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Abstract
In November and December 2014, the Supply Chain Management System and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT, with assistance from Mozambique Ministry of Health (MISAU), conducted an assessment of the maturity and performance of the logistics management and supply chain systems for selected health commodities in Mozambique. The central level was self-evaluated while the provincial to health facility levels were assessed by the central level. Results show that Mozambique’s health supply chain has reached a marginal maturity level. Proposed project actions to increase maturity and improve performance include: conducting a cost and outsourcing feasibility study for the central and regional level warehouses and a transportation cost and outsourcing feasibility study.
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Executive Summary

The Supply Chain Management System (SCMS) and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT, in collaboration with the Centro de Medicamentos e Artigos Médicos (CMAM), conducted an assessment of Mozambique’s health supply chain November 24 to December 5, 2014. The purpose was to assist CMAM, the Central Medical Store, in understanding the current condition of the supply chain and to prioritize critical areas for strengthening it.

Mozambique’s public health sector supply chain system is centrally managed by two institutions: CMAM and the Supply Center (Centro de Abastecimento, or CA). CMAM is responsible for the forecasting, procurement, warehousing, and distribution of medicines and medical supplies for the National Health Service. As a whole, CMAM functions as the Mozambique Ministry of Health’s (MISAU)’s Logistics Management Unit (LMU) for health commodities.

Methodology
The assessment was conducted using the National Supply Chain Assessment (NSCA) toolkit, which consists of two tools to assess supply chain capability and performance:

- **Capability Maturity Model (CMM) diagnostic tool**, a quantitative diagnostic tool based on industry best practice. It assesses the capability maturity of a supply chain using a scale of 1–5, with 5 being the most mature.¹ Data on each functional area was collected by conducting a workshop and interviews with key stakeholders.

- **Supply chain key performance indicator (KPI) assessment**, a set of indicators that comprehensively measures health supply chain performance. Data was collected at each facility for country-specific indicators measuring performance of stock availability, product selection, forecasting, procurement, and warehousing and inventory management.

Site Selection
To ensure a nationally representative sample, sites were selected from all supply chain levels: central, provincial, district, and health facility. This also ensured that data collectors could follow the movement of the tracer commodities throughout the system. While data was collected for all three² central-level and all 11 provincial-level warehouses, a purposive stratified sample³ approach was applied to select districts and health units to address constraints caused by human resources (HR) and timing.

---

¹ The CMM tool measures performance on a scale of 1–5. Results are presented as percentages, where 1=20%, 2=40%, 3=60%, 4=80%, and 5=100%.

² At the time of the assessment, three central warehouses were in place. After completion of the assessment and before publication, an additional central warehouse was established. This document refers only to the original three warehouses.

³ Purposive stratified sampling is a method that takes a sample within another sample. This second sample can be selected according to a key characteristic or dimension that may influence how the phenomenon is manifested. Cohen D, Crabtree B. “Qualitative Research Guidelines Project.” July 2006.<http://www.qualres.org/Home Stra-3813.html>.
Data Collection
The NSCA was conducted by a total of 11 data collection teams of at least two members, composed of CMAM staff, MISAU provincial-level staff, plus one SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT staff member. Teams collected data and submitted it each day using Samsung Galaxy 3 tablets. Automating the data collection through the tablets allowed for daily data quality checks, follow-up with data collectors, and automated data aggregation.

Data Analysis and Results
Results show that the overall maturity of Mozambique’s health supply chain is 55.1 percent, which is an average of the functional area scores across all system levels. This score means that the health supply chain has reached marginal maturity, where basic processes are in place but are used inconsistently. The NSCA recommends that a public health supply chain operate at 60 percent or above maturity level, where processes are well defined and implemented with some technology present.

This CMM score considers the personnel and operations support provided directly to the supply chain by many partners, including SCMS and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT. This support will change in 2016 when both projects end.

The following table summarizes the CMM self-assessment results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional area</th>
<th>CMM score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overarching</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product selection</td>
<td>70.0%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecasting and supply planning</td>
<td>63.5%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>64.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing and inventory management</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Level Enablers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management information**</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources**</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pertains only to the central level

The CMM scores for product selection, forecasting and supply planning (FASP), and procurement are central level only, as these supply chain functions are conducted only at the central level. The CMM scores for warehousing and inventory management and transportation are an average of all sites at the central, provincial, district, and health facility levels, where each site carries the same weight. All functional areas currently receive substantial technical assistance. The maturity of the supply chain is a snapshot of the whole system and does not allow for the separation of components receiving or not receiving technical assistance.

Due to the lack of an effective and reliable procurement information system, and the complexity of obtaining the data needed to measure all the potential procurement KPIs, quality testing is the only KPI used for procurement.
The table below provides a summary of the KPI survey results:

### National Supply Chain Assessment, KPIs (quantitative data assessment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI score*</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.7% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
<td>Stockout rate (historical), central level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
<td>Stockout rate (historical), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
<td>Stockout rate (day of visit), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.9% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan (day of visit), only central level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5% (Facilities sampled =20)</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan (day of visit), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.6%, please note only 62.9% of samples were tested (Number of tests =514)</td>
<td>Quality testing rate, central and provincial levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.7% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
<td>Up-to-date stock cards, provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.2% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
<td>Stock accuracy, provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
<td>Expiry rate, only central level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
<td>Acceptable storage capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.3% (Facilities sampled =26)</td>
<td>Logistics management information system (LMIS) stock accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.6% (Facilities sampled =11)</td>
<td>On-time and complete reporting rate, only provincial level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
<td>Staff turnover rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.3% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
<td>Supply chain staff trained in the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) Manual, 3rd edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.8% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
<td>Facilities with at least one staff member trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sample size and formula parameters are explained in Annex 6.

Analysis of KPIs provides information on the aggregate performance of Mozambique’s health supply chain. The primary outcome indicators for the KPIs are stockout rates and stocked according to plan. Primary outcome scores for historical stockout rates for the central level were 51.7 percent and for the provincial, district, and health facility levels, 17.0 percent. Stocked according to plan on the day of the visit to central-level warehouses was 13.9 percent, which increases to 19.5 percent at the provincial, district, and health facility levels. The central level has lower levels of products because it sends all available products down the supply chain line. Since product is distributed from the central to the provincial level and beyond, a lower percentage at the central level is expected.

---

*Only 20 facilities reported having maximum and minimum stock levels established at these levels.

*Only 26 sites had functioning LMIS.
Human resources appear to be performing well with a low staff turnover at 18.5 percent. These figures can be partially attributed to the seconded employees from USAID | DELIVER PROJECT and SCMS and other partners, which support 14.4 percent of total staff are seconded, this includes 34 percent of the CMAM positions. Unless another stakeholder can fund these positions, this number is anticipated to change dramatically when the projects end in 2016.

Although the district level has the highest percentage of staff turnover, staff turnover is low, averaging 18.5 percent across all levels of the supply chain. At the same time, the central level has experienced high turnover for the critical role of warehouse manager, particularly in Adil and Zimpeto, where four different people occupied this role in the past year.

More than 80 percent of the central-, provincial-, and district-level warehouses as well as 54.3 percent of the health facilities reported more than 80 percent of their available space occupied with health commodities. While central-level facilities are overstocked, low levels of months of stock on hand and a monthly ordering cycle are a challenge, in particular for health facilities. This is in part because resources dedicated to transportation from districts to health facilities are limited and clinical personnel performing supply chain management (SCM) within the health facilities often lack adequate supply chain training.

Of all the levels, the provincial level has the highest percentage (100 percent) of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs training. However, the provincial level had low performance in stocked according to plan, stock accuracy, and storage conditions meeting minimum requirements. This low performance presents an opportunity to investigate further if the appropriate person is being trained and if that training is being disseminated effectively throughout the facility. This low performance presents an opportunity to investigate further if the appropriate person is being trained and if there are other inefficiencies (i.e. poor management and supervision, lack of clear job expectations and/or adequate tools) within the supply chain that could be affecting staff performance.

When support from both above mentioned projects ends in 2016, and unless current gaps are covered by providing additional MISAU staff, continuing partner support, or outsourcing more supply chain functions, the risk is substantial that the gains achieved in capability maturity and performance will decline.

A summary of the scores for each functional area, as well as short-term (ST), medium-term (MT), and long-term (LT) recommended priority activities for improving supply chain performance, are described in the matrices below.
## Product selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority activities description</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize the National Essential Medicine’s List (NEML) update process and ensure that the document is aligned with most updated standard treatment guidelines (STGs) for key diseases. Disseminate nationwide.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Update Mozambique National Formulary of Essential Drugs to include all products listed in the NEML and STGs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Within the NEML, define the priority of each item to be in “full supply” according to the vital, essential, and necessary (VEN) list because some medicines are more essential than others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In all three documents, include product strengths and formulations that are expected to be procured/needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Forecasting and supply planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority activities description</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develop and apply clear prioritization criteria to assess need (ABC inventory analysis and VEN) (Plano Estratégico da Logística Farmacêutica (PELF), the pharmaceutical logistics strategic plan).</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Train all CMAM staff in using forecast and supply plan tools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize the development of modules linking SIMAM (logistics management information system), Sistema de Informação de Procura (SIP, the procurement information system), and MACS to the Central Tool.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Install suitable software for forecast and supply planning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assess consumption of medications according to the health facility level.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CMM score
- **Product**
  - 70.0%

### KPIs
- **KPIs**
  - Not applicable (NA)

### CMM score
- **Forecasting and supply planning**
  - 63.5%

### KPIs
- **NA**
### Forecasting and supply planning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure the MISAU has full ownership of the forecast process and results and that senior CMAM staff lead the process with technical assistance as needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that CMAM staff positions in the organizational structure are filled and available staff have the competencies required to effectively complete forecast and supply planning activities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that the Central Tool integrates forecast and supply planning tools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that CMAM staff positions designated in the organizational structure are all filled by staff with strong SCM core competencies, specifically in forecast and supply planning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop real-time national LMIS data availability in a format that integrates with forecast and supply planning tools in the enterprise resource planning (ERP) functionality.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop a forecasting tool with LMIS and ERP procurement and supply planning functionality, so that forecast and supply planning data is visible to all managers in real time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Procurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CMM score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 64.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quality testing, post-market (central and provincial) 85.6%, please note only 62.9% of samples were tested. Number of tests=514</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority activities description</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oversight and Strategic Planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sensitize the MISAU and Ministry of Finance to the need to adapt the procurement process to the specificity of pharmaceuticals and health products (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that procurement processes and contract management are audited by external companies (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Initiate the use of framework contracts for procuring key pharmaceuticals and health products (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop a new integrated system to manage procurement, contracts, importation, and financial management (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support implementation and daily use of the SIP, and Sistema de Informação de Finanças (SIF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMM score</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Historical stockout rate (central) 51.7% Facilities sampled =3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Historical stockout rate (provincial, district, and health facility) 17.0% Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Day of visit stockout rate (provincial, district, and health facility) 22.0% Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stocked according to plan (central) 13.9% Facilities sampled =3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stocked according to plan (provincial, district, and health facility) 19.5% Facilities sampled =20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of stock cards up-to-date (provincial, district, and health facility) 61.7% Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stock accuracy (provincial, district, and health facility) 57.2% Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of total stock that expired in previous reporting period, quantity (central) 0.4% Facilities sampled =3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Percent of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity (central, provincial, district, and health facility) 34.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority activities description</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Conduct cost and outsourcing feasibility study for the central- and regional-level warehouses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adjust CMAM distribution systems parameters (minimum, maximum, order frequency) for better supply chain efficiency and assist with decision making on when to order (pull) or distribute (push) and in which quantities to minimize stockouts and expiries at all levels (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create more storage conditions and cold chain area at the district and health facility levels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Retrain warehouse staff in warehouse management system (WMS) and updated warehouse SOPs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consolidate the LMIS in the <em>Depósito Provincial de Medicamentos</em> (DPM, provincial drug depot) and <em>Depósito Distrital de Medicamentos</em> (DDMs, district medical store).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Link SIMAM with the warehouse management system (MACS) in the central warehouses (Maputo, Beira, and Nampula) (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Finalize Central Tool integration (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Equip and open Nampula Central Warehouse (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Begin preparing the phased removal of one level of the supply chain for medicines and medical material (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop and implement a new version of the existing LMIS for the intermediate warehouses using a new digital platform (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create a training department that can provide pre-service as well as on-the-job warehouse management training to staff.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Install WMS in all intermediate-level warehouses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Follow up and assist in implementing the infrastructure investment plan and the needed changes in the operational model and functions (PELF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMM score</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority activities description</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conduct a transportation cost and outsourcing feasibility study.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Create SOPs for transportation.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop adequate service-level agreements for transporters.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop system to collect and measure transport KPIs.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop TORs for transport department/staff.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conduct a requirement analysis for transportation management software.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conduct a transport optimization study (PELF).</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recruit transport specialists/staff to plan transport and monitor third-party transport providers.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop plan and budget for own fleet and third-party transport providers.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expand fleet.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Human resources capacity development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMM score</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>LT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff turnover rate (provincial, district, and health facility) 18.5% Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Percent of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training 70.8% Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority activities description</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advocate for the need to modify the approach for developing, recruiting, retaining, and motivating supply chain staff (PELF).</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Define the specific supply chain-related competencies required of health workers.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduce the concept and framework for a cadre of supply chain professionals.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Institutionalize greater administrative and operational autonomy for human resources (PELF).</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recruit staff with specific knowledge of supply chain management (PELF).</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Give CMAM administrative autonomy over HR to recruit, retain, and motivate staff and build staff capacity to improve supply chain management.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop competency-based modules for pre-service training for new and existing courses.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources capacity development</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>LT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Develop university degree in logistics, procurement, and management.  
• Create técnico superior (advanced technician) categories for supply chain management, hospital administration, etc. |    |    | ✓  |
1.0 Background

1.1 About Mozambique

Located in southeastern Africa, Mozambique has a population of 25,059,000 and occupies an area nearly twice the size of California. Soon after the country gained independence in 1975, a prolonged civil war hindered its development until the early 1990s. In 1987, the government embarked on a series of macroeconomic reforms designed to stabilize the economy. These steps, combined with donor assistance, and the political stability gained since the multiparty elections in 1994, have led to dramatic improvements in the country’s growth rate, along with rapid economic expansion. Fiscal reforms, including introducing a value-added tax and reforming the customs service, have improved the government’s ability to collect revenue.

In spite of these gains, Mozambique still depended on foreign assistance for 40 percent of its 2012 annual budget, and more than half the population remained below the poverty line. In 2013, Mozambique’s per capita income was US$593, less than one-third of the Sub-Saharan African average.

Mozambique faces enormous challenges in improving social indicators. The country ranks 178th out of 187 countries in the United Nations Human Development Index in 2014. The adult literacy rate is 56 percent. Malaria remains the most common cause of death, responsible for 35 percent of child mortality. Progress has been limited in improving water and sanitation and alleviating hunger and malnutrition. However, in some other areas, Mozambique has made important progress. School enrollment rates and gender parity in enrollment, for instance, have increased dramatically over the past decade, while infant and maternal mortality rates have consistently declined.

Since the country’s independence, its development has been guided by a sequence of five-year development plans (Planos Quinquenais de Desenvolvimento). In 2002 the Government of Mozambique (GOM) initiated a series of reforms in all development sectors. The purpose was to start decentralization and bring management closer to the lower levels of state organization (provinces and districts). Within this context the health sector initiated its five-year strategic plans (Plano Estratégico do Sector da Saúde, or PESS) with the aim of gradually improving the health status of its people.

---

For the 2013–2017 PESS, one of the most important and critical areas is ensuring access to medicines and medical products. Therefore, development of the strategic plan for pharmaceutical logistics (PELF) aims to support key reforms to the logistics of health care products for the public health system.\(^\text{11}\)

### 1.2 Mozambique and the public health system

The Mozambican National Health System is financed through two main resources\(^\text{12}\): domestic funds from the state budget and external funds received from different mechanisms. These include the Common Fund, a basket fund where partners pool their resources, and various bilateral project support initiatives.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Health Expenditure database, public spending on health as a proportion of Mozambique’s total health spending has decreased since 2005, after reaching about 14 percent of government spending in 2004. However, concurrently, donor allocations to health have substantially increased, particularly for HIV/AIDS under the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR).

Total health spending per capita increased from US$4.6 in 1997 to US$21 in 2010. The share of external resources as a proportion of total health spending surpassed 60 percent in 2008–2009. GOM is the main financial agent, managing around 72 percent of the resources to purchase/provide health care services. Other agents purchasing health care services include households (around 14 percent) and employers. While cost recovery accounts for about 1 percent of the health budget, out-of-pocket spending remains less than 30 percent of total health spending, according to 2010 statistics.\(^\text{13}\)

### 1.3. HIV/AIDS in Mozambique

Despite Mozambique's remarkable progress in development since the end of the armed conflict, the HIV/AIDS pandemic is still a major threat; the country is ranked eighth in Africa, with a prevalence rate of 11.5 percent for adults aged 15 to 49.\(^\text{14}\)

In 2004 the GOM vowed to provide equal access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), which at the time was limited to infected patients in the Maputo area. This initiative was expanded between 2008 and 2012 to provide ART to all provincial and district capitals and to key health centers in the country. By 2012, progress was significant: 308,578 people were on ART and 316 out of 1,400 public health facilities were providing ART.

---


\(^\text{13}\) WHO Global Health Expenditure Database [http://apps.who.int/nha/database/Home/Index/en](http://apps.who.int/nha/database/Home/Index/en)

In 2011, Mozambique signed a key political and social commitment document to intensify efforts to eliminate HIV/AIDS and provide universal access to treatment by 2015. Following this commitment, a technical working group (TWG) coordinated by the National STI/HIV/AIDS Control Program began drafting a concise plan of action to expand and scale up artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) with key evidence-based interventions for HIV positive patients with malaria. The plan of action resulted in an ambitious acceleration plan to provide treatment to 80 percent of people who tested positive for HIV by the end of 2015. At the time of this assessment, the National Public Health

System estimates ARTs to more than 640,000 patients, representing more than 64 percent of Mozambicans eligible for ART and moving fast toward the 2015 Global Health Initiative and GOM target to put 80 percent of all eligible HIV-infected patients on ART.\textsuperscript{16}

The acceleration plan has represented an enormous challenge for the national supply chain. The plan has stretched logistics to the system’s limits in reaching rural areas, ensuring warehousing infrastructure, providing timely and frequent transport of quantities of ART, having qualified pharmacy personnel to dispense and manage antiretrovirals (ARVs) and patients at all distribution centers and health facilities, ensuring a responsive LMIS and accurate stock records, and stocking sufficient quantities of HIV-related commodities needed for diagnosis and treatment.

ART hospitals, and most importantly, urban health centers were the first to begin providing ART services. Most opened before 2008 and account for more than 70 percent of services. Due to changes in international HIV/AIDS policies such as PEPFAR 3.0 and the WHO’s 90/90/90 policies, funding must now focus on higher yield sites in an effort to reach epidemic control. Sites that are low yield will need to find alternative funding sources to maintain activities and ensure high quality services\textsuperscript{17}.

The system is now facing the ambitious task of having the HR and logistics needed for massive expansion. In the past two years, 439 new ART sites in health centers and health posts were opened but provide treatment to only 10 percent of patients. Most are staffed by maternal and child health nurses who provide treatment to pregnant women in Option B+.\textsuperscript{18}

1.4 Mozambique’s public health supply chain

Mozambique’s public health supply chain system is centrally managed by two institutions: the Central Medical Store (CMAM) and the Supply Center (Centro de Abastecimento, or CA).

CMAM is responsible for the forecasting, procurement, warehousing, and distribution of medicines and medical supplies for the National Health Service. CMAM was recently elevated from a department within the Mozambique Ministry of Health (MISAU) to the level of a national directorate, providing significantly more visibility into supply chain operations and data. This is in line with recent reforms within MISAU and the 2013–2017 PELF.

As a whole, CMAM functions as MISAU’s Logistics Management Unit (LMU) for health commodities. An LMU is a management structure that can be used to organize, monitor, and support all activities within the logistics system. Through the lens of continuous improvement, the LMU uses up-to-date data and identifies supply chain problems, develops solutions, and implements those interventions.

Unlike CMAM, the CA does not procure or determine the need for the equipment and nonmedical supplies that it stores and distributes. Procurement and commodity needs are assessed by the National Directorate of Medical Assistance (DNAM) or by national programs, such as the HIV/AIDS Program or the Central Laboratory Department. Once needs are assessed, MISAU’s Acquisitions Execution

\textsuperscript{17} http://www.pepfar.gov/documents/organization/234744.pdf
\textsuperscript{18} WHO Standard Treatment Guidelines 2013 – available at www....Under Option B+, all pregnant women living with HIV are offered lifelong ART, regardless of their CD4 count.
Management Unit, *Unidade Gestora e Executora das Aquisições*, procures them for CMAM, which is a unit of the Administration and Finance Board, or directly by the cooperating partners, such as SCMS and the Global Fund (GF).

At the provincial and district levels, CMAM and CA are replicated in the form of provincial stores and their district equivalents, although there is no binding hierarchy. In the *unidad sanitária* (health units that are patient-facing, not to include community health workers), regardless of size, there is also, in principle, a pharmacy (where drugs are dispensed to patients) and a depot, where medications and other health supplies are stored.19

Until 2007, the tender and procurement process for medicines was carried out by *Empresa Moçambicana de Importação e Exportação de Medicamentos* (MEDIMOC). Originally a state body, it has since been privatized, but the GOM still owns part of the organization. In 2008, procurement responsibilities were transferred from MEDIMOC to CMAM, but due to a lack of trained personnel, CMAM was not equipped to carry out this function.20

While the MISAU Therapeutic and Pharmacy Technical Board, *Comissão Técnica de Terapêutica e Farmácia* (CTTF), is in charge of selecting essential medicines, CMAM is responsible for their forecasting. The national programs, in particular those for HIV/AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis, follow separate individualized processes to select the medicines and the auxiliary diagnostic tools they use with little or no coordination with the CTTF. Decisions on these programs are made at a central level with implementing partners. Since the EML has not been updated since 2009, numerous items procured at the central level are not on the list. Additionally, there are multiple pharmaceutical supply chains in Mozambique, further compounding the challenges of coordination efforts.

In 2011, CMAM developed the Pharmaceutical Logistics Management Plan (PLMP) in collaboration with SCMS. Before the PLMP could be implemented, CMAM determined the need for a strategic plan, or PELF. In 2014, the PELF was followed by the development and approval of an implementation plan. The PELF drew on ideas developed in the PLMP, and recommended, the following policy changes:

1. As a result of a study conducted by SCMS using Llamasoft’s Supply Chain Guru™ software, consolidating 11 provincial and 148 district warehouses into one level of 30 intermediate-level facilities to optimize the supply chain system. Once the optimization is complete, these intermediate warehouses would then deliver product to 90 percent of the health units within one day’s drive.

2. Vertically integrating the supply chain under the MISAU-CMAM by shifting the management of the intermediate level from the provincial level to CMAM.

3. Providing CMAM with financial and administrative autonomy.

4. Outsourcing CMAM’s noncore functions, such as transportation.

5. Reforming the law to improve the efficiency of the procurement process for medicines.

---


20 Ibid.
1.4.1 Health commodity flow

Figure 2 depicts how health commodities flow through the current public health supply chain, starting from the top.

**Figure 2. The Mozambique National Supply Chain**

![Supply Chain Diagram]

*Note: Requisição Balancete is the Request Balance Sheet*

**The CMAM/central level** is responsible for delivery from the central warehouses to 18 direct clients: 11 provincial warehouses and seven central and general hospitals. CMAM restocks provincial-level warehouses with essential medicines each quarter. Other alternative forms of transportation for ARVs and HIV rapid test kits are distributed monthly by a Coca-Cola Company transporter funded by the Clinton Health Access Initiative from provincial to district warehouses. This transporter also distributes these products to health facilities in some districts. The assessment, however, looks at the supply chain as a whole and does not separate funding and resource streams.

**The provincial level** (currently comprised of 10 provincial stores, plus Maputo City) is intended to deliver all products monthly to the district level warehouses (consisting of 142 stores).

**The district level** is intended to deliver health commodities monthly to health units (about 1,400 locations), which include district and rural hospitals, health centers, and health units. Community health care workers (*agentes polivalentes elementares*), of which there are more than 3,500, are resupplied from the district but will be resupplied from the health unit level once the PELF is implemented.
1.5 Mozambique and the SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT

Since 2006, John Snow, Inc., through SCMS and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT, has been the lead US government partner supporting HIV-related and other essential health supply chain activities in Mozambique, particularly at the central level. SCMS and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT have procured essential health medicines and supplies, including ARVs, laboratory commodities, contraceptives, condoms, and malaria commodities, at affordable prices; helped strengthen and build reliable, secure, and sustainable supply chain systems; worked to improve laboratory logistics; and fostered coordination of key stakeholders.

With the GOM, the projects have instituted quantification methodologies that strive to ensure the most efficient use of resources and uninterrupted supply, along warehouse management systems and SOPs that safeguard supplies in-country. Also, the projects have worked closely with CMAM to strengthen information systems that provide data for decision-making from 723 ART sites and ensure transparent supply chain-related communication between the provincial and central levels. Through coordination efforts by the projects’ decentralized staff, and the organization of quarterly supply chain improvement meetings with provincial and district managers, availability, timeliness, and completeness of logistics data reporting have improved.

SCMS ensures a continuous supply of cluster of differentiation 4 (CD4), hematology, and biochemistry reagents for 170 instruments in 69 PEPFAR-supported laboratories throughout the country and is now establishing a similar supply network for four viral-load instruments.

The central, provincial, and district warehouses are currently receiving technical assistance through supply chain staff seconded through SCMS and other implementing partners. This support has an impact on the current capability maturity and performance of the supply chain. Along with providing technical assistance to strengthen the logistics systems and build MISAU staff capacity, partner staff also performs operational functions to cover CMAM staff shortages as well as a lack of supply chain knowledge and skills among existing staff. At the conclusion of SCMS support in 2016, and unless current staffing gaps are covered by additional MISAU staff, continuing partner support, or outsourcing of more supply chain functions, the risk is substantial that the gains achieved in capability maturity and performance will decline.

Table 1. Seconded supply chain staff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total staff (MISAU + partners)</th>
<th>MISAU</th>
<th>Partners</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Percent total staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCMS</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM-Headquarters</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM-Central Warehouse South (Adil Warehouse, Zimpeto Warehouse)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM-Central Warehouse Central (Beira Warehouse)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial warehouses</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District warehouses</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.0 Methodology

2.1 The National Supply Chain Assessment Toolkit

This assessment, conducted November 24 to December 5, 2014, used the NSCA toolkit, comprised of the CMM and country-specific KPIs, to determine whether operational functions are achieving expected outcomes and to develop an evidence-based roadmap for improvement. The assessment was achieved by using the following tools and activities:

- Half-day central-level workshops with CMAM, warehouse staff, and procurement and planning department staff to assess capability maturity of each CMM functional area as a group by using the scoring model. The workshops were facilitated by SCMS staff.
- Site visits completed by data collection teams, who administered surveys/questionnaires on the CMM and KPI tools at the central, district, and health facility levels of the public health supply chain.

2.1.1 Capability Maturity Model overview

A diagnostic tool, the CMM assesses the maturity of the capabilities of a supply chain at multiple levels—from the central level to service delivery points, and across operational functional areas and cross-cutting organizational elements, or enablers, such as HR, processes, and infrastructure. Scores are assigned for each capability in each functional area and aggregated and then averaged to understand the functional area as a whole, as well as the enabling elements that affect the functional area.

Figure 3. CMM functional areas and cross-cutting enablers
To assess capability maturity, key informant interviews guide data collectors through a series of functional area-specific questionnaires, including:

- Product selection
- Forecasting and supply planning
- Procurement
- Warehousing and inventory management
- Transportation
- Waste management
- Laboratory issuing

Each functional area includes a set of capabilities. These capabilities were selected through an in-depth and rigorous evaluation of supply chain best practices by TWGs consisting of supply experts. And, in turn, each capability (e.g., warehouse “checking”) consists of processes that must be met before that particular maturity level can be satisfied. While a score of “1” is the lowest, and “5” the highest, in the “developing country-context,” a score of “3” is considered adequate, where processes are well defined and technology is used to some degree. When scores are calculated, the scale of 1 to 5 is converted to a percentage scale between 20 and 100 percent for ease of reference (see Figure 4).

For example, when looking at the warehouse process of “checking,” a score of “1,” or 20 percent, indicates that orders are not checked, whereas a score of “5,” or 100 percent, indicates best practices are in place, such as weighing product cartons to validate items picked.

**Figure 4. Five levels of capability maturity**
In Mozambique, the CMM tool was applied at the central, provincial, district, and health facility levels (see Figure 5 for a sample questionnaire).

**Figure 5. Sample capability from CMM questionnaire**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level: Health Facility</th>
<th>Functional Area: Warehousing and Distribution</th>
<th>Enabler: Infrastructure</th>
<th>Capability: Building Conditions</th>
<th>CMM 4c12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>Direct observation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question:** Is the main storage area intact?

**Follow-up questions:**

1) Is the building structurally sound?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Storage areas have a roof and floor.</td>
<td>☐ Storage areas have a roof, floor, walls, and doors for storing product.</td>
<td>☐ Storage areas have a solid insulated roof, free of leaks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Storage areas have a level floor with space for storage and receiving/dispatch.</td>
<td>☐ Storage areas have an impervious (e.g., concrete/cement) level floor with separate areas for storage and receiving/dispatch.</td>
<td>☐ Storage areas have adequate lighting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Storage areas have adequate lighting.</td>
<td>☐ Storage areas have adequate lighting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General observations:**

2.1.2 Key performance indicators

The KPI review uses a set of key indicators to analyze and measure supply chain performance, which provide insight into supply chain efficiency and effectiveness. Analyzing the KPI data helps address the supply chain system’s performance overall and within specific functional areas. From a standardized list recommended by SCMS, each country identifies the KPIs that will best measure performance. KPIs selected from this list are based on data availability, quality, feasibility, strategic priority, and whether the KPI will be useful for decision making. Application of the KPIs by site is dependent on those sites meeting a minimum set of criteria for their inclusion in the KPI sample size (Facilities sampled = sample size). Based on these criteria, the KPIs listed in Table 2 were collected for a list of key tracer products in Mozambique (listed in Table 3). KPI results can be found in section 3.0 of this report, as well as in Annex 6.

KPIs selected for Mozambique were collected at the central, provincial, district, and health facility levels using a variety of sources when available: stock data collection forms and facility information forms (not always available), direct observation, physical stock counts, and various stock-keeping records gained from the warehouse management system (MACS), National Laboratory for Medicines Quality Control (LNCQM) post-marketing survey, SIMAM, and paper-based stock-keeping records.
Table 2 includes the list of KPIs selected for Mozambique and their data sources.

**Table 2. List of collected KPIs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data sources**</th>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>System level</th>
<th>Data collection period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MACS and stock data collection form</td>
<td>Stockout rate (historical)</td>
<td>Percentage of tracers experiencing a stockout during the reporting period by tracer commodity</td>
<td>Central, provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock data collection form and direct observation</td>
<td>Stockout rate (day of visit)</td>
<td>Percentage of tracers experiencing a stockout during day of visit by tracer commodity</td>
<td>Provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan</td>
<td>Percentage of tracer commodities stocked between established minimum and maximum levels</td>
<td>Central, provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report</td>
<td>Quality testing rate</td>
<td>Percentage of product samples tested passing quality testing</td>
<td>Central and provincial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM annual stock-taking exercise</td>
<td>Stock accuracy</td>
<td>Percentage of product recorded on stock card as compared with physical inventory</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Up-to-date* stock cards</td>
<td>Percentage of stock cards that were up-to-date over all stock cards</td>
<td>Provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiry report</td>
<td>Expiry rate</td>
<td>Percentage of total stock expired in the reporting period (quantity)</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct observation form</td>
<td>Acceptable storage capacity</td>
<td>Percentage of storage facilities at less than 80% storage capacity</td>
<td>Central, provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sources**</td>
<td>KPI</td>
<td>System level</td>
<td>Data collection period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMIS stock accuracy</td>
<td>LMIS stock accuracy (up-to-date*)</td>
<td>Percentage of product recorded in SIMAM as compared with physical inventory</td>
<td>Provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>On-time and complete reporting rate</td>
<td>Percentage of LMIS reports submitted on-time and complete to the central level through SIMAM</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility information form</td>
<td>Staff turnover rate</td>
<td>Percentage of key supply chain staff who vacated their position during the reporting period</td>
<td>Central, provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility information form</td>
<td>Human resource capacity development</td>
<td>Percentage of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training</td>
<td>Central, provincial, district, and health facility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Up-to-date is defined as stock cards that have been completed up to the date of data collection and that have the most recent receipt or dispersal recorded.

** Data sources are the NSCA data collection tools used for that respective KPI.

### 2.1.3 The relationship between the CMM and KPIs

The relationship between the CMM and KPIs is a proposed correlation between capability maturity and supply chain system performance. As capability maturity improves, performance should also improve. However, some supply chains are found to have low maturity and high performance, while others have high maturity and low performance. The comparison between CMM and KPI allows for identifying false positives, which can occur when viewing CMM or KPI scores only in isolation. As a result, system-strengthening activities can be focused on addressing weak areas identified either in the KPIs or the CMM. With repeat application, the impact of system strengthening efforts can be identified when comparing the scores between this NSCA and a future NSCA or future application of the KPIs.

The assessment includes two tools, described above and shown in Figure 6:

- **The CMM diagnostic tool**, a quantitative tool which scores qualitative data that assesses supply chain capability maturity, as shown in Figure 5.
- **The supply chain KPI assessment**, a set of indicators that comprehensively measure health supply chain performance.
The figure below shows data from both tools. This data can be used to identify anomalies in the performance and capabilities or to identify areas most in need of support. The purpose of this figure is not meant to identify causal relationships but to be used as a tool for further investigation.

**Figure 6. Capability maturity and performance comparison**

2.2 **Assessment scope**

2.2.1 **Tracer commodity selection**

After reviewing several lists of HIV/AIDS and malaria products, essential medicines, and family planning methods, project staff prepared a list with 32 priority commodities for use within the KPI questionnaires (see Table 3).

Selection criteria included whether the product was likely managed by the public health supply chain and whether it was monitored by the projects as part of end-use verification activities. Tuberculosis (TB) commodities were included at the request of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (The full tracer commodity list is also available in Annex 1.)

Also, the assessment teams leveraged the site visits to collect historical data, in addition to day of visit data, for a subset of eight tracer commodities. Historical data collection for these eight commodities was collected by SCMS each month from August to October 2014. The historical period of August–October 2014 was selected to reflect the most recent three-month period before the assessment data collection. These eight commodities are included in the 32 priority commodities and are the last eight items listed in Table 3.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Time frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misoprostol 200 µg, tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin, 5UI/ml, ampule</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium sulfate 10% 100mg/ml, ampule of 40ml</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-uterine device (IUD), piece</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male condoms, piece</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microlut, carteira pack</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel 150/Ethinylestradiol 300MCG (Microgynon), carteira pack of 28 tabs</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 500 mg tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol susp. 1g, bottle of 100 mls</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin 500mg, capsule</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin 250/5ml, powder for oral suspension, 100ml vial</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin 500 mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 480mg, tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 240mg/5ml suspension, bottle</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicline 150mg, Isoniazide 75mg, Pyrazinamide 400mg, Ethambutol 275mg (4DFC), carteira pack</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemether/lumefantrine (AL) 1x6, carteira pack of 6 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL 3x6, carteira pack of 18 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL 2x6, carteira pack of 12 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artesunate 60mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine300 mg tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine 600mg/2ml inj. Ampoules</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfadoxine + Pyremethamine (SP) 500mg+25mg, tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, 20 mg, tabs, box of 10 blisters of 10 tablets</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIGOLD® HIV-1/2, test</td>
<td>HIV test</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medroxyprogest-erone acetate (Depo-Provera®) 150mg/1ml, vial</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL 4x6, carteira of 24 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine 200mg, capsule, bottle of 60 capsules</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria rapid testing device, test</td>
<td>Malaria test</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine® HIV -1/2, test</td>
<td>HIV test</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Zidovudine (AZT) + Nevirapine (NVP) 150/300/200mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Nevirapine (NVP) + Zidovudine (AZT), 30/50/60mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir (TDF)+ Lamivudine (3TC) + Efavirenz (EFV) 300/300/600mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2–October 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.2 Sampling methodology and site selection

As a starting point, to balance the need for accurate results while minimizing data collection costs, a 90 percent confidence interval was used, with a ±10 percent margin of error. The sampling methodology was constrained because the number of sites that could be visited during the evaluation period was limited by 1) a two-week data collection period and 2) a shortage of data collectors with fluency in the local language, familiarity with Mozambique’s supply chain, and experience in data collection and survey administration.

With these parameters, Raosoft\(^\text{21}\) was used to calculate a statistically significant sample size at the district warehouse and health facility levels. Because the central-level has only three sites and the provincial-level has only 11, all sites at these levels were included in the sample (see Table 4). A stratified purposeful sampling technique was then used within each of the 11 provinces, whereby stratified district and health-unit-level samples were randomly selected from within each province.

Within each of the 11 provinces, two districts were randomly selected. Despite the requirement that 44 district warehouses be in the sample to achieve statistical significance, given time and resource constraints, only 22 district warehouses were included in the sample. Within the district-level sampling a potential “design effect\(^\text{22}\)” has been accepted as necessary based on assessment constraints. This sampling methodology was chosen to reflect the composition of the supply chain in Mozambique and to allow data collectors to follow product through the supply chain levels.

Within each district, three health facilities were also randomly selected, for an initial total of 66 health facilities. However, due to efficient use of time, four additional randomly selected health facilities were added to the sample. So the sample increased to 70 for the health unit level.

Table 4. Site sample breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supply chain level</th>
<th>No. of sites within supply chain level</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Actual no. of sites visited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central warehouse</td>
<td>3(^\text{23})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial warehouse</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District warehouse</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidad sanitária (health unit)</td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 shows the nationwide reach of the selected sites.

\(^{21}\) [www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html](http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html)


\(^{23}\) At the time of the assessment there were three central warehouses. After completion of the assessment and before publication, another central warehouse was added. This document refers only to the original three warehouses.
Due to the inclusion criteria, some KPIs and CMM scores did not achieve 90 percent confidence. The changing denominators listed in the KPI results table make this evident. However, the lack of data is seen as a powerful statement about the environment in which the data was collected.

**Figure 7. Sites visited for data collection**
2.3 Training and data collection

2.3.1 Data collection teams

The NSCA was conducted by 11 data collection teams of at least two members, composed of CMAM staff and MISAU provincial-level staff, plus one SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT staff member.

2.3.2 Tablet

The projects used mobile technology for the first time to complete parts of the CMM and all of the KPI data collection phase of the Mozambique NSCA. Data was collected using Samsung Galaxy 3 tablets and SurveyCTO data collection software, an open-source platform. After collecting data at each site, the data collection teams sent in their results daily through Wi-Fi or Third Generation network connections, when available.

Image 1. Samsung Galaxy 3 tablet

The use of mobile technology and automation of data collection offered several benefits. It enabled the evaluation team, consisting of two Washington, DC-based project employees, to conduct daily data quality checks, follow up with data collectors, and automatically aggregate data from the 11 data collection teams. It also decreased level of effort for data collectors who were able to directly enter data into the tablets at the time of the site visit, reduced the time needed for data cleaning and analysis, and increased data quality.

2.3.3 Training

All data collectors completed a one-week training November 17–21, 2014, that covered the evaluation tools, scoring methodology, interview techniques, and use of tablets for data collection. On the third day of the training, data collectors were assigned to one of six teams that travelled to six sites (one provincial warehouse and five health units) to pilot test the evaluation tools and tablets. The following day, the teams met again in Maputo to provide feedback that was used to troubleshoot and improve interview and tablet-use techniques.
2.3.4 Data collection

Central-level CMM questionnaires for 1) product selection, 2) forecasting and supply planning, 3) procurement, 4) warehousing and inventory management, and 5) transportation were completed during five separate workshops with the key CMAM staff responsible for each functional area. This methodology was used at the central level and served to create buy-in and ownership of the process and results. Ideally, future assessments will conduct initial site-level interviews at all levels.

During each workshop, relevant CMAM staff met with an SCMS technical expert to review the CMM questionnaire, understand the scoring methodology, and collectively determine the maturity of the functional area. SCMS staff members participating in these workshops had received training on how to avoid leading questions and allow participants to analyze each question and brainstorm appropriate answers.

If participants seemed not to understand a question, missed its emphasis, or could not agree on a score, the SCMS staff person would make neutral comments or ask follow-up questions, such as “anything else?,” “any other reason?,” and “could you tell us more about your thinking on the subject?,” to achieve group consensus on a score. Scores assigned by the group were found to be higher than scores later assigned by data collectors during site visits. This scoring difference may reflect a difference in tool application, and possibly show the upward bias resulting from the implementation of the CMM in a large group. Ultimately, the group’s collective decisions are the scores represented in this assessment.

At the provincial, district, and health unit levels, data collectors interviewed key informants (warehouse managers, pharmacists, MISAU, personnel, etc.). The intermediate- and health unit-level questionnaires for warehousing and inventory management and transportation were completed at the provincial and district warehouses and health facilities during site visits using key informant interviews.

Eleven teams were each responsible for collecting data at nine sites within a province: one provincial warehouse, two district warehouses, and six health facilities. One staff person from either the provincial health director’s office or from the provincial warehouse accompanied each team. The participation of provincial level staff in the data collection teams ensured access to key informants and data sources as well as ownership of and buy-in into NSCA results.

The evaluation team maintained an aggregate spreadsheet, updated daily during the NSCA, for all data collected at the projects’ field office. This enabled SCMS staff to review and clean the data daily to prepare for analysis. If issues were identified, SCMS staff contacted the data collectors for clarification. SCMS staff in Washington and in Mozambique conducted the data analysis during the data collection period through early February 2015.

Analysis was conducted using MS Excel and MS Access-based tools. Data was quality checked throughout the assessment to identify any data quality issues or anomalies such as incorrect data entry or an outlier score. Issues identified were addressed during the assessment to allow for timely correction when possible.
2.4 Methodology reliability and validity challenges

2.4.1 Sampling methodology

Although the district-level sample size generated by Raosoft® was 44, the assessment design created constraints in sampling all recommended data collection sites (warehouses, health units, etc.). The sample size was limited by the number of sites that could be visited during the assessment period due to the size of the data collection teams, and the timeframe of two weeks to conduct all key informant interviews. It was therefore determined that 22 districts could be visited, and a random cluster sample was conducted. Once the districts were identified, health unit sites were randomly selected within those districts in order to effectively follow the supply chain through the system. The inherent weakness in the reduced sample size is that the assessment outputs are not nationally representative. However, the sites randomly selected do represent the districts identified in this assessment.

Furthermore, sites that did not have the documentation or other criteria needed to provide an accurate KPI were not included in the sample size for that respective indicator. This exclusion created issues in statistical significance but ensured that the methodology was consistent. Each KPI sample size is a subset of the overall sample size based on data availability and quality. In-depth assessments of processes and data quality are recommended for areas with statistically insignificant sample sizes. The data presented are representative of the sites visited but not the national system.

A full list of KPI sample sizes and the filters used to determine the number of facilities is provided in Annex 6.

2.4.2 CMM methodology applied at the central level

The methodology applied at the central level for capturing CMM data was a workshop with key informants. This decision was based on the familiarity with the logistics system assessment tool (LSAT) methodology, a USAID | DELIVER developed tool and the desire to use something similar. The purpose of the workshop was to build buy-in among key stakeholders around the methodology of the tool and gain acceptance of the outputs of that tool. However, this methodology inherently leads to limitations in perceived data quality due to the inclusion of self-reporting bias. Although a supply chain expert reviewed the scores and corresponding justifications, the original group scores, determined at the workshop, are the results used in this assessment even in areas where the supply chain expert may have felt that the scores were artificially high.

2.4.3 Areas of potential bias for both the CMM and KPI results

Potential areas of bias are found based on the methodologies used and the realities of the supply chain system in Mozambique:

1. The tool lacks the sensitivity to account for embedded staff and the potential impact on the overall score.
2. The CMM tool for HR is limited by the number of capabilities used to determine system maturity. The KPIs add greater context here, but because key supply chain staff roles have not been consistently identified nationwide, the HR KPI is hampered by subjectivity. For instance, key roles were determined solely by the key informants’ understanding of those roles within their facilities.

3. Assessment teams were not allowed access to procurement records and therefore could not validate procurement performance. Lack of procurement data limited generation of KPIs for Product Select, Forecasting and Supply Planning, and Procurement functions of the supply chain. Therefore we do not have a fully understanding of the performance of the supply chain processes in these sections.

4. The quality-testing sample size is statistically insignificant for national quality. The percentages of samples tested were a portion of all samples taken, leading to even greater issues with the sample significance. This data was not collected by the assessment team, but was provided by LNCQM post marketing surveillance report.
3.0 Data Analysis and Results

3.1 Overview of CMM and KPI Scores

The NSCA toolkit evaluates a supply chain in its present state. Consequently, it is infeasible to disassociate any external support provided by partner and donor organizations from the maturity of the capability and performance of governmental and/or local organizations in a given country.

Mozambique is no exception. Currently, the country’s supply chain receives significant external support through funding, technical assistance, and seconded staff in key supply chain positions, an important consideration in reviewing the CMM and KPI scores presented in this report.

Of particular concern is the fact that two of the largest projects supporting Mozambique’s supply chain, SCMS and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT, will conclude in 2016. Discontinuation of support could have a significant impact on Mozambique’s supply chain performance and maturity, if various technical assistance provided by SCMS and DELIVER are not provided by other stakeholders and the Mozambican government. Thereby, risk statements have been included at the end of each technical section, to note areas where capacity and performance is expected to decrease if inadequate technical assistance is not provided.

3.1.1 CMM scores

A “high-level” national supply chain maturity score can be calculated by averaging functional area scores across all supply chain levels. In this instance, scores for production selection, forecasting and supply planning (FASP), procurement, warehousing and inventory management, and transportation were averaged across Mozambique’s central, provincial, district, and health facility levels, resulting in a score of 55.1 percent.
Table 5. National supply chain capacity, CMM from central-level self-assessment* and lower-level assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional area</th>
<th>CMM score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overarching</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product selection</td>
<td>70.0%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASP</td>
<td>63.5%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>64.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing and inventory management</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Level Enablers</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management information</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Collected at the central level only

As a point of reference, a score of 20 percent is the lowest-possible CMM score, and 100 percent is the highest. However, in a “developing” country context a score of 60 percent is considered to be adequate, where processes are well-defined and technology is used to some degree. Thus, a score of 55.1 percent indicates that Mozambique’s supply chain has basic processes in place, albeit used inconsistently, and technology is not fully used throughout the system. Therefore, when SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT end, the scores represented in this document are expected to decrease quickly without continuous, equivalent, and seamless donor support.

Scores are calculated by averaging all individual scores across all enablers within a functional area. The national level enablers, Management Information and HR, are included in the above table for ease of comparison with their corresponding KPIs.
3.1.2 KPI scores

Three key KPIs are used to gauge a supply chain’s aggregate performance. These primary outcome indicators are:

1. Historical stockout rate: the percentage of tracers experiencing a stockout during the reporting period by tracer commodity
2. Day-of-visit stockout rate: the percentage of tracers experiencing a stockout during day of visit by tracer commodity
3. Stocked according to plan: the percentage of tracer commodities stocked between established minimum and maximum levels

Tables 5 and 6 provide a summary of results for the Mozambique assessment, while Figure 8 compares supply chain capability and performance. The results of the key functional area CMM scores and KPI scores are discussed in detail in the pages that follow. The data in Table 5 and 6 are inclusive of the supply chain support that SCMS, Global Fund, and other donors provide to the supply chain as of the date when this assessment took place.

Best-practice models are outlined for each enabler—processes and tools, infrastructure, strategic planning and oversight, management information system (MIS), and HR. Best practices are shown next to a description of the findings in Mozambique. Challenges are highlighted followed by short-, medium-, and long-term recommendations.
Table 6. National Supply Chain Assessment, KPIs (quantitative data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI scores</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rate (historical), central level</td>
<td>51.7% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rate (historical), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
<td>17.0% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rate (day of visit), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
<td>22.0% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan (day of visit), central level</td>
<td>13.9% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan (day of visit), provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
<td>19.5% (Facilities sampled =20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality testing rate, central and provincial levels</td>
<td>85.6%, please note only 62.9% of samples were tested (Number of tests =514)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-to-date stock cards, provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
<td>61.7% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock accuracy, central level</td>
<td>66.2% (Facilities sampled =3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock accuracy, provincial, district, and health facility levels</td>
<td>57.2% (Facilities sampled =103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiry rate, central level</td>
<td>0.4% (Facilities sampled =3, CMAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable storage capacity</td>
<td>34.0% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMIS stock accuracy</td>
<td>85.3% (Facilities sampled =26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-time and complete reporting rate, provincial level</td>
<td>63.6% (Facilities sampled =11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff turnover rate</td>
<td>18.5% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain staff trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition</td>
<td>45.3% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities with at least one staff member trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition</td>
<td>70.8% (Facilities sampled =106)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Up-to-date is defined as stock cards completed up to the date of data collection and that have recorded the most recent receipt of dispersal.

* Sample size parameters are explained in Annex 6.

---

24 Only 20 facilities reported having maximum and minimum stock levels established at these levels.

25 Only 26 sites had functioning LMIS.
The CMM scores for product selection, FASP, and procurement are central level only, as these supply chain functions are conducted only at the central level. The CMM scores for warehousing and inventory management and transportation are an average of all sites at the central, provincial, district, and health facility levels, where each site carries the same weight. The central-level CMM data was collected during meetings convening key stakeholders, where scores were determined as a group. The CMM scores collected for the provincial, district, and health facility levels were assigned by data collectors in key informant interviews during site visits. Scores that were assigned by the group were found to be higher than scores assigned by data collectors during site visits, possibly indicating a self-reporting upward social desirability bias\(^\text{26}\) that implementing the CMM in a large group can produce. Ultimately, the collective decisions by the group are the scores represented in this assessment.

Tracer products and historical data (August through October 2014) periods used for central and lower levels are slightly different due to data availability. As the information system used keeps track of data only by quarter and not monthly, and as the three-month period before the assessment did not correspond to a calendar quarter, project staff had to use performance management plan (PMP) data from two consecutive quarters to ensure that the entire period of the assessment was covered.

The historical stockout rate of 51.7 percent at the central level was taken from the warehouse management system MACS and is comprised of 32 tracers over six months. On the other hand, the historical stockout rate for the provincial, district, and health facility levels corresponds to eight of these 32 tracers and for a three-month period. This means that there were more opportunities for stockouts at the central level when compared with the historical stockout rate of 17 percent at the provincial, district, and health facility levels. Although it would have been ideal to use 32 tracer products at all levels, time constraints and resources allowed only for the comparable data of eight tracer commodities at levels below the central warehouses.

While the historical stockout rate is based on stock cards, the day-of-visit stockout rate of 22 percent for the provincial, district, and health facility levels is based on the physical stock count, and is thus much higher and more comprehensive. On the day of visit, only 82.3 percent of the tracers had stock cards and only 75 percent of those stock cards were up to date. When comparing the percentage of stock cards that were up to date against the total managed tracers, up-to-date stock card performance drops to 61.7 percent.

When comparing the up-to-date stock cards with the day-of-visit stock count, stock card accuracy is 92.6 percent. This means that when stock cards are used, they are being used correctly. However, when comparing the total managed tracers with up-to-date and accurate stock cards, performance drops to 57.2 percent. This means that only 57.2 percent of critical tracer products are being managed using up-to-date and accurate stock cards. Lastly, when comparing the physical stock count at the central level with the MACS system stock accuracy was 66.2 percent.

As would be expected, SIMAM utilization is concentrated at the higher levels of the system with only 26 (11 provincial warehouses, 14 district warehouses, and 1 health unit) facilities reporting use. When comparing the physical count and the stock balance recorded in SIMAM the performance was 85.3%.

\(^{26}\) Social desirability bias: “Social desirability is the tendency of some respondents to report an answer in a way they deem to be more socially acceptable than would be their “true” answer. They do this to project a favorable image of themselves and to avoid receiving negative evaluations. The outcome of the strategy is over reporting of socially desirable behaviors or attitudes and underreporting of socially undesirable behaviors or attitudes. Social desirability is classified as one of the respondent-related sources of error (bias).” <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/encyclopedia-of-survey-research-methods/n537.xml>
As the sample was limited, confidence in the applicability of this indicator beyond the provincial level should be limited.

3.2 Comparison of supply chain performance and maturity

The interplay between performance and maturity is depicted in Figure 8. A score of 60 percent is considered to be qualified to function satisfactorily where processes are standardized and technology is beginning to be introduced. Likewise, 80 percent is considered to be functioning satisfactorily in performance.

Figure 8. Comparison of supply chain capability and performance

3.2.1 Comparison of supply chain performance and maturity across supply chain levels

The maturity of the overall supply chain system is 55.1 percent. If we look at each system level, the maturity of the central level is closer to 60 percent. If we look at each system level, the maturity of the central level is closer to 60 percent. As shown in the costing study conducted as part of the PELF\(^\text{27}\), maturity drops significantly at the provincial, district, and health facility level which are less supported by the MISAU and projects.\(^\text{28}\) The national CMM scores described in Table 5 are broken down across all supply chain levels in Table 7. Note that the central level was self-evaluated while the provincial to health facility levels were assessed by the central level.
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Table 7. CMM scores by supply chain level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional area</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Health facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product selection</td>
<td>70.0%*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecasting and supply planning</td>
<td>63.5%*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement maturity</td>
<td>64.8%*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing and inventory管理</td>
<td>67.6%*</td>
<td>51.2%*</td>
<td>41.5%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>49.1%*</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Central Facilities sampled =1, provincial Facilities sampled =11, district Facilities sampled =22, and health facility Facilities sampled =70

* Red typeface indicates poor maturity with a score of less than 60 percent.

The warehousing and inventory management function shows a steady decline in maturity as we move from the central level down to the health facilities. Transportation appears to be consistently immature across all applicable levels of the supply chain.
3.3 Product selection

**Product selection: CMM scores**

### Capability maturity score
Note that the product selection questionnaire was completed during a workshop with CMAM, where capabilities were scored as a group to build understanding around the tool, scoring, and capability maturity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability maturity score</th>
<th>70.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### KPIs

**Product selection**
The NEML is outdated and no longer relevant to compare with current procurement practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPIs</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Table 8. Product selection capability by enabler**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product selection</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Product selection: Enablers and observations**

**Product selection**
Product selection is a critical process that aligns STGs with products procured. This should be a flexible process that can be easily adapted as treatment protocols or patient demand change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabler</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Process and tools        | Key components supporting effective product selection activities include an NEML and government-approved STGs, which actively drive cost-effective treatment, procurement, and related SCM decisions. The NEML is aligned with STGs. The NEML is systematically reviewed by a national formulary group, and STGs by a national guidelines committee (subcommittees of the national drug and therapeutics committee). Guideline updates are officially disseminated to all program stakeholders, including supply chain managers. | National Essential Medicines List  
  - Updated in 2009, published and disseminated in 2010, and currently being updated. No updates, additions, or reviews were made to this list since the first edition.  
  
  National STGs  
  - Exist for key public health programs and diseases. HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria are periodically reviewed (3–5 years). |
An oversight board or committee manages adherence to STGs. The NEML is essential to inform appropriate product selection planning.

The development and execution of priority program strategies (e.g., for the National Malaria Control Program, National AIDS Program, or National Tuberculosis Program) are key components of procurement planning, and therefore product selection, for the specified programs.

Each health program strategy includes strategies for the near, medium and long term (five or more years). Each program strategy includes a methodology to monitor and evaluate progress toward achieving program goals.

### NEML and STGs
- Are used to inform the product selection for the essential drugs, to include essential medicines, ARVs, TB, etc.

### Pharmacovigilance
- Mozambique is developing a pharmacovigilance system.

### Quality control testing
- Mozambique has a National Laboratory for Drug Quality Control

### Supplier pre-registration
- National Drug Regulatory Authority (NDRA) has a drug and health products registration policy that is operational.
- CMAM is implementing a pre-registration and pre-qualification policy for suppliers.

### Risk statement:
The projects are not involved in product selection so this area does not represent a current risk. The departure of both projects will not impact product selection. While product selection indicates a higher maturity, KPI data was not available for comparison. For further evaluation, access to procurement data is necessary.

### Key Challenges and Recommendations

**Description of key challenge**

- The NEML edition was developed and published in 2010. Most products remain current and aligned with the most recent update of the WHO EML, but with an aging EML, some critical items are missing and some products are outdated. The list includes medications for cardiology diseases, some antibiotics, oncological drugs, HIV/AIDS drugs, and malaria drugs. The goal was to review the EML every two years and make addenda or corrections whenever needed, but the list has not been revisited since it was published. It is critical that the list be updated with the correct product selection every two years and that additions be made, when needed, to align with the STG update cycles.

- Many key health programs have outdated STGs, since no mandatory periodic review is in place. The HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria programs are good examples and have been updated periodically and recently. Many other diseases do not have comprehensive STGs or if they do have them, they may be outdated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short-term intervention</th>
<th>Medium-term intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processes and tools</strong></td>
<td><strong>Processes and tools</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Finalize the NEML update process and ensure that the document is aligned with the most updated STGs for key diseases. Disseminate nationwide.</td>
<td>• Streamline and update the approval process within MISAU to ensure that documents are updated according to MISAU policies to include the TORs and guidelines for the TWGs to update STGs and EMLs. The NEML should be reviewed, updated and published every two to three years and the STGs every three to five years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that the updated Mozambique National Formulary of Essential Drugs includes all products mentioned in the NEML and STGs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Define the priority of each item within the NEML to be in “full supply” according to the VEN list, as some medicines are more essential than others.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Make sure that all three documents include product strengths and formulations that are expected to be procured/needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Forecasting and Supply Planning

**Forecasting and supply planning: CMM and KPI scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability maturity score</th>
<th>63.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note that the forecasting and supply planning questionnaire was completed during a workshop with CMAM, where capabilities were scored as a group to build understanding around the tool, scoring, and capability maturity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KPIs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecast accuracy</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Required data was not available to generate a holistic forecast accuracy for all products.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 9. Forecasting and supply planning capability by enabler**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasting and supply planning</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information system</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Forecasting and supply planning: enablers and observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabler</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forecasting</strong></td>
<td>By forecasting consumption, public health agencies can estimate health commodity demand, mobilize resources, and better inform budgetary activities. The supply plan takes into account commodity stock levels, procurement lead times, and products in the supply pipeline. Public health agencies can align supply plans with consumption forecasts to develop an action plan for managing procurements and shipments.</td>
<td><strong>Forecasting</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Key health commodities, such as HIV, malaria, TB, and essential drugs, have an annual forecast, covering a period from two to five years.&lt;br&gt;• Key assumptions are somewhat documented and summarized in a report. Full forecast replication remains a challenge.&lt;br&gt;• When updated data or assumptions are made available, forecasting amendments and different scenarios are produced with significant technical assistance from the projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supply planning</strong>&lt;br&gt;CMM score: 60.0%</td>
<td>A forecast identifies the quantities of priority health products needed for a “full supply” and is prepared annually to cover a 24-month period. All assumptions made when developing a forecast are documented. This allows the forecast to be replicated and justified, and facilitates subsequent amendments using updated data or assumptions. A supply plan documents a schedule of shipments needed to 1) ensure adequate stocks are available to meet a consumption forecast and 2) maintain continuity of supply to the distribution system. Supply plans cover an 18-month period and are updated quarterly.</td>
<td><strong>Supply planning</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Key health commodities have a supply plan in place to ensure adequate stocks and provide early warning if risks to full supply are identified.&lt;br&gt;• Supply plans are updated quarterly with available data for a period up to 36 months and with significant technical assistance from the projects.&lt;br&gt;• A financial gap analysis is produced based on shipments with no donor identified.&lt;br&gt;• Gap analysis is used to mobilize financial resources to key products and ensure long-time product security.&lt;br&gt;• A long-term strategy for product security has not been developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information systems</td>
<td>Effective forecasting and supply planning are heavily dependent on reliable prevalence, incidence, stock, and regimen data. Best practice requires effective recording, reporting, collection, review, and analysis of this data as needed to improve forecasting and supply planning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information systems CMM score: 70.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic planning and oversight</td>
<td>Forecasting and supply planning are led by an entity within MISAU that has clearly defined responsibility for oversight. MISAU has full ownership of the forecasting and supply planning process and results.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic planning and oversight CMM score: 60.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regimen and consumption data is available only for ARVs. Obtaining reliable, high-quality data for all areas has been a challenge. With SIMAM implementation and operationalization, stock and distribution data up to the district level is now available due in part to significant assistance from the projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With significant technical assistance from the projects, data is reviewed and adjusted to produce the forecast and supply plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic planning and oversight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MISAU assigned the technical working group (TWG) to lead, be responsible for, and oversee forecasting and supply planning activities and conduct a financial gap analysis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TWG is not functional due to the inability of Grupo Tecnico de Medicamentos, the medications technical group, to function properly. The skills and capacity to take full ownership of the forecasting and supply planning process and results are lacking.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Staff turnover in forecasting and supply planning is a challenge to ownership due to the loss of institutional memory, even with written SOPs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The SCMS project is making the updates to the supply plans for HIV/AIDS and Malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Human resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMM score: 80.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The forecasting and supply planning role is formally recognized as part of the organization chart within the MISAU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job descriptions that encompass core competencies for SCM specifically relate to developing, monitoring, and updating forecasts and supply plans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Human resources

- Planning and quantification team roles are formally recognized and part of the organization chart within the CMAM.
- TORs for the Planning and Quantification department have been developed but are missing individual job descriptions that encompass core competencies for SCM and specifically relate to developing, monitoring, and updating forecasts and supply plans.
- A new team has been assigned to the Planning and Quantification department.
- The SCMS project leads the quantification for HIV AIDS and Malaria.

### Risk statement:
When SCMS assistance ends in June 2016, and unless current gaps are covered by additional MISAU staff, continuing partner support, or outsourcing of more supply chain functions, forecasting and supply planning activities run the following risks:

- CMAM’s quantification team experienced major changes in 2014: a new manager was appointed and new staff was hired. The new quantification team members are fresh out of university, with limited knowledge of health programs, MISAU policies, roles and rules, and the complex needs of key funding agencies and donors. Current quantification team members do not have the skills and experience in the methodology and the MIS tools to consistently develop and maintain a relatively complex forecast and produce reports. By the time the projects end, the quantification team will not be ready to manage these tasks without external assistance, and the capability currently present will decrease.

- Because of their limited experience and knowledge, CMAM’s quantification team has not been able to keep up with core team priorities and with the continuously growing need to collect and aggregate good-quality and timely data with SIMAM LMIS and Central Tool data aggregation systems. Although SCMS has been training quantification team members in basic Excel and Pipeline and they are starting to master quantification tools and resources, they still rely heavily on SCMS. This functional area currently receives significant project technical and operational support. For example, SCMS is often asked to analyze large quantities of data produced by the LMIS and other information systems and to lead the development of complex models to fulfill the growing requirements of donors such as the World Bank, Global Fund, and PEPFAR. Without external technical assistance after June 2016, the capability currently present will decrease.
## Key Challenges and Recommendations

### Description of key challenges

- Electronic data integration across the different LMIS databases must be maintained, with a key focus on product description and coding integrity to allow data integration across tools until a fully functional ERP is in place (long-term plan).
- Forecast accuracy must be measured and continuous improvement processes integrated into the forecast and supply planning exercises. (To improve efficiency and quality, systematically implement small, incremental changes in processes.)
- High-quality, timely, complete, and systematically validated data is needed, reported through electronic integrated systems. CMAM staff can master the information and tools to produce high-quality forecast and supply planning exercises.
- CMAM needs a supply chain team, with job descriptions that encompass core competencies for SCM, specifically for developing, monitoring, and updating forecasts and supply plans.
- Country ownership of forecasting and supply planning is needed, with exercises led by an entity within the MISAU that has clearly defined responsibility for oversight.
- A functional performance management system is needed for forecast and supply planning with established KPIs for processes and benchmarked periodically among other CMAM departments.
- With limited visibility in forecast accuracy from previous years, improvement in supply planning cannot be shown. Additionally, procurement and consumption data availability is needed to complete the associated KPIs and their respective trends.

### Short-term intervention | Medium-term intervention | Long-term intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process and tools</th>
<th>Process and tools</th>
<th>Process and tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review existing SOPs and ensure that processes are addressed. Include measurement methods in the quantification SOPs and begin measuring forecast accuracy regularly for key health products.</td>
<td>Include quantification process routine root cause analysis exercises to explain discrepancies between forecast and actual consumption.</td>
<td>Consider findings from root cause analysis of discrepancies during quantification exercises and ensure that forecast accuracy is measure in every update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarify and implement procedures for basic data capture and reporting.</td>
<td>Develop and enforce a policy for implementing and managing the SOPs process and assign a person to be responsible for policy management.</td>
<td>Establish KPIs for forecasting and supply planning process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that a forecast is developed within a TWG through a collaborative exercise that includes all partners involved in the product/program supply chain and those responsible for commodity funding decisions.</td>
<td>Mandate the forecast and supply plan team to read SOPs and document their compliance.</td>
<td>Ensure the forecast is led by an entity within the MISAU that is clearly defined as responsible for the activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deploy an informal (qualitative) measurement system to measure efficiencies throughout</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure that KPIs are measured and generated each month and benchmarked among CMAM departments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HR**

- Ensure that MISAU has full ownership of the process and results of the forecast and that senior CMAM staff lead the process with technical

**HR**

- Develop and implement HR retention policy for CMAM
forecasting and supply planning.

**HR**
- Train all CMAM staff in how to use forecast and supply-planning tools.

**MIS**
- Finalize the development and electronic LMIS data collection (Central Tool) from other electronic LMIS databases, including SIMAM, Sistema de Informação de Procura (SIP, the procurement information system), and MACS.
- Maintain the integrity of product description and/or pricing information across LMIS databases.
- Ensure that computers have suitable software installed and operating for forecast and supply planning.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- In the context of CMAM taking over CA medical supplies, assess the impact of high-bulk items on storage capacity need.
- Ensure the MISAU takes ownership of and a more active role in forecast and supply planning oversight, intensifying the participation of and collaboration with MISAU staff in the whole process as a way to strengthen their capacity.
- Integrate the appropriate forecasting adjustments to account for the transition of assistance as needed
- Ensure that key managers are empowered and understand and use information to streamline processes and improve performance.
- Deploy a formal (quantitative) measurement of process efficiencies linked to validated data.
- Designate full-time staff to complete forecast and supply chain activities, avoiding addition to other supply chain roles within the institution.
- Train and develop the team in core competencies for SCM and forecast and supply planning.
- Ensure that all CMAM staff are trained and know how to harvest from the different sources the key data and inputs into the forecast and supply planning tool.
- Guarantee that CMAM staff positions, designated in the organizational structure, are partially filled and the available staff have the competencies required to effectively complete forecast and supply planning activities.

**MIS**
- Develop real-time national LMIS data availability in a format that integrates with forecast and supply planning tools in the ERP functionality.
- Integrate the advanced forecasting tool with LMIS and ERP procurement and supply planning functionality; make sure forecast and supply planning data is visible to all managers in real time.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Ensure that the forecast and supply planning process is a collaborative exercise, fully led by MISAU, which includes all local partners involved in the supply chain of the product/program and those responsible for commodity funding decisions.
3.5 Procurement

Procurement: CMM and KPI scores

Capability maturity score
Note that the procurement questionnaire was completed during a workshop with CMAM, where participants completed the questionnaire on their own, self-assessing the capacity of the health supply chain and agreeing on the scores.

KPIs
The evaluation team was unable to collect procurement-related KPIs. Therefore, understanding around procurement performance is limited to quality testing.

Quality testing
This indicator measures the percentage of product samples (post-market) tested by the quality laboratory that meet the established standards.

The NMQCL is responsible for implementing the quality monitoring system. Samples are collected from sites (central, provincial, and district levels) according to a sampling plan that considers climate, warehouse storage, and history of previous quality problems.

Since the laboratory is not WHO pre-qualified or ISO 17025 accredited, samples of GF-funded medicines are outsourced to accredited foreign laboratories in Kenya, Vietnam, and Uruguay.

SCMS manages the testing of medications procured with USAID/PEPFAR funds in accredited laboratories and following random sampling procedures. Samples are obtained upon shipment arrival at the CMS. Results are shared with the CMS.

Please note that the quality testing KPI does not have a 90 percent confidence interval.

Procurement data was not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10. Procurement capability by enabler</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement maturity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Due to lack of access to procurement data, procurement performance is not adequately represented. Quality testing is the only key performance indicator available. The post-market quality testing pass rate of 85.6 percent represents only 62.9 percent of the samples collected at the central and provincial levels for testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement: enablers and observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procurement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement strategies must strike a complex balance between ensuring that the right quantities of quality health commodities are available to patients and that excess stock is not accumulated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement for the public health supply chain should be limited to the National Essential Drugs List.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enabler</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMM score: 60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Management information systems | A procurement MIS is used to track requests for proposals released, purchase orders/contracts awarded, orders received, and vendor payments made. 
MIS provides a platform for monitoring vendor performance. 
A record management system with SOPs and regular internal and external audits ensures proper documentation is maintained. | The SIP was recently launched and is still not fully functional. It will track, at the product-line level, the list established by the planning department through the whole procurement process: tendering, contracting, key shipment milestones, goods reception, and payment approval. For donations the tracking starts with the price quotes issued by the donors. 
*Sistema de Seguimento das Importações* (SSI, the imports tracking system) is being developed and will be launched in 2015. It will track, at the shipment level, the importation and customs clearance process (32 documents and milestones) from the receipt of the pro forma invoice to the receipt of the proof of delivery (POD). Key milestones from the SSI will be used to update the SIP. 
The SIP will monitor different vendor performance indicators such as on-time delivery of contract documents, products, and services. Still, SIP and SSI implementation depend heavily on external partner efforts. 
Internal control SOPs are currently being developed. External audits comply with donor requirements and MISAU regulations. |
| Strategic planning and oversight | In the procurement process, internal controls are a key part of transparency. This includes formal separation between the purchase order approval and the payment approval with clearly defined, enforced, and audited control mechanisms to oversee the procurement function. In addition to internal controls, an ethics and anticorruption training program adequately addresses the requirements for dealing with transparent procurement process. 
An independent vendor appeals mechanism is available, and regular audits are conducted by external procurement-trained auditors. Auditors’ documented recommendations are followed up on, leading to continual improvement. | Procurement and internal control are clearly segregated. However, the procurement department approves orders and also approves payments, which is an evident conflict of interest. 
Donors’ procurement auditors regularly perform external audits. Also, one of the requirements of the GF is that the MISAU must have an annual external procurement audit. Nonetheless, follow-up and implementation of recommendations remain a challenge. |
Human resources
CMM score: 80.0%

Job descriptions are defined by their primary responsibilities, including developing tenders, receiving vendor proposals, managing tender evaluation committees, writing contracts/purchase orders, developing supply plans, and managing vendors.

The department and each team member have TORs. Four teams are currently being implemented: 1) tender and contract management, 2) shipment tracking/importation/customs clearance, goods reception, 3) payment approval, and 4) process analysis. It is estimated that it will take at least one year for teams to be fully implemented and operational.

Risk statement:
When SCMS assistance ends in June 2016, and unless current gaps are covered by additional MISAU staff, continuing partner support, or outsourcing of more supply chain functions, procurement activities run the following risks:

- The SIP may not be used to its full potential after the project ends. The system, currently supported by Deloitte-FORSSAS Project, is still not fully functional and may not be finished before the end of the project in June 2016.

- Even if the SIP is ready, there may not be time to train staff in the SIP SOPs and this may also affect how or if the SIP is used. At the present time, CMAM staff tends to rely more heavily on SCMS staff instead of on SIP technical support to run the program. If the SIP is not used, or not used to its full potential, the procurement process time will not continue to improve and procurement data visibility will be affected.

- The SSI, developed with technical assistance from SCMS, may not be used to its full potential. Although staff has been trained in its use, they still require a lot of technical assistance before they can confidently run it on their own. If it is not used, the timeliness and predictability of the importation and customs clearing process will not improve.

Key Challenges and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of key challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Public Procurement law does not take into account specificity of pharmaceuticals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of and skills in procurement are lacking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific information technology tools are lacking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The import process is slow, and pre-shipment inspection brings no added value in quality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short-term intervention</th>
<th>Medium-term intervention</th>
<th>Long-term intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>Process and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Focus on and procure only a limited number of products from the National Essential Drugs List.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Update procurement SOPs regularly, train staff, and ensure SOPs are followed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Use the TOR written for each</td>
<td>- Implement a fast-track process for donations from organizations with strong quality procedures or for medicines from countries with highly regulated authorities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop and implement a supplier performance</td>
<td>- MIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Update and implement SOPs according to modified national Public Procurement law |
- Support implementation and daily use of the information |
role in the department to ensure that the right people are in the right positions.

- Increase CMAM payment capacity to speed up customs clearance.
- Improve communications between warehouse and procurement teams. Organize systematic transmission of information on pending shipments. Ensure that POD documents are submitted to the procurement team on time.

**MIS**
- Finalize the development and/or implementation of SIP, the procurement information system, and SSI, the importation follow-up system.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Make the concerned ministries aware of the limitations of the current Public Procurement law.
- Make the MISAU aware of the ineffectiveness of pre-shipment inspections for donations from organizations with strong quality procedures or for medicines from countries with highly regulated authorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HR:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that CMAM staff positions designated in organizational structure are all filled by staff with strong SCM core competencies, specifically for procurement monitoring system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure IT tools are routinely used.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Develop and implement HR retention policy.

management systems (SIP, SIF)
## 3.6 Warehousing and Inventory Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warehousing and inventory management: CMM and KPI scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capability maturity score</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracers with stock cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-to-date stock cards in stock cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rate (historical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29 In addition to the standard definition a constant denominator of 2,684 was applied to two KPIs: up-to-date stock cards and stock accuracy. The number 2,684 represents the total number of tracers managed across all sampled facilities.
Stockout rate (day-of-visit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>Provincial: 10.8% (Facilities sampled =11)</th>
<th>District: 14.4% (Facilities sampled =22)</th>
<th>Health facility: 26.4% (Facilities sampled =70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Expiry rate (quantity, central level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>0.4% (Facilities sampled =3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Acceptable storage capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>34.0% (Facilities sampled =106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

LMIS stock accuracy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>85.3% (Facilities sampled =26)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

On-time and complete reporting rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>63.6% (Facilities sampled =11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Staff turnover rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>18.5% (Facilities sampled =106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Facilities with at least one staff member trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd Edition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>70.8% (Facilities sampled =106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* Only 26 facilities answered yes for using SIMAM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warehousing and inventory management</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information system</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Warehousing and inventory management capability by enabler

An analysis of the KPIs for warehousing and inventory management show challenges related to stock accuracy, stocked according to plan, expiry rates and human resources. First, electronic stock accuracy is limited, as only 26 of the below-central-level sample facilities reported using the logistics management information system SIMAM at the provincial (11), district (14), and health facility levels (1). SIMAM is not yet widespread. Of those 26 facilities that are using SIMAM, 85.3 percent are using it correctly. At the provincial level, all sites use SIMAM, and it is being used correctly by 93.5 percent of facilities. The on-time and complete reporting rate of 63.6 percent is limited to the provincial level reporting to the central level, which are the levels the projects support.
Second, stocked according to plan is 13.9 percent at the central level and 19.5 percent at the provincial, district, and health facility levels. Only 20 facilities at the provincial (5), district (7), and health facility (8) levels even reported having established maximum and minimum stock levels. This means that the rest of the sites included in the sample were not following the policies and practices outlined within the supply chain SOPs. With only 61.7 percent of tracers managed with up-to-date stock cards, determining an accurate average monthly consumption to use this best practice would be difficult. This may be due to the possible incorrect application of the SOPs training. Also, there is no way to ensure that follow-up, refresher, or on-the-job training is taking place, as this is outside the scope of this assessment and an area for further investigation.

Third, the current expiry rate at the central level is 0.4 percent for the period of January–October 2014. This rate may be low due to SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT’s support to the central level, particularly in supporting the first to expire, first out (FEFO) system of stock management. SCMS also monitors this metric in its performance management plan. This finding is particularly good, considering that before SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT supported the day-to-day operations of the central level, the expiry rate was unknown and led to a large destruction of expired products in 2012, when the projects started to assist the central level. Another possible explanation is that product is being pushed down the supply chain, collected, and destroyed at the district level. In support of this conclusion, only 34 percent of facilities reported having adequate storage capacity. The remaining facilities are over 80 percent full, compromising appropriate use of pallets, shelves, and gangways.

Human resources appear to be performing well with a low staff turnover at 18.5 percent. This low rate of staff turnover can be partially attributed to the seconded employees from USAID | DELIVER PROJECT and SCMS and other partners, which support between 34 percent and 5 percent of the CMAM positions, or about 17 percent of the warehouse staff positions within the national system (see Table 1). Due to the high proportion of seconded staff, the current staffing allotment may be inadequate to fully meet demand.

Each facility is required to have at least one supply chain staff member trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition. Currently, 70.8 percent of facilities have at least one staff member trained; however, only 45.3 percent of all supply chain staff have received this training. It is unknown whether having one staff member trained led to dissemination and adoption of the procedures across all supply chain staff within the facility.
# Warehousing and inventory management: enablers and observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabler</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Process and tools**<br>CMM score: 40.1% | Good inventory management practices are maintained and consistently applied, such as:  
- Rotating stock each time it is shelved to follow the FEFO rule for avoiding expiries  
- Having order pickup and checks conducted by separate parties  
- Conducting routine stock counts  
- Establishing quarantine areas | The maturity of warehousing and inventory management is low but central-level performance is high due to project staff actively overseeing the management of central-level warehouses. |
| **Central** | Each supply chain level has an established minimum and maximum months of stock. The resupply to maintain these levels is systematically calculated by the forecasting and supply planning procurement units or the WMS based on a programmed formula for each level. | | |
| Warehouse or storage facilities conduct wall-to-wall inventory counts at least once a year. Daily or monthly cycle counts by classification are conducted. Adjustments are reconciled in the WMS or appropriate software. Then, discrepancies are investigated and causes documented. Ideally, these adjustments are linked to finance to make any adjustments to the facilities’ financial tracking. | | |
| SOPs outline processes for each warehouse department and inventory management operation. They are managed by a central unit, rolled out to employees for training, reviewed at least | | |
| **Provincial** | Stock rotation is applied and FEFO rules are usually followed but some | | |

To ensure the quality of pharmaceutical products, commodities need to be kept under acceptable pharmaceutical warehousing and storage conditions. Warehouses should be designed to enable efficient operations, SOPs implemented, and regular maintenance schedules established.

Inventory management is essential for ensuring optimal inventory levels, balancing replenishment lead times with space management, providing order visibility, and aligning distribution strategies. SOPs are the foundation of effective and efficient operations.

In a mature system, shipment confirmations include items and quantities fulfilled and are automatically emailed from the warehouse management system (WMS).

Ideally, these adjustments are linked to finance to make any adjustments to the facilities’ financial tracking.

SOPs are not regularly updated. Warehouse staff have been trained in basic warehouse SOPs, but they need refresher training and reminders to reinforce correct operational procedures.
annually, updated when functionality changes, and filed in a central location.

- Provincial warehouses are full.
  - Routine stock counts of certain tracers are done for KPI collection, but no cycle counting SOPs or regular cycle counting is taking place.
  - Staff conduct quarterly physical inventories for essential drugs and monthly physical inventories for ARVs and rapid tests. Sometimes this process takes long because of staff shortages.
  - Stock discrepancies are updated in the system but often are not investigated. There is no link with the financial system.
  - All expired product is moved to the quarantine area and regularly destroyed.
  - Warehouse SOPs were updated in 2012 and staff trained. SOPs are not regularly updated.

**District**
- Stock rotation is usually applied, but some district warehouses are full and FEFO rules are not followed.
- Routine stock counts of certain tracers are done for KPI collection, but no cycle counting SOPs or regular cycle counting is taking place.
- Staff conduct monthly physical inventories for essential drugs, ARVs, and rapid tests. Sometimes this process takes a long time because of staff shortages.
- Stock discrepancies are updated in the system but often not investigated. There is no link with the financial system.
- In several districts, expired products are removed and kept in a separate box for expired products. However, the expired product boxes are stored in the same warehouse zone as product in use.
- Warehouse SOPs were updated in 2012 and staff trained.

**Health facility**
- Stock rotation is usually applied and FEFO rules are followed.
- Routine stock counts of certain tracers are done for KPI collection but no cycle counting SOPs or regular cycle
counting is taking place.
- Staff conduct monthly physical inventories for essential drugs, ARVs, and rapid tests. Sometimes this process takes longer because of staff shortages.
- Stock discrepancies are updated in the system but often are not investigated. There is no link with the financial system.
- All expired products are removed and kept in their box, stored in the same warehouse zone as product in use, and later sent to the district level.
- Warehouse SOPs were updated in 2012 and staff trained.

**Comparison of KPI indicators across supply chain levels:**

To effectively compare KPIs across the supply chain, a subset of the total KPIs was collected at all supply chain levels. KPIs removed from this analysis were quality-testing rates, expiry rates, and on-time and complete reporting rates, as they are captured at specific levels within the supply chain and not across all levels of the supply chain.

Figure 9 compares KPIs across the four supply chain levels: central, provincial, district, and health facility.
Figure 9. Comparison of KPI scores across all supply chain levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the Procedures Manual, 3rd edition training N=3</td>
<td>% of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the Procedures Manual, 3rd edition training N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical stock availability rate N=3</td>
<td>Historical stock availability rate N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity N=3</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan rate N=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan N=3</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan rate N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff retention rate N=3</td>
<td>Staff retention rate N=11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.5%</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Note: Red to green indicates performance is increasing from low to high.
Note that KPI scores for stock availability rate and staff retention have been inverted for the purpose of this diagram. Performance as described by the KPIs collected was higher than expected because all KPIs are shown as positive in Figure 9.

Stock availability rates at the central level, stocked according to plan, and percentage of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity are a challenge. Stockouts are a problem across the supply chain and particularly in lower levels, where supply chain technical assistance is not available and where transportation presents challenges.

Comparing performance across supply chain levels finds that the district level reflects lower performance in stocked-according-to-plan rate, stock accuracy, percent of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity, and staff retention rate. In the last year, staff turnover rate averaged 18.5 percent, with district-level turnover rates being the highest due to staff leaving for more urban areas. Staff in the district and health facility levels are usually nurses or pharmacists who have many primary responsibilities other than supply chain management (SCM). They are also usually not trained in SCM functions yet are expected to perform tasks such as completing requisition forms and managing inventory.

Overarching KPI stock management analysis across supply chain levels:

Pulling from the day-of-visit commodity stock data captured during the assessment, Figure 10 dissects stockout rate by product type, providing insight into the composition of stockouts by facility level.

Figure 10. Product category breakdown of stockouts experienced at each facility level during day of visit

Facilities sampled = 103.

Note: Only the larger facilities (health center) carry TB products.
Stockout rates on the day of visit were greater at the lower levels of the supply chain and across most product areas except for ARVs, which are delivered by the provincial-level facilities directly to the health facilities. Lower-level stockout rates may be due to the practice of equitable disbursement, where warehouses collect and equitably divide resupply orders, regardless of the amounts requested in the requisition forms. Also, many health facilities do not fill out and submit requisition forms or fill them out incorrectly, which prevents district-level facilities from distributing adequate amounts of products. Lastly, even when health facilities do submit requisition forms, districts often lack resources to transport the product to the health facilities, relying on clinical partners to transport or on health facility staff to pick up.

Note that the TB program is a vertical program, run by the National TB Program and funded by the Global Fund. The fact that this product category has the highest incidence of stockouts at the facility level could be because it has not received the same amount of focused technical assistance from the supply chain partners that other product categories have received.

Pulling from historical tracer commodity stock data captured during the assessment, Figure 11 dissects stockout rate by product type, providing insight into stockout composition by facility type.

**Figure 11. Product category breakdown of stockouts experienced at each facility level during the historical period (August–October 2014)**

![Diagram showing product category breakdown of stockouts](image)

*Facilities sampled =103.

Comparing Figure 10 with Figure 11 shows that only eight of 32 total tracers were collected historically, increasing the opportunity for stockouts on the day of visit, rather than historically. Across most product areas, stockout rates increased down the supply chain, except for malaria tests, where a higher stockout rate was seen at the provincial level when compared with the district level. This higher stockout rate may be because most of the rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) are distributed...
as kits and counted as such (not as RDTs) at the provincial level. Also, three of 11 provinces experienced a stockout of malaria test kits, which equates to a 27.3 percent facility stockout rate at the provincial level. Health units also have RDTs as part of their kits and do not report each of the products (such as RDTs) included in the kits. Higher stockout rates for ARVs and family planning were seen at the district level when compared with the health facility level. This is because ARVs are delivered by the provinces directly to the health facilities, bypassing the district level. ARVs are not part of district-level inventories. Lastly, given that family planning methods are full supply, they may not be given the same priority as some other products whose supply levels are currently experiencing challenges.

While these figures showed historical data for August through October 2014, Figure 12 shows stockout levels on the day of visit at the provincial, district, and health facility levels for each HIV/AIDS and TB product on the tracer list.

**Figure 12. Percent of HIV/AIDS tracers stocked out day of visit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Stockout Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicline 150mg, Isoniazide 75mg, Pyrazinamide 400mg, Ethambutol 275mg (4DFC), carteira pack</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIGOLD HIV-1/2, test</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine HIV-1/2, test</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir (TDF)+ Lamivudine (3TC)+ Efavirenz (EFV) 300/300/600mg, tabs</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine 200mg, capsule, bottle of 60 capsules</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Zidovudine (AZT) + Nevirapine (NVP) 150/300/200mg, tabs</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Nevirapine (NVP) + Zidovudine (AZT), 30/50/60mg, 60 tabs</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Facilities sampled =103 (Facilities sampled = 75 for Nevirapine. During the assessment a regimen change was occurring and not all sites carry this product.)

Note: Central level data not captured here.

Stockout levels of HIV/AIDS tests appear high; it is unknown whether the practice of pushing product to lower levels of the system has led to reduced buffer stock or other constraints that limit stock levels. This should be investigated in future HIV/AIDS test stock assessments.

Further analysis of the stockouts by tracer commodity identified a significant stockout of Nevirapine 200mg, used mostly in prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT), at 46.7 percent. Many more sites manage Nevirapine than other tracers because it is the main course of treatment in...
PMTCT-only sites, increasing the opportunity for stockouts. However, transitioning to Option B or B+ will greatly diminish the need for Nevirapine in these sites.

UNIGOLD stockouts were due to several reasons:
- product was held an expired – The UNIGOLD sent to Niassa had a remaining short shelf life and expired before it could be distributed. The DPM opted then not to register it in the system.
- swapping of product – Beira Central Warehouse swapped UNIGOLD shipments between Sofala and Zambezia provinces, which resulted in a stockout in Zambezia.
- receiving less than requested – the Zambezia Province received less than requested.

Figure 13 shows stockout rates on the day of visit at the provincial, district, and health facility levels for two groups of selected tracer commodities: family planning and essential medicines.

**Figure 13. Percent of essential medicines tracers stocked out day of visit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Medicine</th>
<th>Family Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microlut, carteira pack</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medroxiprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera) 150mg/1ml, vial</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male condoms, piece</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel 150/Ethinylestradiol 300MCG (Microgynon),…</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-uterine device (IUD), piece</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, 20mg, tabs, box of 10 blisters or 10 tablets</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol susp. 1g, bottle of 100 mls</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol 500 mg tabs</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin, 5UI/ml, ampule</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misoprostol 200 micro-g, tabs</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium sulphate 10% 100mg/ml, ampule of 40ml</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 480mg, tabs</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 240mg/5ml suspension, bottle</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin 500 mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin 500mg, capsule</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin 250/5ml, powder for oral suspension, 100ml vial</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Facilities sampled =103.

Stockouts are higher for tracers not receiving SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT support such as zinc, paracetamol suspension, amoxicillin, magnesium sulphate, misoprostol, and ampicillin, as shown in Figure 13. Many of these products have multiple uses and are in higher demand than other products that are not used as much. Male condoms are bulky and more difficult to transport and store in lower-level facilities, where storage space is limited.
Figure 14 shows stockout rates on day of visit at the provincial, district, and health facility levels for malaria products on the tracer commodity list. With the exception of Quinine, the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT procures and distributes all of the malaria products in Figure 14.

Figure 14. Percent of malaria tracers stocked out day of visit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malaria</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Stockout Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malaria rapid testing device, test</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfadoxine + Pyremethamine (SP) 500mg+25mg, tabs</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinine 600mg/2ml inj. ampoules</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinine 300 mg tabs</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artesunate 60mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL 4x6, carteira of 24 tabs</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL 3x6, carteira pack of 18 tabs</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL 2x6, carteira pack of 12 tabs</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AL 1x6, carteira pack of 6 tabs</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Facilities sampled = 103.

Two of the highest stockout rates are due to a worldwide stockout of quinine tabs and ampoules. Quinine injectable and ampoules are not supported by the projects; they were both procured by GOM. While the stockout levels for AL 3x6 are troubling, for there to be stockouts of AL there have to be stockouts of all four presentations (1x6, 2x6, 3x6, and 4x6). Since any presentation can be used on any patient due to dose uniformity within the drugs, the difference in presentation is simply quantity of pills within the box. Of the 103 sites and 70 health units sampled, only four were entirely stocked out of all AL commodities on the day of visit, making them unable to treat any malaria patients. Note that 68 of 70 sites manage AL 2x6, carteira pack of 12 tabs.
An in-depth analysis was undertaken to understand average months of stock on hand by facility type and by product category. Products that either did not have a stock card, or products where stock on hand was not available to be captured for the three-month period, do not have average month stock identified in Figure 15. This data shows current inventory management of essential medicines, tuberculosis, and health facility ARVs requires increased attention and technical support. Although facilities are expected to maintain a maximum of two months of stock (MOS) and a minimum of one MOS across all product categories, they often do not. Health centers and health posts are not maintaining the two-month maximum MOS, which would imply a reliance on the scheduled monthly resupply. As mentioned earlier, resupply, particularly in the lower levels, is affected by the practice of equitable disbursement, which does not necessarily correspond with the amounts requested in the requisition forms. Along with the lack of submission of requisition forms or the submission of incomplete or incorrect requisition forms, this leads lower-level facilities to have less
stock than needed. Lower-level facilities such as health posts and health facilities receive malaria tests through two routes: as part of the equitable disbursement mechanism and as part of the malaria kits. This sometimes results in stock-on-hand levels being higher than for other products.

At the same time, hospital stock levels are exceeding the two-month maximum at three MOS, most likely for two reasons. First, because of equitable disbursement practices, district-level facilities tend to prioritize distribution to hospitals over health facilities and health posts because of their high patient volume. Second, because of insufficient training, hospital staff may lack the skills to complete requisition forms correctly. Or, the staff completing the forms may not be the same as those who have completed the SOP 3rd edition training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabler</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management information systems</td>
<td>To successfully set the stage for good warehousing practices, an organized product tracking system is vital.</td>
<td>Monthly reporting practices appear to be standardized at the lower levels, with 97.1 percent of the health facility level and 100 percent of the district level reporting monthly, while only 63.6 percent of the provincial level reported monthly. Over 90 percent of facilities are sending reports to the Depósito, defined as reporting to the Depósito Distrital de Medicamentos (DDMs), which are reporting to the DPMs, and the DPMs, which are reporting to CMAM. Reporting mechanisms are consistently applied across the supply chain. Use of stock-keeping records for tracer products is low and presumably lower for unsupported products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMM score: 35.5%</td>
<td>At a central level, as products are received in a warehouse or storage facility, the best practice is to enter the data using software or a warehouse management system against a purchase order or shipping notification. At lower-level facilities, all items are tracked in software or at a minimum in an organized stock card or stock ledger system. Items received are validated against packing slips to ensure all products documented are indeed received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In mature health systems where technical infrastructure is available and the warehouse is fully automated, the WMS is connected to an ERP system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Central
- CMAM uses WMS software.
- Usually, the warehouse is unaware of arriving product.
- Due to large quantities arriving at the same time and staff shortages, products remain in quarantine for longer than advisable.
- When under pressure, activities are often not carried out according to existing warehouse SOPs or according to FEFO rules.
- The WMS is not connected to an ERP. SCMS is currently developing a link between WMS and procurement software as well as to a central database (Central Tool), which combines all stock/distribution data/information and master data.

Provincial
- All provincial warehouses have SIMAM as the main tool for product
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Central</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CMM score:</strong> 34.3%</td>
<td>The central-level warehouses have adjustable pallet racking systems, but often items (particularly those that are bulky or heavy) are stored on pallets on the ground in designated locations. Block stacking is also practiced due to space constraints. All CWs have floor plans with location management. This includes floor positions for block-stack and pallet positions (floor). Due to space and HR constraints, management by location is often not followed and products are stored in aisles and other spaces not designated for storage. Pallets are usually labeled, but during busy periods, labeling is delayed or forgotten. Central-level warehouses are extremely full, obstructing the proper flow of product, people, and equipment. Expired products often stay in the warehouse for longer periods, and it takes time to remove or destroy them. Functional material handling equipment (motorized) is usually available in sufficient capacity. Some of the warehouses have a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best-practice warehouse infrastructure includes ensuring the storage space is void of excess or obsolete products as well as evaluating and, if necessary, redesigning the layout of existing areas and/or the way in which products flow. Products are stored on properly labeled shelves and a pallet rack. A mature warehouse at a central level has a separate receiving and dispatch area, as well as designated operational areas. Within the warehouse, more mature processes include the use of powered materials handling equipment, with devices such as a lift truck or a powered pallet jack. A training program is in place for all staff using this equipment. Systems are implemented and maintained to optimize storage capacity within the facility. A generator and uninterruptible power supply are available to account for crossover time until the generator kicks in during power outages. Mature storage facilities implement and maintain health and safety (H&amp;S) equipment, processes and procedures. Housekeeping cleaning standards are scheduled at least weekly, and a pest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Due to electricity, internet, and staff shortages, product is managed manually.
- All warehouse staff are trained in SIMAM.

**District**
- Some district warehouses have SIMAM as their main product management tool, while others are still using manual management and reports.
- Due to electricity, internet, and staff shortages, product is managed manually.
- Some staff are not trained.

**Health facility**
- SIMAM has been installed in some health facilities.
- Health facilities use manual management and reports.
A mature security system includes a perimeter fence, controlled access guarded by security and logging all vehicles entering and exiting, staff identification cards, and cameras recording future playback.

### National/Provincial

- **Cooling and heating systems** maintain a constant temperature and have up-to-date maintenance plans. Cold-storage infrastructure should be electronically monitored and have an alarm for temperature deviations. Back-up generators are in place should the power go out.
- **A mature security system** includes a perimeter fence, controlled access guarded by security and logging all vehicles entering and exiting, staff identification cards, and cameras recording future playback.
- **Health facility**
  - Health facilities have a small area to store products but no pallets. Most lack shelves and fire safety equipment.

### Provincial

- **Most of the provincial warehouses** don’t have enough space to store products on pallets and shelves.
- **Air conditioners** are installed, but some lack a thermometer to control temperature.
- **All provincial warehouses** have security with guards and grids.
- **Fire safety equipment is not available and accessible, and staff are not trained in its use.**
- **Some provincial warehouses** lack closed-circuit television.

### District

- **District warehouses** have insufficient space to store products, and some don’t have enough pallets and shelves.
- **Air conditioners** are installed, but some do not have a thermometer to control temperature.
- **All district warehouses** have security with grids and doors.
- **Fire safety equipment is not available and accessible, and staff is not trained in its use.**

### Procedural

- No H&S SOPs are available. In general, PPE equipment, standards, and safety information/procedures are lacking.
- Some of the warehouses have insulation and cold chain storage facilities while others have neither.
- There are security guards but there is a lack of monitoring and alarm equipment. Also, security SOPs are not 100% adhered to. Cameras and closed-circuit television are usually installed, but monitoring is limited and the equipment is often faulty.

### Health facility

- Health facilities have a small area to store products but no pallets. Most lack shelves and fire safety equipment.
Warehousing and inventory management infrastructure facility information analysis:

The three critical practices of product security, removal of unusable stock from inventory, and use of FEFO for inventory management were well followed by more than 80 percent of the facilities. The high number of facilities that are either over or less than 80 percent full has negatively impacted acceptable storage capacity.

Tables 12, 13 and 14 provides results of the direct observation checklist, which is used to validate what the data collectors recorded from the CMM key informant interviews.

Table 12. Direct observation of storage conditions processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Storage conditions</th>
<th>Percentage of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Warehouse is secured with a lock and key, but it is accessible during normal working hours; access is limited to authorized personnel.</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The facility makes it a practice to separate damaged and/or expired products from usable products and removes them from inventory.</td>
<td>86.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Products are stored and organized so that they are accessible for first-to-expire, first-out (FEFO) counting and general management.</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Products ready for distribution are arranged so that identification labels and expiry dates and/or manufacturing dates are visible.</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>There is at least 30cm from the top of the highest stack/rack to the ceiling/roof of the building.</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Products are stored separately from insecticides and chemicals.</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Products are stacked no more than 2.5m high, if products are not in racking.</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Products are stacked at least 30cm away from the walls and other stacks, if products are stacked on pallets in block formation and not in racking or shelving.</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Product groups are allocated to specific storage zones separated by partitioning of any kind.</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red (0–60%) to yellow (61–80%) to green (81%+) indicates performance is increasing from low to high.

Facilities sampled = 106.

Acceptable storage capacity is critical for inventory management, as proper storage practices and processes are negatively impacted by lack of space at every supply chain level. Most of the time the central warehouses are unaware of arriving product, and this has greatly contributed to overfilling, particularly at the central level. Storage capacity is not the only constraint within the infrastructure and warehousing section; Figure 16 lists these other challenges. However, the data collected in the assessment highlights storage capacity as a critical concern to be addressed due to the systemic impact on the entire supply chain.
Most (80 percent) of the central-, provincial-, and district-level warehouses as well as more than half (54.3 percent) of the health facilities reported having more than 80 percent of their available space occupied with health commodities. According to best warehousing practices, having less than 20 percent of available space within a storage facility leads to warehouse operational problems, for example, not enough room to maneuver equipment such as forklifts. On the other hand, while central-level facilities are overstocked, health facilities find themselves with low levels of months of stock on hand and frequent stockouts due to not receiving products on time. Products for which there is no demand, such as Microgynon, are presently taking up warehouse space.

Table 13. Direct observation of storage conditions infrastructure and cold storage conditions processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Storage conditions (provincial, district, and health facility)</th>
<th>Percentage of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Products are protected from direct sunlight.</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cartons and products are in good condition and not crushed due to mishandling. If cartons are open, determine if products are wet or cracked due to heat/radiation (fluorescent lights for condoms, cartons right side up for Depo-Provera®).</td>
<td>88.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cartons and products are protected from water and humidity.</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Warehouse is maintained in good condition (clean, all trash removed, sturdy shelves, organized boxes).</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Warehouse is visually free from harmful insects and rodents. (Check the storage area for traces of bats and/or rodents [droppings or insects].)</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Roof is maintained in good condition to avoid sunlight and water penetration.</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The current space and organization are sufficient for existing products and reasonable expansion (i.e., receipt of expected product deliveries for the foreseeable future).</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Transport vehicle gets loaded and unloaded under cover</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Products in the warehouse are stored at appropriate temperature according to</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With only 22.6 percent of storage facilities meeting room temperature requirements, the lack of room temperature control is a significant challenge. Note that this was the only KPI measured for cold chain conditions. There is little documentation about temperature tracking, and therefore little understanding of how high temperatures have reached and for how long. Table 14 shows the scores specifically for observations of the cold chain infrastructure.

Most of the storage facilities appear to be in working structural condition. Acceptable storage capacity is a constraint; only 34 percent of facilities have room to expand (see Table 6). Receipt and dispatch areas for checking incoming product and for preparing for delivery lack appropriate weatherproofing. Most concerning is the lack of access to fire safety equipment, with only 19.8 percent of facilities reporting access. This data comes from a direct observation form at the district and health facilities and is presented in Table 13.

Figure 17 shows the infrastructure capabilities across all supply chain levels.

**Figure 17. NSCA CMM infrastructure capabilities across all supply chain levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability</th>
<th>Percentage of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle temperature control management</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building conditions</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special handling infrastructure and capacity for controlled substance/ high-value product storage</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety equipment</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special handling infrastructure and capacity for expired products</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage conditions and capacity</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold chain infrastructure and capacity (answer only if refrigerated items are stocked)</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material handling equipment</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building temperature control (skip if commodity temperature range does not require heating or cooling)</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site structure and security</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work safety</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Facilities surveyed = 106*
Across all the capabilities that make up infrastructure in warehousing and inventory management and transportation, maturity was well below 60 percent. Temperature control in the Zimpeto warehouse is low because there are no thermometers to control temperature and storerooms lack air conditioning units. CMAM does not have a formal transportation network in place, and vehicle availability to transport commodities to lower levels is a significant challenge. At this time, CMAM is responsible for transporting product to the provincial level, the provinces are responsible for transportation to the district, and the district is responsible for transportation to the health units. This last segment is creating a bottleneck. Although a VillageReach assessment recommended the outsourcing of transportation, MISAU prefers that CMAM purchase vehicles. However, funding has yet to be made available for this transaction. Lack of safety equipment and material handling equipment is also a concern.

Table 14. Direct observation of cold storage conditions infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cold chain storage conditions (provincial, district, and health facility)</th>
<th>Percentage of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The warehouse has a functioning refrigerator(s) to store vaccines and/or HIV test kits (if required).</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Refrigerators are located away from any surrounding objects (approximately 45 cm).</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The temperature in the cold chain is between 0 and 8 degrees centigrade.</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The temperature chart is up-to-date. (To be up-to-date, an entry must be made for the day before the visit).</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Red (0-60%) to yellow (61-80%) to green (81%+) indicates performance is increasing from low to high.

*Facilities sampled = 106.

Only 73.6 percent of facilities have access to a functioning refrigerator, and only 57.5 percent of the cold chain storage was found to be at the appropriate temperature during the site visit, not surprising when considering the lack of continuous access to water and electricity.
Figure 18 shows availability of water and electricity at warehouses at each system level.

**Figure 18. Access to electricity and water by supply chain level**

Water and electricity are basic requirements when running facilities. When the system is considered as a whole, less than half of all facilities have continuous access to water and electricity across all levels. Serious infrastructure gaps are seen at the health facility level, where 47.1 percent of facilities do not have access to water and 25.7 percent do not have access to electricity. With gaps in infrastructure, performance and maturity are challenged at the onset.

*Facilities sampled = 106.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabler</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Strategic planning and oversight** | Good warehousing and inventory management practices ensure multiple-year operational plans are aligned with national strategy and clear goals can be achieved. Operational plans include resource planning to address increases or fluctuations in volume as well as performance goals and metrics developed for all departments, which are tracked and reported. These processes are led by the MISAU with full ownership of the warehousing and inventory process and results. | **Central**  
- CMAM has developed a long-term strategic plan but the plan has not been operationalized nor budgeted.  
- Key warehousing KPIs are being collected and monitored.  
- Resource planning is not routinely done.  

**Provincial**  
- A warehouse-specific strategic plan is lacking.  
- PESS is in use.  
- Key warehousing KPIs are being collected and monitored.  

**District**  
- A strategic plan is lacking.  

**Health facility**  
- A strategic plan is lacking. |
| **Human resources** | Supply chain management (SCM) staff positions are designated within the organizational structure and filled by staff who have strong SCM core competencies. Core competency frameworks are clearly defined for all supply chain positions at all levels and consistently and fairly applied. SCM positions are recognized as a professional cadre of workers within the health system. A combination of off-site training tailored for management staff, and on the job (OTJ) in-country training and mentoring for operational staff, supported by supervision and performance management plans, can be effective in strengthening HR capacity in the warehousing and distribution technical area. | **Central**  
- Not enough staff have specific training in SCM.  
- SCM professionals are recognized as logisticians, but this is not a professional category recognized within the health care system (e.g., pharmacists). As a consequence, several supply chain functions are still performed by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians who are insufficient in number to fulfill these and their pharmacist duties.  
- Since Mozambique does not have an SCM degree program, USAID implementing partners provide SCM in-service training.  
- Staff training is mainly OTJ training. People are brought to the main central warehouse in Zimpeto from other locations to gain additional knowledge and skills ("troca de experiencia," or experience exchange, program) in warehousing SOPs, forklift use, and the WMS.  
- CMAM does not have an internal training department.  

**Provincial**  
- Not enough staff have specific training in SCM.  
- Staff training is mainly OTJ training. |
District
- Not enough staff have specific training in SCM.
- Staff training is mainly OTJ training.

Health facility
- None of the staff have been trained in SCM.
- Staff training is mainly OTJ training.

Warehousing and inventory management HR facility information analysis:

CMAM requires that at least one staff member from every facility be trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition. To facilitate meeting this requirement, the projects conducted an initial nationwide training in 2012 and early 2013 and additional trainings around the country since then. Of all the levels, the provincial level has the highest percentage of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the training. This indicator measures whether or not an individual from each site has been trained. It does not capture follow-up training, dissemination of training throughout the site, or continued OTJ training. It also does not validate whether or not training a single individual at each site is sufficient for improving supply chain performance.

Figure 19 shows the percentage of facilities that meet the CMAM requirement to have at least one staff member trained in the SOPs manual.

**Figure 19. Percentage of facilities with at least one supply chain staff trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition, by system level**

*Central Facilities sampled = 3, provincial Facilities sampled = 11, district Facilities sampled = 22, and health facility Facilities sampled = 70.*
Figure 20 shows the percentage of staff from each supply chain system level who were trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition.

**Figure 20. Percent of supply chain staff trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition, by system level**

![Bar chart showing the percentage of supply chain staff trained in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition, by system level.]

*Facilities sampled = 106
Note: Two central-level staff trained were selected to serve as trainers for lower levels. Training in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition, is not intended for central-level use.

When the number of facilities with at least one supply chain staff member trained in Figure 19 is compared with the percentage of supply chain staff trained across all levels of the system in Figure 20, only 2.9 percent of all central-level supply chain staff have received training in the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition. Since SOPs are for use by staff in provincial, district, and health facility levels, mostly staff in these levels were invited to participate. Note that the two central-level staff trained were selected to serve as trainers for lower-level staff.
As Figure 21 shows, data was also collected to assess staff turnover by supply chain level.

![Staff turnover by supply chain level](image)

Although the district level has the highest percentage of staff turnover, this is low on average across all supply chain levels. At the same time, the central level has experienced high turnover for the critical role of warehouse manager, as four different persons have occupied this position at the central warehouses of Adil and Zimpeto. This constant state of transition for the warehouse manager presents a significant operational challenge in these two warehouses. This also means that although the projects train new warehouse managers in warehousing SOPs, their turnover adds to the burden of project staff who need to train every newly hired warehouse manager.

**Risk statement:**

When SCMS assistance ends in June 2016, and unless current gaps are covered by additional MISAU staff, continuing partner support, or outsourcing of more supply chain functions, warehousing and inventory management activities may experience the following risks:

- Since SCMS is active in providing technical assistance for the WMS, once the projects end, CMAM will need to work with stakeholders to develop continued plans for managing the WMS. Currently, CMAM does not have the means to train new staff, pay for the WMS license, or update the hardware on its own. With the current turnover rates and lack of clearly identified processes for training and handover, continuity of correct use and maintenance of the system is at risk.

- CMAM has been financially unable to hire additional laborers to handle the kitting of Coartem and relies on SCMS and the USAID | DELIVER PROJECT to hire and manage temporary staff for this activity. Alternative plans will need to be developed in the short term to ensure the kitting can continue after the end of the SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT.

- Many of these storage conditions, particularly product separation from insecticides and chemicals, stacking more than 2.5m high, at least 30cm from walls and other stacks, and grouping, may deteriorate after the end of the projects if CMAM central-level staff or warehouse staff in other levels fail to follow the SOPs. Also, 75 percent of the central-level...
warehouses are rented by SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT and when both projects end, arrangements will need to be made to ensure the continued rental of central warehouses. Furthermore, seconded staff from SCMS and USAID | DELIVER PROJECT, as well as other partners, aid in the day-to-day operations of the central-level warehouses. This is seen as a substantial risk, and if CMAM cannot adopt the rental space or hire knowledgeable staff to continue the operations of those warehouses, this score will decrease immediately.

CMAM relies on the donors and donor-supported projects to hire staff to assist with managing warehouses and analyzing LMIS data (see Table 1), especially at the central level (headquarters and warehouses). Although CMAM staff has been trained in the SOPs, procedures are often not followed step by step, and further supply chain training, outside of trainings provided by USAID implementing partners, are infrequent. Therefore, planning needs to take place to make sure key positions are filled in the near and long term, particularly with the upcoming end of the SCMS project.

Central warehouse staff relies on project staff while provincial warehouse staff relies on implementing partner staff to perform routine warehousing tasks such as ensuring stock accuracy, do housekeeping, and implement FEFO rules to avoid disorganization, block stacking, expiries, and stockouts. If project staff are not present, these tasks are often not completed even when warehouse staff have received training in warehouse SOPs. After the projects end, and unless other partners continue providing warehouse-based technical assistance, there is a risk that the level of SOP adherence will decrease.

Investments in staff training and donor-funded efforts to transfer knowledge and skills are often lost due to staff turnover within CMAM. Market availability of highly skilled and competent human resources is limited. These workers often leave the public health sector for the growing private sector or for nongovernmental organizations and implementing partners, where salaries are better. The lack of administrative autonomy within CMAM does not allow the department to create competitive conditions for retaining these highly skilled staff.

After 2016, there is a risk that the budget will not be available from MISAU to replace and/or pay the salaries of seconded staff for continuity of activities.
# Key Challenges and Recommendations

## Description of key challenges

- Infrastructure is insufficient.
- Qualified logistics staff is in short supply.
- Health and safety procedures and equipment are lacking.
- Warehouse SOPs and adherence to good warehousing practices (GWPs) are lacking.

## Short-term intervention | Medium-term intervention | Long-term intervention
--- | --- | ---
**Process and tools**
- Assess warehouse H&S.
- Create more storage conditions and cold chain area at the district and health facility levels.
- At the district and health facility levels, designate a quarantine area for expired products.
- At the district and health facility levels, designate a separate area for storing flammable products. Fire safety equipment and training in its use are also needed.

**HR**
- Retrain warehouse staff in WMS system and updated warehouse SOPs.
- Hire staff instead of having donor-seconded staff.
- Develop formal WMS training modules.

**MIS**
- Introduce inventory turnover KPIs to measure warehouse performance.
- Spread implementation of radio frequencies to all central warehouses.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Use inventory turnover and stocked-according-to-plan

**Process and tools**
- Develop H&S SOPs.
- Further analysis of the impact on buffer stock related to the practice of pushing stock to lower levels.

**HR**
- Develop operational plan for HR.
- Train staff in H&S.
- Provide long-term senior technical assistance in all CW warehouses (embedded) to implement warehouse SOPs and GWPs and build up a professional cadre.

**MIS**
- Ensure that SIMAM is available in every district.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Develop an operational plan for infrastructure.
- Use outcome of outsourcing cost and feasibility study to decide to best way forward.

**Process and tools**
- Use appropriate counting procedures, supported by MACS.

**HR**
- Develop logistics cadre that can manage third-party logistics service providers.
- Hire staff instead of having donor-seconded staff.

**MIS**
- Ensure that SIMAM is available in every health facility.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Construct warehousing infrastructure (central and regional level) and/or implement outsourcing plan.
- Develop a strategic plan for infrastructure and HR.
- Use indicators to select low-scoring products and improve forecasting, supply planning, and procurement for these products to improve product turnover rates.

- Conduct a cost and outsourcing feasibility study for the central- and regional-level warehouses.

- Assess the impact of central-level CA integration on the lower levels in the CA supply chain (provincial and district stores).
3.7 Transportation

Transportation: CMM and KPI scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capability maturity score</th>
<th>39.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPIs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No transportation-related KPIs were collected during the assessment period</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15. Transportation capability by enabler

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management information system</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional area</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Central Facilities sampled =1, provincial Facilities sampled =11, district Facilities sampled =22.
* Red typeface indicates poor maturity with a score of less than 60 percent.

Transportation: enablers and observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>The integrity of the distribution chain is a key best practice essential for ensuring patient access to commodities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enabler</td>
<td>Best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process and tools</td>
<td>Distribution planning is a key component of operating an efficient on-time delivery service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMM score: 47.2%</td>
<td>Transportation SOPs comply with national and local regulations. A person is assigned responsibility for policy management. All employees are required to read the SOPs, and their compliance is documented. SOPs are updated whenever procedures or system functionality are changed. Document control practices are in place to ensure dissemination to relevant personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
services in terms of timeliness and truck quality (e.g., use of open trucks due to lack of closed trucks).
• No transportation SOPs are available.
• No transportation KPIs were collected.

Provincial
• Some provinces use rental transport (e.g., Clinton Foundation vehicles), while others use DPS vehicles to supply to the district level.
• Transportation SOPs are not available.
• Transportation KPIs are not collected.

District
• From district to health facility levels the transportation is by Health District Directorate transport, and some health facility staff go to their district facility to collect products.
• Transportation SOPs are not available.
• Transportation KPIs were not collected.

Transportation processes and tools facility information analysis:

A range of transportation mechanisms is used for product delivery and pick-up across all supply chain levels (see Figures 24 and 25). Most transport takes place through formal mechanisms such as an MISAU delivery vehicle or a third-party partner-financed vehicle at the provincial and district levels. However, the availability of these transportation mechanisms drops significantly below the central level, with the health facility level using an MISAU vehicle not intended for health commodity delivery in 52.9 percent of facilities. The MISAU vehicles not intended for health commodities include ambulances or various vehicles used for supervision visits. A total of 27.1 percent of health facilities reported using other transportation methods to pick up from the district level, which include motorbikes and human resources (for facilities within walking distance of the district warehouse). Some health facilities receive product directly from the provincial level. Transportation costs and requirements are difficult to determine when considering the multiple methods combined with the informal mechanisms used for transporting product. Figures 23, 24, 25 reflect facility information indicators and do not impact the CMM scores. They provide further context to the qualitative data captured during the CMM key informant interviews.
Figure 22. Transportation to facilities by facility level

The central level has a quarterly delivery schedule (see Figure 24). Below the central level (provincial and district levels), a monthly delivery schedule was reported, but fixed delivery schedules are not an established practice. Of all the provincial-level facilities, 54.5 percent reported having a fixed delivery schedule. This percentage decreases to 40.9 at the district level and to 14.3 percent at the health facility level. Without an established delivery schedule to measure against, transportation KPIs cannot be applied to measure performance and determine if delivery is a key barrier to product access or how to optimize frequency and routing.

Figure 23. Delivery schedule by facility level

*Facilities sampled = 106.
Note: The denominator includes all the sampled sites: three central-level warehouses: 11 provincial warehouses, 22 district warehouses, and 70 health units, or unidades sanitárias.

*Facilities sampled = 103.
Note: The denominator includes all the sampled sites except for the three central level warehouses: 11 provincial warehouses, 22 district warehouses, and 70 health units, or unidades sanitárias.
As Figure 25 demonstrates, transportation types vary by supply chain level. Most levels except for the central level rely on multiple forms of transportation to distribute commodities to the lower levels.

Figure 24. Transportation method by facility level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Level</th>
<th>Other method</th>
<th>A private vehicle</th>
<th>Public transport (chapa or bus)</th>
<th>An MOH vehicle other than a delivery truck (e.g., ambulance, supervision vehicle)</th>
<th>A partner-financed, third-party vehicle</th>
<th>A MOH vehicle for deliveries (e.g., pickup, panel truck)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Facility</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Facilities sampled = 106.

Note: The denominator includes all the sampled sites except for the three central-level warehouses: 11 provincial warehouses, 22 district warehouses, and 70 health units, or unidades sanitárias.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMM</th>
<th>Best practice</th>
<th>Observations in Mozambique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CMM score: 36.3% | Supply chain transportation data is visible in real time to all stakeholders, who are empowered and understand how to use this information to streamline processes and improve performance. Computers with suitable software are functional and available to everyone who needs them for collecting supply chain transportation data. | Central
- No transport management software is available and no Excel spreadsheet is in use to manage or plan transportation to the end user.
- Transport data is not visible.
- Transport KPIs are not being collected or monitored.|
| Provincial
- Transport data is not visible.
- Transport KPIs are not being collected or monitored. |
| District
- Transport data is not visible. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Vehicles used to distribute pharmaceutical products are suitable to maintain product stability and packaging integrity. Security protocols are established and monitored regularly to avoid product loss. Maintenance programs are in place to ensure vehicle availability and longevity. Distribution planning is used and regularly tracked, with adequate vehicle routing planned to achieve consistent delivery schedules. Cold chain packaging, equipment, and temperature monitoring are used for transporting cold chain products.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>• CMAM uses mainly third-party transport providers and owns only a small fleet of trucks and vehicles. No preventive maintenance system is in place, and breakdowns are reactively managed and based on fund availability. • CMAM uses waybill “guías de remessas” but has challenges obtaining signed PODs and returning them to the central level to close the process and to prove delivery has taken place. • Constant delivery schedules and route planning are not in place. • Almost no cold chain packaging or temperature monitoring occurs during transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>• The provincial level uses signed issue vouchers through SIMAM but has challenges obtaining signed PODs to close the process and ensure delivery has taken place. • Constant delivery schedules and route planning are not in place. • No cold chain packaging or temperature monitoring occurs during transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>• The district level uses issue vouchers either completed and signed electronically through SIMAM or by hand. Signed issue vouchers are returned to the district level to close the process and ensure delivery has taken place. • No cold chain packaging or temperature monitoring takes place during transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic planning and oversight</td>
<td>Professional logisticians are working collaboratively with procurement to manage transportation using some Ex Works procurement based on best-cost decisions. A defined entity within the government, traditionally the MISAU, is responsible for ensuring that the transportation of products follows an established process and results are monitored and evaluated. KPIs are established to monitor the transportation process and are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>• There is little communication between procurement and warehouse or transport managers. • Most procurement is done with delivered duty paid and cost insurance and freight international commercial terms. • No process is followed or SOPs established for transportation activities. • No KPIs are in place for transportation so no benchmarking or costing is used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Provincial | • There is little communication between provincial and district levels or transport
Benchmarked across transportation service providers. Action plans per KPIs are generated monthly. managers. Processes or SOPs have not been established for transportation activities. There are no KPIs in place for transportation so no benchmarking or costing is used.

### District
- The district and health levels have little communication.
- Processes or SOPs have not been established for transportation activities.
- There are no KPIs in place for transportation so no benchmarking or costing is used.

### Central
- There is no transportation department and only recently was a staff person hired to organize and plan transport.
- Transport is mostly outsourced, but service-level agreements are not closely monitored.
- Risk management or contingency planning roles are not defined or regularly updated.
- CMAM and the National Health System lack a logistics (transportation) cadre.

### Provincial
- No transportation department is in place.
- Transport is mostly outsourced but service-level agreements are not closely monitored.
- Risk management or contingency planning roles are not defined or regularly updated.
- Logistics (transportation) is lacking.

### District
- No transportation department is in place.
- Logistics (transportation) is lacking.

### Human resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMM score: 36.6%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Transportation management (SCM) staff positions are designated within the organizational structure and filled by staff with relevant licenses and training required for selected core competencies. Alternatively, transportation is outsourced to a selected vendor and performance tracked against a documented service-level agreement. A relevant oversight manager is identified to manage the transportation vendor and support client needs.

Risk management and contingency planning roles and responsibilities are clearly defined, reviewed annually, updated, and actively tracked. SCM positions are recognized as a professional cadre of workers within the health system.

### Risk statement:

The projects distribute only selected products (such as RDTs and ARTs) from the central level to the provincial level down to the district level. After the projects end, there is a risk that CMAM will be unable to provide transportation for these products.

CMAM often relies on district-level facilities or on clinical partners to provide and/or cover transportation costs using third-party logistics (3PLs), particularly beyond the provincial level. Direção Provincial de Saúde (DPS) vehicles assigned to the Depósito Provincial de Medicamentos (DPM)
should, in principle, carry out transport from the provinces to the districts. However, due to difficulties ranging from insufficient or faulty vehicles to lack of fuel, the districts often collect products with their own vehicles, generally ambulances, when not in normal use. The increase in the volume of loads to be transported, the change in transport frequency to monthly, and the possibility of obtaining vertical support to transport only certain types of products made transport management on a provincial level chaotic. These difficulties and restrictions apply also to the final part of the journey between the districts and the innermost health units.

After the end of the project, transportation will likely not be as reliable unless provincial-level facilities can continue to cover transportation to district levels and clinical implementing partners can cover transportation to the health facility level.

### Key Challenges and Recommendations

#### Description of key challenges

- Infrastructure is poor and the service level of third-party transport providers is low quality.
- CMAM does not have a transportation department to provide oversight.
- The transportation fleet is insufficient.
- Funds to procure more vehicles are lacking.
- Preventive maintenance measures are lacking.
- Transport management software is lacking.

#### Short-term intervention

**Process and tools**
- Create SOPs for transportation.
- Develop adequate service-level agreements for transporters.
- Develop a system to collect and measure transport KPIs.

**HR**
- Develop TORs for transport department/staff.

**MIS**
- Conduct a requirement analysis for transportation management software.

#### Medium-term intervention

**Process and tools**
- Develop preventive maintenance system/schedule for own fleet.
- Conduct an assessment to determine the number of trucks required for an operational national transportation system.

**HR**
- Recruit transport specialists/staff to plan transport and monitor third-party transport providers.

**MIS**
- Develop or adapt transport management software.

#### Long-term intervention

**Process and tools**
- Expand transportation fleet.

**MIS**
- Implement transport management software.

**Oversight and strategic planning**
- Develop a strategic plan for transport, especially from the district level to health facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oversight and strategic planning</th>
<th>Oversight and strategic planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Execute a transportation cost and outsourcing feasibility study.</td>
<td>• Develop plan and budget for own fleet and third-party transport providers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.0 Short-Term Recommendations

Below is a summary of the short-term recommendations for the categories product selection, forecasting and supply planning, procurement, warehousing and inventory management, transportation and HR capacity development. This list of recommendations was devised from findings in the NSCA as well from from the PELF.

Product Selection

Update Mozambique National Formulary of Essential Drugs to include all products listed in the NEML and STGs. Within these documents, include the corresponding product strengths and formulations and disseminate the updated documents nationwide. Within the NEML, use the VEN list to prioritize items that must be in “full supply” as some medicines are more essential than others.

Forecasting and Supply Planning

Improve the processes used to quantify products needed by the public health service. Collect consumption data on medicines and other products, to assess consumption at the health facility level. Consumption data can then be used by CMAM’s quantification team to determine needs for each type of product. Complete ABC and VEN analyses to examine consumption and expenditure of commodities within health facilities. Use the results of the analysis to prioritize commodities for future supply planning (PELF, pg 28.).

Finalize the development of the Central Tool, as the main electronic LMIS database, and thereafter develop modules to link data from current multiple electronic LMIS data streams (SIMAM, SIP, and MACS). Maintain the integrity of product description and/or pricing information across LMIS databases. Assure suitable software for forecasting and supply planning is available and linked with the Central Tool, and that all CMAM staff is trained in the use of the forecast and supply plan tools.

Procurement

Sensitize MISAU and the Ministry of Finance aware of the limitations of the current Public Procurement Law and the need to reform the law to include a procurement process specific to pharmaceuticals and health products. Develop and implement specific procurement SOPs for pharmaceuticals within the framework of the current Public Procurement Law. Support implementation and daily use of CMAM’s information management systems (SIP, SIF) and identify additional development needs. Analyze causes and consequences of frequent delays occurring in the

---

importation process. Define appropriate responses to solve the importation issues (facilitate payment process or subcontract the importation and customs clearance process)\(^\text{35}\) (PELF).

**Warehousing and inventory management**

Begin preparing the phased removal of the provincial level of the supply chain for medicines and surgical equipment and replace the current Provincial (DPM) and District (DDM) warehouses with *Depósitos Intermediarios* (Intermediary Warehouses).

Create more storage conditions and cold chain areas at the district and health facility levels. Equip and open Nampula Central Warehouse. Spread implementation of radio frequencies to all central warehouses (PELF, pg 12).\(^\text{36}\)

Develop formal WMS training manuals, and retrain warehouse staff in WMS system and updated warehouse SOPs. Consolidate SIMAM in DPM and DDM with the warehouse management system (MACS) in the central warehouses (Maputo, Beira, and Nampula).

Introduce an inventory turnover KPI to measure warehouse performance. Use inventory turnover and stocked-according-to-plan indicators to select low-scoring products and improve forecasting, supply planning, and procurement for these products to improve product turnover rates. Adjust CMAM distribution system parameters (minimum, maximum, order frequency) for better supply chain efficiency and assist with decision making on when to order (pull) or distribute (push) and in which quantities to minimize stockouts and expiries at all levels. Finalize Central Tool integration (PELF, pg 31).\(^\text{37}\)

Conduct a cost and outsourcing feasibility study for the central and regional level warehouses, to assess the impact of central-level CA integration on the lower levels in the CA supply chain (provincial and district stores).

**Transportation**

Create SOPs for transportation and develop TORs for transport department/staff. Develop adequate service-level agreements for transporters.

Conduct a requirement analysis for transportation management software. Develop a system to collect and measure transport KPIs such as frequency of deliveries and percentage of on-time delivery. Conduct a transport optimization study and execute a transportation cost and outsourcing feasibility study. The results from these studies can then be used to ensure speed of transport and that the cargo can be tracked and traced to its destination and at the lowest price possible (PELF, pg 35).\(^\text{38}\)

---

\(^{35}\) Ibid.  
\(^{36}\) Ibid.  
\(^{37}\) Ibid.  
\(^{38}\) Ibid.
HR capacity development

Advocate for the need to modify the approach to developing, recruiting, retaining, and motivating supply chain staff. Provide CMAM with the administrative autonomy to recruit, retain, and motivate staff and build staff capacity to improve SCM.

Introduce the concept and framework for a cadre of supply chain professionals and define the specific supply-chain-related competencies required of health workers. Develop a central-level staffing framework for CMAM's new administrative structure and begin preparing the framework for the logistics network that aligns with the PELF. Define the workforce plan according to supply chain requirements. The HR approach needs to be reformed at all levels, starting with the lower level. Develop clear and specific job descriptions for all positions in the supply chain to ensure that staff understand their responsibilities and perform their jobs accordingly. Develop in-service training strategy for the supply chain within the National Health Service, oriented toward priority needs (PELF, pg 14).\textsuperscript{39}
## Annex 1

### Tracer Commodities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>FNM</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Product type</th>
<th>Time frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>04B04</td>
<td>Misoprostol 200 µg, tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>04B05</td>
<td>Oxytocin, 5IU/ml, ampule</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>04B07</td>
<td>Magnesium sulfate 10% 100mg/ml, ampule of 40ml</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>04F09</td>
<td>Intra-uterine device (IUD), piece</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>04F0XX</td>
<td>Male condoms, piece</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>04FOYU</td>
<td>Microlut, carteira pack</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>04FOYX</td>
<td>Levonorgestrel 150/Ethinylestradiol 300MCG (Microgynon), carteira pack of 28 tabs</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>07A03</td>
<td>Paracetamol 500 mg tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>07A04</td>
<td>Paracetamol susp. 1g, bottle of 100 mls</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>08A07</td>
<td>Amoxicillin 500mg, capsule</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>08A08</td>
<td>Amoxicillin 250/5ml, powder for oral suspension, 100ml vial</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>08A09</td>
<td>Ampicillin 500 mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>08I01</td>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 480mg tabs</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>08I03</td>
<td>Cotrimoxazole 240mg/5ml suspension, bottle</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>08L08</td>
<td>Rifampicine 150mg, Isoniazide 75mg, Pyrazinamide 400mg, Ethambutol 275mg (4DFC), carteira pack</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>08O05</td>
<td>AL 1x6, carteira pack of 6 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>08O05Y</td>
<td>AL 3x6, carteira pack of 18 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>FNM</td>
<td>Products</td>
<td>Product type</td>
<td>Time frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>08O05Z</td>
<td>AL 2x6, carteira pack of 12 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>08O0XZ</td>
<td>Artesunate 60mg, vial for injection</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>08O11</td>
<td>Quinine 300 mg tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>08O12</td>
<td>Quinine 600mg/2ml inj. ampoules</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>08O13</td>
<td>Sulfadoxine + Pyremethamine (SP) 500mg+25mg, tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>12C04</td>
<td>Zinc, 20 mg, tabs, box of 10 blisters of 10 tablets</td>
<td>Essential medicine</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>UNIGOLD® HIV-1/2, test</td>
<td>HIV test</td>
<td>Day of visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>04F07</td>
<td>Medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera®) 150mg/1ml, vial</td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>08O05X</td>
<td>AL 4x6, carteira of 24 tabs</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>08S22</td>
<td>Nevirapine 200mg, capsule, bottle of 60 capsules</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>ZEL124</td>
<td>Malaria rapid testing device, test</td>
<td>Malaria test</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>ZEL150</td>
<td>Determine® HIV -1/2, test</td>
<td>HIV test</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>08S42</td>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Zidovudine (AZT) + Nevirapine (NVP) 150/300/200mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>ZEL111</td>
<td>Lamivudine (3TC) + Nevirapine (NVP) + Zidovudine (AZT), 30/50/60mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>08S18Y</td>
<td>Tenofovir (TDF)+ Lamivudine (3TC) + Efavirenz (EFV) 300/300/600mg, tabs</td>
<td>ARVs</td>
<td>Day of visit, August 2014–October 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex 2

### Pilot Site List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Health facility code/facility identifier</th>
<th>Facility name</th>
<th>Facility type</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maputo Province</td>
<td>Matola</td>
<td>MAPP200</td>
<td>CS Tsalala</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAPP201</td>
<td>CS Liberdade</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAPP202</td>
<td>DPM Matola province</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>MAPC300</td>
<td>CS Malangalene</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAPC301</td>
<td>CS Hulene</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPAC302</td>
<td>CS dos Pescadores</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex 3

### Site List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility code</th>
<th>Facility name</th>
<th>Facility type</th>
<th>Supply chain level</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>GPS-latitude</th>
<th>GPS-longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAB01</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td></td>
<td>-12.9811022</td>
<td>40.5325325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB02</td>
<td>Balama</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Balama</td>
<td>-13.3479571</td>
<td>38.56610184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB03</td>
<td>Quissanga</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Quissanga</td>
<td>-12.43540647</td>
<td>40.48869576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB05</td>
<td>CS Mavala</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Balama</td>
<td>-13.2260882</td>
<td>38.48074171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB06</td>
<td>CS Murripa</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Balama</td>
<td>-13.4611436</td>
<td>38.69062448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB09</td>
<td>CS Nacoba (Expansao)</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Quissanga</td>
<td>-12.6600382</td>
<td>40.42548792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB21</td>
<td>CS Bilibiza</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Quissanga</td>
<td>-12.565033</td>
<td>40.285046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB23</td>
<td>CS Cagembe</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Quissanga</td>
<td>-13.28257994</td>
<td>40.26248999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB24</td>
<td>CS Nete</td>
<td>Health center</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Cabo Delgado</td>
<td>Balama</td>
<td>-13.27347332</td>
<td>38.63615736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ01</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td></td>
<td>-25.04248688</td>
<td>33.64061583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ02</td>
<td>Cidade de Xai-Xai</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.0388716</td>
<td>33.6394173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ02</td>
<td>Cidade de Xai-Xai</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.0388716</td>
<td>33.6394173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ03</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>-24.4996653</td>
<td>33.0153583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ04</td>
<td>HP Xai-Xai</td>
<td>Provincial hospital</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.06926103</td>
<td>33.65857305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ05</td>
<td>CS Praia</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.11554564</td>
<td>33.72951622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ06</td>
<td>PS Unidade Especial</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.04002101</td>
<td>33.71811859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ07</td>
<td>CS Guija</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>-24.49954294</td>
<td>33.02054401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility code</td>
<td>Facility name</td>
<td>Facility type</td>
<td>Supply chain level</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>GPS-latitude</td>
<td>GPS-longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ08</td>
<td>PS Chinhacanine</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>-24.4009959</td>
<td>32.8956772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ09</td>
<td>PS Sifo (Mubanguene)</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>-24.41506295</td>
<td>32.93875876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ20</td>
<td>CS Xai-Xai</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Cidade De Xai-Xai</td>
<td>-25.03845238</td>
<td>33.63928495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZ22</td>
<td>PS Chibabel</td>
<td>Health center</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Gaza</td>
<td>Guija</td>
<td>-24.5672631</td>
<td>33.19228434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH01</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>-23.86969501</td>
<td>35.3813134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH02</td>
<td>Inhassoro</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Inhassoro</td>
<td>-21.53924359</td>
<td>35.18907445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH03</td>
<td>Panda</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Panda</td>
<td>-24.06670783</td>
<td>34.72853967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH04</td>
<td>CS Inhassoro</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Inhassoro</td>
<td>-21.53942401</td>
<td>35.18859057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH06</td>
<td>CS Cometela</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Inhassoro</td>
<td>-21.8499705</td>
<td>34.48033433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH07</td>
<td>CS Inhassume</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Panda</td>
<td>-24.23888084</td>
<td>34.85230316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH08</td>
<td>CS Panda</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Panda</td>
<td>-24.06642599</td>
<td>34.72825276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH09</td>
<td>CS Mangungumente</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Inhassoro</td>
<td>-21.71746477</td>
<td>35.09448107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH21</td>
<td>CS Massalane</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Inhambane</td>
<td>Panda</td>
<td>-24.02809517</td>
<td>34.87724008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN01</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.10805132</td>
<td>33.47850967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN02</td>
<td>Guro</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.0771932</td>
<td>33.34940655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN03</td>
<td>CS Marera</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.2677034</td>
<td>33.48029972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN06</td>
<td>CS Josina Machavel</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.08758062</td>
<td>33.65163669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN07</td>
<td>CS Bunga</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Guro</td>
<td>-16.8245584</td>
<td>33.2736963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN09</td>
<td>CS Thanda</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Guro</td>
<td>-16.7077919</td>
<td>33.3163559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN20</td>
<td>CS Amatongas</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.10011425</td>
<td>33.8096992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN21</td>
<td>CS Guro</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Guro</td>
<td>-17.4319478</td>
<td>33.3483144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN22</td>
<td>CS Chipindaume</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>-19.05229042</td>
<td>33.78514976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAN23</td>
<td>CS Chivuli</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Manica</td>
<td>Guro</td>
<td>-17.04698412</td>
<td>33.69199254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC01</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>-25.9371409</td>
<td>32.575031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC03</td>
<td>CS Albazine</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>-25.84218716</td>
<td>32.64099115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC04</td>
<td>CS Mavalane</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>-25.93001685</td>
<td>32.58525912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC08</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>-25.9278947</td>
<td>32.5820207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC09</td>
<td>Jose Macamo</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Jose Macamo</td>
<td>-25.9486804</td>
<td>32.54418888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC20</td>
<td>CS 1 Junho</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Mavalane</td>
<td>-25.9180478</td>
<td>32.6082496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility code</td>
<td>Facility name</td>
<td>Facility type</td>
<td>Supply chain level</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>GPS-latitude</td>
<td>GPS-longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC21</td>
<td>CS Jose Macamo</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Jose Macamo</td>
<td>-25.94705768</td>
<td>32.54372612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC23</td>
<td>CS Bagamoio</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Jose Macamo</td>
<td>-25.89741225</td>
<td>32.57086043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPC27</td>
<td>CS Zimpeto</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Cidade</td>
<td>Jose Macamo</td>
<td>-25.8312155</td>
<td>32.57762396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP01</td>
<td>Maputo Provincia</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td></td>
<td>-25.9488992</td>
<td>32.4689768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP02</td>
<td>Boane</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Boane</td>
<td>-26.04961651</td>
<td>32.32520085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP03</td>
<td>Matutuine</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Matutuine</td>
<td>-26.3379801</td>
<td>32.6693621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP06</td>
<td>CS Mulotana</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Boane</td>
<td>-25.84106038</td>
<td>32.35529406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP08</td>
<td>CS Zitundo</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Matutuine</td>
<td>-26.7568704</td>
<td>32.8539626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP20</td>
<td>CS Campoane</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Boane</td>
<td>-26.01329793</td>
<td>32.41865027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP22</td>
<td>CS Mahubo</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Boane</td>
<td>-26.15894255</td>
<td>32.3466239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP23</td>
<td>CS Ponta Douro</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Matutuine</td>
<td>-26.8419165</td>
<td>32.8844106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPP24</td>
<td>CS Salamanga</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Maputo Provincial</td>
<td>Matutuine</td>
<td>-26.4798321</td>
<td>32.6589053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM01</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td></td>
<td>-15.12846032</td>
<td>39.26609661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM02</td>
<td>Mongicual</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Mongicual</td>
<td>-15.60073698</td>
<td>39.9451228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM03</td>
<td>Malema</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Malema</td>
<td>-14.95781458</td>
<td>37.40655941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM05</td>
<td>CS Quixaxe</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Mongicual</td>
<td>-15.28716638</td>
<td>40.11419166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM06</td>
<td>CS Xa Momade</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Mongicual</td>
<td>-15.66454066</td>
<td>40.33176047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM08</td>
<td>PS Cunvare</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Malema</td>
<td>-14.84151693</td>
<td>37.14175707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM09</td>
<td>CS Nacata</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Malema</td>
<td>-14.93734467</td>
<td>37.17657608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM20</td>
<td>CS Mutuali</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Malema</td>
<td>-14.85059793</td>
<td>37.00372984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM21</td>
<td>CS Namige</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Nampula</td>
<td>Mongicual</td>
<td>-15.5686301</td>
<td>40.40889468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA01</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td></td>
<td>-13.3033375</td>
<td>35.2459615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA02</td>
<td>Majune</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Majune</td>
<td>-13.47551193</td>
<td>36.12135574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility code</td>
<td>Facility name</td>
<td>Facility type</td>
<td>Supply chain level</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>GPS-latitude</td>
<td>GPS-longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA03</td>
<td>Metarica</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Metarica</td>
<td>-14.3223312</td>
<td>36.79705901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA04</td>
<td>PS Luambala</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Majune</td>
<td>-13.42607332</td>
<td>36.19880713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA05</td>
<td>PS Mecualo</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Majune</td>
<td>-13.36387658</td>
<td>36.06187239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA06</td>
<td>PS Riate</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Majune</td>
<td>-13.50415997</td>
<td>36.43907807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA07</td>
<td>PS Muemela</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Metarica</td>
<td>-14.46278843</td>
<td>36.8949837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA08</td>
<td>CS Metarica</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Metarica</td>
<td>-14.3260406</td>
<td>36.8008488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIA09</td>
<td>CS Mepuera</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Niassa</td>
<td>Metarica</td>
<td>-14.52197221</td>
<td>37.08257572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF01</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td></td>
<td>-19.85112912</td>
<td>34.87634037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF02</td>
<td>Chamba</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>-18.29339467</td>
<td>35.9525745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF03</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>-18.1625521</td>
<td>34.8929038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF04</td>
<td>CS Mulima</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Chamba</td>
<td>-17.3463935</td>
<td>34.62667122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF05</td>
<td>CS Chamba</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Chamba</td>
<td>-17.16226195</td>
<td>34.89021317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF06</td>
<td>CS Cado</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Chamba</td>
<td>-17.58270072</td>
<td>34.77482353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF07</td>
<td>HR Marromeu</td>
<td>Rural hospital</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>-18.29373476</td>
<td>35.95289256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF09</td>
<td>CS Malingapasse</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>-18.67510071</td>
<td>36.1045289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF21</td>
<td>CS Nensa</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Sofala</td>
<td>Marromeu</td>
<td>-18.18239245</td>
<td>35.73070977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET01</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.14366776</td>
<td>33.6067778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET02</td>
<td>Cidade de Tete</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Cidade de Tete</td>
<td>-16.14161905</td>
<td>33.60911085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET03</td>
<td>Tsangano</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Tsangano</td>
<td>-15.16134123</td>
<td>34.56078107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET04</td>
<td>HP Tete</td>
<td>Provincial hospital</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Cidade de Tete</td>
<td>-16.15432329</td>
<td>33.57925929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET05</td>
<td>CS N. 2</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Cidade de Tete</td>
<td>-16.14316992</td>
<td>33.60878279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET07</td>
<td>CS Fonte Boa</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Tsangano</td>
<td>-14.81194134</td>
<td>34.44713286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET08</td>
<td>CS Ntengo Mbalahe</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Tsangano</td>
<td>-14.84879615</td>
<td>34.5244363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET09</td>
<td>CS Chivano</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Tsangano</td>
<td>-14.9868404</td>
<td>34.33219182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET22</td>
<td>CS Boroma</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Tete</td>
<td>Cidade de Tete</td>
<td>-16.05102363</td>
<td>33.43436777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM01</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td></td>
<td>-17.86085045</td>
<td>36.87571169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM02</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>-16.03807472</td>
<td>37.16774038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM03</td>
<td>Namacurra</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Namacurra</td>
<td>-17.49344695</td>
<td>37.0328225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM05</td>
<td>PS Tebo</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>-16.28102075</td>
<td>37.32146868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM06</td>
<td>CS Ualasse</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>-15.76196452</td>
<td>37.28472711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility code</td>
<td>Facility name</td>
<td>Facility type</td>
<td>Supply chain level</td>
<td>Provincial</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>GPS-latitude</td>
<td>GPS-longitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM08</td>
<td>PS Naciaia</td>
<td>Health post</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Namacurra</td>
<td>-17.3205759</td>
<td>37.0504918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM09</td>
<td>CS Mugubia</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Namacurra</td>
<td>-17.5753306</td>
<td>37.15881399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM20</td>
<td>CS Chiraco</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td>Zambezia</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>-16.35856433</td>
<td>37.76716095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM21</td>
<td>CS Macuze</td>
<td>Health center 1</td>
<td>Health facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>Namacurra</td>
<td>-17.71532971</td>
<td>37.19277293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CMAM</td>
<td>Warehousing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 4

Mozambique NSCA Dashboard

National Supply Chain Overall Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Area</th>
<th>CMM Score</th>
<th>KPI Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oversight</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Selection</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecasting and Supply Planning</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>59.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing and Inventory Management</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Information</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National Supply Chain KPIs

- Staff turnover rate (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- Day of visit stockout rate (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- Stocked according to plan (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- On-time and complete reporting rate (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- % of stock cards matching SIMAM as compared with physical inventory
- % of stock cards up-to-date
- Quality testing, central and provincial level
- % of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- % of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity (Central, Province, District, and Health Facility)
- Staff turnover rate (Province, District, and Health Facility)
- % of stock cards matching SIMAM as compared with physical inventory
- % of stock cards up-to-date

*Please note that stock out rates, expiry and staff turnover inverted to reflect performance as high, medium, and low.
# Annex 5

## KPI Formulas Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Formulas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stockout rates</td>
<td>Number of tracer commodities stock observations experiencing a stockout of one or more tracers in the reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of tracer commodities stock observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stocked according to plan</td>
<td>Number of tracer commodities stocked according to plan in the reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of tracer commodities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stock accuracy</td>
<td>Total quantity of product on stock card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total quantity of the same product from physical inventory conducted during site visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Quality testing</td>
<td>Number of samples passing quality testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of samples tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Acceptable storage conditions</td>
<td>Total number of facilities self-reporting sufficient for volume of products that need to be stored/managed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Up-to-date stock cards</td>
<td>Number of up-to-date stock cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Staff turnover</td>
<td>Number of staff leaving their posts in the reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Percent of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training</td>
<td>Number of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note the sub-indicators and their calculations pertaining to each KPI listed in Annex 5. To understand the numerator and denominator for each sub-indicator, please apply the formulas listed for each KPI in Annex 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Specific KPI Sub-Indicator</th>
<th>Collection mechanism</th>
<th>&quot;Facilities sampled =&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;Facilities sampled =&quot; description</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Numerator/Denominator</th>
<th>Significant sample (Y/N)</th>
<th>Quantitative/qualitative</th>
<th>Data collection period</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>System level</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock-out Rate</td>
<td>Stockout rates (percent of tracer commodities experiencing a stockout during the reporting period) (national)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106 represents all facilities visited. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes) 2. Data collection period 3. Is there access to the product or the person who manages it? (Yes)</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>108/651</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>August–October 2014</td>
<td>Data collection and LMIS</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Stockout rate determined using product data extracted from the warehouse management system MACS. It is comprised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-out Rate</td>
<td>Stockout rates (percent of tracer commodities experiencing a stockout during the reporting period) (central)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =3</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =3 represents all facilities visited at the central level. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes) 2. Data collection period</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>31/60</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>May–October 2014</td>
<td>LMIS</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Stockout rate determined using product data extracted from the warehouse management system MACS. It is comprised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rates (percent of tracer commodities experiencing a stockout during the reporting period) (province, district, and health facility)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =103 represents all facilities at the provincial, district, and health facility levels. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes) 2. Data collection period 3. Is there access to the product or the person who manages it? (Yes)</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>108/637</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>August–October 2014</td>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Provincial, district, health facility</td>
<td>Stockout rates determined by collecting data from stock cards for 8 of the 32 tracers and for a three-month period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockout rates (percent of tracer commodities experiencing a stockout during the day of visit) (province, district, and health facility)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =103</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =103 represents all facilities at the provincial, district, and health facility levels. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes) 2. Data collection period 3. Is there access to the product or the person who manages it? (Yes) 4. Stockout day of visit (Yes and No)</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>630/2864</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Day of visit (November–December 2014)</td>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Provincial, district, health facility</td>
<td>This rate is based on the physical stock count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facilities sampled =23 represents the number of facilities at all levels of the system that reported having an established maximum and minimum stock level. Filters applied to this indicator include:
1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes)
2. Data collection period
3. Between maximum and minimum (Yes and No)

Facilities sampled =3 represents all facilities visited at the central level. Filters applied to this indicator include:
1. Is this product managed in this facility? Yes
2. Data collection period
3. Between maximum and minimum (Yes and No)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stocked according to plan (national)</th>
<th>Stock data collection form</th>
<th>Facilities sampled</th>
<th>18.6%</th>
<th>24/129</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>August–October 2014</th>
<th>Data collection and LMIS</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan (central)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>5/36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>May–October 2014</td>
<td>LMIS</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan (national)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>24/129</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>August–October 2014</td>
<td>Data collection and LMIS</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocked according to plan (central)</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>5/36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>May–October 2014</td>
<td>LMIS</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LMIS system limitations include consumption reported only by quarter. Monthly consumption was determined by dividing the quarter consumption by 3. Three months of data and eight tracers were used to generate this indicator.
<p>| Stock Accuracy | Stock accuracy (central) | Annual stock-taking exercise | Facilities sampled =3 | Stock accuracy (province, district, and health facility) | Stock data collection form | Facilities sampled =103 | Facilities sampled =20 | Facilities sampled =20 represents the number of facilities at district, provincial, and health facility levels who reported having an established maximum and minimum stock level. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? Yes 2. Data collection period 3. Between maximum and minimum (Yes and No) | 19.5% | 23/118 | No | Quantitative | August–October 2014 | Data collection | Provincial, district, health facility | 20 facilities reported a maximum and minimum to measure against. 3 months of data. Eight tracers were used to generate this indicator. |
| Stock Accuracy | Stock accuracy (province, district, and health facility) | Stock data collection form | Facilities sampled =103 | Facilities sampled =103 represents all facilities at the provincial, district, and health facility level. Filters applied to this indicator include: 1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes) 2. Data collection period 3. Is there access to the product or the person who | 57.2% | 1638/2864 | Yes | Quantitative | Day of visit (November–December 2014) | Data collection | Provincial, district, health facility |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of stock cards up-to-date</th>
<th>Stock data collection form</th>
<th>Facilities sampled =103</th>
<th>Facilities sampled =26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>1768/2864</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to date Stock Cards</td>
<td>Percent of stock cards matching SIMAM</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities sampled =26</td>
<td>Stock data collection form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.3%</td>
<td>388/455</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facilities sampled =103 represents all facilities at the provincial, district, and health facility level.

Filters applied to this indicator include:
1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes)
2. Data collection period
3. Is there access to the product or the person who manages it? (Yes)
4. Is there a stock card for this product? (Yes)
5. Is the stock card up to date for this product? (Yes)

Facilities sampled =26 represents all the facilities that reported using SIMAM.

Filters applied to this indicator include:
1. Is this product managed in this facility? (Yes)
<p>| Quality Testing | Percent of product samples tested (post-market) passing quality testing | LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report | Facilities sampled =107 represents the number of samples tested at the central level. No. filters applied, data obtained from USAID USP LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report. | 72.9% | 78/107 | No | Quantitative | 2014 | USAID USP | Central | Provincial |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Percent of product samples tested (post-market) passing quality testing | LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report | Facilities sampled =407 represents the number of samples tested at the provincial level. No. filters applied, data obtained from USAID USP LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report. | 88.9% | 362/407 | No | Quantitative | 2014 | USAID USP | Provincial |
| | Percent of product samples tested (post-market) passing quality testing | LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report | Facilities sampled =514 represents the number of samples tested at the central and provincial level. No. filters applied, data obtained from USAID United States Pharmacopeial Convention (USP) LNCQM post-marketing surveillance report. | 85.6% | 440/514 | No | Quantitative | 2014 | USAID USP | Central and provincial | Please note only 62.9% of all samples collected were analyzed. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMP</th>
<th>Percent of facilities submitting complete LMIS reports (performance work statement and PMP) within the agreed schedule to the central level</th>
<th>Facilities sampled =11</th>
<th>Facilities sampled =11 represents all of the facilities at the provincial level. No. filters applied, data obtained from the country PMP report.</th>
<th>63.6%</th>
<th>7/11</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>October–December 2014</th>
<th>PMP report</th>
<th>Provincial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expiry rate</td>
<td>Percent of total stock that expired in previous reporting period, quantity (central)</td>
<td>Expiry report</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =3</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =3 represents all facilities visited at the central level. No filters applied, data obtained from the MACS central warehouse expiries tracking report.</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>3811087/10 38133316</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>January–October 2014</td>
<td>LMIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable storage conditions</td>
<td>Percent of storage facilities meeting acceptable storage capacity</td>
<td>Direct observation form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106 represents all facilities visited. No. filters applied.</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>36/106</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>Day of visit (November–December 2014)</td>
<td>Direct Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff turnover rate</td>
<td>Staff turnover rate</td>
<td>Facility info. form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106 represents all facilities visited. No. filters applied.</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>63/340</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>November 2013–November 2014</td>
<td>Key informant interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training</td>
<td>Percent of facilities with at least one staff member who attended the SOPs Manual, 3rd edition training</td>
<td>Facility info. form</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106</td>
<td>Facilities sampled =106 represents all facilities visited. No. filters applied.</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>75/106</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>November 2013–November 2014</td>
<td>Key informant interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex 7

### Data Collection Team List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCMS</th>
<th>CMAM</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Health Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dan Lole</td>
<td>Natasha Mbeve (DNAM)</td>
<td>CABO DELGADO</td>
<td>BALAMA</td>
<td>CS Balama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mavala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Murrippa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>QUISSANGA</td>
<td>CS Quissanga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Namaluco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Nacoba (Expansao)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaura</td>
<td>Dra. Mliva</td>
<td>GAZA</td>
<td>Cidade Xai-Xai</td>
<td>HP Xai-Xai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Praia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Unidade Especial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GUIJA</td>
<td>CS Guija</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Chinhacanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Sifo (Mubanguene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deo</td>
<td></td>
<td>INHAMBANE</td>
<td>INHASSORO</td>
<td>CS Inhassoro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Bazaruto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Cometela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PANDA</td>
<td>CS Inhassume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mawaiela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Panda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dionildes</td>
<td>Joaquim Madeira (AC Beira)</td>
<td>MANICA</td>
<td>GONDOLA</td>
<td>HD Gondola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Marera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Josina Machel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCMS</td>
<td>CMAM</td>
<td>Province</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Health Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilza</td>
<td>Joao Grachane</td>
<td>MAPUTO CIDADE</td>
<td>GURO</td>
<td>CS Bunga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(CMAM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mungari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Thanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>HG Mavalane40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Albazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mavalane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>HG Jose Macamo41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Magoanine Tendas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital Geral de Infulene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson</td>
<td>Dr. Sureia</td>
<td>MAPUTO PROVINCIA</td>
<td>BOANE</td>
<td>CS Boane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Matola-Rio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mulotana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MATUTUINE</td>
<td>CS Matutuine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Zitundo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Manhangane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelia</td>
<td></td>
<td>NAMPULA</td>
<td>MONGICUAL</td>
<td>CS Liupo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Quixaxe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Xa Momade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALEMA</td>
<td>CS Malema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Cunvare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Nacata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loide</td>
<td></td>
<td>NIASSA</td>
<td>MAJUNE</td>
<td>PS Luambala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Mecualo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Riate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>METARICA</td>
<td>PS Muemela</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCMS</th>
<th>CMAM</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Health Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Metarica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mepuera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asmal</td>
<td></td>
<td>SOFALA</td>
<td>CHEMBA</td>
<td>CS Mulima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Chemba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Cado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MARROMEU</td>
<td>HR Marromeu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Marromeu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Malingapasse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TETE</td>
<td>HP Tete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS N. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mufa-Borama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor</td>
<td>Natercia Macamo</td>
<td>TETE</td>
<td>CIDADE DE TETE</td>
<td>CS Fonte Boa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(SP/Mal.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Ntengo Mbahe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Chivano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TSANGANO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ILE</td>
<td>HD Ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Tebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlos</td>
<td></td>
<td>ZAMBEZIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Ualasse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Namacurra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS Nacuia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS Mugubia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For more information, please visit scms.pfsem.org.