmerican Foundation, Oregon Benchmarks, Sustainable Seattle, Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), the Asia Foundation, and the World Bank.

The Sourcebook will be updated with new examples on a regular basis. The Sourcebook Team welcomes your comments and suggestions.
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Administrator's Message

TO: USAID Staff and Partners

SUBJECT: Updated Version of the Result-Oriented Assistance Sourcebook

I am pleased to introduce the updated version of “Results-Oriented Assistance: A USAID Sourcebook.” The Sourcebook combines – in one place – the policies and procedures related to our core values with those that govern assistance instruments.

A year ago, the Office of Private and Voluntary Cooperation and the Office of Procurement published the draft and solicited comments and material from you to augment the examples and “best practices” in the Sourcebook. Since that time, we have included the information you have provided, updated the contents, reviewed all citations and links for continued accuracy, and responded to many users’ questions and suggestions.

The Sourcebook has proved to be a useful tool for USAID staff and partners alike. It is a vital component of our Reaching-for-Results training course and is also being used by many of our partners in their own training programs. Feedback, thus far, shows that the Sourcebook is meeting our objective of providing easily accessible, practical information on how to use grants and cooperative agreements to achieve development results. I encourage you to visit the website frequently and to continue to send ideas and examples to the Sourcebook Team. The website address is: http://www.info.usaid.gov/pubs.

J. Brian Atwood

Point of Contact: Any questions concerning this sourcebook may be directed to Noreen O’Meara, BHR/PVC, (202) 712-5979 or Barbara Brocker, M/OP, (202) 712-0824
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Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments

Defining Results-Oriented Assistance describes the key elements and attributes of results-oriented assistance instruments, and discusses the implications of the use of assistance instruments for USAID and the Recipients. Links to fuller definitions of results and performance, as well as grants and cooperative agreements, are also provided.

Planning Results-Oriented Programs explains how to develop a results-oriented program description, establish a performance measurement system, and determine responsibility for performance. Links are provided to examples of activities that are aligned to Agency strategic objectives (SOs).

Choosing Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments states the statutory requirements and USAID policies that govern the choice between assistance instruments and procurement contracts.

Writing Results-Oriented Program Descriptions describes how to write results-oriented program descriptions for inclusion in a USAID Request Applications (RFA) or a Development Partner's application in response to an RFA.

Awarding Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments covers the main aspects of the pre-award phase of assistance and the pre-award steps or procedures for competitively awarded assistance instruments.

Administering Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments notes the need to practice partnership principles and maintain flexibility while administering results-oriented assistance programs.

Monitoring and Evaluating Performance describes the key steps in monitoring and evaluating performance, briefly reviews the content of a results review and resource request (R4), and explores potential responses by USAID and its Development Partners when results are not achieved. Links are provided to additional information on results reporting and use of performance information.
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Defining Results-Oriented Assistance

RESULT: A change in the condition of a customer or a change in the host country condition which has a relationship to the customer. A result is brought about by the intervention of USAID in concert with its Development Partners. Results are linked by causal relationships; i.e., a result is achieved because related, interdependent result(s) were achieved. Strategic Objectives are the highest level result for which an operating unit is held accountable; intermediate results are those results which contribute to the achievement of a Strategic Objective. (ADS Chapters 201, 202, 203)

What is a results-oriented assistance instrument?

A grant or cooperative agreement awarded to a Development Partner to achieve results that contribute to USAID’s performance goals.
What are the principal elements of results-oriented assistance instruments?

A results-oriented assistance instrument is a grant or cooperative agreement that employs three key elements:

- a results-oriented program description;
- a performance measurement system; and
- responsibility for performance.

Each element performs an important role, but they are broad, evolving concepts to be applied flexibly and in a manner appropriate to the unique facts and circumstances of particular programs. The following table notes some of the main components or attributes of each of these elements of results-oriented assistance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Elements</th>
<th>Components or attributes...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results-oriented program description</td>
<td>- description of specific results to be achieved in support of intermediate results (and ultimately, strategic objectives), as well as how these align with the Agency's Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- description, without over prescriptive detail, of strategies and processes to achieve intended results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- assessment of key factors both within and outside the Development Partner's control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- customer needs analysis and other appraisals used in articulating intended results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance measurement system</td>
<td>- performance indicators to measure and assess the achievement of planned results--at the output, outcome, intermediate result, and, where applicable, strategic objective levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(monitoring and evaluating performance)</td>
<td>- baseline data and performance goals at various levels of result (target level of performance against which actual achievement can be compared)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- means to be used to verify and validate measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- reports and uses of performance information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Responsibility for performance

- responsibility for achieving results (accountability)
- distinction between unforeseen or external challenges, and real performance deficiencies within the Recipient's reasonable control
- appropriate responses to performance issues and unanticipated difficulties ranging from program adjustments to corrective actions for deficient performance

Whose results? USAID's? The Development Partner's?

If you answered "both" to these questions, you are right! A distinguishing characteristic of assistance instruments is that they create a partnership relationship. In this partnership, both USAID and its Development Partners contribute to the formulation and refinement of the results to be sought, just as both will be cooperating to achieve these results.

The program to be implemented through a results-oriented assistance instrument is the Development Partner's program. But the program is supported by public funds tied to USAID's mandate of achieving results laid out in the Agency Strategic Plan and Strategic Framework. Part of USAID's mandate includes ensuring that the use of public funds achieves results that are customer-focused. USAID cannot do it alone. USAID achieves results in concert with its Development Partners, partners with shared objectives and strategies.

USAID supports Development Partners through assistance instruments (grants and cooperative agreements). The purpose of results-oriented assistance instruments is to achieve results that are aligned to the Agency Strategic Plan and performance goals. The emphasis in USAID and Development Partners' planning and implementation documents should be on delineation of results and performance measurement, not on inputs and processes. Achieving results at the output level and the outcome level is very much the responsibility of the Development Partner (Recipient). The core Strategic Objective (SO) Team ensures the alignment of the various contributions of the different Development Partners to the Agency Strategic Plan.

What does results-oriented assistance mean for USAID and for Recipients?

Planning results-oriented assistance, achieving results, and monitoring and evaluating performance of results-oriented assistance will require teamwork within the core SO Team (USAID technical, procurement and support staff) and between the core SO Team and the expanded SO Team (Development Partners, stakeholders, and customer representatives) on the following aspects:

- Customer-defined results.
- Up-front clarity on specific measurable results that the SO Team and Development Partner (Recipient) are committed to achieve within a timeframe.
- Alignment of Development Partner (Recipient) and SO Team results.
Empowerment of the Development Partner (Recipient) to use reasonable management latitude to adjust its budget and program plans.

Establishment of joint monitoring mechanisms that enable USAID and the Development Partner (Recipient) to know when results are, or are not, being achieved.

Clarity on performance targets and responsibility for performance.

Patience and objectivity to ensure that performance issues are handled appropriately, and the true causes of any failure to achieve intended results are identified.

Flexibility for USAID, and the Development Partner (Recipient), to make adjustments when necessary.
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Planning Results-Oriented Assistance

Strategic planning is a powerful tool for setting priorities and making informed decisions about the future. But simply having a Strategic Plan is not enough. To meet strategic goals, organizations also need a mechanism to assess progress and help adjust course from time to time. Thus, a successful, results-driven Strategic Plan will include three essential elements:

- Developing a common vision about where you want to go;
- Developing a performance measurement system to assess where your operating unit/organization is right now and to measure progress over time;
- Determining how to achieve the vision.

USAID Strategic Objective (SO) Teams shape their vision during the strategic planning process, either in Missions or Washington-based Operating Units. This process both informs and is informed by broader Agency strategic planning. The strategic planning process must include the participation of customer representatives, stakeholders, and Development Partners.

At the same time, Development Partners also have organizational Strategic Plans, mission statements, goals and objectives. These will not always match those of USAID or any other individual donor. Nevertheless, in the process of mutual consultation between USAID and Development Partners there will often be a convergence of objectives representing a shared commitment to a customer-focused, results-oriented program that will contribute to sustainable development and the achievement of the Agency's six goals. This common ground underlies results-oriented assistance. The challenge is to seek mutually desirable alignment of objectives and mechanisms for "managing for results" between USAID and Development Partners. For example, does the partner organization's Strategic Plan align with a Mission's or Washington-based operating unit's Strategic Plan? Alignment does not mean that the two must coincide one hundred percent: only that there is broad agreement on certain fundamentals in a particular case -- shared vision, results orientation, customer-focus and accountability for achieving shared performance targets or goals. The chart below depicts this relationship.

Relationship between Development Partners and USAID Operating Units in Planning Results-Oriented Assistance
Steps in planning results-oriented assistance instruments

Results-oriented assistance is based on participatory strategic planning. To plan results-oriented assistance instruments follow these four simple steps:

**Step 1:** Begin with a customer service plan.

**Step 2:** Develop a results-oriented program description.

**Step 3:** Establish a performance measurement system.

**Step 4:** Agree upon responsibility for performance.
Step 1: Begin with a customer service plan.

Identify who your customers are and what their needs are.

Describe your customers' participation in planning, achieving, and measuring and evaluating results. Since the intended results directly affect the condition of a customer or the host country (see definition of results in the Glossary), it is imperative that customers participate in defining what are those changes.

Articulate the link between your intermediate customers and ultimate customers.

Step 2: Develop a results-oriented program description

Align each proposed activity (an action to help achieve a program result or set of results, or to support the functioning of the Agency or one of its operating units) with the Agency's Strategic Plans and performance goals.

- Begin with a clear understanding of the Agency's goals and Strategic Objectives.

- Identify the Agency Goal and Strategic Objective and performance goals a proposed activity will help achieve.

  Agency Goal 1: Broad-based economic growth and agricultural development encouraged
  Agency Goal 2: Building sustainable democracies
  Agency Goal 3: Human capacity built through education and training
  Agency Goal 4: World population stabilized and human health protected
  Agency Goal 5: The world's environment protected for long-term sustainability
  Agency Goal 6: Lives saved, suffering associated with natural or man-made disasters reduced

- Identify the Strategic Objective(s) or Intermediate Result(s) (of the Mission or Operating Unit) a proposed activity will help achieve.

  For intended results of Missions or Washington-based operating units see Congressional Presentations. Very few Field Mission or Washington-based operating units have their Strategic Plans and results framework accessible on the web but these can be requested by e-mail. Check on-line e-mail addresses here.

Define the specific, appropriate, and realistic results (changes in the condition of a customer or changes in the host country condition that affects a customer) to be achieved.

- Describe the different levels of results—output level, the outcome level, the Intermediate Results level, and, if appropriate, results at the Strategic Objective level.

- Describe whether each set of results works in conjunction with one or more other results and whether any sets of results have a cause-and-effect relationship.

- Describe quantitative and qualitative measures to assess whether results have been achieved.

- Explain the basis for determining that the results are within the operating unit's or Development Partner's manageable interest or span of influence and resources.
Describe strategies and processes believed necessary to ensure successful achievement of results, without over-prescriptive detail that might limit a partner's ability to respond to changing circumstances during implementation.

- Describe operational processes, skills and technologies, and human, capital, information and other resources that are necessary to achieve the level of results. (For USAID, avoid being overly prescriptive and input-oriented as this can actually impede program success and flexibility.)

- Outline the process for assigning responsibility between Strategic Objective Core Team members (USAID technical, procurement, and support staff) and Strategic Objective Team Expanded members, including Development Partners. See Step 3 below.

Identify external and uncontrollable factors that could significantly affect the achievement of the goal and objectives.

- Assess the likelihood and potential impact of key factors, such as political, economic, demographic, social or environmental that could facilitate or constrain achievement of the results.

- Describe how external factors will be monitored, what attempts will be made to mitigate potential negative effects and build on potential positive effects, and what types of adjustments may be necessary.

- Discuss the nature and extent of participation of customers, stakeholders, and other Development Partners needed to ensure achievement of results.

Describe customer needs analysis, appraisals, evaluations, and other methodologies used in formulating results to be achieved.

- Identify customer/stakeholder analysis, evaluations, appraisals, and other sources used.

- Describe stakeholder and customer participation in defining results.

- State how coordination will be maintained among all actors.

- Summarize the plan for monitoring and evaluating performance.

Step 3: Establish a system for monitoring and evaluating performance and for reporting and using performance information

In contrast to the traditional monitoring and evaluation of "inputs and outputs" or "project outcomes", the emphasis of performance measurement is on results and on analyzing information to learn, re-plan, and improve performance.
DEFINITIONS: Automated Directive System (ADS)

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR: A particular characteristic or dimension used to measure intended changes defined by an organizational unit’s results framework. Performance indicators are used to observe progress and to measure actual results compared to expected results. Performance indicators serve to answer “how” or “whether” a unit is progressing towards its objective, rather than why/why not such progress is being made. Performance indicators are usually expressed in quantifiable terms, and should be objective and measurable (numeric values, percentages, scores and indices). Quantitative indicators are preferred in most cases, although in certain circumstances qualitative indicators are appropriate. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

PERFORMANCE BASELINE: The value of a performance indicator at the beginning of a planning and/or performance period. A performance baseline is the point used for comparison when measuring progress toward a specific result or objective. Ideally, a performance baseline will be the value of a performance indicator just prior to the implementation of the activity or activities identified as supporting the objective which the indicator is meant to measure. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE TARGET: The specific and intended result to be achieved within an explicit timeframe and against which actual results are compared and assessed. A performance target is to be defined for each performance indicator. In addition to final targets, interim targets also may be defined. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

Establish performance indicators (measures).

- Identify a performance indicator, expressed as a tangible, measurable objective against which actual achievement can be compared for each result.
- Identify a baseline and then define a performance target (variously referred to as performance goal, performance standard, performance measure) for each indicator.
- Plan to measure performance against targets or goals at various levels of results -- results at the output level, the outcome level, the Intermediate Results level, and, if applicable, at the Strategic Objective level.
- Link each indicator and corresponding data requirements to the applicable Strategic Plan.

For tips and examples see CDIE’s Establishing Performance Targets.

Establish performance monitoring and evaluation plan for gathering and analyzing data.

- Define the unit of measure for each result. Provide enough detail to ensure that different people at different times, given the task of collecting data for a given indicator, would collect identical types of data.
- Identify the data source for each performance indicator. Data sources may include government departments, international organizations, other donors, NGOs, USAID offices, or activity implementing agencies. Be as specific about the source as possible, so the same source can be used routinely.
- Specify the method or approach to data collection for each indicator.
- Gather comparable data periodically to measure progress.
- Assign responsibility to a particular office, team or individual for the timely acquisition of data from data sources.
- Plan the analysis of performance data for individual indicators or groups of related indicators. Identify data analysis techniques and data presentation formats to be used.
- Plan any complementary evaluation efforts.
- Estimate roughly the costs to the operating unit of collecting, analyzing, and reporting performance data for a set of performance measures. Identify the source of funds. (Please note: Reengineering guidance gives a range of 3 to 10 percent of the total budget for an SO as a reasonable level to spend on performance
Establish a plan for reporting and using performance information.

- Plan to use performance information to adapt and improve the performance, effectiveness, and design of existing development assistance activities.
- Revise Agency or operating unit strategies where necessary.
- Plan new Strategic Objectives, results packages and/or activities.
- Inform decisions whether to alter or abandon Agency program strategies, Strategic Objectives or results packages which are not achieving intended results.
- Document findings on the impact of development assistance.
- Plan what evaluation efforts, if any, will be needed to complement information from the performance monitoring system.
- Plan, schedule, and assign responsibilities for internal and external reviews, briefings, and reports.
- Clarify what, how and when management decisions will consider performance information.

Check these out for further detailed information on:

- Planning monitoring and evaluating performance and on reporting and using performance information.
- Monitoring and evaluating performance.
- CDIE Monitoring and Evaluation Tips

**Step 4: Agree upon responsibilities for performance**

*Empowered individuals/teams/offices/organizations meet or exceed performance goals when they have authority to make decisions and solve problems related to the results for which they are accountable.*  
NPR Best-in-Class Practice

**Plan roles and responsibilities for achieving results**

- USAID uses grants and cooperative agreements in partnership relationships. These assistance instruments are not intended for use in cases where USAID seeks to exercise detailed operational control. However, experience does not indicate any correlation between such control and successful implementation of results. Grants and cooperative agreements are not only absolutely appropriate for achieving results, but they may in fact be superior vehicles for this purpose in many cases.

- A partnership involves mutual consultation and dialogue on major aspects of the program. A basic aspect of program planning, which requires careful thought at the outset, is determining appropriate roles and responsibilities for both USAID and Development Partners.

**Negotiate accountability for performance**

- How far up the hierarchy of results a Development Partner is held accountable for performance depends on a variety of factors: amount of resources, timetable, their span of influence over other Development Partners and the Host Country Government, and the gap between where things are and where things ought to be. Allocating responsibility to a Development Partner for achievement of results at the Strategic Objective level raises important issues about whether such results are within the partner's manageable interest. Although SO level responsibility might be negotiated in an individual case (e.g., in a very small Mission or non-presence country), this is not currently common practice. Rather, SO-level results responsibility is more appropriately assigned in the large majority of situations to USAID.

The following table shows the different levels of results and the need for a baseline and performance target for each result, as well as the locus of accountability for performance. Note that entries in the third column depend upon the dialogue that has occurred between USAID and the Development Partner regarding the appropriate level of responsibility each has for achieving results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Result</th>
<th>Performance Measure or Indicator</th>
<th>Accountability for performance?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency Goal</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Objectives</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission/Operating Unit Strategic Objective</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Mission and/or Washington-based Operating Unit - SO Teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Result(s)</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Development Partner(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome(s)</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Development Partner(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output(s)</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Development Partner(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities, strategies, processes</td>
<td>Baseline Performance Target</td>
<td>Development Partner(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plan for flexible adjustments

- Keep in mind that a wide variety of external factors can affect achievement of specific results and performance targets. For example, changes in political, social, economic, or other circumstances or types of external events, may occur. These events may render previous assumptions invalid, or performance as originally intended impossible or impracticable. The challenge for USAID and its Development Partners--as partners--is to work together, in an open and transparent way, to figure why results are not being achieved and to make the appropriate program adjustments in a timely manner. In cases in which the results to be achieved are in fact within the manageable interest of the partner but are not achieved, remedies are available. (See Monitoring and Evaluating Performance.)
The Sourcebook Team requests your feedback on best practices for assigning accountability for performance within a partnership relationship.

See some examples of alignment to Agency strategic objectives.

South Africa: Annual Program Statement of USAID/South Africa's Education Strategic Objective

Mozambique: SO2 -- Government and Civil Society are effective partners in democracy governance at national and local levels

The Asia Foundation: Global Women in Politics

See some examples of how other agencies align each of their activities to their Strategic Plans.

General Services Administration (GSA): Link Information Technology Projects to Agency Goals and Objectives

Inter American Foundation: The Grassroots Development Framework

(Revised October 10, 1999)
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Choosing Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments

In selecting which instrument to use to implement a results-oriented foreign assistance activity, it is important to understand the differences among the available options. Assistance instruments and procurement contracts are not interchangeable. Statutory requirements, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance (OMB, "Implementation of Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977" (Pub. 1, 95-224), 43 Federal Register 36860-36865 (August 18, 1978) (the 1978 OMB Guidance), and USAID policy (USAID Regulation 26, 22 CFR 226.11; ADS 304.5) provide criteria and a collaborative procedure for choosing the right instrument for an activity. This page provides information to help USAID Teams, Activity Managers, and Agreement Officers make the right choice.
Choosing Between Assistance Instruments and Procurement Contracts to Implement Results-Oriented Foreign Assistance Activities

Click here to review the meaning of the terms "assistance instruments" and "procurement contracts."

How different are Assistance Instruments and Procurement Contracts?

Paul Dembling and Malcolm Mason, two of America’s foremost authorities on the legal aspects of grants and cooperative agreements, have summarized the differences between assistance instruments and procurement contracts by writing that they:

"Serve different purposes;  
Are entered into through different procedures;  
Carry different conditions  
Permit different remedies;  
Are enforced differently;  
Are administered, generally and properly, by different groups of people and in a different spirit;  and  
On significant issues, have totally contradictory rules."

Essentials of Grant Law Practice (ALI ABA 1991), p. 3.

Do these differences make one type of instrument more suitable for results-oriented activities than the other?

No. As a general matter, both types are equally appropriate and effective for use in results-oriented activities.

Can the type of instrument be selected on the basis of such considerations as cost or administrative convenience?

No. The criteria for selecting an assistance instrument or a procurement contract are based on statute and mandatory policy. It is not permissible to utilize one type or the other based on subjective reasons, or merely to avoid certain requirements.

What do the differences between assistance instruments and procurement contracts mean in practice?

The following table notes some of the terminological and substantive differences between the two types of instruments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Assistance Instruments</th>
<th>Procurement Contracts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument Type(s)</td>
<td>Grant or Cooperative Agreement</td>
<td>Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Nature</td>
<td>Assistance</td>
<td>Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Support or Stimulate a Public Purpose</td>
<td>Purchase for Government’s Direct Benefit or Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>Vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can It Be Results-Oriented?</td>
<td>Yes! (Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments)</td>
<td>Yes! (Performance-Based Service Contracts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID’s Role</td>
<td>Donor/Funding Agency</td>
<td>Purchaser/Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementor’s Role</td>
<td>Implement Program</td>
<td>Provide Goods or Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/OP Officer</td>
<td>Agreement Officer (&quot;AO&quot;)</td>
<td>Contracting Officer (&quot;CO&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Implementor</td>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Implementors</td>
<td>Subrecipients</td>
<td>Subcontractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Bid or Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offeror</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Bidder or Offeror</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Work or Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicitation</td>
<td>Request for Applications (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS)</td>
<td>Request for Proposals (RFP) or Invitation for Bids (IFB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Activity</td>
<td>Program Description</td>
<td>Statement of Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Sharing</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Very Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition</td>
<td>Encouraged by Policy</td>
<td>Mandated by Statute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Protests***</td>
<td>No formal procedure; handled informally within USAID</td>
<td>Can be filed with USAID, GAO, the Court of Federal Claims, or the Federal District Courts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Choosing Between Assistance Instruments and Procurement Contracts to Implement an Activity: Law, Policy and Procedure

As noted above, selection of the appropriate type of instrument with which to implement a foreign assistance activity is governed by **statute** and by OMB and USAID **policy** and procedure.

Grants must be used, by **statute**, when two criteria apply:

- the principal purpose of the relationship between USAID and an implementor to be created by the agreement "Is to transfer a thing of value to the...recipient to carry out a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States..."; and

- substantial involvement by USAID is not anticipated in the conduct of the activity. See 31 U.S.C. 6304.

USAID policy adds a third criterion, which flows from the first two: that the recipient will have "substantial freedom to pursue its stated program." See **ADS 304.5.1c2**.

Cooperative Agreements, again by **statute**, must be used when:

- the "principal purpose of the relationship" test (same as for grants) is met; and

- substantial involvement by USAID is anticipated in the conduct of the activity. See 31 U.S.C. 6305; **ADS 304.5.1b**.

USAID **policy** does not permit the use of either grants or cooperative agreements in connection with activities over which "USAID plans to exercise a substantial degree of operational control". See ADS 304.5.1d. As a general rule, OMB does not favor the reservation of such control ("Agencies should limit Federal involvement in assisted activities to the minimum consistent with program requirements." 1978 OMB Guidance, Sec. C2.) USAID policy also imposes limits on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Manager Administrative Authority</th>
<th>Limited by regulation to selected essential aspects</th>
<th>CO delegates broad Technical Directions Authority to &quot;Technical Representative&quot; (&quot;COTR&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basis of Payment</td>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>Usually Fixed Price or Rate, or Costs Plus Profit or Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of Payment</td>
<td>Reimbursement, Normally in Advance</td>
<td>Reimbursement, normally after Incurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Disputes</td>
<td>AO Decision May be Appealed Within USAID Only</td>
<td>CO Final Decision May Be Appealed to Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals or Court of Federal Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Rights</td>
<td>For Cause, Mutually, or Changed Circumstances</td>
<td>For Default or Unilaterally by USAID for Convenience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
permissible degree of substantial involvement under cooperative agreements.

Procurement Contracts must be used when:

- the principal purpose of the instrument is "the acquisition, by purchase, lease, or barter of property or services for the direct benefit or use of USAID or any other Federal Government entity." See 31 U.S.C. 6303; ADS 304.5.1a.

Are certain types of activities linked to specific types of instruments?

No! As OMB's 1978 Guidance notes, "the selection of the appropriate legal instrument [must] be based on the character of the specific transaction (i.e., procurement or assistance) rather than on a functional activity or class of Recipient." (P 36860, col.3) Thus, for example, federal funding for research is not always provided through assistance instruments and construction, studies, and technical assistance can occur under either assistance or procurement. It is not the activity but rather the U.S. Government's primary purpose in funding it, and the nature of the relationship intended to be created by the funding.

What is the procedure by which the appropriate instrument is selected, and who has the authority and responsibility for the choice?

USAID policy provides the following guidance (see ADS 304.3):

- The Strategic Objective Team makes the initial call when it formulates the elements of planned activities. In some cases, it will be quite clear from the outset which type of instrument is best suited for a particular activity. In other cases, clarity will only be achieved through an iterative process, or following consultations with the cognizant Agreement Officer.

- The Agreement Officer reviews the proposed document describing the activity (a program description for assistance instruments, or a statement of work for procurement contracts) and either approves or questions the method selected. He or she may already have had input at the requirements formulation stage.

- It is essential that discussions between the Strategic Objective Team and the Agreement Officer concerning the appropriate instrument be collaborative. All participants in the process must share the objective of full compliance with applicable law and USAID policy, without preconceived preferences.

- In the event of a disagreement between the Strategic Objective Team and the Agreement Officer that cannot be resolved through discussions, the Agency Procurement Executive in USAID/Washington has the authority to make the final determination. (The 1978 OMB guidance specifically requires (Sec. D) that decisions between assistance and procurement be "made or renewed at a policy level.")
Sample Concerns and Requests for More Sources and Resources

As a strategic objective team, when do we choose between assistance instruments (grant or cooperative agreement) and procurement contracts?

As soon as the team has decided on its results package; And the team is ready to respond to the following series of questions: What is the principal purpose of the transaction? Is it to accomplish a public purpose or stimulation authorized by Federal statute? If so, how much substantial involvement is expected between the recipient and the Strategic Objective Team when carrying out the activity contemplated in the agreement? Or is the principal purpose acquisition of property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Federal Government?

We have several registered non-governmental organizations (NGO) that have existing relationships in-country but have limited resources. How do we choose which NGO can best produce results that are aligned to USAID's strategic objectives? What are the competition requirements related to choice of recipients for grants and cooperative agreements?

It is USAID policy to compete all grants and cooperative agreements. Competition is defined as being met when the requirement has been announced and award was made following an impartial review and evaluation of all applications received. USAID shall announce its assistance requirements by publishing an Annual Program Statement (APS) or a Request for Applications (RFA). APS and RFAs shall be posted on the USAID Internet Site, as well as in local publications, Mission Bulletin Boards or local websites.

Our team knows of only one NGO with an existing relationship and with predominant capability in achieving the results we want. Can we waive competition requirements? What are the other instances when we can waive competition requirements?

"Competition is not required for the following categories of assistance awards:

a. amendments to existing assistance awards;

b. follow-on awards intended to continue or further develop an existing assistance relationship;

c. awards based on unsolicited applications, provided that the Strategic Objective/Results Package team or the head of the Operating Unit certifies that the proposals were not solicited by USAID; that they are unique, innovative, or proprietary; and that they represent appropriate use of USAID funds to support or stimulate a public purpose;

d. awards for which one recipient is considered to have predominant capability based on experience, specialized facilities or technical competence, or an existing relationship with the cooperating country or beneficiaries; and

e. situations which the cognizant Assistant Administrator, or the Office Director who reports directly to the Administrator, deem to be critical to the objectives of the foreign assistance program.

Where can I find the Code of Federal Regulations, circulars and OMB-prescribed grants management standard forms? What about guides for best-practices?

PART 226--Administration of Assistance Awards to U.S. Non-governmental Organizations

Grant Management by OMB contains circulars, OMB-prescribed grants management standard forms, current policy documents of interest to grants managers, and links to other sources of information, such as the Chief Financial Officer's Council Grants Management Committee.

Guide to Doing Business With the AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

A Guide to Best Practices for Performance-Based Service Contracting
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Writing Results-Oriented Program Descriptions

Some basic elements for writing results-oriented program descriptions for inclusion by USAID in a Request for Applications (RFA), or by a prospective Development Partner in an application in response to an RFA, are discussed below. There is currently no mandatory standard or required format for program descriptions. The elements in this section are based on examples from actual practice in results-oriented assistance today. There is no one right way to do this job, and all Strategic Objective Team members, including Development Partners, are encouraged to continue advancing the state of the art in this area.

While the elements in writing program descriptions are similar between USAID and the Development Partner, there are differences in how USAID and Development Partner will approach their tasks from an organizational perspective. The following icons are provided to distinguish between elements that must be articulated more appropriately by either USAID or the Development Partner.

Where there is no icon, both USAID and the Development Partner will need to craft a statement, albeit viewed from their respective organizational lenses.

When preparing a Request for Applications (RFA) or an application in response to an RFA, there will be other documentation needed in addition to the program description. For example, a budget and budget narrative is required. This page covers only the program description.
I. Introduction, Abstract or Executive Summary

- **Development Challenge**
  1. What is your understanding of the development challenge, opportunity, or problem?
  2. How is this challenge linked to the Mission or Operating Unit's Strategic and Performance plans?

- **Plan for Achieving the Results Package**
  1. How will the development challenge be met?
  2. What are the intended results?
  3. What activities, processes, or strategies are essential to achieve the results?

- **Funding Amount**
  1. What is USAID's maximum funding amount for the RFA?
  2. What is the total amount of USAID support being requested by the Applicant?

II. Detailed Program Description

- **More about the development challenge -- amplify the discussion of the opportunity or problem.**
  1. What political, social, economic, and environmental condition(s) of the customers require(s) changing? (And how do you know?) What appraisals, evaluations, customer needs analysis and other methodologies were used to understand what needs changing?
  2. What political, social, economic, and environmental condition(s) of the host country require(s) changing? (And how do you know?) What appraisals, evaluations, customer needs analysis other methodologies were used to understand what needs changing?
  3. Why is it important to change the condition(s) identified above?

- **More about the plan for achieving the results package -- amplify the description of how the development challenge will be met.**
  1. What is the development hypothesis (an interpretation of a practical situation or condition taken as the ground for action)?
  2. What are the intended results (changes in condition of the customers or the host country)?
  3. How are these results related to one another?
  4. How are these results related to the development challenge?
  5. How will these results contribute to the achievement of the Mission or Operating Unit's intermediate results and/or strategic objectives?
  6. What are the external factors and other critical assumptions that are likely to facilitate or hinder achievement of the results?

- **Describe the processes, strategies, and activities that are deemed essential to achieve the planned results. Note: Overly prescriptive input-related detail should be avoided, in order to preserve subsequent flexibility to adapt to changing circumstances “on the ground” during implementation of the activity.**
  1. How will USAID and Development Partners work collaboratively?
2. What are others within USAID, the host country, Development Partners, and other donors doing?

3. Why will the planned work lead to the intended results?

4. What is the anticipated timetable for achievement of results?

- Describe plans to monitor and evaluate performance.
  1. For each result, what is the performance indicator? What is the baseline data? What is the performance target? What is the timetable for achieving results?
  2. For each performance indicator, what is the definition and what is the unit of measurement? What is the source of data? What is the plan for collecting and analyzing data?
  3. For each performance target, is there an acceptable variation from the standard, and if so, what is it?
  4. What are the plans for reporting and using performance information?

- Describe responsibility for performance.
  1. What is the division of responsibility within USAID for achieving performance targets?
  2. What is the division of responsibility between USAID, Development Partners, and other entities, if any?

- Describe the resources (human, facilities, money, and in-kind contributions) required to transform processes, strategies, and activities into results.
  1. What is the total estimated amount of the activity (i.e. the aggregate amount of resources believed to be adequate to achieve the specified results)?
    - How much financial and in-kind contributions is available from USAID?
    - How much financial and in-kind contributions (generally a percentage of the total estimated activity amount) will be required to be cost-shared or borne by Development Partners, sub-impementors, and other donors?
    - Are there expected resources from the host country government?
  2. What are the critical assumptions that will facilitate or hinder achievement of result(s)?
  3. What human resource requirements are needed from the USAID staff, including the core Strategic Objective Team?

III. Conclusion, summary statement
In addition to the above, a Development Partner will need to address the following, either as a separate section or woven into the application:

- Why is the organization the best Development Partner to meet the challenge?
- What did the Development Partner do in the past that will demonstrate capacity to meet the challenge?
- What results are being achieved by the Development Partner in similar areas?
- What is the Development Partner contributing to the development challenge?

**Examples of results-oriented RFAs**


**USAID/Ghana: Primary Education Sector**
[http://www.kelp.org/usgov/ghana.html](http://www.kelp.org/usgov/ghana.html)

**Other useful tools**

**Writing User Friendly Documents**

The Plain Language Action Network (PLAN) asks “how can we be better writers?” PLAN is a government-wide group working to improve communications from the federal government to the public. PLAN believes that the most important writing goals are to: engage your reader, write clearly, and write in a visually appealing style.
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Awarding Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments

Once USAID has decided to fund a specific activity through an assistance instrument, and has prepared a results-oriented program description for the activity, the pre-award phase of the assistance process begins. This phase, which culminates in execution of a grant or cooperative agreement, centers on the selection of a Development Partner. It is a crucial stage that lays the groundwork for effective program implementation and the successful achievement of results.

Competition
Competitive award of grants and cooperative agreements is encouraged but not required by statute. (Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977, particularly 31 U.S.C. 6301.)

However, USAID policy on competition in assistance awards (codified in ADS Chapter 303) is as follows:

- Competition is required by USAID policy unless an exception is authorized. (ADS 303.5.5a1.)
- USAID generally seeks to ensure maximum competition by inviting applications from all eligible entities. (ADS 303.5.5a4.)

Exceptions to Competition

Although competition is often beneficial, its appropriateness in an individual case depends on the facts and circumstances applicable to that case.

- An amendment or follow-on for the same activity, or to further develop an existing assistance relationship. (ADS 303.5.5d1.)
- An unsolicited application that "demonstrates a unique, innovative, or proprietary capability, represents appropriate use of USAID funds to support or stimulate a public purpose, and fits within an existing strategic objective." (ADS 303.5.5d2.)
- Cases of exclusive or predominant capability of the recipient based on "proprietary capability, specialized facilities or technical expertise, or based on an existing unique relationship with the cooperating country or beneficiaries." (ADS 303.5.5d3.)
- A small award (estimated to total $50,000 or less, for a year or less). (ADS 303.5.5d4.)
- In such other circumstances as are determined to be critical to the objectives of the foreign assistance program (based on a determination by a USAID Assistant Administrator or Office Director who reports directly to the USAID Administrator). (ADS 303.5.5d5.)

For the detailed procedures required to invoke each of these exceptions, see ADS E303.5.5d.

The exceptions are fully authorized and available for use. However, their use must be justified by the existence of special circumstances meeting the criteria specified. Given USAID’s basic policy of requiring competition, potential Development Partners who seek to invoke an exception—for example, in connection with an unsolicited application—must keep in mind that the burden will be on them to support their request.

---

Key Steps in the Competitive Award Process
Broadly speaking, there are four key pre-award procedures, or steps, for competitively awarded assistance instruments:

**Step 1:** Public notice and solicitation of applications by USAID.

**Step 2:** Preparation and submission of applications by potential Development Partners.

**Step 3:** Evaluation of applications and selection of a prospective awardee by USAID.

**Step 4:** USAID pre-award evaluation.

Each of these steps is similar to the comparable pre-award procedures for competed procurements. To summarize each of the four steps briefly:

### Step 1: Public Notice and Solicitation of Applications.

- Like all federal agencies, USAID is required by regulation (a requirement imposed by the Office of Management and Budget) to notify the public of its intended funding priorities for discretionary grant programs. See 22 CFR 226.11(b).

- In connection with competitive assistance programs, USAID generally complies with this requirement and secures competition through the following two methods of "advertising" or soliciting applications:
  
  (A) An Annual Program Statement ("APS"), when "USAID intends to support a variety of creative approaches by the non-governmental community to develop their own methodologies in assessing and/or implementing activities which are in keeping with strategic objectives." See ADS 303.5.4a.

  (B) A Request for Applications ("RFA"), used when USAID "intends to support a specific type of activity or methodology in keeping with strategic objectives." See ADS 303.5.4b.

- Solicitations are published on the Internet (except for those specifically designed for local organizations, which are to be advertised locally instead). See ADS E303.5.4.

### Step 2: Preparation and Submission of Applications

- Except for programs that exclusively involve local organizations, USAID now requires utilization of the standard government-wide application form, "Application for Federal Assistance" (SF-424). A copy of this form is available on the USAID Website (click "Business & Procurement," then "USAID Procurements," then "Current Forms for USAID Solicitations").

- USAID requires that all applications include at least the following: "a narrative describing the proposed activity including objectives of the project, applicant’s plan for carrying it out and qualifications of the applicant...as well as a narrative supporting the budget." See ADS 303.5.6.

- In addition, when preparing an application for submission to USAID pursuant to a competitive assistance award procedure, prospective Development Partners should exercise great care (just as they would in connection with a Request for Proposals in the procurement context) to:

  (A) follow the instructions contained in the APS or RFA, as applicable,

  (B) keep the stated evaluation or funding criteria in mind at all times, and

  (C) fully address all substantive areas the solicitation requires to be addressed.
Step 3: Evaluation of Applications and Selection of a Prospective Awardee

- USAID is required to review and evaluate all applications received in response to an APS or RFA, as applicable, according to the evaluation criteria stated. At a minimum, these criteria include technical merit, cost effectiveness/cost realism, and past performance of the applicant. See ADS 303.5.5b.

- Evaluation must be conducted on an impartial basis according to the detailed standards and procedures specified in ADS 303.5.5c and the individual solicitation.

- The results of the evaluation must be recorded in writing. The record must include a comparison of each application against the specific evaluation criteria. The results can be expressed either as a score or a narrative; if a score used, a short explanation of the strengths and weaknesses of the application must be provided, as well. See ADS E303.5.5c.

Step 4: Pre-Award Evaluation

- The USAID Agreement Officer is required to conduct an evaluation of the proposed recipient prior to award. The purpose of the pre-award evaluation is to determine that the proposed recipient can effectively implement and properly administer the program.

- Pre-award evaluations are often done on an informal "desk survey" basis, but for first-time recipients and in certain other cases, USAID may decide to establish a team and perform a formal survey. The members of the team are specified in ADS E303.5.9a.

- USAID may require that an audit be performed in connection with a formal survey.

- The survey team issues a report based on their findings. The Agreement Officer uses this report to decide whether the proposed Development Partner is "responsible" in five respects. See ADS E303.5.9a2a-e.

Related Links:

Policy Principles for Award of Assistance Instruments to PVOs and NGOs for Development and Humanitarian Assistance (5/02/97)

Issuance of USAID Competitive Procedures for Grants and Cooperative Agreements (5/22/95)

Note to USAID Development Partners: Directives and updates for USAID rules and policy documents are also available by subscription from USAID's IRM Office.
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Administering Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments

"Award administration encompasses all the dealings between USAID officials and the recipient from the time the award is made until the end of USAID support. The specific nature and extent of administration will vary from award to award in the normal exercise of Federal stewardship responsibilities. It can range from reviewing and analyzing performance reports, performing site visits to a more technically developed substantial involvement by USAID under a cooperative agreement." (ADS 303.5.13)

The Recipient "is responsible for implementing the program in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award and all applicable USAID regulations." (ADS 303.3.4)

The Cognizant Technical Officer (CTO) and the Agreement Officer (AO) share oversight of an assistance award. The functions are closely related and shall not be performed in isolation. (ADS 303.5.13) It is essential that the CTO and the AO work as a team in order to effectively administer assistance instruments (See ADS E303.5.13)
An Agreement Officer (AO) shall:

- "serve as the mandatory control point of record for all official communication that would constitute an amendment to the award"
- "provide for the continuing oversight of the financial management aspects of the award"
- "request or arrange for special audits", when deemed necessary
- "determine that the award does not contain administrative approvals which are in conflict with the above stated regulations and policies"
- "be responsible for all award suspension and termination actions"

(See ADS E303.5.13)

A Cognizant Technical Officer (CTO) shall:

- "receive copies of all performance and financial status reports for adequacy and responsiveness"
- "keep the Agreement officer informed on recipient performance”
- "request the Agreement Officer take necessary action where reports are not received, are determined to be inadequate, or a problem is apparent"
- "prepare internal USAID documentation to the satisfaction of the Agreement Officer”

(See ADS E303.5.13)

What are the roles and responsibilities of an Agreement Officer?

As a member of the Strategic Objective/Results Package team, "the Agreement Officer bears the legal responsibility for the award and therefore, only the Agreement Officer can take action to enter into, change or terminate the award on behalf of USAID." (ADS 303.3.3a) The Agreement Officer is responsible for ensuring that USAID exercises prudent management over assistance funds by (see ADS 303.3.3a)

- "Interpreting USAID's assistance policies and procedures and coordinating with the SO Team, applicants and recipients to ensure consistency of interpretation."
- "Determining the appropriate type of instrument to be used in accordance with ADS 304."
- "Guaranteeing the integrity of the competitive process by: (1) approving the Annual Program Statement or the Request for Application prior to publication; and (2) obtaining a written evaluation report from the competitive review panel asserting that the review and evaluation of all proposals was in keeping with USAID policies and procedures."
- "Making a responsibility determination regarding a potential recipient's management competence in implementing a planned activity."
- "Developing the instrument which sets out the results that the recipient plans to achieve and all understandings between USAID and the recipient."
- "Negotiating costs in the financial plan of the award meet OMB and USAID standards" by: (a) requiring the CTO to confirm the necessity of certain costs; (b) conducting a comprehensive cost analysis; and (c) discussing the cost analysis and supporting information in a Negotiation Memorandum.
- "Assuring that there no restrictions in the award that go beyond the provisions of the applicable OMB Circulars, USAID Regulation 26, or applicable Standard provisions, unless a deviation has been approved."
- "Processing necessary deviations."
- "Executing the award."
- "Preparing and executing amendments to awards as necessary."
What are the roles and responsibilities of the Cognizant Technical Officer?

As a member of the Strategic Objective/Results Package team, the Cognizant Technical Officer is responsible for ensuring that USAID exercises prudent management over assistance funds by:

- Preparing competitive announcements or writing a justification for an exception to competition.
- Conducting the process of technical selection of recipients, including performing a past performance review and conducting a cost realism analysis.
- Determining if the applicant's program description is responsive to a published USAID competitive notice or is otherwise in keeping with established USAID strategic objectives.
- Recommending the expected level of cost sharing in accordance with specific program requirements.
- Processing all necessary internal USAID authorization papers to request that the Agreement Officer consider awarding a grant or cooperative agreement to a selected recipient.
- Assisting the Agreement Officer in determining the potential recipient's level of technical and managerial competence.
- Monitoring and evaluating the recipient and the recipient's performance during the award by: maintaining contact including site visits and liaison with the recipient; reviewing and analyzing all performance and financial reports; assuring compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; carrying out all responsibilities as delegated by the Agreement Officer in the Schedule of the award or noted under the "Substantial Involvement" section of Cooperative Agreements; promptly notifying the Agreement Officer of any developments which could have a significant impact on the award; and preparing internal documents to support amendments to the award.
- Evaluating the recipient's program effectiveness at the end of the program and submitting a final report to the Agreement Officer.
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PERFORMANCE MONITORING: A process of collecting and analyzing data to measure the performance of a program, process, or activity against expected results. A defined set of indicators is constructed to track the key aspects of performance. Performance reflects effectiveness in converting inputs to outputs, outcomes and impacts (i.e., results). (ADS Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE MONITORING PLAN: A detailed plan for managing the collection of data in order to monitor performance. It identifies the indicators to be tracked; specifies the source, method of collection, and schedule of collection for each piece of datum required; and assigns responsibility for collection to a specific office, team, or individual. At the Agency level, it is the plan for gathering data on Agency goals and objectives. At the Operating Unit level, the performance monitoring plan contains information for gathering data on the Strategic Objectives, Intermediate Results and critical assumptions included in an operating unit's results frameworks. (ADS Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

EVALUATION: An analytic effort undertaken selectively to answer specific management questions regarding USAID-funded programs or activities. In contrast to performance monitoring, which provides ongoing structured information, evaluation is occasional. Evaluation focuses on why results are or are not being achieved, on unintended consequences, or on issues of interpretation, relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, or sustainability. It addresses the validity of the causal hypotheses that underlie Strategic Objectives and that are embedded in results frameworks. Evaluative activities may use different methodologies or take many different forms, e.g., ranging from highly participatory review workshops, to highly focused assessments relying on technical experts. (ADS Chapters 201, 202, 203)
Step 1: Begin with a plan for monitoring and evaluating performance and for reporting and using performance information.

- Review Planning Programs: Monitoring and Evaluating Performance and Reporting and Using Performance Information.

Step 2: Gather and analyze performance information.

- Analyze performance data for individual indicators or groups of related indicators.
- Disaggregate data as pertinent to the indicators (by gender, race, age, location, etc.).
- Compare actual performance data with baseline, past performance over the period of time deemed relevant, planned or targeted performance, or other relevant benchmarks.
- Analyze relationships among performance indicators.
- Evaluate why certain performance targets are being met and why some are not being met.

Step 3: Unpack the concepts in USAID's results review and resource request (R4).

- Understand the elements of a good R4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A good R4 report</th>
<th>Elements of a good R4 report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>✅Focuses on results and accomplishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>good</strong></td>
<td>✅Assesses performance over the past year, using established indicators, baselines and targets;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R4</strong></td>
<td>✅States explicitly whether and how much progress or results surpassed, met, or fell short of expectations, and why;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>report</strong></td>
<td>✅Specifies actions to overcome problems and accelerate performance, where necessary;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✅Explains the influence of comparative performance by objectives on the resource request;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✅Addresses gender issues in the analysis of program performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✅Integrates all funding sources, including food aid and where appropriate, links relief and development;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Identifies the need to adjust resource allocations, indicators, or targets, where necessary;

Discusses prospects for successful country closeout or graduation, particularly for country programs that will close during or immediately following the R4 reporting period.

Write a good results review using the following outline based on R4 guidance.

Part I: Overview and Factors Affecting Program Performance.

- Describe any significant changes in the society, political system, economy, or other aspects of the broader development context (e.g., social and political conflict and human rights violations) over the past year which might have caused an organization to change or eliminate an objective or that might account for poor performance.

- Include an assessment of the effects any of the changes noted above may have on the organization's ability to achieve Agency objectives and address issues related to the prevention and mitigation of conflict and post-conflict transitions.

- State when no significant changes have taken place or are expected to occur.

Part II: Results Review by Strategic Objective.

Summary: What are your intermediate results? How are these linked to Mission SOs? What is the link between your results and intermediate customers?

Key Results

- State your self-assessment of your results as either on-track, exceeding expectations, or not meeting expectations. Add a brief explanation for mixed performance, where progress was on track or better, and progress on others that didn't meet expectations.

Performance

- Support the performance rating with any of the following where applicable:
  Interpret significant trends to provide context for the past year's performance.
  Highlight differences between planned and actual performance and identify reasons for these variations.
  Cite evaluation findings and customer feedback that help to understand factors underlying performance.
  Provide other evidence of progress, including results at the output level, proxy indicators, anecdotal material, or qualitative discussion.
  Discuss problems with established performance measures (unrealistic targets, changes in key assumptions).

- Address any of the following policy interests where applicable:
  Describe how customer feedback influenced the Operating Unit's thinking on accomplishing the objective.
  Describe how partnerships influenced performance or achievement of results.
  Describe how other Donor programs influenced performance or achievement of results.
  Describe how Title II, Title III, and IDA resources contribute to the achievement of an objective.
Prospects

- Assess prospects for achieving targets over the next few months and/or years.
- Discuss completed actions or planned actions to correct problems of lagging performance.
- Identify intermediate results that will be achieved.
- Discuss prognosis for achieving the objective within the approved strategy, time period, and resource levels or the prognosis for adjusting the objective.

Performance data tables

- Include a performance data table for each Strategic Objective and all intermediate results linked to the Strategic Objective.
- Include approved indicators, baseline values, performance targets, and actual performance for the Strategic Objective and the intermediate results. NOTE: Choose only 3-4 indicators that are most useful in indicating achievement.
- Add qualitative interpretation to quantitative data. Include information on whether and how the reliability of performance data provided by others has been assessed, plans to verify and validate performance data, and significant data limitations and their implications for measuring performance results against anticipated performance targets.

Possible Adjustment to Plans

- Indicate possible adjustment to plans.
- Indicate plans for evaluation, where contributing factors are not well understood.

---

Step 4: Maintain flexibility in ensuring accountability for performance.

Failure to reach specific performance targets, or to achieve particular results, may be due to a wide variety of reasons. Ascertainment of the facts, as well as thorough and objective analysis based on these facts, is required. Determination of what types of actions are appropriate in an individual case must be based on a comprehensive and accurate assessment of the situation.

Some of the reasons that stated targets are not met or agreed results are not achieved are within the responsibility and control of USAID or a Development Partner. Others are not. For example, changes in political, social, economic, or other circumstances may occur. These events may render previous assumptions invalid, or performance as originally intended impossible or impracticable.

The challenge for USAID and its Development Partners—as partners—is to work together, in an open and transparent way, to figure out the reasons why. This is a collaborative undertaking, not a "blame game" or a search for someone to "punish." In some instances, USAID may wish to seek additional information or secure an independent evaluation for use in its deliberative process.

In appropriate cases, where the failure to achieve agreed targets or results is determined to be substantial and the causes are within the reasonable responsibility and control of the Development Partner, the Activity Manager or SO Team should discuss the matter with the cognizant USAID Agreement Officer. The Agreement Officer, in consultation as necessary with legal counsel, may consider a number of actions. These alternatives form a spectrum of responses that can be tailored to fairness and the interests of the foreign assistance program in each case.

Among the available responses are:

- Cooperative efforts: USAID may choose to work with the recipient to find cooperative and mutually beneficial ways to address the root causes of the problem. For example, it may request that the workplan—and, if necessary, the assistance instrument itself—be modified to adjust the program, budget, or funding period. This adjustment may add resources or funds for more personnel where the previous
funding or staffing level is deemed to have been inadequate, or cut back a program that is not working well.

- Limiting future funding or extensions: USAID may choose not to add funds to the assistance award in the future, or to decline or limit any requested extensions.

- Noncompliance remedies: USAID may determine that the Recipient is in noncompliance with its responsibilities under the pertinent grant or cooperative agreement, and may invoke any of the five "enforcement" measures described in 22 CFR 226.62(a).

- Suspension or termination: USAID may choose to suspend or terminate an award for cause in certain circumstances. See 22 CFR 226.61.

The potential scope of these remedial actions is quite broad, and they can and should be flexibly applied. Like the analogous recourses available under procurement contracts, however, they have serious consequences, and should only be considered when appropriate.

Effective administration of a USAID assistance instrument is not something that occurs only at the end of an award or funding period. Rather, USAID monitoring and evaluation, like that of a Development Partner, is an ongoing effort. Periodic reports, when rigorously prepared and submitted and reviewed in a timely manner, can--together with other normal contacts between USAID and the Recipient--identify emerging obstacles and problems while performance is still occurring. This will permit the Recipient to initiate prudent adjustments to the program, subject to USAID approvals in the situations specified in USAID's Regulation 26, and subject to other USAID action (for example, processing an amendment) when necessary.

Examples of monitoring and evaluating performance:

- Slide show on performance monitoring and evaluation
- Slide show on program performance measurement systems
- CDIE's Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Tips
- CDIE's Conducting a Participatory Evaluation

The Nature Conservancy's (TNC): TNC's Performance Monitoring Plan for the Strategic Objective (Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity) is attached as an acrobat file (pdf). [The free Adobe(R) Acrobat(R) Reader allows you to view, navigate, and print PDF files.]

The Nature Conservancy (TNC): TNC's Performance Monitoring Table for the Strategic Objective (Protection of selected LAC parks and reserves important to conserve the Hemisphere's biological diversity) is also attached as an acrobat file (pdf).

National Council of Negro Women (NCNW): NCNW/Egypt manages an Umbrella Management Institute (UMI), PVO Development Project in Egypt. Through UMI, NCNW has provided training, technical and financial assistance to its intermediate customers - across the whole spectrum of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Egypt. NCNW has emphasized the formation of strategic partnerships between US PVOs and Egyptian CSOs and the promotion of citizen participation in planning, implementing, monitoring, and reporting on results of development initiatives. Look here for a copy of NCNW's 1997 Annual Results Review. [If you don't have Microsoft Word, download Microsoft Word Viewer 97 which allows copying information to other applications.]

USAID's Bureau for Europe and the New Independent States, Office of Democracy and Social Reform has developed an NGO Sustainability Index (1977). The Index gauges the strength of the NGO sector in the transition societies of East Central Europe and the New Independent States.

USAID/South Africa, in partnership with the Human Sciences Research Council and U.S. and South African PVOs, has developed a guide for the assessment of organizational capacity. The main purpose of the guide is to provide NGOs with a framework for the systematic evaluation of their organizational
processes, structures, systems and skills. It is designed to help them to identify and understand their strengths and weaknesses and to enable them to develop strategies to improve their organizations' capacity.

USAID's Office of Private and Voluntary Cooperation, PACT, and Educational Development Center has developed Discussion-Based Organizational Self-Assessment which is a tool, a process, and a service.

**The Inter-American Foundation (IAF):** Based on the tenets of participation, empowerment, and sustainability, IAF developed the Foundation's Grassroots Development Framework which considers an integrated view of results at the individual level, the organizational level, and the societal level.

**The African Development Foundation (ADF):** Building upon ADF's participatory evaluation model of the past ten years, ADF has integrated its project monitoring, participatory evaluation, and program performance assessment to enhance program impact and to increase operational efficiency and effectiveness.

**Sustainable Seattle:** The Sustainable Seattle Network developed a consensus definition of sustainability--long-term health and vitality -- cultural, economic, environmental and social. Through consensual decision-making and a shared leadership process, and the participation of diverse from the public and private sector, indicators were identified that seemed most useful in providing a snapshot of community sustainability.

**Oregon Benchmarks:** The Oregon Benchmarks help decision-makers analyze trends and problems, and identify priorities for the period ahead. The benchmarks are used at the statewide level to assess progress toward broad strategic objectives. Leaders in Oregon have used the benchmarks to reset priorities and adapt and modify programs as they learn what works.

**Canadian International Development Agency** (CIDA): "The Geographic Programs Road Map" is a guide for Project Managers who are engaged in the formulation of concept papers; results and risks in the approval process; appraisal, design and approval; implementation, monitoring, and control; and project design and analysis.
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Core Values

Five interrelated core values constitute the basic organizational precepts that define what USAID is and what it stands for.

- **Customer focus**: USAID, more consistently and systematically, involves both partners and customers in strategic planning and performance measurement.
- **Managing for Results**: USAID manages for results through a customer-driven, results-oriented strategic planning and performance measurement approach. This means setting clear objectives and targets, collecting adequate information to measure progress, and adjusting strategies and tactics as required, all in consultation with customers.
- **Teamwork and participation**: USAID forms Strategic Objective Teams committed to the achievement of customer-focused results for which team members hold themselves individually and collectively accountable.
- **Empowerment and accountability**: USAID invests its Strategic Objective Teams with authority to make and implement decisions that will produce results and with accountability for such decisions.
- **Diversity**: USAID shows it values diversity in its work force.
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Core Value: Customer Focus

USAID defines its different types of customers as follows:

ULTIMATE CUSTOMERS:
Customers: Individuals of every age, gender, and level of physical and/or mental ability who receive USAID services or products, benefit from USAID programs or who are affected by USAID actions, and whose participation is essential to achieving sustainable development results.

INTERMEDIATE CUSTOMER:
A person or organization who:
- is internal or external to USAID;
- uses USAID services, products, or resources; and
- serves, directly or indirectly, the needs of ultimate customers.

CUSTOMERS AS USAID PARTNERS:
An organization or customer representative who:
- works cooperatively with USAID;
- agrees to achieve mutual objectives; and
- is committed to securing customer participation.

WHAT ABOUT STAKEHOLDERS?
Stakeholders are:
- U.S. individuals and groups who have an interest in USAID's programs and/or results, and who exercise authority over USAID resources. These include Congress, the Executive Branch and those who influence the American political process.
- Non-U.S. individuals or organizations with an interest in particular USAID activities or results in a country who are in a position to exercise significant influence over them. These would include host governments, local interest groups, other donors, and major partners.

Recognizing the importance and function of stakeholders, our processes will include mechanisms which meet their needs, while focusing on the end user or customer where our ultimate interests and objectives shall be achieved. For example, to improve the economic well being of disadvantaged farmers through a country program requires resources, and acquiring those resources necessitates meeting the needs of Congress for information which demonstrates that the program is effective and managed efficiently. Also, the program requires some form of service delivery system, and establishing that may necessitate understanding and meeting the needs of the government and NGOs for technical expertise and infrastructure support. Thus, USAID's function is to play a key role within a network of stakeholders, including partners, to ensure that customer needs are met. This means improved and more effective participation in our processes by customers as well as by partners and stakeholders.
How does USAID maintain its focus on customers?

Each of USAID's Missions and Washington-based Operating Units must have a Customer Service Plan that:

- identifies customers and their needs;
- describes customers' participation in planning, achieving, and measuring and evaluating results; and
- articulates the link between intermediate customers and ultimate customers.

The Customer Service Plan helps clarify desired results with customers and ensures their commitment and support. If results are achieved and customers sustain the activities after USAID funding ends, then USAID assistance will have a lasting impact. The Customer Service Plan is integrated into the Strategic Plans and Performance Measurement Plans of each USAID Mission and Washington-based Operating Unit.

Related Sites:

For further detailed information, please check the following links.

Agency's Customer Service Plan
A Partners' Consultation: Reengineering Relationships
NPR's Best-in-class practices on customer-focused results-oriented assistance
Conducting Customer Service Assessments
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Core Value:
Managing for Results

USAID defines a result as:

- a change in the condition of a customer or a change in the host country condition which has a relationship to the customer; and,
- a change that is brought about by the intervention of USAID in concert with its Development Partners.

USAID's definition of results includes three key principles:

- Results that we aim for are determined by customer aspirations--along with the priorities of the Agency's stakeholders; customer feedback helps us keep on track in actually achieving them; and customer views inform how we judge their merit.
- Results are achieved at different levels -- the output level, the outcome level, the intermediate level, and the strategic objective level.
- Results are linked by causal relationships; i.e., a result is achieved because related, interdependent result(s) were achieved.

The results that are of interest to USAID, its customers and stakeholders are:

- Strategic objective -- the highest level result for which a USAID Operating Unit is held accountable.
- Intermediate results -- those results which contribute to the achievement of a Strategic Objective and the highest level of result for which Development Partners are typically held accountable. (ADS Chapters 201, 202, 203)
How does USAID manage for results?

The Results Act (GPRA) of 1993 requires all Federal Agencies to establish a Strategic Plan and performance measurement system (made up of an annual performance plan and an annual performance report) to focus on the achievement of measurable results.

USAID works with Development Partners to achieve results consistent with the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the Results Act of 1993.

USAID needs partners who:

- believe in USAID's mission, goals, and objectives;
- are focused on customers;
- are oriented to managing for results (planning, achieving, and monitoring and evaluating performance);
- are willing to practice principles of teamwork and participation with USAID technical, procurement, and other support staff and with USAID's customers and stakeholders; and
- are empowered to make decisions and account for the results of those decisions.

USAID works in partner relationships with a variety of Development Partners through grants and cooperative agreements. For specific information on integrating managing for results with assistance instruments, please go to Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments.

---

**Key Steps in Managing for Results**

Broadly speaking, there are three key procedures or steps in managing for results (ADS 200, Chapter 201, 202, and 203):

**Step 1:** Strategic Planning

**Step 2:** Achieving

**Step 3:** Monitoring and Evaluating Performance

---

**Step 1: Strategic Planning**

Strategic planning (ADS 201) is used in the management of Agency assistance programs to serve the following purposes:

- To ensure that the efforts of the Agency’s operating units are directed toward achieving significant development impact in priority areas through a participatory process involving stakeholders, partners, and customers.
To provide a structure which allows operating units to make program choices and effectively respond to evolving circumstances.

To establish a framework for monitoring the progress and effectiveness of the Agency's programs in accomplishing its objectives and allocating Agency resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>USAID MISSIONS AND OPERATING UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USAID's Strategic Plan (1997-2007)</td>
<td>Country Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Strategic Framework</td>
<td>Results Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Performance Plan and Congressional Presentation</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring, Evaluation, and Research Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Performance Report to Congress</td>
<td>Annual Results Review and Resource Request (R4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic Plan

In consultation with Congress, the State Department, and other interested stakeholders, partners, and customers, the Agency has developed a Strategic Plan for its programs which:

- defines the broad strategic framework within which operating unit strategic plans will be developed;
- articulates what the Agency expects to achieve in facilitating sustainable development world-wide and by incorporating the needs of the Agency’s customers;
- defines USAID goals and priority objectives which contribute to the Agency mission of sustainable development;
- establishes a basis for allocating resources against relevant factors (priority sectors, geopolitical considerations, country sustainable development needs, and desired Agency-wide results); and
serves as the basis for presenting the Agency's programs and budget requests to Congress and the public.

The Agency Strategic Plan will be amended as necessary based on significant changes in U.S. national interests, geopolitical considerations, country and customer needs, progress or lack of progress in achieving Agency goals and objectives, and/or new technical knowledge in a sector.

Strategic Framework

Along with the Agency Strategic Plan, the Agency has established an Agency strategic framework which graphically depicts the Agency's Strategic Plan. The framework:

- articulates the essence of the Agency strategic plan in graphic form;
- provides the framework within which operating unit strategic plans will be developed by laying out Agency goals and objectives;
- serves as a basis for tracking progress toward Agency goals and objectives;
- provides an organizing framework for periodic internal Agency strategy and performance reviews, including programming and budget allocation decisions; and
- serves as a basis for presenting information on the Agency's programs, budget requests, and performance to external audiences, including Congress.

Components of the Strategic Plan

Strategic Objective: A strategic objective (SO) is the most ambitious result (intended measurable change) in a particular program area that a USAID Mission or Operating Unit, along with its partners, can materially affect and for which USAID is willing to be held responsible. The strategic objective forms the standard by which the operational unit is willing to be judged on its performance. The time-frame for the achievement of a strategic objective is typically 5-8 years for sustainable development programs, but may be shorter for programs operating under short-term transitional circumstances or in conditions of uncertainty. Each strategic objective shall be linked to one Agency goal.

Strategic support objective (SSO): A strategic support objective (SSO) is intended to capture and measure a regional or Agency-wide development objective which involves the achievement of various USAID operating units' individual strategic objectives, as well as important contributions by a central or regional bureau or an operating unit which has multi-country responsibilities, e.g., a regional mission.

Special Objectives: Under exceptional circumstances, a mission or office may include activities in its portfolio which could not be associated with existing operating unit objectives, but which produce results to support other U.S. Government assistance objectives. Special objectives may be justified if one or more of the following criteria are met:

- the activity is a response to a legislated earmark or special interest that does not meet the criteria for a strategic objective;
- it is a continuation of an activity initiated prior to the strategic plan which needs additional time for orderly phase-out;
- it is an exploratory/experimental activity in a new program area which merits further exploration or which responds to new developments in the country, region, or sector; or
- it is a research activity which contributes to the achievement of an Agency objective.

Results Framework for each objective.

The results framework must provide enough information so that it adequately illustrates the development hypothesis (or cause and effect linkages) represented in the strategy and therefore assists in communicating the basic premises of the
strategy. The results framework shall include any key results that are produced by other development partners (e.g., partners such as nongovernmental organizations, the host country government, other donors, and customers).

Related Links:

Agency Strategic Plan

[If you don't have Microsoft Word, download Microsoft Word Viewer 97 which allows copying information to other applications.]

Agency Congressional Presentations will have a summary of the strategic objectives of each Mission and Washington-based Operating Unit.

## Step 2: Achieving Results

Effective management of Agency development and humanitarian assistance programs and resources requires an emphasis on achieving results through team effort and customer focus (ADS 202). The principles of achieving results are:

- ensuring that the efforts of the Agency's operating units are directed toward achieving significant development impact in priority areas through a participatory process involving stakeholders, partners, and customers;
- providing a structure which allows operating units to make program choices and effectively respond to evolving circumstances;
- emphasizing the accomplishment of results;
- identifying and meeting customer needs;
- promoting a teamwork approach, including U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) staff, partners, and customers;
- providing a significant level of empowerment and accountability for those individuals and management units closest to the development and humanitarian problems being addressed; and
- promoting the regular collection and review of data and information related to performance resulting in the continuous improvement of the implementation of development assistance; the effectiveness of management decisions and processes; the means by which the Agency learns through its experience; and the ability of the Agency to meet accountability and reporting requirements.

### STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE TEAM

The operating unit shall establish a strategic objective team for each strategic objective, strategic support objective, and special objective defined in the approved strategic plan.

Related Link:

USAID's core value: teamwork

## Step 3: Monitoring and Evaluating Performance

Regular collection and analysis of data and information related to performance is essential in order to continuously improve:

- the planning and implementation of development assistance;
- the effectiveness of management decisions and processes;
- the means by which the Agency learns from experience; and
Results Results Review and Resource Request (R4) Report

The results review section(s) of the R4 report must address the USAID Operating Unit's performance for the immediate past fiscal year, focusing on progress made towards achievement of the strategic objectives, strategic support objectives, and special objectives.

Related Link:
Monitoring and Evaluating Performance

Agency Performance Report

Consistent with the Results Act 1993 (GPRA), the Agency shall prepare and submit, by March 31 of each year, a report to the President and Congress on the Agency's program performance for the previous fiscal year. The report must:

- review progress towards objectives over the past fiscal year;
- examine Agency plans for the current fiscal year relative to the performance achieved in the fiscal year covered by the report;
- when appropriate, explain why the objective was not met, describe plans and schedules for improving progress towards the established objective, and, if the objective is determined to be impractical or not feasible, explain why that is the case and what action is recommended;
- describe the use and effectiveness in achieving objectives of any waiver under section 9703 of the GPRA; and,
- include summary findings of evaluations, as deemed appropriate, completed during the fiscal year covered by the report.

Examples:
Planning and Managing for Results Under Reengineering: Early Lessons from the Field
Managing for Results in a Regional Mission: USAID/Central Asia's Experience

Other Relevant Links:
Comparative definitions of results and performance
Highlights of the GPRA: the Results Act
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Core Value:  
Teamwork and Participation

USAID defines teamwork as the coming together of a group of people who are:

- committed to achieving customer-focused results,
- vested with the authority to make decisions, and
- willing to be held accountable for results. Participation entails bringing individual skills and viewpoints to bear on the team’s problem-solving and decision-making.

USAID has established Strategic Objective (SO) Teams to manage for results. SO Teams are made up of:

- a core team of USAID personnel (technical and support offices--controller, project development, and contracts office);
- Development Partners whose resources bear on achieving the SO;
- Stakeholders, from the U.S. and from local groups and individuals, who will be affected by achievement of the SO; and
- Customer representatives.

How does USAID practice teamwork and participation?

USAID's ADS 202 locates the responsibility for achieving the Agency's goals and strategic objectives in a Strategic Objective (SO) team. An SO Team includes a core team of USAID (technical, procurement, and support) staff who establish an expanded SO Team (Development Partners whose resources bear on achievement of the SO; Stakeholders, especially local groups and individuals who will be affected by achievement of the SO; and Customer representatives). The SO Team is responsible for planning, achieving, and measuring results. The responsibilities of the SO Team are defined in the ADS and summarized in the table below, which lays out the similarities and differences between the expanded team and the core team.

SO Team Responsibilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Expanded Team</th>
<th>Core Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify/evaluate development hypothesis and results framework</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze/report overall program performance against expected results</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend approaches and make adjustments in activities/results</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Carry out inherently governmental functions | X |
| Carry out Agency responsibilities | |
| Maintain information on plans and status of activities | X |
| Create, modify, and disband results | X |
| Prepare activity, results package, and strategic objective close out reports | X | X |

To see the full section from the Automated Directive System (ADS) on the responsibilities of an SO Team.

Building Teamwork in USAID's Dominican Republic Mission

Productive and Counterproductive Role Behaviors of Team Members
Core Value: Empowerment and Accountability

To empower is to invest with official authority to make and implement decisions. Decisions are bound by organizational goals, Strategic Objectives, and intended results and by legal and ethical standards. Authority to make and implement decisions should be balanced by accountability for such decisions.

How does USAID practice empowerment and accountability?

USAID has given a significant level of empowerment and accountability to those individuals and management units closest to the development and humanitarian problems being addressed.

USAID has invested its Strategic Objective (SO) Teams with:

- authority to make and implement decisions that will produce results, and
- accountability for such decisions (and results).

For a USAID or Development Partner project manager, accepting authority to make and implement decisions requires:

- the personal (and Team) capacity to do what is required for performance and accomplishment of results,
- willingness to accept personal responsibility,
- willingness to claim ownership of successes and failures, and
- willingness to make personal commitment to the Agency’s ideals and principles.

Related Sites:

For further detailed information, please check the following links:

Building Teamwork in USAID’s Dominican Republic Mission

Best practices on empowerment and accountability from other organizations
Core Value: Diversity

Valuing Diversity: USAID is creating an environment where every employee is valued and accepted, where management practices are inclusive rather than exclusive, and where differences are not only accepted but utilized to strengthen Agency performance.

WHY DOES USAID PLACE SUCH EMPHASIS ON A DIVERSE WORKFORCE?

Following the adoption of the first four core values, the Agency recognized that while each was critical to successfully doing business in the new way, there was a missing element. Because of USAID's multicultural workforce and diverse global customers, stakeholders and partners, the Administrator determined that the promotion of diversity should be added as a fifth core value.

USAID is dedicated to global improvement of the quality of human life. To deliver quality programs abroad, the Agency must ensure a workplace environment in which each employee values the diversity, experience, and contributions of others. Every employee must have the opportunity to contribute to the full extent of his or her ability.

It should also be noted that the Foreign Service Act of 1980 requires that the Foreign Service be representative of the American people. Further, equal opportunity law requires nondiscrimination in personnel practices as well as affirmative actions that will ensure fairness and representativeness in the workforce.

Regarding the effectiveness of USAID reengineering, our best efforts to create effective teams, to empower employees and to manage for results are undermined if USAID management does not operate in a diverse environment. It is a business necessity that team members have a common understanding of the value of diversity, and be able to recognize, accept and utilize human differences in working to meet customer needs.

To this end, valuing diversity becomes a key core value, crucial to the successful execution of our functions. Continued success requires that USAID’s workforce, in Washington and overseas, understand the synergy and benefits of bringing together people of different backgrounds and skills to accomplish the Agency’s mission.
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Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Defining Results Oriented Assistance
Planning Results Oriented Assistance
Choosing Results Oriented Assistance
Writing Results Oriented Assistance
Awarding Results Oriented Assistance
Administering Results Oriented Assistance
Monitoring and Evaluating Performance

Defining

1. What is a results-oriented (performance-based) assistance instrument: what are its necessary characteristics and features?

A results-oriented assistance instrument is a grant or cooperative agreement awarded to a Development Partner to achieve results that contribute to USAID's performance goals. Defining Results-Oriented Assistance.

2. How is results-oriented assistance related to a performance-based grant?

The term "performance-based grants" has not been defined with legal precision. However, the term as commonly used seems basically the same as results-oriented assistance.

3. How is results-oriented assistance related to performance-based contracting?

The three key elements of results-oriented assistance are broadly similar to performance-based service contracting. For results-oriented assistance: the three elements are results-oriented program descriptions; performance measures; and responsibility for performance. For performance-based service contracts: the three main elements are performance-based work statements; quality assurance and surveillance plans; and performance incentives and disincentives. From a technical viewpoint, the third element of results-oriented assistance, i.e., responsibility for performance differs from the comparable element of performance-based service contracts, i.e., performance incentives and disincentives, in that responsibility for performance is a
broader and more general concept. Performance responsibility is comprehensive. Incentives and disincentives are monetary in nature and relate only to the fees paid to for-profit organizations under some contract types. The fundamental difference between the two is the classic distinction between procurement and assistance relationships. See FAQ (5), however, below. [Top]

Planning

4. What are the steps in designing a good results-oriented assistance instrument?

Results-oriented assistance is based on participatory Strategic Planning. Those who are most likely to be affected by or benefit from the assistance must participate in planning results-oriented assistance. Their input must be reflected in the following three steps:

- Step 1: Development of a results-oriented program description.
- Step 2: Establishment of a performance measurement system.
- Step 3: Determination of responsibility for performance.

Planning results-oriented assistance.

Choosing

5. What are the U. S. Government's requirements related to the use of assistance instruments in managing for results?

There is nothing in federal law or statute that indicates that the federal government can manage for results only by using a certain type of instrument. Government-wide, the focus on results is mandated by the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993. This Act permits use of assistance instruments to achieve results, but does not prescribe in detail how this may be done.

The use of assistance instruments is governed by the Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977. Like the GPRA, this Act permits the use of assistance instruments to achieve results, but does not limit an agency's flexibility as to how this may be done.

Specifically for USAID, authority for the use of assistance instruments is contained in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (FAA Sections 621 and 635(b)).

FAA Section 621A.b reads as follows: "The President shall establish a management system that includes: the definition of objectives and programs for United States foreign assistance; the development of quantitative indicators of progress toward these objectives; the orderly consideration of alternative means for accomplishing such objectives; and the adoption of methods for comparing actual results of programs and projects with those anticipated when they were undertaken. The system should provide information to the agency and to Congress that relates agency resources, expenditures, and budget projections to such objectives and results in order to assist in the evaluation of program performance, the review of budgetary requests, and the setting of program priorities."

Choosing results-oriented assistance

6. When is it appropriate to use a grant or cooperative agreement, and how can assistance instruments be used to manage for results?

The Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977 defines the circumstances in which each type of instrument is to be used. It states that procurement contracts are to be used when an Agency is acquiring goods and services for the use and benefit of the Federal Government.

An Agency shall use a grant agreement when --

(1) the principal purpose of the relationship is to transfer a thing of value to the ... Recipient to carry out a public purpose or support or stimulation authorized by a law of the United States instead of acquiring (by purchase, lease or barter) property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government; and
(2) substantial involvement is not expected between the executive agency and the ... Recipient when carrying out the activity contemplated in the agreement.

An Agency shall use a cooperative agreement ... when --

(1) the principal purpose of the relationship is to transfer a thing of value to the ... Recipient to carry out a public purpose or support for stimulation authorized by a law of the United States instead of acquiring (by purchase, lease or barter) property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government; and

(2) substantial involvement is expected.

7. What does USAID mean by substantial involvement?

It is USAID policy to limit its substantial involvement only to the following elements which are essential to meet program requirements (ADS 303.5.11a):

- approval of annual implementation plans;
- designation of key positions and approval of key personnel, generally "no more than five positions or five percent of Recipient employees working under the award", whichever is greater;
- Agency and recipient collaboration or joint participation, such as, selection of advisory committee members or membership by USAID in advisory committees, selection of subaward recipients, approval of Recipient's results monitoring and evaluation plans, and redirection because of interrelationships with other projects; and,
- authority to immediately halt a construction project.

8. Are there cost issues in results-oriented assistance that have not been a factor in traditional grants and cooperative agreements?

Most cost-issues are the same. However, under results-oriented assistance, as under performance-based service contracts, performance measurement takes on added importance. Therefore, there can be increased costs of monitoring and evaluation on the part of the Recipient to measure the results achieved and report them back to the Agency.

9. What are the risk factors and accountability issues to be considered in the design and use of results-oriented assistance instruments?

The fundamental risk and accountability issue affecting all types of instruments awarded by USAID is the potential for micro-management. When substantial involvement is anticipated in an assistance relationship between the USAID Technical Office or Strategic Objective Team and the Recipient, a cooperative agreement must be used. Substantial involvement is not a device to provide undue administrative oversight or detailed operational control.

USAID has effective ways of enforcing accountability for performance. However, it must not confuse performance with an unconditional guarantee. USAID shall, among other things,

- Ensure up front that it shares mutual interests with the Recipient.
- Structure the award in a such a way as to monitor the Recipient's performance continuously throughout the project. This entails designing a performance monitoring plan which evaluates the Recipient on an on-going basis. Continuous reporting and periodic reporting are fundamental characteristics of assistance instruments that allow both the Agency and its Development Partner to know as early as possible when things are not going as planned and what actions will be necessary by either or both parties to correct them.
- Consider structuring the award with tranche funding to permit periodic reviews and evaluations before additional funding is committed. (This practice is controversial and can be counterproductive if funding periods are too short or it is too rigidly applied).

Awarding
10. **Who decides which assistance applications are funded?**

The responsibility of the Strategic Team with regard to competitive award procedures is divided between the Agreement Officer and the Cognizant Technical Officer in the following manner. The Cognizant Technical Officer is responsible for convening a competitive technical review panel to review and evaluate all proposals for technical selection in accordance with USAID policies and procedures. Once the panel has concluded its technical review and evaluation, it is to prepare a written evaluation report indicating which application should be funded, and submits the report to the Agreement Officer. The Agreement Officer, in turn, asserts that the review and evaluation of all proposals were done in accordance with USAID policies and practices.

Once the Cognizant Technical Officer determines which applications will be funded, (s)he negotiates with the potential Recipient the expected level of cost sharing and the authorized use(s) of program income. Following this, the Cognizant Technical Officer will then process all the necessary internal USAID authorization papers.

With the assistance of the Cognizant Technical Officer, the Agreement Officer undertakes a responsibility determination regarding the potential Recipient's management and technical competence in implementing the planned activity.

**Awarding Results-Oriented Assistance**

11. **Is there a standard application form or format that is to be used?**

Yes. Current USAID policy is to require Applicants to use the Government-wide SF-424 in responding to an RFA unless the RFA is being issued exclusively for indigenous non-governmental organizations, in which case the Strategic Objective Team may determine not to use this form.

12. **What are the requirements for private contributions for registration of Private Voluntary Organizations (PVOs)?**

USAID views PVOs as its development and relief partners, both as intermediaries for USAID programs and as independent entities in their own right. USAID is committed to working with PVOs in both capacities. The Agency recognizes that by joining forces, USAID and PVOs can accomplish more together than either could alone. One of the challenges inherent in such a partnership is the achievement of the right mixture of collaboration and independence between public and private spheres. A healthy degree of separation between the two is essential for the integrity of each, but cooperation is also critical to the vitality of both.

In keeping with this principle and the "privateness requirement" legislated by Congress, USAID requires that in order to be eligible for grants and cooperative agreements from development assistance funds, PVOs must register with USAID and show that at least 20 percent of their annual financial resources for their international programs come from non-U.S. Government sources.

USAID's basic assistance authority in Section 635 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, is not limited to PVOs. Under this authority, USAID may make awards to "any individual, corporation, or other body of persons, friendly governments, a government agency, whether within or without the United States and intergovernmental organizations." Recipients other than PVOs are not subject to registration and "privateness" requirements.

13. **What are the requirements for individual assistance programs?**

For individual grant programs, unless cost sharing has been specifically mandated in a program or statutory requirement, which is not generally the case at USAID, its application should be flexible and case-specific. It is USAID policy that the principle of cost sharing is an important element of the USAID-Recipient relationship.

USAID's policies on whether to include cost-sharing in an assistance award are in the [USAID-U.S. PVO Partnership Paper of April 12, 1995](https://www.usaid.gov/system/files/2021-03/usaid-us-pvo-partnership-paper-of-april-12-1995.pdf), University Policy, and [ADS Chapter 216](https://www.usaid.gov/system/files/2021-03/ads-chapter-216.pdf). It is USAID policy to apply these principles to all non-governmental Recipients -- U.S. and non-U.S., for-profit and non-profit organizations, whether or not they are PVOs.
Administering

14. What are the roles, responsibilities, and rights of the Recipient?

Recipients must implement the agreed program in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award, all applicable USAID regulations, and OMB circulars.

15. What are the roles and responsibilities of the Agreement Officer relating to USAID grants and cooperative agreements?

As a member of the Strategic Objective/Results Package team, "the Agreement Officer bears the legal responsibility for the award and therefore, only the Agreement Officer can take action to enter into, change or terminate the award on behalf of USAID" (ADS 303.3.3a). The responsibilities of the Agreement Officer are to:

- Interpret USAID's assistance policies and procedures and coordinate with the SO Team, applicants and recipients to ensure consistency of interpretation.
- Determine the appropriate type of instrument to be used in accordance with ADS 304.
- Guarantee the integrity of the competitive process by: (1) approving the Annual Program Statement or the Request for Application prior to publication; and (2) obtaining a written evaluation report from the competitive review panel asserting that the review and evaluation of all proposals was in keeping with USAID policies and procedures.
- Make a responsibility determination regarding a potential recipient's management competence in implementing a planned activity.
- Develop the instrument which sets out the results that the recipient plans to achieve and all understandings between USAID and the recipient.
- Negotiate costs in the financial plan of the award meet OMB and USAID standards" by: (a) requiring the CTO to confirm the necessity of certain costs; (b) conducting a comprehensive cost analysis; and (c) discussing the cost analysis and supporting information in a Negotiation Memorandum.
- Assure that there no restrictions in the award that go beyond the provisions of the applicable OMB Circulars, USAID Regulation 26, or applicable Standard provisions, unless a deviation has been approved.
- Process necessary deviations.
- Execute the award.
- Prepare and execute amendments to awards as necessary.
- Initiate actions when terminations or suspensions are necessary.
- Maintain the official Agency files for each grant or cooperative agreement.

16. What are the roles and responsibilities of the Cognizant Technical Officer?

As a member of the Strategic Objective Team, the responsibilities of the Cognizant Technical Officer are to:

- Prepare competitive announcements or writing a justification for an exception to competition.
- Conduct the process of technical selection of recipients, including performing a past performance review and conducting a cost realism analysis.
- Determine if the applicant's program description is responsive to a published USAID competitive notice.
or is otherwise in keeping with established USAID strategic objectives.

- Recommend the expected level of cost sharing in accordance with specific program requirements.
- Process all necessary internal USAID authorization papers to request that the Agreement Officer consider awarding a grant or cooperative agreement to a selected recipient.
- Assist the Agreement Officer in determining the potential recipient's level of technical and managerial competence.
- Monitor and evaluate the recipient and the recipient's performance during the award by: maintaining contact including site visits and liaison with the recipient; reviewing and analyzing all performance and financial reports; assuring compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; carrying out all responsibilities as delegated by the Agreement Officer in the Schedule of the award or noted under the "Substantial Involvement" section of Cooperative Agreements; promptly notifying the Agreement Officer of any developments which could have a significant impact on the award; and preparing internal documents to support amendments to the award.

- Evaluate the recipient's program effectiveness at the end of the program and submit a final report to the Agreement Officer.

17. If civil unrest or natural disasters cause the evacuation of USAID and US Embassy personnel, what evacuation assistance is available to Recipients?

Recipients should immediately contact their Agreement Officer to obtain detailed instructions on how best to proceed. In the event that the Recipient is unable to reach the Agreement Officer, the Recipient should make its own decision as to whether to evacuate. Costs relating to an evacuation, like all costs must be reasonable and shall not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision is made to incur the costs. In determining the reasonableness of a given cost, consideration should be given to the totality of the circumstances, considering their responsibilities to the organization, its members, employees, and clients, the public at large, and the Federal Government.

18. Do Recipients have to evacuate their U.S. personnel?

The Recipient is an independent organization, and as such, must make its own decisions regarding evacuations. However, it is advisable, in order to limit potential future or controversy or misunderstanding, to give due consideration to the Agreement Officer's detailed guidance and instructions.

19. How much involvement can I as a USAID Cognizant Technical Officer have under substantial involvement?

See 7 above.

20. How much USAID involvement should I expect as a Recipient?

As indicated in 7 above, Recipients may expect substantial involvement which is permitted by USAID policy. Like all partnerships, there will also be reasonable give-and-take throughout the award period. However, even in procurement contracts, the Technical directions authority of USAID staff is limited, and Recipients should not be expected to tolerate micro-management by such staff. The Agreement Officer should seek to ensure that Agency staff do not over-reach in this regard.

21. What requirements can be included in the Recipient's workplan(s)?

There are currently no mandatory USAID standards in this regard. Agency technical staff have an appropriate amount of discretion. However, imposition of administrative requirements that exceed those permitted by USAID Regulation 26 is prohibited.

22. What other approvals by USAID are allowable, if any?

Generally, for other than high-risk Recipients (see 22 CFR 226.14), no approval rights may be reserved in excess of those provided for in 22 CFR 226.25 and certain circumstances set forth in other provisions of Regulation 26, without a formal USAID deviation.

23. What are indirect costs and how are they determined for new applicants?

Indirect costs are those costs which are allocable to a particular cost objective, such as a grant, cooperative agreement, procurement contract, service, or other activity, in accordance with the relative benefits
received. OMB Circular A-122 (or other OMB Circulars for certain other types of Recipients) provides guidance for non-profit organizations as to appropriate indirect cost allocation bases and methods, by stating that the distribution base may be total direct costs (excluding capital expenditures and other distorting items, such as major subcontracts or subgrants), direct salaries and wages, or other bases which result in an equitable distribution.

An indirect cost proposal should be submitted once an organization has been notified of an award that will allow for the reimbursement of indirect cost via an indirect cost rate. Generally, this proposal should be submitted as soon as possible after award. This proposal should be based on actual cost data adjusted for any known or expected deviations from historical experience. Normally a provisional indirect cost rate will be established based on the indirect cost proposal. Once an organization has established an adequate indirect cost rate structure approved by the Office of Overhead/Special Costs and Contract Closeouts Branch (OP/PS/OCC) of USAID, its provisional rates are generally updated on a yearly basis. They can be updated sooner when circumstances warrant it. Once an organization has awards with USAID based on an accepted established indirect cost rate structure; agreement has been reached on how costs are to be allocated to awards/contracts. Accordingly, any modification of the allocation methodology constitutes a change in these agreements and thus as a matter of policy requires prior approval from USAID.

24. What accounting standards need to be followed by new applicants?

New applicants are free to use their existing accounting, record keeping, and overall financial management systems, so long as they meet the applicable standards in Regulation 26, particularly 22 CFR 226.21.

25. What are allowable costs?

To be allowable under an award, costs must meet the following criteria:

- Be reasonable for the performance of the award and allocable thereto under the applicable cost principles (OMB Circular A-122 or other circulars),
- Conform to any limitations or exclusions set forth in the applicable cost principles or the award as to types or amount of cost items,
- Be consistent with policies and procedures that apply uniformly to both federally-financed and other activities of the organization,
- Be accorded consistent treatment,
- Be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP),
- Not be included as a cost or used to meet cost sharing or matching requirements of any other federally-funded program in either the current or a prior period, and
- Be adequately documented.

Allowability of costs are determined by the Agreement Officer in accordance with the cost principles applicable to the entity incurring the costs and can be found under OMB Circulars A-122, A-87, and A-21.

26. When host country NGOs participate as subrecipients, to what extent do USAID/OMB accounting rules apply to these NGOs who are small and have limited resources?

In accordance with OMB Circular A-110, Recipients are accountable for the use of the funds provided to subrecipients. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the Recipient to follow monitoring procedures in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 to ensure that subrecipients are in compliance and have made adequate accounting, recording keeping, and financial management systems.

Depending upon the subrecipients' level of sophistication, the Recipient needs to ensure, at a minimum, that the subrecipient's systems, like the Recipient's, provide for the following: (1) records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for USAID-sponsored activities; (2) effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets; (3) procedures for determining the reasonableness, allowability, and allocability of costs; and (4) accounting records that are supported by documentation that at a minimum will identify, segregate, accumulate, and record all costs incurred under a
subaward.

The responsibilities set forth in the two previous paragraphs apply to all Recipients vis-a-vis all subrecipients. This is the case as a policy matter even though non-U.S. subrecipients, like non-U.S. Recipients, are technically exempt from OMB Circulars A-110 and A-133.

As a guide to USAID’s expectations regarding non-U.S. subrecipients, the Recipient may wish to review Handbook 13 Chapter 4, Appendix 4D "Accounting, Audit, and Records" Standard Provision to view what USAID does with non-US, nongovernmental Recipients. The Recipient must ensure that subrecipients have the potential ability to comply with USAID Regulation 26, Parts 226.20-226.28, because USAID will hold the Recipient responsible.

27. What is the relationship between the Recipient, USAID Mission and U.S. Embassy?

The relationship between a Recipient, the USAID Mission and U.S. Embassy is as follows: The Ambassador, as Chief of Mission, is responsible for all "official" Americans in country. The Recipient and its employees, who are not citizens of the Cooperating Country but are citizens of the United States, are not considered part of the official U.S. delegation. The Recipient’s employees shall maintain their private (non-official) status and may not rely on local U.S. Government offices or facilities for support while under the award.

As long as prior budget approval for international travel has been incorporated into the award by the Agreement Officer, then a separate notification is not necessary, unless, the primary purpose is to work with USAID Mission personnel or the Recipient expects significant administrative or substantive programmatic support from the Mission. Where there is a security concern in a specific region, Recipients may choose to notify the U.S. Embassy of their presence when they have entered the country. This is especially important for long-term postings.

Even though the Recipient and its employees are not considered part of the official U.S. delegation, they must adhere to the following limitations and prohibitions which apply to direct-hire USAID personnel employed by the Mission.

- Pursuant to 22 CFR Part 136, the sale of personal property or automobiles by Recipient employees and their dependents in the Cooperating Country to which they are assigned is prohibited, unless the prohibition conflicts with Cooperating Country regulations.

- Other than work performed under the award for which an employee is assigned, no employee shall engage directly or indirectly, either in the individual's own name or in the name or through an agency of another person, in any business, profession, or occupation, nor shall they make loans or investments to or in any business, profession or occupation in the Country to which the employee is assigned.

- The Recipient’s employees are expected to show respect for the Cooperating Country’s conventions, customs, and institutions, to abide by its applicable laws and regulations, and not to interfere in its internal political activities.

- If the conduct of any Recipient employee is such that it is not in accordance with the above, then the Recipient’s Chief of Party is to consult with the USAID Mission Director and the employees involved. The Mission Director shall recommend the appropriate course of action with regard to the employee.

- The Recipient recognizes the rights and authority of the U.S. Ambassador to direct the removal from a country of any U.S. citizen or the discharge from an award of any third country national when, in the discretion of the Ambassador, the interest of the United States so requires.

- Finally, if it is determined that the services of any employee shall be terminated under points four or five, then the Recipient shall use its best efforts to cause the return of the employee to the United States or point of origin.

28. What is the payment process?

The payment methods for Recipients seek to minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the United States Treasury. The payment methods have their origin in Treasury Department regulations 31
Recipients will be paid in advance, provided that they maintain or demonstrate the willingness to maintain written procedures that minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds and the disbursement by the Recipient, and maintain financial management systems that meet the standards for fund control and accountability as established by USAID’s assistance regulations (22 CFR 226.21). This is the principal method of payment for nonprofit organizations, based on fundamental, long-established U.S. Government regulations.

Cash advances to a Recipient are limited to the minimum amounts needed and are timed in accordance with actual, immediate cash requirements in carrying out the purpose of the approved program or project.

Whenever possible, advances will be consolidated to cover anticipated cash needs for all awards made by USAID to the Recipient, via advance payment mechanisms such as a USAID Letter of Credit, Treasury check or electronic funds transfer. Requests for Treasury check advance payment are to be submitted on SF-270, "Request for Advance or Reimbursement" form.

If a Recipient does not maintain financial management systems that meet the standards for fund control and accountability, then USAID may use the reimbursement method within 30 days after receipt of a proper billing.

On the other hand, if a Recipient cannot meet the criteria for advance payments and USAID has determined that reimbursement is not feasible because the Recipient lacks sufficient working capital, the USAID Agreement Officer may authorize payment on a working capital advance basis. Under this procedure, USAID will advance cash to the Recipient to cover its estimated disbursement needs for the initial period, generally 30 days. Subsequently thereafter, USAID will reimburse the Recipient for its actual cash disbursements. The working capital advance method of payment will not be used for Recipients who are either unwilling or unable to provide timely advances to their subrecipients to meet their actual cash disbursement needs.

Unless otherwise required by statute (which is rarely the case), USAID will not withhold payments for proper charges made by the Recipient at any time unless the Recipient has failed to comply with the project objectives, the terms and conditions of the award, or federal reporting requirement, or is delinquent on a debt to the United States. Even when Recipients are delinquent on a debt to the United States, withholding and offset of payments are disfavored by federal debt collection policy (except when absolutely necessary) because such techniques can interfere with the conduct of important Agency-supported programs.

**Monitoring and Evaluating Performance**

The following FAQs are related to understanding the Agency-wide Strategic Planning and reporting under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.

29. **What is the Agency Strategic Framework?**

   The Agency Strategic Framework is a simple diagram of Agency goals, objectives, and program approaches drawn from USAID’s Strategies for Sustainable Development and the associated Implementation Guidelines. It establishes a basis for organizing strategy, performance reviews, budgeting, and external reporting.

30. **What about Agency program approaches?**

   The Agency program approaches are the primary ways -- the kinds of program and policy interventions -- through which USAID contributes to Agency goals and objectives in a country. These approaches build on successful strategies currently being used in the field. USAID's senior technical and policy advisers have refined the approaches to ensure that they reflect current best practices.

31. **What is the relationship between the Agency Strategic Framework and country and other programming?**

   All country, regional and global programs must contribute to the Agency-wide goals and objectives represented in the Agency strategic framework. Every proposed Strategic Plan (country, regional or global) must include a discussion of the linkage of the strategy to Agency goals and objectives.
Each operating unit's Strategic Objective must be linked to one Agency goal. It may be linked to other Agency goals on a secondary basis if necessary.

Each operating unit's Strategic Objective should also be linked to one or more Agency objective within its primary goal. Most activities represent one or more of the Agency approaches listed under the Agency objectives. Operating units should identify the program approaches they are using -- both in their strategies and their Results Review and Resource Request (R4) reporting.

32. Can Missions pursue activities that contribute to an Agency objective but that don't coincide with the program approaches listed for that objective?

Yes. The list of approaches is a work-in-progress. USAID will be seeking to improve the list of approaches in 1998. It would be helpful to hear from Missions and other operating units about program approaches they consider especially effective. Please use the feedback mechanism. In addition, regional bureaus will likely want to learn about new and innovative approaches (and the results they achieve) in country strategy and Results Review and Resource Requests (R4).

33. Why have indicators been developed for the Agency strategic framework?

Agency-wide working groups have developed indicators to monitor the progress of countries toward Agency goals and objectives, both for USAID-assisted countries and for non-presence countries. This information will help assess Agency performance and report on it in USAID's annual report on performance. While USAID will be assembling time series data on these indicators, USAID will not be setting performance targets. USAID may, however, identify thresholds (or ranges) for indicators at the goal level as one basis for considering if a country should graduate.

USAID will also be developing menus of indicators for the Agency program approaches. These menus will be based on current best practice and Mission experience with indicators they are using to monitor performance. Operating units are free to determine which, if any, indicators they will use from these menus.

34. Can we attribute any changes in these country indicators to USAID's programs? Don't they represent high level changes in country conditions that are often far removed from what we do on the ground?

Certainly, there are only a few cases in which USAID can directly link the results of specific USAID interventions to changes in these country level indicators. But these indicators related to Agency goals and objectives do provide an important frame of reference for analyzing country programs and Agency performance. These are the key development challenges, which USAID wants to address with Development Partners.

35. How will information on Agency indicators be factored into budget decisions?

Performance data is used in budget decision-making along with other factors, e.g. Congressional directives and earmarks. With additional contextual information, the Agency indicators provide a reasonable picture of a country's development status, how that country compares to other countries in critical development areas, and how that country is progressing over time. This is an important reference point for analyzing USAID's contribution. It also provides a clearer basis for Agency-wide Strategic Planning and reporting under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (the GPRA).

These kinds of data (along with other information on program performance, policy priorities, technical capabilities, and foreign policy significance) are already being used by USAID managers at all levels in setting priorities and allocating budgets.

The Agency indicators could be likened to warning lights. If countries fail to make progress with respect to Agency goals and objectives, or even slip back, that's cause for concern. Similarly, if a country performs particularly well, the Agency would want to understand that, too. The warning lights don't provide answers, but do raise important questions.

36. Analyzing these kinds of data is complicated and requires sector and country knowledge. How will this analysis be carried out? Who participates?

Analysis of the indicator data will be a part of the program and budget reviews of each sector. These reviews will draw upon expertise from PPC, the regional bureaus, and the technical staff from the Global and Humanitarian Response Bureaus. These data may also be used by operating units themselves as a basis for relating the performance of their programs to broader development changes.
37. Won’t getting all these data on Agency goals and objectives be an enormous burden on Missions?

Wherever possible, the Agency working groups selected indicators for which data are available from secondary sources. Such data are fairly established in areas like economic growth, population, and health. In newer program areas like environment and democracy, USAID may need to be more proactive in developing indicators and collecting data--along the lines of USAID’s pioneering work in creating a worldwide demographic and health data base.

While USAID has tried to minimize the burden on Missions and other operating units in collecting data on these performance indicators, there may be occasions when data on specific indicators may be requested. Any decisions will be made judiciously and in consultation. Better data are only worth getting if their value to the Agency outweighs the costs of collecting them.

38. How should Missions use the Agency indicators in their programming? Should Missions specifically design their programs to affect the Agency indicators?

Missions should certainly design programs around the Agency goals and objectives, which reflect what USAID would like to achieve as an Agency, but NOT around the Agency indicators themselves. These Agency indicators represent the best data USAID can obtain from secondary sources and reflect national level changes that are substantially beyond most Missions manageable interest and the scope of their Strategic Objectives. Changes in these indicators are likely to be only indirectly linked to Mission programming and budgeting, which should more directly reflect a Mission's performance in achieving its own Strategic Objectives and intermediate results.

The Agency indicators are not necessarily the best indicators of what USAID programs are trying to accomplish in particular countries, but reflect practical considerations and world-wide availability. Certainly, such high level Agency indicators should not drive Mission programming.

39. Are there any plans to update or revise the framework and indicators based on experience?

Yes, the current framework and indicators aren't perfect. These represent an important first step in systematically setting out and tracking Agency goals and objectives. USAID will use this framework in program planning and review in 1998 and evaluate its appropriateness and utility. Each year, as part of the Agency-wide sector review, goals, objectives and indicators will be updated, as necessary.

40. How can Agency and Development Partner staff contribute to changes in the Agency Strategic Framework and indicators?

In addition to the more formal Agency-wide annual review mentioned above, USAID welcomes hearing your suggestions, concerns, or issues with the Strategic Framework and indicators at any time. Please communicate your views to PPC.
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE:
a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources

GPRA explains the legislative requirements for strategic planning and performance measurement. Also included are links to statutes most frequently referenced in planning, achieving, monitoring and evaluating performance of results-oriented assistance.

A summary of the Agency Strategic Plan is included. A full copy is available for downloading. Each Mission and Washington-based Operating Units' Strategic Plans are available upon request by e-mail. A summary of Strategic Objectives and Intermediate Results of each Mission and Operating Unit is in the most recent Congressional Presentation.

Best practices is a summary of "best efforts" that contribute to successful achievement of results by USAID and its Development Partners. The intent is to elicit nominations of key or emerging practices around "managing for results" themes.

USAID pursues its mission through partnerships. This section reviews partnership principles, provides a checklist of questions on readiness to partner, and highlights the USAID/PVO partnerships and areas of convergence.

e-Mentors are individuals who have agreed to act as virtual mentors.

A glossary of terms specific to "Results-Oriented Assistance Instruments" is provided, as well as, a link to the full glossary contained in Automated Directive System (ADS), which replaced the USAID Handbooks.
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE: a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources:

GPRA

LEGISLATION

The Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993 (the "Results Act") was enacted by Congress to provide for the establishment of strategic planning and performance measurement (made up of an annual performance plan and an annual performance report) in the Federal Government.

What is GPRA?

A major culture change: results, not intentions

A management and budgeting framework for:

- Planning(with Congress and stakeholders)
- Communicating
- Decision-Making
- Accounting for performance--
  - Money spent
  - Processes used
  - Outputs produced
  - Outcomes attained = PERFORMANCE
The purposes of this Act are to:

- improve the confidence of the American people in the capability of the Federal Government, by systematically holding Federal agencies accountable for achieving program results;

- initiate program performance reform with a series of pilot projects in setting program goals, measuring program performance against those goals, and reporting publicly on their progress;

- improve Federal program effectiveness and public accountability by promoting a new focus on results, service quality, and customer satisfaction;

- help Federal managers improve service delivery, by requiring that they plan for meeting program objectives and by providing them with information about program results and service quality;

- improve congressional decision making by providing more objective information on achieving statutory objectives, and on the relative effectiveness and efficiency of Federal programs and spending; and

- improve internal management of the Federal Government.

The Results Act is based on models already being used successfully in New Zealand and Australia. In cities like Portland, Seattle, Sunnyvale, and even the NY Police Department, the Results Act gives a 'bottom line' to every program and brings to America a tested means to track performance and make program managers more accountable.

---

**Elements of the Strategic Plan** (due '97)

**6 year timeline; updated at least every 3 years**

**Vision statement (optional)**

**Must clearly identify:**

- Comprehensive Mission Statement
- General Goals and Objectives
- Core processes, activities, and resources that will be required to execute the Strategic Plan (Strategies)
- Relationship between general goals and objectives and the performance goals
- External Factors that could affect performance
- Program evaluations to be used to gauge success
As defined by section 3 of GPRA, a Strategic Plan should contain the following elements:

- A comprehensive mission statement.
- A description of general goals and objectives. "A general goal is an elaboration of the mission statement, developing with greater specificity how an agency will carry out its mission. The goal may be of a programmatic, policy, or management nature, and is expressed in a manner which allows a future assessment to be made of whether the goal was or is being achieved." "A general objective is often synonymous with a general goal. In a Strategic Plan, an objective(s) may complement a general goal whose achievement cannot be directly measured. The assessment is made on the objective rather than the general goal."
- A description of how the general goals and objectives will be achieved. These can include operational processes, skills and technologies, and human, capital, information and other resources. The description should also outline the process for communicating goals and objectives throughout the agency, as for assigning accountability to managers and staff for achievement of objectives.
- A description of the relationship between performance goals in the annual performance plan and general goals and objectives in the strategic plan.
- Identification of key factors (economic, demographic, social or environmental) that could affect achievement of the general goals and objectives; certain conditions (events) not happening; and actions of Congress, other Federal agencies, local governments.
- A description of program evaluations used, and a schedule for future evaluations.

---

**How the Strategic Plan is written**

- Look at the statutes
- Consult with Congress
- Give stakeholders a chance for input
- Involve managers at all levels
- Assess internal and external environments
- Identify core processes needed to implement strategies/objectives/goals of plan
- Coordinate with other agencies engaged in similar activities
In developing a Strategic Plan, an agency must conform with statutory requirements; consult with Congress, customers, and other stakeholders potentially affected by or interested in the plan; coordinate with other agencies with shared responsibilities or cross-agency programs; and involve managers at all levels.

Preparation and Submission of Strategic Plans

OMB Circular No. A-11, Section 200 provides instructions for preparing strategic plans and highlights the relationship between Strategic Plans and annual performance plans.

The Congressional Institute maintains a listing of Strategic Plans by agency, including the USAID Strategic Plan submitted to Congress on November 5, 1996. This draft strategic plan was reviewed by the General Accounting Office (GAO) in July 1997. The review assessed the draft's overall compliance with the GPRA's requirements and its overall quality; determined if USAID's key statutory authorities were reflected; identified whether discussions about cross-cutting functions and interagency involvement were included; determined if the draft plan addressed major management problems; and discussed USAID's capacity to provide reliable information about its operations and performance. Mindful of the GAO's observations, the September 1997 Agency Strategic Plan includes USAID's Strategic Framework and Justification for the Agency's performance goal and indicators.

Click here for the Congressional Scoresheet for Grading Strategic Plans.

---

### Annual Performance Plans (Feb. '98)

- **Performance Goals (Outputs and Outcomes):** Tangible, Measurable Targets for each year
  - Operational details (resource needs and processes used)
  - Clear Measures: means for comparing actual results with projected results
  - Means for verification and validation of measured results

---

The Results Act does not have a definition for performance measurement but discusses the requirement for each agency to prepare (a) an annual performance plan covering each program activity set forth in the budget of such an agency and (b) an annual program performance report. The annual performance plan shall:

- establish performance goals to define the level of performance to be achieved by a program activity;
- express such goals in an objective, quantifiable, and measurable form;
- briefly describe the operational processes, skills, and technology, and the human, capital, information, or other resources required to meet the performance goals;
- establish performance indicators to be used in measuring or assessing the relevant outputs, service levels, and outcomes of each program activity;
- provide a basis for comparing actual program results with the established performance goals; and
- describe the means to be used to verify and validate measured values.
Annual Performance Reports (March 2000)

- Actual performance achieved vs. goals (targets) set for the year
  - includes measurement data collected and analyzed
- Explanation of any goals that were not met
- Plan for achieving unmet goals
- Modification of current year's plan in light of previous year's performance
- Performance information and trend data from previous years

The program performance report shall:

- review the success of achieving the performance goals of the fiscal year;
- evaluate the performance plan for the current fiscal year relative to the performance achieved toward the performance goals in the fiscal year covered by the report;
- explain and describe, where a performance goal has not been met--why the goal was not met; those plans and schedules for achieving the established performance goal; and if the performance goal is impractical or infeasible, why that is the case and what action is recommended.

Preparation and Initial Submission of Annual Performance Plans

OMB Circular No. A-11, Part 2 covers the preparation and initial submission of annual performance plans. Two iterations of an agency's performance plan are prepared: an initial plan submitted to OMB and used during OMB's review of the agency budget request and a revised plan sent to Congress soon after transmittal of the President's budget, and made available to the public.

Review of Agency Annual Performance Plans

For a checklist of questions asked by OMB in the review of Agency Annual Performance Plans.
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE:
a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources: Agency Strategic Plan--Summary

USAID Definitions from the Automated Directives System (ADS)

AGENCY STRATEGIC PLAN: The Agency's plan for providing development assistance; the Strategic Plan articulates the Agency's mission, goals, objectives, and program approaches. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK: A graphical or narrative representation of the Agency's Strategic Plan; the framework is a tool for communicating USAID's development strategy. The framework also establishes an organizing basis for measuring, analyzing, and reporting results of Agency programs. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

Strategic Plan: The framework which an operating unit uses to articulate the organization's priorities, to manage for results, and to tie the organization's results to the customer/beneficiary. The Strategic Plan is a comprehensive plan which includes the delimitation of strategic objectives and a description of how it plans to deploy resources to accomplish them. A Strategic Plan is prepared for each portfolio whether it is managed at a country level, regionally, or centrally. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

A summary of the Agency's Strategic Plan is provided below.

Elements of the Agency Strategic Plan (submitted September 1997)
10 year timeline (1997-2007)

**Identifies:**

- Comprehensive Mission Statement
- General Goals and Objectives
- Core processes, activities, and resources that will be required to execute the Strategic Plan (Strategies)
- Relationship between general goals and objectives and the performance goals
- External Factors that could affect performance
- Program evaluations to be used to gauge success

The USAID Strategic Plan is an expanded and more detailed version of the Strategies for Development first developed by the Agency in 1994. In Strategies for Sustainable Development (1994) Brian Atwood, the USAID Administrator is explicit about economic and social growth that:

- does not exhaust the resources of a host country;
- respects and safeguards the economic, cultural, and natural environment;
- creates many incomes and chains of enterprises;
- is nurtured by an enabling policy environment; and,
- builds indigenous institutions that involve and empower the citizenry."

As required by the GPRA, the Strategic Plan was prepared in consultation with the Agency's oversight Committees on Capitol Hill and its external partners, including the Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid. During these consultations, USAID added a sixth goal focused on human capacity building, and gave increased emphasis to agricultural development. The Strategic Plan was submitted to the Congress on September 30, 1997 and covers the period 1997-2007. USAID is currently preparing its first annual performance plan based on this Strategic Plan. The performance plan will cover FY 1999 and will be submitted to the Congress with the USAID budget in February 1998.

**Mission**

USAID contributes to U.S. national interests through the results it delivers by supporting the people of developing and transitional countries in their efforts to achieve enduring economic and social progress and to participate more fully in resolving the problems of their countries and the world.
The Agency Strategic Plan indicates that USAID accomplishes its mission by affecting long-term changes in recipient countries through programs designed to achieve six interrelated goals:

**GOAL 1. Broad-based economic growth and agricultural development encouraged:**

- **USAID OBJECTIVES:**
  - Critical private markets expanded and strengthened.
  - More rapid and enhanced agricultural development and food security encouraged.
  - Access to economic opportunity for the rural and urban poor expanded and made more equitable.

**GOAL 2. Building sustainable democracies:**

- **USAID OBJECTIVES:**
  - Rule of law and respect for human rights of women as well as men strengthened.
  - Credible and competitive political processes encouraged.
  - The development of politically active civil society promoted.
  - More transparent and accountable government institutions encouraged.

**GOAL 3. Human capacity built through education and training:**

- **USAID OBJECTIVES:**
  - Access to quality basic education, especially for girls and women, expanded.
  - The contribution institutions of higher education make to sustainable development increased.

**GOAL 4. World population stabilized and human health protected:**

- **USAID OBJECTIVES:**
  - Unintended and mistimed pregnancies reduced.
  - Infant and child health and nutrition improved and infant and child mortality reduced.
  - Deaths, nutrition insecurity, and adverse health outcomes to women as a result of pregnancy and child birth reduced.
  - HIV transmission and the impact of the HIV/AIDS pandemic in developing countries reduced.
  - The threat of infectious diseases of major public health importance reduced.

**GOAL 5. The world's environment protected for long-term sustainability:**

- **USAID OBJECTIVES:**
  - The threat of global climate change reduced.
  - Biological diversity conserved.
  - Sustainable urbanization including pollution management promoted.
  - Use of environmentally sound energy services increased.
  - Sustainable management of natural resources increased.

**GOAL 6. Lives saved, suffering associated with natural or man-made disasters reduced, and conditions necessary for political and/or economic development re-established:**
USAID OBJECTIVES:

- The potential impact of crises reduced.
- Urgent needs in times of crisis met.
- Personal security and basic institutions to meet critical intermediate needs and protect human rights re-established.

Agency Annual Performance Plan (Feb. '98)

Performance goal

Indicator -- measures for comparing actual results with projected results

Justification for choice of indicator

Indicator sources -- means for verification and validation of measured results

Indicator definition

The Agency Performance goals, included in the September 1997 USAID Strategic Plan, are summarized below.

GOAL 1. Broad-based economic growth and agricultural development encouraged:

AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- Average annual growth rates in real per capita income above 1 percent achieved.
- Average annual growth in agriculture at least as high as population growth achieved in low income countries.
- Proportion of the population in poverty reduced by 25 percent.
- Openness and greater reliance on private markets increased.
- Reliance on concessional foreign aid decreased in advanced countries.

GOAL 2. Building sustainable democracies:

AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- Level of freedom and participation improved.
- Civil liberties and/or political rights improved.

GOAL 3. Human capacity built through education and training:

AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- Proportion of the primary school-age population not enrolled reduced by 50 percent.
- Differences between girls' and boys' primary enrollment ratio virtually eliminated.
- Primary School completion rates improved.
- Higher education increased 100 percent.

GOAL 4. World population stabilized and human health protected.
AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- Fertility rate reduced by 20 percent.
- Mortality rates for infants and children under the age of five reduced by 25 percent.
- Maternal mortality ratio reduced by 10 percent.
- Rate of increase of new HIV infections slowed.
- Proportion of underweight children under 5 in developing countries reduced.

GOAL 5. The world's environment protected for long-term sustainability.

AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- National environmental management strategies prepared.
- Conservation of biologically significant habitat improved.
- Rate of growth of net emissions of greenhouse gases slowed.
- Urban population's access to adequate environmental services increased.
- Energy conserved through increased efficiency and reliance on renewable sources.
- Loss of forest area slowed.

GOAL 6. Lives saved, suffering associated with natural or man-made disasters reduced, and conditions necessary for political and/or economic development re-established.

AGENCY PERFORMANCE GOALS:

- Crude mortality rate for refugee populations returned to normal range within six months of onset of emergency situation.
- Nutritional status of children 5 and under populations made vulnerable by emergencies maintained or improved.
- Conditions for social and economic development in post-conflict situations improved.
- Freedom of movement, expression and assembly and economic freedoms in post-conflict situations increased.

Agency Performance Reports
Actual performance achieved vs. goals (targets) set for the year
- includes measurement data collected and analyzed

Explanation of any goals that were not met

Plan for achieving unmet goals

Modification of current year's plan in light of previous year's performance

Performance information and trend data from previous years

Click here for a copy of the Agency Performance Report (1996).

Review of Agency Annual Performance Plans

OMB guidance indicates that an annual performance plan will appear in several iterations. The first is sent to OMB for their review in September with the agency budget request. The second iteration, called the revised performance plan, is sent to Congress in February, coincident with the President's budget and used by Congress during the authorization and/or appropriations processes. OMB has prepared a checklist of questions related to review of agency annual performance plans. Questions include:

- Coverage of program?
- Annual performance plans?
- Performance indicators?
- Alternative form of measurement?
- Performance goals funded by prior year appropriations?
- Means and strategies?
- Verification and validation?
- Mission statement and general goals and objectives?
- External factors?
- Program evaluations?
- Cross-cutting programs?
- Tax expenditures and regulations? and
- Budget account restructuring?

Strategic Plans: Mission and Washington-based Operating Units
The fundamental building block of all USAID's programs is an integrated country strategy for each field mission and an operating unit strategy for each USAID/Washington office. The following principles have guided strategy formulation:

- Take into account the totality of development problems confronting the society.
- Be developed in close cooperation with host governments, local communities, other donors, and other Development Partners;
- Consider how social, economic, political, and cultural factors combine to impede development. USAID's Strategic Plan also indicates a continuous process of monitoring and evaluating the performance of its activities.

Each Strategic Plan identifies specific objectives for the Operating Unit to accomplish. These objectives are approved only if they contribute to the goals identified in the Agency's Strategic Plan. Included in each Strategic Plan are the following elements:

1. Summary analysis of the development assistance environment and the rationale for program focus.
   - Relationship to U.S. foreign policy.
   - Overview of country conditions / discussion of transnational trends.
   - How customers influenced the Strategic Plan.
   - Transitional issues.

2. Strategic Objectives and Results Framework.
   - Linkages to Agency's Strategic Framework
   - Country goals and subgoals.
   - Explanation of each Strategic Objective and Results Framework.

3. Resource requirements by Strategic Objective (s).
   In addition to Strategic Plans, each Mission and Washington-based Operating Unit must include the following documents in their Strategic Planning and performance measurement system planning:
   - **Results framework**: Every operating unit develops a results framework for each strategic objective, showing how that objective is linked, through a hierarchy of results, to USAID's interventions. The results framework clearly articulates the hypotheses and assumptions upon which the achievement of the strategic objective is based. A results framework must be both technically-sound to sector specialists and believable to the informed public.
   - **Performance monitoring plan**: USAID identifies performance indicators for strategic objectives and key results and monitors performance to assess progress toward specific targets from the results framework. Performance monitoring keeps managers informed about whether activities are on track, exceeding, or falling short of expectations, and helps them identify when corrective actions may be needed.
   - **Evaluation**: Evaluations more in-depth inquiries used to help understand why expected results are being achieved or not, and to highlight what actions need to be taken. An evaluation could also be a more careful assessment of a particularly important, uncertain, or controversial development hypotheses that has implications for program interventions. USAID stresses the importance of participation by its partners and beneficiaries in operational-level evaluations to reinforce local "ownership" of development programs and to enable all stakeholders to learn from experience. Evaluations also examine the impact of USAID's
activities and assess the Agency's overall lessons learned in a particular sectoral area by reviewing similar programs in a variety of development settings.

- **Research**: Research is an essential component of the success of USAID sustainable development programs and as such are integrated with the Agency's strategic framework. The results of research (better operations, products, and process) are critical, but as important are the accompanying capacity building, training, and policy reform that accompany research. The types of research supported by USAID are varied, ranging from behavioral research (family planning, farming methods, HIV/AIDS, child health) to technology development (diagnostic tools, drugs or vaccines, contraceptives, agricultural biotechnology). This mixed portfolio provides tools, and improves methodologies for USAID programs and beyond.

- **Results review and resource requests (R4s)**: Each year every USAID field mission and Washington-based operating unit prepares an R4, the operating unit’s annual performance report for the preceding year and annual performance plan for the following year. R4s summarize current performance data (also available through the Agency's New Management System) and draw on other evaluations and management studies to assess progress towards strategic objectives and key intermediate results. The R4s discuss actions taken to revise programs not meeting their planned targets and also contain the unit's request for future funding.

Related Links:


ADS Guidance on contents of Mission and Operating Units' Strategic Plans (Section 201.5.10)

Agency Congressional Presentations will have a summary of the strategic objectives of each Mission's and Washington-based Operating Units
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE: a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources: Best Practices

The Sourcebook is based on excellent examples of managing for results from USAID Missions and Washington-based Operating Units and from the experiences shared by Development Partners, particularly from the PVO community. Other organizations whose managing for results practices have been reviewed are the National Performance Review, African Development Foundation, the InterAmerican Foundation, Oregon Benchmarks, Sustainable Seattle, Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), the Asia Foundation, and the World Bank.

Best Practices:

A Partners' Consultation: Reengineering Relationships, 1996

Balancing Measures: Best Practices in Performance Measure

Building Teamwork in USAID's Dominican Republic Mission

Managing for Results in a Regional Mission: USAID/Central Asia's Experience, 1996

Planning and Managing for Results with Teams, Customers, and Partners in the Reengineered USAID: Observations from the Field, 1996

Planning and Managing for Results Under Reengineering: Early Lessons from the Field, 1996

Training for Development Impact

World-Class Courtesy: a Best Practices Report on high quality customer service
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE:
a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources: Partnerships

USAID Definitions from the Automated Directives System (ADS)

PARTNER: An organization or customer representative with which/whom USAID works cooperatively to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives and intermediate results, and to secure customer participation. Partners include: private voluntary organizations, indigenous and other international non-government organizations, universities, other USG agencies, U.N. and other multilateral organizations, professional and business associations, private businesses (as for example under the U.S.-Asia Environmental Partnership), and host country governments at all levels. (Chapters 101, 102, 201, 202, 203)

PARTNER REPRESENTATIVE: An individual that represents an organization with which USAID works cooperatively to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PARTNERSHIP: An association between USAID, its partners and customers based upon mutual respect, complementary strengths, and shared commitment to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives. (Chapters 101, 102, 201, 202, 203)

Partnerships

Partnerships with the people and governments of assisted countries, U.S. businesses, private voluntary organizations (PVOs), non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and the academic community, other U.S. governmental agencies, and other international assistance agencies is how USAID pursues its mission and achieves results. This section summarizes USAID reviews partnership principles, provides a checklist of questions on readiness to work as Development Partners, and highlights the USAID/PVO partnerships and areas of convergence.

Partnership Principles

USAID's New Partnerships Initiative (NPI) is an integrated approach to sustainable development. The NPI uses strategic partnering and the active engagement of civil society, the business community, and institutions of democratic local governance to bolster the ability of local communities to play a lead role in their own development. After the release of the NPI Core Report, it was piloted in fifteen USAID Missions. The NPI Resource Guide brings together the results of this period of field testing and explains the partnership principles summarized below:
Incorporate a deliberate strategy to build connections among three building blocks: local capacity building, strengthening the enabling environment, and fostering a variety of collaborative alliances, including:

- Inter-sectoral partnerships among civil society actors, the business community, and institutions of democratic local governance.
- Transnational partnerships and society to society linkages -- South-North, South-South and North-North.

Intra-sectoral partnering which strengthens the sector and enhances the capacity to partner across sectors.

Be based on shared rights and responsibilities among all actors, with performance enhanced by clear representational authority and rules, accountability and transparency.

Transcend traditional sectoral stovepipes and will link activities across sectors.

Build mutually reinforcing approaches at and among the local, national, and transnational levels.

Mobilize and attract resources.

Give upstream attention to steps that will ensure sustainability of the partnership.

Demonstrate visible improvements in benefits and efficiencies, thus helping to consolidate and reinforce coalitions that favor reform and the development of a civic culture.

Partnerships between USAID and Development Partners require clearly articulated agreement on:

- goals,
- the equitable distribution of costs and benefits,
- performance indicators and mechanisms to measure and monitor performance,
- the delineation of responsibilities, and,
- a process for adjudicating disputes.

**Checklist on Readiness to Work as Development Partners**

- What is your organizational mission, vision, values, and affiliations?
- What do you hope to gain through the partnership?
- What are you willing to contribute to the partnership?
- What are you willing to forego?
- What are you not willing to contribute to the partnership?
- What are your non-negotiables?
- What degree of autonomy are you willing to give up?
- Is management ready to encourage and reward collaboration/partnerships?
- What scares you about collaboration/partnerships?
USAID/PVO Partnerships

As discussed above, USAID pursues its mission through partnerships. The 1995 USAID Policy Guidance for the USAID-PVO Partnership defines partnership as “striving to achieve mutual goals by sharing resources, risks, benefits and accountability.” Partnership is characterized by cooperation, collaboration and complementarity, and is based on the principles of mutual respect, shared objectives, consultation and participation. The Policy also states that, “While acknowledging those areas where USAID and PVO interests overlap, it must be recognized that their motivations, interests and responsibilities are not and should not be identical. It is to be expected that USAID and PVOs each will pursue goals related to their particular objectives and, at the same time, will work together on common priorities,” as shown in the table below.

USAID/PVO Areas of Convergence

- A commitment to people centered economic, social and political development
- An appreciation of the importance of community-based solutions to social, economic, and environmental problems
- Agreement on the importance of broad based economic growth and the need to address the root causes of poverty
- Agreement that participatory development strengthens the fabric of civil society and provides opportunities for broad based equitable growth
- A belief that people in emerging democracies are able to improve their lives

More sources of information that are useful in planning results-oriented assistance instruments based on partnerships:

- **New Partnerships Initiative Resource Guide**: Launched by Vice President Albert Gore, NPI is an integrated approach to sustainable development that uses strategic partnering and the active engagement of civil society, the business community, and institutions of democratic local governance to bolster the ability of local communities to play a lead role in their own development.

- **Partnering for Results: Intersectoral Partnerships**: This web site provides information on what Intersectoral Partnerships are, why they are an important development strategy, and how donors and other organizations can facilitate their growth.

- **Partnerships for Growth**: Building on the Renewal of the Saskatchewan Economy.

The **Health Improvement Partnership (H.I.P.)** of Spokane, a private/public, for profit/not-for-profit collaborative venture, addresses these broader determinants of health with the concrete goal of improving health status in Spokane County. Through H.I.P. hundreds of “discoverers” will fan out across Spokane to assist and encourage DISCOVERIES. The H.I.P. has set a goal of “discovering” 10,000 actions to improve the health of our community.

The National Association of Partners in Education’s (NAPE) **How to Start a Middle School Business/Education Partnership** provides 12 steps to help program developers put together a partnership uniquely suited to the schools and businesses in a community.

**The Soros Foundation** developed **Building Donor Partnerships** aimed at increasing practical capacity within the Soros foundations and between the network.
and its partners to develop collaboration. The handbook draws on the experiences of Soros and those of successful builders of partnerships in the network. The handbook identifies the following basic building blocks in a donor partnership:

- Knowing your potential as a partner
- Laying the groundwork for partnership
- Presenting yourself as a partner
- Seeking a partner
- Clarifying the partnership
- Implementing the partnership
- Building on experience

These building blocks do not always need to be laid one on top of the other or straight in a row. The process of building partnerships will vary according to the situation.

We have found that there are many ways to achieve partnership - different structures of partnership, different partners, and different ways of forming them. There is no ideal partner or partnership arrangement. Successful building of partnerships requires an open and proactive spirit. The craft and tactics can be learned.

Soros Foundation

USAID-U.S. PVO Partnership: Policy Guidance (1995) and the Policy Principles for Award of Assistance Instruments to PVOs and NGOs for Development and Humanitarian Assistance (5/22/95)
Sources and Resources: e-Mentors

The following people listed below have agreed to act as virtual mentors on results-oriented assistance instruments. They will answer questions and provide guidance to those planning results-oriented assistance:

- Elise Storck
- Jerry Kryschtal
- Jean Horton
- Diana Esposito
- Joe Beausoleil
- John Taber
- Jeff Brokaw
- Kate Jones
- John Grayzel
- John Wall
- Carol Dabbs
- Don Drga
- Richard Byess
- Kitty O'Hara
- Frank Gillespie
- Bill Sugrue
- Sally Jones
- Tony Pryor
RESULTS-ORIENTED ASSISTANCE:

a USAID SOURCEBOOK

Sources and Resources: Glossary

Below is a glossary of terms used in this sourcebook. The glossary is an excerpt of the Automated Directives System (ADS) glossary. The ADS is a two-tier standardized system that separates policy from essential procedures. This system also includes a supplementary reference section, consisting of "how to" guides, forms, and other publications from other agencies that affect the Agency.

Glossary

ACQUISITION: Means the acquiring by contract with appropriated funds of supplies or services (including construction) by and for the use of the Federal Government through purchase or lease, whether the supplies or services are already in existence or must be created, developed, demonstrated, and evaluated. Acquisition begins at the point when agency needs are established and includes the description of requirements to satisfy agency needs, solicitation and selection of sources, award of contracts, contract financing, contract performance, contract administration, and those technical and management functions directly related to the process of fulfilling agency needs by contract. (Chapter 516)

ACTIVITY: An action undertaken either to help achieve a program result or set of results, or to support the functioning of the Agency or one of its operating units. a) In a program context, i.e., in the context of results frameworks and Strategic Objectives, an activity may include any action used to advance the achievement of a given result or objective, whether financial resources are used or not. E.g., an activity could be defined around the work of a USAID staff member directly negotiating policy change with a host country government, or it could involve the use of one or more grants or contracts to provide technical assistance and commodities in a particular area. (Also within this context, for the purposes of the New Management Systems, "activity" includes the Strategic Objective itself as an initial budgeting and accounting element to be used before any specific actions requiring obligations are defined.) b) In an operating expense context, an activity may include any action undertaken to meet the operating requirements of any organizational unit of the Agency. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204, 250)
ACTIVITY MANAGER: The member of the SO/RP team designated by that team to manage a given activity or set of activities contributing to the results to be achieved under the results package. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 303, 591, 592)

ADVISORY COMMITTEE: A committee, board, commission, council, conference, panel, task force, or other similar group, or any subcommittee or subgroup thereof, which is formed or utilized by USAID to obtain advice or recommendations and is NOT composed entirely of full-time employees of the Federal Government. (Chapter 105)

AGENCY: United States Agency For International Development, its offices, bureaus, divisions, and posts abroad. (Chapter 513)

AGENCY ACRONYM: The Agency's acronym, USAID, refers to both the Washington office and field missions. The field missions use USAID/(name of Mission) and Washington uses USAID/W. (Chapter 509)

AGENCY GOAL: A long-term development result in a specific area to which USAID programs contribute and which has been identified as a specific goal by the Agency. (See also OPERATING UNIT GOAL.) (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY MISSION: The ultimate purpose of the Agency's programs; it is the unique contribution of USAID to our national interests. There is one Agency mission. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY OBJECTIVE: A significant development result that USAID contributes to, and which contributes to the achievement of an Agency goal. Several Agency objectives contribute to each Agency goal. Changes in Agency objectives are typically observable only every few years. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY ORGANIZATIONS: In USAID/Washington (USAID/W) this includes bureaus and independent offices. Overseas this includes USAID missions, USAID Offices, USAID Sections of Embassy, Offices for Multi-country Programs, Offices for Multicountry Services, etc. (See also Major Functional Series 100). (Chapters 541, 542, 543, 544)

AGENCY PROGRAM APPROACH: A program or tactic identified by the Agency as commonly used to achieve a particular objective. Several program approaches are associated with each Agency objective. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK: A graphical or narrative representation of the Agency's strategic plan; the framework is a tool for communicating USAID's development strategy. The framework also establishes an organizing basis for measuring, analyzing, and reporting results of Agency programs. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGENCY STRATEGIC PLAN: The Agency's plan for providing development assistance; the strategic plan articulates the Agency's mission, goals, objectives, and program approaches. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

AGREEMENT OFFICER (Compare, CONTRACTING OFFICER): A person with the authority to enter into, administer, terminate and/or closeout assistance agreements, and make related determinations and findings on behalf of USAID. An Agreement Officer can only act within the scope of a duly authorized warrant or other valid delegation of authority. The term "Agreement Officer" includes persons warranted as "Grant Officers." It also includes certain authorized representatives of the Agreement Officer acting within the limits of their authority as delegated by the Agreement Officer. (Chapters 303, 304)

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT: is comprised of (a) an Overview of the Reporting Entity, (b) Principal Financial Statements, (c) Combining Statements, where applicable, and (d) Supplemental Financial and Management Information. (Chapter 594)

ASSISTANCE MECHANISM: A specific mode of assistance chosen to address an intended development result; a particular intervention chosen to solve a particular development problem or set of development problems. Examples of mechanisms include: food aid, housing guaranties, debt-for-nature
AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL: An individual in each Bureau or office who has been given specific
debtated authority in writing to exercise budgetary control over funds and approve for procurement the
goods and services required by that activity. (See 516.5.3) (Chapter 516)

AUTOMATED DIRECTIVES SYSTEM (ADS): The ADS is a two-tier standardized system that
separates policy from essential procedures. This system also includes a supplementary reference section,
consisting of "how to" guides, forms, and other publications from other agencies that affect the Agency.
This system is accessible on the Directives Resource Compact Disk (DR-CD). (Chapter 501)

AWARD: Financial assistance that provides support or stimulation to accomplish a public purpose.
Awards include grants and cooperative agreements. (Chapter 303)

BASELINE: See PERFORMANCE BASELINE. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

BILATERAL STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE GRANT AGREEMENT (SOAG): A type of bilateral grant
agreement that finances activities in furtherance of one or more Strategic Objectives. (Chapter 350)

BILATERAL GRANT AGREEMENT OR BILATERAL GRANT: A grant by USAID to a foreign
government or a subdivision thereof, e.g. Ministry of Health, or a local or state government or agency, to
finance activities in furtherance of a Strategic Objective or for other purposes. Bilateral grants range
from grants financing specific objectives and limited scope grant agreements to SOAGs, commodity
import program (CIP) grants and cash transfer grants. (Chapter 350)

CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP: A plausible cause and effect linkage; i.e. the logical connection between
the achievement of related, interdependent results. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

CD-DIS: USAID’s Development Information System on CD-ROM [quarterly publication] containing
the complete USAID Document and Project Databases, and full text of selected USAID reports and
publications. The databases identify projects initiated since 1975 and associated project and technical
reports. CD-DIS is available from the Development Information Services Clearinghouse. (Chapter 540)

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (CFR): The CFR is the codification of the general and
permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the Executive departments and agencies of the
Federal Government. (Chapter 501)

CONGRESSIONAL REPORTING: Any reporting required under the Foreign Assistance Act, the
Foreign Assistance and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, or any reporting requested by a
Congressional Committee, Senator, or Congressman.(Chapter 506)

CONTRACT (PROCUREMENT): A legal instrument where the principal purpose is the acquisition, by
purchase, lease, or barter, of property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Federal Government.
(Chapter 304) Contracts do not include grants and cooperative agreements covered by 31 U.S.C. 6301,
et seq. (Chapter 516)

CONTRACTING OFFICER: An individual with written authority of the Department Procurement
Executive to enter into, administer, and terminate contracts including leases. The Procurement Executive
(A/OPE) appoints all

CONTRACT INFORMATION BULLETINS (CIBs): Issued by the Procurement Executive to provide
information of interest to contracting personnel, such as advance notification or interim implementation
of changes in acquisition or assistance regulations, reminders, procedures, and general information.
(Chapter 302)

CONTRACTING OFFICER: Means a person with the authority to enter into, administer, and/or
terminate procurement contracts and make related determinations and findings. The term includes
certain authorized representatives of the Contracting Officer acting within the limits of their authority as
delegated by the Contracting Officer. "Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO)" refers to a
contracting officer who is administering contracts. "Termination Contracting Officer (TCO)" refers to a
Contracting Officer who is settling terminated contracts. A single contracting officer may be responsible for duties in any or all of these areas. Reference in this regulation to administrative contracting officer or termination contracting officer does not (a) require that a duty be performed at a particular office or activity or (b) restrict in any way a contracting officer in the performance of any duty properly assigned. (Chapter 516)

CONTRACTOR: A non-government organization or individual acting as an agent of USAID and carrying out a scope of work specified by USAID. (Chapter 102)

COOPERATING COUNTRY (See also HOST COUNTRY and LOCAL COUNTRY): The country receiving the USAID assistance.(Chapters 305)

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT: A legal instrument where the principal purpose is the transfer of money, property, services or anything of value to the recipient in order to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute and where substantial involvement by USAID is anticipated. (Chapter 304)

CORE TEAM: U.S. government employees and others who may be authorized to carry out inherently U.S. governmental functions such as procurement actions or obligations. For example, only members of the core team would manage procurement sensitive materials or negotiate formal agreements. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

COST-SHARING: Cost-sharing is any instance where the participant is a new start and a cost-sharing arrangement is entered into with a non-USAID funding source. Cost-sharing activities might include paying for travel, in-country family support, or continuing salaries of individuals during training. USAID encourages cost-sharing by non-USAID funding sources to reduce costs and to increase participant, host country, and/or training provider commitment to the program. (Chapter 253)

CRITICAL ASSUMPTION: In the context of developing a results framework, critical assumptions refer to general conditions under which a development hypothesis will hold true or conditions which are outside of the control or influence of USAID, and which are likely to affect the achievement of results in the results framework. Examples might be: the ability to avert a crisis caused by drought, the outcome of a national election, or birth rates continuing to decline as it relates to an education program. A critical assumption differs from an intermediate result in the results framework in the sense that the intermediate result represents a focused and discrete outcome which specifically contributes to the achievement of the SO. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

CUSTOMER: Those host country individuals, especially the socially and economically disadvantaged, who are beneficiaries of USAID assistance and whose participation is essential to achieving sustainable development results. (Chapters 101, 102) An individual or organization who receives USAID services or products, benefits from USAID programs or who is affected by USAID actions. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

CUSTOMER REPRESENTATIVE: Any individual or organization that represents the interests of those individuals, communities, groups or organizations targeted for USAID assistance. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

CUSTOMER SERVICE PLAN: A document which presents the operating unit's vision for including customers and partners to achieve its objectives. This document also articulates the actions necessary to engage participation of its customers and partners in planning, implementation and evaluation of USAID programs and objectives. It will act as a management tool for the individual operation unit and must be developed in the context of existing Agency parameters. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

CUSTOMER SURVEYS: Surveys (or other strategies) designed to elicit information about the needs, preferences, or reactions of customers regarding an existing or planned activity, result or Strategic Objective. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

DEVELOPMENT EXPERIENCE: The cumulative knowledge derived from implementing and evaluating development assistance programs. Development experience is broader in scope than "lessons learned", and includes research findings, applications of technologies and development methods,
program strategies and assistance mechanisms, etc. Chapters 201, 202, 203, 540)

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION: The body of literature and statistical data which documents and describes the methods, technologies, status and results of development practices and activities and measures levels of development on a variety of dimensions. (Chapters 201, 202, 203) The corpus of published literature, unpublished "gray literature", statistical data, current awareness information, knowledge bases, etc. which document, describe, measure, and communicate the methods, technologies, status, performance, results and experience of development practices and activities by the international development community and local, indigenous development practitioners. (Chapter 540)

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION CENTER: A USAID/W resource collection, staffed by professionals who manage and provide a wide range of (DIC): development information books, journals, and other resources to USAID staff and contractors and the public who need ready access to information sources on international development. (Chapter 540)

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM: A collection of databases providing access to USAID produced or funded development experience documents (DIS): and descriptions of USAID development assistance activities. (Chapter 540)

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE (DISC): Provides on-demand copies of USAID project and program documents and USAID-funded technical reports in the DIS, and/or on CD-DIS. (Chapter 540)

DIRECTIVE: A written requirement that serves to direct and impel toward an action, attainment, or goal; a pronouncement requiring or prohibiting some action or conduct. USAID directives, according to their content, prescribe USAID policies and essential procedures not just for USAID itself, but for participating agencies, contractors, institutions, grantees, cooperating countries, and others acting on behalf of or in collaboration with USAID.

News releases, program announcements, catalogs, price lists, training materials, and correspondence are not included. (Chapter 501)

ENVIRONMENT: The term environment, as used in these procedures with respect to effects occurring outside the United States, means the natural and physical environment. With respect to effects occurring within the United States see 216.7(b). (Chapter 204)

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT: A detailed study of the reasonably foreseeable significant effects, both beneficial and adverse, of a proposed action on the environment of a foreign country or countries. (Chapter 204)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT: A detailed study of the reasonably foreseeable environmental impacts, both positive and negative, of a proposed USAID action and its reasonable alternatives on the United States, the global environment or areas outside the jurisdiction of any nation as described in 216.7 of these procedures. It is a specific document having a definite format and content, as provided in NEPA and the CEQ Regulations. (Chapter 204)

EVALUATION: A relatively structured, analytic effort undertaken selectively to answer specific management questions regarding USAID-funded assistance programs or activities. In contrast to performance monitoring, which provides ongoing structured information, evaluation is occasional. Evaluation focuses on why results are or are not being achieved, on unintended consequences, or on issues of interpretation, relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, or sustainability. It addresses the validity of the causal hypotheses underlying Strategic Objectives and embedded in results frameworks. Evaluative activities may use different methodologies or take many different forms, e.g., ranging from highly participatory review workshops to highly focused assessments relying on technical experts. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

EVALUATION DOCUMENTS: Program and project evaluation, performance measurement and development result reports, and any other document containing significant evaluative information and observation. Those publications describing a relatively structured, analytic activity undertaken selectively to answer specific management questions regarding USAID-funded development assistance
activities. (Chapter 540)

**FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION (FAR):** The primary document containing the uniform policies and procedures for acquisition for all executive agencies. It is issued as Chapter 1 of Title 48, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). (Chapters 302, 330, 501)

**FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE:** Assistance provided by a federal agency in the form of grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, loans, loan guarantees, property, interest subsidies, insurance, or direct appropriations, but not including direct federal cash assistance to individuals. It includes awards received directly from federal agencies, or indirectly through other units of state and local governments, educational institutions, and other nonprofit organizations. (Chapter 591)

**FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY:** The degree to which an organization collects sufficient revenues from sale of its services to cover the full costs of its activities, evaluated on an opportunity-cost basis. (Chapter 219)

**FULL FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY:** A situation in which the revenues an organization generates from its clients cover the full (opportunity) costs of its activities, thus allowing it to continue operating at a stable or growing scale without ongoing support from governments, donor agencies, or charitable organizations. When applied to a microfinance institution, full financial sustainability requires that the interest and fees the MFI collects on its lending equal or exceed the sum of its operational and financial costs, with the latter evaluated on an opportunity-cost basis. (Chapter 219)

**GRANT:** A legal instrument where the principal purpose is the transfer of money, property, services or anything of value to the recipient in order to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute and where substantial involvement by USAID is not anticipated. (Chapter 304) Money, or property provided in lieu of money, furnished by the Federal Government to recipients under programs that provide financial assistance or that provide support or stimulation to accomplish a public purpose. (Chapter 591)

**HIGHER EDUCATION:** Refers to education, training, research, and community service outreach at the postsecondary level. (Chapter 216)

**HOST COUNTRY:** The country receiving USAID assistance. (Chapters 301, (See also305, 311, 322) COOPERATING COUNTRY and LOCAL COUNTRY)

**INDICATOR:** See PERFORMANCE INDICATOR. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

**INHERENTLY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTION:** A function that is so intimately related to the public interest as to mandate performance by Government employees. OMB Policy Letter 92-1 provides additional information and a list of functions considered to be inherently governmental functions. (Chapter 104)

**INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EXAMINATION:** An Initial Environmental Examination is the first review of the reasonably foreseeable effects of a proposed action on the environment. Its function is to provide a brief statement of the factual basis for a Threshold Decision as to whether an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement will be required. (Chapter 204)

**INPUT:** The provision of technical assistance, commodities, capital or training in addressing development or humanitarian needs. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

**INTERIM EVALUATION:** A less than full evaluation of the performance of an employee, covering a period between 120 to 180 days, or when an employee is reassigned or transferred during the annual rating cycle, or whose supervisor changes prior to the end of the annual rating cycle. (Chapter 462)

**INTERIM PERFORMANCE TARGET:** A target value which applies to a time period less than the overall time period related to the respective performance indicator and performance target. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

**INTERMEDIATE CUSTOMER:** A person or organization, internal or external to USAID, who uses
USAID services, products, or resources to serve indirectly or directly the needs of the ultimate customers. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

INTERMEDIATE RESULT: A key result which must occur in order to achieve a Strategic Objective. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

LESSON LEARNED: The conclusions extracted from reviewing a development program or activity by participants, managers, customers or evaluators with implications for effectively addressing similar issues/problems in another setting. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 540)

LOCAL COUNTRY (See also HOST COUNTRY and COOPERATING COUNTRY): The country to which assistance is being provided. (Chapter 305)

LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Any unit of local government within a state, including a county, borough, municipality, city, town, township, parish, local public authority, special district, school district, intrastate district, council of governments or other instrumentality of local government. (Chapter 591)

MANAGEABLE INTEREST: See RESPONSIBILITY (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

MISSION: The USAID Mission or representative in a cooperating country. (Chapter 310)

NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION: Any corporation, trust, association, cooperative or other organization that is operated primarily for service, charitable, scientific, educational or other similar purposes; is not organized for profit; and uses its net proceeds to maintain, improve and/or expand its operations. (Chapter 591)

OPERATING UNIT: USAID field mission or USAID/W office or higher level organizational unit which expends program funds to achieve a Strategic Objective, Strategic Support Objective, or Special Objective, and which has a clearly defined set of responsibilities focused on the development and execution of a strategic plan. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

OPERATING UNIT GOAL: A higher level development result to which an operating unit contributes, but which lies beyond the unit's level of responsibility. An operating unit goal is a longer term development result that represents the reason for achieving one or more objectives in an operating unit strategic plan. An operating unit goal may be identical to an Agency goal, but is normally distinguished from it in several key ways. An Agency goal is a long-term general development objective, in a specific strategic sector, that USAID works toward, and represents the contribution of Agency programs working in that sector. An operating unit goal is optional and represents a long-term result in a specific country or program to which an operating unit's programs contribute, and may cross sector boundaries. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

ORGANIZATION: An official, identifiable work unit within USAID that is recognized by a unique title, abbreviation, and code number. (Chapter 102)

OUTPUT: The product of a specific action, e.g., number of people trained, number of vaccinations administered. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PARTICIPATION: The active engagement of partners and customers in sharing ideas, committing time and resources, making decisions, and taking action to bring about a desired development objective. (Chapters 101, 201, 202, 203)

PARTNER: An organization or customer representative with which/whom USAID works cooperatively to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives and intermediate results, and to secure customer participation. Partners include: private voluntary organizations, indigenous and other international non-government organizations, universities, other USG agencies, U.N. and other multilateral organizations, professional and business associations, private businesses (as for example under the U.S.-Asia Environmental Partnership), and host country governments at all levels. (Chapters 101, 102, 201, 202, 203)

PARTNER REPRESENTATIVE: An individual that represents an organization with which USAID
works cooperatively to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PARTNERSHIP: An association between USAID, its partners and customers based upon mutual respect, complementary strengths, and shared commitment to achieve mutually agreed upon objectives. (Chapters 101, 102, 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE BASELINE: The value of a performance indicator at the beginning of a planning and/or performance period. A performance baseline is the point used for comparison when measuring progress toward a specific result or objective. Ideally, a performance baseline will be the value of a performance indicator just prior to the implementation of the activity or activities identified as supporting the objective which the indicator is meant to measure. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR: A particular characteristic or dimension used to measure intended changes defined by an organizational unit's results framework. Performance indicators are used to observe progress and to measure actual results compared to expected results. Performance indicators serve to answer "how" or "whether" a unit is progressing towards its objective, rather than why/why not such progress is being made. Performance indicators are usually expressed in quantifiable terms, and should be objective and measurable (numeric values, percentages, scores and indices). Quantitative indicators are preferred in most cases, although in certain circumstances qualitative indicators are appropriate. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION: The body of information and statistical data that directly relates to performance towards overall USAID goals and objectives, as well as operating unit Strategic Objectives, Strategic Support Objectives and Special Objectives. Performance information is a product of formal performance monitoring systems, evaluative activities, customer assessments and surveys, Agency research and informal feedback from partners and customers. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Statements of expectations or requirements that demonstrate an employee's achievement of a given work objective. (Chapter 462)

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT: A means of evaluating efficiency effectiveness, and results. A balanced performance measurement scorecard includes financial and nonfinancial measures focusing on quality, cycle time, and cost. Performance measurement should include program accomplishments in terms of outputs and outcomes. (Chapter 594)

PERFORMANCE MONITORING: A process of collecting and analyzing data to measure the performance of a program, process, or activity against expected results. A defined set of indicators is constructed to regularly track the key aspects of performance. Performance reflects effectiveness in converting inputs to outputs, outcomes and impacts (i.e., results). (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE MONITORING PLAN: A detailed plan for managing the collection of data in order to monitor performance. It identifies the indicators to be tracked; specifies the source, method of collection, and schedule of collection for each piece of datum required; and assigns responsibility for collection to a specific office, team, or individual. a) At the Agency level, it is the plan for gathering data on Agency goals and objectives. b) At the Operating Unit level, the performance monitoring plan contains information for gathering data on the Strategic Objectives, intermediate results and critical assumptions included in an operating unit's results frameworks. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM: An organized approach or process for systematically monitoring the performance of a program, process or activity towards its objectives over time. Performance monitoring systems at USAID consist of, inter alia: performance indicators, performance baselines and performance targets for all Strategic Objectives, Strategic Support Objectives, Special Objectives and Intermediate Results presented in a results framework; means for tracking critical assumptions; performance monitoring plans to assist in managing the data collection process; and the regular collection of actual results data. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

PERFORMANCE TARGET: The specific and intended result to be achieved within an explicit timeframe and against which actual results are compared and assessed. A performance target is to be defined for each performance indicator. In addition to final targets, interim targets also may be defined. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 250)
POLICY: Clear and concise policy mandates that are required for the Agency to conduct its business. Mandates that place accountability and responsibility. Rules, regulations, and direction specifically required for the Agency to follow as it carries out its work. (Chapter 501)

PRE-AWARD SURVEY: An evaluation of a prospective recipient's ability to perform under a Government sponsored agreement. Such surveys are normally limited to assessing the adequacy of the recipient's accounting system to accumulate cost information under an agreement and/or the financial capability to perform under a prospective award. Surveys may also encompass technical, production and quality assurance considerations. (Chapter 591)

RAPID, LOW-COST EVALUATIONS: Analytic or problem-solving efforts which emphasize the gathering of empirical data in ways that are low-cost, timely, and practical for management decision making. Methodological approaches include mini-surveys, rapid appraisals, focus groups, key informant interviews, observation, and purposive sampling, among others. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

RECIPIENT: An organization receiving direct financial assistance (a grant or cooperative agreement) to carry out an activity or program. (Chapters 303, 305, 591)

REQUESTS FOR APPLICATIONS: Invite interested parties to submit applications for USAID assistance and explain what the application should contain, how it should be written, and the evaluation criteria to be used. (Chapter 303)

RESPONSIBILITY: In the context of setting Strategic Objectives, responsibility refers to a guiding concept which assists an operating unit in determining the highest level result that it believes it can materially affect (using its resources in concert with its Development Partners) and that it is willing to use as the standard for the judgment of progress. This has also been referred to as manageable interest. (Chapters 201, 202, 203) A similar concept applies when USAID assigns responsibility to a grant or cooperative agreement Recipient to achieve results.

RESULT: A change in the condition of a customer or a change in the host country condition which has a relationship to the customer. A result is brought about by the intervention of USAID in concert with its Development Partners. Results are linked by causal relationships; i.e., a result is achieved because related, interdependent result(s) were achieved. Strategic Objectives are the highest level result for which an operating unit is held accountable; intermediate results are those results which contribute to the achievement of a Strategic Objective. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

RESULTS FRAMEWORK: The results framework represents the development hypothesis including those results necessary to achieve a Strategic Objective and their causal relationships and underlying assumptions. The framework also establishes an organizing basis for measuring, analyzing, and reporting results of the operating unit. It typically is presented both in narrative form and as a graphical representation. (Chapters 201, 202, 203) In the context of defining a program objective, it is necessary to identify the critical results (or interrelated changes) which are necessary to accomplish that objective. This analysis will produce a results framework which must provide enough information so that it adequately illustrates the development hypothesis (or cause and effect linkages) represented in the strategy and thereby assists in communicating the basic premise of the strategy. The results framework must also be useful as a management tool and therefore focuses on the key results which must be monitored to indicate progress. (Chapter 250)

RESULTS PACKAGE: A results package (RP) consists of people, funding, authorities, activities and associated documentation required to achieve a specified result(s) within an established time frame. A RP is managed by a Strategic Objective Team (or a results package team if established) which coordinates the development, negotiation, management, monitoring and evaluation of activities designed consistent with: (1) the principles for developing and managing activities; and (2) achievement of one or more results identified in the approved results framework. The purpose of a results package is to deliver a given result or set of results contributing to the achievement of the Strategic Objective. The Strategic Objective Team will define one or more RPs to support specific results from the results framework.

The SO Team may elect to manage the package or packages itself, or may create one or more subteams to manage RPs. In addition, Strategic Objective Teams create, modify and terminate results packages as
required to meet changing circumstances pursuant to the achievement of the Strategic Objective. Thus, typically a results package will be of shorter duration than its associated Strategic Objective. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204, 250)

The formal analysis of a potential assistance activity conducted by USAID that addresses the anticipated benefits, resources required, collateral effects of the activity. (Chapter 305)

RESULTS PACKAGE TEAM: A group of people who manage a results package. The results package team is established by a parent Strategic Objective Team. (Chapter 250)

RESULTS REVIEW AND RESOURCE REQUEST (R4): The document which is reviewed internally and submitted to USAID/W by the operating unit on an annual basis. The R4 contains two components: the results review and the resource request. Judgment of progress will be based on a combination of data and analysis and will be used to inform budget decision making. (Chapters 103, 201, 202, 203, 204, 250)

REVIEW WORKSHOPS: Workshops which involve key participants in an SO/RP or even a particular element of an RP in collectively evaluating performance during the previous implementation period and planning for the forthcoming period. Participants are normally representatives of partners, customers, counterparts, other donors, stakeholders, and USAID. Successful workshops are often facilitated to assure that all perspectives are heard and that key findings and conclusions and consensus on modifications and plans is documented and distributed. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

SPECIAL OBJECTIVE: The result of an activity or activities which do not qualify as a Strategic Objective, but support other US government assistance objectives. A Special Objective is expected to be small in scope relative to the portfolio as a whole. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

STAKEHOLDERS: Individuals and/or groups who have an interest in and influence USAID activities, programs and objectives. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 253) Those individuals and/or groups who exercise some type of authority over USAID resources, e.g., Congress, OMB, Department of State; and those who influence the political process, e.g., interest groups and taxpayers. (Chapter 102)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: The most ambitious result (intended measurable change) that a USAID operational unit, along with its partners, can materially affect and for which it is willing to be held responsible. The Strategic Objective forms the standard by which the operational unit is willing to be judged in terms of its performance. The time-frame of a Strategic Objective is typically 5-8 years for sustainable development programs, but may be shorter for programs operating under short term transitional circumstances or under conditions of uncertainty. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE AGREEMENT: A formal agreement that obligates funds between USAID and the host government or other parties, setting forth a mutually agreed upon understanding of the time frame, results expected to be achieved, means of measuring those results, resources, responsibilities, and contributions of participating entities for achieving a clearly defined Strategic Objective. Such an agreement between USAID and the host government may allow for third parties (e.g., NGOs, PVOs, and the academic community) to enter into sub-agreements with either USAID or the host government or both to carry out some or all of the activities required to achieve the Strategic Objective. (Details in Series 300.) (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE GRANT AGREEMENT (SOAG): The SOAG is the principal bilateral (Government to Government) grant agreement used by USAID. A SOAG is composed of the Principal Text; Annex 1, Amplified Description; and Annex 2, Standard Provisions. (Chapter 350)

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE TEAM: In general, a team is a group of people committed to a common performance goal for which they hold themselves individually and collectively accountable. Teams can include USAID employees exclusively or USAID, Development Partner, stakeholder and customer representatives. An SO Team is a group of people who are committed to achieving a specific Strategic Objective and are willing to be held accountable for the results necessary to achieve that objective. The SO Team can establish subsidiary teams for a subset of results or to manage a results package. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)
STRATEGIC PLAN: The framework which an operating unit uses to articulate the organization's priorities, to manage for results, and to tie the organization's results to the customer/beneficiary. The strategic plan is a comprehensive plan which includes the delimitation of Strategic Objectives and a description of how it plans to deploy resources to accomplish them. A strategic plan is prepared for each portfolio whether it is managed at a country level, regionally, or centrally. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

STRATEGIC SUPPORT OBJECTIVE: Strategic Support Objectives are intended to capture and measure a regional or global development objective which is dependent on the results of other USAID operating units to achieve the objective but to which a global or regional program makes an important contribution. Therefore, the key differentiation from a Strategic Objective, as defined above, is that there is a recognition that the achievement of the objective is accomplished and measured, in part, through the activities and results at the field mission level. (Chapters 201, 202, 203, 204)

SUBGOAL: A higher level objective which is beyond the operating unit's responsibility but which provides a link between the Strategic Objective and the operating unit goal. Inclusion in operating unit plans is optional. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

SUBRECIPIENT: Any person or government department, agency, establishment or nonprofit organization that receives financial assistance to carry out a program through a primary Recipient or other subrecipient. (Chapter 591)

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: Continued economic and social progress that rests on four key principles: improved quality of life for both current and future generations; responsible stewardship of the natural resource base; broad-based participation in political and economic life; and effective institutions which are transparent, accountable, responsive and capable of managing change without relying on continued external support. The ultimate measure of success of sustainable development programs is to reach a point where improvements in the quality of life and environment are such that external assistance is no longer necessary and can be replaced with new forms of diplomacy, cooperation and commerce. (Chapter 101)

TARGET: See PERFORMANCE TARGET. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

TEAM: A group of individuals coming together through consensus to achieve agreed-to objectives or results. Teams may be comprised of employees of USAID and/or other federal agencies, partners, customers, and contractors. A team may or may not exist as an official organization unit. When serving as an organization unit, it functions within a bureau, independent office or mission, as a Level II or below organization. Ideally, a team is a self-directed group of people who are responsible and accountable for accomplishing a set of results or a work process. (Chapter 102)

TEAMWORK: The process whereby a group of people work together (often by dividing tasks among members based on relative skills) to reach a common goal, to solve a particular problem, or to achieve a specified set of results. (Chapter 102)

ULTIMATE CUSTOMER: Host country people who are end users or beneficiaries of USAID assistance and whose participation is essential to achieving sustainable development results. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)

USAID ACQUISITION REGULATION (AIDAR): USAID's supplement to the FAR, issued as Chapter 7 of Title 48 CFR. (Chapters 302, 330)

VIRTUAL TEAM: Members of a team who are not collocated and therefore participate primarily through telecommunication systems. (Chapters 201, 202, 203)
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