



PN-AC T-066

1730 M Street, NW Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20036-4505  
Main: Tel: (202) 776-9600 Fax: (202) 776-7000  
Direct: Tel: (202) 776-9664 Fax: (202) 776-7064  
Email: [esonnenberg@amideast.org](mailto:esonnenberg@amideast.org)  
Web: <http://www.amideast.org>

---

## Administration of Justice Support Project


Contract # 263-C-00-95-00134-00

Title: 7th Court Technology Conference and  
Court Admin/ Automation OST” – Final  
Report

Date: August 2001

Author: DT2

Contractor: AMIDEAST



USAID/ EGYPT DEVELOPMENT TRAINING II PROJECT  
 INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL EDUCATION

MONITORING AND EVALUATION REPORTS  
 END-OF-TRAINING REPORT  
 ASSESSMENT OF SATISFACTION AND LEARNING

7<sup>TH</sup> COURT TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE AND COURT  
 ADMINISTRATION / AUTOMATION OST (TSN 2316)  
 August 10, 2001 – August 25, 2001

Data Collection Method: End-of-Training Questionnaires, oral feedback of participants during re-entry workshop and report written by Mr. James G. Apple, President of the International Judicial Academy, Washington, D.C.

Data Sources: Participants and report written by Mr. James G. Apple

Next Steps: None

Evaluation of Satisfaction and Learning

Indicators	Average Rating scale of 1 (lowest) – 5 (highest)	Participants Comments
<b>A) Satisfaction:</b>		
1- Content	4.5	Very satisfactory. The program was very diverse and relevant to work.
2- Program duration	2.6	Program duration needed to be longer, especially during the court administration/ automation observational study tour in Washington D.C.
3- Instruction	4	Very satisfactory
4- Training facilities	4.3	Very satisfactory.
5- Training materials	4.7	Very useful.
6- Program objectives	3.9	Almost completely achieved.
7- Logistics	4.2	Very satisfactory. However, some participants were not satisfied with the choice of accommodation in Baltimore, MD. They also had some problems with their travel arrangements departing from the U.S., but this was due to over booking by the airline.
<b>B) Learning:</b>	3.5	Acquired most of the KSAs needed to improve their work performance.

Narrative Summary

Overall, the participants were satisfied with the program and gave it a very good rating. The participants were interested in the topics covered and found them directly relevant to their work. They were very pleased with the conference and seminar they attended in Baltimore, Maryland. They stated it was well organized and gave them the opportunity to interact and exchange ideas with other participants. The participants were very pleased with the two

conference interpreters. Listening devices were made available to the participants the first day of the conference, but they elected not to use them, so the interpretation for all of the sessions was consecutive. For the three IT professionals among the participants, the Planning and Automation Seminar was the most interesting part of the training program as it focused on planning and managing court automation projects. The second part of the program included a five-day tailored tour, which included visits to courts and presentations by judges and court professionals about uses of technology. The participants complained that some of these visits were not well prepared, especially their first visit to the U.S. Supreme Court. They said that no one was expecting them and the person designated to make the presentation was suddenly called out of town. Even the clerk of the Supreme Court was unavailable to assist them. Other visits were very useful and the participants were satisfied with the presentations. However, they said the duration of the study tour needed to be longer. It was too condensed and they would have liked more time for questions and technical discussions.

According to Mr. James G. Apple, President of the International Judicial Academy, "the attendance and attentiveness of the participants during the study tour was good. The group attended all of the educational sessions and appeared interested in the subject matter of the program and the individual presentations and tours."

---

---

### **Probability of Achieving Performance Improvement Results (PIRs)**

---

---

Given the participants' satisfaction with the training program and their acquisition of new KSAs, there is a high probability of achieving the targeted results.

September 24, 2001

Report Prepared by:  
*Yasmine Roushdy*  
Evaluation Specialist

## Program Participant List

TSN: 2316 Program Name: 7th Court Technology Conference & Court Administration

### # Participant Name

1 Ahmed	TAHER MOHAMED ABOU TAYEL
2 Aly	EL SADEK OSMAN
3 Gamal	IBRAHIM IBRAHIM ELEWA
4 Khaled	MAHMOUD MOHAMED GHANEM
5 Khaled	SAAD AHMED AWAD
6 Mervat	MORIS SAWIRIS KERIAKOS
7 Mohamed	SALEH AHMED MOHAMED SALEH
8 Nader	ABDOU AHMED MOHAMED ZEID