BACKGROUND ON NOAA/NESDIS CLIMATIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODS

DATA

The NOAA/NESDIS Assessment and Information Services Center (AISC) uses a combination of meteorological satellite products and weather data as the primary inputs for these Special Climatic Impact Assessments. Operational rainfall reports are received daily through the WMO Global Telecommunications System (GTS) and ten day reports are received from some host-countries and the Regional AGRHYMET Center in Niamey. AISC uses data from ESA's Geo-stationary Meteorological Satellite (METEOSAT) and the NOAA-9 daily polar orbiting satellite. Hourly METEOSAT photographs are used as one method to assess regional rainfall and to monitor large-scale weather patterns, e.g., the Inter-tropical Discontinuity (ITD). NOAA-9 data are used to assess vegetation/biomass patterns and to estimate rainfall.

NOAA SATELLITE ANALYSIS

The NOAA satellite provides daily data from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) which has a spatial resolution of one kilometer. The satellite receives radiation signals (e.g., the ground and clouds) and immediately re-transmits one kilometer resolution data which can be received by local field stations as the satellite passes in the vicinity. The satellite can also record a limited amount of the one kilometer data; recorded data are termed LAC for Local Area Coverage. As they are received, the one kilometer radiation signals are sampled to obtain four kilometer resolution data (termed GAC for Global Area Coverage) which is stored internally.

The NOAA satellite products for 1985 in these special assessments are primarily based on 1985 GAC data (as available, AISC will include special LAC scenes). The 1984 and 1983 satellite images have a more coarse resolution on the order of 20 kilometers. Although these images have larger pixels, users can still compare 1985 conditions with those of previous years.

AISC obtains daily four kilometer resolution GAC data consisting of three radiation channels: Channel I (visible reflected solar radiation), Channel II (near infrared reflected solar radiation) and Channel IV (thermal infrared radiation). These three channels are composited over 10-15 day periods to remove most of the clouds from the image and produce the color-coded NOAA satellite images and vegetation/biomass index products contained in this report.

NOAA Satellite Images

The Ambroziak Color Coordinate System (ACCS), used to produce the satellite images in this assessment, shows the health of the vegetation using colors designed to maximize the information content and minimize the analysis time. Different hues (red-orange-yellow-green-cyan-blue) separate

vegetation and water from soil and clouds using the visible and the infrared portions of the sunlight reflected from the surface. Saturation (red-pink-white) is used to identify clouds using the emitted thermal infrared radiation. Clouds are usually colder than the surface and they become white when the saturation of the colors is reduced for pixels with low temperatures.

The colors of the ACCS display a continuum of hue and intensity which matches both the data and the mind's perception system. Sharp boundaries on the image, shown as large changes in hue, indicate actual sharp changes in surface vegetation. The colors can be generally interpreted as follows:

HUE	INTENSITY	
	Dark	Bright
red	wet or dark soil*	sand or low clouds
yellow	emerging or sparse plant cover over wet of dark soil*	emerging or sparse plant cover over sand or under scattered clouds
green	very healthy plants combined with standing water or forest	healthy field crops or similar plants
cyan (greenish-blue)	dense forest	dense forest, maize, or rice cover
magenta (purplish-red)	clear shallow or slightly turbid water	highly turbid, very shallow, or partially cloud covered water

COLORS WITHOUT HUE

black	clear deep water or dark shadow
white	clouds, snow, or colder high terrain

*Dark reds, oranges, and yellows are shades of brown.

Vegetation/Biomass Index Products

The Vegetation/Biomass Index products contained in this assessment are derived from the following formula:

$$NVI = \frac{\text{Channel II} - \text{Channel I}}{\text{Channel II} + \text{Channel I}}$$

where: NVI stands for the Normalized Vegetation Index

Vegetation/biomass index values are calculated for each day of the week and cloud-free pixels are averaged to produce a weekly mean vegetation index. Weekly values are further averaged for one degree latitude and two degree longitude areas. The NVI is a measure of the amount of vegetation or biomass on the ground. The index ranges from 0 (no vegetation) to +1 (intense vegetation); however, the NVI values have different ranges for different geographic areas. These indices can show the progress of vegetation/biomass conditions through the growing season. Conditions at any one time within the season can be compared to those at the same time in previous years. The index value can be displayed on a map or weekly values graphed as a time-series.

Crop Yield Models

Crop Yield models have been developed with monthly precipitation estimates for regions and the yield estimates from government statistics. Quality of the data varies from region to region. The reliability of the models also varies. Model reliability can also be affected by episodic events such as disease or pests. Models are based on the most recent data (usually five or more years) with only one predictor variable. The selection of that variable is determined by correlation analysis tempered with the agronomic importance of the variable in relation to the crop calendar. Baseline average yields (usually five years) were developed for all regions.

In addition to these linear regression models, relating the NVI to regional yield were developed using the 1983 and 1984 data. These relate the NVI over a 1° x 2° grid at a selected period after apparent start of greenup with the regional reported yield.

Yield projections from crop/weather models are compared to yield projections from NVI models. If the agreement is good, the projections are crosschecked against the baseline averages and yields during the baseline years. Agroclimatic indices of the current year are compared to agroclimatic indices for the previous years. Agroclimatic indices based on 10-day monthly and seasonal precipitation are examined. One of the indices is based on a soil water balance proposed by Frere and Popov.

ASSESSMENT PROCESS

NOAA/NESDIS AISC uses all available satellite products and weather station reports to assess climatic impacts on agriculture (crops and rangelands). AISC focuses on the weather factors which affect crop yield, not factors that may also be important such as effects of fertilizer or losses due to pests and disease. AISC does not measure planted or harvested crop area.

The AISC Assessment Process involves: 1) estimation of daily rainfall, 2) analysis of rainfall patterns, and 3) assessment of weather impacts on crops and rangelands. Rainfall assessments are based on daily weather reports, METEOSAT photographs, NOAA-9 photographs and AISC/ACCS color-coded satellite images. Ten day and monthly rainfall amounts are determined for weather reporting stations and crop regions. Ten day, monthly and seasonal rainfall are analyzed for the current crop season and with respect to conditions during the previous 30 years. AISC uses various agroclimatic and satellite models to assess the impact of rainfall on crops and rangelands: ten day, monthly and seasonal agroclimatic/crop condition indexes used in combination with each other; satellite images from NOAA-9; and vegetation/biomass index products based on NVI; crop yield models when available.

The final phase of the assessment procedure is to integrate all qualitative and quantitative information to provide a yield projection. This procedure varies from region to region depending on available data.