



Global Tuberculosis Report 2013

Global tuberculosis report 2013



WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Global tuberculosis report 2013.

1.Tuberculosis – epidemiology. 2.Tuberculosis, Pulmonary – prevention and control. 3.Tuberculosis – economics. 4.Tuberculosis, Multidrug-Resistant. 5.Annual reports. I.World Health Organization.

ISBN 978 92 4 156465 6

(NLM classification: WF 300)

© World Health Organization 2013

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization are available on the WHO web site (www.who.int) or can be purchased from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: bookorders@who.int). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press through the WHO web site (www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

Cover design by Tom Hiatt, Western Pacific Regional Office and Irwin Law, WHO headquarters. The front cover illustrates the latest status of global progress for five indicators that are part of the Millennium Development Goals framework. These are the incidence rate of tuberculosis disease per 100 000 population per year, the prevalence of tuberculosis disease per 100 000 population, the tuberculosis mortality rate per 100 000 population per year, the case detection rate (the number of cases detected and reported to national tuberculosis programmes divided by the estimated incidence) and the treatment success rate for new TB patients started on treatment. Each pair of shapes represents both the most recent level of the indicator and a baseline year against which progress is measured. For incidence (green and dark orange), prevalence (grey and pink) and mortality (light orange and light blue), the top of the combined height of each pair of shapes shows the level in 1990. The lower of the two shapes in each pair shows the level in 2012. For the case detection rate, the combined height of each pair of shapes (dark blue and brown) shows the level in 2012 and the lower of the two shapes (dark blue) illustrates the level in 1995. For the treatment success rate (red and yellow), the combined height of each pair shows the level in 2011 and the lower of the two shapes (red) shows the level in 1995. More information about these indicators and progress towards global targets are provided in Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 of the Global Tuberculosis Report 2013.

Designed by minimum graphics Printed in France

WHO/HTM/TB/2013.11

Contents

Abbreviations	iv
Acknowledgements	V
Executive summary	ix
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. The burden of disease caused by TB	6
Chapter 3. TB case notifications and treatment outcomes	28
Chapter 4. Drug-resistant TB	45
Chapter 5. Diagnostics and laboratory strengthening	59
Chapter 6. Addressing the co-epidemics of TB and HIV	68
Chapter 7. Financing	75
Chapter 8. Research and development	86

Annexes

1.	Methods used to estimate the global burden of disease caused by TB	99
2.	Country profiles	113
3.	Regional profiles	137
4.	Key indicators for the world, WHO regions and individual countries	145

Abbreviations

ACSM	Advocacy, Communication and Social
	Mobilization
ACTG	AIDS Clinical Trials Group
ADR	adverse drug reactions
AFB	acid-fast bacilli
AIDS	acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ARI	annual risk of infection
ART	antiretroviral therapy
BCG	Bacille-Calmette-Guérin
BRICS	Brazil, Russian Federation, India, China,
	South Africa
CDR	case detection rate
CEM	cohort event monitoring
CFR	case fatality rate
CFU	colony-forming units
CPT	co-trimoxazole preventive therapy
CBC	community-based care
DOTS	the basic package that underpins the Stop
	TB Strategy
DR-TB	drug-resistant tuberculosis
DRS	drug resistance surveillance
DST	drug susceptibility testing
DS-TB	drug-susceptible tuberculosis
DTLC	District TB and Leprosy Coordinator
EBA	early bactericidal activity
ECDC	European Centre for Disease Prevention and
	Control
ERR	electronic recording and reporting
EU	European Union
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FIND	Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics
GDP	gross domestic product
GLC	Green Light Committee
GLI	Global Laboratory Initiative
GNI	gross national income
HBC	high-burden country
HIV	human immunodeficiency virus
HR	Hazard ratio
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases
	(10th revision)
IDRI	Infectious Disease Research Institute
IGRA	interferon-gamma release assay
IPAQT	Initiative for Promoting Affordable, Quality
	TB Tests
IPT	isoniazid preventive therapy
IRR	incidence rate ratio
LED	light-emitting diode
LPA	line-probe assay

	LTBI	latent TB infection
	MDG	Millennium Development Goal
	MDR-TB	multidrug-resistant tuberculosis
	MNCH	maternal, newborn and child health
	NAAT	nucleic acid amplification test
	NAP	national AIDS programme
	NFM	new funding model
	NTP	national tuberculosis [control] programme
	OFCD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
	OLCD	Development
,	OR	Operational research
	DAI	Practical Approach to Lung boalth
	DCD	natural Approach to Lung health
		poryinerase chain reaction
		US Duocidant's Emouron av Dlan for AIDS Daliaf
	PEPFAR	US President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief
	POC	point of care
	PPM	public-private mix
р	QMS	quality management system
	rGLC	Regional Green Light Committee
	RNTCP	Revised National TB Control Programme
		[India]
	rRNA	ribosomal ribonucleic acid
	RR	relative risk
	RR-TB	rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis
	SD	standard deviation
and	SITT	Integrated Tuberculosis Information System
	SRL	supranational reference laboratory
	STAG-TB	Strategy and Technical Advisory Group for TB
	TAG	Treatment Action Group
	ТВ	tuberculosis
tics	TB-MAC	TB Modelling and Analysis Consortium
	TB-TEAM	Tuberculosis Technical Assistance Mechanism
	TBVI	Tuberculosis Vaccine Initiative
	TFM	transitional funding mechanism
	TST	tuberculin skin test
	UHC	universal health coverage
	UN	United Nations
	UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS
	UNITAID	international facility for the purchase of
		diagnostics and drugs for diagnosis and
		treatment of HIV/AIDS, malaria and TB
	USAID	United States Agency for International
lity		Development
/	UNPD	United Nations Population Division
	VR	vital registration
	WHO	World Health Organization
	XDR-TB	extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis
	ZN	Ziehl Neelsen

This global tuberculosis (TB) report was produced by a core team of 15 people: Annabel Baddeley, Anna Dean, Hannah Monica Dias, Dennis Falzon, Katherine Floyd, Inés Garcia, Philippe Glaziou, Tom Hiatt, Irwin Law, Christian Lienhardt, Linh Nguyen, Charalambos Sismanidis, Hazim Timimi, Wayne van Gemert and Matteo Zignol. The team was led by Katherine Floyd. Overall guidance was provided by the Director of the Global TB Programme, Mario Raviglione.

The data collection forms (long and short versions) were developed by Philippe Glaziou and Hazim Timimi, with input from staff throughout the WHO Global TB Programme. Hazim Timimi led and organized all aspects of data management. Inés Garcia and Andrea Pantoja conducted all review and follow-up of financial data. The review and follow-up of all other data was done by a team of reviewers. This included Annabel Baddeley, Annemieke Brands, Andrea Braza, Katsura Danno, Anna Dean, Hannah Monica Dias, Dennis Falzon, Wayne van Gemert, Soleil Labelle, Knut Lönnroth, Linh Nguyen, Salah Ottmani, Hazim Timimi, Fraser Wares and Matteo Zignol at WHO headquarters; Amal Bassili from the Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office; and Suman Jain, Sai Pothapregada, Nino Mdivani, Eliud Wandwalo and Mohammed Yassin from the Global Fund. Data for the European Region were collected and validated jointly by the WHO Regional Office for Europe and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC); we thank in particular Encarna Gimenez, Vahur Hollo and Csaba Ködmön from ECDC for providing validated data files and Andrei Dadu from the WHO Regional Office for Europe for his substantial contribution to follow-up and validation of data for all European countries. Review of TB/HIV data was undertaken in collaboration with Michel Beusenberg, Chika Hayashi, Lisa Nelson and Michelle Williams from the WHO HIV department. Victoria Bendaud, Josephine Dy, and Taavi Erkkola from UNAIDS managed the process of data collection from national AIDS programmes, provided a TB/HIV dataset and worked closely with WHO staff to review and validate TB/HIV data.

Philippe Glaziou and Charalambos Sismanidis prepared estimates of TB disease burden and associated figures and tables (Chapter 2), with support from Tom Hiatt. Particular thanks are due to Carel Pretorius (Futures Institute), who worked closely with Philippe Glaziou on analyses and related estimates of TB mortality among HIV-positive people, as well as to Dennis Falzon for coordinating a systematic review that was used to produce estimates of mortality related to multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) and to Harish Nair and Luciana Brondi from the University of Edinburgh for conducting this review. Tom Hiatt prepared all figures and tables on TB notification and treatment outcome data (Chapter 3). Anna Dean, Dennis Falzon and Matteo Zignol analysed data and prepared the figures and tables related to drug-resistant TB (Chapter 4), with input from Charalambos Sismanidis. Tom Hiatt and Wayne van Gemert prepared figures and tables on laboratory strengthening and the rollout of new diagnostics (Chapter 5). Annabel Baddeley, Katsura Danno, Tom Hiatt and Linh Nguyen analysed TB/HIV programmatic data and prepared the associated figures and tables (Chapter 6). Inés Garcia and Andrew Siroka analysed financial data, and prepared the associated figures and tables (Chapter 7). Christian Lienhardt, Christopher Gilpin and Karin Weyer prepared the figures on the pipelines for new TB drugs, diagnostics and vaccines (Chapter 8), with input from the respective Working Groups of the Stop TB Partnership. Tom Hiatt coordinated the finalization of all figures and tables and was the focal point for communications with the graphic designer.

The writing of the main part of the report was led by Katherine Floyd, with contributions from Dennis Falzon, Philippe Glaziou, Irwin Law, Ikushi Onozaki, and Charalambos Sismanidis (Chapter 2); Hannah Monica Dias, Wayne van Gemert, Haileyesus Getahun, Thomas Joseph, Mukund Uplekar and Lana Tomaskovic (Chapter 3); and Inés Garcia and Christian Gunneberg (Chapter 7). Chapter 4, on drug-resistant TB, was prepared by Anna Dean, Dennis Falzon and Matteo Zignol, with input from Katherine Floyd, Philippe Glaziou and Charalambos Sismanidis. Chapter 5, on diagnostics and laboratory strengthening, was prepared by Wayne van Gemert, with input from Christopher Gilpin, Fuad Mirzayev and Karin Weyer. Chapter 6 was prepared by Annabel Baddeley, Haileyesus Getahun, Linh Nguyen and Katherine Floyd. Chapter 8, on research and development, was led by Christian Lienhardt, with inputs from Christopher Gilpin, Karin Weyer and Katherine Floyd. Chapter 8 was carefully reviewed by the chairs and secretariats of the Working Groups of the Stop TB Partnership. Particular thanks are due to Michael Brennan, Uli Fruth and Jennifer Woolley (new vaccines); Daniela Cirillo (new diagnostics); and Barbara Laughon and Mel Spigelman (new TB drugs). The report team is also grateful to Emily Bloss (US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) and Hillary Kipruto (WHO Country Office, Kenya) for their contributions to content related to strengthening of TB surveillance in Chapter 2, including a case study of the introduction of

electronic recording and reporting in Kenya; to Rajendra Yadav and Masami Fujita (WHO Country Office, Cambodia) for their contribution to an analysis of the integration of TB, HIV and mother and child health services in Cambodia (Chapter 6); and to various internal and external reviewers for useful comments and suggestions on advanced drafts of chapter text.

The special supplement on the "Countdown to 2015" that accompanies the global report was prepared by Anna Dean, Hannah Monica Dias, Katherine Floyd, Irwin Law, Mario Raviglione, Diana Weil and Karin Weyer, with valuable inputs from many people at global, regional and country levels. We thank in particular Sai Pothapregada and Eliud Wandwalo from the Global Fund, who facilitated discussions with and inputs from many Fund Portfolio Managers.

Annex 1, which explains methods used to produce estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, was written by Philippe Glaziou and Charalambos Sismanidis with very helpful input from Carel Pretorius. We thank Colin Mathers of the WHO Mortality and Burden of Disease team for his careful review. The country profiles that appear in Annex 2 and the regional profiles that appear in Annex 3 were prepared by Hazim Timimi. Annex 4, which contains a wealth of global, regional and country-specific data from the global TB database, was prepared by Tom Hiatt and Hazim Timimi.

We thank Pamela Baillie in the Global TB Programme's monitoring and evaluation team for impeccable administrative support, Doris Ma Fat from the WHO Mortality and Burden of Disease team for providing TB mortality data extracted from the WHO Mortality Database, and Peter Ghys, Mary Mahy and Karen Stanecki (UNAIDS) for providing epidemiological data that were used to estimate HIV-associated TB mortality.

The entire report was edited by Tim France (Inis Communication). We thank him for his excellent work. We also thank, as usual, Sue Hobbs for her excellent work on the design and layout of this report. Her contribution, as in previous years, was greatly appreciated.

The principal source of financial support for WHO work on global TB monitoring and evaluation is the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), without which it would be impossible to produce the *Global Tuberculosis Report*. Production of the report was also supported by the governments of Japan and the Republic of Korea. We acknowledge with gratitude their support.

In addition to the core report team and those mentioned above, the report benefited from the input of many staff working in WHO regional and country offices and hundreds of people working for national TB programmes or within national surveillance systems who contributed to the reporting of data and to the review of report material prior to publication. These people are listed below, organized by WHO region. We thank them all for their invaluable contribution and collaboration, without which this report could not have been produced.

Among the WHO staff not already mentioned above, we

thank in particular Khurshid Alam Hyder, Daniel Kibuga, Rafael López Olarte, André Ndongosieme, Wilfred Nkhoma and Henriette Wembanyama for their major contribution to facilitation of data collection, validation and review.

WHO staff in regional and country offices WHO African Region

Harura Adamu, Boubacar Ould Abdel Aziz, Esther Aceng, Inacio Alvarenga, Balde Amadou, Ayodele Awe, Sanni Babatunde, Bazie Babou, Nayé Bah, Marie Barouan, Abera Bekele, Norbert Bidounga, Gaël Claquin, Augusto da Cruz Claudina, Peter Clement, Noel Djemadji, Ismael Hassen Endris, Amos Omoniyi Fadare, Louisa Ganda, Boingotlo Gasennelwe, Patrick Hazangwe, Joseph Imoko, Michael Jose, Joel Kangangi, Katherine Lao, Nzuzi Katondi, Bah Keita, Daniel Kibuga, Hillary Kipruto, Désiré Aristide Komangoya Nzonzo, Sharmila Lareef-Jah, Frank Lule, Mwendaweli Maboshe, Mbemba Leonard, Richard Mbumba, Julie Mugabekazi, André Ndongosieme, Denise Nkezimana, Wilfred Nkhoma, Nicolas Nkiere, Ghislaine Nkone Asseko, Ishmael Nyasulu, Laurence Nyiramasarabwe, Samuel Ogiri, Daniel Olusoti, Amos Omoniyi, Chijioke Osakwe, Felicia Owusu-Antwi, Philips Patrobas, Kalpeshsinh Rahevar, Bacary Sambou, Kefas Samson, Neema Simkoko, Desta Tiruneh, Alexis Tougordi, Henriette Wembanyama.

WHO Region of the Americas

Monica Alonso Gonzalez, Angel Manuel Alvarez, Luis Gerardo Castellanos, Gerardo de Cossio, Rachel Eersel, Marcos Espinal, Ingrid García, Mirtha Del Granado, Rosalinda Hernández, Vidalia Lesmo, Rafael López Olarte, Wilmer Marquiño, Thais dos Santos, Alfonso Tenorio, Jorge Victoria, Anna Volz.

WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region

Mohamed Abdel Aziz, Ali Akbar, Samiha Baghdadi, Amal Bassili, Najwa El Emam, Hamida Khattabi, Aayid Munim, Ghulam Nabi Kazi, Ali Reza Aloudel, Gabriele Riedner, Karam Shah, Sindani Ireneaus Sebit, Bashir Suleiman, Rahim Taghizadeh.

WHO European Region

Martin van den Boom, Brenda van den Bergh, Andreea Cassandra Butu, Silvu Ciobanu, Pierpaolo de Colombani, Andrei Dadu, Irina Danilova, Masoud Dara, Jamshid Gadoev, Gayane Ghukasyan, Sayohat Hasanova, Arax Hovhannesyan, Saliya Karymbaeva, Mehmet Kontas, Kristin Kremer, Dmitriy Pashkevich, Valiantsin Rusovich, Bogdana Shcherbak-Verlan, Javahir Suleymanova, Szabolcs Szigeti, Melita Vujnovic.

WHO South-East Asia Region

Mohammad Akhtar, Vikarunnesa Begum, Erwin Cooreman, Deki, Khurshid Alam Hyder, Navaratnasingam Janakan, Kim Tong Hyok, La Win Maung, Jorge Luna, Partha Mandal, Amaya Maw-Naing, Giampaolo Mezzabotta, Bo Myint, Ye Myint, Eva Nathanson, Rajesh Pandav, Razia Pendse, Sri Prihatini, K Rezwan, Rim Kwang Il, Hwang Kum Ryong, Mukta Sharma, Aminath Shenalin, Achuthan Nair Sreenivas, Chawalit Tantinimitkul, Wangchuk Lungten.

WHO Western Pacific Region

Shalala Ahmadova, Niño Dayanghirang, Asaua Faasino, Salu Failauga, Ogtay Gozalov, Cornelia Hennig, Tom Hiatt, Tauhid Islam, Narantuya Jadambaa, Ridha Jebeniani, Sung Hye Kim, Miwako Kobayashi, Woo-Jin Lew, Katsunori Osuga, Khanh Pham, Fabio Scano, Jacques Sebert, Catharina van Weezenbeek, Rajendra Yadav, Dongbao Yu.

National respondents who contributed to reporting and verification of data WHO African Region

Abdou-Salam Abderemane, Ouédraogo Adama, Abdelrahim Barka Abderramane, Jean Louis Abena Foe, Sofiane Alihalassa, Arlindo Amaral, Kouamé Amenan, Séverin Anagonou, Younoussa Assoumani, Georges Bakaswa, Adama Marie Bangoura, Jorge Noel Barreto, Ballé Boubakar, Victor Bonkoungou, Frank Adae Bonsu, Miguel Camara, Evangelista Chisakaitwa, Ernest Cholopray, Nkemdilim Chukwueme, Catherine Cooper, Swasilanne da Silva, B. de Sousa Bandeira, Isaias Dambe, Davi Kokou Mawulé, Serge Diagbouga, Aicha Diakité, Awa Helene Diop, Sicelo Dlamini, Themba Dlamini, Thaddée Ndikumana, Oumou Fofana, Susan Gacheri, Evariste Gasana, Michel Gasana, Sandile Ginindza, Martin Gninafon, Nii Nortey Hanson-Nortey, Adama Jallow, Saffa Kamara, Madou Kane, Henry Kanyerere, Nathan Kapata, Biruck Kebede, Kerram Aziza, Deogratias Kibambazi, Patrick Konwuloh, Jacquemin Kouakou, Popaul Kulonga, Rossin Lebeke, Lillian Ishengoma, Llang Bridget Maama-Maime, Marcel Lougue, Maxime Lunga, Ghislaine Mabeluanga Tshitenge, Jocelyn Mahoumbou, Angelo Makpenon, David Mametja, Ivan Manhiça, Tseliso Marata, Farai Mavhunga, Mba Bekolo Frenk José Mathieu, Salem Salem Mohameden, Louine Morel, Youwaoga Isidore Moyenga, James Mpunga, Frank Mugabe, Kenneth Mugisha, Clifford Munyandi, Lindiwe Mvusi, Aboubacar Mzembaba, Ronald Ncube, Fulgence Ndayikengurukiye, Yvon Martial Ngana, Antoine Ngoulou, Lourenço Nhocuana, Blasdus Franz Njako, Emmanuel Nkiligi, M Nkou, Joshua Obasanya, Davidson Ogunade, Hermann Ongouo, Abdelhadi Oumar, Issoufou Ousmane, Maria Conceição Palma, Victor Pereira, Thato Raleting, Sahondra Jeanine Randriambeloson, Rujeedawa Mohammed Fezul, Samey Agbenyegan, Charles Sandy, Kebba D Sanneh, Marie Sarr Diouf, Mineab Sebhatu, Mamie Shoma, Angele Shoma Matota, René Simalo, Joseph Sitienei, Nicholas Siziba, Philippe Takongo, Celstino Francisco Teixeira, Mohamed Abdallahi Traoré, Nassiama Traoré, Kassim Traoré, Alie Wurie, Eucher Dieudonné Yazipo, Ranivomahefa Myrienne Bakoliarisoa Zanajohary, Abbas Zezai, Eric Ismaël Zoungrana.

WHO Region of the Americas

Christian Acosta, Shalauddin Ahmed, Valentina Antonieta Alarcon Guizado, Xochil Alemán de Cruz, Kiran kumar Alla, Valeria Almanza Torrez, Mirian Alvarez, Raúl Álvarez, Aisha Andrewin, A. Alister Antoine, Chris Archibald, Carlos Alberto Marcos Ayala Luna, Wiedjaiprekash Balesar, Draurio Barreira, Patricia Bartholomay, Soledad Beltrame, María del Carmen Bermúdez, Lynrod Brooks, Marta Calona de Abrego, Martín Castellanos Joya, Jorge Castillo Carbajal, Kenneth Castro, Judith Cazares, Gemma Chery, Carlos Cuadra, Ofelia Cuevas, D'Auvergne Cleophas, Jose Davy, Cecilia de Arango, Eva de Weever-Lista, Camille Deleveaux, Dy-Juan De Roza, Roger Duncan, España Cedeño Mercedes, Manuel Salvador España Rueda, Fernandez Hugo, Cecilia Figueroa Benites, Victor Gallant, Julio Garay Ramos, Sarita Aguirre García, Izzy Gerstenbluth, Margarita Godoy, Roscio Gómez, Ilse Maria Góngora Rivas, Silvino González, Yaskara Halabi, Kevin Harvey, Dorothea Hazel, Maria Henry, Tania Herrera, Carla Jeffries, Dihadenys Lemus Molina, Athelene Linton, Maria Josefa Llanes Cordero, Marvin Andres Maldonado Rivera, Maldonado Saavedra Andrea, Marcelino Belkys, Eva Martìnez, María de Lourdes Martínez Olivares, Zeidy Mata Azofeifa, Joan McLeod-Simon, Timothy McLaughlin-Munroe, Roque Miramontes, Leilawatie Mohammed, Jeetendra Mohanlall, Ernesto Moreno, Francis Morey, Willy Morose, Michael Owen, Cheryl Peek-Ball, Janelle Pickering, Tomasa Portillo, Irad Potter, Manohar Singh Rajamanickam, Dottin Ramoutar, Anna Esther Reyes Godoy, Paul Ricketts, Jorge Rodriguez De Marco, Myrian Román, Nilda de Romero, Carolyn Russell, Wilmer Salazar, Deborah Stijnberg, Sutton Jackurlyn, Torres Clarita, Maribelle Tromp, William Turner, Melissa Valdez, Daniel Vázquez, Nestor Vera, Michael Williams, David Yost, Oritta Zachariah.

WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region

Fadhil Abbas, Mohammad S Abouzeid, Khaled Abu Ruhman, Nadia Abu Sabra, Ahmadi Shahnaz, Mohamed Redha Al Lawati, Al Saidi Fatmah, Samia Ali Alagab, Abdelbary Abdullah Ahmed Al-Hammadi, Abdullatif Al-Khal, Saeed Al Saffar, Kifah Alshaqeldi, Bahnasy Samir, Bennani Kenza, Kinaz Cheikh, Walid Daoud, Mohamed Furjani, Amal Galal, Dhikrayet Gamara, Assia Haissama Mohamed, Hiba Kamal Hamad Elneel, Kaalthoom Hassan, Hawa Hassan Guessod, Lou Joseph, Onwar Otien Jwodh Chol, Basharat Khan, Joseph Lasu, Sayed Daoud Mahmoodi, Khadiga Adam Mohammed, Mokhtar Alaa, Mulham Mustafa, Nasehi Mahshid, Ejaz Qadeer, Mohammad Khalid Seddiq, Sghiar Mohammed, Mohemmed Tabena, Tamara Tayeb, Najib Abdul aziz Abdullah Thabit, Seddik Walha, Yaacoub Hiam.

WHO European Region

Abildaev Tleukhan Shildebaevich, Mokhonim Abdulloeva, Ibrahim Abubakar, Rafig Abuzarov, Nurhan Albayrak, Natavan Alikhanova, Avtandil Alisherov, Ewa Augustynowicz-

Kopeć, Ekkehardt Altpeter, Laura Anderson, Delphine Antoine, Trude Margrete Arnesen, Rusudan Aspindzelashvili, Andrei Astrovko, Elizabeta Bachiyska, Anna Ivanovna Barbova, Yana Besstraschnova, Venera Lazarevna Bismilda, Oktam Ikramovich Bobokhojaev, Olivera Bojovic, Eric C. Böttger, Bonita Brodhun, Noa Cedar, Daniel Chemtob, Domnica Ioana Chiotan, Ana Ciobanu, Nico Cioran, Andra Cirule, Thierry Comolet, Radmila Curcic, Manfred Danilovitš, Edita Davidaviciene, Hayk Davtyan, Pava Dimitrijevic, António Diniz, Francis Drobniewski, Raquel Duarte, Mladen Duronjic, Connie Erkens, Jennifer Fernandez Garcia, Lyalya Gabbasova, Viktor Gasimov, Lárus Jón Guðmundsson, Gennady Gurevich, Walter Haas, Hasan Hafizi, Evgeny Hanyukov, Armen Hayrapetyan, Peter Helbling, Sven Hoffner, Daniela Homorodean, Jahongir Jurakhonovich Ismoilov, Mamuka Japaridze, Vincent Jarlier, Soledad Jiménez Pajares, Jerker Jonsson, Abdullat Kadyrov, Gulmira Kalmambetova, Dmitry Klymuk, Maria Korzeniewska-Kosela, Ainura Koshoeva, Košnik Mitja, Gabor Kovacs, Tiina Kummik, Nino Lomtadze, Stevan Lučić, Jasminka Maglajllic, Turid Mannsåker, Mathys Vanessa, Rafail Mehdiyev, Rukije Mehmeti, Donika Mema, Vladimir Milanov, Alvard Mirzoyan, Gjyle Mulliqi, Gulnora Murmusaeva, Seher Musaonbasioglu, Ucha Nanava, Zdenka Novakova, Joan O'Donnell, Analita Pace Asciak, Clara Palma Jordana, Elena Pavlenko, Olga Pavlova, Monique Perrin, Edita Pimkina, Monika Polanova, Georgeta Gilda Popescu, Gordana Radosavljevic Asic, Bozidarka Rakocevic, Thomas Rendal, Vija Riekstina, Jerome Robert, Elena Rodríguez Valín, Tom Rogers, Elena Romancenco, Kazimierz Roszkowski-Sliz, Sabine Rüsch-Gerdes, Branislava Savic, Gérard Scheiden, Hasia Kaidar Shwartz, Anabela Silva, Girts Skenders, Cathrine Slorbak, Erika Slump, Hanna Soini, Ivan Solovic, Dick van Soolingen, Flemming Stenz, Sergey Sterlikov, Jana Svecova, Svetina Šorli Petra, Silva Tafaj, Talevski Stefan, Odorina Tello Anchuela, Mirzagaleb Tillyashaykhov, Aida Ustamujic, Gulnoz Uzakova, Tonka Varleva, Piret Viiklepp, Cveta Vragoterova, Gerard de Vries, Jiri Wallenfels, Wanlin Maryse, Pierre Weicherding, Aysegul Yildirim, Zakoska Maja, Oksana Zalutskaya, Ilona Zemanová, Manca Žolnir Dovč, Hasan Zutic.

WHO South-East Asia Region

Shina Ahmed, Aminath Aroosha, Choe Kum Song, Emdadul Hoque, RS Gupta, Sirinapha Jittimanee, Suksont Jittimanee, Niraj Kulshrestha, Constantino Lopes, Thandar Lwin, Dyah Erti Mustikawati, Tin Zar Naing, Chawetsan Namwat, Md Nuruzzaman Haque, Nirupa Pallewatta, Rajendra Prasad Pant, Kiran Rade, Dyah Armi Riana, Chewang Rinzin, Sudath Samaraweera, Gamini Senevirathne, Janaka Thilakarathne, Sabino Viegas, Bimal Kumar Yadav.

WHO Western Pacific Region

Paul Aia, Cecilia Teresa T. Arciaga, Nemia Bainivalu, Christina Bareja, Risa J. Bukbuk, Cheng Shiming, Phonenaly Chittamany, Chou Kuok Hei, Nese Ituaso Conway, Du Xin, Mayleen J. Ekiek, Fanai Saen, Rangiau Fariu, Ludovic Floury, Louise Fonua, Jiloris Frederick Dony, Anna Marie Celina Garfin, Go Un-Yeong, Shakti Gounder, Anie Haryani Hj Abdul Rahman, Noel Itogo, Tom Jack, Seiya Kato, Khin Mar Kyi Win, Lamar Daniel, Leo Lim, Liza Lopez, Sakiusa Mainawalala, Henri-Pierre Mallet, Tan Eang Mao, Markleen Tagaro, Serafi Moa, Suzana Mohd Hashim, Nguyen Binh Hoa, Nguyen Viet Nhung, Nou Chanly, Ochirbat Batbayar, Connie Bieb Olikong, Park Yoon-Sung, Nukutau Pokura, Waimanu Pulu, Purev Nasanjargal, Rabauliman Marcelina, Bereka Reiher, Bernard Rouchon, Temilo Seono, Tokuaki Shobayashi, Vita A. Skilling, Grant Storey, Phannasinh Sylavanh, Kenneth Reuee Tabutoa, Tam Cheuk Ming, Kyaw Thu, Tieng Sivanna, Tong Ka Io, Rosalind Vianzon, Wang Yee Tang, Wang Lixia.

Executive summary

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major global health problem. In 2012, an estimated 8.6 million people developed TB and 1.3 million died from the disease (including 320 000 deaths among HIV-positive people).¹ The number of TB deaths is unacceptably large given that most are preventable.

Nearly 20 years after the WHO declaration of TB as a global public health emergency, major progress has been made towards 2015 global targets set within the context of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Two years ahead of the deadline, the *Global Tuberculosis Report 2013* and accompanying supplement *Countdown to 2015* assess progress towards the 2015 targets and the top priority actions needed to achieve and/or move beyond them.

COUNTDOWN TO 2015: key findings

On track:

- The rate of new TB cases has been falling worldwide for about a decade, achieving the MDG global target. TB incidence rates are also falling in all six WHO regions. The rate of decline (2% per year) remains slow.
- Globally by 2012, the TB mortality rate had been reduced by 45% since 1990. The target to reduce deaths by 50% by 2015 is within reach.
- Two WHO regions have already achieved the 2015 targets for reduced incidence, prevalence and mortality: the Region of the Americas and the Western Pacific Region.
- Of the 22 high TB burden countries (HBCs) that account for about 80% of the world's TB cases,² seven have met all 2015 targets for reductions in TB incidence, prevalence and mortality. Four more HBCs are on track to do so by 2015.

Off track:

- By 2012, the level of active TB disease in the community (prevalence) had fallen by 37% globally since 1990. The target of a 50% reduction by 2015 is not expected to be achieved.
- The African and European regions are currently not on track to achieve the mortality and prevalence targets.
- Among the 22 HBCs, 11 are not on track to reduce incidence, prevalence and mortality in line with targets. Reasons include resource constraints, conflict and instability, and generalized HIV epidemics.
- Progress towards targets for diagnosis and treatment of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) is far off-track. Worldwide and in most countries with a high burden of MDR-TB, less than 25% of the people estimated to have MDR-TB were detected in 2012.

• Many countries have made considerable progress to address the TB/HIV co-epidemic. However, globallevel targets for HIV testing among TB patients and provision of antiretroviral therapy (ART) to those who are HIV-positive have not been reached.

Five priority actions required to accelerate progress towards 2015 targets:

- Reach the missed cases. About 3 million people who developed TB in 2012 were missed by national notification systems. Key actions needed to detect people with the illness and ensure that that they get the right treatment and care include: expanded services (including rapid tests) throughout health systems bolstered by the support of nongovernmental organizations, community workers and volunteers to diagnosis and report cases; intensified collaboration with public hospitals and private health facilities who are treating patients but not reporting; instituting mandatory notification of cases in more countries; and better data compilation.
- 2. Address MDR-TB as a public health crisis. In high MDR-TB burden countries, increased capacity to diagnose MDR-TB must be matched with supplies of quality drugs and scaled-up country capacity to deliver effective treatment and care. This will require high-level political will and leadership and more collaboration among partners, including drug regulatory authorities, donor and technical agencies, civil society and the pharmaceutical industry.
- 3. Accelerate the response to TB/HIV. The top priority is to increase coverage of ART for HIV-positive TB patients towards the 100% target. Expanded coverage of TB preventive treatment among people living with HIV is the second priority.
- 4. **Increase financing to close all resource gaps**. An estimated US\$ 7–8 billion per year is required for a full response to the TB epidemic in low- and middle-income countries in 2014 and 2015 (excluding research and development for new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines). Funding in 2013 is about US\$ 6 billion. Increases in both domestic and donor financing are needed to close the gap of up to US\$ 2 billion per year, including via the full replenishment of the Global Fund in 2013. Progress remains fragile and could be reversed without adequate funding.
- 5. **Ensure rapid uptake of innovations**. The fast uptake of new tools and strategies for better diagnosis, treatment and prevention of all forms of TB can be accelerated by country-specific operational research and translation of findings into policy and practice.

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

The report is based primarily on data provided by WHO's Member States. In 2013, data were reported by 178 Member States and a total of 197 countries and territories that collectively have more than 99% of the world's TB cases.

Burden of disease

The current global picture of TB shows continued progress, but not fast enough.

- An estimated 1.1 million (13%) of the 8.6 million people who developed TB in 2012 were HIV-positive. About 75% of these cases were in the African Region.
- Globally in 2012, an estimated 450 000 people developed MDR-TB and there were an estimated 170 000 deaths from MDR-TB.
- Most TB cases and deaths occur among men, but TB remains among the top three killers of women worldwide. There were an estimated 410 000 TB deaths among women in 2012, including 160 000 among HIV-positive women. Half of the HIV-positive people who died from TB in 2012 were women. Of the estimated 8.6 million new TB cases worldwide in 2012, 2.9 million were women.
- There were an estimated 530 000 TB cases among children (under 15 years of age) and 74 000 TB deaths (among HIV-negative children) in 2012 (6% and 8% of the global totals, respectively).
- The majority of cases worldwide in 2012 were in the South-East Asia (29%), African (27%) and Western Pacific (19%) regions. India and China alone accounted for 26% and 12% of total cases, respectively.
- The TB incidence rate at country level ranges substantially, with around 1000 or more cases per 100 000 people in South Africa and Swaziland, and fewer than 10 per 100 000 population in parts of the Americas, several countries in western Europe, Japan, Australia and New Zealand.

TB detection and treatment outcomes

Millions of people access effective TB care each year but "missed cases" hold back gains.

- Between 1995 and 2012, 56 million people were successfully treated for TB in countries that had adopted WHO's global TB strategy, saving 22 million lives.
- In 2012, 6.1 million cases of TB were notified to national TB programmes (NTPs). Of these, 5.7 million were people newly diagnosed in 2012 and 0.4 million were previously diagnosed TB patients whose treatment regimen was changed.
- In 2011, the treatment success rate continued to be high at 87% among all new TB cases.
- Notifications of TB cases have stabilized globally. In 2012, about 66% (5.7 million) of the estimated 8.6 million people who developed TB were notified as newly diagnosed cases.

- About 75% of the estimated 2.9 million missed cases

 people who were either not diagnosed or diagnosed but
 not reported to NTPs were in 12 countries. In order of
 total numbers, these were India (31% of the global total),
 South Africa, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Indonesia, China,
 Democratic Republic of the Congo, Mozambique, Nige ria, Ethiopia, the Philippines and Myanmar.
- Xpert[®] MTB/RIF, a rapid molecular diagnostic test, is being rapidly adopted by countries to detect TB and rifampicin-resistant TB. By end June 2013, 1402 testing machines and 3.2 million test cartridges had been procured by 88 of the 145 countries eligible for concessional prices.
- Treatment success rates for TB remain lowest in the European Region, where in 2011 only 72% of new cases were successfully treated.

MDR-TB and XDR-TB detection and treatment outcomes

Undetected cases and treatment coverage gaps constitute a public health crisis.

- Globally in 2012, data from drug resistance surveys and continuous surveillance among notified TB cases suggest that 3.6% of newly diagnosed TB cases and 20% of those previously treated for TB had MDR-TB. The highest levels of MDR-TB are found in eastern Europe and central Asia, where in some countries more than 20% of new TB cases and more than 50% of those previously treated for TB have MDR-TB.
- A total of 94 000 TB patients eligible for MDR-TB treatment were detected in 2012: 84 000 people with confirmed MDR-TB (i.e. resistance to both rifampicin, the most powerful TB drug, and isoniazid), plus 10 000 with rifampicin resistance detected using Xpert MTB/RIF. This was a 42% increase in detected cases eligible for treatment compared with 2011. The largest increases between 2011 and 2012 were in India, South Africa and Ukraine.
- Just over 77 000 people with MDR-TB were started on second-line treatment in 2012, equivalent to 82% of the 94 000 newly detected cases that were eligible for treatment globally. Treatment coverage gaps for detected cases were much larger in some countries, especially in the African Region (51% enrolled in treatment), and widened in China, Pakistan and South Africa.
- At least one case of extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) had been reported by 92 countries by the end of 2012. On average, an estimated 9.6% of MDR-TB cases have XDR-TB.
- Globally, only 48% of MDR-TB patients in the 2010 cohort of detected cases were successfully treated, reflecting high mortality rates and loss to follow-up. A treatment success rate of 75% or more for patients with MDR-TB was achieved in 34 of 107 countries.

Addressing TB-HIV

TB-HIV collaborative services are expanding, but global targets are not yet in sight.

- The main interventions to reduce the burden of HIV in TB patients are HIV testing and provision of ART and cotrimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT) to those found to be HIV-positive. The main interventions to reduce TB among people living with HIV are regular screening for TB among people in HIV care and provision of isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) to those without active TB who meet eligibility criteria (estimated at 50% of those newly enrolled in HIV care).
- Progress in the implementation of TB/HIV interventions was further consolidated in 2012. Globally, 46% of TB patients knew their HIV status (up from 40% in 2011). In the African Region that has the highest TB/ HIV burden, 74% of TB patients knew their HIV status (up from 69% in 2011). Among the 41 countries with the highest TB/HIV burden, more than 85% of TB patients knew their HIV status in 15 countries, and in 7 of these countries over 90% of patients knew their HIV status.
- The coverage of ART among TB patients who were known to be HIV-positive reached 57% in 2012, up from 49% in 2011. As in the past few years, about 80% of HIVpositive TB patients were treated with CPT.
- In 2012, 4.1 million people enrolled in HIV care were reported to have been screened for TB, up from 3.5 million in 2011. Of the reported 1.6 million people newly enrolled in HIV care in 2012, 0.5 million (31%) were provided with IPT.

TB financing

International donor funding and more domestic investments are essential.

• Of the US\$ 7–8 billion per year required in low and middle-income countries in 2014 and 2015, about two thirds is needed for the detection and treatment of drugsusceptible TB, 20% for treatment of MDR-TB, 10% for rapid diagnostic tests and associated laboratory strengthening, and 5% for collaborative TB/HIV activities.

- Growth in domestic and international donor funding has been clearly documented since 2002. There is capacity to further increase domestic funding, especially in BRICS (Brazil, the Russian Federation, India, China and South Africa) that have almost 50% of global TB cases.
- International donor funding reported by NTPs amounted to US\$ 0.8 billion in 2013, about three-quarters of which was from the Global Fund. To close resource gaps, at least US\$ 1.6 billion is needed in both 2014 and 2015.
- International donor funding is crucial in many countries, accounting for more than 50% of total funding in the group of 17 HBCs excluding BRICS, and in all lowincome countries. The proportion is even higher in some individual countries.

Research and development

New TB diagnostics, medicines and vaccines are crucial to end the global TB epidemic.

- More than 50 companies are involved in development of new diagnostic tests.
- 10 new or repurposed TB drugs are in late phases of clinical development. In late 2012, bedaquiline became the first novel TB drug approved in 40 years. In June 2013, WHO issued interim guidance for its use in treatment of MDR-TB.
- There are 10 vaccines for TB prevention and two immunotherapeutic vaccines in the pipeline. In early 2013, results from a Phase IIb proof-of-concept study of one of the preventive vaccine candidates were published. While efficacy was not superior to the Bacille-Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine alone, the study demonstrated that a trial of a novel TB vaccine is feasible in a high TB burden setting.
- Short, effective and well-tolerated treatments for latent TB infection, a point-of-care diagnostic test, and an effective post-exposure vaccine are needed to help end the global TB epidemic.

¹ The estimated number of TB deaths among HIV-positive people in 2011 was 336 000. Estimates of TB deaths among HIV-positive people for the entire period 1990–2012 were updated in 2013 using the Spectrum software, which has been used for more than a decade to produce estimates of the burden of disease caused by HIV. In 2013, a TB module in Spectrum was available for the first time for use in the country consultations on HIV burden estimates that are organized by UNAIDS every two years. Estimation of the number of TB cases living with HIV, and of the number of TB deaths among HIV-positive people, was integrated into this process.

² The 22 HBCs are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Brazil, Cambodia, China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Philippines, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania, Viet Nam and Zimbabwe.

Introduction

BOX 1.1

Basic facts about TB

TB is an infectious disease caused by the bacillus *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. It typically affects the lungs (pulmonary TB) but can affect other sites as well (extrapulmonary TB). The disease is spread in the air when people who are sick with pulmonary TB expel bacteria, for example by coughing. In general, a relatively small proportion of people infected with *M. tuberculosis* will develop TB disease; however, the probability of developing TB is much higher among people infected with HIV. TB is also more common among men than women, and affects mostly adults in the economically productive age groups.

The most common method for diagnosing TB worldwide is sputum smear microscopy (developed more than 100 years ago), in which bacteria are observed in sputum samples examined under a microscope. Following recent breakthroughs in TB diagnostics, the use of rapid molecular tests for the diagnosis of TB and drug-resistant TB is increasing, as highlighted in **Chapter 5** and **Chapter 8** of this report. In countries with more developed laboratory capacity, cases of TB are also diagnosed via culture methods (the current reference standard).

Without treatment, TB mortality rates are high. In studies of the natural history of the disease among sputum smearpositive/HIV-negative cases of pulmonary TB, around 70% died within 10 years; among culture-positive (but smearnegative) cases, 20% died within 10 years.^a

Effective drug treatments were first developed in the 1940s. The most effective first-line anti-TB drug, rifampicin, became available in the 1960s. The currently recommended treatment for new cases of drug-susceptible TB is a sixmonth regimen of four first-line drugs: isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide. Treatment success rates of 85% or more for new cases are regularly reported to WHO by Member States (Chapter 3). Treatment for multidrugresistant TB (MDR-TB), defined as resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin (the two most powerful anti-TB drugs) is longer, and requires more expensive and more toxic drugs. For most patients with MDR-TB, the current regimens recommended by WHO last 20 months, and treatment success rates are much lower (Chapter 4). For the first time in four decades, new TB drugs are starting to emerge from the pipeline and combination regimens that include new compounds are being tested in clinical trials, as discussed in **Chapter 8**. There are several TB vaccines in Phase I or Phase II trials (Chapter 8). For the time being, however, a vaccine that is effective in preventing TB in adults remains elusive.

^a Tiemersma EW et al. Natural history of tuberculosis: duration and fatality of untreated pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-negative patients: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*, 2011, 6(4): e17601.

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major global health problem. It causes ill-health among millions of people each year and ranks as the second leading cause of death from an infectious disease worldwide, after the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). The latest estimates included in this report are that there were 8.6 million new TB cases in 2012 and 1.3 million TB deaths (just under 1.0 million among HIV-negative people and 0.3 million HIV-associated TB deaths). Most of these TB cases and deaths occur among men, but the burden of disease among women is also high. In 2012, there were an estimated 2.9 million cases and 410 000 TB deaths among women, as well as an estimated 530 000 cases and 74 000 deaths among children.¹ The number of TB deaths is unacceptably large given that most are preventable if people can access health care for a diagnosis and the right treatment is provided. Short-course regimens of first-line drugs that can cure around 90% of cases have been available for decades.

These large numbers of cases and deaths notwithstanding, 20 years on from the 1993 World Health Organization (WHO) declaration of TB as a global public health emergency, major progress has been made. Globally, the TB mortality rate (deaths per 100 000 population per year) has fallen by 45% since 1990 and TB incidence rates (new cases per 100 000 population per year) are falling in most parts of the world. In the 18 years since the launch of a new international strategy for TB care and control by WHO in the mid-1990s (the *DOTS strategy*) and the subsequent global rollout of DOTS and its successor (the *Stop TB Strategy*,² **Box 1.2**), a cumulative total of 56 million people were successfully treated for TB between 1995 and 2012, saving approximately 22 million lives.

The overarching goal of the *Stop TB Strategy* is to achieve 2015 global targets (shown in **Box 1.2**) for reductions in the burden of disease caused by TB. The target set within the United Nations (UN) Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) is that TB incidence should be falling by 2015 (MDG Target 6.c). Besides incidence, four other TB indicators are included in the MDG monitoring framework: the prevalence rate, the mortality rate, the case detection rate (the number of notified cases divided by the estimated number of incident cases in the same year, expressed as a percentage), and the treatment success rate (the percentage

¹ The estimated number of deaths among children excludes TB deaths in HIV-positive children, for which estimates are not yet available. Further details are provided in **Chapter 2**.

² Raviglione M, Uplekar M. WHO's new Stop TB strategy. *The Lancet*, 2006, 367: 952–5.

BOX 1.2

The Stop TB Strategy at a glance

THE STOP TB STRATEGY

VISION	A TB-free world					
GOAL	To dramatically reduce the global burden of TB by 2015 in line with the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and the Stop TB Partnership targets					
OBJECTIVES	Achieve universal access to high-quality care for all people with TB					
	Reduce the human suffering and socioeconomic burden associated with TB					
	Protect vulnerable populations from TB, TB/HIV and drug-resistant TB					
	Support development of new tools and enable their timely and effective use					
	Protect and promote human rights in TB prevention, care and control					
TARGETS	MDG 6, Target 6.c: Halt and begin to reverse the incidence of TB by 2015					
	Targets linked to the MDGs and endorsed by the Stop TB Partnership:					
	- 2015: reduce prevalence of and deaths due to TB by 50% compared with a baseline of 1990					
	- 2050: eliminate TB as a public health problem (defined as <1 case per 1 million population per year)					

COMPONENTS

1. Pursue high-quality DOTS expansion and enhancement

- a. Secure political commitment, with adequate and sustained financing
- b. Ensure early case detection, and diagnosis through quality-assured bacteriology
- c. Provide standardized treatment with supervision, and patient support
- d. Ensure effective drug supply and management
- e. Monitor and evaluate performance and impact

2. Address TB/HIV, MDR-TB, and the needs of poor and vulnerable populations

- a. Scale up collaborative TB/HIV activities
- b. Scale up prevention and management of MDR-TB
- c. Address the needs of TB contacts, and of poor and vulnerable populations

3. Contribute to health system strengthening based on primary health care

- a. Help improve health policies, human resource development, financing, supplies, service delivery and information
- b. Strengthen infection control in health services, other congregate settings and households
- c. Upgrade laboratory networks, and implement the Practical Approach to Lung Health
- d. Adapt successful approaches from other fields and sectors, and foster action on the social determinants of health

4. Engage all care providers

- a. Involve all public, voluntary, corporate and private providers through public-private mix approaches
- b. Promote use of the International Standards for Tuberculosis Care

5. Empower people with TB, and communities through partnership

- a. Pursue advocacy, communication and social mobilization
- b. Foster community participation in TB care, prevention and health promotion
- c. Promote use of the Patients' Charter for Tuberculosis Care

6. Enable and promote research

- a. Conduct programme-based operational research
- b. Advocate for and participate in research to develop new diagnostics, drugs and vaccines

Seventeen annual WHO global TB reports, 1997–2012



of TB patients who are successfully treated). The Stop TB Partnership adopted the MDG target and in addition set global targets to halve TB prevalence and death rates by 2015 compared with their levels in 1990. The scale at which interventions included in the *Stop TB Strategy* need to be implemented to achieve the 2015 targets for reductions in disease burden, and the associated funding requirements, have been described in Global Plans developed by the Stop TB Partnership. The latest plan covers the period 2011–2015 and has a price tag of US\$ 47 billion.¹

As the MDG target year of 2015 approaches, work on a post-2015 development framework is assuming increasing prominence. In June 2013, a high-level panel established by the UN Secretary General to provide recommendations about the content of a post-2015 development framework, including possible goals and targets, submitted its report.² One of the twelve proposed goals for 2030 is to "Ensure healthy lives", under which a suggested target is to "Reduce the burden of disease from HIV/AIDS, TB, malaria, neglected tropical diseases and priority noncommunicable diseases". Important themes within the report are building on the MDGs and equity, and for health specifically the importance of steady progress towards universal health coverage (UHC) is highlighted.

In line with the development of a post-2015 development framework and in response to a request from Member States, WHO began the process of developing a post-2015 global TB strategy in 2012. Following a series of consultations between June 2012 and July 2013, the draft strategy includes the goal of ending the global TB epidemic by 2035, with corresponding global targets for major reductions in TB cases and deaths by 2035 and milestones for 2020, 2025 and 2030. Achieving the proposed targets is based on three strategic pillars: integrated, patient-centred TB care and prevention; bold policies and supportive systems; and intensified research and innovation. It is anticipated that the strategy will be reviewed by the WHO Executive Board in January 2014 and discussed at the World Health Assembly in May 2014.

In the context of global TB strategies and targets, WHO has published a global TB report every year since 1997 (Figure 1.1). The main aim of the report is to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date assessment of the TB epidemic and progress in prevention, diagnosis and treatment of the disease at global, regional and country levels, based primarily on data that are reported by countries and territories to WHO in annual rounds of global TB data collection (**Box 1.3**). This 2013 global TB report is the eighteenth in the series of annual reports, and uses data reported by a total of 197 countries and territories including 178 Member States that account for over 99% of the world's estimated cases of TB (Table 1.1). With just over two years remaining before the end of 2015, a special feature of this 2013 global report is that it is accompanied by a supplement focused on the 'Countdown to 2015' (Box 1.4).

The main part of the report contains seven major chapters. Each chapter is intended to stand alone, but links to other chapters are highlighted where appropriate.

Chapter 2 contains the latest estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB and assessment of progress towards the 2015 targets at global, regional and country levels. Estimates for women and children specifically are given particular attention. Following new analytical and modelling work in 2013, the chapter also contains new estimates of the number of cases of and deaths from MDR-TB and of HIV-related TB mortality. The latest status of efforts to improve measurement of TB cases and deaths at country level, with guidance and support from the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement, is described.

Chapter 3 presents data on the numbers of cases notified to NTPs and reported to WHO and their treatment outcomes, including breakdowns of TB cases by type, sex and age. Recent progress in increasing the reporting of cases by private sector providers through engagement of

¹ The Global Plan to Stop TB, 2011-2015. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010 (WHO/HTM/STB/2010.2). Available at http://www.stoptb.org/assets/documents/global/plan/TB_ GlobalPlanToStopTB2011-2015.pdf

² http://www.un.org/sg/management/beyond2015.shtml

BOX 1.3

Data collected in the 2013 round of global TB data collection

Data were requested on the following topics: TB case notifications and treatment outcomes, including breakdowns by TB case type, age, sex and HIV status; an overview of services for the diagnosis and treatment of TB; laboratory diagnostic services; drug management; monitoring and evaluation; surveillance and surveys of drug-resistant TB; management of drug-resistant TB; collaborative TB/HIV activities; TB infection control; engagement of all care providers in TB control; the budgets of national TB control programmes (NTPs) in 2013 and 2014; utilization of general health services (hospitalization and outpatient visits) during treatment; and NTP expenditures in 2012. A shortened version of the online questionnaire was used for high-income countries (that is, countries with a gross national income per capita of \geq US\$ 12 616 in 2012, as defined by the World Bank)^a and/or low-incidence countries (defined as countries with an incidence rate of <20 cases per 100 000 population or <10 cases in total).

Countries reported data using an online web-based system (www.stoptb.org/tme). The system was opened for reporting on 14 March, with a deadline of 15 May for all WHO regions except the Region of the Americas (29 May) and the European Region (30 May). Countries in the European Union submit notification data to a system managed by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Data from the ECDC system were uploaded into the WHO online system.

Data were reviewed, and followed up with countries where appropriate, by a team of reviewers from WHO (headquarters and regional offices) and the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (the Global Fund). Validation of data by respondents was also encouraged via a series of in-built, real-time checks of submitted data as well as a summary report of apparent inconsistencies or inaccuracies (this report can be generated at any time within the online system). Following corrections and updates by countries, the data used for the main part of this report were the data available in July 2013. **Annex 4** was produced on 1 October, by which time additional data had been reported by a few European countries.^b

Besides the data reported through the standard TB questionnaire, data about screening for TB among people living with HIV and provision of isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) to those without active TB were collected by the HIV department in WHO and the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). The data were jointly validated and imported into the global TB database.

a. http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications

^{b.} For this reason, there may be slight discrepancies between the main part of the report and Annex 4.

TABLE 1.1

Reporting of data in the 2013 round of global TB data collection

	COUNTRIES AN	D TERRITORIES	MEMBER STATES			
WHO REGION OR SET OF COUNTRIES	NUMBER	NUMBER THAT REPORTED DATA	NUMBER	NUMBER THAT REPORTED DATA		
African Region	46	45	46	45		
Eastern Mediterranean Region	23	23	22	22		
European Region ^a	54	42	53	41		
Region of the Americas	46	46	35	35		
South-East Asia Region	11	11	11	11		
Western Pacific Region	36	30	27	24		
High-burden countries (HBCs) ^b	22	22	22	22		
World	216	197	194	178		

^a Countries that did not report by the deadlines were mostly low-incidence countries in Western Europe.

^b The HBCs are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Brazil, Cambodia, China, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Philippines, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania, Viet Nam and Zimbabwe.

large hospitals in five countries, the contribution of community health workers and volunteers to the referral of TB cases and treatment support in 13 countries, and strikingly high notification rates in prisons in parts of the European Region, are highlighted.

Chapter 4 focuses on drug-resistant TB. The first part of the chapter covers progress in drug resistance surveillance and associated estimates of the absolute number and proportion of TB patients that have MDR-TB and extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB). The second part of the chapter presents and discusses the latest data on the programmatic response to MDR-TB, including the coverage of testing for drug resistance among new and previously treated TB patients, the number of cases detected with MDR-TB and enrolled on treatment, and treatment outcomes.

Chapter 5, on TB diagnostics and laboratory strengthening, covers three topics. These are policy developments between mid-2012 and mid-2013, the status of laboratory capacity and incorporation of WHO guidance into national policy in 2012, and recent progress in strengthening laboratories and associated diagnostic capacity. The latest data on the roll out of the rapid molecular test Xpert MTB/RIF since it was recommended in 2010 and two multinational projects (EXPAND-TB and TBXpert) are included.

Chapter 6 contains the most recent data on progress in implementing collaborative TB/HIV activities to jointly address the epidemics of TB and HIV. These include HIV testing for TB patients, provision of antiretroviral therapy (ART) to HIV-positive TB patients, intensified screening for TB among people living with HIV and treatment for those without active TB with IPT.

Chapter 7 assesses financing for TB care and control. Funding requirements for a full response to the global TB epidemic up to 2015, which were updated in early 2013 as part of preparatory work undertaken to inform the replenishment of the Global Fund, are presented first. Key findings from a study of long-term trends (2002–2011) using data compiled in the WHO annual rounds of data collection and recently published in *The Lancet Global Health* are then summarized, followed by a detailed analysis of new data reported in 2013.

Chapter 8 discusses research and development for new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines. After years of stagnation, considerable progress has occurred in the past decade and the development pipelines as of mid-2013 are described and discussed.

The report also has four annexes. **Annex 1** explains the methods used to produce estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB. **Annex 2** contains country profiles for the 22 HBCs that collectively account for about 80% of the world's TB cases (profiles for all countries are available online¹). **Annex 3** contains regional profiles. **Annex 4** consists of summary tables that provide data on key indicators for the world, the six WHO regions and individual countries.

BOX 1.4

Special supplement on the Countdown to 2015

The MDGs were established by the UN at the turn of the 21st century, with targets set for 2015 (www.un.org/ millenniumgoals). Designed to drive progress worldwide and endorsed by all countries, the targets have been the focus of international and national development efforts for more than a decade. TB was included as part of MDG 6. In addition to TB targets and indicators that are part of the MDG framework, targets for the response needed to address the specific challenges of MDR-TB and the TB/HIV co-epidemic have been set for 2015 in the *Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015*.

With just over two years remaining before the target deadline of the end of 2015, this 2013 global TB report is accompanied by a special supplement called *Countdown to 2015*. The supplement provides an overview of progress towards the 2015 targets set within the MDG framework and for the response to TB/HIV and MDR-TB specifically, and the top priority actions needed to either move beyond or accelerate towards these targets. Snapshots are provided globally, regionally and for the 22 HBCs that have about 80% of the world's TB cases and that have received greatest attention at the global level since 2000. The snapshots are based on the data presented in the main chapters of the report and the annexes, complemented by recommendations from recent programme reviews, published literature, and discussions with experts at global, regional and national levels.

¹ www.who.int/tb/data

The burden of disease caused by TB

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

■ The global burden of TB remains enormous. In 2012, there were an estimated 8.6 million incident cases of TB and 1.3 million people died from the disease (940 000 deaths among people who were HIV-negative and 320 000 among people who were HIV-positive). Among these deaths there were an estimated 170 000 from MDR-TB, a relatively high total compared with 450 000 incident cases of MDR-TB.

■ Although the number of TB cases and deaths remains unnecessarily large for a mostly curable disease, there has been major progress towards global targets for reductions in the burden of disease. The 2015 MDG target of halting and reversing TB incidence has been achieved, with TB incidence falling globally for several years (2% per year in 2012). Globally, the TB mortality rate has fallen by 45% since 1990 and the Stop TB Partnership target of a 50% reduction by 2015 is within reach. Mortality and incidence rates are falling in all six WHO regions and in most of the 22 HBCs that account for over 80% of the world's TB cases.

■ This is the first year in which estimates of TB deaths among HIVpositive people were produced using the UNAIDS Spectrum model, leading to revisions to previously published estimates for the period 1990–2011. The estimated percentage of TB cases living with HIV remains unchanged, at 13% globally in 2012.

Although most TB cases and deaths occur among men, the burden of disease is high among women. In 2012, an estimated 410 000 women died from TB (250 000 among HIV-negative women and 160 000 among HIV-positive women). There were also an estimated 74 000 TB deaths among HIV-negative children (estimates of HIV-associated mortality are not yet available).

■ The South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions collectively accounted for 58% of the world's TB cases in 2012. The African Region had approximately one quarter of the world's cases, and the highest rates of cases and deaths relative to population (255 incident cases per 100 000 on average, more than double the global average of 122). India and China had the largest number of cases (26% and 12% of the global total, respectively). South Africa and Swaziland had the highest incidence rate per capita (about 1 new case for every 100 people each year).

■ The quality and coverage of data available to estimate TB disease burden continues to improve. In 2012, data from vital registration systems were used to estimate TB mortality in 121 countries (up from 3 countries in 2008); there has been unprecedented progress in the implementation of national TB prevalence surveys since 2008; and efforts to improve the monitoring of TB incidence by strengthening routine health information systems and implementing inventory studies to measure under-reporting of diagnosed cases are expanding.

■ Five national TB prevalence surveys were implemented in 2012 (in the Gambia, Nigeria, Rwanda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Thailand) and a further five will start or be completed in 2013 (in Ghana, Indonesia, Malawi, Sudan and Zambia). These surveys provide a direct measure of disease burden, often for the first time, and will be used to update estimates of disease burden once results are finalized. They also provide rich data to inform programme policy and strategy.

The burden of disease caused by TB can be measured in terms of incidence (defined as the number of new and relapse cases of TB arising in a given time period, usually one year), prevalence (defined as the number of cases of TB at a given point in time) and mortality (defined as the number of deaths caused by TB in a given time period, usually one year).

This chapter presents estimates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality (absolute numbers and rates) between 1990 and 2012 and (for prevalence and mortality) forecasts up to 2015 (in sections 2.1-2.3). These data are used to assess progress towards achieving the global targets for reductions in TB disease burden set for 2015: that incidence should be falling (MDG Target 6.c) and that prevalence and death rates should be halved by 2015 compared with 1990 (Box 1.2 in Chapter 1). Key aspects of the methods used to produce the estimates are provided at the beginning of each section.¹ Estimates of the number of incident TB cases among people living with HIV, the number of incident cases of MDR-TB, mortality due to MDR-TB and TB deaths disaggregated by HIV status are included in the relevant sections. Estimates are presented globally, for the six WHO Regions, and at country level with particular focus on the 22 HBCs. In response to increasing demand and global attention, special consideration is given to estimates of TB disease burden among women and children. Updates to data sources and methods used to produce estimates of TB disease burden compared with those published in 2012 are highlighted in **Box 2.1**.

There is uncertainty in all estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB. **Section 2.4** profiles efforts to improve measurement of this burden under the umbrella of the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement. The recent and unprecedented progress in implementing national TB prevalence surveys is summarized and expanding efforts to strengthen surveillance of cases and deaths via notification and vital registration (VR) systems are described.

¹ A detailed description is provided in **Annex 1**.

Updates to estimates of TB disease burden in this report and updates that are anticipated in the near future

Each year, new data become available for the estimation of TB disease burden. Periodically, new approaches to the use of available data are developed. This box provides a summary of updates that were made in 2013. Updates for specific countries that are expected in the near future, pending the finalization of analyses of data from recently completed prevalence surveys, are also highlighted.

UPDATES IN THIS REPORT

1. TB/HIV burden estimates

In 2013, and for the first time, estimates of TB incidence among people living with HIV and TB mortality among HIVpositive people were generated using the Spectrum software programme.^a Spectrum has been used for more than a decade to produce estimates of the burden of disease caused by HIV, to build projections about the future course of the HIV epidemic and to assess the potential impact of interventions. A TB module was developed in 2012 and 2013 through a collaboration between the Futures Institute, the TB Modelling and Analysis Consortium (TB-MAC), UNAIDS and WHO. It was initially tested in two regional workshops held in Johannesburg, South Africa (in March 2013) and subsequently in a workshop for countries in western Africa. The mathematical methods implemented in Spectrum as well as the input data are described in **Annex 1**. It is anticipated that the TB module will be extended to include projections of the future course of the TB epidemic and the potential impact of selected interventions, building on existing estimates of TB disease burden generated by WHO.

The updated estimates of TB incidence among people living with HIV published in this report are generally very consistent with previously published estimates, especially for countries with a generalized HIV epidemic and strong TB/ HIV surveillance systems. The updated time series of mortality estimates at global level and for the African Region indicate a lower level of TB mortality among HIVpositive people compared with estimates published in 2012. As a result of the use of Spectrum, country-specific estimates of TB mortality among HIV-positive people that are fully consistent with overall estimates of HIV mortality are available for the first time. These are shown in Annex 2 and in online country profiles.

2. MDR-TB mortality and incidence

Estimates of MDR-TB mortality and incidence were last produced in 2008 and published in a 2010 WHO report on the MDR-TB epidemic. A systematic literature review of evidence about mortality

^a http://www.futuresinstitute.org/spectrum.aspx

associated with MDR-TB was commissioned by WHO in 2013. The results have been used to produce global estimates of MDR-TB incidence and mortality in 2012. The estimate of mortality due to MDR-TB is slightly higher than before, but the uncertainty interval greatly overlaps the previous one. The estimate of MDR-TB incidence is similar to the previous estimate.

3. Newly reported data

There are relatively small changes to estimates of TB incidence, mortality and prevalence for many countries that reflect vital registration data reported to WHO between mid-2012 and mid-2013, updated WHO estimates of the overall number of deaths (that provide overall mortality envelopes), updates to estimates of the burden of HIV-associated TB and new TB notification data including corrections made to historical data. In most instances, changes are well within the uncertainty intervals of previously published estimates of TB burden and time trends are generally consistent. Newlyreported data are the reason for small changes to estimates of the number of TB deaths among women and children.

4. In-depth epidemiological reviews

In January 2013, estimates of TB burden for Viet Nam were updated in close consultation with the NTP and other stakeholders. These resulted in changes to estimates of the level of and trends in TB incidence, prevalence and mortality compared with those published in the 2012 global TB report. Updates drew on new analyses from prevalence survey data, evidence about the influence on trends in case notifications of increased reporting to the NTP of cases diagnosed in the private sector and prisons and new analyses of broader influences on TB disease burden such as economic growth, health system performance and the coverage of health insurance.

5. Inclusion of newly reported cases without documented treatment history in incidence estimates

In previous years, notified TB patients without any reported treatment history were not considered as incident cases (incident cases were the sum of new and relapse cases). In this report, notified cases for which the treatment history is unknown are considered to be incident cases. This change is justified for two reasons: first, in countries facing problems with incomplete documentation of treatment history, the vast majority of such cases are first episodes or relapse episodes; second, WHO received several requests from NTPs (or equivalent) to include all patients with no documented treatment history in the count of new and relapse episodes to avoid understating the true burden of TB. This change affects relatively few countries, most of which are in western Europe.

UPDATES ANTICIPATED IN THE NEAR FUTURE

Updates to estimates of disease burden are expected in several countries that have recently completed or will soon complete national TB prevalence surveys. These include five HBCs: Indonesia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Thailand and the United Republic of Tanzania. Additional countries include the Gambia and Rwanda, both of which completed surveys in 2012, and Ghana where a survey began in March 2013. In addition to a prevalence survey, an inventory study to estimate TB underreporting was completed in Pakistan in 2012 (see also section 2.4) and an in-depth epidemiological review was conducted in Thailand in August 2013. A workshop for the six countries that had completed surveys by July 2013 (i.e. the Gambia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Thailand and United Republic of Tanzania) as well as their technical partners will be held at WHO headquarters in November 2013, to conduct and complete analyses of survey data. Following this workshop, updates to estimates of TB disease burden will be possible. These updates will be made available in online country profiles and associated data sets.

In 2014, a thorough review of the current epidemiological and modelling methods used to estimate TB disease burden will be conducted by the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement. The recommendations may result in some further updates in the 2014 global TB report.

2.1 TB incidence

TB incidence has never been measured at national level because this would require long-term studies among large cohorts of people (hundreds of thousands) at high cost and with challenging logistics. Notifications of TB cases provide a good proxy indication of TB incidence in countries that have both high-performance surveillance systems (for example, there is little underreporting of diagnosed cases) and where the quality of and access to health care means that few cases (or a negligible number) are not diagnosed. In the large number of countries where these criteria are not yet met, TB incidence can be estimated using an inventory study (in which the level of underreporting is assessed) combined with capture-recapture analysis to estimate under-diagnosis, provided that certain assumptions are satisfied.¹ To date, such studies have been undertaken in only a few countries: examples include Egypt, Iraq and Yemen (see section 2.4). The ultimate goal is to directly measure TB incidence from TB notifications in all countries. This requires a combination of strengthened surveillance, better quantification of underreporting (i.e. the number of cases that are missed by surveillance systems) and universal access to health care. A TB surveillance checklist developed by the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement defines the standards that need to be met

for notification data to provide a direct measure of TB incidence (further details in **section 2.4**).

For most countries, incidence estimates are currently based on notification data combined with country consultations in which in-depth analyses of the available surveillance, survey and programmatic data are undertaken, and expert opinion about the fraction of cases diagnosed but not reported, or not diagnosed at all, is elicited and documented. The 96 countries (with 89% of estimated TB cases) covered by such consultations since 2008 are shown in **Figure 2.1**. For remaining countries not covered in workshops and in which notifications do not provide a good proxy indication of TB incidence, estimates are based on extending previously published time series, mortality data from VR systems combined with evidence about the case fatality rate, or ecological modelling (see **Annex 1** for details).

In 2012, there were an estimated 8.6 million incident cases of TB (range, 8.3 million–9.0 million) globally, equivalent to 122 cases per 100 000 population (**Table 2.1, Table 2.2**). The absolute number of incident cases is falling, albeit slowly (**Figure 2.2**).

Most of the estimated number of cases in 2012 occurred in Asia (58%) and the African Region (27%);² smaller proportions of cases occurred in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (8%), the European Region (4%) and the Region of

FIGURE 2.1





¹ An inventory study can be used to measure the number of cases that are diagnosed but not reported, but using results to estimate the total number of incident cases using capture–recapture methods requires that certain conditions are met. These are explained in a guide on inventory studies recently published by WHO, which is available at: www.who.int/tb/publications/inventory_studies/en/index.html

 $^{^2~}$ Asia refers to the WHO Regions of South-East Asia and the Western Pacific.

TABLE 2.1

Estimated epidemiological burden of TB, 2012. Numbers in thousands.^a

		MORTALITYb		b	HIV-POSITIVE TB MORTALITY			PREVALENCE			INCIDENCE			HIV-POSITIVE INCIDENT TB CASES		
	POPULATION	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH
Afghanistan	29 825	11	4.6	20	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3	110	54	180	56	47	67	0.3	0.2	0.5
Bangladesh ^d	154 695	70	29	130	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.1	670	340	1 100	350	290	410	0.2	0.2	0.3
Brazil	198 656	4.9	4.6	5.2	2.5	2.2	3	120	51	210	92	76	110	16	13	19
Cambodia	14 865	9.3	4.3	16	0.6	0.4	0.7	110	96	130	61	52	70	2.7	2.3	3.1
China	1 377 065	44	43	46	1.2	0.9	1.5	1 400	1 200	1 600	1000	880	1 100	7.3	6.4	8.2
DR Congo	65 705	36	16	64	6.3	5.5	8.1	380	200	620	210	190	250	16	14	19
Ethiopia	91 729	16	12	21	5.6	4.6	7.3	210	170	250	230	170	290	23	17	30
India ^e	1 236 687	270	170	390	42	37	48	2 800	1 900	3 900	2 200	2 000	2 400	130	120	140
Indonesia	246 864	67	30	120	2.1	1.8	3	730	350	1 200	460	380	540	7.5	5.6	9.7
Kenya	43 178	9.5	5.4	15	7.7	6.6	8.9	130	71	210	120	110	120	45	44	47
Mozambique	25 203	13	1	41	45	35	53	140	28	340	140	96	190	83	58	110
Myanmar	52 797	25	12	44	4.6	3.8	5.3	260	200	320	200	170	230	19	16	21
Nigeria	168 834	27	1.6	86	19	11	25	270	43	710	180	85	310	46	21	80
Pakistan	179 160	62	27	110	1.2	0.8	1.3	670	320	1 100	410	340	490	3.8	3.1	4.6
Philippines	96 707	23	22	25	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	450	390	500	260	210	310	0.5	0.4	0.6
Russian Federation	143 170	19	18	20	1.8	1.5	2.2	170	73	320	130	110	150	9.3	7.9	11
South Africa	52 386	31	3.7	86	88	75	100	450	160	880	530	430	630	330	270	390
Thailand	66 785	9.2	3.8	17	2.2	1.9	2.8	110	47	190	80	66	95	12	10	14
Uganda	36 346	4.7	0.8	12	9.2	8	12	64	24	120	65	53	79	35	28	42
UR Tanzania	47 783	6.1	3.2	9.9	7	5.8	8	84	45	140	79	74	84	32	30	34
Viet Nam	90 796	18	12	25	2.1	1.8	2.7	200	78	370	130	99	170	9.3	6.9	12
Zimbabwe	13 724	4.6	0.2	16	18	15	20	59	13	140	77	60	97	55	42	69
High-burden countries	4 432 959	780	630	940	270	250	280	9 600	8 200	11 000	7 000	6 700	7 400	880	810	960
AFR	892 529	230	160	310	250	230	270	2 700	2 100	3 300	2 300	2 100	2 500	830	760	910
AMR	961 103	19	16	21	6.4	5.6	7.2	390	300	490	280	260	300	31	28	34
EMR	616 591	100	63	150	4.2	3.8	4.7	1 100	730	1 600	670	590	750	11	10	12
EUR	904 540	36	35	36	3.9	3.4	4.4	510	380	650	360	340	390	19	17	21
SEAR	1 833 359	450	330	590	51	46	56	4 800	3 700	6 100	3 400	3 200	3 700	170	160	180
WPR	1 845 562	110	96	120	4.8	4.2	5.4	2 400	2 100	2 600	1 600	1 500	1 800	24	21	27
Global	7 053 684	940	790	1 100	320	300	340	12 000	11 000	13 000	8 600	8 300	9 000	1 100	1 000	1 200

^a Numbers for mortality, prevalence and incidence shown to two significant figures. Totals (HBCs, regional and global) are computed prior to rounding. ^b Mortality excludes deaths among HIV-positive TB cases. Deaths among HIV-positive TB cases are classified as HIV deaths according to ICD-10 and are shown separately in this table.

^c Best, low and high indicate the point estimate and lower and upper bounds of the 95% uncertainty interval.
 ^d Estimates of TB disease burden have not been approved by the NTP in Bangladesh and a joint reassessment (by the NTP and WHO) will be undertaken following completion of the national TB prevalence survey scheduled for 2014.
 ^e Estimates for India have not yet been officially approved by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, and should therefore be considered provisional.

TABLE 2.2

Estimated epidemiological burden of TB, 2012. Rates per 100 000 population except where indicated^a

			MORTALITY ^b		HIV-PO:	SITIVE TB MC	ORTALITY		PREVALENCE			INCIDENCE		HIV	PREVALENCE ENT TB CASES	IN ; (%)
	POPULATION (THOUSANDS)	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH
Afghanistan	29 825	37	15	68	0.3	< 0.1	1.1	358	181	595	189	156	226	0.55	0.41	0.68
Bangladesh ^c	154 695	45	19	84	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	434	218	721	225	185	268	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Brazil	198 656	2.5	2.3	2.6	1.3	1.1	1.5	59	25	107	46	38	55	17.3	17.1	17.4
Cambodia	14 865	63	29	110	3.8	2.7	4.7	764	645	892	411	353	474	4.34	4.21	4.44
China	1 377 065	3.2	3.1	3.3	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.1	99	86	113	73	64	82	0.73	0.73	0.73
DR Congo	65 705	54	24	97	9.7	8.3	12	576	301	938	327	282	375	7.66	7.65	7.66
Ethiopia	91 729	18	13	23	6.1	5	8	224	180	272	247	183	321	10.2	10.1	10.2
India ^d	1 236 687	22	14	32	3.4	3	3.9	230	155	319	176	159	193	5.95	5.93	5.97
Indonesia	246 864	27	12	48	0.9	0.7	1.2	297	144	506	185	153	220	1.65	1.65	2.33
Kenya	43 178	22	13	34	18	15	21	299	164	475	272	261	283	38.7	38.7	38.7
Mozambique	25 203	53	3.9	163	177	138	209	553	111	1 340	552	383	753	59.7	59.6	59.8
Myanmar	52 797	48	23	84	8.8	7.3	10	489	377	616	377	322	435	9.33	9.32	9.33
Nigeria	168 834	16	0.9	51	11	6.7	15	161	25	420	108	50	186	25.2	24.8	25.7
Pakistan	179 160	34	15	61	0.7	0.5	0.8	376	181	641	231	190	276	0.92	0.84	0.96
Philippines	96 707	24	22	26	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	461	405	520	265	219	316	0.18	0.18	0.18
Russian Federation	143 170	13	13	14	1.2	1	1.5	121	51	221	91	77	106	7.14	7.03	7.25
South Africa	52 386	59	7	164	168	144	192	857	305	1 680	1000	827	1 190	63.0	62.9	63.0
Thailand	66 785	14	5.8	25	3.3	2.9	4.2	159	71	282	119	98	142	15.2	15.2	15.3
Uganda	36 346	13	2.3	33	25	22	32	175	67	334	179	145	216	53.2	52.9	53.3
UR Tanzania	47 783	13	6.8	21	15	12	17	176	95	283	165	154	175	41.2	41.2	41.3
Viet Nam	90 796	20	13	27	2.4	2	2.9	218	86	410	147	109	192	6.97	6.94	6.99
Zimbabwe	13 724	33	1.2	117	132	111	147	433	92	1 030	562	434	706	70.9	70.7	71.4
High-burden countries	4 432 959	18	14	21	6	5.6	6.4	216	186	248	159	151	166	7.37	7.35	7.40
AFR	892 529	26	18	35	28	26	30	303	239	373	255	235	275	36.6	34.7	38.4
AMR	961 103	1.9	1.7	2.2	0.7	0.6	0.7	40	31	51	29	27	31	11.4	8.67	14.4
EMR	616 591	16	10	24	0.7	0.6	0.8	180	118	256	109	96	122	1.88	1.34	2.52
EUR	904 540	3.9	3.9	4	0.4	0.4	0.5	56	42	72	40	38	43	5.26	3.80	6.93
SEAR	1 833 359	25	18	32	2.8	2.5	3.1	264	203	333	187	174	200	4.94	4.31	5.62
WPR	1 845 562	5.8	5.2	6.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	128	115	142	87	80	95	1.49	0.92	2.18
Global	7 053 684	13	11	16	4.6	4.3	4.8	169	149	190	122	117	127	12.8	11.6	14.0

^a Best, low and high indicate the point estimate and lower and upper bounds of the 95% uncertainty interval. ^b Mortality excludes deaths among HIV-positive TB cases. Deaths among HIV-positive TB cases are classified as HIV deaths according to ICD-10 and are shown separately in this table.

Estimates of TB disease burden have not been approved by the NTP in Bangladesh and a joint reassessment (by the NTP and WHO) will be undertaken following completion of the national TB prevalence survey scheduled for 2014. с

^d Estimates for India have not yet been officially approved by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, and should therefore be considered provisional.

Estimated absolute numbers of TB cases and deaths (in millions), 1990–2012



FIGURE 2.3

Estimated TB incidence: top-ten countries, 2012









the Americas (3%). The 22 HBCs that have been given highest priority at the global level since 2000 (listed in **Table 2.1** and **Table 2.2**) accounted for 81% of all estimated incident cases worldwide. The five countries with the largest number of incident cases in 2012 were India (2.0 million–2.4 million), China (0.9 million–1.1 million), South Africa (0.4 million–0.6 million), Indonesia (0.4 million–0.5 million) and Pakistan (0.3 million–0.5 million); these and the other five countries that make up the top ten in terms of numbers of cases are highlighted in **Figure 2.3**. India and China alone accounted for 26% and 12% of global cases, respectively. Of the 8.6 million incident cases, an estimated 0.5 million were children and 2.9 million (range, 2.7–3.1 million) occurred among women (**Box 2.2**).

The 8.6 million incident TB cases in 2012 included 1.0 million–1.2 million (12–14%) among people living with HIV, with a best estimate of 1.1 million (13%) (Table 2.1, Table 2.2). The proportion of TB cases co-infected with HIV was highest in countries in the African Region (Figure 2.4).

Overall, 37% of TB cases were estimated to be co-infected with HIV in this region, which accounted for 75% of TB cases among people living with HIV worldwide. In parts of southern Africa, more than 50% of TB cases were co-infected with HIV (**Figure 2.4**).

Following a systematic review of evidence about mortality caused by MDR-TB (**Box 2.3**), global estimates of the burden of MDR-TB were updated in 2013 (**Box 2.1**). The best estimate is that there were 450 000 (range, 300 000-600 000) new cases of MDR-TB worldwide in 2012. This total includes cases of primary and acquired MDR-TB.

The number of incident TB cases relative to population (the incidence rate) varies widely among countries (**Figure 2.5**). The lowest rates are found predominantly in high-income countries including most countries in western Europe, Canada, the United States of America, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. In these countries, the incidence rate per 100 000 population is less than 10 cases per

The burden of TB disease among women and children

The burden of TB morbidity and mortality among women (defined as females aged \geq 15 years) and children (defined as people aged <15 years) is larger than often realised. This is the second consecutive year in which the WHO global TB report highlights the burden of disease among children and for the first time includes estimates of the burden among women disaggregated by region and HIV status.

There were an estimated 2.9 million new cases of TB and 410 000 deaths from the disease among women in 2012. Among children, there were an estimated 530 000 new cases in 2012 and 74 000 deaths among children who were HIV-negative. Methods used to produce these estimates and further details about results are provided below. The estimates of TB morbidity and mortality among children are slightly higher than those published in the 2012 global TB report, reflecting new surveillance data that show more TB cases being notified among children globally, and new VR data.

The burden of TB in women: estimates of TB incidence and mortality, 2012

Incidence

Regional estimates of the women:men ratio for new (all case types) TB case notifications in 2012 were generated and assumed to be the same as the ratio among incident TB cases in 2012 (see **Annex 1** for further details). The resulting global and regional estimates of incidence are shown in **Table B2.2.1**. Women account for 34% of the total of 8.6 million incident cases in 2012. The African and South-East Asia regions account for 68% of the cases among women.

TABLE B2.2.1

Total number of new TB notifications (all case types) and estimated incident cases among women in 2012, disaggregated by WHO region

WHO REGION	NUMBER OF TB CASE	ESTIMATED TB INCIDENCE						
	NOTIFICATIONS	BEST ESTIMATE	UNCERTAINTY INTERVAL					
AFR	361 645	860 000	780 000–940 000					
AMR	63 626	100 000	91 000–110 000					
EMR	101 910	280 000	240 000–330 000					
EUR	79 279	120 000	110 000–130 000					
SEAR	431 470	1 100 000	990 000–1 200 000					
WPR	392 030	510 000	460 000–550 000					
Global	1 429 960	2 900 000	2 700 000–3 100 000					

Mortality

In total, there were an estimated 410 000 TB deaths among women in 2012. This includes 250 000 (range, 210 000–290 000) TB deaths among HIV-negative women (29% of all TB deaths among HIV-negative adults) and 160 000 (range, 150 000– 170 000) HIV-associated TB deaths (50% of all HIV-associated TB deaths). Newly reported data and a decrease in the overall TB mortality envelope explain the decrease in the estimated number of TB deaths among women compared with figures reported in previous years (see also **Box 2.1**).

Mortality data disaggregated by age and sex from VR systems were used to produce estimates of TB deaths among HIV-negative adults for 120 countries (VR data were available for 121 countries but for China, age and sex-disaggregated data were not available). TB deaths were calculated for women and men, after adjustment for incomplete coverage and ill-defined causes (see **Annex 1** for further details). For countries without VR data, an ecological statistical model was used to predict the ratio of male to female TB mortality. The model included a set of risk factors known to be associated with TB mortality (GDP per capita, the percentage of new cases with MDR-TB, HIV prevalence in the general population and the treatment success rate). Globally, there were 2.55 (range, 1.92–3.18) male deaths among HIV-negative adults for every female death (**Figure B2.2.1**). Regional differences are evident (**Table B2.2.2**), with the African and South-East Asia regions accounting for 69% of total deaths. The main limitation in the methods used is that the 120 countries reporting usable VR data were all middle- or high-income countries. Predictions for lowincome countries had to be extrapolated from these countries.

TB deaths among HIV-positive people were disaggregated by sex using the assumption that the male to female sex ratio is similar to the sex ratio of AIDS deaths estimated by UNAIDS. Globally, the numbers of HIV-associated TB deaths were similar among men and women (**Figure B2.2.2**). However, there were striking regional variations (**Table B2.2.2**). In the African Region, more deaths occurred among women than men, while in other regions more deaths were estimated to have occurred among men.

TABLE B2.2.2

Estimated number of TB deaths among women in 2012, disaggregated by WHO region

		HIV-NEGATIVE	HIV-POSITIVE							
	BEST ESTIMATE	UNCERTAINTY INTERVAL	BEST ESTIMATE	UNCERTAINTY INTERVAL						
AFR	80 000	53 000–110 000	140 000	130 000–150 000						
AMR	5 900	5 000–6 700	2 000	1 900–2 200						
EMR	32 000	18 000-46 000	1 400	1 300-1 600						
EUR	10 000	9 700–10 000	1 200	1 000–1 300						
SEAR	93 000	65 000–120 000	18 000	16 000–20 000						
WPR	26 000	24 000–29 000	1 200	1 000–1 300						
Global	250 000	210 000–290 000	160 000	150 000–170 000						

The burden of TB in children: estimates of TB notifications, incidence and mortality (among those HIV-negative), 2012

TB notifications and incidence

The global number of new TB case notifications among children (aged <15 years) is estimated at 349 000 in 2012 (**Table B2.2.3**). This includes cases reported among children and an estimate of the number of cases among children in countries that did not report notifications disaggregated by age. For countries that did not report age-disaggregated data (**Figure B2.2.3**), it was assumed that the ratio of child to adult notified cases was the same (for each case type) as in those countries that did report notifications disaggregated by age (an alternative method using the assumption that the ratio of childhood to adult notification rates was the same gave similar results). WHO does not request age-disaggregated data for relapse cases or those reported as of unknown case type, and the number of children in these categories was assumed to be zero.

To estimate TB incidence among children, it was assumed that the case detection rate for all ages at the global level in 2012 (best estimate 66%, range 64%–69%) was the same for adults and children. On this basis, TB incidence among children is estimated at 530 000 (range, 510 000–550 000) in 2012, equivalent to about 6% of the total number of 8.6 million incident cases.

Limitations of the methods used include:

- The assumption that the case detection rate is the same for adults and children, in the absence of any data on levels of underreporting of diagnosed cases for children and adults separately.
- The assumption that reported cases were true cases of TB. Misdiagnosis is possible, especially given the difficulties of diagnosing TB in children.
- The proportion of cases among children may be different in countries for which age-disaggregated data were not available. However, reporting of cases disaggregated by age has been improving and the number of countries not reporting age-disaggregated data was relatively low in 2012.

Mortality among HIV-negative children

Mortality data reported to WHO from VR systems that were disaggregated by age were available for 120 countries. These data were used to calculate TB death rates per 100 000 population for children and adults, after adjustment for incomplete coverage and ill-defined causes (see **Annex 1** for further details). For countries without VR data, an ecological statistical model was used to predict the ratio of childhood to adult TB mortality rates. The total number of deaths from TB among HIV-negative children was estimated to be 74 000 (range, 59 000–90 000), equivalent to about 8% of the total number of 940 000 TB deaths among HIV negative people in 2012.

An estimate of TB mortality among HIV-positive children is not included in this report, due to the difficulties arising from the miscoding of HIV deaths as TB deaths. However, age disaggregation of HIV-associated TB mortality will be one of the future outcomes of the TB component of Spectrum (see **Box 2.1**).

FIGURE B2.2.3

Steps to improve estimation of TB cases among children include:

- a global consultation to further develop analytical methods and to define and prioritize actions needed to obtain new data in September 2013;
- promotion of case-based electronic recording and reporting systems that facilitate compilation and analysis of agedisaggregated data;
- nationwide inventory surveys to measure underreporting of childhood TB;
- more contact-tracing studies and the integration of TB activities in maternal, newborn and child health services to find childhood cases that might otherwise not be diagnosed.

FIGURE B2.2.1

The male:female ratio for HIV-negative TB deaths among adults (aged ≥15 years), globally and for WHO regions



FIGURE B2.2.2

The male:female ratio for HIVassociated TB deaths among adults (aged ≥15 years), globally and for WHO regions



TABLE B2.2.3

New TB case notifications in 2012, by case type and age disaggregation

	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR- NEGATIVE ^a	EXTRA- PULMONARY	
Total notifications	2 568 789	1 935 971	817 462	
Countries disaggregating by age	2 551 136	1 597 530	678 953	
Countries not disaggregating by age	17 653	338 441	138 509	
(% total notifications disaggregated)	(99%)	(83%)	(83%)	
Number of countries that report- ed notifications disaggregated by age (number of HBCs) ^b	204 (22)	184 (14)	184 (14)	
Total childhood notifications from countries disaggregating by age	46 488	163 477	91 308	
Total estimated childhood notifications among all countries	349 000			

^a This includes reported cases for whom smear results were unknown or not done.
 ^b An additional nine countries reported zero TB cases for 2012 and three countries had not reported data to WHO by July 2013.



Reporting of notification data disaggregated by age, 2012

Estimated HIV prevalence in new TB cases, 2012



FIGURE 2.5



14 GLOBAL TUBERCULOSIS REPORT 2013

Global trends in estimated rates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality. Left: Global trends in estimated incidence rate including HIV-positive TB (green) and estimated incidence rate of HIV-positive TB (red). Centre and right: Trends in estimated TB prevalence and mortality rates 1990–2012 and forecast TB prevalence and mortality rates 2013–2015. The horizontal dashed lines represent the Stop TB Partnership targets of a 50% reduction in prevalence and mortality rates by 2015 compared with 1990. Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands. Mortality excludes TB deaths among HIV-positive people.



100 000 population. Most countries in the Region of the Americas have rates below 50 per 100 000 population and this is the region with the lowest burden of TB on average. Most of the HBCs have rates of around 150–300 cases per 100 000 population (**Table 2.2**); HBCs with markedly lower rates are Brazil and China, while rates are above 500 per 100 000 population in Mozambique, South Africa and Zimbabwe. Other countries in the top ten worldwide in terms of incidence rates are mostly in Africa (**Figure 2.3**). In South

Africa and Swaziland, the best estimate is that at least 1 in every 100 people (1000 or more per 100 000 population) develops TB each year.

Globally, the incidence rate was relatively stable from 1990 up to around 2001, and then started to fall (**Figure 2.6**), achieving the MDG target ahead of the 2015 deadline. Between 2011 and 2012, the rate of decline was 2%. This downward trend needs to be sustained to ensure that the MDG target is met in 2015. Incidence rates are also declin-

FIGURE 2.7

Estimated TB incidence rates by WHO region, 1990–2012. Regional trends in estimated TB incidence rates (green) and estimated incidence rates of HIV-positive TB (red). Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands.



Estimated TB incidence rates, 22 high-burden countries, 1990–2012. Trends in estimated TB incidence rates (green) and estimated incidence rates of HIV-positive TB (red). Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands.



^a Estimates of TB disease burden have not been approved by the national TB programme in Bangladesh and a joint reassessment will be undertaken following the completion of the prevalence survey planned for 2014.

ing in all of six WHO regions (**Figure 2.7**), fastest in the European Region (6.5% per year) and slowest in the Eastern Mediterranean and South-East Asia Regions (less than 1% per year and 2% per year, respectively). Incidence rates have been falling since the mid-1990s in the Eastern Mediterranean Region and since around 2000 in the South-East Asia Region; they peaked around 1997 in the European Region and around 2002 in the African region, and have been falling since 1990 in the Region of the Americas and the Western Pacific Region. The latest assessment for the 22 HBCs suggests that incidence rates are falling in most countries (**Figure 2.8**).

2.2 TB prevalence

In countries with a relatively high burden of TB (around 100 cases per 100 000 population or more), the prevalence of bacteriologically-confirmed pulmonary TB can be directly measured in nationwide population-based surveys using sample sizes of around 50 000 people. Survey results can be

used to produce a national estimate of TB prevalence that includes all forms of TB. The cost of a survey usually ranges from US\$ 1 to 4 million, and comprehensive theoretical and practical guidance on survey design, implementation, analysis and reporting of results is available.¹ Repeat surveys conducted about every 10 years allow trends in disease burden to be assessed. HBCs that have completed repeat surveys in the last 10 years include Cambodia, China, the Philippines and Thailand, and repeat surveys are planned in Myanmar and Viet Nam. Countries in which surveys have been implemented or are planned in the near future are shown in **Figure 2.9**. Between 2008 and 2017, an unprecedented number of national TB prevalence surveys have been or will be conducted (see also **section 2.4**).

In low- and medium-burden countries, sample sizes and

¹ TB prevalence surveys: a handbook. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2010.17). Available at www.who.int/ tb/advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_taskforce/resources_ documents/thelimebook/

Countries in which national population-based surveys of the prevalence of TB disease have been implemented using currently recommended screening and diagnostic methods^a since 1990 or are planned in the near future: status in July 2013



^a Screening methods include field chest X-ray: culture is used to confirm diagnosis.

^b "National survey planned" means that a country has submitted at least a draft survey protocol and a budget to the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement.

costs become prohibitively large. If survey data are not available, prevalence can be indirectly estimated as the product of incidence and the average duration of disease, but with considerable uncertainty (**Annex 1**). TB prevalence can be estimated only indirectly for most countries.

There were an estimated 12 million prevalent cases (range, 11 million–13 million) of TB in 2012 (Table 2.1), equivalent to 169 cases per 100 000 population (Table 2.2). By 2012, the prevalence rate had fallen 37% globally since 1990. Current forecasts suggest that the Stop TB Partnership target of halving TB prevalence by 2015 compared with a baseline of 1990 will not be met worldwide (Figure 2.6). Regionally, prevalence rates are declining in all six WHO regions (Figure 2.10). The Region of the Americas halved the 1990 level of TB prevalence by around 2004, well in advance of the target year of 2015, and the best estimate suggests that the Western Pacific Region achieved the 50% reduction target in 2012. Reaching the 50% reduction target by 2015 appears feasible in the South-East Asia Region and also in the European Region with a relatively small acceleration in the current rate of progress. The target appears out of reach in the African and Eastern Mediterranean Regions.

2.3 **TB mortality**

TB mortality among HIV-negative people can be directly measured using data from national VR systems, provided that these systems have high coverage and causes of death are accurately coded according to the latest revision of the International classification of diseases (ICD-10). Sample VR systems covering representative areas of the country (e.g. as in China) provide an interim solution. Mortality surveys can also be used to estimate deaths caused by TB. In 2012, most countries with a high burden of TB lacked national or sample VR systems and few had conducted mortality surveys. In the absence of VR systems or mortality surveys, TB mortality can be estimated as the product of TB incidence and the case fatality rate, or from ecological modelling based on mortality data from countries with VR systems. TB mortality among HIV-positive people is hard to measure even when VR systems are in place because deaths among HIV-positive people are coded as HIV deaths and contributory causes (such as TB) are often not reliably recorded. For this 2013 report, country-specific estimates of TB deaths among HIV-positive people were produced for the first time using the Spectrum software that has been used for HIV burden estimates for over a decade (**Box 2.1**).

Until 2008, WHO estimates of TB mortality used VR data for only three countries. This was substantially improved to 89 countries in 2009; however most of the data were from countries in the European Region and the

Trends in estimated TB prevalence rates 1990–2012 and forecast TB prevalence rates 2013–2015, by WHO region. Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands. The horizontal dashed lines represent the Stop TB Partnership target of a 50% reduction in the prevalence rate by 2015 compared with 1990. The other dashed lines show projections up to 2015.



BOX 2.3

MDR-TB mortality - methods used to produce updated estimates

As part of a 2010 global report on the MDR-TB epidemic and the global response, it was estimated that there were 150 000 deaths (range: 53 000–270 000) from MDR-TB in 2008.^a This was the first time WHO published a global estimate of MDR-TB mortality and, given limitations in the available evidence, annual updates have not been attempted.

In theory, the number of deaths from MDR-TB can be estimated as the product of total deaths from TB, the overall proportion of TB cases that have MDR-TB (5.7%), and the relative risk (RR) of dying among people with MDR-TB compared with those without MDR-TB. However, while estimates of total TB mortality and the prevalence of MDR-TB have been available for several years from VR data (i.e. for total TB deaths) and representative surveillance or survey data (for the proportion of cases with MDR-TB), an estimate of the RR was not.

In 2013, WHO commissioned a systematic review of the RR of dying from MDR-TB compared with TB. Twenty-five studies that included data about mortality among patients enrolled on treatment for MDR-TB and TB (without MDR-TB), during and after treatment, were identified. These allowed calculation of a global estimate of the RR of dying from MDR-TB (2.36, range 1.67–3.05). The 25 studies had a broad geographical coverage and included countries with both high and low burdens of MDR-TB and HIV, but were insufficient to estimate region-specific RRs.

Based on the results of the meta-analysis, it is estimated that globally in 2012, there were 170 000 deaths (range: 100 000–240 000) from MDR-TB.

^a Multidrug- and extensively drug-resistant TB (M/XDR-TB): 2010 global report on surveillance and response (WHO/HTM/TB/2010.3). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010. Available at http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2010/978924599191/en/

Countries (in orange) for which TB mortality is estimated using measurements from vital registration (n=121) systems and/or mortality surveys (n=2, India and Viet Nam)



Region of the Americas, which accounted for less than 10% of the world's TB cases. In 2011, the first uses of sample VR data from China and survey data from India enabled a further major improvement to estimates of TB mortality. For the current report, VR data of sufficient coverage and quality were available for 121 countries. Combined with survey data from India and Viet Nam, this means that estimates of TB mortality are based on direct measurements of TB mortality in 123 countries (shown in **Figure 2.11**). Collectively, these 123 countries account for 45% of the estimated number of TB deaths globally. The parts of the world where there are major gaps in the availability of VR data are the African Region and parts of the South-East Asia Region; in the latter, Indonesia is currently building a sample VR system.

There were an estimated 1.3 million TB deaths in 2012 (**Table 2.1, Figure 2.2**): 940 000 among HIV-negative people and 320 000 among HIV-positive people (TB deaths among HIV-positive people are classified as HIV deaths in ICD-10).¹ These deaths included 410 000 among women and 74 000 among children (**Box 2.2**). There were approximately 170 000 deaths from MDR-TB (range, 102 000–242 000): methods used to produce this new global estimate of MDR-TB mortality are explained in **Box 2.3**.

Approximately 75% of total TB deaths occurred in the African and South-East Asia Regions in 2012 (both including and excluding TB deaths among HIV-positive people). India and South Africa accounted for about one-third of global TB deaths.

The number of TB deaths per 100 000 population averaged 13 globally in 2012 (**Table 2.2**) and 17.6 when TB deaths among HIV-positive people are included. There is considerable variation among countries (**Figure 2.12**), ranging from under 1 TB death per 100 000 population (examples include most countries in western Europe, Canada, the United States of America, Australia and New Zealand) to more than 40 deaths per 100 000 population in much of the African Region as well as three HBCs in Asia (Bangladesh, Cambodia and Myanmar).

Globally, mortality rates (excluding deaths among HIV-positive people)² have fallen by 45% since 1990; the current forecast suggests that the Stop TB Partnership target of a 50% reduction in TB mortality by 2015 compared with a baseline of 1990 will be achieved (Figure 2.6). Mortality rates are declining in all six WHO regions (Figure 2.13). The 2015 target has already been surpassed in the Region of the Americas (since 2004) and the Western Pacific Region (since 2002), and may have been reached in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. Among the other three regions, the South-East Asia Region appears best placed to achieve the target. Mortality rates appear to be falling in most of the 22 HBCs (Figure 2.14), although there is considerable uncertainty about the level of and trends in mortality in some countries, notably Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa and Zimbabwe.

¹ International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision (ICD-10), 2nd ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2007.

² Trends in TB mortality rates are restricted to TB deaths among HIV-negative people, given that TB deaths among HIV-positive people are classified as HIV deaths in ICD-10.

Estimated TB mortality rates excluding TB deaths among HIV-positive people, 2012



FIGURE 2.13

Trends in estimated TB mortality rates 1990–2012 and forecast TB mortality rates 2013–2015, by WHO region. Estimated TB mortality excludes TB deaths among HIV-positive people. Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands.^a The horizontal dashed lines represent the Stop TB Partnership target of a 50% reduction in the mortality rate by 2015 compared with 1990. The other dashed lines show projections up to 2015.



^a The width of an uncertainty band narrows as the proportion of regional mortality estimated using vital registration data increases or the quality and completeness of the vital registration data improves.

Trends in estimated TB mortality rates 1990–2012 and forecast TB mortality rates 2013–2015, 22 highburden countries. Estimated TB mortality excludes TB deaths among HIV-positive people. The horizontal dashed lines represent the Stop TB Partnership target of a 50% reduction in the mortality rate by 2015 compared with 1990. The other dashed lines show projections up to 2015.^a Uncertainty is due to adjustments made to the mortality data from vital registration systems that were reported by countries (mortality data from vital registration systems are represented by the "x" symbol). Further explanation of methods is provided in Annex 1.



2.4 Strengthening measurement of the burden of disease caused by TB: the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement

The estimates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality and their trend presented in sections 2.1–2.3 are based on the best available data and analytical methods. Nonetheless, there remains considerable scope to improve measurement of the level of and trends in TB disease burden. This final section of the chapter describes the latest status of efforts to improve measurement of the burden of disease caused by TB, under the umbrella of the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement. This task force was established in 2006 and includes representatives from leading technical and financial partners and countries with a high burden of TB.¹

At its second meeting in December 2007, the Global Task

Force on TB Impact Measurement defined three strategic areas of work: $^{\rm 2}$

• strengthening surveillance towards the ultimate goal of direct measurement of incidence and mortality from notification and VR systems, respectively;

¹ Many countries with a high burden of TB are engaged in the work of the Task Force. Partners that are actively participating in the work of the Task Force include the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the USA, the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, the Global Fund, Public Health England, the KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation, the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine in the UK, the Research Institute for Tuberculosis in Japan, the Union and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID).

² TB impact measurement: policy and recommendations for how to assess the epidemiological burden of TB and the impact of TB control. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2009 (Stop TB policy paper no. 2; WHO/HTM/TB/2009.416). Available at www.who.int/tb/ publications/2009/impactmeasurementpolicy/

- conducting surveys of the prevalence of TB disease in a set of global focus countries that meet epidemiological and other relevant criteria; and
- periodic review and updating of the methods used to translate surveillance and survey data into estimates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality.

In 2008 and 2009, methods were thoroughly reviewed and updated by an expert group convened by the task force. Updates were discussed and endorsed by the full task force in March 2010. Current methods are described in detail in **Annex 1**, and an updated review is planned in 2014 (**Box 2.1**). The following sections focus on the other two strategic areas of work: strengthened surveillance and national TB prevalence surveys. Further details are available on the task force's web site.¹

2.4.1 Strengthening surveillance

Reasons for uncertainty in current estimates of TB incidence include use of expert opinion about both the number of cases that are diagnosed but not reported to national surveillance systems and the number of cases that are not diagnosed at all (section 2.1). Major challenges in estimating TB mortality include the lack of VR systems of sufficient coverage and quality in many countries, notably in Africa and parts of Asia (Figure 2.11). The long-term goal of directly measuring the level of and trends in TB disease burden from routine surveillance data, using notification data to measure TB incidence and VR data to measure TB mortality, requires strengthened surveillance in many countries. Countries for which more robust estimates of mortality were available in 2012 are shown in Figure 2.11.

TB surveillance checklist of standards and benchmarks

Strengthening surveillance to move towards the goal of direct measurement of TB incidence and mortality requires a clear understanding of what a 'model' surveillance system should look like and a method for assessing the current performance of TB surveillance. Following considerable work in 2011 and 2012, a TB surveillance checklist that defines the standards and associated benchmarks that need to be met for a country's notification and VR data to be used as a direct measure of TB incidence and mortality has been developed (**Box 2.4**).

Use of the checklist began in January 2013 and it is being applied in a growing number of countries (**Figure 2.15**) as the basis for identifying what standards are already met and the investments required to close remaining gaps. This work is being undertaken in close collaboration with the Global Fund so that use of the checklist is integrated into the fund's grant processes and findings can inform investments by the fund as well as national governments and other partners (**Box 2.5**). With more than 100 lowand middle-income countries receiving TB grants from the Global Fund, this approach has great potential to make a real difference to TB surveillance worldwide. An initial list of 25 priority countries has been defined.

Inventory studies to measure or estimate TB underreporting

One of the standards in the TB surveillance checklist is that all diagnosed cases of TB are reported to the national surveillance system. The two benchmarks that must be satisfied are that TB reporting is a legal requirement, and that ≥90% of TB cases are reported to national health authorities, as determined by a national-level investigation such as an inventory study. To date, few countries have implemented an inventory study but as the number doing so increases, estimates of the level of and trend in TB incidence will improve. Even when underreporting is considerable and notification data are not a good proxy for TB incidence, results from inventory studies can be used to quantify the gap and obtain more precise estimates of disease burden and provide valuable information about where efforts to collaborate with public and private sector providers are needed (see also Chapter 3, section 3.2.1). In 2012, the Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement completed a guide on how to design and implement an inventory study, and how to analyse and report results.²

In the past 10 years, inventory studies combined with capture–recapture analysis have been implemented in the Netherlands, the UK, French Guiana, Egypt, Iraq, Pakistan and Yemen. Results from the study in Iraq are summarized in **Box 2.6**.

Electronic recording and reporting of data

Several of the standards in the TB surveillance checklist are about data quality. In all of the regional and country workshops held between 2008 and 2013, it was evident that it is much easier to assess the quality of TB surveillance data in countries with case-based electronic recording and reporting systems. Besides facilitating assessment of data quality, electronic recording and reporting systems have other major advantages compared to systems based solely on paper-based recording and reporting. These include:

- Better programme and resource management, by encouraging staff to use and act upon live data. This may help to prevent defaulting from treatment and assist with management of drug supplies (including avoidance of stock-outs).
- Improved surveillance by making it easier for facilities not traditionally linked to the NTP, such as hospitals, prisons and the private sector, to report TB cases, and by reducing the burden of compiling and submitting data through paper-based quarterly reports.
- Analysis and use of data is facilitated, since data can be readily imported into statistical packages. Results are then available to decision-makers more quickly and it is possible to detect outbreaks promptly.

¹ www.who.int/tb/advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_ taskforce

² Assessing tuberculosis underreporting through inventory studies. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2012.12). Available at: www.who.int/tb/publications/inventory_studies/en/index.html

BOX 2.4

The TB surveillance checklist of standards and benchmarks

A major goal of TB surveillance is to provide an accurate measure of the number of new TB cases and TB deaths that occur each year, and to be able to assess trends over time. In some countries, TB surveillance already meets the standards necessary to do this, but in others there are important gaps. For example, TB cases that are diagnosed in the private sector are not reported in many settings, and in many low- and middle-income countries some people with TB may not easily access health care and therefore not be diagnosed at all. Furthermore, a large number of countries lack vital registration systems with the geographical coverage and quality required to accurately measure deaths caused by TB (section 2.3). The Checklist of standards and benchmarks for TB surveillance and vital registration systems was developed with the following objectives:

- To assess a national surveillance system's ability to accurately measure TB cases and deaths.
- To identify TB surveillance gaps in national surveillance systems that need to be addressed.

The outcomes of the checklist can be used to identify countries with surveillance systems that already provide an accurate measure of the number of TB cases and deaths that occur each year, and to define the actions necessary to strengthen surveillance in countries in which gaps are identified.^a Countries in the former category can be certified as having surveillance data that provide a direct measure of TB incidence and/or mortality.

The checklist was developed by a team of experts in disease surveillance in conjunction with expert advice

from meetings organized by WHO in September 2011 and May 2012. The checklist underwent two rounds of field-testing in eleven countries: Brazil, China, Egypt, Estonia, Japan, Kenya, the Netherlands, Thailand, Uganda, the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

The checklist is ten pages long and has two parts. Part A consists of eighteen guestions that are used to characterise the national TB surveillance system; these provide the background for part B, which consists of thirteen standards and their associated benchmarks. The standards are general statements about the characteristics that define a high-performance TB surveillance system; nine standards are related to the measurement of TB cases and one is related to the measurement of TB deaths. There are three supplementary standards that can be used to assess whether a country's surveillance system provides a direct measure of the number of drug-resistant TB cases, HIV-positive TB cases, and TB cases among children. For each of the thirteen standards, benchmarks define (in quantitative terms wherever possible) the level of performance considered sufficient to meet the respective standard. An accompanying user guide explains the rationale for each standard and associated benchmark(s), and the methods that should be used to assess whether the benchmarks and hence the standard are met. Illustrative examples are also provided in the user guide.

Based on a completed assessment using the checklist, countries can identify key actions needed to address identified gaps in notification and vital registration systems. It is anticipated that an assessment of TB surveillance using the checklist would take place every three to five years, but could also be done more frequently.

Following the 2012 recommendations of the Global Fund's Technical Evaluation Reference Group and a collaborative agreement between the fund and WHO, assessments of TB surveillance using the checklist are increasingly being integrated within the fund's grant mechanisms. As such, assessments with the checklist should be timed to coincide with programme reviews, Global Fund grant renewals and the development of the concept notes required to access funding in the fund's new funding model (NFM) launched in 2013. Results can then be used to develop or update monitoring and evaluation investment plans that can be supported through grants from the Global Fund as well as by national budgets and by other partners. This collaborative effort with the Global Fund has great potential to help strengthen TB surveillance in more than a hundred countries receiving grants worldwide. Assessments in 15 highburden and high-impact countries are being prioritized in 2013 and 2014; by August 2013, a total of eleven countries including eight of the fund's high-burden or high-impact countries had completed the assessment (Figure 2.15).

The checklist and user guide are available on the website of the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement:

http://www.who.int/tb/advisory_bodies/ impact_measurement_taskforce/en/

^a The checklist is not intended to assess a system's ability to fulfil other programmatic requirements, e.g. patient care, delivery of laboratory results, or drug management.

- Higher quality data, since automated data quality checks can be used and duplicate or misclassified notifications can be identified and removed (which is very difficult or impossible to do nationally with paper-based systems). It is also easier to introduce new data items.
- Identification of clusters of cases in space and time, including clusters of drug-resistant cases, thus allowing early investigation and containment of epidemics.

Countries that have national electronic case-based databases of TB patients are shown in **Figure 2.16**. A recent example of the implementation of a case-based electronic recording and reporting system, in Kenya, is described in **Box 2.7**. Recent guidance on electronic recording and reporting for TB care and control, developed by WHO and partners in 2011, is available on the task force's website.¹

¹ Electronic recording and reporting for TB care and control. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2011.22). Available at www.who.int/tb/publications/electronic_recording_ reporting
BOX 2.5

The TB surveillance checklist in Indonesia: from implementation to resource mobilization

A national assessment of the TB surveillance system in Indonesia using the *Checklist of standards and benchmarks for TB surveillance and vital registration systems* (see **Box 2.4**) was undertaken in February 2013, linked to a national programme review.

A thorough analysis of all available national, provincial and district level time series of TB notification and other available surveillance data was completed. A desk review of NTP manuals, guidelines, policy and training documents, annual reports, reporting forms and registers was conducted. Other information was collected through interviews with NTP staff, partners and other stakeholders.

The TB surveillance system is based on quarterly reporting of notified cases from health facilities, to districts, to provinces and finally to the national level. It is currently transitioning to a web- and case-based electronic recording and reporting system. TB case definitions were consistent with international guidelines. There were 483 out of 497 districts in the country that submitted all quarterly reports to the national level in 2011. The system produced externally but not internally consistent data. Since TB reporting is not a legal requirement, not all TB cases were reported to the NTP, but the level of underreporting of cases from the private to the public sector has not been measured nationally. There have been steady improvements in access to health care, but it is still not at a level sufficient to ensure that all TB cases have access to diagnosis and care. A nationally representative VR system with standard coding of causes of death is being developed. Only provincial level drug resistance surveys have been conducted so far, and while HIV testing of TB cases was improving the coverage remains low. Finally, childhood TB was diagnosed in limited settings.

Activities to address the gaps that were identified from the implementation of the checklist were defined (see **Table B2.7.1**). One of the top priorities is maintenance of the sample VR system, which costs US\$ \$0.5–1 per capita in the sampled areas (equivalent to about US\$ 2.5–5 million per year for the population of 5 million to be covered). For the other activities in **Table B2.7.1**, the total budget requirement was estimated to be US\$ 1 million, among which one top priority (identified in the key recommendations arising from the 2013 programme review) is implementation of a mandatory notification policy. Through continuous consultations between the NTP, WHO and the Global Fund, the financing required for the investment plan was identified and secured.

This example shows how the checklist can be used to conduct a standardized assessment of TB surveillance, highlight progress achieved as well as remaining gaps to be addressed, and to secure funding for an investment plan to close the gaps with support from the Global Fund.

TABLE B2.7.1

Investment plan for strengthening surveillance in Indonesia based on gaps identified through the implementation of the *Checklist of standards and benchmarks for TB surveillance and vital registration systems* (total budget US\$ 1 million excluding VR system funded separately)

Activity

- Vital registration (VR): maintaining and scaling up the nationallyrepresentative sample VR system
- Inventory study to measure the level of underreporting
- Capacity building for data management and statistical analysis through attending courses and extra staffing at the central level
- Implementation of the Service Availability and Readiness Assessment Tool and health facility data quality assessment
- Assessment of the Integrated Tuberculosis Information System (SITT) Phase 2 in 2014
- Implementing mandatory notification policy
- Analysis of available mortality data
- Drug resistance survey or sentinel surveillance
- Nationally representative survey of HIV prevalence among TB patients
- Corrective actions required to compile all the reports from Papua

2.4.2 National surveys of the prevalence of TB disease

Before 2007, few countries had implemented nationwide prevalence surveys. In the 1990s, national surveys were confined to China, Myanmar, the Philippines and the Republic of Korea. Before 2009 and with the exception of Eritrea in 2005, the last national surveys in the African Region were undertaken between 1957 and 1961. From 2002 to 2008, there was typically one survey per year.

In 2007, WHO's Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement identified 53 countries that met epidemiological and other criteria for implementing a survey. A set of 22 global focus countries were selected to receive particular support in the years leading up to 2015. The African countries were: Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia. Countries in Asia were: Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Myanmar, Pakistan, the Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam. Since early 2008, substantial efforts to support countries to design, implement, analyse and report on surveys have been made. Examples include development of updated guidance,¹ coordination of technical assistance, expert reviews of protocols, organization of study tours and mid-term survey reviews, and global and regional workshops to support survey design and implementation and to share results and lessons learned among countries. As part of these efforts,

¹ TB prevalence surveys: a handbook. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2010.17). Available at www.who.int/ tb/advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_taskforce/resources_ documents/thelimebook/

Countries (in orange) where the TB surveillance checklist of standards and benchmarks has been used: status in August 2013



BOX 2.6

Inventory studies to estimate TB underreporting: an example from Iraq

Inventory studies compare the number of TB cases meeting standard case definitions in all or in a sample of public and private health facilities with the records of TB cases notified to local and national authorities. This enables the level of underreporting of diagnosed cases to be quantified. In certain circumstances, the results from inventory studies can be combined with a type of modelling called capture–recapture analysis to estimate TB incidence. A WHO guide on the design and implementation of inventory studies, and analysis and reporting of results, was published in 2013.

The results from the survey in Iraq,^a which was completed in 2011, are illustrated below. The number of TB cases that were detected by three types of health service providers was studied during a three-month period in eight randomly selected governorates (out of a total of 18). The total number of detected cases was 1980. Cases that were detected but not reported to the NTP accounted for 16% of total detected cases i.e. the level of underreporting was 16%. Capture-recapture modelling was used to estimate that an additional 473 cases (95% confidence interval: 394-565) had not been detected by any of the three types of health providers. These results were used to estimate that there were approximately 14 500 incident cases of TB in Iraq in 2011 (a downward revision compared with previous estimates) and that about 60% of cases were being detected (an upward revision from the previous best estimate of 48%).

The value of study results went beyond updates to estimates of TB incidence. Examples include:

FIGURE B2.6.1

Results from the 2011 inventory study in Iraq



- Updated estimates were crucial for the development of a sound national strategic plan and to assess progress towards the 2015 MDG target.
- The national strategic plan includes interventions designed to address the causes of underreporting that were identified during the study.
- The mapping of all health facilities delivering care to chest-symptomatic patients in study areas (that covered 50% of the country) provided a foundation for sustained engagement of all care providers through PPM initiatives.
- ^a Huseynova S et al. Estimating tuberculosis burden and reporting in resource-limited countries: a capture-recapture study in Iraq. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*. 2013;17(4):462–7.

FIGURE 2.16

Availability of national electronic case-based databases of TB patients, 2012



FIGURE 2.17

Global progress in implementing national surveys of the prevalence of TB disease, actual (2002–2013) and expected (2014–2017)



the concept of Asia–Asia, Asia–Africa and Africa–Africa ('AA') collaboration has been strongly promoted.

Following six years of substantial effort at country, regional and global levels, unprecedented progress has been achieved (Figure 2.17). If surveys are implemented according to schedule, more than 20 surveys will be implemented between 2011 and 2015. Five national TB prevalence surveys were implemented in 2012 (Gambia, Nigeria, Rwanda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Thailand) and a further five will start or be completed in 2013 (Ghana, Indonesia, Malawi, Sudan and Zambia). These surveys provide an unbiased estimation of disease burden, often for the first time, and will be used to update estimates

of disease burden once results are finalized (Box 2.1).

Surveys are also providing a rich source of data to inform programme policy and strategy. Although results remain provisional pending finalization of analyses in late 2013 (**Box 2.1**), an excellent recent example is provided by the 2012 survey in Nigeria (**Box 2.8**). For other recent surveys, some country-specific reports are already publicly available (for example, from China, Cambodia, Ethiopia and Myanmar) and others are in the pipeline. Papers for peer-reviewed journals are also in preparation, from these and other recent surveys.

WHO, together with countries and technical partners, started preparing or planning global and regional synthe-

BOX 2.7

Implementation of an innovative electronic surveillance and management system in Kenya

The NTP in Kenya has rolled out an innovative electronic system to support surveillance and management, called TIBU (which means *cure* in Swahili). In addition to running electronic versions of its standard district TB registers, the TIBU system makes use of the country's extensive mobile communications network and widespread use of mobile phones to make payments to MDR-TB patients that help to support their treatment through the popular *M-Pesa* mobile payment system. The system will also be used to manage drug supplies and laboratory data and consumables.

At TIBU's core is a national case-based database that stores details about each individual patient episode of TB (including cases of MDR-TB). Users access the system either through a web browser or by using an Android 'app'. The NTP has given each District TB and Leprosy Coordinator (DTLC) a tablet computer that runs the Android operating system and is fitted with a SIM card to connect to the internet through mobile telephone networks. DTLCs can access the system during their regular visits to all facilities providing TB diagnostic and treatment services within their district and enter TB patient details into the TIBU app during their visits to these facilities. Data are transmitted directly to the national database via the mobile network. Data remain stored on the tablet if no connection is available at a facility and are subsequently transmitted to the national database as soon as a connection is available. TIBU automatically generates various reports, including standard quarterly reports, charts and maps for all levels of the administrative chain.

The NTP and developers work closely with other parts of the Ministry of Health to ensure that TIBU complies with national standards, such that it can communicate with the ministry's other health information systems.^a TIBU uses Kenya's national facility coding scheme (http://www.ehealth.or.ke/facilities/ facilitytypes.aspx) and therefore the developers were able to build a seamless link to the *Kenya Health Information System*^b that provides district, provincial and national health officials with indicators for multiple health areas, including TB. This allows standard TB indicators to be automatically updated every quarter in the ministry's system.

TIBU has been developed in phases. Development was initiated in 2007 and the original intention was to run the system on personal digital assistants (PDAs). However, problems with initial attempts to implement the system combined with the rapid rise, availability and falling costs of Android-based mobile devices, as well as the widespread adoption of mobile phones, led to a decision to switch to the development of an Android app for use on smartphones and tablet computers. Plans for future phases include extending coverage beyond TB and leprosy to patients with asthma and other lung diseases, integration with the laboratory management system and eventually, if resources allow, roll out of tablets to over 4000 health care facilities where TB diagnostic and treatment services are offered.

^a In May 2013 the World Health Assembly adopted resolution WHA66.24 on promoting such standardization and interoperability of health information systems (http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA66/A66_R24-en.pdf).

Based on the open source DHIS2 platform http://www.dhis2.org/

BOX 2.8

The 2012 national TB prevalence survey in Nigeria: programmatic implications

Implementation of the first-ever national TB prevalence survey in Nigeria began in February 2012 and field activities (covering 70 geographic clusters) were completed in October 2012. Nigeria became the second African country to successfully complete a national survey according to current WHO guidelines, following Ethiopia in 2011. A total of 43 439 people (aged \geq 15) participated in the study.

Survey results included that 75% of previously undetected cases found during the survey had sputum smear-positive TB and classic TB symptoms that met national screening criteria (this proportion was higher than in any other survey implemented since 2002). Comparison of the number of prevalent cases of sputum-smear positive TB with notification data for the same age group showed a prevalence:notification ratio of 5 (also higher than in any other survey implemented since 2002). The survey also illustrated that the burden of TB was geographically uneven, ranging from very low to extremely high levels among survey clusters.

An important conclusion already drawn from the survey results is that a top priority is to expand access to and improve the quality of basic TB diagnostic and treatment services. If high-quality DOTS services were readily available, it would be expected that both the percentage of prevalent cases with typical TB symptoms and the prevalence:notification ratio would be much lower. A second conclusion is that specific focus is needed on geographic 'hotspots' where the disease burden is highest.

ses of the main results and lessons learned from national TB prevalence surveys in 2012. A paper that summarizes the results and lessons learned from surveys conducted 1990–2012 in Asia is nearing completion and a similar paper about recent surveys in Africa is planned. An overall

synthesis of the main implications of results from recent prevalence surveys implemented in Asia and Africa for post-2015 global TB policy and strategy is also in the pipeline. These global and regional summaries will be widely disseminated once available, starting in 2014.

CHAPTER 3

TB case notifications and treatment outcomes

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

■ In 2012, 6.1 million cases of TB were notified by NTPs and reported to WHO: 5.7 million were individuals newly diagnosed in 2012 and 0.4 million were previously diagnosed TB patients whose treatment regimen was changed. India and China accounted for 39% of notified cases of TB worldwide in 2012, African countries for 23% and the 22 HBCs for 82%.

■ In 2011, the treatment success rate was 87% among all new TB cases and 87% among new cases of sputum smear-positive pulmonary TB (the most infectious cases). Improvement in treatment outcomes is needed in the European Region, where the treatment success rate in 2011 was 72% and 65% for new cases and new smear-positive cases respectively.

The provision of diagnosis and treatment according to the DOTS/Stop TB Strategy has resulted in major achievements in TB care and control. Between 1995 and 2012, 56 million people were successfully treated for TB in countries that had adopted the DOTS/Stop TB Strategy, saving 22 million lives.

■ Notifications of TB cases have stabilized in recent years, and in 2012 represented 66% (range, 64–69%) of estimated incident cases. The gap between notifications and incident cases can be explained by a mixture of underreporting of diagnosed TB cases (for example, failure to notify cases diagnosed in the private sector) and underdiagnosis due to poor access to health care and/or failure to detect cases when people visit health care facilities. Major efforts are needed to ensure that all cases are detected, notified to national surveillance systems and treated according to international standards.

■ In 2012, most notified TB patients were 15–44 years of age. Children (aged <15 years) accounted for 6% of notified cases. The male:female ratio was 1.7 globally, ranging from 1.0 to 2.1 among the six WHO regions.

Routine recording and reporting of the numbers of TB cases diagnosed and treated by NTPs and monitoring of treatment outcomes was one of the five components of the global TB strategy (DOTS) launched by WHO in the mid-1990s and it remains a core element of its successor, the *Stop TB Strategy* (Chapter 1). With the standard definitions of cases and treatment outcomes recommended by WHO and associated recording and reporting framework as a foundation, global monitoring of trends in case notifications and treatment outcomes has been possible since 1995. The number of people diagnosed and treated for TB and associated treatment outcomes is routinely monitored by NTPs in almost all countries, which in turn report these data to WHO in annual rounds of global TB data collection (Chapter 1).

This chapter has four parts. Section 3.1 summarizes the total number of people diagnosed with TB and notified by NTPs in 2012, including disaggregation by case type, age and sex. The share of notifications accounted for by the prison sector in the European Region and the high case notification rates among the prison population are also highlighted. Section 3.2 presents and discusses the contribution to total case notifications of public-public and public-private mix (PPM) initiatives in 29 countries and of community-based care in 13 countries. Section 3.3 presents trends in notifications between 1990 and 2012 and compares these with trends in estimated TB incidence. Estimates of the ratio of notified:incident cases (an indicator known as the case detection rate or CDR) are provided for selected years. Section 3.4 describes the latest data on treatment outcomes (for cases registered for treatment in 2011) as well as treatment outcomes achieved in each year since 1995.

3.1 Case notifications in 2012 by type of disease, age and sex

The definitions of TB cases recommended by WHO until the end of 2012, and that were used in the 2013 round of global TB data collection, are shown in **Box 3.1**. Although not used in the global TB report this year, it should be highlighted that after a two-year consultation process, WHO issued updated guidance on definitions of cases and treatment outcomes and an associated reporting framework in March 2013.¹ These updates were necessary to accommodate

¹ Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.2). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013. (Available at www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/1/ 9789241505345_eng.pdf).

TABLE 3.1

Case notifications, 2012

					NEW CASES				RETREATM	ENT CASES	
	TOTAL NOTIFIED	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE	SMEAR NOT DONE	EXTRA- PULMONARY	CASE TYPE UNKNOWN	PULMONARY CASES LABORATORY CONFIRMED	PERCENTAGE OF PULMONARY CASES LABORATORY CONFIRMED	RELAPSE	RETREATMENT EXCL. RELAPSE	NEW AND RELAPSE ^a
Afghanistan	29 578	13 319	4 740	2 665	6 906	702	13 319	62	1049	197	29 381
Bangladesh	173 619	106 790	24 451	0	30 549	0	106 790	81	3 065	4 936	168 683
Brazil	82 755	40 152	12 178	8 592	10 297	11	42 489	70	3 867	7 633	75 122
Cambodia	40 258	14 838	8 509	0	15 290	0	14 838	64	446	73	40 185
China	900 678	316 332	533 977	2 073	6 479	0	316 332	37	31 784	10 033	890 645
DR Congo	112 499	71 124	13 214		20 669		71 124	84	3 977	3 515	108 984
Ethiopia	147 592	47 236	47 340	2 073	46 854	0	47 236	49	1 820	2 269	145 323
India	1 467 585	629 589	317 616		234 029	2 139	637 273	67	106 463	177 749	1 289 836
Indonesia	331 424	202 319	104 866		15 697		202 319	66	5 942	2 600	328 824
Kenya	99 149	36 937	28 574	8 123	15 934	0	36 937	50	3 419	6 162	92 987
Mozambique	50 827	20 951	19 797		5 542	0	20 951	51	1 451	3 086	47 741
Myanmar	148 149	42 909	73 042	0	20 661	0	42 909	37	4 558	6 979	141 170
Nigeria	97 853	52 901	32 972		4 432		52 901	62	2 513	5 035	92 818
Pakistan	273 097	110 545	109 425	0	41 410	0	110 545	50	6 095	5 622	267 475
Philippines	235 608	93 586	115 263	0	3 270	0	93 586	45	4 080	19 409	216 199
Russian Federation	149 921	27 467	59 019	1 039	10 017	0	41 123	47	8 211	44 168	105 753
South Africa	349 582	119 898	63 210	71 421	42 467	0	180 857	71	26 668	25 918	323 664
Thailand	61 208	30 998	17 537		8 852		30 998 ^b	64	1 887	904	60 304
Uganda	47 211	24 916	11 487	1 783	5 143	0	24 916	65	1 334	2 548	44 663
UR Tanzania	63 892	25 138	21 393	0	14 595	0	25 138	54	1 052	1 714	62 178
Viet Nam	103 906	51 033	21 706		18 904	3 210	51 033	67	7 259	1 794	102 112
Zimbabwe	38 720	12 163	14 354	2 962	4 912	0	12 163	41	1 369	2 960	35 760
High-burden countries	5 005 111	2 091 141	1 654 670	100 731	582 909	6 062	2 144 779	56	228 309	335 304	4 669 807
AFR	1 412 639	600 355	345 947	100 537	234 539	977	656 272	63	60 497	67 770	1 344 869
AMR	232 695	122 606	35 606	14 564	34 400	1 669	132 070	76	9 949	13 862	218 833
EMR	430 789	173 963	135 346	8 523	90 943	702	175 025	55	11 208	10 020	420 769
EUR	337 167	78 336	118 614	6 257	39 029	30	112 577	55	25 185	67 662	269 505
SEAR	2 331 455	1 065 852	586 455	0	338 303	3 004	1 027 902	62	131 245	201 335	2 130 120
WPR	1 345 466	500 171	691 714	9 751	59 294	3 287	502 652	42	45 277	34 740	1 310 726
Global	6 090 211	2 541 283	1 913 682	139 632	796 508	9 6 6 9	2 606 498	57	283 361	395 389	5 694 822

Blank cells indicate data not reported.

^a NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

^b LABORATORY CONFIRMED data for Thailand refer to smear-positive cases only. Data on cases that were laboratory confirmed using other methods were not reported.

diagnosis using Xpert MTB/RIF and other WHO-endorsed molecular tests (**Chapter 5**), as well as offering an opportunity to improve aspects of the existing (2006) framework, such as inclusion of more comprehensive reporting of TB cases among children. The updated definitions, which will be used in WHO's 2014 round of global TB data collection, are summarized in **Box 3.2**.

In 2012, 6.1 million people with TB were notified to NTPs and reported to WHO. Of these, 5.7 million had a new episode of TB (shown as the total of new and relapse cases in **Table 3.1**). Of these 5.7 million cases, 5.4 million had TB for the first time and 0.3 million were people who had a recurrent episode of TB after being previously cured of the disease. Besides a small number of cases whose history of

treatment was not recorded, the remaining 0.4 million had already been diagnosed with TB but their treatment was changed to a retreatment regimen.

Among people who were diagnosed with TB for the first time (new cases), 2.5 million had sputum smear-positive pulmonary TB, 1.9 million had sputum smear-negative pulmonary TB, and 0.8 million had extrapulmonary TB; the remaining cases did not have a sputum smear done or their case type was unknown (**Table 3.1**). India and China accounted for 39% of the 5.7 million new and relapse cases of TB that were notified in 2012 (23% and 16%, respectively); the South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions in which these countries are respectively located together accounted for 60% of such cases globally. African countries

BOX 3.1

WHO definitions of TB cases used until the end of 2012 (and in this global TB report)^a

Definite case of TB A patient with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex identified from a clinical specimen, either by culture or by a newer method such as molecular line probe assay (LPA). In countries lacking laboratory capacity to routinely identify *M. tuberculosis*, a pulmonary case with one or more initial sputum specimens positive for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) is also considered to be a 'definite' case, provided that there is functional external quality assurance with blind rechecking.

Case of TB A definite case of TB (defined above) or one in which a health worker (clinician or other medical practitioner) has diagnosed TB and decided to treat the patient with a full course of anti-TB treatment.

Case of pulmonary TB A patient with TB disease involving the lung parenchyma.

Smear-positive pulmonary case of TB A patient with one or more initial sputum smear examinations (direct smear microscopy) AFB-positive; or one sputum examination AFBpositive plus radiographic abnormalities consistent with active pulmonary TB as determined by a clinician. Smear-positive cases are the most infectious and thus of the highest priority from a public health perspective.

Smear-negative pulmonary case of TB A patient with pulmonary TB who does not meet the above criteria for smear-positive disease. Diagnostic criteria should include: at least two AFB-negative sputum smear examinations; radiographic abnormalities consistent with active pulmonary TB; no response to a course of broad-spectrum antibiotics (except in a patient for whom there is laboratory confirmation or strong clinical evidence of HIV infection); and a decision by a clinician to

treat with a full course of anti-TB chemotherapy. A patient with positive culture but negative AFB sputum examinations is also a smear-negative case of pulmonary TB.

Extrapulmonary case of TB A patient with TB of organs other than the lungs (e.g. pleura, lymph nodes, abdomen, genitourinary tract, skin, joints and bones, meninges). Diagnosis should be based on one culture-positive specimen, or histological or strong clinical evidence consistent with active extrapulmonary disease, followed by a decision by a clinician to treat with a full course of anti-TB chemotherapy. A patient in whom both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB has been diagnosed should be classified as a pulmonary case.

New case of TB A patient who has never had treatment for TB or who has taken anti-TB drugs for less than one month.

Retreatment case of TB There are three types of retreatment case: (i) a patient previously treated for TB who is started on a retreatment regimen after previous treatment has failed (treatment after failure); (ii) a patient previously treated for TB who returns to treatment having previously defaulted; and (iii) a patient who was previously declared cured or treatment completed and is diagnosed with bacteriologically-positive (sputum smear or culture) TB (relapse).

Case of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) TB that is resistant to two first-line drugs: isoniazid and rifampicin. For most patients diagnosed with MDR-TB, WHO recommends treatment for 20 months with a regimen that includes secondline anti-TB drugs.

^a See Treatment of tuberculosis guidelines, 4th ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010 (WHO/HTM/STB/2009.420). Available at http://whqlibdoc. who.int/publications/2010/9789241547833_eng.pdf

accounted for 24% of new and relapse TB cases globally (one quarter of these cases were from one country – South Africa). The WHO Eastern Mediterranean and European Regions and the Region of the Americas accounted for 16% of new and relapse TB cases notified in 2012 (7%, 5% and 4%, respectively); combined, the 22 HBCs accounted for 82% of such cases.

Among the 22 HBCs, the percentage of new pulmonary cases that were bacteriologically confirmed was highest in Bangladesh (81%) and the Democratic Republic of the Congo (84%), and relatively low in China (37%), the Philippines (45%), the Russian Federation (47%) and Zimbabwe (41%).

Almost all (96%) of the notifications of new cases of smear-positive pulmonary TB were disaggregated by age and sex (**Table 3.2**); 88% were aged 15–64 years, 59% were aged 15–45 years and 2% were children (aged <15 years). The global male:female sex ratio was 1.9, but among HBCs this varied from 0.5 in Afghanistan to 3.0 in Viet Nam. Variation among countries may reflect real differences in epidemiology as well as differential access to or use of health care services linked to the NTP.

Reporting of cases disaggregated by age and sex was much less complete for new smear-negative pulmonary and extrapulmonary cases. For example, data disaggregated by age and sex according to the categories shown in **Table 3.2** were not available for 11 HBCs. When the available data for all new cases were combined, most cases (82%) were aged 15–64 years, 55% were aged 15–45 years and 6% were among children (<15 years); the male:female ratio was 1.7, ranging from 1.0 to 2.1 among the six WHO regions. Further efforts are needed to improve reporting of all cases disaggregated by age and sex.

In the European Region, WHO and the European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (ECDC) also request countries to report notifications in the civilian and prison sectors separately. These data show that notifications in the prison sector can be a considerable share of all cases, and that case notification rates in the prison population can be strikingly high. A summary of the latest data in the European Region and selected countries, and an example of success in reducing notification rates in the Russian Federation, are provided in **Box 3.3**.

BOX 3.2

WHO definitions of TB cases recommended for use starting in 2013 and that will be used in the 2014 global TB report^a

Bacteriologically confirmed case of TB A patient from whom a biological specimen is positive by smear microscopy, culture or WHO-approved rapid diagnostic test (such as Xpert MTB/RIF). All such cases should be notified, regardless of whether TB treatment is started.

Clinically diagnosed case of TB A patient who does not fulfil the criteria for bacteriologically confirmed TB but has been diagnosed with active TB by a clinician or other medical practitioner who has decided to give the patient a full course of TB treatment. This definition includes cases diagnosed on the basis of X-ray abnormalities or suggestive histology and extrapulmonary cases without laboratory confirmation. Clinically diagnosed cases subsequently found to be bacteriologically positive (before or after starting treatment) should be reclassified as bacteriologically confirmed.

Case of pulmonary TB Any bacteriologically confirmed or clinically diagnosed case of TB involving the lung parenchyma or the tracheobronchial tree. Miliary TB is classified as pulmonary TB because there are lesions in the lungs. Tuberculous intra-thoracic lymphadenopathy (mediastinal and/ or hilar) or tuberculous pleural effusion, without radiographic abnormalities in the lungs, constitute a case of extrapulmonary TB. A patient with both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB should be classified as a case of pulmonary TB.

Case of extrapulmonary TB Any bacteriologically confirmed or clinically diagnosed case of TB involving organs other than the lungs, e.g. pleura, lymph nodes, abdomen, genitourinary tract, skin, joints and bones, meninges.

New case of TB A patient who has never been treated for TB or has taken anti-TB drugs for less than 1 month.

Retreatment case of TB A patient who has been treated for 1 month or more with anti-TB drugs in the past. Retreatment cases are further classified by the outcome of their most recent course of treatment into four categories.

- Relapse patients have previously been treated for TB, were declared cured or treatment completed at the end of their most recent course of treatment, and are now diagnosed with a recurrent episode of TB (either a true relapse or a new episode of TB caused by reinfection).
- 2. *Treatment after failure* patients have previously been treated for TB and their most recent course of treatment failed.
- 3. *Treatment after loss to follow-up* patients have previously been treated for TB and were declared 'lost to follow-up' at the end of their most recent course of treatment (this category corresponds to the 'defaulted' category defined in **Box 3.1**).
- Other previously treated patients are those who have previously been treated for TB but whose outcome after their most recent course of treatment is unknown or undocumented.

Case of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) As defined in Box 3.1

Case of rifampicin-resistant TB (RR-TB) A patient with TB that is resistant to rifampicin detected using phenotypic or genotypic methods, with or without resistance to other anti-TB drugs. It includes any resistance to rifampicin, whether mono-resistance, multidrug resistance, polydrug resistance or extensive drug resistance.

3.2 Contribution of public–public and public–private mix initiatives and community-based TB activities to TB case notifications in 2012

3.2.1 Public-public and public-private mix (PPM)

Ensuring proper diagnosis, standardized treatment and prompt notification of all TB cases to NTPs requires collaboration with the full range of health care providers. Engaging all care providers in TB care and control is component 4 of the *Stop TB Strategy* (**Chapter 1**). Its two subcomponents are:

- involving all public, voluntary, corporate and private providers through PPM approaches; and
- promoting the International Standards for Tuberculosis Care.¹

Many countries have scaled up PPM initiatives. Demonstrating progress in terms of the contribution of non-NTP providers to total case notifications requires systematic recording of the source of referral and place of TB treatment locally, and reporting and analysis of aggregated data nationally.² In 2013, 73 countries reported summary data to WHO, and data for 29 of these countries (including 14 HBCs) are shown in **Table 3.3**. In most of these countries, PPM initiatives contributed about 10% to 40% of total notifications.

Considering that the private medical sector in Africa is much smaller compared with that in Asia, the contribution of private-for-profit and not-for-profit providers in Ethiopia, Kenya, Nigeria and the United Republic of Tanzania is noteworthy. Progress in parts of Asia is also noticeable – almost every fourth case in Indonesia and the Philippines was notified by non-NTP care providers in 2012. Large public sector hospitals have contributed sizeable proportions of cases in China and Indonesia as well as in the Philippines, and engagement of large hospitals is one of the major strategies required to improve detection and notification of TB

^a Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision (WHO/ HTM/TB/2013.2). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013. Available at www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/1/9789241505345_eng.pdf

¹ http://www.istcweb.org/ISTC_Documents.html

 $^{^2\;}$ WHO recommends that the source of referral and the place of treatment should be routinely recorded and reported.

TABLE 3.2

TB case notifications by age and sex, 2012

		N	EW SMEAR-POSITIVE	CASES					ALL NEW CASES	a		
	0–14 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45–64 YEARS	≥65 YEARS	% AGED < 15 YEARS	MALE: FEMALE RATIO	0-14 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45–64 YEARS	≥65 YEARS	% AGED < 15 YEARS	MALE: FEMALE RATIO
Afghanistan	588	8 469	3 106	1 156	4	0.5					15	0.6
Bangladesh	966	56 209	34 674	14 941	< 1	1.9	4 842	88 156	48 190	20 602	3	1.6
Brazil	580	25 209	11 129	3 190	1	2.3	2 388	42 306	20 056	6 322	3	2.0
Cambodia	53	6 000	6 064	2 724	< 1	1.2					-	-
China	1091	138 667	110 614	65 960	< 1	2.5	5 625	397 615	284 934	170 687	< 1	2.2
DR Congo	3 138	47 722	17 066	3 198	4	1.3					-	-
Ethiopia					-	-					16	1.2
India	12 957	378 071	186 737	51 824	2	2.2					7	-
Indonesia	1 703	116 326	70 491	13 799	< 1	1.5	27 343	172 706	100 254	22 579	8	1.4
Kenya	996	29 779	5 114	1048	3	1.6	5 368	58 234	13 853	3 994	7	1.4
Mozambique					-	-					13	-
Myanmar	338	24 076	14 405	4 0 9 0	< 1	1.9					-	-
Nigeria	1 187	38 590	10 571	2 553	2	1.6					-	-
Pakistan	3 947	66 901	29 149	10 548	4	1.1					10	1.0
Philippines	1 032	49 736	29 617	6 943	1	2.3					-	1.9
Russian Federation	48	17 039	8 793	1 587	< 1	2.7	3 688	62 298	26 302	5 254	4	2.2
South Africa	2 650	86 899	24 964	4 151	2	1.3	38 578	187 239	58 762	11 183	13	1.2
Thailand	117	13 525	11 435	5 921	< 1	2.4					-	-
Uganda	636	18 535	4 777	914	3	1.8					-	-
UR Tanzania	490	17 855	5 257	1 536	2	1.8					9	1.5
Viet Nam	142	23 310	18 363	9 218	< 1	3.0					-	-
Zimbabwe	293	9 568	1 870	432	2	1.3	2 911	23 541	5 954	1 985	8	1.2
High-burden countries	32 952	1 172 486	604 196	205 733	2	1.9	231 674	1 724 885	894 852	343 231	6	1.7
AFR	14 340	387 286	106 782	22 983	3	1.5	97 629	571 919	167 236	38 997	9	1.3
AMR	2 012	61 956	27 462	11 282	2	1.7	9 646	98 753	45 899	19 974	5	1.6
EMR	5 641	107 871	43 608	16 843	3	1.2	41 847	133 536	53 351	21 545	10	1.0
EUR	325	46 286	24 440	7 355	< 1	2.4	10 042	129 898	60 455	20 575	5	1.9
SEAR	17 116	617 926	336 069	94 741	2	2.0	119 186	739 149	397 219	112 503	6	1.8
WPR	2 693	230 572	172 377	88 191	< 1	2.4	13 945	498 524	351 268	195 965	1	2.1
Global	42 127	1 451 897	710 738	241 395	2	1.9	292 295	2 171 779	1 075 428	409 559	6	1.7

Blank cells indicate data that could not be reported for the age categories shown.

indicates values that cannot be calculated.

^a Numbers in each age category are only shown if data were reported for all four age categories for each category of TB case. For this reason, there are small discrepancies between numbers presented in this table and in the tables that appear in **Box 2.2** of **Chapter 2**.

cases. Experience from a project that was recently completed in five countries is profiled in **Box 3.4**.

Approaches to engage non-NTP care providers vary according to the local context, but there are some important cross-cutting elements. One is provision of standardized care by non-NTP providers according to national guidelines, in return for provision of free anti-TB drugs, supervision and quality assurance, and financial or nonfinancial incentives by NTPs. A second is the use of the *Inter*- *national Standards for Tuberculosis Care*, which facilitates the use of best practices in TB diagnosis and treatment among all care providers, especially those in the private sector. In the European Region and the Region of the Americas, contributions to case notifications from public sector providers outside the purview of the Ministry of Health, such as social security organizations and prison health services, are relatively large.

TB and prisons

As an airborne disease, TB thrives in the crowded, poorlyventilated environments found in prisons in many parts of the world. Conditions of prison life including malnutrition and stress can also contribute to a higher risk of developing TB disease, and inadequate or inaccessible medical care can lead to poor treatment outcomes and acquisition of resistance. Prisoners disproportionately come from marginalized socioeconomic backgrounds – including substance users, homeless people, people with mental illness, ethnic minorities, asylum seekers and immigrants – and therefore often enter the prison system with an existing high prevalence of TB infection or even with active disease. Prisons also contribute to overall TB burden in that they are not entirely closed systems: TB can be spread to prison staff and visitors, and at some point most prisoners are released into the general population.

The WHO European Region is currently the only region that systematically collects and analyses data from Member States on the burden of TB in prisons.^a As is likely the situation in most countries around the world, the burden of TB in prisons in European countries is disproportionately high and often makes a considerable contribution to overall country case notifications. Notification rates of new TB cases in prisons in all reporting countries were multiple times higher than the rates found in the general population (relative risks ranging from 4 to 180), and were over 1000 per 100 000 detainees in Azerbaijan, Georgia and Kyrgyzstan (2500, 3300 and 3000 per 100 000 detainees, respectively) in 2011. Among reporting countries, case notifications from prisons accounted for over 10% of national notifications of new TB cases in Georgia (19%) and the Russian Federation (11%) in 2011. Given that some countries in the region have not been able to report data on TB notifications in prisons and that limited data are available on trends, the contribution of TB notifications in prisons to overall TB notifications in the region is uncertain.

FIGURE B3.3.1

TB notification rate in the prison facilities of the Russian Federation (1999–2011), overall and disaggregated by pre-trial detention centres and correctional facilities



To reduce the burden of TB in prisons, a comprehensive package of measures is required.^{b,c} These include early diagnosis using systematic screening^d and rapid diagnostics, proper infection control, improved living conditions and nutrition, supervised and complete TB treatment with appropriate drugs, treatment of comorbidities including HIV, diabetes, hepatitis and substance use disorders, and continuity of care in the public sector when a prisoner under treatment is released.

The Russian Federation was successful in introducing several measures that significantly reduced the burden of TB in its penitentiary system (Figure B3.3.1).^e By reinforcing systematic screening, improving infection control measures, strengthening treatment, and building cooperation between the Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Health institutions, and international partners, TB notification rates decreased sharply from 4347 cases per 100 000 detainees in 1999 (i.e., TB was detected in 1 of 25 detainees in 1999) to 1387 cases per 100 000 detainees in 2006. The decrease since 2006 has been gradual, reflecting the continuing challenges facing TB control in the penitentiary sector, including rising rates of TB/HIV coinfection and drug-resistant TB, as well as the continued concentration of socioeconomically marginalized people entering the prison system. Of note is the higher notification rate found in the pre-trial detention centres compared with correctional facilities in 2011 (1588 compared with 1179 per 100 000 detainees, respectively), reflecting in part the underlying high prevalence of TB infection and disease among socioeconomically marginalized people who enter the pre-trial detention centres from the general population.

In Eastern Europe, drug-resistant TB has been associated with detention and in many countries prisons have had to deal with substantial caseloads of MDR-TB patients.^{f-h} The provision of effective MDR-TB care for prison inmates is therefore important. The possibility of close monitoring of imprisoned patients may also be conducive to achievement of good treatment outcomes. For example, data from the penitentiary sector in Azerbaijan show treatment success rates in the range 65%–81% in the 2007–2009 patient cohorts treated in accordance with WHO-recommended standards.ⁱ

- ^a Tuberculosis surveillance and monitoring in Europe 2012. Stockholm, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control/WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2012.
- ^b See *Guidelines for the control of tuberculosis in prisons*. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1998 (WHO/TB/98.250).
- ^c Dara M, Chadha SS, Melchers NV, van den Hombergh J, Gurbanova E, Al-Darraji H, van der Meer JBW. Time to act to prevent and control tuberculosis among inmates. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 2013 Jan; 17(1):4–5.
- ^d Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.04).
- ^e Tuberculosis in the Russian Federation 2011: an analytical review of statistical indicators used in the Russian Federation and in the world (in Russian). Moscow, Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation et al., 2013.
- ^f Skrahina A, Hurevich H, Zalutskaya A, et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Belarus: the size of the problem and associated risk factors. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2013;91:36–45.
- 9 Aerts A, Habouzit M, Mschiladze L, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis in prisons of the ex-USSR state of Georgia: results of a nation-wide prevalence survey among sentenced inmates. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 2000 Dec; 4(12):1104–10.
- ^h Shin SS, Pasechnikov AD, Gelmanova IY, Peremitin GG, Strelis AK, Mishustin S, et al. Treatment outcomes in an integrated civilian and prison MDR-TB treatment program in Russia. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 2006 Apr; 10(4):402–8.
- ⁱ *Review of tuberculosis prevention, control and care in Azerbaijan*. Copenhagen, World Health Organization, 2013.

TABLE 3.3

Contribution of public-private and public-public mix (PPM) to notifications of TB cases in 29 countries, 2012

		NUMBER OF TB CASES	NUMBER OF TB CASES	CONTRIBUTION TO TOTAL
COUNTRY	TYPES OF CARE PROVIDERS ENGAGED	NOTIFIED BY PUBLIC NON-NTP CARE PROVIDERS ^a	NOTIFIED BY PRIVATE CARE PROVIDERS ^b	NOTIFICATIONS OF TB CASES IN 2012 (%)
AFRICAN REGION				
Ethiopia	Diverse private providers	-	17 133	12
Ghana	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	1 107	832	13
Kenya	Private clinics and hospitals, and prisons	817	10 364	12
Lesotho	Diverse private providers	-	1 044	10
Nigeria	Public non-NTP and NGO hospitals and private clinics	14 096	8 121	24
Swaziland	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	1 489	841	33
United Republic of Tanzania	Private facilities and faith based organizations	-	13 734	22
REGION OF THE AMERICAS				
El Salvador	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	761	50	40
Peru	Social security organizations and other non-NTP public providers	6 576	-	22
EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN	REGION			
Afghanistan	Private clinics, hospitals, laboratories and pharmacies	1 362	2 128	12
Egypt	Health insurance organizations, NGOs and other public non-NTP providers	1 993	213	26
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Health insurance organizations, prisons, military and private care providers	1 205	3 189	40
Iraq	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	2 693	2 938	65
Pakistan	Private clinics and hospitals	925	56 363	21
Sudan	Diverse private and non-NTP public providers	450	1 475	10
Syrian Arab Republic	Diverse private and non-NTP public providers	175	2 400	86
Yemen	Public hospitals including university, military and police hospitals, prisons and private hospitals	3486	-	35
EUROPEAN REGION				
Georgia	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers, and prisons	673	1628	58
Tajikistan	Diverse non-NTP public providers and prisons	1 549	-	24
SOUTH-EAST ASIA REGION				
Bangladesh	Diverse private, non-NTP public and NGO providers	2 429	14 934	10
India ^c	Diverse private, non-NTP public and NGO providers	13 572	3 533	-
Indonesia	Public and private hospitals	77 376	5 432	25
Myanmar	Diverse private, non-NTP public and NGO providers	8 999	26 879	23
Nepal	Diverse private providers	-	5 366	15
Sri Lanka	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	5 004	445	60
Thailand	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	1 532	1 267	4.6
WESTERN PACIFIC REGION				
China	General public hospitals	388 487	-	44
Philippines	Private clinics and hospitals	11 804	36 744	24
Viet Nam	Diverse non-NTP public and private providers	3 404	4 724	8.0

^a Includes all contributions from non-NTP providers, including public hospitals, public medical colleges, prisons/detention centres, military facilities, railways and public health insurance organizations.

^b Private sector providers include private individual and institutional providers, corporate/business sector providers, mission hospitals, non-governmental organizations and faith-based organizations.
^c Data for India are for smear-positive cases of pulmonary TB in 14 cities where PPM surveillance is in place.

BOX 3.4

Engaging hospitals to improve TB care and prevention

In 2009, WHO initiated a project to help intensify TB case detection in five countries in Africa and Asia. Intensified hospital engagement, mainly targeting large hospitals in urban areas, was the main intervention in all five countries. Through a consultative process involving NTPs, departments responsible for hospitals within the ministries of health, directors of participating hospitals, and WHO, a total of 86 hospitals covering a total population of 10 million were involved. This included 20 hospitals in Kinshasa in the Democratic Republic of the Congo; 10 in Accra, Ghana; 17 in Manila, The Philippines; 36 in Swaziland; and the 3 largest national general hospitals in three cities in Viet Nam (Hanoi, Ho Chi Minh City and Hue). The initiative was funded by the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada.

Prior to the project, hospitals provided TB diagnosis and treatment for many patients without following national guidelines or having formal referral and notification routines. The specific objectives of the project were to improve TB diagnosis and management of patients presenting to hospitals through setting up mechanisms for internal coordination, and to improve external networking to help intensify TB case detection and notification. The main activities were improving identification of people with suspected TB; standardization of diagnostic routines and introduction of external guality assurance in hospital laboratories; establishing a 'hospital DOTS unit'; a systematic approach to internal referrals so that cases diagnosed in hospitals would be referred to the hospital DOTS unit; formalization of routines for external referral of cases to health centres and feedback about referrals from health centres; ensuring proper treatment and follow up of patients started on treatment in hospitals; and introduction of standardized recording and reporting.

After successful implementation of project activities, total hospital notifications increased from about 2000 per year across the five sites before the project to about 12 000 per year in 2012. The documented number of people tested for TB with a bacteriological test increased in all sites, and the average increase was roughly fourfold (**Figure B3.4.1**).

3.2.2 Community contributions to TB notifications and treatment support

Community-based TB activities can be defined as activities that are conducted outside the premises of formal health facilities, within community-based structures (for example, schools and places of worship) and homesteads. Such activities can be implemented by community health workers¹ and community volunteers,² regardless of whether they are employed and supervised by a government department or by a nongovernmental organization, and make an important contribution to health services including prevention, diagnosis, improved treatment adherence, care and support. In the specific context of TB, community activities can help to increase case notifications and improve treatment outcomes, especially in settings where people with TB have poor access to formal health services. Documentation of referrals for treatment and feedback to confirm treatment initiation demonstrated that the losses after referral were very large at baseline in the two Asian countries (the Philippines and Viet Nam). These losses were substantially reduced by the end of the third project year through improved communication between hospitals and the primary health care facilities to which they were making referrals. Treatment success rates among those started on treatment in hospitals were similar to those reported by the NTP.

This project helped to describe a baseline situation in which hospitals were not engaged. It then demonstrated that it is possible to proactively engage hospitals and align their services to national guidelines and in turn to improve detection of TB cases and notification to NTPs. All countries have either developed new national policies for hospital engagement or are in the process of doing so, based on the project results. Similar efforts are anticipated and needed in other countries.

FIGURE B3.4.1

Trends in bacteriological testing for TB and TB case notifications in project sites, 2010–2012



As shown in **section 3.3**, approximately one third of people with TB are diagnosed but not reported to national surveillance systems, or not diagnosed at all.

Accurate documentation of the contributions of communities to TB notifications and treatment support has been challenging. One reason has been the lack of standardization of indicators that can be used for routine recording and

¹ Community health workers can be defined as people with some formal education who have been given training to contribute to community-based health services, including TB prevention and patient care and support. Their profile, roles and responsibilities vary greatly among countries, and their time is often compensated by incentives in kind or in cash.

² Community volunteers can be defined as community members who have been systematically sensitized about TB prevention and care, either through a short, specific training scheme or through repeated, regular contact sessions with professional health workers.

BOX 3.5

The ENGAGE-TB approach

The ENGAGE-TB approach^a describes the need for nongovernmental organizations and other civil society organizations to integrate community-based TB activities into their existing work. Pilot projects in five African countries (the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa and the United Republic of Tanzania) are showing promising results. Selected nongovernmental organizations in these countries have started integrating TB services into community-based programmes for HIV, maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH), and cancer screening, with financial support from the Bristol Myers Squibb Foundation.

NGO	COUNTRY	PROJECT FOCUS
Femmeplus	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Integration of TB services into community-based HIV activities in two major cities (Kinshasa and Kikwit)
AMREF	Ethiopia	Integration of TB/HIV services into community-based MNCH activities in a pastoralist region
CUAMM	Ethiopia	Integrated community-based TB, HIV and cancer screening project
Save the Children	Ethiopia	Integration of community-based TB/HIV services into MNCH programmes in pastoralist communities
Centre for Positive Care	South Africa	Strengthening integration of TB into community-based HIV activities
Pathfinder	UR Tanzania	Integration of TB services into community-based HIV services

NGO: nongovernmental organization

The challenge is to scale up these experiences and significantly increase the number of community-based workers and volunteers who are providing screening for TB, referring those who might have TB for diagnosis and then providing follow-up care and support to those diagnosed with the disease. WHO is finalizing an implementation manual that will help to inform nongovernmental organizations and NTPs about how they can work together to implement integrated community-based TB activities, with a particular focus on nongovernmental organizations working on MNCH, HIV, primary health care, agriculture, livelihood development and education services.

^a ENGAGE-TB – Integrating community-based tuberculosis activities into the work of nongovernmental organizations. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012. reporting. To address this challenge, WHO recently developed a minimum set of standardized indicators as part of its ENGAGE-TB approach (**Box 3.5**). In 2013, these indicators were used to collect standardized, comparable data from a set of 13 countries in which data were known to be routinely recorded and reported in at least some geographical areas.¹ Data collection was undertaken separately from the main round of global TB data collection, since most countries are not routinely recording and reporting such data and they are not relevant in all settings.

Among the 13 countries (**Table 3.4**), notified TB patients referred from the community as a share of total notifications in the areas covered by reporting ranged from 2% in Myanmar (in 92/330 districts) to 33% in Ethiopia (in 98 out of 821 districts). It is possible that these figures are an underestimate, pending optimization of recording and reporting systems. Nonetheless, the finding that the contribution of communities in referring people with TB was under 10% in several countries suggests that there may be opportunities to use untapped community resources in TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment. In settings where access to formal health services is limited, more emphasis in policy and practice on the role of community referrals of people with presumptive TB as early as possible is warranted.

The share of patients receiving treatment support in the community was generally high: for example, 50% countrywide in India and 88% countrywide in Kenya.

Kenya also provides an interesting example of the untapped potential of communities. While 88% of all TB patients were reported as having received support for treatment adherence, demonstrating the spread and reach of community workers and volunteers in the country, only 5% of TB case notifications had been referred by community members. This suggests that more could be done to increase community engagement in and contribution to TB screening and referral.

It is evident that data on community contributions to referrals and treatment adherence are not collected uniformly or systematically, even in the 13 countries shown in **Table 3.4**. Only three of the 13 countries reported data for both indicators that covered all districts in the country (Burkina Faso, Kenya and Rwanda). The remaining countries reported data that covered only parts of the country (sometimes very limited areas) or data were not available for both indicators. Better understanding of the contribution of communities to TB services will require more routine collection of data; this is of greatest relevance in settings where community contributions are considered a necessary and integral part of TB services.

¹ There was no attempt to compile data about the contribution of communities to programme design and implementation (including advocacy activities at local levels). Such data are not routinely available.

TABLE 3.4

Community contributions to TB case notifications and treatment adherence support, 202	11–2012
---	---------

	NOTIFIED FROM COMMUNITY	PATIENTS / REFERRALS, 2012	PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED TREATM (2011 COHORT U	ENT SUPPORT IN THE COMMUNITY NLESS SPECIFIED)
COUNTRY	SHARE OF TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS IN AREAS FOR WHICH DATA WERE REPORTED (%)	GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE OF DATA	SHARE OF COHORT IN AREAS FOR WHICH DATA WERE REPORTED (%)	GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE OF DATA
Burkina Faso	9%	All districts	33%	All districts
Côte d'Ivoire	16%	59/82 districts	Not av	ailable
DR Congo	10%	45/515 districts	3%	8/515 districts
Ethiopia	33%	98/821 districts	40%ª	98/821 districts
India	3%	374/662 districts	50%	All districts
Kenya	5%	All districts	88%	All districts
Malawi	20%	2/28 districts	91%	2/28 districts
Myanmar	2%	92/330 districts	2%	92/330 districts
Nigeria	Not av	ailable	5%	36/774 districts
Rwanda	28%	All districts	46%	All districts
Senegal	6%	All districts	Not av	ailable
Uganda	Not av	ailable	35%	All districts
UR Tanzania	14%	63/162 districts	86%	All districts

^a Data are for the 2012 cohort.

3.3 Trends in case notifications since 1990 and estimates of the case detection rate

Globally, the number of TB cases diagnosed and notified per 100 000 population was relatively stable between 1990 and 2000, rose sharply between 2000 and 2008 and has subsequently started to fall slowly (**Figure 3.1**). Globally and in all WHO regions, a clear gap exists between the numbers of notified cases and the estimated numbers of incident cases, although this has narrowed in the past decade globally and in all six WHO regions (**Figure 3.2**). Trends in the 22 HBCs are shown in **Figure 3.3**, and for other countries are illustrated in country profiles that are available online.¹

The case detection rate (CDR)² for TB is an indicator that is included within the MDGs (**Chapter 1**). For a given country and year, the CDR is calculated as the number of new and relapse TB cases (see **Box 3.1** for definitions) that were notified by NTPs (**Table 3.1**), divided by the estimated number of incident cases of TB that year. The CDR is expressed as a percentage; it gives an approximate³ indication of the proportion of all incident TB cases that are actually diagnosed, reported to NTPs and started on treatment.

The best estimate of the CDR for all forms of TB globally in 2012 was 66% (range, 64–69%), up from 53–59% in 2005 and 38–43% in 1995 – the year in which the DOTS strategy began to be introduced and expanded (**Table 3.5**). The highest CDRs in 2012 were estimated to be in the Region of the Americas (best estimate 79%; range, 74–85%), the Western

FIGURE 3.1

Global trends in case notification (black) and estimated TB incidence (green) rates, 1990–2012. Case notifications include new and relapse cases (all forms).



¹ www.who.int/tb/data

 $^{^2~}$ The CDR is actually a ratio rather than a rate, but the term 'rate' has become standard terminology in the context of this indicator.

³ It is approximate because of uncertainty in the underlying incidence of TB and because notified cases are not necessarily a subset of incident cases that occurred in the same year; see Chapter 2 for further discussion.

FIGURE 3.2

Case notification and estimated TB incidence rates by WHO region, 1990–2012. Regional trends in case notification rates (new and relapse cases, all forms) (**black**) and estimated TB incidence rates (**green**). Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands.



Pacific Region (best estimate 81%; range, 75–89%) and the European Region (best estimate 74%; range, 70–79%). The other regions had estimated CDRs in the range of 55–71%, with best estimates of around 60%. All regions have improved their estimated CDRs since the mid-1990s, with improvements particularly evident since 2000. Among the 22 HBCs, the highest rates of case detection in 2012 were estimated to be in Brazil, China, Kenya, the Philippines and the Russian Federation. The lowest rates, with best estimates of around 50%, were in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Mozambique, Nigeria and Zimbabwe.

The gap between notifications to national surveillance systems and the true number of incident cases can be explained by two factors. The first is underreporting of diagnosed TB cases, for example because private sector providers fail to notify cases. The second is under-diagnosis of people with TB for reasons such as poor access to health care and failure to recognize TB signs and symptoms and test for TB when people do present to health care facilities. Achieving the goal of universal health coverage, implementing PPM initiatives such as those described in **section 3.2**, and ensuring that there is an effective regulatory framework that includes mandatory notification of cases are essential to reduce underreporting and under-diagnosis. A point-of-care diagnostics test would also help.

3.4 Treatment outcomes

Definitions of the categories used to report treatment outcomes in this report are provided in **Box 3.6**. The updated definitions that will be used from 2014 are explained in **Box 3.7**.

3.4.1 New cases of smear-positive pulmonary TB

Data on treatment outcomes for new sputum smearpositive cases of pulmonary TB are shown in **Table 3.6** and **Figure 3.4**. Globally, the rate of treatment success for the 2.6 million new cases of sputum smear-positive pulmonary TB who were treated in the 2011 cohort was 87%. This was the fifth successive year that the target of 85% (first set by the World Health Assembly in 1991) was met or exceeded globally. It is also impressive that as the size of the global treatment cohort grew from 1.0 million in 1995 to 2.7 million in 2009 and 2010 and 2.6 million in 2011, the treatment success rate progressively improved.

Among the six WHO regions, three met or exceeded the 85% target: the Eastern Mediterranean Region, the South-East Asia Region and the Western Pacific Region. The treatment success rate was 82% in the African Region (where there has been steady improvement since 1999), 78% in the Region of the Americas (similar to the previous seven years) and 65% in the European Region (where major efforts to increase treatment success rates are needed).

Of the 22 HBCs, 16 reached or exceeded the 85% target in 2011, including Ethiopia and Nigeria for the first time. Five HBCs reported lower rates of treatment success: Brazil (76%), the Russian Federation (52%), South Africa (79%), Uganda (77%) and Zimbabwe (81%). Nonetheless, among these five countries all except the Russian Federation sustained their level or made progress compared with 2010.

FIGURE 3.3

Case notification and estimated TB incidence rates, 22 high-burden countries, 1990–2012. Trends in case notification rates (new and relapse cases, all forms) (**black**) and estimated TB incidence rates (**green**). Shaded areas represent uncertainty bands.



FIGURE 3.4

Treatment outcomes by WHO region, 2011 cohorts





TABLE 3.5

Estimates of the case detection rate for new and relapse cases (%), 1995-2012^a

		1995			2000			2005			2010			2012	
	BEST ^b	LOW	HIGH	BEST	LOW	HIGH									
Afghanistan	-	-	-	18	15	22	46	39	57	52	44	63	52	44	63
Bangladesh	21	17	26	25	21	31	38	32	47	45	38	55	49	41	59
Brazil	79	66	97	74	62	91	85	72	100	82	69	99	82	69	99
Cambodia	23	19	30	27	22	34	52	44	63	64	56	75	66	57	77
China	32	27	39	33	28	38	74	65	85	86	76	98	89	79	100
DR Congo	31	26	38	40	34	48	55	47	64	56	49	65	51	44	59
Ethiopia	11	7.2	18	33	22	55	48	32	80	66	49	93	64	49	87
India	59	52	67	49	45	55	49	44	55	60	54	66	59	54	66
Indonesia	8.9	7.1	12	20	16	25	57	47	71	66	56	80	72	61	87
Kenya	61	56	66	72	67	77	80	76	85	81	78	85	79	76	83
Mozambique	23	11	73	23	13	51	30	20	53	33	25	48	34	25	50
Myanmar	10	8.0	13	15	13	19	53	45	63	66	57	77	71	62	83
Nigeria	8.9	2.7	170	12	3.9	170	26	9.6	200	40	23	82	51	29	110
Pakistan	4.5	3.7	5.5	3.3	2.8	4.1	39	32	48	66	55	80	65	54	78
Philippines	48	40	58	47	39	57	53	44	65	65	54	79	84	71	100
Russian Federation	60	51	70	75	65	89	66	56	78	83	71	98	81	70	96
South Africa	56	47	69	59	49	72	61	50	74	70	59	85	62	52	75
Thailand	59	50	72	32	27	39	57	48	69	79	66	95	76	64	92
Uganda	23	14	41	29	20	48	47	36	65	60	50	75	69	57	85
UR Tanzania	59	51	69	68	60	77	74	69	80	77	72	82	79	74	84
Viet Nam	33	25	47	56	43	78	63	49	86	70	54	95	76	59	100
Zimbabwe	55	40	79	56	45	71	50	40	63	53	43	69	46	37	60
High-burden countries	38	36	42	39	37	42	55	51	58	66	63	69	66	63	70
AFR	32	27	39	39	33	48	52	44	61	60	56	65	59	55	64
AMR	67	63	72	70	65	75	75	71	81	76	71	82	79	74	85
EMR	23	21	26	25	22	28	48	43	54	64	57	72	63	56	71
EUR	51	49	54	59	55	62	65	61	70	77	72	82	74	70	79
SEAR	44	40	49	41	38	44	50	46	53	61	56	65	62	58	66
WPR	37	32	42	39	35	44	70	63	77	80	73	87	81	75	89
Global	40	38	43	41	39	44	56	53	59	66	63	68	66	64	69

indicates values that cannot be calculated.
^a Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published previously.

^b Best, low and high indicate best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations.

Data for Mozambique were not reported to WHO, but the level in 2010 was 85%. In the Russian Federation, improvement of treatment outcomes has been identified as a high priority by the Ministry of Health and actions to improve it have been defined. These include ensuring earlier detection of MDR-TB and enrolment of patients on second-line treatment, and strengthening patient support to improve adherence to treatment (especially among the most socially and economically disadvantaged patients). It is expected that the introduction of a patient-based monitoring system for those with MDR/XDR-TB and patients coinfected with HIV in the near future will also help to improve the quality of care and treatment outcomes.

3.4.2 All new cases

Data on treatment outcomes for all new cases of TB are shown in Table 3.7 and Figure 3.4. Globally, the rate of treatment success was 87% in 2011. Among the six WHO regions, the highest rates were in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (88%), the South-East Asia Region (89%) and Western Pacific Region (93%). The treatment success rate was 79% in the African Region, a big improvement from 73% in 2010. In the Region of the Americas and the European Region it was 75% and 72%, respectively.

Of the 22 HBCs, 15 reached or exceeded a treatment success rate of 85% among all new cases in 2011, including Ethiopia (following a major improvement from 77% in

BOX 3.6

Definitions of treatment outcomes for drugsusceptible TB until the end of 2012 and in this global TB report^a

Cured A patient who was initially sputum smear-positive and who was sputum smear-negative in the last month of treatment and on at least one previous occasion.

Completed treatment A patient who completed treatment but did not meet the criteria for cure or failure. This definition applies to sputum smear-positive and sputum smearnegative patients with pulmonary TB and to patients with extrapulmonary disease.

Died A patient who died from any cause during treatment.

Failed A patient who was initially sputum smear-positive and who remained sputum smear-positive at month 5 or later during treatment. Also included in this definition are patients found to have a multidrug-resistant strain at any point in time during treatment, whether they are smear-negative or smearpositive.

Defaulted A patient whose treatment was interrupted for two consecutive months or more.

Not evaluated A patient whose treatment outcome is not known.

Successfully treated A patient who was cured or who completed treatment.

Cohort A group of patients in whom TB has been diagnosed, and who were registered for treatment during a specified time period (e.g. the cohort of new sputum smear-positive cases registered in the calendar year 2010). This group forms the denominator for calculating treatment outcomes. The sum of the above treatment outcomes, plus any cases for whom no outcome is recorded (including those 'still on treatment' in the European Region) and 'transferred out' cases should equal the number of cases registered. Some countries monitor outcomes among cohorts defined by sputum smear and/or culture, and define cure and failure according to the best laboratory evidence available for each patient.

^a See Treatment of tuberculosis guidelines, 4th ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010 (WHO/HTM/STB/2009.420). Available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2010/9789241547833_eng.pdf

BOX 3.7

WHO definitions of treatment outcomes for drug-susceptible TB recommended for use starting in 2013 and that will be used in the 2014 global TB report^a

Cured A pulmonary TB patient with bacteriologicallyconfirmed TB at the beginning of treatment who was smear- or culture-negative in the last month of treatment and on at least one previous occasion.

Completed treatment A TB patient who completed treatment without evidence of failure but with no record to show that sputum smear or culture results in the last month of treatment and on at least one previous occasion were negative, either because tests were not done or because results are unavailable.

Died A patient who died from any cause during treatment.

Failed A TB patient whose sputum smear or culture is positive at month 5 or later during treatment.

Lost to follow-up A TB patient who did not start treatment or whose treatment was interrupted for two consecutive months or more.

Not evaluated A TB patient for whom no treatment outcome is assigned. This includes cases 'transferred out' to another treatment unit as well as cases for whom the treatment outcome is unknown to the reporting unit.

Successfully treated A patient who was cured or who completed treatment.

Cohort As defined in **Box 3.6**. In addition, it should be highlighted that *any patient found to have drugresistant TB and placed on second-line treatment is removed from the drug-susceptible TB outcome cohort*. This means that management of the standard TB register and of the second-line TB treatment register needs to be coordinated to ensure proper accounting of the outcomes of treatment. (See also **Box 4.4**)

BOX 3.8

Achievements in global TB care and control, 1995–2012

WHO began systematic monitoring of TB control progress in 1995. Data compiled on an annual basis since then allow achievements in TB care and control to be assessed.

Between 1995 and 2012, 56 million people were successfully treated for TB in countries that had adopted the DOTS/*Stop TB Strategy*. This saved approximately 22 million lives.^a

The number of lives saved is based on the estimate that in the absence of treatment, approximately one third of people with TB would die of the disease. This estimate allows for differences in the mortality rates for smear-positive compared with other types of TB disease (see **Chapter 1**), and for differences in mortality rates between HIV-negative and HIV-positive people.

^a For estimates of the incremental number of lives saved by improvements in TB care associated with implementation of the DOTS and Stop TB Strategy compared with pre-1995 standards of care, see Glaziou P et al. Lives saved by tuberculosis control and prospects for achieving the 2015 global target for reducing tuberculosis mortality. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2011, 89:573–582.

Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.2). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013. Available at www.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/ 1/9789241505345_eng.pdf

TABLE 3.6

Treatment success for new smear-positive cases (%) and cohort size (thousands), 1995–2011

a. Treatment success (%)

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Afghanistan	-	-	45	33	86	85	84	87	86	89	90	84	87	88	86	90	91
Bangladesh	71	63	73	77	79	81	83	84	85	90	91	92	92	91	92	92	92
Brazil	17	20	27	40	78	71	55	80	77	76	76	73	72	71	72	74	76
Cambodia	91	94	91	95	93	91	92	92	93	91	93	93	94	95	95	94	93
China	93	94	95	95	95	93	95	92	93	94	94	94	94	94	95	96	95
DR Congo	74	48	64	70	69	78	77	78	83	85	85	86	87	87	88	90	87
Ethiopia	61	71	72	74	74	80	76	76	70	79	78	84	84	84	84	83	90
India	25	21	18	27	21	34	54	60	76	82	86	86	87	87	88	88	88
Indonesia	91	81	54	58	50	87	86	86	87	90	91	91	91	91	91	90	90
Kenya	75	77	65	77	79	80	80	79	80	80	82	85	85	85	86	87	88
Mozambique	39	55	65	-	71	75	78	78	76	77	79	83	79	84	85	85	-
Myanmar	67	79	82	82	81	82	81	81	81	84	84	84	85	85	85	86	86
Nigeria	49	32	73	73	75	79	79	79	78	73	75	76	82	78	83	84	85
Pakistan	70	-	67	23	70	74	77	78	79	82	83	88	91	90	91	91	92
Philippines	60	35	78	71	87	88	88	88	88	87	89	88	89	88	89	91	90
Russian Federation	65	57	67	68	65	68	67	67	61	60	58	58	58	57	55	53	54
South Africa	58	61	68	72	57	63	61	68	67	69	71	74	74	76	73	79	79
Thailand	64	78	58	68	77	69	75	74	73	74	75	77	83	82	86	85	85
Uganda	44	33	40	62	61	63	56	60	68	70	73	70	75	70	67	71	77
UR Tanzania	73	76	77	76	78	78	81	80	81	81	82	85	88	88	88	90	88
Viet Nam	89	89	85	92	92	92	93	92	92	93	92	93	92	92	92	92	93
Zimbabwe	53	32	69	70	73	69	71	67	66	54	68	60	78	74	78	81	81
High-burden countries	53	50	56	62	60	67	72	75	81	84	86	87	87	87	88	88	88
AFR	60	56	64	70	68	71	70	73	73	74	76	75	80	80	80	81	82
AMR	50	51	58	67	79	76	69	81	80	79	79	76	79	77	76	75	78
EMR	79	66	73	57	79	81	82	84	82	83	83	86	88	88	88	88	88
EUR	67	58	72	63	75	75	74	74	75	71	72	70	71	70	69	67	65
SEAR	33	31	29	40	34	50	63	68	79	84	87	87	88	88	89	88	89
WPR	80	72	91	92	91	90	91	90	91	91	92	92	92	92	93	93	94
Global	57	54	60	64	64	69	73	76	80	83	85	84	86	86	86	87	87

b. Cohort size (thousands)

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Afghanistan			2.0	2.9	2.0	3.1	6.3	7.8	6.8	10	10	12	13	13	12	13	14
Bangladesh	11	30	34	38	38	38	41	47	54	63	85	102	104	106	109	106	99
Brazil	46	45	43	30	27	34	41	29	38	43	42	48	38	41	41	42	43
Cambodia	4.4	9.1	12	13	16	15	14	17	19	19	21	19	19	20	18	17	16
China	131	175	189	210	208	214	190	194	267	385	473	470	466	464	449	430	377
DR Congo	16	25	26	33	35	36	41	45	54	62	65	63	66	66	72	73	71
Ethiopia	5.1	11	12	15	21	30	32	37	40	41	39	37	38	41	45	47	41
India	265	291	293	284	345	349	384	396	420	489	507	553	592	616	625	630	642
Indonesia	3.0	12	21	40	46	52	54	76	93	129	159	175	161	166	169	183	198
Kenya	6.5	13	19	22	27	28	31	31	34	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	37
Mozambique	11	13	11		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	18	18	19	20	20	
Myanmar	7.9	9.7	9.2	10	12	17	21	24	27	31	37	40	43	41	42	42	42
Nigeria	9.5	24	11	13	15	16	17	21	28	34	35	40	44	46	45	45	47
Pakistan	0.8		2.8	29	3.0	4.1	6.3	15	20	32	48	66	89	100	102	104	106
Philippines	90	126	27	21	37	50	55	59	68	78	81	86	87	85	89	89	94
Russian Federation	0.05	43	0.7	0.7	1.5	3.6	4.1	5.2	6.3	26	26	31	32	32	32	30	37
South Africa	28	45	55	37	81	86	101	99	114	127	135	140	143	144	139	134	133
Thailand	20	0.1	3.7	8.0	14	23	20	27	28	28	30	29	30	33	28	30	31
Uganda	15	15	18	13	14	14	17	19	20	21	21	20	21	23	23	23	26
UR Tanzania	20	21	22	24	24	24	24	24	25	26	25	25	25	24	25	24	24
Viet Nam	38	48	54	55	53	53	54	57	56	58	55	56	54	53	51	52	51
Zimbabwe	9.7	12	12	13	13	14	17	16	14	15	13	16	11	10	10	12	13
High-burden countries	739	967	879	912	1 044	1 119	1 186	1 260	1 450	1 776	1 965	2 087	2 132	2 181	2 184	2 185	2 140
AFR	178	233	268	235	323	365	409	452	491	552	564	566	577	591	606	599	579
AMR	129	134	125	111	110	111	102	105	110	121	119	132	116	109	123	126	127
EMR	46	51	60	89	66	64	52	76	81	98	114	132	156	167	167	170	171
EUR	34	94	24	48	22	41	50	54	60	80	81	98	108	114	105	99	97
SEAR	318	360	376	399	473	512	550	604	661	780	856	938	974	1011	1 022	1 0 4 5	1 065
WPR	296	372	294	313	353	360	346	357	439	575	663	663	661	657	641	622	560
Global	1 0 0 1	1 245	1 147	1 195	1 3 4 7	1 453	1 510	1 6 4 9	1 842	2 206	2 396	2 529	2 591	2 6 4 9	2 665	2 662	2 599

Blank cells indicate data not reported.

- indicates values that cannot be calculated.

TABLE 3.7

Treatment success for all new cases (%) and cohort size (thousands), 1995–2011

a. Treatment success (%)

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Afghanistan	-	-	45	33	86	85	84	87	86	89	90	84	87	88	86	86	88
Bangladesh	71	63	73	77	79	81	83	84	85	90	90	91	90	91	91	91	91
Brazil	17	20	27	40	78	71	55	80	77	72	72	69	72	69	70	72	73
Cambodia	91	94	91	95	93	91	92	92	93	91	91	92	93	94	94	89	94
China	93	94	95	95	95	93	95	92	93	92	92	92	93	93	94	95	95
DR Congo	74	48	64	70	69	78	77	78	83	85	85	60	86	86	88	89	87
Ethiopia	61	71	72	74	74	80	76	76	70	79	78	84	84	80	81	77	89
India	25	21	18	27	21	34	54	60	76	81	87	87	88	88	89	89	89
Indonesia	91	81	54	58	50	87	86	86	87	87	89	90	90	90	89	89	88
Kenya	75	77	65	77	79	80	80	79	80	77	81	83	83	84	84	86	87
Mozambique	39	55	65	-	71	75	78	78	76	77	79	83	79	84	85	85	-
Myanmar	67	79	82	82	81	82	81	81	81	82	83	83	84	84	84	88	88
Nigeria	49	32	73	73	75	79	79	79	78	73	75	76	82	78	84	81	85
Pakistan	70	-	67	23	70	74	77	78	79	80	82	86	90	89	91	90	92
Philippines	60	35	78	71	87	88	88	88	88	78	89	88	88	84	85	90	87
Russian Federation	65	57	67	68	65	68	67	67	61	65	67	69	69	69	68	66	65
South Africa	58	61	68	72	57	63	61	68	67	65	69	70	71	73	68	53	77
Thailand	64	78	58	68	77	69	75	74	73	71	71	75	81	80	84	83	82
Uganda	44	33	40	62	61	63	56	60	68	70	73	68	72	67	64	68	73
UR Tanzania	73	76	77	76	78	78	81	80	81	82	83	85	88	88	88	89	88
Viet Nam	89	89	85	92	92	92	93	92	92	92	92	92	91	92	92	92	93
Zimbabwe	53	32	69	70	73	69	71	67	66	48	66	67	78	70	75	76	80
High-burden countries	53	50	56	62	60	67	72	75	81	82	85	85	87	87	86	86	88
AFR	60	56	64	70	68	71	70	73	73	70	74	72	77	77	76	73	79
AMR	50	51	58	67	79	76	69	81	80	76	75	73	78	73	73	73	75
EMR	79	66	73	57	79	81	82	84	82	82	82	86	87	87	87	88	88
EUR	67	58	72	63	75	75	74	74	75	76	77	75	76	76	75	74	72
SEAR	33	31	29	40	34	50	63	68	79	83	87	87	88	88	89	89	89
WPR	80	72	91	92	91	90	91	90	91	88	90	90	91	91	91	92	93
Global	57	54	60	64	64	69	73	76	80	81	84	84	85	85	85	84	87

b. Cohort size (thousands)

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Afghanistan			2.0	2.9	2.0	3.1	6.3	7.8	6.8	10	10	12	13	13	12	26	26
Bangladesh	11	30	34	38	38	38	41	47	54	63	119	141	144	106	156	150	148
Brazil	46	45	43	30	27	34	41	29	38	81	78	81	47	73	75	78	71
Cambodia	4.4	9.1	12	13	16	15	14	17	19	30	34	34	35	38	39	40	37
China	131	175	189	210	208	214	190	194	267	644	788	847	889	932	923	877	856
DR Congo	16	25	26	33	35	36	41	45	54	62	65	92	89	93	106	109	92
Ethiopia	5.1	11	12	15	21	30	32	37	40	41	39	37	38	139	139	152	91
India	265	291	293	284	345	349	384	396	420	1 066	1071	1 137	1 199	1 226	1 244	1 229	1 209
Indonesia	3.0	12	21	40	46	52	54	76	93	206	244	266	263	293	289	296	314
Kenya	6.5	13	19	22	27	28	31	31	34	97	98	101	99	99	99	90	82
Mozambique	11	13	11		12	13	14	15	16	17	18	18	18	19	20	20	
Myanmar	7.9	9.7	9.2	10	12	17	21	24	27	66	73	84	85	90	91	127	135
Nigeria	9.5	24	11	13	15	16	17	21	28	34	35	40	44	46	86	78	84
Pakistan	0.8		2.8	29	3.0	4.1	6.3	15	20	84	117	149	191	206	212	256	255
Philippines	90	126	27	21	37	50	55	59	68	126	81	123	136	140	141	162	190
Russian Federation	0.05	43	0.7	0.7	1.5	3.6	4.1	5.2	6.3	39	74	97	99	103	101	94	89
South Africa	28	45	55	37	81	86	101	99	114	243	259	271	247	236	367	338	292
Thailand	20	0.1	3.7	8.0	14	23	20	27	28	47	49	47	47	54	43	48	49
Uganda	15	15	18	13	14	14	17	19	20	21	21	31	37	39	38	40	43
UR Tanzania	20	21	22	24	24	24	24	24	25	61	59	58	25	59	60	59	59
Viet Nam	38	48	54	55	53	53	54	57	56	92	55	91	91	91	88	88	89
Zimbabwe	9.7	12	12	13	13	14	17	16	14	54	43	43	39	40	45	46	40
High-burden countries	739	967	879	912	1044	1 119	1 186	1 260	1 450	3 183	3 430	3 799	3 872	4 1 3 4	4 374	4 403	4 252
AFR	178	233	268	235	323	365	409	452	491	846	886	940	930	1 0 8 7	1 297	1 215	1 0 9 4
AMR	129	134	125	111	110	111	102	105	110	191	187	197	157	168	191	200	188
EMR	46	51	60	89	66	64	52	76	81	178	226	259	307	320	331	391	398
EUR	34	94	24	48	22	42	50	55	60	184	221	274	276	279	248	250	217
SEAR	318	360	376	399	473	512	550	604	661	1 530	1 639	1 758	1 835	1 880	1 940	1 980	1 986
WPR	296	372	294	313	353	360	346	357	439	963	1 030	1 163	1 216	1 261	1 259	1 240	1 213
Global	1 0 0 1	1 245	1 147	1 195	1 3 4 7	1 453	1 511	1 649	1 843	3 892	4 188	4 592	4 720	4 995	5 267	5 275	5 096

Blank cells indicate data not reported. - indicates values that cannot be calculated.

Outcomes of TB treatment by HIV status

In 2013, 96 countries with 331 000 HIV-positive TB patients reported treatment outcomes for 2011 that were disaggregated by HIV status. These countries accounted for 58% of all HIV-positive TB patients registered in that year. This was a considerable increase from 2010, when countries that reported outcomes disaggregated by HIV status accounted for 25% of TB patients with a documented HIVpositive test result. Much of the improvement is due to the reporting of data disaggregated by HIV status for the first time by high TB/HIV burden countries such as South Africa and Uganda. Of the 41 TB/HIV priority countries (listed in Table 6.1 of Chapter 6), 19 reported treatment outcomes disaggregated by HIV status: Burundi, Burkina Faso, Brazil, Botswana, China, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Lesotho, Mali, Myanmar, Namibia, Nigeria, South Africa, Swaziland, Thailand, the United Republic of Tanzania and Viet Nam.

Data for 2011 show that treatment outcomes for HIVpositive TB patients continue to be worse than those of HIV-negative TB patients. The treatment success rate for all new HIV-positive TB patients was 73% compared with 87% among HIV-negative TB patients (**Figure B3.9.1**). If it is assumed that HIV-positive TB patients who defaulted from treatment would have died from TB, the death rate was 19% among HIV-positive TB patients compared with 3% among HIV-negative TB patients. Such findings are consistent with two autopsy studies in South Africa, which showed that undiagnosed TB remains the main cause of death among HIV-positive people.^{a,b}

- ^a Mutevedzi P et al. *Early mortality following initiation of ART in rural South Africa: the contribution of existing co-morbidities*. 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 3–6 March 2013 (Paper 832; www.retroconference. org/2013b/Abstracts/46910.htm, accessed 3 June 2013).
- ^b Martinson N et al. Undiagnosed infectious TB in adult home deaths: South Africa 2013. 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 3–6 March 2013 (Paper 837; www. retroconference.org/2013b/Abstracts/45780.htm, accessed 3 June 2013).

FIGURE B3.9.1





2010 to 89% in 2011) and Nigeria for the first time. The six countries that reported lower rates of treatment success were Brazil (73%), the Russian Federation (65%), South Africa (77%), Thailand (82%), Uganda (73%) and

Zimbabwe (80%). Data were not reported for Mozambique.

Treatment outcomes are worse among HIV-positive TB patients compared with HIV-negative TB patients (**Box 3.9**). Further efforts are needed to narrow the gap.

Drug-resistant TB

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

By the end of 2012, data on anti-TB drug resistance were available for 136 countries (70% of 194 WHO Member States), either from continuous surveillance (mostly high-income countries and other countries of the WHO European Region) or special surveys.

Surveys underway in 2013 in the group of 36 high TB and/or MDR-TB burden countries and from which results are expected in 2014 include the first nationwide surveys in Azerbaijan, India, Pakistan, Turkmenistan and Ukraine, and repeat surveys in China, Ethiopia, Kenya, the Philippines, South Africa, Thailand and Viet Nam.

■ Globally, an estimated 3.6% (95% CI: 2.1–5.1%) of new cases and 20.2% (95% CI: 13.3–27.2%) of previously treated cases have MDR-TB. The highest levels are in eastern Europe and central Asia where in several countries, more than 20% of new cases and more than 50% of previously treated cases have MDR-TB.

■ There were an estimated 450 000 (range: 300 000–600 000) new cases of MDR-TB worldwide in 2012. Among patients with pulmonary TB notified in 2012 i.e. the group of patients known to NTPs and that can be tested for drug resistance using WHO-recommended diagnostic tests, there were an estimated 300 000 (range: 220 000–380 000) MDR-TB cases in 2012. More than half of these cases were in India, China and the Russian Federation.

Extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) has been reported by 92 countries. On average, an estimated 9.6% (95% CI: 8.1%–11%) of MDR-TB cases have XDR-TB.

A total of 94 000 TB cases eligible for MDR-TB treatment (84 000 with MDR-TB and 10 000 with rifampicin resistance detected using Xpert MTB/RIF) were notified globally in 2012, mostly by European countries, India and South Africa. This represented progress compared with 2011, when 62 000 MDR-TB cases and 4 000 rifampicin-resistant TB cases were detected; the largest increases between 2011 and 2012 were in India, South Africa and Ukraine. However, worldwide and in most countries with a high burden of MDR-TB, less than one-third of the TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB were actually detected in 2012.

Countries detecting close to 100% of the notified TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB in 2012 included Estonia, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Lithuania, South Africa and Ukraine. The lowest figures were in the South-East Asia Region (21%) and the Western Pacific Region (6%), which combined have 55% of the world's cases of MDR-TB.

■ Just over 77 000 people with MDR-TB were started on second-line treatment in 2012, equivalent to 82% of the 94 000 newly detected cases that were eligible for such treatment globally. Diagnostic:treatment gaps were much larger in some countries, especially in the African Region (51% of detected cases enrolled on treatment), and widened between 2011 and 2012 in China, Pakistan and South Africa.

■ The 2015 treatment success target of ≥75% set in the *Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015* for MDR-TB was reached by 34 of 107 countries that reported outcome data for the 2010 patient cohort. However, overall only 48% of patients were successfully treated.

Intensified global and national efforts to detect cases of MDR-TB, to enrol them on treatment, and to improve treatment outcomes are urgently required.

Drug-resistant TB (DR-TB) threatens global TB control and is a major public health concern in several countries. This chapter summarizes the progress made in global surveillance of anti-TB drug resistance, using the most recent data on MDR-TB and XDR-TB gathered from special surveys and continuous surveillance systems, and summarizes global estimates of disease burden associated with MDR-TB based on these data (**section 4.1**). It also includes an assessment of national progress in diagnosing and treating MDR-TB, using data on diagnostic testing for DR-TB, enrolment on treatment with second-line drugs for those found to have MDR-TB, and treatment outcomes (**section 4.2**).

4.1 Surveillance of drug-resistant TB

4.1.1 Progress in the coverage of drug resistance surveillance

Since the launch of the Global Project on Anti-tuberculosis Drug Resistance Surveillance in 1994, data on drug resistance have been systematically collected and analysed from 136 countries worldwide (70% of WHO Member States). This includes 70 countries that have continuous surveillance systems based on routine diagnostic drug susceptibility testing (DST) of all TB patients and 66 countries that rely on special epidemiological surveys of representative samples of patients. The progress towards achieving global coverage of drug resistance data is shown in **Figure 4.1**.

Progress in global coverage of data on drug resistance, 1994–2013



Continuous surveillance for MDR-TB, based on routine DST of TB patients and systematic collection, collation and analysis of data, is the most effective approach to monitor trends in drug resistance over time. Additionally, such systems can detect outbreaks that might otherwise be undetected, even during the course of a survey if the outbreak site was not among those sites selected for patient enrolment.

The number of countries that can rely on data generated by continuous surveillance systems is increasing, due to efforts invested in scaling up the availability of culture and DST services. Several high MDR-TB burden countries in the European Region, including Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Republic of Moldova, Ukraine and the Baltic States, have put in place high quality surveillance systems to monitor drug resistance both in new and previously treated TB cases. A group of countries - Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Kyrgyzstan, Lebanon, Mongolia, Nicaragua, Rwanda, Sri Lanka, Syrian Arab Republic and Tajikistan - that previously relied on special surveys to monitor drug resistance, have now established routine surveillance systems for all previously treated cases. This is the first step towards achieving routine DST for all TB patients.

Special surveys still represent the most common approach to investigating the burden of drug resistance in resource-limited settings where routine DST is not accessible to all TB patients due to lack of laboratory capacity or resources. Between 2010 and 2012, drug resistance surveys were completed for the first time in 16 countries: Afghanistan (Central region), Albania, Bangladesh, Belarus, Benin, Bulgaria, Kyrgyzstan, Malawi, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Tajikistan, Tunisia, Uganda, Uzbekistan and Yemen. In addition, Egypt, Brazil, Nepal and Zambia completed a repeat survey.

In mid-2013, drug resistance surveys were ongoing in 12 high TB and MDR-TB burden countries. These include the first nationwide surveys in Azerbaijan, India, Pakistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and repeat surveys in China, Ethiopia, Kenya, the Philippines, South Africa, Thailand and Viet Nam.

Molecular technologies are increasingly being used in drug resistance surveys to simplify logistics and reduce laboratory workload. GenoType® MTBDRplus (Hain Lifescience, Germany) was used in the national survey completed in 2012 in Nigeria and Xpert® MTB/RIF (Cepheid, USA) is being used in the surveys underway in Pakistan and Papua New Guinea. Several more countries are planning to use Xpert MTB/RIF as a screening tool in drug resistance surveys. Though not a complete surrogate for MDR-TB, particularly in settings where levels of drug resistance are low, rifampicin resistance is the most important indicator of MDR-TB, with serious clinical implications for affected patients. In countries where there is not yet the capacity for culture and DST using conventional methods or where laboratories cannot cope with the large workload generated by a drug resistance survey, Xpert MTB/RIF can play an important role. It can be used to screen specimens for rifampicin resistance and identify those requiring further testing to be performed at national or supranational TB reference laboratories, also reducing the cost of initial screening by conventional commercial DST systems.

Five high TB and MDR-TB burden countries (Afghanistan, Brazil, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Indonesia and the Russian Federation) still rely on drug resistance surveillance data gathered from sub-national areas only. These countries should consider conducting nationwide drug resistance surveys in the short term to better understand the burden of MDR-TB and to guide the planning of diagnostic and treatment services. A further six countries (Dominican Republic, Guinea, Iran, Lesotho, Sierra Leone and Zimbabwe) rely on drug resistance data gathered from studies conducted in the late 1990s and should consider implementing repeat surveys. Central and Francophone Africa remain the parts of the world where drug resistance surveillance data are most lacking, largely as a result of the current weak laboratory infrastructure. Efforts should be made to increase diagnostic and surveillance capacity in these settings so that a drug resistance survey can be conducted.

Of the 136 countries with surveillance data on drug resistance, 35% (48 countries) have only one data point and should consider repeating surveys to assess time trends.

Data on time trends in drug resistance were available from 88 countries and 10 territories worldwide for a total of 870 country-year data points. Among the 36 high TB and high MDR-TB burden countries, 11 countries (Cambodia, Estonia, Georgia, Latvia, Lithuania, Mozambique, Myanmar, Republic of Moldova, the Russian Federation (7 Federal Subjects), Thailand and Viet Nam) have completed at least two surveys at least five years apart, allowing trends over time to be evaluated. However, for five of these countries (Cambodia, Mozambique, Myanmar, Thailand and Viet Nam) the most recent data are more than five years old. Among the six countries with recent data, in Estonia and Latvia, surveillance data show that the rates of both TB and MDR-TB have been declining. These data suggest that MDR-TB can indeed be controlled once effective policy decisions are put into practice, and the necessary prevention and control measures are implemented. In Lithuania, Georgia, Republic of Moldova and most Federal Subjects of the Russian Federation, MDR-TB rates appear to be stable whereas in Ivanovo Oblast and Mary-El Republic MDR-TB rates are increasing. Extending trend analyses to other countries requires more data from repeat surveys or continuous surveillance systems. NTPs should plan to repeat drug resistance surveys regularly, approximately every five years, until capacity for continuous surveillance is established.

4.1.2 Percentage of new and previously treated TB cases that have MDR-TB

Globally, 3.6% (95% CI: 2.1–5.1%) of new TB cases and 20.2% (95%CI: 13.3–27.2%) of previously treated cases are estimated to have MDR-TB (**Table 4.1**). These estimates are essentially unchanged from 2011.

The proportions of new and previously treated TB cases with MDR-TB at the country level are shown in **Figure 4.2** and **Figure 4.3**, and for the 27 high MDR-TB burden countries in **Table 4.1**. Eastern European and especially central Asian countries continue to have the highest levels of MDR-TB. Among new cases, examples include Azerbaijan (22.3% in 2007), Belarus (34.8% in 2012), Estonia (19.7% in 2012),

FIGURE 4.2



^a Figures are based on the most recent year for which data have been reported, which varies among countries.

Estimated proportion of TB cases that have MDR-TB, globally and for 27 high MDR-TB burden countries and WHO regions

	ESTIMATED % OF NEW TB CASES WITH MDR-TB ^a	CONFIDENCE INTERVAL	ESTIMATED % OF RETREATMENT TB CASES WITH MDR-TB ^a	CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
Armenia	9.4	7.0–12	43	38–49
Azerbaijan	22	19–27	56	50-62
Bangladesh	1.4	0.7–2.5	29	24-34
Belarus	35	33–37	69	66-71
Bulgaria	2.3	1.3-3.8	23	17–31
China	5.7	4.5-7.0	26	22–30
DR Congo	2.5	0.1–5.0	10	3.5–17
Estonia	20	14-26	50	35-65
Ethiopia	1.6	0.9-2.8	12	5.6-21
Georgia	9.2	7.9–11	31	27–35
India	2.2	1.9-2.6	15	11-19
Indonesia	1.9	1.4-2.5	12	8.1–17
Kazakhstan	23	22–24	55	54-56
Kyrgyzstan	26	23-31	68	65–72
Latvia	11	8.8-14	32	23-42
Lithuania	11	9.5–14	44	39-49
Myanmar	4.2	3.1-5.6	10	6.9–14
Nigeria	2.9	2.1-4.0	14	10-19
Pakistan	3.5	0.1–12	32	7.5–56
Philippines	4.0	2.9-5.5	21	14–29
Republic of Moldova	24	21–26	62	59–65
Russian Federation	23	21–25	49	45-53
South Africa	1.8	1.4-2.3	6.7	5.4-8.2
Tajikistan	13	9.8–16	56	52-61
Ukraine	14	14–15	32	31–33
Uzbekistan	23	18-30	62	53–71
Viet Nam	2.7	2.0-3.7	19	14–25
High MDR-TB burden countries	4.2	2.1-6.2	21	12–30
AFR	2.3	0.2-4.4	11	4.4-17
AMR	2.2	1.4-3.0	14	4.7-22
EMR	3.5	0.1–11	33	12-54
EUR	16	10-22	45	39–52
SEAR	2.2	1.6-2.8	16	11–21
WPR	4.7	3.3-6.1	22	18–27
Global	3.6	2.1-5.1	20	13-27

Best estimates are for the latest available year. Estimates in italics are based on regional data.

Kazakhstan (22.9% in 2012), Kyrgyzstan (26.4% in 2011), the Republic of Moldova (23.7% in 2012), the Russian Federation (average: 23.1%, with Yamalo-Nenets Autonomous Area being the highest: 41.9% in 2011) and Uzbekistan (23.2% in 2011). Among previously treated cases, examples include Azerbaijan (Baku City: 55.8% in 2007), Belarus (68.6% in 2012), Estonia (50.0% in 2012), Kazakhstan (55.0% in 2012), Kyrgyzstan (68.4% in 2012), the Republic of Moldova (62.3% in 2012), Tajikistan (56.0% in 2012) and Uzbekistan (62.0% in 2011). In the Russian Federation, even though the average proportion of cases with MDR-TB does not exceed 50%, the proportion is well above 50% in several Federal Subjects (with Ulyanovsk Oblast at the highest level: 74.0% in 2011).¹

BOX 4.1

MDR-TB in children

TB in children poses a diagnostic challenge, as paucibacillary disease is more likely. Specimens suitable for culture and DST are more difficult to obtain, particularly from the youngest children who cannot expectorate sputum. Consequently, little is known about the burden of MDR-TB in children.

The relationship between MDR-TB and age group (children aged less than 15 years versus adults aged 15 years or older) was recently assessed using representative drug resistance surveillance data reported to WHO between 1994 and 2012. Data were analysed for 376 293 TB cases for whom age and DST data were available. Odds ratios were derived by logistic regression with robust standard errors, as described in detail elsewhere.^a Of the 85 countries reporting data from nationwide surveys or surveillance systems, 34 reported at least one paediatric MDR-TB case.

A child with TB was shown to be as likely as an adult with TB to have MDR-TB. It is therefore essential that the identification of MDR-TB in children be strengthened. Efforts should be made to systematically conduct household contact investigation of all patients with MDR-TB, including children. Additionally, children must be routinely included in all drug resistance surveillance activities, including drug resistance surveys.

^a Zignol et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in children: evidence from global surveillance. *European Respiratory Journal* 2013; 42:701–7.

More positively, levels of drug resistance among new cases remain low (<3%) in many parts of the world, including almost all countries in the Region of the Americas, most African countries where drug resistance surveys have been conducted, most of the South-East Asia Region, most of western Europe, and several countries in the Western Pacific Region (examples include Australia, Cambodia, Japan, New Zealand and Viet Nam).

¹ Tuberculosis in the Russian Federation 2011: an analytical review of statistical indicators used in the Russian Federation and in the world (in Russian). Moscow: Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation et al., 2013.

Percentage of previously treated TB cases with MDR-TB^a



^a Figures are based on the most recent year for which data have been reported, which varies among countries. The high percentages of previously treated TB cases with MDR-TB in Bahrain, Bonaire – Saint Eustatius and Saba, Cook Islands, Iceland, Sao Tome and Principe, and Lebanon refer to only a small number of notified cases (< 10).

4.1.3 Estimated global incidence of MDR-TB and estimated number of MDR-TB cases among notified TB patients in 2012

The data compiled from surveillance of drug resistance among TB patients allow estimation of the total number of incident cases of MDR-TB worldwide in 2012. The number of incident cases includes not only cases among notified TB patients, but also cases among people diagnosed with TB that were not notified to NTPs (and in whom MDR-TB may not have been detected) and cases among people not yet diagnosed with TB. Globally in 2012, there were an estimated 450 000 (range: 300 000–600 000) new cases of MDR-TB. Methods used to produce this estimate are explained in **Annex 1**.

Data compiled from surveillance of drug resistance among TB patients also allow production of global as well as country-specific estimates of the number of MDR-TB cases among notified TB patients with pulmonary TB. These are the MDR-TB cases that could be found by NTPs if all notified patients were tested for drug resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid using WHO-recommended diagnostic tests, and is a useful indicator for assessing country performance in detecting cases of MDR-TB and enrolling them on treatment. Globally in 2012, there were an estimated 300 000 (range: 220 000–380 000) MDR-TB cases among notified TB patients. Country-specific estimates are discussed in **section 4.2**.

4.1.4 Resistance to second-line drugs

Extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) had been reported by 92 countries globally by the end of 2012 (**Figure 4.4**). A total of 75 countries and 4 territories reported representative data from continuous surveillance or special surveys regarding the proportion of MDR-TB cases that had XDR-TB. Combining their data, the average proportion of MDR-TB cases with XDR-TB was 9.6% (95% CI: 8.1%–11%), similar to the estimate from 2011 (9.0%). Thirteen of these countries reported more than 10 XDR-TB cases in the most recent year for which data were available. Among those countries, the proportion of MDR-TB cases with XDR-TB was highest in Azerbaijan (Baku city: 12.8%), Belarus (11.9%), Latvia (16.0%), Lithuania (24.8%) and Tajikistan (Dushanbe city and Rudaki district: 21.0%).

The proportion of MDR-TB cases with resistance to fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable agents was 16.5% (95% CI: 12.3–20.7) and 22.7% (15.4%–30.0%), respectively. A total of 32.0% (21.9%–42.1%) of patients with MDR-TB have resistance to a fluoroquinolone, a second-line injectable agent, or both. These patients would likely be eligible to receive bedaquiline, the new bactericidal drug recently approved for use in patients with MDR-TB when options to treat using existing drugs have been exhausted (see **Box 8.2** in **Chapter 8**). Countries that had notified at least one case of XDR-TB by the end of 2012



4.2 Management of drug-resistant TB4.2.1 Coverage of drug susceptibility testing (DST)

The diagnosis of DR-TB requires TB patients to be tested for susceptibility to drugs. Notification data combined with data from drug resistance surveillance suggest that if all notified TB patients with pulmonary TB had been tested in 2012, around 300 000 cases of MDR-TB would have been found (section 4.1.3).

Targets included in the *Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015* are that by 2015 all new cases of TB considered at high risk of MDR-TB (estimated to be about 20% of all new bacterio-logically-positive TB cases globally), as well as all previously treated cases, should undergo DST for at least the first-line drugs rifampicin and isoniazid. Similarly, all patients with MDR-TB should be tested for XDR-TB.

First-line DST results were reported by just over 50% of countries in 2012 and overall for a small proportion of cases (**Table 4.2**). Globally, only 5% of new bacteriologically-confirmed TB cases and 9% of those previously treated for TB were tested for MDR-TB in 2012. The proportion of new cases with DST results has increased slightly in recent years but remains below the target envisaged for 2012 by the Global Plan (**Figure 4.5**). Coverage was highest in the European Region, where 72% of new cases and 41% of previously treated cases were tested for MDR-TB in 2012, reflecting the relatively better access to TB laboratory services than elsewhere. Levels of testing were particularly low in the African and South-East Asia Regions (0.3% and 0.1% of new bacteriologically cases and 3.1% and 0.7% of previously treated cases, respectively).

Among the 27 high MDR-TB burden countries – which account for >85% of estimated MDR-TB cases in the world - the proportion of TB patients who were tested ranged from 56 to 100% among new cases in 13 of the 14 European countries reporting data (17% in Tajikistan; no data reported by Azerbaijan), and exceeded 60% among previously treated cases in nine of these countries. Among non-European high MDR-TB burden countries, testing for MDR-TB among new cases was highest in China (3.6%). In previously treated cases, the coverage of testing was higher and reached 10% in Indonesia and 12% in China and the Philippines. In South Africa, 16% of TB cases overall were tested for MDR-TB although DST data were not available separately for new and previously treated cases. Five other countries did not report data, including India, the country estimated to have the highest number of MDR-TB cases among notified TB patients (Table 4.2).

Among TB patients who were notified and confirmed to have MDR-TB in 2012, 23% were reported to have DST performed for both fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable drugs. Second-line DST coverage exceeded 90% in Armenia, Bulgaria, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Georgia and Latvia. South Africa accounted for most of the global cases for which second-line DST data were reported, as well as the highest proportion observed in the African Region (the regional figure drops from 62% to 1% when South Africa is excluded). Second-line DST reports were available for 53% of MDR-TB cases in the Western Pacific Region, 47% in the Region of the Americas and 3–8% in the other regions.

Improving the coverage of diagnostic DST is urgently needed to improve the detection of MDR-TB and XDR-TB.

TABLE 4.2

DST coverage among TB and MDR-TB cases, globally and for 27 high MDR-TB burden countries and WHO regions, 2012

	NEW BACTERIOLOGIC	CALLY-POSITIVE CASES	RETREATM	ENT CASES	CONFIRMED MDR-TB CASES			
	NUMBER WITH DST ^a RESULTS	% OF CASES WITH DST RESULTS	NUMBER WITH DST ^a RESULTS	% OF CASES WITH DST RESULTS	NUMBER WITH DST ^b RESULTS	% OF CASES WITH DST RESULTS		
Armenia	286	64	108	27	92	100		
Azerbaijan		-		-		-		
Bangladesh	41	<0.1	557	7.0	142	28		
Belarus	2 164	90	1 183	84		-		
Bulgaria	687	71	142	45	49	100		
China	11 472	3.6	4 861	12	2 042	68		
DR Congo	12	<0.1	95	1.3	65	100		
Estonia	193	100	46	82	55	89		
Ethiopia	469	1.0	180	4.4		-		
Georgia	1 931	84	541	45	341	99		
India		-		-	597	3.6		
Indonesia	2	<0.1	821	10	184	43		
Kazakhstan ^c	8 154	>100	10 443	93		-		
Kyrgyzstan	958	57	662	61	511	53		
Latvia	666	97	100	88	106	96		
Lithuania	1 017	100	350	100	210	77		
Myanmar		-		-	84	11		
Nigeria	11	<0.1	94	1.2		-		
Pakistan	461	0.4	154	1.3		-		
Philippines	35	<0.1	2 038	8.7		-		
Republic of Moldova	1 264	67	933	63		-		
Russian Federation	32 647	79	12 324	24		-		
South Africa		-		-	11 046	72		
Tajikistan	919	17	496	66	345	50		
Ukraine	11 185	77	5 925	72		-		
Uzbekistan	2 703	56	798	30	356	21		
Viet Nam		-		-		-		
High MDR-TB burden countries	77 277	3.9	42 851	7.7	16 225	21		
AFR	2 216	0.3	3 969	3.1	11 303	62		
AMR	28 625	22	5 481	23	1 384	47		
EMR	1 990	1.1	1 617	7.6	51	3.2		
EUR	85 962	72	37 774	41	2 523	6.7		
SEAR	1 352	0.1	2 292	0.7	1 619	8.4		
WPR	16 485	3.3	8 134	10	2 365	53		
Global	136 630	5.1	59 267	8.7	19 245	23		

Blank cells indicate data not reported.

indicates values that cannot be calculated.
^a DST is for isoniazid and rifampicin.

^b DST is for a fluoroquinolone and a second-line injectable drug.
^c A possible explanation for why the percentage for new cases in Kazakhstan exceeds 100% is inadequate linkages between clinical and laboratory registers.

DST coverage among new cases and enrolment on MDR-TB treatment, compared with the targets in the Global Plan to Stop TB, 2011–2015. Lines indicate the planned targets, **blue** squares show the situation in 2009–2012 and **orange** circles the projected enrolments 2013–2015. Data on projected enrolments in 2015 were incomplete.



BOX 4.2

XDR-TB in Africa

In 2006, a cluster of XDR-TB patients in rural South Africa made international headlines.^a All of the patients from this cluster who were tested for HIV were found to be infected. Most of these patients died very quickly. South Africa remains the country that reports the most XDR-TB cases in the world and annual notifications have increased from 467 in 2009 to 1 596 in 2012. About 10% of MDR-TB cases reported in this country have XDR-TB.

FIGURE B4.2.1



Treatment outcomes for 623 TB patients with XDR-

By the end of 2012, 15 countries in the African region had identified and reported at least one case of XDR-TB (**Figure 4.4**). In 2012, two high MDR-TB burden countries in the African Region – the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Nigeria – each reported their first XDR-TB case. Seven African countries reported starting XDR-TB patients on treatment in 2011 or 2012, most of them in South Africa. Treatment outcomes reported by South Africa reveal the very low likelihood of a favourable outcome in such patients and the high proportion of patients lost to or not evaluated by the health services (see **Figure B4.2.1**).



This requires the strengthening of laboratory capacity, the introduction of new rapid diagnostics and improved reporting from diagnostic centres (see **Chapter 5**). The identification of XDR-TB cases in countries worldwide (**Box 4.2**, **Figure 4.4**) reflects the risk of acquisition of additional second-line drug resistance and the transmission of resistant strains when TB care and prevention (including infection control) are inadequate.

4.2.2 Notification of MDR-TB cases and enrolment on treatment

The low coverage of DST in many countries is one of the main constraints limiting the detection of MDR-TB among people diagnosed with TB. Globally, 83 715 cases of MDR-TB were notified to WHO in 2012, with India, the Russian Federation and South Africa reporting more than a half of these cases (**Table 4.3**). In addition, just over 10 000 rifampicin-resistant TB (RR-TB) cases were reported to have been detected using rapid molecular techniques.¹ India, Kyrgyzstan, the Philippines and Uzbekistan each reported >500 of such cases.

The 83 715 reported cases of MDR-TB cases represented 28% of the 300 000 (range, 220 000–380 000) pulmonary TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB in 2012 (**Table 4.3**), up from 20% in 2011, and 19% of the 450 000 (range: 300 000–600 000) estimated incident MDR-TB cases in the world in 2012. Much of the increase between 2011 and 2012 was accounted for by India (4237 to 16 588), South Africa (10 085 to 15 419)² and Ukraine (4305 to 6934), although increases were reported by a total of 17 high MDR-TB burden countries and all WHO regions with the exception of the Region of the Americas. In the Democratic

^a Gandhi NR, Moll A, Sturm AW, Pawinski R, Govender T, Lalloo U, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South Africa. *The Lancet*. 2006; 368(9547):1575–80.

¹ These are in addition to other rifampicin-resistant cases detected by Xpert MTB/RIF, which were included under MDR-TB notifications following subsequent testing for isoniazid resistance.

² In South Africa, the number of cases detected was above the estimated number of cases among pulmonary TB patients; this could reflect either that the estimates of the number of MDR-TB cases among TB patients are too conservative and/or the absence of linkages between the clinical and laboratory registers.

TABLE 4.3

Estimated MDR-TB cases in 2012, notified cases of MDR-TB and enrolments on MDR-TB treatment 2009–2012, and treatment outcome reporting for 2010 cohort, globally and for 27 high MDR-TB burden countries and WHO regions

	ESTIMATED MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED PULMONARY TB CASES, 2012			NOTIFIED CASES				CASES ENROLLED ON MDR-TB TREATMENT				MDR-TB CASES REPORTED WITH TREATMENT OUTCOME DATA, 2010 COHORT		
	BEST	LOW	HIGH	2009	2010	2011	2012	2012 NOTIFIED / ESTIMATED (%) ^a	2009	2010	2011	2012	N	%b
Armenia	250	220	280	156	177	79	92	37	134	154	88	101	132	75
Azerbaijan	2 800	2 600	3 000		552	811	596	21		286	592	406	263	48
Bangladesh	4 200	3 100	5 200		339	509	513	12	352	339	390	513	329	97
Belarus	2 200	2 100	2 200	1 342	1 576	1 594	1 604	73		200	1 4 4 6	2 478	1 442	91
Bulgaria	100	78	130	43	56	55	49	49	43	56	42	36	56	100
China	59 000	52 000	66 000	474	2 792	1 601	3 007	5.1	458	1 222	1 155	1 906	1 222	44
DR Congo	2 900	670	5 100	91	87	121	65	2.2	176	191	128	179	105	121
Estonia	70	56	85	86	63	78	62	89	86	63	75	54	64	102
Ethiopia	2 100	1 200	3 000	233	140	212	284	14	88	120	199	289	114	81
Georgia	630	570	690	369	359	475	346	55	266	618	737	665	504	140
India	64 000	49 000	79 000	1 660	2 967	4 237	16 588	26	1 136	2 967	3 384	14 143	2 182	74
Indonesia	6 900	5 200	8 500		182	383	428	6.2	20	142	260	426	140	77
Kazakhstan	8 800	8 700	9 000	3 644	7 387	7 408	7 608	86	3 209	5 705	5 261	7 213	5 777	78
Kyrgyzstan	1 800	1 600	1 900	785	566	806	958	53	545	566	492	790	441	78
Latvia	120	100	140	131	87	105	110	92	124	87	103	110	88	101
Lithuania	300	270	330	322	310	296	271	90	322	310	296	271	310	100
Myanmar	6 000	4 600	7 500	815	192	690	778	13	64	192	163	442	188	98
Nigeria	3 600	2 700	4 500	28	21	95	107	3.0	0	23	38	125	23	110
Pakistan	11 000	0	29 000	49	444	344	1 602	15	368	424	344	1 045	195	44
Philippines	13 000	10 000	16 000	1073	522	1 148	679	5.2	501	548	2 397	1 918	783	150
Republic of Moldova	1 700	1 600	1 800	1069	1 082	1001	894	53	334	791	765	853		-
Russian Federation	46 000	43 000	49 000	14 686	13 692	13 785	13 612	30	8 143	13 692	18 902	18 452	4 681	34
South Africa	8 100	6 900	9 400	9 070	7 386	10 085	15 419	>100	4 143	5 402	5 643	6 494	4 882	66
Tajikistan	910	800	1 000	319	333	604	694	76	52	245	380	535	245	74
Ukraine	6 800	6 500	7 000	3 482	5 336	4 305	6 934	>100	3 186	3 870	4 950	7 672	3 902	73
Uzbekistan	4 000	3 700	4 300	654	1 023	1 385	1 728	43	464	628	855	1 491	628	61
Viet Nam	3 800	3 000	4 600	217	101	601	273	7.2	307	101	578	713	97	96
High MDR-TB burden countries	270 000	180 000	350 000	40 798	47 772	52 813	75 301	28	24 521	38 942	49 663	69 320	28 793	60
AFR	38 000	14 000	62 000	10 741	9 340	12 384	18 129	48	5 994	7 209	7 467	9 303	6 166	66
AMR	7 100	4 500	9 600	2 884	2 661	3 474	2 967	42	3 153	3 249	3 087	3 102	2 374	89
EMR	18 000	0	42 000	496	873	841	2 236	12	707	967	756	1 602	676	77
EUR	74 000	60 000	88 000	28 157	33 776	34 199	36 708	50	17 169	28 336	36 313	42 399	19 496	58
SEAR	90 000	71 000	110 000	2 560	3 942	6 615	19 202	21	2 040	3 901	4 597	15 845	3 113	79
WPR	74 000	57 000	91 000	2 059	4 295	4 394	4 473	6.0	1 429	2 210	4 946	5 070	2 456	57
Global	300 000	220 000	380 000	46 897	54 887	61 907	83 715	28	30 492	45 872	57 166	77 321	34 281	62

Blank cells indicate data not reported.

indicates values that cannot be calculated.
^a Notified cases of MDR-TB in 2012 as a percentage of the best estimate of MDR-TB cases among all cases of pulmonary TB in the same year. The percentage may exceed 100% if estimates of the number of MDR-TB are too conservative and if linkage between the clinical and laboratory registers is inadequate.

^b The percentage of MDR-TB cases originally notified in 2010 with outcomes reported. The percentage may exceed 100% as a result of updated information about MDR-TB cases in 2010, inadequate linkages between notification systems for TB and MDR-TB, and the inclusion in the treatment cohort of cases of MDR-TB cases from a year prior to 2010.



Number of MDR-TB cases estimated to occur among notified pulmonary TB cases, 2012

Republic of the Congo, the Philippines and Viet Nam, which detected less than 30% of their estimated burden in 2012, MDR-TB notifications decreased between 2011 and 2012. Of the MDR-TB cases reported globally in 2012, most (82%) were detected in either the European Region (36 708), India (16 588) or South Africa (15 419).

Countries detecting close to 100% of the TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB in 2012 included Estonia, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Lithuania, South Africa and Ukraine (Table **4.3**). In the African and European Regions and the Region of the Americas, about 50% of the TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB were detected in 2012. The lowest figures were in the two regions with the largest number of cases: the South-East Asia region (21%) and the Western Pacific Region (6%). India and China, the two countries estimated to have the largest numbers of TB patients with MDR-TB (both over 50 000, Figure 4.6), strongly influence the overall figures for the South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions. China and India, together with the Russian Federation – which ranks third globally in total cases of MDR-TB – detected and reported less than one third of the TB patients estimated to have MDR-TB (5%, 26% and 30% respectively).

The absolute numbers of TB cases started on second-line treatment for MDR-TB increased from 30 492 in 2009 to 77 321 in 2012 (+154%). There was a 40% increase in enrolments between 2011 and 2012 in the 27 high MDR-TB burden countries, which reflected progress in 20 of these countries and especially in India, Kazakhstan and Ukraine (**Table 4.3**). The ratio of the numbers of patients starting treatment with second-line drug regimens for MDR-TB, to those notified with MDR-TB in 2012, was 92% globally (82% when RR-TB cases are included), but was lower in the African (51%) and South-East Asia (83%) Regions (**Table 4.3**). Waiting lists of people requiring treatment for MDR-TB are persisting or growing in several countries, particularly when additional RR-TB cases diagnosed using Xpert MTB/RIF are taken into account. Diagnosis:treatment gaps of 5% or more were evident in 14 of the high MDR-TB burden countries in 2012 (**Figure 4.7**), and the ratio of MDR-TB cases diagnosed to enrolments on MDR-TB treatment increased by more than 10% between 2011 and 2012 in China, Pakistan and South Africa. The number of XDR-TB cases reported worldwide increased from 1464 to 2230 between 2011 and 2012. All the WHO regions reported more XDR-TB cases enrolled on treatment in 2012 than in 2011, reaching 1557 globally in 2012.

Common constraints to treatment scale up include a critical shortage of trained staff, insufficient availability of second-line medications, inadequate numbers of facilities for treatment and monitoring, incomplete diagnosis of patients and other weaknesses in the coordination of activities required for effective programmatic management of DR-TB. There is a global shortfall in capacity to place people diagnosed with MDR-TB on treatment, and increased resources for the programmatic management of MDR-TB are urgently required.

In a few countries, such as Georgia, the Russian Federation and Ukraine, enrolments have outstripped notifications of MDR-TB in recent years. Possible explanations for this include frequent empirical treatment of TB patients considered at risk of having MDR-TB but for whom a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis is missing, incomplete report-

FIGURE 4.7

MDR-TB cases (orange) and additional rifampicin-resistant TB cases (blue) detected compared with TB cases enrolled on MDR-TB treatment (green) 2009–2012, globally and in 27 high MDR-TB burden countries, 2009–2012



BOX 4.3

Pharmacovigilance for TB care



Pharmacovigilance is defined by WHO as: "The science and activities relating to the detection, assessment, understanding and prevention of adverse effects or any other drugrelated problem."

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) can lead to a TB patient interrupting

treatment before completion, thus contributing to avoidable morbidity, drug resistance, treatment failure, reduced quality of life, or death. It is important to routinely monitor the occurrence of ADRs in TB patients on treatment in NTPs. This is particularly relevant in the care of patients with DR-TB and patients who are HIV-positive.

Three approaches to pharmacovigilance are in use:

- Spontaneous reporting. This involves the reporting of ADRs e.g. ototoxicity associated with aminoglycosides to the national pharmacovigilance centre.
- Targeted spontaneous reporting. This is an extension of spontaneous reporting that can be focused on the surveillance of serious adverse events in specific patient groups, such as patients with MDR-TB.
- Cohort event monitoring (CEM). This is an active form of surveillance, similar in design and management to an epidemiological cohort study. CEM is particularly well suited to the post-marketing surveillance of new drugs.

In 2012, WHO produced a handbook on pharmacovigilance for TB.^a WHO offers technical assistance to countries for the introduction and strengthening of pharmacovigilance in their programmes. The handbook explains how pharmacovigilance can be effectively implemented in a TB programme through key stakeholders, including regulators and manufacturers, and provides a step-by-step approach to identifying signals, assessing the relationship between an event and a drug, determination of causality, acting on observations and communication of findings.

^a A practical handbook on the pharmacovigilance of medicines used in the treatment of tuberculosis: enhancing the safety of the TB patient. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012 (www.who.int/medicines/ publications/pharmacovigilance_tb/).

ing of laboratory data, or enrolment of 'backlogs' or waiting lists of MDR-TB patients who were detected before 2012.

Among 119 countries reporting sex-disaggregated data for enrolments, the median male:female ratio was 2. Most countries that reported data on MDR-TB patient enrolments did not report the inclusion of any children. In the 44 countries that did, the proportion of children ranged from <1% to 33% of total enrolments.

Many countries envisage increases in the number of patients enrolled on treatment for MDR-TB between 2013 and 2015. However, global projections remain well below Global Plan targets, partly as a result of slow rates of increase as well as incomplete information regarding forecasts, notably for China (2015) and the Russian Federation (2013) (Figure 4.5b). To reach the targets set out in the Global Plan and advance towards universal access to treatment, a bold and concerted drive is still needed on many fronts of TB care, particularly in the countries where the burden is highest. The capacity to address this challenge has increased in recent years as a result of the intensified technical assistance provided by international organizations. With the reform of the Green Light Committee (GLC) structure in 2011, and the creation of regional level committees (rGLCs) in all six WHO regions, international support to national efforts to strengthen programmatic management of DR-TB is now focused on devolving available resources and technical assistance closer to countries.

4.2.3 Treatment outcomes for MDR-TB and XDR-TB

Standardized monitoring methods and indicators have allowed countries to report MDR-TB treatment outcomes in a comparable manner for several years. In 2013, the definitions for treatment outcomes were simplified and the reporting requirements changed to allow for the inclusion of RR-TB cases in the MDR-TB cohort (**Box 4.4**).

The number of cases reported in annual MDR-TB treatment outcome cohorts has tripled between 2007 and 2010, reflecting increases in all regions (**Figure 4.8**). All high MDR-TB burden countries have now reported treatment outcomes for at least one annual cohort since 2007.

A total of 107 countries reported outcomes for more than 34 000 MDR-TB cases started on treatment in 2010 (**Table 4.3**). This is equivalent to 62% of the number of MDR-TB cases notified by countries in the same year. The low proportion reflects weaknesses in reporting systems to reconcile outcome data with notifications. The Global Plan envisages that by 2015, all countries will report outcomes for all notified MDR-TB cases. In 2010, only 71 countries – including 13 high MDR-TB burden countries – reported outcomes for a cohort whose size exceeded 80% of the original number of MDR-TB notifications in 2010.

Overall, the proportion of MDR-TB patients in the 2010 cohort who successfully completed treatment was 48%, while 28% of cases were reported as lost to follow-up or had no outcome information. Treatment success was highest in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (56%), as well as in the Region of the Americas (54%) where this proportion has increased steadily since 2007 alongside a reduction in the proportion of patients whose treatment outcome was not evaluated. In the 2010 cohort, deaths were highest in the African Region (17%) and the proportion of patients whose treatment failed was highest in the European Region (11%). The Global Plan's target of achieving at least 75% treatment success in MDR-TB patients by 2015 was only reached by 34/107 countries reporting outcomes for the 2010 cohort, but included three high MDR-TB burden countries: Bangladesh, Ethiopia and Viet Nam.

Among a subset of 795 XDR-TB patients in 26 countries, treatment success was 20% overall and 44% of patients died; excluding South Africa, the figures were 27% and 28% respectively (**Box 4.2**).

FIGURE 4.8

Treatment outcomes for patients diagnosed with MDR-TB by WHO region, 2007–2010 cohorts. The total numbers of cases with outcome data are shown beside each bar.





Progressing towards the target for treatment success requires the scale up of treatment programmes globally, enhancing the effectiveness of drug regimens, support to patients to avoid treatment interruption and improved data collection. In particular, countries need to analyse the poor treatment outcomes observed in MDR-TB cases and intensify measures to improve adherence and monitoring. TB programmes need to apply a package of services for MDR-TB patients that include free TB and ancillary medications, free laboratory testing, enablers and social support, and the use of short treatment regimens following current WHO policy in selected patients. The treatment of XDR-TB patients in particular remains very unsatisfactory and more effective regimens for this condition are urgently required.

4.2.4 Other aspects of MDR-TB programme management

During their illness, patients with MDR-TB may be cared for as either outpatients or within hospitals, usually secondary or tertiary facilities. WHO recommends that, where possible, patients with MDR-TB should be treated using ambulatory or community-based care rather than models of care based principally on hospitalization.

National policies and practices differ in the predominant model of care that is employed. Among the high MDR-TB burden countries, the lowest level of hospitalization was reported by the Philippines (5% of MDR-TB patients), while levels in Eastern European countries ranged between 75 and 100% but were lower in Central Asia (30–50% in Kazakhstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan). In the African Region, there is wide variation in the extent to which patients with MDR-TB are hospitalized, ranging from 10% of patients (Democratic Republic of the Congo) to 100% (Ethiopia and Nigeria). Globally, the average duration of hospital stay ranged from 7 to 240 days (median: 84 days). The number of visits to a health facility after diagnosis of

BOX 4.4

WHO definitions of treatment outcomes for RR-TB, MDR-TB and XDR-TB

Cured Treatment completed as recommended by the national policy without evidence of failure AND three or more consecutive cultures taken at least 30 days apart are negative after the intensive phase.

Treatment completed Treatment completed as recommended by the national policy without evidence of failure BUT no record that three or more consecutive cultures taken at least 30 days apart are negative after the intensive phase.

Treatment failed Treatment terminated or need for permanent regimen change of at least two anti-TB drugs because of:

- lack of conversion by the end of the intensive phase; or
- bacteriological reversion in the continuation phase after conversion to negative; or
- evidence of additional acquired resistance to fluoroquinolones or second-line injectable drugs; or
- adverse drug reactions.

Died A patient who died for any reason during the course of treatment.

Lost to follow-up A patient whose treatment was interrupted for two consecutive months or more.

Not evaluated A patient for whom no treatment outcome is assigned (this includes cases 'transferred out' to another treatment unit and whose treatment outcome is unknown).

Successfully treated The sum of cured and treatment completed.

Cohort A group of patients where RR-TB has been diagnosed (including MDR-TB and XDR-TB), and who were started on a full course of a second-line MDR-TB drug regimen during a specified time period (e.g. the cohort of MDR-TB cases registered in the calendar year 2010). This group forms the denominator for calculating treatment outcomes. With the revised definitions, *any patient found to have drug-resistant TB and placed on second-line treatment is removed from the drug-susceptible TB outcome cohort*. This means that management of the basic management unit TB register and of the second-line TB treatment register needs to be coordinated to ensure proper accounting of the outcomes of treatment.

More details on the definition of conversion, reversion and the end of the intensive phase are provided in the WHO guidance.^a MDR-TB also varies markedly among countries, from 30 or less (Bangladesh, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Estonia, Pakistan, and Viet Nam) to over 600 (Bulgaria, Indonesia, Latvia, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan).

Palliative and end-of-life care delivered through homebased or institutional services is fundamental to alleviate the suffering associated with MDR-TB, particularly in patients with advanced disease that is not responding to treatment. Only eleven high MDR-TB burden countries –10 in the European region plus South Africa – reported that they provided such care within the scope of their NTPs. When considered in the context of the poor outcomes reported in patients with MDR-TB and especially XDR-TB, this finding attests to the persistent, huge unmet need for palliative care services in countries with the largest burdens of drug-resistant TB.

Among 18 high MDR-TB burden countries providing information on the quality of second-line drugs in the public sector in 2012, two countries reported that all of the drugs that they used conformed only to national regulatory norms. In the other 16 countries, most reported conformity to international standards for all supplies of kanamycin (11), capreomycin (9, with 2 other countries not using it), levofloxacin (10, with 1 other not using it), ethionamide/ prothionamide (12), cycloserine/terizidone (11) and p-aminosalicylic acid (10, with 2 others not using it).

More information is required to adequately monitor TB patients on MDR-TB treatment than is needed for drugsusceptible TB. The definitions for monitoring of RR-TB and MDR-TB and their outcomes were revised in 2013 (see Chapter 3 and Box 4.4). The employment of electronic systems to manage patient data is therefore strongly encouraged. One of the Global Plan's targets is that all 27 high MDR-TB countries manage their data on treatment of MDR-TB patients electronically by 2015. By 2012, 19 reported that national databases were in place for MDR-TB patients (see Figure 2.16 in Chapter 2). These systems differ markedly from one country to another, varying from individual patient medical records accessible online to the periodic collation of records from registers across the country. Before introducing electronic systems to handle patient data, WHO recommends that NTPs undertake a detailed assessment of their needs and expectations and then try to match these with the best suited informatics solution. A fragmentary approach with parallel systems dealing with different programme components (for example, management of data for patients with drugsusceptible and drug-resistant TB in separate systems) should be avoided. Guidance on the design and implementation of electronic systems for recording and reporting data was produced by WHO and technical partners in 2012. 1

^a Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.2). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013 (www. who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/1/9789241505345_eng.pdf).

⁴ Electronic recording and reporting for TB care and control. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2011.22).

Diagnostics and laboratory strengthening

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

The conventional laboratory tests for the diagnosis of TB, which have been used for decades, are sputum smear microscopy and bacterial culture. Diagnosis based on cultured specimens is the reference standard but results take weeks to obtain. Drug susceptibility testing (DST) on cultures is used to detect resistance to first- and second-line TB drugs.

■ There have been important breakthroughs in TB diagnostics in recent years. In 2010, WHO endorsed the first rapid molecular test that can be used to simultaneously test for pulmonary TB and rifampicin resistance, Xpert[®] MTB/ RIF. The sensitivity of the test is much better than smear microscopy and is comparable to solid culture. In 2013, a review of the 2010 policy was initiated, to examine the substantial body of new evidence on the use and positioning of Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pulmonary, extrapulmonary and paediatric TB. Updated guidance is expected in 2014.

■ Xpert MTB/RIF is being rapidly adopted by countries. By the end of June 2013, 1402 GeneXpert machines and 3.2 million Xpert MTB/RIF cartridges had been procured by 88 of the 145 countries eligible for concessional prices. Almost half (49%) of reporting low- and middle-income countries and territories indicated that WHO policy guidance on Xpert MTB/RIF had been incorporated into their national guidelines. South Africa is the first country to adopt Xpert MTB/RIF as the primary diagnostic test for TB, replacing smear microscopy.

■ Laboratory capacity to conduct high-quality sputum smear microscopy requires significant strengthening. Only 14 of the 22 HBCs met the target of having 1 microscopy centre per 100 000 population in 2012, and only eight reported a programme for external quality assessment that covered at least 95% of all centres in the country.

■ Globally, laboratory capacity to perform DST continues to be low and is not growing quickly enough to ensure that TB patients with MDR-TB are promptly diagnosed. From 2009 to 2012, the percentage of new and previously treated TB patients receiving DST increased from 4% to 5% and from 6% to 9%, respectively. The EXPAND-TB project, which started in 2009 and has entered a phase of routine testing in 25 countries, shows how it is possible to introduce routine testing for drug resistance and achieve considerable increases in the number of MDR-TB cases detected.

The national reference laboratory of Uganda has become the newest member of the WHO/Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI) Supranational Reference Laboratory (SRL) Network, filling a critical geographical gap in East Africa. The early, rapid and accurate detection of TB and drug resistance relies on a well-managed and equipped laboratory network. Laboratory confirmation of TB and drug resistance is critical to ensure that people with TB signs and symptoms are correctly diagnosed and have access to the correct treatment as soon as possible.

The conventional laboratory tests for the diagnosis of TB, which have been used for decades, are sputum smear microscopy and culture. Diagnosis based on culture is the reference standard but results take weeks to obtain. Drug susceptibility testing (DST) on cultured specimens is the conventional method used to detect resistance to first- and second-line TB drugs. Following increased investments in TB research and development in the past decade (Chapter 8), there have been important breakthroughs in TB diagnostics. In 2008, rapid molecular tests (line probe assays, or LPAs) for detection of RR-TB and MDR-TB using positive sputum specimens or cultures were recommended by WHO. In 2010, the first rapid molecular test that can be used to simultaneously test for TB and rifampicin resistance, Xpert[®] MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), was recommended for diagnosis of pulmonary TB and rifampicin resistance in adults. The sensitivity of the test is much better than smear microscopy and similar to solid culture.¹

Although laboratories play a fundamental role in TB care and control, only 57% of the 4.6 million new pulmonary TB patients notified globally in 2012 were bacteriologically confirmed using a WHO-recommended diagnostic method. Low coverage of laboratory confirmation may result in people without TB needlessly being enrolled on TB treatment, while true TB cases are being missed. Furthermore, the 5.7 million incident (new and relapse) TB patients diagnosed and notified to NTPs in 2012 represent only 66% of the estimated 8.6 million incident TB cases globally. The gap reflects both underreporting of diagnosed TB cases and failure to diagnose cases at all; the latter can be attributed in part to weak laboratory capacity in many countries.

Detection of TB without investigating for drug resistance can lead to poor treatment outcomes, additional and unnecessary suffering and costs for patients and further spread of drug-resistant strains. While there was a small increase between 2011 and 2012, only 5.1% of new cases and 8.7% of previously treated cases received DST in 2012.

¹ Steingart KR et al. Xpert[®] MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2013*, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD009593. 2013.
Of the 300 000 cases of MDR-TB estimated to exist among notified TB patients with pulmonary TB in 2012 (i.e. the group of patients known to NTPs and that could be tested for drug resistance using WHO-recommended diagnostic tests), only 83 715 received a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of MDR-TB and were notified in 2012. In addition, just over 10 000 RR-TB cases were detected using rapid molecular methods, though without results for isoniazid DST at the time of reporting. Given the large burden of undiagnosed DR-TB, strengthening DST capacity is a high priority for NTPs (see also **Chapter 4**).

This chapter has three parts. **Section 5.1** summarizes the key developments in WHO guidance on TB diagnostics and laboratory strengthening during 2012–2013. **Section 5.2** provides the status of laboratory capacity globally, regionally and nationally based on data reported to WHO by countries in 2013. The focus is on the 36 countries in the combined list of 22 HBCs and 27 high MDR-TB burden countries. Innovative public–private mix (PPM) laboratory initiatives are highlighted as well. **Section 5.3** describes recent achievements in strengthening TB laboratories, covering incorporation of WHO guidance into policy and practice at country level and the latest status of progress of two multinational projects (EXPAND-TB and TBXpert) that are helping to introduce new diagnostics.

5.1 Developments in WHO policy guidance on TB diagnostics and laboratory strengthening, 2012–2013

WHO follows a systematic process for policy development on TB diagnostics, involving synthesis of the available evidence through systematic reviews and meta-analyses where possible, assessment of the evidence by an external Expert Group using the GRADE approach,¹ and development of policy guidance² for dissemination to Member States and other stakeholders.³ Policy documents are reviewed every 3–5 years, and revised as necessary when new evidence becomes available.

The first WHO policy guidance on the use of Xpert[®] MTB/RIF was issued in December 2010. The recommendations were that Xpert MTB/RIF should be used as the initial diagnostic test in individuals at risk of having MDR-TB or HIV-associated TB (strong recommendation), and that Xpert MTB/RIF could be used as a follow-on test to microscopy in settings where MDR and/or HIV is of lesser concern, especially in smear-negative specimens (this was a conditional recommendation, recognizing major resource implications). The 2010 recommendations applied to the use of Xpert MTB/RIF in sputum specimens only, as data on its performance (sensitivity and specificity) for testing of extrapulmonary specimens at that time were limited. The recommendations applied to children, but only based on generalization of data from adults.

Following rapid uptake of Xpert MTB/RIF (see **section 5.2**), a substantial body of new evidence had been generated by 2013.⁴ This included much more data about the test's performance characteristics (sensitivity and specificity) in

a wide range of laboratory and epidemiological settings, additional data on test accuracy in detection of extrapulmonary and paediatric TB, and more evidence about affordability and cost-effectiveness from early implementers in a limited number of settings. WHO therefore embarked on a review of policy guidance in 2013. Three systematic reviews were commissioned on the sensitivity and specificity of Xpert MTB/RIF for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB and RR-TB, in adults and children. A review of published studies on the affordability and cost-effectiveness of Xpert MTB/RIF was also conducted.

An Expert Group convened by WHO met in May 2013 to review the expanded body of evidence, according to GRADE procedures. Based on the outcomes of the review and the recommendations of the Expert Group, which were also supported by WHO's Strategy and Technical Advisory Group for TB (STAG-TB) in June 2013, updated WHO policy guidance was under development at the time that the current report went to press. Upon finalization, the recommendations are expected to have a major impact on further country adoption of Xpert MTB/RIF into diagnostic and clinical algorithms.

Several other new TB diagnostic tests are on the horizon, in various stages of research and development (see **Chapter 8**). Once data on their performance are available in varying epidemiological settings, WHO will be in a position to evaluate their performance and develop corresponding policy guidance. A comprehensive list of existing WHO policy documents, including those on the use of microscopy, culture, DST and non-commercial and molecular methods, can be found at: http://www.who.int/tb/laboratory/policy_statements

In addition to diagnostics, WHO also develops guidance in other areas of laboratory strengthening. In 2013, the WHO *Tuberculosis laboratory biosafety manual* was issued, featuring a risk-based approach that guides the essential biosafety measures required for performing different technical procedures. The manual describes the



combination of good laboratory practices together with administrative controls, containment principles, safety equipment and laboratory facilities that are required to minimize the generation of infectious aerosols and thus prevent laboratory-acquired infections. The risk-based approach to laboratory biosafety is framed around a threetiered system of 'low', 'moderate' and 'high' TB risk precautions:

 $^{^{1}}$ www.gradeworkinggroup.org

² WHO handbook for guideline development. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012.

³ WHO policies on TB diagnostics are available at: www.who.int/tb/ laboratory/policy_statements

⁴ Weyer Ket al. Rapid molecular TB diagnosis: evidence, policy-making and global implementation of Xpert[®] MTB/RIF. *European Respirato*ry Journal. November 22, 2012, doi: 10.1183/09031936.00157212

- Low TB risk precautions. These apply to direct acid-fast bacilli (AFB) microscopy and to Xpert MTB/RIF.
- Moderate TB risk precautions. These apply to the processing of sputum specimens for primary culture inoculation, direct testing (i.e. on sputum smear-positive samples) using direct non-commercial drug susceptibility assays and LPAs.
- High TB risk precautions in TB containment laboratories. These apply to procedures used to manipulate cultures (solid and liquid) for identification and DST, and for indirect testing (i.e. on culture isolates) using LPA and non-commercial DST.

5.2 Status of laboratory capacity globally, regionally and nationally

Diagnosis of TB in most low- and middle-income countries still relies on low-cost sputum smear microscopy, despite its relatively low sensitivity and inability to detect drug resistance. The *Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015* includes the target that countries maintain at least one smear microscopy centre per 100 000 population. Globally the target has been met (1.1 centres per 100 000 population in 2012), but considerable disparities remain at regional and country levels (**Table 5.1**). Eight of the 22 HBCs did not meet the target in 2012: Bangladesh, China, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Russian Federation, South Africa and Viet Nam. Overall, the Western Pacific and Eastern Mediterranean Regions had less than one centre per 100 000 population.

Given the continued critical role of microscopy in TB detection and monitoring of treatment, ensuring high-quality performance of smear microscopy is essential. Of the 153 countries and territories that reported data on the number of smear microscopy centres in 2012, only 39% indicated the existence of an external quality assessment programme that covered all centres in the country. Among the 22 HBCs, only three reported such a programme that encompassed all centres in 2012 (Bangladesh, India and Viet Nam), five reported a programme that included at least 95% of centres (Cambodia, China, Myanmar, the Russian Federation and South Africa), and 14 reported a programme that included at least 80% of centres.

In 2009, WHO recommended the use of the more sensitive fluorescent light-emitting diode (LED) microscopy as a replacement for traditional Ziehl–Neelsen (ZN) microscopy. Globally the switch to LED microscopes has been gradual, and they were reported to be present in only 2% of microscopy centres in 2012. Overall in 2012, the African Region was the most advanced in rolling out LED microscopes (6% of microscopy centres), led by South Africa where 97% of microscopy centres were reported to have them. Other HBCs in the African Region have shown significant increases in uptake from 2011 to 2012, including the United Republic of Tanzania (3% to 17% of microscopy centres) and Mozambique (<1% to 9%).

The current target in the *Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015* for both culture and DST (to at least rifampicin and isoniazid) capacity is one laboratory per 5 million popu-

lation. In 2012, 14 of the 27 high MDR-TB burden countries did not reach the target (**Table 5.1**; there were two additional countries that did not report data). Of these 27 countries, 9 reported more than one laboratory per 5 million population using LPAs – a high-throughput molecular tool that can be used at central and regional levels to rapidly detect resistance to rifampicin and, in some cases, isoniazid. The nine countries comprise eight European countries and South Africa.

Of the 147 countries and territories that reported numbers of laboratories with capacity to perform DST, 22 indicated that such capacity did not exist in 2012. While countries and territories with small TB patient populations may find it more practical to send specimens to neighbouring countries for DST than to establish national capacity, countries with larger patient populations should aim as a priority to build sustainable DST capacity in-country to allow timely diagnosis of drug-resistant strains. Eight countries reported more than 1000 notified TB cases in 2012 yet reported having no capacity to perform DST: Afghanistan, Chad, Eritrea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Papua New Guinea, Sierra Leone and Somalia.

Quality-assured DST is critical to ensure accurate detection of drug resistance for subsequent treatment decisions and to avoid false diagnoses. Of the high TB and MDR-TB burden countries that reported on external quality assessment coverage of DST laboratories (34 of 36), 27 (79%) reported having a scheme that encompassed all DST laboratories. Of the 117 countries globally that reported on external quality assessment coverage of DST laboratories, 70% (82 countries) reported such a scheme.

Given its high sensitivity to detect TB and rifampicin resistance together with its ability to be placed at relatively low levels of laboratory networks, Xpert MTB/RIF has been rapidly adopted by countries. By the end of June 2013, 3.2 million test cartridges and 1402 GeneXpert machines (comprising 7553 machine modules) had been procured in 88 of the 145 countries eligible to purchase machines and cartridges at concessional prices (Figure 5.1).¹ The current price per cartridge is US\$ 9.98, following a novel financing agreement reached in August 2012 between the manufacturer and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the United States President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), UNITAID and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. South Africa alone accounts for 43% of the modules and 60% of the cartridges procured globally, and is aiming to position Xpert MTB/RIF as a replacement for microscopy for the diagnosis of TB. After South Africa, leading procurers include India, Pakistan, Zimbabwe and Nigeria.

The complete or partial replacement of microscopy by Xpert MTB/RIF as the initial diagnostic test and the increasing number of rifampicin-resistant cases being detected by Xpert MTB/RIF will require adjustment of countries' smear, culture and DST capacities going forward.

¹ http://www.who.int/tb/laboratory/mtbrifrollout/

TABLE 5.1

Laboratory capacity, 2012^a

				SMEAR MICROSCOPY		CULTURE		DRUG SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING		LINE PROBE ASSAY		XPERT MTB/RIF
YES 📕 NO 🗆	HIGH TB BURDEN	HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN	NUMBER OF LABORA- TORIES	LABORATORIES PER 100 000 POPULATION	PERCENTAGE OF LABORATORIES USING LED MICROSCOPES	NUMBER OF LABORATO- RIES	LABORA- TORIES PER 5 MILLION POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABORA- TORIES	LABORATORIES PER 5 MILLION POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABO- RATORIES	LABORATORIES PER 5 MILLION POPULATION	NUMBER OF SITES
Afghanistan			603	2.0	2	2	0.3	0	0	0	0	1
Armenia			30	1.0	0	1	1.7	1	1.7	1	1.7	0
Azerbaijan			72	0.8	4	7	3.8	3	1.6	1	0.5	7
Bangladesh			1 070	0.7	2	3	< 0.1	3	< 0.1	1	< 0.1	12
Belarus			196	2.1	2	29	15	8	4.3	8	4.3	8
Brazil			4 000	2.0	-	220	5.5	35	0.9	8	0.2	13
Bulgaria			34	0.5	0	31	21	14	9.6	4	2.7	0
Cambodia			214	1.4	10	3	1.0	1	0.3	0	0	6
China			3 328	0.2	2	1 014	3.7	190	0.7	21	< 0.1	16
DR Congo			1 522	2.3	< 1	4	0.3	2	0.2	1	< 0.1	26
Estonia			5	0.4	100	2	7.7	2	7.7	2	7.7	2
Ethiopia			2 531	2.8	0	5	0.3	1	< 0.1	5	0.3	7
Georgia			11	0.3	9	2	2.3	1	1.1	2	2.3	1
India			13 098	1.1	2	70	0.3	38	0.2	33	0.1	32
Indonesia			5 566	2.3	0	46	0.9	5	0.1	2	< 0.1	9
Kazakhstan			466	2.9	0	22	6.8	22	6.8	11	3.4	4
Kenya			1 818	4.2	8	2	0.2	2	0.2	2	0.2	15
Kyrgyzstan			122	2.2	0	11	10	3	2.7	2	1.8	7
Latvia			16	0.8	0	4	9.7	1	2.4	1	2.4	2
Lithuania				-	-		-		-		-	
Mozambique			300	1.2	9	3	0.6	2	0.4	0	0	12
Myanmar			458	0.9	14	2	0.2	2	0.2	2	0.2	3
Nigeria	•		1 314	0.8	2	5	0.1	3	< 0.1	4	0.1	32
Pakistan	•		1 388	0.8	< 1	7	0.2	4	0.1	2	< 0.1	15
Philippines			2 565	2.7	< 1	13	0.7	3	0.2	1	< 0.1	17
Republic of Moldova				-	-		-		-		-	
Russian Federation			1 031	0.7	-	117	4.1	110	3.8		-	
South Africa			187	0.4	97	15	1.4	15	1.4	15	1.4	100
			89	1.1	4	3	1.9	1	0.6	1	0.6	3
Inailand			1 152	1.6	6	65	4.9	18	1.3	12	0.9	14
Uganda			1 152	3.2	ð F	4	0.6	4	0.6	4	0.6	25
			0/5	1.0	5 17	00	9.4	41	4.5	2	0 2	12
Uk lalizalila			201	1.0	1	4	1.2	3	0.1	2	0.5	15
Viet Nam			800	0.9	- 1	25	1.2	2	0.5	2	0.5	22
Zimbabwe			185	13	1	25	0.7	2	0.1	0	0.1	17
High-burden countries	-		-	1.0	2	-	1.8	_	0.5	_	0.1	-
High MDR-TB burden countries		-	0.9	2	_	1.9	_	0.6	_	0.2	-	
AFR		_	1.5	6	_	0.6	-	0.4	_	0.3	_	
AMR			-	2.2	< 1	-	16	-	0.8	-	0.2	-
EMR		-	0.8	< 1	-	1.4	-	0.4	-	0.1	-	
EUR			_	0.7	2	-	9.8	-	4.6	-	1.8	-
SEAR			-	1.2	2	-	0.5	-	0.2	-	0.1	-
WPR			-	0.5	2	-	3.4	-	0.6	-	0.1	-
Global			-	1.1	2	_	3.8	-	0.9	-	0.3	-

Blank cells indicate data not reported.
indicates values that cannot be calculated.
^a The regional and global figures are aggregates of data reported by low- and middle-income countries and territories. Data for the variables shown in the table are not requested from high-income countries in the WHO data collection form.

Progress in the roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF, by July 2013



The introduction of Xpert MTB/RIF reduces the need for culture as a diagnostic test, yet the growing number of RR-TB cases will require culture for monitoring of treatment and DST of other anti-TB drugs to guide the design of treatment regimens. The increasing capacity of countries to diagnose RR-TB must also be matched by increased capacity to provide appropriate treatment to the diagnosed cases (see also **Chapter 4**).

One of the main reasons for low case detection rates in many parts of the world (Chapter 3) is the existence of a significant private sector, in which care providers frequently diagnose people with TB but fail to notify these cases to national authorities. The quality of diagnostic services in the private sector is highly variable, and some private practitioners continue to use tests that are not recommended by WHO, including antibody-based serodiagnostics and interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) for detection of active TB. Furthermore in some settings, laboratories in the public sector that are not under the auspices of the NTP also diagnose TB without necessarily following recommended guidelines and quality assurance procedures. Collaboration between NTPs and all laboratories offering TB diagnosis is therefore critical to ensure that national guidelines are followed, that appropriate diagnostic tests are used, and that patients diagnosed with TB are notified to the NTP and receive proper care. In 2012, 20 of 36 high TB and MDR-TB burden countries reported some level of collaboration with laboratories in the private sector, and 25 reported collaboration with non-NTP laboratories in the public sector. Additionally, the availability of WHO-recommended diagnostic tests at concessional prices from manufacturers

under specified conditions has been used as leverage by new initiatives to form innovative PPM partnerships, increasing access to WHO-recommended diagnostics for people seeking care in the private sector. Examples are provided in **Box 5.1**.

5.3 Strengthening TB laboratories globally, regionally and nationally

Advances in TB diagnostics in recent years provide an opportunity to improve laboratory capacity to rapidly and accurately detect TB and drug resistance. One of the main prerequisites for effective uptake of new diagnostics is dynamic policy reform, properly incorporating new tests and testing methods into diagnostic algorithms. Table **5.2** presents the uptake of selected WHO policy guidance on TB diagnostics into NTP guidelines at global, regional and country levels, focusing on the 36 countries in the combined list of 22 HBCs and 27 high MDR-TB burden countries. Overall, high burden countries have been faster in adopting WHO TB diagnostic guidelines than the global average. All reporting high MDR-TB burden countries, 95% of HBCs and 84% of reporting countries globally had reported incorporation of the WHO policy guidance on conventional phenotypic DST into their national guidelines by 2012. Three quarters (74%) of all countries globally had incorporated guidance on liquid culture and rapid speciation. Countries in the European Region have been particularly fast in adopting these policies, with 97% of countries reporting having taken up these technologies.

Uptake of WHO policy on use of LPAs for detection of resistance to rifampicin remains relatively modest, with

BOX 5.1

Innovative PPM initiatives to increase access to WHO-recommended diagnostics

Some manufacturers of rapid diagnostics, including Becton, Dickenson and Company (producer of the BD MGIT[™] 960 automated liquid culture system), Hain LifeScience (Genotype® MTBDRplus line probe assay) and Cepheid (Xpert® MTB/RIF) offer their products to NTPs and their not-for-profit partners in low- and middle-income countries at concessional prices. Private for-profit sector laboratories have traditionally not been included in such arrangements, resulting in prices that are prohibitively high for poor people seeking care in the private sector and encouraging use of other diagnostics that are not recommended by WHO. Recently, two public-private mix (PPM) initiatives that aim to increase access to rapid and accurate diagnostics for vulnerable populations in Asian settings with vast private sector markets have been established.

In June 2012, the government of India took the unprecedented step of banning the import, manufacture, distribution and sale of antibody-based TB serodiagnostic tests, in line with the WHO recommendation that such tests should not be used to diagnose TB. Unfortunately, this ban created a gap in the private market that allowed other suboptimal tests to gain market share, especially since TB diagnostics recommended by WHO were considered too expensive and well beyond the reach of the typical TB patient. To overcome this market shortcoming, the Initiative for Promoting Affordable, Quality TB Tests (IPAQT)^a in India was launched in March 2013. IPAQT is a consortium of 42 private diagnostic laboratories supported by not-for-profit stakeholders (examples include the Clinton Health Access Initiative and the McGill International TB Centre). It has established agreements with Cepheid Inc, Hain LifeScience, and Becton, Dickenson and Company that allow access to concessional prices for Xpert MTB/RIF, first-line line probe assays, and liquid culture in the private sector, which is normally excluded from negotiated pricing agreements. Participating laboratories must abide by several conditions: they need to be accredited to assure quality; they must report confirmed cases to the Revised National TB Control Programme (RNTCP); they must adhere to a ceiling price when charging patients; and they must refrain from using any tests that are not recommended by WHO or the RNTCP. Together, the laboratories participating in IPAQT have approximately 3000 franchisee laboratories and over 10 000

specimen collection centres across India, thus increasing access to rapid, accurate and affordable diagnostics for patients seeking care in the country's extensive private sector.

As part of the recently launched UNITAID-funded TBXpert project (Box 5.2) and with support from the Stop TB Partnership TB REACH initiative funded by the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada, innovative social business models have been formed in Bangladesh, Indonesia and Pakistan by Interactive Research and Development in cooperation with local partners and NTPs. Based in the megacities of Dhaka, Jakarta and Karachi and equipped with up to 25 GeneXpert instruments each, these social business models will provide Xpert MTB/RIF tests received from the TBXpert project free of charge to people at high risk of TB who seek care at private screening centres and other partnering locations. Free treatment will be provided to everyone diagnosed with TB, in cooperation with NTPs. Revenue will be generated from adjunct tests and services provided to patients, allowing for sustainability of the businesses beyond the duration of the three-year TBXpert project.

a www.ipaqt.org/

only 58% of countries globally adopting the policy to date. Uptake is, however, growing. In the Region of the Americas, for example, 61% of countries reported incorporation of the policy in their national guidelines in 2012 compared to only 17% in 2011.

Approximately half of low- and middle-income countries and territories globally (49%) indicated that they had incorporated WHO guidance on Xpert MTB/RIF into their diagnostic algorithms for people at risk of HIV-associated and DR-TB by the end of 2012, highlighting fast uptake of recommendations first issued in December 2010. High MDR-TB burden countries have been particularly quick to adopt WHO guidance, with 84% of countries reporting incorporation of the test into their diagnostic algorithms for people at risk of drug-resistant TB. Funding from sources including the Global Fund, PEPFAR, USAID, TB REACH and Médecins Sans Frontières has supported ministries of health to rapidly establish capacity to use Xpert MTB/RIF. These initiatives, together with the TBXpert and EXPAND-TB projects, will enable further roll out and scale up of the test in targeted low- and middle-income countries, with

expected increased detection of DR-TB and HIV-associated TB (**Box 5.2**).

The WHO/Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI) TB Supranational Reference Laboratory (SRL) Network is a driving force in strengthening national and central level laboratories globally, providing long-term technical assistance to countries under the framework of collaborative agreements. The network comprises 29 laboratories covering all six WHO regions. The newest addition to the network is the national TB reference laboratory of Uganda; this fills a critical geographical gap that had existed in the network in East Africa. The laboratory has already established collaborative agreements with Somalia, South Sudan and Zambia for provision of technical assistance. Additionally, four candidate SRLs are under mentorship, including the national TB reference laboratories of Benin, Denmark and South Africa, and the Aga Khan University of Pakistan. Pending completion of successful mentorship and the establishment of country partners, the new laboratories will help to widen the geographical reach of the network, in particular in the African and Eastern Mediterranean Regions.

TABLE 5.2

Incorporation of WHO guidance for diagnosis of TB into national policy, 2012^a

YES 📕 NO 🗆	HIGH TB BURDEN	HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN	CONVENTIONAL DRUG SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING (DST)	LIQUID CULTURE AND RAPID SPECIATION TEST	LINE-PROBE ASSAY FOR DETECTING RESISTANCE TO RIFAMPICIN	ALGORITHM FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF TB IN PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV	XPERT MTB/RIF FOR DIAGNOSIS OF TB IN PERSONS AT RISK OF HIV-ASSOCIATED TB	XPERT MTB/RIF FOR DIAGNOSIS OF DRUG-RESISTANT TB IN PERSONS AT RISK
Afghanistan								
Armenia								
Azerbaijan								
Bangladesh								
Belarus								
Brazil								
Bulgaria								
Cambodia								
China								
DR Congo								
Estonia								
Ethiopia								
Georgia								
India								
Indonesia								
Kazakhstan								
Kenya								
Kyrgyzstan								
Latvia								
Lithuania								
Mozambique								
Myanmar								
Nigeria								
Pakistan								
Philippines								
Republic of Moldova								
Russian Federation								
South Africa								
Tajikistan								
Thailand								
Uganda	•		•		•		- -	
Ukraine								
UR Tanzania						•		
Uzbekistan						•		
Viet Nam								
Zimbabwe								
High-burden countries			95%	77%	77%	95%	73%	77%
High MDR-TB burden countries			100%	88%	92%	96%	84%	84%
AFR			81%	67%	54%	74%	60%	62%
AMR			91%	68%	61%	82%	35%	35%
EMR			77%	68%	38%	75%	32%	36%
EUR			100%	97%	82%	81%	60%	56%
SEAK	82%	/3%	64%	82%	64%	64%		
Global	01%	26% 740/	59%	78%	33%	33%		
Global			0470	1470	J070	1070	4770	+770

Blank cells indicate data not reported. ^a The regional and global figures are aggregates of data reported by low- and middle-income countries and territories. Data for the variables shown in the table are not requested from high-income countries in the WHO data collection form.

The EXPAND-TB and TBXpert projects: progress to date

Launched in 2009 and continuing until the end of 2014, the EXPAND-TB project aims to accelerate and expand access to diagnostics for patients at risk of MDR-TB in 27 countries. EXPAND-TB has full ownership by the ministries of health of the recipient countries and works on a model of best practices, learning-by-doing, and optimizing resources for laboratory strengthening at country level. The project is a collaboration between WHO, the Global Laboratory Initiative (GLI), the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) and the Stop TB Partnership Global Drug Facility (GDF), and is funded by UNITAID and other partners. EXPAND-TB builds on US\$ 87 million of UNITAID support to maximize resources and technical assistance from multiple partners for laboratory strengthening, including the Global Fund, the World Bank, PEPFAR, USAID, the American Society for Microbiology, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Johns

FIGURE B5.2.1

Increase in cases of MDR-TB reported by selected countries participating in the EXPAND-TB project, 2009–2012, compared with 2008 baseline









Hopkins University, the KfW Development Bank, the KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation, Partners in Health, Project Hope, PATH, the International Committee of the Red Cross and The Union.

Overcoming the challenges to establish the necessary infrastructure for central level laboratories capable of using liquid culture and LPAs, the EXPAND-TB project is showing major progress in routine detection and reporting of drug-resistant TB. For example, 24 870 MDR-TB cases were diagnosed in supported laboratories in 24 reporting countries in 2012. The cumulative number of diagnosed cases of MDR-TB reached 36 965 by the end of 2012, equivalent to 32% of the overall project target. Several of the countries participating in the project have reported striking increases in the numbers of laboratory-confirmed cases of drug-resistant TB, especially in 2012 (Figure B5.2.1). The project has recently been amended to add Xpert MTB/RIF to the list of procured diagnostics, along with liquid culture and LPAs. In October 2012, project partners began to pilot a strategy for a transition from project-funded to countrybased financing. The experience from the pilot will be used as a model for implementation across all EXPAND-TB recipient countries for the remainder of the project, ensuring a smooth transition and sustainability of achievements when EXPAND-TB ends.

Procurement and installation of GeneXpert instruments started in mid-2013 for the new TBXpert project, which will provide approximately 1.4 million Xpert MTB/RIF test cartridges and 230 GeneXpert machines to 21 recipient low- and middle-income countries over three years. The US\$ 25.9 million project is funded by UNITAID and managed by the WHO Global TB Programme and the Stop TB Partnership. To ensure country absorptive capacity and effective use of the technology, the TBXpert project links a broad network of partners and existing initiatives for TB laboratory strengthening and innovative approaches to expand access to vulnerable populations in both the public and private sector (**Box 5.1**), resulting in increased and rapid case detection of TB, HIV-associated TB and RR-TB. TBXpert project partners include the GLI, TB REACH, the GDF, the EXPAND-TB project, Interactive Research and Development and the African Society for Laboratory Medicine.

FIGURE B5.2.2



Countries (in brown) participating in the TBXpert project

The SRL Network is expanding its membership to include Centres of Excellence (SRL-CE), a new category that recognizes laboratories that are performing well in large low- and middle-income countries and that work primarily to build in-country laboratory capacity. Countries with laboratories currently eligible to apply for designation as an SRL-CE include Brazil, China, India, the Russian Federation and South Africa. To be eligible for this designation, laboratories need to be nominated by their NTP to the WHO country office, establish a collaborative agreement with an existing SRL, undergo a laboratory assessment by WHO, and actively implement a quality management system towards accreditation.

Addressing the co-epidemics of TB and HIV

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

■ In 2012, 1.1 million (13%) of 8.6 million people who developed TB worldwide were HIV-positive. The African Region accounted for 75% of the estimated number of HIV-positive incident TB cases.

■ The number of people dying from HIV-associated TB has been falling since 2003. However, there were still 320 000 deaths from HIV-associated TB in 2012 and further efforts are needed to reduce this burden.

■ The prevalence of HIV co-infection among TB patients is highest in the African Region. Of TB patients with an HIV test result, 43% tested positive in 2012, ranging from 9.6% in Angola and Ethiopia to 77% in Swaziland.

■ Globally, the percentage of notified TB patients with a documented HIV test result was 46% in 2012, up from 40% in 2011 and 15 times higher than the 2004 level. In the African Region, 74% of notified TB patients had an HIV test result in 2012, a further improvement compared with 69% in 2011. Among the 41 countries with the highest TB/HIV burden, 15 achieved HIV testing levels of ≥85%, including seven (Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, Swaziland, Togo and Zambia) above 90%.

■ There was an encouraging increase in ART coverage among HIV-positive TB patients between 2011 and 2012, from 49% worldwide in 2011 to 57% in 2012. Nonetheless, given the WHO recommendation that all HIV-positive TB patients are eligible for ART, the coverage of ART for HIVpositive TB patients still needs to be greatly improved.

In 2012, 80% of HIV-positive TB patients were provided with co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT), a level similar to recent years.

■ In 2012, 4.1 million people enrolled in HIV care were reported to have been screened for TB, up from 3.5 million in 2011. Of the reported 1.6 million people newly enrolled in HIV care in 2012, almost 520 000 were provided with isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT). Coverage needs to be increased, since about 50% of those newly enrolled in HIV care and screened for TB are likely to be eligible for IPT.

People living with HIV who are also infected with TB are much more likely to develop TB disease than those who are HIV-negative.¹ Starting in the 1980s, the HIV epidemic led to a major upsurge in TB cases and TB mortality in many countries, especially in southern and eastern Africa (**Chapter 2**, **Chapter 3**).

In 2012, 1.1 million (13%) of the 8.6 million people who developed TB worldwide were HIV-positive (**Chapter 2**, **Table 2.1**); 75% of these HIV-positive TB cases were in the African Region. Although the number of people dying from HIV-associated TB has continued to fall globally and in most regions including the African Region, there were still 320 000 deaths from HIV-associated TB in 2012, with approximately equal numbers among men and women (see **Chapter 2**). UNAIDS and the Stop TB Partnership have set a target of halving TB mortality rates among people who are HIV-positive by 2015 compared with 2004.²

WHO recommendations on the interventions needed to prevent, diagnose and treat TB in people living with HIV have been available since 2004,^{3,4} and are collectively known as collaborative TB/HIV activities. They include establishing and strengthening coordination mechanisms for delivering integrated TB and HIV services, testing TB patients for HIV, providing ART and CPT to TB patients living with HIV, providing HIV prevention services for TB patients, intensifying TB case-finding among people living with HIV, offering IPT to people living with HIV who do not have active TB, and controlling the spread of TB infection in health care and congregate settings (the latter three activities are referred to as the Three 'Is' for HIV/TB). Since December 2010, the rapid molecular test Xpert MTB/RIF has been recommended as the primary diagnostic test for TB among people living with HIV who have TB signs and symptoms.

WHO began monitoring the implementation and expansion of collaborative TB/HIV activities in 2004. This chap-

¹ The probability of developing TB among people living with HIV divided by the probability of developing TB among HIV-negative people is the incidence rate ratio (IRR). The estimated global IRR (all ages) in 2012 was 29.6 (uncertainty interval 27.1–32.1). Further details are provided in **Annex 1**.

² Getting to zero: 2011–2015 strategy. Geneva, Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, 2010.

³ Interim policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2004 (WHO/HTM/TB/2004.330; WHO/ HTM/HIV/2004.1). Available at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/ 2004/who_htm_tb_2004.330_eng.pdf

⁴ WHO policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities: guidelines for national programmes and other stakeholders. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012 (WHO/ HTM/TB/2012.1). Available at http://whqlibdoc. who.int/publications/2012/9789241503006_eng_Annexes.pdf

ter presents the latest status of progress, using data for 2004 up to 2012.

6.1 HIV testing for TB patients

In 2012, the number of notified TB patients who had a documented HIV test result reached 2.8 million (**Figure 6.1**), equivalent to 46% of notified TB cases (**Table 6.1**, **Figure 6.2**). This was an increase from 2.5 million and 40% respectively in 2011, and 15 times the level of 3.1% reported in 2004 (**Figure 6.2**).

The coverage of HIV testing for TB patients was particularly high in the African Region, where 74% of TB patients had a documented HIV test result in 2012, up from 69% in 2011 (**Figure 6.2**). Impressively, in 29 of 46 African countries, \geq 75% of TB patients had a documented HIV test result in 2012 (**Figure 6.3**).

Overall, among the 41 countries identified as priorities for the global TB/HIV response, (listed in **Table 6.1**), 53% of TB patients notified had a documented HIV test. Of these countries, 15 achieved testing levels of $\geq 85\%$ including seven (Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, Swaziland, Togo and Zambia) that achieved levels above 90%. In addition, although national data for China show that 34% of TB patients were tested for HIV in 2012, coverage was 88% in the 294 high TB/HIV burden counties in which testing of all notified TB patients is recommended. Globally, there were 87 countries in which $\geq 75\%$ of TB patients had a documented HIV test result.

FIGURE 6.1

Number of TB patients with known HIV status, 2004–2012



FIGURE 6.2





FIGURE 6.3

Percentage of patients with known HIV status by country, 2012^a



^a In the 294 counties in China identified for HIV testing among notified TB patients, 100 017 of 113 978 notified cases were tested for HIV (88%). Data for the Russian Federation are for new TB patients only excluding cases in prisons.

TABLE 6.1

HIV testing, treatment for HIV-positive TB patients and prevention of TB among people living with HIV, globally and for 41 high TB/HIV burden countries and WHO regions, 2012. Numbers in thousands except where indicated.

	ESTIMATED HIV-POSITIVE INCIDENT TB CASES			94 OE		% OF	% OF	NUMBER OF	NUMBER	
	BEST	LOW	HIGH	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NOTIFIED TB PATIENTS TESTED FOR HIV	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS STARTED ON CPT	HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS STARTED ON ART	POSITIVE PEOPLE SCREENED FOR TB	HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED WITH IPT
Angola	5.5	4.7	6.5	12	23	9.6	100	100	12	1.1
Botswana	5.1	4.5	5.6	6.0	89	63	91	66		
Brazil	16	13	19	46	55	20	0	100		
Burkina Faso	1.6	1.3	1.8	4.6	84	15	96	75	7.4	
Burundi	2.5	2.2	2.8	5.7	82	19	94	55	0.2	
Cambodia	2.7	2.3	3.1	32	80	4.4	98	88		1.1
Cameroon	19	16	23	21	82	37	83	55	12	
Central African Republic	5.3	4.4	6.4	3.8	46	39	28	20		
Chad	4.1	3.4	4.8	4.8	44	20		65	1.0	
China	7.3	6.4	8.2	309	34ª	1.9		59	295	
Congo	3.6	2.9	4.3	2.0	17	33	20	23		
Côte d'Ivoire	8.0	6.9	9.2	21	85	27	75	44		
Djibouti	0.54	0.45	0.64	1.3	36	10		64		0
DR Congo	16	14	19	35	31	16	61	40		
Ethiopia	23	17	30	96	65	10	37	82	272	30
Ghana	2.8	2.4	3.1	12	78	24	72	37		
Haiti	4.3	3.5	5.1	14	81	20	59	46	2.1	15
India	130	120	140	822	56	5.4	92	59	1 324	
Indonesia	7.5	5.6	9.7	2.7	0.8	28	18	29	23	
Kenya	45	44	47	93	94	39	98	74		
Lesotho	9.9	8.7	11	10	88	75	97	53	21	16
Malawi	16	15	17	19	93	59	88	81	393	21
Mali	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	28	28	42	100		
Mozambique	83	58	110	48	94	58	98	55		17
Myanmar	19	16	21	19	13	27		83		
Namibia	7.3	5.8	8.9	9.9	88	47	99	72	12	12
Nigeria	46	21	80	83	84	23	80	56	140	2.3
Russian Federation	9.3	7.9	11	76 ^b						
Rwanda	2.9	2.6	3.2	6.1	99	26	99		122	
Sierra Leone	3.9	3.2	4.8	12	87	12	26	69	8.9	1.1
South Africa	330	270	390	294	84	65	74	54	950	370
Sudan	4.3	3.5	5.1	3.1	15	7.5	0	17	1.3	
Swaziland	13	11	15	7.4	95	77	98	66	69	1.9
Thailand	12	10	14	44	72	13	77	62		
Тодо	1.2	0.98	1.4	2.7	91	24	87	76		
Uganda	35	28	42	41	86	50	94	49		
Ukraine	4.8	3.9	5.7	34	85	14		94		14
UR Tanzania	32	30	34	52	82	39	96	54	357	
Viet Nam	9.3	6.9	12	68	66	7.0	73	47		5.7
Zambia	35	32	39	45	100	54	93	60		
Zimbabwe	55	42	69	34	88	70	26	18		
High TB/HIV burden countries	1 000	960	1 100	2 454	53	21	80	57	4 024	509
AFR	830	760	910	1 040	74	43	79	55	2 392	473
AMR	31	28	34	129	56	16	61	76	4.5	19
EMR	11	10	12	58	14	3.5	69	48	15	0.2
EUR	19	17	21	204	60	6.3	67	74	24	18
SEAR	170	160	180	904	39	6.2	89	61	1 352	< 0.01
WPR	24	21	27	451	34	3.1	79	56	308	8.6
Global	1 100	1 000	1 200	2 787	46	20	80	57	4 095	519

Blank cells indicate data not reported.
 ^a In the 294 counties in China identified for HIV testing among notified TB patients, 100 017 of 113 978 notified cases were tested for HIV (88%). Among these, 1605 were HIV-positive (1.6%).
 ^b Data for the Russian Federation exclude retreatment cases and cases from prisons.

Outside the African Region, in 2012 the percentage of TB patients who had a documented HIV test result reached 60% in the European Region. It should be noted, however, that the coverage of testing in the Russian Federation is underestimated since the national data on HIV testing reported to WHO are for new TB cases in the civilian sector only (i.e. excluding prisons) while the denominator used in calculations of coverage is all notified TB cases. The percentage of TB patients with a documented HIV test result in the Region of the Americas was 56% in 2012. Brazil (where 55% of new TB cases had a documented HIV test result, very similar to the regional average) accounted for more than a third of all cases tested in the region, followed by Mexico (12%) and Haiti (10%). In other regions, where testing rates have remained consistently low, the percentage ranged from 14% in the Eastern Mediterranean Region to 39% in the South-East Asia Region.

The highest rates of HIV co-infection were reported for TB patients in the African Region (**Table 6.1**), where 43% of those with an HIV test result were positive (compared with 46% in 2011). The percentage of TB patients found to be HIV-positive in the 28 African countries in the list of 41 priority countries ranged from 10% in Ethiopia and Angola to 77% in Swaziland. In the Region of the Americas, the percentage of TB patients with a documented HIV test result who were HIV-positive was 16%. In the Eastern Mediterranean, European, South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions, less than 10% of TB patients with a documented HIV test result were HIV-positive. The global average across all regions was 20%, and 21% among the 41 high TB/HIV burden countries.

6.2 Antiretroviral therapy and co-trimoxazole preventive therapy for TB patients living with HIV

ART is a critical intervention for reducing the risk of TB morbidity and mortality among people living with HIV. It reduces the individual risk of TB disease by 65%, irrespective of CD4 cell count,¹ and when combined with IPT it can have a significant impact on TB prevention.² In the latest WHO guidelines released in July 2013,³ the threshold CD4 count at which starting ART is recommended has been raised from a CD4 count of \leq 350 to \leq 500 CD4/mm³. Implementation of these guidelines on a large scale should substantially reduce morbidity and mortality resulting from HIV-associated TB. As in previous guidelines, ART is recommended for all TB patients living with HIV, irrespective of their CD4 cell count. CPT also helps to reduce mortality among HIV-positive TB patients.⁴

The number of HIV-positive TB patients on ART has grown from a very low level in 2004 (**Figure 6.4**) to reach 0.3 million in 2012. Among TB patients notified in 2012⁵ and who had a documented HIV-positive test result, 57% were on ART globally (**Table 6.1**, **Figure 6.5**); this is a considerable improvement from 49% in 2011. In the African Region, 55% of TB patients notified in 2012 who had a documented HIV-positive test result were on ART (up

FIGURE 6.4

Number of HIV-positive TB patients enrolled on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT) and antiretroviral therapy (ART), 2004–2012



from 48% in 2011). Among the 41 high TB/HIV burden countries, 28 reported enrolling more than 50% of notified TB patients known to be living with HIV on ART in 2012 (**Table 6.1, Figure 6.6**). This important progress notwith-standing, the WHO recommendation that all HIV-positive TB patients are eligible for ART irrespective of their CD4 cell count also means that the coverage of ART for HIV-positive TB patients still needs to be greatly improved with the goal of reaching the 2015 target of 100% set in the *Global Plan to Stop TB* 2011–2015.

Early initiation of ART, as soon as possible within eight weeks after initiation of TB treatment or within two weeks for profoundly immunosuppressed patients (CD4 count <50), is recommended. WHO also strongly recommends the integration of ART and TB treatment services for TB patients living with HIV either through TB or HIV treatment facilities in settings with a high burden of TB and HIV. In many settings, facilities providing TB services are more decentralized than ART services and offer an opportunity to scale up the delivery of integrated TB and HIV services through task shifting and task sharing.⁶ A recent example of the integration of TB services with those for HIV and

¹ Suthar AB et al. Antiretroviral therapy for prevention of tuberculosis in adults with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 2012, 9(7): e1001270. (doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001270).

² Samandari T et al. 6-month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment for tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet.* 2011 May 7;377(9777):1588-98. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60204-3.

³ Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013. Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ 10665/85321/1/9789241505727_eng.pdf

⁴ Nunn AJ et al. Role of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in reducing mortality in HIV infected adults being treated for tuberculosis: randomized clinical trial. *British Medical Journal*. 2008, 337:a257.

⁵ In the annual WHO TB data collection form, countries are asked to report the number of TB patients notified in the most recent calendar year who were living with HIV and who "started or continued on ART".

⁶ Global Tuberculosis Report 2012. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012.

FIGURE 6.5

Percentage of TB patients with known HIV status who were HIV positive, and percentage of HIV-positive TB patients enrolled on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT) and antiretroviral therapy (ART), 2007–2012^a



^a The solid lines show values for countries that reported data. The shaded areas show upper and lower limits when countries that did not report data are considered.

FIGURE 6.6

Percentage of HIV-positive TB patients enrolled on antiretroviral therapy (ART), 2012



maternal, new-born and child health (MNCH) services is provided in **Box 6.1**.

Globally, 0.4 million TB patients living with HIV were enrolled on CPT in 2012, up from a negligible number in 2004. The absolute number fell between 2011 and 2012, which is at least partly explained by the decrease in the number of HIV-positive TB cases reported between 2011 and 2012 (**Figure 6.4**). The coverage of CPT among TB patients with a documented HIV-positive test result was 80% in 2012, similar to the level of 2010 and 2011 (**Table 6.1**, **Figure 6.5**). The African, South-East Asia and Western Pacific Regions achieved particularly high levels of enrolment on CPT: 79%, 89% and 79%, respectively (**Table 6.1**). Of the 41 high TB/HIV burden countries, the percentage of HIV-positive TB patients enrolled on CPT in 2012 exceeded 90% in Angola, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, India, Kenya, Lesotho, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, Swaziland, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Zambia.

6.3 Intensifying TB screening and isoniazid preventive therapy among people living with HIV

Recording and reporting of TB screening among people living with HIV and provision of IPT to those without active TB is a particular challenge in many countries, and further efforts are needed to facilitate and improve the tracking of progress nationally and globally (**Box 6.2**).

BOX 6.1

Linkages between TB, HIV and maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH) services in Cambodia

Cambodia has achieved great progress in responding to its HIV epidemic and in reducing TB prevalence and mortality. It has also made progress in improving services for maternal, newborn and child health (MNCH). Attendance at antenatal services and the percentage of deliveries at health facilities have increased and maternal and under-five mortality have both been reduced. Major efforts to establish and strengthen service linkages between the TB, HIV and MNCH programmes have also been made.

Linkages between TB and HIV services

In 2012, 80% of notified TB patients knew their HIV status and 88% of HIV-positive TB patients were on ART. The number of people living with HIV given IPT increased by a factor of 22 between 2006 and 2012, following the introduction of the WHO screening algorithm to rule out active TB (and associated removal of the previous requirement for a positive tuberculin skin test before initiation of IPT).

Linkages between MNCH and HIV services

The percentage of pregnant women tested for HIV increased from 16% in 2007 to 82% in 2012. The coverage of ART among HIV-infected pregnant women increased from 11% in 2007 to 65% in 2012. The percentage of infants born to HIV-positive women who were provided with ART to prevent motherto-child transmission rose from 50% in 2010 to 73% in 2012.

Linkages between MNCH and TB services

A new MNCH-TB collaborative framework offering cross-programme referrals between TB services and clinics providing antenatal, growth monitoring and immunization services promises to further reduce the burden of TB among women and children.

Scaling up collaboration among the three programmes

Collaboration among the three programmes aims to strengthen linkages and synergies to achieve better outcomes. The government, with support from WHO, has piloted efforts to set up a collaborative project involving the three programmes in two districts. Lessons learned from these and other pilot sites are helping the country to maximize potential for cross-programme collaboration and to optimize the use of resources. The three-programme collaborative activities being piloted include:

- Harmonizing transportation of blood samples required for testing HIV that are collected at sites providing services for pregnant women, TB patients and populations at high risk of HIV.
- Harmonizing information, education and communication related to MNCH, HIV and TB at the sites providing MNCH, HIV and TB services.
- 3. Expanding cross-programme laboratory services.
- 4. Strengthening the system for referrals between the three programmes including standardization of communication and referral procedures.
- Harmonization of community system strengthening by sharing the costs and time spent during monthly meetings of village health support groups at health centres.

BOX 6.2

Improving the quality of TB/HIV data: challenges and solutions

Major efforts have been made in recent years to improve the quality of TB/HIV data. Indicators used by TB and HIV programmes have been standardized and collaboration between TB and HIV programmes has been improved, with clear definition of responsibilities for data collection related to TB/HIV interventions. WHO and UNAIDS have worked intensively with countries to try to ensure complete and consistent reporting and to reconcile any apparent discrepancies between data reported by TB and HIV programmes.

These efforts notwithstanding, challenges remain:

- Missing or inaccurate denominators required to calculate the coverage of TB screening and IPT among people living with HIV. There has been an increase in the number of countries capturing and reporting data on the number of people living with HIV who are screened for TB and the number without active TB who are provided with IPT. However, many of these countries are not reporting the corresponding denominators needed to calculate coverage (i.e. people registered in HIV care and people newly registered in HIV care, for screening and IPT respectively). There are also examples of the same figures being reported for both denominators.
- Discrepant reporting by NTPs and National AIDS Programmes (NAPs). In some countries, the NTP and NAP report different figures for the number of HIV-positive TB patients who are on ART. In 32 countries, the numbers reported by the NTP and NAP were different in both 2011 and 2012. Although subsequent data verification and harmonization efforts led to consensus on one number in most countries, the different numbers could not be reconciled for either year in Angola, Myanmar and the United Republic of Tanzania. Solutions to address this problem include improving systems for recording and reporting data and further strengthening of collaboration and communication between the NAP and NTP as well as their partners.

FIGURE 6.7

Intensified TB case-finding among people living with HIV, 2005–2012



In 2012, a total of 4.1 million people who were enrolled in HIV care were screened for TB in 61 countries, an increase from 3.5 million in 58 countries in 2011 (**Figure 6.7**). In the 49 countries that reported both the number screened for TB and the number in HIV care, the coverage of screening was 66% (3.9/5.9 million).

Among 42 countries that reported data, IPT was initiated among almost 520 000 people newly registered in HIV care in 2012. This was an increase from less than 450 000 people in 2011 (**Figure 6.8**). One country – South Africa – accounted for 71% of the global total with 370 000 people reported to have been provided with IPT in 2012, followed by Ethiopia (30 000), Malawi (21 000), Mozam-

FIGURE 6.8



bique (17 000), Lesotho (16 000), Haiti (15 000), Ukraine (14 000), and Namibia (12 000).

Thirty countries reported both the total number of people newly enrolled in HIV care (1.6 million) and the number of people living with HIV who were started on IPT (0.47 million) in 2012 i.e. 30% of those newly enrolled in HIV care were initiated on IPT. If the WHO-recommended four-symptom screening algorithm is used to rule out active TB in people living with HIV, approximately 50% of people living with HIV would be expected to be eligible for IPT.¹ Further efforts are needed to reach the Global Plan's 2015 target of providing IPT to all those eligible.

¹ Getahun H, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings: Individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. *PLoS Medicine*, 2011, 8(1): e1000391. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1000391.

Financing

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

■ Funding required for a full response to the global TB epidemic in low- and middle-income countries is estimated at US\$ 8 billion per year by 2015 (excluding research and development for new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines). Of this total, about two thirds is needed for the detection and treatment of drug-susceptible TB, 20% for treatment of MDR-TB, 10% for rapid diagnostic tests and associated laboratory strengthening, and 5% for collaborative TB/HIV activities.

A recent long-term study using data reported to WHO shows that TB funding in low- and middle-income countries grew substantially between 2002 and 2011, especially in Brazil, the Russian Federation, India, China and South Africa (BRICS). The increasing self-sufficiency of these and some other countries is a success story for these countries and the global TB community.

■ Despite growth in funding for TB, funding gaps persist and additional funding needs to be mobilized from both domestic and international donor sources. There is capacity to increase funding from domestic sources beyond the US\$ 5.3 billion available in 2013, especially in BRICS. Funding required from international donor sources is estimated at US\$ 1.6–2.3 billion per year.

■ Funding from international donor sources is expected to reach US\$ 0.8 billion in 2013; most of this funding is from the Global Fund and USAID. Donor funding accounts for a large share (≥50%) of total funding in some country groups, notably the 17 HBCs excluding BRICS and all low-income countries, and an even higher proportion in some individual countries. International donors have a crucial role in sustaining and ensuring further progress in TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment worldwide.

The cost per person successfully treated for TB with firstline drugs is in the range US\$ 100 to US\$ 500 in almost all countries with a high burden of TB. Progress in TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment requires adequate funding sustained over many years. WHO began annual monitoring of funding for TB in 2002, and findings have subsequently been published in global TB reports. Particular attention has always been given to the 22 HBCs that account for about 80% of estimated cases (**Chapter 2**). Recent reports have included aggregated analyses of trends since 2006 for approximately 100 countries.

In 2012, WHO conducted a comprehensive analysis of long-term trends in TB funding in low- and middle-income countries for the decade 2002-2011, using data reported by countries between 2002 and 2012. The analysis was able to include 104 out of a total of 154 countries classified by the World Bank as low- or middle-income in 2011 (gross national income (GNI) per capita < US\$ 12,476). These 104 countries had 94% of the world's estimated cases of TB and 88% of the world's estimated cases of MDR-TB in 2011. Levels of funding in 2011 were then analysed in combination with the most recent estimates of resource requirements for TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment to assess the funding that could be mobilized from domestic sources and the balance required from international donors up to 2015. Results from these analyses were published in an article in the August 2013 issue of *The Lancet Global Health*.¹

Given this very recent publication, the scope of this financing chapter has been adjusted compared with previous years to avoid unnecessary duplication. Section 7.1 presents the most up-to-date estimates of financial resources required until the end of 2015 in all of the 154 countries that were classified as low- or middle-income countries in 2011, alongside projections of the funding that could be mobilized domestically. Section 7.2 provides a summary of the main findings from the analysis of trends in funding between 2002 and 2011 in 104 low- and middle-income countries. With this background and context, the rest of the chapter (section 7.3) contains detailed analyses of TB funding in 2013, using data compiled in the 2013 round of global TB data collection. Funding levels in 2013 are presented by WHO region and for other country groupings based on income level, burden and geography, with breakdowns by source of funding (section 7.3.1) and category of expenditure (section 7.3.2). Funding gaps reported by countries are also illustrated and discussed (section 7.3.3).

¹ Floyd K, Fitzpatrick C, Pantoja A and Raviglione M. Domestic and donor financing for tuberculosis care and control in low-income and middle-income countries: an analysis of trends, 2002–11, and requirements to meet 2015 targets. *The Lancet Global Health*; 1: e105–15.

Further country-specific data can be found in finance profiles that are available online.¹

7.1 Estimates of funding required up to 2015 for a full response to the global TB epidemic

The Global Plan to Stop TB 2011–2015² sets out the actions and funding needed for a full response to the TB epidemic, based on the Stop TB Strategy.³ The overall goal of the plan is to achieve the 2015 global targets for reductions in cases of and deaths from TB (i.e. that incidence should be falling and that prevalence and mortality rates should be halved compared with their levels in 1990) (Chapter 1). Key components of the plan include increasing the number of patients detected and treated according to WHO's recommended strategy from 5.8 million in 2011 to 6.9 million by 2015 (which would be equivalent to more than 80% of projected incident cases in that year); ensuring that all previously treated patients and all new patients with known risk factors for MDR-TB are tested for drug resistance by 2015 (including with recently endorsed rapid tests such as Xpert MTB/RIF that are discussed in Chapter 5); enrolment of all TB patients with confirmed MDR-TB (projected to be around 300 000 in 2015) on second-line treatment; HIV testing of all patients with TB; and prompt initiation of ART in all HIV-positive TB patients.

In 2013, the Global Plan datasets were used in combination with new country-specific planning and budgeting work with nine high TB or high MDR-TB burden countries to produce updated estimates of funding needs for TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment in low- and middleincome countries.⁴ The nine countries were Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, South Africa and Ukraine. Analyses were conducted in the context of estimates of funding needs and funding gaps required for the Global Fund's replenishment efforts in 2013.⁵ WHO subsequently extended these analyses to cover all low- and middle-income countries and not only the countries eligible to apply to the fund.⁶ Notable countries (in terms of TB burden and funding requirements) that are not eligible to apply to the Global Fund are Brazil, China and the Russian Federation.

During the course of the work done for the first prereplenishment meeting held in April 2013, it should be highlighted that the Global Fund, WHO, UNAIDS, and other partners agreed that funding needs for ART for HIVpositive TB patients should be included in estimates of HIV resource needs to avoid double-counting. For this reason, the estimates of resource requirements for TB/HIV interventions included in the updated estimates of resource needs for TB are lower than those published in the Global Plan.

Funding needs were compared with the domestic funding that could be mobilized in two alternative scenarios. The first scenario was that TB funding could increase (from a 2011 baseline) in line with International Monetary Fund forecasts for growth in total government expenditures.⁷ The second scenario had the same assumptions as the first, but also assumed that countries that currently underperform in domestic financing relative to their income level (i.e. their ability to pay) and disease burden reach the level of the median performer by 2020. These scenarios were chosen to be fully consistent with the methods previously used to assess the potential to mobilize domestic funding for prevention, treatment and care of HIV.⁸

The main results from these analyses are summarized in **Figure 7.1**. The total funding required in all low- and middle-income countries reaches about US\$ 8 billion in 2015, compared with US\$ 6 billion in 2012 (**Figure 7.2**).⁹ Of the total funding required, about two thirds is needed for the detection and treatment of drug-susceptible TB, 20% for treatment of MDR-TB, 10% for rapid diagnostic tests and associated laboratory strengthening, and 5% for collaborative TB/HIV activities (excluding ART). Funding needed for each of these four categories increases over time. The largest relative increases are for treatment of MDR-TB and diagnostics/laboratory strengthening.

There is potential to mobilize a large share of these funding needs from domestic resources in some country groups, notably BRICS and upper middle-income countries (**Figure 7.1**). Elsewhere, there are relatively large gaps between the estimated amounts of domestic funding that could be mobilized and the total funding needed, especially in three country groups: the 17 HBCs excluding BRICS; low-income countries; and the African Region excluding South Africa. In the first scenario in which domestic funding grows from 2011 levels in line with projected growth in total government expenditures, the total gap amounts to US\$ 2.3 billion per year by 2015. In the second and more optimistic scenario, the gap would be US\$ 1.6 billion per year by 2015.

- ² The Global Plan to Stop TB, 2011–2015. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010 (WHO/HTM/STB/2010.2).
- ³ Raviglione M, Uplekar M. WHO's new Stop TB strategy. Lancet 2006; 367: 952–5.
- ⁴ Funding required for research and development for new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines was not considered. In the Global Plan, it is estimated that about US\$ 2 billion per year is needed for research and development.
- ⁵ The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria fourth replenishment (2014–2016): needs assessment. Geneva, Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, 2013.
- ⁶ Floyd K, Fitzpatrick C, Pantoja A and Raviglione M. Domestic and donor financing for tuberculosis care and control in low-income and middle-income countries: an analysis of trends, 2002–11, and requirements to meet 2015 targets. *The Lancet Global Health*; 1: e105–15.
- ⁷ World economic outlook database. Washington, International Monetary Fund, 2012 (www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2012/02/ weodata/index.aspx).
- ⁸ Schwartlander B, Stover J, Hallett T, et al. Towards an improved investment approach for an effective response to HIV/AIDS. *The Lancet* 2011; 377: 2031–41.
- ⁹ In Figure 7.1, country groups are not all mutually exclusive. The global total can be calculated by adding together the totals in the panels for BRICS, low-income countries, lower middle-income countries (excluding China and India) and upper middle-income countries (excluding Brazil, the Russian Federation and South Africa).

¹ www.who.int/tb/data

FIGURE 7.1

Forecast of funding that could be mobilized from domestic sources compared with total funding needed for a full response to the global TB epidemic in nine country groups, 2012–2015. The black line shows the total funding required. The **blue** band represents scenario 1, which shows domestic funding that could be mobilized if domestic funding increases from a 2011 baseline at the same rate of growth as International Monetary Fund forecasts of growth in total government expenditures. The **green** band shows additional resources that could be mobilized, compared with scenario 1, if current underperformers (relative to income level and TB disease burden) improve at a consistent rate to reach the level of the median performer by 2020. BRICS=Brazil, the Russian Federation, India, China, South Africa.



FIGURE 7.2

Total funding required for a full response to the global TB epidemic, by intervention area, 2013–2015



It should be highlighted that in the second and more optimistic scenario, it is assumed that countries that currently underperform in terms of their levels of domestic financing relative to their TB burden and income level will steadily progress to reach the level of the current median peformer (in terms of domestic funding relative to burden and income level) by 2020. Two countries in particular -India and Indonesia - would need to substantially increase their levels of domestic funding for this scenario to materialize in practice, since they account for about two-thirds of the additional funding in Scenario 2 compared with Scenario 1. Current trends are not in line with Scenario 2. In India, domestic funding reported for 2013 is lower than the amount available in 2012, while in Indonesia an increase in domestic funding between 2012 and 2013 was reported but by a relatively small amount (see Annex 2 for further details).

7.2 Trends in TB funding, 2002–2011: a summary

Data reported to WHO between 2002 and 2012 allowed analysis of trends 2002–2011 in 104 countries. These 104 countries are shown in **Table 7.1** (an additional 21 countries that could be included in analyses of funding in 2013, described in **section 7.3**, are shown in bold). Among the 104 countries, there were ≥ 6 observations for 83 countries. For most countries, there were between 7 and 10 observations, including 14/22 HBCs that had 10 observations each and 5/22 HBCs for which there were 9/10 observations. Values for country-year combinations for which data were missing in the 104 countries were imputed using country-specific linear regression models. Details on the criteria used to include or exclude countries and the imputation methods are available in an online technical appendix.¹

In the 104 low- and middle-income countries with 94% of the world's TB cases and 88% of the world's MDR-TB cases, total funding for TB (domestic plus international donor sources) grew in real terms (2011 US\$ prices) from US\$ 1.7 billion in 2002 to US\$ 4.4 billion in 2011. The increases varied among country groups, from 100% in low-income countries to 177% in upper middle-income countries. Increases in funding were accompanied by large increases in the number of people successfully treated for TB, from 2.8 million in 2002 to 5.0 million in 2011. A cumulative total of 43 million people were treated between 2002 and 2011. The cost per patient treated was in the range US\$ 100-500 in most of the countries with the highest burdens of TB. The size of the patient caseload and gross domestic product (GDP) per capita explained more than 70% of the variation among countries in the cost per patient treated.

Domestic funding (national and local budgets, and loans) in the 104 countries included in trend analyses rose from US\$ 1.5 billion in 2002 to US\$ 3.9 billion in 2011. Loans accounted for a small proportion (\leq 5%) of total domestic funding each year. Most of the increase in total domestic funding (US\$ 1.7 out of US\$ 2.4 billion [71%]) was accounted for by BRICS (which account for almost half of the world's TB cases) and other middle-income countries in Asia, Latin America and Europe. The magnitude of domestic funding in these country groups (69–98% of total funding per year) and BRICS in particular (>95% of total funding per year) meant that domestic funding dominated total funding for TB globally (88–92% per year).

International donor funding in the 104 countries included in trend analyses grew from US\$ 0.2 billion in 2002 to US\$ 0.5 billion in 2011. There was striking variation among country groups in terms of the share of total funding provided from international donor sources. By 2011, donor funding represented 39% of total funding in the 17 HBCs excluding BRICS, which account for about one third of the world's TB cases; 42% of funding in African countries excluding South Africa; and 67% of total funding in lowincome countries (25 of which are in Africa). The Global Fund accounted for 64% of all donor funding reported by countries during the decade 2002–2011.

Most funding was used for the diagnosis and treatment of drug-susceptible TB (over 85% each year). Small amounts were used for diagnosis and treatment of MDR-TB, although funding started to increase in BRICS, upper middle-income countries, and countries in Europe and Latin America around 2006.

Despite growth in funding from domestic and international donor sources, NTPs were not able to mobilize all the funding that they estimated to be needed. Funding gaps (i.e. the difference between assessments by NTPs of funding needs for TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment and the actual amount of funds mobilized) persisted, and increased from US\$ 257 million in 2002 to US\$ 563 million in 2011. It should be noted that the funding gaps reported by NTPs are sometimes based on relatively conservative assessments of funding needs. When national strategic plans with more

¹ Floyd K, Fitzpatrick C, Pantoja A and Raviglione M. Domestic and donor financing for tuberculosis care and control in low-income and middle-income countries: an analysis of trends, 2002–11, and requirements to meet 2015 targets. *The Lancet Global Health*; 1: e105–15.

TABLE 7.1

125 countries included in analyses of TB financing in 2013^{a,b}

	LOW-INCOME (21% of notified cases globally)	LOWER-MIDDLE-INCOME (46% of of notified cases globally)	UPPER-MIDDLE-INCOME (27% of notified cases globally)	BRICS (47% of notified cases globally)	17 HIGH-BURDEN COUNTRIES EXCLUDING BRICS (33% of notified cases globally)	14 HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN COUNTRIES (NOT IN THE LIST OF 22 HIGH-BURDEN COUNTRIES) (2% of notified cases globally)
African	Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros , DR Congo, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gambia, Guinea , Guinea- Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Togo, Uganda, UR Tanzania, Zimbabwe	Cameroon, Cape Verde, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana, Lesotho, Mauritania, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Swaziland, Zambia	Algeria, Botswana, Gabon, Namibia, South Africa	South Africa	DR Congo, Ethiopia, Kenya, Mozambique, Nigeria, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zimbabwe	
Americas	Haiti	Bolivia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Guyana, Honduras, Nicaragua, Paraguay	Argentina, Belize , Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Jamaica, Mexico , Panama, Suriname, Venezuela	Brazil		
Eastern Mediterranean	Afghanistan, South Sudan	Djibouti, Egypt, Morocco, Pakistan, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, West Bank and Gaza Strip, Yemen	Iran, Iraq , Jordan, Lebanon, Libya , Tunisia		Afghanistan , Pakistan	
European	Kyrgyzstan , Tajikistan	Armenia, Georgia, Moldova, Ukraine , Uzbekistan	Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Kazakhstan, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkey	Russian Federation		Armenia, Bulgaria, Estonia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Republic of Moldova, Tajikistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan
South-East Asia	Bangladesh, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Myanmar, Nepal	Bhutan, India, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Timor-Leste	Maldives, Thailand	India	Bangladesh, Indonesia, Myanmar, Thailand	
Western Pacific	Cambodia	Federal States of Micronesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Papua New Guinea, Phillipines, Samoa , Solomon Islands, Vanuatu, Viet Nam	American Samoa , China, Fiji , Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Palau, Tonga, Tuvalu	China	Cambodia, Phillipines, Viet Nam	
Excluded due to insufficient data			Albania, Angola, Azerbaijan, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Grenada, Palau, Peru, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Turkmenistan			Azerbaijan, Belarus, Lithuania

^a Analyses focus primarily on low and middle-income countries. Three high-income countries (Estonia, Latvia and the Russian Federation) were included because they are in the list of 22 high-burden countries or the list of 27 high-MDR-TB burden countries.
 ^b Additional countries included in analyses of TB financing in 2013 compared with those included in analyses of trends 2002–2011 are shown in bold.

FIGURE 7.3

Available funding for TB care and control in 125 countries reporting 96% of global cases by source of funding and WHO region, 2013



FIGURE 7.4

Available funding for TB care and control in 125 countries reporting 96% of global cases by source of funding and income group, 2013



FIGURE 7.5

Available funding for TB care and control in BRICS, 17 other HBCs and Africa excluding South Africa, by source of funding, 2013



ambitious targets are developed, as was done for the nine countries described in **section 7.1**, funding needs and gaps invariably increase. The gap between the US\$ 8 billion estimated to be needed for a full response to the TB epidemic in 2015 (**section 7.1**) and the US\$ 6.1 billion available in 2013 (see **section 7.3** below) is US\$ 1.9 billion.

Overall, these findings show that TB funding increased substantially between 2002 and 2011, resulting in impressive and cost-effective gains. The increasing self-sufficiency of many countries, including BRICS, which account for almost half of the world's TB cases, is a success story for these countries and the global TB community. At the same time, it is clear that international donor funding remains crucial in many countries and needs to be continued to sustain and consolidate recent gains.

7.3 TB funding in 2013

Data reported by countries to WHO in the 2013 round of global TB data collection allowed inclusion of 122 lowand middle-income countries (GNI per capita less than US\$ 12 616 in 2012) in analyses of TB funding by source of funding and category of expenditure in 2013 (**Table 7.1**; the additional countries compared with those included in analyses of trends 2002–2011 are shown in bold). An additional three high-income countries (Estonia, Latvia and the Russian Federation) were also included in analyses because they are in the list of 22 HBCs or 27 high MDR-TB HBCs. Collectively, the 125 countries account for 96% of the world's TB cases. Methods used to compile, validate and analyse these data are summarized in **Box 7.1**.

The total funding available in the 125 countries in 2013 amounts to US\$ 6.1 billion, and US\$ 3.1 billion excluding the European Region (**Figure 7.3**). Approximately US\$ 1.3 billion is available in the African Region, much of which is accounted for by South Africa. In the other four WHO regions, funding is in the range US\$ 0.2 to US\$ 0.7 billion.

7.3.1 Funding in 2013 by source of funding

Of the total of US\$ 6.1 billion reported for 2013, 87% (US\$ 5.3 billion) is from domestic sources and 13% (US\$ 0.8 billion) is from international donor sources (**Figure 7.3**, **Figure 7.4**). Both fall short of amounts needed for a full response to the TB epidemic up to 2015 (section 7.1). The US\$ 5.3 billion from domestic sources represents at most 67% of the total needed by 2015. The US\$ 0.8 billion from international donor sources is at most 50% of the US\$ 1.6–2.3 billion per year estimated to be required by 2015, and remains much less than international donor funding for malaria (US\$ 1.8 billion in 2011)¹ and HIV (US\$ 8.2 billion in 2011).² Of the international donor funding for TB in 2013, approximately three-quarters was from the

¹ World malaria report 2012. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012.

² World AIDS day report 2012. Geneva, Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, 2012 (www.unaids.org/en/resources/ presscentre/pressreleaseandstatementarchive/2012/november/ 20121120prresults).

BOX 7.1

Methods used to compile, validate and analyse financial data reported by countries to WHO

WHO began monitoring government and international donor financing for TB in 2002. All data are stored in the WHO global TB database. The standard methods used to compile, review, validate and analyse these financial data have been described in detail elsewhere.^{a,b} This box provides a summary.

Each year, WHO requests data from low- and middle-income countries about funding for NTPs by category of expenditure and source of funding, and funding gaps by category of expenditure, in US dollars. Categories of expenditure for TB comprise: firstline drugs; NTP staff; programme management and supervision activities; laboratory supplies and equipment; advocacy, communication, and social mobilization activities; community-based care; public-private mix approaches; collaborative TB/ HIV activities; the Practical Approach to Lung Health; operational research including surveys; outpatient visits; and hospital admissions. Categories of expenditure for MDR-TB are: secondline drugs; other items specifically for programmatic management of patients with MDR-TB; hospital admissions; and outpatient visits. Funding sources are defined as national or local government, loans (both classified as domestic funding), grants from the Global Fund, and grants from other donors (both classified as international donor funding). Countries that are classified as high-income are asked to report data on total funding and total expenditures (without breakdowns by source of funding and category of expenditure). WHO uses methods to review and

validate data that have remained consistent since 2002. These methods include routine checks for plausibility and consistency, including validation checks that are built into the online reporting system. Examples of validation checks are checks for implausibly large year-to-year changes (for example in total reported funding by source and by category of expenditure), or implausibly high or low values relative to the number of TB patients (for example, first-line or second-line drug budgets or expenditures per patient that greatly exceed prices quoted by the Global TB Drug Facility). Methods to review and validate data also include discussions with country respondents to resolve queries, and triangulation with other data sources such as the detailed budgets prepared using the WHO TB planning and budgeting tool,^c economic evaluations that include detailed cost data, the Global Fund and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Creditor Reporting System. Particular attention has always been given to the 22 HBCs.

In a few countries (China and the Russian Federation are prominent examples), funding for TB reported by NTPs includes funding for all staff, infrastructure, and other inputs necessary for hospital admissions and outpatient visits during TB treatment, because care is provided in TB-specific hospitals and clinics that have dedicated budgets. In most countries, however, the funding used for inpatient and outpatient care for TB patients is not captured in funding reported by NTPs. Since detailed costing studies of TB diagnosis and treatment in a wide range of countries show that hospitalization and outpatient care are the most important costs not captured by financial data reported by NTPs, both for drug-susceptible TB and MDR-TB, the estimation of financial resources used for inpatient and outpatient care of TB patients has always been given considerable attention in WHO's work on global monitoring of TB financing. For all countries with the exception of those such as China and the Russian Federation, the funding used for inpatient and outpatient care of TB patients is estimated by multiplying the number of outpatient visits and days of inpatient care per patient (reported by NTPs to WHO each year) by country-specific estimates of their unit cost available from the WHO-CHOICE database,^d and then by the reported number of TB patients. This is done separately for: a) patients with drugsusceptible TB; and b) patients with MDR-TB, based on the utilization data that are reported separately for these two groups of patients on the annual WHO TB data collection form.

- ^a Floyd K, Pantoja A, Dye C. Financing tuberculosis control: the role of a global financial monitoring system. Bulletin of the World Health Organization: 2007: 85: 334–40.
- ^b Floyd K, Fitzpatrick C, Pantoja A and Raviglione M. Domestic and donor financing for tuberculosis care and control in low-income and middle-income countries: an analysis of trends, 2002–11, and requirements to meet 2015
- targets. *The Lancet Global Health*; 1: e105–15. ^c *Planning and budgeting for TB control activities.* Geneva, World Health Organization, 2013. (www.whoint/tb/dots/planning_budgeting_tool).
- Choosing interventions that are cost effective (WHO-CHOICE). Geneva, World Health Organization, 2008 (www.who.int/choice/ country/country_specific/).

Global Fund; the remainder was largely from USAID. Technical assistance to support countries to effectively mobilize funding from the Global Fund and to implement grants once approved is provided by the TB Technical Assistance Mechanism (**Box 7.2**).

Breakdowns of total funding by source for different country groups are shown in **Figure 7.4** and **Figure 7.5**. Findings strongly reinforce those previously reported for the decade 2002–2011 (Section 7.2). BRICS are relatively self-sufficient overall (95% of funding from domestic sources), although India is an exception where only 64% of funding in 2013 is from domestic sources (and as shown in Annex 1,

for the NTP budget specifically, 37% is funded from domestic sources in 2013). High-income countries are fully selfsufficient and the group of upper middle-income countries rely on international donor funding for only a small share (4%) of their total funding (and most is accounted for by China). Low- and lower middle-income countries account for most of the international donor funding (US\$ 0.7 billion, 88%). In the group of low-income countries, it accounts for about half of total funding. International donor funding also has a crucial role in the 17 HBCs excluding BRICS, and in African countries excluding South Africa (**Figure 7.5**), where it accounts for 35% and 54% respectively of

BOX 7.2

Technical Assistance for national TB programmes; the role of TB-TEAM

The TB Technical Assistance Mechanism (TB-TEAM) was established to coordinate and monitor the provision of technical assistance to NTPs. The secretariat and a dedicated website^a are hosted by WHO's Global TB Programme and funded by USAID. In mid-2013, there were 34 technical partners actively engaged in TB-TEAM. Each partner shares information about country missions, including reports that are uploaded to the countryspecific pages of the TB-TEAM website.

In 2012, TB-TEAM partners reported 706 missions. By topic area, laboratory strengthening and the programmatic management and scale up of MDR and XDR-TB accounted for one quarter of all missions. A further 30% of missions were related to monitoring and evaluation/impact measurement, national TB programme reviews and management of drugs and commodities. Most missions were conducted by WHO (40%) and KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation (20%). A further 24% were conducted by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); the Union, and the Global TB Drug Facility (GDF).

The main focus of TB-TEAM to date has been provision of technical assistance to support the implementation of grants from the Global Fund. Within the context of the fund's new funding model (NFM) established in 2013, this is now being extended to support the development of robust national strategic plans and associated concept notes that are required for the mobilization of new financial resources (as opposed to implementation of grants that have already been secured) from the Global Fund. The focus on support to countries that are current or potential Global Fund recipients reflects the fact that the fund is the main source of international donor funding in many countries, especially in low-income countries and several high-burden, lower-middle income countries (section 7.2). TB-TEAM partners are taking a proactive approach to providing technical support to countries, giving particular attention to grants that are not performing well. The TB-TEAM secretariat monitors progress in mobilization of funding and implementation of grants using indicators such as proposal success rates, funding for TB as a share of total grant approvals, disbursement rates and grant performance ratings.

Statistics for these indicators in 2012 can be summarized as follows:

 Proposal success rates. TB-TEAM helped 21 countries to mobilize resources via the Global Fund's transitional funding mechanism (TFM). This was put in place during the transition to the NFM to prevent countries from experiencing critical funding shortages that would affect essential services. Among the three diseases supported by the fund, TB proposals had the best recommendation rate (the Technical Review Panel of the Global Fund recommended that 87% of TB proposals should be approved compared with 79% for malaria and 62% for HIV).

- TB as a share of total funding. In the TFM, US\$ 130 million was awarded to TB grants, equivalent to 25% of all approved funding.
- **Disbursement rates.** In total and across all grants in 101 countries, US\$ 509 million was disbursed for TB in 2012, equivalent to 15% of total disbursements (US\$ 3.4 billion) by the Global Fund. Of the remaining funding, US\$ 1.8 billion (54%) was disbursed to HIV grants, US\$ 1.0 billion (30%) to malaria grants and US\$ 32 million (1%) to cross-cutting investments.
- **Grant performance ratings.** At the end of 2012, TB grants were performing relatively well (**Figure B7.1.1**), with 86% in the top three categories of A1 (excellent), A2 (meets expectations) and B1 (adequate), compared with 53% for malaria grants and 79% for HIV grants. The other categories are B2 (adequate but potential demonstrated) and C (inadequate).

FIGURE B.7.1.1

Latest Global Fund performance rating by disease for all 423 active grants (Global Fund Database, accessed January 2013)



In 2013 and 2014, Global Fund projections suggest that an estimated US\$ 1.9 billion will be disbursed to TB grants. This equates to an amount per year that is approximately double the level of 2012. TB-TEAM aims to support countries as effectively as possible to help to ensure that these funds are disbursed and used well.

^a www.stoptb.org/countries/tbteam/

TABLE 7.2

Reported NTP budget, available funding for NTP budget by intervention area and estimated cost of inpatient and outpatient care for drug-susceptible (DS-TB) and MDR-TB, 36 high TB or high MDR-TB burden countries, 2013 (current US\$ millions)

				AVAILABLE FUNDING				
	REPORTED NTP BUDGET	DS-TB	MDR-TB	TB/HIV	PPM/PAL/ACSM/ CBC/OR/SURVEYS	OTHER	OUTPATIENT CARE: DS-TB ^b	OUTPATIENT CARE: MDR-TB ^b
22 HIGH-BURDEN COUNTRIES								
Afghanistan	13	6.0	0.8	0	0.7	1.3	2.9	0
Bangladesh	43	4.6	1.6	0	1.9	0.2	5.0	1.4
Brazil	87	60	6.3	2.3	5.8	0	20	1.4
Cambodia	24	5.3	0.6	0.2	2.2	0.7	6.7	0.2
China	359	267	25	0.2	12	0.5	0	0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	61	8.7	1.7	0.3	1.0	4.5	0.2	0
Ethiopia	145	47	6.0	3.1	12	3.6	11	0.6
India	182	84	67	0	18	2.1	84	32
Indonesia	119	39	8.3	1.3	8.4	0.6	39	2.0
Kenya	55	19	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	9	0.3
Mozambique	11	5.6	1.1	0	0.8	0	5.7	0.1
Myanmar	36	9.1	3.5	1.6	0.4	0	5.6	1.6
Nigeria	154	17	4.6	1.6	3.6	22	6.2	1.3
Pakistan	73	26	34	0.1	1.5	5.0	11	0.8
Philippines	149	27	8.9	0.4	6.9	2.7	109	3.4
Russian Federation ^c	1 592	1 332	129	27	0.4	104	0	0
South Africa	475	217	41	124	19	67	109	232
Thailand ^a	44	31	3.9	0.1	6.8	0	3.3	0
Uganda	31	6.0	2.2	0.2	3.9	9.3	0.6	0
United Republic of Tanzania	58	14	0.5	2.1	0.9	1.3	1.5	0.1
Viet Nam	66	4.4	4.6	1.2	3.4	4.8	49	0.6
Zimbabwe	38	11	0.1	3.4	0.5	1.4	15	0.1
22 high-burden countries total	3 814	2 241	350	170	111	232	494	279
REMAINING HIGH MDR-TB BURD	EN COUNTRI	ES						
Armenia	5.4	4.5	0.6	0	0.1	0.2	7.5	1.2
Azerbaijan							-	-
Belarus ^a							-	-
Bulgaria	16	14	0.3	0	0.6	0.3	22	1.5
Estonia	0.8	0.1	0.5	0	0	0.2	0.1	0.1
Georgia	10	2.6	2.8	0	0	4.8	3.6	3.2
Kazakhstan	242	149	70	1.0	1.7	21	192	57
Kyrgyzstan	35	11	5.6	0.3	13	4.0	13	3.1
Latvia	4.8	3.7	1.1	0	0	0.1	20	7.3
Lithuania							-	-
Republic of Moldova ^a	35	2.6	2.8	0	0.6	15	11	3.2
Tajikistan	46	5.0	1.1	0.6	1.1	8.6	6.6	1.1
Ukraine	85	21	15	0.6	0	7.9	66	40
Uzbekistan	76	15	14	0	1.5	46	84	5.7
27 high MDR-TB burden countries	4 011	2 312	448	164	108	325	854	400
36 high TB or high MDR-TB burden countries	4 371	2 471	464	172	130	340	919	402

Blank cells indicate data not reported.

indicates values that cannot be calculated.
 Based on data reported for 2013 in the 2012 round of data collection. In 2013, Thailand was not able to report funding for the sub-national level.

^b No amount is shown for China and the Russian Federation because NTP budget includes all costs for inpatient and outpatient care.

^c The staff and infrastructure required for TB care and control could not be disaggreated for MDR-TB and DS-TB separately and are shown under DS-TB. The full amount for staff and other recurrent costs for TB hospitals is included in the column for DS-TB.

FIGURE 7.6

Available funding for TB care and control in 125 countries reporting 96% of global cases by intervention area and WHO region, 2013



^a For EUR, DS-TB includes all of the staff and infrastructure required for TB care and control in the Russian Federation that could not be disaggregated for MDR-TB and DS-TB separately. The amount of funding shown for MDR-TB in the European Region is thus an underestimate.

^b Drug-susceptible TB (DS-TB) includes funding available for first-line drugs, NTP staff, programme management and supervision, and laboratory equipment and supplies.

total funding in 2013. The share is even higher in specific countries and above 80% in four HBCs: Afghanistan, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Pakistan and Uganda (**Annex 2**).

7.3.2 Funding in 2013 by budget category

Funding in 2013 by budget category is shown by WHO region in **Figure 7.6**, for other country groups in **Figure 7.7** and for HBCs and high-MDR-TB burden countries in **Table 7.2**. It should be highlighted that the amount of funding shown for MDR-TB in the European Region is an underestimate due to the fact that the budget category 'Drug-susceptible-TB' (DS-TB) includes all of the staff and infrastructure required for TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment in the Russian Federation that could not be disaggregated for MDR-TB and DS-TB separately. Among the 122 low- and middle-income countries for which a breakdown could be calculated, most of the funding available in 2013 is for diagnosis and treatment of DS-TB.

The WHO regions in which the shares of funding for MDR-TB are highest are the African Region (mostly explained by South Africa), the European Region and the South-East Asia Region. This is consistent with the distribution of the burden of MDR-TB cases, which are mostly in BRICS and the European Region, and with the latest data on numbers of MDR-TB patients detected and enrolled on treatment (**Chapter 4**). These data show that European countries and South Africa are enrolling the highest proportion of estimated cases of MDR-TB on treatment and that progress in scaling up treatment in India (in the South-East Asia Region) is accelerating. The low share of funding

FIGURE 7.7

Available funding for TB care and control in BRICS, 17 other HBCs and Africa excluding South Africa, by intervention area, 2013



^a For BRICS, drug susceptible TB (DS-TB) includes all of the staff and infrastructure required for TB care and control in the Russian Federation that could not be disaggregated for MDR-TB and DS-TB separately. The amount of funding shown for MDR-TB in BRICS is thus an underestimate.

for MDR-TB in the Western Pacific Region, within which most of the estimated cases of MDR-TB are in China, is consistent with the small number of cases reported to have been detected and started on treatment in China in 2012 (just over 3000, equivalent to 5% of the estimated number of TB patients with MDR-TB). Among the 22 HBCs, 85% of the available funding for MDR-TB treatment is accounted for by BRICS (**Table 7.2**).

Most of the reported funding for collaborative TB/HIV activities is accounted for by the African Region (77%), followed by Europe (16%). This is consistent with the distribution of the burden of TB/HIV: the latest estimates are that 75% of HIV-positive TB patients are in the African Region (**Chapter 6**).

7.3.3 Reported funding gaps in 2013

In 2013, funding gaps reported by NTPs (i.e. the difference between assessments by NTPs of funding needs for TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment and the actual amount of funds mobilized) amount to US\$ 1 billion. This is a considerable increase from gaps in the range US\$ 0.3–0.6 billion that were reported during the decade 2002–2011 (section 7.2). A possible explanation may be that NTPs are developing more ambitious plans for implementation and scale up of interventions with resulting increases in funding gaps. African countries account for almost half of the total (Figure 7.8a), followed by Asian countries (37% of the total). Funding gaps were reported by countries in all income groups with the exception of high-income countries (Figure 7.8b), and for multiple elements of TB prevention, diagnosis and treatment (Figure 7.8c).

FIGURE 7.8

Funding gaps reported by national TB programmes in 125 countries with 96% of global cases, 2013

a. By region and income group



b. By income group



c. By intervention area



Research and development

KEY FACTS AND MESSAGES

Efforts to develop new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines have intensified during the past decade and considerable progress has been made.

More than 50 companies are involved in the development of TB diagnostics. Although many new diagnostic technologies are available on the market, accelerated field evaluation of diagnostic accuracy and robustness of these assays is needed.

Increased and sustained investment in new TB diagnostics remains essential for the development of an accurate, easy-to-use, affordable point-of-care assay for the rapid and early diagnosis of TB.

■ There are 10 new or repurposed anti-TB drugs currently in late phases of clinical development. In December 2012, one of the new compounds, bedaquiline, was approved for use in treatment of patients with MDR-TB by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Interim guidance about the use of bedaquiline in the treatment of MDR-TB was issued by WHO in June 2013. Bedaquiline is the first new drug approved for TB treatment in many years.

Results from two Phase III trials of four-month regimens for the treatment of drug-susceptible TB are expected in late 2013. New combination regimens are also being tested in a series of early bactericidal activity (EBA) or two-month sputum-culture conversion Phase II studies.

■ There are 10 vaccine candidates for TB prevention in Phase I, Phase II or Phase IIb trials and two immunotherapeutic vaccines in Phase II or Phase III trials.

Results from a Phase IIb proof-of-concept study of the vaccine candidate MVA 85A were published in February 2013. Among infants who received the vaccine as a boost to the Bacille-Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine, no additional protection was conferred compared with BCG alone. This study demonstrated, however, that the vaccine had an acceptable safety profile in this population, and that a high quality trial of a novel TB vaccine can be conducted and produce robust results in a high TB burden setting.

Research and development is one of the three pillars of the WHO post-2015 global TB strategy, in recognition of its crucial role in accelerating reductions in TB incidence and mortality to reach post-2015 global TB targets. The proposed goal of the post-2015 global TB strategy is to end the global TB epidemic (**Chapter 1**). Despite major progress in TB care and control since the mid-1990s (**Chapters 2–7**), reaching this goal will require major technological breakthroughs from the research and development pipeline. Short, effective and well-tolerated treatments for latent TB infection, a point-of-care diagnostic test able to distinguish latent TB infection from active TB disease, and an effective post-exposure vaccine are of key importance to end the global TB epidemic.

This is the third successive year in which a chapter on research and development has been included in the *Global tuberculosis report*. The status of progress in the development of new TB diagnostics, drugs and vaccines as of July 2013 is summarized, drawing on information provided by the secretariats of the relevant Working Groups of the Stop TB Partnership and recent publications. Particular attention is given to developments between August 2012 and July 2013. The final section of the chapter highlights key elements of the research and development agenda post-2015.

8.1 New diagnostics for TB

Sputum smear microscopy remains the most widely used diagnostic test for TB, despite its relatively low sensitivity (especially for those with paucibacillary TB such as people living with HIV and children). The current reference standard for the bacteriological confirmation of TB is culture in liquid media. However, culture-based diagnosis is not widely available in most high TB burden settings because it requires sophisticated laboratory and biosafety infrastructure, and test results take up to several weeks to obtain.

Recent breakthroughs include the development of rapid molecular tests that can be used to diagnose TB and rifampicin-resistant TB at decentralized levels of health systems. These tests are now being rolled out worldwide (see also **Chapter 5**). However, TB remains unique among the major infectious diseases in lacking accurate and rapid point-ofcare tests, largely due to insufficient progress in biomarker discovery despite active ongoing research. Indeed, the most pressing priority in TB diagnostics research today is the development of a simple, low-cost, instrument-free rapid test using one or more reliable biomarkers that can be implemented at the first point of patient contact with peripheral health services, or used as a triage test at community level to rapidly identify people who should be referred for confirmatory testing.

The status of development and evaluation of new TB

diagnostics in July 2013 is summarized in **Figure 8.1**, based on recent documentation produced by UNITAID¹ and the Treatment Action Group (TAG).² In **Figure 8.1**, diagnostic tests and methods on the market are grouped according to whether they have been evaluated by WHO and, if so, whether they have been endorsed. Given the rapidly evolving TB diagnostic landscape, WHO has established a systematic process for the timely evaluation of evidence and formulation of policy on new TB diagnostics. This is described in **Box 8.1** and further details are available elsewhere. It should also be highlighted that the list of technologies in 'early development' is not necessarily complete or exhaustive.³ Those listed are the ones documented in the UNITAID and TAG reports.

Development of molecular technologies such as nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) is most advanced i.e. either already commercially available or in late-stage development. The majority of tests are, however, intended for use at reference laboratory level only, requiring dedicated infrastructure and experienced staff. Most NAATs require manual preparation of samples, which is technically challenging and prevents their use at more decentralized laboratory levels. Testing in reference laboratories offers higher throughput of tests and/or improved screening of samples for drug resistance markers, but is typically relatively expensive. The next-generation molecular tests that have emerged since Xpert® MTB/RIF have not yet undergone rigorous field trials in the settings where their use is intended, and substantial challenges with sample processing and DNA extraction in peripheral laboratories has been reported for all of them.

Technologies in the early stages of development (first part of **Figure 8.1**) include tests to detect TB, drug resistance, or TB and drug resistance combined. These include microarray-based multiplexing diagnostic platforms for the simultaneous detection of a large number of resistanceconferring mutations; assays that use novel approaches to combine nucleic acid testing with phage-based technology to identify drug resistance in clinical isolates; a rapid colorimetric culture-based method for detection of resistance to rifampicin, isoniazid and fluoroquinolones for use at the intermediate laboratory level; second-generation Xpert assays for the detection of resistance to drugs other than rifampicin; and a cartridge-based point-of-care isothermal amplification platform. In addition to technologies aimed

¹ Tuberculosis: Diagnostics Technology and Market Landscape 2013. Geneva, UNITAID/World Health Organization, 2013. Available at: http://www.unitaid.eu/images/marketdynamics/publications/ TB-Dx-Landscape_1-Jul-2013.pdf

FIGURE 8.1

An overview of progress in the development and evaluation of TB diagnostics, July 2013

Technologies in early development^a

Volatile organic compounds

- BreathLink, Menssana Research, USA
- Prototype breath analyzer device, Next Dimensions Technology, USA

Molecular technologies

- Alere Q, Alere, USA
- B-SMART, LabCorp, USA
- Gendrive MTB/RIF ID, Epistem, UK
- LATE-PCR, Brandeis University, USA
- GeneXpert XDR cartridge, Cepheid, USA
- TruArray MDR-TB, Akkoni, USA
- INFINITIMTB Assay, AutoGenomics, USA

Culture-based technologies

- BNP Middlebrook, NanoLogix, USA
- MDR-XDR TB Color Test, FIND, Switzerland/Imperial College, UK
- TREK Sensititre MYCOTB MIC plate, Trek Diagnostic Systems/Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA

Other technologies

- TB Rapid Screen, Global BioDiagnostics, USA
- TBDx, Signature Mapping Medical Sciences, USA

Evaluated by WHO but not yet endorsed due to insufficient evidence

Molecular technologies

- TB LAMP, Eiken, Japan
- Genotype MTBDRsl, Hain Lifescience, Germany

On the market but evidence for use not yet submitted to WHO for evaluation

Molecular technologies

- iCubate System, iCubate, USA
- TB drug resistance array, Capital Bio, China
- EasyNAT TB Diagnostic kit, Ustar Biotechnologies, China
- Truelab/Truenat MTB, Molbio/bigtec Diagnostics, India

Non-molecular technologies

• Alere Determine TB-LAM, Alere, USA

Evaluated by WHO and not recommended

- Commercial serodiagnostics (all manufacturers)
- Interferon-gamma release assays for the detection of active TB (all settings)

Technologies endorsed by WHO

Molecular technologies

- Xpert MTB/RIF^b
- Line probe assays (acid-fast bacilli smear-positive sputum specimens or culture-positive specimens)

Microscopy

Ziehl-Neelsen and fluorescence microscopy methods

Culture-based technologies

Commercial liquid culture systems and rapid speciation
 Non-commercial culture and drug susceptibility testing methods

² Clayden P. et al (on behalf of The HIV i-Base/Treatment Action Group) 2013 Pipeline Report: HIV, Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), and Tuberculosis (TB) Drugs, Diagnostics, Vaccines, Preventive Technologies, Research Toward a Cure, and Immune-Based and Gene Therapies in Development. New York, Treatment Action Group, 2013. Available at: http://www.treatmentactiongroup.org/pipeline-report

³ Weyer K et al. Rapid molecular TB diagnosis: evidence, policy-making and global implementation of Xpert[®] MTB/RIF *European Respiratory Journal* erj01572-2012; published ahead of print 2012, doi:10.1183/09031936.00157212.

^a This is not an exhaustive list of technologies in early development. Those listed are the ones documented in recent (2013) publications by UNITAID and TAG.

^b Updated policy guidance on Xpert MTB/RIF is under development. See Chapter 5 for further details.

ubmitted to who for eval

BOX 8.1

Evidence required for WHO review of new diagnostics

Phase 1: Research and Development

- Upstream research and development to define and validate a prototype;
- Laboratory validation under international standards that culminates in a design-locked product;
- WHO interacts with developers if requested to discuss end-user requirements such as biosafety, assay robustness and intended settings of use.

Phase 2: Evaluation and Demonstration

- The performance of the new diagnostic product should be evaluated in controlled trials at 3–5 trial sites in high-burden TB and HIV countries;
- Product registration with global and/or national regulatory authorities;
- Product specifications and performance should subsequently be validated in uncontrolled trials under field conditions in 5–10 trial sites in high-burden TB and HIV countries, and include cost-effectiveness studies.

Phase 3: Evidence Assessment

NEW TECHNOLOGIES

• Submission of a dossier with Phase I and Phase II data to WHO.

FAST-FOLLOWER

- Manufactured under ISO 13:485 standards;
- Equivalent performance demonstrated Supranational Reference Laboratory comparison;
- Structured evidence assessment using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach;
- WHO does not recommend technologies for individual country use.

Phase 4: Phased uptake and collection of evidence for scale-up

- New diagnostic successfully implemented in routine diagnostic services by early implementers in high-burden countries;
- Systematic assessment of proposed algorithms, laboratory workload, operational constraints and costeffectiveness;
- Lessons learnt by early implementers used for country adaptation.

Phase 5: Scale-up and Policy refinement

 Scale-up of the new diagnostic, with subsequent data used to inform and refine WHO policy guidance in a dynamic and on-going process. at diagnosis of TB and drug-resistant TB, assays for use in monitoring patients' response to treatment are needed as alternatives to culture. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-based amplification assays have potential to be used in this way, given that rRNA levels per TB bacilli are much higher than genomic DNA targets and that they are present only in viable organisms.

Several new diagnostic technologies are on the market, but evidence to support their use has not been provided to WHO and thus their performance characteristics have not been assessed and WHO cannot recommend their use. As an alternative to real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays (e.g. Xpert MTB/RIF) or line-probe assays for the detection of TB and drug resistance, these technologies include PCR assays combined with DNA microarrays (arrays), which allow the detection of a greater number of resistance conferring alleles and may potentially offer superior performance for the rapid detection of drug resistance. The technologies include:

- iCubate system (iCubate, USA). This is a multiplexed PCR assay that detects TB, non-tuberculous mycobacteria and drug resistance-conferring mutations in a single reaction. The assay allows multiple targets to be amplified with array detection technology that simultaneously analyses multiple targets. The assay is currently available for research purposes only.
- Capital Bio Corporation (China) has developed a TB drug resistance detection array kit that can detect 14 of the most frequently found mutations in three genes associated with resistance to rifampicin and isoniazid. The assay is currently only appropriate for testing at reference laboratory level given the complexity of performing the assay.
- EasyNAT TB Diagnostic kit, Ustar Biotechnologies, (China) has developed three isothermal based NAATs for the detection of TB as well as rifampicin and isoniazid resistance-conferring mutations. A clinical trial conducted in four provinces across central and northern China showed promising preliminary results for a rapid and easy-to-use screening tool for the diagnosis of pulmonary TB.
- A micro-PCR system developed by Truelab[™] (Molbio, India) was launched in 2013 in India. The system uses microchips with TB-specific genetic sequences for the quantitative detection of TB DNA in sputum samples in a one hour reaction from sample preparation to final reporting of results. Battery powered equipment is used for the steps of DNA extraction, amplification and detection. Although promising, only limited evaluation data are currently available.
- Alere Determine LAM, (Alere, USA). This is an assay for the detection of *M. tuberculosis* lipoarabinomannan in urine. The assay seems to be most useful for the diagnosis of TB in people living with HIV who have a low CD4 count.

Two tests are commercially available but have not been endorsed by WHO after evaluation. The first is a manual molecular assay to detect TB DNA in sputum specimens (TB-LAMP®, Eiken Chemical Co. Ltd., Japan). The evidence-based process followed by WHO concluded that the data available for the TB-LAMP assay were insufficient to proceed with the development of policy guidance. Additional independent evaluation studies to investigate TB-LAMP as a replacement test for culture are now underway in 16 countries (17 sites). The second assay evaluated by WHO but not endorsed is a line probe assay for detecting resistance to second-line anti-TB agents (GenoType[®] MTBDRsl, Hain Lifescience, Germany). This cannot be recommended as a replacement test for conventional phenotypic testing for drug susceptibility because of suboptimal sensitivity in detecting resistance to fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable agents. The latter group of drugs also share mutations, which means that even if they are detected by the line probe assay it is not possible to identify exactly which drug(s) is linked to the detected mutation(s), and therefore the test cannot be used to guide the choice of individual injectable drugs to be used in treatment regimens for MDR-TB. Conventional phenotypic testing for drug susceptibility to second-line drugs therefore remains necessary for all detected strains of MDR-TB and to confirm or exclude XDR-TB.

Two rapid molecular tests have been evaluated and endorsed by WHO in recent years (bottom of **Figure 8.1**). Line-probe assays that allow the rapid diagnosis of TB and drug resistance within a day were endorsed in 2008.¹ Their use is currently limited to acid-fast bacilli sputum smear-positive samples or positive cultures. Xpert MTB/ RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was endorsed by WHO in 2010 for the rapid diagnosis (i.e. within 2 hours) of pulmonary TB and rifampicin-resistance in adults.² In July 2013, the Xpert MTB/RIF assay remained the only fully automated real-time DNA-based cartridge test that can detect both TB and resistance to rifampicin, and the only mature technology representing a new generation of automated molecular diagnostic platforms.

Since 2010, almost 100 articles on Xpert MTB/RIF have been published and others are underway.³ In 2013, given the amount of additional data, WHO commissioned three systematic reviews of the evidence on sensitivity and specificity of Xpert MTB/RIF as a test for pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB, in both adults and children. Findings were reviewed by an expert group and updated recommendations are anticipated in 2014 (see **Chapter 5**).

The UNITAID 2013 Report: *Tuberculosis: Diagnostic technology and market landscape*⁴ describes the following four innovations to the Xpert MTB/RIF technology, which were made or under development in 2012 and 2013.

• Assay improvements. A new prototype assay for MDR-TB is in development. This uses new dyes and quenchers that increase the spectral range for detection of targets using 10 fluorophores rather than the six currently used.

- **Remote calibration**. This was made available in late 2012 and is already being used in more than 40 countries. It allows users to recalibrate the optical system, verify the functioning of the thermal system and conduct a series of system-level tests to ensure full system functionality within specifications. It is anticipated that over 90% of modules can be successfully calibrated over the internet.
- **Enhancements to data management**. Real-time aggregation of geo-positioned test data (from which personal identifiers have been removed) is being evaluated in South Africa. This offers the potential to substantially improve monitoring of the TB epidemic and the associated programmatic response.
- HIV cartridges for use with the GeneXpert platform. These are planned for release in 2014. A separate cartridge for the qualitative and quantitative detection of HIV viral load is in development.

With over 50 companies working on TB diagnostics, there is now considerable industry interest in TB diagnostics. Nonetheless, a recent survey of more than 25 test developers identified several critical frequently-asked questions for which answers are required by industry to invest in TB diagnostic test development (www.tbfaqs.org). Test developers are particularly interested in identifying the most important attributes on which to focus test development efforts (examples include cost, sensitivity, specificity, infrastructure requirements, time to result, throughput, sputum versus other samples, manual versus automated, point-of-care versus centralized laboratory testing, integrated or reflex drug resistance test and which drugs are critical for DST). In addition, updated market analyses are urgently needed, given that the TB diagnostics market landscape has changed significantly since the last global assessment of the TB diagnostics market in 2006.⁵ Updated market analyses and development of target product

¹ Molecular Line Probe Assay for rapid screening of patients at risk of MDR-TB. Policy Statement. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2008. Available at http://www.who.int/tb/features_archive/policy_ statement.pdf

² Policy Statement: Automated real-time Nucleic Acid Amplification Technology for Rapid and Simultaneous Detection of Tuberculosis and Rifampicin Resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF System. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2011.4). Available at http:// whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501545_eng.pdf

³ Weyer K et al. Rapid molecular TB diagnosis: evidence, policy-making and global implementation of Xpert® MTB/RIF *European Respiratory Journal* erj01572-2012; published ahead of print 2012, doi:10.1183/09031936.00157212.

⁴ Tuberculosis: Diagnostics Technology and Market Landscape 2013. Geneva, UNITAID/World Health Organization, 2013. Available at: http://www.unitaid.eu/images/marketdynamics/publications/ TB-Dx-Landscape_1-Jul-2013.pdf

⁵ Diagnostics for tuberculosis. Global Demand and market potential. Geneva, Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) and Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), 2006. Available at: http://www.who.int/tdr/publications/ documents/tbdi.pdf

FIGURE 8.2

The development pipeline for new TB drugs, July 2013^a



Chemical classes: fluoroquinolone, rifamycin, oxazolidinone, nitroimidazole, diarylquinoline, benzothiazinone

^a Details for projects listed can be found at www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline and ongoing projects for which a lead compound has not been identified can be viewed at

www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline-discovery.

^b Combination regimens: NC-001-(J-M-Pa-Z), Phase IIa; NC-002-(M-Pa-Z), Phase IIb; NC-003-(C-J-Pa-Z), Phase IIa; PanACEA-MAMS-TB-01-(H-R-Z-E-Q-M), Phase IIb.

profiles could facilitate greater engagement of test developers in TB diagnostics.

Despite good progress with the pipeline for new diagnostics, much more effort and investment are needed by both donors and manufacturers to expedite evaluations of new technologies in different epidemiological settings in order to determine their diagnostic accuracy and robustness in the settings of intended use. Substantial additional funding and innovation for new TB diagnostic development remain essential to ensure the availability of tests that are reliable, easy to use, affordable, and accessible to all those with TB. More than ever, the TB diagnostic pipeline needs increased and sustained investment.

8.2 New drugs to treat and prevent TB

The anti-TB drugs currently used in first-line treatments are around 50 years old. The regimen that is currently recommended by WHO for new cases of drug-susceptible TB is highly efficacious, with cure rates of around 90% in HIV-negative patients. Nonetheless, it requires six months of treatment with first-line drugs (a combination of rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol and pyrazinamide for two months, followed by a four-month continuation phase of rifampicin and isoniazid). Regimens for treatment of MDR-TB currently recommended by WHO entail at least 20 months of treatment with second-line drugs for most patients, and are associated with multiple (and sometimes serious) sideeffects and lower cure rates (see **Chapter 4**). There are also interactions between anti-TB treatments and antiretroviral therapy (ART) for people living with HIV. New drugs are required to shorten and simplify treatment, to improve the efficacy and tolerability of treatment for MDR-TB and to improve the treatment of TB among people living with HIV.

The status of the pipeline for new anti-TB drugs in July 2013 is shown in **Figure 8.2**. There are seven drugs in Phase II (early bactericidal activity, EBA, and eight-week culture conversion) trials and four drugs in Phase III (efficacy) trials. In total, there are 10 new or repurposed drugs in Phase II or Phase III trials; one drug (rifapentine, a rifamycin that has a longer half-life than rifampicin) is in both Phase II and Phase III trials, for different indications.

8.2.1 Phase III trials

Results from a Phase III trial (the 'Rifaquin trial') that evaluated the safety and efficacy of two regimens for patients with drug-susceptible TB, in which moxifloxacin was substituted for isoniazid in the intensive phase of treatment and rifapentine was used in the continuation phase of treatment, were presented in March 2013.¹ A total of 827 patients with drug-susceptible TB were enrolled in Botswana, South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe. Both new regimens were well tolerated. The six-month regimen with a weekly dose of rifapentine (1200 mg) and moxifloxacin in the continuation phase was not inferior to the currently recommended regimen. However, the four-month regimen with twice-weekly doses of rifapentine (900 mg) and moxifloxacin in the continuation phase was clearly inferior to the currently recommended regimen.

Two Phase III trials are evaluating four-month combination regimens in which a fluoroquinolone (gatifloxacin in the case of the OFLOTUB trial and moxifloxacin in the case of the ReMOX trial) is substituted for either ethambutol (in the

¹ Jindani A et al. 2013. A Multicentre Randomized Clinical Trial to Evaluate High-dose Rifapentine with a Quinolone for Treatment of Pulmonary TB: The RIFAQUIN Trial. Oral abstract and paper 147LB. 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), March 3–6 2013, Atlanta.

BOX 8.2

WHO interim guidance on the use of bedaquiline to treat MDR-TB

WHO estimates that about 450 000 new cases of MDR-TB occur worldwide each year (**Chapter 2**). Current drug regimens recommended by WHO for treatment of MDR-TB present many challenges: treatment lasts 20 months or more, and requires daily dosages of drugs that are more toxic, less effective, and far more expensive than those used to treat drug-susceptible TB.^a Globally, only about 50% of patients who start MDR-TB therapy are treated successfully (**Chapter 4**).

For the first time in over 40 years, a new TB drug with a novel mechanism of action – bedaquiline – has become available for use. It was approved by the US FDA in December 2012, following an accelerated approval process. There is considerable interest in the potential of this drug to treat MDR-TB. However, information remains limited, since it has only been evaluated in two Phase IIb trials for safety and efficacy. For these reasons, WHO has issued "interim policy guidance".^b

This interim guidance provides advice on the inclusion of bedaquiline in combination therapy for MDR-TB in accordance with the existing WHO guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant TB.^a The interim guidance lists five conditions that must be fulfilled for bedaquiline to be used to treat adults with MDR-TB:

- 1. **Effective treatment and monitoring**. Treatment must be closely monitored for effectiveness and safety, using sound treatment and management protocols approved by relevant national authorities.
- Proper patient inclusion. Special caution is required when bedaquiline is used in people aged 65 and over, and in adults living with HIV. Its use among pregnant women and children is not advised.

OFLOTUB trial) or ethambutol or isoniazid (in the ReMOX trial). The results from both trials are expected in late 2013.

A new compound, delamanid (OPC-67683), is currently being tested in a Phase III trial as an adjunct to existing optimized regimens for treatment of MDR-TB.

8.2.2 Phase II trials – individual compounds

The safety, tolerability and antimicrobial activity of an increased daily dose of rifapentine (at 10, 15 and 20 mg/kg) in combination with isoniazid, pyrazinamide and ethambutol during the first two months of treatment are being investigated in a Phase IIb trial (TBTC trial 29X). Early results were reported in May 2013.¹ These showed that rifapentine-based regimens were well-tolerated, with no toxicity events specifically related to increasing doses of the drug. Compared with the currently recommended six-month regimen, a higher proportion of patients who received the regimens including rifapentine had converted to culture-negative status (both in solid and liquid

- 3. **Informed consent**. Patients must be fully aware of the potential benefits and risks of the new drug, and give documented informed consent before embarking on treatment.
- 4. Adherence to WHO recommendations. All principles on which WHO-recommended MDR-TB treatment regimens are based must be followed. In particular, four effective secondline drugs must be part of the regimen. In line with the general principles of TB treatment, bedaquiline should not be introduced into a regimen in which the companion drugs are failing to show effectiveness.
- Active pharmacovigilance and management of adverse events. Active pharmacovigilance measures must be in place to ensure early detection and proper management of adverse drug reactions and potential interactions with other drugs.

WHO strongly recommends the acceleration of Phase III trials to generate more comprehensive evidence that can inform future policy guidance on bedaquiline. The organization will review, revise or update the interim guidance as additional information on efficacy and safety becomes available. WHO is also developing a document that will provide operational guidance on the implementation of bedaquiline and is working with partners to help ensure rational introduction of the drug.

- Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis
 2011 update. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB 2011.6).
- ^b The use of bedaquiline in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: interim policy guidance. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland. 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.6).

medium) after eight weeks. Among patients receiving the regimen with the highest dose of rifapentine, 100% were culture-negative after eight weeks of treatment (compared with 16 weeks for those receiving the current standard of care). The trial investigators concluded that the robust antimicrobial activity alongside the good tolerability and safety of the compound at increasing doses justified the assessment of daily high-dose rifapentine in regimens of shorter than six months duration in a Phase III trial.

Among other drugs tested in Phase II trials, the highest-profile in the past year is bedaquiline (TMC-207). The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the use of bedaquiline as an adjunct to existing regimens for the treatment of MDR-TB in December 2012, under an accelerated procedure. Bedaquiline became the first new TB drug to be approved for use in 40 years. A Phase III trial, which will investigate the safety and efficacy of bedaquiline when used in combination with a short MDR-TB regimen, is scheduled to start before the end of 2013. Following the release of trial results and the FDA decision, WHO issued interim guidance about the use of bedaquiline in the treatment of MDR-TB in June 2013 (**Box 8.2**).

Five other individual compounds are in the Phase II

¹ Moro et al. Tolerability and safety of escalating Rifapentine (RPT) doses during the first two months of tuberculosis (TB) treatment. Abstract A6051. American Thoracic Society International Conference, Philadelphia, May 17–22, 2013

development phase. These are linezolid, sutezolid, PA-824, SQ-109 and AZD-5847.

Linezolid (a member of the oxazolidinone antibiotic class of drugs) was approved in 2000 for the treatment of drug-resistant, gram-positive bacterial infections. It has good anti-mycobacterial activity in vitro and is increasingly used 'off-label' for patients who have highly drug-resistant TB. However, serious adverse events (such as peripheral and optic neuropathies, anaemia and thrombocytopenia) have been reported. Results from a prospective, randomized trial in which linezolid was used to treat patients with XDR-TB who had not responded to other available chemotherapeutic options were published in late 2012.¹ A total of 41 patients were randomly assigned to linezolid therapy (600 mg per day), which was either started immediately or after two months without any change to the background regimen. After confirmed sputum-smear conversion or after four months of treatment (whichever came first), patients underwent a second randomization to continue linezolid therapy at a dose of either 600 mg or 300 mg per day for at least an additional 18 months, with close monitoring of toxicity.

The results showed that at four months, 15 of the 19 patients (79%) in the immediate-start group and 7 of the 20 (35%) in the delayed-start group had converted to culture-negative status (p = 0.001). Most patients (34 of 39 [87%]) had a negative sputum culture within six months after linezolid had been added to their drug regimen. Of the 38 patients treated with linezolid, 31 (82%) had clinically significant adverse events that were possibly or probably related to linezolid, including three patients who discontinued therapy. Patients who received 300 mg per day after the second randomization had fewer adverse events than those who continued taking 600 mg per day. Thirteen patients completed therapy and had not relapsed at the end of follow up. Four cases of acquired resistance to linezolid were observed. Trial investigators concluded that linezolid was effective at achieving culture conversion among patients with chronic XDR pulmonary TB, but warned that patients must be monitored carefully for adverse events. Study limitations include the small number of patients evaluated, and that 10% of patients acquired resistance to linezolid. Further data are needed to balance the long-term risks and benefits of linezolid when used as part of a combination regimen with other effective anti-TB drugs.

Sutezolid (PNU-100480) is an oxazolidinone and an analogue of linezolid. It has been tested in an EBA study at doses of either 600 mg twice a day or 1200 mg once a day. Results were presented in 2012 and showed that sutezolid led to a significant reduction in log colony forming units (CFU) counts compared with the baseline level following 14 days of treatment, using both dosage options.² The results suggested a superior response with the 600 mg twice-daily dose.

PA-824 is a nitroimidazole compound that is being tested as part of several potential combination regimens (see below). **SQ-109**, originally synthesized as a derivative of ethambutol, is also being tested as part of a combination regimen (see below).

AZD-5847 is being tested in a Phase II trial.

8.2.3 Phase II trials – new regimens

Besides individual compounds, new combinations of drugs are or will soon be tested in various Phase II trials. In the Global tuberculosis report 2012, the results of the EBA study of a new combination regimen (NC-001) that included moxifloxacin, pyrazinamide and the novel drug PA-824 were summarized.³ Three trials of various combination regimens are currently underway. The first of these is **NC-002**, which is building on the NC-001 study to test the same regimen in a two-month trial. The trial is being implemented in South Africa and the United Republic of Tanzania. The regimen is being tested in patients with drug-susceptible TB and in patients who have drug-resistant TB but not resistance to the drugs included in the new regimen. The NC-002 trial is a landmark trial, since it is the first to simultaneously investigate treatment of both drug-sensitive and drugresistant TB with the same regimen. Results are expected at the end of 2013.⁴

The second trial, **NC-003**, is testing the EBA of various combinations of clofazimine, bedaquiline, PA-824 and pyrazinamide in patients with drug-susceptible TB. 5

The **MAMS-TB-01** trial, conducted by the PanACEA consortium, is evaluating new three-month combination regimens using a new adaptive study design.⁶ The drugs included in the combination regimens are isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, moxifloxacin and SQ-109. The end-point of the trial is time to culture conversion in liquid media. The trial started in May 2013.⁷

8.2.4 New developments in the treatment of latent TB infection

New drugs are being tested for the treatment of latent TB infection (LTBI) in people without active TB disease.

Rifapentine has been investigated as part of a combined regimen (TBTC 26, also called PREVENT-TB), and the first results were published in December 2011.⁸ Enrolment and

⁵ See: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01691534

¹ Lee M et al. Linezolid for Treatment of Chronic Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis. New England Journal of Medicine 2012;367:1508-18. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1201964

² Wallis R et al. Safety, tolerability and early bactericidal activity in sputum of PNU-100480 (sutezolid) in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis (Abstract THLBB02). 19th International AIDS Conference 2012, July 22–27, Washington DC.

³ Diacon AH et al. 14-day bactericidal activity of PA-824, bedaquiline, pyrazinamide and moxifloxacin combinations: a randomised trial. *The Lancet*, 2012

⁴ See: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01498419

⁶ Phillips P et al. Innovative trial designs are practical solutions for improving the treatment of tuberculosis. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2012;205 Suppl 2:S250–7.

⁷ See: http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01785186

⁸ Sterling T et al. Three Months of Rifapentine and Isoniazid for Latent Tuberculosis Infection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2011; 365;23: 2155-66.

BOX 8.3

Raising the profile of treatment for latent TB infection

One third of the world's population is estimated to be latently infected with M. tuberculosis. People with latent TB infection (LTBI) do not have symptoms of TB and are not infectious, but they are at risk of developing active disease and becoming infectious. Studies show that 5-20% of those infected will develop active TB at some point in their lifetime, with the majority developing TB disease within 2-5 years of the initial infection. Several factors increase the risk of progressing from infection to active TB disease: immunosuppression (for example, related to HIV infection or immunosuppressive treatment), malnutrition, diabetes and alcohol abuse. Preventing active TB by addressing these risk factors as well as proper diagnosis and treatment of LTBI in selected risk groups is thus important for the individual and public health. Modelling has shown that diagnosis and treatment of LTBI could play a key role in TB elimination. WHO has recently published guidelines on TB contact investigation and on systematic screening of active TB,^{a,b} both of which offer an entry point to identification of risk groups for LTBI diagnosis and treatment.

Isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) is the mainstay of current WHO recommendations on treatment of LTBI. Treatment is recommended for two specific population groups: people living with HIV, and children less than five years old who are household or close contacts of TB patients. A recent Cochrane review showed that rifampicinand rifapentine-containing regimens among HIV negative people have higher completion rate and fewer adverse events compared with those based on IPT only.^c

Before initiating LTBI treatment, it is essential that active TB is effectively ruled out and the diagnosis of LBTI reliably established. The tuberculin skin test (TST) and interferon-gamma release assays (IGRA) are designed to detect a cellular immune response to M. tuberculosis, but do not differentiate between latent infection and active disease and, if negative, do not allow TB infection to be ruled out. Most importantly, they cannot accurately predict the risk of infected individuals developing active TB disease, and their use in routine practice poses operational and resource challenges.

There are several unanswered questions related to the detection and management of LTBI that require urgent scientific attention and increased research investments. There is still limited understanding of the fundamental biology of latency and there are no truly adequate animal models to study it. There is also no diagnosis and treatment for people who are latently infected with drugresistant strains of *M. tuberculosis*. Expediting the discovery of robust tools to effectively diagnose and treat LTBI is crucially important for global TB control. Particular emphasis needs to be given to development of a better understanding of the basic pathogenesis of *M. tuberculosis* and the identification of biomarkers that will enable reliable diagnosis and shorter and less toxic treatment for LTBI.

Following recent developments in the treatment of LTBI, WHO plans to update its guidelines on the management of LTBI. This will entail a review of the existing evidence with a particular focus on risk groups that have the highest likelihood of progression to active TB disease following infection, and due consideration to risk-benefit analysis and concomitant risk factors.

- Recommendations for investigating contacts of persons with infectious tuberculosis in low- and middle-income countries.
 Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/77741/1/9789241504492_ eng.pdf
- Systematic screening for active tuberculosis – Principles and recommendations. Available at http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/
- 10665/84971/1/9789241548601_eng.pdf Sharma SK et al. Rifamycins (rifampicin, rifabutin and rifapentine) compared to isoniazid for preventing tuberculosis in HIV-negative people at risk of active TB. *Cochrane Database* of *Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD007545. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007545. pub2.

follow-up for two groups of particular interest (young children 2–11 years of age, and people living with HIV) were extended and are scheduled to end in September 2013. Preliminary results showed that the once-weekly, three month regimen of rifapentine and isoniazid (3HP) was generally well-tolerated and offered 'substantial advantages' compared with the current standard of nine months of isoniazid for treatment of LTBI in children.¹ Study 33, also called iAdhere, is a follow-up Phase IV study of TBTC 26, investigating the effectiveness of the 3HP combination (tested in PRE-VENT-TB), either given by: (1) DOT, (2) self-administered, or (3) self-administered with text message reminders by cell phone. This study is expected to be completed in March 2014.

A second study is an AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) trial of daily rifapentine and isoniazid for one month to treat LTBI in people living with HIV. A third study to evaluate the effect of single and repeated administration of rifapentine (given as a daily or weekly regimen) on steady-state pharmacokinetic parameters of efavirenz, emtricitabine and tenofovir given as a fixed dose combination

(ATRIPLA[™]) started patient enrolment in September 2012 and recruitment was completed in August 2013. A fourth study to compare the safety and effectiveness of a four-week daily regimen of rifapentine and isoniazid with a standard nine-month regimen of daily isoniazid among people living with HIV started patient enrolment in May 2012 and is expected to complete enrolment in March 2018. A fifth study to determine the safety and tolerability of a fourmonth, once daily rifampicin regimen in children is being conducted by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and McGill University and results are expected in 2016.²

¹ Villarino et al. Tolerability among children of three months of once-weekly rifapentine + INH (3HP) vs. 9 months of daily INH (9H) for treatment of latent tuberculosis infection: The PREVENT TB Study (TBTC Study 26/ACTG 5259). IDSA Conference 2012.

² Clayden P et al, on behalf of the HIV i-Base/Treatment Action Group. 2013 Pipeline Report: HIV, Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), and Tuberculosis (TB) Drugs, Diagnostics, Vaccines, Preventive Technologies, Research Toward a Cure, and Immune-Based and Gene Therapies in Development. Available at: http://www.treatmentactiongroup.org/ pipeline-report

8.3 New vaccines to prevent TB

The slow decline in TB incidence globally and the growing problem of MDR-TB highlight the critical need for new effective TB vaccines. The BCG vaccine for the prevention of TB is almost 100 years old, and while the vaccine protects against severe forms of TB in children (TB meningitis and miliary TB), its efficacy in preventing pulmonary TB in adults is highly variable. BCG is also not recommended for use in infants known to be infected with HIV, due to the risk of disseminated BCG disease. The development of techniques for genetic manipulation of mycobacteria, completion of the genome sequence of *M. tuberculosis* in the 1990s, and recent advances in immunology provide historic opportunities for developing a new generation of TB vaccines that can achieve dramatically higher levels of impact.

For the past decade, two major strategies have been used to develop new vaccines for prevention of TB.¹ One strategy has been to develop vaccines that would have a higher efficacy than BCG and replace it - such as an improved version of BCG or a new attenuated live M. tuberculosis vaccine. The second strategy has been a 'prime-boost' strategy in which BCG continues to be given to neonates (as is done currently, since it prevents TB in infants and children), and a new vaccine is given as a 'booster' dose to increase the efficacy and extend the duration of protection. Modelling of the potential public health impact of new TB vaccines in the WHO South-East Asia Region suggested that a TB vaccine for infants with 60% efficacy would contribute to a significant decline in TB incidence by 2050.² Furthermore, this modelling also indicated that if a preventive TB vaccine of similar efficacy among adolescents and adults was delivered as part of a mass vaccination strategy, the impact on the TB epidemic would be much larger. More recent modelling of the public health impact of a new vaccine at global level³ has reinforced this finding, indicating that an adolescent and adult vaccine with 60% efficacy could potentially avert 30-50 million new TB cases over a 25 year period. The much greater potential impact of an adult/adolescent vaccine has shifted the focus of TB vaccine development towards a new paradigm that emphasizes the development of a diverse pipeline of new TB vaccine candidates that target the prevention of TB in this older population. Scientific advances have also enabled the pursuit of more sophisticated approaches to vaccine design, and the global pipeline of TB vaccine candidates in clinical trials is more robust than at any previous period in history, now including recombinant BCGs, attenuated M. tuberculosis strains, recombinant viral-vectored platforms, protein/adjuvants combinations, and mycobacterial extracts.

The status of the pipeline for new vaccines in July 2013 is shown in **Figure 8.3**. There are 12 vaccine candidates in clinical trials. Most are designed for prevention of TB, either to prevent infection (pre-exposure) or to prevent primary progression to disease or reactivation of latent TB (postexposure). Two are BCG replacement vaccines and two are proposed as immunotherapeutic agents, to improve responsiveness to chemotherapy or prevent relapse or re-infection. Two vaccines are in Phase IIb studies.

MVA85A is an attenuated vaccinia-vectored vaccine candidate expressing Ag85A of *M. tuberculosis.* It was designed as a booster vaccine for BCG vaccinated infants and the first Phase IIb trial of this vaccine was conducted in South Africa from 2009 to 2012 with results published in early 2013 (**Box 8.4**).⁴ An additional Phase IIb trial of MVA85A is currently being conducted in adults living with HIV in Senegal and South Africa; the trial has been recently re-designed as a safety trial in which up to 650 participants will be enrolled.

 $M72+AS01_E$ is a protein subunit vaccine, formulated in a novel adjuvant to enhance immunogenicity. It contains a fusion protein of the *M. tuberculosis* antigens 32A and 39A in the adjuvant $AS01_E$. Safety and immunogenicity are being tested in three different populations: infants in The Gambia, people living with HIV in India and adults with TB disease in China (Province of Taiwan) and Estonia. The Phase IIb study will be the largest trial of a novel TB vaccine in adults, aiming to enrol 4500 HIV-negative adults in TB-endemic countries in Africa. The primary endpoint will be the protective efficacy of two doses of M72+ $AS01_E$ against pulmonary TB disease. Secondary endpoints include safety and immunogenicity.

There are six additional vaccines in Phase II trials.

AERAS-402/Crucell Ad35 is an adenovirus-vectored vaccine candidate expressing three *M. tuberculosis* antigens: Ag85A, Ag85B and TB10.4. It is designed as a booster vaccine for infants, adolescents and adults. Although started as a Phase IIb proof-of-concept trial, based on preliminary data the trial is now being revised to be a smaller Phase II trial with safety and immunogenicity as primary endpoints. Of note, AERAS-402/Crucell Ad35 and MVA85A are also being tested in *combination*, to try to drive a balanced CD4+/CD8+ immune response. One or two doses of AERAS-402/Crucell Ad35 followed by a dose of MVA85A are being evaluated in a combined Phase I/Phase II trial in adults in the United Kingdom for safety and immunogenicity.

Three vaccines are protein subunit adjuvanted vaccines, initially developed by the Statens Serum Institute in Copenhagen, Denmark. **Hybrid 1 + IC31** contains Ag85B and ESAT-6 in an adjuvant, IC31. **Hybrid 56 + IC31** contains antigens 85B and ESAT6 as well as AgRv2660, which is expressed during latency. **Hybrid 4 + IC31**, now being developed with Sanofi Pasteur, is a fusion protein candi-

¹ Evans TG, Brennan MJ, Barker L and Thole J. Preventive vaccines for tuberculosis. *Vaccine*. 31S (2013) B223–B226.

² Abu-Raddad LJ, et al. Epidemiological benefits of more-effective tuberculosis vaccines, drugs, and diagnostics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*. 2009. 106:33; 13980–13985.

³ A model of the global public health impact of new TB vaccines was commissioned by Aeras and developed by Applied Strategies. Formal publication of the model and associated results is pending.

⁴ Tameris MD, et al. Safety and efficacy of MVA85A, a new tuberculosis vaccine, in infants previously vaccinated with BCG: a randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial. *The Lancet*. 2013. 381:9871; 1021–1028.

FIGURE 8.3

The development pipeline for new TB vaccines, July 2013



date that expresses Ag85B and TB10.4; the latter antigen is from the same gene family as ESAT-6. All three vaccines are being studied in Phase IIa clinical trials in Africa.

VPM 1002 is a live recombinant vaccine, derived from the Prague strain of BCG into which the listerolysin gene from *Listeria monocytogenes* has been cloned and the urease gene deleted to improve immunogenicity. A Phase IIa trial of this vaccine has recently been completed in South Africa. A second Phase II trial will assess the safety and immunogenicity of the vaccine in HIV exposed/unexposed newborns.

RUTI is a non-live vaccine based on fragmented *M. tuberculosis* bacteria. It is in a Phase IIa trial in Spain and is being developed as an immunotherapeutic vaccine.

In addition to the vaccine candidates described above, AnHui Longcom, a Chinese pharmaceutical company, is studying **Mycobacterium vaccae**, a non-living preparation from the non-pathogenic bacterium, as an adjunct to standard antimicrobial therapy. Phase III efficacy studies are reportedly underway.

There are three vaccine candidates in Phase I clinical trials. These include the first live attenuated *M. tuberculosis* vaccine, MTBVAC, as well as a new fusion protein vaccine, ID93, formulated with a novel adjuvant GLA-SE.

MTBVAC is being developed by the University of Zaragosa, Institut Pasteur, BIOFABRI and the Tuberculosis Vaccine Initiative (TBVI). It is a live *M. tuberculosis* strain attenuated via deletions of the *phoP* and *fad*D26 genes. It is the first live attenuated *M. tuberculosis* vaccine to enter a Phase I clinical trial.

ID93+GLA-SE is a recombinant fusion protein formulated in the novel adjuvant, GLA-SE. It is being developed

by the Infectious Disease Research Institute (IDRI) in collaboration with Aeras. It expresses three *M. tuberculosis* virulence antigens (Rv2608, Rv3619 and Rv3620) and one *M. tuberculosis* latency antigen (Rv1813). It is beginning a Phase 1b trial in adults in South Africa to assess safety and immunogenicity in this population.

Ad5 Ag85A is an adenovirus serotype 5 vector expressing Ag85A. It has been developed by McMaster University with support from CanSino, a Chinese biotechnology company based in Tianjin. The vaccine was recently evaluated in a Phase I trial that demonstrated no vaccine-related serious adverse events and showed greater immunogenicity in the study group primed with BCG.

Research on new TB vaccines is now at a crucial juncture. Despite the diversity that already exists in the global portfolio of TB vaccine candidates in clinical trials, there is growing recognition among scientists and researchers in the field that there is still too much similarity in the immunological strategies being pursued.¹ In the absence of known immune correlates for either protective immunity against TB or control of infection, the portfolio must be further diversified so that candidates explore a different and novel immunological 'space'. There is already a robust pipeline of candidates being evaluated pre-clinically – including nucleic acid-based (DNA and RNA) vaccines – and these pursuits may help to broaden the diversity of the clinical portfolio and fill the scientific gaps that currently exist. To rationalize and streamline the advancement of TB vaccine candidates, consensus has been

¹ Evans TG et al. Preventive vaccines for tuberculosis. Vaccine 31S (2013) B223-B226.
BOX 8.4

The MVA85A trial in South Africa

MVA85A is a poxvirus ("Modified Vaccinia Ankara", MVA)-vectored vaccine that expresses the immune-dominant *M. tuberculosis* antigen 85A. It was originally developed at the University of Oxford. An infant Phase IIb proofof-concept trial was recently completed in South Africa.^a The study population consisted of 2794 BCG-vaccinated, HIV-negative infants aged 4–6 months, with both study arms almost equally sized: 1399 infants received one dose of MVA85A, while 1395 infants in the control arm received a placebo (Candin, a C. albicans-derived skin test antigen). Follow up lasted 37 months. The primary objective of the study was to assess the safety of MVA85A in these infants. The secondary objectives were to evaluate the efficacy of the vaccine against: (a) the disease and (b) M. tuberculosis infection, as measured by Quantiferon conversion (this distinction is important as infection only leads to active TB disease in a small minority of immunecompetent individuals). Additional objectives included the evaluation of immunogenicity.

Conclusions drawn from the results of the Phase IIb trial

This was the first clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of a new TB vaccine candidate for prevention of clinical TB or *M. tuberculosis* infection, and results were therefore of considerable interest to the vaccine research and public health communities. In this trial, MVA85A appeared to be safe and well tolerated, confirming similar findings from previous Phase I and Phase IIa clinical trials using this vaccine. None of the observed serious adverse events (or deaths) observed in the study arm were assessed by the investigators to be related to the vaccine, and only one serious adverse event involving a brief hospitalization occurred in the placebo group. The primary efficacy analysis was based on the number of TB cases among the vaccinated versus control subjects. In the vaccine arm, there were 32 cases, while in the placebo arm there were 39 cases. Based on this, the calculated vaccine efficacy was 17.3% (95% CI: -31.9% to 48.2%) for the primary TB case definition, which was not statistically significant. Moreover, there was no evidence of protection against M. tuberculosis infection: using the Quantiferon-TB Gold assay as the readout. A total of 349 out of 2792 infants became infected (178 in the vaccine arm and 171 in the placebo arm), giving a calculated vaccine efficacy of -3.8% (95% CI: -28.1% to 15.9%), which was also not statistically significant.

Implications for future studies of this and other TB vaccine candidates

Phase IIb proof-of-concept trials are designed to allow 'triage' of vaccine candidates and target populations, to decrease risks before embarking on hugely complex and resource consuming Phase III trials. Current regulations require a Phase IIb proof-of-concept trial to be corroborated in larger Phase III trials before a vaccine can be licensed.

This study demonstrated that the vaccine had an acceptable safety profile in infants, and that a high quality trial of a novel TB vaccine can be conducted and produce robust results in a high TB burden setting. The vaccine was given months after all the infants had received BCG vaccine, and it is possible that BCG may have provided a plateau level of protection, with very little, if any additional protection added by MVA85A. Rates of TB in South Africa (and the Western Cape province in particular) are exceptionally high in all age groups, including young children, and this high force of infection may be difficult to address with any vaccine. It cannot be assumed that similar results would have been obtained in other populations. It is also possible that adults, adolescents and older children could be a better target population for this vaccine: there is some evidence that it induces a stronger immune response in older age groups than in infants. Adults and adolescents are the primary source of transmission as they more likely to develop the most infectious forms of the disease and account for the largest share of the burden of TB disease worldwide. The vaccine is currently being evaluated in HIV-infected adults in Senegal and South Africa, using a two-dose regimen. For all these reasons, the results of the trial should not be considered as providing any definitive answer to the guestion of whether a new TB vaccine can provide better protection than BCG alone. Further studies of this and other vaccines are urgently needed. Several of the other TB vaccine candidates in the clinical pipeline differ from MVA85A both in their antigenic composition and in the way these antigens are delivered.

developed among key stakeholders on 'stage-gating' criteria for new TB vaccines, and increased emphasis is being placed on global coordination among key stakeholders to advance a common research agenda.

To supplement these existing efforts, a re-prioritized focus on early stage research is also underway. In accordance with this shift in emphasis, more energy and resources will be directed towards the pursuit of novel designs, to studies focused on immunological mechanisms and biomarkers, and to a diversification of scientific approaches and strategies to ensure that a more diverse pipeline of new TB vaccine candidates moves forward into clinical trials.¹

8.4 The post-2015 global TB strategy: the critical role of research and development

Fundamental science is necessary to drive innovations in new tools for improved TB care and control. Fundamental research is required to better characterize *M. tuberculosis* and to improve understanding of the interaction between the bacillus and the human host, as a basis for maintaining the flow of new technologies into the product pipeline. Researchers are making great strides in redefining the

Tameris MD, et al. Safety and efficacy of MVA85A, a new tuberculosis vaccine, in infants previously vaccinated with BCG: a randomized, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial. *The Lancet*. 2013. 381:9871; 1021–1028.

¹ Brennan MJ and Thole J (editors). Tuberculosis vaccines: A strategic blueprint for the next decade. *Tuberculosis*. 2012. 92: Supplement 1; S6–S13.

spectrum of TB disease and the transition from latent to active TB, and developing a better understanding of the behaviour of *M. tuberculosis* within the host. This progress is expected to deliver better knowledge about pathogenesis and identification of biomarkers and bio-signatures relevant to new TB diagnostics. It is also expected to point to new targets for anti-TB drugs as well as early indicators of protective immunity, vaccine efficacy and early response to treatment. Such developments will facilitate the selection and testing of new interventions.

To highlight the crucial role of research in ending the global TB epidemic, the WHO post-2015 global TB strategy that is currently under development includes "Intensified Research and Innovation" as one of three strategic pillars (**Chapter 1**). The strategy is being developed as a successor to the *Stop TB Strategy*, which covers the period 2006–2015. In wide consultations held during 2012 and 2013, there has been strong support for this pillar and its two main subcomponents, which are:

- 1. Discovery, development and rapid uptake of new tools, interventions and strategies;
- 2. Research to optimize implementation and impact.

The research pillar will be essential to the success of the two other pillars of the post-2015 global TB strategy and the achievement of post-2015 global TB targets.

Biomedical research will need to be integrated as a critical component of the new post-2015 research strategy. Creating connections among scientific disciplines that have historically been inadequate or lacking (for example, biomedical research, epidemiology and operational research) will depend upon close collaboration, consultation and input from many research and public health stakeholders.

The need for more and expanded operational research to optimize implementation and adopt innovations will require extensive work at the country level, for example to generate essential data on the epidemiology of TB (*Know your epidemic*) and universal health coverage, and to allow adaptation of global recommendations and policies at the national level.

ANNEX 1

Methods used to estimate the global burden of disease caused by TB

This annex explains the methods that were used to produce estimates of the global burden of disease caused by TB (measured in terms of incidence, prevalence and mortality). It has nine major sections:

- **General approach**. This section provides some background information about the methods used to produce estimates of disease burden.
- **Definitions**. This section defines TB incidence, prevalence and mortality, the case fatality rate (CFR) and the case notification rate. It also explains the regions for which estimates of disease burden are produced and sources of information on population estimates.
- **Estimates of TB mortality, 1990–2012**. This section explains the three methods used to estimate TB mortality, and the countries for which they were applied. Methods for estimating the number of HIV-associated TB deaths and for disaggregation of TB mortality by age and sex are also described.
- **Estimates of TB incidence, 1990–2012**. This section explains the main methods used to estimate TB incidence, and the countries for which they were applied. Methods to estimate the prevalence of HIV among incident TB cases are described.
- **Estimates of TB prevalence, 1990–2012**. This section explains the two methods used to estimate TB prevalence, and the countries for which they were applied.
- Estimates of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) incidence and mortality. This section explains the main methods used to estimate MDR-TB mortality and incidence based on drug resistance surveillance data and parameters obtained from a recent literature review.
- **Projections of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality**. This section explains how projections from 2013 to 2015 were produced.
- **Uncertainty framework**. This section explains the general approach to including uncertainty in all estimates.

1. General approach

Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB (measured in terms of incidence, prevalence and mortality) are produced annually by WHO using information gathered through surveillance systems (case notifications and death registrations), special studies (including surveys of the prevalence of disease, mortality surveys and in-depth analyses of surveillance data), expert opinion and consultations with countries. Two recent publications provide up-to-date guidance about how TB incidence, prevalence and mortality should be measured,¹ based on the work of the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement.² The methods used to estimate the burden of disease were updated in 2009 following 18 months of work by an expert group convened by the Task Force. These updates were endorsed at a meeting of the full Task Force in March 2010. Improvements to methods included systematic documentation of expert opinion and how this has been used to produce estimates of disease

burden, simplification of models,³ updates to parameter values based on the results of systematic reviews, much greater use of mortality data from vital registration (VR) systems and systematic documentation of uncertainty (hence the uncertainty intervals shown on all of the estimates of disease burden in this report).

2. Definitions

2.1 Incidence, prevalence, mortality, case fatality rate, case notification rate

Incidence is defined as the number of new and recurrent (relapse) episodes of TB (all forms) occurring in a given year. Recurrent episodes are defined as a new episode of TB in people who have had TB in the past and for whom there was bacteriological confirmation of cure and/or documentation that treatment was completed (**Box 3.1**, **Chapter 3**). In the remainder of this Annex, relapse cases are referred to as *recurrent* cases because the term is more useful when explaining the estimation of TB incidence. Recurrent cases may be true relapses or a new episode of TB caused by reinfection. In current case definitions, both relapse cases and patients who require a change in treatment are called 'retreatment cases'. However, people with a continuing episode of TB that requires a treatment change are prevalent cases, not incident cases.

Prevalence is defined as the number of TB cases (all forms) at a given point in time.

Mortality from TB is defined as the number of deaths caused by TB in HIV-negative people, according to the latest revision of the *International classification of diseases* (ICD-10). TB deaths among HIV-positive people are classified as HIV deaths in ICD-10. For this reason, estimates of deaths from TB in HIV-positive people are presented separately from those in HIV-negative people.

The **case fatality rate** is the risk of death from TB among people with active TB disease.⁴

The **case notification rate** refers to new and recurrent episodes of TB notified to WHO for a given year, expressed per 100 000 population. The case notification rate for new and recurrent TB is important in the estimation of TB incidence. In some countries, however, information on treatment history may be missing for some cases. When data on treatment history are not available, recurrent cases cannot be distinguished from cases whose treatment was

¹ TB impact measurement: policy and recommendations for how to assess the epidemiological burden of TB and the impact of TB control. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2009 (Stop TB policy paper, no. 2; WHO/HTM/TB/2009.416). The policy paper is available on the Task Force web site: www.who.int/tb/advisory_bodies/impact_ measurement_taskforce

² For further details, see the Task Force web site at: www.who.int/tb/ advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_taskforce

³ For example, some parameter values are now estimated only at global level or for regions, rather than for each country individually.

⁴ Straetemans M et al. Assessing tuberculosis case fatality ratio: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2011, 6(6):e20755.

changed, since both are registered and reported in the category 'retreatment'. Patients reported in the 'unknown history' category are considered incident TB episodes (new or relapse). This is a change from previous years in view of past difficulties to estimate with NTPs the proportion of true new or relapse TB episodes in this category of patients (previously, patients with unknown treatment history were not considered new or relapse cases). This change affects relatively few countries, mostly in Western Europe.

2.2 Regions

Regional analyses are generally undertaken for the six WHO regions (that is, the African Region, the Region of the Americas, the Eastern Mediterranean Region, the European Region, the South-East Asia Region and the Western Pacific Region). For analyses related to MDR-TB and for an ecological model used to estimate TB mortality in some countries, nine epidemiological regions were defined. These were African countries with high HIV prevalence, African countries with low HIV prevalence, Central Europe, Eastern Europe, high-income countries,¹ Latin America, the Eastern Mediterranean Region (excluding high-income countries), the South-East Asia Region (excluding highincome countries) and the Western Pacific Region (excluding high-income countries). The countries in these nine regions are listed in **Appendix 1**.

2.3 Population estimates

The source of population estimates needed to calculate various TB indicators was the 2012 revision of the *World Population Prospects*, which is produced by the United Nations Population Division (UNPD).² The UNPD estimates sometimes differ from those made by countries.

3. Estimates of TB mortality, 1990–2012

The best sources of data about deaths from TB (excluding TB deaths among HIV-positive people) are VR systems in which causes of death are coded according to ICD-10 (although the older ICD-9 and ICD-8 classification are still in use in several countries). Deaths from TB in HIV-positive people are coded under HIV-associated codes.

Three methods were used to estimate TB mortality among HIV-negative people:

- direct measurements of mortality from VR systems or mortality surveys;
- indirect estimates based on an ecological model that uses data from VR systems;
- indirect estimates derived from multiplying estimates of TB incidence by estimates of the CFR.

Each method is described in more detail below. Details on the method used for each country are available online at www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/gtbr13_ mortality_source.csv.

3.1 Estimating TB mortality among HIV-negative people from vital registration data and mortality surveys

Data from VR systems are reported to WHO by Member States and territories every year. In countries with functioning VR systems in which causes of death are coded according to the two latest revisions of the *International classification of diseases* (underlying cause of death: ICD-10 A15-A19, equivalent to ICD-9: 010-018), VR data are the best source of information about deaths from TB among people not infected with HIV. When people with AIDS die from TB, HIV is registered as the underlying cause of death and TB is recorded as a contributory cause. Since one third of countries with VR systems report to WHO only the underlying causes of death and not contributory causes, VR data usually cannot be used to estimate the number of TB deaths in HIV-positive people.

TB mortality data obtained from VR systems are essential to understanding trends in TB disease burden where case notifications have incomplete coverage or their coverage is not documented through an inventory study. An updated description of the global coverage and quality of VR data is available in *World Health Statistics 2013.*³

As of May 2013, 125 countries had reported mortality data to WHO (including data from sample VR systems and mortality surveys), among 217 countries and territories from which TB data were requested. These 125 countries included 9 of the 22 high TB burden countries (HBCs): Brazil, China, India, the Philippines, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Thailand, Viet Nam and Zimbabwe. However, the VR data on TB deaths from South Africa and Zimbabwe were not used for this report because large numbers of HIV deaths were miscoded as TB deaths. Improved empirical adjustment procedures have recently been published,⁴ and options for specific post-hoc adjustments for misclassification errors in the measurement of TB mortality will be reviewed extensively by the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement in 2014.

Among the countries for which VR data could be used (see **Figure 2.11** in **Chapter 2**), there were 2087 country-year data points 1990–2012. Of these data points, 24 outliers and points obtained from systems with very low coverage were excluded for analytical purposes. Outliers were detected visually by plotting country-specific time series of reported TB mortality rates. As of June 2013, 62 data points were available for 2010, 35 for 2011 and none for 2012. On average, 16 data points were retained for analysis per country (standard deviation (SD) of 6.7) from a total of 2063 usable data points.

¹ High-income countries are defined by the World Bank as countries with a per capita gross national income (GNI) of ≥US\$ 12 616 in 2012.

² http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/ (accessed June 2013).

³ www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/2013/en/ (accessed July 2013) (see particularly pages 15–16).

⁴ Birnbaum JK, Murray CJL, Lozano R. Exposing misclassified HIV/ AIDS deaths in South Africa. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2011, 89:278–285.

Reports of TB mortality were adjusted upwards to account for incomplete coverage (estimated deaths with no cause documented) and ill-defined causes of death (ICD-9 code B46, ICD-10 codes R00–R99).¹

It was assumed that the proportion of TB deaths among deaths not recorded by the VR system was the same as the proportion of TB deaths in VR-recorded deaths. For VRrecorded deaths with ill-defined causes, it was assumed that the proportion of deaths attributable to TB was the same as the observed proportion in recorded deaths.

The adjusted number of TB deaths d_a was obtained from the VR report d as follows:

$$d_a = \frac{d}{c(1-g)}$$

where c denotes coverage (i.e. the number of deaths with a documented cause divided by the total number of estimated deaths) and g denotes the proportion of ill-defined causes.

The uncertainty related to the adjustment was estimated with standard deviation SD = d/4[1/c(1 - g) - 1]. The uncertainty calculation does not account for miscoding, such as HIV deaths miscoded as deaths due to TB.

Missing data between existing adjusted data points were interpolated. Trailing missing values were predicted using exponential smoothing models for time series.² A penalized likelihood method based on the in-sample fit was used for country-specific model selection. Leading missing values were similarly predicted backwards to 1990. A total of 799 country-year data points were thus imputed.

Results from mortality surveys were used to estimate TB mortality in India and Viet Nam.

In 2012, 45% of global TB mortality (excluding HIV) was directly measured from VR or survey data (or imputed from survey or VR data from previous years). The remaining 55% was estimated using the indirect methods described in **section 3.2** and **section 3.3**.

3.2 Estimating TB mortality among HIV-negative people from an ecological model

An out-of-sample, goodness-of-fit, stepwise selection approach was used in 2012 using the series 1990–2011 to select an ecological model that could predict TB mortality in countries without VR data. The model was based on the time series of VR data reported to WHO as described above, expressed as counts of TB deaths and corrected for illdefined causes of deaths and VR coverage.

A population-averaged negative binomial model, with total population as the offset converting model outputs to rates, was used to account for the longitudinal structure of the data as well as the observed over-dispersion of counts of TB deaths.

Ten variables were investigated for inclusion in the model. These were: the infant mortality rate per 1000 live births; gross domestic product per capita; HIV prevalence among the general population; the percentage of the total population aged <15 and \geq 65 years; the TB treatment suc-

cess rate; the total number of newly notified TB cases per year; whether or not a country had a high or low burden of MDR-TB; whether a country was among the 22 HBCs or not; and a categorical variable classifying countries in nine groups with similar TB epidemiology (see **Appendix 1**).

At the univariate level, all risk factors were associated with the outcome of TB mortality. The final multivariate model included the infant mortality rate per 1000 live births, HIV prevalence among the general population, gross domestic product per capita, the percentage of the total population aged <15 and \geq 65 years, whether a country was in the list of 22 HBCs or not; and the categorical variable that defined country groups with similar TB epidemiology.

Out of a total 4686 country-year observations in the time series for 1990–2011, 802 could not be predicted due to data not being available for any of the ten variables included in the model.

Estimates of TB mortality predicted by the model were used for 26 countries³ in which VR or mortality survey data of sufficient quality and coverage were not available and for which estimates of TB incidence were judged too uncertain.

3.3 Estimating TB mortality among HIV-negative people from estimates of case-fatality rates and TB incidence

In 68 countries lacking VR data of the necessary coverage and quality (in total, 94 countries lacked VR data of sufficient coverage and quality but among 26 of them, the ecological model described above was used), TB mortality was estimated as the product of TB incidence (see **section 4**) and the CFR using a model developed in 2012.

CFRs were estimated separately for TB cases notified to NTPs and non-notified cases and, within these two groups, separate estimates were made for HIV-negative TB cases in high-income and other countries (**Table A1.1**).

TABLE A1.1

Estimates of TB case-fatality rates (HIV-negative) by case type and country

CASE TYPE AND COUNTRY GROUP	MEAN (STANDARD DEVIATION)
Non-notified: high-income countries	0.12 (0.042)
Non-notified: other countries	0.32 (0.13)
Notified: high-income countries	0.039 (0.042)
Notified: other countries	0.074 (0.03)

For consistency with VR- or survey-based mortality estimates, CFRs were estimated such that they gave the best fit to the directly measured TB death rates (within their uncertainty ranges) in the 123 countries with VR or mortality

¹ Mathers CD et al. Counting the dead and what they died from: an assessment of the global status of cause of death data. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2005, 83:171–177.

² Hyndman R et al. Forecasting with exponential smoothing: the state space approach. Springer Series in Statistics, 2008.

³ For the list of the 26 countries, see www.who.int/tb/publications/ global_report/gtbr13_mortality_source.csv.

survey data that were retained for analysis, in conjunction with WHO estimates of distributions of TB incidence in those countries. This statistical fitting used Bayesian linear models and was done separately for two groups of countries (high-income and all other countries), to account for differences in the ratio of reported TB mortality to TB notification rates among these two groups (data not shown).

The models used normal errors and Gibbs sampling:

$$y = (I - N)\beta_1 + N\beta_2 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

where y is TB mortality from VR, I denotes TB incidence excluding people living with HIV, N denotes TB notifications excluding people living with HIV, and parameters β_1 and β_2 denote the CFR in non-notified and notified cases respectively. Semi-conjugate priors were set with an uninformative inverse Gamma prior on the conditional error variance:

$$b \sim N(b_i, B_i^{-2}), \sigma^2 \sim IG(5.10^{-4}, 5.10^{-4})$$

For low- and middle-income countries, priors b and their precision B were defined based on literature reviews¹ and the country-year CFR parameters used by WHO for the years 1999–2008. For high-income countries, non-informative priors were used. Convergence of Markov Chains was assessed graphically and using convergence diagnostic tests. Within each case category 1990–2011, mortality estimates were computed by taking the product of posterior distributions of the CFR, assumed to be time-independent (**Table A1.1**), and country-year specific distributions of estimated incidence.

3.4 Estimating TB mortality among HIV-positive people

No nationally representative measurements of HIV-associated TB mortality were available from VR systems for use in this report. In the absence of direct measurements, TB mortality among HIV-positive people was estimated indirectly according to the following methods (also see section 4.5) implemented in the Spectrum software.²

TB mortality is calculated as the product of HIV-positive TB incidence (see **section 4.5**) and case fatality ratios:

$$M = (I - N)F_u + NF_n$$

where *I* represents incident TB cases among people living with HIV, *N* represents HIV-positive cases that are notified, (*I-N*) represents HIV-positive TB cases that are not notified and M represents TB mortality among HIV-positive people. F_n and F_u are the case fatality ratios for notified and non-notified incident cases, respectively.

The case fatality ratios were obtained in collaboration with the TB Modeling and Analysis Consortium (TB-MAC),^{3,4,5} and are shown in **Table A1.2**.

The disaggregation of incident TB into notified and not notified cases is based on the ratio of the point estimates for incident and notified cases. A single CFR was used for all bootstrapped mortality estimates.

Direct measurements of HIV-associated TB mortality

TABLE A1.2

Estimates of the case fatality ratio among HIV-positive TB cases

	NON-NOTIFIED	NOTIFIED
HIV- Mode of triangular distribution	0.43	0.03
HIV+ not receiving ART Mode of triangular distribution	0.78	0.09
Receiving ART for less than one year Mode of triangular distribution	0.62	0.06
Receiving ART for more than one year Mode of triangular distribution	0.49	0.04

are urgently needed. This is especially the case for countries such as South Africa and Zimbabwe, where national VR systems are already in place. In other countries, more efforts are needed to initiate the implementation of sample VR systems as an interim measure.

3.5 TB mortality disaggregated by age and sex

For countries with VR data, it was possible to estimate TB deaths (excluding TB deaths among HIV-positive people) among children (aged <15 years) and adults (aged ≥ 15 years) separately. It was also possible to disaggregate TB deaths by sex. For these countries, male:female and child:adult ratios of TB deaths (expressed as rates per 100 000 population) were calculated (after correction for ill-defined causes of deaths and VR coverage). The ecological model described in section 3.2 was used to predict ratios for countries with no VR data. Directly measured (i.e. based on VR data for the latest available year) or predicted country-level ratios were then used to estimate ratios for WHO regions. These were then used to estimate the global ratio which was in turn applied to the global number of estimated TB deaths among HIV-negative TB cases to produce age and sex-disaggregated estimates.

TB deaths among HIV-positive people were disaggregated by sex using the assumption that the male:female sex ratio is the same as the sex ratio of AIDS deaths estimated by UNAIDS. Further details are provided in **Box 2.2**, **Chapter 2**. Disaggregation of TB deaths by age and sex will be one of the future developments of the TB component of the Spectrum software (also see **section 3.4**).

¹ Straetemans M et al. Assessing tuberculosis case fatality ratio: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2011, 6(6):e20755.

² http://www.futuresinstitute.org/spectrum.aspx

³ Tiemersma EW, van der Werf MJ, Borgdorff MW, Williams BG, Nagelkerke NJ (2011) Natural history of tuberculosis: duration and fatality of untreated pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV negative patients: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 6: e17601.

⁴ Corbett EL, Watt CJ, Walker N, Maher D, Williams BG, et al. (2003) The growing burden of tuberculosis: global trends and interactions with the HIV epidemic. *Archives of Internal Medicine*; 163: 1009– 1021.

⁵ Mukadi YD, Maher D, Harries A (2001) Tuberculosis case fatality rates in high HIV prevalence populations in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS*; 15: 143–152.

4. Estimates of TB incidence, 1990–2012

No country has ever undertaken a nationwide survey of TB incidence because of the large sample sizes required and associated major logistic and financial challenges. As a result, there are no direct measurements of the incidence of TB. Theoretically, data from TB surveillance systems that are linked to health systems of high coverage and performance may capture all (or almost all) incident cases of TB. The WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement has developed a set of TB surveillance standards and benchmarks that, if met, would allow direct measurement of TB cases and deaths from surveillance data (Chapter 2).

In the absence of direct measurements, estimates of TB incidence for almost all countries rely on methods described in **sections 4.1–4.3**.

It should be emphasized that incidence estimates are no longer derived from surveys of the prevalence of TB infection as measured in tuberculin surveys. The WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement has agreed that methods for deriving incidence from the prevalence of infection are unreliable. The Task Force has also stated that, with a few exceptions, repeat tuberculin surveys do not provide a reliable estimate of the trend in TB incidence.¹

4.1 Estimating TB incidence from estimates of the proportion of cases detected

Notification data for new and recurrent cases have been analysed in combination with evidence about the coverage of the TB surveillance system and expert opinion in six regional workshops and country missions held during the period 2009–2013, according to methods developed by the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement. By May 2013, these workshops and country missions had covered 96 countries (**Figure 2.1**, **Chapter 2**), with several countries re-assessed multiple times.

For the 96 countries covered by these regional workshops and country missions, incidence was estimated according to the following equation:

incidence = $\frac{\text{case notifications}}{1 - \text{underreporting}}$

Expert opinion about the proportion of TB cases² that were not reported was elicited for three reference years (1997, 2003 and, depending on when the workshop was held, 2008–2012). This was done following in-depth analysis of notification data (including data from sub-national administrative levels), programmatic data reflecting efforts in TB care and control (for example, data on infrastructure, staffing, the performance of services and funding) and (where available) data from inventory studies.³ In addition, data on access to health care from Demographic and Health Surveys and the overall performance of health systems (using indicators such as the infant mortality rate) were used to substantiate opinion on the proportion of cases with no or very limited access to health care (**Table A1.3**). Results from inventory studies combined with capture–recapture modelling were used to estimate the gap between notified cases and TB incidence in three countries that participated in regional workshops: Egypt, Iraq and Yemen.

A full description of the methods used in these workshops is available in a report of the workshop held for countries in the African Region (in Harare, Zimbabwe, December 2010).⁴

TABLE A1.3

Sources of information and data on TB incidence used in regional workshops and country missions

POSSIBLE CATEGORIES OF INCIDENT CASES	SOURCES OF DATA	
Do not have physical or financial access to health care	Demographic and health surveys, KABP ^a surveys	Capture-
Seek care, but TB not diagnosed	Survey	modelling
TB diagnosed, but not reported	'Inventory' survey	
Reported cases	TB surveillance	

^a KABP = knowledge, attitudes, behaviour and practices.

Distributions of the proportion of cases that were not reported in the three reference years were assumed to follow a Beta distribution (**Table A1.4**). Reasons for using Beta distributions include the following:

- They are continuous and defined on the interval (0, 1). Since the variance of the proportions of cases that were not reported tend to be large as a result of high uncertainty, random draws of numbers from a normal distribution would yield numbers outside the interval (0, 1). The use of truncated normal distributions may result in excess density towards one of the bounds.
- They are not necessarily symmetrical.
- They are defined with two parameters that can be estimated from available data using the method of moments.⁵

The shape and scale parameters necessary to define the Beta distribution were computed using the method of moments, as follows:

First, the variance for the distribution was taken as:

 $V = ((u - l)/4)^2$

where l and u are the lower and upper bounds of the plausible range for the proportion of incident cases that were

¹ TB impact measurement: policy and recommendations for how to assess the epidemiological burden of TB and the impact of TB control. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2009 (Stop TB policy paper, no. 2; WHO/HTM/TB/2009.416).

² Defined as cases of all forms of TB, including sputum smear-positive pulmonary cases, sputum smear-negative pulmonary cases and extrapulmonary cases.

³ Measurements from 'inventory' studies can be used to quantify the number of cases that are diagnosed but not reported to national surveillance systems.

⁴ See www.who.int/tb/advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_ taskforce

⁵ Rényi A. Probability theory. New York, Dover Publications Inc., 2007.

reported (also referred to as the case detection rate in **Chapter 3**).

Shape 1 (noted α) and 2 (noted β) follow from:

$$s = \frac{E(1-E)}{V} - 1$$
$$\alpha = sE$$
$$\beta = s(1-E)$$

where E is the expected value of the distribution.

Time series for the period 1990–2012 were built according to the characteristics of the levels of underreporting and under-diagnosis that were estimated for the three reference years. A cubic spline extrapolation of V and E, with knots set at the reference years, was used for countries with low-level or concentrated HIV epidemics. In countries with a generalized HIV epidemic, the trajectory of incidence from 1990 to the first reference year (usually 1997) was based on the annual rate of change in HIV prevalence. Incidence trajectories were derived from the series of notified TB cases using Monte Carlo simulations from which expected values, 2.5th and 97.5th centiles were extracted. All computations were conducted in the R statistical environment.¹

In two countries, incidence rates were estimated to be similar to those in a neighbouring country because information from surveillance systems was insufficient: estimates for West Bank and Gaza Strip were extrapolated from estimates for Jordan and estimates for South Sudan were extrapolated from estimates for Sudan. The estimates for West Bank and Gaza Strip and South Sudan should therefore be considered as preliminary.

Trends in incidence were derived from repeat tuberculin survey results in Bhutan, India and Yemen and for 40 countries (including countries in Eastern Europe) from trends in mortality.

If there were insufficient data to determine the factors leading to time-changes in case notifications, incidence was assumed to follow a horizontal trend going through the most recent estimate of incidence.

4.2 Estimating TB incidence from data on case notifications and expert opinion for high-income countries

For high-income countries, the level of TB incidence was assumed to be distributed between the notification rate for new and recurrent cases combined, including reported cases with undocumented treatment history as explained in **section 2.1** (lower uncertainty bound, noted l) and 1.3 times the notification rate (upper uncertainty bound, noted u), as informed by expert opinion. The distribution of incidence was assumed to follow a Beta distribution with shape and scale parameters computed using the method of moments, as described above.

In the absence of country-specific data on the quality and coverage of TB surveillance systems, it was assumed that TB surveillance systems from countries in the high-income group performed similarly well, although the model does allow for stochastic fluctuations. The exceptions were the United Kingdom and the Netherlands, where the underreporting of TB cases has been measured using inventory studies and capture–recapture modelling.^{2,3} For these two countries, the results from these studies were used to measure TB incidence directly.

4.3 Estimating TB incidence from empirical measurements of disease prevalence

Incidence can be estimated using measurements from national surveys of the prevalence of TB disease combined with estimates of the duration of disease. Incidence is estimated as the prevalence of TB divided by the average duration of disease.

In practice, the duration of disease cannot be directly measured. For example, measurements of the duration of symptoms in prevalent TB cases that are detected during a prevalence survey are systematically biased towards lower values, since active case-finding truncates the natural history of undiagnosed disease. Measurements of the duration of disease in notified cases ignore the duration of disease among non-notified and untreated cases.

Literature reviews commissioned by the WHO Global Task Force on TB Impact Measurement have provided estimates of the duration of disease in untreated TB cases from the pre-chemotherapy era (before the 1950s). The best estimate of the mean duration of disease (for smear-positive cases and smear-negative cases combined) in HIV-negative individuals is about three years. However, the proportion of incident cases that remain untreated is unknown. There are few data on the duration of disease in HIV-positive individuals.

When measurements from two prevalence surveys were available, trends in TB prevalence were derived by fitting a log-linear model to available measurements. When three or more prevalence measurements were available, the prevalence trajectory was built using cubic spline interpolation. If only one prevalence survey measurement was available, time-trends were assessed using in-depth analysis of surveillance data, as described above.

In this report, the prevalence to incidence method was used for two countries: Ethiopia and the Lao People's Democratic Republic.

4.4 Disaggregation of TB incidence

In this report, TB incidence is disaggregated by HIV-infection status (see **section 4.5**) at country level. The estimation of smear-positive TB incidence was discontinued in

¹ R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2009 (www.R-project.org).

² Tuberculosis in the UK: annual report on tuberculosis surveillance in the UK 2010. London, Health Protection Agency Centre for Infections, 2010 (also available at: www.hpa.org.uk/web/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/ HPAweb_C/1287143581697; accessed July 2011).

³ van Hest NA et al. Completeness of notification of tuberculosis in The Netherlands: how reliable is record-linkage and capture-recapture analysis? *Epidemiology and Infection*, 2007, 135(6):1021–1029.

TABLE A1.4

Parameter estimates used to produce estimates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality

MODEL PARAMETER	DISTRIBUTION	DISTRIBUTION PARAMETERS ^b
Incidence, high-income countries	Beta ^a	$\alpha = \bar{I} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{I} (1 - \bar{I})}{V} - 1 \right)$
		$\beta = (1 - \overline{I}) \cdot \left[\frac{\overline{I}(1 - \overline{I})}{V} - 1 \right]$
		where Ī was set at 1.3 times the notification rate, noted N, and V is defined by:
		$V = \left(\frac{0.3}{4} N\right)^2$
HIV prevalence among incident TB	Beta ^a	$\alpha = \overline{x} \cdot \left(\frac{\overline{x} (1 - \overline{x})}{V} - 1 \right)$
		$\beta = (1 - \overline{x}) \cdot \left(\frac{\overline{x} (1 - \overline{x})}{V} - 1 \right)$
		Where \overline{x} is the expected value and V is given by:
		$V = \left[\frac{u-l}{4}\right]^2$
Duration of disease, non-notified HIV-negative cases of TB	Uniform	l = 1, u = 4 (years)
Duration of disease, non-notified HIV-positive cases of TB	Uniform	l = 0.01, u = 0.2 (years)
Duration of disease, notified HIV-negative cases of TB	Uniform	l = 0.2, u = 2 (years)
Duration of disease, notified HIV-positive cases of TB	Uniform	l = 0.01, u = 1 (years)

^a The probability density function of the Beta distribution is: $f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1}}{\int_{-1}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt}$

^b u and l denote upper and lower bounds.

2010, for reasons explained in detail in the global report published in 2010.

Global and WHO regional estimates of sex-disaggregated incidence were also calculated, based on country-level female:male ratios of total new (all case types) TB case notifications, under the assumption that they are a proxy of female:male ratios of incidence. Model-based estimated WHO regional ratios were applied to global incidence for the final sex disaggregation (**Chapter 2**).

TB incidence was also disaggregated by age, to produce global estimates among children (aged <15 years) and adults (aged \geq 15 years). Details of methods are provided in **Chapter 2, Box 2.2**.

4.5 Estimates of HIV prevalence among incident TB cases, 1990–2012

TB incidence was disaggregated by HIV and CD4 status using the Spectrum software.¹ WHO estimates of TB incidence were used as inputs to the Spectrum HIV model. The model was fitted to WHO estimates of TB incidence, and then used to produce estimates of TB incidence among people living with HIV disaggregated by CD4 category.² A regression method was used to estimate the relative risk (RR) for TB incidence according to the CD4 categories used by Spectrum for national HIV projections. Spectrum data were based on the national projections prepared for the UNAIDS *Report on the global AIDS epidemic 2012*. The model can also be used to estimate TB mortality among HIV-positive people, the resource requirements associated with recently updated guidance on ART³ and the impact of ART expansion.

A flexible and relatively simple way of modelling TB incidence (or any time-dependent function) is to represent it as *k* time-dependent *m*'th order cubic-spline functions:

$$I(x) = \sum_{i=1 \text{ to } k} \beta_i \operatorname{B^m} i(x)$$

where βi is the i'th spline coefficient and $B^{m}i(x)$ represents the evaluation of the *i*-th basis function at time(year) x. The

 $^{^{1}\} http://www.futuresinstitute.org/spectrum.aspx$

² Stover J, McKinnon R, Winfrey B. Spectrum: a model platform for linking maternal and child survival interventions with AIDS, family planning and demographic projections. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2010; 39 Suppl 1:i7–10.

³ http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/arv2013/en/index.html

order of each basis function is *m* and cubic splines are used, i.e. *m*=3. The equation simply states that any time-dependent function, such as incidence, can be represented as a linear combination of cubic-spline basis functions. The values of the cubic-spline coefficients β were determined by an optimization routine that minimizes the least squares error between incidence data (I_{obs}) and the estimated incidence curve I(x):

 $\Sigma_{x=1990:2012} |I(x) - I_{obs}(x)|^2 + \lambda \beta^T S \beta$

Here $|I - I_{obs}|^2$ is the sum of squared errors in estimated incidence and S is a difference penalty matrix applied directly to the parameters β to control the level of variation between adjacent coefficients of the cubic-spline, and thus control (through a choice of λ) the smoothness of the time-dependent case incidence curve. Another important purpose of the use of the smoothness penalty matrix S is to regularize (by creating smoothness dependencies between adjacent parameters) the ill-conditioned inverse problem (more unknown parameters than the data can resolve) that would tend to over fit the data when left ill-conditioned.

Cubic-Splines and confidence intervals

The cubic-spline method was then used to fit indicators (incidence, case notifications, etc.) to a set of bootstrapped data, obtained by sampling from the normal error distribution resulting from fitting the 'point estimate'. This bootstrap method produces a sample of projected cubic-spline curves that are practically equivalent to a set that would be obtained from fitting the model to the same number of repeated measurements (or assessments) of the given indicator. Confidence intervals based on the bootstrapped data are typically narrow in the years where the model has data to utilize, and 'spread out' after that, according to a Gaussian process with an increasing variance.

Projecting TB incidence among people living with HIV by CD4 category

The disaggregation of TB incidence by CD4 category among people living with HIV was based on the idea that an increase in the relative risk for TB incidence is a function of CD4 decline. Williams et al captured this idea in a model for the relationship between the RR for TB and CD4 decline.¹ They suggested a 42% (+/- 17%) increase in RR for TB for each unit of 100 μ L CD4 decline.

The Spectrum-TB model's disaggregation method is based on the Williams et al. model. The model first estimates incidence among people living with HIV, and then calculates the 'risk of TB' $F=I^- / P^-$, where I^- is TB incidence among people living with HIV and P^- is the number of people living with HIV who are susceptible to TB.

An assumption is made that the risk of TB infection among people living with HIV with CD4 count > 500 μ L is proportional to *F* (it was assumed that it was higher by a factor of 2.5²). For each 100 μ L CD4 decline in the remaining categories (350–499, 250–349, 200–249, 100–199, 50–99 CD4 cells/ μL , and CD4 count less than 50 cells μL), the risk of infection is represented as:

$$F(c < 500) = F(c > 500) \cdot p(1) \cdot p(2)^{dc}$$

where p(1) is a parameter that is used to recognize that people living with HIV who have high CD4 counts could be at higher risk of TB infection relative to those who are HIV-negative, and p(2) controls the exponential increase in RR that occurs with CD4 decline. *dc* is the number of 100μ L CD4 decline associated with the midpoint of each CD4 category relative to 500: *dc*= (3.0, 4.4, 8.6, 12.9, 19.2, 28.6, 37.3) for the six CD4 categories.

A reduction in RR is applied for those who have been on ART for more than one year.

Parameter assumptions

To match total TB incidence and estimates of the number of HIV-positive TB cases from HIV testing data where available, it was assumed that p(1)=2.5 and p(2) was fitted accordingly.

In the RR-approach, the 'biological meaning' that should be attached to the parameters and a more straightforward interpretation of these parameters as regression coefficients need to be balanced. Both parameters can be fitted or both can be fixed. Varying at least p(2) captures the variation among countries that is expected due to variation in the baseline (HIV-negative) CD4 count, and it strikes a balance between the biological and regression mechanisms.

The RR model approach to estimation of TB incidence was used for people on ART. Although an estimate of TB incidence among people on ART could be obtained from surveillance data reported to WHO (such that it is arguably not necessary to use the RR model), limitations of the ART data (in particular that some countries appear to report cumulative totals of people on ART) meant that the RR approach needed to be used.

Hazard ratios (HR) of 0.35 were assumed for all CD4 at ART initiation categories. Suthar et al have reported HRs of 0.16, 0.35 and 0.43 for those on ART with CD4 count < 200, 200–350 and > 350,³ and these values could in principle be used. However, Spectrum tracks only CD4 at initiation, thus limiting the use of CD4-specific HRs for people on ART.

It was further assumed that the HR of 0.35 applies only to patients on ART for more than six months. Spectrum's ART-mortality estimates, derived mostly from ART cohorts in Sub-Saharan Africa, suggest that mortality remains very

¹ Williams B. *The impact of ART for HIV on TB*. http://www.who.int/ hiv/topics/artforprevention/williams.pdf (accessed July 2013).

² Sonnenberg P, et al. How Soon after Infection with HIV Does the Risk of Tuberculosis Start to Increase? A Retrospective Cohort Study in South African Gold Miners. *Journal of Infectious Diseas*es. 2005 Jan 15;191(2):150-8.

³ Suthar AB, Lawn SD, del Amo J, Getahun H, Dye C, et al. (2012) Antiretroviral Therapy for Prevention of Tuberculosis in Adults with HIV: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS Med* 9(7): e1001270. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001270

high in the first six months of ART. Since TB is a leading contributor to mortality among HIV-positive people, it was judged that the HR for patients on ART for 0–6 months is likely to remain high; therefore, a reduction factor due to ART was not applied for this subset of patients.

Likelihood function

A simple least squares approach was used to fit the model to total TB incidence, and to all available estimates of TB incidence among people living with HIV. These estimates of TB incidence among people living with HIV were obtained by three sampling methods: population surveys of the prevalence of HIV among TB cases (least biased, but scarce due to logistical constraints), sentinel HIV data (biases include more testing of people with advanced HIV-related disease) and routine HIV testing of reported TB patients (variable coverage). To increase the influence of survey data, replicas of the survey data were included in the likelihood function. In other words, for years for which data from HIV testing were available, identical copies of the HIV-test data were added to the likelihood function. The estimate of total TB incidence was based on much more data, evenly spread out in the estimation period 1990-2015.

Model testing showed that using two replicates of the HIV survey data (i.e. duplicating the survey data) and two replicates of the routine testing data with coverage greater than 90% was the best approach to disaggregating TB incidence: the fit passed close to the survey or high-coverage routine testing data points that were available. For each of a) HIV sentinel and b) routine testing with coverage between 50–90%, data were not used.

A prototype Bayesian importance sampling (IMIS) algorithm was developed to handle complex data weighing possibilities, but it was based on subjective priors and likelihood functions and is more time-consuming to run than simple least squares. For the purposes of producing estimates for all countries automatically, the least squares method was used. In future, least squares and IMIS fitting could be made available to the end user.

For countries with no data, a range for p(2) was estimated from countries with survey or testing data, which suggest that p(2) = 1.96 [1.8–2.1]. The RR-model was then fitted to total TB incidence only. There is no satisfactory way to verify results for TB incidence among people living with HIV when no HIV-testing data are available. However, comparison of the global estimate for TB incidence among people living with HIV produced by Spectrum and estimates previously published by WHO (based on a different method using HIV prevalence instead of CD4 distributions and using HIV-test data in a different way) suggests that the RR-model works reasonably well.

Provider-initiated testing and counselling with at least 50% HIV testing coverage is the most widely available source of information on the prevalence of HIV in TB patients. However, this source of data is affected by biases, particularly when coverage is closer to 50% than to 100%. In all countries with repeat data from testing, the relation-

TABLE A1.5

Sources of data on HIV prevalence among incident TB cases

DIRECT MEASUREMENT OF THE PREVALENCE OF HIV IN TB PATIENTS	NUMBER OF COUNTRY-YEARS
National surveys ^a	124
HIV sentinel surveillance	24
Provider-initiated testing and counselling with at least 50% coverage of testing	1297
Total, at least one data source available	1322

^a the reported survey number is over-stated as a number of country reports confused survey and routine testing with near 100% coverage

ship between the prevalence of HIV in TB patients and the coverage of HIV testing was examined graphically. In some countries, the prevalence of HIV in TB patients was found to decrease with increasing HIV testing coverage while in others it increased with increasing HIV testing coverage; in most countries, the prevalence of HIV followed highly inconsistent patterns (with repeat changes in direction) as HIV testing coverage increased. Therefore, it was not possible to adjust for the effect of incomplete coverage of HIV testing on estimates of the prevalence of HIV among TB patients. The assumption was thus made that TB patients with an HIV test result were statistically representative of all TB cases. As coverage of HIV testing continues to increase globally, biases will decrease.

For the 1003 country-year data points corresponding to countries for which no surveillance data were available, the prevalence of HIV was estimated indirectly according to the following equation:

$$t = \frac{h\rho}{1 + h(\rho - 1)}$$

In this equation, *t* is HIV prevalence among incident TB cases, *h* is HIV prevalence among the general population (from the latest time-series provided by UNAIDS) and ρ is the incidence rate ratio (IRR) (defined as the incidence rate of TB in HIV-positive people divided by the incidence rate of TB in HIV-negative people). We then let logit(*t*) be log(*t*/(1-*t*)) and logit(*h*) be log(*h*/(1-*h*)). Using data from countries where HIV prevalence has been estimated by UNAIDS as an independent variable, a linear model of logit-transformed *t* was fitted using logit-transformed *h* according to the following equation, written in matrix notation:

$$\hat{T} = X\beta$$

where \hat{T} is a vector of predicted logit(*t*), *X* is an *n* x 2 matrix in which the first column holds 1s, and the second column holds logit(*h*). The vector β holds estimated model parameters. Models were tested with lags set for logit(*h*) ranging from no lag to a lag of eight years. The best fit was obtained with a lag of one year.

Models were run using Monte Carlo simulations in which *h* was drawn randomly from a Beta distribution with shape parameters computed as described in **Section 4.1**, (low and high uncertainty bounds are provided by UNAIDS – also see **Table A1.5**). The model was run 50 000 times

using country-specific distributions for H and T (noted in capital letters to denote vectors or matrices) based on their uncertainty intervals. The uncertainty bounds for β were chosen as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles.

5. Estimates of TB prevalence, 1990–2012

The best way to measure the prevalence of TB is through national population-based surveys of TB disease.^{1,2} Data from such surveys are available for an increasing number of countries (**Chapter 2**). It should be noted, however, that measurements of prevalence are typically confined to the adult population. Furthermore, prevalence surveys exclude extrapulmonary cases and do not allow the diagnosis of cases of culture-negative pulmonary TB.

When there is no direct measurement from a national survey of the prevalence of TB disease, prevalence is the most uncertain of the three TB indicators used to measure disease burden. This is because prevalence is the product of two uncertain quantities: (i) incidence and (ii) disease duration. The duration of disease is very difficult to quantify because it cannot be measured during surveys of the prevalence of TB disease (surveys truncate the natural history of disease). Duration can be assessed in self-presenting patients, but there is no practical way to measure the duration of disease in patients who are not notified to NTPs.

Indirect estimates of prevalence were calculated according to the following equation:

$$P = \sum I_{i,i} d_{i,i}, i \in \{1,2\}, j \in \{1,2\}$$

where the index variable i denotes HIV+ and HIV-, the index variable j denotes notified and non-notified cases, ddenotes the duration of disease in notified cases and I is total incidence. In the absence of measurements, we did not allow duration in notified cases to vary among countries. Given their underlying uncertainty, prevalence estimates should be used with great caution in the absence of direct measurements from a prevalence survey. Unless measurements were available from national programmes (for example, Turkey), assumptions of the duration of disease were used as shown in the last four rows of **Table A1.3**.

6. Estimates of the number of cases of and deaths from MDR-TB

6.1 Proportion of notified cases of TB that have MDR-TB, 2012

Global and regional estimates of the proportion of new and retreatment cases of TB that had MDR-TB in 2012 were calculated using country-level information. If countries had reported data on the proportion of new and retreatment cases of TB that have MDR-TB from routine surveillance or a survey of drug resistance the latest available information was used. For countries that have not reported such data, estimates of the proportion of new and retreatment cases of TB that have MDR-TB were produced using modelling (including multiple imputation) that was based on data from countries for which data do exist. Estimates for countries without data were based on countries that were considered to be similar in terms of TB epidemiology (for country groups see **Appendix 1**). The observed and imputed estimates of the proportion of new and retreatment cases of TB that have MDR-TB were then pooled to give a global estimate, with countries weighted according to their share of global notifications of new and retreatment cases.

6.2 MDR-TB mortality, 2012

The VR mortality data reported to WHO by Member States does not differentiate between MDR-TB and non-MDR-TB as a cause of death (there is no specific ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes for MDR-TB, although countries such as South Africa have allocated two specific codes U51 and U52 to classify deaths from MDR-TB and XDR-TB respectively).³ Therefore, a systematic review and meta-analysis of the published literature was undertaken to estimate the relative risk of dying from MDR-TB compared with non MDR-TB. The global estimate of MDR-TB deaths (**Box 2.3**) was then based on the following formula:

m = M.p.r

Where:

- *m* = global MDR-TB mortality,
- M = global TB mortality,
- p = overall proportion of MDR-TB among prevalent TB cases, approximated by the weighted average of the proportion of new and retreated cases that have MDR-TB,
- r = the relative risk of dying from MDR-TB versus non-MDR-TB.

6.3 Numbers of incident cases of MDR-TB, 2012

The global estimate of MDR-TB incidence was calculated as the addition of three groups of MDR-TB incident cases:

- incident MDR-TB among new pulmonary and extra-pulmonary incident TB cases, using the proportion of MDR-TB among new cases from drug resistance surveillance (DRS);
- incident MDR-TB among relapses, using the proportion of MDR-TB among new cases from DRS and the estimated relative risk of MDR among relapse versus new cases; and
- 3. incident MDR-TB among retreated cases that are not relapses, which was assumed to follow a uniform distribution with min=0, max=upper limit of the global proportion of MDR-TB among retreated cases estimated from DRS.

A second method to estimate global MDR-TB incidence was also explored, in which the global estimate of mortality due

¹ Glaziou P et al. Tuberculosis prevalence surveys: rationale and cost. International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 2008, 12(9):1003-1008.

² TB prevalence surveys: a handbook. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2010.17).

³ Mortality and causes of death in South Africa, 2010: Findings from death notification. http://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/ p03093/p030932010.pdf

to MDR-TB was divided by the estimated case fatality ratio (CFR) among cases of MDR-TB. The CFR was calculated as a weighted average of the case fatality ratio among patients that are treated and those that are not, according to the following formula:

$$f = p_t^* f_t + (1 - p_t)^* f_{un}$$

Where:

- p_t = proportion treated, approximated by the proportion of enrolled MDR-TB patients on treatment out of those estimated to exist among notified TB patients with pulmonary TB;
- f_t = case fatality rate among patients treated for MDR-TB, using treatment outcome data for MDR-TB patient cohorts;
- f_{un} = case fatality rate among people with MDR-TB who are not treated, which was assumed to follow a uniform distribution with min=0.4, max=0.6.

Outputs from both methods gave similar best estimates of MDR-TB incidence with largely overlapping confidence intervals.

6.4 Resistance to second-line drugs among patients with MDR-TB

Data from 75 countries were used to produce global estimates of the following proportions: (i) patients with MDR-TB who had XDR-TB; (ii) patients with MDR-TB who had fluoroquinolone resistance; (iii) patients with MDR-TB who had resistance to second-line injectable drugs and fluoroquinolones but not XDR-TB. The latest available national and subnational data from each country were analysed using logistic regression models with robust standard errors to account for the clustering effect at the level of the country or territory. The analysis was limited to countries in which more than 66% of MDR-TB cases received second-line DST.

7. Projections of incidence, prevalence and mortality up to 2015

Projections of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality rates up to 2015 enable assessment of whether global targets set for 2015 are likely to be achieved at global, regional and country levels. Projections for the years 2013–2015 were made using exponential smoothing models fitted to data from 2006–2012.

8. Estimation of uncertainty

There are many potential sources of uncertainty associated with estimates of TB incidence, prevalence and mortality, as well as estimates of the burden of HIV-associated TB and MDR-TB. These include uncertainties in input data, in parameter values, in extrapolations used to impute missing data, and in the models used.

We used fixed population values from the UNPD. We did not account for any uncertainty in these values.

Notification data are of uneven quality. Cases may be

underreported (for example, missing quarterly reports from remote administrative areas are not uncommon), misclassified (in particular, misclassification of recurrent cases in the category of new cases is common), or overreported as a result of duplicated entries in TB information systems. The latter two issues can only be addressed efficiently in countries with case-based nationwide TB databases that include patient identifiers. Sudden changes in notifications over time are often the result of errors or inconsistencies in reporting, but may sometimes reflect abrupt changes in TB epidemiology (for example, resulting from a rapid influx of migrants from countries with a high burden of TB, or from rapid improvement in case-finding efforts).

Missing national aggregates of new and recurrent cases were imputed by interpolation. Notification trajectories were smoothed using a penalized cubic splines function with parameters based on the data. Attempts to obtain corrections for historical data are made every year, but only rarely do countries provide appropriate data corrections.

Mortality estimates incorporated the following sources of uncertainty: sampling uncertainty in the underlying measurements of TB mortality rates from data sources, uncertainty in estimates of incidence rates and rates of HIV prevalence among both incident and notified TB cases, and parameter uncertainty in the Bayesian model. Time series of TB mortality were generated for each country through Monte Carlo simulations.

Unless otherwise specified, uncertainty bounds and ranges were defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions. Throughout this report, ranges with upper and lower bounds defined by these centiles are provided for all estimates established with the use of simulations. When uncertainty was established with the use of observed or other empirical data, 95% confidence intervals are reported.

The model used the following sequence: (1) Overall TB incidence estimation after review and cleaning of case notification data; (2) cleaning and adjustment of raw mortality data from VR systems and mortality surveys, followed by imputation of missing values in countries with VR or survey data - in some countries, step 1 was updated to account for mortality data; (3) cleaning of measurements of HIV prevalence among TB patients followed by estimating HIV-positive TB incidence using the Spectrum programme and HIV-positive TB mortality; (4) estimation of HIV prevalence among incident cases of TB through modelling in countries with no measurements; (5) estimation of HIV-negative TB mortality in countries with no VR data followed with an update of step 1 in some countries; (6) review of prevalence measurements, adjustments for childhood TB and bacteriologically unconfirmed TB, and estimation of prevalence followed with an update of step 1 in some countries; (7) estimation of incidence and mortality disaggregated by age and sex and disaggregated by drug resistance status.

The general approach to uncertainty analyses was to draw values from specified distributions for every param-

eter (except for notifications and population values) in Monte Carlo simulations, with the number of simulation runs set so that they were sufficient to ensure stability in the outcome distributions. For each country, the same random generator seed was used for every year, and errors were assumed to be time-dependent within countries (thus generating autocorrelation in time series). Regional parameters were used in some instances (for example, for CFRs). Summaries of quantities of interest were obtained by extracting the mean, 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of posterior distributions. Wherever possible, uncertainty was propagated analytically by approximating the moments of functions of random variables using Taylor expansions such as when taking the product or the ratio of two random variables - rather than through Monte Carlo simulations, in order to shorten computing time.

Appendix 1. Epidemiological regions used for analyses

Africa – countries with high HIV prevalence: Botswana, Burundi, Cameroon, the Central African Republic, the Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, Gabon, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, South Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe.

Africa – countries with low HIV prevalence: Algeria, Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Chad, the Comoros, Djibouti, Eritrea, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, the Niger, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Togo.

Central Europe: Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Serbia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkey.

Eastern Europe: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, the Republic of Moldova, Romania, the Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan. High-income countries: Andorra, Aruba, Australia, Austria, the Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Belgium, Bermuda, Brunei Darussalam, Canada, the Cayman Islands, China, Hong Kong SAR, China Macao SAR, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Equatorial Guinea, Estonia, Finland, France, French Polynesia, Germany, Greece, Greenland, Guam, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Kuwait, Luxembourg, Malta, Monaco, the Netherlands, the Netherlands Antilles, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Northern Mariana Islands, Norway, Oman, Poland, Portugal, Puerto Rico, Qatar, the Republic of Korea, Saint Kitts and Nevis, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, the Turks and Caicos Islands, US Virgin Islands, United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom, the United States.

Eastern Mediterranean: Afghanistan, Egypt, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Pakistan, Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, West Bank and the Gaza Strip, Yemen.

Latin America: Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Belize, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba, Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Curaçao, Dominica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Montserrat, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Sint Maarten (Dutch part), Suriname, Uruguay, Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of).

South East Asia: Bangladesh, Bhutan, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, India, Indonesia, Maldives, Myanmar, Nepal, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Timor-Leste.

West Pacific: American Samoa, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated State of), Mongolia, Nauru, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tokelau, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Viet Nam, Wallis and Futuna Islands. ANNEX 2

Country profiles

HIGH TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden ^a 2012		
	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	11 (4.6–20)	37 (15–68)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	0.087 (<0.01-0.33)	0.29 (0.01-1.1)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	110 (54–180)	358 (181–595)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	56 (47–67)	189 (156–226)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	0.31 (0.19-0.46)	1 (0.63–1.5)
Case detection, all forms (%)	52 (44–63)	

TB case notifications 2012

NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	13 319	(47)	Relapse	1 049	(84)
Smear-negative	4 740	(17)	Treatment after failure	160	(13)
Smear-unknown / not done	2 665	(9)	Treatment after default	37	(3)
Extrapulmonary	6 906	(24)	Other		
Other	702	(2)			
Total new	28 332		Total retreatment	1 246	
Other (history unknown)					

 Total new and relapse
 29 381
 Total cases notified
 29 578

New cases

		SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	0.5	0.7	
Age < 15	588	2 455	
Aye < 13	300	2 433	

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.0
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.3
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive	91
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary	84
Retreatment	77
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?	No

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	7 275	(25)
HIV-positive TB patients	5	(<1)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	5	(100)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	5	(100)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	80	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	25	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	3.5 (0.1–12)	32 (7.5–56)	
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	750 (21–2 600)	400 (93–700)	

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB		38 (3%)	38
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases		31	31
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			38

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	13
% Funded domestically	3%
% Funded internationally	65%
% Unfunded	32%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. Treatment success rate (%)

Number of patients

Total budget (US\$ millions)

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	70 (29–130)	45 (19–84)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	0.092 (0.082-0.1)	0.06 (0.05-0.07)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	670 (340-1 100)	434 (218–721)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	350 (290–410)	225 (185–268)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	0.24 (0.2-0.29)	0.16 (0.13-0.19)
Case detection, all forms (%)	49 (41–59)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	164 855		Total cases notified	173 619
Other (history unknown)	3 828			
Total new	161 790		Total retreatment	8 001
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	30 549	(19)	Other	3 872 (48)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	257 (3)
Smear-negative	24 451	(15)	Treatment after failure	807 (10)
Smear-positive	106 790	(66)	Relapse	3 065 (38)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	<u> </u>	MEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.9	1.9	0.9
Age < 15	966	1 109	2 767

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.7
Culture (per 5 million population)	<0.1
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	<0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		92
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		89
Retreatment		82
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	2 086	(1)
HIV-positive TB patients	63	(3)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	63	(100)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	63	(100)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	429	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	0	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.4 (0.7–2.5)	29 (24–34)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	1 900 (920–3 300)	2 300 (1 900–2 700)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	41 (<1%)	557 (7%)	622
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	10	503	513
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			513

Financing TB control^b

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. Estimates of TB disease burden have not been approved by:

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. Estimates of TB disease burden have not been approved by the national TB programme in Bangladesh and a joint reassessment will be undertaken following the completion of the prevalence survey planned for 2014.

^b Comprehensive data on domestic and international funding in 2013 could not be reported. Funding from USAID for October 2012–September 2013 was US\$10 million.











Number of patients

2013



HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	4.9 (4.6-5.2)	2.5 (2.3–2.6)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	2.5 (2.2–3)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	120 (51–210)	59 (25–107)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	92 (76–110)	46 (38–55)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	16 (13–19)	8 (6.6–9.5)
Case detection, all forms (%)	82 (69–99)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	75 097		Total cases notified	82 755	
Other (history unknown)	25				
Total new	71 230		Total retreatment	11 500	
Other	11	(<1)			
Extrapulmonary	10 297	(14)	Other	4 133	(36)
Smear-unknown / not done	8 592	(12)	Treatment after default	3 204	(28)
Smear-negative	12 178	(17)	Treatment after failure	296	(3)
Smear-positive	40 152	(56)	Relapse	3 867	(34)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWI NOT DONE	N/ EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.3	1.8	1.5
Age < 15	580	1 266	542

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.0
Culture (per 5 million population)	5.5
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.9
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		76
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		70
Retreatment		49
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	45 733	(55)

HIV-positive TB patients	9 0 4 9	(20)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	0	(0)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	9 0 4 9	(100)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.4 (1–1.8)	7.5 (5.7–9.9)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	850 (620–1 100)	860 (660–1 100)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	700 (2%)	198 (2%)	900
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	562	122	684
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			713

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	87
% Funded domestically	84%
% Funded internationally	2%
% Unfunded	14%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.













HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	9.3 (4.3–16)	63 (29–110)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	0.56 (0.41-0.7)	3.8 (2.7–4.7)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	110 (96–130)	764 (645–892)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	61 (52–70)	411 (353–474)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	2.7 (2.3–3.1)	18 (15–21)
Case detection, all forms (%)	66 (57-77)	

TB case notifications 2012

NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	14 838	(38)	Relapse	446	(86)
Smear-negative	8 509	(22)	Treatment after failure	51	(10)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	22	(4)
Extrapulmonary	15 290	(40)	Other		
Other	0	(0)			
Total new	38 637		Total retreatment	519	
Other (history unknown)	1 102				
Total new and relapse	39 083		Total cases notified	40 258	

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.2		
Age < 15	53		

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	1.4
Culture (per 5 million population)	1.0
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.3
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	No

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		93
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		94
Retreatment		74
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TR/HIV 2012	NIIMBER	(0/-)

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	32 359	(80)
HIV-positive TB patients	1 433	(4)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	1 410	(98)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	1 268	(88)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	1 145	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012 ^a	NEW	RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.4 (0.71–2.5)	11 (4–22)	
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	330 (160–590)	56 (21–110)	

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	16 (<1%)	86 (17%)	102
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	10	65	75
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			110

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	5%
% Funded internationally	34%
% Unfunded	62%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	44 (43–46)	3.2 (3.1–3.3)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	1.2 (0.93-1.5)	0.08 (0.07-0.11)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	1 400 (1 200–1 600)	99 (86–113)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	1 000 (880-1 100)	73 (64–82)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	7.3 (6.4–8.2)	0.53 (0.47–0.6)
Case detection, all forms (%)	89 (79–100)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	890 645		Total cases notified	900 678	
Other (history unknown)	0				
Total new	858 861		Total retreatment	41 817	
Other	0	(0)			
Extrapulmonary	6 479	(<1)	Other	7 014	(17)
Smear-unknown / not done	2 073	(<1)	Treatment after default	738	(2)
Smear-negative	533 977	(62)	Treatment after failure	2 281	(5)
Smear-positive	316 332	(37)	Relapse	31 784	(76)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

	S	MEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.5	2.1	0.8
Age < 15	1 091	4 288	246

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.2
Culture (per 5 million population)	3.7
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.7
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		95
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		95
Retreatment		90
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status ^b	309 385	(34)
HIV-positive TB patients	5 866	(2)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)		
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	3 454	(59)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	294 795	

HIV-positive people screened for TB HIV-positive people provided with IPT

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 20	12 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	5.7 (4.5–7)	26 (22–30)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	49 000 (38 000–60 000)	11 000 (9 000–12 000)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	11 472 (4%)	4 861 (12%)	16 333
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	826	1 678	3 007
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			1 906

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	359
% Funded domestically	74%
% Funded internationally	11%
% Unfunded	15%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.













DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO | Population 2012 66 million

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	36 (16–64)	54 (24–97)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	6.3 (5.5–8.1)	9.7 (8.3–12)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	380 (200–620)	576 (301–938)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	210 (190–250)	327 (282–375)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	16 (14–19)	25 (22–29)
Case detection, all forms (%)	51 (44–59)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new	105 007		Total retreatment	7 492	
Other					
Extrapulmonary	20 669	(20)	Other	2 321	(31)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	597	(8)
Smear-negative	13 214	(13)	Treatment after failure	597	(8)
Smear-positive	71 124	(68)	Relapse	3 977	(53)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

 Total new and relapse
 108 984
 Total cases notified
 112 499

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.3		
Age < 15	3 138		
Laborata di s			

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.3
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.3
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.2
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in and outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		87
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		89
Retreatment		74
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	35 097	(31)
HIV-positive TB patients	5 748	(16)

 HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)
 3 485
 (61)

 HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)
 2 296
 (40)

 HIV-positive people screened for TB
 HIV-positive people provided with IPT
 100

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	a NEW	RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.5 (0.01–5)	10 (3.5–17)	
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	2 100 (8.4–4 200)	760 (260–1 300)	

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	12 (<1%)	95 (1%)	109
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	5	59	65
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			179

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	61
% Funded domestically	1%
% Funded internationally	25%
% Unfunded	74%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

2013

Total budget (USs millions)

ETHIOPIA | Population 2012 92 million

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	16 (12–21)	18 (13–23)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	5.6 (4.6–7.3)	6.1 (5-8)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	210 (170–250)	224 (180–272)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	230 (170–290)	247 (183–321)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	23 (17–30)	25 (19–33)
Case detection, all forms (%)	64 (49-87)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	145 323		Total cases notified	147 592
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	143 503		Total retreatment	4 089
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	46 854	(33)	Other	1 506 (3
Smear-unknown / not done	2 073	(1)	Treatment after default	482 (1
Smear-negative	47 340	(33)	Treatment after failure	281 (
Smear-positive	47 236	(33)	Relapse	1 820 (4
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(0

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio		1.2	1.1
Age < 15		7 682	7 852

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.8
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.3
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	<0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	No

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)New smear-positive and/or culture-positive90New smear-negative/extrapulmonary87Retreatment78Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?Yes

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)	
TB patients with known HIV status	96 245	(65)	
HIV-positive TB patients	9 819	(10)	
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	3 619	(37)	
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	8 022	(82)	
HIV-positive people screened for TB	272 178		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	30 395		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012a NEW RETREATMENT % of TB cases with MDR-TB 1.6 (0.86–2.8) 12 (5.6–21) MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases 1.600 (830–2 700) 480 (230–870)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	469 (<1%)	180 (4%)	856
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	30	102	284
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			289

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	145
% Funded domestically	17%
% Funded internationally	32%
% Unfunded	51%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.













Population 2012 1 237 million INDIA

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	270 (170–390)	22 (14–32)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	42 (37–48)	3.4 (3–3.9)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	2 800 (1 900–3 900)	230 (155–319)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	2 200 (2 000–2 400)	176 (159–193)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	130 (120–140)	10 (9.4–12)
Case detection, all forms (%)	59 (54-66)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new	1 183 373		Total retreatment	284 212	
Other	2 139	(<1)			
Extrapulmonary	234 029	(20)	Other	96 567	(34)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	64 782	(23)
Smear-negative	317 616	(27)	Treatment after failure	16 400	(6)
Smear-positive	629 589	(53)	Relapse	106 463	(37)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

Other (history unknown)

Total new and relapse	1 289 836	Total cases notified	1 467 585

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.2		
Age < 15	12 957	34 467	33 501

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	1.1
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.3
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.2
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		88
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		90
Retreatment		75
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

IB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	821 807	(56)
HIV-positive TB patients	44 063	(5)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	40 537	(92)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	25 790	(59)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	1 324 386	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 20	12 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.2 (1.9–2.6)	15 (11–19)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	21 000 (18 000–25 000)	43 000 (32 000–54 000)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB			55 611
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases			16 588
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			14 143

Financing TB control 2013 National TB programme budget (US\$ millions) 182 37% % Funded domestically % Funded internationally 57% % Unfunded 6%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. Estimates for India have not yet been officially approved by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India and should therefore be considered provisional.







Incidence



.







INDONESIA

Population 2012 247 million

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	67 (30–120)	27 (12–48)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	2.1 (1.8–3)	0.86 (0.74-1.2)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	730 (350–1 200)	297 (144–506)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	460 (380–540)	185 (153–220)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	7.5 (5.6–9.7)	3.1 (2.3–3.9)
Case detection, all forms (%)	72 (61–87)	

TB case notifications 2012

NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	202 319	(63)	Relapse	5 942	(70)
Smear-negative	104 866	(32)	Treatment after failure	467	(5)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	954	(11)
Extrapulmonary	15 697	(5)	Other	1 179	(14)
Other					
Total new	322 882		Total retreatment	8 542	
Other (history unknown)					
Total new and relapse	328 824		Total cases notified	331 424	

328 824 Total new and relapse

New cases

	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/		
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.5	1.3	0.9
Age < 15	1 703	22 956	2 684

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.3
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.9
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		90
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		85
Retreatment		71
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	2 676	(<1)
HIV-positive TB patients	754	(28)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	133	(18)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	221	(29)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	22 677	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.9 (1.4–2.5)	12 (8.1–17)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	5 800 (4 300–7 700)	1 000 (690–1 500)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	2 (<1%)	821 (10%)	824
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	2	425	428
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			426

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	119
% Funded domestically	14%
% Funded internationally	35%
% Unfunded	51%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.







Incidence



-







Number of patients

KENYA | Population 2012 **43 million**

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	9.5 (5.4–15)	22 (13–34)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	7.7 (6.6–8.9)	18 (15–21)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	130 (71–210)	299 (163–475)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	120 (110–120)	272 (261–283)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	45 (44–47)	105 (101–109)
Case detection, all forms (%)	79 (76–83)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	92 987		Total cases notified	99 149
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	89 568		Total retreatment	9 581
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	15 934	(18)	Other	4 428 (46)
Smear-unknown / not done	8 123	(9)	Treatment after default	1 408 (15)
Smear-negative	28 574	(32)	Treatment after failure	326 (3)
Smear-positive	36 937	(41)	Relapse	3 419 (36)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/		
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.6	1.2	1.2
Age < 15	996	1 907	2 465

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	4.2
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.2
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.2
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in and outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		88
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		85
Retreatment		82
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	92 890	(94)

HIV-positive TB patients	35 837	(39)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	35 025	(98)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	26 487	(74)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012 ^a	NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.5 (0.01–5)	10 (3.5–17)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	1 800 (7.4–3 700)	980 (340–1 600)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	78 (<1%)	1 183 (12%)	1 344
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	9	205	225
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			202

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	24%
% Funded internationally	15%
% Unfunded	61%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	13 (0.98-41)	53 (3.9–163)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	45 (35–53)	177 (138–209)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	140 (28–340)	553 (111–1 342)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	140 (96–190)	552 (383–753)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	83 (58–110)	330 (228–450)
Case detection, all forms (%)	34 (25-50)	

TB case notifications 2012

NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	20 951	(45)	Relapse	1 451	(32)
Smear-negative	19 797	(43)	Treatment after failure	243	(5)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	248	(5)
Extrapulmonary	5 542	(12)	Other	2 595	(57)
Other	0	(0)			
Total new	46 290		Total retreatment	4 537	
Other (history unknown)	0				
Total new and relapse	47 741		Total cases notified	50 827	

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio			
Age < 15		2 506	841
Laboratories			2012
Smear (per 100 000 p	opulation)		1.2
Culture (per 5 million population)			0.6
Drug susceptibility tes	ting (per 5 million p	opulation)	0.4
Is second-line drug sus	sceptibility testing av	vailable?	Yes, outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%) New smear-positive and/or culture-positive New smear-negative/extrapulmonary Retreatment Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients? Yes **TB/HIV 2012** NUMBER (%) TB patients with known HIV status 47 960 (94) HIV-positive TB patients 27 979 (58) HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT) 27 319 (98) HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART) 15 391 (55) HIV-positive people screened for TB

HIV-positive people provided with IPT	17 317	
Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	3.5 (2.2–4.8)	12 (0–25)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	1 400 (900–2 000)	540 (0-1 100)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	205 (<1%)	243 (5%)	448
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	44	136	266
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			213

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	11
% Funded domestically	19%
% Funded internationally	51%
% Unfunded	30%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.













MYANMAR | Populat

86

90 72

Yes

(%)

Total budget (US\$ millions)

Population 2012 **53 million**

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	25 (12–44)	48 (23–84)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	4.6 (3.8–5.3)	8.8 (7.3–10)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	260 (200–320)	489 (377–616)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	200 (170–230)	377 (322–435)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	19 (16–21)	35 (30-41)
Case detection, all forms (%)	71 (62-83)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	141 170		Total cases notified	148 149
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	136 612		Total retreatment	11 537
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	20 661	(15)	Other	4 787 (41)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	521 (5)
Smear-negative	73 042	(53)	Treatment after failure	1 671 (14)
Smear-positive	42 909	(31)	Relapse	4 558 (40)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.9		
Age < 15	338		

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.9
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.2
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.2
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in and outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%) New smear-positive and/or culture-positive New smear-negative/extrapulmonary Retreatment Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients? TB/HIV 2012 NUMBER Is patients with known UW status 10.320

TB patients with known HIV status	19 219	(13)
HIV-positive TB patients	5 161	(27)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)		
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	4 270	(83)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	L2 ^a NEW	RETR	EATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	4.2 (3.1–5.	5) 10 ((6.9–14)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	4 900 (3 600-6	500) 1 200 (790–1 600)
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB			
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases			778
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			442

Financing TB control2013National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)36% Funded domestically2%% Funded internationally39%% Unfunded60%







Incidence -



Incidence (HIV+TB)

Notifications





Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

NIGERIA | Population 2012 169 million

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	27 (1.6–86)	16 (0.92–51)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	19 (11–25)	11 (6.7–15)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	270 (43–710)	161 (25–420)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	180 (85-310)	108 (50-186)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	46 (21-80)	27 (13–47)
Case detection, all forms (%)	51 (29–110)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relanse	92 818		Total cases notified	97 853	
Other (history unknown)					
Total new	90 305		Total retreatment	7 548	
Other					
Extrapulmonary	4 432	(5)	Other	3 249	(43)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	1 174	(16)
Smear-negative	32 972	(37)	Treatment after failure	612	(8)
Smear-positive	52 901	(59)	Relapse	2 513	(33)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.6		
Age < 15	1 187		
Laboratorios			2012

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.8
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.1
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	<0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, outside country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive	85
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary	85
Retreatment	82
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?	Yes

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	82 641	(84)
HIV-positive TB patients	19 342	(23)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	15 565	(80)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	10 866	(56)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	140 460	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	2 257	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.9 (2.1–4)	14 (10–19)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	2 500 (1 800–3 400)	1 100 (770–1 500)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	11 (<1%)	94 (1%)	107
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	11	94	107
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			125

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	8%
% Funded internationally	24%
% Unfunded	68%

















Number of patients

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	62 (27–110)	34 (15–61)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	1.2 (0.83–1.3)	0.66 (0.46-0.75)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	670 (320–1 100)	376 (181–641)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	410 (340-490)	231 (190–276)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	3.8 (3.1-4.6)	2.1 (1.7–2.6)
Case detection, all forms (%)	65 (54–78)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	267 475		Total cases notified	273 097	
Other (history unknown)	0				
Total new	261 380		Total retreatment	11 717	
Other	0	(0)			
Extrapulmonary	41 410	(16)	Other	3 534	(30)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	1 241	(11)
Smear-negative	109 425	(42)	Treatment after failure	847	(7)
Smear-positive	110 545	(42)	Relapse	6 095	(52)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW		N/	
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY	
M:F ratio	1.1	1.0	0.8	
Age < 15	3 947	13 884	8 328	

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.8
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.2
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		92
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		93
Retreatment		80
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	10 419	(4)
HIV-positive TB patients	30	(<1)

 HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)
 30
 (100)

 HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)
 22
 (73)

 HIV-positive people screened for TB
 HIV-positive people provided with IPT
 100

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW		RETREA	TMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	3.5 (0.1–1.	2)	32 (7.	5–56)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	7 700 (220–27	7 000)	3 700 (88	0–6 600)
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETRE	ATMENT	TOTAL

Cases tested for MDR-TB	461 (<1%)	154 (1%)	4 198
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	19	55	1 602
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			1 045

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	73
% Funded domestically	5%
% Funded internationally	85%
% Unfunded	9%









Treatment success rate (%)

Number of patients

Total budget (US\$ millions)

2013





Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	23 (22–25)	24 (22–26)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.11 (0.09-0.14)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	450 (390–500)	461 (405–520)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	260 (210–310)	265 (219–316)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	0.46 (0.38–0.55)	0.48 (0.39–0.57)
Case detection, all forms (%)	84 (71–100)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	216 199		Total cases notified	235 608
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	212 119		Total retreatment	23 489
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	3 270	(2)	Other	17 575 (75
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	1 243 (5
Smear-negative	115 263	(54)	Treatment after failure	591 (3
Smear-positive	93 586	(44)	Relapse	4 080 (17
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%

New cases

	S	MEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.3	1.6	1.2
Age < 15	1 032		

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.7
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.7
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.2
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		90
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		85
Retreatment		65
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	2 040	(<1)
	4	(.1)
HIV-positive TB patients	4	(<1)
HIV-positive TB patients HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	4	(<1)

HIV-positive people screened for TB

HIV-positive people provided with IPT

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	4 (2.9–5.5)	21 (14–29)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	8 400 (6 000–11 000)	4 900 (3 400–6 800)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	35 (<1%)	2 038 (9%)	2 107
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	11	653	679
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			1 918

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	149
% Funded domestically	16%
% Funded internationally	15%
% Unfunded	69%

















Treatment success rate (%)

Number of patients

RUSSIAN FEDERATION | Population 2012 **143 million**

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	19 (18–20)	13 (13–14)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	1.8 (1.5–2.2)	1.2 (1–1.5)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	170 (73–320)	121 (51–221)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	130 (110–150)	91 (77–106)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	9.3 (7.9–11)	6.5 (5.5–7.5)
Case detection, all forms (%)	81 (70-96)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	105 753		Total cases notified	149 921
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	97 542		Total retreatment	52 379
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	10 017	(10)	Other	32 466 (62)
Smear-unknown / not done	1 039	(1)	Treatment after default	2 593 (5)
Smear-negative	59 019	(61)	Treatment after failure	9 109 (17)
Smear-positive	27 467	(28)	Relapse	8 211 (16)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

		MEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.7	2.2	1.3
Age < 15	48	730	2 910

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.7
Culture (per 5 million population)	4.1
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	3.8
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		54
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		73
Retreatment		42
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status ^b	75 995	
HIV-positive TB patients	4 880	
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)		
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)		
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 20	12 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	23 (21–25)	49 (44–53)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	20 000 (18 000–22 000)	25 000 (23 000–28 000)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	32 647 (79%)	12 324 (24%)	44 971
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	6 537	7 075	13 612
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			18 452

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	1 592
% Funded domestically	100%
% Funded internationally	<1%
% Unfunded	0%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

The reported number of TB patients with known HIV status is for new TB patients in the civilian sector only. It was not possible to calculate the percentage of all TB patients with known HIV status.











Number of patients





SOUTH AFRICA

Population 2012 **52 million**

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	31 (3.7–86)	59 (7–164)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	88 (75–100)	168 (144–192)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	450 (160-880)	857 (305–1 685)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	530 (430–630)	1 003 (827–1 194)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	330 (270–390)	631 (521–752)
Case detection, all forms (%)	62 (52–75)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	323 664		Total cases notified	349 582	
Other (history unknown)	0				
Total new	296 996		Total retreatment	52 586	
Other	0	(0)			
Extrapulmonary	42 467	(14)	Other	15 007	(29)
Smear-unknown / not done	71 421	(24)	Treatment after default	7 788	(15)
Smear-negative	63 210	(21)	Treatment after failure	3 123	(6)
Smear-positive	119 898	(40)	Relapse	26 668	(51)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

	<u>.</u>	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOW	N/
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.3	1.1	1.0
Age < 15	2 650	33 601	2 327

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.4
Culture (per 5 million population)	1.4
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	1.4
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		79
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		76
Retreatment		66
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TR/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	294 196	(84)
HIV-positive TB patients	190 093	(65)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	140 868	(74)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	101 937	(54)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	949 800	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	369 747	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.8 (1.4–2.3)	6.7 (5.4-8.2)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	4 600 (3 700–5 800)	3 500 (2 800–4 300)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB			37 310
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases			15 419
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			6 494

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	475
% Funded domestically	97%
% Funded internationally	3%
% Unfunded	0%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.











HIV-positive TB patients ______ on CPT ______ on ART


HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	9.2 (3.8–17)	14 (5.8–25)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	2.2 (1.9–2.8)	3.3 (2.9–4.2)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	110 (47–190)	159 (71–282)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	80 (66–95)	119 (98–142)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	12 (10–14)	18 (15–22)
Case detection, all forms (%)	76 (64–92)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	59 274		Total cases notified	61 208	
Other (history unknown)	1 030				
Total new	57 387		Total retreatment	2 791	
Other					
Extrapulmonary	8 852	(15)	Other		
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	577	(21)
Smear-negative	17 537	(31)	Treatment after failure	327	(12)
Smear-positive	30 998	(54)	Relapse	1 887	(68)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)

New cases

		SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/	
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.4		
Age < 15	117		

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	1.6
Culture (per 5 million population)	4.9
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	1.3
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		85
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		78
Retreatment		69
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

TB patients with known HIV status	44 035	(72)
HIV-positive TB patients	5 807	(13)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	4 460	(77)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	3 591	(62)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012	a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.7 (1–2.6)	35 (28–42)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	800 (480–1 200)	960 (780–1 200)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB			7 379
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases			492
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	44 ^b
% Funded domestically	92%
% Funded internationally	2%
% Unfunded	6%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

 ⁶ Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.
 ^b Based on data reported for 2013 in the 2012 round of data collection. In 2013, Thailand was not able to report funding for the sub-national level.











Number of patients

Total budget (US\$ millions)

on CPT HIV-positive TB patients on ART



HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	4.7 (0.82–12)	13 (2.3–33)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	9.2 (8–12)	25 (22–32)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	64 (24–120)	175 (67–334)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	65 (53–79)	179 (145–216)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	35 (28–42)	95 (77–115)
Case detection, all forms (%)	69 (57-85)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relanse	44 663		Total cases notified	47 211
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	43 329		Total retreatment	3 882
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	5 143	(12)	Other	1 114 (29)
Smear-unknown / not done	1 783	(4)	Treatment after default	1 164 (30)
Smear-negative	11 487	(27)	Treatment after failure	270 (7)
Smear-positive	24 916	(58)	Relapse	1 334 (34)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.8		
Age < 15	636		
Laboratorios			2012

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	3.2
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.6
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.6
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		77
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		66
Retreatment		71
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

	() =)
40 581	(86)
20 376	(50)
19 163	(94)
9 962	(49)
	40 581 20 376 19 163 9 962

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012 ^a	NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.4 (0.6–2.2)	12 (6.8–19)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	540 (230–860)	470 (260–750)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	196 (<1%)	748 (19%)	1 406
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	9	71	89
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			41

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	31
% Funded domestically	7%
% Funded internationally	62%
% Unfunded	31%

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.















Number of patient

UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA | Population 2012 48 million

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	6.1 (3.2–9.9)	13 (6.8–21)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	7 (5.8–8)	15 (12–17)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	84 (45–140)	176 (95–283)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	79 (74–84)	165 (154–175)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	32 (30–34)	68 (64–72)
Case detection, all forms (%)	79 (74-84)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	62 178		Total cases notified	63 892
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	61 126		Total retreatment	2 766
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	14 595	(24)	Other	1 359 (49)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	201 (7)
Smear-negative	21 393	(35)	Treatment after failure	154 (6)
Smear-positive	25 138	(41)	Relapse	1 052 (38)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

		N/	
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.8	1.3	1.2
Age < 15	490	2 508	2 282

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	2.0
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.4
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		88
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		88
Retreatment		82
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	52 499	(82)

IB patients with known HIV status	52 499	(82)
HIV-positive TB patients	20 269	(39)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	19 501	(96)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	10 993	(54)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	357 400	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012 ^a	NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.1 (0.3–2.8)	0 (0-5.9)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	500 (140–1 300)	0 (0–160)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	639 (3%)	108 (4%)	1 006
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	12	12	42
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			44

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	14%
% Funded internationally	19%
% Unfunded	67%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN | HIGH MDR-TB BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	18 (12–25)	20 (13–27)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	2.1 (1.8–2.7)	2.4 (2–2.9)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	200 (79–370)	218 (86-410)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	130 (99–170)	147 (109–192)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	9.3 (6.9–12)	10 (7.6–13)
Case detection, all forms (%)	76 (59–100)	

TB case notifications 2012

NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	51 033	(54)	Relapse	7 259	(80)
Smear-negative	21 706	(23)	Treatment after failure	567	(6)
Smear-unknown / not done			Treatment after default	494	(5)
Extrapulmonary	18 904	(20)	Other	733	(8)
Other	3 210	(3)			
Total new	94 853		Total retreatment	9 053	
Other Total new	3 210 94 853	(3)	Total retreatment	9 053	

Other (history unknown)			
Total new and relapse	102 112	Total cases notified	103 906

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	3.0		
Age < 15	142		
Laboratorios			2012

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	0.9
Culture (per 5 million population)	1.4
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.1
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	Yes, in country

Treatment success rate 2011 (%) New smear-positive and/or culture-positive 93 New smear-negative/extrapulmonary 93 Retreatment 82 Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	68 259	(66)
HIV-positive TB patients	4 775	(7)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	3 486	(73)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	2 232	(47)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	5 663	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.7 (2–3.7)	19 (14–25)	
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	2 100 (1 500–2 800)	1 700 (1 300–2 300)	

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB			
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases			273
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			713

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	66
% Funded domestically	8%
% Funded internationally	20%
% Unfunded	72%













Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. Total budget (US\$ millions)

2013

Treatment success rate (%)

HIGH TB BURDEN | HIGH HIV BURDEN

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	4.6 (0.16–16)	33 (1.2–117)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	18 (15–20)	132 (111–147)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	59 (13–140)	433 (92–1 034)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	77 (60–97)	562 (434–706)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	55 (42–69)	399 (308–501)
Case detection, all forms (%)	46 (37–60)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	35 760		Total cases notified	38 720
Other (history unknown)	0			
Total new	34 391		Total retreatment	4 329
Other	0	(0)		
Extrapulmonary	4 912	(14)	Other	2 584 (60)
Smear-unknown / not done	2 962	(9)	Treatment after default	176 (4)
Smear-negative	14 354	(42)	Treatment after failure	200 (5)
Smear-positive	12 163	(35)	Relapse	1 369 (32)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	S	N/	
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.3	1.2	1.1
Age < 15	293	2 177	441

Laboratories	2012
Smear (per 100 000 population)	1.3
Culture (per 5 million population)	0.7
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population)	0.7
Is second-line drug susceptibility testing available?	No

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		81
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		80
Retreatment		78
Is rifampicin used throughout treatment for new patients?		Yes
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)

		() =)
TB patients with known HIV status	34 212	(88)
HIV-positive TB patients	23 957	(70)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	6 301	(26)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	4 419	(18)
HIV-positive people screened for TB		
HIV-positive people provided with IPT		

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 2012 ^a	NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	1.9 (1–3.3)	8.3 (1.8–22)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	570 (300–960)	360 (76–970)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	360 (3%)	258 (6%)	689
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	43	35	149
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			105

Financing TB control

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	4%
% Funded internationally	39%
% Unfunded	56%











HIV-positive TB patients ______ on CPT _____ on ART



Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries. ^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

ANNEX 3

Regional profiles

WHO MEMBER STATES 46

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	230 (160–310)	26 (18–35)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	250 (230–270)	28 (26–30)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	2 700 (2 100–3 300)	303 (239–373)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	2 300 (2 100–2 500)	255 (235–275)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	830 (760–910)	93 (85–102)
Case detection, all forms (%)	59 (55-64)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	1 342 852		Total cases notified	1 412 639
Other (history unknown)	2 017			
Total new	1 282 355		Total retreatment	128 267
Other	977	(<1)		
Extrapulmonary	234 539	(18)	Other	41 128 (32)
Smear-unknown / not done	100 537	(7.8)	Treatment after default	17 468 (14)
Smear-negative	345 947	(27)	Treatment after failure	9 174 (7.2)
Smear-positive	600 355	(47)	Relapse	60 497 (47)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.5	1.2	1.1
Age < 15	14 340	54 760	18 667

Laboratories 2012	NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES
Smear (per 100 000 population) ≥ 1	28 out of 43
Culture (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	15 out of 43
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	9 out of 43

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		82
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		76
Retreatment		68
MDR-TB (2010 cohort)		46
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	1 040 292	(74
HIV-positive TB patients	443 558	(43
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	346 739	(79
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	243 037	(55
HIV-positive people screened for TB	2 391 601	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	473 214	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 202	12 ^a NEW		RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.3 (0.2-4.4)		11 (4.4–17)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	24 000 (2 100-4	6 000) 14	000 (5 600–22 000)
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATME	NT TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	2 216 (<1%)	3 969 (3.1	.%) 45 689
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	211	1 453	18 129
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			9 303

Financing TB control (low- and middle-income countries) ^d	
National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	1 360
% Funded domestically	44
% Funded internationally	21
% Unfunded	36

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.
 ^b Data are not collected from all Member States.

Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators.
 Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs.







Incidence

-







Number of patients

WHO MEMBER STATES 35 OTHER COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES 11

Estimates of TB burden ^a	2012					
		NUME	ER (thousand	s) RATE (pe	r 100 000 pop	oulation)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)		19	9 (16–21)	:	1.9 (1.7–2.2)	
Mortality (HIV+TB only)		6 (6–7) 0.66		6 (0.58–0.7	'5)	
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	390	(300-490)		40 (31–51)	
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)		280	(260–300)		29 (27–31)	
Incidence (HIV+TB only)		3:	1 (28–34)		3.3 (3–3.6)	
Case detection, all forms (%)		7	9 (74–85)			
TP case notifications 20	17					
	12	(0/.)				(0/.)
Smear-nositive	122 606	(59)	Relance	INT CASES		9 9 4 9 (12)
Smear-negative	35 606	(17)	Treatment	t after failure	<u>,</u>	1 195 (5 0)
Smear-unknown / not done	14 564	(7.0)	Treatment	t after defau	t	5 858 (25)
Extranulmonary	34 400	(16)	Other			6 809 (29)
Other	1 669	(<1)	other			0 007 (27)
Total new	208 845	(12)	Total retr	reatment	2	3 811
Other (history unknown)	39					
Total new and relapse	218 794		Total cas	es notified	23	2 695
New cases						
SWE	AR-POSITIVE	SN	IEAR-NEGATIN	/E/ UNKNOWN DONE	/ EXTRAP	ULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.7		1	.5	2,110.0	1.3
Age < 15 2	012		5 381		2 14	43
Laboratories 2012				NUM		
	(am) - 1			NON	10 aut af	
Smear (per 100 000 populati	$ 0 \ge 1$				10 JUO 81	23
Drug susceptibility testing (p	er 5 million	popula	tion) ≥ 1		9 out of 2	23
Treatment success rate 2	2011 (%)					
New smear-positive and/or cu	ılture-positi	ve				78
New smear-negative/extrapul	monary					71
Retreatment						51
MDR-IB (2010 cohort)						54
TB/HIV 2012					NUMBER	(%)¢
TB patients with known HIV s	tatus				129 174	(56)
HIV-positive TB patients					20 355	(16)
HIV-positive TB patients on c	o-trimoxazo	le prev	entive thera	ру (СРТ)	3 513	(61)
HIV-positive TB patients on a	ntiretroviral	therap	oy (ART)		13 699	(76)
HIV-positive people screened	for TB				4 4 8 5	
HIV-positive people provided	with IPT				18 710	
Estimates of MDR-TB bu	rden 2012	2 ª	NEW		RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB		2.	2 (1.4–3)		14 (4.7–22)	
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	1	3 800	(2 400–5 2	00) 3 20	0 (1 100–5	300)
Reported cases of MDR-	TB 2012		NEW	RETREATMEI	NT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB		28 62	25 (22%)	5 481 (239	(6)	34 785
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-T	B cases	1	. 347	1 482	-,	2 967
Patients started on MDR-TB to	reatment					3 102
Financing TR control (lo	w- and mi	-ماله	income co	untries)d		2012
National TB programme budg	let (USS mil	lions)	meone (, and 103/		185
% Funded domestically	,,					69
% Funded internationally						12
% Unfunded						19

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

^b Data are not collected from all Member States.
 ^c Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators.
 ^d Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs.



Population 2012 617 million

WHO MEMBER STATES 22 OTHER COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES 1

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	100 (63–150)	16 (10-24)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	4 (4–5)	0.68 (0.61-0.76)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	1 100 (730-1 600)	180 (118–256)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	670 (590–750)	109 (96-122)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	11 (10–12)	1.8 (1.6-2)
Case detection, all forms (%)	63 (56–71)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	420 685		Total cases notified	430 789
Other (history unknown)	84			
Total new	409 477		Total retreatment	21 228
Other	702	(<1)		
Extrapulmonary	90 943	(22)	Other	5 200 (24)
Smear-unknown / not done	8 523	(2.1)	Treatment after default	2 813 (13)
Smear-negative	135 346	(33)	Treatment after failure	2 007 (9.5)
Smear-positive	173 963	(42)	Relapse	11 208 (53)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

		SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/	
	SMEAR-POSITIVE	NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	1.2	1.0	0.8
Age < 15	5 641	20 716	13 451

Laboratories 2012	NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES ^b
Smear (per 100 000 population) ≥ 1	7 out of 22
Culture (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	13 out of 22
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	9 out of 22

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive	88
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary	89
Retreatment	74
MDR-TB (2010 cohort)	56

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	58 498	(14)
HIV-positive TB patients	2 020	(3.5)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	1 010	(69)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	881	(48)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	15 012	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	243	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 201	2 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	3.5 (0.1–11)	32 (12–54)
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	11 000 (320–36 000)	6 900 (2 400–11 000)

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	1 990 (1.1%)	1 617 (7.6%)	7 256
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	104	468	2 236
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			1 602

Financing TB control (low- and middle-income countries)^d

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	
% Funded domestically	32
% Funded internationally	53
% Unfunded	16

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.
 ^b Data are not collected from all Member States.

Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators. Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs. d













Treatment success rate (%)

Number of patients

WHO MEMBER STATES 53 OTHER COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES 1

Estimates of TB burden ^a	2012					
	-	NUME	ER (thousand	is) RATE (per 1	100 000 populatior	n)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)		3	6 (35–36)	3	.9 (3.9–4)	
Mortality (HIV+TB only)			4 (3–4)	0.43	(0.38-0.49)	
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	510	(380-650)	5	6 (42–72)	
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)		360	(340-390)) 4	0 (38–43)	
Incidence (HIV+TB only)		1	9 (17–21)	2.3	1 (1.9–2.3)	
Case detection, all forms (%)		74	4 (70–79)			
TB case notifications 20	12					
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATM	ENT CASES		(%)
Smear-positive	78 336	(32)	Relapse		25 185	(27)
Smear-negative	118 614	(49)	Treatmen	t after failure	11 542	(12)
Smear-unknown / not done	6 257	(2.6)	Treatmen	t after default	4 883	(5.3)
Extrapulmonary	39 029	(16)	Other		51 237	(55)
Other	30	(<1)				
Total new	242 266		Total ret	reatment	92 847	
Other (history unknown)	2 054					
Total new and relapse	267 451		Total cas	es notified	337 167	
New cases						
SME	AR-POSITIVE	SN	EAR-NEGATI NOT I	VE/ UNKNOWN/ DONE	EXTRAPULMO	NARY
M:F ratio	2.4		1	.9	1.2	
Age < 15	325		2 681		7 036	
Laboratories 2012				NUMB	ER OF MEMBER STA	TESD
	(am) - 1			NOND		125
Sillear (per 100 000 populati	$ 0 \ge 1$				8 OUL 01 53	
Culture (per 5 million popula	$(1011) \ge 1$	nonula	tion) > 1		37 out of 53	
		μομαια	(1011) 2 1		52 OUL 01 55	
Treatment success rate 2	2011 (%)					
New smear-positive and/or cu	ılture-positi	ve				65
New smear-negative/extrapul	monary					79
Retreatment						47
MDR-TB (2010 cohort)						49
TB/HIV 2012					NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV s	tatus				203 705	(60)
HIV-positive TB patients					12 900	(6.3)
HIV-positive TB patients on co	o-trimoxazo	le prev	entive thera	ару (СРТ)	1 249	(67)
HIV-positive TB patients on a	ntiretroviral	therap	y (ART)		5 414	(74)
HIV-positive people screened	for TB				23 567	
HIV-positive people provided	with IPT				17 938	
Estimates of MDR-TB bu	rden 201	2 ^a	NEW		RETREATMENT	
% of TB cases with MDR-TB		16	6 (9.5–22)		45 (39–51)	
MDR-TB cases among notified	1	2 000 (19 000-45	000) 42.00	0 (36 000-48 0	00)
Demontal and the function	TD 2012	(
Reported cases of MDR-	IR 2012		NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL	
Cases tested for MDR-TB	<u> </u>	85 96	2 (73%)	37 774 (41%)) 125 655	5
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-T	B cases	1	3 393	18 372	37 769	<i>}</i>
Patients started on MDR-TB to	reatment				42 399)
Financing TB control (lo	w- and mi	ddle-	income co	ountries) ^d		2013
National TB programme budg	get (US\$ mil	lions)			2	2 217
% Funded domestically						92
% Funded internationally						3.7
% Unfunded						4.3

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.

^b Data are not collected from all Member States.
 ^c Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators.
 ^d Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs.



WHO MEMBER STATES 11

Estimates of TB burden^a 2012

	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	450 (330–590)	25 (18–32)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	51 (46–56)	2.8 (2.5–3.1)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	4 800 (3 700-6 100)	264 (203–333)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	3 400 (3 200–3 700)	187 (174–200)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	170 (160–180)	9.2 (8.5–10)
Case detection, all forms (%)	62 (58-67)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	2 124 859		Total cases notified	2 331 455
Other (history unknown)	5 261			
Total new	1 993 614		Total retreatment	332 580
Other	3 004	(<1)		
Extrapulmonary	338 303	(17)	Other	109 887 (33)
Smear-unknown / not done	0	(0)	Treatment after default	69 100 (21)
Smear-negative	586 455	(29)	Treatment after failure	22 348 (6.7)
Smear-positive	1 065 852	(53)	Relapse	131 245 (39)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN NOT DONE	I/ EXTRAPULMONARY
M:F ratio	2.0	1.4	1.0
Age < 15	17 116	26 320	7 782
Laboratories 201	2	NUA	ABER OF MEMBER STATES ^b

	NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES
Smear (per 100 000 population) ≥ 1	9 out of 11
Culture (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	3 out of 11
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	2 out of 11

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		89
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		89
Retreatment		75
MDR-TB (2010 cohort)		46
TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)
TB patients with known HIV status	904 223	(39)
HIV-positive TB patients	56 093	(6.2)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	45 415	(89)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	34 167	(61)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	1 351 768	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	8	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 20	12 ^a NEW		RETREAT	NENT
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	2.2 (1.6-2.8))	16 (11–	21)
MDR-TB cases among notified	36 000 (26 000-4	6 000) 54	000 (37 00)	0–70 000)
putitionally in cases	50 000 (E0 000 4	0 0 0 0 0 7 7	000 (57 00)	
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	. NEW	RETREATME	ENT	TOTAL
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012 Cases tested for MDR-TB	NEW 1 352 (<1%)	RETREATME 2 292 (<1	ENT %)	TOTAL 66 757
Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012 Cases tested for MDR-TB Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	2 NEW 1 352 (<1%) 43	RETREATME 2 292 (<1 1 273	NT %)	TOTAL 66 757 19 202

Financing TB control (low- and middle-income countries) ^d	2013
National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)	469
% Funded domestically	30
% Funded internationally	41
% Unfunded	29

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

^a Ranges represent uncertainty intervals.
 ^b Data are not collected from all Member States.

Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators. Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs. d













Number of patients

Treatment success rate (%)

Number of patients

2013

WHO MEMBER STATES 27 OTHER COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES 9

Estimates of TB burden ^a 2012		
	NUMBER (thousands)	RATE (per 100 000 population)
Mortality (excludes HIV+TB)	110 (96–120)	5.8 (5.2–6.4)
Mortality (HIV+TB only)	5 (4–5)	0.26 (0.23-0.29)
Prevalence (includes HIV+TB)	2 400 (2 100-2 600)	128 (115–142)
Incidence (includes HIV+TB)	1 600 (1 500-1 800)	87 (80–95)
Incidence (HIV+TB only)	24 (21–27)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)
Case detection, all forms (%)	81 (75–89)	

TB case notifications 2012

Total new and relapse	1 309 494		Total cases notified	1 345 466
Other (history unknown)	1 232			
Total new	1 264 217		Total retreatment	80 017
Other	3 287	(<1)		
Extrapulmonary	59 294	(4.7)	Other	27 889 (35)
Smear-unknown / not done	9 751	(<1)	Treatment after default	3 137 (3.9)
Smear-negative	691 714	(55)	Treatment after failure	3 714 (4.6)
Smear-positive	500 171	(40)	Relapse	45 277 (57)
NEW CASES		(%)	RETREATMENT CASES	(%)

New cases

	SMEAR-POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN/ NOT DONE	EXTRAPULMONARY	
M:F ratio	2.4	2.0	1.0	
Age < 15	2 693	4 945	767	

Laboratories 2012	NUMBER OF MEMBER STATES ^b
Smear (per 100 000 population) ≥ 1	12 out of 17
Culture (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	11 out of 17
Drug susceptibility testing (per 5 million population) ≥ 1	4 out of 17

Treatment success rate 2011 (%)

TB/HIV 2012	NUMBER	(%)¢
MDR-TB (2010 cohort)		46
Retreatment		86
New smear-negative/extrapulmonary		93
New smear-positive and/or culture-positive		94

TB patients with known HIV status	451 302	(34)
HIV-positive TB patients	14 119	(3.1)
HIV-positive TB patients on co-trimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT)	5 088	(79)
HIV-positive TB patients on antiretroviral therapy (ART)	7 722	(56)
HIV-positive people screened for TB	308 193	
HIV-positive people provided with IPT	8 557	

Estimates of MDR-TB burden 20	12 ^a NEW	RETREATMENT		
% of TB cases with MDR-TB	4.7 (3.3-6.1)	22 (18–26)		
MDR-TB cases among notified pulmonary TB cases	57 000 (40 000–74 000)	18 000 (14 000–21 000)		

Reported cases of MDR-TB 2012	NEW	RETREATMENT	TOTAL
Cases tested for MDR-TB	16 485 (3.3%)	8 134 (10%)	33 909
Laboratory-confirmed MDR-TB cases	943	2 602	4 473
Patients started on MDR-TB treatment			5 070

Financing TB control (low- and middle-income countries)^d

National TB programme budget (US\$ millions)		
% Funded domestically	50	
% Funded internationally	15	
% Unfunded	36	

Data are as reported to WHO. Estimates of TB and MDR-TB burden are produced by WHO in consultation with countries.

Ranges represent uncertainty intervals. b

Data are not collected from all Member States.

Calculations exclude countries with missing numerators or denominators.
 Financing indicators exclude funding for general healthcare services provided outside NTPs.













ANNEX 4

Key indicators for the world, WHO regions and individual countries

Summary by WHO region	147
African Region	155
Region of the Americas	181
Eastern Mediterranean Region	207
European Region	225
South-East Asia Region	255
Western Pacific Region	267

SUMMARY BY WHO REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	149
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	150
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	151
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	152
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	152
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	153
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	153
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	154

Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

Data source

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012

			MORTALITY (EXCLU	JDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE (INCLU	DING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Global	1990	5 298	1 300 (1 100-1 500)	25 (21-29)	15 000 (13 000-16 000)	274 (249-302)	7 800 (7 200-8 500)	147 (136-160)
	1995	5 718	1 400 (1 100-1 600)	24 (20-28)	16 000 (14 000-17 000)	275 (251-301)	8 400 (7 900-9 000)	148 (139–157)
	2000	6 102	1 400 (1 100-1 600)	22 (18–27)	16 000 (14 000-18 000)	263 (237-290)	9 000 (8 500-9 500)	148 (139–156)
	2005	6 489	1 200 (1 000-1 400)	19 (16-22)	15 000 (13 000-16 000)	225 (200-250)	9 200 (8 700-9 700)	142 (134–150)
	2010	6 890	1 000 (850-1 200)	15 (12–17)	13 000 (11 000-14 000)	182 (160-205)	8 800 (8 400-9 100)	128 (123–133)
	2011	6 972	980 (820-1 100)	14 (12–16)	12 000 (11 000-14 000)	176 (155–198)	8 700 (8 400-9 100)	125 (120-130)
	2012	7 054	940 (790-1 100)	13 (11–16)	12 000 (11 000-13 000)	169 (149–190)	8 600 (8 300-9 000)	122 (117–127)
Africa	1990	503	210 (120-340)	43 (24–67)	2 000 (1 300-3 000)	404 (254–590)	1 200 (950-1 600)	245 (189–309)
	1995	577	230 (140-350)	41 (24–61)	2 300 (1 600–3 200)	405 (276–558)	1 600 (1 300-1 900)	275 (226–329)
	2000	655	250 (130-400)	38 (20-61)	2 600 (1 700-3 700)	397 (257–567)	2 000 (1 700-2 400)	310 (255–370)
	2005	744	240 (130–390)	32 (17–53)	2 700 (1 800–3 800)	364 (239–515)	2 300 (2 000-2 700)	310 (263–361)
	2010	847	230 (160–310)	27 (19–36)	2 700 (2 100-3 300)	318 (249–395)	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	271 (249–293)
	2011	870	230 (160–310)	26 (18-35)	2 700 (2 100-3 300)	310 (244–383)	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	262 (242–284)
	2012	893	230 (160–310)	26 (18-35)	2 700 (2 100-3 300)	303 (239–373)	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	255 (235–275)
The Americas	1990	727	43 (35–52)	5.9 (4.8–7.1)	750 (540–990)	103 (74–136)	430 (370-490)	59 (51-68)
	1995	783	37 (32–42)	4.7 (4.1–5.4)	600 (470-750)	76 (59–95)	380 (360-410)	49 (46-52)
	2000	841	29 (25–33)	3.5 (3.0-4.0)	510 (390-640)	60 (47-76)	340 (320-370)	41 (38–43)
	2005	892	24 (21–27)	2.7 (2.3–3.1)	440 (340-550)	49 (38–61)	310 (290-330)	34 (32–36)
	2010	942	21 (18–24)	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	390 (300-490)	41 (32–52)	280 (260-300)	30 (28–32)
	2011	951	19 (17–22)	2 (1.8–2.3)	400 (300-500)	42 (32–53)	280 (260-300)	30 (28–32)
	2012	961	19 (16–21)	1.9 (1.7–2.2)	390 (300-490)	40 (31–51)	280 (260-300)	29 (27–31)
Eastern	1990	378	120 (57–200)	32 (15–54)	1 100 (600-1 600)	279 (159–433)	460 (360-580)	122 (94–153)
Mediterranean	1995	429	130 (67–210)	30 (16–50)	1 200 (720-1 700)	272 (168–401)	530 (470-590)	123 (109–137)
	2000	480	140 (70–230)	29 (15-48)	1 200 (740-1 800)	256 (155–383)	560 (500-630)	118 (104–132)
	2005	533	120 (65–190)	23 (12-36)	1 200 (740-1 700)	216 (138–312)	600 (530-670)	112 (99–126)
	2010	593	100 (61–150)	17 (10-25)	1 100 (/10–1 500)	184 (120-260)	650 (570-720)	109 (96-122)
	2011	605	100 (62–150)	17 (10-25)	1 100 (720–1 600)	182 (119-258)	660 (580-740)	109 (97-122)
-	2012	617	100 (63–150)	16 (10-24)	1 100 (/30–1 600)	180 (118-256)	670 (590-750)	109 (96-122)
Europe	1990	849	39 (36–43)	4.6 (4.2-5.1)	610 (500-720)	/1 (59-85)	370 (350-380)	43 (41-45)
	1995	863	60 (58–62)	6.9 (6.7-7.2)	1 000 (840-1 200)	120 (97–144)	560 (530-590)	65 (62-69)
	2000	870	/1 (69–/3)	8.1 (7.9-8.4)	1 100 (890–1 400)	129 (103-159)	640 (600-680)	/3 (69–/8)
	2005	882	66 (64–67)	7.4 (7.3–7.6)	910 (700-1 100)	103 (79–130)	570 (530-600)	64 (60-68)
	2010	899	44 (43–46)	4.9 (4.8-5.1)	620 (470-790)	68 (52-87)	420 (400-450)	47 (44-50)
	2011	902	40 (39–41)	4.5 (4.4-4.6)	580 (440-740)	64 (49-82)	400 (380-430)	44 (42-47)
0 11 5 1	2012	905	36 (35–36)	3.9 (3.9-4.0)	510 (380–650)	56 (42-72)	360 (340-390)	40 (38-43)
South-East	1990	1 310	570 (410-750)	43 (31-57)	6 100 (5 200-7 000)	465 (400-535)	2 900 (2 500-3 200)	218 (192-246)
Asia	1995	1 435	640 (460-840)	44 (32-58)	6 700 (5 800-7 700)	469 (404-538)	3 100 (2 800–3 400)	218 (198-239)
	2000	1 560	680 (500-890)	43 (32-57)	7 000 (6 000-8 100)	449 (387-516)	3 400 (3 200–3 700)	220 (203-237)
	2005	1 682	620 (480-780)	37 (29-47)	6 300 (5 300-7 400)	3/5 (314-442)	3 600 (3 300-3 900)	213 (197-229)
	2010	1 /90	500 (370-660)	28 (21-37)	5 200 (4 000-6 600)	293 (224-371)	3 500 (3 200-3 700)	194 (181-208)
	2011	1 812	480 (350-620)	26 (19-34)	5 000 (3 900-6 400)	278 (213-352)	3 500 (3 200-3 700)	191 (177-204)
Mantana	2012	1 833	450 (330-590)	25 (18-32)	4 800 (3 700-6 100)	204 (203-333)	3 400 (3 200–3 700)	18/ (1/4-200)
vvestern	1990	1 532	320 (280-350)	∠1 (18-23)	4 000 (3 600-4 400)	201 (238-286)	2 500 (2 100-2 900)	101 (135-189)
Pacific	1995	1 630	260 (230-300)	16 (14-18)	3 900 (3 500-4 300)	238 (216-262)	2 300 (2 000-2 600)	138 (120-158)
	2000	1 697	200 (160-230)	12 (9.6–14)	3 600 (3 200-4 000)	210 (187-234)	2 000 (1 800–2 300)	119 (106-133)
	2005	1 756	150 (140-170)	8.6 (7.9-9.5)	3 100 (2 700–3 400)	1/4 (156-193)	1 800 (1 700-2 000)	105 (95-115)
	2010	1 820	120 (110-130)	6.4 (5.9-7.1)	2 500 (2 300–2 800)	139 (124–153)	1 /00 (1 500–1 800)	92 (84-100)
	2011	1 833	110 (100-120)	6.1 (5.5-6.7)	2 500 (2 200-2 700)	134 (120–148)	1 600 (1 500–1 800)	90 (82-98)
	2012	1 846	110 (96–120)	5.8 (5.2–6.4)	2 400 (2 100–2 600)	128 (115–142)	1 600 (1 500–1 800)	87 (80–95)

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	, notification and	case detection ra	tes, all forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Global	1990	5 298	7 800 (7 200-8 500)	147 (136-160)	280 (230-320)	5.2 (4.4-6.1)	3 740 222	71	48 (44-52)
	1995	5 718	8 400 (7 900-9 000)	148 (139–157)	620 (560-680)	11 (9.8-12)	3 400 278	59	40 (38–43)
	2000	6 102	9 000 (8 500-9 500)	148 (139-156)	1 100 (960-1 200)	17 (16–19)	3 748 455	61	42 (39-44)
	2005	6 489	9 200 (8 700-9 700)	142 (134–150)	1 300 (1 200-1 400)	20 (18-21)	5 148 342	79	56 (53-59)
	2010	6 890	8 800 (8 400-9 100)	128 (123–133)	1 100 (1 100-1 200)	17 (15–18)	5 792 075	84	66 (63-69)
	2011	6 972	8 700 (8 400-9 100)	125 (120-130)	1 100 (1 000-1 200)	16 (15–17)	5 833 253	84	67 (64–70)
	2012	7 054	8 600 (8 300-9 000)	122 (117–127)	1 100 (1 000-1 200)	15 (14–16)	5 776 838	82	67 (64–70)
Africa	1990	503	1 200 (950-1 600)	245 (189-309)	230 (190-280)	46 (38–56)	418 520	83	34 (27–44)
	1995	577	1 600 (1 300-1 900)	275 (226-329)	460 (410-520)	80 (71–91)	504 377	87	32 (27–39)
	2000	655	2 000 (1 700-2 400)	310 (255–370)	780 (690-880)	119 (105–134)	794 464	121	39 (33-48)
	2005	744	2 300 (2 000-2 700)	310 (263-361)	960 (850-1 100)	130 (115–145)	1 188 876	160	52 (44-61)
	2010	847	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	271 (249–293)	880 (800-950)	103 (94–113)	1 380 530	163	60 (56-65)
	2011	870	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	262 (242–284)	850 (780-930)	98 (89–107)	1 386 327	159	61 (56-66)
	2012	893	2 300 (2 100-2 500)	255 (235–275)	830 (760–910)	93 (85–102)	1 344 122	151	59 (55-64)
The Americas	1990	727	430 (370-490)	59 (51-68)	17 (14–20)	2.3 (2.0–2.7)	231 215	32	54 (47-63)
	1995	783	380 (360-410)	49 (46–52)	31 (28–33)	3.9 (3.6–4.3)	258 232	33	67 (63–72)
	2000	841	340 (320–370)	41 (38–43)	32 (30-35)	3.8 (3.5–4.2)	238 636	28	70 (65–75)
	2005	892	310 (290–330)	34 (32–36)	34 (31–37)	3.8 (3.5–4.1)	230 124	26	75 (71–81)
	2010	942	280 (260-300)	30 (28–32)	33 (30–36)	3.5 (3.1–3.8)	214 930	23	76 (71–82)
	2011	951	280 (260-300)	30 (28–32)	33 (30–36)	3.5 (3.1–3.8)	221 625	23	78 (73–84)
	2012	961	280 (260-300)	29 (27-31)	31 (28–34)	3.3 (3.0-3.6)	219 349	23	79 (74–85)
Eastern	1990	378	460 (360-580)	122 (94–153)	0.91 (0.77-1.1)	0.2 (0.20-0.28)	234 620	62	51 (40-66)
Mediterranean	1995	429	530 (470-590)	123 (109–137)	2.8 (2.5–3.2)	0.7 (0.59-0.74)	121 745	28	23 (21–26)
	2000	480	560 (500-630)	118 (104–132)	5.9 (5.3-6.6)	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	141 748	30	25 (22–28)
	2005	533	600 (530-670)	112 (99–126)	8.6 (7.6–9.6)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	287 178	54	48 (43–54)
	2010	593	650 (570-720)	109 (96-122)	11 (9.9–12)	1.9 (1.7–2.1)	412 913	70	64 (57–72)
	2011	605	660 (580-740)	109 (97-122)	11 (9.7–12)	1.8 (1.6–1.9)	415 719	69	63 (56-71)
_	2012	617	670 (590-750)	109 (96-122)	11 (10–12)	1.8 (1.6-2.0)	420 769	68	63 (56-71)
Europe	1990	849	370 (350-380)	43 (41-45)	1.8 (1.8–1.9)	0.2 (0.21-0.23)	242 429	29	66 (63-69)
	1995	863	560 (530-590)	65 (62-69)	3.4 (3.3-3.6)	0.4 (0.38-0.42)	289 874	34	51 (49–54)
	2000	870	640 (600-680)	/3 (69–78)	6.7 (6.2-7.1)	0.8 (0.71-0.82)	373 094	43	59 (55-62)
	2005	882	570 (530-600)	64 (60-68)	17 (15-18)	1.9 (1.7-2.0)	368 624	42	65 (61-70)
	2010	899	420 (400-450)	47 (44-50)	20 (18-21)	2.2 (2.0-2.4)	328 254	37	77 (73-83)
	2011	902	400 (380-430)	44 (42-47)	19 (18-21)	2.1 (2.0-2.3)	312 588	35	78 (73-83)
On the French	2012	905	360 (340-390)	40 (38-43)	19 (17-21)	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	286 765	32	79 (74–84)
Soum-East	1990	1 310	2 900 (2 500-3 200)	218 (192-246)	22 (19-26)	1.7 (1.5-2.0)	1 / 19 365	131	60 (53-68)
Asia	1995	1 433	3 100 (2 800-3 400)	210 (190-239)	210 (100 220)	10 (10 15)	1 414 000	90	43 (41-49)
	2000	1 690	3 400 (3 200-3 700)	220 (203-237)	210 (190-230)	13 (12-13)	1 700 200	106	41 (36-43)
	2005	1 700	3 600 (3 300-3 900)	213 (197-229)	220 (200-240)	13 (12-14)	1 / 09 300	110	50 (46–54) 61 (57,66)
	2010	1 90	3 500 (3 200-3 700)	194 (101-200)	170 (160 190)	9.9 (9.1-11)	2 124 237	119	61 (57-66)
	2011	1 012	3 500 (3 200-3 700)	191 (177-204)	170 (160-160)	9.4 (0.7-10)	2 142 573	110	62 (56-67)
Western	1000	1 533	3 400 (3 200-3 700)	161 (125 190)	1.0 (160-160)	9.2 (0.3-10)	2 130 120	50	62 (36-67)
Pacific	1005	1 620	2 300 (2 100-2 900)	129 (120 159)	1.0 (1.3-2.1) 9.6 (7.4.9.0)	0.1 (0.10-0.14)	034 073	51	30 (31-43)
raunu	2000	1 607	2 000 (2 000-2 000)	110 (120-130)	17 (15 10)	1 (0 00 1 1)	796 295	16	20 (25 44)
	2000	1 756	1 900 (1 700 2 000)	105 (05 115)	24 (21 27)	1 (0.30-1.1)	1 29/ 152	+0	70 (62 77)
	2005	1 920	1 700 (1 700-2 000)	02 (94 100)	24 (21-27)	1.4 (1.2-1.3)	1 204 102	73	90 (72 97)
	2010	1 833	1 600 (1 500-1 800)	90 (82-98)	24 (22-27)	1.3 (1.2-1.3)	1 354 421	74	83 (76_90)
	2011	1 846	1 600 (1 500-1 800)	87 (80-95)	24 (21-27)	1.3 (1.2-1.3)	1 375 713	75	85 (78_93)
	2012	1 040	1000 (1000-1000)	07 (00-00)	24 (21-27)	1.5 (1.1-1.5)	10/0710	15	05 (70-35)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990-2012

			NEW CASES									% SMEAR-
_	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Global	-	1990	3 740 222	30 046	22 393	4 237	0	734	49	783	29	57
	$\langle \neg \rangle$	1995	3 400 278	1 175 290	1 811 850	262 728	5	59 240	0	59 240	44	39
		2000	3 748 455	1 541 607	1 615 263	399 677	37	115 334	236 107	351 441	229	49
	γ /	2005	5 148 342	2 413 708	1 722 281	686 525	8 111	259 937	406 355	666 292	18 172	58
	$\backslash \sim$	2010	5 /92 0/5	2 655 557	2 002 463	806 373	12 8/0	285 966	418 0/1	704 037	28 846	57
	71 00	2011	5 833 253	2 630 564	2 037 926	817 668	12 164	284 815	413 363	698 178	50 116	56
Africo	•71 82•	2012	5 / /6 838	2 563 744	2 084 246	0.067	9 689	288 119	393 437	000 1 80	17 080	20
Amca	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1005	410 320	24 004	101 477	2 007	0	15 100	49	15 100	0	50
	· · ·	2000	704 377	212 910	222 220	141 255	0	10 172	69 119	97 201	0	53
		2000	1 1 9 9 9 7 6	550 004	264 795	209 070	2 0/1	60.002	66 4 49	126 541	2.075	60
		2003	1 290 520	601 149	477 516	247 020	2 341	52 067	00 449	149 472	2073	56
	$\sim N^{-}$	2010	1 386 327	606 085	467 022	247 020	1 073	52 357	74 545	126 902	18 951	56
	• 83 151 •	2011	1 344 122	600 355	446 213	240 000	977	60.085	67 960	128 045	1 785	57
The Americas	- 85 151 -	1990	231 215	1 542	516	723	5//	180	07 900	120 043	29	75
The Americas	Λ	1995	258 232	138 932	72 312	32 991	5	1 723	0	1 723	44	66
	1/~	2000	238 636	131 294	60.392	32 037	37	10 834	14 344	25 178	56	68
		2005	230 124	124 840	56 056	33 285	3 685	10 152	12 481	22 633	2 106	69
		2010	214 930	116 994	52 265	32 240	2 133	10 413	12 133	22 546	885	69
	\sim	2011	221 625	122 010	51 165	34 048	1 502	10 087	11 856	21 943	2 813	70
	• 32 23 •	2012	219 349	122 730	50 338	34 496	1 636	10 100	13 879	23 979	49	71
Eastern		1990	234 620	1 587	12 394	754	0	0	0	0	0	11
Mediterranean	٨	1995	121 745	46 851	51 823	33 382	0	2 407	0	2 407	0	47
	$\Lambda \longrightarrow$	2000	141 748	60 959	34 289	40 754	0	5 568	0	5 568	0	64
		2005	287 178	113 765	102 274	64 612	12	6 495	5 334	11 829	20	53
		2010	412 913	168 627	137 301	92 070	633	11 203	8 713	19 916	3 079	55
		2011	415 719	170 748	135 388	93 605	623	11 223	10 102	21 325	4 132	56
	• 62 68 •	2012	420 769	173 963	143 869	90 943	702	11 208	10 020	21 228	84	55
Europe		1990	242 429	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Λ	1995	289 874	104 444	146 592	29 866	0	7 927	0	7 927	0	42
	$\sim 1 \sim$	2000	373 094	94 442	208 147	35 081	0	21 607	19 127	40 734	173	31
	\sim \sim	2005	368 624	96 121	157 237	49 747	0	22 248	64 831	87 079	3 663	38
		2010	328 254	91 324	145 140	40 951	8 008	24 304	60 736	85 040	18 527	39
	\sim	2011	312 588	85 551	136 456	46 012	3 381	24 628	67 986	92 614	16 560	39
	• 29 32 •	2012	286 765	80 453	129 293	43 134	83	25 133	65 121	90 254	8 669	38
South-East	5	1990	1 719 365	2 769	3 241	656	0	0	0	0	0	46
Asia		1995	1 401 096	357 882	939 945	76 865	0	5 546	0	5 546	0	28
		2000	1 414 228	510 053	741 471	120 708	0	27 095	80 444	107 539	0	41
		2005	1 789 388	857 371	594 185	242 332	1 439	93 859	158 215	252 074	202	59
		2010	2 124 237	1 047 013	615 463	328 421	1 508	130 714	208 542	339 256	1 118	63
	V ~	2011	2 142 573	1 067 367	598 800	333 993	28/8	135 650	215 554	351 204	3 885	64
	• 131 116 •	2012	2 130 120	1 065 852	586 455	338 303	3 004	131 245	201 335	332 580	5 261	65
Western	~ .	1990	894 073	84	105	37	0	0	0	0	0	44
Pacific		1995	824 954	314 2/1	409 /01	16 935	0	26 504	0	26 504	U	43
	/	2000	/86 285	376 109	348 /34	29 842	0	31 057	54 0/4	85 131	0	52
	· √ /	2005	1 284 152	671 607	44/ /44	8/ 5/0	34	67 091	99 045	166 136	10 106	60
	\leq	2010	1 331 211	570 000	5/4 //8 640.005	00 0/1	2 707	50 305	33 441	88 806	4 920	5∠ 47
	. 59	2011	1 354 421	578 803	049 U90 700 070	70 977	2 /0/	50 8/0	33 320	84 190 95 470	3 / / 3	47
	• 58 /5•	2012	1 3/5 /13	520 391	/28 0/8	12 3/7	3 287	ou 348	33 122	80 470	1 232	42

SUMMARY BY WHO REGION

a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995-2011

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Global		1995	1 175 290	1 000 581	85	40	17	3	1	5	34
		2000	1 541 607	1 452 991	94	60	9	4	1	7	19
	· / .	2005	2 413 708	2 396 387	99	77	7	4	2	5	4
	~	2009	2 662 588	2 664 704	100	80	7	4	2	4	4
	\checkmark	2010	2 655 557	2 661 653	100	80	7	4	2	4	3
	• 57 87 •	2011	2 630 564	2 610 821	99	80	7	4	2	4	4
Africa		1995	212 910	177 567	83	46	14	6	2	12	20
		2000	368 750	364 804	99	59	12	7	1	11	10
	~~~.	2005	550 004	563 750	102	62	13	7	1	9	7
	/ -	2009	607 254	605 932	100	70	10	5	1	6	7
	$\sim$	2010	601 149	598 985	100	72	9	5	1	6	6
	• 60 82 •	2011	606 085	578 920	96	72	10	5	1	6	6
The Americas	1	1995	138 932	128 531	93	37	14	3	1	6	39
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000	131 294	110 642	84	60	17	5	1	8	11
	/ ¥ .	2005	124 840	118 840	95	55	24	5	1	7	9
		2009	110 614	122 534	111	53	23	5	1	8	11
	-	2010	116 994	126 450	108	53	22	5	1	8	11
	• 50 78 •	2011	122 010	126 859	104	54	23	5	2	7	9
Eastern		1995	46 851	46 318	99	60	19	2	3	13	4
Mediterranean	. ~	2000	60 959	63 749	105	69	12	4	2	8	6
		2005	113 765	113 /42	100	/2	11	3	1	8	5
	× \/	2009	168 013	167 317	100	74	14	3	1	5	3
	V	2010	168 627	169 872	101	74	14	2	1	5	3
-	• /9 88 •	2011	1/0 /48	170 903	100	74	14	2	1	5	4
Europe		1995	104 444	33 823	32	58	10	6	6	4	16
	$\wedge$	2000	94 442	41 480	44	47	28	5	6	6	/
	· / / / · · · ·	2005	96 121	81 410	85	59	13	8	/	/	5
	\/ *	2009	100 493	105 441	105	56	13	8	12	6	5
	V	2010	91 324	98 689	108	54	13	8	12	6	10
Courth East	• 67 66 •	2011	85 551	106 626	125	51	15	8	8	6	12
Acia		2000	510.052	512 296	100	14	23	2	1	7	40
Asia		2000	957 971	955.060	100	44	0	2	1	6	40
	· · ·	2003	1 029 656	1 022 290	100	00	2	4	2	5	1
	. ~	2005	1 0/2 012	1 0/5 170	100	95	3	4	2	5	1
	.33 00.	2010	1 067 367	1 064 879	100	85	4	4	2	5	1
Western	65 691	1995	314 271	295 932	94	67	13	2	1	4	13
Pacific	~~~	2000	376 109	360 030	96	85	5	2	1	2	4
	/~~~	2005	671 607	662 683	99	89	3	2	1	1	3
	. /	2009	647 558	641 100	99	90	3	2	1	1	3
	V	2010	630 450	622 478	99	90	3	2	1	1	3
	. 90 94.	2011	578 803	562 634	97	91	3	2	1	1	2

#### TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Global		1995	59 240	71 395	121	82	4	3	3	3	4
	<b>`</b>	2000	351 441	188 509	54	60	10	6	4	11	10
	1.0	2005	666 292	546 182	82	51	19	7	4	12	6
		2009	673 854	594 019	88	49	23	7	6	10	5
	V V ~	2010	704 037	613 895	87	47	22	7	5	10	10
	• 86 72 •	2011	698 178	601 904	86	48	24	7	5	10	7
Africa		1995	15 133	5 756	38	57	12	9	3	12	6
	1 11	2000	87 291	44 147	51	47	11	9	3	16	14
		2005	126 541	114 838	91	35	27	11	3	13	12
		2009	144 320	94 342	65	50	20	9	3	9	10
	V V	2010	148 473	113 405	76	41	13	6	3	7	31
	• 69 68 •	2011	126 902	85 278	67	53	15	7	3	9	12
The Americas		1995	1 723	1 104	64	61	11	6	4	11	8
	A	2000	25 178	15 302	61	47	8	5	3	12	25
		2005	22 633	18 603	82	38	16	6	2	15	21
	* \A   \	2009	21 492	19 158	89	29	22	8	3	19	21
	° V	2010	22 546	17 499	78	26	23	7	2	20	21
	• 72 51 •	2011	21 943	20 228	92	27	24	8	3	20	18
Fastern	12 01	1995	2 407	1 860	77	61	14	3	4	12	5
Mediterranean	٨	2000	5 568	4 217	76	51	11	6	7	15	11
Weaterranean	$\cdot$ $\wedge$ $\sim$	2005	11 829	12 860	109	60	15	5	4	10	6
		2009	17 964	16.332	91	56	21	4	3	10	6
	VV	2010	19 916	18 326	92	54	21	4	3	10	8
	• 75 74 •	2010	21.325	22 191	104	52	22	4	3	10	8
Furone	15 14	1995	7 927	480	6	20	20	11	8	32	8
Latope	1	2000	40 724	10 720	26	20	10	0	14	11	0
		2000	87 079	39 497	45	32	18	11	13	14	10
		2003	67 100	59 966	40	27	22	11	22	14	7
		2003	95 040	50 500	60	27	22	44	16	10	10
	40 47.	2010	02 614	50 090	64	23	20	10	15	10	19
Couth East	• 40 47 •	1005	92 014	2 071	64 E0	24	23	10	15	10	10
South-East	·•	1995	5 546	5 271	59	62	0	4	5	15	0
Asia	$\sim \sim \sim 1$	2000	107 539	29 337	55	57	14	5	5	15	3
	$\leftarrow$ $\setminus$ $\setminus$ $\vee$ .	2005	252 074	254 378	101	49	22	7	5	15	2
	V	2009	331 424	332 286	100	48	2/		4	12	2
	V 75	2010	339 256	338 748	100	47	28	/	4	12	2
14/	• 00 /5 •	2011	351 204	300 201	100	45	30	/	4		3
vvestern		1995	26 504	58 924	222	88	2	3	3	1	3
Pacific	1/_	2000	85 131	54 /6/	04	83	3	2	2	1	9
	$\backslash / \vee $	2005	166 136	106 006	64	81	6	3	3	2	6
	V	2009	91 464	/2 935	80	79	7	3	2	2	7
	γ	2010	88 806	67 219	/6	79	7	3	2	2	/
	• 90 86 •	2011	84 190	65 125	/7	80	6	3	3	2	6

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

#### TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Global		2005	8.3	463 027	5 554 697	103 683	22	76	35	25 938
		2010	34	2 080 846	6 210 146	493 186	24	81	46	204 802
	•	2011	40	2 526 072	6 246 616	569 074	23	82	49	446 598
	• 8 46	2012	46	2 808 221	6 170 275	549 769	20	79	57	518 670
Africa		2005	11	140 713	1 255 325	73 332	52	78	29	22 211
		2010	60	888 765	1 475 036	394 332	44	81	44	182 524
		2011	69	1 013 342	1 460 872	465 647	46	82	47	438 121
	• 11 74	2012	74	1 040 262	1 412 082	443 558	43	79	55	473 214
The Americas		2005	35	84 032	242 605	14 232	17	10	81	3 727
		2010	53	121 421	227 063	19 615	16	50	63	12 906
		2011	56	129 613	233 481	20 497	16	41	69	1 705
	• 35 57	· 2012	57	132 943	233 228	20 798	16	63	77	18 710
Eastern		2005	0.88	2 582	292 512	330	13	18	16	0
Mediterranean		2010	11	44 596	421 626	1 360	3	50	44	253
		2011	11	48 271	425 821	1 738	3.6	60	31	52
	•1 14	· 2012	14	58 498	430 789	2 036	3.5	69	49	243
Europe	~	2005	40	171 248	433 455	6 543	2.8	25	16	0
		2010	55	212 727	388 990	12 858	5.9	58	61	6 575
	-	2011	57	215 256	380 574	11 790	5.3	63	58	4 565
	• 40 60	2012	60	212 880	351 886	13 103	6.2	71	62	17 938
South-East		2005	1.6	31 847	1 947 603	7 025	22	50	31	0
Asia		2010	23	546 350	2 332 779	52 519	9.6	86	56	581
		2011	33	767 813	2 358 127	55 608	7.2	88	58	368
	• 2 39	2012	39	909 026	2 331 455	56 093	6.2	89	61	8
Western		2005	2.4	32 605	1 383 197	2 221	6.8	31	33	0
Pacific		2010	20	266 987	1 364 652	12 502	4.6	55	41	1 963
		2011	25	351 777	1 387 741	13 794	3.9	71	48	1 787
	• 2 32	2012	32	454 612	1 410 835	14 181	3.1	79	56	8 557

#### TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

		τοται		NEW PUL	MONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUSLY	TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Global	2005	11988			72870	2.9		24002	3.6
	2010	54887			118835	4		47315	6.7
	2011	61907			133064	4.6		48124	6.9
	2012	85085	310 000 (230 000-380 000)	170 000 (98 000-240 000)	153626	5.7	140 000 (91 000-190 000)	60589	8.9
Africa	2005	2445			1826	0.32		3922	3.1
	2010	9340			2732	0.36		4294	2.9
	2011	12384			1311	0.19		3707	2.9
	2012	18146	38 000 (14 000-62 000)	24 000 (2 100-46 000)	2565	0.39	14 000 (5 600-22 000)	4118	3.2
The Americas	2005	4427			14568	11		11003	49
	2010	2661			11309	8.6		4234	19
	2011	3474			13334	10		4234	19
	2012	2967	7 100 (4 600-9 600)	3 800 (2 400-5 200)	29869	23	3 200 (1 100-5 300)	5565	23
Eastern	2005	350			1442	1.3		94	0.79
Mediterranean	2010	873			2397	1.4		1257	6.3
	2011	841			2264	1.2		1466	6.9
	2012	2249	18 000 (0-42 000)	11 000 (320-36 000)	1990	1.1	6 900 (2 400-11 000)	1617	7.6
Europe	2005	4347			34527	27		7024	8.1
	2010	33776			89005	68		34212	40
	2011	34199			89438	67		31646	34
	2012	36772	74 000 (60 000-88 000)	33 000 (20 000-46 000)	92580	76	41 000 (35 000-46 000)	38268	42
South-East	2005	68			661	<0.1		420	0.17
Asia	2010	3942			1073	0.1		1264	0.37
	2011	6615			1204	0.1		1935	0.55
	2012	19202	90 000 (71 000-110 000)	36 000 (26 000-46 000)	1352	0.13	54 000 (37 000-70 000)	2292	0.69
Western	2005	351			19846	2.9		1539	0.93
Pacific	2010	4295			12319	1.7		2054	2.3
	2011	4394			25513	4.2		5136	6.1
	2012	5749	78 000 (60 000-95 000)	59 000 (41 000-76 000)	25270	4.6	19 000 (15 000-23 000)	8729	10

- ^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES).
- $^{\text{b}}$  BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

#### TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

1		MALE							FEMALE									
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0–14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Global	1995	7 491	48 816	76 799	65 678	49 514	41 756	34 776	0	7 730	41 378	50 102	32 741	22 688	17 816	16 686	0	1.7
	2000	12 387	115 250	1/2 896	156 274	121 277	82 844	75 156	12	14 /49	94 641	110 306	/4 /05	49 823	33 696	33 829	15	1.8
	2003	20 239	268 884	345 937	336 981	298 715	227 530	186 815	7 502	28 825	210 729	225 986	163 260	118 565	86 264	75 368	2 601	1.9
	2011	19 701	265 503	349 803	333 792	300 666	229 756	183 782	579	28 133	209 821	224 552	162 884	119 644	87 668	74 004	313	1.9
	2012	17 046	246 030	330 650	321 408	290 214	225 684	177 736	268	24 834	197 407	210 454	153 967	115 659	86 968	74 189	172	1.9
Africa	1995	2 910	16 754	28 172	20 240	12 017	7 008	4 104	0	3 167	15 873	19 005	11 339	6 643	3 655	1 734	0	1.5
	2000	3 625	29 522	47 654	34 435	17 923	8 970	5 751	0	4 315	29 530	35 386	20 037	9 402	4 581	2 578	0	1.4
	2005	/ 635	54 066	94 388	79.660	40 974	18 931	12 143	17	10 023	5/ 115	75 056	43 213	22 855	11 047	/ 163	0	1.3
	2010	8 551	59 072	105 549	81 247	40 343	24 094	14 470	516	10 207	57 027	76 968	47 070	26 299	13 543	8 843	301	1.4
	2012	6 032	51 158	96 915	79 312	46 870	23 665	14 186	31	8 003	48 828	67 255	43 481	23 378	12 683	8 642	37	1.5
The Americas	1995	437	2 888	3 443	3 157	2 448	1 866	2 251	0	431	2 293	2 434	1 654	1 109	912	1 311	0	1.6
	2000	3 464	18 564	21 869	19 787	15 138	9 899	9 717	0	3 535	15 305	14 961	10 323	7 294	5 038	5 894	0	1.6
	2005	1 520	16 410	16 671	14 369	12 340	7 801	7 951	0	1 718	12 405	11 563	7 891	5 933	3 788	4 751	0	1.6
	2010	1 050	11 461	14 267	11 332	10 627	7 433	7 084	59	1 137	8 405	8 496	5 818	4 880	3 467	4 068	22	1.7
	2011	1 103	12 436	15 023	11 704	11 234	7 709	7 198	56	1 241	8 517	8 766	5 875	4 973	3 690	4 243	9	1.8
Fastara	2012	935	12 125	14 /84	11 2/8	10 / 16	7 596	6 989	6/	1 044	8 615	8 561	5 /10	5 023	3 760	4 15/	30	1./
Mediterranean	2000	1 339	8 135	9 00/3	6 5 2 5	4 409	2 990	2 004	0	1 711	6 710	5 780	3 0/9	2 851	2 /42	1 802	0	1.4
Wediterranean	2005	1 546	13 558	14 609	10 798	8 729	6 581	5 595	0	2 766	13 529	12 098	8 386	6 245	4 383	3 399	0	1.2
	2010	2 316	19 526	19 993	14 908	13 086	10 596	9 521	0	4 377	21 108	17 151	12 183	9 776	7 532	7 032	0	1.1
	2011	1 924	19 630	20 303	14 984	13 857	11 049	9 871	0	3 839	21 322	17 214	12 380	10 060	7 770	6 432	0	1.2
	2012	1 999	20 119	20 411	15 178	14 006	11 333	10 059	160	3 642	22 258	17 341	12 564	10 187	8 082	6 784	20	1.2
Europe	1995	553	3 588	7 046	10 157	7 625	5 716	4 842	0	548	2 906	3 636	2 594	1 549	1 560	3 289	0	2.5
	2000	201	4 636	8 322	9 862	8 065	4 313	3 321	0	290	3 506	4 405	2 945	1 798	1 243	2 490	0	2.3
	2005	299	6 1/0	9 151	9 150	8 /04	4 443	4 089	42	422	4 667	5 101	3 161	2 242	1 336	3 1/6	15	2.1
	2010	100	6 5 2 6	13 259	12 447	12 2/0	7 560	4 125	7 423	301	4 958	6 767	4 218	3 051	2 033	3 398	2 567	2.4
	2011	138	5 997	13 038	13 394	12 300	7 624	4 323	5	207	4 258	6 3 3 6	4 387	2 986	2 1 2 5 2	3 528	3	2.3
South-East	1995	165	3 179	6 467	6 508	5 241	4 682	3 523	0	250	2 187	2 834	2 404	2 003	1 866	1 480	0	2.3
Asia	2000	2 453	30 093	45 720	47 107	38 058	25 080	16 208	Ő	3 222	21 518	25 653	19 241	13 019	8 142	5 468	ő	2.1
	2005	5 064	94 638	120 560	122 256	107 228	74 084	45 533	0	8 591	71 923	76 779	54 000	37 709	24 289	12 975	0	2.0
	2010	6 737	114 806	136 683	142 080	132 411	101 728	67 131	0	10 923	84 006	84 704	63 272	48 470	34 052	20 004	0	2.0
	2011	6 490	114 254	136 142	141 636	135 592	106 420	72 264	0	10 654	85 376	84 383	64 868	50 920	36 755	21 593	0	2.0
	2012	6 581	111 501	133 040	140 542	136 569	108 866	72 554	0	10 535	85 726	82 947	64 170	52 118	38 516	22 187	0	2.0
Western	1995	1 416	15 611	22 998	20 141	18 452	18 752	17 452	0	1 453	13 084	16 396	11 071	8 337	7 081	6 970	0	1.8
Pacific	2000	1 305	24 300	40 329	38 558	37 684	31 592	37 123	0	1 676	18 072	24 121	18 237	15 459	12 653	15 506	0	2.0
	2005	2 351	57 514	74 341	84 881	83 258	72 996	91 547	0	2 658	40 061	39 933	36 852	31 045	27 179	34 253	0	2.2
	2010	1 587	58 626	63 099	77 554	81 778	76 763	84 476	3	1 800	36 715	33 025	30 699	26 089	25 658	32 181	3	2.4
	2011	1 469	53 575	59 082	70 723	77 050	72 616	75 388	0	1 510	32 845	30 454	27 381	24 095	23 618	29 200	0	2.4
	2012	1 361	45 130	52 462	61 /04	69 752	66 600	69 835	5	1 386	27 722	28 014	23 655	21 967	21 802	28 891	82	2.4

## AFRICAN REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	157
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	160
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	163
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	166
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	169
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	172
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	174
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	176
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	179
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	180

#### Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

#### **Data source**

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)			
	YEAR	POPULATION	NUMBER	BATE ^a	NUMBER	BATE ^a	NUMBER	BATE ^a		
Algeria	1990	(MILLIONS) 26	(THOUSANDS) 2.8 (0.970–5.5)	11 (3.7–21)	(THOUSANDS) 29 (13–53)	112 (49-202)	(THOUSANDS) 17 (13–22)	66 (48-86)		
	1995	29	2.9 (0.980-5.9)	9.9 (3.4-20)	32 (13-59)	110 (46-203)	20 (15-26)	68 (50-89) 87 (64 114)		
	2000	34	5 (1.7–9.9)	15 (5.1–29)	53 (23-95)	156 (67–281)	31 (23–41)	93 (68–121)		
	2010 2011	37 38	5.3 (1.8–11) 5.4 (1.9–11)	14 (5.0–28) 14 (5.0–29)	56 (24-100) 57 (25-100)	151 (65–273) 152 (65–274)	33 (24–44) 34 (25–44)	90 (66–118) 90 (66–117)		
Angola	2012	38	5.6 (1.9-11)	15 (5.1-29)	59 (25-110) 39 (14-76)	152 (66-274)	34 (25-45)	89 (65-117)		
, ingola	1995	12	6.1 (2.3–12)	50 (19–96)	55 (27–93)	458 (225–772)	27 (22–33)	226 (185–272)		
	2000 2005	14 17	5.8 (2.4–11) 4.4 (1.7–8.1)	42 (17–77) 26 (11–49)	59 (29–99) 57 (22–110)	421 (207–709) 347 (132–663)	35 (28–42) 46 (37–54)	250 (204–300) 276 (227–329)		
	2010	20 20	6.6 (3.0-12) 7.6 (3.5-13)	34 (16-60) 38 (17-66)	80 (35–140) 90 (42–160)	411 (181–731) 447 (209–772)	59 (50-69) 62 (53-73)	304 (256–355) 310 (261–362)		
	2012	21	8.7 (3.9–15)	42 (19–73)	99 (48–170)	474 (230–804)	66 (55–77)	316 (266–369)		
Benin	1990	5	0.97 (0.390-1.8) 0.95 (0.390-1.7)	16 (6.6–29)	9.7 (4.7–17) 8.9 (4.3–15)	149 (72–253)	6 (4.9–7.1)	100 (82–118)		
	2000 2005	7 8	0.95 (0.400-1.7) 0.87 (0.380-1.6)	14 (5.8–25) 11 (4.7–19)	9.3 (4.6-16) 9.2 (4.6-15)	134 (66–225) 113 (56–189)	6 (4.9–7.1) 6 (5.0–7.2)	86 (71–102) 74 (61–88)		
	2010	10	0.88 (0.390-1.6)	9.3 (4.1–16)	10 (5.0–17)	107 (53-179)	6.5 (5.4-7.8)	69 (57-82)		
	2011	10	0.91 (0.400-1.8)	9.4 (4.2–17)	11 (5.6–18)	110 (55–184)	7 (5.8–8.3)	70 (58–83)		
Botswana	1990 1995	1	1.3 (0.095-4.2) 1.3 (0.076-4.4)	97 (6.9–302) 85 (4.8–276)	13 (1.9–33) 15 (2.6–37)	915 (135–2 410) 925 (166–2 310)	7.4 (2.9–14) 14 (8.8–19)	533 (212–997) 855 (553–1 220)		
	2000	2	0.88 (0.046-2.9)	50 (2.6–165) 25 (10, 76)	13 (3.4-28)	720 (194–1 580)	16 (13-20)	918 (736-1 120)		
	2003	2	0.48 (0.120-1.1)	24 (6.0-56)	8.1 (3.6–14)	411 (185–727)	9.9 (8.8–11)	503 (449-560)		
	2011 2012	2	0.45 (0.120-1.0) 0.42 (0.110-0.920)	23 (5.9–51) 21 (5.5–46)	7.5 (3.4–13) 6.9 (3.1–12)	380 (172–668) 343 (157–600)	9 (8.1–10) 8.2 (7.3–9.1)	455 (406–507) 408 (364–454)		
Burkina Faso	1990 1995	9 10	1.2 (0.470–2.3) 1.4 (0.540–2.6)	14 (5.3–26) 14 (5.3–26)	12 (5.4–20) 12 (5.7–21)	132 (61–230) 121 (57–209)	7.6 (6.5-8.9)	87 (73–101) 82 (70–95)		
	2000	12	1.5 (0.580–2.8)	13 (5.0–24)	13 (6.0–22)	108 (52–186)	8.2 (7.0–9.6)	71 (60–83)		
	2005	13	1.5 (0.610-2.7) 1.4 (0.630-2.5)	9.2 (4.0–16)	13 (6.6–22) 14 (7.1–23)	97 (49–161) 89 (46–147)	9 (7.6–10)	<u>62 (53–73)</u> 58 (49–67)		
	2011 2012	16 16	1.4 (0.620-2.5) 1.4 (0.600-2.5)	8.8 (3.9–16) 8.5 (3.7–15)	14 (7.2–23) 14 (6.9–22)	88 (45–145) 82 (42–136)	9.1 (7.7–11) 9 (7.6–10)	57 (48-66) 54 (46-63)		
Burundi	1990	6	1.3 (0.570-2.3)	23 (10-40) 48 (19-90)	15 (7.8–24) 32 (16–53)	263 (140-425) 510 (251-858)	9.1 (8.0-10)	162 (143-183)		
	2000	7	2.6 (1.1–4.9)	40 (16-73)	27 (14–45)	408 (207–675)	19 (17–22)	288 (254–325)		
	2005 2010	8 9	2.2 (0.960-4.0) 1.8 (0.840-3.2)	28 (12–51) 20 (9.1–35)	22 (12–37) 20 (11–33)	289 (151-471) 219 (116-354)	15 (14–17) 13 (12–15)	<u>198 (174–223)</u> 144 (127–163)		
	2011 2012	10 10	1.8 (0.840-3.2) 1.8 (0.790-3.2)	19 (8.8–33) 18 (8.0–32)	20 (11-33) 20 (10-32)	214 (114-345) 199 (106-322)	13 (12–15) 13 (11–14)	139 (122–157) 130 (114–147)		
Cameroon	1990	12	2.3 (0.980-4.1)	19 (8.1–34)	24 (12-39)	195 (98-325)	14 (11–16)	112 (92-133)		
	2000	16	8.1 (3.1–16)	51 (19-98)	80 (36–140)	504 (227-889)	49 (41-59)	310 (255–369)		
	2005	21	7.3 (3.0–14) 6.6 (2.8–12)	40 (16–75) 32 (14–58)	78 (36–140) 76 (36–130)	432 (201-750) 366 (174-629)	57 (47-68) 56 (47-67)	274 (226–327)		
	2011 2012	21 22	6.3 (2.7–11) 6.4 (2.7–12)	30 (13-54) 29 (12-54)	68 (32-120) 69 (33-120)	320 (152-549) 319 (153-544)	51 (42-61) 52 (43-61)	243 (200-290) 238 (197-283)		
Cape Verde	1990	< 1	0.13 (0.034-0.290)	37 (9.8-81)	1.2 (0.440-2.3)	340 (125-660)	0.62 (0.380-0.910)	175 (108-259)		
	2000	<1	0.15 (0.057-0.290)	34 (13-65)	1.4 (0.670–2.3)	311 (152–526)	0.71 (0.580-0.850)	160 (131–193)		
	2005	<1	0.15 (0.057-0.280) 0.13 (0.051-0.240)	31 (12–59) 26 (11–49)	1.4 (0.680–2.3) 1.3 (0.630–2.1)	288 (142–485) 257 (129–427)	0.73 (0.600-0.880) 0.71 (0.590-0.850)	153 (125–184) 147 (121–175)		
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.12 (0.049-0.220) 0.11 (0.047-0.210)	25 (10-46) 23 (9.5-42)	1.2 (0.610-2.0) 1.2 (0.590-2.0)	248 (124-414) 237 (119-395)	0.71 (0.590-0.850) 0.71 (0.590-0.850)	145 (120–173) 144 (119–172)		
Central African	1990	3	3.6 (1.3-6.9)	122 (45-236)	40 (17–72)	1 360 (583–2 460) 1 680 (704–3 070)	25 (21-30)	861 (710-1 030)		
Перавне	2000	4	5.2 (1.9–10)	143 (53–277)	54 (23-99)	1 500 (631–2 720)	39 (32–46)	1 070 (884–1 280)		
	2005	4	2.6 (1.0-4.8)	<u>99 (37–190)</u> 59 (24–110)	40 (17–71) 28 (13–47)	1 000 (438–1 790) 637 (304–1 090)	27 (23-33) 19 (16-22)	433 (357–515)		
	2011 2012	4 5	2.4 (0.980-4.4) 2.2 (0.840-4.3)	54 (22–99) 50 (19–95)	26 (12-44) 24 (11-40)	579 (279–987) 520 (251–884)	18 (15–21) 17 (14–20)	400 (330-477) 367 (302-438)		
Chad	1990	6	0.86 (0.370-1.5)	14 (6.2–26)	9.4 (4.7–16)	157 (78–264)	5.6 (4.7-6.7)	95 (78-112)		
	2000	8	2 (0.800–3.7)	24 (9.7–45)	21 (10–36)	252 (122-429)	13 (10–15)	151 (125–180)		
	2005	10	2.3 (0.940-4.2) 2.3 (1.0-4.1)	23 (9.4–42) 20 (8.5–35)	24 (12–41) 28 (14–47)	243 (119–412) 237 (118–397)	15 (12–18) 18 (15–21)	150 (124–178) 151 (125–179)		
	2011 2012	12 12	2.2 (0.960-3.9) 2.3 (0.980-4.1)	18 (8.0–33) 18 (7.9–33)	28 (14-46) 28 (14-46)	229 (114–383) 221 (109–372)	18 (15–22) 19 (16–22)	151 (125–179) 151 (125–180)		
Comoros	1990	<1	0.043 (0.018-0.077)	10 (4.4–19)	0.38 (0.180-0.660)	93 (45–159) 75 (26, 129)	0.22 (0.180-0.270)	54 (44-64)		
	2000	< 1	0.037 (0.016-0.065)	6.9 (3.0–12)	0.34 (0.160–0.580)	64 (30–111)	0.21 (0.170-0.250)	39 (32–46)		
	2005 2010	< 1	0.045 (0.019-0.083) 0.045 (0.019-0.082)	7.6 (3.2–14) 6.6 (2.8–12)	0.4 (0.200-0.680) 0.42 (0.210-0.710)	67 (33–114) 62 (31–103)	0.22 (0.180-0.260) 0.23 (0.190-0.270)	36 (30-43) 33 (28-40)		
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.046 (0.019-0.083) 0.045 (0.019-0.084)	6.5 (2.8–12) 6.3 (2.6–12)	0.45 (0.230-0.740)	64 (32–105) 62 (31–103)	0.24 (0.200-0.290)	34 (28-41) 34 (28-41)		
Congo	1990	2	0.7 (0.220-1.4)	29 (9.4-61)	7.7 (2.6–16)	323 (108-654)	4 (2.5-5.9)	169 (104-250)		
	2000	3	1.1 (0.500-2.0)	36 (16-63)	14 (6.9–24)	455 (222-770)	11 (9.3–13)	353 (298-412)		
	2005	4	1.7 (0.730-3.0) 1.8 (0.790-3.3)	47 (21-85) 44 (19-80)	21 (9.8–35) 23 (11–39)	580 (276–994) 557 (265–955)	15 (12–18) 16 (13–19)	425 (347–510) 391 (320–470)		
	2011 2012	4 4	1.8 (0.800–3.3) 1.8 (0.780–3.4)	44 (19–78) 42 (18–77)	23 (11-40) 23 (11-40)	548 (262–938) 530 (250–913)	16 (13-20) 17 (14-20)	387 (317-465) 381 (311-458)		
Côte d'Ivoire	1990 1995	12	4.8 (1.9-9.0)	40 (16-74)	48 (23-80)	394 (193–664) 551 (265, 940)	29 (25–33)	238 (206-272)		
	2000	16	9 (3.6–17)	56 (22-105)	83 (40–140)	513 (249-870)	60 (52–68)	369 (320-422)		
	2005	17	6.6 (2.8–12) 4.7 (2.1–8.2)	38 (16-69) 25 (11-43)	64 (33–100) 49 (25–80)	366 (187–604) 258 (133–424)	46 (40-53) 36 (31-41)	267 (232-306) 190 (165-217)		
	2011 2012	19 20	4.7 (2.2–8.3) 4.4 (1.8–8.0)	24 (11–43) 22 (9.1–41)	51 (26-83) 45 (23-75)	262 (135-430) 228 (115-380)	37 (32–42) 34 (30–39)	191 (165–218) 172 (149–198)		
Democratic	1990	35	26 (9.9–49) 28 (11 52)	74 (28–140)	240 (110-420)	695 (327–1 200) 654 (218, 1 110)	110 (92–140)	327 (262-398)		
of the Congo	2000	47	29 (12–53)	61 (25–112)	290 (140-480)	611 (308–1 020)	150 (130–180)	327 (273–385)		
	2005 2010	54 62	29 (13-52) 33 (15-59)	54 (24-97) 54 (24-96)	300 (150-500) 350 (180-560)	558 (285-920) 555 (288-908)	180 (150-200) 200 (180-230)	327 (279–379) 327 (282–375)		
	2011 2012	64 66	35 (15–62) 36 (16–64)	54 (24–97) 54 (24–97)	360 (190–590) 380 (200–620)	568 (297–923) 576 (301–938)	210 (180–240) 210 (190–250)	327 (282–375) 327 (282–375)		
Equatorial	1990	<1	0 (0-0.063)	0 (0-17)	0.38 (0.150-0.720)	101 (39–193)	0.3 (0.260-0.340)	80 (70–91)		
Guinea	2000	< 1	0 (0-0.087) 0 (0-0.086)	0 (0-20) 0 (0-17)	0.42 (0.160-0.820) 0.67 (0.300-1.2)	130 (58–231)	0.52 (0.460–0.590)	101 (88–114)		
	2005 2010	< 1	0 (0-0.058) 0 (0-0.058)	0 (0-9.7) 0 (0-8.3)	0.81 (0.350-1.5) 1.2 (0.520-2.0)	135 (58-243) 166 (75-294)	0.66 (0.580-0.750) 0.94 (0.830-1.1)	110 (96–124) 135 (119–153)		
	2011	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0.053)	0 (0-7.4)	1.2 (0.560-2.2)	174 (79–305) 164 (69–299)	1 (0.890-1.1)	142 (124-161)		
Eritrea	1990	3	0.39 (0.260-0.540)	12 (7.9–17)	16 (6.5–29)	484 (198-894)	8 (5.3–11)	243 (162–341)		
	2000	3	0.33 (0.220-0.460)	7.7 (5.1–11)	7.6 (1.9–17)	194 (49–436)	6.2 (4.1-8.7)	157 (104-220)		
	2005 2010	5	0.29 (0.190-0.400) 0.28 (0.190-0.390)	5.9 (3.9-8.3) 4.9 (3.2-6.9)	9.1 (3.2–18) 9.6 (3.6–18)	187 (67–368) 167 (63–319)	5.9 (3.9-8.2) 5.8 (3.8-8.1)	121 (80-169) 100 (67-140)		
	2011 2012	6 6	0.28 (0.190-0.390) 0.28 (0.190-0.400)	4.7 (3.1-6.6) 4.6 (3.0-6.5)	9.5 (3.6–18) 9.3 (3.5–18)	160 (60-307) 152 (56-294)	5.7 (3.8-8.1) 5.7 (3.8-8.0)	97 (64–136) 93 (62–131)		

**AFRICAN REGION** 

			MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV) PREVALENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)			
	YEAR	POPULATION	NUMBER	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a
Ethiopia	1990	(MILLIONS) 48	(1HOUSANDS) 23 (14–35)	49 (29-73)	(1HOUSANDS) 200 (140-290)	426 (285-594)	(THOUSANDS) 180 (100-270)	367 (218-553)
	1995	57	27 (16-41)	48 (28-72)	270 (200-370)	480 (342–642)	240 (140–360)	419 (249–633)
	2000	76	22 (13–33)	41 (25–63) 29 (17–44)	250 (210-370) 250 (190-320)	429 (318–556) 331 (250–422)	260 (170–420) 260 (150–390)	342 (203–516)
	2010	87 89	17 (12–23) 16 (12–21)	20 (14–26) 18 (14–24)	220 (170–270) 210 (170–260)	250 (199–307) 237 (191–288)	230 (170-310) 230 (170-300)	269 (191-359) 258 (191-335)
Oshar	2012	92	16 (12–21)	18 (13–23)	210 (170–250)	224 (180–272)	230 (170–290)	247 (183–321)
Gabon	1990 1995	<1	0.39 (0.160-0.710) 0.62 (0.250-1.2)	41 (17-74) 57 (23-107)	4 (2.0-6.6) 6.4 (3.1-11)	419 (210-699) 592 (289-1 000)	2.1 (1.7–2.5) 3.4 (2.8–4.1)	221 (182–263) 315 (260–375)
	2000	1	0.99 (0.390-1.9)	81 (32–152) 78 (32–145)	11 (5.2–19) 13 (6.0–21)	898 (426-1 540) 908 (434-1 550)	6.5 (5.3–7.7) 8 1 (6 7–9 6)	527 (435-627) 586 (484-698)
	2010	2	0.8 (0.340-1.4)	51 (22–93)	10 (5.0–17)	663 (323-1 120)	7.4 (6.1–8.8)	475 (392–566)
	2011 2012	2	0.72 (0.320-1.3)	46 (20–83) 44 (18–81)	9.8 (4.7–17) 9.2 (4.3–16)	612 (295–1 040) 563 (265–971)	7.2 (5.9–8.6) 7 (5.8–8.3)	450 (372–536) 428 (354–510)
Gambia	1990 1995	<1	0.33 (0.087-0.730)	36 (9.4-80) 38 (15-70)	3.2 (1.2–6.2) 4 (2.0–6.6)	350 (129-679) 372 (186-622)	1.7 (1.0-2.5) 2.2 (1.8-2.6)	185 (114–273) 204 (167–245)
	2000	1	0.44 (0.180-0.810)	36 (15–66)	4.6 (2.3–7.7)	373 (184–628)	2.8 (2.3–3.3)	225 (184–271)
	2005	2	0.57 (0.230-1.1) 0.78 (0.300-1.5)	<u>39 (16–74)</u> 46 (18–87)	5.8 (2.9–9.7) 7.7 (3.8–13)	404 (200–677) 455 (227–762)	3.6 (2.9–4.3) 4.6 (3.8–5.5)	248 (203–298) 273 (226–325)
	2011	2	0.84 (0.330-1.6)	49 (19–92) 51 (20–96)	8.2 (4.1–14) 8.8 (4.4–15)	472 (236–788) 490 (245–819)	4.8 (4.0-5.7) 5 1 (4.2-6.0)	279 (230-331) 284 (234-337)
Ghana	1990	15	5.3 (0.880–14)	36 (6.0–93)	47 (12–110)	320 (81–722)	23 (10-40)	155 (69–275)
	2000	19	5.1 (1.2–12)	27 (6.3–79) 27 (6.3–62)	48 (17–96)	257 (89–510)	28 (16–44) 29 (18–41)	152 (97-220)
	2005	21	4 (1.3-8.3)	19 (5.9–39) 10 (5.0–18)	40 (17-72) 29 (15-48)	188 (81-338) 121 (62-199)	25 (19-33) 21 (18-24)	119 (88–154) 86 (75–97)
	2011	25	2.1 (1.0–3.6)	8.6 (4.2–15)	26 (13-44)	106 (52–179)	20 (17–22)	79 (69–89)
Guinea	1990	6	3.7 (1.4–7.2)	6.9 (3.5–11) 62 (23–119)	23 (11–41) 33 (15–58)	92 (41-162) 556 (257-968)	18 (16-21) 15 (12-18)	248 (204–295)
	1995	8	4.2 (1.6-8.1)	54 (21–103) 44 (17–83)	40 (19-68) 38 (18-64)	505 (237-873) 429 (205-734)	20 (16-23) 20 (17-24)	249 (205–297) 234 (193–279)
	2005	10	3.3 (1.4–6.1)	34 (14–63)	33 (16–57)	350 (171–590)	20 (17-24)	211 (173–251)
	2010	11	2.8 (1.2-5.0) 2.6 (1.2-4.7)	25 (11–46) 24 (10–42)	33 (16–54) 32 (16–54)	299 (149–500) 287 (143–481)	20 (17-24) 20 (17-24)	188 (155–224) 183 (151–219)
Guinea-Bissau	2012	11	2.6 (1.1-4.8) 0.21 (0.051-0.480)	23 (9.8-42) 21 (5.0-47)	31 (16-53) 2.4 (0.860-4.8)	274 (136–459) 237 (84–469)	20 (17-24)	178 (146-213) 158 (108-217)
damoa Biodad	1995	1	0.26 (0.100-0.470)	22 (9.2–41)	2.9 (1.4–5.0)	256 (120-442)	2 (1.6–2.4)	174 (142–209)
	2000	1	0.36 (0.130-0.690) 0.32 (0.110-0.660)	28 (11–54) 23 (7.5–46)	3.7 (1.8–6.2) 3.8 (1.7–6.6)	290 (142–490) 264 (121–462)	2.4 (2.0–2.9) 3 (2.5–3.6)	192 (157–230) 211 (173–254)
	2010	2	0.43 (0.150-0.860)	27 (9.4–54) 28 (9.5–57)	4.8 (2.3–8.1) 5 (2.4–8.5)	300 (144–513) 306 (145–525)	3.7 (3.0-4.4) 3.9 (3.2-4.6)	233 (192–278) 238 (196–283)
14	2012	2	0.49 (0.160-0.990)	29 (9.8–59)	5.2 (2.5-8.9)	312 (148–537)	4 (3.3–4.8)	242 (200–289)
Kenya	1990 1995	23 27	7.1 (2.8–13) 4.5 (2.0–7.9)	30 (12-57) 16 (7.4-29)	64 (32–110) 54 (29–85)	272 (138–452) 196 (108–311)	33 (28–37) 46 (43–50)	139 (121–159) 169 (155–184)
	2000	31 36	5.9 (2.8-10) 8 1 (4.3-13)	19 (9.0-32) 23 (12-36)	85 (46-140) 120 (65-200)	273 (147–437) 345 (183–559)	89 (84–95) 130 (120–140)	286 (267-305) 359 (339-380)
	2010	41	8.2 (5.1–12)	20 (12–30)	130 (65–200)	306 (159–500)	120 (120-130)	298 (286–311)
	2011	42 43	9.5 (5.4–15)	22 (13-32)	130 (68–210) 130 (71–210)	299 (164–475)	120 (120–130) 120 (110–120)	272 (261–283)
Lesotho	1990 1995	2	0.35 (0.100-0.730) 0.34 (0.110-0.680)	22 (6.5–46) 19 (6.5–39)	4.3 (1.6-8.2) 5.7 (2.4-10)	267 (99-515) 323 (134-593)	2.9 (2.2–3.8) 5.7 (5.0–6.4)	184 (135-240) 323 (283-367)
	2000	2	0.29 (<0.01-1.1)	16 (0.38-58)	7.2 (2.4–15)	387 (129-784)	10 (9.0-12)	553 (484-626)
	2005	2	0.2 (0-1.6)	14 (<0.1-66)	8.5 (2.5–18)	409 (65-1 060) 425 (126-903)	13 (11–14)	633 (553–752)
	2011	2	0.34 (<0.01-1.4) 0.34 (<0.01-1.4)	17 (0.20-67) 17 (0.18-68)	8.9 (2.8–18) 8.7 (2.7–18)	439 (139–905) 424 (130–888)	13 (11–15) 13 (11–15)	632 (551-717) 630 (550-716)
Liberia	1990	2	0.62 (0.130-1.5)	29 (6.0-71)	6.7 (2.2–14)	321 (102–661)	4.2 (2.6–6.2)	199 (123–293)
	2000	2	1.6 (0.580-3.0)	54 (20-104)	9.4 (4.6–16) 14 (6.7–24)	453 (220-769) 482 (231-822)	4.6 (3.7–5.5) 7 (5.7–8.4)	242 (197–290)
	2005	3 4	1.6 (0.630-3.1) 1.9 (0.790-3.5)	50 (19-94) 48 (20-88)	16 (7.7–26) 20 (9.8–33)	475 (234–798) 493 (247–822)	8.7 (7.1–10) 12 (9.6–14)	266 (218-320)
	2011	4	1.9 (0.810-3.5)	47 (20-86)	20 (10-34)	494 (245-827)	12 (10-15)	299 (247-356)
Madagascar	1990	12	13 (4.9–25)	114 (43-220)	110 (50–190)	946 (434-1 650)	45 (37–54)	391 (322–466)
	1995 2000	13 16	11 (4.4–21) 11 (4.4–20)	82 (33–154) 69 (28–127)	98 (48–170) 96 (47–160)	729 (356-1 230) 609 (300-1 020)	45 (37–54) 46 (38–55)	335 (276–400) 293 (241–349)
	2005	18	10 (4.3-19)	56 (24-103)	95 (48–160)	522 (261-870)	48 (39-57)	262 (216-313)
	2011	22	10 (4.4–18)	47 (20-85)	98 (50–160)	452 (228-749)	52 (43-62)	238 (196–284)
Malawi	2012 1990	9	10 (4.3–19) 3.8 (0.700–9.5)	46 (19-84) 40 (7.4-101)	99 (50–160) 39 (12–80)	442 (222–735) 412 (131–849)	52 (43-62) 31 (22-41)	234 (193–280) 326 (230–438)
	1995	10 11	3.7 (0.760-8.9) 3.2 (0.360-9.0)	37 (7.6–90) 28 (3.2–80)	43 (16-81) 41 (13-85)	427 (165-810) 365 (118-749)	46 (38–55) 53 (44–63)	462 (383–548) 467 (387–554)
	2005	13	2.3 (0.130–7.4)	18 (1.0–57)	34 (10-72)	262 (77–556)	46 (38–54)	354 (292-421)
	2010	15	1.5 (0.560-3.0)	9.9 (3.6–19)	27 (14-45) 24 (12-40)	156 (80-256)	33 (31-35) 30 (27-32)	191 (177–206)
Mali	2012 1990	16 8	1.4 (0.570-2.7) 1.2 (0.510-2.1)	9 (3.6–17) 15 (6.4–26)	22 (11-36) 11 (6.0-18)	140 (72–229) 138 (75–221)	26 (24–28) 6 (5.8–6.3)	163 (151–176) 76 (72–80)
	1995	9	1.2 (0.540-2.2)	13 (6.0-24)	12 (6.5-18)	131 (73-206)	7.2 (6.9-7.6)	80 (76-84)
	2005	12	1.3 (0.600-2.2)	11 (5.0–19)	12 (7.0-20)	105 (58–164)	8.3 (7.9–8.7)	69 (66-73)
	2010 2011	14 14	1.3 (0.620-2.2) 1.3 (0.630-2.2)	9.3 (4.4–16) 9.1 (4.4–16)	13 (7.3–21) 13 (7.4–21)	94 (52–149) 94 (52–148)	8.8 (8.4–9.2) 8.9 (8.5–9.3)	63 (60–66) 62 (59–65)
Mauritania	2012	15	1.3 (0.630-2.3)	9 (4.3-15)	14 (7.6–22) 5 7 (1.1–14)	92 (51-146)	9 (8.5-9.4)	60 (57-63)
Madritarila	1995	2	0.92 (0.390-1.7)	39 (17–71)	9.7 (4.7–17)	417 (202–707)	5.9 (4.8-7.0)	251 (205–302)
	2000	3	1.5 (0.610–2.9) 2.3 (0.880–4.4)	57 (23–106) 73 (28–140)	15 (7.3–24) 20 (9.9–35)	536 (268-895) 651 (315-1 110)	7.5 (6.1–9.0) 9.6 (7.9–12)	277 (226–333) 305 (250–367)
	2010	4	3.2 (1.2-6.1) 3.4 (1.2-6.5)	88 (33–170) 91 (34–175)	27 (13–47) 29 (13–50)	756 (357–1 300) 775 (364–1 340)	12 (10-15) 13 (10-15)	337 (277–402) 344 (283–410)
	2012	4	3.5 (1.3-6.9)	93 (34–181)	30 (14-52)	794 (373–1 370)	13 (11–16)	350 (288–418)
wauntilus	1990	1	0.013 (0.012-0.013)	2.3 (2.4-2.6) 1.1 (1.1-1.2)	0.56 (0.220-1.1) 0.57 (0.290-0.950)	50 (21-105) 51 (25-85)	0.29 (0.180-0.430)	20 (17-41) 26 (21-31)
	2000 2005	1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.013 (0.013-0.014)	0.68 (0.67-0.70)	0.54 (0.270-0.910) 0.52 (0.260-0.870)	46 (23-76) 43 (22-72)	0.29 (0.240-0.350) 0.28 (0.230-0.330)	24 (20-29) 23 (19-28)
	2010	1	0.012 (0.011-0.012)	0.94 (0.93-0.95)	0.5 (0.250-0.830)	41 (20-68)	0.27 (0.220-0.320)	22 (18-26)
	2012	1	0.012 (0.012-0.012)	0.97 (0.96-0.98)	0.48 (0.240-0.810)	39 (20-65)	0.26 (0.220-0.310)	21 (17-25)
Mozambique	1990 1995	14 16	13 (0.360-48) 16 (1.0-52)	98 (2.6–357) 101 (6.4–323)	120 (7.5–370) 140 (17–400)	863 (56–2 730) 897 (104–2 520)	54 (8.5–140) 76 (24–160)	401 (62–1 050) 478 (153–985)
	2000	18	14 (0.430-49)	75 (2.3–270)	130 (10-390)	701 (56-2 130)	94 (41-170)	513 (227-914)
	2005	24	12 (0.890-38)	51 (3.7-160)	130 (25–320)	541 (105–1 320)	130 (90–180)	544 (377-741)
	2011 2012	25 25	13 (0.890-40) 13 (0.980-41)	51 (3.6-161) 53 (3.9-163)	130 (26–330) 140 (28–340)	544 (106-1 330) 553 (111-1 340)	130 (93-180) 140 (96-190)	548 (380-747) 552 (383-753)
Namibia	1990 1995	1	0.074 (0.058-0.091)	5.2 (4.1-6.4)	11 (5.1–18)	751 (358–1 280)	5.4 (4.3-6.6)	379 (300-468)
	2000	2	0.46 (0.360-0.580)	24 (19–31)	27 (9.8–53)	1 430 (517–2 790)	27 (21–33)	1 410 (1 110–1 730)
	2005	2	0.46 (0.350-0.580) 0.34 (0.270-0.410)	23 (17-28) 15 (12-19)	23 (6.5–51) 18 (6.3–36)	1 160 (321-2 510) 834 (291-1 660)	28 (22-35) 19 (15-23)	1 390 (1 100-1 720) 867 (686-1 070)
	2011 2012	2	0.32 (0.250-0.390) 0.32 (0.260-0.400)	14 (11–18) 14 (11–18)	15 (5.3–31) 16 (6.1–29)	699 (241-1 390) 688 (271-1 300)	16 (13–20) 15 (12–18)	723 (573–891) 655 (524–800)
				. 7			- , /	

#### TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012

TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1	990-2012
---------------------------------------------------------------	----------

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INC	LUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	
Niger	1990	8	7.7 (2.9–15)	99 (37–191) 74 (28–143)	65 (30-110) 57 (26-99)	839 (388-1 460) 620 (283-1 080)	28 (23–33) 25 (20–29)	358 (295-426)	
	2000	11	5 (2.0-9.5)	46 (18-86)	44 (21–75)	396 (189–678)	21 (17-25)	191 (157-227)	
	2005	13	3.7 (1.5-6.8)	28 (12-51) 18 (8.0-33)	34 (17–57) 30 (15–50)	261 (130-436) 187 (94-312)	19 (15-22) 18 (15-21)	142 (118-170)	
	2011	17	2.8 (1.2–5.0)	17 (7.4–30)	29 (14-49)	176 (88–294)	18 (15–21)	108 (90-129)	
Nigeria	1990	96	34 (0.019–180)	35 (<0.1–183)	28 (14-48) 290 (0.550-1 400)	302 (0.58–1 440)	120 (1.3–500)	128 (1.3-526)	
	1995	108	40 (0.250-170)	37 (0.23–161) 38 (<0.1–185)	340 (4.9-1 300) 400 (2.6-1 700)	311 (4.5–1 230) 326 (2 1–1 400)	150 (8.0-490) 210 (15-660)	139 (7.4–456) 172 (12–536)	
	2005	140	46 (0.084–220)	33 (<0.1–159)	420 (4.2–1 700)	298 (3.0–1 220)	240 (33–660)	175 (23–476)	
	2010 2011	160 164	34 (3.1–100) 30 (2.0–93)	22 (2.0-64) 18 (1.2-57)	330 (62–830) 300 (49–760)	210 (39–521) 181 (30–464)	210 (100–360) 190 (91–340)	133 (64–225) 118 (55–204)	
Dwondo	2012	169	27 (1.6-86)	16 (0.92-51)	270 (43-710)	161 (25-420)	180 (85-310)	108 (50-186)	
nwanua	1990	6	4.4 (1.7–8.4)	78 (30–149)	37 (17–64)	655 (305–1 130)	29 (26-32)	513 (458–571)	
	2000 2005	8	4.1 (1.6–7.7) 2 (0.880–3.7)	49 (19–91) 22 (9.3–39)	35 (17–59) 21 (11–35)	417 (205–701) 228 (120–370)	27 (24-30) 17 (15-19)	325 (290-362) 181 (162-202)	
	2010	11	1.3 (0.610-2.3)	12 (5.7–21)	15 (7.9–24)	136 (73–219)	11 (10–13)	106 (94–118)	
	2011 2012	11	1.2 (0.580–2.1) 1.2 (0.530–2.1)	11 (5.2–19) 10 (4.6–18)	14 (7.2–22) 13 (7.0–21)	121 (65–196) 114 (61–183)	11 (9.4–12) 9.8 (8.8–11)	94 (84–105) 86 (77–96)	
Sao Tome and Principe	1990 1995	<1	0.031 (<0.01-0.070) 0.034 (0.013-0.064)	27 (7.1–59) 26 (10–49)	0.3 (0.110-0.590)	258 (96-499) 244 (122-408)	0.16 (0.098-0.230)	135 (83–199) 124 (102–149)	
1 molpo	2000	< 1	0.018 (<0.01-0.032)	13 (5.3–23)	0.22 (0.093-0.410)	159 (67–291)	0.16 (0.130-0.190)	114 (93–137)	
	2005	<1	0.012 (<0.01-0.023) 0.024 (0.010-0.045)	7.6 (2.8–15) 14 (5.7–25)	0.2 (0.070-0.390) 0.26 (0.130-0.450)	128 (46-253) 149 (72-252)	0.16 (0.140-0.190) 0.17 (0.140-0.200)	<u>105 (88–123)</u> 96 (79–115)	
	2011	< 1	0.027 (0.011-0.050)	15 (6.1-27)	0.28 (0.140-0.470)	154 (76-258)	0.17 (0.140-0.210)	94 (78-113)	
Senegal	1990	8	1.8 (0.800–3.3)	24 (11-44)	19 (9.4–31)	249 (125-414)	10 (8.5–12)	138 (114–164)	
	1995 2000	9	2.2 (0.980-4.0) 2.6 (1.1-4.7)	26 (11-46) 26 (12-48)	23 (12–39) 27 (14–45)	269 (135–448) 273 (137–453)	13 (11–16) 15 (13–18)	153 (126–183) 155 (128–184)	
	2005	11	2.5 (1.1–4.4)	22 (9.6–39)	26 (13-44)	234 (116–393)	16 (13–19)	142 (117–169)	
	2010 2011	13	2.5 (1.1-4.5) 2.6 (1.2-4.7)	20 (8.7–35) 20 (8.7–35)	28 (14–47) 29 (14–49)	217 (106–366) 217 (106–366)	18 (15–21) 18 (15–22)	137 (113–163) 136 (112–162)	
Souchollos	2012	14	2.7 (1.2-4.8)	20 (8.8-35)	30 (15-50)	219 (108-368)	19 (16-22)	137 (113-163)	
Seychelles	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2 (1.9-2.0)	0.059 (0.028-0.100)	79 (37–135)	0.03 (0.025-0.036)	40 (33–48)	
	2000 2005	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2 (1.9–2.0) 2.5 (2.4–2.7)	0.045 (0.021-0.080) 0.053 (0.026-0.091)	57 (26-100) 61 (29-104)	0.029 (0.024-0.035) 0.029 (0.024-0.035)	37 (30–44) 33 (27–40)	
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.8 (1.8–1.9)	0.048 (0.023-0.082)	52 (25-90)	0.028 (0.023-0.033)	31 (25–37)	
	2011 2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.8 (1.8–1.9) 1.8 (1.8–1.9)	0.039 (0.016-0.071) 0.036 (0.013-0.072)	42 (17–78) 39 (14–78)	0.028 (0.023-0.033) 0.027 (0.023-0.033)	30 (25–36) 30 (24–35)	
Sierra Leone	1990 1995	4	2.4 (0.730-5.2) 2 (0.730-3.9)	61 (18–128) 51 (18–99)	21 (7.8–39) 18 (8.3–31)	507 (194–968) 454 (211–788)	8.4 (5.2–12) 8.3 (6.4–11)	207 (128–305) 212 (162–269)	
	2000	4	2.4 (0.860-4.8)	59 (21–116)	22 (10–39)	537 (245–940)	11 (8.1–14)	264 (196–341)	
	2005	5	5.9 (2.2–11) 8.2 (3.1–16)	116 (43–223) 142 (54–273)	53 (25-90) 74 (36-130)	1 030 (491–1 750) 1 290 (624–2 180)	26 (21-31) 38 (31-45)	<u>503 (410–605)</u> 660 (540–791)	
	2011	6	8.3 (3.1–16)	142 (53-274)	76 (37–130)	1 290 (625-2 200)	39 (32-47)	668 (542-807) 674 (540-821)	
South Africa	1990	37	16 (4.2–34)	42 (11–93)	170 (64–340)	475 (173–925)	110 (76–150)	301 (206–413)	
	1995 2000	41 45	15 (5.6–28) 20 (4.0–48)	35 (14–68) 44 (8.9–107)	180 (81–310) 250 (100–480)	427 (195–747) 568 (225–1 070)	130 (110-160) 260 (210-310)	317 (259–381) 576 (471–691)	
	2005	48	25 (2.0-75)	51 (4.1-156)	360 (110-750)	748 (234–1 560)	450 (360-540)	925 (756-1 110)	
	2010	52	28 (2.9-83)	55 (5.6–159)	430 (150-860)	831 (289–1 650)	520 (430-610)	993 (819–1 180)	
Swaziland	2012	52 < 1	31 (3.7–86) 0.31 (0.051–0.800)	59 (7.0–164) 36 (5.9–93)	450 (160-880) 3.4 (1.0-7.3)	857 (305-1 680) 397 (116-847)	530 (430–630) 2.3 (1.4–3.4)	1 000 (827-1 190) 267 (165-394)	
	1995	< 1	0.27 (0.065-0.620)	28 (6.8-64)	3.4 (1.4-6.3)	357 (149-653)	3.2 (2.7-3.9)	337 (275-405)	
	2000	1	0.32 (<0.01-1.4)	29 (0-177)	7.4 (1.1–19)	666 (104–1 730)	13 (10–15)	1 150 (938–1 380)	
	2010 2011	1	0.46 (<0.01-2.3) 0.67 (0.015-2.5)	39 (<0.1-196) 56 (1.3-208)	9 (1.5–23) 11 (2.6–24)	751 (130–1 900) 870 (213–1 970)	15 (13–18) 16 (13–19)	1 290 (1 060-1 530) 1 320 (1 090-1 570)	
-	2012	1	0.78 (0.031–2.7)	63 (2.5–219)	11 (2.9–25)	907 (232-2 030)	17 (14–20)	1 350 (1 110-1 610)	
logo	1990 1995	4	0.23 (0.100-0.410) 0.37 (0.160-0.670)	6.1 (2.7–11) 8.7 (3.8–16)	2.7 (1.3–4.6) 4 (2.0–6.6)	71 (34–122) 92 (46–155)	1.8 (1.5–2.1) 2.5 (2.0–3.0)	47 (39–56) 58 (48–69)	
	2000	5	0.59 (0.250-1.1)	12 (5.0-22)	5.6 (2.7–9.4) 5.9 (2.9–10)	114 (56–193) 107 (52–181)	3.5 (2.9-4.2)	72 (59–86) 77 (63–91)	
	2010	6	0.54 (0.230-0.970)	8.5 (3.7–15)	6.2 (3.0–11)	99 (47–169)	4.6 (3.8–5.5)	73 (60–87)	
	2011 2012	6 7	0.56 (0.240-1.0) 0.58 (0.250-1.0)	8.6 (3.8–15) 8.7 (3.8–15)	6.6 (3.2–11) 6.9 (3.4–12)	102 (49–174) 104 (51–176)	4.7 (3.9–5.6) 4.9 (4.0–5.8)	73 (60–87) 73 (60–87)	
Uganda	1990	18	8.7 (<0.01-48) 8.3 (<0.01-46)	50 (<0.1-273) 40 (<0.1-223)	86 (0.490-370) 89 (0.760-370)	492 (2.8-2 140)	110 (57–180)	624 (328-1 010) 542 (297-860)	
	2000	24	8.5 (0.055–37)	35 (0.23–151)	92 (6.1–290)	380 (25–1 200)	100 (63–150)	427 (259–636)	
	2005	29 34	7.6 (0.660-23) 5.5 (1.0-14)	26 (2.3-79) 16 (3.0-40)	88 (22–200) 70 (27–130)	305 (76-689) 207 (80-392)	87 (63-120) 71 (57-86)	209 (169-253)	
	2011	35 36	5.1 (0.960-13)	15 (2.7-36)	68 (26-130) 64 (24-120)	192 (74–366) 175 (67–334)	68 (55-82) 65 (53-79)	193 (156–234) 179 (145–216)	
United Republic	1990	25	9.9 (3.8–19)	39 (15–74)	94 (47–160)	368 (185–611)	58 (49-67)	226 (193–261)	
of Tanzania	1995 2000	30 34	6.4 (2.2–13) 5.8 (1.9–12)	21 (7.3–43) 17 (5.6–35)	76 (37–130) 80 (38–140)	254 (123–430) 234 (113–399)	68 (58–78) 80 (70–91)	226 (193–261) 236 (207–268)	
	2005	39	5.7 (2.7-9.8)	15 (6.9-25)	82 (43-130)	211 (111-341)	83 (76-89)	213 (197-229)	
	2010	46	6.1 (3.3–9.8)	13 (7.1–21)	85 (45–140)	183 (97–295)	78 (74–83)	169 (159–180)	
Zambia	2012 1990	48	6.1 (3.2–9.9) 4.9 (1.5–10)	13 (6.8–21) 63 (19–132)	84 (45–140) 52 (24–91)	176 (95–283) 665 (308–1 160)	79 (74–84) 56 (49–63)	165 (154–175) 710 (624–801)	
	1995	9	3.9 (1.1–8.3)	44 (13–93)	53 (26-90)	605 (299–1 020)	70 (64–76)	788 (719–861)	
	2000 2005	11	3.1 (0.940–6.5) 2.2 (0.450–5.4)	31 (9.4-64) 19 (3.9-47)	53 (26–89) 47 (20–83)	524 (256-885) 406 (177-727)	12 (67-77) 65 (59-71)	/13 (001-/6/) 566 (519-615)	
	2010	13 14	3.2 (0.970-6.8) 3.4 (1.1-7 0)	24 (7.4–51) 25 (8.0–52)	51 (25-87) 52 (25-87)	387 (186-659) 379 (185-640)	61 (55–67) 61 (55–67)	462 (418-509) 444 (401-489)	
	2012	14	3.9 (1.4–7.7)	28 (9.8–55)	55 (28-90)	388 (197–642)	60 (54–66)	427 (385–470)	
∠imbabwe	1990 1995	10 12	3.5 (0.068-13) 1.9 (0-15)	33 (0.65–128) 16 (0–125)	34 (2.4–110) 34 (0.860–130)	323 (23-1 000) 295 (7.4-1 090)	31 (17–50) 56 (39–77)	296 (159–476) 483 (335–658)	
	2000	13	2.1 (0-16)	17 (0-129)	49 (3.9–150)	389 (31-1 180)	91 (72-110)	726 (573-897)	
	2005	13	4 (0.037–17)	31 (0.28–129)	57 (9.1–150)	473 (33-1 320) 438 (70-1 140)	83 (64–100)	633 (489–795)	
	2011 2012	13 14	4.6 (0.140-17) 4.6 (0.160-16)	35 (1.1-125) 33 (1.2-117)	61 (12–150) 59 (13–140)	458 (93-1 110) 433 (92-1 030)	81 (62–100) 77 (60–97)	603 (466-757) 562 (434-706)	

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	, notification and	case detection rates,	all forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	------------	-----------

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	AND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION		
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT		
Algeria	1990 1995	26 29	17 (13–22) 20 (15–26)	66 (48–86) 68 (50–89)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (0-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	11 607 13 507	44 46	67 (52–92) 68 (52–92)		
	2000 2005	32 34	28 (20–36) 31 (23–41)	87 (64–114) 93 (68–121)	0.025 (0.018-0.032) 0.057 (0.042-0.074)	<0.1 (<0.1–0.10) 0.2 (0.12–0.22)	18 572 21 336	59 63	67 (52–92) 68 (52–92)		
	2010	37 38	33 (24–44) 34 (25–44)	90 (66–118) 90 (66–117)	0.08 (0.059-0.10) 0.085 (0.062-0.11)	0.2 (0.16-0.28) 0.2 (0.16-0.29)	22 336 21 429	60 57	67 (51–91) 63 (48–87)		
Angola	1990	38	34 (25–45) 21 (13–31) 27 (20, 20)	89 (65–117) 205 (127–303)	0.086 (0.063-0.11)	0.2 (0.16-0.29)	10 271	57 99	64 (49–87) 48 (33–78) 49 (40 90)		
	2000	12	27 (22–33) 35 (28–42)	226 (185–272) 250 (204–300)	1.3 (1.1–1.5) 2.5 (2.0–3.0)	11 (8.7–13) 18 (14–21)	5 143 16 062	42	19 (16–23) 46 (38–56)		
	2005	20	46 (37–54) 59 (50–69)	276 (227–329) 304 (256–355) 210 (261–262)	4 (3.3–4.8) 5.3 (4.5–6.2) 5.2 (4.5–6.2)	24 (20-29) 27 (23-32) 26 (22 - 31)	44 655	225	75 (64–89) 76 (65 00)		
Banin	2011	20	66 (55–77) 66 (55–77)	316 (266–369) 109 (106–152)	5.5 (4.5-6.2) 5.5 (4.7-6.5)	20 (22-31) 27 (22-31)	51 819	234 249	76 (65–90) 79 (67–94)		
Denin	1995	6	6 (4.9–7.1) 6 (4.9–7.1)	100 (82–118)	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	31 (26–37)	2 400	40	40 (34–49) 45 (38–55)		
	2005	8	6 (5.0-7.2) 6 5 (5.4-7.8)	74 (61–88)	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	15 (12–18)	3 270	40	54 (46–66) 57 (48–69)		
	2011 2012	10 10	6.8 (5.6–8.1) 7 (5.8–8.3)	70 (58–83) 70 (58–83)	1 (0.84–1.2) 1 (0.84–1.2)	10 (8.6–12) 10 (8.3–12)	4 212 3 966	43 39	62 (52-75) 57 (48-68)		
Botswana	1990 1995	1 2	7.4 (2.9–14) 14 (8.8–19)	533 (212-997) 855 (553-1 220)	1.5 (0.58–2.7) 7.2 (4.7–10)	105 (42-196) 456 (295-651)	2 938 5 665	212 358	40 (21-100) 42 (29-65)		
	2000 2005	2 2	16 (13–20) 14 (13–15)	918 (736-1 120) 733 (667-802)	11 (9.0–14) 9.3 (8.4–10)	638 (511–777) 494 (449–541)	9 292 10 058	529 536	58 (47-72) 73 (67-80)		
	2010 2011	2	9.9 (8.8–11) 9 (8.1–10)	503 (449–560) 455 (406–507)	6.3 (5.6–7.0) 5.7 (5.1–6.3)	321 (286–357) 285 (254–317)	7 013 6 603	356 332	71 (64–79) 73 (66–82)		
Burkina Faso	2012 1990	2 9	8.2 (7.3–9.1) 7.6 (6.5–8.9)	408 (364–454) 87 (73–101)	5.1 (4.5–5.6) 2.9 (2.5–3.4)	253 (226–281) 33 (28–38)	6 161 1 497	307 17	75 (68–84) 20 (17–23)		
	1995 2000	10 12	8.3 (7.0–9.6) 8.2 (7.0–9.6)	82 (70–95) 71 (60–83)	3.3 (2.8–3.8) 2.8 (2.4–3.3)	32 (27–37) 25 (21–29)	2 572 2 331	25 20	31 (27–37) 28 (24–33)		
	2005	13	8.4 (7.1–9.8) 9 (7.6–10)	62 (53-73) 58 (49-67)	2.3 (2.0–2.7) 1.8 (1.5–2.1)	17 (15–20) 11 (9.7–13)	3 478	26	41 (36-49) 54 (46-63)		
Rumundi	2011	16	9.1 (7.7–11) 9 (7.6–10)	57 (48-66) 54 (46-63)	1.7 (1.4–1.9) 1.6 (1.3–1.8)	9.5 (8.0–11)	5 286	33	58 (50-68) 58 (50-69)		
Burunui	1990	6	9.1 (8.0–10) 20 (18–23) 19 (17, 22)	321 (283–362)	6.7 (5.9–7.5)	107 (94–121)	3 326	62 54	17 (15–19)		
	2000	8	15 (17-22) 15 (14-17) 13 (12-15)	198 (174–223) 144 (127–163)	4.8 (4.3-5.5)	62 (55–70) 33 (29–37)	6 585	85	43 (38–49) 57 (51–65)		
	2010	10 10	13 (12–15) 13 (11–14)	139 (122–157) 130 (114–147)	2.8 (2.4–3.1)	29 (26–33) 25 (22–28)	6 742	71	51 (45–58) 54 (48–62)		
Cameroon	1990 1995	12	14 (11–16) 29 (24–34)	112 (92–133) 206 (170–246)	0.71 (0.58–0.84) 5.5 (4.6–6.6)	5.8 (4.8–7.0) 40 (33–47)	5 892	49	44 (37–53) 11 (9.6–14)		
	2000 2005	16 18	49 (41–59) 57 (47–68)	310 (255–369) 312 (258–372)	17 (14–20) 22 (18–26)	105 (87–126) 121 (100–145)	5 251 21 499	33 119	11 (8.9–13) 38 (32–46)		
	2010 2011	21 21	56 (47-67) 51 (42-61)	274 (226–327) 243 (200–290)	21 (18–25) 19 (16–23)	103 (85-123) 91 (75-109)	24 073 24 533	117 116	43 (36–52) 48 (40–58)		
Cape Verde	2012 1990	22 < 1	52 (43-61) 0.62 (0.380-0.910)	238 (197–283) 175 (108–259)	19 (16-23) 0.039 (0.024-0.058)	88 (73-104) 11 (6.8-16)	24 802 221	114 63	48 (40–58) 36 (24–58)		
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.67 (0.550-0.800) 0.71 (0.580-0.850)	168 (137–201) 160 (131–193)	0.057 (0.046-0.069) 0.071 (0.057-0.085)	14 (12–17) 16 (13–19)	303	76	45 (38–55)		
	2005	<1	0.73 (0.600-0.880) 0.71 (0.590-0.850)	153 (125–184) 147 (121–175)	0.074 (0.060-0.089) 0.067 (0.055-0.081)	15 (12–19) 14 (11–17)	292 356	61 73	40 (33-49) 50 (42-60)		
Control African	2011 2012	<1	0.71 (0.590-0.850) 0.71 (0.590-0.850)	145 (120–173) 144 (119–172)	0.068 (0.055-0.081) 0.071 (0.058-0.086)	14 (11–17) 14 (12–17)	380 420	77 85 72	53 (45-65) 59 (49-72)		
Republic	1990	3	25 (21–50) 39 (32–47) 39 (32–46)	1 200 (988–1 430)	18 (15–21) 18 (15–21)	549 (453–654)	3 339	102	8.5 (7.1–10)		
	2005	4	27 (23-33)	690 (569-822) 433 (357-515)	11 (9.4–14) 6.3 (5.2–7.5)	287 (237–342)	3 210	81	12 (9.9–14) 35 (30–43)		
	2011 2012	4 5	18 (15–21) 17 (14–20)	400 (330–477) 367 (302–438)	5.8 (4.8–6.9) 5.3 (4.4–6.4)	131 (108–156) 118 (97–141)	5 611 8 084	126 179	32 (27–38) 49 (41–59)		
Chad	1990 1995	6 7	5.6 (4.7–6.7) 9 (7.4–11)	95 (78–112) 128 (106–153)	0.69 (0.57-0.83) 1.8 (1.5-2.2)	12 (9.7–14) 26 (22–31)	2 591 3 186	44 46	46 (39–56) 36 (30–43)		
	2000 2005	8 10	13 (10–15) 15 (12–18)	151 (125–180) 150 (124–178)	3.1 (2.5–3.6) 3.7 (3.1–4.4)	37 (31-44) 37 (31-44)	6 311	63	42 (35–51)		
	2010 2011	12 12	18 (15–21) 18 (15–22)	151 (125–179) 151 (125–179)	3.6 (2.9-4.2) 3.8 (3.2-4.6)	30 (25–36) 32 (26–38)	9 452 10 505	81 87	53 (45–65) 58 (48–70)		
Comoros	2012 1990	< 1	19 (16–22) 0.22 (0.180–0.270)	151 (125–180) 54 (44–64)	4.1 (3.4-4.8)	33 (27–39)	10 585	85 34	56 (47–68) 63 (53–76)		
	1995 2000	<1	0.21 (0.180-0.250) 0.21 (0.170-0.250)	46 (38–55) 39 (32–46)			123 120	26 23	58 (48-70) 58 (49-71)		
	2005	<1	0.22 (0.180-0.260)	36 (30-43) 33 (28-40) 24 (28-41)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.13)	117	17	51 (43-62)		
Congo	2012	<1	0.24 (0.200-0.230) 0.25 (0.200-0.290) 4 (2.5-5.9)	<u>34 (28–41)</u> <u>34 (28–41)</u> 169 (104–250)	0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	1.4 (1.2–1.7) 40 (24–58)	120	17	49 (41-59) 49 (41-59) 15 (9 9-24)		
Congo	1995 2000	3	6.7 (5.4–8.0) 11 (9.3–13)	245 (200–294) 353 (298–412)	2.1 (1.7–2.5) 3.2 (2.7–3.7)	76 (62–91) 102 (86–119)	3 615 9 239	133 296	54 (45–66) 84 (72–99)		
	2005	4	15 (12–18) 16 (13–19)	425 (347–510) 391 (320–470)	3.8 (3.1–4.6) 3.4 (2.8–4.1)	108 (88–130) 83 (68–100)	9 853 10 150	278 247	66 (55–80) 63 (53–77)		
	2011 2012	4	16 (13–20) 17 (14–20)	387 (317–465) 381 (311–458)	3.5 (2.8–4.2) 3.6 (2.9–4.3)	82 (67–98) 83 (68–100)	10 975 11 303	260 261	67 (56-82) 68 (57-84)		
Côte d'Ivoire	1990 1995	12 14	29 (25–33) 54 (47–62)	238 (206–272) 379 (329–433)	8.3 (7.2–9.5) 21 (19–24)	68 (59–78) 151 (131–172)	7 841 11 988	65 84	27 (24–31) 22 (19–26)		
	2000 2005	16 17	60 (52–68) 46 (40–53)	369 (320–422) 267 (232–306)	25 (22–28) 17 (15–19)	154 (134–177) 98 (85–112)	15 094 19 681	94 113	25 (22–29) 42 (37–49)		
	2010 2011	19 19	36 (31–41) 37 (32–42)	190 (165–217) 191 (165–218)	9.4 (8.2–11) 9.5 (8.3–11)	50 (43–57) 49 (43–56)	22 708 22 476	120 116	63 (55–73) 61 (53–70)		
Democratic	2012 1990	20 35	34 (30–39) 110 (92–140)	172 (149–198) 327 (262–398)	8.8 (7.6–10) 8.1 (6.5–9.9)	44 (38–51) 23 (19–28)	23 762	120 61	69 (61-81) 19 (15-23)		
of the Congo	1995 2000	42 47	140 (110–160) 150 (130–180) 180 (150–200)	327 (268–392) 327 (273–385) 227 (270–270)	12 (9.7–14) 14 (12–17) 16 (14, 10)	28 (23-34) 30 (25-35) 20 (25 - 34)	42 819 61 024	102 130 180	31 (26–38) 40 (34–48) 55 (47, 64)		
	2005	62 64	200 (180-230)	327 (279-379) 327 (282-375) 327 (282-375)	16 (14–19) 16 (14–19) 17 (14–19)	26 (23–30) 26 (22–30)	114 170	184	55 (47-64) 56 (49-65) 53 (46-61)		
Equatorial	2012	66 < 1	210 (190-250) 0.3 (0.260-0.340)	327 (282–375) 80 (70–91)	16 (14–19) 0.014 (0.012–0.016)	25 (22-29)	108 984	166	51 (44–59) 87 (77–99)		
Guinea	1995 2000	<1	0.35 (0.310-0.400) 0.52 (0.460-0.590)	80 (70–90) 101 (88–114)	0.028 (0.024-0.032) 0.062 (0.054-0.071)	6.3 (5.5–7.1) 12 (11–14)	306	69	87 (77–99)		
	2005	<1	0.66 (0.580-0.750)	110 (96–124) 135 (119–153)	0.11 (0.095-0.12) 0.18 (0.16-0.21)	18 (16–20) 26 (23–30)	820	118	87 (77–99)		
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	1 (0.890-1.1) 1 (0.900-1.2)	142 (124–161) 139 (122–158)	0.2 (0.18-0.23) 0.2 (0.17-0.23)	29 (25–32) 27 (24–31)	883	123	87 (77–99)		
Eritrea	1990 1995	3	8 (5.3–11) 6.7 (4.5–9.5)	243 (162–341) 198 (132–278)	0.15 (0.098-0.21) 0.4 (0.27-0.56)	4.5 (3.0–6.3) 12 (7.8–16)	3 699 21 453	113 630	46 (33–70) 320 (230–480)		
	2000 2005	4 5	6.2 (4.1-8.7) 5.9 (3.9-8.2)	157 (104–220) 121 (80–169)	0.97 (0.64-1.4) 1.1 (0.72-1.5)	25 (16-34) 22 (15-31)	6 652 3 585	169 74	110 (77–160) 61 (44–92)		
	2010 2011	6	5.8 (3.8–8.1) 5.7 (3.8–8.1) 5.7 (3.8–8.0)	100 (67–140) 97 (64–136)	0.8 (0.53-1.1) 0.79 (0.53-1.1) 0.72 (0.40 1.0)	14 (9.3–20) 13 (8.9–19) 12 (7.0–17)	2 870 3 049	50 51	50 (36–75) 53 (38–80) 55 (20–22)		

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990	-2012
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

			INCIDENCE (II	NCLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Ethiopia	1990	48	180 (100-270)	367 (218-553)	11 (6.3–16)	22 (13-33)	88 634	184	50 (33-85)
	1995 2000	57 66	240 (140–360) 280 (170–420)	419 (249–633) 421 (251–636)	36 (22–55) 61 (36–92)	64 (38–97) 93 (55–140)	26 034 91 101	46 138	11 (7.2–18) 33 (22–55)
	2005	76 87	260 (150-390) 230 (170-310)	342 (203-516) 269 (191-359)	54 (32-81) 30 (21-40)	71 (42-107) 35 (25-46)	124 262 154 694	163 178	48 (32-80) 66 (49-93)
	2011	89	230 (170-300) 230 (170-290)	258 (191-335) 247 (183-321)	27 (20-35) 23 (17-30)	30 (22–39) 25 (19–33)	156 539 145 323	175	68 (52-91) 64 (49-87)
Gabon	1990	< 1	2.1 (1.7–2.5)	221 (182–263)	0.12 (0.096-0.14)	12 (10-15)	917	97	44 (37–53)
	2000	1	6.5 (5.3–7.7)	527 (435–627)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	44 (36–52) 132 (108–157)	1115	103	33 (28–40)
	2005	2	8.1 (6.7–9.6) 7.4 (6.1–8.8)	586 (484–698) 475 (392–566)	2.5 (2.0-2.9) 2.1 (1.7-2.5)	178 (146-212) 136 (112-162)	2 512 3 790	182 244	31 (26–38) 51 (43–62)
	2011 2012	2	7.2 (5.9–8.6) 7 (5.8–8.3)	450 (372-536) 428 (354-510)	2 (1.6-2.4) 1.9 (1.5-2.2)	125 (103-149) 115 (95-137)	4 404 4 929	276 302	61 (52–74) 71 (59–85)
Gambia	1990	<1	1.7 (1.0-2.5)	185 (114-273)	0.023 (0.014-0.033)	2.5 (1.5-3.6)	1 023	96	47 (39-57)
	2000	į	2.8 (2.3–3.3)	225 (184-271)	0.2 (0.16-0.24)	16 (13–19)	1 553	126	56 (47–69)
	2005	2	4.6 (3.8–5.5)	273 (226–325)	0.47 (0.38-0.56)	47 (39–56)	1 989	141	43 (36–52)
	2011 2012	2	4.8 (4.0-5.7) 5.1 (4.2-6.0)	279 (230–331) 284 (234–337)	0.77 (0.64-0.91) 0.76 (0.63-0.90)	44 (37–53) 42 (35–50)	2 302 2 333	133 130	48 (40–58) 46 (39–56)
Ghana	1990 1995	15 17	23 (10-40) 28 (16-44)	155 (69–275) 167 (93–263)	1.7 (0.74–2.9) 4.2 (2.3–6.6)	11 (5.0-20) 25 (14-39)	6 407 8 636	44 52	28 (16-63) 31 (20-55)
	2000	19	29 (18-41)	152 (97-220)	5.9 (3.8-8.6)	31 (20-46)	10 933	58	38 (26-60)
	2005	24	21 (18-24)	86 (75-97)	3.7 (3.2-4.2)	15 (13–17)	14 607	60	70 (62–80)
	2011 2012	25 25	20 (17-22) 18 (16-21)	79 (69–89) 72 (63–82)	3.3 (2.9–3.7) 2.8 (2.4–3.1)	13 (12–15) 11 (9.6–12)	15 389 14 753	62 58	79 (70–90) 81 (71–92)
Guinea	1990 1995	6 8	15 (12–18) 20 (16–23)	248 (204–295) 249 (205–297)	1.1 (0.90-1.3) 2.8 (2.3-3.3)	18 (15–22) 35 (29–42)	1 988 3 523	33 45	13 (11–16) 18 (15–22)
	2000	9	20 (17-24)	234 (193–279)	4.1 (3.3-4.8)	46 (38-55)	5 440 6 863	62 72	27 (22–32) 34 (29–41)
	2010	11	20 (17-24)	188 (155-224)	3.8 (3.2–4.6)	35 (29-42)	11 038	101	54 (45-66)
<u></u>	2011	11	20 (17-24) 20 (17-24)	178 (146-213)	3.7 (3.1–4.5) 3.7 (3.0–4.4)	32 (27-39)	11 407	102	55 (47-67) 56 (47-68)
Guinea-Bissau	1990 1995	1	1.6 (1.1–2.2) 2 (1.6–2.4)	158 (108–217) 174 (142–209)	0.08 (0.054-0.11) 0.23 (0.19-0.27)	7.8 (5.3–11) 20 (16–24)	1 163 1 613	114 142	73 (53–110) 81 (68–100)
	2000 2005	1	2.4 (2.0-2.9) 3 (2.5-3.6)	192 (157–230) 211 (173–254)	0.55 (0.45-0.66) 1 (0.84-1.2)	43 (35–52) 73 (59–87)	1 273 1 774	100 125	52 (43-64) 59 (49-72)
	2010	2	3.7 (3.0-4.4)	233 (192–278) 238 (196–283)	1.3 (1.1–1.5) 1.4 (1.2–1.7)	81 (67–97) 88 (73–105)	2 183 2 063	138 127	59 (50-72) 53 (45-65)
Konvo	2012	2	4 (3.3–4.8)	242 (200-289)	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	94 (77-112)	1 939	117	48 (40-58)
кепуа	1990	23	46 (43-50)	169 (121–159)	19 (18-21)	70 (64–76)	28 142	103	61 (56–66)
	2000 2005	31 36	89 (84–95) 130 (120–140)	286 (267–305) 359 (339–380)	47 (44-50) 64 (60-67)	151 (141–161) 177 (168–188)	64 159 102 680	205 287	72 (67–77) 80 (76–85)
	2010 2011	41 42	120 (120-130) 120 (120-130)	298 (286-311) 288 (276-300)	51 (49-53) 49 (47-51)	124 (119–129) 116 (111–121)	99 272 97 320	243 232	81 (78–85) 80 (77–84)
Lesotho	2012	43	120 (110-120)	272 (261-283)	45 (44-47) 0.083 (0.061-0.11)	105 (101-109)	92 987	215 158	79 (76-83) 86 (66-120)
	1995	2	5.7 (5.0-6.4)	323 (283–367)	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	125 (109–142)	5 181	295	91 (81–100) 95 (84, 110)
	2005	2	12 (10-14)	639 (535–752)	10 (8.3–12)	517 (433-608)	10 802	561	88 (75–100)
	2010	2	13 (11–14) 13 (11–15)	632 (551–717)	9.7 (8.5–11) 9.5 (8.3–11)	483 (422–549) 467 (408–531)	11 561	570	92 (81-110) 90 (79-100)
Liberia	2012 1990	2	13 (11–15) 4.2 (2.6–6.2)	630 (550–716) 199 (123–293)	9.9 (8.7–11) 0.095 (0.058–0.14)	485 (423-550) 4.5 (2.8-6.7)	10 776	525	83 (73–95)
	1995 2000	2	4.6 (3.7–5.5) 7 (5.7–8.4)	219 (179–263) 242 (197–290)	0.31 (0.25-0.38) 0.8 (0.65-0.98)	15 (12–18) 28 (22–34)	1 393 1 500	67 52	31 (25–37) 21 (18–26)
	2005	3	8.7 (7.1–10)	266 (218-320)	1 (0.83-1.3)	32 (25-38)	3 432	105	39 (33–48) 57 (48–69)
	2011	4	12 (10-15)	299 (247-356)	0.83 (0.67–1.0)	20 (16-25)	7 906	194	65 (54–79) 64 (52–77)
Madagascar	1990	12	45 (37–54)	391 (322–466)	0.34 (0.28-0.40)	2.9 (2.4–3.5)	6 261	54	14 (12–17)
	1995 2000	13	45 (37–54) 46 (38–55)	293 (241–349)	0.55 (0.46-0.66) 0.73 (0.60-0.87)	4.1 (3.4–4.9) 4.6 (3.8–5.5)	21 616	161	48 (40-58)
	2005	18 21	48 (39–57) 51 (42–61)	262 (216–313) 242 (199–288)	0.77 (0.64-0.92) 0.7 (0.57-0.83)	4.2 (3.5-5.0) 3.3 (2.7-3.9)	18 993 24 432	104 116	40 (33-48) 48 (40-58)
	2011 2012	22 22	52 (43-62) 52 (43-62)	238 (196-284) 234 (193-280)	0.67 (0.55-0.80) 0.64 (0.53-0.77)	3.1 (2.6-3.7) 2.9 (2.4-3.4)	26 019 25 782	120 116	50 (42-61) 49 (41-60)
Malawi	1990 1995	9 10	31 (22–41) 46 (38–55)	326 (230-438) 462 (383-548)	13 (9.5–18) 29 (24–34)	143 (101-192) 291 (241-345)	12 395 19 155	131	40 (30–57) 42 (35–50)
	2000	11	53 (44-63)	467 (387-554)	37 (31–44)	329 (273–391)	23 604	208	45 (38–54)
	2005	15	33 (31–35)	219 (203–236)	21 (20-23)	142 (132–153)	21 092	140	64 (59-69)
	2011 2012	15 16	30 (27–32) 26 (24–28)	191 (177–206) 163 (151–176)	19 (18–21) 16 (15–17)	125 (116–135) 100 (93–108)	19 361 20 335	125 128	66 (61-71) 78 (73-85)
Mali	1990 1995	8 9	6 (5.8–6.3) 7.2 (6.9–7.6)	76 (72–80) 80 (76–84)	0.59 (0.56-0.62) 1.2 (1.2-1.3)	7.4 (7.0–7.8) 14 (13–14)	2 933 3 087	37 34	49 (46–51) 43 (41–45)
	2000 2005	10 12	7.9 (7.6–8.3) 8.3 (7.9–8.7)	77 (74–81) 69 (66–73)	1.6 (1.6-1.7) 1.6 (1.5-1.7)	16 (15–17) 13 (13–14)	4 216 4 704	41 39	53 (51-56) 57 (54-60)
	2010	14	8.8 (8.4–9.2) 8.9 (8.5–9.3)	63 (60–66) 62 (59–65)	1.3 (1.3–1.4) 1.3 (1.2–1.4)	9.4 (9.0-9.9)	5 291 5 428	38	60 (57–63) 61 (58–64)
Mouritonio	2012	15	9 (8.5–9.4)	60 (57–63)	1.2 (1.2–1.3)	8.2 (7.8-8.6)	5 446	37	61 (58–64)
IVIAUITIAITIIA	1990	2	4.6 (2.8–6.8) 5.9 (4.8–7.0)	251 (205–302)	0.05 (0.031-0.074) 0.1 (0.083-0.12)	4.4 (3.6–5.2)	3 849	165	66 (55–80)
	2000	3	7.5 (6.1–9.0) 9.6 (7.9–12)	277 (226–333) 305 (250–367)	0.17 (0.14-0.20) 0.27 (0.22-0.32)	6.3 (5.1–7.5) 8.4 (6.9–10)	3 067 2 162	113 69	41 (34–50) 22 (19–28)
	2010 2011	4	12 (10-15) 13 (10-15)	337 (277-402) 344 (283-410)	0.44 (0.36-0.53) 0.5 (0.41-0.60)	12 (10-15) 14 (11-16)	2 461 1 804	68 49	20 (17-25) 14 (12-17)
Mauritius	2012	4	13 (11–16) 0.29 (0.180–0.430)	350 (288-418) 28 (17-41)	0.57 (0.47-0.68)	15 (12-18)	2 616	69 11	20 (16-24)
	1995	1	0.29 (0.240-0.350)	26 (21-31)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0.24-0.35)	131	12	45 (37–55)
	2000	1	0.28 (0.230-0.330)	23 (19–28)	0.017 (0.014-0.021)	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	125	10	45 (37–55)
	2010 2011	1 1	0.27 (0.220-0.320) 0.26 (0.220-0.310)	22 (18–26) 21 (18–25)	0.015 (0.013-0.020)	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	122	9.9	46 (39-56) 43 (36-53)
Mozambique	2012 1990	1 14	0.26 (0.210-0.310) 54 (8.5-140)	21 (17-25) 401 (62-1 050)	0.014 (0.011-0.017) 0.84 (0.13-2.2)	1.1 (0.92-1.3) 6.2 (0.97-16)	128 15 899	10 117	49 (41-60) 29 (11-190)
	1995 2000	16 18	76 (24–160) 94 (41–170)	478 (153–985) 513 (227–914)	15 (4.8–31) 41 (18–74)	94 (30–194) 227 (100–404)	17 882 21 158	112 116	23 (11–73) 23 (13–51)
	2005	21	110 (63–170) 130 (90–180)	524 (298-811) 544 (377-741)	61 (35–94) 78 (54–110)	290 (165-449)	33 231 43 558	158	30 (20–53) 33 (25–48)
	2011	25 25	130 (93–180) 140 (96–190)	548 (380-747) 552 (383-753)	81 (56–110) 83 (58–110)	331 (229–451) 330 (228–450)	44 627 47 741	182 189	33 (24–48) 34 (25–50)
Namibia	1990	1	5.4 (4.3-6.6)	379 (300-468)	0.48 (0.38-0.59)	34 (27-41)	2 671	189	50 (40-63) 16 (13-20)
	2000 200F	2	27 (21–33)	1 410 (1 110–1 730)	15 (12-19)	798 (631–983)	10 799	569	40 (33–51)
	2010	2	19 (15-23)	867 (686–1 070)	11 (8.3–13)	483 (383-596)	11 281	518	60 (48–75)
	2011	2	15 (12–18)	655 (524-800)	7.3 (5.8–8.9)	323 (258–394)	10 003	443	68 (55–84)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	, notification an	d case detection	rates, al	l forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

-			INCIDENCE (I	NCLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HI	V-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Niger	1990	8	28 (23-33)	358 (295-426)	1.1 (0.91-1.3)	14 (12–17)	5 200	67	19 (16-23)
	1995 2000	9 11	25 (20–29) 21 (17–25)	270 (223–321) 191 (157–227)	1.7 (1.4–2.1) 2.1 (1.7–2.5)	19 (16–22) 19 (16–23)	1 980 4 701	22 43	8 (6.7–9.7) 22 (19–27)
	2005	13	19 (15-22)	142 (118-170)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	16 (14-20)	7 873	60	42 (35-51)
	2010	17	18 (15–21) 18 (15–21)	108 (90–129)	1.9 (1.6–2.3)	12 (9.8–14) 11 (9.5–14)	10 130	64	59 (49–71)
Nigeria	2012	17	18 (15-21)	104 (86-124)	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	11 (8.9-13)	10 989	64	62 (52-75)
Nigena	1995	108	150 (8.0-490)	139 (7.4–456)	16 (0.84–52)	15 (0.78-48)	13 423	12	8.9 (2.7–170)
	2000 2005	123 140	210 (15-660) 240 (33-660)	172 (12–536) 175 (23–476)	46 (3.3–140) 64 (8.6–170)	38 (2.7–118) 46 (6.2–125)	25 821 63 990	21 46	12 (3.9–170) 26 (9.6–200)
	2010	160	210 (100-360)	133 (64-225)	53 (26-91)	33 (16-57)	84 121	53	40 (23-82)
	2011	169	180 (85–310)	108 (50–204)	49 (23-85) 46 (21-80)	27 (13-47)	92 818	55	45 (28-95) 51 (29-110)
Rwanda	1990 1995	7	21 (19–23) 29 (26–32)	290 (259–323) 513 (458–571)	11 (9.5–12) 15 (13–16)	148 (132–165) 260 (232–290)	6 387 3 054	89 54	30 (27–34) 11 (9.4–12)
	2000	8	27 (24-30)	325 (290-362)	13 (12–15)	157 (140-175)	6 093	73	22 (20-25)
	2005	11	11 (10–13)	106 (94–118)	3.7 (3.3–4.1)	34 (31–38)	6 703	62	59 (53-66)
	2011	11 11	11 (9.4–12) 9.8 (8.8–11)	94 (84–105) 86 (77–96)	3.3 (3.0-3.7) 2.9 (2.6-3.2)	30 (26–33) 25 (22–28)	6 623 6 091	59 53	63 (57-71) 62 (56-69)
Sao Tome and	1990	< 1	0.16 (0.098-0.230)	135 (83–199)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01	) 2 (1.2–2.9)	17	14	11 (7.3–17)
Principe	1995 2000	< 1	0.16 (0.130-0.190) 0.16 (0.130-0.190)	124 (102–149) 114 (93–137)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01 <0.01 (<0.01-0.010	) 3.8 (3.1–4.6) ) 6.2 (5.0–7.4)	97	70	61 (51-75)
	2005	< 1	0.16 (0.140-0.190)	105 (88-123)	0.015 (0.012-0.017	9.6 (8.0-11)	136	88	84 (72-100)
	2010	< 1	0.17 (0.140-0.200)	94 (78–113)	0.018 (0.013-0.021	) 9.6 (7.9–11)	136	74	79 (66–96)
Senegal	2012	< 1	0.17 (0.140-0.210)	93 (76–111) 138 (114–164)	0.017 (0.014-0.021	) 9.2 (7.5–11) 2.2 (1.8–2.6)	4 977	61 66	66 (55-80) 48 (40-58)
gu.	1995	9	13 (11–16)	153 (126-183)	0.4 (0.33-0.48)	4.6 (3.8-5.5)	7 561	87	57 (47-69)
	2000	11	16 (13–18)	142 (117–169)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	8.1 (6.7–9.7) 11 (8.9–13)	9 765	86	61 (51–74)
	2010	13	18 (15–21) 18 (15–22)	137 (113–163) 136 (112–162)	1.5 (1.3-1.8)	12 (9.7–14) 12 (9.6–14)	11 061	85 83	63 (52-76) 61 (51-74)
	2012	14	19 (16-22)	137 (113–163)	1.7 (1.4–2.0)	12 (9.9–14)	12 265	89	65 (55-79)
Seychelles	1990 1995	< 1	0.03 (0.019-0.044) 0.03 (0.025-0.036)	43 (27–64) 40 (33–48)			41 8	59	140 (92–220) 27 (22–33)
	2000	< 1	0.029 (0.024-0.035)	37 (30-44)			20	25 16	69 (57-84) 48 (40-59)
	2010	< 1	0.028 (0.023-0.033)	31 (25–37)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01	) 1.8 (<0.1-8.0)	17	19	61 (51–74)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.028 (0.023-0.033) 0.027 (0.023-0.033)	30 (25–36) 30 (24–35)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011 <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01	) 5.8 (1.6–12) ) 2.7 (<0.1–10)	21 20	23 22	76 (64–92) 73 (61–88)
Sierra Leone	1990	4	8.4 (5.2-12)	207 (128-305)	0.011 (<0.01-0.016	6) 0.3 (0.17-0.40)	632	16	7.5 (5.1-12)
	2000	4	11 (8.1–14)	264 (196–341)	0.45 (0.33-0.58)	11 (8.0–14)	3 760	91	34 (27–46)
	2005	5	26 (21–31) 38 (31–45)	503 (410-605) 660 (540-791)	2.3 (1.9–2.7) 4.2 (3.4–5.0)	44 (36–53) 73 (60–87)	6 737 12 859	132	26 (22–32) 34 (28–41)
	2011	6	39 (32-47)	668 (542-807)	4.3 (3.5-5.2)	73 (59-88)	12 734	217	32 (27-40)
South Africa	1990	37	110 (76–150)	301 (206–413)	2.5 (1.7–3.4)	6.7 (4.6-9.2)	80 400	219	73 (53–110)
	1995 2000	41 45	130 (110–160) 260 (210–310)	317 (259–381) 576 (471–691)	25 (21-30) 140 (110-170)	61 (50-73) 311 (254-374)	73 917 151 239	178 337	56 (47-69) 59 (49-72)
	2005	48	450 (360-540)	925 (756-1 110)	300 (250-360)	622 (508-746)	270 178	560	61 (50-74)
	2010	52	500 (420–600) 520 (430–610)	993 (819–1 170) 993 (819–1 180)	330 (270–390) 330 (270–390)	635 (524-756)	362 453	698	70 (59–85) 70 (59–85)
Swaziland	2012	52 < 1	530 (430-630) 2.3 (1.4-3.4)	1 000 (827-1 190) 267 (165-394)	330 (270-390) 0.38 (0.23-0.56)	631 (521-752) 44 (27-64)	323 664	618	62 (52-75)
	1995	< 1	3.2 (2.7-3.9)	337 (275-405)	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	161 (132–194)	2 050	213	63 (53-77)
	2000	1	13 (10–15)	1 150 (938–1 380)	11 (8.7–13)	962 (787-1 150)	8 705	788	69 (57-84)
	2010 2011	1	15 (13–18) 16 (13–19)	1 290 (1 060-1 530) 1 320 (1 090-1 570)	13 (11–15) 12 (10–15)	1 070 (882-1 270) 1 020 (844-1 220)	10 101 8 337	847 688	66 (55-80) 52 (44-63)
Taga	2012	1	17 (14-20)	1 350 (1 110-1 610)	13 (11-15)	1 040 (856-1 240)	7 165	582	43 (36-52)
logo	1990	4	2.5 (2.0–3.0)	47 (39-56) 58 (48-69)	0.18 (0.15-0.21) 0.44 (0.37-0.53)	4.7 (3.8-5.6) 10 (8.5-12)	1 520	35	61 (51–74)
	2000	5	3.5 (2.9-4.2) 4.2 (3.5-5.1)	72 (59-86) 77 (63-91)	0.89 (0.73-1.1)	18 (15–22) 22 (18–26)	1 409 2 541	29 46	40 (34–49) 60 (50–73)
	2010	6	4.6 (3.8–5.5)	73 (60–87)	1.2 (0.99–1.4)	19 (16–23)	2 791	44	61 (51-74)
	2011	7	4.9 (4.0-5.8)	73 (60–87)	1.2 (0.97–1.4)	18 (15-22) 18 (15-21)	2 888	45	59 (49–71)
Uganda	1990 1995	18 21	110 (57–180) 110 (62–180)	624 (328-1 010) 542 (297-860)	79 (41–130) 81 (44–130)	449 (236–729) 390 (214–619)	14 740 25 316	84 122	13 (8.3–26) 23 (14–41)
	2000	24	100 (63-150)	427 (259–636)	68 (41-100)	280 (170-417)	30 372	125	29 (20-48)
	2005	34	71 (57–86)	209 (169-253)	38 (31-46)	113 (91–137)	41 040	143	60 (50-75)
	2011	35 36	68 (55-82) 65 (53-79)	193 (156-234) 179 (145-216)	36 (29-44) 35 (28-42)	102 (83–124) 95 (77–115)	46 306 44 663	132	68 (56-84) 69 (57-85)
United Republic	1990	25	58 (49-67)	226 (193-261)	14 (12–16)	56 (47-64)	22 249	87	39 (33–45)
ur ranzania	2000	30 34	80 (70–91)	226 (193–261) 236 (207–268)	31 (26–36) 41 (36–46)	103 (88–119) 121 (106–137)	39 847 54 442	133	59 (51-69) 68 (60-77)
	2005	39	83 (76–89) 80 (75–85)	213 (197-229)	40 (37-43)	104 (96-112) 72 (68-77)	61 022	157	74 (69-80)
	2011	46	78 (74–83)	169 (159–180)	31 (29–33)	68 (63–72)	59 357	128	76 (71–81)
Zambia	2012	48	/9 (/4-84) 56 (49-63)	165 (154–175) 710 (624–801)	32 (30-34) 35 (31-40)	68 (64-72) 449 (395-507)	62 178 16 863	130 215	/9 (/4-84) 30 (27-34)
	1995	9	70 (64-76)	788 (719-861)	49 (45-54)	559 (510-611) 513 (475-551)	35 958	407	52 (47-57) 69 (64-75)
	2005	11	65 (59–71)	566 (519-615)	47 (43-51)	409 (375-445)	49 576	432	76 (70–83)
	2010 2011	13 14	61 (55–67) 61 (55–67)	462 (418-509) 444 (401-489)	39 (35–43) 38 (34–42)	292 (264-322) 277 (250-305)	44 154 43 583	334 320	72 (66–80) 72 (65–80)
Zimbabwe	2012	14	60 (54-66)	427 (385-470)	35 (32-39)	251 (226-276)	40 726	289	68 (62-75)
ZillibabWe	1990	12	56 (39-77)	483 (335-658)	46 (32-62)	392 (272-535)	30 831	265	55 (40-79)
	2000 2005	13 13	91 (72–110) 100 (81–130)	726 (573–897) 799 (634–984)	79 (62–97) 83 (66–100)	629 (496-777) 657 (521-809)	50 855 50 454	407 397	56 (45-71) 50 (40-63)
	2010	13	83 (64-100)	633 (489-795)	63 (48-79)	480 (371-603)	44 209	338	53 (43-69)
	2011 2012	13 14	81 (62-100) 77 (60-97)	562 (400-757)	58 (45-73) 55 (42-69)	433 (335–543) 399 (308–501)	38 404 35 760	287	48 (38–62) 46 (37–60)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

#### TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW CASES											% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Algeria	~~~.	1990 1995 2000	11 607 13 507 18 572	5 735	2 256	5 065 7 758		451 467	80	451 547		- 72 80
	$\sim$	2005	21 336	8 654	1 651	10 216	267	548	165	713	0	84
	•44 57•	2010	21 429 21 880	7 790	1 753	11 444 12 294	0	442	168 202	610 576	0	82 82
Angola	Λ.	1990 1995	10 271 5 143	3 804	1 631	266		134		134		70
		2000 2005	16 062 37 175	9 053 20 410	5 367 12 467	1 102 2 569		540 1 729	1 142	540 2 871		63 62
	$\sim$	2010 2011	44 655 47 240	21 146 21 703	17 285 18 380	3 780 4 399	0	2 444 2 758	5 332 1 686	7 776 4 444	0	55 54
Benin	• 99 249 •	2012	51 819 2 074	21 124 1 410	23 056 310	4 776	0	2 863 172	1 607 49	4 470 221		48 82
	$\land$	1995 2000	2 400 2 697	1 839 2 277	281 130	212 199		68 91	189	68 280		87 95
		2005	3 270 3 756	2 739 2 973	96 296	285 367	0	150 120	187 85	337 205	0	97 91
	•41 39•	2011 2012	4 212 3 966	3 331 3 171	329 305	398 316	0 0	154 174	108 109	262 283	0	91 91
Botswana	$\sim$	1990 1995	2 938 5 665	1 903	2 885	720		147		147		40
		2000 2005	9 292 10 058	3 091 3 170	4 789 5 166	1 231 1 220		181 502	1 058 46	1 239 548		39 38
	$\sim$	2010 2011	7 013 6 603	3 295 2 669	2 055 1 983	1 210 1 213	0	453 738	619 130	1 072 868	0	62 57
Burkina Faso	• 212 307 •	2012	6 161 1 497	2 426	2 208	1 151	0	376	62	438	0	52
	,	1995 2000	2 572 2 331	1 028 1 545	195 196	195 502		45 88	90	45 178	0	84 89
	· \/	2005 2010	3 478 4 800	2 290 3 041	367 736	571 729	90 77	160 217	167 335	327 552	0	86 81
	• 17 32 •	2011 2012	5 286 5 210	3 450 3 583	692 662	742 617	175 154	227 194	257 195	484 389	0	83 84
Burundi	$\sim$	1990 1995	4 575 3 326	1 121	908	1 116		181		181		
	$\gamma$	2000 2005	6 421 6 585	3 159 3 262	1 489 1 160	1 568 2 089	0 0	205 74	20 42	225 116	0	68 74
	·	2010 2011	7 611 6 742	4 590 4 060	963 799	1 826 1 649	8 5	224 229	108 86	332 315	0	83 84
Cameroon	• 82 70 •	2012 1990	6 921 5 892	4 075	746	1 887	3	210	95	305	0	85
	$\sim$	1995 2000	3 292 5 251	2 896 3 960	142 625	18 415		236 251		236 251		95 86
	$\sim \sim$	2005	21 499 24 073	13 001 14 464	5 021 5 437	2 461 3 157	0	1 016 1 015	574 479	1 590 1 494	0	72 73
	•49 114•	2011 2012	24 533 24 802	14 927 15 016	4 941 5 204	3 597 3 524	0 0	1 068 1 058	593 558	1 661 1 616	0	75 74
Cape Verde	/	1990 1995	221 303	111	150	12		30		30		43
	INT	2000 2005	292	135	93	43	0	21	13	34	0	- 59
		2010 2011	356 380	186 182	98 127	54 54	0	18 17	9 10	27 27	0	65 59
Central African	• 63 85 •	2012	420 2 124	189	151	66	0	14	5	19	0	56
Republic	$ \wedge $	1995 2000	3 339	1 794	964	393		188		188		65
		2005 2010	3 210 6 643	2 153 3 638	608 1 598	286 1 079	0 24	163 304	128 117	291 421	0	78 69
	•73 179•	2011 2012	5 611 8 084	3 479 4 641	964 1 752	876 1 356	60	232 335	113 199	345 534	0	78 73
Chad	~	1990 1995	2 591 3 186	2 002	518	463		203		203		79
		2000 2005	6 311	2 516	2 419	1 055		321	194	515		51
	$\sim$	2010 2011	9 452 10 505	3 833 4 434	3 746 4 211	1 217 1 033	193 249	463 578	245 269	708 847	0	51 51
Comoros	• 44 85 •	2012 1990	10 585 140	3 849	4 809	1 113	180	634	215	849	0	- 44
	$\bigvee$	1995 2000	123 120	103 87	10 14	7		7	1	7		91 86
	×_`-	2005	111	79	14	16	0	2	1	3	0	85
	• 34 17 •	2011 2012	117 120	62 71	13 24	28 23	5	9	2	11 4	0	83 75
Congo	$\sim$	1990 1995	591 3 615	2 013	849	675		78	050	78		70
	$\sim$	2000	9 239 9 853	4 218 3 640	2 016 3 249	2 810		169 299	108	819 407		53
		2010	10 150	3 568	3 545 3 930	2 990	0	345	168	507	0	49
Côte d'Ivoire	•25 261•	1990	7 841	3 984	3 937	3 110	0	2/2	209	481	0	50
	$\sim$	2000	11 988	8 254	1 508	1 577 2 756	0	649 446	447	649 893	0	85 86
		2005	22 708	14 131	2 315	5 179	0	1 017	502	1 519	0	86
Domosit	• 65 120 •	2011	22 4/6	14 416	2 316 2 818	4 729 5 344	0 0	940	444 460	1 459	0	86 84
Republic	$\sim$	1990	21 131 42 819	20 914	7 953	9 112		2 891		2 891		72
or the Congo		2000	97 075	36 513 65 040	9 959	13 /85		2 637 3 582	2 483	2 637 6 065	0	87
	 	2010	110 132	71 321	13 471	22 340	U	3 761	4 400	0 004 7 919 7 400	U	84
Equatorial	- 01 166 •	1990	260	/1124	13 214	20.669		39//	0 5 1 5	/ 492		- 02
Guinea	$\checkmark$	2000	306	219	45	41				1		83
		2005	820	579	98	109	0	34	33	67	0	86
	• 70 0•	2011	883	611	118	131	0	23	30	53	0	84 —

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

#### TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW AND RELAPSE				NEW CAS	ES						% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Eritrea	Λ	1990 1995	3 699 21 453		18 205	3 248						-
	A	2000	6 652	590	5 332	683		47	20	67	26	10
	$/ h_{\gamma}$	2010	2 870	832	1 115	836	0	87	121	208	0	43
	• 113 51 •	2011	3 049 3 143	835 779	1 163 1 154	888 1 093	60 0	103	44 111	147 228	0	42 40
Ethiopia	11 ~~~	1990 1995	88 634 26 034	9 040	8 888	7 763		343		343		50
		2000	91 101 124 262	30 510	30 565 39 816	28 907 43 675		1 119	1 658 873	2 777		50 49
	$\sim$	2010	154 694	46 634	54 979	50 417	0	2 664	2 234	4 898	0	46
	• 184 158 •	2011	145 323	49 594 47 236	49 413	49 305 46 854	0	1 820	2 269	4 089	0	47 49
Gabon	A Z	1990 1995	917 1 115	486	517	68		44		44		48
	$\sim$	2000 2005	2 512	1 042	1 071	241		158	99	257		49
	~	2010 2011	3 790 4 404	1 560 1 740	1 366	379 384	0	168 321	390 512	558 833	317 0	53 47
Cambia	• 97 302 •	2012	4 929	1 745	2 353	414	0	175	486	661	242	43
Gambia	$\wedge$	1990 1995	1 023	778	171	68		6		6		82
		2000 2005	1 553 2 031	919 1 127	515 749	99 78	0	20 77	33 89	53 166	0	64 60
		2010 2011	1 989	1 344	462 673	143 199	0	40 51	41	81 82	0	74 67
Ghana	• 0 130 •	2012	2 333	1 429	643	169	0	92	54	146	Ű	69
Griaria	٨	1990	8 636	2 638	1 225	109		159		159		68
	$\wedge$	2000 2005	10 933 12 124	7 316 7 505	2 500 3 068	615 1 019		502 532		502 532		75 71
	_/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2010 2011	14 607 15 389	7 656 7 616	5 068 5 875	1 400 1 471	0	483 427	538 451	1 021 878	0	60 56
Guinea	• 44 58 •	2012	14 753	7 097	5 979	1 301		376	454	830		54
Connea	$\sim$	1995	3 523	2 263	527	620		55	004	55		81
		2000	5 440 6 863	3 920 5 479	430 524	938 629		152 231	294 227	446 458		90 91
		2010 2011	11 038 11 359	7 041 6 934	1 472 1 446	2 077 2 284	86 273	362 422	286 247	648 669	0	83 83
Guinea-Bissau	• 33 100 •	2012	11 407	6 653	1 510	2 434	321	489	234	723	0	82
Guinea Dissau	$  \wedge                                  $	1995	1 613	956	714	19		59		59		57
	$\sim$	2000	1 273	1 132	522	57 24	0	90 96	42	90 138	0	47 68
	V	2010 2011	2 183 2 063	1 409 1 230	636 644	22 63	0	116 126	76 7	192 133	0	69 66
Kenva	• 114 117 •	2012	1 939 11 788	1 324 6 800	521	43	0	51	11	62	0	72
	$\sim$	1995	28 142	13 934	9 676	3 468		1 064	704	1 064		59
		2005	102 680	40 389	43 772	15 265		3 254	5 721	8 975		48
	/	2010	99 272 97 320	36 260	39 810	17 382	0	3 356	6 661	10 479	0	46 48
Lesotho	• 50 215 ·	1990	92 987 2 525	36 937	36 697	15 934	0	3 419	6 162	9 581	0	50
		1995 2000	5 181 9 746	1 361 3 041	2 685 2 838	653 2 520		147 385	1 096	147 1 481		34 52
	$\nearrow$	2005	10 802	4 280	4 063	2 020		439	602	1 041		51
	. 150 505	2010	11 561	3 666	5 296	2 095		504	1 224	1 728		41
Liberia	• 156 525	1990	10776	3 290	5 142	1 0//		459	1 195	1 0 0 4		- 39
	. /	1995 2000	1 393 1 500	1 154 1 021	119 285	120 187		7	25	32		91 78
	$\sim$ $^{\sim}$	2005	3 432 6 597	2 167 3 750	575 1 385	657 1 363	0	33 99	24 71	57 170	0	79 73
	• 0 102	2011	7 906	4 261	1 967	1 612	0	66	59	125	0	68
Madagascar	193	1990	6 261	4 342	1 340	1745	0	500	33	50	0	-
	$\Lambda \sim \sim$	2000	21 616	8 026	987	2 219		596		596		- 89
	1 ~	2005	18 993 24 432	13 056 16 795	1 287 1 657	3 634 4 545	0	1 016 1 435	482 674	1 498 2 109	0	91 91
	•	2011 2012	26 019 25 782	17 927 17 206	1 726 1 804	4 851 4 964	0	1 515 1 519	703 427	2 218 1 946	289	91 91
Malawi		1990	12 395	4 301	5 827	1 885		382		382		42
	$\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim$	2000	23 604	8 260	8 846	5 734		764	0	764		48
	$\sim$	2005	25 491 21 092	8 443	10 132 8 245	5 823 4 857	0	1 093	2 119	2 194		45
	• 131 128	2011	19 361 20 335	7 003 6 951	6 612 6 550	5 076 4 886	0	670 694	1 493 128	2 163 822	1 254	51 51
Mali	٨	1990 1995	2 933 3 087	1 866	609	459		153		153		75
	$\wedge \land$	2000	4 216	2 527	797	653		239	180	239	0	76
		2010	5 291	3 686	481	926	0	198	157	355	0	88
	• 37 37	2011	5 428 5 446	3 777 3 724	491 487	984 1 081	0	176 154	145 156	321 310	0	88 88
Mauritania	1	1990 1995	5 284 3 849	2 074	800	455		520		520		72
	$\sim$	2000	3 067	1 583	687 454	580	0	580 150	358	938	0	70 72
	$\sim$ $\sim$ .	2010	2 461	1 422	390	524	0	125	28	153		78
	• 261 69 •	2011	1 804 2 616	1 009 1 522	222 354	458 628	0	87 112	16 20	103 132	28 0	82 81
Mauritius		1990 1995	119 131	113	8	12		2		2		93
	$\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\sim}$	2000	160	115	14	23		8	4	12		89
	$\cdot  \forall \land \checkmark \checkmark$	2010	122	105	5	6	0	6	1	7	0	95
	• 11	2011	114 128	100	3	8 5	0	3	2	5	0	97

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

#### TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW CASES											% SMEAR-
	NEW AND RELAPSE NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE	EXTRA-	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL RELAPSE	. TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Mozambique	~	1990 1995 2000	15 899 17 882 21 158	10 566 13 257	5 054 4 037	1 363 2 262		899 917	546	899 1 463		68 77
	~	2005	43 558	20 097	9 184 16 408	5 621	0	1 432	2 616	4 048	0	55
Namihia	• 117 189	• 2011 • 2012	44 627	20 951	19 797	5 504	0	1 427	2 825 3 086	4 252 4 537	0	52 51
Nathidia	$\sim$	1990 1995 2000	1 540 10 799	697 4 012 5 222	507 4 724 4 455	248 1 459	2 497	88 604	930	88 1 534		58 46
	~/ /	2003	11 281	4 464	3 309	2 330	2 407	1 178	1 344	2 522	0	57
Niger	• 189 443	• 2012 1990	10 003	4 333	2 473	2 063	0	1 134	1 142	2 276	0	64
i igoi	. ~~~~	• 1995 2000	1 980	1 492	116	372 702		255		255		93 81
	$\wedge$ $\checkmark$	2005	7 873	5 050	1 193	1 227	173	403	351	754	0	81
	• 67 64	2011 • 2012	10 510 10 989	6 604 6 848	1 856 1 989	1 489 1 689	116	376 347	204 218	580 565	185 0	78 77
Nigeria	$\sim$	1990 1995	20 122 13 423	9 476	3 364	280		303		303		
	$\sim$	2000 2005	25 821 63 990	17 423 35 048	6 613 22 705	1 069 2 836	0	716 2 009	1 640 2 858	2 356 4 867	1 392	72 61
	$\sim$	2010 2011	84 121 86 778	45 416 47 436	32 616 33 034	3 422 3 793	0 0	2 667 2 515	6 326 6 272	8 993 8 787	0	58 59
Rwanda	• 21 55	• 2012 1990	92 818 6 387	52 901	32 972	4 432		2 513	5 035	7 548		62
	$\int \wedge \wedge$	1995 2000	3 054 6 093	1 840 3 681	676 845	338 1 289		200 278	96	200 374		73 81
	$\downarrow$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	2005	7 220 6 703	4 166 3 785	859	1 727	97	371 269	460 362	831 631		83
0	• 89 53	• 2011 • 2012	6 623	3 811 3 571	1 017 858	1 300 1 247	242	253 212	161 117	414 329	0	79 81
Principe	Λ	1990 1995 2000	97	30	56	7		4	10	4		35
	1 -the	2005	136	49 47 52	63	10	0	11	16	2/	0	40 43 52
Senegal	• 14 61	• 2012 1990	136 115 4 977	59	49 37	16	0	3	12	15	0	61
Conogui	$\Lambda$	, 1995	7 561	5 421 5 823	1 073 1 370	504 800	0	563 515	541	563 1.056		83 81
		2005	9 765	6 722 7 688	1 557 1 470	921 1 404	0	565 499	355 530	920	0	81 84
	• 66 89	2011 • 2012	11 022 12 265	7 765 8 448	1 389 1 755	1 315 1 524	0 0	553 538	566 554	1 119 1 092	0	85 83
Seychelles		1990 1995	41 8	6	2	1		0		0		75
	$\sim \Lambda$	2000 2005	20 14	11 8	7 3	2 1	0	0 2	0	0 2		61 73
		· 2010 2011	17 21	9 2	8 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	53 13
Sierra Leone	•59 22	· 2012 1990	632	1 454	220	101	0	41	I	2	U	53 - 91
		2000	3 760	2 472	821	400		67	374	441		75
	~~~~	2010 2011	12 859 12 734	6 898 7 435	4 919 4 358	831 775		211 166	336 209	547 375		58
South Africa	• 16 219	• 2012 1990	13 074 80 400	8 031	4 241	570		232	280	512		65
		1995 2000	73 917 151 239	23 112 75 967	74 399 16 392	10 636 17 486		179	56 202	179 56 202		24 82
		2005 2010	270 178 354 786	125 460 132 107	76 680 151 772	39 739 52 095	0	28 299 18 812	32 289 41 768	60 588 60 580	0	62 47
	•219 618	2011 • 2012	362 453 323 664	129 770 119 898	148 266 134 631	47 285 42 467	0 0	18 394 26 668	27 521 25 918	45 915 52 586	18 738 0	47 47
Swaziland	$\sim \sim$	1990 1995	2 050	660	687	219		489	070	489		49
	`	2000	5 877 8 705	1 823 2 187	3 198 4 106	1 458		2/3 311	976	1 249 470	643	36 35
	•0 582	2010	8 337	2 408	4 228	1 395	0	306	843	1 149	0	36
Togo		1990	1 324	887	304	236	5	93	5	93	Ť	- 74
	\cdot \wedge \wedge \wedge	· 2000 _2005	1 409	984 1 798	91 170	287 484		47 85	86 94	133 179	4	92 91
	$\bigvee \lor$	2010 2011	2 791 2 888	2 096 2 087	164 205	397 475	0	134 121	106 92	240 213	0 0	93 91
Uganda	• 35 43	• 2012 1990	2 843 14 740	2 112	168	444	0	119	69	188	0	93
		1995 2000	25 316 30 372	13 631 17 246	5 912 9 003	2 070 2 618		955 1 505	0	955 1 505		70 66
		2005	41 040	20 559	15 040 13 567	3 780	0	1 661	2 661	2 430 3 952	0	58 63
United Republic	• 84 123	• 2011 • 2012	46 306 44 663	25 614 24 916	13 270	5 001 5 143	0	1 302	2 548	4 U14 3 882	0	65 100
of Tanzania	_~~	1995	39 847 54 442	19 955	12 362 17 624	6 195 10 997		1 335		1 335		62
		· 2005 2010	61 022	25 264	20 810	13 094 13 715		1 854	3 178 2 355	5 032		55 54
	• 87 130	2011 • 2012	59 357 62 178	24 115 25 138	20 438 21 393	13 725 14 595	0	1 079	1 791	2 870 2 766	0	54 54
Zambia		1990 1995	16 863 35 958	10 038	3 268	656	5	243		243		75
		2000 2005	49 806 49 576	12 927 14 857	25 222 24 327	10 202 8 587		1 455 1 805	3 691	1 455 5 496		34 38
	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$	2010 2011	44 154 43 583	12 639 12 046	20 412 20 004	9 255 9 908	0	1 848 1 625	4 462 5 011	6 310 6 636	0	38 38
Zimbabwe	• 215 289	• 2012 1990	40 726 9 132	12 645	17 050	9 174		1 857	4 551	6 408		43
		1995 2000	30 831 50 855	8 965 14 392	10 934 27 626	5 040 8 837		737		737		45 34
	Ž Š	2005	50 454 44 209	13 155 11 654	29 074 25 157	6 721 6 061	0	1 504 1 337	4 437 3 348	5 941 4 685	0	31 32
	. 87 261	2011 • 2012	38 404 35 760	12 596	19 172 17 316	5 192 4 912	0	1 444	2 901 2 960	4 345 4 329	0	40 41

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

							% OF COHORT				
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Algeria	1995-2011	1995	5 735	COHORT	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED
		2000	8 328 8 654	8 328 8 379	100 97	80 74	7	1	2	5	5
	\vee	2009	8 402	8 438	100	81	10	2	1	3	3
	•0 92•	2010	8 299 7 790	7 894 7 364	95 95	79 81	10	2	0	4	4 2
Angola	$\sim \sim $	1995 2000	3 804 9 053	6 392	71	68		3	2	26	2
		2005	20 410	20 113	99	45	28	3	3	19	3
	/ V	2003	21 146	21 145	100	30	18	8	1	8	35
Benin	•0 55•	2011 1995	21 703 1 839	21 703 1 839	100	36 50	19 21	6	2	13	23 5
	~	2000 2005	2 277 2 739	2 277 2 766	100 101	57 74	20 13	6 7	2	11 3	3 1
		2009	2 960	2 963	100	82	9	5	2	1	0
	•71 90•	2010	3 331	3 324	100	84	6	6	3	1	0
Botswana	\sim	1995 2000	1 903 3 091	2 060 3 991	108 129	13 22	54 55	5 6	1	12 7	15 10
	\neg	2005	3 170	3 335	105	37	33	7	1	8	15
	V	2010	3 295	3 314	101	50	32	5	2	3	8
Burkina Faso	•6/ 81•	1995	1 028	1 200	117	22	46	5	1	3	67
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000 2005	1 545 2 290	1 574 2 290	102 100	53 66	7 5	13 14	2 7	16 6	9 1
		2009	3 061	3 061	100	72 74	4	10	9 7	4	2
	• 25 78 •	2011	3 450	3 442	100	74	4	9	6	6	1
DULINUI		2000	3 159	3 465	110	25 42	20 39	3 4	0	14 13	38 1
	/ _	2005 2009	3 262 3 974	3 424 3 974	105 100	52 83	27 7	4 3	0	17 5	0
	• 45	2010	4 590	4 590	100	87 88	4	4 4	1	3	0
Cameroon		1995	2 896	2 740	95	45	8	7	1	35	4
		2000	3 960 13 001	3 164 13 169	80 101	67 66	10 7	6	2	13 14	1 5
		2009 2010	14 635 14 464	14 428 14 464	99 100	65 64	13 14	6 6	1	10 10	5 5
Capa Varda	• 53 80 •	2011	14 927	14 927	100	67	13	6	1	9	4
Cape verue	$\sim$	2000	105	14	-	64	0	7	0	0	29
	\/`	2005	135	135	100	56	8	3	2	19	12
	•0 V 77•	2010 2011	186 182	182	100	55	23	4	0	9	10
Central African	٨	1995	1 794	692 1 366	39	16 36	21	7	0	53 34	3
ricpublic	$\wedge$	2005	2 153	3 217	149	38	28	6	2	8	19
	~ V	2009	5 132 3 638	5 132 3 569	98	33 45	20 23	3 6	1	13	30
Chad	• 37 68 •	2011 1995	3 479 2 002	3 205 529	92 26	44	23 30	4	1	18 43	10
	$\land \land \land$	2000	2 516		-						
	/	2009	3 820	3 820	100	55	22	4	2	15	3
	• 47 68 •	2010 2011	3 833 4 434	3 780 4 430	99 100	39 45	28 23	4	2	21 19	5 8
Comoros		1995 2000	103 87	113 85	110 98	90 91	0 2	4	0 4	6 0	0
		2005	79 76	70	89	91	0	3	4	0	1
		2010		87	-	91	0	3	2	1	2
Congo	• 90 25•	1995	2 013	4	6 —	25		/5			0
	$\sim$	2000 2005	4 218 3 640	3 114 4 121	74 113	57 24	12 4	4	0	22 13	5 58
	V	2009 2010	3 433 3 568	3 634 3 447	106 97	66 63	12 13	1	0	13 12	7
Câte d'hueire	• 0 71 •	2011	3 716	3 716	100	59	12	2	2	11	14
Cole d Noire	$\sim$	2000	10 276	10 631	103	47	10	5	2	16	20
	$1 \leq N^{\sim}$	2005	12 496 14 300	12 496 14 300	100	62 69	11 10	8	2	10 7	6 4
	•68 78•	2010 2011	14 131 14 416	14 131 14 416	100 100	66 69	12 9	7 7	2	8 9	5 3
Democratic		1995	20 914	16 247	78	55	20	5	1	10	9
of the Congo	1~~	2005	65 040	65 066	100	80	5	6	1	o 4	4
	V	2009 2010	73 078 73 653	72 367 73 448	99 100	85 86	3 4	4	1	3	4
Equatorial	• 74 87 •	2011 1995	71 321 219	71 321 219	100	82 89	5	4 3	1	4 8	5
Guinea	$\searrow$	2000			-						
	$\checkmark$	2009	490	490	100	47	19	3	1	16	14
	• 89 0 •	2010 2011	579 611	590	102	50	20	5	1	17	7
Eritrea	,	1995 2000	590	765	130	64	12	8	1	9	6
	$\backslash$	2005	687 802	688 804	100	83 83	5	7	1	2	1
	V	2010	832	804	97	81	4	7	3	1	5
Ethiopia	-0 87-	2011 1995	9 040	835 5 087	56	83 56	4 5	4 5	4	1 13	5 19
	$\sim$	2000 2005	30 510 38 525	29 662 39 430	97 102	63 64	17 14	6 5	1	9 4	4 12
		2009	44 396 46 634	44 807 46 634	101	65 66	19 17	3	1	3	10 10
Coher	• • 61 90 •	2011	49 594	41 351	83	70	19	3	1	2	4
Gabon		2000	486	249	51 _	63	22	1	2	9	2
	^	2005 2009	1 042 1 244	1 165 1 163	112 93	35 37	12 18	10	1	42 25	1 18
	•86 51•	2010 2011	1 560 1 740	1 671 1 654	107 95	34 26	29 25	2 2	3 1	26 36	6 11

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

						% OF COHORT						
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT	
Gambia	1995–2011	1005	NOTIFIED 770	COHORT	% NOTIFIED	60	7	5	1	12	EVALUATED	
Gambia	n ~~	2000	919	000	-	69	/	5		15	5	
	$\wedge \rightarrow \vee$	2005	1 127	1 127	100	81	6	7	1	3	2	
	· V	2009	1 313	1 296	99 100	88 86	1	6 5	1	2	1	
	• 76 88	• 2011	1 375	1 375	100	86	2	6	2	2	2	
Ghana	$\sim$	1995 2000	2 638 7 316	361 7 316	14 100	41 45	13 5	11 6	2	11 14	22 27	
		2005	7 505	7 584	101	68	5	9	2	11	5	
	/	2009	8 255	8 255	100	79	8	7	1	3	3	
	• 54 86	· 2010	7 616	7 623	100	76	11	8	1	3	2	
Guinea	,	1995	2 263	2 263	100	62	17	6	2	9	5	
	$\langle $	2000	3 920 5 479	3 920 5 811	100	59 65	9 7	6	1	15 10	9 10	
	$\sim \sim$	2009	5 377	5 597	104	72	6	5	2	7	8	
	•78 82	2010	7 041	7 250	103	76 76	4	4	2	6	9	
Guinea-Bissau	10 02	1995	956	959	100	42	23	6	0	23	6	
	$\wedge \sim$	2000	526	1 167	102	51	10	10	1	11	7	
	_	2009	1 310	1 498	114	51	17	6	1	21	5	
		2010	1 409	1 271	90	54	18	6	0	14	7	
Kenya	• 65 /3	1995	13 934	6 470	46	60	14	9	1	9	7	
		2000	28 773	28 376	99	66	14	5	0	9	6	
	-1	2005	40 389	40 436	100	71	11	5	0	8	5	
	V	2010	36 260	36 260	100	81	6	3	1	5	4	
Lesotho	• 75 88	· 2011	37 085	36 717	99	83	5	3	1	4 0	3	
200000	$\wedge \sim \sim$	2000	3 041	1700	-	52	14	,	U	5	50	
	/`	2005	4 280	5 542	129	E0	73	8	1	4	14	
	1	2009 2010	3 976 3 600	4 070 3 852	102	59 58	11 10	10	2	5	12	
1 the set	• 47 74	2011	3 666	3 666	100	63	11	11	2	6	7	
Liberia		1995 2000	1 154 1 021	1 595 924	138 90	79 71	9	5	5	12 10	0	
	/	2005	2 167	2 167	100	60	16	3	Ő	12	8	
		2009	3 796	3 796	100	57	26	5	1	9	3	
	•79 86	· 2010	4 261	3 853	90	64	22	4	1	6	4	
Madagascar		1995	8 026	9 101	113	47	8	6	2	16	20	
	$\sim$	2000	13 056	10 506 15 298	117	61	9	6	1	17	5	
		2009	15 729	15 709	100	78	3	4	1	9	5	
	• 55 83	2010	16 795 17 927	16 789 17 602	100	78 79	4	4	1	9	4	
Malawi		1995	6 285	6 293	100	65	6	19	1	0	10	
	$\sim$	2000	8 260	8 296	100	70	3	19	1	4	3	
	_ /	2003	7 623	7 624	100	87	2	7	1	2	1	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2010	7 240	7 240	100	86	2	7	1	2	2	
Mali	• /1 83	1995	1 866	1 290	69	41	18	5	0	22	14	
	\frown	2000	2 527		_					_	-	
	\sim (2005	3 530	3 530	100	69	6 12	11	4	7	2	
	• _	2010	3 686	3 778	102	76	0	8	3	9	4	
Mauritania	• 59 68	· 2011	3 777	3 777	100	55	13	7	3	7	15	
Wadintania	\sim	2000	1 583		-							
	١N	2005	1 155	1 761	152	44	11	2	1	19	24	
	V	2009	1 422	1 422	100	55	12	3	1	10	23 15	
	• 0 73	2011	1 009	1 450	144	57	16	2	0	16	9	
Mauritius	\sim	1995 2000	113 115	160	139	0	92	2	2	3	0	
	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle = \langle \rangle \langle \rangle \rangle$	2005	110	110	100	86		3		6	5	
	V VV/	2009	98 105	98 105	100	88	0	4	0	4	4	
	•0 90	· 2010	100	100	100	90	0	5	0	5	ő	
Mozambique		1995	10 566	10 566	100	34	5	3	1	9	48	
	, ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	17 877	17 877	100	78	1	12	1	5	2	
	/	2009	19 579	19 579	100	84	1	9	1	3	2	
	• 39 0 ·	2010	19 537	20 097	-	83	2	ö	1	4		
Namibia	~	1995	697	1010	-		45	~	~	45	04	
		2000	4 U12 5 222	4 U12 5 222	100	41 59	15 16	6 7	2	15 10	21	
	\sim	2009	4 608	4 702	102	74	11	5	4	4	2	
	•0 84	2010	4 464 4 503	4 538 4 502	102 100	74 74	11 10	5	4	3	2	
Niger		1995	1 492		-			0	Ŭ	0	v	
	~~~	2000	3 045	3 193	105	42	22	8	4	12	11	
	$\sim N$	2003	6 347	6 313	99	66	13	7	2	7	5	
	/ / / /	2010	6 283	6 266	100	69	13	7	2	6	3	
Nigeria	•0 80	1995	9 476	9 476	100	34	15	5	2	9	35	
	~~~	2000	17 423	16 372	94	65	14	6	2	11	2	
	./	2005	35 048 44 863	35 080 44 863	100	50	25 10	9	4	11	4	
	V	2010	45 416	45 416	100	73	10	5	1	8	2	
Bwanda	• 49 85	2011	47 436	47 436	100	77	9	5	1	7	2	
invalud	~~^	2000	3 681	3 776	103	52	9	6	1	4	28	
	/	2005	4 166	4 175	100	73	10	6	2	3	6	
	/ /	2009 2010	4 184 3 785	4 165 3 806	100	77 80	8 8	5 5	4	3	3 1	
	• 0 89	2011	3 811	3 811	100	84	5	5	4	2	1	
Sao Tome and Principe	$\wedge \land$	1995 2000	30	97	323	52	27	9	5	7	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\langle \rangle / \sim \langle \rangle$	2005	49	49	100	98	0	2	0	0	Ő	
	\sim	2009	52	50	96	98	0	0	2	0	0	
	•0 72	2010 • 2011	47 53	45 53	90	20 45	58 26	9	U 19	13	0	

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

AFRICAN REGION

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.
								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Senegal		1995	5 421	5 421	100	35	9	4	6	16	31
		2000	5 823	5 823	100	43	9	3	1	21	22
		2005	6 722	6 722	100	70	6	4	2	11	8
	\sim	2009	7 883	7 883	100	81	3	4	2	5	5
		2010	7 688	7 855	102	81	4	4	2	6	4
Souchalloc	• 44 85 •	1005	7 765	/ 090	150	80	0	11	2	/	0
Seychelles		2000	11	11	100	82	0	0	0	9	9
	\/ V	2009	11	11	100	55	9	18	0	0	18
	V	2010	9	7	78	100	0	0	0	õ	0
	• 89 67 •	2011	2	9	450	56	11	0	0	11	22
Sierra Leone		1995	1 454	1 315	90	55	15	5	7	16	2
	\frown	2000	2 472	2 296	93	70	7	6	2	13	2
	$\sim \sim $ \vee .	2005	4 370	4 370	100	77	8	6	1	6	2
		2009	6 092	6 083	100	68	10	6	1	11	4
	1	2010	6 898	6 897	100	77	9	4	1	6	3
On the Africa	• 69 88 •	2011	7 435	7 351	99	/9	9	3	1	6	2
South Africa	/-•	2000	23 112	28 209	122	40	18	4	4	13	19
		2000	125 460	134 782	107	58	13	7	2	10	10
	· -	2003	139.468	139.458	107	67	6	7	2	7	12
	$\downarrow \lor$	2010	132 107	134 250	102	73	6	6	2	7	6
	• 58 79 •	2011	129 770	132 867	102	74	5	6	2	6	7
Swaziland		1995	660		-		-		_	-	
	~	2000	1 823		-						
		2005	2 187	2 187	100	22	20	6	2	5	45
	~~ /	2009	3 498	3 498	100	51	19	10	7	7	7
	/~ 0	2010	3 011	3 011	100	51	22	11	9	6	2
	• 0 73 •	2011	2 408	2 499	104	48	25	8	8	5	5
Togo	.	1995	887	856	97	42	18	9	3	17	11
		2000	984				_				
		2005	1 /98	1 /96	100	66	5	12	4	11	2
	$\sim \sim$	2009	2 267	2 267	100	//	4	10	4	3	2
	. 60 95.	2010	2 090	2 096	100	01	3	7	2	4	1
Llaanda	- 60 85 -	1995	13 631	15 301	112	26	18	7	1	13	36
ogundu	~~ /	2000	17 246	13 874	80	33	30	7	0	17	12
	\sim	2005	20 559	20 559	100	32	41	6	0	16	5
	. / *	2009	23 113	23 113	100	30	38	5	1	12	16
	\sim	2010	23 456	23 456	100	35	36	5	1	11	13
	• 44 77 •	2011	25 614	25 614	100	39	38	5	1	12	5
United Republic		1995	19 955	19 955	100	69	5	9	1	6	11
of Tanzania	\frown	2000	24 049	23 923	99	72	6	10	0	6	5
		2005	25 264	25 324	100	79	4	9	0	4	4
	\sim	2009	24 895	24 895	100	82	6	5	0	2	5
	. 72	2010	24 /69	24 3/3	98	84	6	5	0	2	3
Zambia	• 73 88 •	2011	24 115	24 218	100	80	/	4	0	14	6
Lanua	\sim	2000	12 927	7 014	54	47	19	7	6	6	14
	· ·	2005	14 857	14 857	100	76	8	8	1	2	5
	~ -	2009	12 995	12 995	100	85	6	6	1	3	0
		2010	12 639	12 639	100	83	6	6	1	3	1
	• 70 88 •	2011	12 046	12 711	106	82	5	4	1	3	4
Zimbabwe		1995	8 965	9 702	108	32	21	10	0	10	26
	~~~~	2000	14 392	14 392	100	61	8	12	0	7	13
		2005	13 155	12 860	98	59	9	12	2	7	12
	\/ ·	2009	10 195	10 195	100	70	9	8	1	7	6
	V	2010	11 654	11 654	100	72	10	8	1	5	5
	• 53 81 •	2011	12 596	12 596	100	73	8	8	1	4	6

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes,	retreatment cases, 1995-2011
--------------------------------	------------------------------

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Algeria	$\langle \rangle $	1995 2000 2005	451 547 713	512 713	_ 94 100	61 48	16 24	5 2	4	5 6	10 19
	$\vee$ $\vee$ $\vee$	2009	612	553	90 97	72	12	4	2	5	5
	• 0 80	· 2010	610	588	96	65	14	3	4	11	3
Angola	$\sim$	1995 2000	134 540		_						
		2005	2 871	1 613	56 79	23 45	24	5	17	26	4
	, l	2010	7 776	2 272	29	42	23	8	4	16	7
Benin	•0 01	1995	4 444 68	4 444 139	204	48	19	9	4	19	100
	$\sim$	2000 2005	280 337	282 341	101 101	61 60	21 21	5 10	1 3	11 6	0
	$\sqrt{2}$	2009	271 205	270 203	100	70 76	11	11	6	1	1
	• 67 84	· 2011	262	262	100	80	4	8	5	1	1
Botswana	$\wedge$ ,	2000	147	395	32	21	54	8	1	11	6
	$\sim$	2005	548	219	40	33 22	28 43	11	5	12	11 10
	•0 70	2010	1 072	1 027	96 115	20	46 55	14	3	7	10
Burkina Faso	1 10	1995	45	26	58	65	12	8	12	0	4
		2000	178 327	166 272	93 83	57 71	4	13 6	5 10	15 6	4
	$\mathcal{N}$	2009 2010	608 552	509 475	84 86	70 72	5 4	9 9	8 8	5 6	3 1
Burundi	• 77 75	2011	484	481	99	70	4	10	8	6	1
Jan an lut	~~•	2000	225	92	41	23 50	13	15	2 3	17	1
	_	2005	116 238	238	100	81	3	6	3	4	2
	• 46 85	2010 2011	332 315	332 315	100 100	78 80	4	7 6	5 5	6 4	0
Cameroon		1995	236	247	- 120	50	10	0		26	2
	$\sim$ $<$	2000	1 590	347 1 611	101	30 49	7	9 6	5 3	20 16	19
	$\sim$	2009 2010	1 569 1 494	1 516 1 489	97 100	51 55	18 16	9 9	2	13 12	7 6
Cape Verde	• 0 70	· 2011 1995	1 661	1 661	100	54	16	9	3	12	6
	\	2000	24	24	-	41	15	0	0	24	01
		2005	34	34	-	41	15	U	0	24	21
	• 0 37	2010 • 2011	27 27	27	100	22	15	4	4	11	44
Central African Bepublic	~	1995	188	353	-	33	16	1	4	39	8
Topabilo	, / \~	2005	291	291	100	53	30	9	0	8	1
	ý V.	2009	421	284	67	35	24	5	2	8 25	6
Chad	• 0 55 ·	· 2011 1995	345 203	275 92	80 45	33 29	21	11 5	4	20 40	11 4
		2000	515		-						
		2009	676	676	100	49	21	4	3	15	8
	• 48 60	· 2010	708 847	704 847	99 100	38 29	35 31	4	2	27	3 7
Comoros	/	1995 2000	7 5	7 5	100 100	43 100	0	29 0	0	29 0	0
	/	2005	3	5	167	100	0	0	0	0	0
		2010		5	-	80	0	0	20	0	0
Congo	• 43 0 •	1995	78		-						
	- ), \	2000 2005	819 407	187 477	23 117	49 12	13 2	3 0	3 0	28 3	4 83
	V	2009	451 516	418	93 46	59 40	22	2	1	14 21	2
Câte -III'	•0 51	2011	507	528	104	51	0	5	4	10	31
Cote d'Ivoire		1995 2000	649 893	507	57	45	10	8	9	21	7
	/ \/	2005 2009	980 1 436	980 1 436	100	43 50	14	8 13	7	13 9	15 3
	•0 •7	2010	1 519	1 519	100	51	14	12	8	11	3
Democratic		1995	2 891	1 202	42	56	16	8	2	12	6
Republic of the Congo		2000 2005	2 637 6 065	5 448	90	71	4	10	4	6	5
	$\sim$	2009 2010	8 666 8 604	7 193 5 583	83 65	54 72	23 5	8 7	2	4	8
Fauatorial	• 72 74 •	2011	7 919	4 572	58	68	5	8	2	5	12
Guinea	<u>\</u>	2000	1	0	-		0	5	. /	0	0
	$\frown$	2005	44	44	100	36	14	14	2	16	18
	•83 0.	2010 2011	67 53	41	61	32	15	22	0	27	5
Eritrea	٨	1995	67		-						
	$\gamma \gamma \gamma \Lambda$	2005	124		-					-	
	$\land \land \land \land$	2009 2010	207 208	157 120	76 58	70 81	12 8	7 9	6 2	2	3 0
Ethiopia	• 0 69	2011	147 343	147 193	100 56	67 71	3	7	10	1 8	13 5
	$\wedge$	2000	2 777	1 556	56	60	11	10	4	8	7
	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	2005	3 544	2 942	83	41	21	5	2	3	23
	•79 78	2010 2011	4 898 4 621	3 934 1 796	80 39	56 57	27 21	4	3	5	6 15
Gabon	A	1995 2000	44		-						
	$\sim \square$	2005	257	150	58	18	12	5	3	60	3
	$\sim$ $\sim$ ,	2009 2010	655 558	611 147	93 26	12 32	67 33	2	1 3	17 26	1 2
	• 0 40	2011	833	200	24	18	21	2	2	30	26

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Gambia		1995 2000	6 53	45	750	69	0	11	2	11	7
		2005	166	100	-						
	V V	2009 2010	99 81	100 81	101 100	67 30	5	17 6	2	7	2 57
Dhama	• 69 78 •	2011	82	86	105	74	3	13	3	3	2
Jinana	1	1995 2000	159 502	47	30	68	6	б	9	9	2
	$\land$	2005	532	540	102	40	8	6	3	11	32
	7	2009	1 021	1 021	100	38	39	10	2	3	7
D. i	• 74 77 •	2011	878	878	100	40	38	12	3	4	5
Juinea	$\cdot \land \land \land$	2000	55 446	299	67	44 63	23	3 5	3	8	13
		2005	458	458	100	45	16	10	7	13	11
	V V	2009	648	111	17	55	14	8	5	13	6
Cuines Bissou	• 67 64 •	2011	669	121	18	56	7	8	3	16	9
Guinea-Dissau	$\sim$	2000	90		-						
		2005	138	146	106	44	34	8	0	8	7
	/	2009	192	140	73	23	34	10	0	29	9
Vamia	• 0 68 •	2011	133	47	35	47	21	13	2	9	9
Kenya	~~~~	2000	2 477	1 964	83 79	65	11	9	8	10	8
	$\mathcal{A}$	2005	8 975	3 794	42	68	9	10	1	7	5
	$\sim$	2009	10 479	4 333	45	70	6	6	3	8	4
esotho	• 72 82 •	2011	10 017	7 235	72	77	5	4	4	7	3
L030010		2000	1 481		_						
	< \ _	2005	1 041	597	57	00	71	11	2	2	14
	$\nabla$ -	2009	1 985	2 091	105	20 16	42	16	2	4 8	16
Liborio	• 0 58 •	2011	1 728	1 728	100	17	41	18	2	10	12
LIDEIId	/ ~	2000	32	41	128	39	22	12	7	20	0
	~	2005	57	57	100	75	9	2		9	5
	í /	2009 2010	123 170	123	100	70	15	8	4	2	U
	• 0 82 •	2011	125	125	100	72	10	4	12	2	0
Madagascar	~ 1	1995 2000	596		_						
		2005	1 498	1 825	122	65	7	7	2	12	6
		2009 2010	2 089 2 109	2 073 1 800	99 85	62 71	11	7	2	8	10 8
	• 0 80 •	2011	2 218	1 843	83	75	4	7	1	8	4
Malawi	~.	1995 2000	551 764	492 797	89 104	65 61	4	22 23	2	1	6
	V	2005	3 212	1 093	34	74	1	19	1	3	3
	$\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}$	2009 2010	2 470	788 750	32 34	83 77	2	9 10	2	2	1 9
	• 69 82 •	2011	2 163	670	31	79	3	10	1	3	5
Mali	٨	1995 2000	153 239		-						
	$\sim$	2005	380	379	100	67	6	10	5	10	3
		2009	425	390 345	92	67 87	8	9	6	7	3
	•0 69•	2010	321	321	100	64	5	7	4	4	15
Mauritania	$\sim$	1995	520		_						
	\ / '	2005	206		-						
		2009	182	182	100	48	13	3	1	20	14
	•0 53•	2010	103	133	129	48	10	10	2	17	19
Mauritius	^	1995	2	0	- 17	0	0	FO	50	0	0
		2000	5	2 5	100	60	20	50	50	20	0
		2009	5	5	100	60	0	20	0	20	0
	• 0 80 •	2010	5	5	100	80	0	0	0	20	0
Mozambique	7	1995	899	1.504	-		0				~
		2000	1 886	1 855	98	69	3	15	4	10	2 3
	$\langle \rangle \sim \langle \rangle$	2009	3 630		-						
	•0 0•	2010	4 252								
Namibia	~	1995	88	604	30	41	14	0	6	10	17
		2000	1 823	2 009	110	41 24	29	о 11	3	13	22
	$\sim$	2009	2 558	1 546	60	58	15	9	9	6	3
	•0 ~ 80•	2010	2 362	2 348	100	67	13	5	9	э 5	2 0
Niger	~ .	1995	95F		-						
	2 4	2005	754		_						
	$\backslash$	2009	690	667	97	64	12	9	4	5	6
	•0 76•	2010	580	580	100	62	14	6	5	10	4
Nigeria		1995	303	1 0/0	70	FO	10	7	7	4.4	4
	Λ	2005	4 867	3 662	75	30 48	18	2	11	20	1
	$\checkmark$ $\lor$	2009	8 151	8 151	100	48	33 30	6	2	7	4
	•0 82•	2010	8 787	8 787	100	42	40	4	4	6	4
Rwanda	,	1995	200	200	- 70	40	F	1.4	4	F	25
	$\sim$	2000	831	≥96 506	61	49	9	14	3	э 4	13
	$( \vee )$	2009	475	448	94	62	10	11	7	4	6
	•0 80•	2010 2011	631 414	446 415	/1 100	65 72	9	9 10	6 7	4	б 1
Sao Tome and	00	1995		÷	-	-					
rincipe		2000 2005	4 27	0	0						
	~_	2009	3	3	100	33	33	0	33	0	0
	•0 21.	2010 2011	2	12 16	600 100	0	50 31	8	17 38	8 25	17

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Senegal		1995	563	634	113	45	11	5	10	25	4
	$\sim$	2000	1 056	931	88	40	8	4	3	23	23
	$\wedge$ $\sim$ $^{\vee}$	2005	920	920	100	58	5	8	5	13	11
		2009	1 112	1 020	100	67	4	6	5	10	8
	• 56 68 •	2010	1 119	914	82	64	4	5	3	14	9
Seychelles		1995	0	011	-	01		Ű	0		0
		2000	0		-						
	-	2009	0	0	-						
		2010	0	0	-						
	• 0 0 •	2011	0	0	-						
Sierra Leone		1995	41	69	168	72	14	3	4	4	1
	$\cdot$	2000	441		-		_	-	_		
	$\wedge$ $\wedge$ $\wedge$	2005	330	328	99	68	12	6	3	15	1
	V ``	2009	467	400	99	50	13	5	2	15	2
	• 87 70 •	2010	375	362	97	63	7	6	3	15	5
South Africa		1995	179	OUL	-	00	,	0	0	10	Ū
	$\neg \sim i$	2000	56 202	24 847	44	43	8	8	3	19	19
	$\langle \rangle \sim \rangle /$	2005	60 588	64 923	107	29	29	11	2	16	13
		2009	65 916	34 122	52	53	8	10	3	12	15
	N N	2010	60 580	60 580	100	31	4	5	2	7	52
Swaziland	• 0 66 •	2011	45 915	31 168	68	29	/	9	3	12	10
Swazilaliu	~/	2000	1 249		_						
		2005	470	1 113	237	7	21	11	3	5	54
	× _/ -	2009	1 474	1 474	100	14	41	17	9	10	8
	/	2010	1 440	446	31	32	18	17	21	7	6
	• 0 59 •	2011	1 149	1 151	100	12	46	15	8	5	13
Togo	~	1995	93	93	100	16	17	5	4	19	38
		2000	133	100	- 70	70	0	14	4	7	0
	- / · · -	2005	214	237	111	68	3	14	3	4	5
		2010	240	240	100	78	4	6	4	8	1
	• 33 78 •	2011	213	210	99	75	3	8	4	8	1
Uganda		1995	955		-						
	$\sim$	2000	1 505	1 209	80	34	30	13	0	13	10
	$\sim$	2005	2 430								
		2009	4 014	2 856	/1	31	39	/	1	15	12
	•0 71•	2010	3 952 4 014	2 704	70	38	34	0 8	2	14	5
United Republic	10 //1	1995	1 335	1 455	109	66	10	11	1	8	4
of Tanzania	$\sim$	2000	1 772	3 356	189	49	24	14	1	6	6
		2005	5 032	5 067	101	37	39	13	1	4	6
	$\sim \sim$	2009	4 217	4 217	100	34	49	8	1	3	5
	~~	2010	3 785	3 714	98	37	47	9	1	3	4
Zambia	• 76 82 •	2011	2 8/0	2 936	102	38	43	/	1	3	/
∠ailiUid	٨	2000	1 455	894	61	52	15	11	4	5	12
	$\wedge \sim /$	2005	5 496	5 496	100	24	60		1	3	4
		2009	2 485	5 444	219	33	53	9	1	4	0
	$\checkmark$	2010	6 310		-						
	• 0 0 •	2011	6 636		-						
Zimbabwe		1995	737		-						
	$\sim$	2000	5.044	1 063	-	51	14	17	1	8	9
	~ / -	2005	5 941	4 667	/9	13	46	16	0	13	11
	$\sim$	2009	4 665	1 629	20	63	0	13	3	5	4 5
	• 0 78 •	2011	4 345	1 772	41	63	15	11	4	4	3
-				=						-	-

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

# TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Algeria		2005 2010 2011			21 501 22 530 21 597					
Angola		2005 2010 2011	4.9 10	2 434 5 107	38 317 49 987 48 926	1 620 789	67 15	43 100	43 100	
Benin	2	2012 2005 2010 2011	23 15 98 99	12 022 503 3 774 4 259	53 426 3 457 3 841 4 320	1 149 57 592 727	9.6 11 16 17	100 97 98	100 57 74	339
Botswana	•15 98	• 2012 2005 2010	98 23 81	4 006 2 291 6 147	4 075 10 104 7 632	637 1 829 4 018	16 80 65	79	43	18 762 738
Burkina Faso	•23 95	2011 • 2012 2005 2010	97 95 33 93	6 545 5 940 1 213 4 761	6 733 6 223 3 645 5 135	4 129 <u>3 759</u> 559 839	63 63 46 18	62 90 68 98	53 65 32 60	
Burundi	• 33 84	2011 • 2012 2005 2010	89 84 71	4 944 4 567	5 543 5 405 6 627 7 710	829 671	17 15	97 96	70 75	0
Cameroon	- 82	2010 2011 • 2012 2005	71 82 0	4 817 5 734 0	6 828 7 016 22 073	1 036 1 076 0	23 22 19	95 95 94	40 48 55	0
Cape Verde	•0 82	2010 2011 • 2012 2005	78 81 82 98	19 117 20 280 20 810 298	24 552 25 126 25 360 305	8 314 7 731 7 747 14	43 38 37 4 7	81 87 83	51 62 55	1 373
	• 98 89	2010 2011 • 2012	90 89	352 378	365 390 425	47 45	13 12	44	98	123
Central African Republic	- 46	2005 2010 2011 • 2012	39 33 46	2 638 1 890 3 839	3 338 6 760 5 724 8 283	862 733 1 483	33 39 39	0 12 28	62 9.3 20	
Chad		2005 2010 2011	39 38	3 801 4 124 4 766	6 505 9 697 10 774	663 959 960	17 23 20	53 39	45 43	
Comoros		2005 2010 2011	100 3.4	112 119 4	112	2 0 4	1.8 0 100	100	100	0
Congo	• 100 3	2012 2005 2010 2011	3.3 40 20	4 106 2 247	9 961 10 321 11 143	4 757 687	100 18 31	100 2.9 24	100 2.9 26	2
Côte d'Ivoire	- 17	• 2012 2005 2010	17 20 73	1 979 4 079 16 991	11 512 20 026 23 210 22 020	653 1 551 4 112 4 820	33 38 24 26	20 38 80	23 14 27	
Democratic Republic	• 20 85	2011 2012 2005 2010	85 1.9 24	20 663 1 885 28 997	22 920 24 222 99 558 118 636	4 820 5 482 386 5 273	20 27 20 18	75 74 24	0.78 9.3	
of the Congo Equatorial Guinea	·2 31	2011 • 2012 2005 2010	27 31 92	30 636 35 097 786	114 290 112 499 853	4 942 5 748 225	16 16 29	54 61 85	23 40 31	
Eritrea	_	2011 - 2012 2005	100	911	913 3 612	234	26		21	
Ethiopia	- 59	2010 2011 • 2012 2005	59 2.6	1 913 3 211	2 991 3 093 <u>3 254</u> 125 135	164 1 321	8.6 41	88	29	0
Caban	• 3 65	2010 2011 • 2012	43 41 65	66 955 65 140 96 245	156 928 159 017 147 592	9 809 5 442 9 819	15 8.4 10	69 62 37	39 39 82	6 636 30 816 30 395
Gabon	•7 100	2003 2010 2011 • 2012	27 46 100	1 130 2 252 5 415	4 180 4 916 5 415	667 578 852	59 26 16	52	52 66	0
Gambia	- 78	2005 2010 2011 • 2012	97 74 78	1 962 1 726 1 859	2 120 2 030 2 333 2 387	224 302	11 16	93 97	46 48	
Ghana		2005 2010 2011	7 67 79	844 10 147 12 587	12 124 15 145 15 840	340 2 676 2 907	40 26 23	100 77 72	37 18 28	
Guinea		2012 2005 2010 2011	78 51 56	5 776 6 548	7 090 11 324 11 606	2 812 1 483 1 670	24 26 26	87 72	37 41 49	
Guinea-Bissau	- 65	• 2012 2005 2010	65 11 46	7 575 200 1 046	11 641 1 816 2 259	1 859 110 396	25 55 38	83	<u>49</u> 30	0
Kenya	• 11 68	• 2012 2005 2010	68 14 91	1 322 15 658 96 930	1 950 108 401 106 083	517 8 954 40 069	39 57 41	0 44 100	0 17 48	0
Lesotho	• 14 94	2011 • 2012 2005 2010	93 94 1.4 84	97 136 92 890 156 11 005	103 981 99 149 11 404 13 138	38 175 35 837 127 8 459	39 39 81 77	97 98 79 96	64 74 27	
Liberia	•1 88	2011 • 2012 2005	89 88 3.3	11 413 10 476 114	12 785 11 971 3 456	8 519 7 878 14	75 75 12	95 97 0	68 53	16 403
Madagascar	• 3 70	2010 2011 • 2012 2005	53 55 70 9	3 533 4 355 5 661 1 759	6 668 7 965 8 132 19 475	283 454 772 16	8 10 14 0.91	8.5 26 90	0 9.3 15	
	.9 54	2010 2011 • 2012	65 58 54	16 439 15 532 14 146	25 106 26 722 26 209	39 40 19	0.24 0.26 0.13		36 95	

## TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Malawi		2005	44	12 243	27 610	8 447	69	92	49	
		2010	88	19 855	22 536	12 476	63	94	46	
		2011	83	17 334	20 854	10 341	60	89	60	00 5 40
Moli	• 44 93 •	2012	93	19 009	20 463	11 296	59	88	81	20 542
IVIGII		2003	42	2 303	5 448	416	18	75	52	0
		2011	35	1 963	5 573	404	21	72	69	
·	- 28	· 2012	28	1 544	5 602	425	28	42	100	
Mauritania	~	2005	0.45	10	2 218	0	0		64	0
	$\sim$	2010	0.66	12	2 469	90 12	100		100	0
	• 0	- 2012	0.00		2 636		100		100	
Mauritius		2005	91	115	127	2	1.7	100	50	
		2010	95	117	123	8	6.8	100	75	
	. 91 96	2011	93	108	116	10	7.4	100	62	
Mozambique	- 31 - 30 -	2005	30	125	33 718	10	0	100	30	
		2010	88	40 554	46 174	24 574	61	97	25	13 164
		2011	91	43 096	47 452	26 538	62	91	29	17 064
Nomibio	- 94 •	2012	94	47 960	50 827	27 979	58	98	55	17 317
INAMIDIA		2005	16 76	2 547	15 894	1 465	58	03	44	13 989
		2011	84	10 042	11 938	4 990	50	98	54	14 428
	• 16 89	2012	89	9 927	11 145	4 688	47	99	72	11 906
Niger	~ -	2005			8 224	152		43	34	
		2010	48	4 925	10 345	405	8.2	37	0	
		2011	44	4 /10 5 166	10 714	334	7.1 8 2	6.6	4.8	
Nigeria	- 40	2005	10	6 897	66 848	1 241	18	51	10	
3		2010	79	71 844	90 447	17 736	25	59	33	1 750
		2011	81	75 772	93 050	19 553	26	68	43	1 107
	• 10 84 •	• 2012	84	82 641	97 853	19 342	23	80	56	2 257
Rwanda		2005	65	5 003	7 680	2 2/6	45	15	13	
		2010	97	6 560	6 784	1 855	28	97	75	
	• 65 99	2012	99	6 131	6 208	1 601	26	99		
Sao Tome and		2005	100	152	152	5	3.3	0	0	
Principe		2010	92	112	122	13	12	92	54	0
	• 100 99 •	2011	99	146	146	15	10	100	100	0
Senegal	100 00	2005	00	120	10 120	10		100	100	0
-		2010	69	8 018	11 591	776	9.7	85	37	
	/	2011	76	8 757	11 588	877	10	85	48	
Sauchallas	- /8•	2012	78	10 048	12 819	882	8.8	90	64	426
Seychelles		2005	100	17	14	2	5.9	100	100	0
		2011	100	21	21	4	19	75	100	
	- 100 •	2012	100	21	21	3	14	67	100	0
Sierra Leone		2005			6 930					
		2010	74	9 718	13 195	976	10	6.4	19	
	- 87.	2011	87	11 655	12 943	1 343	12	25	69	1 062
South Africa		2005	22	67 988	302 467	35 299	52	100	33	1 466
	$\frown$	2010	54	213 006	396 554	128 457	60	74	54	146 247
		2011	83	322 732	389 974	211 128	65	77	46	372 994
Swaziland	• 22 84 •	2012	84	294 196	349 582	190 093	60	/4	54	309 /4/
		2010	86	9 536	11 146	7 788	82	93	35	
		2011	92	8 419	9 180	6 480	77	95	51	
-	- 95 •	2012	95	7 363	7 739	5 666	77	98	66	1 934
rogo		2005	0	0	2 635	0	00	70	40	0
		2010	84	2 513	2 897 2 980	03∠ 667	28	77	49 67	
	•0 91•	2012	91	2 657	2 912	625	24	87	76	
Uganda		2005	25	10 555	41 809	7 523	71	25	10	
		2010	81	36 742	45 546	19 836	54	90	24	
	- 25 96.	2011	80	39 394	49 018	20 725	53	93	32	
United Republic	20 80	2005	2.5	1 613	64 200	841	52	61	49	
of Tanzania		2010	90	56 849	63 453	21 662	38	92	35	
	<u> </u>	2011	88	53 842	61 148	20 632	38	95	38	
7	• 3 82 •	2012	82	52 499	63 892	20 269	39	96	54	
∠ambia		2005	2	1 082	53 267 48 616	614 26 571	57	75	68	
		2010	100	48 594	48 594	26 737	55	87	53	
	• 2 100 •	2012	100	45 269	45 277	24 309	54	93	60	
Zimbabwe		2005	0	0	54 891	0				
		2010	86	41 062	47 557	31 849	78	88	45	<u>^</u>
	•0 88.	2011	90 88	37 029	41 305	27 362	/4 70	94 26	6U 18	U

		TOTAL		NEW PU	LMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUSL	Y TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Algeria	2005 2010 2011	74 56	190 (60, 200)	120 (56 250)	809	9.1	EQ (6 E 170)	164	23 
Angola	2012 2005 2010	3	180 (69–290)	130 (56–250)	20		52 (6.5-170)		
Benin	2011 2012 2005	40 45 28	1 700 (780–2 500)	800 (44-1 500)	31	- 1.1	860 (330-1 400)	45 107	1.0 32
	2010 2011	15 20	54 (00, 00)	47 (0 4 70)	103 0	3.5 0	07 (00 55)	6 152	2.9 58
Botswana	2012 2005 2010	106	54 (26-83)	17 (2.1–70)	488	0.78 - 11	37 (23-55)	286	39 - 27
	2011 2012	46 53	140 (94–190)	120 (70–160)	151 349	4.5 14	29 (11-47)	90 149	10 34
Burkina Faso	2005 2010 2011	3 31 42			1	<0.1		126 117 68	39 21 14
Burundi	2012 2005	38	150 (71–240)	79 (4.4–150)	7	0.20	75 (29–120)	72	19
	2010 2011 2012	24 6 24	150 (27-280)	120 (0.48-240)	22 0 1	0.48 0	31 (11-52)	2 6 23	0.60 1.9 7.5
Cameroon	2005 2010	35			0	- 0		35	2.3
Cape Verde	2011 2012 2005	63 153	670 (140-1 200)	510 (2.0-1 000)	0	0	160 (57–270)	80	5.0
Cape Verde	2010 2011	0 0			0	- 0		0	_ 0
Central African Bepublic	2012 2005 2010	9	9.8 (4.0–16)	6.1 (0.34–12)	0	0 - 0.25	3.6 (1.4-5.9)	0	0
	2010 2011 2012	15 28	130 (36–220)	28 (0.72-160)	0	0	97 (37–190)	56	16 _
Chad	2005 2010 2011	3			0	0		0	0
Comoros	2012	0	320 (150-490)	160 (8.8–300)	0	0	160 (63-260)	0	0
	2010 2011 2012	0	2.5 (0.92-4.0)	17 (0 10-3 2)			0.77 (0.30-1.2)		-
Congo	2005 2010	0	2.3 (0.32 4.0)	1.7 (0.10 0.2)			0.77 (0.00 1.2)		
Côte d'Ivoire	2011 2012 2005	47	250 (43-450)	200 (0.79-400)	0	0	49 (17–81)	0	
	2010 2011	50 30			0	0 <0.1		72 29	4.7 2.0
Democratic	2012 2005	221	580 (270-890)	440 (190-850)	0	0	140 (49–240)	365	26
Republic of the Congo	2010 2011 2012	87 121 81	2 900 (670-5 100)	2 100 (8.4–4 200)	22 12	- <0.1 <0.1	760 (260-1 300)	100 160 95	1.2 2.0 1.3
Equatorial Guinea	2005 2010	0			0	0		0	0
Eritrea	2011 2012 2005	3	-	-		-	-		-
	2010 2011	11	70 (00, 400)	05 (4.0.00)		-	44 (47 74)		-
Ethiopia	2012 2005 2010	140	79 (30-120)	35 (1.9-66)	42	_ <0.1	44 (17-71)	510	- 10
Caban	2011 2012	212 284	2 000 (1 200–2 900)	1 600 (830–2 700)	73 469	0.15 0.99	480 (230-870)	139 180	3.0 4.4
Gabon	2005 2010 2011	0				-			-
Gambia	2012	0	170 (57–280)	100 (0.41-200)			67 (23–110)		
	2010 2011 2012	0	9.9 (0-29)	9.9 (0.25-54)	0	_ _ 0	0 (0-26)	0	_ 0
Ghana	2005 2010 2011	1 4 7			50	0.62		2 21	0.38 2.1
Guinea	2012 2005	20 20	390 (170-620)	240 (13-440)	0 0 215	0 0 3.9	160 (61-260)	44 34	5.3 7.4
	2010 2011 2012	31 78	250 (120, 280)	47 (0.9. 140)	5 8	<0.1 0.12	200 (100 240)	26 26	4.0 3.9
Guinea-Bissau	2005 2010	00	200 (100 000)	47 (0.0 140)			200 (100 040)		-
Konya	2011 2012	2 6	45 (15–75)	33 (1.8–63)	0		12 (4.6–19)	1920	
. conyd	2005 2010 2011	112 166			92	0.25		706	6.7 12
Lesotho	2012	225	2 800 (840-4 800)	1 800 (7.4–3 700)	78	0.21	980 (340-1 600)	1183	12
	2010 2011 2012	64 46	170 (36–300)	77 (16–220)	5	0.15	94 (20-260)	28	- 1.7
Liberia	2005 2010	0			0	- 0		0	- 0
Madagascar	2011 2012 2005	6	130 (32–230)	110 (6.3–210)		-	18 (7.0-29)		
-	2010 2011	3 9	470 (00 010)	04 (00, 010)	60 9	0.36 <0.1	70 (0.0.000)	24 64	1.1 2.9
	2012	10	170 (32–310)	94 (26-240)	7	<0.1	/o (9.3–260)	63	3.2

#### TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

		TOTAL		NEW PI	JLMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUS	Y TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Malawi	2005	9				-		917	29
	2010	40			871	10		449	20
	2011	26	00 (45 450)	50 (40, 400)	102	1.5	40 (07 57)	552	26
Mali	2012	2/	96 (45-150)	56 (18-130)	0	0	40 (27-57)	27	3.3
IVICII	2005	12			0	0		12	3.4
	2011	10				_			-
	2012	12	140 (60-210)	76 (4.2-140)	23	0.62	60 (23-96)	39	13
Mauritania	2005	11			161	12		30	15
	2010	35			2	0.20		4	2.0
	2012	1	59 (26-92)	34 (19-64)	1	<0.1	25 (9.8-41)	4	3.5
Mauritius	2005	0	•• (=• •=)		114	100		3	60
	2010	2			105	100		7	100
	2011	1			100	100		5	100
Manager	2012	0	0 (00)	0 (0-3.6)	121	100	0 (0-2.4)	4	100
wozambique	2005	165			113	0.03		305	10
	2010	283			206	1.1		443	10
	2012	266	2 000 (1 300-2 700)	1 400 (900-2 000)	205	0.98	540 (0-1 100)	243	5.4
Namibia	2005					-			-
	2010	214				-			-
	2011	192	000 (540 750)	000 (400, 050)		-	070 (000 470)		-
Niger	2012	210	630 (510-750)	260 (190-350)			370 (290-470)		
Niger	2000	39			0	0		47	7.0
	2011	18			1	<0.1		21	3.6
	2012	35	270 (110-420)	160 (9.0-300)	0	0	110 (42-180)	35	6.2
Nigeria	2005					-			-
	2010	21			27	<0.1		19	0.21
	2011	107	3 600 (2 700-4 500)	2 500 (1 800-3 400)	12	<0.1	1 100 (770-1 500)	94	1.2
Rwanda	2005	35			57	1.4	(	0	0
	2010	90			171	4.0		431	68
	2011	76				-			-
Ore Trees and	2012	58	240 (170–310)	180 (120-270)		-	63 (51-76)		-
Sao Tome and Princine	2005	0				_			_
Thiope	2010	4			2	1.9		2	12
	2012	8	15 (11-19)	1.7 (0.10-3.3)	16	27	13 (7.1-15)	8	53
Senegal	2005					-			-
	2010	38			41	0.53		66	6.4
	2011	50	400 (170 600)	220 (70 500)	14	0.18	100 (76, 240)	97	8.7
Sevchelles	2012	21	400 (170-620)	220 (70-500)	25	0.30	100 (76-340)	113	10
00,010100	2010	0				_			-
	2011	0			0	0		1	-
	2012	0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-3.9)	14	82	0 (0-1.7)	2	100
Sierra Leone	2005					-			-
	2010	0				-			-
	2012	0	220 (0-460)	100 (2.7-570)		_	120 (26-280)		_
South Africa	2005	2000				_			-
	2010	7386				-			-
	2011	10085				-			-
Owner-Henred	2012	15419	8 100 (6 900–9 400)	4 600 (3 700-5 800)		-	3 500 (2 800-4 300)		-
Swd2lidilu	2005	326			148	2.9		505	35
	2011	332			110	-		000	-
	2012	280	730 (560-890)	430 (270-590)		-	290 (250-340)		-
Togo	2005					-			-
	2010	2			00	-		00	-
	2011	4	77 (35_100)	41 (2 2 70)	86	4.1	36 (14, 59)	83	39
Uganda	2012	46	11 (00-120)	41 (2.3-10)	U	-	30 (14-30)	2	-
3	2010	93			358	1.5		356	9.0
	2011	71			316	1.2		360	9.0
	2012	89	1 000 (660-1 300)	540 (230-860)	196	0.79	470 (260-750)	748	19
United Republic	2005	10			276	0.60		405	8.0
or ranzania	2010	34			201	0.44		246	6.5 0.59
	2012	42	500 (13-1.000)	500 (140-1.300)	639	2.5	0 (0-160)	108	3.9
Zambia	2005			300 (110 1 000)	000	-	0 (0 100)	100	-
	2010					-			-
	2011					-			-
Zimbabwa	2012	80	620 (290-940)	98 (12-350)		-	520 (260-900)		-
LITIDADWe	2005	17				_			_
	2010	118			0	0		0	0
	2012	149	930 (430-1 400)	570 (300-960)	360	3.0	360 (76-970)	258	6.0

## TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

#### TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

					MAL	.E							FEMA	LE				
_	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Algeria	1995 2000 2005	59 53	927 1 309	1 516 1 841	610 919	491 473	234 314	299 426		36 102	1 005 1 044	1 293 820	746 389	314 270	208 229	312 465		- 1.1 1.6
	2010 2011	52 42	1 203 1 147	1 669 1 513	825 881	513 483	392 345	397 347		79 58	1 086 1 050	826 787	417 383	251 211	222 202	367 341		1.6 1.6
Angola	2012 1995 2000	29 386 186	1 102 724 999	1 467 562 1 003	857 346 912	464 224 482	354 155 312	349 14 194	0	60 371 247	917 707 1 142	443 1 091	382 264 844	198 248 417	229 130 200	329 18 120	0	1.6 1.1 1.0
	2005	520 448	2 549	2 797	1 918	1 255	665 691	461 355		704	2 926	2 682	1 797	1 138 958	581 482	417 286		0.99
	2011 2012	501 390	3 000 2 804	3 792 3 627	2 386 2 529	1 395 1 427	680 732	455 424	0	708 592	2 731 2 501	2 563 2 540	1 683 1 617	1 006 1 028	457 529	346 384	0	1.3 1.3
Benin	1995 2000 2005	14 19 21	186 277 206	352 428 595	306 327 206	176 213 270	101 103	92 74 97		26 36 25	148 239 249	197 275 221	118 149	69 76	32 45	22 25		2.0
	2003 2010 2011	18	314 320	631 650	443 497	267 353	164 210	85 107	0	29 41	265 288	382	200 246	98 119	42	35 52	0	1.8 1.8 1.8
Botswana	1995 2000	23	185	605	488	267	179	96	0	39	335	469	221	98	57	36	0	- 1.4
	2005 2010	27 45	260 256	563 590	506 477	272 239	135 137	97 107	0	45 68	321 338	491 509	253 301	97 119	55 56	48 53	0	1.4 1.3
Durking Free	2011 2012	36 40	220 207	464 394	354 333	206 190	110 79	94 75	0 0	65 63	286 267	421 402	211 193	105 109	48 43	49 31	0 0	1.3 1.2
Burkina Faso	2000	4 12 18	91 181	274 430	252 370	133 273	48 68 144	29 65 113		7 7 15	59 125	128 248	101 174	45 109	38 54	10 14 40		2.1 2.3 2.0
	2010 2011	20 22	231 265	620 708	493 582	328 375	224 262	173 196	0 0	33 31	158 163	259 277	198 221	124 146	97 110	83 92	0 0	2.2 2.3
Burundi	2012 1995 2000	25 5	277 128	769 238	631 224	423 73	250 32	198 19	0	27 19	160 109	288 124	191 89	156 33	106 12	82 4	0	2.5 1.8
	2005	34 56	352 481	591 773	525 651	372 570	111 270	55 157	0	46 78	298 390	399 421	288 332	122	36 99	33 87	0	1.7
	2011 2012	37 45	484 447	743 801	620 667	504 461	235 233	98 103	0	56 74	345 338	374 367	263 283	180 162	81 64	40 30	0	2.0 2.1
Cameroon	1995 2000	20 41	208 518	569 842	323 584	287 284	204 130	164 75		9 63	185 368	313 530	223 293	153 139	106 60	93 33		1.6 1.7
	2003 2010 2011	106 114	1 497	2 750 2 931	1 996 2 139	1 314	559 625	329 361		172 178	1 467 1 474 1 461	2 031 2 022	1 121	642 581	203 290 281	143 183 194		1.4 1.4 1.5
Cape Verde	2012 1995	108	1 597	2 900	2 182	1 304	658	375		184	1 417	2 053	1 177	579	295	187		1.5
	2000 2005	0	22	23	26	9	2	8		2	9	16	4	5	3	6		2.0
	2010 2011 2012	0 0	17 29	43 36	35 34	31 24	3 8	3	0 0	4	14 19	15 13	4 9	6 8	3 3	4	0 0	2.6 2.4
Republic	1995 2000 2005	38	162 40	1 136	206	120	40	18		39	233	350 420	145	57 30	21 40	9		1.1 _ 2.0
	2010 2011	78	379 362	633 576	468 467	251 269	135 119	63 59	1 4	88 96	367 382	576 530	319 289	155 162	73	44 26	8 6	1.2
Chad	2012	73	502	799	660	360	158	92		101	511	689	370	191	96	39		1.3
	2000	25 76	194 382	535 850	409	229 379	123 173	82 99	0	28 59	148 274	298 413	211	148 158	59 79	27	0	1.7
	2011 2012	92 68	469 405	951 842	764 634	418 376	184 210	121 88	0	84 51	296 273	438 403	298 227	166 135	109 91	44 46	0	2.1 2.1
Comoros	1995 2000 2005	0 0 0	18 18 12	13 7 9	9 14 6	7 9 4	8 3 2	4 4 4		1 1 2	13 9 10	9 6 7	8 12 4	6 1 8	5 2 3	2 1 8		1.3 1.7 0.88
	2010 2011	0	10	13	9	5	2	5	0	2	8	4	2	1	0	1	0	2.4
Congo	1995 2000	16	265	409	221	73	44	15		1	296	353	167	61	38	11		2.1
	2005	41	435	672	424	203	77	55		49	409	510	296	152	70	56		- 1.2
Ofte d'Ilusia	2011 2012	58 46	453 563	705 716	462	222 276	80 113	76 72	0	72 63	408 438	463 482	332 349	200 171	88 68	97 108	0	1.2 1.4
Cole d Ivoire	2000	128	1 346	2 092	1 606	888	422	385		193	1 280	1 756	497 989	273 528	232	201		- 1.4
	2010 2011	159 189	1 751	2 858 3 043	1 882 1 852	1 010 1 072	505 601	375 348	0	246 244	1 431 1 358	1 819 1 838	1 051 1 044	531 560	304 301	209 223	0	1.5 1.6
Democratic	2012	163 373	1 743	3 087	2 017	1 032	552 634	430 289		204 331	1 306	1 870	1 120	536 863	337 427	263		1.6 1.4
of the Congo	2000 2005 2010	485 1 321 1 707	4 048 6 675 6 859	5 833 9 808 10 412	4 151 7 577 9 134	2 549 5 022 6 464	1 295 2 637 3 641	1 499 1 907		/18 1 695 1 987	4 422 7 570 7 199	5 146 8 501 9 120	3 309 5 832 6 721	1 724 3 898 4 579	2 054	351 951 1.311		1.1 1.1 1.2
	2011 2012	1 579 1 439	6 640 6 612	9 872 10 274	8 932 9 361	6 415 6 612	3 584 3 698	1 911 1 941		1 800 1 699	6 802 6 598	8 742 8 406	6 541 6 471	4 537 4 131	2 671 2 625	1 295 1 257		1.2
Equatorial Guinea	1995 2000	8	15	45	37	15	11	7		2	18	28	20	4	7	1		1.7
	2005 2010 2011	10 11	71 77	80 90	59 89	35 59	16 22	10 12	0	13 15	80 76	57 81	45 46	26 21	9	6 3	0	1.2 1.4
Eritrea	2012 1995								-							-	-	
	2000 2005	9	70 68	75	57 50	32 45	25 51	20 39	0	10	100	87 <u>127</u>	71 72	21 39	12 21	8 18	0	0.93 0.95
	2010 2011 2012	0	93 84	105	90	62	39	51	0	4	86	98	79	43	19	20	0	1.1
Ethiopia	1995 2000	247 915	1 221 5 095	1 017 5 187	541 3 082	276 1 495	142 610	51 397		283 1 037	908 4 699	781 4 424	382 2 105	152 976	64 366	15 122		1.4 1.2
	2005 2010 2011	1 109 1 582 1 847	6 726 7 400 7 835	6 181 7 785 9 246	3 454 4 451 3 881	1 985 2 746 2 771	1 027 1 473 1 218	475 822 771		1 326 1 608 1 983	5 885 5 708 6 570	5 663 6 480 7 917	2 730 3 439 3 069	1 296 1 950 1 564	513 855 719	155 335 303		1.2 1.3 1.2
Gabon	2012	3	45	74	80	54	30	15		9	47	54	28	25	19	303		1.6
	2000 2005	13	123	199	140	70	38	25		19	128	123	88	29	29	18		- 1.4
	2010 2011 2012	15 34 42	145 240 236	223 269 286	208 229 228	130 144 166	89 86	91 66 41	0	13 25 29	110 177 185	164 188 165	122 125	100 74 78	86 44 45	64 39 34	0	1.4 1.6 1.7
Gambia	1995 2000	4 <u>2</u> 3	68	181	88	72	29	24	U	4	39	61	44	25	12	8	U	2.4
	2005 2010	13 9	133 194	292 314	206 184	62 141	53 68	44 39	0	2 6	84 104	87	64 71	38 35	22 40	27 18	0	2.5
	2011 2012	14 7	183 210	271 331	181 191	136 107	87 80	56 54	0	16 16	103 123	112 106	88 89	63 41	32 32	33 42	0	2.1 2.2

## TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

					MA	.E							FEMA	ALE .				
	YEAR	0-14	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN-	0-14	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN-	MALE:FEMALE
Ghana	1995	42	223	397	398	302	190	112	KINOWIN	40	199	272	205	122	88	48	KNOWN	1.7
	2000 2005	73 49	550 592	1 266 1 201	1 115 1 311	811 944	495 462	426 414		74 68	456 450	791 693	566 527	338 366	179 207	176 221		1.8 2.0
	2010 2011	63 50	570 550	1 146 1 127	1 301 1 328	1 030 955	540 491	447 456		64 52	446 470	667 699	560 614	369 390	204 174	249 260		2.0 1.9
Guinea	2012	30	559 244	1 051	1 271	921	512	462		51	418	563 255	468	332	188	271		2.1
danod	2000	39	551	860	570	282	203	103		66	314	446	245	114	82	45		2.0
	2003	61	679	877	982	876	565	289		51	549	739	751	405	145	72		1.6
	2011	45 28	761	1 104	955 791	383	293 190	120	0	85 49	709 505	509	432 323	134	61	73 57	0	2.0
Guinea-Bissau	1995 2000	2	52	92	80	64	39	19		4	30	46	47	24	15	12		2.0
	2005	14 18	116 164	167 219	153 183	130 141	72 80	42	0	13 30	78 100	110	92 133	82 80	44 38	19 19	0	1.6 1.5
	2011 2012	6 7	140 145	230 262	181 183	104 115	65 63	36 38	0 10	12 7	119 121	122 157	90 98	56 56	44 33	25 25	0 4	1.6 1.6
Kenya	1995 2000	154 264	2 072 3 739	3 073 6 653	1 675 3 548	920 1 630	485 630	296 414		187 416	1 802 3 916	1 759 4 363	741 1 874	411 831	242 347	117 148		1.6 1.4
	2005	359 357	4 790 4 698	8 832 7 945	5 069 5 077	2 521 2 509	1 031 994	590 658		577 549	5 144 4 044	6 521 5 112	2 781 2 372	1 266	593 544	315 345		1.3
	2011 2012	356 393	4 773 4 893	8 376 8 149	5 201 5 302	2 660 2 493	1 045 1 099	665 669	0	629 603	4 183 4 097	4 917 4 975	2 434 2 363	1 025 993	477 529	344 379	0	1.6 1.6
Lesotho	1995	9	108 165	214 458	256 517	189 395	96 198	88 76		14 11	106	125 336	71 195	49 83	17 36	19 29		2.4 2.0
	2005	32	395	695	397	148	82	37		19	226	721	616	494	297	121		0.72
	2011	19	179	584	493	329	245	121	0	23	311	572	307	185	84 71	58	0	1.3
Liberia	1995	10	100	106	107	50	17		0	00	140	140	200	00	16	16	0	- 1.2
	2000	26	240	352	333	155	74	65		37	232	297	171	108	52	25		1.2
	2010	90 67	338	621 595	510 727	295 440	114 194	21 87	0	254 67	339	488	259 517	285	151 88	99 50	0	1.1 1.4
Madagascar	1995	65 79	382 791	1 289	667 1 173	406 630	423	83 242	0	61 100	354 799	535 1 108	605 744	292 340	230	57	0	1.2
	2000 2005	98	1 159	1 867	1 732	1 349	582	333		150	1 012	1 451	1 047	614	248	129		1.5
	2010 2011	204 146	1 721 1 807	1 621 2 764	2 525 2 495	1 782 1 938	960 1 044	485 522	0 0	323 252	1 621 1 726	1 943 2 031	1 376 1 503	946 978	397 462	192 188	0 0	1.4 1.5
Malawi	2012 1995	177 25	1 725 493	2 474 1 195	2 460 833	1 927 519	1 059 215	490 89	0	242 65	1 720 802	1 848 1 028	1 420 573	914 294	474 108	199 45	0	1.5 1.2
	2000 2005	50 58	653 622	1 476 1 653	1 113 1 031	585 549	245 279	114 157		66 84	1 038 913	1 481 1 598	831 859	401 386	148 180	64 74		1.1
	2010 2011	50 70	565 519	1 509 1 486	985 1 050	485 440	275 238	187 201	0	103 79	610 601	1 196 1 119	661 660	314 283	198 161	102 96	0	1.3 1.3
Mali	2012	52 27	495 72	1 537	1 051	471	292 138	204	0	71	538 132	1 057	609 128	298 107	156	120	0	1.4
	2000	23	206	430	396	297	235	144		14	174	232	152	106	75 101	43		2.2
	2010	94	381	707	526	354	227	207		31	265	337	247	144	96	70		2.1
Mauritania	2012	25	405	731	547	377	257	211	0	34	253	344	239	137	89	77	0	2.0
Waunania	2000																	-
	2005	17	192	295	206	137	99	76		14	90	104	82	52	29	29		2.6
	2011 2012	36 22	165 204	185 302	131 195	106	58 114	55 114		28 25	112	72 81	47 88	36 73	19 46	20 28		2.5
Mauritius	1995 2000	2	17	13 9	22 18	27 19	13 14	8 8		2	4	12 8	10 8	8 6	4	4		2.3 1.9
	2005	0	10 9	15 9	21 13	20 23	10	6 7	0	0	4	5 9	5	11 4	2	1	0	2.9 2.6
	2011 2012	0 2	10 11	13 14	9 16	17 17	10 11	8 7	0	0	7 11	12 7	2 8	3 2	6 8	3 4	0	2.0 2.0
Mozambique	1995 2000	187	1 136	1 475	1 338	1 022	664	320		226	994	1 314	1 016	551	234	89		1.4
	2005																	-
	2011 2012																	
Namibia	1995 2000	0 18	68 269	235 874	113 665	55 300	21 147	6 81		5 16	49 352	78 654	50 348	16 161	1 76	0 52		2.5 1.4
	2005	98	355	1 027	874	365	146	120		105	399	809	525	213	95	91		1.3
	2011	48	337	844 810	660 686	361	152	138		78	427	653 582	410	185	100	110		1.3
Niger	1995	20	270	17/	441	252	151	78		21	122	206	168	151	 23	<u>,</u>		- 19
	2005	35	557	1 204	819	497	350	198		34	214	388	330	223	131	70		2.6
	2011	50	709	1 673	1 025	646 747	436	347	0	50	285	449	323	278	189	147	0	2.8
Nigeria	1995	40	845	921	937	557	611	515	0	40	842	795	770	724	654	451	0	1.0
	2000	325	3 824	6 758	4 544	2 863	1 464	463 950		239 482	2 934 3 996	2 434 4 884	2 448	1 350	745	415		1.2
	2010 2011	521 529	4 457 4 549	9 186 9 520	6 218 6 550	3 804 4 230	1 9/4 2 248	1 363 1 443	0	595 578	4 182 4 198	6 117 6 168	3 431 3 574	1 846 2 014	1 040 1 112	682 724	0	1.5 1.6
Rwanda	2012 1995	538	5 026	10 382	7 684	4 589	2 449	1 686	0	649	4 652	6 762	4 084	2 243	1 290	867	0	1.6
	2000 2005	155 45	466 494	974 713	824 592	393 408	129 142	56 71		105 73	396 483	473 442	309 262	109 157	52 60	14 29		2.1 1.6
	2010 2011	48 42	430 423	741 795	526 500	325 376	202 210	126 124	0	48 50	399 358	448 398	261 235	128 146	65 87	38 67	0	1.7 1.8
Sao Tome and	2012 1995	22	375	768	519	341	214	123		40	327	393	208	116	66	59		2.0
Principe	2000 2005	1 2	5 5	11 7	4 6	7 4	3 5	10 2		3 1	7 4	15 5	5 3	7 2	4 3	15 0		0.73 1.7
	2010 2011	0	10 5	14 9	7	1 7	0	1	0 0	0	5 2	4 10	3	2 1	0	0	0 0	2.4 1.8
Senegal	2012	1	6 717	11	813	8 408	2 300	213	0	0	6 428	10	6 283	203	126	1 72	Ō	1.6
	2000	60 71	772	1 297	857 904	470	279	189		77	521	540	376	217	107	61		2.1
	2010	81	1 351	1 793	972	590	329	221	0 29	81	835	643 664	332	217	136	105	0 ¢\	2.3
Souchallas	2012	84	1 454	2 036	1 121	597	365	214	0	125	836	715	383	200	155	90	43 0	2.3
Seychelles	2000	0	2	2	1	1	2	1		-	0	1	0	1	1	1		3.5
	2005	0	0	1	6	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.0
	2011 2012	0	0 0	1	0 2	0 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	1 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0	0 1	0 0	1.0 1.2

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive	case notification by	y age and sex,	1995-2012
-------------------------------	----------------------	----------------	-----------

			MALE										FEMA	ALE .				
	YEAR	0–14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Sierra Leone	1995	10	184	305	201	99	47	22		18	165	193	110	65	24	11		1.5
	2000	18	287	486	361	190	113	47		27	249	298	225	92	49	30		1.5
	2005	45	490	1 1 76	1.076	397	226	124		54	393	518	312	207	114	4/		1./
	2010	75	825	1 224	1 099	781	334	287	0	115	678	796	543	343	219	116	0	1.0
	2012	70	858	1 324	1 213	841	416	274	0	80	703	861	667	391	201	132	0	1.6
South Africa	1995																	-
	2000	116	723	1 999	2 135	1 146	435	212		122	1 283	1 716	933	423	167	80		1.4
	2005	2 035	10 422	20 576	19 465	11 143	4 124	1 705		2 561	13 632	19 343	11 338	5 416	2 352	1 348		1.2
	2010	1 496	9 925	20 855	19 842	12 386	5 155	2 211	0	1 933	13 023	20 205	12 910	6 873	3 165	2 128	0	1.2
	2011	1 4/2	9 / /2	20 487	19 360	12 111	5 220	2 164	0	1 932	12 /51	19 250	12 807	6 955	3 266	2 223	0	1.2
Swaziland	2012	1 132	9 074	19 894	18 510	11 331	5 054	2 085	0	1 040	11 547 52	17 452	20	5 939	2 846	2 059	0	1.3
Swazilaliu	2000	11	130	352	249	138	37	17		10	198	298	62	62	24	5		1.4
	2005	9	162	406	285	139	57	27		14	318	453	207	73	21	8		0.99
	2010	30	207	537	369	192	109	50	0	51	354	662	276	104	54	16	0	0.98
	2011	16	161	459	318	158	69	46		35	281	495	220	86	40	24		1.0
	2012	18	163	479	332	168	84	38	0	39	284	535	242	88	51	27	0	1.0
Togo	1995	7	95	151	123	82	64	49		9	80	96	45	38	23	15		1.9
	2000	4	101	108	144	109	48	39		13	107	124	146	30	24	15		1.7
	2005	21	150	350	203	217	116	80		23	163	230	140	78	62	20		1.5
	2010	15	169	340	350	234	123	85	0	11	167	277	146	89	50	38	0	1.7
	2012	9	171	338	341	237	121	87		17	165	287	154	109	48	28		1.6
Uganda	1995	370	1 193	2 491	1 797	1 115	602	323		402	1 376	1 845	1 104	635	312	113		1.4
	2000	283	1 511	3 497	2 479	1 279	607	395		400	1 649	2 782	1 510	671	316	163		1.3
	2005	257	1 598	4 075	3 209	1 576	725	539		371	1 811	3 099	1 800	818	389	257		1.4
	2010	268	2 055	4 735	4 133	2 214	905	613	16	401	1 964	2 923	1 691	924	365	248	1	1.8
	2011	295	2 0 / 5	5 044	4 613	2 466	1 015	604	423	400	2 092	2 853	1 809	9/3	409	313	252	1.8
United Republic	1995	183	2 1/4	4 091	2 916	1 754	1 015	640	21	201	1 904	2 532	1 324	735	380	179	33	1.0
of Tanzania	2000	200	2 357	4 836	3 430	2 022	1 202	834		257	2 106	3 426	1 738	868	494	269		1.6
	2005	190	2 062	4 939	4 025	2 310	1 279	1 054		271	1 852	3 521	1 892	968	547	354		1.7
	2010	232	1 975	4 493	4 141	2 427	1 309	1 161	0	248	1 689	2 988	2 013	1 044	578	471	0	1.7
	2011	190	1 975	4 405	4 073	2 402	1 211	1 127		221	1 660	2 896	2 1 4 0	944	490	381		1.8
	2012	208	2 086	4 707	4 397	2 435	1 293	1 1 1 4		282	1 651	2 906	2 108	1 022	507	422		1.8
Zambia	1995	91	659	1 668	1 124	487	231	130		129	1 125	1 7 / 9	/1/	257	117	63		1.0
	2000	349	21/5	2 610	3 045	435	261	1/4		150	932	1 118	1 305	186	112	105		2.3
	2003	133	1 240	3 100	2 160	917	300	321		100	1 307	2 403	1 433	569	235	100		1.3
	2010	105	1 033	2 897	2 194	810	280	207		151	940	1 683	1.063	422	162	99		17
	2012	141	1 003	3 088	2 412	846	319	220		180	1 024	1 646	1 077	376	189	124		1.7
Zimbabwe	1995																	-
	2000																	-
	2005	210	837	2 264	1 855	762	295	656		269	1 136	2 242	1 255	578	193	603		1.1
	2010	150	710	2 208	1 682	761	350	252	0	173	974	2 185	1 283	490	265	171	0	1.1
	2011	152	784	2 467	2 071	780	377	2/8	U	174	1 084	2 161	1 386	448	274	160	0	1.2
	2012	120	783	2 421	∠ 086	796	360	2/1	0	1/3	939	2 053	1 286	483	231	161	0	1.3

## TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012

				LABORATO	RIES		FREE THROUGH NTR		RIEAMPICIN	TB NOTIF.		
	SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA [°] LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	$NRL^d$	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
Algeria Angola	0.6 0.6	0 _	3.8 0.5	0.3 0.5	0.1	1	In country	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes No	1 870
Benin	0.8	9	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Botswana	2.6	21	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Burkina Faso	0.7	0	0.3	0.3	0.9	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Burundi	1.7	9	0.5	0	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Cameroon	1.1	4	0.9	0.5	0.5	1	In country	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Cape Verde	3.2	0	0	0	0	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Central African Republic	1.6	0	1.1	1.1	0	1	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Chad	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	815
Congo	0.8	3					No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Côte d'Ivoire	0.6	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Democratic Republic of the Congo Equatorial Guinea	2.3	0	0.3	0.2	<0.1	26	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Eritrea	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (for smear- positive TB)	Yes	Yes	
Ethiopia	2.8	0	0.3	<0.1	0.3	7	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Gabon	0.9	31	2.8	3.1	0	0	No	Yes	No Vec (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Ghana	1.1	1	0.6	0.6	0.6	õ	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Guinea	0.5	6	0.4	0.4	0	1	No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Guinea-Bissau	1.3	0	3.0	0	0	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Kenya	4.2	8	0.2	0.2	0.2	15	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	199
Lesotho	0.9	17	2.4	2.4	2.4	5	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Liberia	3.9	0	0	0	0	0	Out of country	No	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	28
Madagascar Malawi	1.0	6 19	0.2	0.2	0.2	5	No No	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Mali	0.4	0	1.0	0.3	0.3	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Mauritania	1.4	-	1.3	1.3			No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Mauritius		-					country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Mozambique	1.2	9	0.6	0.4	0	12	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Namibia	1.4	100	2.2	2.2	2.2	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Niger	1.1	1	0.3	0.3	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Nigeria	0.8	2	0.1	<0.1	0.1	32	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Rwanda Sao Tome and Principe	1.7	13	0.9	0.9	0.9	6	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	104
Senegal	0.8	0	1.1	0.7	0.7	3	In country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	101
Seychelles		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Sierra Leone	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
South Africa Swaziland	0.4 1.5	97 21	1.4 4.1	1.4 4.1	1.4 4.1	100 19	In country	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	
Togo	1.7	0	0.8	0.8	0	1	No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Uganda	3.2	8	0.6	0.6	0.6	25		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
United Republic of Tanzania	2.0	17	0.4	0.1	0.3	13		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Zambia Zimbabwe	1.5 1.3	1	1.1 0.7	0.7	0	17	In country No	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	

^a LED = Light emitting diode microscopes
 ^b DST = Drug susceptibility testing

^c LPA = Line probe assay
 ^d NRL = National Reference Laboratory

#### TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New T	3 cases			Previou	sly treated TB case	es
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage
Algeria	2002	Survey	National	1.4 (0.60-2.7)	2002	Survey	National	9.1 (1.1-29)
Angola				( /				- ( - )
Benin	2010	Survey	National	0.5 (<0.1-2.0)	2011	Surveillance	National	13 (8.2-20)
Botswana	2008	Survey	National	2.5 (1.5-3.5)	2008	Survey	National	6.6 (2.4-11)
Burkina Faso				(				()
Burundi								
Cameroon								
Cane Verde								
Central African Benublic	2009	Survey	Sub-national	0.44 (<0.1-2.5)	1998	SURVAV	Sub-national	18 (7.0-35)
Chad	2005	Ourvey	Oub Hational	0.44 (<0.1 2.0)	1550	Ourvey	Gub Hational	16 (7.6 65)
Comoros								
Congo								
Côte d'Ivoire	2006	Survey	National	2.5 (1.1_4.9)				
Domocratic Popublic	2000	Ourvey	National	2.0 (1.1 4.0)				
of the Congo								
Equatorial Guipoa								
Equatorial Guinea								
Ethiopia	2005	Survov	National	16 (0 96 2 9)	2005	Survov	National	12 (5.6.21)
Caban	2003	Survey	Inational	1.0 (0.00-2.0)	2003	Survey	Indional	12 (3.0-21)
Gabon	0000	0	Matingal	0.40 ( 0.4.0.0)	0000	0	Matingal	0 (0 10)
Gambia	2000	Survey	National	0.48 (<0.1-2.6)	2000	Survey	National	0 (0-18)
Griana	1000	0	Out- anti-and	0.50 (0.44.4.0)	1000	0	Out- anti- and	00 (14 47)
Guinea	1998	Survey	Sub-national	0.56 (0.11-1.6)	1998	Survey	Sub-national	28 (14-47)
Guinea-Bissau								
Reliya	1005	0	Matingal	0.01 (0.10.0.0)	1005	0	Mada	57 (10.10)
Lesotho	1992	Survey	National	0.91 (0.19-2.6)	1995	Survey	National	5.7 (1.2-16)
Liberia	0007	0	Matinual	0.40 (0.40.4.0)	0007	0	Mada	0.0 (0.40, 40)
Madagascar	2007	Survey	National	0.49 (0.13-1.3)	2007	Survey	National	3.9 (0.48–13)
Malawi	2011	Survey	National	0.42 (0.14-0.97)	2011	Survey	National	4.8 (3.2-6.9)
Maii								
Mauritania		0 "	N	0 (0 0 0)	0010	0 "	AL	0 (0 00)
Mauritius	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-3.0)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-60)
Mozambique	2007	Survey	National	3.5 (2.2-4.8)	2007	Survey	National	12 (0-25)
Namibia	2008	Survey	National	3.8 (2.7-5.1)	2008	Survey	National	16 (13-21)
Niger	0010	0	N.C. I	0.0 (0.1.1.0)	0010	-	N	11 (10 10)
Nigeria	2010	Survey	National	2.9 (2.1-4.0)	2010	Survey	National	14 (10–19)
Rwanda	2005	Survey	National	3.9 (2.5-5.8)	2010	Surveillance	National	19 (15-23)
Sao Tome and Principe					2012	Surveillance	National	88 (4/-100)
Senegal	2006	Survey	National	2.1 (0.69-4.9)	2006	Survey	National	17 (7.0-31)
Seychelles	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-23)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-84)
Sierra Leone	1997	Survey	National	0.85 (<0.1-4.7)	1997	Survey	National	23 (5.0-54)
South Africa	2002	Survey	National	1.8 (1.4–2.3)	2002	Survey	National	6.7 (5.4–8.2)
Swaziland	2009	Survey	National	7.7 (4.8–11)	2009	Survey	National	34 (28–39)
Togo								
Uganda	2011	Survey	National	1.4 (0.60-2.2)	2011	Survey	National	12 (6.8–19)
United Republic of Tanzan	ia 2007	Survey	National	1.1 (0.30-2.8)	2007	Survey	National	0 (0-5.9)
Zambia	2009	- Furniou	National	0.22 (-0.1.1.2)	2008	- Furney	National	0.1 (4.1.14)
Zampia	2008	Survey	inational	0.33 (<0.1-1.2)	2008	Survey	inational	8.1 (4.1-14)
∠impapwe	1995	Survey	National	1.9 (1.0-3.3)	1995	Survey	National	8.3 (1.8–22)

a Empty rows indicate an absence of high-quality survey or surveillance data. In the absence of high-quality national data, high-quality sub-national data are used.

# REGION OF THE AMERICAS

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	183
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	186
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	189
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	192
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	195
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	198
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	200
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	202
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	205
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	206

# Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

#### **Data source**

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

#### **Country notes**

#### **Caribbean Islands**

Data collection from Caribbean Islands that are not Member States of WHO was resumed in 2011 after a break of a few years. This includes Aruba, Curaçao, Puerto Rico and Sint Maarten, which are Associate Members of the Pan American Health Organization, plus the territories of Anguilla, Bermuda, Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Montserrat and Turks and Caicos Islands. Data are not currently independently collected from the US Virgin Islands

#### USA

In addition to the 51 reporting areas, the USA includes territories that report separately to WHO. The data for these territories are not included in the data reported by the USA. Definitions of case types and outcomes do not exactly match those used by WHO.

			MORTALITY (E	XCLUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INC	LUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	DING HIV)
	YEAR	POPULATION	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Anguilla	1990	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	60 (22-118)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	24 (15-35)
	1995 2000	<1 <1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	47 (23-81) 56 (24-102)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	23 (20–27) 23 (18–27)
	2005	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	54 (23-99)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	22 (18-26)
	2011	<1	0 (0-14)	0 (0-100 000)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	50 (22–89)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	21 (17-25)
Antigua and	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.9 (3.5–4.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	2.8 (0.83-6.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.9 (1.6–2.1)
Barbuda	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0 (0–0) 1.8 (1.5–2.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	4.8 (1.4–10) 9.3 (4.6–16)	0 (0–0) <0.01 (<0.01–<0.01)	0 (0-0) 5.9 (5.2-6.7)
	2005 2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<u>1.4 (1.3–1.4)</u> 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.018) <0.01 (<0.01–0.016)	9.4 (2.2–22) 5.3 (0.24–18)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.4 (7.3–9.5) 9.2 (8.1–10)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.4 (1.2–1.5) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.015) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	6.8 (1.1–18) 4.8 (1.6–9.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.8 (6.9-8.9) 3.9 (3.4-4.4)
Argentina	1990	33 35	1.4 (1.3–1.4) 1.2 (1.1–1.2)	4.2 (4.1-4.2)	33 (12–64) 26 (12–46)	102 (38–198) 74 (33–131)	19 (13–28) 17 (14–21)	60 (39-85) 49 (40-59)
	2000	37	0.84 (0.810-0.870)	2.3 (2.2–2.3)	22 (9.5–39)	59 (26-107)	15 (12–18)	40 (33-49)
	2005	40	0.54 (0.520-0.570)	1.3 (1.3–1.4)	16 (7.1–29)	48 (20-87) 40 (18-71)	13 (11–15) 11 (9.1–13)	27 (23–32)
	2011 2012	41 41	0.55 (0.520-0.570) 0.55 (0.530-0.580)	1.3 (1.3–1.4) 1.3 (1.3–1.4)	16 (6.8–28) 15 (6.5–27)	38 (17–68) 36 (16–65)	11 (8.9–13) 10 (8.6–12)	26 (22–31) 25 (21–30)
Aruba	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.78 (<0.1-2.5) 0.78 (<0.1-2.5)	0.013 (<0.01-0.024) 0.016 (<0.01-0.031)	20 (7.9–38) 20 (7.9–38)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011) 0.013 (0.011-0.014)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.78 (<0.1-2.5)	0.018 (<0.01-0.035)	20 (7.9–38)	0.014 (0.013-0.016)	16 (14-18)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	0.78 (<0.1-2.5)	0.021 (<0.01-0.039)	20 (7.9–38)	0.016 (0.014 0.018)	16 (14-18)
·	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.78 (<0.1–2.5)	0.021 (<0.01-0.039)	20 (7.9–38) 20 (7.9–38)	0.016 (0.014–0.018)	16 (14–18)
Bahamas	1990 1995	<1 <1	0.043 (0.043-0.043) 0.012 (0.012-0.012)	17 (17–17) 4.3 (4.3–4.3)	0.056 (0.024-0.100) 0.064 (0.027-0.120)	22 (9.5–39) 23 (9.7–41)	0.053 (0.046-0.060) 0.066 (0.057-0.074)	21 (18–23) 23 (21–26)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.2 (2.1–2.3) 1.1 (1.1–1.1)	0.1 (0.050-0.170) 0.056 (0.025-0.100)	34 (17–57) 17 (7.6–30)	0.094 (0.083-0.110) 0.055 (0.048-0.062)	32 (28-36) 17 (15-19)
	2010 2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.51 (0.49-0.53)	0.037 (0.015-0.068)	10 (4.2–19) 16 (7.6–27)	0.036 (0.031-0.040)	9.9 (8.7–11)
Barbados	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.37 (0.36-0.38)	0.04 (0.016-0.076)	11 (4.2-21)	0.037 (0.032-0.042)	9.9 (8.7–11)
Darbauus	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.63 (0.62–0.64)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	1.5 (0.56-3.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.62 (0.61-0.63)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011) 0.016 (<0.01-0.027)	2.1 (0.79–4.2) 5.7 (2.7–9.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.014 (0.012-0.016)	1.3 (1.1–1.5) 5.1 (4.4–5.7)
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.69 (0.67-0.70) 0.69 (0.67-0.70)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.013) <0.01 (<0.01–<0.01)	2.8 (1.4-4.7) 0.79 (0.30-1.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	2.5 (2.2–2.8) 0 (0–0)
Belize	2012 1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.69 (0.67-0.70) 2.5 (1.9-3.1)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.1 (0.033-0.210)	1.8 (0.77-3.1) 55 (17-113)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.075 (0.052-0.100)	1.6 (1.4-1.8) 40 (28-54)
	1995	< 1	0.014 (0.013-0.016)	7 (6.3-7.7)	0.1 (0.037-0.200)	49 (18-95)	0.083 (0.068-0.099)	40 (33-48)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.2 (2.1–2.2)	0.13 (0.055-0.230)	48 (20-86)	0.11 (0.094-0.120)	40 (34-46)
	2010	< 1	0.013 (0.013-0.013) 0.014 (0.014-0.014)	4.3 (4.3-4.3) 4.3 (4.3-4.3)	0.16 (0.073-0.290)	53 (24–93) 52 (23–93)	0.12 (0.100-0.150)	40 (33–48) 40 (33–48)
Bermuda	2012 1990	<1	0.014 (0.014-0.014) 0 (0-0)	<u>4.3 (4.3–4.3)</u> 0 (0–0)	0.17 (0.071–0.300) <0.01 (<0.01–<0.01)	51 (22–92) 3.9 (1.2–8.3)	0.13 (0.110-0.160) 0 (0-0)	<u>40 (33–48)</u> 0 (0–0)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.013) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	13 (6.6–22) 1.2 (0.35–2.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	7.5 (6.6–8.5) 0 (0–0)
	2005	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	13 (6.4–22) 3.8 (0.97–8.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.1 (7.1-9.1)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.18 (0.18–0.18)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3 (0.78-6.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.8 (1.5-2.0)
Bolivia	1990	7	2.7 (0.710-6.0)	40 (10-89)	28 (11–55)	419 (156–810)	17 (11–24)	251 (166–354)
(Plunnational State of)	2000	8	2.5 (1.2-4.4) 2.4 (1.0-4.3)	28 (12–51)	25 (12-43)	299 (145–506)	16 (13–19)	184 (151-221)
	2005	10	2.3 (0.950-4.1) 2.2 (0.940-4.0)	24 (10-44) 22 (9.3-39)	24 (12–41) 23 (11–39)	258 (126–436) 227 (113–381)	15 (12–18) 14 (11–16)	158 (129–190) 135 (111–161)
	2011 2012	10 10	2.2 (0.930-4.0) 2.2 (0.930-3.9)	21 (9.1–38) 21 (8.8–37)	23 (11–38) 23 (11–38)	221 (110-370) 215 (107-360)	14 (11–16) 13 (11–16)	131 (108–156) 127 (105–151)
Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	2010	<1 <1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.1 (3.2–15)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 6.3 (5.5-7.2)
Brazil	2012	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	210 (73-420)	140 (49-278)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
Didzii	1995	162	8.6 (6.8–11)	5.3 (4.2–6.6)	170 (76-290)	103 (47–180)	120 (94–140)	71 (58-85)
	2000	186	5.8 (5.2–6.5)	4.4 (3.6–5.3) 3.1 (2.8–3.5)	120 (52-220)	66 (28–119)	95 (80–110)	51 (43–60)
	2010 2011	195 197	5.4 (5.0-5.8) 5.1 (4.8-5.4)	2.7 (2.5–3.0) 2.6 (2.4–2.8)	110 (47-210) 120 (54-220)	58 (24–106) 62 (27–112)	91 (75–110) 95 (78–110)	46 (38-55) 48 (40-57)
British Virgin	2012 1990	199 < 1	4.9 (4.6-5.2) 0 (0-0)	2.5 (2.3–2.6) 0 (0–0)	120 (51–210) <0.01 (<0.01–<0.01)	59 (25–107) 23 (9.3–43)	92 (76-110) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	46 (38–55) 17 (15–20)
Islands	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.5 (5.5–5.5) 5.3 (5.1–5.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	23 (9.5–43) 8.4 (2.5–18)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	17 (15–20) 5.6 (4.9–6.3)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.6 (4.4-4.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.7 (0.82-5.8)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.1 (4.0-4.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.97 (0.29–2.0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
Canada	1990	28	0.12 (0.110-0.120)	0.42 (0.41–0.44)	3 (1.3–5.6)	11 (4.6–20)	2.3 (2.0–2.6)	8.3 (7.3–9.4)
	2000	31	0.082 (0.081-0.082)	0.27 (0.26-0.27)	2.6 (1.1–4.8)	8.5 (3.5–16)	2 (1.7–2.2)	6.5 (5.7–7.3)
	2005	32	0.086 (0.086-0.086) 0.074 (0.074-0.074)	0.27 (0.27-0.27)	2.4 (1.0-4.3) 2 (0.770-3.7)	7.3 (3.1–13) 5.7 (2.2–11)	1.8 (1.6-2.0) 1.6 (1.4-1.8)	<u>5.5 (4.8–6.3)</u> 4.6 (4.0–5.2)
	2011 2012	34 35	0.071 (0.070-0.071) 0.067 (0.067-0.068)	0.21 (0.20-0.21) 0.19 (0.19-0.19)	2.2 (0.950-3.9) 2.1 (0.900-3.8)	6.3 (2.7–11) 6.1 (2.6–11)	1.6 (1.4–1.9) 1.6 (1.4–1.8)	4.8 (4.2–5.4) 4.6 (4.0–5.2)
Cayman Islands	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	4 (4.0-4.1) 0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (3.5–25) 10 (4.4–18)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9.2 (8.1-10) 7.3 (6.4-8.2)
	2000 2005	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.012 (<0.01-0.020)	28 (14–48) 2 2 (1 1–3 7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	14 (12–16)
	2010	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01 -0.016)	15 (5.5–28)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	8.3 (7.3–9.4)
Chile	2012	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.011 (<0.01-0.010)	18 (8.2–33)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (11-14)
Unile	1990 1995	13 14	0.76 (0.710-0.820) 0.5 (0.460-0.540)	5.8 (5.3-6.2) 3.5 (3.2-3.7)	10 (4.4–18) 6.4 (2.6–12)	76 (34–136) 44 (18–82)	7.1 (6.2–8.0) 4.8 (4.2–5.4)	54 (4/-61) 33 (29-37)
	2000 2005	15 16	0.3 (0.290-0.310) 0.24 (0.240-0.240)	1.9 (1.8–2.0) 1.5 (1.4–1.5)	4.6 (1.9–8.6) 4 (1.8–7.1)	30 (12-55) 25 (11-44)	3.5 (3.0-3.9) 2.9 (2.5-3.3)	22 (20-25) 18 (15-20)
	2010 2011	17 17	0.23 (0.220-0.230) 0.22 (0.220-0.230)	1.3 (1.3–1.4) 1.3 (1.3–1.3)	3.7 (1.5–6.7) 3.8 (1.6–6.9)	21 (8.9–39) 22 (9.5–40)	2.7 (2.4–3.1) 2.8 (2.5–3.2)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)
Colombia	2012	17	0.21 (0.210-0.220)	1.2 (1.2-1.2)	3.6 (1.4–6.7) 28 (10–55)	21 (8.3-38)	2.8 (2.4-3.1)	16 (14-18)
_ =	1995	37	2 (1.8-2.2)	5.3 (4.8-5.9)	30 (15–51)	83 (41–140)	18 (14–21)	48 (39–58)
	2000	43	1 (1.0-1.0)	2.4 (2.3–2.4)	25 (12-43)	58 (28-100)	17 (14-21) 17 (14-20)	38 (31-46)
	2010 2011	40 47	0.9 (0.890-0.910) 0.84 (0.830-0.850)	1.9 (1.9–2.0) 1.8 (1.8–1.8)	24 (11–41) 23 (11–40)	51 (24-87) 49 (23-85)	16 (13–19) 16 (13–19)	34 (28–41) 34 (28–40)

# TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.

### TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012

PM-MP         PM-MP <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th colspan="2">MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV)</th><th>PREVALENCE (INCL</th><th>UDING HIV)</th><th colspan="3">INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)</th></th<>				MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV)		PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		
Open The		YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	
1980         4         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0	Costa Rica	1990	3	0.078 (0.072-0.083)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)	3.6 (1.7–6.3)	118 (54-205)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	48 (42–54)	
Sec.         Sec. <th< th=""><th></th><th>1995 2000</th><th>3 4</th><th>0.11 (0.100-0.110) 0.07 (0.067-0.072)</th><th>3.1 (3.0-3.2) 1.8 (1.7-1.8)</th><th>3 (1.5–5.0) 2.5 (1.3–4.0)</th><th>87 (44–143) 63 (33–101)</th><th>1.5 (1.3–1.7) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)</th><th>43 (37–48) 35 (31–39)</th></th<>		1995 2000	3 4	0.11 (0.100-0.110) 0.07 (0.067-0.072)	3.1 (3.0-3.2) 1.8 (1.7-1.8)	3 (1.5–5.0) 2.5 (1.3–4.0)	87 (44–143) 63 (33–101)	1.5 (1.3–1.7) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	43 (37–48) 35 (31–39)	
2011         5         Code         Co		2005	4	0.06 (0.058-0.061) 0.043 (0.038-0.047)	1.4 (1.3–1.4) 0.92 (0.82–1.0)	1.7 (0.920-2.8) 0.93 (0.460-1.6)	40 (21-65) 20 (9.8-33)	1 (0.880-1.1) 0.65 (0.570-0.740)	23 (20-26)	
GLA         100         11         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000         0.000<		2011	5	0.04 (0.036-0.045)	0.85 (0.76-0.95)	0.78 (0.360-1.4)	16 (7.6–29) 12 (4.7–24)	0.59 (0.510-0.660)	12 (11-14)	
1000         11         1004         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100 <th>Cuba</th> <th>1990</th> <th>11</th> <th>0.062 (0.059-0.065)</th> <th>0.58 (0.55–0.62)</th> <th>6.4 (2.4–12)</th> <th>60 (23–115)</th> <th>2.6 (1.6–3.9)</th> <th>25 (15–37)</th>	Cuba	1990	11	0.062 (0.059-0.065)	0.58 (0.55–0.62)	6.4 (2.4–12)	60 (23–115)	2.6 (1.6–3.9)	25 (15–37)	
State         11         0.003 0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         12         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00         0.002-0.00 <th></th> <th>2000</th> <th>11</th> <th>0.096 (0.095-0.098) 0.046 (0.045-0.047)</th> <th>0.88 (0.87-0.89) 0.41 (0.40-0.42)</th> <th>3.5 (1.7-5.9) 2.2 (0.940-3.9)</th> <th>32 (15–54) 19 (8.4–35)</th> <th>2 (1.7-2.5) 1.4 (1.1-1.8)</th> <th>19 (15–23) 13 (10–16)</th>		2000	11	0.096 (0.095-0.098) 0.046 (0.045-0.047)	0.88 (0.87-0.89) 0.41 (0.40-0.42)	3.5 (1.7-5.9) 2.2 (0.940-3.9)	32 (15–54) 19 (8.4–35)	2 (1.7-2.5) 1.4 (1.1-1.8)	19 (15–23) 13 (10–16)	
211         11         0.000 0000-0000         0.000 000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-0000         0.0000-00000         0.0000-0000         0.		2005	11	0.033 (0.033-0.034) 0.039 (0.039-0.040)	0.3 (0.30-0.30) 0.35 (0.35-0.35)	1.6 (0.730-2.8) 1.6 (0.730-2.8)	14 (6.4-25) 14 (6.4-25)	1 (0.850-1.3) 1 (0.840-1.3)	9.2 (7.5–11) 9.3 (7.4–11)	
Diright         Birl         -1         -0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)         0.01 (0.01-0.07)<		2011 2012	11 11	0.038 (0.038-0.038) 0.038 (0.038-0.038)	0.33 (0.33-0.34) 0.33 (0.33-0.34)	1.6 (0.700-2.8) 1.6 (0.670-2.8)	14 (6.2–25) 14 (5.9–25)	1 (0.830-1.3) 1 (0.840-1.3)	9.3 (7.4–11) 9.3 (7.4–11)	
BUD         Control         Control <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontr< th=""><th>Curaçao</th><th>2010</th><th>&lt; 1</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</th><th>0.19 (&lt;0.1-0.63)</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-0.014)</th><th>5 (2.0-9.4) 0 97 (0.38-1.8)</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</th><th>3.9 (3.4-4.4)</th></thcontr<></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>	Curaçao	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.19 (<0.1-0.63)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	5 (2.0-9.4) 0 97 (0.38-1.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.9 (3.4-4.4)	
Monta         198         -1         201 (201-102)         19 (2-36)         19 (2-36)         10 (201-202)         14 (2-7)           MORE         -1         201 (201-202)         10 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         201 (201-202)         14 (2-7)           MORE         -1         201 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         201 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         201 (201-202)         14 (2-7)           MORE         -1         401 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         300 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         300 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         14 (2-7)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)         301 (201-202)	Dominico	2012	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	<0.1 (0-0.18)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.95 (0.37–1.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.74 (0.65-0.84)	
B000         -1         -0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00	Dominica	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (2.2–2.5)	0.012 (<0.01-0.031) 0.014 (<0.01-0.026)	19 (7.3–36)	0.01 (<0.01-0.013)	14 (12–17)	
2010         -1         -4.01         -4.01         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0         -4.0 <th< th=""><th></th><th>2000</th><th>&lt; 1 &lt; 1</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</th><th>3.4 (3.1–3.7) 1.3 (1.3–1.3)</th><th>0.02 (&lt;0.01-0.034) 0.012 (&lt;0.01-0.024)</th><th>28 (13–49) 17 (6.3–34)</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01–0.012) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01–0.011)</th><th>14 (11–17) 13 (11–16)</th></th<>		2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.4 (3.1–3.7) 1.3 (1.3–1.3)	0.02 (<0.01-0.034) 0.012 (<0.01-0.024)	28 (13–49) 17 (6.3–34)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.012) <0.01 (<0.01–0.011)	14 (11–17) 13 (11–16)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2010 2011	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.2 (3.2–3.3) 2.1 (2.1–2.1)	0.013 (<0.01-0.024) 0.016 (<0.01-0.033)	19 (7.9–34) 23 (7.2–46)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.011) <0.01 (<0.01–0.011)	13 (11–16) 13 (11–16)	
Bigs, Dir.         108         6         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <t< th=""><th>Dominican</th><th>2012</th><th>&lt; 1</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) 1 (0.550-1.6)</th><th>2 (2.0-2.0)</th><th>0.018 (&lt;0.01-0.030)</th><th>25 (12-42)</th><th>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-0.011)</th><th>13 (11-15)</th></t<>	Dominican	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 1 (0.550-1.6)	2 (2.0-2.0)	0.018 (<0.01-0.030)	25 (12-42)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	13 (11-15)	
1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000 <th< th=""><th>Republic</th><th>1995</th><th>8</th><th>1 (0.510–1.7)</th><th>13 (6.4–21) 8 7 (4.6–14)</th><th>17 (8.6–29)</th><th>215 (108–360) 159 (78–268)</th><th>9.7 (7.9–12)</th><th>121 (99–146)</th></th<>	Republic	1995	8	1 (0.510–1.7)	13 (6.4–21) 8 7 (4.6–14)	17 (8.6–29)	215 (108–360) 159 (78–268)	9.7 (7.9–12)	121 (99–146)	
2011         101         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.120         0.		2005	9	0.59 (0.380-0.850)	6.3 (4.0-9.1)	12 (6.0-21)	131 (65–220)	7.7 (6.3–9.2)	82 (67–98)	
2000/m         2000         2000/m         2000/m <th></th> <th>2010</th> <th>10</th> <th>0.53 (0.390-0.680) 0.49 (0.380-0.620)</th> <th>5.3 (3.9–6.8) 4.9 (3.8–6.1)</th> <th>10 (5.1–18)</th> <th>107 (53–181) 103 (50–173)</th> <th>6.6 (5.4–7.8)</th> <th>65 (53-77)</th>		2010	10	0.53 (0.390-0.680) 0.49 (0.380-0.620)	5.3 (3.9–6.8) 4.9 (3.8–6.1)	10 (5.1–18)	107 (53–181) 103 (50–173)	6.6 (5.4–7.8)	65 (53-77)	
1985         11         2 (1-4-7)         17 (1-24)         27 (1-4-3)         26 (12-40)         15 (1-1-3)         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         13 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12         14 (35)-12	Ecuador	2012 1990	10	0.46 (0.380-0.550) 2 (1.4-2.6)	<u>4.4 (3.7–5.3)</u> 19 (14–26)	10 (4.9–17) 34 (13–66)	98 (48–165) 340 (127–655)	6.4 (5.3–7.6) 18 (11–26)	62 (51–74) 174 (108–257)	
2005         1.4         1.1         0.2         7.7         6.6         0.0         0.0         0.4         0.4         0.4         0.6         0.6         0.7         0.0         0.1         0.0         0.1         0.0         0.1         0.0         0.1         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0 <th></th> <th>1995 2000</th> <th>11 13</th> <th>2 (1.4–2.7) 1.8 (1.3–2.3)</th> <th>17 (12–24) 14 (10–19)</th> <th>27 (14-46) 23 (12-39)</th> <th>242 (121-403) 187 (93-313)</th> <th>15 (13–19) 13 (11–16)</th> <th>136 (111–164) 107 (87–128)</th>		1995 2000	11 13	2 (1.4–2.7) 1.8 (1.3–2.3)	17 (12–24) 14 (10–19)	27 (14-46) 23 (12-39)	242 (121-403) 187 (93-313)	15 (13–19) 13 (11–16)	136 (111–164) 107 (87–128)	
2011         15         0.0         15         0.4         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0		2005	14	1.1 (0.910-1.2)	7.7 (6.6–9.0)	20 (10-34) 17 (8.5-28)	148 (74-248)	11 (9.4–14) 9.7 (8.0–12)	83 (68–100) 65 (54–77)	
Elsbeddr         1999         6         0.23         0.29         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24         0.24 <t< th=""><th></th><th>2011</th><th>15</th><th>0.53 (0.460-0.610)</th><th>3.5 (3.0-4.0)</th><th>16 (8.1–27) 15 (7.6–25)</th><th>106 (53–176)</th><th>9.4 (7.8–11)</th><th>62 (51-74) 59 (48-70)</th></t<>		2011	15	0.53 (0.460-0.610)	3.5 (3.0-4.0)	16 (8.1–27) 15 (7.6–25)	106 (53–176)	9.4 (7.8–11)	62 (51-74) 59 (48-70)	
1900         6         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.11         1.	El Salvador	1990	5	0.26 (0.150-0.390)	4.8 (2.8–7.4)	5.1 (1.7–10)	95 (32–191)	3.4 (2.3–4.7)	63 (43–88) 45 (00–50)	
2007         4         1.0.10         1.0.20         1.2         1.0.2.2.1         1.0.2         1.0.2.2.1         1.0.2.2.1         1.0.2.2.1         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2.2.2.2         1.0.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.		2000	6	0.17 (0.120-0.210)	3.8 (2.6–5.2) 2.8 (2.1–3.6)	3.1 (1.2-6.1) 3.3 (1.6-5.7)	55 (21–105) 56 (27–96)	2.6 (2.3–2.9) 2.2 (1.8–2.6)	45 (39–50) 37 (30–44)	
2011         6         0.071         0.051-0.054         11         0.051-0.054         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         1		2005	6	0.11 (0.087-0.140) 0.076 (0.056-0.100)	1.8 (1.4–2.3) 1.2 (0.90–1.6)	3.7 (1.8–6.2) 2.1 (0.740–4.0)	60 (29–103) 33 (12–65)	2.4 (1.9-2.9) 1.8 (1.5-2.0)	39 (32–47) 28 (24–33)	
Grenals         199          0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0<		2011 2012	6 6	0.071 (0.051-0.094) 0.065 (0.046-0.088)	1.1 (0.81–1.5) 1 (0.73–1.4)	2.1 (0.760-4.2) 2.2 (0.770-4.3)	34 (12–67) 34 (12–68)	1.7 (1.4–1.9) 1.6 (1.4–1.8)	27 (23–31) 25 (22–29)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Grenada	1990 1995	<1 <1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0.01 (<0.01-0.020) <0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	11 (3.9–21) 8.2 (4.1–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.6 (2.9–6.8) 4.5 (3.8–5.2)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2000	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.015)	8.6 (4.1–15)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.4 (3.6–5.2)	
2012          -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -		2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	0.76 (0.75–0.76)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.013)	5.5 (1.3–13)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	4.1 (3.4–4.9)	
Cullemma Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Line		2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.99 (0.95–1.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.013)	6.8 (2.1–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.1 (3.4–4.9)	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2000 & 11 & 0.57 & (0.510-0.484) & 51 & (4.8-57) & 14 & (71-24) & 128 & (28-21) & 72 & (8 & (28-3)) & (88 & (58-51)) \\ \hline 2010 & 15 & 0.32 & (220-0.380) & 21 & (15-22) & 17 & (126-28) & 111 & (195-180) & 91 & (75-11) & 61 & (195-72) \\ \hline 2011 & 15 & 0.32 & (220-0.380) & 21 & (15-22) & 17 & (182-28) & 111 & (15-180) & 91 & (75-11) & 61 & (15-72) \\ \hline 2012 & 15 & 0.58 & (0.080-0.780) & 75 & (15-12) & 1.1 & (0.520-13) & 118 & (15-180) & 91 & (75-11) & 61 & (15-72) \\ \hline 2005 & (-1 & 0.069 & (0.081-0.180) & 13 & (8.2-20) & 1.4 & (0.520-27) & 193 & (72-51) & 0.58 & (0.480-0.980) & 89 & (55-13) \\ \hline 2005 & (-1 & 0.069 & (0.081-0.180) & 13 & (8.2-20) & 1.1 & (0.490-1.8) & 138 & (82-541) & 0.28 & (0.580-0.180) & 108 & (8-120) \\ \hline 2005 & (-1 & 0.122 & (0.080-0.140) & 15 & (12-17) & 1 & (0.430-1.9) & 131 & (84-342) & 0.57 & (0.720-1.0) & 119 & (8-120) \\ \hline 2011 & (-1 & 0.12 & (0.089-0.140) & 15 & (12-17) & 1 & (0.430-1.9) & 131 & (84-342) & 0.57 & (0.720-1.0) & 119 & (8-130) \\ \hline 4101 & 1990 & 7 & 2.5 & (0.482-6.4) & 36 & (6.3-90) & 27 & (12-56) & 376 & (115-76) & 18 & (11-25) & 247 & (12-56) \\ \hline 2005 & 9 & 3.4 & (12-6.6) & 37 & (11-77) & 36 & (17-71) & 38 & (17-71) & 18 & (11-25) & 247 & (12-28) \\ \hline 2005 & 9 & 3.4 & (12-6.6) & 37 & (11-55) & 320 & (156-550) & 22 & (19-30) & 272 & (22-28)) \\ \hline 2001 & 10 & 2.7 & (11-54) & 2.7 & (11-51) & 31 & (15-53) & 300 & (146-28) & 2.2 & (18-26) & 23 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-27) & 220 & (19-$	Guatemala	1990 1995	10	0.86 (0.800-0.930) 0.63 (0.570-0.700)	9.7 (9.0–10) 6.3 (5.7–7.1)	13 (4.8–24) 14 (6.8–23)	142 (53–274) 139 (69–233)	6.6 (4.1–9.7) 7.1 (5.8–8.5)	74 (47–109) 71 (58–85)	
2010         14         0.54         (0.310-0.370)         2.2         (2.1-2.4)         16         (1.6-2.7)         11.2         (6.1-3)         (6.2)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3)         (1.6-1-3		2000 2005	11 13	0.57 (0.510-0.640) 0.41 (0.370-0.460)	5.1 (4.6–5.7) 3.3 (2.9–3.6)	14 (7.1–24) 15 (7.4–25)	129 (63–217) 119 (59–200)	7.6 (6.2–9.1) 8.2 (6.7–9.9)	68 (55–81) 65 (53–78)	
2012         15         0.31         0.280-0340         2.1         17         8.2-83         110         6.1-17         9.1         17.5-11         60         60-572           2000         -1         0.056         0.050-0155         11.1         0.050-13         133         126-525         0.055         0.050         0.056         0.050-030         111         11.1         0.050-13         133         166-225         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.055         0.0		2010 2011	14 15	0.34 (0.310-0.370) 0.32 (0.290-0.350)	2.3 (2.1–2.6) 2.2 (2.0–2.4)	16 (7.9–27) 16 (8.0–28)	112 (55–189) 111 (55–188)	8.8 (7.3–11) 9 (7.4–11)	62 (51-73) 61 (50-73)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Guvana	2012	15	0.31 (0.280-0.340) 0.054 (0.036-0.075)	2.1 (1.9-2.2) 7.5 (5.0-10)	17 (8.2–28) 1.4 (0.520–2.7)	110 (54–187) 193 (72–372)	9.1 (7.5-11) 0.65 (0.400-0.960)	60 (50-72) 89 (55-132)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	,	1995	< 1	0.067 (0.049-0.087)	9.1 (6.7–12) 13 (8 2–20)	1.1 (0.550-1.9)	153 (75-258)	0.65 (0.530-0.780)	89 (73–107) 104 (85–125)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2005	<1	0.12 (0.110-0.140)	<u>16 (14–19)</u> 15 (12–17)	1.1 (0.470-1.9)	<u>139 (62–248)</u> 132 (55–241)	0.88 (0.720-1.1)	<u>115 (94–138)</u> 111 (91–132)	
Hail         Hail         Los (Lides 4, Hol)         Lides 4, Hol)         Li		2010	<1	0.12 (0.099-0.140)	15 (12–17)	1 (0.430-1.9)	131 (54–241)	0.87 (0.720-1.0)	110 (91–131)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Haiti	1990	7	2.5 (0.450-6.4)	36 (6.3–90)	27 (8.2–56)	376 (115–787)	18 (11–26)	247 (153–365)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1995 2000	8 9	3 (1.1-6.0) 3.4 (1.2-6.7)	39 (14–77) 40 (14–78)	30 (14–51) 34 (17–58)	400 (193–681)	19 (16–23) 23 (19–28)	247 (202–297) 271 (221–325)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2005	9 10	3.4 (1.2–6.6) 2.9 (1.1–5.4)	37 (13–71) 29 (11–55)	36 (17–61) 32 (15–55)	388 (187–659) 326 (156–556)	25 (21–30) 23 (19–27)	272 (222–326) 230 (190–275)	
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Honduras} & 1990 & 5 & 0.31 (0.096-0.650) & 6.4 (20-13) & 3.7 (3.0-18) & 172 (60-358) & 5.6 (3.6-7.9) & 113 (72-162) \\ \mbox{2006} & 6 & 0.31 (0.060-0.760) & 5.2 (19-13) & 0.55 (3.0-19) & 169 (54-347) & 7.1 (4.6-10) & 114 (74-163) \\ \mbox{2005} & 7 & 0.26 (0.025-0.760) & 3.8 (0.36-11) & 7.7 (2.5-16) & 112 (36-228) & 5.1 (3.2-7.2) & 7.3 (47-104) \\ \mbox{2011} & 8 & 0.24 (-0.01-0.840) & 3.1 (0.12-11) & 6.4 (2.2-13) & 84 (28-169) & 4.2 (2.7-5.0) & 54 (35-77) \\ \mbox{2012} & 8 & 0.23 (-0.01-0.850) & 2.9 (-0.1-11) & 6.5 (2.2-13) & 84 (28-169) & 4.2 (2.7-5.0) & 54 (35-77) \\ \mbox{2012} & 8 & 0.23 (-0.01-0.850) & 2.9 (-0.1-11) & 6.5 (2.2-13) & 84 (28-169) & 4.2 (2.7-5.0) & 6.5 (4.7-8.8) \\ \mbox{2006} & 1.0 (0.015-0.020) & 0.81 (0.61-77) & 0.22 (10.00-0.380) & 8.9 (4.1-16) & 0.16 (0.130-0.190) & 6.5 (5.4-7.9) \\ \mbox{2000} & 3 & 0.016 (0.015-0.020) & 6.8 (0.61-77) & 0.22 (10.00-0.380) & 8.1 (3.7-14) & 0.17 (0.140-0.200) & 6.5 (6.4-7.9) \\ \mbox{2010} & 3 & 0.011 (-0.01-0.013) & 0.4 (0.32-0.48) & 0.28 (0.130-0.440) & 8.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.9) \\ \mbox{2010} & 3 & 0.011 (-0.01-0.013) & 0.24 (0.21-0.21) & 0.28 (0.130-0.440) & 8.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.9) \\ \mbox{2011} & 3 & -0.011 (-0.01-0.013) & 0.24 (0.21-0.21) & 0.28 (0.130-0.440) & 8.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.9) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.3 & -0.011 (-0.01-0.013) & 0.22 (0.160-0.440) & 9.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.8) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01) & 0.26 (0.12-0.020) & 0.26 (0.130-0.440) & 9.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.8) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01) & 0.26 (0.12-0.01) & 0.28 (0.130-0.440) & 9.4 (4.6-16) & 0.18 (0.150-0.220) & 6.6 (5.4-7.8) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01) & 0.26 (0.12-0.01) & 1.45 (71-246) & 5.7 (42-66) & 6.7 (57-77) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01) & 0.26 (0.12-0.01) & 1.45 (71-246) & 5.7 (42-66) & 6.7 (57-77) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01-0.01) & 2.2 (2.2-1) & 0.01 (-0.01-0.01) & 1.1 (2.4-2.9) \\ \mbox{2010} & 1.4 & 0.01 (-0.01-0.0$		2011 2012	10 10	2.7 (1.1-5.1) 2.6 (1.0-4.9)	27 (11–51) 25 (10–48)	31 (15–53) 30 (14–52)	309 (148-528) 296 (140-509)	22 (18–27) 22 (18–26)	222 (183-265) 213 (176-254)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Honduras	1990 1995	5	0.31 (0.096-0.650) 0.35 (0.110-0.730)	6.4 (2.0–13) 6.2 (1.9–13)	8.7 (3.0–18) 9.5 (3.0–19)	178 (60–358) 169 (54–348)	5.6 (3.6-7.9) 6.4 (4.1-9.2)	113 (73–162) 115 (74–164)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000	6	0.31 (0.060-0.760)	5 (0.96–12) 3.8 (0.36–11)	11 (3.4–22) 7 7 (2.5–16)	169 (54–347) 112 (36–228)	7.1 (4.6–10)	114 (74–163)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2010	8	0.24 (<0.01-0.840)	3.1 (0.12–11)	6.4 (2.2–13)	84 (29–170)	4.1 (2.7–5.9)	54 (35-77)	
jamaraca         issu         2         0.025 (0.020-0.031)         0.037 (0.081-0.440)         9.5 (3.4-19)         0.15 (0.110-0.210)         6.5 (5.4-7-8)           2000         3         0.016 (0.013-0.020)         0.63 (0.51-0.77)         0.22 (0.000-0.380)         8.1 (3.7-14)         0.17 (0.140-0.200)         6.5 (5.4-7.9)           2010         3         0.011 (c.0.01-0.01)         0.26 (0.210-0.31)         0.26 (0.130-0.430)         9.4 (4.6-16)         0.18 (0.150-0.210)         6.5 (5.4-7.9)           2011         3         <0.01 (c.0.010.01)         0.26 (0.21-0.31)         0.26 (0.130-0.440)         9.4 (4.6-16)         0.18 (0.150-0.220)         6.6 (5.4-7.8)           2012         3         <0.01 (c.0.010.01)         0.22 (0.18-0.28)         0.26 (0.130-0.440)         9.4 (4.6-16)         0.18 (0.150-0.220)         6.6 (5.4-7.8)           1995         95         5.3 (4.9-5.7)         5.5 (5.2-5.9)         85 (42-140)         89 (46-146)         44 (38-51)         46 (4-65)           2000         104         3.5 (3.3-3.6)         3.3 (3.2-3.5)         53 (27-87)         51 (26-84)         22 (27-37)         31 (26-36)           2010         118         2.6 (25-27)         2.2 (2,1-2.3)         40 (19-67)         34 (16-57)         28 (23-30)         22 (19-26)          2011	Innein	2011	8	0.24 (<0.01-0.850) 0.23 (<0.01-0.850)	2.9 (<0.1-11)	6.5 (2.1–13) 6.5 (2.1–13)	82 (27-168)	4.2 (2.7-6.0) 4.3 (2.8-6.1)	54 (35-77)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Jamaica	1990 1995	2	0.021 (0.016-0.026) 0.025 (0.020-0.031)	0.87 (0.68–1.1)	0.23 (0.081-0.440)	9.5 (3.4-19) 8.9 (4.1-15)	0.15 (0.110-0.210) 0.16 (0.130-0.190)	0.0 (4.7-8.8) 6.5 (5.4-7.9)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000 2005	3 3	0.016 (0.013-0.020) 0.011 (<0.01-0.013)	0.63 (0.51–0.77) 0.4 (0.32–0.48)	0.21 (0.095-0.370) 0.23 (0.110-0.400)	8.1 (3.7–14) 8.7 (4.1–15)	0.17 (0.140-0.200) 0.18 (0.140-0.210)	6.5 (5.4–7.9) 6.5 (5.4–7.9)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2010 2011	3 3	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.26 (0.21-0.31) 0.24 (0.20-0.28)	0.26 (0.130-0.430) 0.26 (0.130-0.440)	9.4 (4.6-16) 9.4 (4.6-16)	0.18 (0.150-0.210) 0.18 (0.150-0.220)	6.6 (5.4–7.8) 6.6 (5.4–7.8)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mexico	2012	3 86	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 6.7 (6.4-7.0)	0.22 (0.18-0.26)	0.26 (0.130-0.440)	9.5 (4.7–16)	0.18 (0.150-0.220)	6.6 (5.4–7.8)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		1995	95 104	5.3 (4.9-5.7) 3.5 (3.3-3.6)	5.5 (5.2–5.9) 3.3 (3.2–3.5)	85 (43–140) 53 (27–87)	89 (46–146) 51 (26–84)	44 (38–51) 32 (27–37)	46 (40-53)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2005	111	2.7 (2.6–2.9)	2.5 (2.4–2.6)	38 (19–63)	34 (17–57)	25 (21-28)	22 (19-26)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2010	119	2.0 (2.5-2.7) 2.2 (2.1-2.4)	2.2 (2.1–2.3) 1.9 (1.8–2.0)	40 (19-67) 41 (20-69)	34 (16-57) 34 (16-58)	20 (23-30) 27 (23-31)	22 (19-26) 23 (19-26)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Montserrat	2012 1990	121 < 1	2.2 (2.1–2.3) 0 (0–0)	1.8 (1.7–1.9) 0 (0–0)	40 (19-69) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	33 (16–57) 20 (10–33)	27 (23–32) <0.01 (<0.01–<0.01)	23 (19-26) 11 (9.4-12)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	11 (10–11) 21 (21–22)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.2 (2.5–17) 11 (3.3–23)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	4.1 (3.6-4.7) 0 (0-0)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	22 (21-22)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	42 (21-69) 9.9 (2.9-21)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	24 (21-27)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	24 (24–25)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.7 (1.4–10)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Netherlands	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.59 (0.56-0.62)	0.013 (<0.01-0.024)	6.7 (2.5–13)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	5.3 (4.6–6.0)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Anumes	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.57 (0.54-0.60)	<0.014 (<0.01-0.025) <0.01 (<0.01-0.019)	7.2 (3.1−13) 5 (1.5−11)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.3 (4.6-6.0) 3.2 (2.8-3.7)	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nicaragua	2005 1990	< 1 4	<ul><li>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</li><li>0.45 (0.260-0.680)</li></ul>	0.59 (0.57-0.61) 11 (6.4-16)	0.01 (<0.01–0.021) 7.6 (2.8–15)	5.6 (1.7-12) 183 (68-354)	<ul><li>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</li><li>4.5 (2.9-6.3)</li></ul>	4.7 (4.1-5.3) 108 (71-152)	
2005         5         0.3 (0.220-0.380)         5.4 (4.1-6.9)         4.6 (2.2-8.0)         85 (39-146)         2.9 (2.4-3.5)         53 (44-64)           2010         6         0.26 (0.200-0.330)         4.5 (35-5.6)         2.9 (1.0-5.9)         50 (177-101)         2.5 (2.1-2.8)         42 (36-49)           2011         6         0.19 (0.150-0.240)         3.3 (2.6-4.1)         3.1 (1.1-6.3)         53 (18-106)         2.4 (2.0-2.7)         40 (35-46)           2012         6         0.19 (0.150-0.230)         3.1 (2.4-3.8)         3.3 (1.1-6.7)         55 (19-112)         2.3 (2.0-2.7)         38 (33-44)		1995 2000	5 5	0.41 (0.260-0.600) 0.33 (0.230-0.440)	8.9 (5.6–13) 6.4 (4.5–8.7)	6.4 (3.0-11) 5.5 (2.6-9.5)	137 (65–236) 108 (51–186)	4 (3.2–4.8) 3.4 (2.8–4.1)	85 (70-102) 68 (55-81)	
2011 6 0.19 (0.150-0.240) 3.3 (2.6-4.1) 3.1 (1.1-6.3) 5.3 (18-106) 2.4 (2.0-2.7) 4.0 (35-46) 2012 6 0.19 (0.150-0.230) 3.1 (2.4-3.8) 3.3 (1.1-6.7) 5.5 (19-112) 2.3 (2.0-2.7) 3.8 (35-46)		2005	5	0.3 (0.220-0.380)	5.4 (4.1–6.9) 4.5 (3.5–5.6)	4.6 (2.2–8.0) 2.9 (1.0–5.9)	85 (39–146) 50 (17–101)	2.9 (2.4–3.5) 2.5 (2.1–2.8)	53 (44–64) 42 (36–49)	
		2011 2012	6	0.19 (0.150-0.240) 0.19 (0.150-0.230)	3.3 (2.6–4.1) 3.1 (2.4–3.8)	3.1 (1.1–6.3) 3.3 (1.1–6.7)	53 (18–106) 55 (19–112)	2.4 (2.0–2.7) 2.3 (2.0–2.7)	40 (35–46) 38 (33–44)	

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.

TABLE A4.1 Estimates of	the burden of disease	caused by TB, 1990-2012
-------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV) NUMBER RATE ²	
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Panama	1990	2	0.2 (0.130-0.290)	8.1 (5.2–12)	1.9 (0.740-3.6)	77 (30–146)	1.2 (0.810-1.6)	47 (33-65)
	2000	3	0.2 (0.180-0.230)	6.6 (5.9–7.4)	1.6 (0.600–3.0)	52 (20-99)	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	47 (39–57) 47 (39–56)
	2005	3 4	0.22 (0.210-0.230)	6.6 (6.3-6.9) 5.2 (5.1-5.4)	1.8 (0.670-3.4)	53 (20-101) 59 (25-107)	1.6 (1.3–1.9) 1.8 (1.5–2.0)	47 (39-57) 48 (42-54)
	2011	4	0.19 (0.190-0.190)	5.1 (5.0–5.2)	2.3 (1.0-4.0)	61 (28–108)	1.8 (1.6–2.0)	48 (42–54)
Paraguay	2012	4	0.19 (0.180-0.190) 0.2 (0.150-0.250)	4.9 (4.8-5.0) 4.6 (3.5-5.9)	2.4 (1.2–4.2) 4.2 (2.0–7.0)	64 (30-110) 98 (48-165)	1.8 (1.6-2.0) 2.8 (2.6-3.0)	48 (42-54) 66 (61-72)
	1995	5	0.23 (0.170-0.290)	4.8 (3.6-6.1)	3.7 (1.9-6.2)	78 (39–129)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)	52 (48-56)
	2000	6	0.28 (0.220-0.350)	4.7 (3.7–5.9)	4.1 (2.1–7.0)	72 (36–120) 70 (35–118)	2.9 (2.7–3.1)	49 (45-53) 49 (45-53)
	2010	6 7	0.19 (0.160-0.230)	3 (2.5-3.6) 3 (2.5-3.6)	4.2 (2.0-7.1)	65 (32-110) 64 (32-108)	3 (2.7-3.2)	46 (42–50) 45 (42–49)
	2012	7	0.2 (0.160-0.240)	3 (2.5–3.6)	4.2 (2.1–7.1)	63 (31–106)	3 (2.8–3.2)	45 (41-48)
Peru	1990 1995	22 24	7.5 (2.5–15) 6.2 (3.3–9.9)	34 (11–70) 26 (14–41)	120 (42–240) 85 (37–150)	554 (191-1 100) 355 (156-634)	69 (43–100) 58 (47–70)	317 (196–468) 242 (198–290)
	2000	26	3.7 (2.3-5.4)	14 (8.9-21)	70 (30-130)	268 (116-481)	48 (39-57)	184 (151-221)
	2003	29	1.8 (1.3–2.3)	6.1 (4.6–7.8)	37 (12–77)	127 (40-263)	31 (27–35)	106 (93–120)
	2011 2012	30 30	1.7 (1.2–2.1) 1.5 (1.1–2.0)	5.6 (4.1–7.2) 5.1 (3.8–6.7)	37 (12–74) 36 (12–73)	124 (42–248) 121 (41–243)	30 (26–34) 29 (25–32)	101 (88–114) 95 (83–108)
Puerto Rico	1990	4	0.069 (0.069-0.070)	2 (2.0-2.0)	0.22 (0.064-0.460)	6.1 (1.8–13)	0.18 (0.160-0.210)	5.2 (4.6-5.9)
	1995 2000	4	0.081 (0.080-0.081) 0.017 (0.017-0.017)	2.2 (2.2–2.2) 0.45 (0.45–0.45)	0.4 (0.170-0.740) 0.27 (0.110-0.490)	11 (4.5–20) 7 (2.9–13)	0.3 (0.260-0.340) 0.2 (0.180-0.230)	8.2 (7.2–9.2) 5.3 (4.6–6.0)
	2005	4	0.017 (0.017-0.017)	0.45 (0.45-0.46)	0.15 (0.066-0.270)	4 (1.7-7.1)	0.13 (0.110-0.150)	3.5 (3.0-3.9)
	2011	4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.25 (0.25-0.25)	0.07 (0.026-0.140)	1.9 (0.69–3.7)	0.058 (0.050-0.065)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)
Saint Kitts and	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	0.23 (0.23-0.23) 0 (0-0)	0.11 (0.053-0.200)	3 (1.4–5.3) 0.56 (0.17–1.2)	0.082 (0.072-0.092) 0 (0-0)	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 0 (0–0)
Nevis	1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.1 (2.1-2.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	17 (6.2–32)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	13 (12–15)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (2.3-2.3) 2.2 (2.1-2.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.7 (1.1–7.9)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.5 (2.3-2.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.6 (1.6-12) 5.1 (1.3-12)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.4 (3.8-5.0)
	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.5 (2.3–2.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.1 (1.7–10)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.3 (3.8–4.9)
Saint Lucia	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.012 (<0.01-0.016)	4 (3.8–4.2) 8.3 (6.3–11)	0.027 (<0.01-0.051) 0.035 (0.014-0.065)	19 (7.2–37) 24 (9.6–44)	0.021 (0.019-0.024) 0.027 (0.023-0.030)	15 (13–17) 18 (16–21)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.81 (0.71-0.92)	0.024 (<0.01-0.044)	15 (5.9-28)	0.018 (0.016-0.021)	12 (10-13)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.4 (1.3–1.5)	0.023 (<0.01-0.044)	8.6 (2.5–18)	0.018 (0.016-0.020)	6.9 (6.1–7.8)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.3 (1.2–1.4) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)	0.011 (<0.01-0.022)	6.1 (2.0-13) 4.8 (1.5-9.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	5.1 (4.5-5.8) 3.3 (2.9-3.7)
Saint Vincent and	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1 (0.95–1.1)	0.071 (0.025-0.140)	66 (24–129)	0.029 (0.018-0.043)	27 (17–40)
the Grenadines	1995 2000	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.7 (3.6–3.8) 3.3 (3.0–3.6)	0.061 (0.028-0.110) 0.055 (0.026-0.094)	57 (26–99) 51 (24–87)	0.029 (0.023–0.035) 0.028 (0.023–0.033)	27 (22–32) 26 (21–31)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.86 (0.86-0.87)	0.049 (0.020-0.092)	45 (18-84)	0.027 (0.022-0.033)	25 (20-30)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.6 (2.5–2.6)	0.031 (0.010-0.064)	29 (9.1–59)	0.026 (0.022-0.032)	24 (20-29)
Sint Maarten	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (0-<0.01)	2.6 (2.5-2.6) 0.4 (0-2.0)	0.027 (<0.01-0.061) <0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	24 (5.6-56) 11 (3.0-25)	0.026 (0.022-0.031)	24 (20-29) 8.1 (7.1-9.2)
(Dutch part)	2011	< 1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0.26 (0-1.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.4 (1.6–17)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)
Suriname	1990	<1	0.027 (0.019-0.037)	6.7 (4.6–9.2)	0.53 (0.200–1.0)	129 (50-245)	0.26 (0.170-0.360)	63 (41-90)
	1995	<1	0.016 (<0.01-0.027)	3.6 (1.7-6.2) 1.2 (0.98-1.5)	0.69 (0.230-1.4)	157 (52-320) 128 (38-273)	0.4 (0.260-0.570)	92 (59–131) 86 (56–124)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.7 (1.5–1.9)	0.47 (0.150-0.980)	94 (30–195)	0.31 (0.200-0.450)	63 (41-90)
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.014 (0.012-0.015) 0.014 (0.012-0.015)	2.6 (2.3–2.9) 2.6 (2.3–2.9)	0.35 (0.120-0.700) 0.33 (0.130-0.620)	66 (22–133) 62 (24–117)	0.24 (0.160-0.340) 0.23 (0.170-0.310)	46 (31–65) 44 (32–58)
Tripidad and	2012	<1	0.014 (0.012-0.016)	2.6 (2.3-2.9)	0.31 (0.120-0.590)	58 (22-110)	0.22 (0.160-0.290)	41 (30-55)
Tobago	1990	1	0.032 (0.031-0.033) 0.034 (0.033-0.034)	2.6 (2.5–2.7) 2.7 (2.7–2.7)	0.21 (0.099-0.350) 0.23 (0.090-0.440)	17 (8.1–29) 19 (7.2–35)	0.14 (0.120-0.160) 0.19 (0.170-0.220)	15 (13–17)
	2000	1	0.025 (0.024-0.025)	1.9 (1.9–2.0) 1.4 (1.4–1.4)	0.29 (0.140-0.490)	23 (11-39) 15 (57-30)	0.23 (0.200-0.260)	18 (16–20) 15 (13–17)
	2010	1	0.028 (0.028-0.028)	2.1 (2.1–2.1)	0.26 (0.096-0.500)	20 (7.2–38)	0.25 (0.220-0.290)	19 (17–21)
	2011 2012	1	0.028 (0.028-0.028) 0.028 (0.028-0.028)	2.1 (2.1–2.1) 2.1 (2.1–2.1)	0.26 (0.096-0.520) 0.37 (0.170-0.650)	20 (7.2–39) 28 (13–48)	0.26 (0.230-0.290) 0.32 (0.280-0.360)	19 (17–22) 24 (21–27)
Turks and Caicos	1990	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	-0.01 (-0.01 0.015)	47 (14, 100)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
Isiailus	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	6.1 (5.7–6.6)	0.016 (<0.01-0.029)	86 (37–156)	0.012 (0.010-0.014)	63 (55–72)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.9 (3.9-4.0) 3.5 (3.4-3.7)	0.013 (<0.01-0.021) <0.01 (<0.01-0.015)	47 (24–79) 24 (7.5–50)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	29 (26-33) 22 (20-25)
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.5 (3.4-3.7)	0.015 (<0.01-0.026)	47 (21-82)	0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	33 (29-37)
United States	1990	255	2.6 (2.5–2.6)	1 (0.99–1.0)	38 (15–71)	15 (5.9–28)	30 (26–33)	12 (10-13)
of America	1995 2000	268 285	1.4 (1.4–1.4) 0.81 (0.790–0.820)	0.51 (0.50-0.52)	35 (15-62) 24 (10-45)	13 (5.7–23) 8.6 (3.6–16)	26 (23-30) 19 (16-21)	9.8 (8.5–11) 6.6 (5.8–7.5)
	2005	298	0.64 (0.640-0.650)	0.22 (0.21-0.22)	21 (9.3–38)	7.2 (3.1–13)	16 (14–18)	5.4 (4.8-6.1)
	2010	312 315	0.61 (0.590-0.630) 0.47 (0.440-0.500)	0.2 (0.19-0.20) 0.15 (0.14-0.16)	17 (7.1-30) 16 (6.7-28)	5.3 (2.3–9.6) 5 (2.1–9.0)	13 (11–15) 12 (11–14)	4.1 (3.6–4.7) 3.8 (3.4–4.3)
Uniquay	2012	318	0.44 (0.390-0.480)	0.14 (0.12-0.15)	15 (6.5-27)	4.7 (2.0-8.4)	11 (10-13)	3.6 (3.2-4.1)
Oluguay	1995	3	0.076 (0.073-0.078)	2.3 (2.3–2.4)	0.91 (0.340-1.8)	28 (11–54)	0.72 (0.630–0.810)	22 (20-25)
	2000 2005	3 3	0.069 (0.066-0.072) 0.067 (0.064-0.070)	2.1 (2.0–2.2) 2 (1.9–2.1)	0.96 (0.410-1.7) 0.89 (0.390-1.6)	29 (12–53) 27 (12–48)	0.74 (0.650-0.840) 0.72 (0.630-0.810)	22 (20-25) 22 (19-24)
	2010	3	0.054 (0.051-0.057)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	0.96 (0.400-1.8)	29 (12-53)	0.8 (0.700-0.910)	24 (21-27)
	2011	3	0.051 (0.048-0.054)	1.5 (1.4–1.6)	1.1 (0.490-2.1)	34 (14–61)	0.93 (0.810-1.1)	27 (24-31)
US Virgin Islands	1990 1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3 (3.0-3.1) 2.4 (2.4-2.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011) <0.01 (<0.01-0.016)	5.6 (2.1-11) 7.3 (2.2-15)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.5 (3.9–5.0) 4.3 (3.8–4.9)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.8 (2.8–2.8)	0.011 (<0.01-0.020)	9.9 (3.8–19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (6.8–8.7)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.94 (0.94-0.95)	0.011 (<0.01-0.020)	9.9 (3.9–19) 9.9 (3.9–19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<u>/./ (6.8–8.7)</u> 7.7 (6.8–8.7)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.96 (0.94-0.97)	0.011 (<0.01-0.020)	9.9 (3.8-19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (6.8-8.7)
Venezuela	1990	20	0.85 (0.830-0.870)	4.3 (4.2–4.4)	11 (3.9–20)	53 (20–103)	7 (4.9–9.4)	35 (25–47)
(Bolivarian Republic of)	1995 2000	22 24	0.81 (0.790-0.830) 0.67 (0.650-0.690)	3.7 (3.6–3.8) 2.7 (2.7–2.8)	12 (5.4–20) 12 (5.5–21)	53 (25–92) 50 (22–88)	7.7 (6.3–9.2) 8.4 (6.8–10)	35 (28-42) 34 (28-41)
	2005	27	0.63 (0.630-0.630)	2.4 (2.3–2.4)	13 (6.2–23)	50 (23-87)	9 (7.4–11)	34 (28-41)
	2010 2011	29 30	0.71 (0.410-1.1) 0.72 (0.410-1.1)	2.4 (1.4–3.8) 2.4 (1.4–3.8)	15 (7.3–25) 15 (7.3–25)	52 (25-87) 50 (25-85)	9.7 (8.0–12) 9.8 (8.1–12)	33 (27-40) 33 (27-40)
	2012	30	0.73 (0.420-1.1)	2.4 (1.4-3.8)	15 (7.6–26)	52 (25-87)	9.9 (8.2–12)	33 (27-39)

 $^{\rm a}\,$  Rates are per 100 000 population.

			INCIDENCE (I	NCLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Anguilla	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	24 (15-35)			0	0	0
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	23 (18–27)			2	20	00 (73-100)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	21 (18-25)			1	7.3	34 (29–41)
	2011 2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	21 (17–25) 21 (17–25)			0	0	0
Antigua and Barbuda	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	1.9 (1.6–2.1) 0 (0–0)			1 0	1.6 0	87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.9 (5.2-6.7) 8.4 (7.3-9.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.2 (1.6-7.9)	4	5.2 7.3	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9.2 (8.1–10) 7.8 (6.9–8.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (4.9–11)	7	8 6.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Argentina	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.9 (3.4–4.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (0.50-3.0)	3	3.4	87 (77–99) 63 (44–97)
, agonana	1995	35	17 (14-21)	49 (40–59)	0.27 (0.22-0.32)	0.8 (0.62-0.91)	13 450	39	79 (65–96)
	2000	39	13 (11–15)	33 (27–40)	0.28 (0.23–0.33)	0.7 (0.59-0.87)	10 576	27	82 (68–100)
	2010	40	11 (9.1–13) 11 (8.9–13)	27 (23–32) 26 (22–31)	0.27 (0.23-0.32)	0.7 (0.56-0.80)	9 733	18 24	67 (56–80) 91 (76–110)
Aruba	1990	< 1	10 (8.6–12) <0.01 (<0.01–0.011)	25 (21-30) 16 (14-18)	0.27 (0.22-0.32)	0.7 (0.55-0.78)	8 /58	21	84 (/1-100)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.013 (0.011-0.014) 0.014 (0.013-0.016)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)					
	2005 2010	<1	0.016 (0.014-0.018)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)			6	5.9	37 (33–43)
	2011	<1	0.016 (0.014-0.018)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)			8	7.8 27	50 (44–57) 170 (150–200)
Bahamas	1990	<1	0.053 (0.046-0.060)	21 (18-23)	0.019 (0.017-0.021)	7.4 (6.5-8.3)	46	18	87 (77–99)
	2000	<1	0.094 (0.083–0.110)	32 (28–36)	0.023 (0.020-0.033)	14 (12–16)	82	28	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	<1	0.036 (0.031-0.040)	9.9 (8.7–11)	0.013 (0.012-0.015)	6.8 (5.9–7.7) 3.7 (3.2–4.2)	48 31	15 8.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	0.047 (0.041-0.053) 0.037 (0.032-0.042)	13 (11–15) 9.9 (8.7–11)	0.012 (0.011-0.014) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.3 (2.9–3.7) 2.3 (2.0–2.6)	41 32	11 8.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Barbados	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 1.3 (1.1–1.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (0.12-0.15) 0.2 (0.14-0.18)	5 3	1.9 1.1	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.014 (0.012-0.016)	1.3 (1.1–1.5) 5.1 (4.4–5.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0.24-0.31)	3	1.1	87 (77–99)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.5 (2.2–2.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1 (0.83–1.1)	6	2.1	87 (77–99)
Dalias	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.5 (0.47-0.60)	4	1.4	87 (77–99)
Belize	1990 1995	<1	0.075 (0.052-0.100) 0.083 (0.068-0.099)	40 (28–54) 40 (33–48)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.011 (<0.01-0.013)	2.8 (1.9–3.8) 5.3 (4.3–6.4)	57 95	30 46	110 (96–140)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.095 (0.078-0.110) 0.11 (0.094-0.120)	40 (33–48) 40 (34–46)	0.018 (0.015-0.022) 0.022 (0.019-0.026)	7.5 (6.2–9.1) 8.2 (7.1–9.4)	106 102	44 38	110 (93–140) 94 (82–110)
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.12 (0.100-0.150) 0.13 (0.100-0.150)	40 (33–48) 40 (33–48)	0.025 (0.021-0.030) 0.026 (0.021-0.031)	8.2 (6.7–9.8) 8.1 (6.6–9.7)	145 74	47 23	120 (98–140) 59 (49–72)
Bermuda	2012	<1	0.13 (0.110-0.160)	40 (33-48)	0.026 (0.021-0.032)	8.1 (6.6–9.7)	84	26	65 (54–79)
	1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.5 (6.6–8.5)			4	6.5	87 (77–99)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.1 (7.1–9.1)			0	15	07 (77 00)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.8 (1.5–2.0)			1	1.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Bolivia	1990	<1 7	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 17 (11-24)	5.3 (4.6–6.0) 251 (166–354)	0.86 (0.57-1.2)	13 (8.4–18)	11 166	4.6 164	65 (46–99)
(Plurinational State of)	1995 2000	8 8	16 (14–19) 16 (13–19)	215 (185–248) 184 (151–221)	0.94 (0.80-1.1) 0.86 (0.71-1.0)	12 (11–14) 10 (8.3–12)	14 422 10 127	189 119	88 (76–100) 65 (54–79)
	2005	9 10	15 (12–18) 14 (11–16)	158 (129–190) 135 (111–161)	0.77 (0.63-0.92) 0.52 (0.43-0.62)	8.2 (6.7-9.8) 5.1 (4.2-6.1)	9 748 8 363	104 82	66 (55–81) 61 (51–74)
	2011 2012	10 10	14 (11–16) 13 (11–16)	131 (108–156) 127 (105–151)	0.48 (0.40-0.57)	4.7 (3.8–5.5) 4.1 (3.4–4.9)	8 521 8 257	83 79	63 (53–76) 62 (52–75)
Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	2010	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		(	0	0	87 (77–99)
Provil	2012	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	55 (35 8 0)	97 (99 59)	0	0	60 (41 04)
DIdzli	1990	162	120 (94–140)	71 (58–85)	12 (9.9–15)	7.5 (6.1–9.0)	91 013	56	79 (66–97)
	2000	175	95 (80–110)	60 (49–72) 51 (43–60)	12 (9.8–14) 15 (12–18)	6.9 (5.6–8.3) 8 (6.7–9.4)	77 899 80 675	45 43	74 (62–91) 85 (72–100)
	2010 2011	195 197	91 (75–110) 95 (78–110)	46 (38–55) 48 (40–57)	17 (14–20) 17 (14–20)	8.5 (7.1–10) 8.6 (7.1–10)	74 395 77 647	38 39	82 (69–99) 82 (69–99)
British Virgin	2012 1990	199 < 1	92 (76-110) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	46 (38–55) 17 (15–20)	16 (13–19)	8 (6.6–9.5)	75 122	38	82 (69–99)
Islands	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	17 (15–20) 5.6 (4.9–6.3)			1	4.8	87 (77–99)
	2005 2010	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 4.2 (3.7-4.8)	+		0	0 3.7	87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	1		0	0	
Canada	1990	28	2.3 (2.0–2.6)	8.3 (7.3–9.4) 7.7 (6.8–9.7)	0.11 (0.095-0.12)	0.4 (0.34-0.44)	1 997	7.2	87 (77–99)
	2000	31	2 (1.7-2.2)	6.5 (5.7–7.3)	0.098 (0.086-0.11)	0.3 (0.28–0.36)	1 723	5.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	32	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	0.11 (0.094–0.12)	0.3 (0.29-0.38)	1 361	4.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	34 35	1.6 (1.4–1.9) 1.6 (1.4–1.8)	4.8 (4.2–5.4) 4.6 (4.0–5.2)	0.11 (0.098-0.13) 0.11 (0.096-0.12)	0.3 (0.28-0.37) 0.3 (0.28-0.36)	1 430 1 653	4.1 4.7	87 (77–99) 100 (91–120)
Cayman Islands	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9.2 (8.1–10) 7.3 (6.4–8.2)			2	8 6.3	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	14 (12–16) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)			5	12	87 (77–99)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.3 (7.3–9.4)	1		4	7.2	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Chile	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (11-14)	0.021 /0.010 0.024	0.2 (0.14 0.10)	6	10	87 (77–99)
OTHE	1990	13	4.8 (4.2–5.4)	33 (29–37)	0.021 (0.019-0.024) 0.043 (0.038-0.049)	0.2 (0.14-0.18)	4 150	47 29	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	15 16	3.5 (3.0–3.9) 2.9 (2.5–3.3)	22 (20–25) 18 (15–20)	0.072 (0.063-0.081) 0.084 (0.074-0.095)	0.5 (0.41-0.53) 0.5 (0.45-0.58)	3 021 2 505	20 15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	17 17	2.7 (2.4–3.1) 2.8 (2.5–3.2)	16 (14–18) 16 (14–18)	0.086 (0.076-0.098) 0.088 (0.077-0.099)	0.5 (0.44-0.57) 0.5 (0.44-0.57)	2 376 2 450	14 14	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Colombia	2012 1990	17 33	2.8 (2.4–3.1) 18 (12–25)	16 (14–18) 54 (36–75)	0.084 (0.074-0.095)	0.5 (0.42-0.54)	2 394 12 447	14 37	87 (77–99) 70 (50–100)
	1995	37	18 (14–21)	48 (39–58) 43 (35–52)	1.2 (0.95–1.4)	3.2 (2.6–3.8)	9 912	27	56 (47–69) 68 (56–83)
	2005	43	17 (14-20)	38 (31-46)	1.8 (1.4–2.1)	4.1 (3.3-4.9)	10 360	24	62 (52–76)
	2010	40 47	16 (13–19)	34 (28-41) 34 (28-40)	1.0 (1.5-2.1) 1.7 (1.4-2.0)	3.5 (2.9-4.2)	11 420	25 25	75 (63–91)
	2012	48	16 (13–19)	33 (27-39)	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	3.3 (2.7–4.0)	11 424	24	/3 (61–88)

## TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

			INCIDENCE (II	NCLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A		CASE DETECTION
	YEAR		NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Costa Rica	1990	(MILLIONS)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	48 (42-54)	0.031 (0.027-0.035)	1 (0.87–1.1)	230	7.5	16 (14-18)
	1995 2000	3 4	1.5 (1.3–1.7) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	43 (37–48) 35 (31–39)	0.066 (0.058-0.075) 0.092 (0.080-0.10)	1.9 (1.7-2.2) 2.3 (2.0-2.7)	586 585	17 15	40 (35–45) 43 (38–49)
	2005	4	1 (0.880-1.1)	23 (20-26)	0.074 (0.065-0.084)	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	534	12	53 (47-61)
	2011	5	0.59 (0.510-0.660)	12 (11–14)	0.071 (0.062-0.080)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	514	11	88 (78–100)
Cuba	1990	11	2.6 (1.6–3.9)	25 (15–37)	0.064 (0.056-0.072) 0.023 (0.014-0.034)	1.3 (1.2-1.5) 0.2 (0.13-0.32)	475 546	9.9 5.2	93 (82–110) 21 (14–34)
	1995 2000	11 11	2 (1.7-2.5) 1.4 (1.1-1.8)	19 (15–23) 13 (10–16)	0.028 (0.023-0.034) 0.033 (0.026-0.040)	0.3 (0.21-0.31) 0.3 (0.23-0.36)	1 553 1 183	14 11	76 (63–94) 82 (67–100)
	2005	11	1 (0.850-1.3)	9.2 (7.5-11)	0.023 (0.019-0.028)	0.2 (0.16-0.24)	772	6.8	74 (61–91)
	2011	11	1 (0.830-1.3)	9.3 (7.4–11)	0.037 (0.030-0.045)	0.3 (0.26-0.40)	805	7.1	77 (63–97)
Curaçao	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.9 (3.4–4.4)	0.037 (0.030-0.046)	0.3 (0.20-0.41)	5	3.4	87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.76 (0.67-0.86) 0.74 (0.65-0.84)			1	0.66	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Dominica	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.01 (<0.01-0.015) 0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	15 (9.3–21) 14 (12–17)			6 8	8.5 11	57 (40–91) 78 (66–95)
	2000 2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	14 (11–17) 13 (11–16)					
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	13 (11–16)			8	11	86 (72–100) 22 (18–26)
	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	13 (11–15)	0.05 (0.45, 0.07)	0.5 (0.1.5.4)	7	9.8	75 (63–92)
Republic	1990	8	9.7 (7.9–12)	121 (99–146)	0.25 (0.15-0.37) 0.81 (0.66-0.98)	3.5 (2.1–5.1) 10 (8.3–12)	4 053	36 51	42 (35–51)
	2000 2005	9	8.6 (7.1–10) 7.7 (6.3–9.2)	100 (82–120) 82 (67–98)	1.1 (0.90–1.3) 0.98 (0.80–1.2)	13 (10–15) 11 (8.6–13)	5 291 5 003	61 54	61 (51–75) 65 (54–80)
	2010 2011	10 10	6.7 (5.6–8.0) 6.6 (5.4–7.8)	67 (55–80) 65 (53–77)	0.64 (0.53-0.76) 0.59 (0.49-0.71)	6.4 (5.2-7.6) 5.8 (4.8-7.0)	3 964 4 309	40 42	59 (49–71) 66 (55–80)
Ecuador	2012	10	6.4 (5.3–7.6)	62 (51–74) 174 (108–257)	0.54 (0.44-0.64)	5.2 (4.3-6.3)	4 262	41	67 (56–81) 47 (32–76)
	1995	11	15 (13–19)	136 (111–164)	0.96 (0.78–1.2)	8.5 (6.9–10)	7 893	70	51 (43-63)
	2000	14	11 (9.4–14)	83 (68–100)	1.2 (0.99–1.5)	8.8 (7.2–11)	4 416	32	38 (32-47)
	2010 2011	15 15	9.7 (8.0–12) 9.4 (7.8–11)	65 (54–77) 62 (51–74)	0.97 (0.80-1.2) 0.92 (0.76-1.1)	6.5 (5.3–7.7) 6 (5.0–7.2)	4 832 5 106	32 33	50 (42-60) 54 (45-66)
El Salvador	2012	<u>15</u> 5	9.1 (7.5–11) 3.4 (2.3–4.7)	59 (48-70) 63 (43-88)	0.84 (0.70-1.0) 0.082 (0.055-0.11)	5.4 (4.5-6.5) 1.5 (1.0-2.1)	5 456 2 367	35 44	60 (50-73) 70 (50-100)
	1995 2000	6	2.6 (2.3–2.9) 2.2 (1.8–2.6)	45 (39–50) 37 (30–44)	0.16 (0.14-0.18) 0.23 (0.19-0.28)	2.7 (2.4-3.1) 3.9 (3.2-4.7)	2 422 1 485	42 25	95 (84–110) 68 (56–83)
	2005	6	2.4 (1.9-2.9)	39 (32-47)	0.27 (0.22-0.32)	4.4 (3.6-5.2)	1 794	30	75 (63-92)
	2011	6	1.7 (1.4–1.9)	27 (23–31)	0.18 (0.15-0.20)	2.8 (2.4–3.2)	1 896	30	110 (98–130)
Grenada	1990	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.6 (2.9–6.8)	0.2 (0.17-0.23)	3.2 (2.7-3.7)	2 055	0	0
	1995 2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.5 (3.8–5.2) 4.4 (3.6–5.2)			4	4	89 (77–100) 0
	2005 2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.2 (3.5-5.1) 4.1 (3.4-4.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1 (<0.1–3.2)	4	3.8	93 (78–110)
	2011 2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.1 (3.4–4.9) 4.1 (3.4–4.9)	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0.5 (0-3.0)	2	1.9 0.95	46 (39–56) 23 (19–28)
Guatemala	1990	9	6.6 (4.1–9.7) 7 1 (5.8–8.5)	74 (47–109)	0.14 (0.086-0.20)	1.5 (0.97–2.3) 3.8 (3.1–4.6)	3 813	43	58 (39–92) 44 (37–54)
	2000	11	7.6 (6.2–9.1)	68 (55-81)	0.78 (0.63-0.93)	6.9 (5.7-8.3)	2 913	26	38 (32–47)
	2005	13	8.2 (6.7–9.9) 8.8 (7.3–11)	62 (51-73)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)	9 (7.4–11)	3 322	23	38 (32–46)
	2011 2012	15 15	9 (7.4–11) 9.1 (7.5–11)	61 (50-73) 60 (50-72)	1.4 (1.1–1.7) 1.5 (1.2–1.8)	9.5 (7.8–11) 10 (8.2–12)	3 040 3 442	21 23	34 (28–41) 38 (32–46)
Guyana	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.65 (0.400-0.960) 0.65 (0.530-0.780)	89 (55–132) 89 (73–107)	0.05 (0.031-0.074) 0.12 (0.098-0.14)	6.9 (4.3–10) 16 (13–20)	168 296	23 41	26 (18–42) 45 (38–56)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.78 (0.630-0.930) 0.88 (0.720-1.1)	104 (85–125) 115 (94–138)	0.24 (0.20-0.29) 0.27 (0.22-0.33)	33 (27-39) 36 (29-43)	422 639	57 84	54 (45-67) 73 (61-89)
	2010	<1	0.87 (0.720-1.0)	111 (91–132) 110 (91–131)	0.22 (0.18-0.27)	28 (23–34) 27 (22–33)	712 710	91 90	82 (68–99) 82 (68–99)
Upiti	2012	< 1	0.87 (0.710-1.0)	109 (90-130)	0.2 (0.16-0.23)	25 (20-29)	748	94	86 (72–100)
1 Iditi	1995	8	19 (16-23)	247 (202–297)	5.6 (4.6-6.8)	72 (59–87)	6 212	79	32 (27-39)
	2000	9	23 (19–28) 25 (21–30)	271 (221–325) 272 (222–326)	6.5 (5.3-7.9)	79 (65–95) 71 (58–85)	14 311	155	45 (37-55) 57 (47-70)
	2010 2011	10 10	23 (19–27) 22 (18–27)	230 (190–275) 222 (183–265)	4.6 (3.8–5.5) 4.5 (3.7–5.4)	47 (39–56) 45 (37–54)	14 222 14 315	144 143	62 (52–76) 64 (54–78)
Honduras	2012	10 5	22 (18–26) 5.6 (3.6–7.9)	213 (176–254) 113 (73–162)	4.3 (3.5–5.1) 0.24 (0.16–0.35)	42 (35-50) 4.9 (3.2-7.1)	16 568 3 647	163 74	76 (64–93) 66 (46–100)
	1995 2000	6	6.4 (4.1–9.2) 7.1 (4.6–10)	115 (74–164) 114 (74–163)	0.77 (0.49-1.1) 0.84 (0.52-1.2)	14 (8.7–20) 14 (8.4–20)	4 984 6 406	89 103	78 (54–120) 90 (63–140)
	2005	7	5 (3.2-7.2)	73 (47–104)	0.37 (0.23-0.54)	5.4 (3.3-7.9)	3 333	48	66 (46-100) 70 (49-110)
	2011	8	4.2 (2.7–6.0)	54 (35-77)	0.18 (0.11-0.26)	2.3 (1.4–3.4)	3 233	42	77 (54–120)
Jamaica	1990	2	0.15 (0.110-0.210)	6.5 (4.7–8.8)	0.01 (<0.01-0.013)	0.4 (0.30-0.57)	123	5.2	79 (59–110)
	1995 2000	2 3	0.16 (0.130-0.190) 0.17 (0.140-0.200)	6.5 (5.4–7.9) 6.5 (5.4–7.9)	0.033 (0.027-0.040) 0.052 (0.042-0.062)	1.4 (1.1–1.6) 2 (1.6–2.4)	109 127	4.4 4.9	68 (56–83) 75 (63–92)
	2005	3	0.18 (0.140-0.210) 0.18 (0.150-0.210)	6.5 (5.4–7.9) 6.6 (5.4–7.8)	0.053 (0.043-0.064) 0.042 (0.034-0.050)	2 (1.6–2.4) 1.5 (1.3–1.8)	90 130	3.4 4.7	51 (43–63) 72 (61–88)
	2011 2012	3	0.18 (0.150-0.220) 0.18 (0.150-0.220)	6.6 (5.4–7.8) 6.6 (5.4–7.8)	0.041 (0.034-0.049) 0.04 (0.033-0.048)	1.5 (1.2–1.8) 1.5 (1.2–1.7)	105 91	3.8 3.3	58 (49-70) 50 (42-61)
Mexico	1990 1995	86 95	57 (49-66) 44 (38-51)	67 (57–77) 46 (40–53)	2.6 (2.2–3.0) 2.6 (2.2–3.0)	3 (2.6–3.5) 2.7 (2.3–3.1)	14 437 11 329	17 12	25 (22–29) 26 (22–30)
	2000	104	32 (27–37)	31 (26–36)	2 (1.7-2.3)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	18 434	18	58 (50-67) 75 (66 87)
	2010	118	26 (23–30)	22 (19-26)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	1.3 (1.1–1.5)	20 155	17	77 (66–89)
	2011	119	27 (23-31) 27 (23-32)	23 (19-26) 23 (19-26)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	1.3 (1.1–1.5) 1.3 (1.1–1.5)	20 470	17	75 (64-87)
Montserrat	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	11 (9.4–12) 4.1 (3.6–4.7)			1	9.3	87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 24 (21-27)			0	0 21	87 (77-99)
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)			0	0	
Netherlands	2012	<1		0 (0-0)	<b></b>		Ő	Ő	
Antilles	1995	<1	0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)			-		07 (77 00)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.∠ (2.8–3.7) 4.7 (4.1–5.3)			5	2.8	87 (77-99)
Nicaragua	1990 1995	4 5	4.5 (2.9–6.3) 4 (3.2–4.8)	108 (71–152) 85 (70–102)	0.015 (<0.01-0.025) 0.02 (0.011-0.031)	0.4 (0.18-0.61) 0.4 (0.24-0.66)	2 944 2 842	71 61	66 (47–100) 72 (60–88)
	2000 2005	5 5	3.4 (2.8–4.1) 2.9 (2.4–3.5)	68 (55–81) 53 (44–64)	0.027 (0.015-0.041) 0.034 (0.020-0.053)	0.5 (0.30-0.81) 0.6 (0.36-0.97)	2 402 1 907	47 35	70 (58–85) 65 (54–80)
	2010	6	2.5 (2.1-2.8)	42 (36–49) 40 (35–46)	0.042 (0.025-0.062)	0.7 (0.43-1.1)	2 448	42	100 (86-120)
	2012	6	2.3 (2.0-2.7)	38 (33-44)	0.047 (0.028-0.070)	0.8 (0.47-1.2)	2 790	47	120 (110–140)

#### TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

#### TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

-			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Panama	1990	2	1.2 (0.810-1.6)	47 (33–65) 47 (39–57)	0.072 (0.050-0.099)	2.9 (2.0-4.0)	846 1 300	34 47	72 (52–100)
	2000	3	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	47 (39–56)	0.29 (0.24–0.34)	9.5 (7.9–11)	1 169	38	81 (68–97)
	2005	4	1.8 (1.5–2.0)	47 (39–57) 48 (42–54)	0.25 (0.22-0.28)	8.8 (7.2–11) 6.7 (5.9–7.6)	1 496	49	85 (76–97)
	2011 2012	4	1.8 (1.6–2.0) 1.8 (1.6–2.0)	48 (42–54) 48 (42–54)	0.24 (0.21-0.27) 0.23 (0.20-0.26)	6.5 (5.7–7.3) 6.1 (5.3–6.8)	1 571 1 520	42 40	88 (78–100) 84 (75–95)
Paraguay	1990 1995	4 5	2.8 (2.6–3.0) 2.5 (2.3–2.7)	66 (61-72) 52 (48-56)	0.05 (0.046-0.055) 0.1 (0.093-0.11)	1.2 (1.1–1.3) 2.1 (1.9–2.3)	2 167 1 745	51 36	77 (71–84) 70 (65–75)
	2000	5	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	49 (45-53)	0.15 (0.13-0.16)	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	1 950	36	74 (69-81)
	2005	6	3 (2.7–3.2)	49 (45-53) 46 (42-50)	0.2 (0.19-0.22)	3.2 (2.9–3.4)	2 352	36	79 (73–86)
	2011 2012	7	3 (2.8–3.2) 3 (2.8–3.2)	45 (42–49) 45 (41–48)	0.22 (0.20-0.24) 0.24 (0.22-0.26)	3.4 (3.1–3.6) 3.5 (3.3–3.8)	2 3/2 2 416	36 36	79 (73–86) 81 (75–88)
Peru	1990 1995	22 24	69 (43-100) 58 (47-70)	317 (196–468) 242 (198–290)	0.58 (0.36-0.86) 1.2 (0.98-1.4)	2.7 (1.6–3.9) 5 (4.1–6.0)	37 905 45 310	174 189	55 (37–89) 78 (65–96)
	2000	26	48 (39-57)	184 (151-221)	1.3 (1.0-1.5)	4.9 (4.0-5.8)	38 661	149	81 (67–98) 87 (74, 100)
	2003	29	31 (27–35)	106 (93–120)	0.53 (0.46-0.60)	1.8 (1.6-2.0)	31 073	106	100 (88–110)
	2011 2012	30 30	30 (26–34) 29 (25–32)	101 (88–114) 95 (83–108)	0.5 (0.44-0.57) 0.49 (0.43-0.55)	1.7 (1.5–1.9) 1.6 (1.4–1.8)	31 241 29 760	105 99	100 (93–120) 100 (92–120)
Puerto Rico	1990 1995	4	0.18 (0.160-0.210) 0.3 (0.260-0.340)	5.2 (4.6-5.9) 8.2 (7.2-9.2)			159 262	4.5 7.1	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	4	0.2 (0.180-0.230)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)	0.039 (0.027_0.054)	1 (0 72-1 4)	174	4.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010	4	0.092 (0.081-0.100)	2.5 (2.2–2.8)	0.017 (<0.01-0.027)	0.5 (0.25-0.72)	80	2.2	87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	4 4	0.058 (0.050-0.065) 0.082 (0.072-0.092)	1.6 (1.4–1.8) 2.2 (1.9–2.5)	0.012 (<0.01-0.020) 0.013 (<0.01-0.024)	0.3 (0.15-0.53) 0.4 (0.14-0.65)	50 71	1.4 1.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Saint Kitts and Nevis	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0 (0-0) 13 (12-15)			0 5	0 12	87 (77–99)
	2000	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)			0	0	/
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.4 (3.8–5.0)			2	3.8	87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 4.3 (3.8–4.9)			2	1.9 3.7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Saint Lucia	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.021 (0.019-0.024) 0.027 (0.023-0.030)	15 (13–17) 18 (16–21)			13 11	9.4 7.5	61 (54–70) 41 (36–47)
	2000	< 1	0.018 (0.016-0.021)	12 (10–13) 11 (95–12)			9 14	5.7 8.5	49 (43–56) 78 (69–89)
	2010	<1	0.012 (0.011-0.014)	6.9 (6.1–7.8)	0.01 ( 0.01 . 0.01)	07(0107)	9	5.1	73 (65–84)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01=0.010) <0.01 (<0.01=<0.01)	3.3 (2.9–3.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.7 (<0.1-2.7) 0.4 (0-1.7)	11	3.9 6.1	180 (160-210)
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.029 (0.018-0.043) 0.029 (0.023-0.035)	27 (17–40) 27 (22–32)			2 13	1.9 12	6.8 (4.6–11) 45 (38–55)
	2000	< 1	0.028 (0.023-0.033)	26 (21–31) 25 (20–30)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.015)	3.6 (<0.1-13)	16 7	15 6.4	57 (48–70) 26 (21–31)
			0.027 (0.022 0.000)	20 (20 00)		0.0 (0.1 10)			20 (21 01)
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	0.027 (0.022-0.032) 0.026 (0.022-0.032)	24 (20–29) 24 (20–29)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.017) <0.01 (<0.01–0.016)	7.3 (2.0–16) 7.6 (2.9–14)	15 17	14 16	56 (47–68) 64 (54–78)
Sint Maarten	2012	<1	0.026 (0.022-0.031)	24 (20-29) 8.1 (7.1-9.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.017)	8.7 (3.9-15)	30 3	27 7.1	110 (96–140) 87 (77–99)
(Dutch part)	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)			2	4.6	87 (77–99)
Suriname	1990	<1	0.26 (0.170-0.360)	63 (41–90) 69 (50, 101)	0.023 (0.015-0.033)	5.7 (3.7-8.2)	82	20	32 (22–50)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.4 (0.260-0.570) 0.4 (0.260-0.580)	92 (59–131) 86 (56–124)	0.12 (0.077-0.17) 0.16 (0.10-0.22)	28 (18–39) 34 (22–48)	89	19	22 (15-34)
	2005	<1	0.31 (0.200-0.450) 0.24 (0.160-0.340)	63 (41-90) 46 (31-65)	0.1 (0.066-0.15) 0.06 (0.040-0.085)	21 (13-29) 12 (7.7-16)	<u>117</u> 194	23 37	37 (26-58) 79 (57-120)
	2011	< 1	0.23 (0.170-0.310)	44 (32–58) 41 (30–55)	0.053 (0.038-0.071)	10 (7.2–13) 8 7 (6.2–12)	125 128	24 24	54 (41-74) 58 (44-80)
Trinidad and	1990	1	0.14 (0.120-0.160)	11 (9.9–13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.16-0.21)	120	9.8	87 (77–99)
Tobago	2000	1	0.19 (0.170-0.220) 0.23 (0.200-0.260)	18 (16–20)	0.018 (0.016-0.021) 0.049 (0.043-0.055)	3.9 (3.4–4.4)	198	16	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	1	0.19 (0.170-0.220) 0.25 (0.220-0.290)	15 (13–17) 19 (17–21)	0.048 (0.042-0.054) 0.07 (0.061-0.079)	3.7 (3.2-4.2) 5.2 (4.6-5.9)	166 219	13 16	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	1	0.26 (0.230-0.290) 0.32 (0.280-0.360)	19 (17–22) 24 (21–27)	0.069 (0.060-0.078) 0.083 (0.073-0.094)	5.1 (4.5-5.8) 6.2 (5.5-7.0)	224 274	17 20	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Turks and Caicos	1990	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		0.2 (0.0 1.0)	0	0	•• (•• ••)
15101105	2000	<1	0.012 (0.010-0.014)	63 (55–72)					
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	29 (26-33) 22 (20-25)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.5 (0.21-15)	6	19	87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.01 (<0.01-0.012) <0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	33 (29–37) 28 (25–32)			9 8	28 25	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
United States of America	1990 1995	255 268	30 (26–33) 26 (23–30)	12 (10–13) 9.8 (8.5–11)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	0.6 (0.54-0.69)	25 701 22 728	10 8.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
of A anonoa	2000	285	19 (16-21)	6.6 (5.8–7.5)	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	0.4 (0.38-0.49)	16 310	5.7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–00)
	2005	312	13 (11–15)	4.1 (3.6–4.7)	1.2 (1.0–1.4)	0.5 (0.39-0.50)	11 181	3.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	315 318	12 (11–14) 11 (10–13)	3.8 (3.4–4.3) 3.6 (3.2–4.1)	1.1 (1.0–1.3) 1.1 (0.96–1.2)	0.4 (0.32-0.41) 0.4 (0.30-0.39)	10 521 9 945	3.3 3.1	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Uruguay	1990 1995	3	1 (0.890-1.2) 0.72 (0.630-0.810)	33 (29–37) 22 (20–25)	0.013 (0.011-0.014) 0.022 (0.019-0.025)	0.4 (0.35-0.46) 0.7 (0.60-0.78)	886 625	28 19	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	3	0.74 (0.650-0.840)	22 (20-25)	0.067 (0.059-0.076)	2 (1.8-2.3)	645	19	87 (77–99)
	2010	3	0.8 (0.700-0.910)	24 (21–27)	0.13 (0.11–0.14)	3.8 (3.3-4.3)	699	21	87 (77–99)
	∠011 2012	3 3	0.94 (0.820-1.1) 0.93 (0.810-1.1)	28 (24-31) 27 (24-31)	0.15 (0.13-0.17) 0.14 (0.12-0.16)	4.4 (3.9–5.0) 4.2 (3.7–4.8)	817 808	24 24	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
US Virgin Islands	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.5 (3.9–5.0) 4.3 (3.8–4.9)			4	3.9 3.7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (6.8–8.7)					/
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (6.8–8.7)					
	2011 2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.7 (6.8–8.7)					
venezuela (Bolivarian	1990 1995	20 22	7 (4.9–9.4) 7.7 (6.3–9.2)	35 (25–47) 35 (28–42)	0.24 (0.17-0.33) 0.42 (0.30-0.56)	1.2 (0.88–1.7) 1.9 (1.4–2.5)	5 457 5 578	28 25	78 (58–110) 73 (60–89)
Republic of)	2000 2005	24 27	8.4 (6.8–10) 9 (7.4–11)	34 (28-41) 34 (28-41)	0.59 (0.44-0.78) 0.76 (0.56-0.98)	2.4 (1.8-3.2) 2.8 (2.1-3.7)	6 466 6 847	26 26	77 (64–95) 76 (63–93)
	2010	29	9.7 (8.0–12)	33 (27-40)	0.89 (0.72–1.1)	3.1 (2.5-3.7)	6 451	22	67 (56–81) 64 (54 79)
	2012	30	9.9 (8.2–12)	33 (27–39)	1.2 (0.94–1.4)	3.9 (3.1–4.7)	6 495	22	65 (55–79)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.

^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

			NEW CASES % SMEAR-							% SMEAR.		
	NEW AND RELAPSE NOTIFICATION RATE ^a	YEAR	NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE	EXTRA-	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL	. TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG
Anguilla	1990–2012	1990 1995 2000	0 2	0	2	POLMONARY 0		0	RELAPSE	0	UNKNOWN	0
	\	2005 2010	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	· 0 _ 0	. 2011 • 2012	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	
Antigua and Barbuda		1990 1995	1	2	4	0		0		0		
	$  \land \land \land \land \land$	2000	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	·2 3	2011 • 2012	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	100
Argentina	$\sim$	1990 1995 2000	12 309 13 450 11 767	5 698 4 749	4 668 4 110	3 067 1 773		104	1 724	1 828		- 55 54
		2005	7 336	4 709 3 973 5 150	2 011	854	159	290 314	426	716	49	
Aruba	• 38 21	• 2012 1990	8 758	4 661	2 341	1 291	143	322	848	1 170		67
		1995 2000 2005 2010	6	4	2							
	•0 27	2011 • 2012	8 28	7	0 20	1 1	0	1	1	2	0	100 23
Bahamas	$\mathcal{M}$	1990 1995 2000	46 57 82	38 56	11 23	8 4 7	1	1	0	1	0	- 78 71 79
	·	2010	31 41	19 23	3	7	1	1	1	2	0	86 66
Barbados	• 18 9	• 2012 1990	32 5	21	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
		1995 2000 2005 2010	3 3	3 3 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100 100 
	- V V	2011 • 2012	0 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Belize		1990 1995 2000 2005	57 95 106 102	36 44 59	34 55 29	1 1 3	0	4 6 11	0 4	4 6 15	0	- 51 44 67
		2010 2011	145 74	97 64	47 0	0 0	0 0	1 10	0 2	1 12	0	67 100
Bermuda	• 30 26	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> <li>1995</li> <li>2000</li> </ul>	84 0 4 0	36 2 0	36 2 0	50	0	7	0	70	0	50 - 50
		2005 2010 2011 2012	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100 0
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	$\sim \Lambda$	1990 1995 2000	11 166 14 422 10 127	7 010 6 458	1 408 1 565	1 133 1 288	0	63 451	1 630	63 2 081	0	- 83 80
		2005	9 748	6 278 5 613	1 250 630	1 673 1 694	0	547 408	225 257	665 627	18	<u>83</u> 90
Bonaire, Saint	• 164 79	· 2012 · 2012	8 257 0	5 568	571 0	1 672	0	411	220	673	0	90 91
Eustatius and S	Saba	2011 2012	1 0	0	0	0	0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0	
Brazil	ΓM ,	1990 1995 2000 2005	74 570 91 013 77 899 80 675	45 650 41 186 42 093	29 291 23 622 23 990	13 814 10 457 11 037		2 634	8 700 6 548	11 334	466	 61 64
		2010	74 395 77 647	37 932 40 294	23 030 20 961	10 017 10 067	18 15	3 398 3 555	7 551 6 490	10 949 10 045	0 2 755	62 66
British Virgin	• 50 38	• 2012 1990	75 122	40 152	20 770	10 297	11	3 867	7 633	11 500	25	66
Islands	4	1995 2000 2005	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		100
		2010	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Canada	• 0 0	• <u>2012</u> 1990	0 1 997	0 549	0 516	0 723	0	0 180	0	0 180	0 29	52
	-~~	1995 2000	1 965 1 723	436 492	656 528	634 482	0 20	195 145		195 145	44 56	40 48
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, 2005 2010 2011	1 552 1 361 1 430	433 358 407	446 472 456	562 444 469	4 0 0	39 48 59	64 24 22	103 72 81	68 39 39	49 43 47
Cayman Island	•7 5 s	• 2012 1990	1 653	478	574	519	0	58	33	91	24	45
	M M	1995 2000 2005	2 5	05	2 0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 100 - 50
	·8 V V /	2011 • 2012	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	50 83
Chile	<u>\</u>	1990 1995 2000	6 151 4 150 3 021 2 505	1 561 1 290	1 284 879	1 017 694		225 158	108	225 158		- 55 59 70
		2010	2 376 2 450	1 154	502 473	553	0	167	96 85	263 272	0	70 72
Colombia	• 47 14	• 2012 1990	2 394 12 447	1 173	538	518	0	165	66	231	0	69
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	1995 2000	9 912 11 630	7 530 8 358	1 380 1 446	1 002 1 487		339		339		85 85
	\sim	2005 2010 2011	11 420 11 884	6 870 7 028 6 807	1 429 1 696 2 355	1 985 2 275	311 0	443 400 447	469 554	443 869 1 001	0	83 81 74
	• 37 24	• 2012	11 494	6 5 2 2	2 270	2 264	0	250	405	762	0	74

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

 $^{\rm a}$ Rates are per 100 000 population. $^{\rm b}$ NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990-2012

					NEW CA	SES						% SMEAR-
	NEW AND RELAPSE NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE UNKNOWN	/ EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Costa Rica		1990 1995	230 586	245	71	31		0		0		_ 78
		2005	534	330	81	104		19	26	45		80
	\sim	2010	492 514	267 285	89 128	108 85	1	25 16	7 10	32 26	2	75 69
Cuba	•7	1990	546	257	99	102	0	17	5	22	0	-
		1995 2000	1 553 1 183	834 675	520 257	199 201		54 50	122	54 172		62 72
		2005	772 827	467 462	160 212	103 98	10	40 45	9 11	49 56	2	74 69
	• • • • • •	2011 7 • 2012	805 734	437	219 200	86 112	6	57 46	16 14	73 60	0	67 65
Curaçao	, in the second s	2010	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Dominico		2012	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	ő	100
Dominica		1990 1995 2000	8	5				3		3		100
	$-/ \bigvee_{\Lambda} = \Lambda_{\Lambda} $	2005	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2010	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	100
Dominican	• 8 11	1990	2 597	5	I	U	0		I	2	0	-
Republic	\sim	1995 2000	4 053 5 291	2 787 2 907	1 418 1 234	244 540		204 610		204 610		66 70
		2005	5 003	2 949	1 032	602 578	100	420	309	729	0	74
	V • 36	2011	4 309	2 454	809 817	655	49	342	163	505	0	75
Ecuador	. ^	1990	8 243	Z 403	017	400	44	3/4		JJZ	U	- 70
	\mathcal{M}	2000	6 908	5 890	1 338	420		106	280	386		72
	\sim	2005	4 416 4 832	3 048 3 373	635 404	330 655	0	403 400	392 263	795 663	0	83 89
	• 81 3!	-• 2011 5• 2012	5 106 5 456	3 521 3 856	380 285	808 856	0 111	397 348	244 315	641 663	0	90 93
El Salvador	Λ	1990 1995	2 367 2 422		2 241	181						-
		2000	1 485	1 008	278	108		91 79	180	271	0	78 72
		2003	1 700	972	338	328	0	62	30	92	0	74
	•44 33	2011 3 • 2012	1 896 2 053	1 079	371 313	384 415	0	62 88	21 10	83 98	0	74 80
Grenada		1990 1995 2000	0 4 0	2	0	0		0	0	0		100
		2005	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	2 V V V •0	2011 1 • 2012	2	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50 100
Guatemala	t	1990	3 813	2 368	546	205		249		249		- 81
		2000	2 913	2 052	518	202		141	50	141	400	80
		2005	3 322	2 420	265	348	436	152	29	181	438	89
	•43 23	> 2011 3• 2012	3 040 3 442	1 961 2 212	309 382	243 311	415 393	112 144	48 57	160 201	0	86 85
Guyana		1990 1995	168 296	85	187	22		2		2		31
		2000 2005	422	119 240	231 352	34 33	6	38 8	46 17	84 25	0	34 41
	\sim	2010	712	325	274	75	0	38	124	162	0	54
11-34	• 23 9	4 • 2012	748	309	339	78	0	23	200	244	0	48
naili	\sim .	/ 1995	6 212	5 007	0.000	4 007						-
	\sim	2000	10 420 14 311	5 887 7 340	2 930 5 292	1 367 1 484		236 195	110 33	346 228		67 58
	• 0 16	2010 2011 3 • 2012	14 222 14 315 16 568	8 242 8 011 9 254	4 335 4 553 4 956	1 307 1 374 1 914	0	338 377	43 46 155	381 423 599	0	66 64 65
Honduras		1990 1995	3 647 4 984	2 306	2 214	232	0	100	100	100		- 51
		2000 2005	6 406 3 333	3 404 2 069	2 396 721	370 362		236 181		236 181		59 74
		2010	2 876 3 233	1 842	482 616	382 377	0	170 180	25 10	195 190	0	79 77
Jamaica	• 74 3	8 • 2012	3 014	1 945	509	362	0	198	32	230	0	79
bamaica	JAMA A	1995	109	93	14	2		2		2		87
	$\sim \sim $	2000	90	90 53	31	6	0	0	5	5	0	63
		2010	130 105	76 35	46 39	6 6	0 24	2	17 3	19 4	0	62 47
Mexico	• 5	3 • 2012 1990	91 14 437	46	33	9	0	3	3	6	0	58
	\wedge	1995 2000	11 329 18 434	9 220 11 676	1 807 1 675	302 2 081		421	914	1 335		84 87
	~/ ~~~	2005	18 524	11 997 12 572	421	2 657	2 831 0	618 722	1 408	2 026	585	97 82
	V V	2011	19 857	12 960	2 497	3 529	0	871	671	1 542	0	84
Montserrat	- 1/ 1.	1990	20 470	13 038	2 08 1	3 838	139	//3	0/8	1001	U	03 -
	Λ /	1995 2000	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		-
	ς /\ ΛΛ/	2005 2010	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	· V V e.	. 2011 0 • 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Netherlands Antilles		1990					,			ž		-
	••	2000 0 • 2005	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	40

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW AND RELAPSE				NEW CASE	S						% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a	YEAR	NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/	EXTRA-	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL.	TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Nicaragua	1990–2012	1990	2 944	POSITIVE	UNKNOWN	PULMONARY			RELAPSE	REIREAI	UNKNOWN	-
	\sim	1995	2 842	1 568	854 541	253		167		167		65 73
	<u> </u>	2000	1 907	1 253	395	160		99	169	268	0	76
	\sim	2010	2 448 2 693	1 440 1 552	653	335	0	159	127	286	0	71 70
Panama	• 71 47	• 2012 1990	2 790 846	1 484	817	339	0	150	144	294	0	64
	$\sim M$	1995	1 300	1 066	114	28 74	5	108	93	108		90 44
	$ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}$	2005	1 637	860	505	216		56	191	247		63
	$\sim \vee$	2010	1 496	830	425 433	287	0	55	134 124	211 179	18	62 66
Paraguay	• 34 40	• 2012 1990	1 520 2 167	778 993	434	248	0	60	155	215	0	64 100
	1	1995 2000	1 745 1 950	748 900	870 791	127 170		28 14	516	28 530		46 53
	40.00	2005	2 075	1 260	665	150	90	105	273	273	76	65
		2011	2 372	1 371	515	251	108	103	177	304	0	73
Peru	• 51 36	1990	37 905	1 291	494	221	107	123	207	330	0	-
		1995 2000	45 310 38 661	32 096 22 580	7 803 6 018	5 411 5 682		4 381		4 381		80 79
	. ~	2005	33 747 31 073	18 490 17 264	5 592 5 201	5 335 5 185	809 647	3 195 2 776	1 794	4 989 4 180	326	77
	•174 99	2011	31 241 29 760	17 754 17 653	5 164 4 556	5 564	712 583	2 047	1 603 1 945	3 650 3 680	0	77 79
Puerto Rico	~	1990	159	100	111	0.200	000	1700	1010	0.000		-
		2000	174	81	69	23						54
	·	2005	80	60 37	37 35	16	0	4	0	4	0	51
	•5 2	• 2011 • 2012	50 71	29 41	13 17	8 10	0	0 3	0	0 3	0	69 71
Saint Kitts and Nevis	٨	1990 1995	0 5	4								100
	<u> </u>	2000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	$M \setminus \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$	2010	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	•0 4	• 2011	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Saint Lucia	٨	1990 1995	13 11	11								100
	T.A.	2000 2005	9 14	7	1	0	0	1	2 0	3 2		88 92
	\cdot \vee \checkmark \checkmark	2010	9 7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
Saint Vincent and	•9 6	• 2012	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
the Grenadines	· /	1995	13	5	7	0		4	0	4		42
		2000	7	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
	\mathcal{N}	2010 2011	15 17	8	7 9	0	0	0	2	2	0	53 47
Sint Maarten	• 2 27 •	• 2012 2010	30	27	3	0	0	0	4	4	0	90 100
(Dutch part)		2011 2012	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100 0
Suriname	1	1990	82									-
	, /\.	2000	89	37	40	12	0	0	1	1	<u>^</u>	48
	λ	2005	117	130	42	14	2	6	10	16	0	76
	• 20 24	2011 • 2012	125 128	64 83	34 28	20 13	1	5 2	6 5	11 7	1	65 75
Trinidad and Tobago	\wedge \wedge i	1990 1995	120 166	7	68	12		22		22		9
		2000 2005	198 166	115 95	61 50	17 12	0	5 9	26 13	31 22		65 66
	\sim	2010	219 224	136 121	58 77	20 19	0	5	39 42	44 49	0	70 61
Turke and Calcor	• 10 20	• 2012 1000	274	167	81	19	0	7	47	54	Ő	67
Islands	5	1990	0									-
		2000										_
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2010 2011	6 9	3 8	1	1 0	0	1	1	2 1	0	75 89
United States	• 0 25	• 2012 1990	8 25 701	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	71
of America	~	1995 2000	22 728 16 310	8 093 5 883	10 795 7 204	3 835 3 211	5 12					43 45
		2005	14 080	5 111	6 030	2 939	0					46
		2010	10 521	3 742	4 556	2 189	34					45
Uruguay	• 10 3	1990	9 945 886	3 563	4 201	2 100	21					40
	\ ~	1995	625 645	349 348	178 165	78 77		20 39		20 39		66 68
		2005 2010	622 699	355 368	147 218	73	32	15 41	4 0	19 41	0	71 63
	•28 24	2011 • 2012	817 808	467 432	249 269	48 59	0	53 48	0 7	53 55	0	65 62
US Virgin Islands		1990	4		0	0	5				Ŭ	- 50
		2000	4	2	2	U						_
	V	2005										
	• 4 0	2011 • 2012										_
Venezuela (Bolivarian	1 ^	1990 1995	5 457 5 578	3 056	1 517	709		272		272		67
Republic of)	$\gamma$	2000	6 466 6 847	3 525	1 616	948 1 094		377 247	103	377 350		69 66
	V 🔨	2010	6 451	3 252	1 758	1 077	0	248	194	442	116	65
	•28 22	<ul> <li>∠011</li> <li>2012</li> </ul>	ь 282 6 495	3 224 3 446	1 617	1 143	0	213 289	282	408 571	0	68

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTE	NOT
Anguilla	1995–2011	1995	0	COHORT	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED
		2000			-						
		2009		0	-						
	•0 0•	2010 2011	0	0	-						
Antigua and Barbuda		1995 2000	3	4	- 133	100	0	0	0	0	0
		2005	6	6	100	50	0	33		0	17
	\ 	2009	6	6	100	0	33	33	0	33	0
Argentina	•0 17•	2011 1995	6 5 698	6 5 707	100	5	17	33	0	50 3	0 84
		2000	4 749	5 177	109	26	20 34	5	0	6	43 37
		2009	4 044	5 062	125	19	26	4	0	7	43
	•12 52•	2010	3 973 5 150	5 088 5 600	128	20 18	33	4 5	0	8	40 36
Aruba		1995 2000			-						
		2005		6	-						
		2010	4		-						
Bahamas	• 0 92 •	2011 1995	38	13	186	92			8		0
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000 2005	56 30	30	- 100	17	40	17	7	20	0
		2009	26	26	100	12	69	8	0	12	0
	•0 70•	2010	23	23	100	4	65	26	0	4	0
Barbados		1995 2000	3		-						
	\ /	2005	2	11 2	100	45 100	45 0	9	0	0	0
	•0 0-	2010	6	6	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
Belize		1995	36	29	81	52	0	10	3	28	7
	$\sim 1$	2000 2005	44 59	45 59	102 100	78 56	0 19	9 12	0	2 12	11
	· v /	2009 2010	82 97	142	146						
Barmuda	• 52 0 •	2011	64		-						
Dermuda		2000	0		-						
		2005		1	-	0	0	0	0	0	100
	•0 0•	2010 2011	1	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	100 100
Bolivia	~	1995	7 010	7 010	100	53	9	4	1	9	24
State of)		2000	6 278	6 278	100	76	2	3	1	9 5	12
	_ / V	2009 2010	5 937 5 613	5 897 5 571	99 99	84 86	1 2	4	1	5 5	4
Bonaire, Saint	• 62 86 •	2011 2009	5 746	5 770	100	84	2	3	1	5	5
Eustatius and Sal	ba	2010	0	0	-						
Brazil	. ~ .	1995	45 650	45 650	100	17	0	1	1	3	79
		2000 2005	41 186 42 093	34 007 42 093	83 100	49 31	22 44	4 5	0	9 9	16 9
		2009 2010	39 267 37 932	40 818 41 840	104 110	31 37	41 37	5	1	10 11	11 10
Pritich Virgin	• 17 76 •	2011	40 294	42 764	106	37	38	5	0	10	9
Islands		2000	1	1	100			100			0
		2005	0	1	-	0	100	0	0	0	0
	• 0 0•	2010 2011	1 0	0	0						
Canada	~	1995	436	402	-	22	12	5		1	50
	$\sim \sim$	2005	433	459	106	8	59	9	0	1	22
	$\sim$	2009 2010	462 358	850 854	184 239	10 12	65 65	7 8	0	0	17 15
Cayman Islands	• 0 62 •	2011 1995	407 0	858	211	8	54	9	0	0	29
	$\neg/$ /	2000	5	5	100	0	40	0	0	0	60 0
	v	2009	1	2	200	50	0	0	0	0	50
	• 0 100 •	2010	2	2	100	50 100	0	0	0	0	0 0
Chile	$\sim$	1995 2000	1 561 1 290	1 111 1 360	71 105	79 82		7 9	0	8 6	5 2
	$\sim$	2005	1 186	1 147	97	83	0	9	0	6	2
		2010	1 154	1 437	125	51	20	9	Ő	6	14
Colombia	• /9 /1•	1995	7 530	1 402	-	50	22	/	0	0	15
	$\Lambda \Lambda \sim$	2000 2005	8 358 6 870	1 634 7 778	20 113	70 63	10 9	5	1	8	6 14
	/ V \/ .	2009	7 319	6 899 7 364	94 105	68 69	9	6 7	2	9	6 4
Casta Di	•0 77•	2011	6 807	6 805	100	66	11	7	1	10	5
Josta Hica	, / r	2000	245 349	349	100	43	14	10	1	12	19
		2005 2009	330 271	306 166	93 61	85 49	4 4	5	2	3	1 39
	• 0 88.	2010	267 285	297 282	111 99	75 85	12	7	2	2	2
Cuba	001	1995	834	834	100	90	0	4	3	2	2
	$\sim / \sim \sim \sim$	2000 2005	675 467	673 466	100 100	91 90	2	4	1	1	1
		2009 2010	418 462	415 458	99 99	87 89	3	7	2	1	0
	- 90 88	2011	437	443	101	83	5	. 8	2	- 3	õ

 $^{\rm a}$  TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Curaçao		2009 2010	5	5	-						
Dominica		2011 1995	0	1	-						
	$\land$	2000 2005			-						
	/	2009	4	4	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
	•0 100•	2010	2	2	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
Dominican Republic	$\wedge$	1995 2000	2 787 2 907	2 007 2 760	72 95	43 37	21 34	5 5	2	13	16 4
	~\//~	2005	2 949 2 441	2 697 2 441	91 100	80 79	5	4	2	7	3
	•64 83•	2010 2011	2 159 2 454	2 194 2 454	102	73 76	7	5 4	1	7	6
Ecuador		1995	5 890	5 236	89		39	2	8	14	37
	/ \/~~•	2000	5 064 3 048	2 150	71	81	3	3	3	6	5
	$\checkmark$	2009 2010	3 317 3 373	3 330 3 373	100 100	71 75	4	4 3	3	8 7	11 8
El Salvador	• 39 78 •	2011	3 521	3 441	98	73	4	3	3	7	10
	·	2000	1 008	1 008	100	78	1	7	1	5	8
		2003	930	930	100	88	1	5	4	2	0
	•0 93•	2010 2011	972 1 079	972 1 079	100 100	91 93	1 0	4	2	2	0
Grenada	1 1 /	1995 2000	2		-						
		2005		6	-	67		33			0
	$\sim V$	2009	4	4	100	50 75	0	50 25	0	0	0
Guatemala	• 0 100 •	2011 1995	1 2 368	2 368	100	100 56	5	3	1	4	0 31
	$\sim$	2000	2 052	1 908	93	75	11	5	1	7	1
	1	2009	1 609	2 121	132	77	6	6	1	9	1
_	• 61 86 •	2010	2 121 1 961	2 121 2 056	100	81	6 5	б 5	1 1	9 8	1 0
Guyana	$\wedge \wedge \sim$	1995 2000	85 119	296 119	348 100	10 43	34 13	11 12	1 5	38 24	6 3
	$\Lambda \rightarrow \sim \sim$	2005	240	257	107	2	57	7	1	26	9
		2010	325	325	100	30	41	6	1	18	4
Haiti	• 44 72 •	2011 1995	323	323 3 081	100	22	50 70	4	1	16 21	3
		2000 2005	5 887 7 340	5 887 7 340	100 100	57 72	14 8	5 6	1	13 7	10 6
		2009	0.040	8 435	100	67	12	5	1	8	7
	•70 84•	2010	8 242 8 011	8 242 8 390	105	72	10	5 4	1	6	5 5
Honduras	$\sim$	1995 2000	2 306 3 404	2 226 2 362	97 69	39 81	25 5	7 6	0	4 5	25 3
		2005	2 069	1 905	92 100	81 79	7	5	0	4	3
	. 64	2010	1 842	1 918	104	79	6	6	1	6	2
Jamaica	- 04 00-	1995	93	93	100	2	65	10	1	17	5
	$\wedge$	2000 2005	90 53	99 53	110 100	5 4	40 53	23 13	0	11 26	20 4
	\ ~	2009 2010	77 76	76 76	99 100	55 13	14 34	14 9	0	11 5	5 38
Maxiaa	• 67 47 •	2011	35	59	169	25	22	7	0	5	41
IVIEXICO	~ ~ ·	2000	11 676	11 538	99	64	12	6	1	9	8
	$\mathbf{V}$	2005	11 997 11 862	12 172 11 821	101 100	71 82	6 4	5	1	6 5	2
	·75 86•	2010 2011	12 572 12 960	12 304 12 622	98 97	82 72	4 15	6 5	1 1	5 4	1
Montserrat		1995	0		-			-	· · · · ·		
		2005	1		-						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Netherlands	• 0 0 •	2011 1995	0		-						
Antilles	• 0	2000	2	5	250			20			80
Nicaragua	<u>х</u>	1995	1 568	1 536	98	66	14	4	2	10	4
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000 2005	1 4/1	1 437 1 496	98 119	/0 73	13 12	5 5	1 2	9	2 3
	$\sim$	2009 2010	1 329 1 440	1 552 1 704	117 118	69 66	16 18	4 5	1	7 6	3 3
Panama	• 80 86 •	2011	1 552	1 565	101	68	18	3	2	7	3
i dilama		2000	460	460	100	27	33	7	2	22	10
	_ /~	2005	860 755	873 768	102	68 65	12	8	0	10	0
	• 69 84 •	2010 2011	707 830	717 861	101 104	64 68	16 16	7 5	1 1	12 10	0
Paraguay	~~~~	1995	748	748	100	8	43	3	0	17	29
	· ~ ~	2005	1 260	1 452	115	46	33	5	0	8	7
	\	2009 2010	1 498 1 318	1 467 1 317	98 100	75 69	5 9	7 8	0	5	7 8
Peru	• 51 78 •	2011 1995	1 371 32 096	1 367 28 185	100 88	70 75	8	7	1	5	9
	$\bigwedge$	2000	22 580	22 230	98	90	ō	2	2	3	4
	۷٦,	2005	17 391	14 212	82	70	11	3	1	4 6	9
	• 83 74 •	2010 2011	17 264 17 754	17 264 16 694	100 94	57 68	12 6	2	5	5 5	20 11
Puerto Rico		1995	128 81	128 81	100		68 64	23 31		8	2
		2005	60	60	100	75	0	22	0	3	0
	$\sim \sqrt{\sqrt{2}}$	2009	30 37	37 37	123 100	81 78	0	16 14	0	0	3 0
	V										

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTE	D NOT EVALUATED
Saint Kitts and	, 7	1995	4	5	125	20	40	20	0	20	0
Nevis		2000	0		-						
	. \/ ·	2005	4	5	125	80	0	0	0	0	20
	/ V	2010	2	2	100	100	0	0	0	0 0	0
	• 60 100 •	2011	1	1	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
Saint Lucia		1995	11		-						
		2000	7	8	114	88	12	0	0	0	0
	$\langle \rangle / \langle \rangle \rangle \langle \rangle$	2005	11	13	118	15	54	31	0	14	0
	$\sim$	2009	9	, 9	100	22	67	29	0	0	11
	•0 57•	2010	7	7	100	43	14	14	29	0	0
Saint Vincent and		1995	5		-						
the Grenadines	$\sim$ 1	2000	9	13	144	100	0	0	0	0	0
	\ <i>,</i> .	2005	6		-						
		2009	3	1	33	0	0	0	0	0	100
		2010	8	8	100	0	0	0	0	0	100
Sint Maarton	58.	2011	0	9	112	44	11	11	0	33	0
(Dutch part)		2010	3	3	100		100	0	0	0	0
(= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		2011	2	2	100	100	0	Ō	Ō	ō	0
Suriname		1995		51	-	10	4	12		8	67
	$\sim$ $\sim$	2000	37	37	100	49	19	16	0	14	3
		2005	149	143		64	3	11	1	16	5
		2010	130	73	56	60	ő	12	0	4	23
	• 14 76 •	2011	64	75	117	71	5	13	0	4	7
Trinidad and		1995	7	78	1 114	49	21	19	1	10	0
Tobago	$\land$ $\land$ $\land$	2000	115	194	169	22	46	11	2	6	13
	$\cdot $	2005	95	106	112	68	4	12		16	0
	$\sim$ V	2009	154	154	100	61	8	14	1	14	1
	•69 72•	2010	121	123	100	69	4	9	1	15	1
Turks and Caicos	12	1995	121	120	-	00	0			10	
Islands	\	2000		2	-	0	0	0	0	100	0
	\.	2005		3	-	33	33	0	0	0	33
	7	2009			-						
		2010	3	4	133	75					25
Lipited States	•0 22•	2011	8	9 116	112		22	15		67	11
of America		2000	5 883	5 901	100		83	11		3	3
or / unionidu		2005	5 111	5 136	100		84	8	2	0	6
	\ / `	2009	4 014	7 460	186		60	6		1	32
	V	2010	3 695	7 034	190		64	6		1	29
	• 76 78 •	2011	3 742	5 955	159		78	6		1	15
Uruguay	^	1995	349	370	106	41	27	10	1	4	17
		2000	348	344	99	85	U	13	1	1	0
	~ ·	2005	409	406	97	73	7	12	0	6	2
	1	2010	368	368	100	80	5	10	0 0	5	0
	• 68 85 •	2011	467	467	100	81	4	8	0	7	0
US Virgin Islands		1995	2	2	100	50		0	0	0	50
		2000			-						
		2005			-						
		2009			-						
	• 50 0 •	2010			_						
Venezuela	0.	1995	3 056	3 056	100	68	6	4	1	8	13
(Bolivarian	~~~~	2000	3 525	3 390	96	76	0	4	0	13	6
Republic of)	$-\Lambda / \langle \rangle / \rangle$	2005	3 653	3 581	98	83		5	0	10	2
		2009	3 436	3 433	100	84	0	4	0	11	1
	ν. V	2010	3 252	3 157	97	83	0	5	0	11	0
	• /4 80 •	2011	3 224	3 224	100	80	0	5	0	12	3

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Anguilla		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005		0	-						
		2010	0	0	-						
Antigua and	•0 0•	1995	0	0	-						
Barbuda		2000 2005	0		-						
		2009 2010	2 0	1 0	50	100	0	0	0	0	0
Argentina	• 0 50 •	2011	2	2	100	50	0	50	0	0	0
Jugorana	7	2000	1 828	1 615	-	7	26	-	0	0	50
	$\sim$ V( )	2005	827	893	108	10	20	4	1	13	52
	•0 41•	2010	716 1 072	1 114 1 492	156 139	9	23 33	4 5	1 0	15 16	49 38
Aruba		1995 2000			-						
		2005			-						
	.0 0.	2010			-						
Bahamas		1995	1		-						
	$\sqrt{\Lambda}$	2000 2005	0 4	4	100	25	50	0	0	25	0
	V V	2009 2010	5 2	5 2	100 100	20 0	60 100	20 0	0	0	0
Barbados	• 0 100 •	2011	2	2	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
24104005		2000	0		=						
		2005	0	0	-						
	•00•	2010 2011	0	0							
Belize		1995 2000	4	13	325	23	0	23	8	38	8
		2005	15	14	93	57	29	14	0	0	0
		2009	1	1	100						
Bermuda	•23 0•	2011 1995	12		-						
		2000 2005	0		-						
		2009	0	0	-						
	•0 0•	2010	0	0	-					15	_
Bolivia (Plurinational		1995 2000	2 081	462 804	733 39	57 49	9	12	5	15 8	16
State of)	$\sim$	2005	772	772 598	100 82	63 73	3	5	3	7	19 7
	•66 73•	2010	665 637	589 560	89 88	72 71	5	5	3	10 10	5 7
Bonaire, Saint		2009	001	0	-	71	-	0	0	10	
	Ja	2010	1	1	100						
Brazil	$\wedge$	1995 2000	11 334	7 859	69	30	10	4	0	14	41
	$\wedge$	2005	9 637 9 818	9 479 10 664	98 109	26 15	22 28	7 8	2	19 23	25 24
	.0 / 19.	2010	10 949	10 721	98 120	18	28 30	8	2	25 23	19 17
British Virgin	45	1995	10 040	12 000	-	15	00		2	20	17
Isianus		2000	0		_						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Canada	• 0 0 •	2011 1995	0 195	0							
	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	2000	145 103	145 106	100 103	16 8	16 59	6 7	1 0	2	60 23
	$\sim 10^{\circ}$	2009	94	95	101	4	60 FC	7	0	1	27
	• 0 56 •	2010	81	94 101	125	сі 8	49	9	0	0	35
Gayman Islands		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005	0	0	-						
	•0 0•	2010 2011	0	0	-						
Chile		1995	225	150	-	20	26	0	1	10	15
	$\sim \sim$	2005	314	140	45	52 69	3	14	1	9	3
	$\cup$	2009 2010	306 263	219 336	72 128	15 14	9 12	7 6	2 2	7	60 58
Colombia	• 0 43 •	2011 1995	272	281	103	24	19	7	1	15	33
	1	2000 2005	339 443	0	-						
		2009	616	000	-	4.4	E	0		7	70
	•0 45•	2010 2011	1 001	920 1 001	106	11 32	5 13	3 7	1 4	23	73 22
Costa Rica	/ r•	1995 2000	0 35	69	197	23	9	10	3	25	30
	· \/	2005	45 31	49	109	55 0	12	4 50	2	24	2
	V	2010	32	35	109	37	43	11	0	9	0
Cuba	- 0 81 •	1995	20 54	26 55	102	54 82	0	7	4 5	4 5	0
		2000 2005	172 49	58 48	34 98	78 67	7	10	3	2	0 21
	V\	2009 2010	51 56	61 55	120 98	69 67	5 15	15 4	5 4	7	0
	• 82 68 •	2011	73	72	99	53	15	19	3	10	õ

 a  TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF 0	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Curacao	1995-2011	2009	NOTIFIED	COHORI	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED
		2010			-						
Dominica		1995	3	0	-						
		2000			-						
		2009	1	0	0						
	•0 0•	2010	0	1	100	0	100 0	0	0 100	0	0
Dominican Bepublic	/·	1995	204	498	- 82	29	26	3	4	27	11
Nepublic	- ~ \ /	2000	729	530	73	56	5	7	8	19	6
	V	2009 2010	452 520	434 384	96 74	47 51	6 13	13 9	5 5	29 18	0 4
Foundar	• 0 61 •	2011	505	415	82	46	15	7	5	20	6
Loudon	$\land \land$	2000	386		-						
	· / /	2005	795 756	554 756	70	56 46	8	5	10	12	9
	0	2010	663	644	-	00	0		-	10	40
El Salvador	-0 35-	1995	041	041	-	29	0	3	5	10	40
		2000 2005	271 114	181 114	67 100	63 68	3	9	3	18 13	3
	$\sim$	2009	113	113	100	85	3	3	1	8	1
	•0 90•	2010	92 83	92 83	100	88 90	2	2	3	5	3
Grenada		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005			-						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Guatemala	• 0 0 •	2011	0 249	0 254	102	50	15	4	2	А	17
Jouromand	η <u>γ</u>	2000	141	164	116	63	16	4	4	10	2
	$\bigvee$ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2005	159 128	181	141	55	8	5	7	20	4
	•73 64	2010	181	181	100	55 51	8	5	7	20 20	4
Guyana		1995	2	102	-					20	
	$\sim \sim$	2000 2005	84 25	38 23	45 92	24 22	29 35	13 9	5 9	26 13	3 13
		2009	205	205	100	0	51	14	0	18	17
	•0 49•	2010	233	233	100	6	43	9	1	33	8
Haiti	1	1995 2000	346	55	- 16	42	15	5	7	22	9
	$ \setminus \sim \uparrow$	2005	228	228	100	63	7	3	0	13	14
	$\vee$	2009 2010	381	381 381	100	49 60	20	5	3	10	11 8
Honduras	• 0 72 •	2011	423	453	107	61	11	4	6	10	9
	$\sim \wedge$	2000	236	180	76	44	10	8	2	6	29
		2005	225	169	93 85	59	7	10	1	17	22
	•0 68.	2010	195 190	164 165	84 87	66 64	9	7	2	15 16	1
Jamaica	<u> </u>	1995	2	6	300	0	67	17	0	17	0
	1	2000	13 5	5	100		20			80	0
	$\bigvee$	2009	20	19	95 100	16	58 26	5	0	21	0
	• 67 25 •	2011	4	4	100	0	25	0	0	25	50
Mexico	$\sim$	1995 2000	1 335	138	10	33	4	8	7	12	36
	$\wedge l$	2005	2 026	1 456	72	48	7	7	4	14	20
	_/ \	2010	1 266	1 272	100	55	7	9	6	11	10
Montserrat	• 0 61 •	2011	1 542	1 352	- 88	47	14	10	5	10	14
		2000	0		-						
		2009	0	0	-						
	•0 0•	2010	0	0	-						
Netherlands Antilles		1995	٥		-						
	• 0	2005			-						
Nicaragua	٨	1995 2000	167 159	289 230	173 145	69 65	10 10	4 6	3 2	11 15	3 2
	$\sim$	2005	268	181	68	71	12	7	2	7	2
	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	2003	286	204	71	60	16	8	4	9	2
Panama	• 78 69 •	2011	282	134	48	58	10	10	2	14	4
	$\sim$	2000	134	42	31	19	24	2	0	48	7
		2003	235	203	86	18	30	10	0	37	4
	•0 59•	2010 2011	211 179	208 203	99 113	23 24	34 34	11 11	3 2	30 28	0
Paraguay	Г	1995	28	144	-	10	40	6	1	OF	0
	/	2005	273	164	60	44	26	4	1	10	16
		2009 2010	177 214	188 216	106 101	47 54	9	9 8	4	11 9	20 20
Poru	• 0 67 •	2011	304	228	75	60	7	4	4	9	16
reiu	$\setminus \wedge $	2000	4 381	4 521	103	78	0	4	7	6	4
	$\sim$ $\checkmark$	2005	4 989 4 324	2 299	46 50	78 49	21	5	5	11 12	1
	v -	2010	4 180	2100	-	45	- 1	7	2	12	. 2
Puerto Rico	• 0 0 •	2011 1995	3 620		-						
		2000 2005	0	113	-		73	23	0	4	1
		2009	0	0	-	E0	0	05	07	~	0
	•0 0•	2010	4 0	4 0	-	50	U	20	20	U	U

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Saint Kitts and		1995	_		-						
Nevis		2000	0	2	100		50				50
		2009	0	0	-		50				50
		2010	0	0	-						
Saint Lucia	• 0 0 •	2011	0	0	-						
Saint Lucia		2000	3	1	33	100	0	0	0	0	0
	$\sim$ $\sim$	2005	2		-						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2009	3	3	100		33	67	0	0	0
	•0 0•	2010	0	0	_						
Saint Vincent and	· · ·	1995	4		-						
the Grenadines		2000	3	3	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
		2005	2	1	50	0	0	0	0	100	0
	_	2010	2	0	0	Ũ	0	Ū		100	0
	•0 0•	2011	0	0	-						
Sint Maarten		2009	0		-						
(Dutch part)		2010	0	0	_						
Suriname		1995			-						
		2000	1		-						
	/	2005	15	12	80	50	0	8	0	42	0
		2010	16	11	69	45	9	27	0	0	18
	• 0 64 •	2011	11	11	100	45	18	36	0	0	0
Trinidad and	1	1995	22	22	- 71	22	45	14	0	٥	0
Tobago		2000	22	21	95	19	38	29	5	14	0
		2009	60	60	100	48	20	15	0	17	0
	· · · ·	2010	44	44	100	43	20	14	0	23	0
Turks and Caicos	•0 35•	1995	49	49	100	22	12	12	0	51	2
Islands		2000			-						
		2005		3	-	33	33	33	0	0	0
		2009	2	0	-						
	•0 0•	2011	1	Ő	Ő						
United States		1995			-						
of America		2000			-						
		2009			-						
		2010			-						
Uniques	•0 0•	2011	20	25	105	EC	20	16	0	0	0
oruguay	$\wedge$	2000	39	20	125	50	20	10	0	0	0
	$\cdot \land \land \land \land \land \land \land$	2005	19	30	158	57	17	13	3	7	3
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2009	37	41	111	46	10	34	0	7	2
	• 76 79 •	2010	41	41	100	56 74	20	15	0	9 9	5
US Virgin Islands	10 10	1995	00	00	-		0				0
		2000			-						
		2005			-						
		2003			_						
	•0 0•	2011			-						
Venezuela	~	1995	272		-						
(Bolivarian Republic of)	$\sim$	2000	377	247	71	80		4	2	12	2
	\/ ~	2009	428	261	61	80	0	4	2	13	2
	V	2010	442	248	56	83	0	6	1	10	0
	• U 80 •	2011	408	400	98	80	0	9	0	10	0

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

## TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Anguilla		2005 2010 2011	0	0	1 0	0				
Antigua and		2012	100	6	6	3	50	100	100	0
Barbuda		2010	86 75	6	7	5	83 67	40	100	0
	· 100 100 ·	2012	100	4	4	2	50	50	100	1
Argentina		2005 2010	14	1 121	11 242 7 762	672	60			
		2011	13	1 313	10 491	735	56			
Aruba	- 15•	2012	15	1 434	9 606	685	48			
		2010			6					
	- 3.	2011	3.4	1	29	1	100		100	
Bahamas	$\sim$	2005	100	33	50 32	16	48	31	75	
	$\sim$	2011	100	42	42	12	29	42	67	
Barbados	- 100 •	2012	100	32	32	2	25 25	38	62	
		2010	100	6	6	2	33	0	100	
	- 100 •	2011 2012	100	0 4	0	0	25	0	100	
Belize	$\sim \sim$	2005	100	106	106	25	24	68 100	68	409
		2010	84	64	76	24	38	100	100	
Bermuda	• 100 81 •	2012	81	68	84	19	28		100	
Bonnada		2010	100	1	1	Ö	0			
	- 100 •	2011	100	1 3	1	0	0			
Bolivia	~	2005	0	0	9 973	0	6.0	0	07	50
(Plurinational State of)		2010	45	3 928	8 620	333	8.5	U	36	
Bonaire Saint	• 0 60 •	2012	60	5 049	8 484	164	3.2		100	
Eustatius and Saba		2010	0	0	1	0				
Brazil		2012	59	51.552	0	0 8 249	16	0	85	674
	$\sim$	2010	63	51 764	81 946	9 338	18		92	
	•59 55•	2011 2012	64 55	53 455 45 733	84 137 82 755	9 088 9 049	17 20	0	100 100	
British Virgin		2005	<u>^</u>	0	0	0				27
Islands		2010 2011	0	0	1	0				
Canada		2012	26	0	0	0	15			
Ganada	$\sim$	2003	48	658	1 385	53	8.1			
	•26 42•	2011	35 42	513 716	1 452 1 686	61 57	12			
Cayman Islands		2005		1		0	0			
	$\sim$	2010 2011	75 100	3	4	0	0			
Chile	- 100 •	2012	100	6	6	0	0			
Ghile	/	2005			2 633					
	- 16.	2011	11	286	2 535	148 140	52 36			
Colombia		2005	53	5 537	10 360	353	6.4			
	$\sim$	2010 2011	43 53	5 079 6 579	11 889 12 438	1 231	24 20		35 36	
<u> </u>	• 53 66 •	2012	66	7 791	11 829	1 400	18		34	
Costa Rica		2005 2010	67 99	374 494	560 499	50 54	13	0	84 0	
	.67 94.	2011	96 94	505	524 480	36	7.1	0	0	0
Cuba	0/ 04	2005	93	729	781	0	0			0
	$\langle $	2010 2011	100 95	862 780	838 821	56 62	6.5 7.9	0 34	62 89	1 366 1 429
-	• 93 83 •	2012	83	618	748	54	8.7	81	94	1 339
Curaçao		2010 2011	0	0	5	0				
Dominica		2012	100	1	1	1	100			
Dominica	$\wedge$	2010	38	3	8	1	33	100	100	
	- 75.	2011 2012	67 75	2	3	0	0			
Dominican		2005	1.5	78	5 312	3	3.8	0	0	953
Republic		2010 2011	60 57	2 489 2 540	4 160 4 472	547 460	18	7.9	3.8 93	5 041
Foundar	• 1 61 •	2012	61	2 721	4 440	557	20	69	48	
Ecuador	$\sim$	2003	100	5 183	5 095	427	8.2	0	100	
	•0 86•	2011	68 86	3 640 4 974	5 350 5 771	576 669	16 13			
El Salvador		2005	84	1 544	1 830	188	12	20	38	155
		2010 2011	96 98	1 667 1 878	1 730 1 917	180 194	11 10	82 85	63 77	455
Cranada	• 84 99 •	2012	99	2 036	2 063	214	11	66	83	
Grenada	\	2005	100	4	4	1	25	0	0	0
	- 100-	2011	100	2	2	0	0			0
Guatemala	100 -	2005	16	600	3 861	478	80		240	0
		2010 2011	63 72	2 121 2 223	3 351 3 088	255 285	12 13	100 16	100 30	
Cuuron-	• 16 85 •	2012	85	2 982	3 499	293	9.8	0	95	
Guyana	$\wedge -$	2005 2010	/U 88	456 734	656 836	80 209	18 28	77	59	144
	.70 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2011	93	852	916	199	23	94 71	83	119
Haiti		2005	0	0	14 344	1 797	01	/1	33	1 JH
	/ _	2010 2011	67 78	9 518 11 213	14 265 14 361	1 892 2 320	20 21	13 11	9.8 6.9	4 112
	•0 81•	2012	81	13 518	16 723	2 705	20	59	46	15 283

### TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Honduras		2005	44	1 455	3 333	200	14	0	0	0
		2010	54	1 557	2 901	201	13	90	90	27
	. 44 76	2011	75 76	2 443	3 243	261	11	50	72	286
Jamaica	10	2005	83	79	95	28	35	43	54	200
	$\leftarrow$	2010	87	128	147	30	23		100	
	$\sim$	2011	85	92	108	17	18		82	
Mexico	• 83 69	2012	69	1 382	19 94	217	20			
WEXICO	_	2010	43	8 915	20 699	1 645	18	100	26	
	$\sim$	2011	56	11 416	20 528	1 520	13	70	25	
	• 7 70	2012	70	15 005	21 348	1 233	8.2	70	24	
Montserrat		2005	100	1	1	0	0			
		2010		0	0	0				
	• 100 -	2012			0					
Netherlands Antille	S	2005		2		2	100			
Nicaragua	~	2005	0	0	2 076	30	4.0	0		105
		2010	56	1 440	2 5/5	60	4.2	67	67	465
	•0 72	2012	72	2 117	2 934	105	5	78	74	230
Panama		2005	86	1 569	1 828	200	13		10	400
	$\land \land \frown$	2010	96	1 558	1 630	240	15	63	84	
	~ ~ ~	2011	95	1 608	1 695	241	15	94	93	
Paraguay	• 30 96	2012	96	1 600	2 348	224	14	89	65	
. aragoay	/	2010	33	817	2 461	144	18	0	67	
		2011	60	1 533	2 549	174	11	25	56	
	- 73	2012	73	1 906	2 623	154	8.1	60	79	
Peru	~	2005	1.9	668	35 541	668	100		1.0	1 214
		2010	29	9 539	32 477	853	8.9		68	1 183
	•2 18	2012	18	5 836	31 705	979	17		87	1 416
Puerto Rico		2005	82	93	113	28	30			
		2010	95	76	80	14	18	43	50	
	. 00	2011	92	46	50	10	22	50	50	
Saint Kitts and	- 02 00	2005	00	01	2	11	10	02	30	
Nevis		2010	100	2	2	0	0			
		2011	100	1	1	0	0			
Onint Lunia	- 100	2012	100	2	2	0	0			
Saint Lucia	/ <b>·</b>	2005	100	9	9	0	0			
		2011	100	7	7	1	14	100	100	
	• 7 100 -	2012	100	11	11	1	9.1	100	100	1
Saint Vincent and		2005	100	7	7	1	14	0	0	
the Grenadines		2010	59	10	17	3	30	00	100	
	• 100 91	2011	91	31	34	9	29	67	67	
Sint Maarten		2010	100	3	3	0	0		-	
(Dutch part)		2011	100	2	2	0	0			
0		2012	100	1	1	0	0		10	
Suriname		2005	73	173	204	20	23	10	38	
	$\sim$	2011	89	117	131	38	32	18	55	
	• 73 91	2012	91	121	133	36	30		69	
Trinidad and	I	2005	69	124	179	42	34	29	36	0
lobago		2010	98	254	258	58	23	19	34	11
	•69 97	2011	97	311	321	82	26	24	29	
Turks and Caicos		2005		5		1	20	0	0	
Islands	\	2010	71	5	7	1	20	100	100	
	<u> </u>	2011	10	1	10	0	0			
United States	- 01	2012	59	8 273	14 080	1.035	13			
of America	<b>.</b>	2010	66	7 404	11 181	627	8.5			
		2011	83	8 752	10 521	668	7.6			
	• 59 84	2012	84	8 376	9 945	625	7.5			
Uruguay	~	2005	92	574	626	74	13	0	24	
	$\sim$	2010	92 94	769	699 817	104	14	0	34 31	
	• 92 95	2012	95	775	815	134	17	ő	24	
US Virgin Islands		2005								
		2010								
		2011								
Venezuela		2005	39	2 678	6 950	392	15	0	39	
(Bolivarian	$\sim$	2010	78	5 213	6 645	479	9.2	-	33	102
Republic of)	-	2011	64	4 133	6 477	519	13		32	
	• 39 73 •	2012	73	4 956	6 777	581	12	1	89	

TABLE A4.7 Testin	g for MDR-TB and nu	umber of confirmed	cases of MDR-TB,	2005-2012

		TOTAL		NEW PU	ILMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUSL	Y TREATED CAS	ES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Anguilla	2005 2010 2011	0	0.(0.0)	0.(0.0)	0		0.(0.0)	0	- - -
Antigua and Barbuda	2012 2005 2010 2011	0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0	 0 0	0 (0-0)	0	  0
Argentina	2012 2005 2010 2011	276 109 103	0.18 (<0.1–0.27)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	0 2369	0 46 - -	0.14 (<0.1–0.23)	0 1290	0 160 _ _
Aruba	2012 2005 2010 2011	63	340 (230–440)	160 (88–260)	5	- - - 71	180 (110–260)		 
Bahamas	2012 2005 2010	0	0.85 (0.57–1.1)	0.57 (0.36-0.81)	21	95	0.27 (<0.1–0.46)	2	- 100
Barbados	2011 2012 2005 2010	0	1.2 (<0.1–6.1)	1.2 (<0.1–6.1)	27 0	97 84 - 0	0 (0–0)	0	
Belize	2011 2012 2005 2010	0 0 0 0	<0.1 (<0.1–0.10)	<0.1 (<0.1–0.10)	0 0 0	0 	0 (0-0)	0 0 3	20
Bermuda	2011 2012 2005 2010	0	2.5 (1.7–3.4)	1.6 (1.0-2.2)	0	- 0 - 100	0.96 (0.32–1.6)	0	0 
Bolivia	2010 2011 2012 2005	0 0 63	0 (0–1.7)	0 (0–1.7)	1	100 200 -	0 (0–0)	0	
(Plurinational State of) Bonaire, Saint	2010 2011 2012 2010	106 83 <u>117</u> 0	150 (88–210)	74 (27–160)	0 98 1376 0	0 1.7 22 -	75 (60–94)	664 597 634 0	100 94 94 –
Eustatius and Saba Brazil	2011 2012 2005	1 0 373	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0		0 (0-0)	1 0 5917	100 
British Virgin	2010 2011 2012 2005	573 566 684	1 700 (1 400-2 000)	850 (620-1 100)	22 21 700	<0.1 <0.1 1.6 -	860 (660–1 100)	643 604 198	5.9 6.0 1.7 –
Islands	2010 2011 2012	0 0 0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 0 0	0 - -	0 (0-0)	0 0 0	- - -
Canada	2003 2010 2011 2012	22 15 19 9	7.4 (2.2–13)	6.0 (2.4–12)	987	130 130  140	1.4 (<0.1–7.8)	51 63	71 69
Cayman Islands	2005 2010 2011 2012	0 0	0 (0-3 1)	0 (0-3 1)	1 1 5		0.(0-0)	0 0	
Chile	2005 2010 2011	6 10 9	10 (7.5.20)	10 (4 4 26)	49 65 71	3.2 4.4 4.8	67 (0.0.15)	226 276 277	72 100 100
Colombia	2005 2010 2011	131 108	19 (7.3–30)	12 (4.4-20)	1240 2620	- 17 36	6.7 (2.2-13)	495 568	- 57 57
Costa Rica	2012 2005 2010 2011	105 3 3 0	310 (220–400)	210 (140-320)	2378 2 203 32	33 0.49 64 9.6	98 (74–130)	391 1 16	51 2.2 - 62
Cuba	2012 2005 2010 2011	1 1 7 10	6.4 (0.81–12)	5.4 (1.5–14)	273 169 174 313	95 32 36 60	1.0 (<0.1–5.0)	22 19 31 76	100 39 55 100
Curaçao	2012 2010 2011 2012	8 0 0	0 (0-0.98)	4.3 (0.52–15)	269 5 1	61 100 100 100	7.1 (2.7–14)	51 0 0	85 - -
Dominica	2005 2010 2011 2012	0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-5.9)	1 1 2	- 12 50	0 (0-2 0)	1	- - 100 50
Dominican Republic	2005 2010 2011 2012	108 117	220 (220 420)	220 (140, 220)	32 12 79		110 (71 150)	106 77	- 20 15
Ecuador	2005 2010 2011	253 176 354	330 (230-450)	220 (140-330)	117 363 239	3.2 10 6.3	170 (150 100)	502 584 284	63 88 44
El Salvador	2012 2005 2010 2011	14 2 4	300 (320-430)	210 (150-280)	12 0 238	1.1 0 22	170 (190-190)	627 14 2 69	12 12 2.2 83
Grenada	2012 2005 2010 2011	8 0 0	16 (5.9–26)	5.1 (0.61–18)	252	20 - - -	11 (4.8–20)	73	74 - -
Guatemala	2012 2005 2010 2011	0 40 18 27	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	20	0.83	0 (0-0)	40 18 27	25 9.9 17
Guyana	2012 2005 2010 2011 2012	69 5 3	140 (100-180)	89 (55-140)	37 0 2 2	1.4 	33 (11-56)	74 0 55	37 - 0 24 0.41
	2012	U	40 (23-70)	I++ (3.1-20)	3	0.97	33 (11-30)		0.41

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

				NEW PU	LMONARY CASE	s	PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES						
	YEAR	TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB				
Haiti	2005				53	0.72			-				
	2010 2011	41 86			2	<0.1		39	10				
Hondurae	2012	81	390 (270-520)	310 (200-440)	3	0.13	82 (28-140)	81	14				
Tiondulas	2010	9			57	3.1		62	32				
	2011	5			30	1.5		65	34				
lamaiaa	2012	6	71 (37–110)	43 (19–84)	41	2.1	28 (13–51)	96	42				
Jamaica	2003	1			40	31		5	26				
	2011	1			28	64		1	25				
Marian	2012	0	2.6 (1.7-3.4)	1.7 (1.1–2.4)	16	28	0.82 (0.28-1.4)	0	0				
WEXICO	2005	140			21	0.16		505	40				
	2011	140			6	<0.1		180	12				
Montcorrot	2012	114	480 (350-620)	380 (330-440)	13	<0.1	100 (84-130)	148	9.0				
WORLSerrat	2003	0			0	_		0	_				
	2011	0			0	-		0	-				
Notherlanda Antilla	2012	0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		-	0 (0-0)						
Nicaragua	2005	50			8	0.64		8	3.0				
Ŭ	2010	18			50	3.5		150	52				
	2011	13	46 (01 70)	14 (1 7 50)	200	13	21 (19, 40)	67	24				
Panama	2012	5	46 (21-70)	14 (1.7-52)	29	3.3	31 (10-49)	48	19				
	2010	10			58	8.2		17	8.1				
	2011	7	EC (2E 70)	07 (17 00)	25	2.3	20 (0.0, 40)	40	22				
Paraguay	2012	13	56 (35-78)	27 (17-38)	2	0.26	29 (9.9-49)	1	3.3				
	2010	1			115	8.2		52	24				
	2011	6	FE (10, 00)	6 E (0 16 06)	227	15	48 (20, 02)	93	31				
Peru	2012	2748	55 (19 <del>-</del> 90)	6.5 (0.16-36)	235	15	48 (20-92)	2336	47				
	2010	1048				-			-				
	2011	1663	0.000 (0.400, 0.000)	000 (000 000)	1199	6.5	4 000 (4 000 4 400)	598	16				
Puerto Rico	2012	0	2 200 (2 100-2 300)	890 (820-960)	14484	/9	1 300 (1 200–1 400)	1902	52				
	2010	0			69	100		4	100				
	2011	3	10(0.26)	0 (0 2 8)	44	110	10(.0107)	0	-				
Saint Kitts and	2012	1	1.0 (0-2.6)	0 (0-3.6)	52	90	1.0 (<0.1-2.7)	3	100				
Nevis	2010	0			0	0		0	-				
	2011	0	.0.1 ( .0.1 .0.1)	.0.1 (0.10.1)	0	0	0 (0 0)	0	-				
Saint Lucia	2005	0	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	0	-	0 (0-0)	0					
	2010	0			0	0		0	-				
	2011	0	0.24 (0.15, 0.24)	0.24 (0.15, 0.24)	2	29	0 (0 0)	0	-				
Saint Vincent and	2005	6	0.24 (0.13-0.34)	0.24 (0.13-0.34)	6	86	0 (0-0)	0					
the Grenadines	2010	0			2	22			-				
	2011	0	12 (0 79 16)	0.66 (0.42, 0.92)	1	12	0.55 (0.19, 0.02)	0	-				
Sint Maarten	2012	0	1.2 (0.76-1.0)	0.00 (0.42-0.93)	2	-	0.55 (0.16-0.52)	0	-				
(Dutch part)	2011	0			0	0			-				
Suriname	2012	0	<0.1 (<0.1–<0.1)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	49	-	0 (0-0)	0	-				
Garmanic	2000	0			1	0.70		0	-				
	2011	0			0	0		0	0				
Trinidad and	2012	0	3.4 (2.4-4.5)	2.5 (1.6-3.5)	0	-	0.96 (0.32-1.6)	3	- 14				
Tobago	2000	0			Ū	_		0	-				
	2011					_			-				
Turks and Caicos	2012	0	11 (8.4–13)	4.5 (2.2-6.4)	6	2.4	6.4 (5.0-7.9)	10	19				
Islands	2000	1				-			-				
	2011					-			-				
United States	2012	124	0.13 (<0.1–0.18)	0.13 (<0.1–0.18)	10064	110	0 (0-0)	505					
of America	2010	107			7593	110		345	-				
	2011	119	04 (00 400)	04 (00 100)	6899	99		304	-				
Uruquav	2012	81	81 (63-100)	81 (63-100)	6/90	100	-	339					
	2010	1			160	36		22	54				
	2011	1	10 (0.0 C)	0 (0 5 5)	422	75	10/01/00	38	72				
US Virgin Islande	2012	1	1.3 (0-3.8)	0 (0-5.5)	466	88	1.3 (<0.1-6.9)	42	/6				
	2010					-			-				
	2011					-			-				
Venezuela	2012	28	-	-	163	4.3	-	15	4.3				
(Bolivarian	2010	21			26	0.78		160	36				
Republic of)	2011	25	100 (59 150)	26 (7.2.67)	565	17	77 (42 100)	195	48				
	2012	21	100 (00-100)	20 (1.2-07)	+00	10	11 (40-120)	140	20				

#### TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case r	notification by age and sex, 1995–2	012
--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----

		MALE																
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE
Anguilla	1995 2000																	- -
	2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-
Antique and	2011 2012 1995	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Barbuda	2000 2005	0	0	0	0 1	0 1	0	1		1	1 2	1 2	0	0	0	0		0.33 0.50
	2010 2011	0 0	0 0	2 1	0 1	2 3	1 1	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	5.0 7.0
Argentina	2012	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	2000	97 64 56	621 536	594 530 491	402 358 309	384 302	368 340 340	330 348 282	2	90	544 530 421	479 474 426	262 290 233	230 198 184	169 153	216 240 176	1	1.2
	2011 2012	143 59	664 533	657 484	434 299	397 180	358 182	289 181	9 15	142 67	587 652	470 614	279 375	192 364	169 321	213 322	4 13	1.4 0.71
Aruba	1995 2000																	-
	2005					4		1				1				1		25
Bahamas	2012	3	3	5	2	3	2	2		1	4	7	2	1	0	1		0.56
	2000 2005	1	2	7	9	4	3	2		2	5	7	8	2	3	1		1.0
	2010 2011 2012	0	2 2 1	3 3 1	5	0 2 2	2	0 1 2	0	0	5	1 3 5	1 3	0	0	0	0	1.7 2.3 1.3
Barbados	1995 2000	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2.0
	2005 2010	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	- 1.0
Polizo	2011 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Delize	2000	2	5	7	4 2 6	6	3	5		0	2	2 1 4	2	4	1	2 4 4		2.1
	2010 2011	2 0	9 8	16 14	22 9	24 16	11 2	18 0		4 0	5 2	7	7	9 4	4	5		2.5 3.3
Bermuda	2012	1	2	7	5	4	3	2	0	0	4	3	4	4	1	0	0	1.5
	2000	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Bolivia	2011 2012	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	
(Plurinational State of)	2000 2005	166 157	1 182 1 320	797 725	518 439	466 391	340 346	366 415		191 160	831 846	588 533	334 276	254 226	192 182	233 262		1.5 1.5
	2010 2011	95 100	1 150 1 231	622 685	415 372	395 371	338 302	409 457		119 146	744 778	471 459	238 235	191 183	162 155	264 272		1.6 1.6
Bonaire, Saint Eustatius and Saba	2012 2010 2011	99 0	1 096	0	368	358 0	353 0	380	0	101 0	0	480	0	0	193 0	249	0	1.5
Brazil	2012	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ŏ	Ő	Ŏ	0	Ő	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ő	Ŏ	Ő	Ő	-
	2000	1 894 317 298	7 268 5 074 4 405	6 119 6 381	6 128 5 293	8 623 5 259 4 762	5 085 2 803 2 875	4 494 2 140 1 947	43	1 859 355 280	6 /19 3 496 2 677	7 215 3 663 3 008	5 395 2 626 2 211	3 582 1 897 1 720	2 384 1 112 1 038	2 496	15	2.0
	2011 2012	336 277	4 877 5 027	6 755 6 811	5 462 5 387	5 054 5 128	3 083 3 103	2 142 2 160	41 38	356 303	2 815 2 798	3 131 3 013	2 230 2 173	1 779 1 785	1 164 1 113	1 069 1 030	0	2.2 2.3
British Virgin Islands	1995 2000						1											-
	2005	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Canada	2012	0	0 28	0	0 60	0	0 41	0	0	0	0 33	0 28	0	0	0	0	0	- 1.5
	2000 2005	5 3	34 37	45 45	46 44	41 40	32 20	79 68		4 6	33 28	40 40	30 27	25 24	12 13	66 37		1.3 1.5
	2010 2011 2012	3 2 1	30 34 33	28 36 32	36 31 53	32 40 51	25 33 35	62 70 97	0	1 3 6	28 23 32	24 29 34	16 28 29	10 14 19	19 9 11	44 55 45	0	1.5 1.5 1.7
Cayman Islands	1995 2000	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0		02	01	20	10		10	0	-
	2005	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1.0
Chile	2012	0	0 148	2	204	1	141	0 163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 1.7
	2000 2005	6 3	81 74	160 128	198 179	150 162	132 115	126 133		10 4	66 55	96 78	70 60	54 56	58 36	83 93		2.0 2.1
	2010 2011 2012	2 4 4	90 88 91	115 139 122	144 143 135	159 164 170	122 127 117	157 134 149	0	6 6 4	56 62 59	76 75 69	59 66 53	56 69 56	40 48 60	72 71 76	0	2.2 2.0 2.1
Colombia	1995 2000	246	763	1 030	963	743	610	746	5	194	587	758	523	381	304	510	0	- 1.6
	2005	178 148	623 602	685 765	666 540	687 710	510 610	695 814	0	179 146	581 560	533 576	457 428	389 374	292 284	395 471	0	1.4
Costa Rica	2011 2012	105 92	663 613	714 744 38	558 497 24	702 653	594 616 23	753 740 22	0	98 79 2	461 519 17	535 555 15	324 376	337 355 7	278 252	390 432	0	1.7 1.5
Costa Flica	2000 2005	14 1	31 43	53 38	62 53	39 34	28 20	49 34		13	21 21	33 31	24 18	20 16	23 6	24 14		1.7
	2010 2011	2 0	18 23	48 24	33 29	27 33	22 22	28 36	1 0	0	18 18	20 27	12 23	14 19	15 12	8 17	1 0	2.0 1.4
Cuba	2012 1995 2000	2	18 59 71	33 118 167	28 83	34 75 74	41 75	23 156 75	2	2	11 17	24 52	11 29	12 39	48	5 80 20	3	2.4 2.1 3.7
	2005	2	20	73	90 90 89	50 78	58 53	51 57	0	2	9 14 15	17	26 26 14	13	23 22 17	29 26	0	2.8
	2011 2012	2	14 15	51 45	83 83	86 70	50 45	48 36	0	1 0	6 13	18 12	18 16	17 12	17 13	26 13	0	3.2 3.7
Curaçao	2010 2011 2012	0	0	0 0	2 0 0	1 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0	1 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0	1.5
Dominica	1995	5	5	5	5	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ			Ŭ	<u> </u>	5	Ŭ	ÿ	Ŭ	
	2005 2010 2011	0	0	0	0	0	3 0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1.0
	2012	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	-

		MALE																
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Dominican Republic	1995 2000	73	410	481	344	173	125	113		65	317	325	212	115	79	75		1.4
	2005	29 20	276	483 346 406	292	170 200	112	85	0	43 30	239 242	207	142 159	102	54	54 62 58	0	1.5
Ecuador	2012	15	317	489	315	197	126	111	0	26	230	260	148	119	62	68	0	1.7
	2000 2005	48	446	468	308	237	150	159		48	329	305	199	139	85	127		- 1.5
	2010 2011	32 45	499 481	529 547	314 364	309 323	227 272	246 232		52 49	298 340	308 311	178 177	158 141	113 118	110 121		1.8 1.8
El Salvador	2012 1995	37	506	567	387	359	291	333		59	333	337	184	164	146	153		1.8
	2005	5	97 101	140	128	104 77	74	117	0	6	85	82	59 49	50 58	42	70	0	1.7
	2011 2012	3 5	114 131	183 194	106 122	96 100	77 87	115 115	0	6 5	61 81	61 73	44 80	52 90	69 64	92 90	0	1.8 1.6
Grenada	1995 2000 2005																	
	2010 2011	0	0	0	1 0	1	1 0	0	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	3.0
Guatemala	2012 1995 2000	51	235	280	236	165	142	1 139 140		51	224	255	221	146	129	94		- 1.1
	2005	39 60	251 187	258 245	185	187	127	115		38	339	245	277	176	88	95		0.92
	2011 2012	18	197	205	172	162	136	152		25	186	192	154	154	102	106		1.1
Guyana	1995 2000 2005	7 4 12	8 20 48	5 19 130	6 14 116	9 7 81	6 6 41	7 9 20		3 1 14	5 11 41	7 8 62	6 7 41	5 5 30	2 5 11	4		1.5 2.0
	2010 2011	2	32 26	38 54	65 61	49 54	22	13 13	0	2	22	25 19	19 17	20 17	10 7	6 9	0	2.1 2.7
Haiti	2012 1995	5	30	39	68	64	23	8	0	4	17	10	17	12	7	5	0	3.3
	2000 2005	67 69	836 1 045	898 1 035	613 701	350 451	147 222	118 156		96 116	914 1 097	857 1 099	513 633	275	132 170	71 132		1.1 1.0
	2010	102	1 155	1 342	670 758	409 442 473	206 271	132	0	148	1 282	1 223	595 698	363 416	196 219	128	0	1.0
Honduras	1995 2000	42 30	280 123	540 371	204 246	130 277	236 214	58 43		54 25	208 21	292 269	134 258	76 270	136 160	48 38		1.6 1.3
	2005	13 15	238	280 246	215	152 165	134 113	152	0	27 28	219 186	222 163	125	107	81 69	104	0	1.3 1.4
Jamaica	2012 1995	18	247	285	192	184 184 11	120	146	0	15	180	157	115	88 5	75	114	0	1.4 1.6 2.1
	2000 2005	0 0	6 4	13 6	13 6	15 10	6 6	5 7		1 0	8 1	8 5	7 4	2 0	5 1	1 3		1.8 2.8
	2010 2011 2012	1	7 2 10	15	15	8 4 5	6 4 5	7 3	0	0	5	4	5 0	1	0	2	0	3.5 1.7
Vlexico	1995 2000	214	1 079	1 387	1 162	1 235	972	1 126	0	176	663	828	698	832	595	709	0	- 1.6
	2005 2010	100 125	1 095 1 081	1 376 1 375	1 314 1 380	1 238 1 392	1 042 1 119	1 288 1 303	0	125 112	771 791	733 763	710 730	784 852	637 713	784 836	0	1.6 1.6
Monteorrat	2011 2012	128 133	1 124 1 153	1 440 1 480	1 503 1 522	1 532 1 484	1 112 1 153	1 299 1 284	0	136 134	776 778	765 743	698 686	889 840	734 824	824 824	0	1.7 1.7
violitäenat	2000 2005					1												
	2010 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Netherlands Antilles	1995	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	U	- 1.5
Nicaragua	2005	23	178	172	175	126	96	92		24	176	215	98	83	64	46		1.2
	2000 2005	18 17	194 163	174 159	147 116	108 106	64 61	90 79		34 23	188 135	173 122	98 103	76 61	46 54	61 47		1.2 1.3
	2010 2011	22 10	157 273	189 235	141 156	115 108	82 61	108 94	0	27	154 61	149 145	92 161	75 108	50 64	79 72	0	1.3 1.5
Panama	1995	86	155	193 78	112	126	42	83	0	72	120	111	75	57	16	40	U	1.6
	2005	5	76	129 127	129	84 62	57	49	0	11	73	81 52	62 46	33	30	41	0	1.6
	2011 2012	10 19	96 88	104 103	91 104	99 67	63 51	47 61	0	11 9	55 62	64 57	58 45	44 46	40 22	48 44	0	1.6 1.7
Paraguay	1995 2000	18 16	64 112	71 103	96 105	74 86	57 80	61 71		13 12	65 69	49 86	46 41	35 41	34 30	53 46		1.5 1.8
	2005	23 18	168 163	244	136 129	117	87 103	99	11	31 18	89 106	98 99	69 39	52 50	29 46	71 45	5	1.9 2.2
Peru	2011 2012 1995	9 4 147	182	238 230 849	135	143	124	103 129 216	6 7	14 16 149	95	98	55 60 373	39 55 259	36	62 60	4	2.2 2.3
old -	2000 2005	552 371	5 290 3 802	2 875 2 670	1 546 1 513	1 041	801 641	796 708		633 375	3 686 2 674	2 472 2 111	1 156 1 046	609 699	499 333	624 472		1.3
	2010 2011																	-
Puerto Rico	2012 1995	4	3	12	20	15	9	19		1	2	6	5	7	4	9		2.4
	2000 2005	0	1	4	19 7	9 9 4	10 7	14	0	1	4 3 1	5	3 5	7 4	1	3 7	0	2.4 1.7
	2011 2012	0	1 1	3 4 5	2 3 1	4 6 6	5 6 8	0 2 10	0	0	1 0	1	2 1 1	0	2 3 3	4 1 1	0	3.1 3.1
Saint Kitts and Nevis	1995 2000								Ŭ			0						
	2005	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	- 1.0
Saint Lucia	2011 2012 1995	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 1	0 0	0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1.0
	2000 2005	0	00	0	1	0	1	2		0	1	0	1	01	10	0		1.3 0.83
	2010 2011	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2.0
	2012	U	2	0	1	4	U	2	U	U	1	U	0	0	1	U	U	4.5

## TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012
			MALE							FEMALE								
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	1995 2000	0	1	0	4	2	0	1		1	0	0	0	0	0	0		- 8.0
	2005	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.5
	2010	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3.0
Cint Manutan	2012	0	1	5	1	3	5	4	0	0	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	2.4
(Dutch part)	2010 2011		1	1								1		2				1.0
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Suriname	1995		0	0		0				0		0						-
	2000	0	7	8	12	2	3	4		2	3	2	3	2	1	2		3.6
	2010	0	5	21	35	19	5	10	0	1	4	6	10	6	2	8	0	2.6
	2011	0	4	7	15	18	3	5	0	0	1	1	5	2	2	1	0	4.3
Trinidad and	1995	2	6	15	10	14	7	4	0	0	6	4	2	5	3	0	2	2.8
Tobago	2000	0	7	18	27	17	7	7		0	5	7	9	5	2	4		2.6
	2005	0	10	11	13	21	10	3	0	0	4	9	3	5	4	3	0	2.4
	2010	1	14	21	13	32 15	20 16	8	0	1	4	7	3	5 4	2	2	0	4.0
	2012	0	7	31	22	28	12	11	0	2	9	11	10	8	4	12	0	2.0
Turks and Caicos	1995																	-
Islands	2000																	_
	2010	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0.50
	2011	0	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
United States	1995	19	355	876	1 417	1 121	742	1 099	0	26	280	579	499	285	202	591	0	2.3
of America	2000	6	365	602	906	904	577	738		14	246	376	349	253	152	396		2.3
	2005	14	383	535	666	767	499	624		11	241	348	276	242	161	322		2.2
	2010	12	246	360	371	505 557	403	466	2	9	195	265 254	183	165 150	130	223	0	2.0
	2012	10	239	322	333	502	455	529	0	14	161	262	169	175	148	243	1	2.0
Uruguay	1995	4	28	40	35	49	38	50		2	21	26	18	12	9	17		2.3
	2000	0	36	48	45	41	30	34		2	28	22	21	13	12	16		2.1
	2003	1	46	70	35	45	33	31	0	3	24	36	12	10	5	16	0	2.5
	2011	0	58	93	55	45	36	37	0	1	29	55	19	12	11	16	0	2.3
UQ Vinsia Islanda	2012	3	38	98	56	52	39	29	0	2	25	26	21	15	13	15	0	2.7
US virgin Islands	2000	0	0	0	1	1	0	0										_
	2005																	-
	2010																	-
	2011 2012																	-
Venezuela	1995																	-
(Bolivarian	2000			0.05		105	0.05	0.05			05/	005		105				<u> </u>
Republic of)	2005	35	312	395	413	402	265	288		37	351	299	267	183	146	216		1.4
	2011	28	340	353	303	363	307	241	0	25	252	316	178	178	150	190	0	1.5
	2012	23	379	405	353	375	319	273	0	32	276	281	203	167	161	199	0	1.6

# TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP service	es, drug management	and infection control,	2012
--------------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------

				LABORATO	DRIES	FRE				INTP	DIFAMPICIN	TB NOTIF.
	SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA [©] LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	NRL ^d	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	HIFAMPICIN USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
Anguilla		-					No Out of	No	No	No	No	
Antigua and Barbuda		-					country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Argentina	1.7	0	12.5	1.9	0	0	In country Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Aruba		-					country	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Bahamas		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (other criteria)	Yes	Yes	
Barbados		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Belize	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	Out of country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Bermuda		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	5.1	0	24.8	0.5	0	0	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Bonaire, Saint Eustatius		-					Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Don't	Yes	
Brazil	2.0	-	5.5	0.9	0.2	13	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
British Virgin Islands		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	No	Yes	
Canada		-					In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Cayman Islands		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Chile	1.0	0	11.2	0.3	0.3	1	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Costa Rica	2.2	0	14.6	0.4	0.5	4	In country No	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Cuba		_					Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Dominica		_					Country Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Dominican Republic	2.0	2	5.8	1	0	0	country In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Ecuador	2.3	0	5.8	0.3		5	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
El Salvador	3.3	0	8.7	0.8	0	1	Country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	83
Grenada		-					Out of		Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Guatemala	1.9	18	3.3	1	0	0	country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	14
Guyana	2.5	100	6.3	6.3	6.3	0	of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Haiti	2.5	6	1.0	1	1	7	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Honduras	2.1	0	3.2	0.6	0	0	country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	109
Jamaica	0.1	100	0	0	0	0	country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	21
Mexico	1.0	0	2.7	0.6	<0.1	6	of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	33
Montserrat		-					country	No	Yes (all suspects)	No	Yes	
Nicaragua	3.2	100	1.7	0.8		0	country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Panama	1.4	0	14.5	1.3	1.3	3	No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Paraguay Peru	1.8 4.8	23 0	8.2 11.0	0.7 1.8	0 0.2	0	In country In country	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	7
Puerto Rico		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Saint Kitts and Nevis		-					No	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Saint Lucia		-					Country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Sint Maarten (Dutch part)		-					Out of country	No	Yes (other criteria)	Yes	Yes	
Suriname	0.6	0	9.4	0	0	2	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Trinidad and Tobago		_					In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Turks and Caicos Islands United States of America		-					In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Uruguay	<0.1	100	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	31
US Virgin Islands Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.8	- 0	3.5	0.2	0.2	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	2 242

# TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New TE	3 cases		Previously treated TB cases					
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage		
Anguilla										
Antigua and Barbuda										
Argentina	2005	Survey	National	2.2 (1.2-3.6)	2005	Survey	National	15 (9.8-23)		
Aruba										
Bahamas	2012	Surveillance	National	3.7 (<0.1-19)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)		
Barbados										
Belize										
Bermuda	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-84)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)		
Bolivia	1996	SURVAY	National	1.2 (0.44-2.6)	2012	Surveillance	National	11 (89-14)		
(Plurinational State of)	1550	Gaivey	National	1.2 (0.44 2.0)	2012	Gai venitarioe	National	11 (0.5 14)		
Bonaire, Saint Eustatius	2011	Surveillance	National	50 (1 3-99)	2011	Surveillance	National	100 (2.5-100)		
and Saba	2011	Our veniariee	National	56 (1.6 55)	2011	Gai venitarioe	National	100 (2.5 100)		
Brazil	2008	Survey	Sub-national	1.4 (1.0–1.8)	2008	Survey	Sub-national	7.5 (5.7–9.9)		
British Virgin Islands										
Canada	2012	Surveillance	National	0.57 (0.23-1.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	1.6 (<0.1-8.5)		
Cayman Islands	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-52)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)		
Chile	2001	Survey	National	0.69 (0.25-1.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	2.9 (0.95-6.7)		
Colombia	2005	Survey	National	2.4 (1.6-3.6)	2012	Surveillance	National	13 (9.6–17)		
Costa Rica	2006	Survey	National	1.5 (0.42-3.9)	2012	Surveillance	National	4.5 (0.12–23)		
Cuba	2012	Surveillance	National	0.74 (<0.1-2.7)	2012	Surveillance	National	12 (4.4–24)		
Curaçao	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)		
Dominica	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)		
Dominican Republic	1995	Survey	National	6.6 (4.1-10)	1995	Survey	National	20 (13-28)		
Ecuador	2002	Survey	National	4.9 (3.5-6.7)	2012	Surveillance	National	26 (23–29)		
El Salvador	2001	Survey	National	0.33 (<0.1-1.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	11 (4.9–20)		
Grenada										
Guatemala	2002	Survey	National	3 (1.8-4.6)	2002	Survey	National	26 (20–34)		
Guyana										
Haiti										
Honduras	2004	Survey	National	1.8 (0.76–3.4)	2004	Survey	National	12 (5.8–22)		
Jamaica		-								
Mexico	2009	Survey	National	2.4 (2.1–2.8)	2009	Survey	National	6.3 (5.1–7.8)		
Montserrat		-								
Nicaragua	2006	Survey	National	0.63 (<0.1–2.2)	2010	Surveillance	National	11 (6.2–17)		
Panama		-								
Paraguay	2008	Survey	National	0.31 (<0.1-1.7)	2008	Survey	National	15 (6.1–28)		
Peru	2012	Surveillance	National	3.9 (3.6-4.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	35 (33–37)		
Puerto Rico	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-6.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	33 (0.84–91)		
Saint Kitts and Nevis										
Saint Lucia										
Saint Vincent and										
the Grenadines										
Sint Maarten (Dutch part)										
Suriname										
Irinidad and Tobago										
Turks and Calcos Islands	0010	0 "	AL	1 (0.00, 1.0)	0010	0 "	<b>N</b> 1 1	00 4450		
United States of America	2012	Surveillance	National	1 (0.80–1.3)	2012	Surveillance	National	2.9 (1.4-5.4)		
Uruguay	2012	Surveillance	National	U (U=0.79)	2012	Surveillance	National	2.4 (<0.1–13)		
Venezueia (Delivering Deaul III - 0	1999	Survey	National	0.52 (0.14-1.3)	1999	Survey	National	13 (7.6-22)		
(Bolivarian Republic of)				/						

a Empty rows indicate an absence of high-quality survey or surveillance data. In the absence of high-quality national data, high-quality sub-national data are used.

# EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	209
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	211
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	213
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	215
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	217
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	219
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	220
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	221
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	223
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	224

# Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

## **Data source**

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

	1LAII	(MILLIONS)	(THOUSANDS)	HATE	(THOUSANDS)	HAIL	(THOUSANDS)	HATE
Afghanistan	1990	12	3.7 (0.860-8.5)	31 (7.3-72)	38 (13-77)	327 (112-655)	22 (14-33)	189 (117-279)
	2000	21	11 (4.0-21)	53 (19–102)	92 (43–160)	449 (210–775)	39 (32–47)	189 (155-227)
	2005	25	9.7 (3.9-18)	39 (16-73)	92 (46-150)	369 (185-617)	47 (38-56)	189 (155-227)
	2010	28	10 (4.2–18) 10 (4.4–19)	35 (15–65) 36 (15–66)	99 (50–160) 100 (52–170)	350 (177–580) 352 (177–585)	54 (44-64) 55 (45-66)	189 (156-225)
	2012	30	11 (4.6–20)	37 (15–68)	110 (54–180)	358 (181–595)	56 (47-67)	189 (156–226)
Bahrain	1990	< 1	0.034 (0.032-0.037)	7 (6.5-7.4)	0.16 (0.049-0.350)	33 (9.9-70)	0.13 (0.120-0.150)	27 (24-31)
	2000	< 1	0.017 (0.015-0.020)	2.5 (2.2–3.0)	0.37 (0.180-0.630)	56 (27–94)	0.24 (0.210-0.270)	36 (31-40)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.85 (0.78-0.93)	0.42 (0.170-0.790)	48 (19-89)	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	37 (32-41)
	2010	1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.39 (0.32-0.46)	0.32 (0.120-0.630)	27 (9.0-55) 25 (9.0-49)	0.26 (0.230-0.290)	23 (20-26) 20 (18-23)
	2012	1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.34 (0.28-0.41)	0.38 (0.180-0.650)	29 (14-49)	0.26 (0.230-0.290)	20 (17-22)
Djibouti	1990 1995	<1	0.59 (0.140-1.3) 0.4 (0.160-0.750)	99 (24–226) 60 (23–114)	6.2 (2.2–12) 5.4 (2.2–10)	1 050 (368–2 070) 809 (326–1 510)	3.7 (2.3–5.3) 4.1 (3.4–4.9)	619 (395-893) 619 (506-744)
	2000	< 1	0.41 (0.180-0.740)	57 (25-102)	5.6 (2.4–10)	775 (333–1 400)	4.5 (3.8–5.2)	619 (528–718)
	2005	<1	0.65 (0.260-1.2)	83 (33-156)	7.1 (3.4–12)	920 (444-1 570)	4.8 (3.9-5.8)	619 (506-744)
	2011	< 1	0.67 (0.280-1.2)	79 (34–144)	7.7 (3.6–13)	911 (430–1 570)	5.2 (4.3-6.2)	620 (512-738)
	2012	< 1	0.66 (0.280-1.2)	76 (33-139)	7.7 (3.6–13)	897 (418-1 560)	5.3 (4.4-6.3)	620 (512-738)
Egypt	1990	61	1.8 (1.4-2.2) 1.5 (1.2-1.9)	3.2 (2.5–3.9) 2.5 (1.9–3.2)	48 (22–84) 37 (19–61)	60 (31–99)	19 (16-23)	32 (27-37)
	2000	66	1.1 (0.840-1.4)	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	28 (14-46)	42 (20-70)	17 (14-20)	26 (22-30)
	2005	72	0.76 (0.700-0.830) 0.45 (0.420-0.490)	<u>1.1 (0.97–1.2)</u> 0.58 (0.54–0.62)	24 (12-41) 23 (12-39)	34 (17-57) 30 (15-50)	15 (13–18) 14 (12–16)	21 (18-25) 18 (15-21)
	2011	79	0.56 (0.530-0.600)	0.71 (0.66-0.76)	23 (12-39)	29 (15-49)	14 (12–16)	17 (15-20)
Iran (Islamic	2012	<u>81</u> 56	0.38 (0.350-0.400)	0.46 (0.43-0.50)	23 (12-39)	29 (15-48)	14 (12–16) 18 (13–23)	<u>17 (14–19)</u> 31 (23–41)
Republic of)	1995	60	3.2 (1.1–6.5)	5.4 (1.8–11)	35 (15-64)	58 (24-106)	21 (16-28)	35 (26-46)
	2000	66 70	2.5 (0.830-5.1)	3.8 (1.3-7.7)	27 (11–51) 23 (9.4–42)	41 (17–77) 32 (13–60)	17 (12-22)	26 (19-34) 20 (15-27)
	2010	74	2.2 (0.730-4.5)	3 (0.98-6.0)	24 (9.9–44)	32 (13-59)	15 (11–19)	20 (14-26)
	2011	75	2.3 (0.780-4.7)	3.1 (1.0-6.3)	25 (11-47)	34 (14-62)	16 (11-21)	21 (15-27)
Iraq	1990	18	1.2 (0.410-2.4)	6.9 (2.3–14)	17 (4.9–35)	94 (28–200)	9.5 (8.3–11)	54 (47-62)
	1995	20	1.2 (0.310-2.6)	5.7 (1.5-13)	16 (4.9-34)	79 (24–167)	11 (9.4–12)	53 (46-60)
	2000	24 27	1.1 (0.180-2.9)	4.7 (0.77–12) 3.9 (0.38–11)	14 (5.0-29) 19 (8.8-33)	70 (32–122)	12 (10–14) 13 (11–15)	50 (44-57) 48 (42-54)
	2010	31	0.98 (0.039-3.4)	3.2 (0.13-11)	24 (13-40)	78 (41–128)	14 (12–16)	45 (40-52)
	2011	32	0.97 (0.030-3.5) 0.96 (0.025-3.5)	2.9 (<0.1–11)	23 (12–39) 24 (12–40)	73 (36–122)	15 (13–16)	45 (39–51) 45 (39–51)
Jordan	1990	3	0.041 (0-0.330)	1.2 (0-9.9)	0.61 (0.230-1.2)	18 (6.8-35)	0.48 (0.420-0.550)	14 (13-16)
	2000	4 5	0.039 (0-0.410)	0.93 (0-9.0) 0.81 (0-8.6)	0.65 (0.250-1.2) 0.48 (0.180-0.930)	10 (3.8–29)	0.38 (0.340-0.440)	8.1 (7.1–9.1)
	2005	5	0.036 (0-0.410)	0.7 (0-7.8)	0.47 (0.170-0.910)	9 (3.3-17)	0.38 (0.330-0.430)	7.2 (6.3-8.1)
	2010	6 7	0.037 (0-0.420) 0.037 (0-0.420)	0.57 (0-6.5) 0.55 (0-6.3)	0.57 (0.240-1.0) 0.57 (0.250-1.0)	8.8 (3.8–16) 8.5 (3.7–15)	0.41 (0.360-0.460) 0.4 (0.350-0.460)	6.3 (5.5–7.1) 6 (5.2–6.8)
14 14	2012	7	0.037 (0-0.420)	0.53 (0-6.0)	0.6 (0.280-1.0)	8.5 (3.9-15)	0.4 (0.360-0.460)	5.8 (5.1-6.5)
Kuwait	1990	2	0.019 (0.017-0.022) 0.023 (0.021-0.024)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	0.48 (0.230-0.830)	23 (11-40) 29 (9.9-59)	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	24 (21-28)
	2000	2	0.015 (0.014-0.015)	0.76 (0.75-0.78)	0.77 (0.300-1.5)	41 (16-77)	0.59 (0.520-0.670)	31 (27-35)
	2005	3	0.023 (0.022-0.023)	1.1 (1.1–1.1)	1.7 (0.860-2.9)	58 (29–98)	1.1 (0.960-1.2)	37 (32-42)
	2011	3	0.018 (0.018-0.018)	0.58 (0.57-0.58)	0.98 (0.330-2.0)	31 (11-63)	0.77 (0.680-0.870)	25 (22-28)
Lebanon	1990	3	0.031 (0.030-0.031) 0.085 (0.046-0.130)	0.94 (0.93-0.95) 3.1 (1.7-5.0)	1.1 (0.360-2.1) 1.2 (0.460-2.3)	45 (17-87)	0.85 (0.740-0.960) 0.94 (0.820-1.1)	26 (23-30) 35 (31-39)
	1995	3	0.067 (0.034-0.110)	2.2 (1.1–3.7)	1.1 (0.340-2.2)	35 (11–72)	0.88 (0.770-1.0)	29 (26–33)
	2000 2005	3 4	0.04 (0.020-0.069) 0.046 (0.025-0.074)	1.2 (0.61–2.1) 1.2 (0.62–1.8)	0.66 (0.220-1.3) 0.61 (0.260-1.1)	20 (6.9–41) 15 (6.5–28)	0.56 (0.490-0.630) 0.45 (0.400-0.510)	17 (15–20) 11 (10–13)
	2010	4	0.065 (0.035-0.110)	1.5 (0.80-2.4)	0.83 (0.370-1.5)	19 (8.6–34)	0.6 (0.530-0.680)	14 (12–16)
	2011 2012	4 5	0.073 (0.039-0.120) 0.072 (0.038-0.120)	1.6 (0.86–2.6) 1.5 (0.81–2.5)	0.91 (0.410-1.6) 0.95 (0.390-1.7)	20 (9.1–36) 20 (8.5–37)	0.67 (0.590-0.760) 0.73 (0.640-0.830)	15 (13–17) 16 (14–18)
Libyan Arab	1990	4	0.44 (0.170-0.840)	10 (3.9–20)	3.6 (1.7-6.4)	86 (39–150)	1.7 (1.4-2.0)	40 (33-48)
Jamaninya	2000	5	0.28 (0.120-0.500) 0.27 (0.120-0.490)	5.3 (2.3-9.4)	2.9 (1.3-5.1) 3 (1.3-5.4)	57 (24-104)	2.1 (1.7-2.5)	40 (33–48) 40 (33–48)
	2005	6	0.23 (0.120-0.390)	4.2 (2.1-6.9)	2.8 (1.1-5.5)	51 (19-98)	2.2 (1.9-2.6)	40 (34-46)
	2010	6	0.32 (0.140-0.570) 0.34 (0.140-0.610)	5.4 (2.4–9.5) 5.5 (2.3–10)	3.5 (1.5-6.3) 3.7 (1.8-6.4)	58 (25-104) 61 (29-105)	2.4 (2.0-2.9) 2.4 (2.0-2.9)	40 (33–48) 40 (33–48)
	2012	6	0.42 (0.180-0.760)	6.8 (2.9–12)	4.1 (1.9-7.0)	66 (31-113)	2.5 (2.0-2.9)	40 (33-48)
Morocco	1990	25 27	6.2 (4.8-7.7) 5.2 (3.7-6.8)	25 (19-31) 19 (14-25)	57 (24–110) 64 (30–110)	232 (97–426) 240 (112–415)	36 (27-47) 41 (33-49)	147 (110-189) 152 (124-182)
	2000	29	4.3 (2.8-6.1)	15 (9.7-21)	46 (20-84)	161 (68-292)	33 (29–38)	117 (102–132)
	2005	30	3.5 (2.0-5.5)	12 (6.8–18)	41 (17-75)	137 (57-251)	30 (26-34)	100 (88-113)
	2011	32	3 (1.5–5.2)	9.5 (4.6–16)	46 (20-82)	143 (62–257)	33 (29–37)	103 (90–117)
Oman	2012	33	3 (1.4-5.1)	9.2 (4.4-16)	46 (19-83)	140 (58-257)	33 (29-38)	103 (90-117)
Oman	1995	2	0.05 (<0.01-0.230)	2.3 (<0.1–10)	0.4 (0.140-0.790)	18 (6.5–37)	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	15 (13–17)
	2000	2	0.041 (<0.01-0.230)	1.8 (0-10)	0.57 (0.280-0.960)	26 (13-44) 14 (4 9-29)	0.37 (0.320-0.420)	17 (15–19) 12 (10–13)
	2010	3	0.028 (0-0.260)	1 (0-9.4)	0.45 (0.170-0.870)	16 (6.1–31)	0.35 (0.310-0.400)	13 (11–14)
	2011	3	0.029 (0-0.280)	0.97 (0-9.2)	0.51 (0.200-0.950)	17 (6.7-31)	0.39 (0.340-0.440)	13 (11-15)
Pakistan	1990	111	80 (24–170)	72 (22–152)	650 (250–1.1)	589 (222–1 130)	260 (160-380)	231 (143–341)
	1995	127	90 (32-180)	71 (25-139)	740 (330-1 300)	584 (262-1 030)	290 (240-350)	231 (189-278)
	2000	158	84 (33–160)	53 (21-101)	760 (380–1 300)	483 (239-810)	370 (300–440)	231 (189–278)
	2010	173	64 (28-110)	37 (16-66)	670 (330-1 100)	389 (191-657)	400 (330-480)	231 (190-276)
	2011	179	62 (27–110)	34 (15-61)	670 (320–1 100)	376 (181–641)	410 (340–490)	231 (190-276)
Qatar	1990	<1	0.031 (0.030-0.032)	6.5 (6.3-6.6)	0.28 (0.110-0.520)	59 (24-108)	0.21 (0.190-0.240)	44 (39-50)
	2000	< 1	<0.010 (0.010-0.017)	0.7 (0.62-0.78)	0.43 (0.180-0.780)	72 (30–132)	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	54 (47–61)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.15 (0.12-0.17)	0.53 (0.230-0.950)	64 (28-115)	0.37 (0.330-0.420)	46 (40-52)
	2010	2	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.19 (0.12-0.27)	0.78 (0.260-1.6)	41 (14-82)	0.64 (0.560-0.720)	33 (29–38)
Onudi Arabia	2012	2	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.17 (0.10-0.25)	1.2 (0.560-2.1)	60 (27-105)	0.84 (0.730-0.950)	41 (36-46)
Saudi Arabia	1990	19	0.63 (0.061-1.9) 0.71 (0.068-2.1)	3.8 (0.37–11)	4 (1.8–7.0) 3.9 (1.4–7.6)	25 (11-43) 21 (7.7-41)	2.8 (2.4–3.1) 3.1 (2.7–3.5)	17 (15–19)
	2000	20	0.79 (0.075-2.3)	3.9 (0.37-11)	5.3 (2.2-9.7)	26 (11-48)	4 (3.5-4.5)	20 (17-22)
	2005	25	0.95 (0.091-2.8)	3.9 (0.37-11) 3.9 (0.37-11)	5.1 (1.9–9.8) 7.7 (3.7–13)	21 (7.8–40) 28 (13–48)	4.1 (3.6-4.6) 5.1 (4.5-5.8)	16 (14-19) 19 (17-21)
	2011	28	1.1 (0.100-3.2)	3.9 (0.37–11)	6.1 (2.5–11)	22 (9.1-40)	4.5 (4.0-5.1)	16 (14–18)
Somalia	2012	28	1.1 (0.110-3.2) 5.7 (1.7-12)	3.9 (0.39–11) 90 (27–190)	4.9 (1.6–10) 46 (17–89)	17 (5.5–36) 732 (272–1 410)	4.2 (3.7-4.8) 18 (11-27)	15 (13-17) 285 (176-421)
	1995	6	5 (1.8–9.7)	79 (29–153)	42 (19–73)	663 (305-1 160)	18 (15–22)	285 (233–343)
	2000 2005	7 8	5 (1.9–9.7) 4.8 (1.9–8.9)	68 (26-131) 56 (23-105)	45 (21–76) 45 (23–76)	604 (291-1 030) 537 (267-900)	21 (17–25) 24 (20–29)	285 (233-343) 285 (233-343)
	2010	10	5.7 (2.3–11)	59 (24–111)	53 (27-89)	555 (279-925)	28 (23–33)	286 (236-340)
	2011	10 10	6.1 (2.4–11) 6.5 (2.5–12)	61 (24-115) 64 (25-120)	56 (28–94) 59 (29–99)	566 (283-947) 581 (287-975)	28 (23–34) 29 (24–35)	286 (236-340)
	2012	10	(2.0 12)	0. (20 (20)	55 (25 53)	55. (E01 313)	20 (27 00)	200 (200-040)

RATE^a

PREVALENCE (INCLUDING HIV)

RATE^a

NUMBER (THOUSANDS)

INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)

RATE^a

NUMBER (THOUSANDS)

MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV)

NUMBER (THOUSANDS)

POPULATION (MILLIONS)

YEAR

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.

			MORTALITY (EXC	CLUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	DING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
South Sudan	2011	10 11	3.1 (1.3–5.6) 3.2 (1.4–5.8)	30 (13–54) 30 (13–54)	28 (13–47) 28 (13–47)	268 (129-456) 257 (124-437)	15 (13–18) 16 (13–19)	146 (121–174) 146 (121–174)
Sudan	1990	26	11 (4 4-22)	44 (17-84)	99 (48–170)	386 (185-659)	44 (36–52)	170 (140-203)
oudan	1995	30	9 (3.8–16)	30 (13-55)	89 (45-150)	296 (149-491)	47 (39–56)	158 (130-188)
	2000	34	9.3 (4.0-17)	27 (12-49)	90 (45-150)	262 (132-436)	50 (41-59)	144 (119–172)
	2005	40	9.3 (4.0–17)	24 (10-42)	90 (45-150)	226 (113-378)	53 (43-63)	133 (110-158)
	2010	46	10 (4.3-18)	22 (9.4-40)	96 (48-160)	210 (105-350)	54 (45-65)	119 (98-142)
	2011	36	8 (3.4-15)	22 (9.3-40)	76 (38-130)	209 (105-347)	42 (35-51)	117 (96-139)
	2012	37	8 (3.3-15)	22 (9.0-40)	77 (39–130)	207 (104-345)	42 (35-51)	114 (94–136)
Syrian Arab	1990	12	0.97 (0.270-2.1)	7.8 (2.2-17)	11 (3.6-22)	86 (29-174)	7.5 (5.3–10)	61 (43-82)
Republic	1995	14	0.85 (0.370-1.5)	5.9 (2.6-11)	9.3 (3.9-17)	65 (27-119)	6.6 (5.4-7.9)	46 (38-55)
	2000	16	0.56 (0.280-0.930)	3.4 (1.7-5.7)	7 (2.5–14)	43 (15-85)	5.7 (4.9-6.6)	35 (30-40)
	2005	18	0.47 (0.220-0.810)	2.6 (1.2-4.4)	5.9 (2.1-12)	33 (11-65)	4.8 (4.0-5.6)	26 (22-31)
	2010	22	0.47 (0.210-0.830)	2.2 (0.99-3.9)	5.6 (2.1–11)	26 (9.8-50)	4.3 (3.5-5.1)	20 (16-24)
	2011	22	0.47 (0.210-0.830)	2.2 (0.98-3.8)	5.5 (2.1-10)	25 (9.8-47)	4.1 (3.4-4.9)	19 (16-22)
	2012	22	0.46 (0.210-0.820)	2.1 (0.96-3.7)	5.3 (2.1-9.9)	24 (9.7-45)	3.9 (3.2-4.6)	18 (15-21)
Tunisia	1990	8	0.24 (0.130-0.370)	2.9 (1.6-4.6)	3.2 (1.3-5.8)	39 (16-72)	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	29 (25-32)
	1995	9	0.3 (0.160-0.470)	3.3 (1.8-5.3)	3.9 (1.7-6.9)	43 (18–77)	2.7 (2.4-3.1)	31 (27-35)
	2000	10	0.26 (0.140-0.410)	2.7 (1.5-4.3)	3.3 (1.4-6.0)	35 (15-63)	2.4 (2.1-2.7)	25 (22-28)
	2005	10	0.25 (0.140-0.400)	2.5 (1.4-4.0)	3.3 (1.4-5.9)	33 (14-59)	2.4 (2.1–2.7)	23 (21-27)
	2010	11	0.31 (0.170-0.500)	2.9 (1.6-4.7)	4.1 (1.7–7.6)	39 (16–71)	3 (2.6–3.4)	28 (25-32)
	2011	11	0.33 (0.180-0.520)	3.1 (1.7-4.8)	4.4 (1.8-8.1)	41 (17–75)	3.2 (2.8–3.6)	30 (26-34)
	2012	11	0.32 (0.170-0.500)	2.9 (1.6-4.6)	4.5 (1.7-8.5)	41 (16–78)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	31 (27–35)
United Arab	1990	2	0.017 (0-0.110)	0.95 (0-6.1)	0.39 (0.170-0.710)	22 (9.2-39)	0.22 (0.160-0.280)	12 (8.7–16)
Emirates	1995	2	0.022 (0-0.140)	0.95 (0-6.1)	0.51 (0.220-0.910)	22 (9.2–39)	0.28 (0.200-0.370)	12 (8.7–16)
	2000	3	0.029 (0-0.190)	0.95 (0-6.1)	0.65 (0.280-1.2)	22 (9.3-39)	0.36 (0.260-0.480)	12 (8.7–16)
	2005	4	0.02 (0-0.150)	0.49 (0-3.6)	0.44 (0.190-0.800)	11 (4.5–19)	0.21 (0.150-0.270)	5 (3.6-6.5)
	2010	8	0.022 (0-0.150)	0.26 (0-1.8)	0.52 (0.230-0.930)	6.2 (2.7–11)	0.26 (0.190-0.340)	3.1 (2.3-4.1)
	2011	9	0.015 (0-0.097)	0.17 (0-1.1)	0.37 (0.160-0.660)	4.2 (1.8–7.4)	0.21 (0.150-0.270)	2.3 (1.7-3.0)
	2012	9	<0.01 (<0.01-0.045)	0.1 (0-0.49)	0.22 (0.077-0.440)	2.4 (0.84-4.8)	0.16 (0.120-0.210)	1.7 (1.2-2.3)
West Bank	1990	2	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.45 (0.43-0.46)	0.18 (0.091-0.320)	8.6 (4.4–15)	0.12 (0.110-0.140)	6 (5.2–6.8)
and Gaza Strip	1995	3	0.035 (0.034-0.036)	1.3 (1.3–1.4)	0.27 (0.230-0.800)	10 (8.7–31)	0.22 (0.200-0.250)	8.6 (7.5-9.7)
	2000	3	0.018 (0.018-0.019)	0.57 (0.56-0.58)	0.45 (0.340-1.3)	14 (11-41)	0.33 (0.290-0.370)	10 (9.0-12)
	2005	4	0.012 (0.012-0.012)	0.34 (0.33-0.35)	0.29 (0.240-0.910)	8.1 (6.8-26)	0.23 (0.200-0.260)	6.5 (5.7-7.3)
	2010	4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.23 (0.23-0.24)	0.25 (0.240-0.870)	6.1 (6.0-22) 0.0 (7.4 00)	0.21 (0.190-0.240)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)
	2011	4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.23 (0.22-0.23)	0.34 (0.290-1.1)	8.3 (7.1-26)	0.26 (0.230-0.290)	6.3 (5.5-7.1) 7.0 (0.7.0.0)
Vomon	2012	4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.23 (0.22-0.23)	0.47 (0.370-1.4)	11 (8.7-32)	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	7.0 (0.7-8.0)
remen	1990	12	3.0 (1.1-8.2)	32 (9.3-70) 22 (0.9 42)	33 (13-00)	293 (112-338)	21 (17 25)	137 (03-202)
	1995	10	3.3 (1.3-6.3)	23 (9.8-42)	35 (18-60)	239 (118-401)	21 (17-25)	116 (04 120)
	2000	20	3.3 (1.4-6.0)	13 (0.1-34)	20 (17-59)	140 (97-335)	20 (17-24)	91 (66 07)
	2005	20	2.0 (1.2-3.2)	6.2 (2.9 11)	17 (7.5.20)	72 (22 120)	11 (0.2.12)	40 (40 59)
	2010	20	1.4 (0.620 2.5)	6 (27 11)	17 (7.4.20)	73 (33-129)	11 (9.4 14)	40 (40 59)
	2011	20	1.4 (0.000-2.0)	56 (25 00)	17 (7.4-30)	70 (20 127)	10 (0.6, 14)	40 (40 50)

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR		NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Afghanistan	1990	(MILLIONS)	22 (14-33)	189 (117-279)	0.041 (0.025-0.060)	0.4 (0.22-0.52)	4 332	37	20 (13-32)
-	1995 2000	18 21	33 (27-40) 39 (32-47)	189 (155–227) 189 (155–227)	0.072 (0.040-0.11)	0.4 (0.23-0.65)	7 107	35	18 (15-22)
	2005	25	47 (38–56)	189 (155-227)	0.16 (0.090-0.24)	0.6 (0.36-0.96)	21 844	88	46 (39–57)
	2010	29	55 (45-66)	189 (156-225)	0.28 (0.17-0.41)	1 (0.58–1.4)	27 983	99 96	52 (44-63) 51 (43-62)
Bahrain	2012	30 < 1	56 (47–67) 0.13 (0.120–0.150)	189 (156–226) 27 (24–31)	0.31 (0.19-0.46)	1 (0.63–1.5)	29 381 117	99 24	52 (44–63) 87 (77–99)
	1995	< 1	0.049 (0.043-0.056)	8.8 (7.7-9.9)			43	7.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	<1	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	37 (32-41)		0.0 (0.00 ( 7)	280	32	87 (77–99)
	2010	1	0.28 (0.250-0.320) 0.26 (0.230-0.290)	23 (20-26) 20 (18-23)	0.011 (<0.01-0.022) 0.012 (<0.01-0.023)	0.8 (0.28-1.7) 0.9 (0.33-1.8)	246	20 17	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Djibouti	2012	< 1	0.26 (0.230-0.290) 3.7 (2.3-5.3)	20 (17-22) 619 (395-893)	0.012 (<0.01-0.025) 0.082 (0.052-0.12)	0.9 (0.25-1.9) 14 (8.9-20)	225 2 100	17 356	87 (77–99) 57 (40–90)
	1995	< 1	4.1 (3.4-4.9)	619 (506-744)	0.43 (0.35-0.52)	65 (53–78) 102 (87–118)	3 971	549	89 (76-100)
	2005	<1	4.8 (3.9–5.8)	619 (506-744)	0.74 (0.61-0.89)	96 (78–115)	3 109	400	65 (54-79)
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	5.2 (4.3-6.2) 5.2 (4.3-6.2)	620 (512–738) 620 (512–738)	0.6 (0.49-0.71) 0.57 (0.47-0.68)	72 (59–85) 68 (56–80)	4 172 3 686	500 435	81 (68–98) 70 (59–85)
Egypt	2012 1990	< 1 56	5.3 (4.4–6.3) 19 (16–23)	620 (512–738) 34 (29–40)	0.54 (0.45-0.64)	63 (52-75) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	3 474 2 142	404 3.8	65 (55–79) 11 (9.4–13)
	1995	61 66	19 (16-23) 17 (14-20)	32 (27-37) 26 (22-30)	0.029 (0.024-0.034)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	11 145 10 762	18 16	58 (49-68) 63 (54-75)
	2005	72	15 (13–18)	21 (18–25)	0.18 (0.15-0.21)	0.3 (0.21-0.29)	11 446	16	75 (64–89)
	2010 2011	78 79	14 (12–16) 14 (12–16)	18 (15–21) 17 (15–20)	0.14 (0.12-0.17) 0.14 (0.12-0.16)	0.2 (0.16-0.21) 0.2 (0.15-0.20)	9 260 8 974	12 11	66 (57–78) 65 (56–76)
Iran (Islamic	2012 1990	81 56	14 (12–16) 18 (13–23)	17 (14–19) 31 (23–41)	0.13 (0.11-0.16) 0.011 (<0.01-0.014)	0.2 (0.14-0.19)	8 453 9 255	10 16	62 (54-73) 53 (40-72)
Republic of)	1995	60 66	21 (16-28)	35 (26-46)	0.051 (0.037-0.067)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	15 936	26 18	75 (57–100)
	2005	70	14 (10–19)	20 (15-27)	0.21 (0.15-0.27)	0.3 (0.21-0.39)	9 212	13	65 (49–89)
	2010 2011	74 75	15 (11–19) 16 (11–21)	20 (14–26) 21 (15–27)	0.26 (0.19-0.34) 0.28 (0.20-0.37)	0.4 (0.25-0.46) 0.4 (0.27-0.49)	10 362	14 15	70 (53–96) 70 (53–96)
Iraq	2012	76	16 (11-21) 9.5 (8.3-11)	21 (15-28) 54 (47-62)	0.29 (0.21-0.39) 0 (0-0)	0.4 (0.28-0.51) 0 (0-0)	11 042 14 735	14 84	70 (52-97) 160 (140-180)
	1995	20	11 (9.4–12)	53 (46-60) 50 (44 57)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	9 697	48	90 (80-100)
	2000	24 27	13 (11–15)	48 (42–54)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	9 454	35	72 (64–82)
	2010	31 32	14 (12–16) 14 (13–16)	45 (40–52) 45 (39–51)	<0.01 (0-0.010) 0 (0-0)	<0.1 (0-<0.1) 0 (0-0)	8 837	31 28	69 (61-79) 62 (54-71)
Jordan	2012	33	15 (13-17) 0.48 (0.420-0.550)	45 (39-51) 14 (13-16)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	8 664 439	26 13	59 (52-68) 91 (80-100)
	1995	4	0.51 (0.450-0.580)	12 (10-13)			498	12	97 (86–110) 80 (70, 91)
	2000	5	0.38 (0.330-0.430)	7.2 (6.3-8.1)			367	7	98 (86–110)
	2010 2011	6 7	0.41 (0.360-0.460) 0.4 (0.350-0.460)	6.3 (5.5–7.1) 6 (5.2–6.8)			338 328	5.2 4.9	83 (74–95) 81 (72–93)
Kuwait	2012	7	0.4 (0.360-0.460) 0.32 (0.280-0.360)	5.8 (5.1-6.5) 15 (14-18)	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0 (0-0)	331 277	4.7	82 (72–93) 87 (77–99)
	1995	2	0.39 (0.340-0.440)	24 (21-28)	-0.01 (-0.01 -0.01)	0.2 (-0.1.0.40)	336 512	21	87 (77–99)
	2000	2	0.59 (0.520-0.670)	26 (23–29)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (<0.1-0.43)	513	23	87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	3	1.1 (0.960–1.2) 0.77 (0.680–0.870)	37 (32–42) 25 (22–28)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.31)	957 672	32 22	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Lebanon	2012	3	0.85 (0.740-0.960) 0.94 (0.820-1.1)	26 (23-30) 35 (31-39)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (0-<0.1) 0.3 (0.23-0.30)	737	23	87 (77–99)
	1995	3	0.88 (0.770-1.0)	29 (26-33)	0.012 (0.011-0.014)	0.4 (0.35-0.45)	983	32	110 (98-130)
	2000	4	0.45 (0.400-0.510)	11 (10–13)	0.014 (0.012-0.013) 0.017 (0.015-0.019)	0.4 (0.37-0.48)	391	9.8	86 (76–98)
	2010 2011	4	0.6 (0.530-0.680) 0.67 (0.590-0.760)	14 (12–16) 15 (13–17)	0.031 (0.027-0.035) 0.036 (0.032-0.041)	0.7 (0.62-0.80) 0.8 (0.71-0.92)	513 496	12 11	85 (75–97) 74 (65–84)
Libyan Arab	2012	5 4	0.73 (0.640-0.830) 1.7 (1.4-2.0)	16 (14–18) 40 (33–48)	0.041 (0.036-0.047)	0.9 (0.77-1.0)	630 442	14 10	86 (76-99) 26 (22-32)
Jamahiriya	1995	5	1.9 (1.5-2.3)	40 (33-48)			1 440	30 26	76 (63-93)
	2005	6	2.2 (1.9–2.6)	40 (34-46)			2 098	38	94 (81–110)
	2010 2011	6	2.4 (2.0-2.9) 2.4 (2.0-2.9)	40 (33–48) 40 (33–48)	0.21 (0.16-0.26)	3.4 (2.6-4.3)	1 518	25	62 (52-76)
Morocco	2012	6 25	2.5 (2.0-2.9) 36 (27-47)	40 (33-48) 147 (110-189)	0.025 (0.019-0.033)	0.1 (<0.1-0.13)	1 549 27 658	25 112	63 (53-77) 76 (59-100)
	1995	27	41 (33-49)	152 (124-182)	0.094 (0.076-0.11)	0.4 (0.28-0.42)	29 829 28 852	111	73 (61–90) 86 (76–98)
	2005	30	30 (26-34)	100 (88–113)	0.29 (0.26-0.33)	1 (0.85–1.1)	26 269	87	87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	32	32 (28–36) 33 (29–37)	100 (88–114) 103 (90–117)	0.55 (0.43-0.56) 0.55 (0.48-0.62)	1.6 (1.4–1.8) 1.7 (1.5–1.9)	28 359 28 640	90 89	89 (79–100) 87 (77–99)
Oman	2012 1990	33	33 (29-38) 0.55 (0.490-0.630)	103 (90-117) 31 (27-35)	0.59 (0.51-0.67)	1.8 (1.6-2.0) 0.1 (0.10-0.14)	28 635 482	88 27	86 (75–98) 87 (77–99)
	1995	2	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	15 (13–17) 17 (15–19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	276 321	13 15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	3	0.3 (0.260-0.340)	12 (10-13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	261	10	87 (77–99)
	2010	3	0.39 (0.340-0.440)	13 (11–14)	0.011 (<0.01-0.012)	0.3 (0.26-0.34)	308	11	87 (77–99)
Pakistan	2012 1990	3 111	0.44 (0.380-0.500) 260 (160-380)	13 (12–15) 231 (143–341)	0.014 (0.013-0.016) 0.026 (0.016-0.038)	0.4 (0.38-0.49) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	382 156 759	12 141	87 (77–99) 61 (41–99)
	1995 2000	127 144	290 (240-350) 330 (270-400)	231 (189–278) 231 (189–278)	0.059 (0.048-0.070) 0.23 (0.19-0.28)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.2 (0.13-0.19)	13 142 11 050	10 7.7	4.5 (3.7–5.5) 3.3 (2.8–4.1)
	2005	158	370 (300–440)	231 (189–278)	0.8 (0.65-0.98)	0.5 (0.41-0.62)	142 017	90	39 (32–48)
	2010	176	410 (340-490)	231 (190-276)	3.1 (2.5–3.7)	1.7 (1.4–2.1)	264 235 264 934	150	65 (54-79)
Qatar	2012 1990	179 < 1	410 (340-490) 0.21 (0.190-0.240)	231 (190-276) 44 (39-50)	3.8 (3.1-4.6)	2.1 (1.7–2.6)	267 475 184	149 39	65 (54–78) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	< 1	0.35 (0.310-0.400) 0.32 (0.280-0.360)	70 (61-79) 54 (47-61)			304 279	61 47	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	< 1	0.37 (0.330-0.420)	46 (40-52)			325	40	87 (77–99)
	2010	2	0.64 (0.560-0.720)	33 (29–38)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	553	33 29	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Saudi Arabia	2012 1990	2 16	0.84 (0.730-0.950) 2.8 (2.4-3.1)	41 (36-46) 17 (15-19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (0-0.12)	728 2 415	36 15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	19 20	3.1 (2.7–3.5) 4 (3.5–4.5)	17 (15-19) 20 (17-22)			3 452	17	87 (77–99)
	2005	25	4.1 (3.6–4.6)	16 (14–19)	0.10.0000.0.15	0.4 (0.04.0.50)	3 539	14	87 (77–99)
	2010	27 28	4.5 (4.0-5.1)	16 (14–18)	0.12 (0.092-0.15) 0.1 (0.077-0.13)	0.4 (0.34-0.56)	4 465 3 932	14	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Somalia	2012 1990	28 6	4.2 (3.7–4.8) 18 (11–27)	15 (13–17) 285 (176–421)	0.3 (0.19-0.44)	4.8 (2.9-7.0)	3 690	13	87 (77–99)
	1995	6 7	18 (15–22) 21 (17–25)	285 (233–343) 285 (233–343)	0.56 (0.46-0.68)	8.9 (7.3–11) 10 (8.6–13)	2 504	39 77	14 (12–17) 27 (22–33)
	2005	8	24 (20-29)	285 (233–343)	0.85 (0.70-1.0)	10 (8.2–12)	12 904	152	53 (44-65)
	2010	10	20 (23-33) 28 (23-34)	286 (236-340)	0.85 (0.70-1.0)	8.6 (7.1–11)	11 653	118	41 (35–50)
	2012	10	29 (24-35)	286 (236-340)	0.85 (0.70-1.0)	8.3 (6.9-9.9)	11 975	117	41 (34–50)

## TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

 $^{\rm a}$  Rates are per 100 000 population.  $^{\rm b}$  NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	e, notification and	case detection ra	ates, all forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	---------------------	-------------------	------------------	-----------

			INCIDENCE (IN	NCLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	/-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
South Sudan	2011	10	15 (13-18)	146 (121-174)			7 217	70	48 (40-58)
	2012	11	16 (13-19)	146 (121–174)			8 403	78	53 (45-64)
Sudan	1990	26	44 (36-52)	170 (140-203)	0.4 (0.33-0.48)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	212	0.82	0.48 (0.41-0.59)
	1995	30	47 (39-56)	158 (130-188)	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	5 (4.1-6.0)	14 320	48	30 (25–37)
	2000	34	50 (41-59)	144 (119–172)	3.5 (2.9-4.2)	10 (8.5-12)	24 807	72	50 (42-61)
	2005	40	53 (43-63)	133 (110-158)	5.2 (4.3-6.2)	13 (11-16)	27 562	70	52 (44-64)
	2010	46	54 (45-65)	119 (98-142)	5.6 (4.6-6.7)	12 (10-15)	26 131	57	48 (40–58)
	2011	36	42 (35-51)	117 (96-139)	4.4 (3.6-5.3)	12 (10-14)	19 348	53	46 (38–55)
	2012	37	42 (35-51)	114 (94–136)	4.3 (3.5-5.1)	12 (9.5-14)	18 775	50	44 (37–54)
Syrian Arab	1990	12	7.5 (5.3–10)	61 (43-82)			6 018	48	80 (59–110)
Republic	1995	14	6.6 (5.4-7.9)	46 (38–55)			4 404	31	67 (56-82)
	2000	16	5.7 (4.9-6.6)	35 (30-40)			5 090	31	89 (77-100)
	2005	18	4.8 (4.0-5.6)	26 (22-31)			4 310	24	90 (77–110)
	2010	22	4.3 (3.5-5.1)	20 (16-24)			3 666	17	86 (72-100)
	2011	22	4.1 (3.4-4.9)	19 (16-22)			3 620	17	88 (74–110)
	2012	22	3.9 (3.2-4.6)	18 (15-21)			3 003	14	77 (65–93)
Tunisia	1990	8	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	29 (25-32)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0 (0-0)	2 054	25	89 (78–100)
	1995	9	2.7 (2.4-3.1)	31 (27-35)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 383	27	87 (77–99)
	2000	10	2.4 (2.1-2.7)	25 (22-28)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 038	21	86 (76–98)
	2005	10	2.4 (2.1-2.7)	23 (21-27)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 079	21	88 (78-100)
	2010	11	3 (2.6–3.4)	28 (25-32)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 368	22	79 (70–90)
	2011	11	3.2 (2.8-3.6)	30 (26-34)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	3 015	28	94 (83-110)
	2012	11	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	31 (27-35)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	3 239	30	96 (84-110)
United Arab	1990	2	0.22 (0.160-0.280)	12 (8.7–16)			285	16	130 (100-180)
Emirates	1995	2	0.28 (0.200-0.370)	12 (8.7–16)					
	2000	3	0.36 (0.260-0.480)	12 (8.7-16)			115	3.8	32 (24-44)
	2005	4	0.21 (0.150-0.270)	5 (3.6-6.5)			103	2.5	50 (38-69)
	2010	8	0.26 (0.190-0.340)	3.1 (2.3-4.1)	0.012 (<0.01-0.030)	0.2 (<0.1-0.35)	131	1.6	50 (38-69)
	2011	9	0.21 (0.150-0.270)	2.3 (1.7-3.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.021)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.23)	103	1.2	50 (38-69)
	2012	9	0.16 (0.120-0.210)	1.7 (1.2-2.3)			79	0.86	50 (38-69)
West Bank	1990	2	0.12 (0.110-0.140)	6 (5.2–6.8)			64	3.1	51 (45-59)
and Gaza Strip	1995	3	0.22 (0.200-0.250)	8.6 (7.5-9.7)			77	3	35 (30–39)
	2000	3	0.33 (0.290-0.370)	10 (9.0-12)			82	2.6	25 (22-28)
	2005	4	0.23 (0.200-0.260)	6.5 (5.7-7.3)			28	0.79	12 (11–14)
	2010	4	0.21 (0.190-0.240)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)			31	0.77	15 (13–17)
	2011	4	0.26 (0.230-0.290)	6.3 (5.5-7.1)			32	0.78	12 (11–14)
	2012	4	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	7.6 (6.7-8.6)			32	0.76	10 (8.8–11)
Yemen	1990	12	16 (10-24)	137 (85-202)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	4 650	39	29 (20-47)
	1995	15	21 (17-25)	137 (112–165)	0.031 (0.022-0.042)	0.2 (0.14-0.28)	14 428	96	70 (58–86)
	2000	18	20 (17-24)	116 (94-139)	0.11 (0.074-0.14)	0.6 (0.42-0.81)	13 651	78	67 (56-83)
	2005	20	16 (13-19)	81 (66-97)	0.18 (0.12-0.25)	0.9 (0.58-1.3)	9 063	45	56 (46-68)
	2010	23	11 (9.2-13)	49 (40-58)	0.15 (0.093-0.21)	0.7 (0.41-0.93)	8 916	39	80 (67–97)
	2011	23	11 (9.4-14)	49 (40-58)	0.15 (0.096-0.22)	0.7 (0.41-0.94)	8 636	37	76 (64–92)
	2012	24	12 (9.6–14)	49 (40-58)	0.16 (0.098-0.23)	0.7 (0.41-0.95)	9 867	41	85 (71–100)

Rates are per 100 000 population.
 NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

## TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW AND RELADSE NEW CASES								% SMEAR-			
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a	YEAR	NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/	EXTRA-	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL.	TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Afghanistan	1990–2012	1990	4 332	POSITIVE	UNKNOWN	PULMONARY			RELAPSE	REIREAI	UNKNOWN	_
<b>3</b>		1995	7 107	2 002	2 259	1 620		227		227		-
		* <u>2000</u>	21 844	9 949	6 085	4 954		856		237 856		62
		2010 2011	28 029 27 983	12 947 13 789	7 085 6 155	6 248 6 286	633 623	1 116 1 130	209 184	1 325 1 314		65 69
Debusis	• 37 99	• 2012	29 381	13 319	7 405	6 906	702	1 049	197	1 246		64
Banrain	$\sim \sim$	1990 1995	117 43	17	14	85		0		0		55
	$\wedge / \neg \neg$	2000 2005	207 280	23 101	16 72	8 107	0	0	0	0	0	59 58
		2010	246	90	58	98	0	0	0	0	0	61
	•24 17	• 2011	225 225	101	47 47	89 77	0	0	0	0	0	65 68
Djibouti	. ~	1990 1995	2 100									-
		2000	3 971	1 391	518	1 875	-	184		184		73
	$\int \langle - \langle - \rangle \rangle$	2005	3 109 4 172	1 120	739 538	1 058 2 253	0	192 200	61 19	253 219	0	60 69
	, 356 404	2011	3 686	1 336	569 547	1 587	0	194	37 72	231	0	70 68
Egypt		1990	2 142		011	1007		100	12	LOL		-
		1995 2000	11 145 10 762	4 229 4 606	9 204 2 693	4 684 2 843		753 620		753 620		31 63
	$\wedge$	2005	11 446	5 217 4 679	2 617	3 163	0	449	289	738	0	67 80
	10	2011	8 974	4 508	1 055	3 074	0	337	333	670	0	81
Iran (Islamic	• 4 10	• 2012 1990	8 453 9 255	4 295	937	2 915	0	306	300	606	0	82
Republic of)	Λ	1995 2000	15 936 11 850	5 347 5 361	6 432 2 642	3 779 3 442		477 405		477 405		45 67
	$\gamma^{I}$	2005	9 212	4 581	1 807	2 530		274	154	428	20	72
		• 2010	10 362	5 188 5 539	1 985	2 869 3 076	0	320	440 515	760 900	0	72 74
Iraq	• 16 14	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> </ul>	11 042	5 409 1 587	2 191	3 105	0	337	441	778	0	71
naq	M	1995	9 697	3 194	13 962	1 367		68		68		19
	$\sim$	2000	9 697 9 454	3 194 3 096	3 188 2 887	2 753 2 703		562 768		562 768		50 52
		2010	9 707 8 837	3 618 3 059	2 693 2 463	3 009 2 957	0	387 358	390 411	777 769	0	57 55
	• 84 26	2012	8 664	2 760	2 315	3 261	0	328	435	763	ő	54
Jordan	1	1990 1995	439 498	187	210	101		6		6		47
	v C	2000	306 367	89 86	69 76	145 187	12	3	4	3 10	0	56 53
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2010	338	117	69	150	0	2	16	18	0	63
	•13 5	2011	328 331	103	81 73	128 172	0	2	16 18	18 19	14 0	56 54
Kuwait	$\cap$ $\cap$	1990 1995	277 336	175	42	115	0	4	0	4	0	- 81
		2000	513	180	89	244	0	0	0	0	0	67
	. /	2005 2010	517 957	187 385	95 163	234 407	0	1	0	2	0	66 70
	·13 23	2011 • 2012	672 737	222	141 140	309 269	0	0	0	0	0	61 70
Lebanon		1990		107	500	055			0			-
		1995 2000	983 571	197	528 149	255 214		6		3		27 58
		2005	391 513	131 194	75	181	0	4	0	4	0	64
		2011	496	188	101	206	-	1	-	1		65
Libyan Arab	• 0 14	• 2012 1990	630 442	240	131	250	0	9	0	9	0	65
Jamahiriya	$\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}}$	1995 2000	1 440 1 341	607	626 82	814 652						- 88
		2005	2 098	860	474	762		2	269	271		64
	$\checkmark$	2010	1 518	731	305	462	0	20	27	47		71
Morocco	• 10 25	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> </ul>	1 549 27 658	644	372	533	0					63
	$\gamma \land \uparrow$	1995	29 829	14 171	4 095	11 563						78
	V M	2000	26 269	12 872	2 934 2 142	11 370	0					86
	$\sim$	2010 2011	28 359 28 640	12 239 11 822	2 174 2 272	12 730 13 331	0 0	1 216 1 215	429 1 130	1 645 2 345	0	85 84
Omen	• 112 88	• 2012	28 635	11 572	2 343	13 522	0	1 198	764	1 962	0	83
Jillall	\	1990	276	135	60	81		0		0		69
		2000 2005	321 261	164 131	37 37	112 89		8		8		82 78
	hora -	2010	308 337	152	28	124	0	4	5	9	0	84 85
	• 27 12	• 2012	382	205	39	131	0	7	1	8	0	84
Pakistan	/ ~	1990 1995	156 759 13 142	2 578	3 806	3 037		184		184		40
	•	2000	11 050	3 285	5 578	1 846		341	2 754	341		37
	$\backslash$	2010	264 235	104 263	105 623	45 443	0	5 870	5 055	10 925	3 036	50
	• 141 149	· 2011	264 934 267 475	105 733 110 545	103 824 109 425	45 537 41 410	0	5 947 6 095	5 460 5 622	11 407 11 717	3 893	50 50
Qatar	1	1990 1995	184	60	135	109		1		1		31
	$\backslash \cdot \sim$	2000	279	53	98	128		0	_	0		35
	- V~ \	2005	325 580	96 223	73 101	156 256	0	0	0	0	0	57 69
	•39	2011	553 728	197	120	236	0	0	0	٥	0	62 35
Saudi Arabia	~ 30	1990	2 415	100	551	217	U	0	v	U		-
	$\sim$ $^{\wedge}$	1995 2000	3 452	1 595	722	1 023		112		112		69
	$\langle \rangle$	2005	3 539	1 722	545 697	1 067	0	205	84	205	64	76
	V • 15	2011	3 932	2 055	586	1 227	U	64	83	147		78

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

# TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		NEW AND RELAPSE				NEW CAS	ES						% SMEAR-
Somalia         1990		NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Somalia		1990										-
2000         5 686         3 776         887         722         351         351         62           2010         10 103         5 228         2 654         1 865         0         375         330         776         0         66           2010         11 11         11 655         5 844         3 188         2 261         0         349         386         7775         0         65           South South         2011         7 217         3 189         2 261         0         349         386         7775         0         65           South South         2011         7 217         3 180         2 261         0         349         386         777         0         65           South South         2011         6 161         602         80         7777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777         777		$\wedge$	1995	2 504	1 572	692	318		134		134		69
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		/ × ~~	2000	5 686	3 776	837	722		351		351		82
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2005	12 904	7 068	3 168	2 258	0	410	102	512	0	69
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\sim$	2010	10 139	5 225	2 654	1 885	0	375	330	705	0	66
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		/	2011	11 653	5 884	3 159	2 261	0	349	368	717	0	65
South Sudan 2011 2012 8 403 3120 2014 2014 201 8 201 201 201 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		•0 117•	2012	11 975	6 127	3 188	2 271	0	389	310	699	0	66
Sudan         2012         8 403         3 120         3 413         1 885         1 885         521         706         0         4 43           1990         212         0         1675         474         474         77           2000         24 900         12 110         6 515         3 434         0         166         1 616         1 002         58           2000         22 7602         12 730         9 212         5 4349         0         168         1 616         1 002         58           2011         16 13         19 568         9 144         6 216         6 12         1 101         1 926         59         59         6 746         4 626         0         6 712         1 735         0         4 9           50         2010         1 8975         6 576         6 944         4 561         0         6 713         1 735         0         4 9         6         92         9         7 53         0         4 9         1 409         2 000         5 0         1 53         1 44         6 3         1 449         6 3         1 449         6 3         1 449         6 3         1 49         6 75         7 75         7 6         6 6	South Sudan		2011	/ 21/	2 /9/	2 610	1 639		1/1	366	537		52
Sudal 1986 14 220 8 761 2 655 1 675 474 474 776 1986 14 220 8 761 2 655 1 675 474 474 776 2000 24 802 12 311 6 512 3 843 0 214 2141 2 141 165 2000 24 802 12 311 9 958 9 144 6217 812 1110 1 922 58 1 50 2011 1986 6 14 26 6 74 6 4 6247 812 1110 1 922 58 50 2011 1980 6 618	Quale a		2012	8 403	3 120	3 413	1 685		185	521	706	0	48
1930         1 4 807         5 / 11         2 003         3 0/3         2 / 14         2 / 44         2 / 44         7 / 44         7 / 44         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         7 / 74         9 / 74         6 / 74         1 / 74         7 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74         9 / 74 <td>Sudan</td> <td>٨</td> <td>1005</td> <td>14 220</td> <td>0.761</td> <td>0.655</td> <td>1.075</td> <td></td> <td>474</td> <td></td> <td>474</td> <td></td> <td>- 77</td>	Sudan	٨	1005	14 220	0.761	0.655	1.075		474		474		- 77
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Λ	1995	14 320	0 /01	2 000	10/3		9/4		4/4		// 65
A         200         200         200         9         144         6         200         100         1002         902           -1         50         2011         13 848         7266         6746         4624         0         679         1056         1725         0         49           Syrian Arab         1980         6018         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <td></td> <td>$\Lambda \sim$</td> <td>2000</td> <td>24 607</td> <td>12 311</td> <td>0.012</td> <td>5 43</td> <td>0</td> <td>2 141</td> <td>1 616</td> <td>1 902</td> <td></td> <td>59</td>		$\Lambda \sim$	2000	24 607	12 311	0.012	5 43	0	2 141	1 616	1 902		59
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\int \nabla$	2003	26 131	9 958	9 1 4 4	6 217	0	812	1 110	1 922		52
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010	19 348	7 266	6 746	4 624	0	712	1 037	1 749	0	52
Syrian Arab         D         1990         6 018         D         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100		• 1 50 •	2012	18 775	6 587	6 948	4 561	Ő	679	1 056	1 735	ő	49
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Syrian Arab		1990	6 018								-	-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Republic	< <	1995	4 404	1 295	1 507	1 574		28		28		46
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000	5 090	1 584	1 409	2 000		97		97		53
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		~~ <u></u>	2005	4 310	1 350	796	2 103	0	61	83	144		63
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\sim$	2010	3 666	1 122	544	1 948	0	52	161	213	0	67
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		~	2011	3 620	1 027	393	1 915	0	60	55	115	225	72
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		• 48 14 •	2012	3 003	809	364	1 702	0	44	32	76	84	69
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tunisia		1990	2 054									-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(	1995	2 383	1 243	407	733						75
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\mathcal{J}$	2000	2 038	1 099	179	/2/		61		61		86
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	2005	2 0/9	915	239	8/4		51		51		/9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\sim$	2010	2 308	1 091	101	1 090		30		30		88
United Arab         1930         2012         1033         2012         1033         2012         1033         0         43         13         0         7         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -		. 25 20.	2011	3 013	1 050	317	1 952	0	45	10	51	0	70
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I Inited Arab	• 25 30 •	1990	285	1 0 0 9	202	1 000	0	40	19	04	0	/9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Emirates	l.	1995	200									_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Emilatoo		2000	115	73	3	41		0		0		96
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		< \	2005	103	62	12	25	0	4	2	6	0	84
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2010	131	56	28	47	0	0	1	1	0	67
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		han	2011	103	46	27	30	0	0	3	3	0	63
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 16 1 •	2012	79	42	15	20	0	2	6	8	0	74
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	West Bank		1990	64									-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	and Gaza Strip	ſ	1995	77	9	58	10						13
2005         28         7         6         15         54           2010         31         13         6         12         0         0         0         68           -3         1-2012         32         11         5         13         0         3         0         3         0         69           -3         1-2012         32         17         6         8         0         1         0         1         0         74           Yemen         1990         4 650         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>2000</td> <td>82</td> <td>37</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100</td>		4	2000	82	37								100
2010         31         13         6         12         0         0         0         0         68           ·3         ·3         ·1         2011         32         11         5         13         0         3         0         3         0         69           ·3         ·1         ·2012         32         17         6         8         0         1         0         1         0         74           Yemen         ·1990         ·4.650         ·         ·         ·         0         74         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·         ·			2005	28	7	6	15			-		_	54
·3         ·1         ·2011         ·32         ·11         ·5         ·13         ·0         ·3         ·0         ·69           Yemen         1990         4.650 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>2010</td> <td>31</td> <td>13</td> <td>6</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>68</td>		1	2010	31	13	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	68
Yemen         1900         4 650         -         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         74           Yemen         1995         14 428         3 681         7 390         3 082         275         275         33           2000         13 651         5 565         4 176         3 470         440         440         57           2005         9 063         3379         2 780         2 553         351         351         55           2010         8 916         3 584         2 313         2 715         0         304         134         438         0         61           2011         8 562         2 125         2 400         2 820         0         012         77         209         0         57		$\checkmark$	2011	32	11	5	13	0	3	0	3	0	69
Tement         1990         4 050         -           1995         14 428         3 681         7 390         3 082         275         275         33           2000         13 661         5 565         4 176         3 470         440         440         57           2005         9 063         3 379         2 780         2 553         351         55           2010         8 916         3 584         2 313         2 715         0         304         134         438         0         61           2011         8 926         2 195         2 400         2 880         0         201         77         000         0         57	Vaman	•3 1•	2012	32	17	6	8	0	1	0	1	0	/4
1995         14 420         3 561         7 390         3 062         275         233           2000         13 651         5 565         4 176         3 470         440         440         57           2005         9 063         3 379         2 780         2 553         351         55           2010         8 916         3 584         2 313         2 715         0         304         134         438         0         61           2011         8 562         2 195         2 400         2 890         0         021         77         209         0         57	remen	~	1005	4 000	0.604	7 200	2.090		075		075		-
2000         13 005         9 0063         3 379         2 780         2 553         351         351         55           2010         8 916         3 584         2 313         2 715         0         304         134         438         0         61           2011         8 582         2 125         2 400         2 890         0         021         77         000         0         57		$\sim$	1993	14 428 12 6F1	5 505	/ 390	3 002		2/5		210		57
2010         8 916         3 584         2 313         2 715         0         304         134         438         0         61           2011         8 658         3 155         2 400         2 890         0         2 21         77         0 99         0         57			2000	9 063	3 370	2 780	2 553		351		351		55
			2010	8 916	3 584	2 313	2 715	0	304	134	438	0	61
			2011	8 636	3 135	2 400	2 880	0	221	77	298	0	57
· 39 41 · 2012 9 867 3 321 2 808 3 486 0 252 83 335 54		• 39 41 •	2012	9 867	3 321	2 808	3 486	Ő	252	83	335	-	54

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, ne	<i>w</i> smear-positive cases, 1995–2011
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------------

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	
Afghanistan	1993-2011	1995	NOTIFIED	conom	-						LVALOATED
	$\square$	2000 2005	2 892 9 949	3 136 10 013	108 101	76 83	9 7	3	3	6	2
		2009	12 497	12 497	100	83	4	2	1	2	9
	•0 91•	2010	12 947	12 947	100	88	3	2	1	2	5 5
Bahrain		1995 2000	17 23	22	- 96	73	0	27	0	0	0
		2005	101	15	15	93	0	7	0	0	0
	$\wedge$	2009	90	162	147	98 96	0	4	0	0	0
Djibouti	• 0 34 •	2011 1995	89	124	139	34 60	0	1	0	20	65 1
-	$\sim$	2000	1 391	1 391	100	48 71	14	2	1	21	14
	\ `	2009	1 377	1 277	93	72	7	1	1	17	3
	•75 82•	2010 2011	1 181	1 177	100	68 65	12 17	1	1	16 13	2
Egypt	$\sim$ $\sim$	1995 2000	4 229 4 606	2 118 4 611	50 100	38 75	24 12	2	3 2	19 5	14 3
	$\int dx = \int dx = dx$	2005	5 217	5 154	99	66	13	3	2	3	13
	$\checkmark$	2009	4 679	4 682	100	59	27	3	3	4	4
Iran (Islamic	• 62 88 •	2011 1995	4 508 5 347	4 508	100	66	21	3	2	3	5
Republic of)	$\mathcal{M}$ ,	2000	5 361	5 866	109	81	4	6	2	3	3
		2009	5 152	5 201	101	70	6	7	3	2	5
	•0 85•	2010 2011	5 188 5 539	5 269 5 532	102 100	77 79	6 6	7	4	3 3	3
Iraq	M ~	1995 2000	3 194 3 194	11 553 3 194	362 100	60 86	20	0	5	10	5
	$\sim$ / $\sim$	2005	3 096	3 096	100	76	10	3	2	7	3
	$\bigvee$	2009	3 347 3 618	3 347 3 618	100	80 80	9	2	1	6	1
Jordan	• 80 89 •	2011 1995	3 059 187	3 059 193	100	83 91	6	3	2	5	1 3
		2000	89	89	100	89 71	1	2	1	4	2
	$\gamma N$	2009	109	109	100	54	21	6	7	11	0
	•92 92•	2010 2011	117 103	117 103	100 100	57 46	30 47	1 3	3 0	6 5	3 0
Kuwait	,	1995 2000	175	175 180	100	40 54	31 15	3	0	1	25 21
	$\sim$ 1 $\sim$	2005	187	187	100	53	10	1	0	7	29
	· ~/~/	2009 2010	386 385	386 385	100 100	41 63	44 24	0	0	4 3	11 9
Lebanon	• 71 93 •	2011	222	222	100	84	9	0	0	3	4
Lobanon	1	2000	202	190	94	89	3	4	1	3	1
		2005	131	131	100	65	17	6	1	2	10
	• 91 80 •	2010 2011	194 188	192 188	99 100	68 65	12 15	2	1	18 2	0 16
Libyan Arab	٨	1995 2000	607	626	-	65	0	1	1	33	0
oamannya	$\sim //$	2005	860	860	100	40	29	2	0	27	2
	- \ \	2009 2010	936	792	-	43	21	2	0	31	3
Morocco	• 65 59 •	2011	731	731	100	42	17	1	0	37	3
	$\sim$ $\checkmark$ $\sim$	2000	12 872	12 872	100	82	7	3	1	7	1
	$\bigvee \searrow$	2005	11 907	11 935	100	76	5	2	2	9	2
	• 90 80 •	2010 2011	12 239 11 822	12 492 11 822	102 100	77 73	8 7	2	1	9 8	2
Oman	$\sim$	1995 2000	135 164	93 112	69 68	84 93	0	9	1	1	5
		2000	131	104	79	90	0	10	5	0	0
	$\sum V \rightarrow V$	2009 2010	164 152	334 152	204 100	49 97	49 0	2	0	0	0
Pakistan	• 84 97 •	2011	180 2.578	212	118 31	95 51	2	3	0	20	0
		2000	3 285	4 074	124	58	16	4	1	17	4
	$\backslash$	2005	101 887	101 809	100	74	17	2	1	9 4	2
	• 70 92 •	2010 2011	104 263 105 733	104 434 105 733	100 100	75 75	16 16	2	1	4	2
Qatar		1995	60 53	43	72	81	0	5 8	0	0	14
		2005	96	96	100	74	9	1	0	0	16
	Ň,	2009	220	5 219	98	80 63	3	0	0	20	33
Saudi Arabia	• 81 49 •	2011 1995	197	294	149	46	2	0	0	32	19
		2000	1 595	1 285	81	62	11	7	0	13	6 17
	$/ \sim$	2009	2 201	2 201	100	54	11	6	1	10	18
	•0 61•	2010 2011	2 302 2 055	2 302 2 055	100 100	52 53	10 9	5	1	14 <u>16</u>	18 17
Somalia		1995	1 572	1 278	81	82 81	4	4 4	5	5	0
	$\sqrt{1}$	2005	7 068	7 059	100	85	4	4	1	4	2
	× ∨ _ /	2009 2010	6 047 5 225	6 047 5 225	100	83 87	2	4 3	2	3 3	7 4
South Sudan	• 86 86 •	2011	5 884	5 884 2 114	100	84 67	2 8	4	2	3	6
Curdon		2011	2 797	2 767	99	62	11	4	1	18	4
Suuafi	1 /~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	12 311	8 326 14 599	95 119	44 50	35 25	∠ 4	2	9	11
		2005 2009	12 730 10 541	12 730 10 883	100	64 62	18	3	1	9	5
	V • 79 70	2010	9 958	7 729	78	56	24	2	1	12	5
			1 200	1 200	100	+/	20	6	1	10	17

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

## TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995-2011

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Syrian Arab		1995	1 295	1 295	100	45	16	2	9	24	5
Republic	$\sim \sim \sim \sim$	2000	1 584	1 562	99	69	10	4	3	11	4
		2005	1 350	1 350	100	76	13	3	2	6	1
	. /	2009	1 143	1 144	100	76	12	4	1	4	3
	$\sim$	2010	1 122	1 122	100	75	14	3	2	4	2
	• 61 84 •	2011	1 027	1 009	98	65	19	3	2	10	1
Jnisia		1995	1 243		-						
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000	1 099	1 099	100	87	4	3	2	2	2
		2005	915	910	99	83	7	2	1	2	4
	\ /`	2009	931	931	100	72	11	3	2	3	9
	$\sim$	2010	1 091	1 091	100	62	24	3	1	4	6
	• 0 87 •	2011	1 031	1 026	100	63	24	3	1	5	5
nited Arab		1995			-						
nirates		2000	73	73	100	56	18	7	4	5	10
		2005	62	62	100	42	31	6	0	15	6
		2009	71	71	100	21	52	11	1	14	0
		2010	56	55	98	24	45	7	0	24	0
	• 0 73 •	2011	46	60	130	2	72	3	0	23	0
est Bank		1995	9	13	144	100					0
nd Gaza Strip	$\wedge \sim /$	2000	37		-						
	$\langle 1 \rangle \geq$	2005	7	12	171	58	42	0	0	0	0
	\/	2009	10	11	110	18	64	9	0	9	0
	V	2010	13	12	92	8	75	0	17	0	0
	• 100 100 •	2011	11	11	100	18	82	0	0	0	0
emen		1995	3 681	3 681	100	43	9	1	1	35	11
	~	2000	5 565	5 565	100	59	13	3	1	14	10
	$\sim$	2005	3 379	3 566	106	69	11	3	1	6	10
	~	2009	3 576	3 557	99	79	9	3	1	4	4
	./	2010	3 584	3 584	100	77	9	3	1	4	7
	• 52 88 •	2011	3 135	3 174	101	79	9	2	1	5	3

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Afghanistan	1	1995 2000	237	304	- 128	73	5	4	4	11	3
		2005	856	856	100	87	2	3	1	2	5
	·0 /\ /	2010	1 325	1 325 1 937	100 147	73 58	6 19	3	3	1	14 17
Bahrain		1995 2000	0		-		-				
		2005	0	0							
	•0 0•	2010 2011	0	0	-						
Djibouti	$\wedge \rightarrow \wedge$	1995 2000	184	268	- 146	27	9	0	3	22	37
	$( \langle \langle \rangle \rangle )$	2005	253 210	253 194	100 92	58 67	10 8	3	2	24 18	2
	·0 63·	2010 2011	219 231	213 227	97 98	53 47	17 16	6 4	2 5	19 22	3 6
Egypt	$\sim$ .	1995 2000	753 620	956		52	11	7	12	13	5
	$\sim$	2005	738 748	738 748	100	41 39	17 39	10 6	12 5	8	12 4
	• V • 0 72•	2010 2011	703 670	703 599	100 89	38 35	34 36	6 6	8 6	8 10	6 7
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	\	1995 2000	477 405	606	_ 150	63	13	6	5	6	7
	`~~~_`	2005	428 773	448 708	105 92	68 48	8 25	9	3	4	8
	•0 72•	2010 2011	760 900	781 892	103 99	49 49	20 22	8 9	5 4	4 4	15 12
Iraq	Λ.	1995 2000	68 562		-			-			
		2005	768	953 751	124	60 57	12	4	8	12	4
	•0 75•	2010 2011	777 769	777 769	100 100	36 39	40 36	4	5	13 12	3 1
Jordan	٨	1995	6	6	200	83	17	0	0	0	0
		2005	10	24	120	17	62	4	0	17	0
	· 0 × 1 ×	2010	18	5	28	0	60 67	0	20	0	20
Kuwait		1995 2000	4		-			-			
		2005	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
	•0 0•	2010 2011	2	2	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
Lebanon		1995 2000	3	5	- 83	80					20
	$\gamma/\gamma$	2005	4	4	100	75	25 20	0	0	0	0
	•0 100•	2010	12	12	100	58	17	8	0	17	0
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya		1995 2000			-						-
,		2005	271 23								
	•0 0•	2010 2011	47	85	-	11	22	2	0	45	20
Morocco	1	1995 2000		1 469		65	12	4	4	10	7
		2005	1 605	1 650 1 668	- 104	55 60	17	4	5	14 16	5
	• 76 66 •	2010 2011	1 645 2 345	2 899 2 623	176 112	40 38	24 28	4 3	3	21 21	9 8
Oman		1995 2000	0	7	- 88	86	0	0	14	0	0
		2005	4	7	100	57	43	0	0	0	0
	•0 67•	2010 2011	9 3	9 3	100 100	44 67	56 0	0 33	0	0	0
Pakistan	٨	1995 2000	184 341	374 907	203 266	48 37	22 17	2	5	24 29	0
		2005	5 425 9 200	5 009 8 801	92	61 63	15 18	5	3	11	5
	• 70 80 •	2010 2011	10 925 11 407	8 394 11 407	77 100	68 63	16 17	3 4	3 3	6 8	3 4
Qatar		1995 2000	1	3	300	67	0	0	0	0	33
		2005	0	0	-						
	• 67 0 •	2010 2011	0	0	-						
Saudi Arabia	~	1995 2000	112	139	- 124	43	15	7	3	13	19
	$\sim \sqrt{N}$	2005	205	96	47	40	9	9	5	18	19
	• 0 63•	2010 2011	206 147	249 147	121 100	31 41	19 22	8	2	22 10	17 15
Somalia	$\wedge$ $\wedge$	1995 2000	134 351	351	100	53	1	5	5	3	34
	$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	2005	512	524	102	76	5	6	2	5	6
	•0 72.	2010 2011	705	705	100	48 43	14 29	6 7	5 6	4 4	23 11
South Sudan	12	2010 2011	537	434	- 98	23 20	34 38	5 7	9	23 28	5
Sudan	~~~	1995	474		-				-		2
	- \	2005	1 802	1 828	101	53 33	29 38	3	1	9	6
	•0 55•	2010 2011	1 922	1 517 1 749	79	28 22	40 33	2	1	14 13	16 27

# TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

# TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Syrian Arab		1995	28		-						
Republic	$\sim \sim$	2000	97	189	195	44	10	4	20	15	7
		2005	144	144	100	53	14	5	9	19	0
		2009	176	176	100	48	22	9	4	15	3
	$\sim$	2010	213	213	100	23	58	4	3	11	1
	• 0 70 •	2011	115	225	196	20	49	5	5	20	1
Tunisia		1995			-						
	/	2000	61	42	69	74	0	5	2	10	10
	/	2005	51		-						
	×	2009	42		-						
	_ V	2010	36		-						
	• 0 79 •	2011	51	52	102	54	25	2	8	10	2
United Arab		1995			-						
Emirates	/	2000	0		-						
		2005	6	5	83	80	0	0	0	20	0
	\/ \/	2009	0	0	-						
	× /	2010	1	3	300	0	67	33	0	0	0
	• 0 33 •	2011	3	3	100	0	33	0	0	67	0
West Bank		1995			-						
and Gaza Strip		2000			-						
		2005		0	-						
		2009	2	0	0						
		2010	0		-						
	• 0 0 •	2011	3		-						
Yemen		1995	275	14	5	29	14	21	14	14	7
	$\sim$	2000	440	437	99	64	8	7	6	11	4
		2005	351	351	100	48	9	2	3	7	30
	$\Delta I$	2009	314	291	93	70	7	3	4	7	9
	• V	2010	438		-						
	• 43 67 •	2011	298	298	100	62	5	5	3	6	19

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

# TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Afghanistan		2005			21 844					
		2010	18	5 170	28 238	2	<0.1	100	100	
	- 25	• 2012	25	7 275	29 578	5	<0.1	100	100	25
Bahrain	~ /	2005	46	128	280	6	4.7	0	0	
		2010	65 66	161 148	246 225	6	3.7 4.7	0	0 43	
	• 46 82	· 2012	82	184	225	1	0.54	0	100	
Djibouti	$\sim$	2005	7.1	224	3 170	135	60	15	15	0
		2010	52 19	2 163	3 723	248	25	U	22	
	• 7 36	• 2012	36	1 289	3 546	130	10		64	0
Egypt	$\sim$	2005	47	4 492	11 735	7	0.16	100	100	0
		2010	37	3 441	9 307	12	0.35	100	100	0
	- 17	• 2012	17	1 514	8 753	17	1.1	100	100	
Iran (Islamic Bepublic of)	/	2005	8.4	904	9 366	254	28	16	28	161
		2011	12	1 343	11 495	291	22	20	37	101
	- 14	• 2012	14	1 574	11 483	283	18	27	41	155
Iraq		2005	66	6 711	9 454 10 097	1	<0.1	100	0	0
		2011	84	7 754	9 248	2	<0.1	100	50	Ū
	- 86	• 2012	86	7 821	9 099	2	<0.1	50	50	
Jordan	$\frown$	2005	23	352	371	0	0			0
	```	2011	78	267	344	1	0.37	100	100	č
Kuwoit	• 23 51	• 2012	51	177	349	0	0	100	100	
NUWAIL	$\wedge$	2005 2010	100	517 957	517 957	3	0.58	100	100	
		2011	100	672	672	0	0			
Lobanor	• 100 100	• 2012	100	737	737	3	0.41	100	100	
Lebanon	$\sim$	2005	52	269	515	7	2.6	100	100	68
	$\sim$	2011	48	236	496	9	3.8	100	100	
Libuon Arab	• 1 67	• 2012	67	424	630	3	0.71	100	100	9
Jamahiriya		2005		2 128	2 307	212	10	1.4		
-		2011	97	1 498	1 545	128	8.5	0		
Morocco	- 100	· 2012	100	1 549	26 269	105	6.8			
Worocco	/	2010	0.75	215	28 788	17	7.9	100	100	
		2011	6.2	1 856	29 770	41	2.2	100	68	
Oman	- 20	• 2012 2005	20	5 827	29 399	357	6.1	100	100	
Onian	· · · ·	2010	100	313	313	4	1.3	100	100	0
		2011	100	337	337	8	2.4	88	88	
Pakistan	• 98 100	• 2012 2005	100	383	383	14	3.7	100	100	
	$\sim$	2010	2.3	6 283	269 290	28	0.45	39	43	
	÷	2011	3.1	8 264	270 394	34	0.41	100	56	
Qatar	•0 4	2012	100	325	325	0	0.29	100	73	
	·	2010	0	0	580	0				
	- 100	2011	0	0	553	0	100	100	100	
Saudi Arabia	-100 0	2005	0.14	I	3 539	1	100	100	100	
		2010	72	3 278	4 549	77	2.3			
	_ 89	2011	86 89	3 469	4 015	77	2.2			14
Somalia		2005	0	0 420	13 006	21	2.0	38	0	
		2010	26	2 741	10 469	231	8.4	68	26	
	•0 44	2011 • 2012	34 44	4 140 5 359	12 021 12 285	206 192	5 3.6	85 79	20 27	0
South Sudan	, <del>1</del>	2011	47	3 542	7 583	428	12	82	27	
Sudan		2012	51	4 584	8 924	534	12	62	28	
Sudan	$\wedge$	2005	0.62	180 7.532	29 178 27 241	150 247	83	10	10	
		2011	15	3 082	20 385	292	9.5	0	25	
Curries Arels	• 1 15	• 2012	15	3 070	19 831	231	7.5	0	17	
Republic	/	2005	2.2	345	4 393 3 827	5	5.9	100	0	0
		2011	16	586	3 675	7	1.2	100	100	
Tuninin	• 8 53	· 2012	53	1 601	3 035	5	0.31	100	100	
i di libia	/	2003	6.6	156	2 368	7	4.5	100	100	24
		2011	12	360	3 015	10	2.8	100	100	38
United Arab	• 6 18	• 2012	18	593	3 258	14	2.4	100	100	54
Emirates	\	2005	64	84	105	4	4.8	100	100	
	$\searrow$	2011	76	81	106	3	3.7	100	100	
West Bank	- 62	• 2012	62	53	85	4	7.5			
and Gaza Strip	/·	2003	100	31	20	0	0			
	/	2011	100	32	32	0	0			
Yemen	• 0 100	· 2012 2005	100	32	32 9.063	0	0			
	1	2010	Ő	õ	9 050	Ő				0
		2011	0	0 612	8 713 9 950	0	4.2		62	0

		τοται		NEW PL	JLMONARY CASE	s	PREVIOUS	LY TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Afghanistan	2005 2010 2011	19 19			238	1.8		34	2.6
Bahrain	2012 2005 2010 2011	31 4 0	1 100 (0–2 900)	750 (21–2 600)	2 162 154	2.0 70	400 (93–700)	<u>38</u> 0 0	3.0
Djibouti	2011 2012 2005	9 4 39	2.8 (0.57-8.0)	2.8 (0.57-8.0)	160 0	110 0	0 (00)	1 0	- 0
	2010 2011 2012	0	81 (40-120)	31 (1 7-58)		-	50 (19-81)		-
Egypt	2005 2010 2011	134	01 (10 120)	01 (117 00)	39		00 (10 01)	497	74
Iran (Islamic	2012	116 27	330 (270–390)	180 (99–260)	31 205	0.59	150 (130-180)	438	72 9.6
	2010 2011 2012	58 43 50	750 (590–910)	380 (260–530)	2/1 717 411	4.7 13 6.8	380 (270-480)	169 322 207	22 36 27
Iraq	2005 2010 2011	110 84			0	0		185 224	- 24 29
Jordan	2012 2005 2010	62 19 10	420 (0-870)	180 (5.1–610)	69 98 74	2.5 97 63	240 (57-430)	159 33 7	21 330 39
	2011 2012	4 13	15 (5.4–25)	10 (3.7–21)	55 77	30 91	5.4 (0.70-13)	6 6	33 32
Kuwait	2005 2010 2011	6 5 0			516 437 282	280 100 100		1 0 0	100 0 —
Lebanon	2012 2005 2010	4 3 7	0 (0-6.1)	0 (0-6.1)	48 4	37 2.1	0 (0-0)	4 14	
Libyan Arab	2011 2012 2005	3 6 8	9.9 (3.5–16)	3.9 (0.47–14)	10 4	9.6 4.2 0.47	6.0 (2.0-8.6)	6	67 –
Jamaniriya	2010 2011 2012	1	36 (1.0–120)	36 (1.0–120)		-	_		-
Morocco	2005 2010 2011	180 54 45	000 (400 440)	00 (00 450)	180 47 61	1.4 0.38 0.50	040 (450 050)	403 229	24 9.8
Oman	2012 2005 2010 2011	5 1 4	300 (190-410)	00 (22-130)	103 125 185 219	95 59 100	240 (150-550)	11 8 3	280 89 100
Pakistan	2012 2005 2010	6	5.9 (1.2–11)	5.9 (2.2–13)	248	100 - <0.1	0 (0-3.0)	306	100  2.8
Oatar	2011 2012 2005	344 1602 2	11 000 (0-29 000)	7 700 (220–27 000)	461	0.42	3 700 (880–6 600)	154	1.3
Gala	2010 2011 2012	4	6 3 (1 7-16)	6 3 (1 7-16)	324 9	100 1.6 2.0	0.(0-0)	0	-
Saudi Arabia	2005 2010 2011	14	0.0 (111 10)	0.0 (11 10)	10		0 (0 0)		
Somalia	2012 2005 2010	20	84 (64–100)	46 (36–62)	488	- 9.3	37 (28–48)	79	- 11
South Sudan	2011 2012 2011	20	770 (600–930)	480 (250–720)	261 0	4.4 0	280 (160-410)	14 0	2.0 0
Sudan	2012	3 45	250 (120-390)	120 (6.5–220)			140 (52–220)	4	0.22
	2010 2011 2012	49 62 116	580 (280-870)	240 (14-460)	36 43	0.29 0.65	330 (130–540)	82 129	4.7 7.4
Syrian Arab Republic	2005 2010 2011	7 25 24	07 (05 400)	70 (40, 440)	0 63 408	0 1.7 12	04 (40, 00)	0 12 70	0 5.6 61
Tunisia	2012 2005 2010 2011 2012	13 12 12 15	97 (65-130)	11 (0-23)	6 2 3	13 - 0.55 0.19 0.28	7.6 (2.9–12)	6 10 12	
United Arab Emirates	2005 2010 2011 2012	4 0 1 2	2.0 (1.5-2.5)	1.0 (0.51–1.5)	3 26	5.0 52	0.95 (0.74–1.2)	0	- 0 38
West Bank and Gaza Strip	2005 2010 2011 2012	0 0 0	1.1 (0-3.0)	0.81 (<0.1-2.8)	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.32 (<0.1-0.56)	0 0 0	- - 0 0
Yemen	2005 2010 2011	1 4	150 (100, 210)	110 (21 190)	89	1.5	40 (07 72)	34	7.8

# TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES).

^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

# **EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN REGION**

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positi	e case notification by	y age and sex, 1995-2012
-----------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

					MAL	E							FEMA	ALE .				
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35-44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Afghanistan	1995 2000	52	228	183	149	129	94	80		93	414	565	339	205	99	36		- 0.52
	2005 2010	151 197	606 986	560 819	472 491	453 490	470 641	419 622	0	320 445	1 651 2 107	1 959 2 263	1 302 1 455	869 1 112	471 831	246 488	0	0.46 0.49
<b>D</b> 1	2011 2012	204 188	1 010 1 116	895 801	613 586	570 521	700 585	692 651	0	465 400	2 167 2 280	2 325 2 204	1 564 1 482	1 146 1 150	903 850	535 505	0	0.51 0.50
Banrain	1995 2000 2005	0	0	1 3 0	2	3	3	3 4 4		0	1	1 2	2	1	1	1		1.7 2.8
	2010 2011	0	10	16 19	11	12 14	4	4	0	0	8	15	7	1	1	1	0	1.7
Djibouti	2012 1995	0	9	28	16	11	8	2	0	1	2	11	8	4	1	0	0	2.7
	2000 2005	17 18	302 220	347 252	139 119	67 62	60 47	42 29		12 23	147 123	156 117	47 66	31 23	17 13	10 8		2.3 2.0
	2010 2011	28 35	211 212	243 265	151 149	67 97	49 45	20 33	0	20 31	104 139	120 118	89 104 72	36 57	24 30	19 21	0	1.9
Egypt	1995	223	208 542 641	665 827	460	408	47 463 307	160		134	288	367 343	274	256	160	75		1.9
	2005	25	524 358	606 617	421	414	243	123	0	48	431	298	205	218	132	42	0	1.7
	2010 2011 2012	23 23	382 373	611 597	596 582	715	387 379	168 164	0	7	192 187	355 346	387 379	280 274	198 193	94 92	0	1.9
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	1995 2000	118 29	751 438	754 467	636 387	494 295	737 344	921 642		234 77	1 039 593	890 410	664 322	613 320	685 407	788 647		0.90 0.94
	2005 2010	16 18	352 292	531 487	338 354	281 296	260 310	630 760	0	45 54	394 433	205 288	186 208	260 276	382 398	701 1 014	0	1.1 0.94
	2011 2012	13 16	289 288	543 601	398 442	315 303	351 317	877 850	0 0	37 43	473 434	313 318	184 206	296 252	441 374	1 009 965	0 0	1.0 1.1
Iraq	1995 2000	1 125 21	862 627	1 409 317	1 085 297	863 205	900 135	271 101		725	304 338	1 208 241	915 136	800 134	886 103	200 87		1.3 1.6
	2005	42	370	482	384	245	286	228		44 73	305	260	151	205	220	166		1.6
lordan	2011 2012 1995	35 27 0	283 19	395 317 37	263 17	203	223	183	U	36	368 340	258 225 4	154	186	174	169 7	0	1.2
Jordan	2000	0	8	16 17	13	9	14	2		0	8	9	1	2	2	5		2.3
	2010 2011	2	5	14 10	10 13	12	12 13	6	0	3	14	24 11	4	3	5	3	0	1.1
Kuwait	2012 1995	0	8	12 51	8	5	7	7	0	1	9	12 24	7	1	3	5	0	1.2
	2000 2005	0 0	10 12	44 45	32 29	21 26	11 8	5 3		1 0	11 13	24 31	12 11	5 3	3 1	1 5		2.2 1.9
	2010 2011	1 0	16 13	67 41	50 36	48 35	10 11	11 5	0	4 0	41 23	78 30	30 15	10 9	11 2	8 2	0	1.1 1.7
Lebanon	2012	3	14 26	59 32	49 30	35	15	3 10	0	3	40	73	15	12	6 5	3	0	1.1 2.1
	2000	5	16	28	20 15	15	17	14	0	4	25 26	26	9	3	4	6 1	0	1.3
	2010 2011 2012	1	8 14 18	21 18 21	13	12	12 6 12	8	U	0	36 37 48	48 51 72	12	9	4 1 4	3	0	0.66
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	1995	2	112 101	212 239	78	46	22	21 32		5	34 43	31	19 24	20 24	13	11 22		3.7 3.1
	2005	2	114	293	168	52	19	35		8	36	36	35	21	21	20		3.9
	2011 2012	5 2	85 86	173 136	148 136	54 63	18 31	21 22	0 0	8 10	59 47	47 37	37 19	22 24	25 18	29 13	0 0	2.2 2.8
Morocco	1995 2000	142 99	2 508 2 061	2 872 2 423	1 737 1 705	819 855	573 485	553 595		191 170	1 708 1 530	1 288 1 121	703 672	461 398	317 406	299 352		1.9 1.8
	2005	79 51	2 222	2 515	1 583	1 057	580 712	591 515	0	167	1 330	943 841	546 426	403 386	343	398 364	0	2.1
Oman	2011 2012	79 54	1 929 1 840 7	2 450	1 4/9 1 423 7	1 175 1 183 7	682	518 561	0	100 77	1 153	794 832	433 408	3/1 306	324 286	335	0	2.4 2.4 1.1
Onan	2000	1	, 8 21	9	, 11 24	12 15	9	11		2	17	5	7	5	11	6		1.2
	2010	2	12	27 25	15	16 23	8	10 11	0	3	18 20	22 21	6	4	4	5	0	1.5
Pakistan	2012 1995	0 29	18 274	33 230	23 178	12 140	8 124	19 95	0	0 85	20 375	37 381	10 267	10 178	9 143	6 79	0	1.2 0.71
	2000 2005	55 621	498 5 278	387 4 759	256 4 263	232 3 834	153 3 332	130 2 453		130 1 447	591 6 463	416 5 611	274 3 987	163 2 866	103 2 060	56 1 338		0.99 1.0
	2010 2011	1 548 1 216	11 860 12 143	10 462 10 515	8 320 8 435	7 969 8 608	6 934 7 320	6 066 6 323		3 212 2 679	14 481 14 652	10 513 10 684	7 749 7 880	6 410 6 590	4 879 4 977	4 338 3 711		1.0 1.1
Qatar	1995	0	12 605	10 838	8 848	9 026	4	<u>6 492</u> 4	0	2 630	<u>15 445</u> 2	<u>10 902</u> 3	8 263	<u>68/6</u> 0	<u>5 494</u> 0	4 056	0	1.1 6.5
	2000	0	19	19	17	19	5	1	0	0	5	10	2	1	2	0	0	3.8
	2010	0	36 34	64 52	36 45	14	10 8	3	160	0	9	15	6	1	2	1	20	4.8
Saudi Arabia	1995 2000	0	131	268	213	158	86	107		28	172	182	79	51	50	70		- 1.5
	2005 2010	8	182 335	276 458	201 242	175 210	70 116	107 102	0	31 33	205 239	184 271	98 105	73 70	51 49	61 58	0	1.4
	2011 2012	4 13	227 228	406 394	225 214	225 210	113 133	106 96	0 0	35 28	200 207	245 236	110 107	64 50	49 49	46 63	0 0	1.7 1.7
Somalia	1995 2000	46 113	334 740	730 724	201 408	127 254	278 195	109 142		38 85	158 354	139 319	97 219	40 110	25 72	16 41		3.6 2.1
	2005	125 109	1 343 1 036	1 114 886	725 496	458 355	330 266	319 277	0	169 91	752 467	636 444	436 341	292 188	212 137	157 132	0	1.7
South Suder	2011 2012	113 129	1 147	1 047	587 560	398 449	330 296	277 307	0	114	495 553	465 554	348 396	260 267	168 165	135 169	0	2.0
Sudan	2011 2012	39 42 250	251 356 604	599 753	402 462	259 267	135	5/ 87	U	58 350	181 212	318 302 612	239 221	1/2 139	59 62 342	26	U	1./ 2.1
oduan	2000	250 785 425	1 028 1 358	1 511 1 <u>9</u> 90	1 351 1 541	400 1 119 1 151	638 724	677 493		817 381	925 1 102	1 134	299 905 978	403 771 729	342 327 411	303 323 244		1.4
	2010	209	1 185	1 781	1 335	863 689	497 386	391 372	0	195	761	979 620	772	520 352	279 188	191	0	1.7
	2012	117	869	1 274	802	466	404	331	Ő	115	536	562	470	299	170	172	Ő	1.8

# TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

					MAL	.E							FEM/	ALE .				
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Syrian Arab	1995	13	332	255	111	70	59	50		22	158	97	53	44	37	20		2.1
Republic	2000	8	359	289	125	86	76	55		23	195	101	53	46	38	28		2.1
	2005	9	266	237	111	112	62	63		27	182	108	59	59	32	23		1.8
	2010	7	170	212	101	80	65	49	0	16	164	105	47	41	38	27	0	1.6
	2011	8	139	195	116	81	49	45	0	20	113	97	56	35	36	37	0	1.6
	2012	7	91	146	90	85	46	41		5	104	75	35	33	32	19		1.7
Tunisia	1995																	-
	2000	16	139	208	156	109	65	101		7	68	59	43	21	21	58		2.9
	2005	5	103	172	133	115	53	81		7	66	61	39	36	16	28		2.6
	2010	9	115	194	170	125	93	88		4	64	64	39	34	40	52		2.7
	2011	6	110	194	118	126	108	63	0	10	60	60	50	44	35	47	0	2.4
	2012	10	88	191	149	114	93	88	0	7	51	56	46	48	46	72	0	2.2
United Arab	1995																	-
Emirates	2000	2	4	4	6	5	12	10		3	16	1	3	0	0	4		1.6
	2005																	-
	2010	1	7	13	7	3	4	4	0	1	2	4	1	5	1	3	0	2.3
	2011	0	3	7	3	5	1	3	0	4	6	6	3	2	1	2	0	0.92
	2012	0	2	4	4	5	5	2	0	0	5	2	2	3	4	4	0	1.1
West Bank	1995	1	2	0	0	1	0	3		0	1	0	0	1	0	0		3.5
and Gaza Strip	2000																	-
	2005		1			1	3					1		1				2.5
	2010	0	2	0	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2.2
	2011	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1.8
	2012	0	2	2	1	2	4	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.2
Yemen	1995	57	400	605	256	201	148	45		83	420	720	348	200	106	92		0.87
	2000	110	789	689	493	314	255	127		161	799	627	517	345	247	92		1.0
	2005	48	493	553	366	242	149	78		44	426	410	265	181	85	39		1.3
	2010	68	507	569	322	231	164	138	0	98	471	409	264	174	106	63	0	1.3
	2011	33	406	471	297	193	143	96	0	85	446	375	251	168	113	58	0	1.1
	2012	30	436	472	315	232	172	122		75	437	381	246	207	115	81		1.2

## TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012

				LABORATO	ORIES				FREE THROUGH	INTP	RIFAMPICIN	TB NOTIF.
	SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA ^c LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	NRL ^d	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
Afghanistan	2.0	2	0.3	0	0	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	No	
Bahrain	1.4	11	7.6	3.8	7.6	1	Out of country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Djibouti	2.1	0	5.8	5.8	5.8	1	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Egypt	0.2	0	1.1	<0.1	0	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.5	0	3.6	0.5	0	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Iraq	0.8	0	1.5	0.2	0	5	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	1
Jordan	0.2	0	0.7	0.7	0	1	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Kuwait	0.4	0	1.5	1.5	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Lebanon	6.0	0	3.2	1.1	2.2	3	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Libya	0.4	-	6.5	1.6			No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Morocco	0.5	13	2.2	0.3	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Oman	7.5	0	13.6	1.5	1.5	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	8
Pakistan	0.8	0	0.2	0.1	<0.1	15		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Qatar	<0.1	0	2.4	2.4	2.4	1		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Saudi Arabia	0.3	1	2.1	2.1	0.4	8	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Somalia	0.6	0	0	0	0	3	No	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
South Sudan	0.6	-					Out of country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Sudan	0.8	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Syrian Arab Republic	1.4	-	0.2	0.2	0.2	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	30 508
Tunisia	0.7	0	5.1	2.3	0.5	2	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
United Arab Emirates		-						No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
West Bank and Gaza Strip	1.5	0	1.2	0	0	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	204
Yemen	1.0	-	0.8	0.4			No	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	36

^a LED = Light emitting diode microscopes
 ^b DST = Drug susceptibility testing

c LPA = Line probe assay

^d NRL = National Reference Laboratory

# TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New T	B cases			Previous	sly treated TB ca	ses
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage
Afghanistan Bahrain Djibouti	2012	Surveillance	National	1.9 (0.39–5.4)	2012	Surveillance	National	100 (2.5–100)
Egypt Iran (Islamic Republic of) Iraq	2011 1998	Survey Survey	National National	3.4 (1.9–4.9) 5 (3.4–7.0)	2012 1998	Surveillance Survey	National National	25 (21–29) 48 (35–62)
Jordan Kuwait Lebanon	2009 2011 2003	Surveillance Surveillance Survey	National National National	6.3 (2.4–13) 0 (0–1.3) 1.1 (0.13–3.8)	2009 2011 2012	Surveillance Surveillance Surveillance	National National National	29 (3.7–71) 0 (0–98) 67 (22–96)
Libya Morocco Oman	2006 2012	Survey Surveillance	National National	0.48 (0.15–1.1) 2.4 (0.89–5.2)	2006 2012	Survey Surveillance	National National	12 (7.8–18) 0 (0–37)
Pakistan Qatar Saudi Arabia	2010 2010	Surveillance Survey	National National	1.2 (0.34–3.1) 1.8 (1.4–2.4)	2010 2010	Surveillance Survey	National National	0 (0–98) 16 (12–21)
Somalia South Sudan Sudan	2011	Survey	National	5.2 (2.7–7.7)	2011	Survey	National	41 (23–58)
Syrian Arab Republic Tunisia United Arab Emirates	2003 2012	Survey Survey	National National	6.2 (3.9–9.3) 0.82 (0–1.7)	2011 2012	Surveillance Survey	National National	31 (21–44) 12 (4.5–19)
West Bank and Gaza Strip Yemen	2011	Survey	National	1.7 (0.50-3.0)	2011	Survey	National	15 (8.1–22)

^a Empty rows indicate an absence of high-quality survey or surveillance data. In the absence of high-quality national data, high-quality sub-national data are used.

# EUROPEAN REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	227
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	231
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	235
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	239
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	242
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	245
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	247
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	249
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	252
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	253

# Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

## **Data source**

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

## **Country notes**

### **EU/EEA countries**

Notification and treatment outcome data for European Union and European Economic Area countries are provisional.

### Denmark

Data for Denmark exclude Greenland.

## France

Data from France include data from 5 overseas departments (French Guiana, Guadeloupe, Martinique, Mayotte and Réunion).

#### **Russian Federation**

The reported number of TB patients with known HIV status in 2010–2012 (**Table A4.6**) is for new TB patients in the civilian sector only. It was not possible to calculate the percentage of all TB patients with known HIV status.

TABLE A4.1 Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1	1990-2012
---------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		
	YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	
Albania	1990	3	0.11 (0.081-0.130)	3.1 (2.3–3.9)	1.2 (0.440-2.4)	36 (13-70)	0.84 (0.600-1.1)	24 (18-32)	
	2000	3	0.023 (0.018-0.028) 0.027 (0.019-0.037)	0.82 (0.57-1.1)	0.98 (0.380-1.8)	30 (12–56)	0.75 (0.630-0.870)	23 (19–26)	
	2005	3	0.018 (0.013-0.025)	0.38 (0.23-0.58)	0.87 (0.370-1.6)	24 (10-43)	0.63 (0.530-0.730) 0.53 (0.450-0.620)	17 (14-20)	
	2011 2012	3 3	0.011 (<0.01-0.017) <0.01 (<0.01-0.016)	0.34 (0.20-0.53) 0.31 (0.16-0.49)	0.72 (0.310-1.3) 0.68 (0.280-1.3)	23 (9.7–42) 22 (8.9–40)	0.52 (0.440-0.610) 0.51 (0.430-0.590)	17 (14–19) 16 (14–19)	
Andorra	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (0.16-7.6) 2.1 (<0.1-8.5)	0.034 (0.013-0.064) 0.033 (0.015-0.058)	62 (24-118) 51 (23-91)	0.026 (0.023-0.030) 0.023 (0.020-0.026)	49 (43–55) 37 (32–41)	
	2000 2005	<1 <1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	1.3 (0-6.2) 0.86 (0-3.9)	0.021 (<0.01-0.035)	31 (15–54) 21 (9.8–36)	0.014 (0.012-0.016)	21 (18–24) 14 (12–16)	
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.58 (<0.1-2.3)	0.011 (<0.01-0.020)	14 (6.3–26)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	10 (9.1–12)	
Armonia	2012	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0.91 (0-4.9)	0.017 (<0.01-0.028)	21 (11–36)	0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	13 (12–15)	
Annenia	1990	3	0.19 (0.160-0.230)	4.4 (3.2–3.8) 6 (4.9–7.2)	1.9 (0.890–3.3)	59 (28–101)	1.2 (1.0–1.4)	38 (32–44)	
	2000 2005	3 3	0.19 (0.170-0.220) 0.26 (0.190-0.320)	6.3 (5.6–7.0) 8.5 (6.5–11)	2.9 (1.4–4.8) 3.5 (1.7–6.0)	93 (46–158) 118 (58–198)	1.9 (1.6–2.1) 2.3 (2.1–2.6)	61 (53–68) 77 (68–87)	
	2010 2011	3 3	0.23 (0.180-0.280) 0.17 (0.130-0.210)	7.7 (6.1–9.5) 5.6 (4.5–6.9)	2.7 (1.2–4.8) 2.3 (1.0–4.2)	92 (42–161) 79 (34–142)	1.8 (1.6–2.2) 1.6 (1.3–1.9)	62 (53–73) 55 (45–65)	
Austria	2012 1990	3 8	0.19 (0.150-0.230) 0.14 (0.140-0.140)	6.3 (5.1-7.6) 1.8 (1.8-1.9)	2.4 (1.1–4.1) 2.5 (1.1–4.5)	79 (37–137) 33 (15–58)	1.5 (1.3–1.8) 1.7 (1.5–2.0)	52 (43-61) 23 (20-26)	
	1995 2000	8 8	0.074 (0.074-0.074) 0.069 (0.069-0.069)	0.93 (0.92-0.93) 0.86 (0.86-0.86)	2.5 (1.2–4.4) 2 (0.890–3.5)	32 (15-55) 25 (11-43)	1.7 (1.5–1.9) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	21 (19–24) 17 (15–19)	
	2005	8	0.05 (0.050-0.051)	0.61 (0.61-0.61)	1.5 (0.690-2.7)	19 (8.4–33)	1.1 (0.940-1.2)	13 (11–15)	
	2010	8	0.04 (0.040-0.041)	0.48 (0.47-0.49)	1.1 (0.510-2.0)	13 (6.0-23)	0.77 (0.680-0.870)	9.2 (8.0–10)	
Azerbaijan	1990	7	0.82 (0.610-1.1)	11 (8.5–15)	54 (25–94)	744 (343–1 300)	22 (18–26)	305 (252–363)	
	2000	8	1.8 (1.4–2.2)	22 (17–29) 22 (17–27)	120 (56–220) 140 (62–240)	1 690 (768–2 970) 1 690 (768–2 970)	49 (41–59) 55 (46–66)	637 (526–759) 682 (563–813)	
	2005	9	0.82 (0.660-1.0) 0.39 (0.330-0.440)	<u>9.6 (7.7–12)</u> 4.2 (3.7–4.9)	20 (9.9–34)	221 (109–371)	29 (24–34) 12 (9.8–14)	131 (108–156)	
	2011 2012	9 9	0.39 (0.340-0.450) 0.39 (0.340-0.450)	4.2 (3.7–4.9) 4.2 (3.7–4.9)	16 (7.3–28) 12 (4.1–23)	172 (79–302) 124 (44–245)	10 (8.6–12) 8.9 (7.3–11)	113 (93–135) 95 (78–114)	
Belarus	1990 1995	10 10	0.5 (0.470-0.540) 0.76 (0.700-0.830)	4.9 (4.6-5.2) 7.5 (6.9-8.1)	5.2 (2.2-9.5) 11 (5.1-19)	51 (22–93) 106 (51–182)	3.5 (2.8–4.3) 6.9 (5.9–8.1)	34 (27-42) 68 (58-80)	
	2000 2005	10 10	0.8 (0.760-0.850) 1.1 (0.990-1.1)	8.1 (7.6-8.5) 11 (10-12)	13 (6.0–23) 11 (4.4–19)	130 (60-225) 109 (46-199)	8.4 (6.9–9.9) 6.9 (5.3–8.8)	84 (69-100) 72 (55-91)	
	2010 2011	9 9	0.76 (0.700-0.820) 0.66 (0.600-0.720)	8 (7.3–8.6) 7 (6.3–7.7)	10 (4.6–18) 10 (4.6–18)	107 (48–189) 107 (49–188)	6.7 (5.3–8.1) 6.6 (5.4–8.0)	70 (56–86) 70 (57–85)	
Belgium	2012	9	0.57 (0.510-0.630)	<u>6 (5.4–6.7)</u> 1 (0.97–1.0)	10 (4.7–18)	108 (50-188)	6.6 (5.4-8.0)	70 (57-85)	
Deigian	1995	10	0.13 (0.130-0.130)	1.3 (1.3–1.3)	2.2 (0.930–3.9)	21 (9.1–39)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	16 (14–18)	
	2000	11	0.061 (0.080-0.083) 0.062 (0.062-0.063)	0.79 (0.78-0.81)	1.7 (0.690-3.0)	16 (6.6–29)	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	12 (10–13)	
	2010	11	0.043 (0.043-0.044)	0.38 (0.37–0.38)	1.5 (0.660–2.8)	14 (6.0–25)	1.2 (1.0–1.3) 1.1 (0.990–1.3)	10 (9.0–12)	
Bosnia and	2012 1990	5	0.04 (0.039-0.040) 0.46 (0.440-0.480)	0.36 (0.35-0.36) 10 (9.7-11)	1.4 (0.560–2.6) 6.5 (1.9–14)	13 (5.1–24) 145 (43–307)	1.1 (0.940–1.2) 4.2 (2.6–6.2)	9.7 (8.5–11) 94 (58–138)	
Herzegovina	1995 2000	4	0.22 (0.210-0.230) 0.23 (0.210-0.240)	6.3 (5.9–6.6) 5.9 (5.5–6.3)	4.6 (2.1–8.1) 2.8 (0.830–5.9)	131 (59–229) 73 (22–154)	3 (2.4–3.6) 2.4 (2.0–2.9)	84 (69–101) 63 (51–75)	
	2005 2010	4 4	0.21 (0.200-0.230) 0.2 (0.180-0.220)	5.5 (5.0-6.0) 5.2 (4.6-5.7)	2.3 (0.640-5.0) 2.6 (1.1-4.7)	59 (17-129) 67 (28-123)	2 (1.7–2.4) 1.9 (1.6–2.2)	52 (43-63) 50 (43-57)	
	2011 2012	4 4	0.2 (0.170-0.220) 0.2 (0.180-0.220)	5.1 (4.6-5.7) 5.2 (4.6-5.8)	2.7 (1.2-4.8) 2.8 (1.3-4.8)	70 (31–124) 73 (35–126)	1.9 (1.6-2.2) 1.9 (1.6-2.1)	49 (42–56) 49 (42–56)	
Bulgaria	1990 1995	9 8	0.22 (0.210-0.220) 0.34 (0.340-0.350)	2.4 (2.4–2.5) 4.1 (4.0–4.2)	4.2 (1.9–7.5) 8.4 (4.2–14)	48 (21-85) 101 (51-169)	2.9 (2.5–3.3) 5.2 (4.5–5.9)	33 (29–37) 62 (54–71)	
	2000	8	0.59 (0.570-0.600)	7.3 (7.2–7.5)	7 (3.3–12) 6.2 (3.0–11)	88 (42–151) 81 (39–139)	4.6 (4.0-5.3) 4.1 (3.6-4.6)	58 (50-66) 53 (46-61)	
	2010	7	0.19 (0.190-0.190)	2.6 (2.5–2.6)	3.9 (1.6–7.1)	53 (22-97)	2.8 (2.5–3.2)	38 (33-43)	
Croatia	2012	7	0.15 (0.150-0.150)	2 (2.0-2.1)	3.1 (1.3–5.8)	43 (17-80)	2.3 (2.0–2.6)	<u>32 (28–36)</u> 62 (54 70)	
Gibalia	1995	5	0.25 (0.240-0.270)	5.4 (5.0-5.7)	3.3 (1.3–6.1)	70 (28–130)	2.4 (2.1–2.8)	52 (34-70) 52 (45-59)	
	2000	4	0.19 (0.180–0.200) 0.11 (0.110–0.110)	4.2 (4.0-4.4) 2.5 (2.5-2.5)	2.5 (1.0-4.7) 1.6 (0.620-3.0)	36 (14–69)	1.9 (1.6–2.1) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)	42 (37–47) 28 (24–31)	
	2010 2011	4	0.082 (0.082-0.083) 0.066 (0.066-0.067)	1.9 (1.9–1.9) 1.5 (1.5–1.5)	1.1 (0.420–2.0) 0.96 (0.390–1.8)	24 (9.7–46) 22 (9.1–41)	0.79 (0.690-0.900) 0.71 (0.620-0.810)	18 (16–21) 16 (14–19)	
Cyprus	2012 1990	< 1	<pre>0.061 (0.060-0.062) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</pre>	<u>1.4 (1.4–1.4)</u> 0.2 (0.16–0.25)	0.038 (0.011-0.080)	20 (7.9-36) 5 (1.5-10)	0.62 (0.540-0.700) 0.033 (0.029-0.038)	<u>14 (13–16)</u> 4.4 (3.8–4.9)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	0.2 (0.16-0.25) 0 (0-0)	0.05 (0.017-0.100) 0.045 (0.015-0.091)	5.8 (2.0-12) 4.8 (1.6-9.6)	0.041 (0.036-0.047) 0.038 (0.033-0.043)	4.8 (4.2–5.5) 4 (3.5–4.6)	
	2005 2010	1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.37 (0.32-0.41) 0.11 (0.10-0.13)	0.051 (0.020-0.095) 0.1 (0.049-0.180)	4.9 (2.0-9.2) 9.4 (4.4-16)	0.039 (0.034-0.044) 0.07 (0.061-0.079)	3.8 (3.3-4.3) 6.4 (5.6-7.2)	
	2011 2012	1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.21 (0.19-0.23) 0.2 (0.16-0.25)	0.069 (0.023-0.140) 0.069 (0.021-0.150)	6.2 (2.1-13) 6.1 (1.8-13)	0.059 (0.051-0.066) 0.061 (0.053-0.069)	5.3 (4.6-5.9) 5.4 (4.7-6.1)	
Czech Republic	1990 1995	10 10	0.19 (0.190-0.190) 0.092 (0.091-0.092)	1.8 (1.8–1.8) 0.89 (0.88–0.89)	3.1 (1.3–5.6) 2.8 (1.1–5.3)	30 (12–54) 27 (11–51)	2.2 (2.0–2.5) 2.1 (1.8–2.4)	22 (19–24) 20 (18–23)	
	2000 2005	10 10	0.12 (0.120-0.120) 0.065 (0.064-0.065)	1.2 (1.2–1.2) 0.63 (0.63–0.63)	2.2 (0.880-4.1) 1.6 (0.690-2.8)	21 (8.6–40) 15 (6.7–28)	1.6 (1.4–1.8) 1.1 (0.980–1.3)	16 (14–18) 11 (9.6–12)	
	2010	11	0.035 (0.035–0.035) 0.051 (0.050–0.051)	0.33 (0.33–0.34) 0.48 (0.47–0.48)	0.99 (0.420-1.8)	9.4 (4.0–17) 8.5 (3.6–16)	0.72 (0.630-0.820)	6.8 (6.0–7.7) 6.2 (5.4–7.0)	
Dopmark	2012	11	0.037 (0.037–0.037)	0.35 (0.35-0.35)	0.77 (0.310-1.4)	7.2 (2.9–13)	0.57 (0.500-0.640)	5.3 (4.7-6.0)	
Deninark	1995	5	0.024 (0.023-0.025)	0.47 (0.45–0.48)	0.64 (0.220-1.3)	12 (4.3-24)	0.52 (0.450-0.580)	9.8 (8.6–11)	
	2000	5	0.021 (0.020-0.021)	0.36 (0.35-0.36)	0.67 (0.310-1.2)	12 (5.7–21)	0.45 (0.400-0.510)	8.4 (7.3–9.5)	
	2010	6	0.035 (0.034–0.036) 0.017 (0.016–0.018)	0.3 (0.28-0.32)	0.58 (0.260-1.0)	10 (4.6–19)	0.36 (0.320-0.410)	7.4 (6.5–8.4)	
Estonia	1990	2	0.022 (0.021-0.023)	4.5 (4.5-4.6)	0.81 (0.410-1.3)	10 (4.2–18) 52 (26–85)	0.41 (0.360-0.470) 0.49 (0.430-0.550)	7.4 (6.5–8.4) 31 (27–35)	
	1995 2000	1	0.15 (0.140-0.150) 0.11 (0.110-0.110)	10 (9.9–10) 8 (7.9–8.2)	0.93 (0.350-1.8) 1.3 (0.610-2.3)	65 (24–125) 97 (45–168)	0.72 (0.630-0.810) 0.91 (0.800-1.0)	50 (44–57) 67 (58–75)	
	2005 2010	1	0.049 (0.048-0.050) 0.036 (0.035-0.036)	3.7 (3.6–3.8) 2.7 (2.7–2.8)	0.7 (0.280-1.3) 0.37 (0.130-0.740)	53 (21-98) 29 (10-57)	0.55 (0.480-0.620) 0.33 (0.290-0.370)	42 (36-47) 25 (22-28)	
	2011 2012	1	0.036 (0.036-0.037) 0.036 (0.036-0.036)	2.8 (2.8–2.8) 2.8 (2.8–2.8)	0.44 (0.200-0.780) 0.38 (0.160-0.680)	34 (15-60) 29 (13-52)	0.34 (0.300-0.390) 0.3 (0.260-0.340)	26 (23–30) 23 (20–26)	
Finland	1990 1995	5 5	0.13 (0.130-0.130) 0.092 (0.092-0.092)	2.5 (2.5–2.5) 1.8 (1.8–1.8)	1.3 (0.550–2.3) 1.1 (0.500–1.9)	25 (11–45) 22 (9.8–38)	0.89 (0.780-1.0) 0.76 (0.670-0.860)	18 (16–20) 15 (13–17)	
	2000	5	0.083 (0.083-0.084)	1.6 (1.6–1.6)	0.85 (0.370-1.5)	16 (7.2–30) 10 (4.5–19)	0.61 (0.530-0.690)	12 (10-13)	
	2010	5	0.016 (0.016-0.016)	0.3 (0.30-0.30)	0.48 (0.190-0.900)	9 (3.6–17)	0.36 (0.310-0.410)	6.7 (5.9–7.6)	
France	2011	5	0.015 (0.015-0.015)	0.39 (0.39-0.39)	0.46 (0.190–0.900) 0.39 (0.130–0.790)	7.2 (2.4–15)	0.3 (0.260-0.340)	5.5 (4.9-6.3)	
France	1990	57 58	0.79 (0.760-0.810)	1.8 (1.7–1.8) 1.4 (1.3–1.4)	16 (7.7–26) 16 (8.5–27)	28 (14–47) 28 (15–46)	11 (11–12) 11 (10–12)	20 (19–21) 19 (18–20)	
	2000 2005	59 61	0.65 (0.630-0.670) 0.43 (0.410-0.440)	1.1 (1.1–1.1) 0.7 (0.68–0.72)	11 (5.6–19) 8.5 (4.0–15)	19 (9.4–31) 14 (6.4–24)	7.7 (7.2–8.1) 6.3 (5.9–6.7)	13 (12–14) 10 (9.5–11)	
	2010 2011	63 64	0.33 (0.320-0.350) 0.31 (0.300-0.330)	0.53 (0.51-0.55) 0.5 (0.47-0.52)	8.9 (4.6–15) 8.7 (4.5–14)	14 (7.3–23) 14 (7.1–22)	6 (5.6–6.4) 5.9 (5.5–6.2)	9.5 (8.9-10) 9.2 (8.6-9.8)	
	2012	64	0.3 (0.280-0.310)	0.46 (0.44-0.49)	7.4 (3.7–12)	12 (5.7-20)	5.3 (4.9-5.6)	8.2 (7.7-8.7)	

			MORTALITY (EXC	CLUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)	
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Georgia	1990 1995	5 5	0.48 (0.430-0.550) 0.42 (0.360-0.470)	8.9 (7.8–10) 8.2 (7.2–9.3)	38 (18–67) 29 (15–48)	704 (326-1 220) 571 (290-944)	15 (14–17) 13 (12–15)	280 (250-312) 263 (234-293)
	2000 2005	5 4	0.37 (0.320-0.420) 0.17 (0.150-0.200)	7.7 (6.7–8.8) 3.8 (3.3–4.5)	24 (13–40) 14 (7.5–23)	516 (270-840) 315 (168-508)	12 (11–14) 7.8 (7.0–8.7)	256 (228–285) 175 (156–195)
	2010 2011	4 4	0.67 (0.370-1.1) 0.2 (0.160-0.240)	15 (8.4–24) 4.5 (3.7–5.5)	8.2 (3.8–14) 7.9 (3.7–14)	186 (87–323) 182 (84–316)	5.6 (5.0-6.2) 5.5 (4.9-6.1)	128 (114–142) 125 (112–140)
Germany	2012 1990	4 80	0.2 (0.160-0.240)	4.5 (3.7-5.5) 1.3 (1.3-1.3)	6.9 (2.9–13) 23 (10–42)	158 (67–288) 29 (13–53)	5 (4.5-5.6) 17 (15-19)	116 (103–130) 21 (18–24)
	1995 2000	83 84	1.2 (1.2–1.2) 0.49 (0.490–0.500)	1.4 (1.4-1.5) 0.59 (0.58-0.60)	19 (7.8–35) 15 (6.6–26)	23 (9.3–42) 18 (8.0–32)	14 (12–16) 10 (9.1–12)	17 (15–19) 12 (11–14)
	2005 2010	84 83	0.32 (0.320-0.330)	0.39 (0.38-0.39)	9.3 (4.1–17) 6.4 (2.7–12)	11 (4.9–20) 7.7 (3.2–14)	6.6 (5.7–7.4) 4.7 (4.1–5.3)	7.8 (6.9-8.8) 5.6 (4.9-6.4)
	2011 2012	83 83	0.29 (0.280-0.290) 0.29 (0.280-0.290)	0.35 (0.34-0.35) 0.35 (0.34-0.35)	6.6 (2.9-12) 6.4 (2.8-12)	7.9 (3.5–14) 7.8 (3.3–14)	4.7 (4.1–5.3) 4.6 (4.1–5.3)	5.7 (5.0-6.4) 5.6 (4.9-6.4)
Greece	1990 1995	10 11	0.16 (0.160-0.170) 0.16 (0.150-0.170)	1.6 (1.5–1.7) 1.5 (1.4–1.6)	1.5 (0.690-2.6) 1.5 (0.620-2.7)	15 (6.8–25) 14 (5.8–25)	1 (0.880-1.1) 1.1 (0.950-1.2)	9.9 (8.7–11) 10 (8.9–11)
	2000 2005	11 11	0.089 (0.085-0.092) 0.094 (0.090-0.098)	0.81 (0.77-0.84) 0.85 (0.81-0.89)	1 (0.390-2.0) 1.2 (0.590-2.1)	9.5 (3.6–18) 11 (5.3–19)	0.81 (0.710-0.920) 0.8 (0.700-0.900)	7.4 (6.4–8.3) 7.2 (6.3–8.2)
	2010 2011	11 11	0.074 (0.070-0.078) 0.078 (0.075-0.082)	0.66 (0.63-0.70) 0.7 (0.67-0.74)	0.62 (0.200-1.3) 0.7 (0.280-1.3)	5.6 (1.8–11) 6.3 (2.6–12)	0.51 (0.450-0.580) 0.52 (0.460-0.590)	4.6 (4.0-5.2) 4.7 (4.1-5.3)
Greenland	2012 1990	<11	0.076 (0.073-0.080) <0.01 (<0.01-0.017)	0.69 (0.65-0.72) 9.5 (0.61-30)	0.71 (0.310-1.3) 0.14 (0.053-0.260)	6.3 (2.8–11) 245 (96–462)	0.5 (0.440-0.570) 0.11 (0.093-0.120)	4.5 (3.9-5.1) 191 (167-216)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.017) <0.01 (<0.01-0.017)	9.5 (0.61–30) 9.5 (0.61–30)	0.14 (0.054-0.260) 0.14 (0.053-0.260)	245 (96–463) 245 (95–464)	0.11 (0.093-0.120) 0.11 (0.094-0.120)	191 (167–216) 191 (167–216)
	2005 2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.018) <0.01 (<0.01-0.038)	9.6 (0.54–31) 14 (<0.1–66)	0.14 (0.056-0.260) 0.2 (0.092-0.340)	247 (98-463) 345 (163-595)	0.11 (0.095-0.120) 0.13 (0.110-0.150)	191 (167–216) 232 (203–262)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.038) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	14 (<0.1-66) 6.7 (1.8-15)	0.2 (0.092-0.340) 0.11 (0.032-0.230)	346 (163–596) 190 (57–401)	0.13 (0.120-0.150) 0.097 (0.085-0.110)	234 (205–264) 170 (149–193)
Hungary	1990 1995	10 10	0.55 (0.550-0.550) 0.57 (0.570-0.580)	5.3 (5.3–5.3) 5.5 (5.5–5.6)	5.5 (2.3-10) 6.9 (3.0-12)	53 (22–96) 67 (29–120)	4 (3.5–4.5) 4.9 (4.3–5.6)	39 (34–44) 48 (42–54)
	2000 2005	10 10	0.36 (0.350-0.360) 0.18 (0.180-0.180)	3.5 (3.5–3.5) 1.8 (1.8–1.8)	5.2 (2.2–9.6) 3 (1.2–5.5)	51 (22–94) 29 (12–55)	3.8 (3.3-4.3) 2.2 (1.9-2.5)	37 (33-42) 22 (19-25)
	2010 2011	10 10	0.1 (0.100-0.100) 0.075 (0.075-0.075)	1 (1.0-1.0) 0.75 (0.75-0.75)	2.6 (1.3-4.5) 2.9 (1.4-4.8)	26 (13-45) 29 (14-48)	1.7 (1.5–2.0) 1.8 (1.6–2.0)	17 (15–19) 18 (16–20)
Iceland	2012 1990	10 < 1	0.073 (0.073-0.073) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.73 (0.73-0.73) 0.4 (0.40-0.40)	2.9 (1.4-4.8) 0.03 (0.014-0.053)	29 (14-48) 12 (5.5-21)	1.8 (1.6-2.0) 0.021 (0.018-0.023)	18 (16–20) 8.1 (7.1–9.2)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.71 (0.71–0.71) 0.36 (0.36–0.37)	0.017 (<0.01-0.034) 0.023 (0.011-0.038)	6.3 (2.2–13) 8.1 (4.0–14)	0.014 (0.012-0.016) 0.015 (0.013-0.017)	5.2 (4.5–5.8) 5.3 (4.7–6.0)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.33 (0.33-0.33) 0.29 (0.29-0.29)	0.016 (<0.01-0.028) 0.046 (0.024-0.075)	5.3 (2.4–9.3) 14 (7.5–24)	0.012 (0.010-0.013) 0.025 (0.022-0.029)	3.9 (3.4–4.4) 8 (7.0–9.0)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.28 (0.28-0.28) 0.27 (0.27-0.27)	0.013 (<0.01-0.027) 0.014 (<0.01-0.028)	4.1 (1.4–8.4) 4.3 (1.5–8.5)	<0.01 (<0.01–0.010) 0.012 (0.010–0.013)	2.9 (2.5–3.2) 3.5 (3.1–4.0)
Ireland	1990 1995	4	0.051 (0.051-0.052) 0.036 (0.036-0.036)	1.5 (1.4–1.5) 1 (1.0–1.0)	0.94 (0.360-1.8) 0.68 (0.260-1.3)	27 (10–51) 19 (7.1–36)	0.72 (0.630-0.810) 0.53 (0.460-0.600)	20 (18–23) 15 (13–17)
	2000 2005	4 4	0.059 (0.058-0.059) 0.015 (0.015-0.015)	1.5 (1.5–1.5) 0.37 (0.37–0.37)	0.59 (0.240-1.1) 0.68 (0.290-1.2)	16 (6.2–29) 16 (7.1–29)	0.44 (0.390-0.500) 0.49 (0.430-0.550)	12 (10–13) 12 (10–13)
	2010 2011	4	0.027 (0.027–0.027) 0.02 (0.019–0.020)	0.61 (0.61–0.61) 0.43 (0.43–0.43)	0.61 (0.250-1.1) 0.64 (0.280-1.1)	14 (5.5–25) 14 (6.2–25)	0.46 (0.400-0.520) 0.46 (0.400-0.520)	10 (8.9–12) 10 (8.9–11)
Israel	1990	4	0.018 (0.018-0.018)	0.39 (0.38-0.39)	0.5 (0.180–0.970)	11 (4.0–21) 8.6 (2.9–17)	0.39 (0.340-0.440) 0.27 (0.240-0.300)	8.6 (7.5-9.7) 6 (5.2-6.8)
	2000	6	0.072 (0.069-0.074) 0.034 (0.034-0.035)	1.3 (1.3–1.4) 0.57 (0.56–0.58)	0.56 (0.190-1.1) 0.85 (0.370-1.5)	10 (3.6–21) 14 (6.1–25)	0.46 (0.400-0.520) 0.62 (0.540-0.700)	8.6 (7.5-9.7) 10 (9.0-12)
	2005	7	0.022 (0.022-0.023)	0.23 (0.23-0.24)	0.54 (0.200-1.0)	6.1 (2.0–13) 0.2 (2.5–15)	0.43 (0.370-0.480)	5.3 (4.6-6.0)
Italy	2011 2012	8	0.017 (0.017-0.018)	0.23 (0.22-0.23)	0.63 (0.260-1.2) 0.85 (0.400-1.5)	8.3 (3.5–15) 11 (5.2–19)	0.47 (0.420-0.540) 0.58 (0.510-0.660)	7.6 (6.7–8.6)
italy	1990	57	0.68 (0.660-0.690)	1.2 (1.2–1.2)	9.7 (4.6–17)	17 (8.1–22)	4.9 (4.3–3.3) 6.5 (5.7–7.3)	0.0 (7.3–9.7) 11 (10–13) 7.1 (6.2, 8.0)
	2000	59	0.37 (0.370-0.370)	0.63 (0.63-0.64)	6.1 (2.6–11)	9 (3.0–18) 10 (4.4–19) 7.5 (2.6–15)	4 (3.3-4.6) 4.4 (3.9-5.0)	7.5 (6.6–8.5)
	2010	61	0.3 (0.300-0.300) 0.28 (0.280-0.280) 0.26 (0.260-0.270)	0.49 (0.49-0.50) 0.46 (0.46-0.47) 0.42 (0.42 0.44)	4.5 (1.6–9.0) 5.3 (2.2–9.8) 5.7 (2.5–10)	7.5 (2.6–15) 8.8 (3.6–16) 9.4 (4.1–17)	3.7 (3.2-4.1) 3.9 (3.4-4.5)	6.5 (5.7–7.3) 6.7 (5.8, 7.5)
Kazakhstan	1990	16	2.1 (1.9–2.3) 5.2 (4.8–5.6)	13 (11–14) 33 (31–36)	19 (8.3–33) 110 (54–180)	116 (51–207) 706 (347–1 190)	13 (11–15) 50 (42–58)	79 (66–92) 318 (269–372)
	2000	15	4.8 (4.3–5.3)	33 (29–37) 28 (25–30)	97 (50–160) 51 (23–92)	668 (344–1 100) 340 (149–608)	51 (43–60) 35 (30–41)	351 (297–411) 235 (199–275)
	2010	16 16	2.1 (1.9–2.4) 1.7 (1.5–1.9)	13 (12–15) 11 (9.1–12)	42 (19–75) 50 (25–85)	266 (118–472) 312 (154–526)	29 (24–34) 31 (26–36)	182 (154–213) 193 (163–225)
Kyrayzstan	2012	16	1.3 (1.0–1.5) 0.4 (0.340–0.470)	7.8 (6.3–9.3)	31 (12–57) 7.5 (3.8–12)	189 (77–350) 170 (86–283)	<u>22 (19–26)</u> 4 (3.3–4.8)	137 (116–160) 92 (76–109)
, ,,	1995 2000	5 5	0.72 (0.620-0.830) 1.3 (1.1-1.4)	16 (13–18) 25 (23–28)	15 (7.5–25) 22 (11–37)	326 (164–542) 449 (227–747)	7.7 (6.4–9.2) 12 (10–15)	168 (138-200) 249 (205-296)
	2005 2010	5 5	0.82 (0.810-0.830) 0.61 (0.610-0.610)	16 (16–16) 11 (11–12)	17 (8.0–29) 11 (4.7–20)	334 (159–571) 204 (89–367)	10 (8.6–12) 7.5 (6.2–9.0)	208 (171-248)
	2011 2012	5 5	0.57 (0.560-0.570) 0.52 (0.510-0.530)	10 (10-11) 9.5 (9.3-9.8)	11 (5.2–20) 12 (5.5–21)	211 (96-370) 217 (101-376)	7.6 (6.3–9.1) 7.7 (6.4–9.2)	141 (116-168) 141 (116-168)
Latvia	1990 1995	3 2	0.19 (0.190-0.190) 0.34 (0.340-0.350)	7.2 (7.1–7.3) 14 (14–14)	3 (1.6-4.9) 5.9 (3.1-9.6)	114 (60–186) 237 (125–385)	1.5 (1.3–1.7) 3.1 (2.7–3.5)	57 (50-65) 126 (111-142)
	2000 2005	2 2	0.3 (0.290-0.310) 0.18 (0.180-0.190)	13 (12–13) 8.1 (7.9–8.3)	4.6 (2.3–7.6) 2.3 (0.990–4.1)	194 (99–321) 102 (45–183)	2.9 (2.5–3.2) 1.7 (1.5–1.9)	121 (106-137) 75 (66-85)
	2010 2011	2 2	0.083 (0.080-0.086) 0.068 (0.066-0.070)	4 (3.8–4.1) 3.3 (3.2–3.4)	1.3 (0.540-2.4) 1.2 (0.520-2.3)	63 (26-117) 60 (25-111)	1 (0.930-1.2) 0.99 (0.890-1.1)	50 (45–56) 48 (43–53)
Lithuania	2012 1990	2 4	0.053 (0.052-0.054) 0.26 (0.260-0.260)	2.6 (2.5–2.6) 7 (7.0–7.0)	1.6 (0.780–2.6) 2.5 (1.2–4.4)	76 (38–127) 69 (32–118)	1.1 (1.0–1.2) 1.6 (1.4–1.9)	53 (49–58) 44 (37–52)
	1995 2000	4 3	0.49 (0.490-0.500) 0.37 (0.360-0.370)	14 (13–14) 11 (10–11)	4.9 (2.4–8.5) 5.5 (2.7–9.3)	136 (65–234) 157 (78–265)	3.2 (2.8–3.7) 3.6 (3.2–4.0)	89 (77–102) 103 (92–114)
	2005	3	0.36 (0.360-0.360) 0.21 (0.210-0.210)	<u>11 (11–11)</u> 6.9 (6.8–6.9)	4.2 (2.0–7.2) 3.1 (1.4–5.6)	127 (60–219) 103 (46–181)	2.8 (2.5–3.2) 2.2 (1.9–2.5)	87 (76–97) 73 (63–82)
<del></del>	2011 2012	3	0.15 (0.150–0.150) 0.09 (0.088–0.091)	5 (4.9–5.0) 3 (2.9–3.0)	3 (1.3–5.2) 2.8 (1.3–5.0)	97 (44–171) 93 (42–164)	2.1 (1.8–2.4) 2 (1.8–2.3)	69 (61–78) 66 (58–75)
Luxembourg	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	0.55 (0.54–0.56) 0 (0–0)	0.083 (0.039-0.140) 0.045 (0.015-0.091)	22 (10-37) 11 (3.7-22)	0.055 (0.048-0.062) 0.037 (0.032-0.042)	14 (13–16) 9 (7.9–10)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.24 (0.23-0.24) 0.22 (0.21-0.22)	0.076 (0.036=0.130) 0.06 (0.026=0.110)	17 (8.4–30) 13 (5.7–23)	0.051 (0.044-0.057) 0.043 (0.037-0.048)	9.3 (8.1–11)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.19 (0.19-0.20) 0.19 (0.19-0.19)	0.045 (0.019-0.083)	8.9 (3.8–16) 7 (2.5–14)	0.023 (0.029-0.038)	0.0 (5.8-7.4) 5.6 (4.9-6.3)
Malta	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.28 (0.27-0.29)	0.025 (0.026-0.089)	6.8 (2.2–14)	0.034 (0.030-0.039)	4 (3.5-4.5)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.25 (0.25-0.25)	0.024 (<0.01-0.049)	6.2 (2.7–12) 7.5 (2.6–15)	0.018 (0.016-0.021)	4.5 (4.0-5.1)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.23 (0.23-0.23)	0.041 (0.011-0.061)	1.3 (2.6-15) 10 (3.4-20) 10 (2.4-21)	0.023 (0.022-0.029)	7.9 (6.9-8.9)
Monaco	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.37 (0.36-0.37)	0.069 (0.032-0.120)	16 (7.5–28) 6.4 (2.2, 14)	0.048 (0.042-0.055)	11 (9.9–13)
WUNDCU	1990	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0.27 (0-1.3) 0.26 (0-1.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	6 (3.0-10)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.7 (3.3–4.2) 0 (0–0)
	2000	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.17) <0.1 (0-0.54)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2 (1.0-3.3) 5 (2.5-9.4)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	1.2 (1.0-1.3) 3 1 (2.7-2.5)
	2011 2012	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1–0.24) 0.1 (<0.1–0.24)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (0.73–5.2) 2.7 (0.81–5.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.1 (1.8–2.4) 2.1 (1.8–2.4)

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	DING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Montenegro	2005 2010 2011	<1 <1 <1 <1	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01)</pre>	0.57 (0.52–0.62) 0.19 (0.13–0.25) 0.19 (0.13–0.25)	0.23 (0.086–0.430) 0.17 (0.073–0.320) 0.18 (0.082–0.320) 0.15 (0.065–0.320)	37 (14–70) 28 (12–51) 29 (13–51) 25 (10–51)	0.18 (0.160-0.200) 0.13 (0.110-0.140) 0.13 (0.110-0.140) 0.13 (0.110-0.140)	29 (26–33) 20 (18–23) 20 (18–23) 18 (16–23)
Netherlands	1990	15	0.034 (0.033-0.035)	0.23 (0.22-0.23)	2.2 (0.920–3.9)	15 (6.2–26) 17 (7.4–20)	1.6 (1.4–1.8)	11 (9.3–12)
	2000	16	0.034 (0.033-0.035)	0.29 (0.28-0.29) 0.21 (0.21-0.22)	2.6 (1.1–4.0) 1.8 (0.620–3.5) 1.7 (0.700, 2.2)	11 (3.9–22)	1.9 (1.0-2.1) 1.4 (1.3-1.6)	9 (7.9–10)
	2005	17	0.032 (0.032-0.034)	0.19 (0.19–0.20)	1.7 (0.780-3.0)	10 (4.7–18)	1.2 (1.1–1.3)	7.2 (6.3–8.2)
	2011 2012	17 17	0.019 (0.019-0.019) 0.028 (0.028-0.029)	0.11 (0.11–0.12) 0.17 (0.17–0.17)	1.5 (0.670–2.8) 1.4 (0.550–2.6)	9.2 (4.0–17) 8.2 (3.3–15)	1.1 (0.990–1.3) 1.1 (0.930–1.2)	6.8 (5.9–7.7) 6.3 (5.5–7.2)
Norway	1990 1995	4 4	0.026 (0.025-0.026) 0.019 (0.019-0.020)	0.6 (0.59–0.62) 0.44 (0.43–0.45)	0.43 (0.160-0.820) 0.38 (0.170-0.690)	10 (3.8–19) 8.8 (3.8–16)	0.33 (0.290-0.370) 0.27 (0.240-0.310)	7.7 (6.8–8.7) 6.2 (5.5–7.0)
	2000 2005	4 5	0.01 (0.010-0.011) <0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	0.23 (0.22-0.23) 0.21 (0.21-0.22)	0.32 (0.110-0.630) 0.42 (0.160-0.790)	7.1 (2.5–14) 9 (3.5–17)	0.25 (0.220-0.290) 0.32 (0.280-0.360)	5.7 (5.0–6.4) 6.9 (6.0–7.8)
	2010 2011	5 5	0.01 (<0.01-0.010) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.21 (0.20-0.21) 0.12 (0.12-0.13)	0.44 (0.170-0.850) 0.52 (0.220-0.940)	9.1 (3.4–17) 10 (4.4–19)	0.34 (0.300-0.390) 0.37 (0.330-0.420)	7 (6.1–7.9) 7.5 (6.6–8.5)
Poland	2012	5	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 1.4 (1.4-1.5)	0.14 (0.14-0.14)	0.51 (0.220-0.940) 25 (10-47)	10 (4.3–19) 66 (27–122)	0.37 (0.330-0.420) 19 (16-21)	7.5 (6.6-8.5) 49 (43-55)
	1995 2000	38 38	1.2 (1.2–1.3)	3.2 (3.0-3.3) 2.9 (2.8-3.0)	26 (11-46) 17 (6.6-31)	67 (29–121) 44 (17–82)	18 (16–21) 13 (11–14)	48 (42–54) 33 (29–37)
	2005	38	0.85 (0.820-0.880)	2.2 (2.2–2.3)	13 (5.1–24)	<u>33 (13–62)</u> 28 (11–53)	9.4 (8.3–11)	25 (22–28)
	2010	38	0.7 (0.670-0.730)	1.8 (1.7–1.9)	13 (6.2–23)	35 (16-61)	9.1 (8.0–10)	24 (21–27)
Portugal	1990	10	0.31 (0.290-0.330)	3.1 (2.9–3.3)	9.8 (4.2–18)	99 (43–180) 25 (07, 450)	7.1 (6.3–8.1)	72 (63–82)
	1995 2000	10	0.35 (0.330-0.370) 0.29 (0.270-0.310)	3.5 (3.2–3.7) 2.8 (2.6–3.0)	8.6 (3.7–15) 5.9 (2.2–11)	85 (37–152) 57 (21–110)	6.4 (5.6–7.3) 4.9 (4.3–5.5)	64 (56-72) 47 (41-53)
	2005	11	0.18 (0.170-0.190) 0.13 (0.120-0.130)	<u>1.7 (1.6–1.8)</u> 1.2 (1.1–1.3)	4.6 (1.8-8.7) 3.4 (1.4-6.4)	<u>44 (17–83)</u> 32 (13–61)	3.8 (3.3–4.3) 2.9 (2.5–3.2)	<u>36 (32–41)</u> 27 (24–31)
	2011 2012	11 11	0.15 (0.140-0.160) 0.14 (0.130-0.140)	1.4 (1.3–1.5) 1.3 (1.2–1.4)	3.4 (1.4-6.2) 3.6 (1.6-6.4)	32 (13-59) 34 (15-61)	2.8 (2.4–3.1) 2.8 (2.4–3.1)	26 (23-30) 26 (23-30)
Republic of Moldova	1990 1995	4 4	0.25 (0.230-0.260) 0.55 (0.510-0.580)	5.6 (5.2-6.1) 13 (12-13)	3.5 (1.5-6.2) 8.9 (4.5-15)	79 (34–142) 206 (104–342)	2.3 (1.9–2.8) 4.7 (3.9–5.6)	54 (44-64) 109 (90-130)
	2000 2005	4	0.72 (0.660-0.780)	17 (16–19) 20 (19–21)	10 (5.2–17) 9.5 (4.1–17)	254 (126-425) 252 (108-454)	6 (5.0-7.2) 6.6 (5.4-7.9)	147 (121–175) 175 (144–209)
	2010	4	0.57 (0.550-0.590)	16 (15–16)	8.8 (4.0–15)	245 (112–430)	5.9 (4.9–7.1)	166 (137–198)
Berraria	2012	4	0.48 (0.470-0.300) 0.63 (0.620-0.640)	18 (18–18)	8.8 (4.2–15)	249 (120-424)	5.6 (4.6–6.7)	160 (132–192)
Romania	1990	23	2.6 (2.6–2.6)	11 (11–11)	81 (41–130)	351 (177–583)	43 (36–52)	189 (155–226)
	2000 2005	22 22	2.1 (2.1–2.1) 1.7 (1.7–1.7)	9.5 (9.5–9.5) 7.8 (7.8–7.8)	66 (32–110) 46 (20–84)	295 (142–504) 209 (88–380)	41 (33–48) 32 (27–39)	181 (149–216) 147 (121–175)
	2010 2011	22 22	1.4 (1.4–1.4) 1.3 (1.3–1.3)	6.5 (6.5–6.5) 5.9 (5.9–6.0)	34 (15-62) 33 (15-58)	158 (69–282) 151 (68–266)	24 (20–28) 22 (18–26)	109 (89–130) 101 (83–121)
Russian	2012 1990	22 148	1.2 (1.2–1.2) 12 (12–12)	5.6 (5.5-5.6) 8.2 (8.1-8.3)	31 (15–55) 120 (59–200)	144 (67–251) 81 (40–136)	20 (17–24) 70 (59–81)	94 (77–112) 47 (40–55)
Federation	1995 2000	149 147	24 (24–25) 31 (31–32)	16 (16–17) 21 (21–22)	240 (120-400) 300 (150-510)	163 (82–271) 206 (101–348)	140 (120–170) 190 (160–220)	96 (81–112) 127 (108–149)
	2005	144	32 (31-33)	22 (22-23)	320 (160-540)	223 (112-372)	190 (160-230)	135 (114-158)
	2011	143	21 (21–22)	15 (14–15) 13 (13–14)	190 (85–340) 170 (73–320)	135 (59–240) 121 (51–221)	140 (120–160)	97 (82–114)
San Marino	1990	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7 (2.1–15)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.8 (4.2–5.4)
	2000	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.5 (4.2–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.3 (3.7–4.8)
	2005	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.8 (0.55–3.9) 2 (0.82–3.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2 (0.83–3.7) 2 (0.79–3.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (1.3–1.7) 1.5 (1.3–1.7)
Serbia	2005 2010	10 10	0.28 (0.250-0.300) 0.16 (0.150-0.180)	2.8 (2.5–3.1) 1.7 (1.5–1.9)	5.1 (2.1–9.3) 3.7 (1.5–6.8)	51 (21–93) 38 (16–70)	3.7 (3.2–4.2) 2.7 (2.3–3.0)	37 (32–42) 28 (24–32)
	2011 2012	10 10	0.16 (0.140-0.170) 0.14 (0.120-0.160)	1.6 (1.4–1.8) 1.5 (1.3–1.6)	3.5 (1.5-6.3) 3 (1.2-5.4)	36 (16-66) 31 (13-57)	2.5 (2.2–2.8) 2.2 (1.9–2.4)	26 (23-30) 23 (20-26)
Serbia & Montenegro	1990 1995	10 11	0.6 (0.580-0.620) 0.5 (0.480-0.520)	5.8 (5.6-5.9) 4.5 (4.4-4.7)	11 (4.5–22) 12 (5.8–20)	111 (43–209) 108 (53–183)	7 (5.0–9.4) 6.7 (5.7–7.9)	68 (48–91) 61 (52–72)
Slovakia	2000	11 5	0.41 (0.400-0.430)	3.8 (3.6-4.0)	7.7 (3.6–13)	71 (33–123)	5 (4.2-5.8)	46 (38–54)
	1995	5	0.084 (0.084–0.085)	1.6 (1.6–1.6)	2.4 (1.0-4.5)	46 (19–83) 28 (11–54)	1.8 (1.6–2.0)	33 (29–37) 22 (19–24)
	2000	5	0.034 (0.033-0.034)	0.85 (0.84-0.85)	1.1 (0.450-2.1)	21 (8.3–38)	0.82 (0.720-0.920)	<u>15 (13–17)</u>
	2010	5	0.033 (0.033-0.033)	0.63 (0.63–0.63)	0.61 (0.260-1.1)	11 (4.9–20)	0.43 (0.380-0.490)	8 (7.0-9.0)
Slovenia	1990	2	0.034 (0.034-0.035) 0.05 (0.049-0.051)	0.63 (0.63–0.63) 2.5 (2.5–2.5)	0.52 (0.220-0.930) 1.2 (0.550-2.1)	9.5 (4.1-17) 60 (27-106)	0.37 (0.320-0.420) 0.83 (0.730-0.940)	6.8 (5.9–7.7) 41 (36–47)
	1995 2000	2	0.032 (0.032-0.033) 0.017 (0.017-0.017)	1.6 (1.6–1.6) 0.86 (0.85–0.88)	0.81 (0.330-1.5) 0.56 (0.220-1.1)	41 (16–76) 28 (11–53)	0.6 (0.530-0.680) 0.42 (0.370-0.480)	30 (27–34) 21 (19–24)
	2005	2	0.017 (0.016-0.017) 0.019 (0.018-0.019)	0.83 (0.82-0.84) 0.9 (0.90-0.91)	0.46 (0.210-0.790) 0.27 (0.110-0.490)	23 (11-40) 13 (5.4-24)	0.31 (0.270-0.350) 0.19 (0.170-0.220)	<u>15 (14–18)</u> 9.5 (8.3–11)
	2011 2012	2 2	0.02 (0.020-0.020) 0.02 (0.020-0.020)	0.97 (0.96-0.97) 0.97 (0.96-0.97)	0.31 (0.150-0.540) 0.19 (0.061-0.380)	15 (7.3–26) 9 (3.0–18)	0.21 (0.180-0.240) 0.15 (0.140-0.170)	10 (8.8–11) 7.5 (6.5–8.4)
Spain	1990 1995	39 39	0.89 (0.870-0.900) 0.62 (0.610-0.620)	2.3 (2.2–2.3) 1.6 (1.5–1.6)	11 (3.8–21) 13 (5.3–24)	28 (9.8–54) 33 (13–60)	8.7 (7.7–9.9) 10 (8.8–11)	22 (20-25) 26 (22-29)
	2000	40 43	0.4 (0.400-0.410)	1 (0.99–1.0)	12 (5.1–22) 11 (4.4–20)	30 (13–54) 25 (10–45)	9.2 (8.1–10) 8.4 (7.3–9.5)	23 (20–26) 19 (17–22)
	2010	46	0.3 (0.300-0.310)	0.66 (0.65-0.67)	10 (4.4–18)	22 (9.5–40) 21 (8.8–37)	7.8 (6.8–8.8)	17 (15–19)
Swadan	2012	47	0.23 (0.230-0.230) 0.27 (0.260-0.270)	0.57 (0.57-0.58)	8.1 (3.2–15)	17 (6.8–33)	6.5 (5.7–7.4)	<u>14 (12–16)</u> 7.5 (6.6.9.5)
Sweden	1990	9	0.024 (0.024–0.024)	0.27 (0.27–0.28)	0.87 (0.350–1.8) 0.94 (0.430–1.7)	11 (4.9–19)	0.65 (0.570-0.720)	7.3 (6.4–8.3)
	2000	9	0.018 (0.018-0.018)	0.17 (0.16-0.17)	0.66 (0.280-1.2)	7.5 (3.2–14) 11 (5.3–18)	0.48 (0.420-0.540)	5.4 (4.7-6.1) 6.9 (6.0-7.8)
	2010 2011	9 9	0.014 (0.014–0.014) 0.014 (0.013–0.014)	0.15 (0.14-0.15) 0.14 (0.14-0.15)	1.1 (0.490-1.8) 0.79 (0.280-1.6)	11 (5.2–20) 8.4 (3.0–16)	0.72 (0.630-0.810) 0.63 (0.550-0.710)	7.6 (6.7–8.6) 6.6 (5.8–7.5)
Switzerland	2012 1990	10 7	0.013 (0.013-0.013) 0.086 (0.085-0.087)	0.14 (0.13-0.14) 1.3 (1.3-1.3)	0.92 (0.370-1.7) 2.1 (0.940-3.7)	9.6 (3.9-18) 31 (14-56)	0.68 (0.600-0.770) 1.5 (1.3-1.7)	7.2 (6.3-8.1) 22 (19-25)
	1995 2000	7 7	0.047 (0.046-0.047) 0.034 (0.034-0.035)	0.67 (0.66-0.68) 0.48 (0.47-0.49)	1.3 (0.530-2.4) 0.83 (0.290-1.6)	18 (7.5–34) 12 (4.1–23)	0.95 (0.840-1.1) 0.66 (0.580-0.750)	14 (12–15) 9.3 (8.1–10)
	2005	7 8	0.022 (0.021-0.022)	0.29 (0.29-0.30)	0.82 (0.360-1.5)	11 (4.8–20)	0.59 (0.520-0.670)	8 (7.0-9.0)
	2011	8	0.018 (0.017-0.018)	0.22 (0.22-0.23)	0.9 (0.420-1.5)	11 (5.4–19)	0.6 (0.530-0.680)	7.6 (6.7–8.6)
Tajikistan	1990	5	0.34 (0.240-0.460)	6.4 (4.5-8.6)	6.4 (3.2–11)	121 (60-203)	3.7 (3.0-4.4)	70 (58-83)
	2000	6	1.2 (0.690–1.9)	12 (8.4−16) 20 (11−31)	20 (9.5–35) 30 (15–52)	493 (238-839)	0.0 (7.1-10) 14 (11-16)	140 (122-176) 220 (182-263)
	2005 2010	7 8	0.96 (0.720-1.2) 0.69 (0.520-0.880)	<u>14 (11–18)</u> 9 (6.8–12)	27 (13–44) 16 (7.6–27)	393 (198-654) 206 (99-352)	14 (11–16) 9.8 (8.1–12)	200 (165-238) 129 (106-153)
	2011 2012	8	0.65 (0.490-0.830) 0.61 (0.460-0.780)	8.3 (6.2–11) 7.6 (5.7–9.7)	14 (6.6–25) 13 (5.8–23)	181 (85–315) 160 (72–283)	9.2 (7.6-11) 8.6 (7.1-10)	118 (97-140) 108 (89-128)

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	IDING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
The Former	1990	2	0.17 (0.160-0.180)	8.4 (7.9-9.0)	3.3 (0.990-7.1)	167 (49-354)	1.6 (1.0-2.4)	81 (50-119)
Yugoslav Republic	1995	2	0.1 (0.094-0.110)	5.1 (4.8-5.4)	1.6 (0.650-2.9)	81 (33-150)	1.1 (0.930-1.4)	58 (47-69)
of Macedonia	2000	2	0.21 (0.200-0.230)	10 (9.6-11)	1.2 (0.540-2.2)	61 (26-109)	0.85 (0.690-1.0)	41 (34-50)
	2005	2	0.066 (0.064-0.069)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	0.69 (0.200-1.5)	33 (9.8-70)	0.62 (0.560-0.680)	30 (27-33)
	2010	2	0.034 (0.033-0.035)	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	0.54 (0.190-1.1)	26 (9.0-51)	0.44 (0.380-0.510)	21 (18-24)
	2011	2	0.024 (0.023-0.025)	1.1 (1.1–1.2)	0.54 (0.210-1.0)	25 (10-48)	0.41 (0.360-0.480)	20 (17-23)
	2012	2	0.017 (0.016-0.018)	0.82 (0.77-0.87)	0.54 (0.240-0.970)	26 (11-46)	0.39 (0.330-0.450)	18 (16–21)
Turkey	1990	54	3.4 (0.780-7.8)	6.2 (1.4–14)	27 (11-51)	51 (20-95)	28 (25–32)	52 (46-59)
	1995	59	2.4 (0.860-4.6)	4 (1.5-7.8)	34 (16-57)	58 (28-98)	26 (23-30)	45 (40-51)
	2000	63	2 (0.840-3.7)	3.2 (1.3-5.8)	28 (14-48)	45 (22-76)	21 (18–23)	33 (29–37)
	2005	68	0.99 (0.590-1.5)	1.5 (0.86-2.2)	19 (8.6–33)	28 (13-48)	23 (20–26)	34 (29–38)
	2010	72	0.55 (0.390-0.740)	0.76 (0.53-1.0)	17 (8.1–30)	24 (11-42)	18 (16–21)	25 (22-29)
	2011	73	0.47 (0.340-0.610)	0.64 (0.47-0.83)	17 (8.0–30)	24 (11–41)	17 (15–20)	24 (21–27)
	2012	74	0.39 (0.300-0.480)	0.52 (0.41-0.65)	17 (7.9–30)	23 (11–40)	16 (14–18)	22 (19–25)
Turkmenistan	1990	4	0.49 (0.400-0.590)	13 (11–16)	5.6 (2.5–9.7)	152 (69–265)	3.5 (2.8–4.2)	95 (76–115)
	1995	4	0.83 (0.720-0.950)	20 (17–23)	13 (6.1–22)	302 (145–515)	6.6 (5.4–7.8)	157 (129–187)
	2000	5	1.3 (0.820-1.8)	28 (18-40)	18 (8.6–31)	400 (191–685)	9.4 (7.6–11)	209 (170-252)
	2005	5	1.1 (0.700-1.6)	23 (15-33)	16 (7.6–27)	333 (160-569)	8.3 (6.8–10)	175 (144-210)
	2010	5	0.6 (0.390-0.860)	12 (7.7–17)	8.4 (4.0–14)	166 (79-283)	5.2 (4.3-6.1)	103 (86-121)
	2011	5	0.5 (0.330-0.720)	9.9 (6.4–14)	6.8 (3.0-12)	133 (58–238)	4.5 (3.7-5.5)	89 (73-107)
	2012	5	0.43 (0.260-0.660)	8.4 (5.0–13)	5.1 (1.8–10)	99 (35–196)	3.9 (3.1-4.8)	75 (59–94)
Ukraine	1990	52	5 (4.7–5.2)	9.6 (9.2–10)	33 (15-60)	65 (28–116)	23 (19–27)	45 (37–53)
	1995	51	7.8 (7.5–8.0)	15 (15–16)	69 (35-110)	135 (68-223)	38 (31-45)	74 (62–88)
	2000	49	11 (11–11)	23 (23-23)	81 (38–140)	164 (77–284)	53 (44-63)	108 (90-129)
	2005	47	12 (12–12)	25 (25-26)	75 (31–140)	159 (65-293)	57 (48–68)	121 (101–144)
	2010	46	7.4 (7.3–7.5)	16 (16–16)	68 (32-120)	149 (70-257)	48 (41-57)	105 (88–123)
	2011	46	7.1 (7.0–7.2)	16 (15–16)	66 (31-110)	144 (68–248)	46 (38–54)	99 (83–118)
	2012	46	6.1 (6.0-6.2)	13 (13–14)	62 (29–110)	137 (65-236)	42 (35–51)	93 (77–112)
United Kingdom of	1990	57	0.44 (0.440-0.450)	0.78 (0.77-0.78)	8.6 (3.5–16)	15 (6.0-28)	6.6 (6.2–7.1)	12 (11-12)
Great Britain and	1995	58	0.51 (0.510-0.520)	0.88 (0.87-0.89)	9.3 (3.9–17)	16 (6.8-29)	6.9 (6.5–7.4)	12 (11–13)
Northern Ireland	2000	59	0.43 (0.420-0.440)	0.73 (0.72-0.74)	9.2 (3.9–17)	16 (6.6-29)	7 (6.5–7.4)	12 (11–13)
	2005	60	0.39 (0.380-0.390)	0.64 (0.63-0.65)	13 (6.0-22)	21 (10-37)	9.2 (8.6–9.8)	15 (14–16)
	2010	62	0.32 (0.320-0.330)	0.52 (0.52-0.53)	11 (4.2–21)	18 (6.8–34)	8.9 (8.3–9.4)	14 (13–15)
	2011	62	0.34 (0.340-0.340)	0.54 (0.54-0.55)	13 (5.4–23)	20 (8.6-36)	9.5 (8.8–10)	15 (14–16)
	2012	63	0.34 (0.340-0.340)	0.54 (0.54-0.55)	13 (5.4–23)	20 (8.7–36)	9.4 (8.8–10)	15 (14–16)
Uzbekistan	1990	21	1.7 (1.5–1.9)	8.3 (7.2–9.4)	54 (27–90)	262 (130-438)	26 (21–31)	125 (103–149)
	1995	23	2.7 (2.4-3.1)	12 (10-13)	100 (50-170)	447 (216-760)	46 (38–55)	200 (165-238)
	2000	25	4.3 (3.7-5.0)	17 (15-20)	160 (77-270)	647 (310-1 100)	71 (59–85)	287 (237–342)
	2005	26	3.5 (3.1-4.0)	14 (12–15)	130 (63–210)	485 (240-814)	61 (50-72)	233 (193-278)
	2010	28	1.5 (0.850-2.3)	5.3 (3.1-8.3)	63 (32–100)	227 (115-376)	34 (28–40)	122 (101–146)
	2011	28	1.1 (0.600-1.6)	3.7 (2.1-5.8)	52 (26-86)	183 (92-304)	29 (24-34)	101 (84–121)
	2012	29	0.6 (0.350-0.930)	2.1 (1.2–3.3)	39 (19–65)	135 (67–227)	22 (18–27)	78 (65–93)

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	, notification and	case detection rates	, all forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------	-----------

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE ^b		CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	POPULATION	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS) RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Albania	1990	(MILLIONS) 3	0.84 (0.600-1.1)	24 (18-32)	(THOUSANDS)	653	19	78 (59–110)
	1995 2000	3	0.82 (0.680-0.970) 0.75 (0.630-0.870)	24 (20–29) 23 (19–26)		641 604	19 18	78 (66–94) 81 (69–95)
	2005	3	0.63 (0.530-0.730)	20 (17-23)		506	16	81 (69–95)
	2010	3	0.52 (0.440-0.610)	17 (14–20) 17 (14–19)		431 422	13	81 (69–95)
Andorra	2012 1990	< 1	0.51 (0.430-0.590) 0.026 (0.023-0.030)	16 (14–19) 49 (43–55)		408	13 42	81 (69–95) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.023 (0.020-0.026) 0.014 (0.012-0.016)	37 (32–41) 21 (18–24)		12	18	87 (77–99)
	2005	<1	0.012 (0.010-0.013)	14 (12–16)		10	12	87 (77–99)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.4 (3.9–5.0)		3	3.9	87 (77–99)
Armenia	1990	< 1 4	0.63 (0.470-0.810)	13 (12-15) 18 (13-23)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 590	11 17	94 (73–130)
	1995 2000	3 3	1.2 (1.0-1.4) 1.9 (1.6-2.1)	38 (32–44) 61 (53–68)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.2 (0.17-0 0.028 (0.025-0.032) 0.9 (0.81-1	24) 1 000 0) 1 333	31 43	82 (70-98) 71 (63-81)
	2005	3	2.3 (2.1-2.6)	77 (68-87)	0.06 (0.053-0.068) 2 (1.8-2.2	2 206	73	95 (84–110) 76 (65–90)
	2011	3	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	55 (45-65)	0.041 (0.034-0.049) 1.4 (1.1-1.6	) 1 261	43	78 (65–94)
Austria	1990	8	1.7 (1.5–1.0)	23 (20-26)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 1 521	20	87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	8	1.7 (1.5–1.9) 1.4 (1.2–1.5)	21 (19–24) 17 (15–19)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	D.1) 1 481 D.1) 1 185	19 15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	8	1.1 (0.940-1.2) 0.76 (0.660-0.860)	13 (11–15) 9 (7.9–10)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 928 0.1) 659	11 7.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011	8	0.77 (0.680-0.870)	9.2 (8.0-10)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-0	10) 671 0 1) 620	8	87 (77–99) 93 (82–110)
Azerbaijan	1990	7	22 (18–26)	305 (252–363)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; 0.025 (0.020 0.041) 0.5 (0.27 0.041)</pre>	0.1) 2 620	36	12 (10–14)
	2000	8	49 (41–59) 55 (46–66)	682 (563–813)	0.035 (0.029-0.041) 0.5 (0.37-0	) 5187	64	9.4 (7.9–11)
	2005	9	29 (24–34) 12 (9.8–14)	335 (276–398) 131 (108–156)	0.22 (0.18-0.26) 2.5 (2.1-3.0 0.12 (0.10-0.14) 1.3 (1.1-1.6	) 6 034 ) 7 550	70 83	21 (18–26) 64 (53–77)
	2011 2012	9 9	10 (8.6-12) 8.9 (7.3-11)	113 (93–135) 95 (78–114)	0.11 (0.089-0.13) 1.2 (0.97-1 0.094 (0.077-0.11) 1 (0.83-1	4) 9 146 2) 6 363	99 68	88 (74–110) 72 (60–87)
Belarus	1990	10	3.5 (2.8–4.3) 6.9 (5.9–8.1)	34 (27-42)		3 039	30 48	86 (70–110) 70 (60–82)
	2000	10	8.4 (6.9–9.9)	84 (69–100)	0.017 (0.014-0.020) 0.2 (0.14-0	20) 6 799	68	81 (68–98)
	2005	9	6.7 (5.3-8.1)	72 (55–91) 70 (56–86)	0.13 (0.096-0.16) 1.3 (1.0-1.6 0.26 (0.20-0.31) 2.7 (2.2-3.3	) 5 308	55	76 (63–96)
	2011 2012	9 9	6.6 (5.4–8.0) 6.6 (5.4–8.0)	70 (57–85) 70 (57–85)	0.27 (0.22–0.32) 2.8 (2.3–3.4 0.28 (0.23–0.34) 3 (2.4–3.6	) 4 697 ) 4 783	50 51	71 (59–87) 72 (60–89)
Belgium	1990 1995	10 10	1.8 (1.6-2.1) 1.6 (1.4-1.8)	18 (16–21) 16 (14–18)	0.01 (<0.01-0.011) 0.1 (<0.1-0 0.038 (0.033-0.043) 0.4 (0.33-0	12) 1 577 42) 1 380	16 14	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	10	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	14 (13-16)	0.041 (0.036-0.046) 0.4 (0.35-0	45) 1 278	12	87 (77–99)
	2010	11	1.2 (1.0–1.3)	11 (9.5–12)	0.044 (0.038-0.050) 0.4 (0.35-0	45) 1 028	9.4	87 (77–99)
	2011	11	1.1 (0.990-1.3)	9.7 (8.5–11)	0.042 (0.037-0.048) 0.4 (0.34-0	44) 985 42) 909	8.9 8.2	87 (77–99) 85 (75–97)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1990 1995	5 4	4.2 (2.6–6.2) 3 (2.4–3.6)	94 (58–138) 84 (69–101)		4 073 2 132	90 61	96 (65–160) 72 (60–88)
	2000 2005	4	2.4 (2.0–2.9) 2 (1.7–2.4)	63 (51-75) 52 (43-63)		2 476 2 111	65 54	100 (86–130) 100 (87–130)
	2010	4	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	50 (43-57) 49 (42-56)		1 321	34 35	69 (60-81) 72 (63-84)
Bulgaria	2012	4	1.9 (1.6–2.1)	49 (42–56)	-0.01 (-0.01 -0.01) 0.(0.0)	1 409	37	76 (66–88)
Bulgaria	1990	8	2.9 (2.5–3.3) 5.2 (4.5–5.9)	62 (54–71)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0) <0.01 (<0.01-<	2 256 0.1) 3 245	39	62 (55–71)
	2000 2005	8 8	4.6 (4.0-5.3) 4.1 (3.6-4.6)	58 (50-66) 53 (46-61)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 3 349 0.1) 3 225	42 42	72 (64–83) 79 (69–91)
	2010 2011	7 7	2.8 (2.5–3.2) 2.6 (2.2–2.9)	38 (33-43) 35 (30-40)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<	0.1) 2 412 0.1) 2 172	33 30	86 (75–98) 85 (74–97)
Croatia	2012	7	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	32 (28-36)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 2 081 0.1) 2 576	29	90 (79–100) 87 (77–99)
	1995	5	2.4 (2.1–2.8)	52 (45–59) 42 (27 47)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 2 114	45	87 (77–99)
	2005	4	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	28 (24–31)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 1 050	24	87 (77–99)
	2010	4	0.79 (0.690-0.900) 0.71 (0.620-0.810)	16 (14–19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 688 0.1) 619	16	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Cyprus	2012	< 1	0.62 (0.540-0.700) 0.033 (0.029-0.038)	<u>14 (13–16)</u> 4.4 (3.8–4.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	29	3.8	87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.041 (0.036-0.047) 0.038 (0.033-0.043)	4.8 (4.2–5.5) 4 (3.5–4.6)		36 33	4.2 3.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	1	0.039 (0.034-0.044)	3.8 (3.3-4.3)		34	3.3	87 (77–99)
	2011	1	0.059 (0.051-0.066)	5.3 (4.6-5.9)		51	4.6	87 (77–99)
Czech Republic	1990	10	2.2 (2.0-2.5)	22 (19–24)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	1 937	19	87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	10 10	2.1 (1.8–2.4) 1.6 (1.4–1.8)	20 (18–23) 16 (14–18)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 1 834 0.1) 1 414	18 14	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	10	1.1 (0.980-1.3) 0.72 (0.630-0.820)	11 (9.6–12) 6.8 (6.0–7.7)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 973 0.1) 627	9.5 5.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	11 11	0.65 (0.570-0.740)	6.2 (5.4–7.0) 5.3 (4.7–6.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 569 0.1) 565	5.4 5.3	87 (77–99) 99 (88–110)
Denmark	1990	5	0.4 (0.350-0.460)	7.8 (6.9–8.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.1 (<0.1-0	12) 350 24) 448	6.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	5	0.68 (0.590-0.760)	13 (11–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.010) 0.2 (0.15-0	20) 587	11	87 (77–99)
	2005	6	0.36 (0.320-0.410)	6.5 (5.7–7.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.1 (0.11-0	12) 395 313	5.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	6 6	0.41 (0.360-0.470) 0.41 (0.360-0.470)	7.4 (6.5–8.4) 7.4 (6.5–8.4)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) 0.1 (0.11-0 &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) 0.1 (0.11-0</pre>	14) 359 14)	6.4	87 (77–99)
Estonia	1990 1995	2	0.49 (0.430-0.550) 0.72 (0.630-0.810)	31 (27–35) 50 (44–57)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<<0.01) (<0.01-<0.01) 0.2 (0.16-0)	0.1) 423 21) 624	27 44	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	1	0.91 (0.800-1.0)	67 (58-75)	0.021 (0.018-0.024) 1.5 (1.4-1.7	) 791	58	87 (77–99)
	2010	1	0.33 (0.290-0.370)	25 (22-28)	0.04 (0.035-0.045) 3.1 (2.7-3.5	) 283	22	87 (77–99)
	2011	1	0.34 (0.300-0.390) 0.3 (0.260-0.340)	20 (23-30) 23 (20-26)	0.039 (0.036-0.048) 3.3 (2.9-3.7 0.039 (0.034-0.044) 3 (2.7-3.4	) 259	23	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Finland	1990 1995	5 5	0.89 (0.780-1.0) 0.76 (0.670-0.860)	18 (16–20) 15 (13–17)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 772 0.1) 661	15 13	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	5 5	0.61 (0.530-0.690) 0.39 (0.340-0.440)	12 (10–13) 7.4 (6.5–8.4)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt; &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 527 0.1) 339	10 6.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010	5	0.36 (0.310-0.410)	6.7 (5.9–7.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<	0.1) 312	5.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
France	2012	5	0.3 (0.260-0.340)	5.5 (4.9–6.3)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;</pre>	0.1) 261	4.8	87 (77–99)
riance	1990	57 58	11 (10–12)	19 (18-20)	0.07 (0.02-0.93) 1.5 (1.4-1.6 0.76 (0.72-0.81) 1.3 (1.2-1.4	) 9 030 ) 8 723	15	80 (75-85) 80 (75-85)
	2000 2005	59 61	7.7 (7.2–8.1) 6.3 (5.9–6.7)	13 (12–14) 10 (9.5–11)	0.41 (0.38–0.43) 0.7 (0.64–0. 0.42 (0.39–0.44) 0.7 (0.63–0.	73) 6 122 72) 5 003	10 8.1	80 (75–85) 80 (75–85)
	2010 2011	63 64	6 (5.6-6.4) 5.9 (5.5-6.2)	9.5 (8.9–10) 9.2 (8.6–9.8)	0.42 (0.40-0.45) 0.7 (0.63-0. 0.4 (0.38-0.43) 0.6 (0.60-0	71) 4 801 68) 4 681	7.6 7.4	80 (75–85) 80 (75–85)
	2012	64	5.3 (4.9-5.6)	8.2 (7.7-8.7)	0.37 (0.34-0.39) 0.6 (0.54-0	61)		

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	e, notification and	case detection	i rates, all f	orms, 1990-2012
----------------------	---------------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------

			INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE		CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Georgia	1990	5	15 (14–17)	280 (250-312)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1 537	28	10 (9.0–11)
	2000	5	12 (11–14) 7.8 (7.0–8.7)	256 (228–285) 175 (156–195)	0.024 (0.022-0.027)	0.5 (0.46-0.57)	4 397	93	36 (32–41) 58 (52–65)
	2010	4	5.6 (5.0-6.2) 5.5 (4.9-6.1)	128 (114–142) 125 (112–140)	0.043 (0.038-0.048) 0.048 (0.043-0.054)	1 (0.88–1.1) 1.1 (0.98–1.2)	4 678	107	83 (75–94) 83 (74–93)
Germany	2012	4	5 (4.5–5.6) 17 (15–19)	<u>116 (103–130)</u> 21 (18–24)	0.05 (0.045-0.056)	<u>1.2 (1.0–1.3)</u> 0.1 (<0.1–0.11)	3 940	90	78 (70–88) 87 (77–99)
Germany	1995	83	14 (12–16)	17 (15–19)	0.083 (0.073-0.094)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	12 198	15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	84	6.6 (5.7–7.4)	7.8 (6.9–8.8)	0.034 (0.037-0.061)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	5 700	6.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010	83	4.7 (4.1-5.3) 4.7 (4.1-5.3)	5.7 (5.0-6.4)	0.034 (0.029-0.038)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	4 089	4.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Greece	1990	10	1 (0.880-1.1)	9.9 (8.7–11)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	877	8.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	11	0.81 (0.710-0.920)	7.4 (6.4–8.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	703	6.4	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	11	0.51 (0.450-0.580)	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	445	4	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	11	0.52 (0.460-0.590) 0.5 (0.440-0.570)	4.7 (4.1–5.3) 4.5 (3.9–5.1)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	454	4.1	87 (77–99)
Greenland	1990	< 1	0.11 (0.093-0.120)	191 (167–216) 191 (167–216)					
	2000	<1	0.11 (0.094-0.120) 0.11 (0.095-0.120)	191 (167–216) 191 (167–216)					
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.13 (0.110-0.150) 0.13 (0.120-0.150)	232 (203–262) 234 (205–264)			114 115	202 203	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Hungary	2012 1990	< 1 10	0.097 (0.085-0.110) 4 (3.5-4.5)	170 (149–193) 39 (34–44)	0.024 (0.021-0.027)	0.2 (0.20-0.26)	84 3 588	148 35	87 (77–99) 90 (79–100)
	1995 2000	10 10	4.9 (4.3–5.6) 3.8 (3.3–4.3)	48 (42–54) 37 (33–42)	0.028 (0.024-0.031) 0.015 (0.013-0.017)	0.3 (0.23-0.30) 0.2 (0.13-0.17)	4 339 3 073	42 30	88 (78–100) 81 (71–92)
	2005	10 10	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 1.7 (1.5–2.0)	22 (19–25) 17 (15–19)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1 808 1 543	18 15	82 (72–93) 90 (79–100)
	2011 2012	10 10	1.8 (1.6-2.0) 1.8 (1.6-2.0)	18 (16-20) 18 (16-20)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1 279 1 159	13 12	72 (63–82) 65 (57–75)
Iceland	1990 1995	<1 <1	0.021 (0.018-0.023) 0.014 (0.012-0.016)	8.1 (7.1–9.2) 5.2 (4.5–5.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	18 12	7.1 4.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.015 (0.013-0.017) 0.012 (0.010-0.013)	5.3 (4.7-6.0) 3.9 (3.4-4.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.16-0.21) 0.2 (0.21-0.27)	13 10	4.6 3.4	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.025 (0.022-0.029) <0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	8 (7.0-9.0) 2.9 (2.5-3.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.6 (0.49-0.63) 0.2 (0.17-0.22)	22 8	6.9 2.5	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Ireland	2012 1990	< 1 4	0.012 (0.010-0.013) 0.72 (0.630-0.810)	3.5 (3.1-4.0) 20 (18-23)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.21-0.28)	10 624	3.1 18	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	4	0.53 (0.460-0.600) 0.44 (0.390-0.500)	15 (13–17) 12 (10–13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	458 386	13 10	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	4	0.49 (0.430-0.550)	12 (10–13) 10 (8.9–12)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	423 396	10	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011	5	0.46 (0.400-0.520)	10 (8.9–11)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	398 341	8.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Israel	1990	4	0.27 (0.240-0.300) 0.46 (0.400-0.520)	6 (5.2–6.8) 8.6 (7.5–9.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.012 (0.010-0.013)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.2 (0.19-0.25)	234	5.2	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000	6	0.62 (0.540-0.700)	10 (9.0–12)	0.019 (0.017-0.022)	0.3 (0.28-0.37)	537 371	8.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010	7	0.39 (0.340-0.440)	5.3 (4.6-6.0) 6.3 (5.5-7.1)	0.014 (0.013-0.016)	0.2 (0.17-0.22)	340	4.6	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Italy	2012	8	0.58 (0.510-0.660)	7.6 (6.7–8.6)	0.022 (0.019-0.025)	0.3 (0.25-0.33)	506	6.6	87 (77–99)
naiy	1995	57 57	6.5 (5.7–7.3)	11 (10–13) 7.1 (6.2–8.0)	0.13 (0.11-0.15)	0.2 (0.20-0.26)	5 627	9.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	59	4.4 (3.9–5.0)	7.5 (6.6–8.5)	0.065 (0.057-0.073)	0.1 (0.10-0.12)	3 844	6.6	87 (77–99)
	2011	61	3.9 (3.4–4.5)	6.5 (5.7–7.3) 6.7 (5.8–7.5)	0.06 (0.053-0.068)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	3 421	5.6	87 (77–99)
Kazakhstan	1990	16	13 (11–15)	79 (66–92)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (0-<0.1)	10 969	68 72	86 (74–100)
	2000	15	51 (42–58) 51 (43–60) 25 (20, 41)	351 (209-372)	0.03 (0.025-0.033)	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	25 843	177	50 (43-60)
	2003	16	29 (24–34)	182 (153–273)	0.3 (0.26-0.36)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	23 399	147	81 (69–96)
Kuraumatan	2011	16	22 (19–26)	137 (116–160)	0.33 (0.23-0.41) 0.26 (0.22-0.31)	1.6 (1.4–1.9)	18 006	111	81 (69–96) 57 (49, 60)
Ryigyzsiaii	1995	5	7.7 (6.4–9.2)	168 (138–200) 240 (205–206)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	3 393	74	44 (37-53)
	2000	5	12 (10-15) 10 (8.6-12)	249 (205–296) 208 (171–248)	0.016 (0.013-0.019) 0.059 (0.048-0.070)	1.2 (0.96-1.4)	6 329	125	60 (51-73)
	2010	5	7.5 (6.2–9.0) 7.6 (6.3–9.1)	141 (116-168)	0.17 (0.14-0.21) 0.22 (0.19-0.27)	4.2 (3.4–5.0)	5 980	111	78 (66–95)
Latvia	1990	3	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	57 (50-65)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	906	34	59 (52–68)
	2000	2	3.1 (2.7–3.5) 2.9 (2.5–3.2)	126 (111–142) 121 (106–137)	0.02 (0.018-0.023)	2.6 (2.2–2.9)	1 982	84	49 (44–56) 69 (61–79)
	2005	2	1 (0.930-1.2)	75 (66–85) 50 (45–56)	0.089 (0.078-0.10)	4.3 (3.8–4.8)	913	44	84 (74–96) 87 (78–98) 87 (70–93)
	2011	2	0.99 (0.890-1.1) 1.1 (1.0-1.2)	48 (43–53) 53 (49–58)	0.089 (0.079-0.099) 0.1 (0.093-0.11)	4.3 (3.8–4.8) 5 (4.5–5.4)	959	42	87 (78–97) 87 (80–95)
Lithuania	1990 1995	4	1.6 (1.4–1.9) 3.2 (2.8–3.7)	44 (37–52) 89 (77–102)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.013 (0.011-0.015)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.4 (0.31-0.41)	1 4/1 2 362	40	90 (77–110) 73 (64–85)
	2000	3	3.6 (3.2-4.0) 2.8 (2.5-3.2)	103 (92–114) 87 (76–97)	0.037 (0.033-0.041) 0.058 (0.051-0.065)	1.1 (0.95–1.2) 1.8 (1.6–2.0)	2 657	76 64	74 (66–83) 74 (66–84)
	2010 2011	3	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 2.1 (1.8–2.4)	73 (63–82) 69 (61–78)	0.067 (0.058-0.076) 0.07 (0.061-0.079)	2.2 (1.9–2.5) 2.3 (2.0–2.6)	1 751	57 57	79 (69–90) 83 (73–95)
Luxembourg	1990	<1	2 (1.8-2.3) 0.055 (0.048-0.062)	<u>66 (58–75)</u> 14 (13–16)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	1 635	13	82 (72–93) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	<1 <1	0.037 (0.032-0.042) 0.051 (0.044-0.057)	9 (7.9–10) 12 (10–13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.1 (<0.1-0.17)	32 44	7.8 10	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005 2010	<1 <1	0.043 (0.037-0.048) 0.033 (0.029-0.038)	9.3 (8.1-11) 6.6 (5.8-7.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.10-0.21) 0.1 (<0.1-0.15)	37 29	8.1 5.7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.029 (0.025-0.033) 0.034 (0.030-0.039)	5.6 (4.9-6.3) 6.5 (5.7-7.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.13) 0.1 (<0.1-0.15)	25 45	4.8 8.6	87 (77–99) 130 (120–150)
Malta	1990 1995	<1 <1	0.015 (0.013-0.017) 0.013 (0.011-0.014)	4 (3.5–4.5) 3.2 (2.8–3.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	13 11	3.5 2.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2000 2005	<1 <1	0.018 (0.016-0.021) 0.025 (0.022-0.029)	4.5 (4.0-5.1) 6.1 (5.3-6.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.10) 0.2 (0.16-0.20)	16 22	3.9 5.3	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	0.033 (0.029-0.038) 0.035 (0.030-0.039)	7.9 (6.9-8.9) 8.1 (7.1-9.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0.22-0.28) 0.3 (0.22-0.28)	29 30	6.8 7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Monaco	2012 1990	< 1	0.048 (0.042-0.055) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	11 (9.9–13) 3.9 (3.4–4.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0.30-0.39)	42	9.8 3.4	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	3.7 (3.3–4.2) 0 (0–0)			1 0	3.3 0	87 (77–99)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.2 (1.0-1.3) 3.1 (2.7-3.5)			- 1	2.7	87 (77–99)
	2011	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.1 (1.8–2.4)					- ( /

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

Montenegro	2005	< 1	0.18 (0.160-0.200)	29 (26-33)	-0.01 (0 -0.01) 0.0 (0 1 0)	156	25	87 (77–99)
	2010	<1	0.13 (0.110-0.140)	20 (18-23)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) 0.2 (0-1.2)	110	18	87 (78-97)
	2012	< 1	0.11 (0.100-0.130)	18 (16-20)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) <0.1 (0-0.54)	98	16	87 (78–97)
Netherlands	1990	15	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	11 (9.3-12)	0.013 (0.012-0.015) <0.1 (<0.1-0.10)	1 369	9.2	87 (77–99)
	1995	15	1.9 (1.6-2.1)	12 (11–14)	0.058 (0.051-0.065) 0.4 (0.33-0.42)	1 619	10	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	16	1.3 (1.1–1.5)	8 (7.0-9.0)	0.046 (0.040-0.052) 0.3 (0.25-0.32)	1 127	6.9	87 (77–99)
	2010	17	1.2 (1.1-1.4)	7.2 (6.3-8.2)	0.048 (0.042-0.054) 0.3 (0.25-0.33)	1 046	6.3	87 (77–99)
	2011	17	1.1 (0.990-1.3)	6.8 (5.9-7.7)	0.046 (0.040-0.052) 0.3 (0.24-0.31)	981	5.9	87 (77–99)
Norway	1990	1/	1.1 (0.930-1.2)	<u>6.3 (5.5–7.2)</u> 7 7 (6.8–8.7)	0.043 (0.038 - 0.049)  0.3 (0.23 - 0.29)	920	5.5	87 (77–99)
Hornay	1995	4	0.27 (0.240-0.310)	6.2 (5.5-7.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	236	5.4	87 (77–99)
	2000	4	0.25 (0.220-0.290)	5.7 (5.0-6.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	221	4.9	87 (77-99)
	2005	5	0.32 (0.280-0.360)	6.9 (6.0-7.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	276	6	87 (77–99)
	2010	5	0.34 (0.300-0.390) 0.37 (0.330-0.420)	7 (6.1–7.9) 7 5 (6.6–8.5)	<0.01 ( $<0.01-<0.01$ ) $<0.1$ ( $<0.1-<0.1$ )	297	6.1	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2012	5	0.37 (0.330-0.420)	7.5 (6.6–8.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	021	0.0	07 (17 00)
Poland	1990	38	19 (16-21)	49 (43-55)	0.019 (0.016-0.021) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	16 136	42	87 (77-99)
	1995	38	18 (16-21)	48 (42-54)	0.051 (0.045-0.058) 0.1 (0.12-0.15)	15 958	41	87 (77–99)
	2000	38	9.4 (8.3–11)	25 (22-28)	0.033(0.034-0.044) = 0.1(<0.1-0.12) 0.034(0.030-0.038) < 0.1(<0.1-0.10)	8 203	23	87 (77-99)
	2010	38	8.1 (7.1-9.1)	21 (18-24)	0.031 (0.028-0.036) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	7 002	18	87 (77–99)
	2011	38	9.1 (8.0-10)	24 (21-27)	0.037 (0.032-0.041) 0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	7 946	21	87 (77–99)
Portugal	1990	38	8.1 (7.1–9.2) 7.1 (6.3–8.1)	72 (63-82)	0.032 (0.028-0.037) <0.1 (<0.1-0.10)	6 214	63	87 (77-99) 87 (77-99)
i ontagai	1995	10	6.4 (5.6–7.3)	64 (56-72)	0.37 (0.33–0.42) 3.7 (3.2–4.2)	5 577	55	87 (77–99)
	2000	10	4.9 (4.3-5.5)	47 (41-53)	0.35 (0.31-0.40) 3.4 (3.0-3.9)	4 227	41	87 (77-99)
	2005	11	3.8 (3.3-4.3)	36 (32-41)	0.38 (0.33-0.43) 3.6 (3.1-4.1)	3 308	31	87 (77–99)
	2010	11	2.9 (2.5-3.2) 2.8 (2.4-3.1)	26 (23-30)	0.32 (0.28-0.36) 3 (2.7-3.4)	2 407	23	87 (77-99)
	2012	11	2.8 (2.4-3.1)	26 (23-30)	0.33 (0.29-0.37) 3.1 (2.7-3.5)	2 490	23	89 (79-100)
Republic of	1990	4	2.3 (1.9-2.8)	54 (44-64)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	1 728	40	74 (62-89)
woova	2000	4	4.7 (3.9-5.6) 6 (5.0-7.2)	109 (90-130)	0.018 (0.015-0.022) 0.4 (0.35-0.51) 0.061 (0.051-0.073) 1.5 (1.2-1.9)	2 925	ช/ 71	02 (52-75) 49 (41-59)
	2005	4	6.6 (5.4-7.9)	175 (144–209)	0.18 (0.14–0.21) 4.7 (3.8–5.6)	5 141	136	78 (65–95)
	2010	4	5.9 (4.9-7.1)	166 (137–198)	0.31 (0.26-0.37) 8.7 (7.1-10)	4 135	116	70 (59–85)
	2011	4	5.7 (4.7-6.8)	161 (133-192)	0.33 (0.27-0.40) 9.4 (7.7-11)	4 233	119	74 (62–90)
Romania	1990	23	34 (28-41)	146 (120-174)	0.075 (0.062-0.089) 0.3 (0.26-0.38)	4 409	70	48 (40-58)
	1995	23	43 (36–52)	189 (155–226)	0.36 (0.30–0.43) 1.6 (1.3–1.9)	23 271	101	54 (45-65)
	2000	22	41 (33-48)	181 (149-216)	0.47 (0.38–0.56) 2.1 (1.7–2.5)	27 470	123	68 (57-82)
	2005	22	32 (27-39)	147 (121-175)	0.66 (0.55-0.79) 3 (2.5-3.6)	26 106	118	81 (67–98)
	2011	22	22 (18-26)	101 (83–121)	0.67 (0.55–0.80) 3.1 (2.5–3.7)	16 992	78	77 (64–93)
	2012	22	20 (17-24)	94 (77-112)	0.6 (0.49-0.72) 2.8 (2.3-3.3)	16 036	74	79 (66–95)
Russian	1990	148	70 (59-81)	47 (40-55)		50 641	34	73 (62-86)
Federation	2000	149	140 (120-170) 190 (160-220)	127 (108–149)	0.014 (0.012-0.017) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.41 (0.35-0.48) 0.3 (0.24-0.33)	140 677	57	60 (51-70) 75 (65-89)
	2005	144	190 (160-230)	135 (114–158)	5.8 (4.9–6.8) 4 (3.4–4.7)	127 930	89	66 (56-78)
	2010	144	150 (130-180)	106 (89-123)	8.7 (7.4–10) 6.1 (5.1–7.1)	125 310	87	83 (71-98)
	2011	143	130 (120-150)	97 (82-114) 91 (77-106)	9.1 (7.7–11) 6.3 (5.3–7.4) 9.3 (7.9–11) 6.5 (5.5–7.5)	105 753	79	81 (69-95) 81 (70-96)
San Marino	1990	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.8 (4.2–5.4)		1	4.1	87 (77–99)
	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9 (7.8–10)		2	7.8	87 (77–99)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.3 (3.7-4.8)		1	3.7	87 (77–99)
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)				
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (1.3-1.7)				
Sorbia	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	3 208	32	87 (77-100)
oorbia	2010	10	2.7 (2.3–3.0)	28 (24-32)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 333	24	87 (77–100)
	2011	10	2.5 (2.2-2.8)	26 (23-30)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	2 174	23	87 (77–100)
Sarbia &	1990	10	2.2 (1.9-2.4)	23 (20-26)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1 872	20	87 (77-100)
Montenegro	1995	11	6.7 (5.7–7.9)	61 (52-72)		2 798	25	41 (35-49)
	2000	11	5 (4.2-5.8)	46 (38–54)		2 864	26	58 (49-69)
Slovakia	1990	5	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	32 (28-36)	-0.01 (-0.01 -0.01) -0.1 (-0.1 -0.1)	1 448	27	87 (77–99)
	2000	5	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	22 (19-24)	<0.01 ( $<0.01-<0.01$ ) $<0.1$ ( $<0.1-<0.1$ )	1 010	19	87 (77-99)
	2005	5	0.82 (0.720-0.920)	15 (13–17)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	710	13	87 (77–99)
	2010	5	0.47 (0.410-0.530)	8.7 (7.6-9.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	409	7.5	87 (77–99)
	2011	5	0.43 (0.380-0.490) 0.37 (0.320-0.420)	8 (7.0–9.0) 6 8 (5 9–7 7)	<0.01 ( $<0.01-<0.01$ ) $<0.1$ ( $<0.1-<0.1$ )	378	6.9 5.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Slovenia	1990	2	0.83 (0.730-0.940)	41 (36–47)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	722	36	87 (77–99)
	1995	2	0.6 (0.530-0.680)	30 (27-34)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	525	26	87 (77–99)
	2000	2	0.42 (0.370-0.480)	21 (19-24) 15 (14-18)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;0.1) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-0.10)</pre>	368	18	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2010	2	0.19 (0.170-0.220)	9.5 (8.3–11)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	169	8.2	87 (77–99)
	2011	2	0.21 (0.180-0.240)	10 (8.8–11)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	181	8.8	87 (77–99)
Snain	2012	39	0.15 (0.140-0.170)	7.5 (6.5-8.4)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;0.1) 0.4 (0.35-0.45) 1 (0.00 1.2)</pre>	134	6.5	87 (77–99)
opani	1995	39	10 (8.8–11)	26 (22-29)	0.84 (0.73–0.95) 2.1 (1.9–2.4)	8 764	22	87 (77–99)
	2000	40	9.2 (8.1–10)	23 (20-26)	0.71 (0.62–0.81) 1.8 (1.5–2.0)	7 993	20	87 (77–99)
	2005	43	8.4 (7.3-9.5)	19 (17-22)	0.77 (0.67-0.87) 1.8 (1.6-2.0)	7 281	17	87 (77–99)
	2010	40 47	7.4 (6.4-8.3)	16 (14-18)	0.66 (0.58-0.75) 1.4 (1.2-1.6)	6 392	15	87 (77–99)
	2012	47	6.5 (5.7–7.4)	14 (12–16)	0.58 (0.51–0.66) 1.3 (1.1–1.4)	5 677	12	87 (77–99)
Sweden	1990	9	0.64 (0.560-0.720)	7.5 (6.6-8.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	557	6.5	87 (77–99)
	1992	a a	0.00 (0.070-0.730)	7.3 (0.4-8.3) 5.4 (4.7-6.1)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	264 417	0.4 4 7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
	2005	9	0.62 (0.540-0.700)	6.9 (6.0-7.8)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	539	6	87 (77–99)
	2010	9	0.72 (0.630-0.810)	7.6 (6.7-8.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	623	6.6	87 (77–99)
	2011	9	0.63 (0.550-0.710)	6.6 (5.8-7.5) 7.2 (6.3-8.1)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;0.1) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-&lt;0.1)</pre>	544	5.8	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)
Switzerland	1990	7	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	22 (19–25)	0.011 (<0.01-0.013) 0.2 (0.15-0.19)	1 278	19	87 (77–99)
	1995	7	0.95 (0.840-1.1)	14 (12–15)	0.015 (0.013-0.017) 0.2 (0.18-0.24)	830	12	87 (77–99)
	2000	7	0.66 (0.580-0.750)	9.3 (8.1-10)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) 0.1 (0.11-0.14) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) 0.1 (&lt;0.1-0.10)</pre>	577	8.1	87 (77-99)
	2005	8	0.58 (0.510-0.660)	7.5 (6.5–8.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.010) 0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	508	6.5	87 (77–99)
	2011	8	0.6 (0.530-0.680)	7.6 (6.7-8.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011) 0.1 (0.10-0.13)	524	6.6	87 (77–99)
Tajjkjetor	2012	8	0.48 (0.420-0.540)	6 (5.2-6.8)	<pre>&lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01) &lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1-0.11)</pre>	416	5.2	87 (77-99)
галкізтап	1990	5 6	8.6 (7.1–10)	148 (122–176)	0.03 (0.025-0.036) 0.5 (0.42-0.62)	2 460	40 35	24 (20-29)
	2000	6	14 (11–16)	220 (182-263)	0.14 (0.11–0.16) 2.2 (1.8–2.7)	2 779	45	20 (17-25)
	2005	7	14 (11–16)	200 (165-238)	0.25 (0.20-0.30) 3.6 (3.0-4.3)	5 460	80	40 (34-49)
	2010	8	9.8 (8.1-12)	129 (106-153)	0.22 (0.18-0.26) 2.8 (2.3-3.4)	6 994 7 035	92	71 (60–86) 76 (64–93)
	2011	0	9.6 (7.1 10)	100 (00 100)	0.2 (0.16_0.24) 2.5 (2.0_3.0)	6 509	81	75 (04-33)
	2012	0	0.0 (7.1-10)	106 (69-126)	0.2 (0.10 0.24) 2.3 (2.0 0.0)	0 300	01	75 (63-91)

# TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

**BATE**^a

INCIDENCE HIV-POSITIVE

RATE^a

NUMBER (THOUSANDS)

NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE^b

RATE^a

NUMBER

CASE DETECTION

PERCENT

____

_

_

_

_

INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)

NUMBER (THOUSANDS)

YEAR POPULATION (MILLIONS)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

# TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
The Former	1990	2	1.6 (1.0-2.4)	81 (50-119)					
Yugoslav Republic	1995	2	1.1 (0.930-1.4)	58 (47-69)			786	40	69 (58-85)
of Macedonia	2000	2	0.85 (0.690-1.0)	41 (34-50)			641	31	75 (63–92)
	2005	2	0.62 (0.560-0.680)	30 (27-33)			598	29	97 (88–110)
	2010	2	0.44 (0.380-0.510)	21 (18-24)			384	18	87 (75-100)
	2011	2	0.41 (0.360-0.480)	20 (17-23)			335	16	81 (70–94)
	2012	2	0.39 (0.330-0.450)	18 (16-21)			346	16	89 (78-100)
Turkey	1990	54	28 (25-32)	52 (46-59)			24 468	45	87 (77–99)
	1995	59	26 (23-30)	45 (40-51)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	22 981	39	87 (77–99)
	2000	63	21 (18-23)	33 (29-37)	0.019 (0.016-0.021)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	18 038	29	87 (77–99)
	2005	68	23 (20-26)	34 (29-38)	0.05 (0.044-0.057)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	19 744	29	87 (77–99)
	2010	72	18 (16-21)	25 (22-29)	0.033 (0.029-0.037)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	15 879	22	87 (77–99)
	2011	73	17 (15-20)	24 (21-27)	0.033 (0.029-0.037)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	15 054	21	87 (77–99)
	2012	74	16 (14-18)	22 (19-25)	0.033 (0.028-0.037)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	14 139	19	87 (77–99)
Turkmenistan	1990	4	3.5 (2.8-4.2)	95 (76-115)			2 325	63	67 (55–83)
	1995	4	6.6 (5.4-7.8)	157 (129-187)			1 939	46	30 (25-36)
	2000	5	9.4 (7.6-11)	209 (170-252)			4 038	90	43 (36-53)
	2005	5	8.3 (6.8-10)	175 (144-210)			3 191	67	38 (32-47)
	2010	5	5.2 (4.3-6.1)	103 (86-121)			3 230	64	62 (53-74)
	2011	5	4.5 (3.7-5.5)	89 (73-107)					
	2012	5	3.9 (3.1-4.8)	75 (59-94)					
Ukraine	1990	52	23 (19–27)	45 (37-53)			16 465	32	71 (60-86)
	1995	51	38 (31-45)	74 (62-88)	0.15 (0.12-0.18)	0.3 (0.24-0.34)	21 459	42	57 (48-68)
	2000	49	53 (44-63)	108 (90-129)	2.5 (2.0-2.9)	5 (4.1-5.9)	32 945	67	62 (52-75)
	2005	47	57 (48-68)	121 (101-144)	5.8 (4.8-6.9)	12 (10-15)	39 608	84	69 (58-83)
	2010	46	48 (41-57)	105 (88-123)	5.7 (4.8-6.7)	12 (10-15)	33 857	74	70 (60-83)
	2011	46	46 (38-54)	99 (83-118)	5.3 (4.4-6.3)	12 (9.6-14)	34 237	75	75 (63-90)
	2012	46	42 (35-51)	93 (77-112)	4.8 (3.9-5.7)	10 (8.6-13)	40 990	90	96 (81-120)
United Kingdom of	1990	57	6.6 (6.2-7.1)	12 (11-12)	0.071 (0.066-0.077)	0.1 (0.11-0.13)	5 908	10	89 (84–95)
Great Britain and	1995	58	6.9 (6.5-7.4)	12 (11-13)	0.087 (0.061-0.12)	0.2 (0.10-0.20)	6 176	11	89 (84–95)
Northern Ireland	2000	59	7 (6.5-7.4)	12 (11-13)	0.12 (0.088-0.16)	0.2 (0.15-0.27)	6 220	11	89 (84–95)
	2005	60	9.2 (8.6-9.8)	15 (14-16)	0.25 (0.19-0.32)	0.4 (0.31-0.53)	8 173	14	89 (84–95)
	2010	62	8.9 (8.3-9.4)	14 (13–15)	0.3 (0.23-0.38)	0.5 (0.37-0.62)	7 907	13	89 (84–95)
	2011	62	9.5 (8.8-10)	15 (14-16)	0.32 (0.25-0.41)	0.5 (0.40-0.66)	8 439	14	89 (84–95)
	2012	63	9.4 (8.8-10)	15 (14-16)	0.33 (0.25-0.41)	0.5 (0.40-0.66)	8 269	13	88 (82–94)
Uzbekistan	1990	21	26 (21-31)	125 (103-149)	0.057 (0.047-0.067)	0.3 (0.23-0.33)	9 414	46	37 (31-44)
	1995	23	46 (38-55)	200 (165-238)	0.22 (0.18-0.26)	1 (0.79-1.1)	9 866	43	22 (18-26)
	2000	25	71 (59-85)	287 (237-342)	0.57 (0.47-0.68)	2.3 (1.9-2.7)	15 750	63	22 (19-27)
	2005	26	61 (50-72)	233 (193-278)	0.69 (0.57-0.82)	2.7 (2.2-3.2)	21 513	83	35 (30-43)
	2010	28	34 (28-40)	122 (101-146)	0.56 (0.46-0.67)	2 (1.7-2.4)	16 883	61	50 (42-60)
	2011	28	29 (24-34)	101 (84-121)	0.51 (0.42-0.61)	1.8 (1.5-2.2)	15 345	55	54 (45-65)
	2012	29	22 (18-27)	78 (65-93)	0.44 (0.37-0.53)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	14 832	52	66 (56-80)

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

		NEW CASES %										% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Albania	$\sim \sim \sim$	1990 1995	653 641	139	223	226		53	0	53		38
	$\sim$	2000	506	196	134	167		9	34	43		59
	$\sim$	2010 2011	431 422	145 180	105 105	165 128	0	16 9	14 9	30 18	0	58 63
Andorra	• 19 13	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> </ul>	408	185	100	106	0	17	12	29	0	65
	$\sim$	1995	10	1	9	2		0	0	0		-
	V 1 A	2000	10	5	1	4		0	0	0		83
		2010	7	0	4 2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0 33
Armenia	• 42 11	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> </ul>	9 590	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	40
	$\sim$	1995 2000	1 000	436 621	451 505	75 153		38 54	22	38 76		49 55
	$\sim$	* 2005	2 206	581	1 049	365		211	116	327		36
	$\sim$	2010	1 261	329	582	289	0	61	321	382	0	36
Austria	• 17 41	• 2012 1990	1 213	315	553	255	0	90	305	395	0	36
	$\sim \sim \sim$	1995 2000	1 481 1 185	467 324	765 652	249 209		0	30	30		38 33
	~~~~	2005	928	234	519	175	0	0	26	26	201	31
		2010	671	94	213	85	0	4	16	20	271	30
Azerbaijan	• 20 7	1990	2 620	95	218	97	0	12	28	40	198	- 30
	M	1995 \ 2000	1 630 5 187	669 890	620 3 978	93 245		47 74	0	47 74		52 18
		2005	6 034 7 550	1 561 1 997	2 508 2 275	651 965	0	1 314 1 153	1 886 844	3 200 1 997	1 160	38 47
	•	2011 • 2012	9 146 6 363	1 426	2 740 2 313	1 130	0	1 201	954 1 777	2 155	2 649	34 36
Belarus		1990	3 039	1.045	2 149	E 10		242		242		-
		2000	6 799	2 547	2 985	442		825	0	825		46
	~ <u>_</u>	2005	5 308 5 098	1 235	3 710 2 647	363 429	0	658	1 049 456	1 049	95	32
	• V • 30 51	2011 • 2012	4 697 4 783	1 217 1 277	2 439 2 184	387 381		654 941	421 463	1 075 1 404		33 37
Belgium	1 -	1990 1995	1 577 1 380	400	534	366		80		80		43
		2000	1 278	409	454	326		89	0	89		47
	\sim \sim \sim	2003	1 028	244	340	230	0		87	87	214	40
	•16 8	• 2011	985 909	240 235	273 237	192 179	0		59 78	59 78	280 258	47 50
Bosnia and Herzegovina	$\sum \infty$	1990 1995	4 073 2 132	865	997	140		130		130		46
	$1 > \lambda \infty$	2000 2005	2 476 2 111	759 640	1 287 1 106	261 258		169 107	24 49	193 156	0	37 37
		 2010 2011 	1 321 1 360	441 547	529 611	161 162	158 0	32 40	69 25	101 65	0	45 47
Bulgaria	• 90 37	• 2012	1 409	569	554	176	2	108	11	119	0	51
Duigana	٨	1995	3 245	1 087	1 709	449			_			39
	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	2000	3 349 3 225	2 524	0 1 511	442 376		383 124	0 77	383 201		45
	$ \leq 1 \leq 1 \leq 1 \leq 1 \leq 2 \leq 2 \leq 2 \leq 2 \leq 2 \leq 2$	2010 • 2011	2 412 2 172	806 716	748 708	747 628	0	111 120	237 235	348 355	0	52 50
Croatia	• 26 29	• 2012 1990	2 081 2 576	741	618	606	1	115	199	314	0	55
	Lang	1995 2000	2 114 1 630	1 204	703	165		42		42		63
	\sim	2005	1 050	372	575	103		0	94	94		39
	~	2010	619	201	382 343	87 75		36	/	43		32
Cyprus	• 54 0	· 2012 1990	29									-
		/ 1995 2000	36 33	6 4	11 10	13 17		0		0		35 29
		2005	34 61	9	13	12	0	0	3	3	28	41 40
	•4 6	2011 • 2012	51 63	11	14 28	5	1	0	3	3	20	44 35
Czech Republic	<u>^</u>	1990	1 937	497	1.026	200		21		21	-	-
		2000	1 414	420	679	290		25	0	25		38
		2005	627	200	333	94	0	0	51	34 51	0	38
	•19 5	· 2011	569 565	188 208	307 268	74 89	0	0	31 40	31 40	0	38 44
Denmark	~	1990 1995	350 448	128	186	128		6		6		- 41
	\sim	2000 2005	587 395	171	244 145	144 121		28	0	28 29		41 47
	\checkmark \sim	2010	313	115	102	39	57	Ŭ	46	46	0	53
Esta:	•7 0	• 2012	309	124	100	40	90		22	22	Ŭ	-
⊏stonia	\sim	1990 1995	423 624	369	124	60		71		71		75
	\sim	2000 2005	791 479	255 162	320 217	67 46		116 54	0 40	116 94		44 43
	~ ~	2010	283 296	99 123	134 124	17 18	0	33 31	46 45	79 76	0	42 50
Finland	• 27 20	· 2012	259	105	110	19	Ũ	25	31	56	0	49
mar Rd	\mathcal{I}	1995	661	244	193	224		00	0	00		56
	\checkmark	2000 2005	527 339	205	136 114	157 95		29	22	29 22	0	53
	\sim	2010 2011	312 312	82 82	146 143	84 87	0	0	15 13	15 13	0	36 36
	. 16	. 0010	064	70	104	70	~		10	10	1 4	40

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW AND BELADSE NEW CASES										% SMEAR-	
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
France	\sim	1990 1995	9 030 8 723	3 449	2 969	2 305						_ 54
		2000 2005	6 122 5 003	1 815 1 941	1 364 1 557	1 665 1 389		0	371	0 371	116	57 55
	Ŭ.	2010 2011	4 801 4 681	960 906	1 015 1 016	765 710	12 7	0	315 261	315 261	2 049 2 042	49 47
Georgia	•16 0	• 2012 1990	1 537	001	4 007	101		100		100		
	\wedge	2000	4 397	601	2 213	1 324		259	422	681	2	21
		2005	4 503	2 140	1 088	1 155	0	207 291	1 945	1 409	4	66
Gormany	• 28 90	• 2012	3 940	1 648	1 186	944	0	161	1 034	1 195	1	58
Germany	<u>~-</u>	1995	12 198	3 852	6 473	1 873						37
		2005	5 700	1 379	2 801	1 211	16	148	345 271	493	161 535	33
	•18 5	2011 2012	4 089 4 043	951 928	1 787 1 580	735 812	17 10	73 52	227 195	300 247	526 661	35 37
Greece	٨	1990 1995	877 939									_
	$\vee \neg \backslash$	2000 2005	703 693	235 197	339 322	81 107		48 0	74	48 74	67	41 38
		2010 2011	445 454	178 236	129 156	49 57	0 3	0	44 35	44 35	89 2	58 60
Greenland	•9 0	• 2012 1990										-
	$\int $	1995										-
		2005	114	38	59	7		10	2	12		39
Hungary	• 0 148	• 2012 1990	84 3 588	33	44	5		2		2		43
ridingary	~~~	1995	4 339	796 412	3 292 2 361	251 221		79	292	371		19 15
	~	2005	1 808	423 270	1 137	117	0	131	216	347	0	27
	• 35 12	. 2011 • 2012	1 279 1 159	260 273	910 831	53 35	0 0	55 20	166 64	221 84	1 0	22 25
Iceland		1990 1995	18 12	2	3	7		0		0		_ 40
		2000 2005	13 10	1	7 3	4 5		1 0	0 1	1 1		12 40
		2010 2011	22 8	6	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	33 33
Ireland	•7 3	• 2012 1990	10 624	2	5	3	0	0	1	1	0	- 29
		2000	458 386 422	138	150	96		2	20	22	26	48
		2003	396	84	122	112	1	2	31 27	31	77	43 41 44
Israel	• 18 7	· 2012 1990	341 234	77	97	75	1		25	25	91	44
	Λ Λ_{-}	1995 2000	398 537	216	213	100	0	8	0	8	0	50
	$M \rightarrow \gamma$	2005	371 340	142 103	168 162	55 74	0	6	1 3	7 4	0	46 39
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2011 • 2012	412 506	135 142	207 254	66 102	0	4	6 3	10 11	0	39 36
Italy	$\land \land$	1990 1995	4 246 5 627	1 413	2 700	1 514				005		34
	VV	2000	3 501	1 275	1 506	522 1 047	0	269	293 74	625 293	16	44 46
	•7 0	2010	3 421	587	790	641	0	0	100	100	1 403	43
Kazakhstan		1990	10 969 11 310	3 022	5 966	1 002		1 320		1 320		- 34
		2000 2005	25 843 28 629	8 903 6 911	11 324 14 472	2 555 920		3 061 3 209	2 032 11 800	5 093 15 009	3 117	44 32
		2010 2011	23 399 25 074	4 769 4 157	8 745 8 242	2 127 1 997	0 0	4 062 4 739	5 151 1 230	9 213 5 969	3 696 5 939	35 34
Kyrgyzstan	• 68 111	• 2012 1990	18 006 2 306	3 884	7 892	1 844	9	4 377	3 517	7 894	0	33
	Λ	1995 2000	3 393 6 205	832 1 296	1 685 2 929	749 1 683		127 297	258	127 555		33 31
		 2005 2010 2010 	5 652	1 9/2	2 141 2 028 0 405	1 635	0	411 344	643	987	0	48 45
Latvia	• 52 113	• 2012	6 195	1 537	2 125 2 448	1 809	U	349 344	721	1 035	451	42 39
Latria	\sim	1995	1 541 1 982	504 637	693 793	226 285		118 267	108	118 375		42 45
		2005	1 409	536 339	554 400	148	0	171	34	205	0	49 46
	· 34 47	2011 • 2012	864 959	293 342	410 <u>4</u> 38	85 100	0	76 79	21 34	97 <u>1</u> 13	0	42 44
Lithuania	\wedge	1990 1995	1 471 2 362	979	1 049	206		128		128		48
		2000 2005	2 657 2 114	776 964	1 051 793	503 357		327 0	182 460	509 460		42 55
		2010 2011	1 751 1 748	719 681	633 664	221 187	0 0	177 213	187 156	364 369	1	53 51
Luxembourg	• 40 54	· 2012 1990	1 635	726	548	156	0	204	146	350	1	57
	$1 \sim \infty \Lambda$	2000 2005	32 44 97	21	19	0		4	0	4		- 52 41
	V~ N~~/	2003	29	0 14	18 1	6	0	0	0	0	5 14	0
	• 13 0	• 2012	23	1 õ	4	0	0	1	0	1	44	30

Rates are per 100 000 population.
 NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3	Case	notifications,	1990-2012
------------	------	----------------	-----------

-	NEW AND RELAPSE			NEW CASES								% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Malta		1990 1995	13	5	4	2		0		0		- 56
	\sim /V	2000	16	5	9	2		0	0	0	1	36
		2010	29	4	6	10	0	0	3	3	9	40
Monaco	• 3 10	· 2012	42	9	20	12	0	Ő	1	1	1	31
mondoo	٨	1995	1	0	0	0		0	0	0		-
		2005	1	Ů	0	1			0	0		
	•3 0	2010 2011 2012										-
Montenegro	~	2005 2010	156 110	64 39	66 49	13 14	0	13 8	14 4	27 12	0	49 44
	16	2011 2012	110 98	48 45	40 36	12 13	0 0	10 4	2 9	12 13	0	55 56
Netherlands	\sim	1990 1995	1 369 1 619	575	1 522	513						27
	\sim	2000 2005	1 244 1 127	289 237	528 491	427 385		0 14	70 30	70 44		35 33
		2010 2011	1 046 981	176 177	370 353	463 425	4 3	16 12	27 26	43 38	17 11	32 33
Norway	• 9 6	• 2012 1990	920 285	163	300	444	0	11	38	49	2	35
	$\neg \land \land$	1995 2000	236 221	62 37	57 103	89 79		28 2	10	28 12		52 26
	$\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim$	2005	276 297	48 49	119 110	102 115	0	0	14 42	14 42	7 23	29 31
	•7 0	2011 • 2012	324	40	134	139	1		37	37	10	23
Poland	~	1990 1995	16 136 15 958	6 955	7 285	647		1 071		1 071		49
		2000	10 931 8 203	3 180 2 823	6 392 4 591	477 789		882 0	0 1 077	882 1 077		33 38
	. 12	2010	7 002 7 946 7 054	2 484 2 587	3 625 4 344 2 729	501 584	0	392 431	507 532	963 977	0	41 37 29
Portugal	· 42 10	1990	6 214	2 400	1 531	1 759	0	268	400	268	0	- 57
	~~	2000	4 227	1 863	1 005	1 178		177	304 228	481	5	65 57
		2010	2 487	912 876	791	679 629	16 7	89 81	139	228 215	0	54
Republic of	• 63 23	· 2012	2 490	920	805	670	7	88	100	188	0	53
Moldova	Λ	1995 2000	2 925 2 935	665 651	1 958 1 788	154 122		148 374	0	148 374		25 27
		2005	5 141 4 135	1 696 1 267	2 237 2 073	568 405	0	640 377	1 137 1 312	1 777 1 689	13	43 38
	• 40 125	2011 2012	4 233 4 409	1 272 1 346	2 140 2 062	424 396	0 0	372 559	1 108 932	1 480 1 491	25 46	37 39
Romania	\frown	1990 1995	16 256 23 271	10 469	8 303	3 422		1 077		1 077		56
		2000 2005	27 470 26 106	10 202 10 801	10 180 8 038	3 474 3 568		3 614 3 697	156 3 241	3 770 6 938	2	50 57
	\checkmark	2010 2011	18 379 16 992	7 951 7 386	5 113 4 528	2 899 2 629	0 0	2 416 2 449	2 699 2 220	5 115 4 669	0	61 62
Russian	• 70 74	• 2012 1990	16 036 50 641	7 077	4 342	2 481	0	2 136	2 188	4 324	0	62
Federation		1995 2000	84 980 140 677	37 512 27 467	42 241 102 228	5 227 5 313		5 669	12 478	18 147		47 21
		2005	127 930 125 310	32 605 31 416	74 301 67 894	12 320 3 513	7 081	8 704 8 737	26 449 37 243	35 153 45 980	6 669	30 32
	• 34 74	2011 2012	112 910 105 753	29 191 27 467	65 106 60 058	10 023 10 017	0	8 590 8 211	46 569 44 168	55 159 52 379	0	31 31
San Marino	\	1990	1		0	0			0	0		_
	-]	2000	I	· ·	0	0		0	0	U		-
	•4	2010 2011										-
Serbia		2005	3 208	1 105 977	1 584 700	479 501	0	40 148	260 52	300 200	0 7	41 58
	← \ <u></u> 20	2011 2012	2 174 1 872	905 819	745 787	401 130	0	120 134	42 45	162 179	3 2	55 51
	Serbia (without Kosovo)	2005 2010	2 146 1 449	873 690	714 431	245 202		119		119		55 62
		2011 2012	1 299 1 170	654 569	372 369	155		91 86		91 86		64 61
	Kosovo	2005 2010	1 062 884	232 287	596 269	234 299		29		29		28 52
		2011 2012	875 702	251 250	349 404	246		29 48		29 48		42 38
Serbia & Montenegro	• 0	1990 1995 2000	4 194 2 798 2 964	1 497	930 2 496	173		198	0	198		62
Slovakia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1990	1 448	788	555	177		203	U	203		- 59
	<hr/>	2000 2005	1 010 710	236 162	469 356	203 134		102 58	18 50	120 108		33 31
	-	2010 2011	409	112 96	190 170	59 57	0	25 29	30 21	55 50	23 26	37 36
Slovenia	• 27 6	• 2012 1990	321 722	96	144	39	0	25	24	49	17	40
	\sim	1995 2000	525 368	303 145	83 133	109 59		30 31	16	30 47		78 52
	~~~	2005 2010	269 169	109 64	110 67	30 30	0	20 8	9 3	29 11	0	50 49
	• 36 6	2011 2012	181 134	82 47	73 64	26 13	0	10	11 4	11 14	0	53 42

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

# TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

				NEW CASES								% SMEAR-	
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a	VEAD	NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/	EXTRA-	OTUED		RE-TREAT EXCL.	TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG	
	1990-2012	YEAR	RELAPSE ^b	POSITIVE	UNKNOWN	PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RELAPSE	RETREAT	UNKNOWN	NEW PULM	
Spain	$\sim$	1990	7 600	0.005	0.450							-	
	/ / /	2000	8 764 7 993	2 605	4 446	124		0	0	0		43	
	$\cdot$ $\bigvee$	2005	7 281	2 511	3 880	890		0	1 078	1 078		39	
	V 🔨	2010	6 765 6 392	2 076	2 621	1 680 1 616	0	0	324 370	324 370	388 348	44 49	
	• 20 12 •	2012	5 677	1 984	1 855	1 508	Ő	0	314	314	330	52	
Sweden	Π	1990	557	102	005	016		11		11		-	
	$\sqrt{h}$ $\Lambda$	2000	564 417	102	235	152		0	40	40		30 45	
		2005	539	134	208	197		0	30	30		39	
		2010	623	117	226	209	0	0	52	52	71	34	
	•7 6•	2011	593	101	233	229	0	0	39	39	30	30	
Switzerland	<b>`</b>	1990	1 278	105	515	100		-		-		-	
	$\mathbf{X}$	1995 2000	830 577	185	515 216	126		5	63	5 63	173	26	
		2005	514	84	187	110			49	49	133	31	
	$\sim$ $\sim$	2010	508	82	149	91			40	40	186	35	
	• 19 5.	2011	416	90 87	124	84			47	47	145	41	
Tajikistan		1990	2 460										
	$\Lambda \sim$	1995 2000	2 029	1 042	617 1 918	427		370		370		63 18	
		2005	5 460	1 745	2 175	1 417		123	2 066	2 189		45	
	$\searrow$	2010	6 994	2 290	2 038	1 631	0	338	647	985	697	53	
	•46 81•	2011	6 508	2 174 2 041	2 148	1 532	0	300	574 421	929 748	745 697	52	
The Former		1990										-	
yugoslav Republ of Macedonia	N	1995 2000	786 641	319	376	150		25 16	0	25 16		46 35	
		2005	598	178	236	141		43	60	103		43	
	~~~~~	2010	384	141	135	92	0	16	36	52	0	51	
	• 0 16 •	2011	346	132	99	78	0	20	9	31	4	61	
Turkey	1	1990	24 468									-	
	\sim	1995 2000	22 981 18 038	4 383	17 534 8 544	1 064 4 371		808		808		20 34	
	v \ ~	2005	19 744	7 450	5 944	5 359		991	1 559	2 550		56	
	\sim	2010	15 879	5 375	4 191	5 617	0	696 627	672	1 368	0	56 56	
	• 45 19•	2012	14 139	4 585	3 829	5 121	0	604	552	1 156	0	54	
Turkmenistan	~ \	1990	2 325	544	1 007			07		07		-	
	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	2000	4 038	1 017	2 709	241		71	1 894	1 965		29	
	\sim	2005	3 191	995	1 498	656		42	100	142		40	
	\sim /	2010	3 230	1 153	1 248	473	274	82		82		48	
	• 63 0 •	2011										_	
Ukraine	1	1990	16 465	0.000	0.700	4.544		1.000		1.000		-	
	/	1995 2000	21 459 32 945	8 263 10 738	9 /93 17 258	1 514 1 739		1 889 3 210	0	1 889 3 210		46 38	
		2005	39 608									_	
		2010	33 857	9 976	17 599	3 355	365	2 562	2 552	5 114		36	
	• 32 90 •	2011	40 990	11 0302	17 398	3 344	3213	3 650	4 579	8 229	5 568	39	
United Kingdom of	of	1990	5 908									-	
Great Britain and Northern Ireland	$\sim \wedge \sim$	1995 2000	6 1 / 6 6 220	1 204	4 162 2 037	2 014 2 478		0	0	0		37	
- Control and	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2005	8 173	1 821	2 752	3 600		ő	460	460		40	
	~ 1	2010	7 907	1 201	2 551	3 443	24		576	576	688	32	
	• 10 13•	2011	8 439 8 269	1 204	2 751	3 783 3 676	36 53		482	5∠4 482	538	30	
Uzbekistan	4	1990	9 414									-	
	\sim	1995 2000	9 866 15 750	2 735	5 798 10 142	1 333 1 760		23	324	347		32 27	
		2005	21 513	5 695	7 857	6 324		1 637	7 378	9 015		42	
	\wedge	2010	16 883	4 711	6 735	4 288	0	1 149	3 447	4 596	0	41	
	•46 52•	2012	14 832	4 198	6 137	3 965	0	655	1 978	2 633	45	40	

Rates are per 100 000 population.
 NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

						% OF COHORT						
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT	
Albania	1995–2011	1995	NOTIFIED 139	COHORT	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED	
Albania	$\wedge \wedge \sim$	2000	171		-							
	Μ/Υ.	2005	196	196	100	43	35	4	2	5	11	
	\vee	2009	145	145	100	49	42	3	0	3	3	
Andorro	• 0 93 •	2011	180	180	100	65	28	2	0	4	1	
Andorra		2000	1	2	200		50			50	0	
	\setminus / \vee	2005	5	5	100	80	0	0	0	0	20	
	V	2009	2	3	150	33	67	0	0	0	0	
	•0 100•	2011	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
Armenia	~1	1995 2000	436 621	507 447	116 72	52 81	2	8 4	36 3	1 7	0	
		2005	581	581	100	59	13	3	5	14	4	
		2009 2010	440	440	100	60 55	12	7	3	8	10	
	• 55 63 •	2011	329	329	100	44	19	6	25	6	0	
Austria	~	1995	467	383	82	2	81 73	10	0	7	1	
	$\sim \sim 2$	2005	234	230	98	17	58	7	Ő	7	11	
	. /	2009	90 76	226	251	8	59	9	0	8	16	
	• 82 71 •	2010	94	200	235	7	64	6	0	7	15	
Azerbaijan	\sim \wedge	1995	669	538	80	58	7	1	12	19	4	
	$/ \sim \wedge$	2000	1 561	1 561	100	48	11	4	4	12	22	
		2009	1 487	1 480	100	47	15	3	7	16	12	
	•65 78•	2010	1 426	2 208	155	47	30 44	3	4	10	4	
Belarus		1995	1 845		-							
	<i>.</i>	2000	2 547		-							
	- 7.	2009	1 201	2 160	180	64	0	10	4	1	20	
	•0 60•	2010 2011	1 269 1 217	2 184 2 169	172 178	66 59	0 1	8 6	22 31	1	2 1	
Belgium		1995	400		-			5	0.			
		2000	409	358	88 80	25	41 45	10	1	17	6 24	
	~/ V · ·	2009	280	485	173	14	62	8	0	11	4	
	.0 77.	2010	244	473	194	15	61	7	0	11	7	
Bosnia and	.0 //.	1995	865	865	100	97	1	0	1	1	1	
Herzegovina	1~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	759	756	100	77	18	1	1	2	1	
	// \.	2005	609	852	162	93	2	0	0	0	0	
	V TO TO	2010	441	441	100	91	7	1	0	0	0	
Bulgaria	•9/ /0•	2011 1995	1 087	693	127	43	27	5	1	1	24	
	Λ	2000	2 524		-							
	V\A ~··	2005	1 214	1 342	111	82 78	3	9	2	7 4	1	
	VV	2010	806	946	117	84	2	8	2	3	1	
Croatia	• 0 86 •	2011	716	853	119	84	2	8	1	3	2	
orballa	1 /	2000	1 204		-							
	$\backslash _ \frown$	2005	372	391	105	40	7	7	0	1	45	
	\checkmark	2009	183	181	99	58	17	14	0	4	7	
Cuprus	• 0 0 •	2011	201	6	-	100	0	0	0	0	0	
Cyprus	X (2000	4	б	100	100	0	0	0	0	U	
	γ	2005	9	8	89	38	25	12	0	0	25	
	V V	2009	14	28 20	200	29 25	0	0	0	0	71 75	
	• 100 64 •	2011	11	22	200	55	9	14	0	0	23	
Czech Republic	A A	1995 2000	487 420	487	100 94	57 59	3	0 17	3	2	35 11	
	$\sim / \sim \sim \sim$	2005	308	315	102	62	10	6	0	2	20	
		2009 2010	218	402	184 180	66 66	2	21 17	0	7	4	
	• 60 69 •	2011	188	377	201	66	3	17	0	9	5	
Denmark	~~	1995	128 171	110	64	37	49	5	0	0	9	
	~ η	2005	129	128	99	44	39	6	1	2	8	
	\sim	2009	101	175	173	22	31	4	1	1	42	
	•0 0•	2011	124	21/	-	01	00		~	5		
Estonia		1995	369	257	101	67	2	11	4		12	
	$(\land \land$	2005	162	162	100	70	2	8	1	10	10	
	\sim $\langle \rangle$	2009	135	240	178	57	1	15	2	6	18	
	•0 59•	2010	123	202	164	57	2	11	1	4 5	23	
Finland	4	1995	244		-							
	A	2000	205		-							
	/ \/ \`	2009	93	227	244	33	34	17	0	1	14	
	•0 67•	2010 2011	82 82	184 181	224 221	48 39	27 29	9 18	0	2	15 14	
France		1995	3 449		-							
		2000 2005	1 815 1 941		_							
	•	2009	1 019		-							
	•0 ••	2010 2011	960 906		-							
Georgia	. 01	1995	221	221	100	41	18	8	3	29	2	
	$\wedge \wedge$	2000	601	807	134	38	25	3	9	25	0	
	/V \ / ·	2009	2 055	2 352	114	57	19	3	12	7	3	
	1	2010	2 140	2 500	117	59	17	3	12	7	2	
Germanv	• 38 76 •	2011	2 026	2 513	124	57	19	2	15	5	2	
,	$\bigwedge \bigwedge$	2000		454	-	61	16	16	1	2	4	
	\/ ·	2005	1 379	1 199	87 217	39	32 44	9	0	2	18	
	V	2010	910	2 064	227	32	44	12	Ő	2	9	
	• 0 70 •	2011	951	2 113	222	29	42	11	0	2	17	

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.
TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

								% OF	COHORT	r	
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Greece	1993-2011	1995	NOTIFIED	conom	-						LIALOAILD
		2000	235		-						
		2009	198		-						
	•0 0•	2010 2011	178 236		-						
Greenland		1995									
		2005			-						
		2009 2010	24 38		-						
Hungary	• 0 0 •	2011	34 796		-						
r iungen y	(2000	412	651	158	28	36	10	3	12	11
		2005	423 363	412 597	97 164	32 45	13	13	12	9	20
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2010 2011	270 260	515	191	64	5	12	0	10	9
Iceland	<u> </u>	1995	2	2	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
	\vee V V M \sim	2000 2005	1	2	200 100	0	100 100	0	0	0	0
	v V V	2009	3	4	133 267	0	75 88	0	0	0	25
	• 100 0 •	2010	1	0	0	0	00	ů	0	0	0
Ireland	ANA	2000	138	73	53	33	51	12	0	4	0
	$\wedge \checkmark \checkmark$	2005	130	107	82 198	3	62	9	3	1	22
	/ '	2010	84	164	195	0	73	7	0	1	19
Israel	• 0 64 •	2011 1995	85	153	- 180	54	10	5	U	3	29
	$\sim \wedge$	2000 2005	216 142	336 227	156 160	65 69	18 15	15 11	0	0 3	1
	× · V \.	2009	119	202	170	72	14	10	0	1	3
	•0 77•	2010	103	99 242	96 179	69	/ 9	10	0	2	13
Italy	/	1995 2000	1 413 687	295 223	21 32	73 37	6 36	3 1	2	11 9	4
	\sim	2005	1 275	-	-	-			-	-	
	V	2009	586		-						
Kazakhstan	• 80 0 •	2011	587		-						
		2000	8 903	8 781	99	76	3	5	10	3	3
	\sim	2005	5 213	5 355	103	62	0	4	30	3	2
	•0 61•	2010 2011	4 769 4 157	4 919 4 306	103 104	61 61	0	3 4	7	2	27 27
Kyrgyzstan	~~~	1995	832	1 000	-	70	0	2	4	F	6
	_	2000	1 972	1 897	95 96	81	9 4	3	4 5	5	2
		2009 2010	1 609 1 645	1 543	96	79	4	3	4	6	4
Lotrio	• 0 78 •	2011	1 537	1 537	100	75	3	3	11	5	3
Latvia	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	637	637	100	68	4	12	3	7	7
		2005	536 367	536 592	100	72	3	11 9	1	5	11
	↓ ↓ 72 •	2010	339	596 550	176	72	3	8	1	6	10
Lithuania		1995	979		-	,,,		10			
	\	2000 2005	776 964	776 958	100 99	73 70	0	10 11	4	12 11	2 6
		2009 2010	742 719	1 033	139 133	73 68	0	10 11	2	9 11	6
	• 0 73 •	2010	681	1 000	147	73	0	11	1	8	7
Luxempourg	←	2000	21	37	-	100	U	U	U	U	U
		2005	14	0	0						
	• 100	2010	0	14	-	0	0	7	0	0	93
Malta	- 100 0+	1995	4 5	5	100	80	20	0	0	0	03
	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle \langle \rangle$	2000 2005	5 5	4 5	80 100	0	100 100	0 0	0	0	0
	· ↓ \/ \	2009	12 4	10	83 125	0	80 80	0	0	0	20 20
Mass	• 100 58 •	2011	7	12	171	0	58	0	0	8	33
Monaco		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005									
	- 0 -	2010			-						
Montenegro	•0 0•	2011 2005	64	63	98	10	21				70
		2009 2010	53 39	78 39	147 100	49 46	37 41	8 5	0	4	3
Natharlanda	86 •	2011	48	56	117	25	61	12	0	0	2
NETIGUE	$\sim \sim \sim$,	2000	289	301	124	23	53	6	0	э 3	15
		2005 2009	237 203	208 454	88 224	9 11	75 69	7	0	1 3	8
	• 72 01 -	2010	176	469	266	1	76 81	7	0	4	12
Norway		1995	62	437	140	43	34	14	1	8	0
	~~~~	2000 2005	37 48	37 47	100 98	49 62	22 30	14 2	3 0	3 4	11 2
		2009	42 49	146	348 284	45 68	37 24	4	1	0	13
	• 77 0•	2011	40	133	-	00	24	5		0	5
Poland	$\wedge$	1995 2000	6 955 3 180	214	7	50	22	11	6	6	5
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2005	2 823	2 823	100	65 48	12	5	1	9	8
	<u>`</u>	2010	2 484	3 998	161	47	19	6	0	9	19

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes,	new smear-positive cases,	1995-2011
--------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------

								% OF COHORT			
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Portugal	1995–2011	1005	2 010	1 240	% NOTIFIED	45	22	4	4	4	EVALUATED
ronugai		2000	1 863	1 924	103	9	71	6	0	5	9
		2005	1 302	1 393	107	13	76	6	0	4	2
		2010	912		_			_			
Republic of	• 69 80 •	2011 1995	876 665	1 387	158	9	72	5	0	3	12
Moldova	$\Lambda \Lambda$	2000	651	651	100	1	62	0	0	0	37
	/ \/ · \ / ·	2005	1 696	1 690	100	60 49	5	10	11 17	11 14	5
	V V	2010	1 267	1 267	100	52	5	11	5	13	13
Romania	•0 62•	1995	10 469	11 597	111	38	13	6	7	6	31
	1 M	2000	10 202	10 158	100	28	42	4	8	8	9
	. //	2003	8 987	10 737	119	72	14	4	4	6	1
	V V • 51 95 •	2010	7 951	9 445	119	70	14	5	4	6	2
Russian		1995	37 512	54	0	54	11	15	6	11	4
Federation		2000	27 467	3 616	13	64 55	4	6	13 14	9	4
	V ~	2009	33 351	32 316	97	52	3	11	20	8	5
	•65 54•	2010 2011	31 416 29 191	30 123 36 747	96 126	50 48	3	12	23 10	7	5 20
San Marino	00 01	1995	20101	00711	-	10	0	0	10		20
		2000 2005	1	1	100	0	0	100	0	0	0
		2009			-						
	•0 0•	2010			_						
Serbia	~	2005	1 105	1 154	104	72	13	5	1	5	4
		2009	977	988	101	80 79	8	6	1	4	2
Serbic 8	87 •	2011	905	894	99	80	7	7	0	4	2
Montenegro		2000	0	267	-	34 82	7	4	3 0	6	33 1
Slovakia	A A ,	1995	788	807	102	64 81	0	16 14	1	4	16 1
	$\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim$	2005	162	158	98	66	26	6	0	1	1
	$\sim$	2009	121	174 177	144 158	82 84	0	14 12	0	2	2
	•64 91 •	2011	96	138	144	91	1	7	0	0	2
Slovenia	•	1995 2000	303 145	270 145	89 100	64 33	26 51	4	2	1 5	3
	$ \land \land$	2005	109	109	100	47	38	12	0	1	3
	$\bigvee$ $\bigvee$ $\bigvee$	2009 2010	85 64	149 123	175 192	24 28	63 57	9 11	1	1	3
Casia	• 90 81 •	2011	82	151	184	37	44	18	0	1	1
Spain	1	2000	3 423		_						
		2005	2 511		-						
	/	2010	2 076	3 574	172	39	32	6	0	1	23
Sweden	• 0 73 •	2011	2 186	3 335	153	42	31	7	0	1	19
		2000	118	112	95	0	79	11	0	2	8
	$\langle / \rangle $	2005	134	255	238	0	74 85	6	0	1	18
		2010	117	289	247	70	15	5	0	1	9
Switzerland	•0 83•	1995	185	247	- 249	51	32	5	1	2	9
		2000	86		-						
		2005	74		-						
	•0 0•	2010	82		-						
Tajikistan	• • • •	1995	1 042	348	33	69	18	7	3	2	0
	$ \land  \land                                $	2000	434 1 745	665 1 729	153	74 74	3	15 4	8	0 7	0
		2009	1 972	1 972	100	75	6	4	8	5	1
	• 88 80 •	2010 2011	2 290 2 174	2 290 2 174	100 100	76 74	4	5 5	11 11	3	1
The Former	,	1995	319	222	70	61	9	13	9	9	0
Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	·	2000 2005	167 178	152 179	91 101	51 62	35 22	4 2	2 0	7 14	1 0
	· / ~ · ·	2009	198	199	101	85	5	4	2	5	0
	• 70 95 •	2010	141	143	98	78	16	4	3	2	0
Turkey	~~~	1995	4 383	2 101	-	^	70	0	^	6	10
	(	2000	7 450	7 450	100	45	73 44	2	0	5	3
	$\sim$	2009	6 007	6 007	100	61	30	3	1	2	3
	• 0 90 •	2010	4 927	4 927	100	60	29	3	1	3	2
Turkmenistan	$\sim$	1995	544 1.017	544 1.017	100	55	18	11	7	2	7
	· / ^	2005	995	995	100	70	14	6	4	5	1
	$\langle V \rangle$	2009	1 370	1 375	100	83	1	5	6	5	1
	•73 0•	2011	1.100		-						
Ukraine	1	1995 2000	8 263 10 738	9 564	116	83		6	7		4
	1 ~	2005			_						
		2009 2010	13 632 9 976	13 111 13 279	96 133	52 51	7	13 13	16 17	8	3
11-3-212	• 83 58 •	2011	10 502	13 714	131	48	10	13	18	7	4
United Kingdom of Great Britain and	· 	1995 2000	1 204		_						
Northern Ireland		2005	1 821	1 348	74	0	68	7	0	1	24
	-	2009 2010	1 256	2 569 2 602	205 217	0	82 81	6 5	0	5 6	7
Ithokistor	• 0 80 •	2011	1 204	2 952	245	0	80	6	0	6	8
OZDEKISTAN	Λ	2000	2 / 35 3 825	≥ 598 1 030	95 27	78 27	53	э 3	6	4 5	3 6
	$\wedge / \sim $	2005	5 695	5 336	94	72	9	6	6	7	1
	- V ,	2009	4 959 4 711	4 959 4 711	100	76	5 5	6	5	5 5	3
	• 78 78 •	2011	4 198	4 198	100	73	5	6	6	6	4

								% OF (	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT
Albania	1995-2011	1995	53	COHONT	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED
	Λ	2000	19		-					10	10
	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	2005	43	21	100	37 38	37 38	10	0	10	13
	V V	2010	30	30	100	43	47	3	0	7	0
Andorra		1995	10	10	-	20	50	0	0	0	0
	/	2000	0		-						
		2009	2	2	100	0	100	0	0	0	0
	•0 0•	2010 • 2011	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	100
Armenia	1	1995	38	6	16	50	0	0	17	33	0
	$I \land \land$	2000	327	327	100	13	28	7	12	37	4
	· /~	2009 2010	542 451	542 451	100 100	9	54 62	8	4 10	15 13	10 4
Austria	• 50 68 •	2011	382	382	100	5	63	4	9	15	3
Austria	ΛΛ,	2000	30	10	33	0	80	0	0	0	20
		2005	26 25	27	104	11	56 38	11 5	0	11 30	24
	· / / ·	2010	29	29	100	14	45	0	0	0	41
Azerbaijan	• 0 43 •	· 2011 1995	20 47	21	105	0	43	14	5	5	33
	$\neg$ /	2000	74	74	100	59	7	5	11	14	4
		2005	2 384	1 687	71	39	14	6	9	13	13
	•0 71.	2010	1 997 2 155	4 194 4 005	210 186	14 8	49 63	3	4	15 12	15 8
Belarus		1995	343		-	0		ÿ	5	.2	v
	).	2000	825 1 049				<u> </u>				
	4	2009	878	616 792	70 71	38 20	4	13 10	7	1	37
	•0 29	2011	1 075	1 020	95	21	8	7	59	3	3
Belgium	Λ	1995 2000	80 89	55	62	16	45	13	0	15	11
	$\sim \ \ \sim$	2005	68	47	69	17	21	19	0	0	43
	$\sim$	2009	87	85	98	8	57	6	0	12	12
Bosnia and	• 0 61 •	2011	59 130	56	95	16	45	9	0	16	14
Herzegovina	$\land \land \land \land \land$	2000	193	122	63	79	15	3	1	2	0
	~ V (	2005	156	106	103	85 52	32	5	3	3	5
	• 0 63	2010	101	101	100	83	12	2	1	1	1
Bulgaria	~ ~	1995	00	101	-	10	10		0	0	20
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000 2005	383 201	198	99	57	10	7	11	14	2
	V	2009	372	384	103	32	38	12	5	8	5
	•0 66•	2010	355	355	100	30	36	9	5	11	8
Croatia	/	1995 2000	42		-						
	/ /	2005	94	92	98	20	13	9	1	1	57
	J	2009	43	37	86	59	16	14	5	3	8
Cyprus	• 0 0 •	2011	0		-						
-71		2000	0		-		100				
	\	2005	3	6	200	17	0	0	0	0	83
	•0 100.	2010	0	0	- 100	67	33	0	0	0	0
Czech Republic		1995	21		-			-		-	
	=	2000 2005	25 34	38 31	152 91	53 16	11 39	8	3	0 3	26 39
		2009	51	62	-	34	34	18	0	2	13
	•0 75•	· 2010	31	32	103	41	31	12	0	9	3
Denmark		1995 2000	6 28	15	_ 54	27	60	7	0	0	7
	$\vee \vee \setminus$	2005	29	22 42	76	27	64 40	5	0	5	0
	$\sim$	2010	46	35	76	20	40	11	3	0	26
Estonia	• 0 0 •	· 2011 1995	22 71								
		2000	116	59	51	54	2	3	0	3	37
		2005	94 80	89	102	34	17	15	6	26	25
	•0 31	2010	79 76	81 75	103	28 15	11 16	11 21	2	15 11	32 36
Finland		1995		70	-	10	10	2.	·		00
		2000	29		-						
	V \	2009 2010	15	14	87	29 38	7	0	0	0	64 54
	• 0 25	2011	13	12	92	25	0	8	0	0	67
France		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005	371		-						
		2009	315		-						
Georgia	•0 0•	2011	261 196	298	152	8	24	12	9	45	2
	$\wedge$	2000	681	470	69	23	31	10	8	29	0
		2005	2 152	2 037	269	19 26	35 34	5	10	15	3
	• 32 61.	2010	1 409 1 310	1 421 1 321	101 101	26 27	35 34	5 4	17 23	11 8	4
Germany		1995			-					-	
	, Мл М	2000	493	63 432	88	51 30	21 36	16 9	3	5	5
	$\lor$ $\lor$ $\lor$	2009	252	344	137	21	44	12	0	5	17
	• 0 58 •	2010	367 300	364 289	99 96	25 17	47 41	12	1	6	27

#### TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes	, retreatment	cases,	1995-2011
-------------------------------	---------------	--------	-----------

								% OF	COHORT			
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED		
Greece	1995-2011	1995	NOTIFIED	CONORT	% NOTIFIED						EVALUATED	
		2000	48		-							
		2005	3		-							
	•0 0•	2010 2011	44 35		-							
Greenland	* *	1995			-							
		2000 2005			-							
		2009	6		-							
	•0 0•	2010	3		_							
Hungary		1995 2000	371	122	- 33	16	20	15	9	11	30	
	N. (	2005	347	333	96	12	37	13	8	11	18	
		2009 2010	211 254	208 254	99 100	35 13	26 49	13	12	6 17	8 9	
Iceland	• 0 0 •	2011	221	0	0							
lociaria	Ι Γ	2000	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
		2005	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
		2010	0	0	-	0	100	0	0	0	0	
Ireland	• 0 100 •	1995	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	1 A.A.	2000	22	10	45 35	40	0	10	10	40	0	
		2009	16	52	325	4	58	8	0	0	31	
	•0 54•	2010 2011	31 27	33 26	106 96	0 50	55 4	15 15	0	3	27 31	
Israel	^	1995		0	-	10	05	60	-	-	0	
	$\sim \sim \sim \sim$	2000 2005	8 7	8 7	100	12 71	25 14	6∠ 14	0	0	0	
	\	2009	9	9	100	56	11	11	0	0	22	
	• 0 50 •	2010	4	5 10	125	40	10	20 10	0	20	20	
Italy	1	1995	625	31 26	- 4	42	6	26 4	10	13 8	3 31	
	$\sim$ /	2005	293	20	-	51	15	-	14	o	51	
		2009 2010	74		-							
	• 48 0 •	2011	100		-							
Kazakhstan		1995 2000	1 320 5 093	2 901	57	62	4	10	14	5	5	
		2005	15 009	4 085	27	46	1	13	14	6	19	
	$\sim $	2009 2010	9 371 9 213	9 392 8 734	100 95	22 23	27 24	9	34	6 5	3 35	
Kurauratan	• 0 36 •	2011	5 969	5 026	84	36	0	11	4	5	44	
Ryfyyzstafi	$\Lambda \sim$	2000	555	278	50	59	15	8	8	6	4	
	\/	2005	847 758	845 924	100	40	31 43	8	9	11	9	
	V	2010	987		-	20				-	-	
Latvia	• 0 56 •	2011 1995	1 035	523	51	49	6	9	22	8	5	
	1	2000	375	205	55	39	2	19	3	8	29	
	$\sim \sim $	2005	147	148	100	43	1	14	0	14	29	
	· V	2010	109	110	101	60	2	6	0	12	20	
Lithuania		1995	128	51	-	45	5	10		12	20	
		2000 2005	509 460	282 455	55 99	45 27	0	21 25	8 4	22 22	5 19	
	4	2009	404	404	100	30	0	24	5	22	20	
	•0 33•	2010	364 369	364 369	100	31	1	18 16	4	22 23	25 25	
Luxembourg		1995	4		-							
		2000	4 0		_							
		2009	0	0	-							
Malka	•0 0•	2011	1	0	0							
Malta	· · ·	1995 2000	0	1	-	0	100	0	0	0	0	
	/	2005	1	1	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
		2009	∠ 3	2	100	0	67	0	0	0	33	
Monaco	• 0 100 •	2011	3	3	100	0	100	0	0	0	0	
		2000	0		-							
		2005			-							
	- 0	2010			-							
Montenegro	•0 0•	2011 2005	27	10	37		20	20			60	
	~~~	2009	11	11	100	45	27	9	0	0	18	
	83•	2010	12	14	100	67	17	8	0	0	8	
Netherlands	\wedge , ,	1995 2000	70	18	26	28	22	6	0	6	39	
		2005	44	28	64	11	68	4	0	7	11	
	\sim / V	2009 2010	46 43	49 44	107 102	4 5	67 61	2 9	0	4 7	22 18	
Nonway	• 0 80 •	2011	38	46	121	0	80	0	Ō	2	17	
Norway	$\Lambda \Lambda$	2000	28 12	3	25	33	0	67	0	0	0	
	$\langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	2005	14	9	64	44	33	22	0	0	0	
	V v C	2010	42	40	95	20	52	15	5	0	8	
Poland	•0 0•	2011	37		-							
	\sim \sim	2000	882	56	6	64	12	14	0	4	5	
	$\langle - \langle - \rangle \rangle$	2005	1 077 688	985 942	91 137	22 30	31 32	6 5	0	32	9 18	
	V	2010	899	899	100	28	33	8	0	10	21	
	• 0 53 •	2011	963	963	100	25	28	10	0	12	24	

EUROPEAN REGION

 $^{\rm a}$ TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

								% OF	COHORT			
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR		SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTE		
Portugal	1995-2011	1995	268	133	50	38	17	6	6	9	24	
	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	2000	481 350	209	43 84	10	66 66	4 10	0	7	14	
		2009	271	265	98	7	62	7	0	8	16	
	• 55 61 •	· 2010	228	204	95	3	58	4	0	2	32	
Republic of Moldova	Λ	1995 2000	148 374	1	- 0	0	0	0	100	0	0	
	\sim	2005	1 777	1 713	96	22	19	13	16	17	13	
	.0	2010	1 689	1 702	101	15	17	14	5	17	32	
Romania		1995	1 480	1 500	-	10	20	15	20	17	4	
	\wedge	2000	3 770 6 938	2 605 6 737	69 97	24 39	20 13	9 10	20 10	17 14	11 14	
		2009 2010	5 401 5 115	5 391 5 118	100 100	38 37	19 18	10 11	12 12	16 17	4 6	
Bussian	• 0 58 •	· 2011	4 669	4 667	100	39	19	11	11	15	5	
Federation	1	2000	18 147	1 694	9	25	24	10	21	9	11	
	$\sim \sim \wedge$	2009	32 569	16 726	51	31	3	13	32	12	9	
	•58 42.	· 2010	45 980 55 159	14 609 26 062	32 47	31 20	4 22	12 10	33 15	12 10	9 23	
San Marino		1995 2000	0		-							
		2005			-							
	•0 0.	2010			-							
Serbia	• •	2005	300	284	95	46	26	10	2	12	3	
	~~	2009	200	203	101	55	21	9	1	10	3	
Serbia &	78 •	1995	162 198	164	101	60	18	5	1	8	9	
Montenegro Slovakia		2000 1995	203 20	21	10	67	10	10	0	14	0	
		2000 2005	120 108	46 101	38 94	78 50	0 38	11 7	2 0	4	4 3	
	× V V	2009	79	79	100	34	48	14	1	0	3	
Olevenia	•0 88•	· 2010	50	50	100	44	40	2	4	2	4	
Siovenia	$\wedge \wedge \wedge /$	2000	30 47	24	51	29	46	4	0	12	8	
		2005	29 8	27 8	93 100	44 12	41 75	4	0	4	7 12	
	•0 100•	2010 2011	11 11	11 11	100 100	18 27	45 73	36 0	0	0	0	
Spain	1	1995 2000	0		-							
		2005	1 078		-							
		2010	324	351	108	25	31	9	0	2	33	
Sweden	• 0 56•	1995	11	388	105	26	30	13	0	2	28	
		2000	40 30	9 16	22 53	0	78 75	0	0	11 0	11 25	
	\bigvee	2009 2010	52	45 52	100	0 21	69 54	13 2	0	7 0	11 23	
Switzerland	• 0 78 •	• 2011 1995	45	45	100	22	56	2	0	4	16	
		2000	63		-							
		2009	51		-							
	•0 0•	· 2010	40 54		_							
Tajikistan	\sim	1995 2000	370		-							
	/ •	2005	2 189 533	1 762 1 618	80 304	29 29	47 43	9	8	6	1	
	•0 71•	2010 2011	985 929	1 732 1 674	176 180	33 29	38 41	11 10	11 13	4	1	
The Former		1995	25		-							
of Macedonia	$ \land \land$	2005	103	97	94	24	33	7	2	32	2	
	11	2009	52	52	100	39 29	39 37	17	2	12	2	
Turkey	• 0 78 •	· 2011 1995	55	55	100	38	40	9	4	7	2	
	\frown	2000 2005	808 2 550	<u>1 59</u> 3	62	24	46	5	2	12	11	
	, r	2009 2010	1 445 1 368	1 459 1 368	101 100	29 25	44 43	3 5	2 2	9 7	13 17	
Turkmenistan	• 0 68 •	2011	1 262	1 262	100	22	46	4	2	10	16	
	1	2000	1 965	495	25	66	9	7	11	6	1	
	\sim V	2009	142	142	-	42	20	15	10	3	<u> </u>	
Illerai	·0 0·	2010 2011	82		-							
Ukraine	٨	1995 2000	1 889 3 210		-							
	//~	2005	5 477	10 424	190	18	29	14	22	12	5	
	•0 34•	2010	5 114 11 488	9 812 6 413	192 56	17 26	29 8	14 16	23 33	10 9	7 7	
United Kingdom of		1995		0.10	=	20	Ÿ		55	5		
Northern Ireland	/ ~	2005	460	147	32	0	57	4	0	3	36	
		2009 2010	576	791 576	100	0	79 74	7	0	5	9 12	
Uzbekistan	• 0 80 •	· 2011 1995	524	492	94	0	80	6	0	6	8	
	$/ \Lambda \sim \sim$	2000 2005	347 9 015	764 3 999	220 44	20 28	55 41	8 9	8 7	9 14	0 1	
	/ / v ~~	2009	2 451	2 451	100 08	30	39 48	11	7 5	9	5	
	• 0 72 •	2010	1 074	1 074	100	20 40	40 32	9	10	9 8	4	

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

% OF TB KNOW 2	PATIENTS WITH N HIV STATUS YEA 005–2012	% OF TE PATIENTS V KNOWN H STATUS	NUMBER OF TB VITH PATIENTS WITH IV KNOWN HIV S STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Albania	200	05 15 10 42 11 39	81 186 170	540 445 431	1 0 2	1.2 0 1.2	100	100	5
• 15 Andorra	55 • 20 20	12 55 05	233	420 10 7	7	3	100	100	2
	20 11 • 20	11 0 12 11	0 1	4 9	0	0		00	
Armenia	200 200 200	05 12 10 70 11 95	270 1 242 1 499	2 322 1 780 1 582	6 17 49	2.2 1.4 3.3	83 47 80	33 41 80	
• 12 Austria	100 • 20 20 20 20	12 100 05 10	1 518	1 518 954 688 687	79	5.2	70	70	0
Azerbaijan	- 20 20 20	12 05 10 75	6 290	648 7 920 8 394	48	0.76			62
Belarus	96 • 20 96 • 20 20	11 74 12 96 05 10 93	7 448 7 849 5 153	6 357 5 554	129 139 190	1.6 3.7		49	21
-	20 100 • 20	11 100 12 100	5 118 5 246	5 118 5 246	217 229	4.2 4.4		29 67	32 258
• 82	20 20 20 56 • 20	10 87 11 81 12 56	937 969 845 556	1 144 1 115 1 044 987	52 66 44 43	5.5 6.8 5.2 7.7			
Bosnia and Herzegovina	200	05 10 0 11 4.7	0 65	2 160 1 390 1 385	0	0			0
Bulgaria	20 20 20 20	12 3.9 05 0.7 10 67 11 71	23 1 773 1 698	3 302 2 649 2 407	0 2 5	0.11	0	100 100	
• 1 Croatia	66 • 20 20 20 20	12 66 05 10	1 513	2 280 1 144 695 619	3 1 4	0.2	0	100	1
Cyprus	- 20 20 20 20	12 05 0 10	0	37 61 54	0				1
• 0 Czech Republic	- 20 - 20 20 20	12 05 19 10 26	189 177	69 1 007 678	2 5	1.1 2.8			I
• 19 Denmark	20 22 • 20 20	11 26 12 22 05	153	600 605 424	4 6 8	2.6 4.4			
	20 20 - 20	10 0 11 73 12	0 277	359 381	0 10	3.6			
Estonia	20	05 94 10 91 11 92	490 298 315	519 329 341	33 34 46	6.7 11 15	0	47 61	
Finland	200 200 200	12 93 05 0.83 10 0.92 11 0.92	3 3 3	361 327 325	43 3 3 3	100 100 100		62	
• 1 France	- 20 20 20 20 20	12 05 10 24 11 27	1 233 1 354	274 5 374 5 116 4 942	121 95	9.8 7			
Georgia	- 20 20 20 20 20 20	12 05 10 10 32 11 46	674 1 841 2 550	6 448 5 796 5 533	13 35 50	1.9 1.9 2	54 63 56	100 77 76	61
• 10 Germany	38 • 20 20 20	12 38 05 10	1 881	4 974 6 045 4 330 4 316	33	1.8	79	79	97
Greece	- 20 20 20	12 05 10		4 238 767 489					
Greenland	- 20 - 20 20 20	12 05 10		116					
– Hungary	20 - 20 20 20	11 12 05 10 <0.1	1	115 84 2 024 1 741	1	100		100	
- Iceland		11 <0.1 12 05 91 10 95	1 10 21	1 445 <u>1 223</u> 11 22	1	100 10 4.8	100 0	100 100 0	
• 91	20 100 • 20 200	11 100 12 100 05 6.1	9 11 28	9 11 461 427	0 0 11	0 0 39			
• 6	20 20 27 • 20 20 20	10 23 11 30 12 27 05 85	98 128 97 316	427 425 366 372	10 21 14 17	16 14 5.4			
	20 20 99 • 20	10 90 11 92 12 99	308 384 503	343 418 509	13 24 16	4.2 6.2 3.2			
	20 20 20	10 11		3 249 3 521					
	- 20	12							
Kazakhstan	- 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 98 • 20	12 05 77 10 84 11 85 12 98	31 187 23 854 22 480 21 184	40 429 28 550 26 304 21 523	183 333 352 441	0.59 1.4 1.6 2.1	41 26 20 16	7.7 7.5 9.1 58	1 063 1 329 862

TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Latvia	\sim	2005 2010 2011	85 85 85	1 226 794 752	1 443 934 885	53 71 71	4.3 8.9 9.4	41	55 76 66	
Lithuania	- 85 85	· 2012 2005 2010 2011 2012	85	844	993 2 574 1 938 1 904 1 781	114 7 19	14	39	57	
Luxembourg		2005 2010 2011			37 29 26					
Malta		- 2012 2005 2010 2011	4.3 81 91	1 26 30	45 23 32 33	0 3 5	0 12 17			0 4
Monaco	• 4 98	2005 2010 2011 2012	98	42	43	4	9.5			
Montenegro		2005 2010 2011	4.7 74 82	8 84 92	170 114 112	0 1 0	0 1.2 0	0	100	
Netherlands	.5 77	2012 2005 2010 2011	77 22 38 49	82 252 413 490	107 1 157 1 073 1 007	0 61 48 31	0 24 12 6.3			21
Norway	• 22 42	2012 2005 2010 2011	42 0	407 0	958 290 339 361	28	6.9			
Poland	••	- 2012 2005 2010	0.29	22	9 280 7 509	3 22 26	100			
Portugal	0	2011 2012 2005 2010 2011	0.31 0.34 70 65 86	26 2 485 1 720 2 185	7 542 3 536 2 626 2 540	571 303 315	23 18 14	100	100	
Republic of Moldova		2012 2005 2010 2011	65 100 95 94	1 672 6 469 5 192 5 017 5 017	2 590 6 278 5 447 5 341	291 9 308 285	17 0.14 5.9 5.7	9.7	31	0
Romania		2005 2010 2011	37 37 50	5 348 10 860 7 833 9 608	5 341 29 347 21 078 19 212	303 160 241 244	5.7 1.5 3.1 2.5	41 59	34 89 90	133 145
Russian Federation		2005 2010 2011	55	9 699 85 537 84 669 79 494	154 379 162 553 159 479	3 533 3 633 4 104	4.1	/6	200	174
San Marino	• 55 -	2005 2010 2011		75 995	149 921	4 880				
Serbia		- 2012 2005 2010 2011	<0.1 0.67 3.2	3 16 72	3 468 2 385 2 216	3 12 6	100 75 8.3	430 0 0	400 100 100	4
Slovakia		2012 2005 2010 2011	2 95 100 99	39 720 439 395	1 917 760 439 399	6 1 1 0	15 0.14 0.23 0	0 0 100	100 100 100	0
Slovenia	•95 93	2012 2005 2010 2011	93 38 76 77	322 107 130 147	345 278 172 192	0 0 1 0	0 0 0.77 0			0
Spain	• 38 75	2012 2005 2010 2011	75 69 68	104 4 909 4 569	138 8 359 7 089 6 762	0 456 414	0 9.3 9.1			
Sweden	- 70	2012 2005 2010 2011	700	<u>4 179</u> 0	5 991 569 675 586	370	8.9			
Switzerland	• 0	- 2012 2005 2010 2011			632 563 548 578					
Tajikistan		- 2012 2005 2010 2011	8.9 53 82	670 4 049 6 241	463 7 526 7 641 7 609	1 100 115	0.15 2.5 1.8	0 73 70	0 54 57	0 315
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	•9 92	2012 2005 2010 2011	92 0.3 9.3 12	<u>6 375</u> 2 39 45	6 929 658 420 362	88 2 0 0	1.4 100 0 0	<u>80</u> 0	<u>89</u> 100	157 0 0
Turkey	•0 41	2012 2005 2010 2011	41 0 3.5 46	145 0 581 7 241	355 21 303 16 551 15 679	0 0 14 29	0 2.4 0.4	36 48	64 93	0
Turkmenistan	• 0 59	2012 2005 2010 2011	59	8 646 3 230	14 691 3 291 3 230	45 0	0.52	49	78	
Ukraine	-	- 2012 2005 2010 2011	95 74	34 621 31 776	39 608 36 409 42 676	1 526 5 752 4 157	17 13	0	39 63	5 029
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	- 75	2012 2005 2010 2011	75	34 181	45 569 8 633 8 483 8 963	4 726 378 326	14	72	71	14 352
Uzbekistan		- 2012 2005 2010 2011	120 100 100	35 801 20 330 15 913	8 751 28 891 20 330 15 913	147 427 546	0.41 2.1 3.4	0 92 96	0 37 32	2 630
	• 124 100	• 2012	100	16 810	16 810	820	4.9	95	13	2 010

		τοται		NEW PL	ILMONARY CASE	s	PREVIOUSL	Y TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Albania	2005 2010 2011 2012	1 2 5 1	1 7 (0-4 9)	17 (<0 1-9 1)	161 186 194 172	75 76 87 76	0 (0-6 3)	12 19 11 15	28 63 61 52
Andorra	2005 2010 2011	0 0 0	0 (0 4 0)	0 (0 4 0)	9 4 1	150 100 100	0 (0 0)	0	- 100
Armenia	2012 2005 2010 2011	162 177 79	0 (0-4.9)	0 (0-4.9)	576 361 439	99 87 96	0 (0-0)	182 99 90	56 22 24
Austria	2012 2005 2010	92 13 15	250 (220–280)	82 (61–110)	420 570 203	94 110 99	170 (150–190)	91 16 15	23 62 52
Azerbaijan	2011 2012 2005 2010	19 27 800 552	18 (6.7–30)	11 (5.1–20)	257 254 453 801	95 93 29 19	7.3 (0.91–21)	11 25 366 960	55 62 11 48
Belarus	2011 2012 2005	811 596	2 800 (2 600–3 000)	810 (670–960)	569	25	2 000 (1 800–2 200)	151	7.0
Belgium	2010 2011 2012 2005	1576 1594 1604 11	2 200 (2 100-2 200)	1 200 (1 100–1 300)	2084 2164 588	90 94 90 89	960 (920-1 000)	948 1183 41	88 84 60
	2010 2011 2012	19 15 20	15 (5.8–25)	6.3 (2.5–13)	466 524 503	97 94 95	8.9 (2.5–21)	52 35 53	60 59 68
Bosnia and Herzegovina	2005 2010 2011 2012	11 2 7 7	13 (2.0–24)	1.6 (<0.1-8.9)	1035 600 704 724	100 100 99 97	12 (3.2-28)	106 47 41 66	68 47 63 55
Bulgaria	2005 2010 2011	47 56 55			482 801 588	40 85 62		691 165 145	340 47 41
Croatia	2012 2005 2010 2011	49 6 0 8	100 (78–130)	32 (18–51)	586 353		/3 (52-98)	61 40	45
Cyprus	2012 2005 2010 2011	1 0		_	16 14 25		_	0 0 2	0
Czech Republic	2011 2012 2005 2010	0 13 9	1.7 (0-5.0)	1.7 (<0.1-8.8)	40 562 352	98 93 100 97	0 (0-5.1)	2 20 28	33 59 55
Denmark	2011 2012 2005 2010	7 4 5 2	9.8 (2.3–17)	7.3 (2.7–16)	392 371 307 209	96 93 140 98	2.5 (<0.1-12)	16 26 18 30	52 65 62 65
Estonia	2010 2011 2012 2005	3 1 79	_	_	257	100 	-	14	64 76
Finland	2010 2011 2012	63 78 62	70 (56–85)	42 (31–56)	197 210 193	100 100 100	28 (20–36)	61 52 46	77 68 82
T IIIIanu	2003 2010 2011 2012	6 5 3	2.7 (0-5.6)	2.7 (0.55–7.6)	184 237 206	96 97 99	0 (0-4.2)	7 8 14	47 62 78
France	2005 2010 2011 2012	24 23 40			1291 1187 1232	47 120 73		112 91 110	30 29 42
Georgia	2005 2010 2011	195 359 475			799 1987 2197	53 80 83		515 558 675	24 40 52
Germany	2012 2005 2010 2011	346 105 48 56	630 (570-690)	260 (220-300)	1931 3094 2215 2382	84 98 110 91	370 (330–420)	541 251 184 148	45 51 50 49
Greece	2012 2005 2010	64 12 2	62 (44-81)	37 (25–52)	2198 497 115	89 170 37	26 (13-43)	116 0 15	47 0 34
Greenland	2011 2012 2005 2010	5		_	148	44 	_	11	- - -
Hungary	2011 2012 2005 2010	26 19	1.6 (1.0-2.2)	1.4 (0.69–2.0)	442	- - 62 92	0.24 (0.18-0.29)	88 80	- - 25 31
Iceland	2010 2011 2012 2005	30 12 0	31 (15–46)	23 (11–42)	411 411 7	73 79 140	7.3 (3.0–14)	68 31 1	31 37 100
Ireland	2010 2011 2012 2005	0 0 1 3	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0 (0-4.2)	19 4 <u>4</u> 200	120 80 100 110	1.0 (<0.1–1.0)	0 0 1 10	- 0 100 25
	2010 2011 2012	2 3 5	1.8 (0-4.4)	1.8 (0.22-6.6)	200 176 190	130 85 97	0 (0-4.9)	22 15 17	71 56 68
Israel	2005 2010 2011 2012	16 12 11 17	22 (12-32)	19 (11-30)	259 245 275 318	110 120 99 98	3.7 (0.48-8.5)	6 2 9 6	86 50 90 55
Italy	2005 2010 2011	17		13 (11-30)	510		0.7 (0.70-0.3)	0	
Kazakhstan	2012 2005 2010 2011	7387 7408	_		5214 5293	- 100 83		4655 4790	- 51 80
Kyrgyzstan	2012 2005 2010	7608 989 566	7 000 (6 900–7 200)	2 700 (2 600–2 800)	8154 837 225	140 20 14	4 300 (4 300-4 400)	10443 152 264	130 18 27
	2011 2012	806 958	1 800 (1 600-2 000)	1 100 (910-1 200)	1659	- 99	730 (690-770)	831	- 78

TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 20	2005-2012
---	-----------

		NEW PULMONARY CASES		S	PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES				
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Latvia	2005 2010 2011 2012	160 87 105 110	120 (100-140)	87 (69–110)	873 613 562 666	100 100 96 97	36 (26-48)	182 102 82 100	89 94 85 88
Lithuania	2005 2010 2011	338 310 296	200 (070 220)	150 (120 170)	1293 959 1031 1017	100 100 100	150 (140, 170)	440 360 369	96 99 100
Luxembourg	2012 2005 2010 2011	0 0 2	300 (270-330)	150 (120-170)	36 17 7	110 120 100	150 (140-170)	0	
Malta	2012 2005 2010	0 0 1	0 (0-0.98)	0 (0-0)	0 11 11		0 (0-0.98)	1	100 - 67
Monaco	2011 2012 2005 2010	0	0 (0–0)	0 (0-7.2)	17 13 1	89 81 	0 (0-0.98)	0 1	0 100 –
Montenegro	2011 2012 2005	2	_	_	82	88	-	14	52
Netherlands	2010 2011 2012 2005	0 1 0 7	0 (0–0)	0 (0-5.0)	61 57 58 709	100 100 <u>98</u> 130	0 (0-6.8)	12 13 5 30	100 110 <u>38</u> 68
Nonionalido	2010 2011 2012	11 15 11	9.1 (3.5–15)	7.4 (3.5–13)	741 695 628	160 99 99	1.8 (<0.1–9.0)	29 22 28	67 58 57
Norway	2005 2010 2011	3 8 4			193 139 229	150 100 97		8 21 22	57 50 59
Poland	2005 2010 2011	72 30 41			5409 3238 4416	120 81 88		468 577	52 60
Portugal	2012 2005 2010 2011	31 28 19 22	48 (31–65)	30 (18–47)	4073 1407 982 1155	90 77 77 73	18 (9.0–32)	535 172 94 97	61 49 41 45
Republic of Moldova	2012 2005 2010	17 338 1082	35 (21–50)	25 (15-41)	1219 536 1381	72 32 49	9.7 (3.2–22)	102 652 1140	54 37 67
Romania	2011 2012 2005 2010	894 530 502	1 700 (1 600–1 800)	810 (730-890)	1379 1264 1594 3338	67 13 39	930 (880–980)	933 1300 2011	68 63 19 39
Russian	2011 2012 2005 2010	530 500	800 (610-980)	320 (210-480)	3855 3645	41 40 - 72	480 (350-630)	2171 1864	46 43 - 29
San Marino	2011 2012 2005	13785 13612	46 000 (43 000-49 000)	20 000 (18 000-22 000)	34007 32647	78 79 -	25 000 (23 000–28 000)	13620 12324	25 24 -
Orthin	2010 2011 2012		-	-	4440		-	101	
Serbia	2005 2010 2011 2012	9 12 9 9	20 (7.0–33)	13 (4.9–29)	811 863 716	76 67 91 84	6.5 (1.3–18)	121 113 100 83	40 56 62 46
Slovakia	2005 2010 2011	8 1 5	19 (0 5 3)	0.(0.6.2)	248 185 147	82 100 92	18(-0102)	56 32 29	52 58 58
Slovenia	2005 2010 2011	1 0 0	1.6 (0=0.0)	0 (0-0.2)	217 123 171	110 100 100	1.0 (<0.1-9.3)	28 9 11	97 82 100
Spain	2012 2005 2010 2011	0 49 41	0 (0–0)	0 (0-3.5)	114 1009 1013	100 - 34 24	0 (0-3.7)	12 110 96	86 - 34 26
Sweden	2012 2005 2010	37 4 18	31 (13–49)	8.5 (1.0-31)	802 425 288	24 21 150 100	22 (10-41)	69 17 24	20 22 57 46
Switzerland	2011 2012 2005 2010	17 14 5 9	11 (5.0–18)	8.1 (4.1–14)	375 453 326 270	100 100 150 130	3.2 (0.40-11)	31 24 30 33	69 62 61 82
Tajikistan	2011 2012 2005	8 8	8.6 (2.4–15)	2.6 (0.53-7.4)	304 246	98 98 -	6.1 (1.7–14)	40 31	74 66 –
The Fermer	2010 2011 2012	333 604 694	910 (800-1 000)	490 (390-620)	160 161 919	7.0 7.4 45	420 (390-450)	223 415 496	23 45 66
Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	2005 2010 2011 2012	4 7 1 4	4.8 (0.47-9.1)	0 (0-5.7)	153 130 155	110 72 81	4.8 (1.4–11)	28 25 26	54 45 84
Turkey	2005 2010 2011	191 250 262	500 (400 500)	070 (000 010)	3237 4342 4221	38 64 63	050 (000 000)	508 615 602	20 45 48
Turkmenistan	2012 2005 2010 2011 2012	291 38 158	⊃∠u (460–580) _	270 (230-310)	4742 81 306	7.0	200 (220-290)	641 63 156	55 77
Ukraine	2005 2010 2011	5336 4305	6 800 /0 500 7 000	4 100 /0 000 / 000	9194 10352	- 66 61	0.600 (0.000 0.700)	4840 4413	 95 38
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	2012 2005 2010 2011	6934 39 60 81	6 800 (6 500-7 000)	4 100 (3 900-4 300)	11185 3428 3970 4549	100 150 95	2 600 (2 600-2 700)	5925 271 247 234	72 59 43 45
Uzbekistan	2012 2005 2010 2011 2012	81 86 1023 1385 1728	69 (54-85) 4 000 (3 700-4 300)	54 (42-70) 2 400 (1 800-3 000)	4570 0 2845 484 2703	97 0 60 9.5 56	15 (8.1–25)	244 435 1180 123 798	51 4.8 26 11 30

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES). ^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case	notification by age	and sex, 1995-2012
------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------

		_			MA	LE				_			FEM	ALE .				
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN-	0-14	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN-	MALE:FEMALE
Albania	1995	0	0	0	0	19	40	30	KNOWN	0	1	0	0	13	20	16	KNOWN	1.8
	2000	2	19	21	14	24	19	16	0	3	11	10	8	8	5	11		2.1
	2005	0	26	17	14	16	20	37	0	2	11	9	5	3	2	18	0	2.7
	2011	0	29 33	26 34	18 16	30 15	9 11	22 23	0	1	14 17	10 9	6	2	1	12 12	0	2.9
Andorra	1995	-										0					<u> </u>	-
	2000 2005	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.67
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	2012	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	-
Armenia	1995 2000	1	18 152	16 130	11 131	10 63	8 26	1 21		1	1 24	27	2 24	1	1	4		5.0 5.5
	2005	3	170	104	83	84 68	30	24	0	3	27	21	10	11	4	7	0	6.0
	2010	0	28	65	52	71	42	8	0	0	19	16	9	7	7	5	0	4.2
Austria	2012 1995	4	23 37	67 95	60 82	56 89	34 71	18 73	0	6	13	19 52	32	2	18	59	0	4.6
	2000	1	17	30 23	59 22	42 41	23 24	41 30	0	1	11 13	22	12	11	6	22 10	0	2.5
	2010	0	4	4	12	13	8	10	0	1	5	4	2	2	5	6	0	2.0
	2011 2012	0	8 5	11 8	9 7	13 19	11 9	13 13	0	0	11 10	6 8	4	1	3	4 5	0	2.2
Azerbaijan	1995	0	13	29	14	6	4	1		0	5	18	0	0	0	0		2.9
	2000	77	109	24 297	215	209	187	88	0	90	64	98	47	32	24	24	0	9.2 3.1
	2010 2011	0	328	371	267	280	30	27		3	141	100	57	73	9	18		3.2
Poloru-	2012	4	230	223	170	176	95	48	0	8	115	89	35	50	35	23	0	2.7
Delarus	2000																	-
	2005	n	71 65	180 173	273 224	287	118	62 58	0	1	25 28	53 52	50	43 37	11 28	62 91	n	4.1
	2011	1	53	156	228	290	138	48		3	37	67	47	39	27	83		3.0
Belgium	2012	0	44 23	174 49	250 63	266 52	158 54	102	0	1	34	64 24	47	45	28	93 34	0	3.1 2.6
-	2000	3	20	57 50	39	55 27	32	56 47	0	6	15	15	19 15	4	13	27	0	2.6
	2003	4	20	39	30	29	21	19	0	6	13	18	19	11	5	10	0	2.0
	2011 2012	8 3	25 25	50 33	33 18	25 27	18 22	27 18	0	3	13 23	14 23	9 17	3 9	5 7	7 5	0	3.4 1.6
Bosnia and	1995	0	15	61	90	140	139	100		0	40	67	64	49	77	23		1.7
TICIZOGOVINA	2005	1	22	58	61	78	44	80	1	2	35	39	33	28	28	130	0	1.2
	2010 2011	1	27 33	37 32	34 52	61 75	46 61	51 62	0	0	27 17	19 27	16 17	10 13	18 25	94 128	0	1.4 1.4
Bulgaria	2012	1	23	32	58	74	62	92	1	0	33	26	21	10	25	116	1	1.5
Dulgana	2000	0	13	16	20	3	9	10		0	11	14	7	3	4	6	_	1.6
	2005	9	98 40	150	195	195	150 90	136	0	3	90 42	59	43	29	37	34	0	2.3
	2011	2	38 46	100	110 130	122	92 82	61 57	0	2	41 37	40 50	36	28 24	14 16	30 35	0	2.7
Croatia	1995	6	38	97	210	132	178	141	0	10	50	57	57	38	60	130	0	2.0
	2000 2005	1	24	27	48	72	47	34	0	1	12	18	15	11	6	56	0	2.1
	2010	0	10	19	18	38	25	24	0	1	3	8	4	2	1	30	0	2.7
	2011	0	12	5	20	51	31	21	0	0	12	14	14	0	,	20	0	-
Cyprus	1995 2000	0	1	1	0	1	1	2		0	1	1	1	2	0	1		1.0
	2005	0	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.0
	2010	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2.7
Czech Republic	2012 1995	2	10	4 22	83	88	1 53	90	0	0	3	11	20	13	0 19	88	0	1.1
	2000	0	7	31	52 57	89	61	59	0	0	15	13	9	10	7	57	ō	2.7
	2003	0	12	19	36	29	29	19	0	0	6	10	11	7	2	20	0	2.6
	2011 2012	0	10 7	29 21	20 24	38 42	28 33	24 22	0	0	4	9 11	4 8	4 3	3 7	15 26	0	3.8 2.5
Denmark	1995	0	7	16	28	18	9	11		2	7	13	8	4	3	2		2.3
	2005	0	12	12	18	23	9	7	0	2	11	5	13	9	3	5	0	1.7
	2010 2011	0	8 5	22 14	10 18	13 32	16 16	2	0	0	4 5	5 5	15 9	8 7	8 2	4	0	1.6 2.5
Estopia	2012																	-
Estoria	2000	0	6	31	53	56	35	15		0	9	11	14	11	4	10		3.3
	2005	0	9	25 7	19 21	40 25	12	7	0	0	6	11 5	8	11	6	8	0	2.2
	2011	0	4	22	16	14	18	13	0	0	4	8	12	3	3	6	0	2.4
Finland	1995	1	1	10	25	21	24	61	0	1	1	6	7	4	10	65	0	1.6
	2000 2005	0	3	8 4	22 3	19 14	28 11	53 25	0	0	1	5 4	3	4	6 6	49 20	0	2.0
	2010	0	10	6	8	9	8	18	0	0	3	2	4	1	2	11	0	2.6
	2011	0	2	4 9	4	7 5	9	27 21	0	1	4	3 0	5 4	3	3	13	0	2.1
France	1995 2000	30 10	156 136	431 248	502 247	414 211	297 125	496 244		36 18	138 108	226 127	176 89	90 46	92 43	365 155		2.1
	2005	12	127	212	222	196	134	205	0	16	104	134	82	56	38	180	0	1.8
	2010 2011	10 12	60 88	139 112	114 116	99 94	76 73	110 101	0	10	47 58	76 67	49 48	45 36	25 23	97 65	0	1.7 2.0
Georgia	2012	2	20	20	25	40	18	10	-	2	8	17	17	18	7	5	-	- 20
Goorgia	2000	4	76	111	113	63	45	28		1	49	37	33	17	10	5		2.9
	2005	0	226 340	272 529	268 341	207 264	76 143	60 77	0	4	109 135	105 118	58 62	46 52	17 28	47	0	2.9 3.9
	2011	5	271	478	333	251	139	93	0	8	136	132	59	32	35	54	0	3.4
Germany	2012 1995	4	200 179	314 453	248 539	235 460	150 442	81 625	0	5	101	116 251	167	43 89	32 104	47 397	0	3.0 2.4
	2000	6	59	113	171	167	92	167	n	4	51	104	73	43	37	103	n	- 1.9
	2010	1	43	92	97	141	87	136	0	3	44	63	61	38	26	76	0	1.9
	2011	1	43 43	99 96	113	141 147	69 105	131 99	0	2	44 49	92 99	59 37	54 47	26 24	86 55	U 1	1.0

MALE FEMALE MALE:FEMALE UN-KNOWN 0–14 15–24 25-34 35-44 45–54 55-64 UN-KNOWN 0-14 15–24 25–34 35–44 45–54 55–64 YEAR 65+ 65+ RATIO Greece 2000 2.7 2010 2011 27 30 20 26 19 19 22 38 3 1.8 30 24 14 20 1 3 2.8 1995 Greenland 2005 2010 2011 2.2 1.3 0 10 2 0 3 3 1 0 8 1 1 2 3 0 1995 2000 1.8 Hungary 2.9 24 117 3.2 2.3 2.7 2010 36 23 16 15 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 15 14 2.0 Iceland 2000 2010 2011 0 0 0 0 2.0 0 0 ò 1.0 1995 Ireland 0.74 8 0 0 9 10 11 0 0 8 2.1 1.6 1995 2000 2.0 Israe 2.0 12 8 6 10 28 1.4 2.3 2.2 202 157 93 Italy 2.0 2.3 2010 40 75 66 32 31 58 41 57 41 22 22 54 6 1 1.8 1.5 1995 Kazakhstan 1 057 1 409 1 379 1 079 1.6 15 33 15 132 117 2010 2011 754 675 595 251 127 566 520 263 205 122 0 0 511 1.7 0 1.6 109 128 165 205 1995 2000 171 <u>98</u> 30 94 Kyrgyzstar 84 70 1.6 188 179 223 199 77 86 41 30 0 0 0 0 60 55 47 1.4 210 255 184 195 173 42 27 37 1.4 1.6 2012 1995 2000 Latvia 106 34 1.2 104 65 17 3.4 2010 2011 39 15 18 15 10 12 13 3.0 16 2.6 19 14 15 74 08 37 41 32 49 Lithuania 3.8 68 67 54 55 2005 2010 2011 42 118 186 187 57 23 54 2.9 2.8 0 0 25 52 126 158 77 0 31 37 38 45 3.0 2.6 1995 2000 3.1 Luxembourg 1.6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.0 Malta 0.25 2000 0 0 0 2010 2011 3.0 6.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 3.5 Monaco _ 2011 _ _ 2005 2010 Montenegro 4 0 0 0.86 0 2.0 1.8 Netherlands 2000 0 9 25 2.0 2.4 0 0 2.1 q 15 31 14 18 9 18 2.6 2012 1995 2000 Norway 1.6 2.1 2010 2011 C 1.8 7 1 0 0 0 1 9 3 0.82 Poland 782 99 211 2.2 2.4 2010 2011 82 99 439 69 187 314 560 275 0 67 96 90 130 255 0 2.6 2.5

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

96 106 102

100 226

n

2.6

82 183

306 471

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

	-				MAL	.E							FEMA	ALE .				
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN-	0-14	15-24	25–34	35-44	45–54	55-64	65+	UN-	MALE:FEMALE
Portugal	1995	11	215	363	328	200	173	164	i di offici	7	139	172	87	33	42	85		2.6
	2000	8	147 85	375 227	349 284	208 181	140 90	140 93	5	5	114 67	154 109	87 66	41 29	25 11	64 42	1	2.8
	2010	3	55	110	199	152	70	76	0	3	54	62	54	36	10	28	0	2.7
	2011 2012	3	56 56	87 103	177 187	172	75 79	74 75	3	4	43 52	58 62	56 66	30 28	12 19	25 32	1	2.8 2.5
Republic of Moldova	1995 2000	0 2	55 52	115 31	166 36	95 13	65 13	15 6		2	42 16	38 32	31 45	19 23	10 14	12 6		3.3 1.1
	2005	2	211	337	345	313	106	31	0	3	97	92	57	61	23	18	0	3.8
	2010	2	94	243 257	244 250	248 267	107	21	0	ь З	47 66	90 79	46 51	47	23	20 14	0	3.5 3.6
Romania	2012 1995	0 387	99 1 662	234 2 322	256 3 608	284 2 587	131	31 784	0	3 355	58 1 352	95 1 240	48 871	56 479	26 396	25 417	0	3.3 2.6
	2000	46	832	1 508	1 799	1 684	916	533	4	53	701	766	484	341	207	321	2	2.5
	2010	21	669	865	1 336	1 293	895	567	0	40	503	477	400	275	172	438	0	2.4
	2011 2012	19 17	623 556	813 764	1 192 1 297	1 104 1 053	837 831	541 495	0	26 22	475 431	513 433	407 371	214 188	196 184	426 435	0	2.3 2.4
Russian Federation	1995 2000	1	295	526	596	402	151	54		1	43	73	74	38	31	44		6.7
	2005	0	0.000	0.070	5 574	5.004	0.707	000	0		1.047	0.554	1 710	4 400	745	700		
	2010	15	1 826	5 726	5 338	4 928	2 664	920 845	0	28	1 1 1 3 9	2 394 2 394	1 643	1 166	745	790 752	0	2.8 2.7
San Marino	2012 1995	17	1 568	5 472	5 115	4 446	2 629	839	0	31	997	2 292	1 595	1 081	637	748	0	2.7
	2000							1										-
	2003																	-
	2011 2012																	
Serbia	2005 2010	3	62 76	96 70	118 93	156 116	112 83	132 109	0	6	69 66	76 74	55 46	49 39	22 34	149 164	0	1.6
	2011	2	60	73	74	122	112	101	0	5	46	59	43	30	20	129	0	1.6
Serbia &	1995	10	108	204	317	296	350	386	U	4	127	167	133	83	158	275	0	1.4
Montenegro Slovakia	2000 1995	4	18	44	123	108	63	152		5	16	17	22	24	33	159		- 1.9
	2000	2	6	15	31	50 25	16	32	0	0	5	9	7	5	4	54 27	0	1.8
	2003	1	7	7	18	17	17	15	0	0	1	6	7	2	3	11	0	2.7
	2011 2012	0	6 2	8 9	6 17	20 20	16 12	13 7	0 0	0	2 2	3	4	6 6	1	11 13	0	2.6 2.3
Slovenia	1995 2000	1	13	39 11	63 36	36 22	26 14	27 17		0	7	24 9	11	9	5	42 20		2.1
	2005	0	4	10	16	15	11	14	0	0	4	4	6	5	4	16	0	1.8
	2010 2011	0	4	9	10 16	9 12	6 8	12 5	0	0	1	5	2	4	1	3 17	0	3.0 1.8
Spain	2012 1995	0	2 132	6 337	4 242	8 150	6 112	5 228	0	0 23	2	3 129	0 64	1 39	1 34	9 98	0	1.9
	2000	12	166	204	267	220	140	220	2	10	142	252	151	62	24	109	2	- 21
	2005	6	139	306	291	286	140	184	1	14	130	252	151	54	24	76	0	1.9
	2011 2012	15 10	135 112	325 259	292 299	277 276	162 156	197 220	2 3	15 15	142 101	249 202	161 161	75 70	30 24	100 74	0 1	1.8 2.1
Sweden	1995 2000	1	5	12 10	8 12	5 11	4	27 25		0	10 9	13	5 10	5	4	14 15		1.2
	2005	0	7	21	16	10	5	16	0	1	10	15	12	5	3	13	0	1.3
	2010	1	10 14	28 15	8 12	5	5	13	0	2	9 12	16 9	11	4	2	3	0	1.5
Switzerland	2012 1995	0	8	16 23	8 26	9 23	8	13 27	0	0	11	10 20	3	7	2	6 15	0	1.6
	2000	0	5	17	10	7	6	6	0	1	8	11	7	2	1	5	0	1.5
	2003	0	6	12	9	6	5	8	0	0	7	15	6	4	1	3	0	1.3
	2011 2012	2 0	8 3	16 18	10 8	13 6	7 5	3 11	0	2	6 7	13 15	2 7	4	2 2	2 4	0	1.9 1.4
Tajikistan	1995 2000																	
	2005	8	308	279	164	104	54	48	0	26	225	185	151	89	43	53	0	1.2
	2010	8	343	365	181	128	75	74	0	31	314	229	104	100	105	114	0	1.2
The Former	2012 1995	2	346	320	169 45	124	75 29	24	0	16 2	243	243	105	99	94	127	0	1.2
Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	2000 2005	5 2	8 14	14 20	20 23	19 20	20 18	14 13	1	1	15 17	14 13	17 10	5 7	5 5	10 13	0	1.5 1.7
	2010	0	6 17	19	24	24	12	11	0	0	9	12	7	7	4	6	0	2.1
	2012	0	16	14	12	19	15	13	0	0	12	14	9	6	10	7	0	1.5
Turkey	1995 2000																	
	2005	33 23	1 148	1 295 779	1 028	963 778	534 514	429 407	0	50 33	699 485	474 384	243 193	175	166	213	0	2.7
	2011	22	550	693	608	696	482	412	0	25	409	385	195	117	121	212	0	2.4
Turkmenistan	1995	1	11	188	0	79	30	0	0	2	15	146	0	47	25	0	0	1.3
	2000 2005	16 2	103 148	185 181	144 146	127 97	31 51	21 13	0	19 3	73 100	140 101	76 72	31 46	34 27	17 8	0	1.6 1.8
	2010	1	130	212	183	141	51	26		2	112	112	74	46	38	25		1.8
	2012		0.05	1 070			1 007	107					0.07	100	105			-
Ukraine	1995 2000	10 21	385 693	1 076 1 552	2 064 2 385	1 515 2 007	1 087	437 532		21 41	314 487	380 590	327 447	182 298	185 218	280 405		3.9 3.3
	2005								7 417								2 559	2.9
	2011	8	539	1 991	2 209	1 796	881	377	0	11	348	741	603	388	230	380	0	2.9
United Kingdom of	∠012 1995	9	546	2 028	∠ 393	1 926	965	389		10	334	//1	609	401	218	431		3.0
Great Britain and Northern Ireland	2000 2005	8 9	86 135	130 200	96 166	87 95	75 95	138 124	0	9 14	95 115	114 163	60 80	31 39	31 28	67 83	1	1.5 1.6
	2010	7	132	169	135	108	60	108	0	15	110	131	81	42	40	58	0	1.5
	2012	3 8	156	184	137	97 118	88	88	0	17	109	141	81	40 55	17	49 52	0	1.7
Uzbekistan	1995 2000	6	351	749	510	346	213	107		11	261	547	288	213	112	111		1.5
	2005	25 8	596 487	831 574	723 529	522 479	263 293	313 297	0	40 22	538 365	597 512	375 308	288 248	217 239	367 350	0	1.4
	2011	8	378	493	453	440	306	253	0	11	335	418	233	245	293	332	0	1.2
	-016	10	500	500	-00	547	010	210	U	3	013	007	201	201	201	922	U	1.0

TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012

Joseff and Standing outputs Control Multiple of the standing of the s					LABORATO	ORIES				FREE THROUGI	HNTP	RIEAMRICIN	TB NOTIF.
Abbria 0.5 0 1.6 1.6 1.6 0 be 'be' Yee Yee Yee Yee Yee Actoria 1.0 0 11.7 1.7 1.7 0 0 how day Yee		SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA ^c LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	NRL ^d	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
Andorm 10.2 0 510.5 510.5 0 0 of country to the participation of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Autofita 0 - 1.7 1.7 0 network Yes Yes <td>Albania</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>0</td> <td>No In and out</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes (all suspects)</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td>	Albania	0.5	0	1.6	1.6	1.6	0	No In and out	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Annex 1.0 0 1.7 1.7 0 Incourty Yes Yes<	Andorra	10.2	0	510.5	510.5	0	0	of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Atom $ -$ </td <td>Armenia</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>0</td> <td>In country</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes (all suspects)</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td>	Armenia	1.0	0	1.7	1.7	1.7	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Bagina 1.0 - 51.5 6.3 3.6 19 Yes Vac (other criteria) Yes Yes Bagina 0.5 0 21.3 9.6 2.7 0 In contry, Yes Yes (all supped) Yes Yes Chain - - 2.3 9.6 2.7 0 In contry, Yes Yes (all supped) Yes Yes Chain - - 2.8 8.8 - 1 In contry, Yes Yes (all supped) Yes	Austria Azerbaijan Belarus	0.8 2.1	- 4 2	3.8 15.4	1.6 4.3	0.5 4.3	7 8	In country	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	25
Bonis and threngonia 0.4 100 17.0 2.1.3 9.8 2.7 0 10 10 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Belgium	1.0	-	51.5	6.3	3.6	19		Yes	Yes (other criteria)	Yes	Yes	
Magana 0.5 0 27.3 0.8 2.7 0 is contry Ves. Yes Yes Yes Carda Republic 0.4 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.8 - 0.9 0.8 0.9 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.0<	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.4	100	17.0	3.9	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
	Croatia	0.5	0	21.3	9.6	2.7	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
$ \begin{array}{c} Cach Republic \\ Cach Republic \\ 0.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.$	Cyprus		-										
	Czech Republic	0.4	-	21.6	8.9	8.9		In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Initial 0.2 100 1.1 2.8 4 1 Incourtry Yes Yes <thyes< th=""> Yes Yes Ye</thyes<>	Denmark	0.2	100	0.9	0.9	0.9	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	10
France 0.4 - 18.0 0.5 1.6 20 in courtry Yes Wes (all suppets) Yes 107 Gernary 0.3 - 11.4 5.1 4.5 141 nourtry Yes Y	Finland	0.4	100	10.2	0.9	2.8	1	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	12
Georgia 0.3 9 2.3 1.1 2.3 1 In contry Yes Wes W	France	0.4	-	18.0	5.5	1.6	20	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Germany 0.3 - 11.4 5.1 4.5 141 Yes Yes Yes Yes Greeden - - - - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Greeden - - - - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Lealand 0.2 2.7 10.9 3.3 2.2 3 Outrd Yes Yes Yes Yes Israel 0.2 - 1.24 1.3 0.7 1 incourtry Yes Yes (al suspects) Yes	Georgia	0.3	9	2.3	1.1	2.3	1	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	107
Indexand <	Germany	0.3	-	11.4	5.1	4.5	141		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
lobin 0.3 100 15.3 15.3 15.3 0 Outry Yes Yes Yes Yes treland 0.2 27 10.8 3.3 2.2 3 Outry Yes	Greenland Hungary	0.1	- 0	6.0	3.5	1	3		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Ireland 0.2 27 10.9 3.3 2.2 3 Out by courty Yes Yes (all suspects) No Yes Igrael 0.2 - 12.4 1.3 0.7 1 In outry Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes Yes </td <td>Iceland</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>100</td> <td>15.3</td> <td>15.3</td> <td>15.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>Out of country</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes (if TB is confirmed)</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td>	Iceland	0.3	100	15.3	15.3	15.3	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
	Ireland	0.2	27	10.9	3.3	2.2	3	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	No	Yes	
Italy - - - - - - Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves 204 Kazakhstan 2.2 0 10.0 2.7 1.8 7 In outbury Ves Ves Ves Ves 204 Kyrgyzsłan 2.2 0 10.0 2.7 1.8 7 In outbury Ves Ves <t< td=""><td>Israel</td><td>0.2</td><td>-</td><td>12.4</td><td>1.3</td><td>0.7</td><td>1</td><td>In country</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes (all suspects)</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td></td></t<>	Israel	0.2	-	12.4	1.3	0.7	1	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Kyrgyzstan 2.2 0 10.0 2.7 1.8 7 Inardott of courty for courty of courty in and ot in	Italy Kazakhstan	2.9	- 0	6.8	6.8	3.4	4	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	204
Lativia 0.8 0 9.7 2.4 2.4 2 Incountry Yes Y	Kyrgyzstan	2.2	0	10.0	2.7	1.8	7	In and out	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	34
Lithuania 0.4 8 9.9 9.3 7 In and out Ves (Tes is the continued) Yes Yes Luxembourg 0.2 100 9.5 9.5 9.5 0 Out of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Mata 0.2 0 11.7 0 0 0 Out of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Mortenegro 0.2 0 8.1 8.1 0 0 country Yes Y	Latvia	0.8	0	9.7	2.4	2.4	2	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Luxembourg 0.2 100 9.5 9.5 9.5 0 Out of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Maita 0.2 0 11.7 0 0 0 Out of country No Yes (a) suspects) Yes Yes Moraco - - - Ves (a) suspects) Yes	Lithuania	0.4	8	9.9	9.9	3.3	7	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Malta 0.2 0 11.7 0 0 Out of country or continue of country or country or country or continue of country or continue of country	Luxembourg	0.2	100	9.5	9.5	9.5	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Montenegro 0.2 0 8.1 8.1 0 Out of country ves Yes Yes Yes 56 Netherlands 0.3 - 11.1 1.5 1.2 2 In country Ves Yes <	Malta	0.2	0	11.7	0	0	0	Out of country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Netherlands 0.3 - 11.1 1.5 1.2 2 In country Yes Yes (all suspects) No Yes Norway 0.3 0 9.0 3 4 3 In and out of country Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes <	Montenegro	0.2	0	8.1	8.1	0	0	Out of	Yes	Yes (if TB is	Yes	Yes	56
Norway 0.3 0 9.0 3 4 3 In and out of country of country (second period Yes Yes Yes Yes Poland 0.2 0 10.6 6 1.4 3 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Portugal 0.5 - 22.2 10.4 Yes	Netherlands	0.3	-	11.1	1.5	1.2	2	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	No	Yes	
Poland 0.2 0 10.6 6 1.4 3 Yes Yes Yes Yes Portugal 0.5 - 22.2 10.4 Yes Yes <td>Norway</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>In and out of country</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes (all suspects)</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td></td>	Norway	0.3	0	9.0	3	4	3	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Portugal 0.5 - 22.2 10.4 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Republic of Moldova 1.7 0 5.7 5.7 4.3 24 Yes Yes (all uspects) Yes Ye	Poland	0.2	0	10.6	6	1.4	3		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Romania 0.5 1 20.9 9.9 0.9 0 In and out of country ves of ves	Portugal Republic of Moldova	0.5 1.7	0	22.2 5.7	10.4 5.7	4.3	24		Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	
Russian Federation 0.7 - 4.1 3.8 In country No Yes Yes Yes San Marino - <td< td=""><td>Romania</td><td>0.5</td><td>1</td><td>20.9</td><td>9.9</td><td>0.9</td><td>0</td><td>In and out</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes (all suspects)</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td>51</td></td<>	Romania	0.5	1	20.9	9.9	0.9	0	In and out	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	51
Serbia 0.3 0 15.2 2.1 0.5 0 Out of country of country of country of country Yes Yes Yes Yes 8 Slovakia 0.1 14 6.4 1.8 1.8 2 In and out of country of country Yes Yes <td< td=""><td>Russian Federation San Marino</td><td>0.7</td><td>-</td><td>4.1</td><td>3.8</td><td></td><td></td><td>In country</td><td>No</td><td>Yes (all suspects)</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td><td></td></td<>	Russian Federation San Marino	0.7	-	4.1	3.8			In country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Slovakia 0.1 14 6.4 1.8 1.8 2 In and out of country for country of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Slovenia 0.1 67 7.3 2.4 2.4 1 In and out of country of country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Spain - - Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes Yes Yes Sweden <0.1	Serbia	0.3	0	15.2	2.1	0.5	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	8
Slovenia 0.1 67 7.3 2.4 2.4 1 In and out of country for our try res Yes Yes Yes Yes Spain - - Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes Yes Yes Yes Sweden <0.1	Slovakia	0.1	14	6.4	1.8	1.8	2	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Spain - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Swiden <0.1	Slovenia	0.1	67	7.3	2.4	2.4	1	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Switzerland 0.5 - 14.4 6.3 14 In country Yes Yes Yes Tajikistan 1.1 4 1.9 0.6 0.6 3 In country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Tajikistan 1.1 4 1.9 0.6 0.6 3 In country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 34 The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia Turkney 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes 22 Turknenistan - - 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes 22 Ukraine 1.8 5 9.4 4.5 0 15 Yes Yes Yes 10 1 1.0 1 1.0 15 Yes Yes Yes 10 1 10 1 1.	Sweden	<0.1	-	2.6	2.6	2.6	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Tajikistan 1.1 4 1.9 0.6 0.6 3 In country Yes Yes Yes 34 The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia 0.3 0 7.1 2.4 0 0 Out of country Yes Yes Yes 22 Turkrey 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes 22 Turkrey 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes 25 Turkmenistan - - 15 Yes Yes Yes 61 United Kingdom of Great - - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Britain and Northern Ireland - - Yes 7 In country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Switzerland	0.5	-	14.4	6.3	2.0	14	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	No	Yes	
The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia 0.3 0 7.1 2.4 0 Out of country country Yes Yes Yes 22 Republic of Macedonia Turkey 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 22 Turkey 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes 25 Ukraine 1.8 9.4 4.5 0 15 Yes Yes Yes 61 United Kingdom of Great Ireland - - Yes	Tajikistan	1.1	4	1.9	0.6	0.6	3	In country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	34
Turkey 0.5 - 10.7 5.1 0.6 18 In country Yes Yes Yes 25 Turkensistan - <td>The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>7.1</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Out of country</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes (if TB is confirmed)</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>22</td>	The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.3	0	7.1	2.4	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	22
Ukraine 1.8 5 9.4 4.5 0 15 Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes 61 United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern - Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes Yes 61 Ireland 1.0 1 1.2 0.5 0.5 7 In country Yes Yes Yes 29	Turkey Turkmenistan	0.5	_	10.7	5.1	0.6	18	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	25
Ireland Uzbekistan 1.0 1 1.2 0.5 0.5 7 In country Yes Yes (all suspects) Yes Yes 29	Ukraine United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern	1.8	5	9.4	4.5	0	15		Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes	61
	Ireland Uzbekistan	1.0	1	1.2	0.5	0.5	7	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	29

^a LED = Light emitting diode microscopes
 ^b DST = Drug susceptibility testing
 ^c LPA = Line probe assay
 ^d NRL = National Reference Laboratory

TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New T	B cases			Previous	sly treated TB case	es
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage
Albania	2012	Surveillance	National	0.58 (<0.1-3.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-22)
Andorra	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)
Armenia	2007	Survey	National	9.4 (7.0-12)	2007	Survey	National	43 (38-49)
Austria	2011	Surveillance	National	3.5 (1.6-6.5)	2011	Surveillance	National	18 (2.3-52)
Azerbaijan	2007	Survey	Sub-national	22 (19-27)	2007	Survey	Sub-national	56 (50-62)
Belarus	2012	Surveillance	National	35 (33-37)	2012	Surveillance	National	69 (66-71)
Belgium	2011	Surveillance	National	1.3 (0.54-2.7)	2011	Surveillance	National	11 (3.2–27)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	2011	Surveillance	National	0.14 (0-0.79)	2011	Surveillance	National	9.8 (2.7-23)
Bulgaria	2012	Surveillance	National	2.3 (1.3-3.8)	2012	Surveillance	National	23 (17-31)
Croatia	2011	Surveillance	National	0.28 (<0.1-1.6)	2011	Surveillance	National	2.5 (<0.1-13)
Cyprus	2011	Surveillance	National	4 (0.10-20)	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-84)
Czech Republic	2011	Surveillance	National	1.5 (0.56-3.3)	2011	Surveillance	National	6.3 (0.16-30)
Denmark	2011	Surveillance	National	1.2 (0.24-3.4)	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-23)
Estonia	2012	Surveillance	National	20 (14-26)	2012	Surveillance	National	50 (35-65)
Finland	2012	Surveillance	National	1.5 (0.30-4.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-23)
France	2009	Surveillance	National	0.45 (0.24-0.77)	2009	Surveillance	National	13 (7.4–21)
Georgia	2012	Surveillance	National	9.2 (7.9-11)	2012	Surveillance	National	31 (27–35)
Germany	2012	Surveillance	National	1.5 (1.0-2.0)	2012	Surveillance	National	10 (5.5-17)
Greece	2010	Surveillance	National	0.87 (<0.1-4.7)	2010	Surveillance	National	6.7 (0.17-32)
Greenland								
Hungary	2010	Surveillance	National	2.1 (1.0-3.8)	2010	Surveillance	National	8.8 (3.6-17)
Iceland	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-60)	2012	Surveillance	National	100 (2.5-100)
Ireland	2012	Surveillance	National	1.1 (0.13-3.8)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-20)
Israel	2012	Surveillance	National	4.7 (2.7-7.7)	2012	Surveillance	National	33 (4.3-78)
Italy	2011	Surveillance	Sub-national	3.9 (2.7-5.6)	2011	Surveillance	Sub-national	5.4 (3.5-8.0)
Kazakhstan	2012	Surveillance	National	23 (22-24)	2012	Surveillance	National	55 (54-56)
Kvrovzstan	2011	Survey	National	26 (23-30)	2012	Surveillance	National	68 (65-72)
Latvia	2012	Surveillance	National	11 (8.8–14)	2012	Surveillance	National	32 (23-42)
Lithuania	2012	Surveillance	National	11 (9.5–14)	2012	Surveillance	National	44 (39–49)
Luxembourg	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-41)	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)
Malta	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-25)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)
Monaco				- ()				,
Montenegro	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-6.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-52)
Netherlands	2012	Surveillance	National	1.6 (0.77-2.9)	2012	Surveillance	National	3.6 (<0.1-18)
Norway	2011	Surveillance	National	1.3 (0.27-3.8)	2011	Surveillance	National	0 (0-15)
Poland	2012	Surveillance	National	0.49 (0.30-0.76)	2012	Surveillance	National	2.1 (1.0-3.6)
Portugal	2011	Surveillance	National	1.5 (0.86-2.3)	2011	Surveillance	National	5.2 (1.7-12)
Bepublic of Moldova	2012	Surveillance	National	24 (21-26)	2012	Surveillance	National	62 (59-65)
Bomania	2004	Survey	National	2.8 (1.8-4.2)	2004	Survey	National	11 (8.0–15)
Bussian Federation	2011	Surveillance	Sub-national	23 (21-25)	2011	Surveillance	Sub-national	49 (44-53)
San Marino				()				
Serbia	2012	Surveillance	National	0.84 (0.31-1.8)	2012	Surveillance	National	3.6 (0.75-10)
Slovakia	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-2.6)	2012	Surveillance	National	37 (<0.1-19)
Slovenia	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-3.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-26)
Spain	2001 2005	Surveillance	Sub-national	0.22 (<0.1_0.80)	2001 2005	Surveillance	Sub-national	71 (33-13)
Sweden	2012	Surveillance	National	2 4 (1 2-4 3)	2012	Surveillance	National	8.3 (1.0-27)
Switzerland	2012	Surveillance	National	1.2 (0.25-3.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	13 (36-30)
Tajikistan	2011	Survey	National	13 (9.8–16)	2012	Surveillance	National	56 (52-60)
The Former Vugoslav	2011	Ourvey	Indional	10 (0.0 10)	2012	Gai veniarioe	National	30 (32 00)
Republic of Macedonia	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-2.4)	2012	Surveillance	National	15 (4.4–35)
Turkov	2012	Surveillance	National	3 2 (2 7 3 7)	2012	Surveillanco	National	22 (19-25)
Turkmonistan	2002	Survey	Sub-national	3.8 (1.1_9.5)	2012	Survey	Sub-national	18 (11_27)
Likraino	2002	Survoillance	National	14 (14 15)	2002	Sunvoillance	National	22 (21 22)
United Kingdom of Groat	2012	Suiveillarice	rvdtiuridi	(4 (14-13)	2012	Juiveillarice	indliulidi	JZ (JI-JJ)
Britain and Northers	2011	Survoillance	National	12 (10 17)	2011	Suppoillance	National	56 (20 9 2)
Iroland	2011	Suiveillarice	rvdtiuridi	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	2011	Juiveillarice	indliuridi	3.0 (3.0-9.3)
I Izbokiston	2011	Sunov	National	22 (19 20)	2011	Survoy	National	62 (52 71)
OLDERISIAN	2011	Survey	rvdtiUlidi	23 (10-29)	2011	Juivey	INCLIUTICI	02 (02-11)

EUROPEAN REGION

^a Empty rows indicate an absence of high-quality survey or surveillance data. In the absence of high-quality national data, high-quality sub-national data are used.

SOUTH-EAST ASIA REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	257
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	258
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	259
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	260
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	261
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	262
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	263
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	264
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	265
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	266

Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

Data source

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

Country notes

Bangladesh

Estimates of TB disease burden have not been officially approved by the national TB programme (NTP) in Bangladesh. A joint reassessment by WHO and the NTP will be undertaken following the completion of the prevalence survey planned for 2014.

India

Estimates of TB disease burden for India have not yet been officially approved by the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India and should therefore be considered provisional.

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	DING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Bangladesh	1990	107	66 (20-140) 72 (27 140)	61 (18-130)	560 (220-1 100)	525 (202-998) 518 (244, 892)	240 (150-360)	225 (139-331)
	2000	132	77 (29–150)	58 (22–111)	670 (320–1 100)	507 (243-866)	300 (240-360)	225 (184–270)
	2005	143	74 (29-140)	52 (20-98)	670 (330-1 100)	469 (231-790)	320 (260-390)	225 (184-270)
	2010	153	70 (28–130)	46 (19-85) 46 (19-84)	660 (330-1 100)	437 (220-727) 435 (220-722)	340 (280–410) 340 (280–410)	225 (185–268)
	2012	155	70 (29–130)	45 (19-84)	670 (340-1 100)	434 (218–721)	350 (290-410)	225 (185-268)
Bhutan	1990 1995	< 1	1 (0.410-2.0)	194 (77–365) 109 (45–200)	10 (4.7–17) 6 (3.0–10)	1 860 (881–3 190) 1 180 (599–1 960)	4.2 (3.6-4.8)	784 (673–903) 561 (482–646)
	2000	< 1	0.41 (0.180-0.740)	73 (31–132)	4.3 (2.2–6.9)	754 (392–1 230)	2.3 (1.9–2.6)	402 (345-463)
	2005	< 1	0.35 (0.160-0.600)	53 (25-92)	3.5 (1.8-5.7)	536 (279-875)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	287 (247-331)
	2010	< 1	0.11 (0.068-0.160)	15 (9.4–22)	2 (0.840-3.5)	269 (115-486)	1.4 (1.2–1.6)	192 (165-222)
Demonstia	2012	< 1	0.1 (0.062-0.150)	14 (8.4-21)	1.7 (0.580-3.3)	225 (79-446)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	180 (154-207)
People's Republic	1990	20	4.7 (4.3-5.0) 4.6 (4.2-5.0)	23 (21-25) 21 (19-23)	100 (28–230)	479 (130-1 050) 479 (130-1 050)	83 (48–130)	383 (219–592) 383 (219–592)
of Korea	2000	23	4 (3.7-4.3)	17 (16–19)	110 (30-240)	479 (130-1 050)	87 (50-140)	383 (219–592)
	2005	24	3 (2.7-3.2)	12 (12-13)	110 (31–250) 120 (33–270)	479 (130-1 050) 494 (134-1 080)	91 (52–140) 97 (85–110)	383 (219–592)
	2011	25	2.5 (2.4–2.6)	10 (9.6–11)	120 (34–270)	505 (137-1 110)	100 (92–110)	404 (372–437)
India	2012	25	2.2 (2.1–2.4)	9 (8.6-9.5)	130 (34-280)	511 (139-1 120)	100 (92-110)	409 (373-447)
india	1995	956	370 (240–520)	38 (25–55)	4 400 (4 000–5 000)	465 (414–519)	2 100 (1 800–2 300)	216 (189-245)
	2000	1 042	400 (260-570)	39 (25-55)	4 600 (4 000-5 200)	438 (382-498)	2 300 (2 000-2 500)	216 (195-239)
	2005	1 206	320 (210-460)	27 (17–38)	3 200 (2 200–4 500)	269 (181–374)	2 200 (2 000-2 500)	185 (167–204)
	2011	1 221	300 (190-420)	24 (16-35)	3 000 (2 100-4 200)	249 (168-346)	2 200 (2 000-2 400)	181 (163-199)
Indonesia	2012	1 237	2/0 (1/0-390) 95 (33-190)	22 (14-32) 53 (18-106)	2 800 (1 900–3 900) 790 (330–1 400)	230 (155–319) 442 (186–806)	2 200 (2 000-2 400) 370 (270-480)	206 (159–193)
	1995	194	120 (42–230)	61 (21–120)	940 (400–1 700)	483 (205–878)	400 (310-500)	205 (159–256)
	2000	209	120 (42-220) 84 (34-160)	55 (20-107) 38 (15-70)	990 (460-1 700) 830 (410-1 400)	474 (222-821)	430 (340–520) 450 (360–540)	204 (164-249)
	2010	241	67 (30–120)	28 (12-50)	740 (360–1 300)	306 (148–521)	450 (380–540)	189 (156-224)
	2011	244	67 (30-120) 67 (20, 120)	27 (12-49)	730 (350-1 200)	301 (145-512)	460 (380-540)	187 (155-222)
Maldives	1990	< 1	0.059 (0.052-0.067)	27 (12-40) 27 (24-31)	0.67 (0.260-1.3)	311 (119–593)	0.32 (0.200-0.480)	150 (92-221)
	1995	< 1	0.033 (0.027-0.040)	14 (11–17)	0.48 (0.230-0.820)	197 (95-336)	0.29 (0.230-0.350)	118 (96-142)
	2000	< 1	<0.015 (0.010-0.019) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.4 (3.8–7.1) 2 (1.6–2.5)	0.22 (0.082-0.430) 0.23 (0.100-0.410)	81 (30–157) 78 (34–138)	0.17 (0.130-0.200) 0.15 (0.120-0.180)	60 (49-73) 51 (42-62)
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.3 (2.0-2.5)	0.17 (0.065-0.310)	51 (20-96)	0.12 (0.097-0.140)	36 (30-44)
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.9 (1.7–2.1) 2 (1.8–2.2)	0.14 (0.051-0.280)	43 (15–85) 65 (30–113)	0.11 (0.088-0.130)	33 (27–39) 41 (33–49)
Myanmar	1990	42	48 (17–97)	115 (39–230)	380 (170–650)	894 (414–1 550)	170 (120–220)	393 (290–512)
	1995	45 48	53 (19–110) 51 (19–100)	118 (41-234)	400 (190-680)	881 (421-1 500) 831 (415-1 390)	180 (140-230)	404 (314-505)
	2005	50	35 (15–65)	70 (29–129)	320 (170–530)	647 (333–1 060)	200 (170-240)	403 (340-472)
	2010	52	26 (12-46)	51 (23-89)	270 (210-340)	525 (404-661)	200 (170-230)	384 (329-444)
	2011	53	25 (12-45) 25 (12-44)	49 (23-86) 48 (23-84)	260 (200–330) 260 (200–320)	489 (377–616)	200 (170-230) 200 (170-230)	377 (322–435)
Nepal	1990	18	7.5 (2.2–16)	41 (12-88)	66 (25-130)	364 (140-692)	30 (18-44)	163 (101-241)
	1995 2000	21 23	6.1 (2.5–11) 5 (2.2–8.9)	29 (12–54) 21 (9.4–38)	61 (30-100) 58 (26-100)	295 (147–493) 248 (113–436)	34 (27–40) 38 (31–45)	163 (133–196) 163 (133–196)
	2005	25	4.9 (2.1-8.9)	20 (8.4-35)	59 (26-110)	235 (101-424)	41 (34–50)	163 (133-196)
	2010	27 27	5.3 (2.4–9.4) 5.4 (2.4–9.6)	20 (8.8–35) 20 (8.8–35)	64 (28–110) 64 (28–110)	238 (105–425) 236 (103–423)	44 (36–52) 44 (37–53)	163 (135–194) 163 (135–194)
	2012	27	5.5 (2.5–9.8)	20 (9.0–36)	66 (29–120)	241 (106–429)	45 (37–53)	163 (135–195)
Sri Lanka	1990	17	1.3 (0.750-2.0)	7.5 (4.3-12)	20 (7.4-40)	118 (43-231)	11 (7.2–17)	66 (42-96) 66 (54 79)
	2000	19	1.9 (1.1–2.8)	10 (6.0–15)	22 (11–36)	115 (57–192)	12 (10–15)	66 (54–79)
	2005	20	1.4 (1.0-1.8)	6.9 (5.2-8.8)	22 (10-37)	108 (52-185)	13 (11-16)	66 (54-79)
	2010	21	0.59 (0.480-0.710) 0.41 (0.330-0.500)	2.8 (2.3–3.4) 2 (1.6–2.4)	22 (11–38) 23 (11–39)	108 (52–184) 108 (52–184)	14 (11–16)	66 (55–79) 66 (55–79)
	2012	21	0.24 (0.180-0.310)	1.1 (0.84–1.4)	23 (11-39)	109 (52–185)	14 (12–17)	66 (55–79)
Inailand	1990 1995	57 59	11 (4.9–20) 11 (4.7–20)	20 (8.6–35) 19 (8.0–34)	130 (63–220) 130 (64–210)	227 (111–383) 217 (109–362)	78 (65–93) 77 (63–91)	138 (114–164) 130 (107–154)
	2000	62	20 (7.9–37)	31 (13–59)	180 (86–300)	286 (139–487)	110 (88–130)	171 (141–203)
	2005	66	15 (6.6-27)	23 (10-42)	150 (77-260)	236 (117-395)	100 (84-120) 85 (70-100)	154 (127-184)
	2010	67	9.5 (4.1–17)	14 (6.2–26)	110 (51-200)	168 (76–296)	82 (68–98)	124 (102–147)
Timor Looto	2012	67	9.2 (3.8-17)	14 (5.8-25)	110 (47-190)	159 (71-282)	80 (66-95)	119 (98-142)
TITIOI-LESIE	2005	1	0.62 (0.280-1.1)	57 (26-102)	7.2 (3.1–13) 7.2 (2.8–14)	666 (259–1 260)	5.4 (4.4-6.4)	498 (409-596)
	2011	1	0.67 (0.300-1.2)	62 (28-109)	7.6 (3.1–14)	689 (279–1 280)	5.5 (4.5-6.5)	498 (409-596)
	2012	1	0.82 (0.360-1.5)	74 (33–132)	8.4 (3.8–15)	/58 (342–1 340)	5.6 (4.6-6.6)	498 (409–596)

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION
	YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT
Bangladesh	1990	107	240 (150-360)	225 (139-331)	0.048 (0.030-0.071)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	48 673	45	20 (14-33)
	1995	120	270 (220-320)	225 (184-270)	0.054 (0.044-0.065)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	56 437	47	21 (17-26)
	2000	132	300 (240-360)	225 (184-270)	0.089 (0.073-0.11)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	75 557	57	25 (21-31)
	2005	143	320 (260-390)	225 (184-270)	0.19 (0.16-0.23)	0.1 (0.11-0.16)	123 118	86	38 (32–47)
	2010	151	340 (280-410)	225 (185-268)	0.31 (0.25-0.36)	0.2 (0.17-0.24)	153 892	102	45 (38–55)
	2011	153	340 (280-410)	225 (185-268)	0.34 (0.28-0.41)	0.2 (0.18-0.27)	154 358	101	45 (38–55)
B I .	2012	155	350 (290-410)	225 (185-268)	0.24 (0.20-0.29)	0.2 (0.13-0.19)	168 683	109	49 (41-59)
Bhutan	1990	< 1	4.2 (3.6-4.8)	784 (673-903)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1 154	215	27 (24-32)
	1995	< 1	2.9 (2.5-3.3)	301 (482-646) 402 (345 463)	<0.01 (<0.01 -<0.01)	0.2 (0.14-0.19)	1 299	200	45 (39-53)
	2000	< 1	2.3 (1.9-2.0)	402 (343-403)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	0.4 (0.36-0.51)	1 007	202	50 (44-59)
	2003	<1	1.5 (1.0-2.2)	207 (247-337)	0.019 (0.016-0.022)	2.6 (2.3-3.0)	1 311	183	89 (77–100)
	2011	<1	1.4 (1.2–1.6)	192 (165-222)	0.021 (0.018-0.025)	2.9 (2.5-3.4)	1 235	169	88 (76–100)
	2012	< 1	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	180 (154-207)	0.024 (0.021-0.028)	3.3 (2.8–3.8)	1 130	152	85 (73–99)
Democratic	1990	20	77 (44–120)	383 (219-592)		0.0 (2.0 0.0)			
People's Republic	1995	22	83 (48–130)	383 (219-592)	0.033 (0.018-0.054)	0.2 (<0.1-0.25)			
of Korea	2000	23	87 (50-140)	383 (219-592)	0.087 (0.043-0.15)	0.4 (0.19-0.65)	34 131	149	39 (25-68)
	2005	24	91 (52-140)	383 (219-592)	0.11 (0.054-0.18)	0.5 (0.23-0.77)	42 722	179	47 (30-82)
	2010	25	97 (85-110)	395 (348-445)	0.13 (0.085-0.18)	0.5 (0.34-0.72)	84 648	345	87 (78–99)
	2011	25	100 (92-110)	404 (372-437)	0.13 (0.084-0.18)	0.5 (0.34-0.75)	91 433	371	92 (85-100)
	2012	25	100 (92-110)	409 (373-447)	0.13 (0.086-0.19)	0.5 (0.35-0.76)	91 885	371	91 (83-100)
India	1990	869	1 900 (1 600-2 200)	216 (182-254)	19 (16-22)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	1 519 182	175	81 (69–96)
	1995	956	2 100 (1 800-2 300)	216 (189-245)	90 (78-100)	9.4 (8.2–11)	1 218 183	127	59 (52-67)
	2000	1 042	2 300 (2 000-2 500)	216 (195-239)	170 (150-190)	16 (14–18)	1 115 718	107	49 (45-55)
	2005	1 127	2 400 (2 100-2 600)	209 (188-231)	170 (160–190)	16 (14–17)	1 156 248	103	49 (44–55)
	2010	1 206	2 200 (2 000-2 500)	185 (167-204)	130 (120–150)	11 (10-12)	1 339 866	111	60 (54–66)
	2011	1 221	2 200 (2 000-2 400)	181 (163-199)	130 (120-140)	11 (9.6-12)	1 323 949	108	60 (54-66)
Indonesia	2012	1237	2 200 (2 000-2 400)	1/6 (159-193)	130 (120-140)	10 (9.4–12)	1 289 836	104	59 (54-66)
Indonesia	1005	104	370 (270-480)	206 (149-271)			74 470	42	20 (13-20)
	2000	209	430 (340-520)	203 (163-249)	0.085 (0.068-0.10)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	84 591	40	20 (16-25)
	2005	224	450 (360-540)	199 (160-242)	1.7 (1.3-2.1)	0.8 (0.59-0.94)	254 601	113	57 (47-71)
	2010	241	450 (380-540)	189 (156-224)	5.7 (4.3-7.3)	2.4 (1.8-3.0)	300 659	125	66 (56-80)
	2011	244	460 (380-540)	187 (155-222)	6.7 (5.0-8.5)	2.7 (2.1-3.5)	318 949	131	70 (59-85)
	2012	247	460 (380-540)	185 (153-220)	7.5 (5.6-9.7)	3.1 (2.3-3.9)	328 824	133	72 (61-87)
Maldives	1990	< 1	0.32 (0.200-0.480)	150 (92-221)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.10-0.43)	152	70	47 (32–76)
	1995	< 1	0.29 (0.230-0.350)	118 (96-142)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0.13-0.40)	231	94	80 (66–98)
	2000	< 1	0.17 (0.130-0.200)	60 (49-73)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.21)	132	48	80 (66–98)
	2005	< 1	0.15 (0.120-0.180)	51 (42-62)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.15)	122	41	80 (66–98)
	2010	< 1	0.12 (0.097-0.140)	36 (30-44)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.10)	95	29	80 (66–98)
	2011	< 1	0.11 (0.088-0.130)	33 (27-39)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	87	26	80 (66–98)
14	2012	< 1	0.14 (0.110-0.170)	41 (33-49)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	110	33	80 (66–98)
wyanmar	1005	42	170 (120-220)	393 (290-512)	0.9 (0.66-1.2)	2.1 (1.6-2.8)	12 416	29	7.5 (5.8-10)
	2000	40	200 (160 240)	404 (314-303)	15 (12 19)	20 (24 26)	20.840	40	15 (12, 10)
	2000	50	200 (170-240)	403 (340-472)	22 (18-25)	43 (36-50)	107.009	213	53 (45-63)
	2010	52	200 (170-230)	384 (329-444)	21 (18-24)	40 (34-46)	131 590	253	66 (57-77)
	2011	52	200 (170-230)	381 (326-439)	20 (17-23)	38 (32-43)	136 737	261	69 (59-80)
	2012	53	200 (170-230)	377 (322-435)	19 (16-21)	35 (30-41)	141 170	267	71 (62-83)
Nepal	1990	18	30 (18-44)	163 (101-241)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.013)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	10 142	56	34 (23–56)
	1995	21	34 (27-40)	163 (133-196)	0.081 (0.066-0.097)	0.4 (0.32-0.47)	19 804	96	59 (49-72)
	2000	23	38 (31-45)	163 (133-196)	0.52 (0.42-0.62)	2.2 (1.8-2.7)	29 519	127	78 (65–95)
	2005	25	41 (34-50)	163 (133-196)	1.4 (1.1-1.6)	5.4 (4.4-6.5)	33 448	132	81 (67–99)
	2010	27	44 (36-52)	163 (135–194)	1.5 (1.2-1.7)	5.4 (4.5-6.5)	35 114	131	80 (67–97)
	2011	27	44 (37–53)	163 (135–194)	1.4 (1.1–1.7)	5.1 (4.2-6.1)	35 434	130	80 (67–97)
	2012	27	45 (37–53)	163 (135–195)	1.1 (0.94–1.4)	4.2 (3.4-5.0)	35 195	128	78 (66–95)
Sri Lanka	1990	17	11 (7.2–17)	66 (42-96)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	6 666	38	58 (40-92)
	1995	18	12 (9.9–14)	66 (54-79)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	5 956	33	49 (41-60)
	2000	19	12 (10-15)	66 (54-79)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	8 4 1 3	45	67 (56-83)
	2005	20	13 (11-16)	66 (54-79) 66 (55 70)	0.011 (<0.01-0.013)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	9 431	47	72 (60-66)
	2010	21	14 (11-17)	66 (55-79)	0.014 (0.011-0.010)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	10 181	40	72 (01-00)
	2012	21	14 (12–17)	66 (55-79)	0.017 (0.014-0.020)	<0.1 (<0.1 <0.1)	9 155	43	66 (55-80)
Thailand	1990	57	78 (65–93)	138 (114–164)	2.4 (2.0-2.9)	4.3 (3.5-5.1)	46 510	82	60 (50-72)
i i i cilicarità	1995	59	77 (63–91)	130 (107-154)	12 (9 7-14)	20 (16-24)	45 428	77	59 (50-72)
	2000	62	110 (88–130)	171 (141-203)	25 (21-30)	40 (33-48)	34 187	55	32 (27-39)
	2005	66	100 (84–120)	154 (127–184)	19 (16-23)	29 (24-35)	57 895	88	57 (48-69)
	2010	66	85 (70-100)	128 (106–153)	13 (11–16)	20 (17-24)	67 128	101	79 (66–95)
	2011	67	82 (68-98)	124 (102-147)	13 (11-15)	19 (16–23)	65 824	99	80 (67-97)
	2012	67	80 (66-95)	119 (98-142)	12 (10-14)	18 (15-22)	60 304	90	76 (64-92)
Timor-Leste	2005	< 1	5 (4.0-6.0)	498 (406-601)			3 767	378	76 (63–93)
	2010	1	5.4 (4.4-6.4)	498 (409-596)					
	2011	1	5.5 (4.5-6.5)	498 (409-596)			4 386	400	80 (67-98)
	2012	1	5.6 (4.6-6.6)	498 (409-596)	1		3 828	344	69 (58-84)

TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

					NEW CAS	SES						% SMEAR-
			NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE	EXTRA-			RE-TREAT EXCL	. TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG
	1990-2012	YEAR	RELAPSE ^b	POSITIVE	UNKNOWN	PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RELAPSE	RETREAT	UNKNOWN	NEW PULM
Bangladesh	~ <i>!</i>	1990	48 673									_
		1995 2000	56 437 75 557	20 524	19 297	2 060		1 763		1 763		52 57
	~	2005	123 118	84 848	23 076	11 318		3 876		3 876		79
	\sim	2010	153 892	105 772	21 625	23 506	0	2 989	4 806	7 795	0	83
	•45 109•	2011 2012	154 358 168 683	98 948 106 790	21 921 24 451	27 329 30 549	0	2 /01 3 065	4 665 4 936	7 366 8 001	3 459 3 828	82 81
Bhutan		1990	1 154				-					-
	\cdot	1995	1 299	367	657	265		10		10		36
		2000	1 140	347	430	363		36	11	36 51		45
	\ /	2000	1 311	457	275	518	0	61	21	82	0	62
	V	2011	1 235	382	225	573	0	55	15	70	0	63
Democratic	• 215 152 •	2012	1 130	420	127	519		64	15	79		77
People's Republ	ic	1995										_
of Korea	. /	2000	34 131	16 440	13 801	3 787		103		103		54
	\sim	2005	42 722	17 796	18 123	5 381	58	1 364	7 752	9 116		50
	\checkmark	2010	91 433	31 279	37 457	16 828		5 869	7 638	13 507		40
	• 0 371 •	2012	91 885	31 904	35 959	17 321		6 701	7 514	14 215		47
India	•	1990	1 519 182	264 515	880 200	69 070		600		600		-
		2000	1 115 718	349 374	650 345	98 006		17 993	80 072	98 065		35
		2005	1 156 248	508 890	399 066	171 838	1 381	75 073	148 580	223 653	0	56
	\sim \sim	2010	1 339 866	630 165	366 381	231 121	1 508	110 691	182 281	292 972		63
	• 175 104 •	2011	1 289 836	629 589	317 616	234 029	2 139	106 463	177 749	284 212		66
Indonesia		1990	74 470									-
		1995	35 529	31 768	34	0		106		106		100
		2000	254 601	52 338 158 640	85 373	6 142		4 4 4 4 6		448		78 65
	\sim	2010	300 659	183 366	101 247	11 659	0	4 387	2 202	6 589	0	64
		2011	318 949	197 797	101 750	14 054		5 348	2 359	7 707		66
Maldives	• 42 133 •	1990	328 824	202 319	104 866	15 697		5 942	2 600	8 542		66
	\sim	1995	231	114	89	18		10		10		56
	\cdot / \sim	2000	132	65	31	32		4	0	4		68
	\bigvee	2005	122	66 41	23	29	0	4	2	5	0	67
		2011	87	47	12	28	Ő	0	1	1	0	80
	• 70 33 •	2012	110	52	17	41	0	0	1	1	0	75
Myanmar	~	1990 1995	12 416 18 229	8 681	7 058	653		1 837		1 837		55
		2000	30 840	17 254	8 659	2 304		2 623		2 623		67
		2005	107 009	36 541	35 601	30 252		4 615	982	5 597		51
	/	2010	131 590	42 318	56 840 62 038	27 976		4 456	5 813 6 403	10 269		43 41
	• 29 267 •	2012	141 170	42 909	73 042	20 661	0	4 558	6 979	11 537	0	37
Nepal		1990	10 142	0.504	7 000	0.400		700		700		-
	~~~~~~	1995 2000	19 804 29 519	8 591	7 938 9 074	2 489		1 807		786 1.807		52 60
		2005	33 448	14 617	9 474	7 013	0	2 344	629	2 973		61
	/	2010	35 114	15 569	9 718	7 210	0	2 617	495	3 112	0	62
	• 56 128 •	2011	35 434 35 195	15 000	9 128	7 484	926	2 362	520 440	2 882	0	62
Sri Lanka	120	1990	6 666	2 769	3 241	656	000	2 200	110	2720	Ű	46
	M	1995	5 956	3 049	1 677	982		248		248		65
	. // · ·	2000	8 413 9 451	4 314	2 261	1 561	0	277	3/2	649 510	202	66 69
	$\sim$	2000	9 934	4 635	2 145	2 548	0	219	161	380	387	68
	$\sim$	2011	10 181	4 490	2 405	2 612	0	248	147	395	426	65
Thailand	• 38 43 •	2012	9 155	4 269	1 889	2 349	0	245	188	433	403	69
. nanana	- ^	1995	45 428	20 273	22 606	1 419		1 130		1 130		47
	$\sim$ $\sim$ ·	2000	34 187	17 754	12 439	2 953		1 041		1 041		59
	$\setminus$	2005	57 895	29 762	18 837	7 501	~	1 795	1 111	1 795	791	61
	$\vee$	2010	65 824	33 450	20 927	10 135	0	1 915	1 852	≥ 996 3 767	0	62
	• 82 90 •	2012	60 304	30 998	17 537	8 852	5	1 887	904	2 791	1 030	64
Timor-Leste	1	2005	3 767	1 035	2 142	554		36	16	52		33
	$\sim / $	2010 2011	4 386	1 610	2 401	337	0	38	31	69	0	40
	344 •	2012	3 828	1 545	1 823	420	0	40	9	49	Ŭ	46

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

#### TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Bangladesh		1995	20 524	10 867	53	66	5	5	2	10	12
		2000	38 484	38 484	100	77	4	4	1	9	5
		2005	84 848	84 848	100	91	1	4	1	2	2
	$\mathbf{x}$	2009	109 402	109 075	100	91	1	4	1	2	2
	.71 02.	2010	09 049	102 029	100	90	1	4	1	2	2
Bhutan	5/1 32	1995	367	433	118	78	20	4	0	1	1
Dilutari	~	2000	347	347	100	75	15	4	3	3	0
		2005	308	340	110	84	7	5	3	1	0
		2009	434	434	100	86	6	3	3	2	0
		2010	457	454	99	87	3	3	3	1	2
	• 97 91 •	2011	382	381	100	88	3	3	5	1	1
Democratic		1995			-						
People's Republic	~~~~·	2000	16 440	14 571	89	73	9	3	7	5	3
of Korea	/	2005	17 796	17 796	100	84	5	2	4	2	2
	\/	2009	29 366	29 366	100	85	5	2	4	2	2
	V	2010	31 240	31 240	100	86	4	3	4	2	1
	• 0 90 •	2011	31 279	31 279	100	87	3	3	4	2	1
India		1995	264 515	264 722	100	1	25	0	0	0	75
		2000	349 374	349 328	100	31	4	1	1	7	57
		2005	508 890	507 204	100	83	2	5	2	7	1
	/	2009	624 617	624 617	100	85	2	4	2	6	1
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2010	630 165	630 165	100	85	3	4	2	6	1
	• 25 88 •	2011	642 321	642 321	100	85	3	4	2	5	1
Indonesia		1995	31 768	3 018	10	73	18	2	0	6	1
		2000	52 338	52 338	100	70	17	2	1	4	5
	\ /	2005	158 640	158 640	100	83	8	2	1	4	2
		2009	169 213	169 213	100	84	7	2	1	4	2
	1 V	2010	183 366	183 366	100	84	/	2	1	4	3
	• 91 90 •	2011	197 /97	197 /97	100	84	6	2	1	4	3
Maldives	·	1995	114	114	100	96	2	3	0	0	0
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2000	60	59	91	97	0	2	0	0	2
	\ /	2005	45	70	106	47	0	0	0	3	6
	\ /	2009	45	45	100	47	0	2	2	4	44
	. 97	2010	41	44	107	91	0	2	2	0	17
Myanmar	- 37 - 61 -	1005	9 691	7 972	01	52	14	4	4	19	7
wyanna		2000	17 254	16 792	97	73	9	5	2	9	2
	$\sim \sim$	2005	36 541	36 652	100	70	7	6	3	5	2
	/	2009	41.357	41 811	100	77	8	6	3	5	2
	/	2010	42 318	42 200	100	77	8	5	3	4	2
	• 67 86 •	2011	42 324	42 310	100	77	9	5	3	4	2
Nepal		1995	8 591	8 053	94	56	17	3	2	18	6
	~	2000	13 683	12 992	95	79	5	5	1	7	2
	\sim	2005	14 617	14 617	100	87	1	5	1	3	2
	• /	2009	15 442	15 468	100	87	3	4	1	3	2
	\vee	2010	15 569	15 569	100	88	2	3	1	3	3
	• 73 90 •	2011	15 000	15 000	100	88	2	4	1	3	2
Sri Lanka		1995	3 049	3 058	100	75	4	3	0	13	4
	\sim	2000	4 314	4 314	100	75	4	4	1	15	2
	/	2005	4 868	4 841	99	83	3	5	1	6	1
	\sim	2009	4 764	4 754	100	83	3	6	2	4	3
	\smile	2010	4 635	4 635	100	83	4	7	1	4	1
	• 79 87 •	2011	4 490	4 490	100	83	3	5	1	5	2
I hailand	~	1995	20 273	20 273	100	36	28	2	0	9	24
		2000	17 754	23 061	130	65	3	8	2	7	15
	$\wedge \wedge \sim$	2005	29 762	29 919	101	70	5	8	2	7	9
	1// *	2009	32 810	27 597	84	81	5	7	1	3	2
	V	2010	33 450	30 317	91	79	6	7	2	3	2
	• 64 85 •	2011	33 169	30 /11	93	/9	6	7	1	3	3
I imor-Leste	,	2005	1 035	1 035	100	61	21	5	1	11	2
		2009	1 206	1 520	-	90	0	4	4	4	4
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2010	1.010	1 530	-	80	8	4	1	4	4
	91 •	2011	1 610	1 610	100	86	5	3	U	3	2

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								/6 01	cononi		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Bangladesh		1995	729	1 179	162	71	3	5	8	11	2
	$\sim$ $\sim$	2000	1 763	1 815	103	70	2	4	2	7	14
	1 677	2005	3 876	3 876	100	73	6	4	2	5	9
	$( ) / \vee$	2009	4 099	6 637	162	66	16	6	2	5	6
	$\cup$	2010	7 795	7 814	100	47	33	5	2	5	8
	• 75 82	<ul> <li>2011</li> </ul>	7 366	7 369	100	46	36	5	2	4	7
Bhutan		1995	10	22	220	50	9	0	23	14	5
		2000	36					-	_	_	
		2005	51	52	102	65	10	6	8	2	10
	V	2009	76	76	100	70	12	8	7	3	1
	V 70	2010	82	81	99	/8	6	1	/	5	2
Democratic	• 59 /6	• 2011	70	67	96	70	б	/	12	1	3
Democratic Deservation		1995	100	1.005	-	75		0			-
People's Republic		2000	0.116	1 285	1 248	75	11	2	4	2	5
or Korea		2005	9116	9110	100	70	6	3	12	5	4
	V	2009	14 5/6	14 5/6	100	74	9	2	11	2	2
	.0 94	2010	12 507	12 507	100	70	0	4	0	3	2
India	64	1005	690	551	80	64	6	4	3	13	9
mula		2000	98.065	48 133	49	55	15	7	5	16	2
	· MM	2000	223 653	224 143	100	47	24	7	4	16	- 1
	$\sim $	2009	289 756	289 756	100	45	29	7	4	13	1
	V	2003	292 972	292 972	100	45	30	7	4	13	2
	• 70 75	• 2011	304 431	304 431	100	43	31	7	4	12	3
Indonesia	10 10	1995	106	76	72	22	9	0	0	1	67
maonoola	$\sim$	2000	1 448	2 530	175	50	22	3	3	7	15
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2005	4 446	4 812	108	63	15	3	4	8	7
		2009	5 688	5 687	100	53	20	4	3	12	8
		2010	6 589	6 589	100	53	20	5	3	11	8
	• 32 71	· 2011	7 707	7 707	100	53	18	5	3	11	9
Maldives		1995	10		-						
		2000	4	5	125	100					0
	V	2005	5	5	100	80	20	0	0	0	0
		2009	5	1	20	0	0	0	0	0	100
		2010	3	0	0						
	• 0 0	<ul> <li>2011</li> </ul>	1	0	0						
Myanmar		1995	1 837	1 443	79	55	8	4	4	19	9
	Mar m	2000	2 623	3 001	114	65	9	7	4	12	3
		2005	5 597	6 556	117	58	14	10	6	7	5
	•	2009	9 717	9 540	98	44	28	11	5	7	4
	V	2010	10 269	10 106	98	41	32	11	5	7	3
	• 64 72	<ul> <li>2011</li> </ul>	11 009	11 087	101	38	34	12	6	8	3
Nepal		1995	786		-						
	$\sim$	2000	1 807	2 047	113	73	3	4	8	7	4
		2005	2 973	2 973	100	81	2	4	6	4	3
	/	2009	3 117	3 063	98	82	3	6	3	4	3
	/	2010	3 112	3 112	100	82	3	5	3	4	4
0.11	• 0 85	· 2011	2 882	2 882	100	83	2	5	4	3	3
Sri Lanka	~	1995	248		-			_			
	~^^^	2000	649	521	80	44	20	6	1	26	3
	/~~	2005	510	504	99	67	5	5	2	18	3
	~\/	2009	409	408	100	66	/	8	1	13	5
	· · · ·	2010	380	380	100	/1	6	/	2	9	4
Theiland	• 0 /5	• 2011	395	395	100	69	б	8	3	9	5
rhalland	~	1995	1 130		-						
		2000	1 041	0.005	107	50	6	10	-	7	10
		2005	1 /95	2 280	12/	52	10	11	5	7	18
	~ / ·	2009	3 929	2 542	C0	20	10	10	5	7	9
	.0	2010	2 990	2 580	80 72	55	12	12	5 5	7	10
Timor Locto	- 0 69	2011	5 / 0 /	2 / 3/	109	06	0	2	0	2	0
I IIIOI-LESIE	~	2005	52	00	100	90	U	2	U	4	U
	$/ \sim $	2009	52	56	_	77	a	2	4	7	2
	77	• 2010	69	69	100	71	5	4		'	13
	11	- 2011	03	03	100	/ 1	0	-+	0		10

% OF COHORT

# TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

SOL

a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

# TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB I PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Bangladesh		2005 2010 2011	0 1.1 1.2	0 1 778 1 900	123 118 158 698 159 023	4 53	0.22 2.8	100 100	100 100	64 0
	•0 1	2012	1.2	2 086	173 619	63	3	100	100	0
Bhutan	.0	2005 2010 2011 - 2012	0	0	1 018 1 332 1 250 1 145	1		0	0	
Democratic	-	2005			50 474					
People's Republic of Korea		2010 2011 - 2012	0 0	0 0	96 298 99 071 99 399	0 0				
India		2005	2.3	29 488	1 304 828	6 411	22			
		2010 2011	32 45	480 752 688 530	1 522 147 1 515 872	41 476 44 702	8.6 6.5	90 91	57 59	
	• 2 56	• 2012	56	821 807	1 467 585	44 063	5.4	92	59	
Indonesia		2005 2010 2011 2012	0.91 1.9 0.81	2 751 6 003 2 676	254 601 302 861 321 308 331 424	1 106 2 547 754	40 42 28	63 67 18	29 39 29	
Maldives		2005	0.01	2010	123	701	20		20	
		2010 2011	0 6.8	0 6	97 88	0 0	0			0
	- 1	· 2012	0.9	1	111	1	100	0	0	0
Myanmar	/	2005 2010 2011	2 3.2 3.1	2 109 4 362 4 496	107 991 137 403 143 140	611 961 900	29 22 20	50 100 100	31 94 80	0 514 361
	• 2 13	2012	13	19 219	148 149	5 161	27	100	83	001
Nepal		2005 2010	0 0	0 0	34 077 35 609	0				
	•0 42	2011 2012	42 42	15 000 15 057	35 954 35 635	55 217	0.37 1.4	100 100	100 100	
Sri Lanka	/	2005 2010	10	1 015	9 695 10 095	2 13	1.3	0 100	0 54	3
	- 36	2011 2012	18 36	1 832 3 379	10 328 9 343	21 23	1.1 0.68	71 22	100 48	7 8
Thailand		2005			57 895					
	$\wedge$	2010	82	55 692	68 239	8 959	16	71	54	
	_ 72	2011	/4 72	49 770	61 208	7 326	15	/5 77	59	
Timor-Leste	/	2005	0	0	3 783	3 007	15		02	
	•0 20	2011 2012	6.2 20	276 766	4 417 3 837	4 4	1.4 0.52		100 100	

		τοται		NEW PU	LMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUSL	Y TREATED CAS	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
Bangladesh	2005					-			-
	2010	339						339	4.3
	2011	509	4 000 (0 100 E 000)	1 000 (020 2 200)	/1	<0.1	2 200 (1 000 2 700)	/61	10
Phyton	2012	213	4 200 (3 100-3 200)	1 900 (920-3 300)	41	<0.1	2 300 (1 900-2 700)	337	5.0
Dilutari	2003	17			108	24		30	37
	2010	21			48	13		26	37
	2012	16	25 (20-30)	12 (8.8-15)	52	12	13 (8.8-17)	2	2.5
Democratic	2005					-			
People's Republic	2010					-			-
of Korea	2011	37				-		43	0.32
	2012	25	3 800 (3 000-4 600)	1 500 (1 100-1 900)		-	2 300 (1 600-3 000)	31	0.22
India	2005	34				-			-
	2010	2967				-			-
	2011	4237				-			-
	2012	16588	64 000 (49 000-79 000)	21 000 (18 000-25 000)		-	43 000 (32 000-54 000)		-
Indonesia	2005	100				-			-
	2010	182			0	0		324	4.9
	2011	383	0.000 (5.000 0.500)	5 000 (4 000 7 700)	5	<0.1	1 000 (000 1 500)	695	9.0
Maldivoc	2012	428	6 900 (5 200-8 500)	5 800 (4 300-7 700)	2	<0.1	1 000 (690–1 500)	821	9.6
Wildives	2000	0			0	0		0	0
	2010	0			0	0		0	0
	2012	ő	1.7 (1.3-2.1)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	ő	ő	0.16 (0.11-0.21)	ŏ	Ő
Myanmar	2005					_	1	-	
,	2010	192				-			-
	2011	690				-			-
	2012	778	6 000 (4 600-7 500)	4 900 (3 600-6 500)		-	1 200 (790-1 600)		-
Nepal	2005					-			-
	2010	229			126	0.81		193	6.2
	2011	213			0	0		0	0
0.11	2012	354	990 (660–1 300)	570 (320-950)	188	1.2	420 (270-620)	640	24
Sri Lanka	2005	32			659	12		417	82
	2010	12			1090	18		3/8	99
	2011	5	21 (0.42)	11 (0.29, 61)	1060	24	9.6 (4.4.19)	400	55
Thailand	2012	5	21 (0-43)	11 (0.20-01)	1003	23	3.0 (4.4-18)	200	
Tranaria	2010					-			-
	2011	510				-			-
	2012	492	1 800 (1 400-2 200)	800 (480-1 200)		_	960 (780-1 200)		-
Timor-Leste	2005					-			-
	2010	5				-			-
	2011	2			0	0		2	2.9
	2012	3	82 (62-100)	74 (54–94)		-	7.9 (5.4–10)	3	6.1

# TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

^a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES).

^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

# TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

		MALE							FEMALE									
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0-14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
Bangladesh	1995	29	505	983	1 001	748	648	424		64	309	546	360	236	132	38		2.6
	2000 2005	256 524	3 640 8 170	5 643 10 443	5 750	4 718	3 667 8 476	2 837		495 751	3 029 6 776	3 238	2 247 5 538	1 315	778 2 281	370 1 230		2.3
	2010	365	10 460	12 535	11 409	12 758	11 176	11 536	0	653	9 221	8 279	6 185	5 458	3 484	2 250	0	2.0
	2011	309	9 606	11 616	10 152	11 728	10 746	11 301	0	623	8 849	7 679	5 683	4 946	3 457	2 253	0	2.0
Bhutan	2012	316	9 4 7 9 4 2	12 021	10 837	12 /44	11 843	12 236	0	650	9 355	81/5	6 342	6 044	4 043	2 705	0	1.9
	2000	6	65	41	30	24	12	2		7	57	34	31	23	3	2		1.1
	2005	1	47	58	26	23	14	12	0	9	45	38	13	11	9	2	0	1.4
	2010	2	108	50 39	25 26	12	26	13	0	1/	104	45 40	18 19	18	10	9	0	1.1
	2012	6	82	56	30	11	17	11		6	92	58	14	18	9	10		1.0
Democratic	1995	000	000	4 500	0.007	0.540	4 4 6 7	054		107	000	4 404	0.004	4 504	504	057		-
of Korea	2000	293 167	928	1 508	2 927	2 519	1 167	651 485		167	683 1 127	1 121	2 004	1 524	591 764	357		1.6
ornorea	2010	447	2 524	4 046	4 849	4 061	2 629	1 153		407	1 493	2 461	2 910	2 276	1 347	637		1.7
	2011	314	2 218	4 066	5 493	4 542	2 474	1 024		227	1 390	2 264	3 093	2 409	1 271	494		1.8
India	2012	293	2 439	4 015	5 055	4 3/3	2 699	1 150		32	1 447	2 4/5	3 005	2 623	1 527	5/6		1.7
mana	2000	1 588	20 963	31 090	30 829	24 230	15 308	8 534		2 250	14 495	17 287	11 768	7 516	4 594	2 697		2.2
	2005	3 185	62 620	74 678	76 870	64 843	43 038	24 726		6 292	45 136	45 629	28 577	17 042	10 513	5 408		2.2
	2010	4 8/1	78 278	82 757	90 440	81 210	60 766	38 442		8 544	53 415 53 958	49 425	34 035	22 /19	15 52/	9 735		2.3
	2012	4 697	75 502	79 594	88 111	82 356	63 814	41 322		8 260	53 975	47 511	33 378	23 267	17 300	10 502		2.2
Indonesia	1995	6	203	297	306	302	228	109		16	160	244	282	192	90	33		1.4
	2000	846	15 215	20 906	18 401	17 847	13 509	6 390		946	13 916	16 393	13 022	10 927	7 539	2 783		1.4
	2010	714	16 501	24 645	21 090	20 977	17 329	7 910	0	816	14 800	17 838	14 629	13 142	9 524	3 451	0	1.5
	2011	787	17 406	25 429	22 353	22 885	19 404	9 089		927	15 840	18 703	15 900	14 533	10 556	3 985		1.5
Maldives	2012	824	17 304	25 460	23 057	23 751	20 204	9 554		879	15 875	18 484	16 146	15 215	11 321	4 245		1.5
Waldives	2000	0	9	10	2	5	5	3		0	11	4	5	4	5	2		1.1
	2005	0	9	8	5	6	6	5		1	10	7	1	2	2	4		1.4
	2010	0	8 12	6	0	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	1	0	1	0	2.4
	2012	0	8	6	2	4	5	4	0	0	7	6	3	3	2	2	0	1.3
Myanmar	1995	42	713	1 423	1 401	977	677	298		58	535	729	729	450	343	154		1.8
	2000	132	1 459	2 636	2 /81	2 161	2 557	836 1 764		147	1 040	1 592	2 563	987 2 101	1 218	378		1.8
	2010	102	3 043	6 578	6 688	5 607	3 632	2 308		196	2 452	3 454	2 752	2 525	1 838	1 139		1.9
	2011	120	2 923	6 182	6 319	5 680	3 954	2 500		187	2 401	3 317	2 760	2 554	2 010	1 407		1.9
Nopal	2012	146	2 898	6 263	6 469	5 837	3 945	2 626	0	192	2 357	3 368	2 721	2 600	2 023	1 464	0	1.9
Nepai	2000	170	1 904	1 763	1 713	1 491	1 294	772		176	1 267	1 078	833	575	419	228		2.0
	2005	148	1 946	1 685	1 722	1 806	1 759	820		195	1 208	1 111	797	658	532	230		2.1
	2010	165 245	2 110	1 832	1 724	1 856	1 857	1 126	0	192 247	1 177	1 036	819 752	681 624	642 604	352	0	2.2
	2011	240	1 906	1 756	1 644	1 708	1 773	1 203	0	247	1 227	1 036	666	638	643	397	0	2.1
Sri Lanka	1995	10	163	361	519	521	365	261		15	207	206	142	122	81	56		2.7
	2000	25	266	459	695 724	793	484	360		23	312	264	176	202	144	113		2.5
	2003	14	268	539	602	884	683	424		19	295	201	171	183	186	150		2.9
	2011	12	246	459	585	828	653	479	0	13	270	217	191	192	191	154	0	2.7
Theiland	2012	7	243	420	504	799	672	456	0	17	242	200	162	211	200	136	0	2.7
i nalidi lu	2000	- 59 27	859	2 570	2 348 2 380	2 434	2 007	2 213		32	624	1 035	780	936 873	1 016	1 321		2.5
	2005	44	1 344	3 814	4 393	4 003	2 831	3 407		57	907	1 662	1 334	1 367	1 259	1 938		2.3
	2010	55	1 506	3 695	5 253	5 042	3 625	4 189		82	1 087	1 930	1 749	1 467	1 494	2 276	-	2.3
	2011 2012	38	1 546 1 444	3 650 3 277	5 139 4 705	5 140 4 867	3 734	4 U80 3 863		/6 82	1 214	1 //3	1 658	1 586 1 424	1 402	2 133		2.4
Timor-Leste	2005	8	136	149	116	119	52	47		8	127	90	76	60	18	29		1.5
	2010		100	477	407			1.10	0	10	170	100	440	05		75	6	-
	2011 2012	14 7	199	177	137	114 119	99 114	146 129	0	16 12	176 154	182	113 120	85 75	// 84	75 92	0	1.2
					. 20			0	5				0				Ĵ	1

# TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012

				LABORATO	DRIES				FREE THROUGH	H NTP	RIFAMPICIN	TB NOTIF.
	SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA ^c LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	$NRL^d$	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
Bangladesh	0.7	2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	12	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Bhutan	4.7	0	6.7	6.7			Out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	1.3	0	0.2	0.2	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
India	1.1	2	0.3	0.2	0.1	32		Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Indonesia	2.3	0	0.9	0.1	<0.1	9	In country	Yes	Yes (other criteria)	Yes	Yes	
Maldives	20.7	0	14.8	0	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Myanmar	0.9	14	0.2	0.2	0.2	3	In and out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Nepal	1.9	2	0.4	0.4		9	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Sri Lanka	1.0	0	0.7	0.2	0.2	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	53
Thailand	1.6	6	4.9	1.3	0.9	14	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Timor-Leste	1.6	-				1	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	No	

- ^a LED = Light emitting diode microscopes
   ^b DST = Drug susceptibility testing
- DST = Drug susceptibility
   LPA = Line probe assay
- ^d NRL = National Reference Laboratory

# TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New TB cases					Previously treated TB cases					
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage		Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage			
Bangladesh	2011	Survey	National	1.4 (0.70-2.5)		2011	Survey	National	29 (24-34)			
Bhutan												
Democratic People's												
Republic of Korea												
India	2001, 2004, 2006, 2009	Survey	Sub-national	2.2 (1.9-2.6)		2006, 2009	Survey	Sub-national	15 (11-19)			
Indonesia	2004, 2006, 2010	Survey	Sub-national	1.9 (1.4-2.5)		2006, 2010	Survey	Sub-national	12 (8.1–17)			
Maldives												
Myanmar	2008	Survey	National	4.2 (3.1-5.6)		2008	Survey	National	10 (6.9-14)			
Nepal	2011	Survey	National	2.3 (1.3-3.8)		2011	Survey	National	15 (10-23)			
Sri Lanka	2006	Survey	National	0.18 (0-0.99)		2011	Surveillance	National	2.2 (1.0-4.1)			
Thailand	2006	Survey	National	1.7 (1.0-2.6)		2006	Survey	National	35 (28-42)			
Timor Locto		,		. ,			2					

a Empty rows indicate an absence of high-quality survey or surveillance data. In the absence of high-quality national data, high-quality sub-national data are used.

# WESTERN PACIFIC REGION

Table A4.1	Estimates of the burden of disease caused by TB, 1990–2012	269
Table A4.2	Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012	272
Table A4.3	Case notifications, 1990–2012	275
Table A4.4	Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011	278
Table A4.5	Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011	280
Table A4.6	HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012	282
Table A4.7	Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012	284
Table A4.8	New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012	286
Table A4.9	Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012	288
Table A4.10	Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB, most recent year available	289

# Estimates of mortality, prevalence and incidence

Estimated values are shown as best estimates followed by lower and upper bounds. The lower and upper bounds are defined as the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles of outcome distributions produced in simulations. See **ANNEX 1** for further details.

Estimated numbers are shown rounded to two significant figures. Estimated rates are shown rounded to three significant figures unless the value is under 100, in which case rates are shown rounded to two significant figures.

Estimates for all years are recalculated as new information becomes available and techniques are refined, so they may differ from those published in previous reports in this series. The main updates implemented in this report are explained in Box 2.1 of Chapter 2. Estimates published in previous global TB control reports should no longer be used.

#### **Data source**

Data shown in this annex are taken from the WHO global TB database on 1 October 2013. Data shown in the main part of the report were taken from the database in July 2013. As a result, data in this annex may differ slightly from those in the main part of the report.

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data.

			MORTALITY (EXC	LUDING HIV)	PREVALENCE (INC	LUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	IDING HIV)
	YEAR		NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a
American Samoa	1990	(MILLIONS)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.1 (2.1-9.5)	0.022 (0.010-0.037)	46 (22-79)	0.012 (<0.01-0.015)	26 (21-31)
	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (0.95-4.4)	0.011 (<0.01-0.019)	21 (10–37)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (9.4–14)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.4 (0.99–4.5)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.010) 0.013 (<0.01-0.023)	9.4 (3.6–18) 23 (11–38)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	13 (10–15)
	2010 2011	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.9 (0.14-2.3) 0.95 (0.17-2.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014) <0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	11 (3.0–25) 12 (3.2–25)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.8 (6.3–9.4) 7.8 (6.3–9.4)
Accesselle	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.88 (0.23–2.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	11 (3.6–22)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.3 (5.9–8.9)
Australia	1990 1995	17 18	0.061 (0.061-0.062) 0.027 (0.027-0.028)	0.36 (0.35-0.36) 0.15 (0.15-0.16)	1.7 (0.750-2.9) 1.7 (0.740-3.0)	9.7 (4.4–17) 9.4 (4.1–17)	1.2 (1.0–1.3) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)	6.8 (6.0–7.7) 6.8 (6.0–7.7)
	2000 2005	19 21	0.036 (0.035-0.036) 0.041 (0.041-0.042)	0.19 (0.18-0.19)	1.7 (0.740-3.0) 1.6 (0.650-3.0)	8.7 (3.9–16) 7.8 (3.2–14)	1.2 (1.1–1.4) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)	6.2 (5.5-7.0) 5.9 (5.1-6.6)
	2010	22	0.051 (0.050-0.051)	0.23 (0.23-0.23)	2 (0.830-3.6)	8.8 (3.7–16)	1.4 (1.3–1.6)	6.5 (5.7-7.3)
	2011	23	0.045 (0.044-0.045)	0.19 (0.19-0.19)	2 (0.860-3.7)	8.8 (3.7–16)	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	6.5 (5.7–7.4)
Brunei Darussalam	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3 (2.9–3.2) 3 (2.9–3.2)	0.2 (0.070-0.400) 0.21 (0.064-0.440)	78 (27–154) 71 (22–150)	0.16 (0.140-0.190) 0.18 (0.160-0.210)	64 (56-72) 63 (55-71)
	2000	< 1	0.014 (0.014-0.015)	4.3 (4.2-4.5)	0.55 (0.270-0.930)	165 (81-280)	0.35 (0.310-0.400)	106 (93-120)
	2010	<1	0.012 (0.012-0.013)	3 (2.9–3.2)	0.4 (0.180-0.700)	99 (45–174)	0.27 (0.240-0.310)	68 (60-77)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	0.012 (0.012-0.013) 0.013 (0.012-0.013)	3 (2.9–3.2) 3 (2.9–3.2)	0.36 (0.140-0.660) 0.37 (0.140-0.700)	87 (36–162) 90 (35–169)	0.26 (0.230-0.300) 0.28 (0.240-0.320)	65 (57–74) 68 (59–77)
Cambodia	1990 1995	9 11	14 (4.9–28) 15 (5.3–29)	157 (54–314) 139 (49–274)	150 (96–220) 180 (130–230)	1 670 (1 060-2 410) 1 670 (1 220-2 180)	53 (38–69) 62 (48–78)	580 (423-761) 578 (448-724)
	2000	12	16 (5.7–31)	128 (47–251)	200 (160–240)	1 620 (1 310–1 960)	71 (56–87)	577 (458-710)
	2005	13	9.8 (4.5–17)	<u>94 (38–175)</u> 68 (31–120)	160 (140–190) 130 (110–150)	1 230 (1 020–1 460) 875 (737–1 020)	63 (54-72)	437 (376–503)
	2011 2012	15 15	9.5 (4.4–17) 9.3 (4.3–16)	65 (30-114) 63 (29-110)	120 (100-140) 110 (96-130)	817 (690–954) 764 (645–892)	62 (53-71) 61 (52-70)	424 (364–489) 411 (353–474)
China	1990	1 165	220 (190-240)	19 (17-21)	2 500 (2 300-2 700)	215 (201-230)	1 800 (1 400-2 200)	153 (121–189)
	2000	1 280	110 (84–140)	8.7 (6.5–11)	2 200 (2 200–2 700) 2 200 (1 900–2 500)	170 (146–196)	1 400 (1 200–1 600)	109 (92–126)
	2005	1 318	75 (72–77) 52 (50–53)	5.7 (5.5-5.9) 3.8 (3.7-3.9)	1 800 (1 600–2 100) 1 500 (1 300–1 700)	140 (121–160) 108 (94–123)	1 200 (1 100–1 400) 1 100 (930–1 200)	92 (80–105) 78 (68–88)
	2011	1 368	48 (46-50) 44 (43-46)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	1 400 (1 200-1 600)	104 (91–119) 99 (86–113)	1 000 (900-1 200)	75 (66–85) 73 (64–82)
China, Hong Kong	1990	6	0.37 (0.360-0.370)	6.3 (6.2–6.4)	9.8 (4.0–18)	169 (69–314)	7.5 (6.6–8.5)	129 (113–146)
SAR	2000	7	0.38 (0.380-0.380) 0.27 (0.270-0.280)	4 (4.0-4.0)	8.2 (2.8–17)	120 (40-243)	6.9 (6.1–7.8)	101 (89–115)
	2005	7	0.24 (0.240-0.250) 0.19 (0.180-0.190)	3.5 (3.5–3.6) 2.6 (2.6–2.7)	9 (3.8–16) 7.7 (3.2–14)	130 (55–237) 110 (46–202)	6.5 (5.7–7.4) 5.7 (5.0–6.4)	94 (83–107) 81 (71–91)
	2011	7	0.19 (0.180-0.190)	2.6 (2.6-2.7)	7.3 (3.0–14)	103 (42-191)	5.4 (4.8-6.2) 5.5 (4.8-6.3)	77 (67-87)
China, Macao	1990	< 1	0.036 (0.018-0.060)	10 (5.1–17)	0.6 (0.290-1.0)	167 (81–285)	0.39 (0.350-0.450)	110 (96–124)
SAR	2000	< 1	0.022 (<0.01-0.050) 0.02 (<0.01-0.052)	4.6 (0.74–12)	0.65 (0.250-1.2)	137 (45–278) 151 (57–289)	0.52 (0.450-0.580)	120 (105–135)
	2005	<1	0.015 (<0.01-0.051) 0.015 (<0.01-0.058)	3.3 (0.16–11) 2.8 (<0.1–11)	0.66 (0.300-1.2) 0.64 (0.280-1.1)	141 (64–249) 119 (52–214)	0.46 (0.400-0.520) 0.45 (0.400-0.510)	98 (86–111) 85 (74–96)
	2011	< 1	0.015 (<0.01-0.059)	2.8 (<0.1-11)	0.59 (0.240-1.1)	108 (44-200)	0.44 (0.380-0.490)	80 (70–91) 83 (73–94)
Cook Islands	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.79 (0.73–0.85)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (3.4–25)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.51 (0.26–0.84)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.6 (2.3–16)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	6.5 (5.7–7.3)
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.62 (0.34-0.98) 0.4 (0.34-0.46)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.5 (2.9–14) 6 (1.8–13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0 (0-0)	5.9 (5.2–6.7) 0 (0–0)
	2011 2012	< 1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	0.53 (<0.1-1.9) 0.6 (0.33-0.97)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.4 (1.1–20) 7.2 (2.9–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.6 (4.9-6.4) 5.6 (4.9-6.3)
Fiji	1990	<1	0.051 (0.020-0.097)	7 (2.7–13)	1.8 (0.890-3.0)	244 (123–407)	0.81 (0.710-0.920)	112 (98–126)
	2000	< 1	0.03 (0.021-0.040)	3.7 (2.6-4.9)	0.91 (0.470-1.5)	112 (58–184)	0.44 (0.390-0.500)	54 (48–62)
	2005	< 1	0.022 (0.020-0.024)	2.7 (2.4-2.9) 1.9 (1.9-2.0)	0.39 (0.200-0.640)	45 (23–75)	0.33 (0.290-0.370) 0.24 (0.210-0.270)	28 (24–32)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.015 (0.015-0.016) 0.015 (0.014-0.015)	1.8 (1.7–1.8) 1.7 (1.6–1.7)	0.32 (0.140-0.570) 0.26 (0.088-0.530)	37 (16-66) 30 (10-61)	0.23 (0.200-0.260) 0.21 (0.190-0.240)	26 (23–29) 24 (21–27)
French Polynesia	1990 1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.016)	1.9 (<0.1-7.8) 2.3 (0.19-6.8)	0.095 (0.042-0.170)	48 (21–85) 59 (22–113)	0.068 (0.059-0.077)	34 (30–39) 47 (41–53)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.2 (0.36–2.7)	0.083 (0.025-0.180)	35 (10-74)	0.071 (0.062-0.081)	30 (26–34)
	2003	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.013)	0.78 (0.25–1.6)	0.059 (0.043-0.120)	22 (6.6–46)	0.047 (0.041-0.053)	18 (15-20)
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.022) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.7 (0-8.0) 0.98 (0.12-2.7)	0.11 (0.052-0.190) 0.071 (0.026-0.140)	41 (19–70) 26 (9.4–51)	0.074 (0.064-0.083) 0.058 (0.050-0.065)	27 (24–31) 21 (18–24)
Guam	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012) <0.01 (<0.01-0.023)	2.7 (<0.1-9.5) 3.9 (<0.1-16)	0.088 (0.037-0.160) 0.14 (0.062-0.250)	67 (28–124) 96 (43–170)	0.066 (0.058-0.075) 0.099 (0.087-0.110)	50 (44-57) 68 (60-77)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.9 (0.22-5.3)	0.077 (0.028-0.150)	49 (18–96)	0.062 (0.054-0.070)	40 (35-45)
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.036)	4.6 (<0.1–23)	0.19 (0.095-0.310)	118 (59–196)	0.12 (0.100-0.130)	73 (64–82)
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.7 (0.33–7.6) 2.2 (0.68–4.5)	0.13 (0.049-0.240) 0.11 (0.036-0.220)	78 (31–148) 66 (22–134)	0.094 (0.083-0.110) 0.078 (0.069-0.089)	59 (51–66) 48 (42–54)
Japan	1990 1995	122 124	3.8 (3.7–3.9) 3.3 (3.2–3.3)	3.1 (3.0–3.2) 2.6 (2.6–2.7)	83 (35–150) 66 (26–120)	68 (29–123) 53 (21–99)	60 (52–67) 50 (43–56)	49 (43–55) 40 (35–45)
	2000 2005	126 127	2.8 (2.7–2.8) 2.3 (2.3–2.4)	2.2 (2.2-2.2)	64 (28–110) 43 (18–79)	51 (23–91) 34 (14–62)	45 (40–51) 31 (27–35)	36 (32-41) 25 (22-28)
	2010	127	2.2 (2.1–2.3)	1.7 (1.7–1.8)	37 (16–66)	29 (12–52)	26 (23–30)	20 (18–23)
	2011	127	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	1.7 (1.6–1.7)	33 (13–61)	26 (11-48)	24 (21–28)	19 (17-22)
Kiribati	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.039 (0.029-0.051) 0.044 (0.031-0.058)	55 (41–72) 57 (41–76)	0.18 (0.080-0.310) 0.59 (0.260-1.0)	249 (113–437) 770 (347–1 360)	0.083 (0.066-0.100) 0.39 (0.310-0.460)	116 (93–143) 505 (410–609)
	2000	< 1	0.013 (<0.01-0.016)	15 (11–20) 17 (16–17)	0.4 (0.140-0.790)	487 (174–957) 747 (335–1 320)	0.31 (0.250-0.380)	372 (296–456) 488 (396–588)
	2010	<1	0.016 (<0.01-0.025)	17 (9.5–26)	0.47 (0.160-0.930)	477 (166–949)	0.36 (0.290-0.430)	366 (298-441)
	2011	<1	0.017 (<0.01-0.026)	17 (9.5–26)	0.63 (0.270-1.1)	628 (270–1 130)	0.43 (0.350-0.520)	432 (351–521) 429 (349–517)
Lao People's Democratic	1990 1995	4 5	1.7 (1.1–2.6) 1.4 (0.860–2.0)	41 (25–60) 29 (18–42)	63 (32–110) 60 (32–95)	1 490 (746-2 490) 1 220 (664-1 950)	21 (13–31) 20 (12–29)	492 (304–725) 403 (249–593)
Republic	2000 2005	5	1.1 (0.700-1.7) 0.91 (0.560-1.3)	21 (13–31) 16 (9.7–23)	52 (30-79) 43 (26-63)	961 (557-1 470) 737 (453-1 090)	18 (11–26) 16 (9.7–23)	330 (204–486) 270 (167–398)
	2010	6	0.76 (0.470-1.1)	12 (7.3–17)	36 (23–52)	565 (366-807) 540 (352 767)	14 (8.8–21)	221 (137–326)
Malauria	2012	7	0.72 (0.430-1.1)	11 (6.5–16)	34 (22-48)	514 (335-729)	14 (8.4–20)	204 (126–301)
walaysia	1990 1995	18 21	1.2 (0.370-2.5) 1.4 (0.480-2.7)	6.6 (2.3–13)	44 (23-/1) 39 (21-63)	242 (128-392) 189 (102-303)	23 (21–26) 22 (20–25)	127 (113–142) 108 (97–120)
	2000 2005	23 26	1.6 (0.710-2.9) 1.5 (0.810-2.4)	6.9 (3.0-12) 5.8 (3.2-9.1)	35 (18–56) 33 (18–54)	148 (79–239) 129 (68–210)	22 (20–24) 22 (20–24)	95 (86-103) 86 (79-94)
	2010	28	1.5 (1.0-2.2)	5.4 (3.6-7.6)	32 (15-54)	112 (54–190)	23 (21-25)	82 (75-89)
Masahall	2012	29	1.6 (1.2–2.1)	5.4 (4.1-7.0)	29 (13-53)	101 (43–183)	24 (22–26)	80 (74-87)
warshali Islands	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.013 (<0.01-0.062) 0.018 (<0.01-0.069)	28 (<0.1-130) 35 (0.72-134)	0.12 (<0.01-0.470) 0.17 (<0.01-0.550)	251 (3.3–1 000) 332 (19–1 080)	0.095 (<0.01-0.190) 0.097 (0.024-0.220)	137 (14–396) 190 (46–432)
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.033 (<0.01-0.070) 0.033 (<0.01-0.130)	62 (18–135) 64 (1.3–245)	0.28 (0.099-0.540) 0.34 (0.027-1.0)	532 (190-1 040) 651 (53-1 980)	0.14 (0.084-0.200) 0.19 (0.050-0.420)	263 (161–389) 363 (96–803)
	2010	<1	0.047 (<0.01-0.200)	89 (0.54–385) 98 (0.78–414)	0.47 (0.019-1.6)	903 (36–3 100) 973 (43–3 290)	0.26 (0.051-0.650)	502 (97-1 230) 536 (103-1 320)
	2012	< 1	0.058 (<0.01-0.240)	111 (1.4–448)	0.57 (0.028-1.9)	1 080 (54–3 560)	0.3 (0.058–0.740)	572 (110-1 400)

WESTERN PACIFIC REGION

			MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV)		PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)			
	YEAR	POPULATION (MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a		
Micronesia	1990	< 1	0.035 (0-0.220)	36 (0-227)	0.45 (<0.01-1.9)	464 (2.8-2 010)	0.36 (0.100-0.800)	379 (104-827)		
(Federated States of)	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.077 (0.019-0.180) 0.07 (0.024-0.140)	72 (18–163) 65 (22–130)	0.68 (0.220-1.4) 0.6 (0.250-1.1)	629 (203–1 290) 560 (237–1 020)	0.35 (0.200-0.540) 0.3 (0.210-0.400)	325 (185–505) 279 (200–371)		
	2005	<1	0.053 (0.016-0.110) 0.032 (<0.01-0.100)	50 (15–104) 31 (1.8–100)	0.47 (0.180-0.900) 0.33 (0.045-0.870)	446 (172-848) 314 (44-844)	0.25 (0.170-0.360) 0.21 (0.092-0.380)	240 (158–338) 206 (89–371)		
	2011	< 1	0.028 (<0.01-0.098)	27 (1.1-95)	0.32 (0.046-0.870)	313 (44–837) 270 (27–782)	0.21 (0.089-0.370)	200 (86–360)		
Mongolia	1990	2	0.52 (0.400-0.650)	24 (18-30)	20 (9.3–36)	938 (425-1 650)	8.8 (7.5–10)	405 (345-470)		
	2000	2	0.32 (0.190-0.470)	13 (8.1–20)	10 (5.3–17)	431 (221–710)	6.1 (5.5–6.7)	254 (228–281)		
	2005	3	0.24 (0.120-0.400)	7.5 (2.9–14)	9.6 (4.9–16)	353 (162–569) 353 (181–580)	6.1 (5.7–6.5)	225 (207–243) 224 (209–240)		
	2011 2012	3 3	0.2 (0.077–0.390) 0.2 (0.075–0.390)	7.4 (2.8–14) 7.2 (2.7–14)	10 (5.3–16) 11 (5.7–17)	364 (191–591) 380 (204–608)	6.1 (5.7–6.6) 6.2 (5.8–6.7)	223 (208–239) 223 (208–239)		
Nauru	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9.1 (5.0–14) 4.7 (2.5–7.5)	0.01 (<0.01-0.019) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	111 (43–213) 54 (22–99)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	88 (77–99) 40 (35–46)		
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.2 (3.5–12) 23 (10–41)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012) 0.022 (0.011-0.036)	72 (35–122) 216 (109–359)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.013 (0.011-0.014)	46 (40-52) 125 (110-142)		
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.7 (2.3–5.4) 8.1 (4.1–14)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	55 (17–116) 86 (41–147)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	34 (30–39) 57 (50–65)		
Now Caladania	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	9.5 (4.4–17)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.015)	91 (46–151)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	54 (47-61)		
New Galeuonia	1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.024)	2.1 (0.56–4.6)	0.11 (0.033-0.240)	59 (18–125)	0.1 (0.088-0.110)	53 (46–60)		
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.034)	1.1 (0.13–3.1)	0.067 (0.024-0.130)	29 (11–57)	0.054 (0.047-0.061)	24 (21–27)		
	2010 2011	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011) <0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	1.2 (<0.1-4.5) 1.3 (<0.1-5.5)	0.076 (0.032-0.140) 0.084 (0.037-0.150)	31 (13–56) 33 (15–60)	0.056 (0.049-0.064) 0.06 (0.052-0.068)	23 (20–26) 24 (21–27)		
New Zealand	2012 1990	< 1 3	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.019 (0.018-0.019)	0.74 (0.23-1.6) 0.55 (0.54-0.55)	0.053 (0.016-0.110) 0.58 (0.270-1.0)	21 (6.2–44) 17 (7.9–30)	0.044 (0.038-0.049) 0.4 (0.350-0.450)	17 (15-20) 12 (10-13)		
	1995 2000	4	0.021 (0.021-0.021) 0.012 (0.012-0.012)	0.58 (0.57-0.58) 0.32 (0.31-0.32)	0.67 (0.320-1.2) 0.49 (0.170-0.980)	18 (8.7–31) 13 (4.5–25)	0.45 (0.390-0.510) 0.4 (0.350-0.450)	12 (11-14) 10 (9.0-12)		
	2005	4 4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.15 (0.15-0.15)	0.51 (0.200-0.950)	12 (4.9–23) 11 (4.8–20)	0.38 (0.330-0.430)	9.2 (8.1–10) 7.9 (6.9–9.0)		
	2011	4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (0.10-0.10)	0.5 (0.220-0.880)	11 (5.1-20)	0.35 (0.310-0.400)	7.9 (7.0-9.0)		
Niue	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	2.9 (2.8–3.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	43 (13–91)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.1 (3.1–3.2)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	45 (13-50) 47 (14-99) 26 (7.6 54)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	1.4 (1.3–1.4)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	20 (6.1–43)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	19 (4.7–42) 3.1 (1.7–4.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	170 (59–341) 46 (14–97)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	81 (71–91) 37 (32–42)		
Northern Mariana Islands	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.1 (0.91–6.5) 4.4 (1.5–8.8)	0.038 (0.011-0.081) 0.071 (0.021-0.150)	86 (26–183) 123 (36–261)	0.032 (0.028-0.036) 0.055 (0.048-0.062)	73 (64–83) 96 (84–109)		
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.018) <0.01 (<0.01-0.019)	6.9 (0.13-26) 6.3 (<0.1-30)	0.12 (0.050-0.210) 0.1 (0.052-0.180)	172 (74–312) 163 (81–273)	0.086 (0.076-0.098) 0.066 (0.057-0.074)	126 (110-143) 102 (89-115)		
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.2 (0.37-8.9) 3.6 (0.15-13)	0.049 (0.019-0.093)	91 (35–172) 101 (43–182)	0.037 (0.032-0.042)	68 (60–77) 71 (62–81)		
Palau	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3.5 (0.17–12)	0.052 (0.022-0.094)	97 (42–175)	0.037 (0.032-0.042)	<u>69 (60–78)</u> <u>45 (36–54)</u>		
1 4140	1995	<1	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	17 (7.3–31)	0.034 (0.013-0.065)	197 (76–376) 256 (119, 444)	0.025 (0.021-0.031)	147 (119–178)		
	2000	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	10 (3.8–20)	0.022 (<0.01-0.039)	110 (48–198)	0.013 (0.011-0.016)	67 (54-81)		
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	8.8 (3.8–16)	0.045 (0.022-0.076)	100 (40–187)	0.024 (0.019-0.029) 0.015 (0.012-0.018)	73 (59–88)		
Papua New	2012 1990	<1 4	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 3.4 (1.2-6.9)	4.4 (2.9-6.2) 82 (28-165)	0.014 (<0.01-0.029) 30 (12-55)	65 (19–138) 715 (289–1 330)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 13 (8.5-18)	24 (20–29) 308 (203–435)		
Guinea	1995 2000	5 5	3 (1.0-5.9) 2.8 (0.910-5.8)	63 (22-125) 52 (17-107)	29 (12–54) 32 (12–61)	620 (250-1 160) 586 (219-1 130)	15 (10-21) 19 (12-26)	322 (212–453) 349 (230–492)		
	2005	6 7	3.4 (1.1-6.9) 3.7 (1.2-7.5)	55 (18-112) 54 (18-110)	37 (14–71) 39 (14–76)	607 (230-1 160) 568 (208-1 100)	22 (14-31) 24 (16-34)	358 (236-505) 348 (229-491)		
	2011 2012	7 7	3.7 (1.2–7.6) 3.9 (1.3–7.8)	53 (17-109) 54 (18-109)	38 (14–76) 39 (13–77)	549 (194-1 080) 541 (187-1 080)	24 (16-34) 25 (16-35)	346 (228-488) 348 (230-490)		
Philippines	1990 1995	62 70	34 (26-44) 35 (30-40)	55 (42–70) 50 (43–58)	620 (480–790) 630 (480–800)	1 000 (768–1 270) 904 (692–1 140)	240 (150–360) 250 (200–300)	393 (243–580) 360 (294–432)		
	2000	78 86	31 (29–34) 30 (28–32)	40 (38–43)	600 (480–740) 540 (470–630)	775 (616–953)	260 (210-310)	329 (269–395) 301 (246–361)		
	2010	93	25 (24-27)	27 (25–29)	470 (410-530)	502 (441–566) 484 (425–546)	260 (210-310) 260 (210-310) 260 (210-310)	275 (227–328)		
Popublic of Koroa	2012	97	23 (22-25)	24 (22-26)	450 (390-500)	461 (405–520)	260 (210-310)	265 (219–316) 171 (150, 194)		
Republic of Rolea	1990	43	2.7 (0.044–10)	5.9 (0.10–23)	90 (74–110)	202 (166–243)	48 (42–55)	108 (95–123)		
	2000 2005	46 47	1.2 (0.460–2.4) 2.7 (0.040–11)	2.7 (1.0-5.2) 5.8 (<0.1-23)	85 (69–100) 79 (64–94)	184 (150–221) 167 (136–201)	25 (22–28) 49 (43–56)	54 (48-62) 105 (92-119)		
	2010 2011	48 49	2.5 (0.190–7.5) 2.7 (0.120–9.1)	5.1 (0.40-16) 5.6 (0.25-19)	73 (60–88) 72 (59–87)	152 (124–182) 149 (121–179)	51 (44–57) 53 (47–60)	105 (92–118) 109 (96–124)		
Samoa	2012 1990	49 < 1	2.6 (0.160-8.5) <0.01 (<0.01-0.015)	5.4 (0.32-17) 5 (2.1-9.0)	71 (58–86) 0.086 (0.037–0.160)	146 (119–175) 53 (23–96)	53 (46-60) 0.059 (0.047-0.071)	108 (95-122) 36 (29-44)		
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.013) <0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	4.2 (1.6-7.9) 3.1 (1.1-6.3)	0.075 (0.030-0.140) 0.059 (0.022-0.110)	44 (18-81) 34 (12-65)	0.051 (0.039-0.063) 0.041 (0.030-0.053)	30 (23–37) 23 (17–30)		
	2005	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.3 (0.98-4.1) 3 (1.2-5.6)	0.045 (0.018-0.083)	25 (10–46) 29 (13–50)	0.032 (0.026-0.039)	18 (14–22) 17 (13–21)		
	2011	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	3.1 (1.3–5.8) 3.2 (1.3–6.0)	0.055 (0.026-0.095)	29 (14–51) 30 (14–52)	0.032 (0.026-0.039)	17 (14–21) 18 (14–21)		
Singapore	1990	3	0.12 (0.120-0.120)	4 (3.8-4.1)	2.5 (1.0-4.6)	82 (33–152) 84 (25, 154)	1.8 (1.6–2.1)	61 (53–69) 62 (55–71)		
	2000	4	0.12 (0.120-0.130) 0.12 (0.110-0.140)	3.2 (2.8–3.6)	2.7 (1.1-4.9)	68 (29–125) 47 (20, 86)	2 (1.7-2.2)	51 (44–57)		
	2005	5	0.082 (0.071-0.094)	1.9 (1.6–2.2)	2.3 (0.850-4.4)	47 (20-86) 45 (17-86)	1.8 (1.6–2.0)	35 (31-40)		
·	2011 2012	5 5	0.087 (0.073-0.100) 0.089 (0.075-0.110)	1.7 (1.4–1.9) 1.7 (1.4–2.0)	2.3 (0.770–4.6) 3.9 (1.9–6.6)	44 (15–88) 73 (35–125)	1.9 (1.7–2.1) 2.6 (2.3–3.0)	36 (32–41) 50 (44–56)		
Solomon Islands	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.22 (0.063–0.480) 0.2 (0.077–0.370)	71 (20–154) 54 (21–103)	1.9 (0.690–3.8) 1.7 (0.810–2.9)	619 (222–1 210) 473 (225–810)	0.97 (0.600-1.4) 0.86 (0.710-1.0)	312 (193–460) 240 (196–288)		
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.17 (0.068-0.330) 0.13 (0.053-0.240)	42 (16-79) 27 (11-50)	1.5 (0.710-2.6) 1.2 (0.560-2.0)	364 (173–624) 251 (120–429)	0.76 (0.620-0.910) 0.67 (0.540-0.800)	185 (151–222) 142 (116–171)		
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.09 (0.039-0.160) 0.085 (0.038-0.150)	17 (7.5–30) 16 (7.0–28)	0.9 (0.420-1.6) 0.86 (0.400-1.5)	171 (79–297) 160 (74–279)	0.57 (0.470-0.680) 0.55 (0.460-0.660)	108 (89–129) 103 (85–123)		
Tokelau	2012	<1	0.082 (0.036-0.150)	15 (6.6–27)	0.83 (0.380-1.5)	151 (70–264) 85 (24–185)	0.54 (0.440-0.640)	97 (80–116)		
	1995	<1	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	4.9 (<0.1-22)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	54 (2.4–185) 32 (5.3–82)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	39 (13-80)		
	2005	<1	0 (0-0)	<0.1 (0-0.10)	0 (0-<0.01)	0.26 (<0.1-0.54)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		
	2010	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-<0.1)			0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)		
Tonga	1990	<1	0 (0-0) <0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	<u>0 (0-&lt;0.1)</u> 5.9 (2.7-10)	0.056 (0.027-0.097)	59 (28-102)	0.036 (0.030-0.042)	0 (0-0) 38 (32-45)		
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.2 (2.0-7.3) 3.6 (1.4-6.8)	0.045 (0.019-0.081) 0.038 (0.015-0.073)	46 (20-84) 39 (15-74)	0.032 (0.027-0.037) 0.027 (0.021-0.034)	33 (28–39) 28 (22–35)		
	2005 2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	3 (1.3-5.5) 2.6 (1.0-5.0)	0.033 (0.013-0.060) 0.029 (0.013-0.052)	32 (13-60) 28 (13-50)	0.023 (0.018-0.028) 0.017 (0.015-0.020)	22 (18-27) 17 (14-20)		
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.6 (1.0-4.9) 2.5 (1.1-4.6)	0.028 (0.013-0.049) 0.027 (0.014-0.045)	27 (12–47) 26 (13–43)	0.016 (0.014-0.019) 0.015 (0.013-0.018)	16 (13–18) 14 (12–17)		

			MORTALITY (EXCLUDING HIV)		PREVALENCE (INCL	UDING HIV)	INCIDENCE (INCLU	IDING HIV)
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a
Tuvalu	1990	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.019)	98 (27-212)	0.083 (0.029-0.160)	921 (327-1 820)	0.048 (0.031-0.069)	536 (347-766)
	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.021)	73 (5.1-227)	0.066 (<0.01-0.170)	711 (101-1 900)	0.04 (0.017-0.074)	437 (181-805)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.014)	68 (19-146)	0.059 (0.021-0.120)	626 (226-1 230)	0.034 (0.022-0.048)	357 (231-510)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-0.010)	50 (15-105)	0.047 (0.017-0.089)	480 (180-923)	0.028 (0.019-0.039)	291 (198-402)
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	18 (7.3-33)	0.022 (<0.01-0.044)	222 (75-448)	0.018 (0.014-0.021)	178 (145-215)
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (3.8-24)	0.017 (<0.01-0.036)	176 (53-371)	0.015 (0.012-0.018)	152 (124-184)
	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	37 (16-68)	0.037 (0.017-0.065)	377 (172-658)	0.024 (0.019-0.029)	241 (196-290)
Vanuatu	1990	<1	0.016 (<0.01-0.032)	11 (3.9-22)	0.22 (0.062-0.470)	148 (43-319)	0.19 (0.150-0.230)	127 (103-154)
	1995	< 1	0.011 (<0.01-0.019)	6.5 (2.9-11)	0.16 (0.049-0.340)	97 (29-204)	0.11 (0.085-0.130)	63 (51-76)
	2000	< 1	0.03 (0.013-0.054)	16 (6.9-29)	0.31 (0.140-0.550)	166 (74-295)	0.2 (0.160-0.250)	110 (89-132)
	2005	< 1	0.029 (0.012-0.052)	14 (5.9-25)	0.28 (0.130-0.490)	134 (63-232)	0.17 (0.140-0.210)	83 (68-99)
	2010	<1	0.024 (0.011-0.043)	10 (4.5-18)	0.25 (0.110-0.440)	105 (47-185)	0.16 (0.130-0.200)	69 (57-83)
	2011	< 1	0.022 (<0.01-0.039)	9.1 (4.1-16)	0.24 (0.100-0.420)	97 (42-175)	0.16 (0.130-0.190)	67 (55-80)
	2012	< 1	0.02 (<0.01-0.035)	7.9 (3.6-14)	0.22 (0.090-0.410)	89 (36-165)	0.16 (0.130-0.190)	65 (53-77)
Viet Nam	1990	69	36 (21-55)	52 (30-79)	360 (150-670)	525 (212-976)	170 (120-240)	251 (172–344)
	1995	76	32 (20-47)	42 (26-61)	340 (150-610)	451 (198-805)	170 (120-220)	220 (155-295)
	2000	81	27 (18-38)	33 (22-47)	290 (130-510)	353 (156-629)	160 (120-210)	197 (142-260)
	2005	85	23 (16-31)	27 (19-37)	240 (110-440)	288 (125-517)	150 (110-190)	176 (131-229)
	2010	89	19 (13-26)	22 (15-29)	210 (87-390)	238 (97-440)	140 (100-180)	155 (115-201)
	2011	90	19 (13-25)	21 (14-28)	200 (82-380)	227 (91-424)	140 (100-180)	151 (112–197)
	2012	91	18 (12-25)	20 (13-27)	200 (78-370)	218 (86-410)	130 (99–170)	147 (109-192)
Wallis and Futuna	1990	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	17 (9.2–27)	0.028 (0.011-0.052)	201 (80-378)	0.022 (0.019-0.024)	156 (137–176)
Islands	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.2 (2.3-6.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.019)	62 (19-132)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	49 (43-55)
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.2 (3.6-4.9)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.019)	63 (19-132)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	15 (13-17)
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	4.7 (2.6-7.5)	0.01 (<0.01-0.021)	70 (21-148)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	57 (50-64)
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.8 (1.4-4.6)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.012)	42 (13-88)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	36 (31-41)
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.6 (1.5-4.1)	<0.01 (<0.01-0.011)	41 (13-85)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	17 (15-19)
	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	13 (5.6–23)	0.016 (<0.01-0.026)	117 (59-193)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	65 (57-74)

TABLE A4.2 Incidence	e, notification and	case detection rate	es, all forms,	1990-2012
----------------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------	-----------

			INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION	
	YEAR		NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT	
American Samoa	1990	< 1	0.012 (<0.01-0.015)	26 (21-31)	(		9	19	75 (62–93)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	12 (9.4–14) 6.9 (5.6–8.4)			3	5.2	75 (62–93)	
	2005 2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<u>13 (10–15)</u> 7.8 (6.3–9.4)			6 4	10 7.2	80 (66–99) 92 (76–110)	
	2011 2012	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	7.8 (6.3–9.4) 7.3 (5.9–8.9)			3	5.4	70 (58–86)	
Australia	1990 1995	17 18	1.2 (1.0-1.3) 1.2 (1.1-1.4)	6.8 (6.0-7.7) 6.8 (6.0-7.7)	0.028 (0.024-0.031) 0.047 (0.041-0.053)	0.2 (0.14-0.18) 0.3 (0.23-0.29)	1 016 1 073	5.9 5.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2000 2005	19 21	1.2 (1.1–1.4) 1.2 (1.1–1.4)	6.2 (5.5–7.0) 5.9 (5.1–6.6)	0.029 (0.026-0.033) 0.028 (0.025-0.032)	0.2 (0.13-0.17) 0.1 (0.12-0.16)	1 043 1 046	5.4 5.1	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010	22	1.4 (1.3–1.6)	6.5 (5.7–7.3) 6.3 (5.5–7.1)	0.036 (0.031-0.041)	0.2 (0.14-0.18)	1 257	5.6 5.4	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Brunei	2012	23	1.5 (1.3–1.7)	6.5 (5.7–7.4) 64 (56–72)	0.038 (0.033-0.043)	0.2 (0.14-0.19)	1 305	5.7	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Darussalam	1995	<1	0.18 (0.160-0.210)	63 (55–71) 106 (02 120)			207	02	87 (77 99)	
	2000	<1	0.33 (0.310-0.400)	51 (45–58)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.6 (<0.1-2.0)	163	93 44	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010	<1	0.27 (0.240-0.310) 0.26 (0.230-0.300)	68 (60–77) 65 (57–74)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0-1.4) 0.9 (0.12-2.3)	237	59 57	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Cambodia	2012 1990	< 1 9	0.28 (0.240-0.320) 53 (38-69)	68 (59–77) 580 (423–761)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) 0.99 (0.72-1.3)	0.4 (0-2.1) 11 (8.0-14)	243 6 501	59 72	87 (77–99) 12 (9.4–17)	
	1995 2000	11 12	62 (48–78) 71 (56–87)	578 (448–724) 577 (458–710)	5.1 (4.0-6.4) 7.9 (6.3-9.7)	48 (37–60) 65 (51–80)	14 603 18 891	136 155	23 (19–30) 27 (22–34)	
	2005 2010	13	68 (57–81) 63 (54–72)	510 (424-604) 437 (376-503)	5.8 (4.8–6.8) 3.1 (2.7–3.6)	43 (36-51) 22 (19-25)	35 535 40 460	266 282	52 (44-63) 64 (56-75)	
	2011 2012	15 15	62 (53-71) 61 (52-70)	424 (364–489) 411 (353–474)	3.1 (2.6–3.5) 2.7 (2.3–3.1)	21 (18-24) 18 (15-21)	38 555 40 185	264 270	62 (54-73) 66 (57-77)	
China	1990 1995	1 165 1 238	1 800 (1 400-2 200) 1 600 (1 300-1 900)	153 (121–189) 129 (106–154)	0.18 (0.14-0.22) 1.4 (1.2-1.7)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1) 0.1 (0.10-0.14)	375 481 515 764	32 42	21 (17–27) 32 (27–39)	
	2000 2005	1 280 1 318	1 400 (1 200-1 600)	109 (92-126)	4.2 (3.6-4.9)	0.3 (0.28-0.38)	454 372 899 729	35 68	33 (28–38) 74 (65–85)	
	2010	1 360	1 100 (930–1 200)	78 (68–88)	7.6 (6.7–8.6)	0.6 (0.49-0.63)	908 399	67 66	86 (76–98) 88 (78–100)	
China Hana Kana	2012	1 377	1 000 (880-1 100)	73 (64-82)	7.3 (6.4–8.2)	0.5 (0.47-0.60)	890 645	65	89 (79–100)	
SAR	1990	6	7.5 (6.6–6.5) 7.1 (6.3–8.1)	116 (102–132)			6 212	101	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2000	7	6.5 (5.7–7.4)	94 (83–107)	0.054 (0.036-0.075)	0.8 (0.53-1.1)	5 660	82	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010	7	5.7 (5.0–6.4) 5.4 (4.8–6.2)	81 (71–91) 77 (67–87)	0.036 (0.022–0.053) 0.049 (0.033–0.069)	0.5 (0.31-0.75) 0.7 (0.46-0.97)	4 935 4 739	70 67	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
China, Macao	2012 1990	< 1	5.5 (4.8–6.3) 0.39 (0.350–0.450)	77 (68–88) 110 (96–124)	0.044 (0.026-0.067)	0.6 (0.37-0.94)	4 809 343	67 95	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
SAR	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.46 (0.410-0.520) 0.52 (0.450-0.580)	116 (102–131) 120 (105–135)			402 449	101 104	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2005 2010	< 1	0.46 (0.400-0.520) 0.45 (0.400-0.510)	98 (86-111) 85 (74-96)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.3 (0-1.3) 0.6 (<0.1-1.7)	398 394	85 74	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2011 2012	< 1 < 1	0.44 (0.380-0.490) 0.46 (0.410-0.530)	80 (70-91) 83 (73-94)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.5 (<0.1-1.5) 0.4 (<0.1-1.5)	380 404	70 73	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Cook Islands	1990 1995	<1 <1	0 (0-0) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0 (0-0) 13 (11-14)			0	0 11	87 (77–99)	
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	6.5 (5.7–7.3) 5.9 (5.2–6.7)			1	5.6 5.2	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010 2011	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)			0	0 4.9	87 (77–99)	
Fiii	2012	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	5.6 (4.9-6.3)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	1	4.9	87 (77–99) 28 (25–32)	
	1995	<1	0.6 (0.530-0.680)	77 (68–87)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-0.10)	203	26	34 (30–39) 33 (29–37)	
	2005	<1	0.33 (0.290-0.370)	40 (35-45)	<0.01 (<0.01 <0.01)	0.2 (0.21-0.27)	132	16	41 (36–46)	
	2010	<1	0.24 (0.210-0.270) 0.23 (0.200-0.260)	26 (23–29) 26 (23–29)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.13-0.17)	215	25	95 (84–110)	
French Polynesia	1990	<1	0.068 (0.059-0.077)	24 (21-27) 34 (30-39) 47 (44 59)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.13-0.17)	59	30	87 (77–99)	
	2000	<1	0.071 (0.062-0.081)	47 (41–53) 30 (26–34)			62	26	87 (77–99)	
	2005	<1	0.072 (0.063-0.082)	28 (25-32) 18 (15-20)			63 41	25 15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2011 2012	<1 <1	0.074 (0.064-0.083) 0.058 (0.050-0.065)	27 (24–31) 21 (18–24)			64 50	24 18	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Guam	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.066 (0.058-0.075) 0.099 (0.087-0.110)	50 (44–57) 68 (60–77)						
	2000 2005	<1 <1	0.062 (0.054-0.070) 0.072 (0.063-0.082)	40 (35–45) 46 (40–52)			54 63	35 40	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010 2011	<1 <1	0.12 (0.100-0.130) 0.094 (0.083-0.110)	73 (64–82) 59 (51–66)	<0.01 (0-<0.01)	1.2 (0-5.5)	101 82	63 51	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Japan	2012 1990	< 1 122	0.078 (0.069-0.089) 60 (52-67)	48 (42–54) 49 (43–55)	<0.01 (0-<0.01) 0.24 (0.21-0.28)	0.3 (0-2.9) 0.2 (0.18-0.23)	68 51 821	42 42	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	1995 2000	124 126	50 (43-56) 45 (40-51)	40 (35-45) 36 (32-41)	0.22 (0.20-0.25) 0.15 (0.13-0.17)	0.2 (0.16-0.20) 0.1 (0.11-0.14)	43 078 39 384	35 31	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2005 2010	127 127	31 (27-35) 26 (23-30)	25 (22–28) 20 (18–23)	0.13 (0.11-0.15) 0.1 (0.091-0.12)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	27 194 22 693	21 18	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2011 2012	127 127	25 (22–29) 24 (21–28)	20 (18-23) 19 (17-22)	0.1 (0.089-0.12)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	22 119 20 857	17 16	87 (77–99) 86 (76–98)	
Kiribati	1990 1995	<1	0.083 (0.066-0.100) 0.39 (0.310-0.460)	116 (93–143) 505 (410–609)			68	96	82 (67–100)	
	2000	<1	0.31 (0.250-0.380)	372 (296–456)			252	304 367	82 (67–100) 75 (62–93)	
	2010	<1	0.36 (0.290-0.430)	366 (298-441)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	2.5 (1.7–3.4)	286	293	80 (66–98) 80 (66 08)	
Lee Deeple's	2012	<1	0.43 (0.350-0.520)	432 (331-321) 429 (349-517)	.0.01 ( .0.010.01)	0.1 (-0.1.0.15)	346	343	80 (66–98)	
Democratic	1990	5	20 (12-29)	492 (304-725) 403 (249-593) 220 (204 486)	0.026 (0.015-0.039)	0.5 (0.30-0.81)	830	43	4.2 (2.9–6.8)	
перионс	2000	6	16 (9.7–23) 14 (9.2–23)	270 (167–398)	0.092 (0.051-0.15)	3 (1.6-4.8)	3 766	41 65	24 (16-39)	
	2010	5	14 (8.8–21) 14 (8.6–20)	221 (137-326) 213 (131-313)	0.23 (0.12-0.37) 0.24 (0.13-0.39)	3.6 (1.9-5.8) 3.7 (2.0-6.0)	4 061 4 360	67	29 (19–46) 31 (21–51)	
Malaysia	1990	7 18	14 (8.4–20) 23 (21–26)	204 (126-301) 127 (113-142)	0.25 (0.13-0.41) 0.18 (0.16-0.20)	3.8 (2.0-6.2) 1 (0.88-1.1)	4 118	62	30 (21–49) 51 (45–57)	
	1995 2000	21 23	22 (20-25) 22 (20-24)	108 (97-120) 95 (86-103)	1.1 (0.96–1.2) 1.9 (1.7–2.1)	5.2 (4.6-5.7) 8 (7.3-8.8)	11 778 15 057	57 64	53 (47–58) 68 (62–75)	
	2005 2010	26 28	22 (20-24) 23 (21-25)	86 (79-94) 82 (75-89)	2.2 (2.0–2.3) 2.3 (2.1–2.5)	8.3 (7.6–9.1) 8.1 (7.4–8.8)	15 415 18 517	60 65	69 (64-76) 80 (74-87)	
	2011 2012	29 29	23 (21–25) 24 (22–26)	81 (74–88) 80 (74–87)	2.3 (2.1–2.5) 2.3 (2.2–2.6)	7.8 (7.2–8.5) 8 (7.4–8.7)	19 808 21 851	69 75	85 (78–93) 93 (85–100)	
Marshall Islands	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.065 (<0.01-0.190) 0.097 (0.024-0.220)	137 (14–396) 190 (46–432)						
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.14 (0.084-0.200) 0.19 (0.050-0.420)	263 (161–389) 363 (96–803)			34 111	65 213	25 (17-41) 59 (27-220)	
	2010	<1	0.26 (0.051-0.650)	502 (97-1 230) 536 (103-1 320)	<0.01 (0-0.019)	6.1 (0-36)	193	368	73 (30–380) 49 (20–260)	
	2012	21	0.3 (0.058_0.740)	572 (110-1 400)	<0.01 (0-0.015)	2.6 (0_29)	145	276	48 (20-250)	

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and	case detection rates,	all forms, 1990-2012
----------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

			INCIDENCE (IN	ICLUDING HIV)	INCIDENCE HIV	-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE ^b		CASE DETECTION	
	YEAR	POPULATION	NUMBER	RATE ^a		RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT	
Micronesia	1990	(MILLIONS) < 1	0.36 (0.100-0.800)	379 (104-827)	(INCOGRADO)		367	381	100 (46-370)	
(Federated States of)	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.35 (0.200-0.540) 0.3 (0.210-0.400)	325 (185-505) 279 (200-371)			172 91	160 85	49 (32–87) 30 (23–42)	
	2005	< 1	0.25 (0.170-0.360)	240 (158–338)			98	92	38 (27–58)	
	2010	<1	0.21 (0.032-0.380)	200 (86–360)			148	143	72 (40–170)	
Mongolia	2012 1990	< 1	0.2 (0.086-0.360) 8.8 (7.5-10)	194 (83–349) 405 (345–470)			144 1 659	139 76	72 (40-170) 19 (16-22)	
	1995 2000	2	7.2 (6.3–8.2) 6.1 (5.5–6.7)	314 (274–356) 254 (228–281)			2 780 3 109	121 130	39 (34-44) 51 (46-57)	
	2005	3	5.7 (5.2–6.1)	225 (207-243)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	4 601	182	81 (75–88)	
	2010	3	6.1 (5.7–6.6)	223 (208–239)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.27-0.24)	4 458	153	69 (64–74)	
Nauru	1990	< 1	<pre>6.2 (5.8-6.7) &lt;0.01 (&lt;0.01-&lt;0.01)</pre>	223 (208–239) 88 (77–99)	0.011 (<0.01-0.011)	0.4 (0.35-0.41)	4 128	148 76	66 (62-/1) 87 (77-99)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	40 (35–46) 46 (40–52)			4	40	87 (77–99)	
	2005	< 1	0.013 (0.011-0.014)	125 (110-142)			11	109	87 (77–99)	
	2010	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	57 (50-65)			5	50	87 (77–99)	
New Caledonia	1990	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.16 (0.140-0.190)	98 (85–110)			143	85	87 (77–99)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.1 (0.088-0.110) 0.11 (0.095-0.120)	53 (46-60) 51 (45-58)			87 94	46 45	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2005	<1	0.054 (0.047-0.061)	24 (21-27)			47	21	87 (77–99)	
	2010	<1	0.06 (0.052-0.068)	24 (21–27)			52	21	87 (77–99)	
New Zealand	1990	< 1 3	0.044 (0.038-0.049) 0.4 (0.350-0.450)	17 (15-20) 12 (10-13)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (<0.1-0.11)	38	15	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	1995 2000	4	0.45 (0.390-0.510) 0.4 (0.350-0.450)	12 (11–14) 10 (9.0–12)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.2 (0.17-0.22) 0.2 (0.13-0.17)	391 344	11 8.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2005	4	0.38 (0.330-0.430)	9.2 (8.1-10)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (0.12-0.16)	332	8	87 (77–99)	
	2011	4	0.35 (0.310-0.400)	7.9 (7.0–9.0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (0.11-0.15)	305	6.9	87 (77–99) 87 (77 - 00)	
Niue	1990	< 1	0.34 (0.300-0.380)	0 (0-0)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	0.1 (0.11-0.14)	293	0.6	87 (77-99)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)			0	0		
	2005	< 1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)			0	0		
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	81 (71–91)			1	70	87 (77–99)	
Northern Mariana	1990	< 1	0.032 (0.028-0.036)	73 (64–83)			28	64	87 (77–99)	
Islands	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.055 (0.048-0.062) 0.086 (0.076-0.098)	96 (84–109) 126 (110–143)			48 75	83 110	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2005	< 1	0.066 (0.057-0.074)	102 (89–115)			57	89 59	87 (77–99)	
	2011	<1	0.038 (0.033-0.043)	71 (62–81)			33	62	87 (77–99) 87 (77 - 90)	
Palau	1990	<1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	45 (36–54)			32	00	87 (17-35)	
	1995 2000	< 1 < 1	0.025 (0.021-0.031) 0.03 (0.024-0.036)	147 (119–178) 156 (127–189)			19	110	75 (62–93)	
	2005	< 1	0.013 (0.011-0.016) 0.024 (0.019-0.029)	67 (54-81) 116 (94-140)			10	50 93	75 (62–93) 80 (66–98)	
	2011	< 1	0.015 (0.012-0.018)	73 (59-88)			12	58	80 (66-98)	
Papua New	1990	4	13 (8.5–18)	308 (203–435)	0.1 (0.067-0.14)	2.4 (1.6-3.4)	2 497	60	19 (14-30)	
Guinea	1995 2000	5	15 (10–21) 19 (12–26)	322 (212–453) 349 (230–492)	0.46 (0.30-0.65) 1 (0.68-1.4)	9.7 (6.4–14) 19 (13–27)	8 041 10 520	1/1 196	53 (38-80) 56 (40-85)	
	2005	6 7	22 (14-31) 24 (16-34)	358 (236-505) 348 (229-491)	1.4 (0.92-2.0) 1.1 (0.75-1.6)	23 (15-32) 17 (11-23)	12 564 14 531	206 212	58 (41-87) 61 (43-92)	
	2011 2012	7	24 (16-34) 25 (16-35)	346 (228-488) 348 (230-490)	1.2 (0.76-1.6) 1.1 (0.71-1.5)	16 (11-23) 15 (9.9-21)	14 893 20 557	212 287	61 (44–93) 82 (59–120)	
Philippines	1990	62	240 (150-360) 250 (200, 200)	393 (243-580)	0.024 (0.015-0.036)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	317 008	512	130 (88-210)	
	2000	78	260 (210-310)	329 (269–395)	0.023 (0.020-0.030) 0.077 (0.063-0.092)	0.1 (<0.1-0.12)	119 914	154	47 (39–57)	
	2005	93	260 (210-310) 260 (210-310)	275 (227–328)	0.18 (0.15-0.22) 0.39 (0.32-0.46)	0.2 (0.17-0.25) 0.4 (0.34-0.49)	137 100	160	<u>53 (44–65)</u> 65 (54–79)	
	2011 2012	95 97	260 (210-310) 260 (210-310)	270 (223-322) 265 (219-316)	0.46 (0.38-0.55) 0.46 (0.38-0.55)	0.5 (0.40-0.58) 0.5 (0.39-0.57)	195 560 216 627	206 224	76 (64–92) 84 (71–100)	
Republic of Korea	1990	43	73 (64–83)	171 (150-194)	0.051 (0.045-0.058)	0.1 (0.10-0.14)	63 904 42 117	149	87 (77–99)	
	2000	46	25 (22–28)	54 (48–62)	0.023 (0.020-0.026)	<0.1 (<0.1-<0.1)	21 782	47	87 (77–99)	
	2005	47 48	49 (43-56) 51 (44-57)	105 (92–119) 105 (92–118)	0.089 (0.078-0.10)	0.2 (0.17-0.21) 0.3 (0.24-0.31)	42 892	91 91	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2011 2012	49 49	53 (47-60) 53 (46-60)	109 (96-124) 108 (95-122)	0.14 (0.13-0.16) 0.15 (0.13-0.17)	0.3 (0.26-0.33) 0.3 (0.27-0.35)	46 253 43 702	95 89	87 (77–99) 82 (73–94)	
Samoa	1990 1995	<1	0.059 (0.047-0.071) 0.051 (0.039-0.063)	36 (29-44) 30 (23-37)			44 45	27 26	75 (62–93) 89 (71–110)	
	2000	<1	0.041 (0.030-0.053)	23 (17-30)			43	25	110 (82–140)	
	2010	<1	0.031 (0.025-0.038)	17 (13–21)	1		14	7.5	45 (37–56)	
	2011 2012	<1 <1	0.032 (0.026-0.039) 0.033 (0.027-0.040)	17 (14–21) 18 (14–21)			20 22	11 12	62 (51-78) 66 (55-82)	
Singapore	1990 1995	3 3	1.8 (1.6-2.1) 2.2 (1.9-2.5)	61 (53-69) 62 (55-71)	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) 0.044 (0.038-0.049)	0.2 (0.21-0.27) 1.3 (1.1-1.4)	1 591 1 889	53 54	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2000	4	2 (1.7-2.2)	51 (44-57) 35 (31-40)	0.06 (0.053-0.068)	1.5 (1.3-1.7)	1 728	44 31	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
	2010	5	1.8 (1.6–2.0)	35 (31-40)	0.07 (0.061-0.079)	1.4 (1.2–1.6)	1 560	31	87 (77–99)	
	2011	5 5	2.6 (2.3–3.0)	36 (32–41) 50 (44–56)	0.072 (0.063-0.081) 0.098 (0.086-0.11)	1.4 (1.2–1.6) 1.9 (1.6–2.1)	2 301	32 43	87 (77–99) 87 (77–99)	
Solomon Islands	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.97 (0.600-1.4) 0.86 (0.710-1.0)	312 (193-460) 240 (196-288)			382 352	122 98	39 (27-64) 41 (34-50)	
	2000 2005	< 1	0.76 (0.620-0.910)	185 (151–222) 142 (116–171)			302 397	73 85	40 (33–49) 60 (50–73)	
	2010	<1	0.57 (0.470-0.680)	108 (89–129)			338	64 74	59 (50-72)	
<del></del>	2011	<1	0.54 (0.440-0.640)	97 (80–116)			3 <del>98</del> 361	66	67 (57-82)	
Iokelau	1990 1995	<1 <1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01) <0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	72 (57–90) 39 (13–80)			1	62 132	86 (69–110) 340 (160–1 000)	
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	13 (3.5–28) 0 (0–0)			0	0	0	
	2010	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1		0	0		
-	2012	<1	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)			U	U		
ronga	1990 1995	< 1 < 1	0.036 (0.030-0.042) 0.032 (0.027-0.037)	38 (32-45) 33 (28-39)			23 20	24 21	64 (54–76) 63 (54–75)	
	2000 2005	< 1 < 1	0.027 (0.021-0.034) 0.023 (0.018-0.028)	28 (22–35) 22 (18–27)			24 18	24 18	88 (70–110) 79 (65–99)	
	2010	<1	0.017 (0.015-0.020)	17 (14-20)			11 a	11 86	63 (54–75) 55 (47–66)	
	2012	21	0.015 (0.013-0.018)	14 (12-17)	1		11	10	73 (62–87)	

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

# TABLE A4.2 Incidence, notification and case detection rates, all forms, 1990–2012

			INCIDENCE (IN	INCIDENCE (INCLUDING HIV)		-POSITIVE	NOTIFIED NEW A	ND RELAPSE ^b	CASE DETECTION	
	YEAR	(MILLIONS)	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER (THOUSANDS)	RATE ^a	NUMBER	RATE ^a	PERCENT	
Tuvalu	1990	< 1	0.048 (0.031-0.069)	536 (347-766)			23	255	48 (33–74)	
	1995	< 1	0.04 (0.017-0.074)	437 (181-805)			36	390	89 (48-220)	
	2000	< 1	0.034 (0.022-0.048)	357 (231-510)			16	170	48 (33–74)	
	2005	< 1	0.028 (0.019-0.039)	291 (198-402)			12	124	43 (31-63)	
	2010	< 1	0.018 (0.014-0.021)	178 (145-215)			14	142	80 (66–98)	
	2011	< 1	0.015 (0.012-0.018)	152 (124-184)			12	122	80 (66-98)	
	2012	< 1	0.024 (0.019-0.029)	241 (196-290)			19	193	80 (66-98)	
Vanuatu	1990	< 1	0.19 (0.150-0.230)	127 (103-154)			140	95	75 (62–93)	
	1995	< 1	0.11 (0.085-0.130)	63 (51-76)			79	47	75 (62-93)	
	2000	< 1	0.2 (0.160-0.250)	110 (89-132)			152	82	75 (62-93)	
	2005	< 1	0.17 (0.140-0.210)	83 (68-99)			76	36	44 (37–54)	
	2010	< 1	0.16 (0.130-0.200)	69 (57-83)			116	49	71 (59-86)	
	2011	< 1	0.16 (0.130-0.190)	67 (55-80)			110	45	68 (57-83)	
	2012	< 1	0.16 (0.130-0.190)	65 (53-77)			125	51	78 (66–95)	
Viet Nam	1990	69	170 (120-240)	251 (172-344)			50 203	73	29 (21-42)	
	1995	76	170 (120-220)	220 (155-295)	0.083 (0.059-0.11)	0.1 (<0.1-0.15)	55 739	73	33 (25-47)	
	2000	81	160 (120-210)	197 (142-260)	1.7 (1.3-2.3)	2.2 (1.6-2.9)	89 792	111	56 (43-78)	
	2005	85	150 (110-190)	176 (131-229)	7.6 (5.6-9.9)	8.9 (6.6-12)	94 916	112	63 (49-86)	
	2010	89	140 (100-180)	155 (115-201)	9.2 (6.8-12)	10 (7.6–13)	97 448	109	70 (54–95)	
	2011	90	140 (100-180)	151 (112-197)	9.2 (6.8-12)	10 (7.6-13)	98 804	110	73 (56–98)	
	2012	91	130 (99-170)	147 (109-192)	9.3 (6.9-12)	10 (7.6-13)	102 112	112	76 (59-100)	
Wallis and Futuna	1990	< 1	0.022 (0.019-0.024)	156 (137–176)						
Islands	1995	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	49 (43-55)			6	42	87 (77–99)	
	2000	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	15 (13-17)						
	2005	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	57 (50-64)			7	49	87 (77–99)	
	2010	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	36 (31-41)						
	2011	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	17 (15-19)			2	15	87 (77–99)	
	2012	< 1	<0.01 (<0.01-<0.01)	65 (57-74)						

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NOTIFIED NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

NUT THE UND IN THE HLAPE         THE HLAPE         THE HLAPE         Dirth Hold Market Marke		NEW AND DELADOF NEW CASES % CM									% SMEAR-		
Amount Sums         Hole         0         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -		NEW AND RELAPSE NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY	POS AMONG NEW PULM
b         b         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         c	American Samoa	1	1990 1995	9									-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000	3 6	2	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	60
			2010 2011	4 3	0	3 3	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0
Image: state         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000	Australia	.19 0.	1990	1 016									-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\mathbb{W}$	1995 2000	1 0/3	251	362	369		17		17		41
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		· MAN ·	2005	1 046 1 257	241 274	339 410	450 457	5	16 41	27 24	43 65	70	42 40
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•6 6	2011 2012	1 239 1 305	301 290	436 408	463 498	2 63	20 26	29 20	49 46	17 20	41 42
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Brunei Darussalam	Λ	1990 1995	143									-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000 2005	307 163	84 101	166 30	42 27		15 5	0	15 5	0	34 77
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010	237	146	30 52	43 48	13 13	5	0	5	0	83
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \mbox \mbox$	Cambodia	• 56 59 •	· 2012	243	119	79	31	0	14	0	14	Ő	60
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Gambodia	$\sim$	1995	14 603	11 101	1 465	1 428		605		605		88
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000	35 535	21 001	7 057	6 759		718	588	1 306		75
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010	40 460 38 555	17 454	7 686	14 239	0	466 367	1 115	1 482	0	67
$ \begin{array}{c} + 1 \\ + 2 \\ + 2 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 \\ + 3 $	China	• 72 270 •	2012 1990	40 185 375 481	14 838	8 509	15 290	0	446	73	519	1 102	64
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\langle \cdot \rangle$	1995 2000	515 764 454 372	134 488 204 765	203 088 229 943	1 560		18 693 19 664	53 480	18 693 73 144		40 47
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2005	899 729 908 399	472 719 429 899	329 157 432 868	42 845 6 325	0	49 707 39 307	90 780 14 909	140 487 54 216	5 301 0	59 50
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 32 65 •	2011 2012	899 669 890 645	377 005 316 332	481 514 536 050	6 540 6 479	0 0	34 610 31 784	12 215 10 033	46 825 41 817	0	44 37
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	China, Hong Kon SAR	g /	1990 1995	6 510 6 212									_
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000 2005	6 015 5 660	1 940 1 561	3 115 3 179	772 701	0	188 219	594 500	782 719	0	38 33
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010	4 935 4 739	1 475 1 380	2 352 2 244	792 815	0	316 300	197 187	513 487	0	39 38
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	China Macao	• 112 67	2012	4 809	1 463	2 206	817	0	323	160	483	0	40
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SAR	$ \land $	1995	402	141	94 180	70 50		49		49 12		60 47
$ \begin{array}{c} + 5 & -71 & -011 & -320 & -148 & -108 & -48 & -0 & -21 & -52 & -20 & -53 & -54 \\ \hline & -5 & -71 & -012 & -424 & -158 & -199 & -91 & -0 & -0 & -0 & -0 & -0 & -0 & -0 & -$		、 / ` ヽ	2000	398	136	162	43	0	14	17	31	43	46
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010	380	148	126	49	0	21	2	23	39	54
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cook Islands	• 95 73•	1990	404	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	53
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1995 2000	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2005	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		·0 ·0 5·	2011 2012	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fiji	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	1990 1995	226 203	84 68	105 99	37 34		2	0	2		44 41
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2000 2005	144 132	62 63	42 29	40 40		0	0	0		60 68
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2010 2011	189 215	89 107	45 62	45 44	0	10 2	2 5	12 7	0	66 63
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	French Polynesia	• 31 24 •	2012	210 59	111	54	40	0	5	8	13	0	67
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\sim \land$	1995 2000	62	29	19	10		1		1		- 60
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\sqrt{1}$	2005	63 41	21 13	25 18	14	0	3	0	3	0	46
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 30 18	2011 2012	64 50	22 26	27 10	13 8	0	2	0	2 6	0	45 72
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Guam	1 3	1990										-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2000	54 63	43 27	5	6	0	1	1	1	0	90 51
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\sim$	2010	101	39	51	9	0	2	0	2	0	43
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Japan	• 0 42 •	2012	68 51 821	23	37	8	0	0	0	0	0	38
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	oupun	$\sim$	1995	43 078	14 367	25 172	2 803		736		736		36 38
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2005	27 194	10 931	10 056	5 340	0	867	1 125	1 992		52
Kiribati       102       2012       20037       7013       4039       0       310       420       1330       30       30         Kiribati       1990       68       1990       68       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -		. 42 16	2010	22 119	7 937	8 231	4 826	0	1 125	562	1 687	0	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kiribati	۸	1990	68	7 003	1015	4 003	0	310	420	1 330		-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\wedge$ $\sim$ .	2000	252	54	47	106		3	_	3		53
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2005	286	124	91	71	0	6	8	10	0	56
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		• 96 343 •	2011 2012	343 346	140 134	109 122	87 73	0 9	7 8	11 2	18 10	0	56 52
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lao People's Democratic	$\sim\sim$	1990 1995	1 826 830	478	404	95		2		2		_ 54
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Republic	71	2000 2005	2 227 3 766	1 526 2 801	457 484	180 275		64 139	41	64 180	67	77 85
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		VV	2010 2011	4 061 4 360	3 119 3 271	394 516	323 349		163 170	22 27	185 197	62 54	89 86
1995         11 778         6 688         4 021         1 069         210         210         62           2000         15 057         8 156         5 517         1 384         0         0         0         66           2005         15 415         8 446         4 862         1 702         0         332         651         983         73         63           2010         18 517         11 135         4 338         2 545         0         499         820         1 319         0         72           2011         19 808         11 862         4 501         2 888         0         557         858         1 415         0         73           • 64         75 • 2012         2185         13 311         4 993         2 945         0         600         602	Malaysia	• 43 62 •	2012 1990	4 118 11 702	3 062	484	351		168	38	206	53	86
2005         15 415         8 446         4 862         1 702         0         332         651         983         73         63           2010         18 517         11 135         4 338         2 545         0         499         820         1 319         0         72           2011         19 808         11 862         4 501         2 888         0         557         858         1 415         0         72           2012         21851         13 311         4 993         2 945         0         60         60         73		/	1995 2000	11 778 15 057	6 688 8 156	4 021 5 517	1 069 1 384		210 0		210 0		62 60
• 64         • 75 • 2012         21851         11362         4 501         2 888         0         557         858         1 415         0         72           • 64         75 • 2012         21851         13 311         4 993         2 945         0         602         859         1 461         0         73		$\lambda_{A} \wedge A$	2005	15 415 18 517	8 446 11 135	4 862 4 338	1 702	0	332 499	651 820	983	73 0	63 72
		•64 75.	2011 2012	19 808 21 851	11 862 13 311	4 501 4 993	2 888 2 945	0	557 602	858 859	1 415 1 461	0	72 73

#### TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990-2012

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.
## TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

					NEW CAS	SES						% SMEAR-
	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a	YEAR	NEW AND	SMEAR-	SMEAR-NEGATIVE	EXTRA-	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL	. TOTAL	HISTORY	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Marshall Islands	1990–2012	1990	RELAPSE®	POSITIVE	UNKNOWN	PULMONARY	-	-	RELAPSE	RETREAT	UNKNOWN	-
	$\sim \Lambda$	1995 • 2000	34	11	25	9		0		0		31
		2005	111	48	31 64	28	0	4	1 8	5	0	61
	.0 276	2010	139	44	30	57	0	8	12	20	0	59
Micronesia	<u> </u>	1990	367			23	0	4	2	0	5	-
(Federated States of)		2000	1/2	9 15	79 69	18	_	2		3		10
	$h \sim$	2005	98 164	32 53	35 79	19 25	5	7	14	21 13	4	48 40
	•381 139	2011 • 2012	148 144	45 43	73 77	28 22	0 0	2	2	4 4	0	38 36
Mongolia	. ~	1990 1995	1 659 2 780	455	1 330	976		82		82		25
	$\sim$	2000 2005	3 109 4 601	1 389 1 868	732 897	862 1 620	0	126 216	125	126 341	0	65 68
	~	2010	4 458	1 837	701	1 675	0	245 232	343 316	588 548	0	72
Nauru	• 76 148	• 2012	4 128	1 716	617	1 611	0	184	325	509	0	74
Nadio	1	1995	,		0	0		0		0		-
		2000	11	4	11	0		0	0	0		0
	$\sim \sim$	2010	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	50 75
New Caledonia	• 76 0	• 2012 1990	143									-
	7	1995 2000	87 94	21 20	81 15	9 29		4		4		21 57
	$\sim \sim$	2005	47 49	16 20	15 16	15 13	0	1	6 8	7	0	52 56
	•85 15	2011 • 2012	52 38	13 13	18 11	19 12	0 1	2 1	0	2 1	0	42 54
New Zealand	٨	1990 1995	348 391	78	222	34		4		4		26
	$\nabla \wedge h \wedge h \wedge h$	2000 2005	344 332	74 83	133 114	130 95	29	7 11	0 8	7 19		36 42
	V * * ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2010	301 305	86 88	68 81	134 121	6	7	4	11		56 52
Niuo	•10 7	2012	293	68	99	112	3	11	4	15	0	41
NIC	٨	1995	0	0	1			0		0		0
		2000	0	0	0							
		2010	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Northern Mariana	•0 0	• 2012 1990	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Islands		1995 2000	48 75	14 27	26 37	8 11		0		0		35 42
		2005 2010	57 32	15 17	35 13	7	0	0	0	0	0	30 57
	• 64 60	<ul> <li>2011</li> <li>2012</li> </ul>	33 32	15 10	16 17	2 4	0 1	0	0 2	0 2	0	48 37
Palau	٨	1990 1995	19	9	6	4		0		0		60
	/\	2000 2005	10	3	6	1		0	0	0		33
	$\downarrow \lor \checkmark \land$	2010	19 12	9 4	10 6	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	47 40
Panua New	• 0 19	2012	2 497	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
Guinea	$\triangle a \sim \triangle /$	/ 1995	8 041	1 652	3 767	2 349		273		273		30 20
	$\wedge \wedge / \vee \vee \vee \vee \vee$	2000	12 564	1 805	5 105	4 198		1 456	1 590	1 456		26
	$\sim$	2010	14 893	1 882	6 494	6 373	0	144	1 431	1 575	0	22
Philippines	• 60 287	1990	317 008	2 862	9 195	82//	0	223	1 931	2 154	U	-
	6	2000	119 186	94 /68 67 056	52 858	8	-	8		8		40 56
		a 2005	137 100	81 647 89 198	50 347 72 440	1 149	0	3 957	8 066	3 957	0	55
	•512 224	2011 • 2012	195 560 216 627	93 580 94 006	96 529 115 263	2 234 3 274	0	3 217 4 084	10 528 13 535	13 745 17 619	0	49 45
Republic of Korea	a 🔨	1990 1995	63 904 42 117	11 754	19 360			2 082		2 082		38
	$\sim$ $\sim$	2000	21 782 42 892	8 216 11 638	11 304 18 460	5 171	0	2 262 3 021	4 077	2 262 7 098	4 602	42 39
		2010 2011	44 063 46 253	11 596 11 714	18 660 18 386	8 795 9 457	0	2 838 3 032	4 038 4 238	6 876 7 270	2 174 3 664	38 39
Samoa	• 149 89	<ul> <li>2012</li> <li>1990</li> </ul>	43 702 44	12 137	18 938	8 470	0	4 157	5 830	9 987	0	39
	$\gamma \land \gamma$	1995 2000	45 43	15 13	30 18	6 12		0		0		33 42
	V VM	2005	24	11	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	58
	•27 12	2011	20 22	6	12 4	2	0	0	0	0	0	33 79
Singapore	/2	1990	1 591	15	1 187	197	5	120	0	120		- 28
	· ~~/	2000	1 728	248	869	165	0	55	02	55	20	22
	× _ /	2010	1 560	530	735	213	0	82	48	130	0	43 42 45
Oslama 1.1	•53 43	• 2012	2 301	592 678	1 219	224 306	0	98	54 63	162	0	40 36
Solomon Islands	10	1990 1995	382 352	109	133	97		13		13		45
	V L	2000 2005	302 397	109 169	128 161	65 62	0	0 5	0	0 5	0	46 51
		2010 2011	338 398	133 159	98 108	105 127	0 0	2 4	3 7	5 11	0	58 60
	• 122 66	<ul> <li>2012</li> </ul>	361	157	87	112	0	5	11	16	0	64

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

## TABLE A4.3 Case notifications, 1990–2012

	NEW AND RELAPSE				NEW CAS	ES						% SMEAR-
_	NOTIFICATION RATE ^a 1990–2012	YEAR	NEW AND RELAPSE ^b	SMEAR- POSITIVE	SMEAR-NEGATIVE/ UNKNOWN	EXTRA- PULMONARY	OTHER	RELAPSE	RE-TREAT EXCL. RELAPSE	TOTAL RETREAT	HISTORY UNKNOWN	POS AMONG NEW PULM
Tokelau		1990	1									-
	Λ	1995	2	1	1	0		0		0		50
	/\	2000	0	0	0	0		0		0		
	- //	2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	/ \	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	•62 0•	2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
Tonga	52 5	1990	23									-
	A .	1995	20	9	2	9		0		0		82
	./ \ \. \	2000	24	15	5	3		1		1		75
		2005	18	11	3	4						79
	$V \bigvee ($	2010	11	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	67
	$\sim$	2011	9	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	67
	• 24 10 •	2012	11	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	90
luvalu	I.	1990	23		10	10						_
	$\sim 1$	2000	30	b 0	13	16				1		32
	2 \/ /	2000	10	5	3	1			3	3		62
	$\sim 1 \sim 1$	2010	14	5	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	71
	Υ V V	2011	12	4	4	4	Ő	0	1	1	ō	50
	• 255 193 •	2012	19	8	2	9	0	0	1	1	0	80
Vanuatu		1990	140									-
	Λ	1995	79	30	27	21		1		1		53
		2000	152	63	56	28		5		5		53
	· // // /	2005	76	35	21	17	0	3	5	8	0	62
	· · · · · · · · ·	2010	116	44	33	35	3	1	0	1	0	57
	05	2011	110	49	14	46	0		2	3	0	78
Viet Nam	• 95 51 •	1990	50 203	51	22	51	0		I	2	0	70
VICTIVATI	1	1995	55 739	37 550	8 379	6 194		3 616		3 616		82
		2000	89 792	53 169	17 993	13 137		5 493		5 493		75
		2005	94 916	55 492	16 429	16 670	0	6 325	976	7 301	0	77
	$V \vee I$	2010	97 448	52 145	18 237	17 651	0	6 834	1 574	8 408	2 581	74
	* /	2011	98 804	50 751	20 373	18 077	2 678	6 925	1 714	8 639		71
	• 0 0 •	2012	102 112	51 033	21 706	18 904	3 210	7 259	1 794	9 053		70
Wallis and Futu	una	1990										-
Islands		1995	6	3	2	0		1		1		60
		2000	7		0							_
		2005	1	1	6							14
		2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	• 0 0 •	2012	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-

^a Rates are per 100 000 population.
 ^b NEW AND RELAPSE includes cases for which the treatment history is unknown.

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcome	s, new smear-positive cases,	1995-2011
------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------

								% OF (	OHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a	YEAR	NUMBER	SIZE OF	COHORT AS	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	
American Samoa	1995-2011	1995	NOTIFIED	4	-	100	0	0	0	0	0
		2000 2005	2 3	2 4	100 133	0 75	100	0	0	0	0 25
		2009	0	3	-	0	100	0	0	0	0
	• 100 0 •	2010	0	0	_						
Australia	$\wedge$	1995 2000	251	238	95	27	45	9	0	3	16
		2005 2009	241 267	241 606	100 227	12	68 73	10 3	0	2	8 16
	•0 77•	2010	274	629 527	230 175	8	72	3	0	2	15
Brunei		1995		327	-	,					10
Darussalam	$ \land \land$	2000 2005	84 101	84 101	100	42 66	21 5	1/ 7	0	4 2	17 20
	$\bigvee V$ ,	2009 2010	140 146	164 176	117 121	63 61	8 20	9 7	0	0	20 12
Cambodia	• 0 66 •	2011	109	109	100	66 83	0 8	9	0	0	25
		2000	14 822	14 775	100	88	4	4	0	4	1
	$\mathbb{N}$	2009	17 863	17 863	100	92	3	2	0	1	1
	• 91 93•	2010 2011	17 454 15 812	17 454 15 884	100	91 90	3 4	2	0	1	2 3
China	$ \land \land \land \land$	1995 2000	134 488 204 765	131 413 213 766	98 104	72 93	22	2 1	1 2	1	3 3
		2005	472 719 449 152	472 719 449 039	100	92	2	2	1	1	3
	.02	2010	429 899	429 790	100	94	2	1	1	0	2
China, Hong Kong	- 33 - 33 -	1995	377 005	377 005	-	54		-			~ ~
SAN	\	2000 2005	1 561	1 940	100	55 60	5 3	5 5	6 9	4 3	24 20
		2009 2010	1 444 1 475	1 441 1 487	100 101	59 57	11 11	15 15	0	3 4	12 13
China, Macao	• 0 69 •	2011 1995	1 380 141	1 378	100	59	10	14	0	4	13
SAR	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	2000	160	160	100	81	8	6	0	4	1
		2009	116	115	99	86	2	3	0	2	7
	•0 86•	2010	123	147	99	93 86	0	3 5	0	1	3
Cook Islands	·- / / \	1995 2000	2 0	2	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
	/ / \ .	2005	1	1	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
	. 100 0.	2010	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	100
Fiji		1995	68	73	107	78	8	7	0	3	4
		2000	62 63	62 68	100	81 71	5	5 10	0	8 10	9
	V V	2009 2010	83 89	79 89	95 100	89 65	5 2	4 6	0	1 24	1 3
French Polynesia	• 86 93 •	2011 1995	107	107 33	100	81 67	12	1	0	3 21	3
	AA ~M	2000 2005	29 21	62 18	214 86	0	97 89	2	2	0	0
		2009	17	18	106	02	89	6	0	6	0
	• 67 80 •	2010	22	20	91	92 80	0	5	0	15	0
Guam		1995 2000	43	43	100	93	0	7	0	0	0
		2005 2009	27 31	27 47	100 152	85 96	0	11 2	0	0	4
	•0 79•	2010 2011	39 28	51 28	131 100	84 79	0	16 14	0	0	0 7
Japan	1	1995	14 367	10.249	- 07	20	15	5	4	1	44
		2000	10 931	10 931	100	38	22	11	3	1	26
	$V \sim$	2009	8 237	8 772 8 242	100	21	31	21	1	4	24 24
Kiribati	•0 0•	2011 1995	7 937	31	-	45	42	13			0
		2000 2005	54 124	54 123	100 99	83 62	7 31	7 7	2 0	0 1	0 0
	/ V v ř	2009	145 118	144 117	99 99	84 88	13 5	3	0	0	0
Lao Peonle's	• 87 94 •	2011	140	140	100	74	21	4	1	1	0
Democratic		2000	1 526	1 588	104	68	9	7	0	9	7
Republic		2005	3 034	3 034	100	85 91	2	5	1	2	1
	·70 92•	2010 2011	3 119 3 271	3 119 3 271	100 100	89 87	3 5	6 0	0 5	2	0 1
Malaysia	\ \	1995 2000	6 688 8 156	13 398 7 915	200 97	69 0	0 78	6 8	2 0	8 10	14 4
	$\gamma_{\Lambda}$	2005	8 446	8 446	100	69 78	1	9	0	5	16
	× ↓ . €0 70	2010	11 135	11 135	100	79	1	9	Ő	4	7
Marshall Islands	· 69 /9·	1995	11 002	163	-	3	21	7	0	67	1
	~~~~	2000 2005	11 48	11 47	100 98	64 85	27 2	0	0	9 2	0 9
		2009 2010	52 59	58 71	112 120	71 63	14 17	9 8	0	3	3 10
Micronesia	• 25 88 •	2011	44 Q	50 10	114	86	2	6	0	10	6
(Federated	. ~~~~~	2000	15	14	93	93	Ő	7	0	0	0
Glates UI)		2005	61	60	98	65	23	3	2	0	7
	•80 <u>9</u> 6•	2010 2011	53 45	59 51	111 113	97 80	0 16	3 4	0	0	0
Mongolia	~~~~	1995 2000	455 1 389	455 1 389	100 100	66 83	7 4	8 3	6 3	10 4	2 3
		2005	1 868	1 868	100	82 84	6	3	5	3	2
	 •74 ∽	2010	1 837	1 837	100	83	3	2	8	3	0
	80 •	2011	1/20	1/23	100	02	3	4	1	4	1

 a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF (COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Nauru		1995			-						
	$\setminus \wedge$	2000 2005	4 0	4 3	100	25 0	67	33	0	0	75 0
	V '	2009 2010	1	0 3	0 300	0	67	0	0	0	33
New Caledonia	• 0 0 •	2011 1995	3 21	32	- 152	75		12		3	9
	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000	20	45	225	33	56	9	0	2	0
	()	2009	15	15	100	0	93	0	0	7	0
	• 75 35 •	2010 2011	20 13	21 23	105 177	0	76 35	19 9	0	5	0 57
New Zealand	$\wedge \neg$	1995 2000	78 74	73	- 99	5	25	23			47
	/~``	2005	83	84 92	101	0	60 76	6	0	1	33
	• 0 56.	2010	86 88	86 141	100	0	74 56	17	0	1	8
Niue	0 00	1995	0		_	0	00		0		00
		2000	0	0	_						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Northern Mariana	• 0 0 •	2011	0		-						
Islands		2000	27 15	27 15	100	81 73	0	0	0	0	19 27
		2009	16	16	100	0	81	0	0	0	19
	•0 89•	2010 2011	17 15	17 19	100 127	0	82 89	11	0	0	18 0
Palau		1995 2000	9	9	100	56	11	0	0	11	22
	<pre>// \ `\</pre>	2005	3	3	100 133	100 62	0	0 25	0	0	0
	•67 57.	2010	9	16	178	75	12	12	0	0	0
Papua New	1	1995	1 652	4 904	297	20	56	4	0	15	25
Guinea		2000	1 805	422	72	39 57	24 14	2 4	1	26 19	9 5
	$\sim \sim ~ \downarrow ~$	2009 2010	2 238 2 584	2 584 2 530	115 98	58 48	13 10	4 3	2	16 14	6 23
Philippines	• 56 69 •	2011 1995	1 882 94 768	2 322 90 297	123 95	53 54	16 6	4	3	19 5	5 34
	N	2000	67 056 81 647	50 196 81 125	75	73 82	15 7	2	1	6	3
		2009	88 806	88 806	100	82	7	2	1	4	4
	• 60 90 •	2010	93 580	93 580	100	85	7	2	1	4	2 3
Republic of Korea	Λ	1995 2000	11 754 8 216	11 675 3 231	99 39	74 81	2	2	3 1	5 3	14 12
	./ ~~~~``	2005	11 638 11 285	3 752 3 813	32 34	81 81	2	1	1	4	11
	•76 80•	2010 2011	11 596 11 714	2 828	24 20	85 78	4	1	0	3	6 16
Samoa		1995	15	15	100	13	67	20	0	0	0
	$\langle \Delta, \gamma \rangle$	2000	13	13	100	85 91	8	8 9	0	0	0
	· · · ·	2009 2010	8	10 6	125 100	90 100	0	10 0	0	0	0
Singapore	• 80 83 •	2011 1995	6 455	6	100 27	83 71	0	17	0	0	0
	Λ	2000 2005	248 552	242 548	98 99		71 83	14 14	0	14	0
		2009	552	937	170	65	17	15	0	1	2
	• 86 83 •	2010	592	979	165	69	14	15	0	1	1
Solomon Islands	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000	109	368 109	338 100	73	65 7	6 5	0	4	26 11
		2005	169 138	169 138	100	56 67	30 22	8	0	4 3	2
	• 65 90 •	2010 2011	133 159	133 156	100 98	57 54	30 36	1 3	3	5 1	4
Tokelau		1995	1		-						
		2005	0		-						
		2009	0	0	-						
Tonga	• 0 0 •	2011 1995	0 9	20	222	75	0	10	5	0	10
	$\sim M/$	2000 2005	15 11	15 11	100 100	93 73	0	0 18	7 0	0	0 9
	\mathcal{N}^{\vee}	2009 2010	6	6	100	83 83	0	17 17	0	0	0
Tuvalu	• 75 100 •	2011	6	6	100	100	0	0	Ő	Ő	Ō
	\sim \sim	2000	0	7	-	100	86	~	~	14	0
		2005	с 8	8	120	88	0	0	0	0	12
	• 0 75 •	2010 2011	5 4	5 4	100 100	100 75		25			0
Vanuatu	/	1995 2000	30 63	13 26	43 41	38 77	46 12	15 8	0	0 4	0
	$1 \rightarrow N^{\sim}$	2005	35 47	42 47	120	64 81	17	10	7	2	0
	. 95	2010	44	44	100	66	14	16	0	2	2
Viet Nam	· ou 82·	2011 1995	49 37 550	38 189	102	53 84	<u>29</u> 5	3	4	4	2
	~	2000 2005	53 169 55 492	53 169 55 492	100 100	90 90	2	3	1	2	2
	V	2009 2010	51 291 52 145	51 387 52 147	100 100	90 91	2	3 3	1	2	2
Wallis and Futuro	• 89 93 •	2011	50 751	50 751	100	91	2	3	1	2	2
Islands		2000			-						
		2005	2		-						
	• 0 0 •	2010 2011	2	2		0	100	0	0	0	0
a TRE	ATMENT SUCCESS = percent cu	red + perc	ent completed the	n rounded to the	nearest digit.						

TABLE A4.4 Treatment outcomes, new smear-positive cases, 1995–2011

								% OF	COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
American Samoa		1995 2000 2005	0	1			100				0
		2009 2010	0	0	-		100				0
Australia	• 0 0 •	2011	0	-	-						
	$ \land \land \land$	2000 2005	17 43	11 43	65 100	9 16	73 56	9 5	0	0 5	9 19
	$\gamma \sim$	2009 2010	61 65	65 58	107 89	6 5	60 64	3	2 0	8	22 22
Brunei	• 0 66 •	2011	49	67	137	1	64	6	0	3	25
Darussalam	(\land)	2000 2005	15 5	5	100	40	40	20	0	0	0
	Υ,	2009 2010	0 5	0 5	100	100	0	0	0	0	0
Cambodia	• 0 63 •	2011 1995	8 605	8 436	100 72	62 59	0 26	25 5	0	0	12
	\sim	2000 2005	814 1 306	827 1 306	102 100	85 49	5 27	6 9	1 2	4 3	0 11
	V ~	2009 2010	1 429 1 634	1 429 1 524	100 93	34 30	45 44	3 4	1 1	1 1	15 20
China	• 85 74 •	2011 1995	1 482 18 693	409 54 052	28 289	66 90	8	7	5	5	10
		2000 2005	73 144 140 487	43 252 89 239	59 64	86 85	2 5	1 3	1 3	1 1	8 4
	M	2009 2010	59 583 54 216	59 853 54 469	100 100	86 86	4	2 2	2 2	1 1	4 5
China, Hong Kong	• 92 90 •	2011 1995	46 825	46 825	100	87	4	2	3	1	4
SAR	$ \land \land$	2000 2005	782 719	218 716	28 100	27 40	26 18	4	17 9	18 7	8 22
		2009 2010	509 513	481 512	94 100	26 34	38 34	15 12	0	6 4	14 16
China, Macao	• 0 62 •	2011	487 49	453	93	27	35	15	0	7	16
SAR		2000 2005	12 31	37 37	308 119	68 51	16 24	11	0	5	0
	v v V	2009 2010	45 60	46 35	102 58	43 51	35 14	11 14	0	7 11	4 9
Cook Islands	• 0 96 •	2011	23	28	122	79	18	4	0	0	0
		2000	0	0	-						
		2009	0	0	-						
Fiji	•0 0•	2011 1995	2	0	-						
		2000	0	0	-	40	40				
	-0 57.	2009 2010 2011	12	12	100	40 50	40	20 17	0	17	0
French Polynesia		1995	1	2	-	50	0	50	0	0	0
		2005	3	4	133	0	75	25	0	0	0
	.50 100.	2010	4	4	100	0	75	25	0	0	0
Guam	- ,	1995	1					-			
		2005	2	2	100	50 100	0	0	0	50 0	0
	• 0 100 •	2010 2011	2	2	100	100 67	0 33	0	0	0	0
Japan	Λ	1995 2000	736 1 367	1 169	- 86	31	15	5	6	1	41
		2005	1 992 1 751	1 992 1 452	100 83	29 15	16 32	8 15	2	2	43 31
	•• ••	2010 2011	1 762 1 687	1 466	83	14	32	17	1	5	31
Kiribati		1995 2000	3	9	300	89	0	11	0	0	0
	/ \/V\\.	2005	10 4	3	30 150	100 83	17	0	0	0	0
	· 0 74 ·	2010 2011	14 18	20 19	143 106	25 21	45 53	30 5	0 0	0 21	0
Lao People's Democratic	1	1995 2000	2 64	1 64	50 100	100 41	0 8	0 11	0 8	0	0 22
Republic		2005	180 184	181 184	101 100	75 85	12 3	6 8	2	5	1 0
	• 100 × 81•	2010 2011	185 197	184 170	99 86	76 72	7 9	12 2	3 8	3 3	0 6
Malaysia	\downarrow	1995 2000	210 0		-			_		_	
	(Λ)	2005	983	1 056	107	46 33	27	9	1	9	27
	•0 54•	2010 2011	1 319 1 415	1 319 1 415	100 100	35 34	24 20	12 9	1	12 8	17 28
warsnall Islands	~ ^ /	1995 2000	0		-	~~	4.5				00
	í NVV	2005	2	20 8	400	60 12	10 75	0	0	12	30
Microsofie	• 0 V 100 •	2010	20	4 20	40	25	25 70	0	0	0	0
(Federated	\sim \setminus 1	2000	3	9 20	450 667	25	60	5	10	0	0
States of)	$\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$	2005	9	16	43 178 77	0	19	75	0	0	6
Monaclia	• 100 100 •	2010	13	10	25	20	100	0	0	20	40 0
wongolla	$\sim \sim \sim$	2000	82 126	126	28 100	57	14	8	13 8	13	4 6 2
	/ ~~~ .	2005	341 569	443 380	67	39 60	34 13	4	11	4	2
	• 61 74 -	2010	548	204 548	100	30	35	9	15	2	4

TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

^a TREATMENT SUCCESS = percent cured + percent completed then rounded to the nearest digit.

								% OF (COHORT		
	TREATMENT SUCCESS (%) ^a 1995–2011	YEAR	NUMBER NOTIFIED	SIZE OF COHORT	COHORT AS % NOTIFIED	CURED	COMPLETED	DIED	FAILED	DEFAULTED	NOT EVALUATED
Nauru		1995	<u>^</u>		-						
		2000	U	0	_						
		2009 2010	0	1 0	-	100					0
New Caledonia	• 0 0	• 2011 1995	0 4	4	100	100					0
	МЛ	2000 2005	4 7	7	100	86	0	14	0	0	0
	v	2009 2010	9 8	9 8	100 100	0	89 88	0 12	0	0	11
New Zealand	• 100 0	· 2011	2	1	50	0	0	100	0	0	0
New Zealand		2000	7	23	329	0	30	4	0	0	65
	\sim , , ,	2005	9	9	100	0	67	11	0	0	22
	• 0 50	2010 • 2011	11 6	11 6	100 100	0	73 50	18 0	0	0	9 50
Niue		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005		0	-						
	•0 0	2010 2011	0	0	-						
Northern Mariana		1995	0		-						
Isiailus		2005	0	0	_						
		2009	0	0	-						
Palau	•0 0	• 2011 1995	0	0	-						
		2000 2005	0	0	_						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Papua New	• 0 0	2011 1995	1 273	0	0						
Guinea	$\backslash \land$	2000	955 1 456	68 65	7	29 42	35 14	4	1	21	9
	$\vee \setminus \wedge \vee$	2009	1 388	530	38	36	22	5	5	29	3
	•0 52	2010	1 575	444 398	24 25	35 32	20	5	5	18 22	14
Philippines		1995 2000	8		-						
		2005	3 957 9 575	4 362	46	48	13	4	4	5	26
	• 0 65	2010 2011	11 141 13 745	4 554 4 583	41 33	53 47	15 18	5 5	5 4	6 6	16 20
Republic of Korea	~ ^^	1995	2 082	2 004	96 6	39 59	1	1	2	3	53 21
		2005	7 098	3 331	47	72	3	2	0	6	18
	. 40	2003	6 876	1 813	26	76	4	2	0	6	12
Samoa	• 40 74	1995	0	1 340	-	70	3	1	0	5	19
		2000	0	0	_						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Singapore	• 0 0 ·	· 2011 1995	0 120	0	-						
	$\wedge \wedge$	2000 2005	55 153	149	97		79	15	0	5	1
	/`/`	2009 2010	132 130	130 127	98 98	37 47	39 31	20 17	0	1	3
Solomon Islands	• 0 76	2011	162	160	99	43	33	22	0	2	0
Solomon Islands	$\land \land $	2000	0	F	-	20	40	20	20	0	0
		2005	2	2	100	50	50	0	0	0	0
1	• 0 100	2010 2011	5 11	5 10	100 91	80 30	0 70	20 0	0	0	0
Tokelau		1995 2000	0		-						
		2005	0	0	-						
	• 0 0	2010 2011	0 0		-						
Tonga		1995 2000	0	9 1	- 100	100 100	0	0	0	0	0
		2005	0	0	-						
	• 100	2010	0	0	-						
Tuvalu		1995	1	U	-						
		2000	3	0	0						
		2009 2010	0	0	-						
Vanuatu	• 0 0	• 2011 1995	1	0	0						
	\square	2000 2005	5	5 0	100 0	100	0	0	0	0	0
	/	2009 2010	3 1	3 1	100 100	100 100	0 0	0	0	0	0
Viet Nam	• 0 100	· 2011	3 616	2 384	100	67 80	33	0	0	0	0 4
	$\sim \sim$,	2000	5 493	8 806	160	74	5	6	5	3	7
	· · · \/	2005	8 131	357	4	67	6	8	2	10	7
	• 81 82	2010 2011	8 408 8 639	398 8 641	5 100	61 79	8 3	8 5	4 5	12 3	6 5
Wallis and Futuna Islands		1995 2000	1		_						
		2005	0		-						
	•0 0	2010 2011	0	0	-						
a TRE/	ATMENT SUCCESS = percent c	ured + perc	ent completed ther	n rounded to the	nearest digit.						

TABLE A4.5 Treatment outcomes, retreatment cases, 1995–2011

Data for all years can be downloaded from www.who.int/tb/data GLOBAL TUBERCULOSIS REPORT 2013 281

TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
American Samoa		2005 2010 2011	0 75 100	0 3 3	6 4 3	0 0	0 0			
Australia		2005 2010 2011	42 54 59	448 686 750	1 073 1 281 1 268	22 24 19	4.9 3.5 2.5	9.1	0	
Brunei	•42 56	• 2012 2005	56 100	740 163	1 <u>325</u> 163	8	1.1 1.2	0	0	
Darussalam	\sim	2010 2011	100 100	237 230	237 230	1	0.42	100	100 100	2
Cambodia	• 100 100	2012	2.9	1 044	36 123 41 628	2 86 2 112	8.2	65	45	491
	• 3 80	2011 • 2012	82 80	32 544 32 359	39 670 40 258	1 656	5.1 4.4	88 98	79 88	1 305
China	~	2005 2010	16	145 919	990 509 923 308	4 542	3.1		45	
	- 34	2011 • 2012	23 34	208 681 309 385	911 884 900 678	4 715 5 866	2.3 1.9		36 59	
China, Hong Kong SAR	\bigwedge	2005 2010 2011	68 75 74	4 209 3 833 2 656	6 160 5 132	35 24 28	0.83	49 17	54 29	
China, Macao	• 68 75	• 2012 2005	74 75 91	3 707	4 969	20 22 1	0.59	0	100	
SAR	$\sim\sim\sim\sim$	2010 2011	92 94	399 360	433 382	3	0.75	33 50	33 50	
Cook Islands	• 91 89	• 2012 2005	89 0	360 0	406 1	4	1.1	0	25	
	·	2010 2011	100	0 1 1	0	0	0			
Fiji		2005	100	132	132	1	0.76	0	0	0
	• 100 58	2011 • 2012	73 58	160 127	220 218	3	1.9	100	100	- 1
French Polynesia	×. /	2005 2010	48 27	30 11	63 41	0 0	0 0			
0	• 48 44	2011 • 2012	27 44	17 22	64 50	1	5.9	100	100	
Guam	\land .	2005 2010 2011	62 65	46 63 53	64 101 82	1	1.6	100	100	
Japan	• 72 68	• 2012 2005	68	46	68 28 319	0	0			
		2010 2011	52 49	12 098 11 221	23 261 22 681	53 75	0.44 0.67			
Kiribati	- 16	· 2012 2005	16	3 328	21 283 339	62	4.5	0	0	
	•13 43	2010 2011 • 2012	54 77 43	274	294 354 348	0	0			2
Lao People's Democratic		2005 2010	38	1 533	3 807 4 083	182	12	100		
Republic	- 48	2011 • 2012	46 48	2 012 1 999	4 387 4 156	222 234	11 12	76 78		303
Malaysia	~/~~	2005	73 91	11 661 17 577 19 472	16 066 19 337 20 666	1 468 1 628 1 629	13 9.3	22	22	
Marshall Islands	• 73 97	• 2012 2005	97	22 124	22 710 112	1 347	6.1 0	10	32	1 120
	\sim	2010 2011	68 91	137 137	201 151	0 1	0 0.73	0	100	
Micronesia (Fodoratod	• 77 60	· 2012 2005 2010	60 6.2	88 7 85	147 112 174	0	0			
States of)	•6 100	2010 2011 • 2012	97 100	145 146	150 146	0	0			0
Mongolia		2005 2010 2011	<0.1 89 80	1 4 256 3 612	4 726 4 801 4 533	1 2 3	100 <0.1	100 100 100	100 100 100	0
Nauru	•0 78	• 2012 2005	78	3 465	4 453	4	0.12	75	75	0
		2010 2011	0 0	0 0	3 5	0 0				
New Caledonia	•••	2012	40	21	53	0	0			
	• 40	2010	0	0	52 38	0				
New Zealand		2005 2010	41 60	140 183	340 305	8 3	5.7 1.6			
Nius	• 41 58	2011 • 2012	57 58	175	309 297	3	1.7 1.8			
Nue		2003 2010 2011 - 2012	100	0	0 1 0	0	0			
Northern Mariana Islands		2005 2010 2011	98 100	56 32	57 32	0	0			
Palau	•98 79	• 2012 2005	79 90	<u>27</u> 9	34 10	1 0	3.7 0	0	0	
-	. 90 100	2010 2011 • 2012	95 83 100	18 10 4	19 12 4	0 1 0	0 10 0	0	0	
Papua New Guinea	- 17	2005 2010 2011 • 2012	13 29 17	2 122 4 671 3 713	12 564 16 113 16 324 22 488	222 531 364	10 11 9.8		89	135 256 325
Philippines	- 1	2005 2010 2011 • 2012	0.94 1.9 0.89	1 634 3 917 2 040	137 100 174 389 206 088 230 162	2 9 4	0.12 0.23 0.2	0	0	16 226

TABLE A4.6 HIV testing and provision of CPT, ART and IPT, 2005–2012

	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS 2005–2012	YEAR	% OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	NUMBER OF TB PATIENTS WITH KNOWN HIV STATUS	PATIENTS NOTIFIED (NEW AND RETREAT)	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE TB PATIENTS	% OF TESTED TB PATIENTS HIV-POSITIVE	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON CPT	% OF HIV- POSITIVE TB PATIENTS ON ART	NUMBER OF HIV-POSITIVE PEOPLE PROVIDED IPT
Republic of Korea		2005			46 969					
		2010			48 101	135				
		2011			50 491	129				
		2012			49 532					
Samoa		2005	0	0	24	0				
	\sim	2010	21	3	14	0	0			0
	/ \	2011	0	0	20	0				
	• 0 0 •	2012	0	0	22	0				
Singapore		2005			1 469					
		2010	74	1 184	1 608	50	4.2			
	_	2011	79	1 332	1 695	61	4.6			
	- 84 •	2012	84	1 978	2 364	47	2.4			
Solomon Islands		2005	0	0	397	0				
	\sim	2010	11	39	341	0	0			0
		2011	17	70	405	0	0			
	• 0 12 •	2012	12	45	372	0	0			
Tokelau		2005		0	0	0				
		2010		0	0	0				
		2011		0	0	0				
		2012								
Tonga		2005			18					
		2010	73	8	11	0	0			
	/	2011	100	9	9	0	0			
	- 100 •	2012	100	11	11	0	0			
Tuvalu	^	2005	0	0	15	0				
		2010	0	0	14	0	_			
		2011	31	4	13	0	0			
Manuati	•0 45•	2012	45	9	20	0	0			
vanuatu		2005	7.0	0	81	0	0			
	~ /	2010	7.8	9	110	0	0			
		2011	40	50	106	0	0			
Viet Nem	-0 52-	2012	15	14 100	05 900	505	4.2			
Viet Indili		2005	10	14 120	90 092	393	4.2	60	40	1 017
		2010	43	42 330	99 022 100 E19	3 515	0.3	70	43	1317
	45 000	2011	59	59 176	100 518	4 703	7.9	72	40	F 000
Wallia and Eutuna	• 13 66 •	2012	00	b8 ∠59	103 906	4 / /5	/	/3	4/	500 5
wails and Fuluna		2003		10	/	0	0			
		2010	400	10	0	0	0			
		2011	400	ъ	2	U	U			
		2012								

TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

		TOTAL		NEW PU	LMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUS	Y TREATED CA	SES
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB
American Samoa	2005 2010 2011 2012	0 0	_	_	0 1		_	0 0	
Australia	2005 2010 2011 2012	12 33 28 18	17 (9.2–25)	14 (8.1-23)	868 652 861		3.0 (0.36-9.9)	48 26 31	- 74 53 67
Brunei Darussalam	2005 2010 2011	0			181 205	100 130		5	100
Cambodia	2012 2005 2010	0 31	0 (0-0)	0 (0-4.4)	166 5	100 - <0.1	0 (0-3.2)	93	100 - 5.7
China	2011 2012 2005	56 75	380 (190–580)	330 (160-590)	18 16	<0.1 0.11 -	56 (21-110)	190 86	13 17 –
	2010 2011 2012	2792 1601 3007	59 000 (52 000-66 000)	49 000 (38 000-60 000)	9940 11472	- 2.6 3.6	11 000 (9 000-12 000)	4861	- 12
China, Hong Kong SAR	2005 2010 2011	41 28 23	49 (20 66)	26 (22 55)	3271 1897 1992	96 61 79	10 (4 6 07)	163 211 207	23 41 43
China, Macao SAR	2012 2005 2010 2011	9 6 5	46 (30-66)	36 (22-33)	265 221 258	190 89 110	12 (4.6-27)	19 39 24	61 65 100
Cook Islands	2012 2005 2010	8	8.3 (3.0–14)	2.3 (0.27-8.1)	261 0	110 	6.0 (2.3–11)	28	100
Fiji	2011 2012 2005	0	1.0 (<0.1-1.0)	0 (00)	0	-	1.0 (<0.1–1.0)	0	- 100
French Polynesia	2010 2011 2012 2005	0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-14)	4 18 15	4.5 17 9.1	0 (0–13)	4 0 1 3	7.7 100
Tronoint olynoola	2010 2011 2012	0 0 0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-4.2)	27 47 30	87 110 91	0 (0-3.6)	4 1 4	100 50 67
Guam	2005 2010 2011	1 2 0			39 56 43	110 110 110		0 2 2	0 100 67
Japan	2012 2005 2010 2011	68	0 (0-6.7)	0 (0-6.7)	31 7684 7400	100 - 54 51	0 (0-0)	0 694 670	39
Kiribati	2012 2005 2010	64 1 0	240 (180–300)	110 (65–170)	8564 1 0	66 0.81 0	130 (96–180)	583	40 44 - 0
Lao People's	2011 2012 2005	0 0	15 (12–18)	13 (9.5–16)	0	0 	2.3 (1.9–2.7)	0	0
Democratic Republic	2010 2011 2012	2 4 10	220 (180–260)	170 (130-220)	14	0.46	48 (40–56)	48	
Malaysia	2005 2010 2011	1 64 141	10 (0.51)		15010	180 - -	0 (0.050)	1056	110 - -
Marshall Islands	2012 2005 2010 2011	74 2 1	18 (0-54)	18 (0.46–100)	52 68 50		0 (0-250)	3	60 30 20
Micronesia (Federated	2012 2005 2010	3 1 1	4.4 (0-9.3)	4.4 (0.92–12)	73 35 50	140 110 70	0 (0-5.9)	0 21 3	0 100 23
States of)	2011 2012 2005	1 3 0	6.8 (5.4-8.2)	5.9 (4.3-7.3)	44 5 0	98 8.6 0	0.93 (0.78–1.1)	0 0 16	0 0 4.7
	2010 2011 2012	187 185 210	170 (140–190)	33 (15–58)	40 157 196	2.2 9.1 11	130 (120–150)	561 602 681	95 110 130
Nauru	2005 2010 2011	0			0	_ _ 0			- -
New Caledonia	2012 2005 2010 2011	0			20 24	62 140		0	 0 0
New Zealand	2012 2005 2010	0 4 4	0 (0-0)	0 (0-3.1)	28 247 243	120 150 180	0 (0-0.98)	0 14 10	0 74 91
Niue	2011 2012 2005	2 4	3.7 (0-9.2)	0.75 (<0.1-4.1)	229 221	160 150 —	3.0 (<0.1-11)	5 12	83 80 –
Northern Mariana	2010 2011 2012	0 0 0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	24		0 (0-0)		-
Islands	2005 2010 2011 2012	2 0 0 0	0 (0-0)	0 (0-6.1)	∠4 17 19 15	100 100 100	0 (0-2.0)	1 0 0	- - 0
Palau	2005 2010 2011	0 0 1	- 12 0/		3 11 8	100 58 100		0 0 0	 0
Papua New Guinea	2012 2005 2010	0	0 (0-2.8)	0 (0–2.8)	3	100	0 (0-0)	0	
Philippines	2011 2012 2005 2010	15 58 274 500	1 100 (930-1 300)	590 (430-740)	4	<0.1	500 (420-590)	138	3.5
	2010 2011 2012	522 1148 679	12 000 (9 300–15 000)	8 500 (6 000-11 000)	25 35	<0.1 <0.1 <0.1	3 700 (2 500–5 100)	2325 2038	2.7 17 12

a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES).

^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

		τοται	ESTIMATED CASES	NEW PL	JLMONARY CASE	S	PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES				
	YEAR	CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB ^a	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF BACT+VE ^b TESTED FOR MDR-TB	ESTIMATED CASES OF MDR-TB AMONG NOTIFIED	NUMBER OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB	% OF NOTIFIED TESTED FOR MDR-TB		
Republic of Korea	2005					-			-		
	2010	450				-			-		
	2011	516			3431	17		968	13		
	2012	1212	2 200 (1 800-2 700)	840 (660-1 100)		-	1 400 (1 000-1 900)		-		
Samoa	2005					-			-		
	2010	0			0	0		0	-		
	2011	0				-			-		
	2012	0	0 (0-4.1)	0 (0-4.1)	15	79	0 (0-0)		-		
Singapore	2005	3			895	96		105	69		
	2010	3			923	97		79	61		
	2011	6			952	97		104	64		
	2012	22	36 (21–51)	31 (18–48)	1178	98	5.2 (1.1–15)	93	58		
Solomon Islands	2005								-		
	2010	0			1	0.75		1	20		
	2011	0			0	0		0	0		
	2012	0	12 (9.1–15)	12 (8.8–15)	9	5.7	0 (0-3.3)	16	100		
lokelau	2005					-			-		
	2010	0			0	-		0	-		
	2011					-			-		
-	2012		-	-		-	-		-		
Tonga	2005					_			-		
	2010	0			0	0		0	-		
	2011	0	0 40 40 00 0 04		0	0	0 (0 0)	0	-		
.	2012	0	0.49 (0.36-0.61)	0.49 (0.36-0.61)	0	0	0 (0-0)	0	-		
Tuvalu	2005	0			0	_		0	-		
	2010	0			0	0		0	-		
	2011	0	0.70 (0.00 0.05)	0.40 (0.00 0.01)		_	0.00 (0.10, 0.07)		-		
Vopuetu	2012	2	0.72 (0.80-0.85)	0.49 (0.36-0.61)	I	11	0.23 (0.19-0.27)				
Vanualu	2005	0				-			-		
	2010	0			0	_		0	-		
	2011	0	0.47 (0.20, 0.54)	0 (0 8 7)	0	0	0.47 (0.20, 0.54)	0	0		
Viet Nem	2012	0	0.47 (0.39-0.34)	0 (0=8.7)	0	0	0.47 (0.39-0.34)	0	0		
VIELINAIII	2005	101				-			-		
	2010	601				-			-		
	2011	272	3 800 (3 000 4 600)	2 100 (1 500 2 900)		-	1 700 (1 200 2 200)		-		
Wallis and Futuro	2012	213	3 000 (3 000-4 000)	2 100 (1 000-2 600)			1700 (1300-2300)				
Iclande	2005					-			-		
13101103	2010	0			0	-		0	-		
	2011	0	_	_	0	0	_	0	_		
	2012								-		

TABLE A4.7 Testing for MDR-TB and number of confirmed cases of MDR-TB, 2005–2012

a TOTAL CONFIRMED CASES OF MDR-TB includes cases with unknown previous treatment history (i.e. not included under NEW CASES or PREVIOUSLY TREATED CASES).

^b BACT+VE = bacteriologically positive cases.

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

		MALE																
	YEAR	0–14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	0–14	15–24	25–34	35–44	45–54	55–64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE RATIO
American Samoa	1995 2000 2005					1	1					1		1 2				2.0
	2010 2011 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Australia	1995 2000	3	16	35	25	24	19	49		0	15	19	12	15	5	14		2.1
	2005 2010 2011	0 2 2	32 42 38	27 33 44	23 22 26	11 25 19	12 9 12	30 27 37	0	2 4 3	18 36 26	26 43 40	11 12 23	10 2 7	6 5 7	14 12 17	0	1.6 1.4 1.4
Brunei	2012 1995	3	26	40	17	25	16	37	0	1	27	48	15	11	9	15	0	1.3
Darussalam	2000 2005 2010	0	9 17	4 19 15	19 13	5 12 18	9 7	0 18	0	0	4 9 7	11 15	9 8 12	8	2 4	4 0 10	0	2.1 1.5
Cambodia	2011 2012	0	11 10 453	11 13	11 15	10 13	11 8 1 257	13 19 707	0 0	2 0	5 5 388	9 6 1 133	6 9	7 10 1.426	3 6	10 5 578	0 0	1.6 1.9
oamboula	2000	26 49	519 894	1 323	1 618 2 349	1 456 2 043	1 373 1 964	1 058 1 811		38 45	457 790	1 157 1 413	1 649 2 089	1 798	1 459 2 058	892 1 573		0.99
	2010 2011 2012	39 34 31	750 791 673	1 564 1 469 1 256	1 760 1 557 1 414	2 105 1 972 1 904	1 531 1 439 1 434	1 599 1 339 1 526		60 39 22	752 690 612	1 321 1 211 1 088	1 303 1 092 957	1 732 1 528 1 424	1 607 1 473 1 302	1 331 1 242 1 198		1.2 1.2 1.2
China	1995 2000	1 102	12 791 19 111	18 306 29 399	15 487 25 206	13 105 25 593	13 489 21 429	10 130 21 771		1 169 1 420	10 890 14 536	13 250 18 496	8 376 12 377	5 679 9 899	4 579 7 102	2 841 6 296		1.8 2.0
	2005 2010 2011	759 645	43 005 42 851 37 514	49 558 38 880 34 597	50 246 43 087	54 872 52 925 47 949	53 822 56 754 51 315	69 779 64 514 55 881	0	926 733	27 064 22 859	27 759 21 022 18 347	24 728 20 422 17 119	16 075 14 103	17 441 15 218	20 020 17 638	0	2.5 2.6
China, Hong Kong SAB	2012 1995 2000	511	29 018	28 324	34 505	40 428	236	49 413 578	0	580	17 786	15 549	13 485	11 981	13 384	211	0	2.5
	2005	3	76 52	84	108	200	168	453	0	3	67 49	81	92 76	57 64	34 49	135	0	2.3
China, Macao	2011 2012 1995	2 4 0	72 63 7	52 67 19	63 95 20	172 174 13	189 178 12	384 430 16	0	3 1 0	56 45 9	89 110 18	69 76 12	60 51 4	53 54 5	116 115 6	0	2.1 2.2 1.6
SAR	2000 2005	0	10 6	8	25 21	22 23	9 17	17	0	0	10 5	4	6 7	6 8	3	13 5	0	2.2 2.9
	2010 2011 2012	0	20 10	5 22 12	22 13	22 47 22	20 39 32	24 17	0	0	28 12	25 11	10 17 13	5 18 3	7 6 7	6 3	0	2.0 1.7 2.1
Cook Islands	1995 2000 2005	0	0 0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0 0	0		0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0 0	0 0	0 0		1.0
	2010 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	-
Fiji	2012 1995 2000	0 0 0	0 8 8	0 10 6	0 9 13	0 4 5	0 2 4	0 3 2	0	0 1 0	0 10 7	0 9 5	0 2 7	0 3 1	0 4 4	0 3 0	0	
	2005 2010 2011	7	9 7 12	18 15	18	14 6	16 2	6 4	0	7	7	9 12 17	6 5 7	4	6 8 2	5	0	2.0 1.1
French Polynesia	2012 1995	2	14	12	9	12	5	7	0	2	11	10	7	6	7	7	0	1.2
	2000 2005 2010	1 0 0	3 2 3	3 2 1	4 2 0	4 0 1	4 4 1	3 2 1	0	1 0 0	4 2 1	1 3 1	0	1 1 3	0 1 0	0 3 1	0	3.1 1.2 1.2
Cuam	2011 2012	0 0	3 1	1 2	1 2	5 3	1 3	3 3	0 0	0 0	3 2	3 3	0 0	1 3	0 4	1 0	0 0	1.8 1.2
Guan	2000 2005	2 0	1 2	6 4	6 4	9 2	6 2	9 4		0 0	3 3	1 1	2 1	5 2	2 0	2 2		2.6 2.0
	2010 2011 2012	0	2 1 1	3 0 0	5 2 4	5 7 5	7 4 2	3 4 6	0	1 0 0	0	4 1 0	3 1 0	3 0 2	0 3 1	3 4 2	0	1.8 1.8 3.6
Japan	1995 2000	15 2	342 246	627 572	995 676	1 847 1 494	2 059 1 509	4 089 3 816		14 5	258 222	476 464	298 213	476 292	637 384	2 234 1 958		2.3 2.4
	2005 2010 2011	9 1 0	197 128 96	488 252 215	605 382 367	469 465	<u>1 418</u> 911 812	3 867 3 326 3 256	0	5 6 5	187 89 94	428 232 213	249 194 203	224 155 148	309 183 223	2 077 1 909 1 840	0	2.1 2.0 1.9
Kiribati	2012 1995 2000	2	94	209	309	415	741	3 230	0	2	79	180	169	111	175	1 947	0	1.9
	2005	3	15 27	15 13	12 10	17 9	4	1	0	5	22 15	12 7	7	7	3	1	0	1.2
Lao People's	2011 2012 1995	4 4 6	17 19 56	9 12 71	3 16 68	10 17 78	9 11 90	3 5 55	0	6 4 3	26 15 49	12 11 49	9 10 69	16 7 54	12 2 52	4 1 26	0	0.65 1.7 1.4
Democratic Republic	2000 2005	7	92 136	128 223	166 296	201 373	177 300	176 352		10 7	59 101	95 186	131 205	122 244	91 192	71		1.6 1.5
	2010 2011 2012	8 10	145 144	234 275 236	323 326	416 474 424	416 381	375 365		13 14 11	133 141 119	204 197	208 192	269 267 246	225 215 210	225 206 201		1.6 1.6
Malaysia	1995 2000 2005	59 32 244	640 694 1 179	879 1 138 2 218	775 1 177 2 277	788 908 1 980	374 814 1 427	1 072 891 1 507		58 41 208	446 464 1 044	448 564 1.061	345 424 947	316 367 816	149 356 586	339 286 572		2.2 2.3 2.1
	2010 2011	129 63	884 948	1 438 1 564	1 599 1 559	1 453 1 594	967 1 245	981 1 054	0	152 77	704 837	881 876	592 584	542 599	425 459	388 403	0	2.0 2.1
Marshall Islands	2012 1995 2000	3	1 060	1 575	<u>1 677</u> 1	1 762	1 409 5	1 260 3	0	105 7	903	1 010 3	710 0	693 2	590 2	483	0	2.0 - 1.1
	2005 2010 2011	2 0	4 10 7	4	5	6	6	1	0	1 5	9	2	4	3	8	2	0	0.92
Micronesia	2012	0 0	7 3 1	8	3 6 3	3 9 1	2 0	2 0	0	0	5 0	8 7 1	2	5 0	2 3 0	2 1	0	1.2 2.5
(Federated States of)	2000 2005 2010	0	2 8	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	3 я	1	1	0	1	1	0	0.36
	2011 2012	3 4 3	8 8	5	2 6 4	4 2 2	4 0 0	1 1	0	5	5 6	2 2 2	3	 1 1	2	1 0	0	1.4 1.2
Mongolia	1995 2000 2005	37 6 7	99 181 271	111 260 253	68 171 232	19 68 147	13 38 52	15 23 36		30 32 15	70 200 320	78 213 270	33 113 145	15 41 63	9 26 32	25 17 25		1.4 1.2 1.1
	2010 2011	3	285 246	255 289	231 205	154 170	50 71	40 41	0	12	296 250	246 192	112	83 61	42 40	28 25	0	1.2 1.5
	2012	7	257	268	191	184	63	37	0	11	250	208	97	82	28	33	0	1.4

_
Ū
U
V
V
AC
AC
AC
AC
PAC
I PAC
N PAC
N PAC
N PAC
N PAC
N PAC
RN PAC
ERN PAC
ERN PAC
ERN PAC
ERN PAC
FERN PAC
TERN PAC
TERN PAC
TERN PAC
TERN PAC
STERN PAC
STERN PAC
STERN PAC
STERN PAC
ESTERN PAC
ESTERN PAC
ESTERN PAC
ESTERN PAC
JESTERN PAC
VESTERN PAC

TABLE A4.8 New smear-positive case notification by age and sex, 1995–2012

					MAI	E				FEMALE								
	YEAR	0-14	15–24	25–34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN-	0-14	15–24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	UN- KNOWN	MALE:FEMALE
Nauru	1995 2000					1			KNOWN					1	1		KNOWN	- 0.50
	2005 2010 2011 2012	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	2.0
New Caledonia	1995	3	2	3	4	2	2	3		2	1	1	3	3	0	1		1.7
	2005	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	4	0	0.60
	2010	0	0	0	3	1	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	2.2
New Zealand	1995	0	4	3	3	5	7	7		1	2	3	4	2	2	4		1.6
	2000	4	6	10	6	6	5	10	0	1	11	9	6	6	1	2	0	1.3
	2010	1	12	5	5	7	7	11	0	4	8	8	4	5	3	8	0	1.2
Niue	1995	0	/	9	2	4	6	14	0	3	4	8	2	3	1	5	U	-
	2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	2010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Northern Mariana	1995	1	1	3	5	10	3	3	0	0	0	2	6	4	1	1	0	1.9
15141145	2005	0	0	1	3	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.8
	2010	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	1.5
Palau	1995	0	2	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8.0
	2005	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	-
	2010	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.0
Papua New	1995		97	70	20	0	10	5	0	6	77	45	01	15	5	1	0	-
Guinea	2000	28	183	205	108	94	48	12		38	200	204	124	65	35	2		1.4
	2010	37 50	279	260	152	135	87 71	27 18 27	0	53 55	313	292	191	97 97	52 55	15	80	1.0
Philippines	1995	2	415	56	250	46	47	26	0	1	20	395	208	20	95	11	80	2.2
	2000	482	7 358	11 275	13 253	12 531	7 646	4 279		374	3 710	5 268	5 565	4 603	3 274	2 029		2.3
	2010	573	9 320	12 224	14 474	14 002	9 568 9 568	4 742	0	454 448	4 825 5 155	5 489	5 501	4 643	3 501	2 236	U	2.4
Republic of Korea	1995	27	9 754	1 613	1 425	1 207	1 307	1 225		466	908 546	5 954 863	431	296	408	2 380 867 705		2.3
	2000	22	687	1 171	1 326	1 336	1 005	1 669		25	546	842	491	370	373	1 729		1.6
	2010	13	491	705	1 049	1 496	1 145	2 132	0	37	472	688	520	487	421	2 244	0	1.4
Samoa	1995	0	1	1	956	0	3	2 200	5	1	436	2	444 0 1	0	1	2 569	2	1.5
	2005	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	2	1.2
	2010	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0.20
Singapore	1995	0	9	40	60	62	70	94	0	1	8	18	21	22	19	31	0	2.8
	2005	0	8	25 21	61	94	96	118	0	0	5	20	33	29	20	43	0	2.7
	2010	0	21	21	44	108	119	126	0	0	11	25	23	23	20	51	0	2.9
Solomon Islands	1995	2	14	6	5	7	9	3	0	3	17	11	7	12	13	0	0	0.73
	2000	4	14	18	9	15	12	11	0	9	23	21	12	11	9	1	0	0.97
	2010	3	15	22	12	7	8	6	0	3	13	27	15	10	16	2	0	0.85
Tokelau	1995	0	20	15	10	12	0	0	0	5	20	10		0	12	0	0	-
	2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2011 2012																	
Tonga	1995 2000	0	1 2	0 1	0 1	0	1 1	2 5		0	0 1	1	1	0	2 1	1		0.80 2.0
	2005	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	1.2
	2011 2012	0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 2	0 0	1 2	0	2 0	0 2	1 0	1 0	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 0	0.50 0.80
Tuvalu	1995 2000	1	0	1	0	0	1	0		0	1	1	0	0	1	0		1.0
	2005	0	1	0	0	1	1	0		0	1	1	0	0	2	0		0.67
	2011 2012	1	1		1	1	1			2	1			1				3.0 0.60
Vanuatu	1995 2000	0	6 7	2 5	5 1	3 10	4 5	0		0 5	5 3	0 15	2 7	3	0	0		2.0 0.86
	2005	1	4	5	5	0	4	1	0	0	5	1	2	4	1	2	0	1.3 0.91
	2011	2	3	4	6	5	4	2	0	0	5 12	7	5	4	2	0	0	1.1
Viet Nam	1995	51	2 367	6 147	8 209	6 713	5 150	7 712		64	1 334	2 320	2 754	2 594	2 847	4 907	Ŭ	- 2.2
	2005	54	3 408	7 105	8 738	8 606	4 958	7 573	0	47	1 747	2 293	2 116	2 298	2 023	4 604	0	2.7
	2011	61 58	3 099	6 677 6 689	7 763	8 474 8 481	6 107 6 315	5 821 5 920	0	64 84	1 863	2 325	1 681	1 814	1 878	3 124	0	3.0
Wallis and Futuna Islands	1995	50	_ 000	5 000		2.01			0			01	. 020			- 200	0	
	2005																	-
	2010						2											-
	2012																	-

TABLE A4.9 Laboratories, NTP services, drug management and infection control, 2012

				LABORATO		FREE THROUGH	HNTP	RIEAMPICIN	TB NOTIF.			
	SMEAR LABS PER 100K POPULATION	% OF SMEAR LABS USING LED ^a	CULTURE LABS PER 5M POPULATION	DST ^b LABS PER 5M POPULATION	LPA [°] LABS PER 5M POPULATION	NUMBER OF LABS USING XPERT MTB/RIF	SECOND- LINE DST AVAILABLE	NRL ^d	TB DIAGNOSIS	FIRST- LINE DRUGS	USED THROUGHOUT TREATMENT	RATE PER 100 000 HEALTH-CARE WORKERS
American Samoa Australia		-					In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Brunei Darussalam	0.2	0	12.1	12.1	12.1	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	26
Cambodia China	1.4 0.2	10 2	1.0 3.7	0.3 0.7	0 <0.1	6 16	No In country	Yes Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	
China, Hong Kong SAR	0.4	3	9.1	1.4	1.4	9	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Cook Islands	0.4	-	9.0	9	0	0	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (other criteria)	Yes No	Yes	
Fiji	0.5	0	5.7	0	0	3	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	41
French Polynesia		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Guam		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Japan		-					of country	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Kiribati	2.0	0	49.6	0	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	93
Lao People's Democratic Republic	2.4	0	2.3	0.8	0.8	0	No	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	No	
Malaysia	2.6	4	6.2	0.2	0.3	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	106
Marshall Islands	5.7	33	95.1	95.1	95.1	1	Country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
(Federated States of)	3.9	0	0	0	0	0	of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Mongolia	1.4	8	3.6	1.8	1.8	0	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	53
Nauru New Caledonia		-					Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
New Zealand		_					country In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Niue		-					nicountry	103		103	103	-
Northern Mariana Islands		-					Out of country	No	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Palau	9.6	0	240.9	240.9	240.9	1	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Papua New Guinea	1.6	0	0	0	0	6		Yes	Yes (if TB is confirmed)	Yes	Yes	
Philippines Republic of Koron	2.7	0	0.7	0.2	<0.1	17	In country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	204
Samoa	1.0	_	51.0	0.7	2	2	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects) Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	204
Singapore		-					In country	Yes	No	No	Yes	
Solomon Islands	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	Out of	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	0
Tokelau		-					country					
Tonga		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Tuvalu		-					Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	
Vanuatu	4.0	100	0	0	0	0	Out of country	Yes	Yes (all suspects)	Yes	Yes	111
Viet Nam	0.9	0	1.4	0.1	0.1	22	In country	Yes	Yes (for smear- positive TB)	Yes	No	
Wallis and Futuna Islands		-							1		1	

^a LED = Light emitting diode microscopes
 ^b DST = Drug susceptibility testing

^c LPA = Line probe assay
 ^d NRL = National Reference Laboratory

TABLE A4.10 Measured percentage of TB cases with MDR-TB^a, most recent year available

		New TE	3 cases		Previously treated TB cases						
	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage	Year	Source	Coverage	Percentage			
American Samoa											
Australia	2012	Surveillance	National	1.9 (1.1-3.0)	2012	Surveillance	National	6.5 (0.79-21)			
Brunei Darussalam	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-2.2)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-23)			
Cambodia	2007	Survey	National	1.4 (0.71-2.5)	2007	Survey	National	11 (4.0-22)			
China	2007	Survey	National	5.7 (4.5-7.0)	2007	Survey	National	26 (22-30)			
China, Hong Kong SAR	2012	Surveillance	National	0.97 (0.59-1.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	2.6 (0.95-5.5)			
China, Macao SAR	2012	Surveillance	National	0.77 (<0.1-2.7)	2012	Surveillance	National	21 (8.3-41)			
Cook Islands	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)	2012	Surveillance	National	100 (2.5-100)			
Fiji	2006	Surveillance	National	0 (0-8.2)	2006	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)			
French Polynesia	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-12)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-60)			
Guam	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-11)	2012	Surveillance	National	12 (9.2-15)			
Japan	2002	Surveillance	National	0.7 (0.42-1.1)	2002	Surveillance	National	9.8 (7.1-13)			
Kiribati Lao People's Democratic Republic											
Malaysia	1997	Survey	Sub-national	0.1 (0-0.56)	1997	Survey	Sub-national	0 (0-17)			
Marshall Islands	2012	Surveillance	National	4.1 (0.86-12)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)			
Micronesia (Federated States of)											
Mongolia	2007	Survey	National	1.4 (0.66–2.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	26 (23–30)			
Nauru											
New Caledonia	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-12)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)			
New Zealand	2011	Surveillance	National	0.44 (<0.1-2.4)	2011	Surveillance	National	20 (0.51–72)			
Niue Northern Mariana Islands	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-22)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)			
Panua New Guinea	2012	Guiveiliande	National	0 (0 / 1)	2012	Garveinarioe	rational	20 (20 27)			
Philippines	2004	Survey	National	4 (29-55)	2004	Survey	National	21 (14-29)			
Bepublic of Korea	2004	Survey	National	27 (21-34)	2004	Survey	National	14 (10-19)			
Samoa	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-22)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-98)			
Singapore	2012	Surveillance	National	1.6 (0.97-2.5)	2012	Surveillance	National	3.2 (0.67-9.1)			
Solomon Islands	2012	Gartonianoo	Hattoria	1.0 (0.07 2.0)	2012	Surveillance	National	0 (0-21)			
Tokelau					2012	Garvonianoo	Hational	0 (0 21)			
Tonga											
Tuvalu											
Vanuatu	2006	Surveillance	National	0 (0-12)							
Viet Nam	2006	Survey	National	2.7 (2.0-3.7)	2006	Survey	National	19 (14-25)			
Wallis and Futuna Islands		,		/		-,		/			

The World Health Organization monitors the global tuberculosis epidemic in support of national TB control programmes.



For further information about tuberculosis contact: Information Resource Centre HTM/GTB World Health Organization 20 Avenue Appia, 1211–Geneva–27, Switzerland Email: tbdocs@who.int Web site: www.who.int/tb

