ADS Chapter 303
Grants and Cooperative Agreements to Non-Governmental Organizations

Partial Revision Date: 07/03/2012
Responsible Office: M/OAA/P
File Name: 303_070312

Functional Series 300 – Acquisition and Assistance
ADS 303 – Grants and Cooperative Agreements to Non-Governmental Organizations
POC for ADS 303: Michael Gushue, (202) 567-4678, mgushue@usaid.gov

Table of Contents

303.1 OVERVIEW 5

303.2 PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES 5

303.3 POLICY DIRECTIVES AND REQUIRED PROCEDURES 9

303.3.1 Policy Directives 9

303.3.2 Required Procedures 9

303.3.3 Type and Length of Assistance Instrument 10

303.3.4 Deviations 10

303.3.5 Public Notice and Advertising 12
303.3.5.1 Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13
303.3.5.2 Request for Applications 14
303.3.5.3 Cancellation of Requests for Applications 17
303.3.5.4 Annual Program Statement 18
303.3.5.5 Unsolicited Concept Papers and Applications 19

303.3.6 Competition 19
303.3.6.1 Competition Requirements 20
303.3.6.2 Eligibility 20
303.3.6.3 Evaluation Criteria 21
303.3.6.4 Reviewing and Evaluating Applications 24
303.3.6.5 When Competition Is Not Required 27
*303.3.6.6 Exceptions to Competition 27
303.3.6.7 Late or Incomplete Submissions 37

303.3.7 The Award Decision 38
303.3.7.1 Notification 38
303.3.7.2 Request for Additional Information or Debriefings 38

303.3.8 Pre-Award Certifications, Assurances, and Other Statements of the Recipient and Solicitation Standard Provisions 39

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.9 Pre-Award Responsibility Determination 40
303.3.9.1 Pre-Award Surveys 42
303.3.9.2 Special Award Conditions 45

303.3.10 Cost Share 46
303.3.10.1 Cost Share Determination 47
303.3.10.2 Cost Sharing and Leveraging 48
303.3.10.3 Cost Sharing in RFAs and APS 49
303.3.10.4 Meeting Cost Sharing Requirements 49

303.3.11 Substantial Involvement and Cooperative Agreements 50

303.3.12 Negotiation of the Award 52

303.3.13 The Award Process and Elements of an Award 53

303.3.14 Designation of the Agreement Officer's Representative (AOR) 55

303.3.15 Congressional Award Notification System 58

303.3.16 Distribution of Awards 59

303.3.17 Award Administration 59

303.3.18 Unauthorized Commitments and Expenditures Requiring Prior Approvals 61

303.3.19 Controlling Language 62

303.3.20 File Documentation 62

303.3.21 Sub-awards 62

303.3.22 The Role of the Agreement Officer in the Debt Collection Process 63

303.3.23 Disputes and Appeals 63
303.3.23.1 Disputes 64
303.3.23.2 Appeals 64

303.3.24 Simplified Grants 64

303.3.25 Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations 65

303.3.26 Leader/Associate Awards 68

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.27 Global Development Alliance (GDA) 71

303.3.28 Participation Of Faith-Based And Community Organizations 72

303.4 MANDATORY REFERENCES 76

303.4.1 External Mandatory References 76

303.4.2 Internal Mandatory References 77

303.4.3 Mandatory Forms 79

303.5 ADDITIONAL HELP 79

303.5.1 Optional Forms 80

303.6 DEFINITIONS 80

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

ADS 303 – Grants and Cooperative Agreements to Non-Governmental Organizations

303.1 OVERVIEW

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

This chapter describes the Agency's internal guidance, policy directives, required procedures, and standards for the award and administration of USAID grants and cooperative agreements to:

- Institutions of higher education,
- Hospitals,
- Nonprofit non-governmental organizations, and
- Commercial organizations.

USAID provides assistance to U.S. or non-U.S organizations, individuals, nonprofits, and for-profit entities. Authorizing legislation (including the **Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended**), other statutes, Government-wide directives and regulations, and governing program requirements specify the eligibility requirements for individual assistance programs.

303.2 PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES

Effective Date: 02/25/2011

a. **The Bureau for Management, Office of Acquisition and Assistance (M/OAA)** develops and interprets policy on behalf of USAID for the award and administration of grants and cooperative agreements. M/OAA carries out this responsibility in accordance with the requirements of **Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-110**, USAID's implementing regulation **22 CFR Part 226**, and OMB circulars establishing cost principles (**A-122** and **A-21**) and audit responsibilities (**A-133**).

b. The **M/OAA Director** is also the Assistance Executive and coordinates all matters that OMB circulars or USAID regulations govern or which may require OMB approval. The M/OAA Director may:

- Authorize limited competition, in accordance with **303.3.6.6**;
- Make the final decision on the choice of implementing instrument in the event of a dispute between the requesting official and the Agreement Officer, in accordance with ADS 304; and

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Make the final decision on any appeals brought under 22 CFR 226.90 or the Mandatory Standard Provision entitled "Disputes and Appeals," when it involves non-U.S. organizations.

c. The **Agency Liaison**

- Reviews information for the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) for completeness and accuracy and forwards the information to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for entry into the CFDA by the General Services Administration (GSA).
- Updates CFDA entries annually.
- Submits an annual crosswalk that references program transactions occurring during the year, such as additions, deletions, consolidations of programs, and changes to program titles.
- Assigns CFDA numbers.
- Prepares the CFDA entry describing the Agency's general program description.

NOTE: The Chief of the Strategic Planning and Performance Division of the Bureau for Policy and Program Coordination (PPC/SPP/SPA) was serving as the Agency Liaison to the CFDA (see **303.3.5.1**). However, this office has been superseded by the establishment of the Office of the Director of Foreign Assistance. Details of the liaison function will be revised. For further information, contact PPC and OAA.

d. The **Agreement Officer** (AO) has legal responsibility for the award. Therefore, only the AO can take action on behalf of USAID to enter into, change, or terminate an award. The AO is authorized for this responsibility either by a warrant issued by the Director, M/OAA, or receives the authority through a delegation by virtue of his or her position by:

- The Mission Director or other principal officer of a USAID field post (see **ADS 103.3.8.5**),
- The Assistant Administrator for the Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance (AA/DCHA), or
- The directors of DCHA offices, as re-delegated by the AA/DCHA (see **ADS 103.3.15**).

e. Prior to award, **the Activity Manager** ensures that USAID exercises prudent management over assistance funds. The Activity Manager will:

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Ensure adequate notice and time for the AO to compete and award an assistance instrument by using an annual procurement plan or by obtaining the AO's consent.

- Prepare competitive announcements or justify an exception to competition, in accordance with this chapter and as directed by the AO.

- Provide the Agency Liaison with an annual update on the information in Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) entries for which the Activity Manager is responsible (see **303.3.4.1**).

- Comply with **22 CFR 216, Environmental Procedures,** requirements during the design process.

- Manage the technical evaluation of applications on behalf of the AO, including completing past performance reviews of the applicants.

- Carry out elements of the pre-award survey and provide a technical analysis of specific costs when asked by the AO.

- Advise the AO whether an application is responsive to the published competitive notice and otherwise complies with established USAID Development Objectives.

- Determine the expected level of cost sharing, in accordance with specific program requirements and **303.3.10.**

- Process all necessary USAID documentation for the request that the AO consider awarding a grant or cooperative agreement to a selected applicant. This documentation includes advice on the technical and professional aspects of the application and provides a program description with clearly established goals that are realistic, measurable, and represent the highest objective that the recipient can expect to achieve and for which the recipient will be held accountable.

- Help the AO determine the potential recipient's level of technical and managerial competence.

- Perform other duties, as requested by the AO, to ensure prudent management of assistance funds.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

f. After award, **the Agreement Officer's Representative (AOR)** is the person, as designated in writing by the AO, who will administer certain aspects of the assistance instrument. This authority is not re-delegable other than as specified in the AO's designation letter. The AOR ensures that USAID exercises prudent management over its awarded assistance and makes the achievement of program objectives easier by monitoring and evaluating the recipient and its performance during the award. The AOR will:

- Maintain contact, including through site visits and liaison, with the recipient;
- Review and analyze reports and monitor reporting requirements. (See **ADS 540.3.2.3**);
- Verify timely performance.
- Ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the award;
- Carry out all responsibilities in the schedule of the award as delegated by the AO and as noted under the "Substantial Involvement" section of Cooperative Agreements;
- Monitor the recipient's financial reports to ensure that the recipient makes progress toward meeting the required cost sharing, when applicable;
- Notify the AO promptly of any developments that could have a significant impact on the recipient's performance;
- Prepare internal documents to support amendments to the award;
- Assist the AO in the review of proposed Branding Strategies and Marking Plans and monitor the execution of approved Marking Plans;
- Ensure all mitigative environmental measures and conditions in the award are implemented throughout the life of the award and that timely amendments are undertaken as needed with the relevant Bureau environmental officer approval in writing (see **ADS 204**).
- Monitor classified recipients' compliance with the security specifications included in their grants and cooperative agreements, and notify the AO and the Office of Security of any problems or

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

suspected noncompliance with those requirements (see **ADS 545**, **ADS 565**, and **ADS 567**).

- Evaluate the recipient's program effectiveness at the end of the program, and produce a final report on the award for the AO and the Activity Manager; and,

- Perform other duties, as requested or delegated by the AO, to ensure prudent management of assistance funds.

g. **The Office of the General Counsel (GC)** or the cognizant Regional Legal Advisor (RLA) makes the final legal determinations on behalf of the Agency. USAID staff must refer all contacts from a potential recipient or recipient's lawyer to GC or the RLA. Staff must also consult GC or the RLA on significant policy matters.

h. **Assistant Administrators** approve termination of awards based on the decision that continued assistance would not be in the national interest of the United States.

i. **A recipient** carries out an assistance program on behalf of USAID, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award and all applicable laws and regulations.

303.3 POLICY DIRECTIVES AND REQUIRED PROCEDURES

303.3.1 Policy Directives

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

22 CFR Part 226, Administration of Assistance Awards to U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations, establishes the requirements that USAID must follow when administering grants and cooperative agreements to U.S. non-governmental organizations. **22 CFR 226** is the Agency's regulatory implementation of **OMB Circular A-110, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-Profit Organizations.** **22 CFR 226** and **OMB Circular A-110** do not directly apply to non-U.S. non-governmental organizations. However, USAID applies some of these regulations to non-U.S. non-governmental organizations through this ADS chapter and the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Non-governmental Organizations.**

303.3.2 Required Procedures

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

USAID generally implements new acquisition and assistance requirements through **Acquisition and Assistance Policy Documents (AAPDs)** when it is necessary to implement a change prior to formal amendment of this chapter, **22 CFR 226,** or the

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

Mandatory Standard Provisions. M/OAA generally uses **Procurement Executive Bulletins (PEBs)** to issue guidance, best practices, reminders, and answers to frequently asked questions (**available only on the USAID intranet**).

303.3.3 Type and Length of Assistance Instrument

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Assistance (grants or cooperative agreements) is used when the principal purpose of the transaction is to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation, as authorized by the **Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (FAA)**. For further guidance on the differences between assistance and acquisition (contracts), see **ADS 304**.

The Development Objective Team (DOT) makes a preliminary determination on the duration and type of assistance instrument. The DOT bases this decision on the purpose of the transaction and the intended nature of the relationship (see **ADS 201**). The DOT describes the purpose and proposed instrument in the programming and requesting documents. The AO makes the final decision regarding whether an award will be an acquisition or assistance instrument.

303.3.4 Deviations

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

When it is necessary to achieve program objectives under an award or when special circumstances make it in the best interests of the U.S. Government, USAID may grant a deviation from

- **22 CFR 226**,
- The policy directives and required procedures of this chapter,
- The **Standard Provisions for U.S. Non-governmental Organizations,** or
- The **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Non-governmental Organizations.**

NOTE: This section does not apply to the requirements of **22 CFR 216, Environmental Procedures**.

a. Approving Officials

(1) U.S. organizations. For grants and cooperative agreements to U.S. organizations, only the Director, M/OAA, may approve deviations. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) must also approve any class

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

deviations from **22 CFR 226**, **OMB Circular A-110**, and deviations from other **OMB circulars**.

(2) Non-U.S. organizations. For grants and cooperative agreements to non-U.S. organizations, the Director, M/OAA or the Mission Director with program responsibility may approve deviations.

b. Procedure

(1) The AO or the Activity Manager may initiate a deviation request through an action memorandum to the appropriate approving official noted in **303.3.4(a)**.

(2) Before deviation requests may be submitted to the approving authority, the AO must have cleared them.

(3) The AO or Activity Manager must consult with the Office of the General Counsel (GC) or the Regional Legal Advisor (RLA) on all deviations. GC or the RLA must clear the action memorandum before the AO or Activity Manager may submit it to the approving authority.

(4) Before submitting the action memorandum, the requestor also must obtain written comments from M/OAA's Policy Division regarding the information provided to meet the requirements of **paragraph c.** of this section. M/OAA/P should respond within 10 working days. If more time is needed, M/OAA/P must alert the requestor and provide an estimate of when comments will be provided. The AO must maintain a copy of the comments submitted by M/OAA/P as part of the deviation request file.

(5) If the deviation request involves the cost principles or the applicant's Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement, the AO must also obtain written comments from M/OAA's Contract Audit and Support Division (CAS), Overhead/Special Costs and Closeout Branch, before submitting the request to the approving official. M/OAA/CAS has 10 working days in which to respond. If more time is needed, M/OAA/CAS must alert the requestor and provide an estimate of when it will provide comments. If M/OAA/CAS does not provide comments within 10 working days or within the requested extension period, the requestor may treat the non-response as concurrence.

(6) If the approving official does not approve the deviation request, the approving official should provide a written explanation to the requestor regarding why the request was not approved. If the requestor can revise the request to address the approving official's objections, the requestor

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

may do so and resubmit the request. The approving official's denial of a deviation request may not be appealed.

(7) The requestor must provide a copy of each approved deviation record to M/OAA/P, and the AO must retain the approved deviation in the award file.

c. Content of a Deviation Request

Each deviation request must

(1) List the name of the recipient and identify the grants or cooperative agreements affected. Include the dollar value of each award;

(2) Identify the provision, policy, or procedure from which a deviation is necessary;

(3) Provide a full description of the deviation and the circumstances in which it will be used;

(4) Detail the reasons supporting the request, including any background information that contributes to a fuller understanding of the sought deviation;

(5) Describe the intended effect of the deviation; and

(6) State whether a previous deviation from the same requirement had been requested and, if so, the circumstances of the request and whether the approving official approved or disapproved the request.

(For an example, see a **sample action memorandum for a deviation.**)

303.3.5 Public Notice and Advertising
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

a. USAID has a responsibility to notify the public of its funding priorities in assistance programs (**22 CFR 226.11(b)**). The Agency generally fulfills this responsibility by announcing assistance programs in the **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance** (CFDA) and at **Grants.Gov**.

b. As Office of Management and Budget policy requires (see **Office of Federal Financial Management Policy Directive on Use of Grants.Gov)**, USAID must synopsize and post all funding opportunities and application packages to the **Grants.Gov** site except

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Assistance programs that are only published through an Development Objective Team CFDA entry;

NOTE: USAID, at present, does not publish assistance programs solely through CFDA entries;

- Awards that USAID limits to non-U.S. recipients, and which will be for less than $25,000; and

- Noncompetitive agreements authorized in accordance with **303.3.6.6 (a)**, **(b)**, **(c)**, **(h)**, **(i)**, or **(j)** that USAID will specifically direct to a known recipient.

Mandatory Reference 303mae, USAID Policy Guidance on Posting Grant Opportunities and Application Packages on Grants.Gov, provides specific instructions for posting synopses and application packages to the **Grants.Gov** Web site.

303.3.5.1 Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Pub. L. 95-220, **31 U.S.C. 6104**, and **OMB Circular A-89** established the **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA)** as the database for all Federal programs available to U.S. non-governmental organizations, individuals, educational institutions, and state and local governments. An individual or organization can search this database, find assistance programs, determine if an assistance program matches the individual or organization's requirements, and determine if the individual or organization is eligible for the assistance program. The individual or organization may then contact the office that administers the program and find out how to apply.

Use of the CFDA allows an individual or organization to find information in one location, instead of having to search through 26 different Federal Agency Web sites. All agencies of the U.S. Government, including USAID, must collect, coordinate, and submit information on all current Federal domestic assistance programs.

OMB defines a Federal domestic assistance program as any program that provides assistance to a domestic profit or nonprofit corporation; institution or individual; a State or Territory; or, any county, city, or other local government subdivision. This includes programs that finance grants or cooperative agreements to U.S. recipients for activities abroad. It does not include programs that finance grants or cooperative agreements to non-U.S. recipients for activities abroad or for the acquisition or recruitment of personnel.

USAID satisfies the requirements of the Federal Program Information Act (Pub. L. 95-220) by publishing a general entry about its programs in the CFDA. This information

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

must also be published in **Grants.Gov** and issued as Requests for Applications or Annual Program Statements.

If the Development Objective Team wishes to submit an entry into the CFDA, it should send the information through the cognizant Bureau program office to the Agency Liaison. The program officer must annually update the USAID information in the CFDA.

See **303.3.6.4** concerning the review and evaluation of entries in response to the CFDA.

303.3.5.2 Request for Applications

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

USAID uses a Request for Applications (RFA) when the intent is to provide assistance for an activity or methodology that supports or is in keeping with USAID's program objectives.

The minimum period for the receipt of applications in response to an RFA is 30 days after the RFA is issued. USAID should provide longer response periods for sizeable activities or more detailed applications used to ensure high quality applications. If USAID cannot provide 30 days for the receipt of applications, the competition may proceed only with the express written approval of the AO.

In cases where USAID will make multiple awards, the RFA may include a closing date of up to one year after issuance, with provisions for multiple reviews **(see 303.3.6.3)**.

The RFA must follow an established format (see the **Office of Federal Financial Management Policy Directive on Financial Assistance Program Announcements**) as follows:

a. Section I, Funding Opportunity Description, contains the full programmatic description of the funding opportunity. Specifically, it must include:

(1) A general description of the proposed program, with an indication of the range of activities that might be involved, and the established goals of the activity which the applicant must meet. The level of detail in the program description depends on the requirements of the development objective.

(2) A statement identifying the authorizing legislation (generally the **Foreign Affairs Act**) and whether the award is subject to **22 CFR 226 -- Administration of Assistance Awards to U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations**.

(3) Program eligibility requirements.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

(4) How the award will be administered. For U.S. organizations, the RFA must state that **22 CFR 226**, **OMB circulars**, and the **Standard Provisions for U.S. Non-governmental Organizations** are applicable. For non-U.S. organizations, the RFA must state that the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Non-governmental Organizations** will apply. USAID prefers that, instead of attaching complete copies of **22 CFR 226** and the **OMB circulars** to the RFA, instead, the RFA direct applicants to the source. For example, indicate the USAID homepage or other Web site (see **ADS 303.4** and **ADS 303.5)**.

b. Section II, Award Information, provides sufficient information for a potential applicant to decide whether to submit an application. This section must include:

(1) An estimate of funds available, the number of awards USAID expects to make, and the financial range of the awards;

(2) The anticipated start dates and performance periods of the programs; and

(3) Whether the award will be a grant or a cooperative agreement. If the Agency expects to award a cooperative agreement, describe the intended substantial involvement (see **303.3.11**).

c. Section III, Eligibility Information, addresses the considerations and factors that make an applicant or application eligible or ineligible for consideration for the award. This section must:

(1) Identify what types of entities may apply when there are eligibility restrictions.

(2) Include a statement to the effect that USAID encourages applications from potential new partners.

(3) Describe the cost sharing element, if applicable, and state whether the inclusion of cost share is required or suggested **(see 303.3.10)**. If there is no cost sharing requirement or if there are any special conditions regarding the types of costs that are acceptable for cost sharing (consistent with **22 CFR 226**), USAID must explicitly state it.

(4) State any other minimum qualification requirements.

d. Section IV, Application and Submission Information, must include:

(1) Information for a point of contact, including the name, title, street address, e-mail, and phone and fax numbers, so that an applicant may obtain from

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

the POC any materials needed for the application or otherwise communicate with the POC regarding the application requirements.

(2) A statement directing the applicant to submit the application using the SF-424 series, which includes the

- **SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance,**
- **SF-424A, Budget Information – Non-construction Programs,** and
- **SF-424B, Assurances – Non-construction Programs.**

(3) The required certifications in **303.3.8.**

(4) The required format for the application. In accordance with **5 CFR 1320,** which implements the **Paperwork Reduction Act**, USAID may require no more than the original and two copies of any application.

(5) The deadline for submission of an application, how USAID makes the determination that an application has been received in time, and consequences of late submission (see **303.3.6.7**).

(6) Any funding restrictions, such as limitations on allowable activities or direct costs for the particular program.

(7) A statement regarding whether the award will or will not allow the reimbursement of pre-award costs.

(8) Other submission requirements. This might include the format of submission (paper or electronic) and where the applicant must submit the application. If USAID authorizes electronic submission, advise what the applicant should do in the event of technical difficulties and provide a point of contact.

e. Section V, Application Review Information, must include:

(1) The criteria and any sub-criteria that USAID will use to evaluate applications, including an indication of their relative importance (see **303.3.6.3**).

(2) A clear statement of how USAID evaluates cost sharing as part of the review process (see **303.3.10**). If USAID considers cost sharing as more than just an eligibility factor (see **303.3.5.2.c(3)**), do not use vague statements such as “cost sharing is encouraged” nor use it as a separate

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

evaluation factor. If USAID evaluates it, include cost sharing as a sub-element of cost effectiveness.

(3) A description of the review and selection process. The RFA may indicate who evaluates the applications (e.g., USAID personnel, representatives from the local American embassy, host governments, or private sector individuals) and who makes the final selection.

f. Section VI, Award and Administration Information, must include:

(1) What a successful applicant can expect to receive following selection. State that a notice of award signed by the AO is the authorizing document, whether USAID will provide it electronically, and to whom USAID will provide it. USAID may include a description of the form, the content of notifications to unsuccessful applicants, and whether debriefings will be considered.

(2) A statement identifying the standard provision and the deviation when the award includes any deviations from the **Standard Provisions**.

(3) General information on all reporting requirements.

g. Section VII, Agency Contacts, must include points of contact (POC) for questions while the funding opportunity is open. In addition to the name and address of the POC, USAID may establish a generic e-mail address for inquiries.

h. Section VIII, Other Information, should include:

(1) A statement that USAID reserves the right to fund any or none of the applications submitted, and

(2) Any other relevant information.

303.3.5.3 Cancellation of Requests for Applications

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The cancellation of a Request for Applications (RFA) costs the U.S. Government and applicants time, effort, and money. USAID should cancel RFAs only when cancellation is in the public interest. For example:

(1) When there is no longer a program or project or when USAID is no longer supporting the program or project.

(2) When amendments to the RFA would be of such magnitude that a new RFA is desirable.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

If USAID cancels an RFA, USAID will return any applications it has received unopened and will issue a notice of cancellation, either posted electronically as a general notice or sent to all prospective applicants, or both. USAID must purge any electronic applications for a cancelled RFA from primary and backup data storage systems. The notice of cancellation must:

(1) Identify the RFA number and provide the title or the subject matter,

(2) Briefly explain the reason why USAID cancelled the invitation, and

(3) Assure prospective applicants that they will be given an opportunity to apply for any subsequent or future RFA for the program, if appropriate.

The AO must document the:

(1) Circumstances and rationale for the cancellation;

(2) Applications received, returned, and purged; and

(3) Cancellation notice and RFA issuance dates.

303.3.5.4 Annual Program Statement

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

When USAID intends to support a variety of creative approaches towards developing methodologies to assess and implement development objective activities, the Agency may use an Annual Program Statement (APS) to generate competition for these awards.

When used, USAID will publish an APS at least once a year, either with an open-ended response time or a closing date of at least six months after issuance.

The APS must contain:

(1) The specific statutory authority and program eligibility requirements, when applicable. USAID must state that the program is authorized in accordance with the **Foreign Assistance Act**.

(2) The activity objectives, including any areas of special interest and what information will be requested.

(3) A brief statement describing how USAID evaluates resulting applications, including how the Agency will ensure environmental soundness and compliance in design and implementation when required by the **22 CFR**

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

216 determination, and evaluation criteria along with an indication of their relative importance.

(4) An estimate of funds available, if appropriate, and the anticipated number of awards.

(5) Any cost sharing element, as applicable (see **22 CFR 226.23**).

(6) How USAID will administer the award. For U.S. organizations, state that **22 CFR 226**, **OMB circulars**, and the **Standard Provisions** apply. For non-U.S. non-governmental organizations, state that the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations** apply. It is preferred that complete copies of these documents are not attached to the APS. Rather, link to the documents through the Internet.

(7) A point of contact, including name, title, address, and phone number.

(8) A statement that USAID reserves the right to fund any or none of the applications submitted.

(9) The required or suggested format for the application. In accordance with **5 CFR 1320, the Paperwork Reduction Act,** no more than the original and two copies of any application will be required.

(10) Any other relevant information.

303.3.5.5 Unsolicited Concept Papers and Applications

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The **Guide to USAID's Assistance Application Process and to Submitting Unsolicited Assistance Applications** provides guidance for submitting unsolicited concept papers and applications. USAID should encourage the general public to review it.

303.3.6 Competition

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The Agreement Officer (AO) guarantees the integrity of the competitive process by ensuring overall fairness and consideration of all eligible applications. The AO also has the ultimate authority to make award decisions for grants and cooperative agreements on behalf of USAID.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.6.1 Competition Requirements
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

In accordance with the **Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act**, USAID encourages competition in the award of grants and cooperative agreements so that it may identify and fund the best projects to achieve program objectives. Unless USAID authorizes an exception in accordance with **303.3.6.5**, USAID must award all grants and cooperative agreements competitively. Competition requires that the Agency publish an announcement in accordance with **303.3.5,** seek applications from all eligible and qualified entities, conduct an impartial review and evaluation of all applications (see **303.3.6.4),** and make an objective recommendation to the AO for award.

303.3.6.2 Eligibility
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The AO verifies that a Request for Application (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS) correctly identifies applicant eligibility requirements and essential program qualifications in accordance with the following standards:

a. Authorizing legislation and governing program requirements specify eligibility requirements for individual grant programs. Generally, and in compliance with the **Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended**, USAID may provide assistance to any U.S. or non-U.S. organization, individual, non-profit, or for-profit entity. When specific program requirements restrict eligibility (for example, Title XII Collaborative Research Support Programs or the program for expanding Minority Serving Institutions), USAID must identify it in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, RFA, APS, or other appropriate notice.

b. To be eligible for assistance under the private voluntary organization (PVO) grant program, both U.S. and international PVOs must be registered with USAID as required by **22 CFR 203**. The registration requirement does not apply to local PVOs.

c. **Qualifications.** The DOT establishes the minimum qualifications for applicant consideration. However, if the AO determines that the criteria is so restrictive that it severely limits competition, the AO may request that the Activity Manager broaden the criteria or require the Activity Manager to process an exception to competition, as provided in **303.3.6.6**, in order to make the planned award.

d. **Multi-tiered Competition.** The DOT, with the approval of the AO, may establish a two or more tiered competition system. The DOT may ask potential applicants to submit an executive summary or concept paper and corresponding budget information first. After it reviews and evaluates these submissions, the DOT selects the best submissions and conducts a second level of competition that uses more specific evaluation criteria. The DOT may conduct additional levels of

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

competition only if the second level does not adequately identify applicants for the activities to be funded. Section V of the RFA for a multi-tiered competition must explain the intended process so that potential applicants know what to expect at each phase of the competition.

303.3.6.3 Evaluation Criteria

Effective Date: 11/05/2009

The DOT develops evaluation criteria. The criteria must include the:

- Technical merits of the applications,
- Cost effectiveness and cost realism of the application,
- Past performance of the applicant, and
- Branding Strategy and Marking Plan.

The evaluation criteria in an announcement must provide as much information as practical to allow potential applicants to judge whether it is in their best interest to incur costs to apply for the award. The criteria must address the importance of the technical and administrative elements, but must not be unduly restrictive. It is not necessary to quantify the relative weight of the criteria. But the announcement must identify the relative importance of the criteria. The Activity Manager must obtain the AO's approval of the criteria before publishing it in the Request for Applications (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS).

a. Past Performance. An applicant's past performance can serve as an indicator of the quality of its future performance. An applicant must provide a list of all its contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements involving similar or related programs during the past three years. The reference information for these awards must include the performance location, award number (if available), a brief description of the work performed, and a point of contact list with current telephone numbers. The Activity Manager determines whether to require this information as part of the initial application or later from a limited number of applicants who have the best chance of being selected for an award. Requiring the information at a later date may be appropriate when USAID is conducting a prequalification competition (see **303.3.6.2.d**). The Activity Manager must obtain past performance information before selection and make that information part of the written evaluation that he or she forwards to the AO. (See **Best Practices for Collecting and Using Current and Past Performance Information**.)

(1) **Evaluating Past Performance.** The Activity Manager and the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) (defined in **303.3.6.4**) evaluate an applicant's past performance. The Activity Manager and TEC validate the applicant's past performance reference

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

information based on existing evaluations to the maximum extent possible, and make a reasonable, good faith effort to contact all references to verify or corroborate the following evaluation criteria:

- How well an applicant performed,
- The relevancy of the work performed under the program,
- Instances of good performance,
- Instances of poor performance,
- Significant achievements,
- Significant problems, and
- Any indications of excellent or exceptional performance in the most critical areas.

To ensure that the past performance evaluation is effective, the Activity Manager or TEC, must:

- Assess how recent and relevant the past performance information is.
- Evaluate the past performance of the entire team - consortia, joint venture members, and proposed sub-award organizations, as applicable.
- Include analysis and rationale for their conclusions about an applicant's past performance.

The TEC may use the Contractor Performance System (CPS) and the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) if there is information available on the recipient in these systems, taking into account the differences between performance under acquisition and performance under assistance.

The Activity Manager and the TEC may contact references other than those provided in the application, if the RFA or APS state that it is allowed.

b. **No Requirement for Prior USAID Experience.** RFA and APS documents for the award of USAID assistance instruments may not require prior USAID experience.

c. **Gender issues.** USAID must address gender issues in all USAID-funded activities (see **ADS 201.3.11.6**). In RFAs (including those for Leader/Associate Awards) and APSs, the Agreement Officer must ensure that the RFA or APS:

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Integrates gender issues into the solicitation or includes a rationale for not addressing gender in the project or activity, in accordance with ADS **201.3.11.6**. When USAID directs applicants to incorporate gender issues into their applications, the RFA or APS must state the requirements in the different performance components, e.g., Program Description, key personnel qualifications, and monitoring and evaluation requirements.

- Integrates gender issues into the technical selection criteria (e.g., technical understanding and approach, monitoring and evaluation, personnel, etc.) that correspond to the performance requirements stated above, unless an approved rationale for not incorporating gender issues has been included in the RFA or APS.

If the program/project office provides the Agreement Officer with a procurement request for a program that does not include the requirements in ADS **201.3.11.6** for either integrating gender issues in the Program Description or the rationale for why gender is not an issue for the particular assistance program it intends to fund, then the Agreement Officer will notify the program/project office that he/she is unable to take any further action on the request until it meets one of these requirements.

For technical assistance and additional guidance, consult the USAID Mission/Office or Bureau gender specialist or the Office of Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GENDEV) in the Bureau for Economic Growth, Agriculture and Trade (EGAT).

d. Volunteers for Prosperity. **Executive Order 13317** requires that an applicant's use of highly-skilled U.S. volunteers be an evaluation factor in the selection of applications for assistance activities to be implemented abroad under the following initiatives:

- Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief,
- Digital Freedom Initiative,
- Water for the Poor Initiative,
- Trade for African Development and Enterprise Initiative,
- Middle East Partnership Initiative, and
- Other Presidential initiatives as identified in the future.

e. Environmental Concerns. The Activity Manager must ensure that the requirements in **22 CFR 216** for an environmental impact assessment have been

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

met, approved in writing by the relevant Bureau environmental officer, and are incorporated into the RFA, APS and award as necessary. When USAID directs applicants to address environmental concerns in an activity, the RFA or APS must state the requirement. **ADS 204** provides detailed guidance on environmental concerns, and **ADS 201**, **ADS 202**, and **ADS 203** provide guidance on incorporating **ADS 204** into the planning, achieving, and learning processes.

f. **Branding and Marking**. It is a Federal statutory and regulatory requirement (see **Section 641, Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended**, and **22 CFR 226.91**) that all overseas programs, projects, activities, public communications, and commodities that USAID partially or fully funds under an assistance award or sub-award must be appropriately marked with the USAID identity. Under **22 CFR 226.91**, USAID requires the submission of a Branding Strategy and a Marking Plan by the "apparently successful applicant." The apparently successful applicant's proposed Marking Plan may include a request for approval of one or more exceptions to the marking requirements in **22 CFR 226.91.** The AO evaluates the apparently successful applicant's Branding Strategy and Marking Plan (including any requests for exceptions) for approval, consistent with the provisions "Branding Strategy," "Marking Plan," contained in the Certifications, Assurances, Other Statement of the Recipient and Solicitation Standard Provisions, and "Marking and Public Communications Under USAID-funded Assistance" contained in the **Standard Provisions for U.S. Nonovernmental Recipients** and the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations**, **22 CFR 226.91**, and **ADS 320**.

Please note that in contrast to "exceptions" to marking requirements, waivers to these requirements based on circumstances in the host country must be approved by the cognizant Mission Director or other USAID principal officer [see **22 CFR 226.91(j)**]. Please contact OAA/Policy, General Counsel/Acquisition & Assistance, or USAID's Senior Advisor on Brand Management if you have any questions about the applicability of either **the Standard Provisions** or **22 CFR 226.91**.

303.3.6.4 Reviewing and Evaluating Applications

Effective Date: 04/25/2011

a. At least two people (three or more is preferable) will be appointed to serve on each TEC to evaluate applications. The TEC must evaluate the applications using the evaluation criteria stated in the Request For Applications (RFA). The TEC must keep selection information and applicant proprietary data confidential.

b. Committee members must possess the requisite technical knowledge or expertise to evaluate the technical merit of the applications. The Agency may make exceptions with the approval of the AO.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

c. When necessary, other Federal agencies and non-governmental evaluators may participate in reviewing and evaluating applications. USAID staff (direct-hire and contract employees) must comprise a majority of the members on the TEC.

d. The AO must take steps to ensure that members of the TEC, both USAID staff and outside evaluators, do not have conflicts of interest with the organizations whose applications are being reviewed. A conflict of interest includes situations when:

- A member of the TEC works for or has any other financial interest (including being an unpaid member of a Board of Directors) in the organization that submits an application for the committee's review,
- His or her spouse/partner or minor child works for or has any other financial interest (including being an unpaid member of a Board of Directors) in the organization that submits an application for the committee's review, and
- An organization or entity in which a TEC member serves as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee, has a financial interest in an application under the committee's review. This includes situations where the TEC member is negotiating for one of the positions noted in this bullet and is serving as an unpaid member of the organization or entity's Board of Directors.
- An employee of an external organization (e.g., technical advisors in AIDS, child survival, infectious diseases, population, basic education) participates in the review of a potential competitor's proposal, which allows him or her access to financial or other data that may be competitively useful to the reviewer's organization.

The DOT must make all efforts to identify potential conflicts early in the competitive process. Because resolution of conflicts of interest is fact-driven and case-specific, the DOT must coordinate with the AO and the cognizant General Counsel or Regional Legal Advisor on the issues.

e. When periodic reviews are specified, the Activity Manager collects the applications as they are received until the Agency can review and evaluate a reasonable number in accordance with the provisions of the announcement. If the DOT established a specific timeframe for the review of applications in the RFA, for example, quarterly reviews, and only a small number of applications was received during that time, the DOT may consider the received applications to be a reasonable number. The TEC may also include applications it received in response to earlier notices in a periodic review.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

f. For Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) programs, the OFDA director may authorize selection of a sole application received, if he or she determines that it is not advisable to wait for more applications. The Activity Manager must provide a copy of such an authorization to the AO.

g. When evaluating applications against a criterion related to gender issues, the Activity Manager must coordinate with the Bureau for Economic Growth, Agriculture, and Trade, Office of Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (EGAT/GENDEV).

h. If an unsolicited application reasonably fits an existing program, the DOT may include the application in a relevant competition under an RFA or APS. If it does not, an exception to competition under **303.3.6.5** is necessary before USAID can issue an award to the applicant.

i. The same individuals should review all applications for each specific award. If this is not possible or if there are established procedures for review by separate committees, the Activity Manager must document the reason and the procedure and submit it to the AO for the agreement files.

j. The AO provides the TEC with a written evaluation plan that specifies the criteria and methodology for evaluating the applications consistent with the information published in the RFA or APS.

k. The TEC must prepare a written evaluation of each application and compare it against the established criteria.

l. If USAID did not assign numerical values to the evaluation criteria, then the comparison will be in the form of a narrative. The narrative will detail each application's strengths and weaknesses relative to the evaluation criteria. If the RFA does not indicate the relative importance of the evaluation criteria, then all evaluation criteria are equally weighted.

m. If USAID assigns numerical values to the criteria, the evaluation must include a discussion of the numerical scoring and a narrative explaining each application's strengths and weaknesses when compared to the evaluation criteria. The TEC must also include a discussion of its review procedure. The Activity Manager then must forward the written evaluation to the AO, who must place a copy in the agreement file.

n. AOs must ensure that the solicitation, selection, award, and administration of USAID grants and cooperative agreements follow all the requirements and guidance of **22 CFR 205, Participation By Religious Organizations In USAID Programs** (see **ADS 303.3.28**).

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.6.5 When Competition Is Not Required

Effective Date: 01/09/2012

In the context of this chapter, full competition refers to instances in which USAID posts an assistance solicitation, typically via a Request for Applications (RFA) or an Annual Program Statement (APS), with no limitations on eligibility for applicants. Other than full competition actions, as described in this chapter, refer to any assistance actions resulting from other than full competition, most typically for sole source awards (including follow-on awards), extensions, or in the limited competition context, such as local competitions or limited competitions for efficiency.

Under certain circumstances, USAID does not require competition as indicated below in a., b., and c. of this paragraph. These situations are different from those in which an exception to competition requirements permits less than full competition, as discussed in ADS 303.3.6.6 below. The required documentation for an assistance action varies depending upon whether competition is required and whether there is an applicable exception that permits less than full competition.

Competition requirements do not apply and, therefore, no exception to competition or Justification for Exception to Competition (JEC) under ADS 303.3.6.6 is needed for an assistance action otherwise covered by this chapter for:

a. The issuance of associate awards made under a previously competed Leader with Associate Instrument,

b. Awards, extensions, or amendments using non-appropriated funds (including, but not limited to, monies or in-kind contributions from bilateral and multilateral development partners, host country governments, foundations and other private sector entities), and

c. Extensions to existing agreements under 22 CFR 226.25(e)(2).

To determine whether an action triggers competition requirements, Operating Units and AOs are encouraged to consult with the cognizant RLA or GC/A&A attorney.

*303.3.6.6 Exceptions to Competition

Effective Date: 07/03/2012

When competition is required, an other than full competition action may only be taken by an AO when an exception to competition applies and is documented in accordance with this section ADS 303.3.6.6.

a. Justifications for Exceptions to Competition (JEC)

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

The Activity Manager must prepare a justification for use of an exception to competition under this section ADS 303.3.6.6 using the **Justification for Exceptions to Competition (JEC) Template** and submit it for approval to the AO, unless otherwise specified below.

When an official other than the AO is required to approve a justification as set forth below, the Activity Manager must add the AO as a clearing official. When the Agency Competition Advocate (ACA), the Assistance Executive (AE), or the Administrator are required to clear or approve the justification, the Activity Manager must first obtain all other required clearances. To obtain ACA, AE, or Administrator clearance or approval, the Activity Manager must submit the justification to the **JEC@usaid.gov** mailbox.

Each justification must contain sufficient facts and rationale to justify the use of the specific exception cited. Requirements for the content of justifications and applicable clearances and approval authorities are determined by a combination of the following:

- The dollar threshold of the new award or amount of increase to an existing award (see paragraph 303.3.6.6 a.(1) below),
- The type of exception used (see paragraph 303.3.6.6 a.(2) below), and
- Whether the action is a follow on or extension (see paragraph 303.3.6.6 a.(3) below).

Exceptions to competition must not be justified on the basis of:

- Mobilization costs,
- Demobilization costs,
- Continuing relationship (except when sections **303.3.6.6 a.(2)(a) or (h)** apply),
- Lack of planning, or
- Concerns about amount of funds available, such as expiring funds.

(1) Dollar thresholds. Based on the dollar thresholds below, the following clearances and approvals are required for JECs. Additional clearances or alternate approvals may be required based on the requirements in **paragraphs a.(2) and a.(3)** of this section ADS 303.3.6.6.

(a) Other than full competition actions valued under $5 million. Unless otherwise provided in paragraphs a.(2)(a)-(i), the Activity Manager must submit a justification to the AO for all exceptions to competition for which a JEC is required under this section ADS 303.3.6.6 for new awards or extensions under $5 million.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

(b) Other than full competition actions valued at $5 million or more but less than $10 million. For all exceptions for which a JEC is required under this section ADS 303.3.6.6 for new awards at $5 million or more but less than $10 million, the cognizant Regional Legal Advisor (RLA) or General Counsel (GC) Section for Acquisition and Assistance (GC/A&A) attorney must clear the JEC before the Activity Manager submits it to the AO, or other clearing or approving official as required in **paragraphs a.(2)(a)-(i)**. In the case of follow-ons or **extensions for $5 million or more ($20 million for Critical Priority Countries (CPCs))**, in addition to the requirements of this paragraph, the requirements at **a.(3)** below also apply.

(c) Other than full competition actions valued at $10 million and above. For all exceptions to competition for which a JEC is required under this section ADS 303.3.6.6 for new awards valued at $10 million and above, the following individuals must clear the justification before the approving official may approve it:

- Cognizant RLA or GC/A&A attorney,
- Cognizant Mission Director (MD) or Assistant Administrator (AA), and
- Agency Competition Advocate (ACA).

These individuals may not delegate this clearance authority; however, individuals serving in those positions in an "acting" capacity also have the authority to clear the justification. **For extensions**, in addition to the requirements in this paragraph, the requirements of **a.(3)** below also apply.

(2) Exceptions to competition and requirements for authorizing their use

USAID permits other than full competition actions under the following exceptions to competition when a JEC is prepared in accordance with the requirements specified for the applicable exception and those in **paragraphs a.(1) and, if applicable, a.(3).** If the action is an extension or follow-on award of $5 million or more ($20 million for CPCs), the JEC must be approved by the Administrator under ADS 303.3.6.6 a.3.

(a) Exclusive or predominant capability

USAID may make other than full competition, including sole-source, awards when it considers a recipient to have exclusive or predominant capability based on one of the following criteria:

- Proprietary resources,

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Specialized facilities or technical expertise,
- An existing and unique relationship with the cooperating country or beneficiaries, or
- Participation in a Global Development Alliance, USAID's business model promoting public-private alliances as a central element of the Agency's strategic assessment, planning, and programming efforts.

This exception may not be used to continue an on-going relationship when the applicant developed the exclusive or predominant capability during performance of a USAID award, or when the previous award was made without competition using the small grants award exception.

When this exception is used, the Activity Manager must describe in detail the uniqueness of the proposed recipient and how it applies to the activity to be supported. The JEC must also describe what other options USAID explored.

(b) Small grants

USAID may issue other than full competition, including sole-source, awards with an estimated value each of $150,000 or less and a term of no more than one year.

(c) Limited competition for efficiency

USAID may limit competition to a selected group of applicants when it is necessary for sake of efficiency.

The ACA must clear and the AE must approve JECs citing this exception. The Activity Manager must describe in detail what other options USAID explored, including other exceptions and the multiple review alternative discussed at section **303.3.6.4 e.**, and why these alternatives were determined to be unacceptable.

(d) New entrants

For grants or cooperative agreements valued less than $5 million, USAID may limit competition to organizations that have received USAID direct assistance of less than $1,500,000 during the past three years in order to expand the number and sustainability of development partners.

(e) Congressionally mandated programs

USAID may award without competition when legislation includes a congressional earmark specifying that USAID make an award to a particular organization without competition.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

In the absence of legislation that specifies a particular awardee, USAID may use this exception only when Congressional intent for USAID to make an award to a particular recipient is demonstrated by specific language in either:

- Both House and Senate Committee Reports, or
- A Congressional Conference Report.

In these cases, both the cognizant attorney (either the Regional Legal Advisor (RLA) or the appropriate GC/W backstop attorney) and the Assistant Administrator (AA) for the Bureau for Legislative and Public Affairs (LPA) must confirm their agreement that Congress intended for USAID to make award to a particular recipient before the Activity Manager submits the justification for approval.

The Activity Manager must attach to the JEC a copy of the statute specifying the awardee, as well as any information supporting the planned activity as requested by the AO. In the absence of legislation specifying the awardee, the Activity Manager must provide cognizant GC/RLA and AA/LPA confirmation of Congressional intent with the justification.

(f) Critical objectives of the foreign assistance program

USAID may award without competition when it is critical to the objectives of the foreign assistance program. USAID may use this exception only when no other exception applies.

This exception is typically used for specific blanket justifications for a class of awards and for a particular purpose or period of time, for example, the Administrator-approved Expedited Procedures Package (EPP) for HIV/AIDS (see section **303.5**).

- **Individual justifications for a single other than full competition action**. The cognizant Assistant Administrator, or Office Director who reports directly to the Administrator, approves JECs citing this exception. The cognizant RLA or GC/A&A as well as the ACA must clear. The Activity Manager must explain the circumstances that require using the exception, discuss what other options USAID explored, and may not rely on any of the other exceptions.

- **Blanket justifications for a class of awards and for a particular purpose or period of time**. The cognizant Assistant Administrator, or Office Director who reports directly to the Administrator, may approve blanket JECs citing this exception. The cognizant RLA or GC/A&A attorney and the ACA must clear.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

Blanket JECs approved at levels below the Administrator are subject to the requirements in **paragraph a.(3)** of this section ADS 303.3.6.6; blanket JECs approved by the Administrator (under EPPs) are not subject to the requirements of **paragraph a.(3)** of this section ADS 303.3.6.6.

- **Transactions using the authority of blanket justifications**. In order to use the authority of a blanket JEC , the Activity Manager must prepare written documentation supporting use of the blanket JEC in accordance with any applicable policy and procedures specific to that blanket JEC (generally, such policy and procedures are issued in an AAPD or PEB). In the absence of such guidance, the Activity Manager will provide the memorandum to the AO for incorporation into the award file. Transactions using the authority of blanket JECs that were approved at levels below the Administrator are subject to the requirements in **paragraph a.(3)** of this section ADS 303.3.6.6.

(g) Unsolicited applications

Unsolicited applications are those submitted to USAID for an award by an applicant solely on his or her initiative, without prior formal or informal solicitation by USAID. USAID may make an award based on an unsolicited application, without the benefit of competition, when the application:

- Clearly demonstrates a unique, innovative, or proprietary program;
- Represents an appropriate use of USAID funds to support or stimulate a public purpose; and
- Fits within an existing Development Objective.

When the terms of an unsolicited application fit within the scope of a published and open Annual Program Statement (APS), the application may be considered under the APS. The unsolicited application is then considered to have been competed under the APS and no justification for an exception to competition is required.

This exception may not be used for non-competitive extensions to existing awards. A recipient‘s request to extend an ongoing relationship is not an unsolicited application. One of the other exceptions in this section ADS 303.3.6.6 must apply for the recipient’s request to be granted.

To use this exception to competition, the Activity Manager must first certify that USAID did not solicit the application and that it was submitted by the applicant solely on his or her own initiative.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

The JEC must address how the following additional issues warrant acceptance of the application without competition:

- In what way the application is unique, innovative, or proprietary;
- How funding the application is an appropriate use of USAID funds to support or stimulate a public purpose; and
- Describe how it fits within a Development Objective (DO).

See **Guide to USAID's Assistance Application Process and to Submitting Unsolicited Assistance** for further information.

(h) Follow-on awards and extensions

A follow-on award is a new award to an entity to perform activities that are the same as or substantially similar to those included in a recently expired previous agreement with the same entity. An extension is an amendment to increase the total estimated amount of an existing award, usually to provide additional activities within the program description, to extend the period of the award, or both. Also included in the definition of "follow-on" as used in this Chapter are awards or extensions that include new activities that are clearly logical expansions of or derived from activities previously performed by the same recipient.

USAID may award without competition follow-on grants or cooperative agreements or extensions to existing awards. When the follow-on awards or extensions are limited to no more than an increase in value of the amount of the original award of less than $5 million (an increase of less than $20 million for Critical Priority Countries (CPCs)), the requirements of ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(3) do not apply. Proposed follow-on awards and extensions above these amounts must be approved by the Administrator following the requirements in ADS 303.3.6.6.a.(3). For extensions or follow-ons less than $5 million ($20 million for CPCs), this authority must not be used to extend an award beyond 10 years of its original award date unless the JEC is approved by the cognizant Assistant Administrator, for U.S. organizations, or the Mission Director for non-U.S. organizations. For follow-on awards or extensions of $5 million or more, this authority must not be used to extend an award beyond 10 years of its original award date unless the JEC is approved by the Administrator. The follow-on exception must not be used to continue a relationship with a recipient that received an award based on the small grant award exception.

When this exception is used, the Activity Manager must justify with specificity why the benefits of continuing the assistance activity with the same recipient exceeds the benefits of a competitive process encouraged by law and required by Agency policy. For extensions or awards of $5 million or more using this authority, the ACA must clear

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

the JEC. For extensions or awards of less than $5 million, an informational copy of the JEC must be furnished to the ACA for reporting purposes only by sending it to the **JEC@usaid.gov** mailbox.

A JEC is not required if the amendment is for strictly administrative purposes, including:

i. Incremental funding actions,
ii. Changes which do not require:
   1. An increase to the total estimated amount of the award or
   2. A substantive change to the program description,
iii. A no-cost time extension, or
iv. An extension authorized under 22 CFR 226.25(e)(2).

(i) Science and technology and innovation

USAID may award without competition new or follow-on awards, or amend existing awards in instances which the Agency determines that a promising science and technology concept or innovative solution exists to tackle a pressing development challenge.

For purposes of this exception, innovation means significant, not incremental, improvements in development impact and the process that translates knowledge into economic growth and social well-being. For purposes of this exception, science means the process of generating knowledge based on evidence, including the systematic study of the nature and behavior of the material and physical universe, based on observation, experiment, and measurement, and the formulation of laws to describe these facts in general terms. For purposes of this exception, technology means the application scientific knowledge to practical problems, including the tools, processes, and techniques, created by such application of knowledge.

To use this exception to competition, the Activity Manager must document with specificity that the proposed award or extension is for science and technology or innovation activities, and the benefits to the Agency of foregoing competition exceed the benefits to the Agency of a competitive process. PPL/ST, or its successor organization, must clear all science and technology JECs using this exception and IDEA, or its successor organization, must clear all innovation JECs using this exception. RLA or GC clearance by the backstop attorney for the requiring office is only required when the follow-on or extension for $5 million or more. When used for extensions or follow-on awards for $5 million or more ($20 million for CPCs), the requirements of ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(3) also apply.

(3) Follow-on awards and extensions for $5 million or more

The Administrator must approve the JEC when the action is:

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

a. a **non-competitive follow-on award** of $5 million or more ($20 million or more for CPC countries) using any of the authorities in **ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(2)**;

b. a **non-competitive extension** that increases the total estimated amount of a current award by of $5 million or more ($20 million or more for CPC countries) and uses any of the authorities in **ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(2)**.

The Activity Manager must obtain the clearances below before the Administrator approves the justification. Additional clearances may be required based on **paragraphs a.(1) and a.(2)** above.

The Activity Manager must obtain clearances from the following individuals, in the below order, before the Administrator will approve a JEC under any exception in this section ADS 303.3.6.6:

- Agreement Officer
- Cognizant RLA or GC/A&A,
- Cognizant MD or AA of the Bureau having programmatic responsibility (the AA may not re-delegate this authority),
- ACA, and
- AE.

The Activity Manager must submit the JEC for the Administrator's approval at least six months before the anticipated award or amendment date in order to allow sufficient time for competition in the event the JEC is not cleared.

The requirements of this paragraph ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(3) do not apply to:

- New non-competitive awards using any of the authorities in **ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(2)**, other than **303.3.6.6 a.(2)(h)**, that do not include any of the same or substantially similar activities as those funded under an existing or preceding USAID award,
- Awards made to public international organizations (PIOs) or bilateral development partners,
- Awards relying upon statutory notwithstanding authority,
- Humanitarian and disaster assistance activities (OFDA),
- Transition Initiative activities (OTI),
- Food emergency activities (Food for Peace),
- Competitions limited to local entities,
- Changes due to budget revisions when competitive procedures are not applicable,

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Awards made under Administrator-approved blanket justifications for exception to competition for an approved class of awards, for example, EPP for HIV/AIDS Expedited Procedures Package, or
- Non-appropriated funding (e.g., gifts received by USAID from bilateral and multilateral donors, host governments, or the private sector).

All disputes not resolved by the AE will be taken to the Board for Acquisition and Assistance Review (BAAR). The AE will present the proposed action to the BAAR for guidance as to whether the proposed action should be submitted to the Administrator as proposed or be restructured in order to enhance competition.

b. Other Exceptions to Competition

The following actions are exceptions to full competition but do not require the preparation of a JEC.

(1) Emergency and disaster assistance

USAID may award without competition new or follow-on awards, or amend existing awards, for disaster relief, rehabilitation or reconstruction assistance provided under section 491 of the Foreign Assistance Act and for emergency food aid under Title II of the Food for Peace Act without competition following the written determination that competition is impracticable by the Director of the Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance or the Director of the Office of Food for Peace for awards within their respective areas of responsibility on an award-by-award or disaster-by-disaster basis. Following such a determination, no other requirements of this section ADS 303.3.6.6 apply.

(2) Local competition

USAID may limit competition to local or regional (indigenous) organizations. If a competition is limited to local or regional organizations, U.S. organizations may not compete for the award unless the program is re-advertised to provide all U.S. organizations with a fair opportunity to compete.

USAID does not require a JEC where local competition for assistance is described in the Activity Approval Document (AAD), or its successor document in ADS 201. AADs or their successor documents in ADS 201 may be amended to authorize local competition using this exception.

(3) Transition Awards

USAID may award without competition when awarding to a local organization that has been a sub-recipient under a USAID prime award (the “initial award”) and all of the following conditions have been met:

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- The direct award does not exceed 5 years in duration and the total estimated amount is less than $5 million ($20 million for CPCs);
- The sub-recipient is a local organization;
- USAID's intent that the initial award recipient would develop the capacity of the sub-recipients so that the sub-recipients would be eligible to receive a direct award from USAID or other donors in the future is clearly stated in the acquisition or assistance solicitation for the initial award; and
- The initial award contains:
  - Criteria for the prime recipient to identify and qualify a sub-recipient for a direct award,
  - Procedures for making the direct award, and
  - A timeframe for when the direct award will be considered, including the limits of USAID funding of the initial award for activities of the sub-recipient.

Awards under this exception are at the discretion of the Agreement Officer.

Awards under this exception must not be extended unless the Mission justifies the extension using the exception in ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(2)(f) ("Critical objectives of the foreign assistance program") and, if applicable, ADS 303.3.6.6 a.(3).

For existing awards, amendment of the initial award may be necessary to conform with the above before direct awards to sub-recipients without competition may be made. Such amendments must comply with all other legal and policy requirements and may only be made after an individual authorized to approve the activity under ADS 201.3.11.17 approves a memorandum confirming that the program description of the initial award intended that the initial award recipient would develop the capacity of the sub-recipients so that they would be eligible to receive a direct award from USAID or other donors in the future.

303.3.6.7 Late or Incomplete Submissions

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

USAID may review and consider late or incomplete Request For Applications (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS) award applications if:

- USAID's treatment of the material is consistent with the terms of the RFA or APS,
- All late applications are treated the same, and
- They are evaluated before any agreements are awarded under the RFA or APS.

The AO consents in writing to the review of late or incomplete applications.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.7 The Award Decision
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The AO's decision to fund or not to fund an award is final and not subject to review. Any information that may impact the AO's decision must be directed to the AO.

303.3.7.1 Notification
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

a. Only the AO may notify the apparently successful awardee that they are being further considered. It is essential that no one on the evaluation team make any commitment, expressed or implied, to the selected applicant. Only the AO is authorized to make a commitment on behalf of USAID.

b. USAID must individually notify each applicant in writing on the success of its application. Once USAID decides which applicant the Agency will consider for award, the AO or the Activity Manager (if authority is delegated by the AO) must notify all unsuccessful applicants that they will not be considered further and briefly explain why USAID did not select their application. The letters must garner the approval of the AO before the Activity Manager may send them.

303.3.7.2 Request for Additional Information or Debriefings
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Within 10 working days after an applicant receives notice that USAID will not fund its application, the unsuccessful applicant may send a written request for additional information to the USAID Bureau/Independent Office (B/IO) that issued the Request For Applications (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS).

USAID's responses must be limited to the Agency's interest in supporting the applicant's program as described in the application. In general, comparing one application to another is neither advisable nor helpful to the applicant. The Agency encourages the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) chair and the AO to give additional information that would be useful to the applicant in preparing future applications.

Debriefings may be provided at the discretion of the AO. USAID may respond orally, in writing, or electronically. If responding orally, the AO or the technical representative from the B/IO must make a written summary of the response for the agreement file. The AO must be present at and should lead any oral debriefing. If responding in writing, staff members must obtain the AO's approval for any written communication before it is sent. The cognizant B/IO has 30 days to respond to the request or inform the applicant that more time is necessary.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

If the applicant has questions about the program or about the technical evaluation, the TEC chair will provide constructive feedback that may assist the applicant when developing proposals in the future. The TEC chair explains the basis for the decision and the strengths and weaknesses of the technical application in terms of the published evaluation and review criteria. When the applicant has questions about process, accountability, and business considerations, the AO will respond. The TEC chair and the AO will jointly respond when the applicant raises both types of questions.

303.3.8 Pre-Award Certifications, Assurances, and Other Statements of the Recipient and Solicitation Standard Provisions

Effective Date: 03/12/2012

In addition to the certifications included in the **Standard Form 424**, the AO must obtain the following certifications, assurances, and other statements from both U.S. and non-U.S. organizations (except as specified below) before making an award and as otherwise required by the regulations listed in this section. The AO must also incorporate the solicitation standard provisions and provide links to the applicable award standard provisions in all solicitations.

The AO may choose to ask that the applicant submit the certifications either as part of the application or during negotiations. The AO should consider the administrative burden of requiring certifications as part of the application in light of potential delays in making the award while waiting for the certifications. The required certifications, assurances, and other statements are:

a. A signed copy of **Certifications and Assurances**, which includes:

1. **Assurance of Compliance with Laws and Regulations Governing Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Programs** (This assurance applies to Non-U.S. organizations, if any part of the program will be undertaken in the U.S.);
2. **Certification on Lobbying** (**22 CFR 227**);
3. **Prohibition on Assistance to Drug Traffickers for Covered Countries and Individuals** (**ADS 206**);
4. **Certification Regarding Terrorist Financing**; and
5. **Certification of Recipient**

b. Other certifications and statements found in **Certifications, Assurances, and Other Statements of the Recipient and Solicitation Standard Provisions**:

1. A signed copy of **Key Individual Certification Narcotics Offenses and Drug Trafficking,** (**ADS 206.3.10**) when applicable;

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

2. A signed copy of **Participant Certification Narcotics Offenses and Drug Trafficking** (**ADS 206.3.10**) when applicable;
3. The **Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants**;
4. Other Statements of Recipients.

c. The solicitation standard provisions that must be incorporated into the solicitations are:

1. Branding Strategy
2. Marking Plan
3. Conscience Clause Implementation (assistance) – solicitation provision

303.3.9 Pre-Award Responsibility Determination

Effective Date: 11/08/2010

The recommendation or selection of an application for award by an Activity Manager or a Technical Evaluation Committee, respectively, does not in any way guarantee the award. The AO makes the final determination on the award and must be fully satisfied that the applicant has the capacity to adequately perform on the award in accordance with the principles established by USAID and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Depending on the result of this responsibility determination, the AO may

- Make the award,
- Deny the recommendation of the Activity Manager and not create the award, or
- Award with "special award conditions" (**22 CFR 226.14** and **303.3.9.2**).

A positive responsibility determination means that the applicant possesses or has the ability to obtain the necessary management competence to plan and carry out the assistance program to be funded, and that the applicant will practice mutually agreed upon methods of accountability for funds and other assets provided by USAID.

Note that while an organization's past performance on USAID awards should be a factor in determining its responsibility, a history of receiving grants from USAID does not guarantee that an organization is responsible. Changes in personnel, accounting practices, or financial status may affect an organization's performance on a new award. The AO must also verify that the applicant has a record of business integrity and does not appear on the **Excluded Parties List System** (EPLS) or the **Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) and Blocked Persons List**. Before adding incremental funding, the AO must again verify that the applicant does not appear on these lists.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

The AO addresses the responsibility of the prospective recipient in the Negotiation Memorandum (See **PEB 2005-12**, only available on the intranet). When awarding a FOG, the following are to be used instead of those specified in this section:

- The procedures in **303.3.25**,
- The additional help document, **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations,** and
- The mandatory reference, **Fixed Obligation Grant (FOG) Entity Eligibility Checklist.**

For all other assistance awards, the following is specific guidance by category for making the determination of responsibility:

CATEGORY A: U.S. ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RECIPIENTS OR CONTRACTORS UNDER USAID OR OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT (USG) ACQUISITION OR ASSISTANCE INSTRUMENTS

When a U.S. organization has been previously determined responsible by USAID or another USG Agency, the AO may rely on:

- An **A-133** or similar audit maintained by M/OAA/Contract Audit and Support Division, Contract Audit Management;
- A signed copy of the statutory and regulatory certifications in **303.3.8;**
- The quality of the applicant's past performance on similar USAID projects, including compliance with the terms and conditions of the funding agreement, as evaluated by the DOT in accordance with **303.3.6.3**; or
- Other information as necessary, including formal pre-award surveys (see **303.3.8**, **303.3.5.2**, **303.3.9.1** and **303.3.25**).

CATEGORY B: NON-U.S. ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RECIPIENTS OR CONTRACTORS UNDER USAID OR OTHER U.S. GOVERNMENT (USG) ACQUISITION OR ASSISTANCE INSTRUMENTS

To make a responsibility determination for a non-U.S. organization that has been previously determined responsible by USAID or another USG Agency, the AO may rely on:

- Audits performed in accordance with **ADS 591.3.4.2**;
- A signed copy of the applicable statutory and regulatory certifications in **303.3.8**;
- The quality of the applicant's past performance on similar USAID projects, including compliance with the terms and conditions of the funding agreement, as evaluated by the DOT in accordance with **303.3.6.3**; or

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Other information as necessary and appropriate, including pre-award surveys, (see **303.3.8, 303.3.5.2**, **303.3.9.1** and **303.3.25**).

CATEGORY C: ORGANIZATIONS NEW TO USAID OR ORGANIZATIONS WITH OUTSTANDING AUDIT FINDINGS

If a criteria found in **303.3.9.1**, applies to the selected or recommended applicant, the AO must perform a survey in conformance with that provision before making a responsibility determination. The survey must be considered when making the determination. At a minimum, the determination for such an applicant will be based on the same considerations as in categories **a** or **b** of this section, depending on whether it is a U.S. or non-U.S. organization. Additionally, the AO must obtain the following information from these applicants, when appropriate:

- Copies of audited financial statements for the last three years, which a Certified Public Accountant or other auditor satisfactory to USAID has performed;
- Projected budget, cash flow, and organization charts; and
- Copies of applicable policies and procedures (e.g., accounting, purchasing, property management, personnel).

303.3.9.1 Pre-Award Surveys

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

a. Pre-Award Survey Requirements. For all assistance awards, if any of the following criteria apply, the AO must establish and convene a formal survey team to conduct an examination that will help inform the responsibility determination (see **303.3.9**):

(1) The AO or Activity Manager is uncertain about the prospective recipient's capacity to perform financially or technically.

(2) The prospective recipient has never had a USAID grant, cooperative agreement, or contract. This requirement does not apply to awards of Fixed Obligation Grants.

(3) The prospective recipient has not received an award from any Federal agency within the last five years. This requirement does not apply to awards of Fixed Obligation Grants.

(4) The AO has knowledge of deficiencies in the applicant's annual audit (**OMB Circular A-133** or equivalent).

(5) The AO determines it to be in the best interest of the U.S. Government.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

b. Contents of the Pre-Award Survey. The survey team examines the applicant's systems to determine whether the prospective recipient has the necessary organization, experience, accounting and operational controls, and technical skills in order to achieve the objectives of the program, or whether special award conditions will be needed.

(1) For a U.S. organization, the AO must ensure that the applicant can meet the requirements of the award, including **22 CFR 226**, **OMB Circular A-133**, and the applicable cost principles. The AO or the AO's representative must conduct a detailed analysis that addresses whether:

i. The applicant's accounting, recordkeeping, and overall financial management systems meet the standards in **22 CFR 226.20 - 226.28 and 22 CFR 226.50 - 226.53**.

ii. The applicant's system of internal controls is reasonable in accordance with applicable cost principles. This includes the segregation of duties, handling of cash, contracting procedures, and personnel and travel policies.

iii. The applicant's property management system, if applicable, meets the property standards in **22 CFR 226.30 - 226.37**.

iv. The applicant meets the requirements in **OMB Circular A-133** for the administration and monitoring of sub-awards.

v. The applicant's procurement system, if procurement is significant to the award, meets the standards set forth in **22 CFR 226.40 - 226.49**.

(2) For a non-U.S. organization, the AO must ensure that the applicant can meet the requirements of the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations** in determining whether a potential non-U.S. recipient is responsible. For local non-U.S. organizations, the pre-award survey team must either use the **Non-U.S. Organization Pre-Award Survey (NUPAS)** or conduct a detailed analysis in accordance with locally-established or award-specific criteria that achieve the same objectives as the **NUPAS**. For additional guidance regarding local non-U.S. organizations pre-award surveys, responsibility determinations, and special award conditions, see **NUPAS Guidelines and Support**.

(3) For awards of Fixed Obligation Grants (FOGs), the AO must use the **Fixed Obligation Grant (FOG) Entity Eligibility Checklist** to ensure the intended recipient will be able to comply with the **Standard Provisions for Fixed Obligation Grants to Nongovernmental Organizations**.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

c. Composition of the Pre-Award Survey Team. When assembling a formal survey team, the AO must ensure appropriate expertise.

(1) A typical team consists of:

i. A Development Objective Team member,
ii. The AO, or the AO's representative,

iii. A financial officer from the Bureau for Management, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, or the Mission or regional controller's office, and

iv. A representative from M/OAA/Contract Audit and Support Division, Contract Audit Management Branch (for Washington-based awards), if possible.

(2) Depending on the capacity of the Operating Unit to assemble the above team, the survey may be conducted in whole or in part by a local accounting firm approved by the cognizant Regional Inspector General as long as the Scope of Work for such work includes conflict of interest restrictions prohibiting the firm from providing capacity development to entities it surveys.

(3) The survey team reviews the applicant's systems against the standards discussed in paragraph b of this section and submits its findings to the AO for review, consideration, and the responsibility determination.

d. Making the Responsibility Determination. Before the award of any grant or cooperative agreement, the AO must:

(1) Review the proposed project description and budget to ensure that they adequately describe the objectives of the project, the activities funded by USAID that will achieve the objectives, and a monitoring system to measure the project's progress. The Activity Manager advises the AO on the professional and technical experience and competence of the applicant and the conformity of the application to USAID criteria.

(2) Make a written final determination of the applicant's responsibility in the Memorandum of Negotiation. If affirmative, the AO must state in the memorandum that the applicant:

i. Has adequate financial resources or the ability to obtain such resources, as required during the performance of the award.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

ii. Has the ability to meet the award terms and conditions, considering all existing prospective recipient commitments, both non-governmental and governmental.

iii. Has a satisfactory record of performance. Generally, relevant unsatisfactory performance in the past is enough to justify a finding of non-responsibility, unless there is clear evidence of subsequent satisfactory performance or the applicant has taken adequate corrective measures to assure that it will be able to perform its functions satisfactorily.

iv. Has a satisfactory record of business integrity.

v. Is otherwise qualified to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.

303.3.9.2 Special Award Conditions

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

It is the AO's responsibility to minimize the risk to USAID posed by high risk organizations. When the AO makes an award, he or she may consider requiring any of the following additional conditions:

a. Special award conditions, such as more detailed or more frequent financial reports, and

b. Technical assistance to the recipient. When the AO determines that more Federal oversight is necessary, the AO may

- Issue a contract to a third party to provide technical assistance to the recipient;
- Require the recipient to contract for technical assistance; or
- Have USAID staff provide technical assistance directly to the recipient.

c. U.S. NGO recipients

If, after conducting a comprehensive review of a potential recipient, the AO is unable to make a positive responsibility determination, the AO may either deny the Activity Manager's recommendation and not make the award or award with "special award conditions" (high risk) (see **22 CFR 226.14**). The AO, however, may consider this choice only if it appears likely that the potential recipient can correct its deficiencies within a reasonable period of time. Because regulation authorizes "special award conditions," a deviation is not needed.

d. Non-US NGO Recipients

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

Because **22 CFR 226** is not legally applicable to awards to non-U.S. organizations, as a matter of USAID policy, the standards in this ADS section 303.3.9.2 d. must be used for making awards to non-U.S. recipients with special award conditions (SACs). Where deficiencies or weaknesses are identified by the pre-award survey under 303.3.9.1 b.(2) and cannot be corrected prior to award, the AO may make an award upon an affirmative responsibility determination that is contingent upon one or more SAC in the award. Such SACs must mitigate the risks posed by the deficiencies or weaknesses identified by the NUPAS and require the recipient to correct the reported deficiencies. SACs for non-US recipients may be of limited duration or may cover the entire period of the award. For additional guidance on special award conditions for non-US recipients, see the **NUPAS Guidelines and Support.** Additionally, when SACs are used with non-US recipients, the following requirements apply:

(1) The SAC must contain language subjecting the recipient to a follow-up review/survey within a reasonable time after award to verify compliance with the SAC;
(2) The continuation of award performance must be predicated on the recipient correcting the reported inadequacies within the timeframe identified in the award;
(3) The Negotiation Memorandum must document in a Risk Analysis section the rationale for including each SAC;
(4) The SAC must specify:
    i. The nature of the additional requirements,
    ii. The nature of the corrective action needed,
    iii. The time allowed for completing the corrective actions; and
(5) The cognizant Operating Unit must develop and carry out a plan for monitoring and supporting the recipient in satisfying the SAC.

Whenever possible, special award conditions must be for as limited a time period as necessary to mitigate the identified risks and not for the life of the award.

For SACs that do not cover the entire award period, once the SAC has been satisfied and its conditions have been fully met, the Agreement Officer must remove the SAC via written modification to the award. For SACs that cover the entire period of the award, the SAC must clearly state that it covers the entire period of the award or must identify clearly the timeframe(s) or milestones at which the inclusion of the SAC may be reconsidered, amended or removed.

303.3.10 Cost Share

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

Cost share refers to the resources a recipient contributes to the total cost of an agreement. Cost share becomes a condition of an award when it is part of the approved award budget. The cost share must be verifiable from the recipient's records; for U.S. organizations it is subject to the requirements of **22 CFR 226.23**, and for non-

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

U.S. organizations it is subject to the Standard Provision, "Cost Share"; and can be audited. If a recipient does not meet its cost share requirement, the AO may apply the difference in actual cost share amount from the agreed upon amount to reduce the amount of USAID funding for the following funding period, require the recipient to refund the difference to USAID when this award expires or is terminated, or reduce the amount of cost share required under the award.

303.3.10.1 Cost Share Determination

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Although there is no general legislative requirement that recipients of grants or cooperative agreements must cost share, cost sharing is an important element of the USAID-recipient relationship. When used, its application should be flexible, case-specific, and used to support or contribute to the achievement of results. USAID should use cost sharing after considering whether it is appropriate for the recipient organization in the particular circumstances, in particular, the programmatic and technical context. There is no set formula for cost sharing. There is not a suggested numeric reference point. Cost sharing should be based on the needs or purpose of the activity. Examples of when cost-sharing may be appropriate include:

- When there is a programmatic rationale for cost sharing, such as helping to ensure that the recipient will build its organizational capacity for mobilizing resource. For example, when building fundraising capability is an objective of an activity, it would be appropriate to require the recipient to meet specific private financing targets as a condition of USAID funding.
- When it is critical that the activity continues after USAID assistance ends, cost sharing requirements can ensure that the recipient establishes adequate alternate sources of funding.
- When an award supports an activity initiated by the recipient or an unsolicited proposal. Because most USAID funding is reserved for development priorities the Agency has already established, only limited funding may be available for even the best of other programs. USAID may only be able to partially fund these other activities.
- To otherwise give the recipient a financial stake in the success of a program.

In all of these cases, the DOT should discuss the amount and terms of cost sharing with potential recipients prior to award (see **Legal and Policy Considerations When Involving Partners and Customers on Strategic Objective Teams and Other Consultations** and **Guidance on Consultation and Avoidance of Unfair Competitive Advantage**).

The Activity Manager determines the appropriate cost share for individual grants and cooperative agreements. The Activity Manager must include this determination in the

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

financial analysis of the program prior to issuance of a Request for Applications (RFA) or an Annual Program Statement (APS). In the case of competitive awards, the Activity Manager is encouraged to communicate with a broad range of potential applicants regarding appropriate cost sharing prior to issuance of the RFA or APS. Even after USAID issues an RFA or APS, it may be appropriate to consider special circumstances and change the cost share requirement. In the case of noncompetitive awards, the Activity Manager may wish to discuss or negotiate the cost share with the applicant, especially those who submit unsolicited applications.

USAID may not use a set formula in determining the level of cost share. The Activity Manager should take several considerations into account when making cost share decisions. For example, it might be difficult for a recipient to meet a cost share requirement during an activity with a short timeframe. A specific program may be risky and discourages potential recipients from providing meaningful contributions. Competition may be limited to indigenous organizations with limited resources.

The Activity Manager must write a memorandum to the AO documenting the factors that he or she considered when determining the amount of cost share.

USAID may require cost sharing regardless of the type of organization, whether non-profit (U.S. and international private voluntary organizations, local nongovernmental organizations, universities, foundations, and others) or commercial organizations, including for-profit businesses. In the case of a non-U.S. recipient, it is important to be flexible when establishing cost sharing requirements.

If an activity generates a profit, USAID should consider the best uses of program income. In accordance with **22 CFR 226.24** and the approval of the AO, USAID may use program income to finance the non-Federal cost share of an award. USAID may also make the program income additive to USAID's contribution without a cost sharing requirement when this would help achieve program objectives, such as sustainability.

303.3.10.2 Cost Sharing and Leveraging

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Leveraging represents all of the non-USAID resources that are expected to be applied to a program. It may include cost share, but may also include resources that third-parties bring to the program without necessarily providing them to the recipient. These parties may include the host government, private foundations, businesses, or individuals. The recipient is not responsible for any leveraging in excess of the agreed-upon cost share.

For more information regarding leveraging and its application to Global Development Alliance (GDA) activities, please refer to the **GDA home page** (available only to those with access to the USAID internal Web site) and **USAID Global Partnerships**.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.10.3 Cost Sharing in RFAs and APS
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

If USAID makes a determination to require cost sharing in a competitive award, it must state the requirements in the announcement. If USAID issues an announcement [Request for Applications (RFA) or Annual Program Statement (APS)] and then the Activity Manager decides that the amount of cost share required should be changed, the Activity Manager must provide an adequate justification and request the AO to amend the RFA or APS document, as applicable. Applications that do not meet the minimum cost share requirement, when applicable, are not eligible for award consideration.

Cost sharing, when required, is encompassed in cost effectiveness, a required evaluation criterion in all competitive awards (see **303.3.6.3**). You should not create a separate evaluation criterion category for cost sharing because it is already included within cost-effectiveness. Note that excessive reliance on cost sharing as an evaluation factor may unfairly favor larger, better-funded organizations in a competition.

303.3.10.4 Meeting Cost Sharing Requirements
Effective Date: 06/18/2012

As part of the analysis of the applicant's proposed budget, the AO must review the applicant's proposed cost share contributions for cost realism. The AO must verify that the proposed contributions meet the standards set in **22 CFR 226.23** for U.S. organizations or the Standard Provision “Cost Share” for non-U.S. organizations. USAID does not apply its source and nationality requirements or the restricted goods provision established in the Standard Provision "USAID Eligibility Rules for Commodities and Services" to cost share contributions.

Cost sharing applies throughout the life of an agreement, and the AOR should monitor the recipient's financial reports to ensure that the recipient is making progress toward meeting the required cost share. If it appears that the recipient is not making adequate progress, the AOR must bring this to the attention of the AO. The AO then must initiate discussions with the recipient to resolve the issue. The AO has the authority to reduce the amount of USAID incremental funding in the following funding period or to reduce the amount of the agreement by the difference between the expended amount and what the recipient agreed to provide. If the award has expired or been terminated, the AO may request the recipient to refund the difference to USAID.

In-kind contributions are allowable as cost share in accordance with **OMB Circular A-110** and **22 CFR 226.23**.for U.S. organizations and in accordance with the Standard Provision, “Cost Share” for non-U.S. organizations. This includes things such as volunteer time; valuation of donated supplies, equipment, and other property; and use of unrecovered indirect costs.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

303.3.11 Substantial Involvement and Cooperative Agreements

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

USAID substantial involvement in awards is limited to cooperative agreements. USAID cannot be substantially involved in grants. When making an award, the AO must select, in accordance with **ADS 304**, either a grant or a cooperative agreement as the appropriate implementing instrument.

In determining whether an award will need substantial USAID involvement, the AO should not be looking at a single factor or proposed involvement. The AO should instead evaluate the type of overall relationship expected between USAID and the recipient.

Office of Management and Budget policy on substantial involvement proscribes that agencies "should limit their involvement in assisted activities to the minimum consistent with program requirements." Therefore, the AO must be satisfied that USAID's proposed involvement in an assistance activity is reasonable and necessary. Anticipated substantial USAID involvement is a relative, rather than an absolute concept. USAID must always have some involvement in assistance awards, e.g., monitoring performance, reviewing reports, or providing approvals required by **22 CFR 226.25** and **22 CFR 226.91**. But these are not instances of substantial involvement.

Substantial involvement is defined by the following elements at **303.3.11 a – d**, unless USAID authorizes a deviation in accordance with **303.3.4**. The DOT must describe this substantial involvement in the cooperative agreement if the DOT anticipates such Agency involvement during the performance of an award. The DOT must consult with the AO and describe USAID's interests so that the award adequately reflects the level of USAID's necessary planned involvement. Furthermore, USAID must directly and specifically tie each substantial involvement to an activity in the program description to the AO's satisfaction.

On behalf of the Agency, an AOR will substantially be involved in the administration of a cooperative agreement to help the recipient achieve the agreement objectives. The AO may delegate the approvals listed in items **a - d** below to the AOR, except for authority to change the program description and the approved budget. Only the AO may approve such changes after review by the AOR.

ELEMENTS OF SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT

a. Approval of the Recipient's Implementation Plans

If at the time of award, the program description does not establish a timeline in sufficient detail for the planned achievement of milestones or outputs, USAID may delay approval of the recipient's implementation plan for a later date. USAID will not require approval of implementation plans more often than

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

annually. If the AO has delegated authority to the AOR to approve implementation plans, the AOR should review the agreement's terms and conditions to ensure that he or she does not inadvertently approve a change to them.

b. Approval of Specified Key Personnel

USAID may designate as key personnel only those positions that are essential to the successful implementation of an award. USAID's policy limits this to a reasonable number of positions, generally no more than five positions or five percent of recipient employees working under the award, whichever is greater.

c. Agency and Recipient Collaboration or Joint Participation

When the recipient's successful accomplishment of program objectives would benefit from USAID's technical knowledge, the AO may authorize the collaboration or joint participation of USAID and the recipient on the program. There should be sufficient reason for Agency involvement and the involvement should be specifically tailored to support identified elements in the program description. When these conditions are met, the AO may include appropriate levels of substantial involvement such as the following:

(1) Collaborative involvement in selection of advisory committee members, if the program will establish an advisory committee that provides advice to the recipient. USAID may participate as a member of this committee as well. Advisory committees must only deal with programmatic or technical issues and not routine administrative matters.

(2) Concurrence on the substantive provisions of sub-awards. **22 CFR 226.25** already requires the recipient to obtain the AO's prior approval for the sub-award, transfer, or contracting out of any work under an award. This is generally limited to approving work by a third party under the agreement. If USAID wishes to reserve any further approval rights for sub-awards or contracts, it must clearly spell out such Agency involvement in the substantial involvement provision of the agreement.

(3) Approval of the recipient's monitoring and evaluation plans.

(4) Monitor to authorize specified kinds of direction or redirection because of interrelationships with other projects. All such activities must be included in the program description, negotiated in the budget, and made part of the award.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

d. Agency Authority to Immediately Halt a Construction Activity

USAID may include the statement "The AO may immediately halt a construction activity if identified specifications are not met." In such cases, the AO must also attach the identified specifications to the award when it is being executed. Any material changes to the specifications must be treated as an amendment to the award.

303.3.12 Negotiation of the Award

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

a. Review of Proposed Award Budget (Cost Analysis). The AO must review the applicant's proposal to ensure that costs, including cost sharing, are in compliance with Office of Management and Budget and USAID policies. The recipient must justify in advance the proposed costs for each element of the program. If the recipient expects to earn program income during the award period, the schedule of the award must specifically state how the income will be applied. (The definition of program income is located in **22 CFR 226.2** and income application suggestions can be found in **22 CFR 226.24**.)

When performing the cost analysis, the AO obtains cost breakdowns; verifies cost data; evaluates specific elements of costs; and, analyzes data to determine the necessity, reasonableness, and allowability of the costs reflected in the budget, and the allowability of the costs under the applicable cost principles. The cost analysis helps the AO determine:

(1) The extent of the prospective recipient's understanding of the financial aspects of the program and the recipient's ability to perform the grant activities within the amount requested;

(2) Whether the applicant's plans will achieve the program objectives with reasonable economy and efficiency; and

(3) Any special conditions relating to costs that are in the award.

The AO determines the detail of the cost analysis based on the nature of the program, the amount and type of costs involved, and past experience with the applicant.

The AO may ask M/OAA/Contract Audit and Support Division (CAS) for help with the cost analysis. M/OAA/CAS could address whether a prospective recipient's proposal is fair and reasonable for the program proposed, and provide an evaluation of whether the proposal is consistent with the Agency's cost accounting policies, procedures, and practices. This includes ensuring that the recipient's indirect cost rates are consistent with any Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreements. The AO must negotiate with the applicant to resolve any

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

issues related to proposed costs that do not comply with USAID policies before award can be made.

b. Memorandum of Negotiation. The AO must document the negotiation process for a new assistance agreement or a modification of an existing agreement, along with other pre-award determinations in a Memorandum of Negotiation. The Memorandum must include a cost analysis. Guidelines for filing the Memorandum can be found in **PEB 2005-6** (available on the USAID intranet only)**.**

303.3.13 The Award Process and Elements of an Award

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

It is USAID's policy to award a grant or cooperative agreement to support a specific or separate program. The AO must ensure that an award clearly defines the activities that will make up the program that USAID will support. The program description must clearly identify the purpose of the program, contain an implementation plan that specifically identifies each element of the program, and specify the duration of the assistance instrument.

The Branding Strategy and Marking Plan must meet regulatory and USAID policy requirements. All representations and certifications must be complete and current. The AO must ensure that the Agency's role in administration is limited to measuring and evaluating the recipient's progress and any appropriate involvement. The Agency and its representatives will not control or try to control the recipient's or any sub-recipient's day-to-day management of the program.

The AO must ensure that all of the elements of a legally binding agreement are present. These are:

- Competent parties,
- Proper subject matter,
- Sufficient consideration,
- Mutual understanding, and
- Agreement on the terms of the assistance instrument.

All "special award conditions" – such as branding requirements, cost sharing, environmental procedures required by **22 CFR 216**, and pre-award representations and certifications– must be met. All elements of the award – including items in the schedule such as period of performance, award amount, place of performance, and program description – must clearly and coherently express the specific understandings of both parties. The program description must have clearly established goals that are:

- Realistic,

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Measurable, and
- Represent the highest objective that the recipient can expect to achieve and for which it will be held accountable.

The AO must ensure that the award complies with applicable OMB circulars, all CFRs and Executive Orders in the mandatory references **(303.4.1),** the Standard Provisions, other ADS chapters, and other USAID guidance, as appropriate.

To ensure the recipient's agreement and a valid obligation, the AO must include in the award all mandatory standard provisions, determine which "Required As Applicable" standard provisions must be included in the award using the guidance found in Applicability Statements of each "Required As Applicable" standard provision, and include only those "Required As Applicable" standard provisions intended to be a term and condition of the award. The AO must not include in the award any "Required As Applicable" standard provisions that do not apply to the award. Including "Required As Applicable" standard provisions that are not intended to be terms and conditions of the award is inherently ambiguous. When terms and conditions not intended to apply to the award are included in the award, the recipient's definitive agreement cannot be obtained, and a valid obligation cannot be made.

The AO must advise the DOT to check **ADS 540** when drafting the "Program Reporting" language in the schedule of the award for detailed guidance on the submission of copies of reports and other information to USAID's Development Experience Clearinghouse (DEC) (see **ADS 540.2, Primary Responsibilities,** which describes the role of the AO in supporting the Agency's strategic plan to manage for results).

The schedule of the award may require detailed programmatic information under the "Program Reporting" section**.** The Activity Manager, along with the AO, describes, with as much specificity as possible, the programmatic information to be produced and the timing of its submission to the DEC.

The types and frequency of financial and programmatic reports are strictly limited to those detailed in **22 CFR 226**. Imposing the same reporting requirement on ten or more recipients may have Paperwork Reduction Act, **(5 CFR 1320)**, implications. The AO should contact General Counsel or the cognizant Regional Legal Advisor for guidance. Also, the schedule may require output or unit cost data (see **22 CFR 226.51(d)(1)**).

The AO's signature serves to obligate funds under a grant or cooperative agreement (see **ADS 621.3.4b**), as long as the AO accepts the recipient's application without substantial modification or negotiates any changes to the proposal with the applicant. The AO will provide a copy of the grant or cooperative agreement to the recipient to ensure it agrees with the terms and conditions of the award. If the AO makes any substantive change to the program description or the budget or adds terms to the agreement that were not addressed during negotiations, such as a Substantial

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

Involvement Understanding or "special award conditions" (see **22 CFR 226.14** and **ADS 303.3.9.2** and **303.3.11**), the AO must obtain the applicant's agreement to the changes or additional terms before obligating funds.

Section 635(h) of the Foreign Assistance Act states that a grant or cooperative agreement may not run at any time for more than five years. This means that any current period of performance may not be for more than five years. As long as this is the case, USAID may extend the agreement. For example, after the completion of the first year, subject to competition requirements, USAID may extend the award for one more year. Alternatively, prior to the end date of the award, it may be extended for up to five years, subject to the competition requirements in **303.3.6.5**.

303.3.14 Designation of the Agreement Officer's Representative (AOR)

Effective Date: 10/01/2011

An Agreement Officer's Representative (AOR) assists in the technical monitoring or administration of an award. The AOR role is a designation that is specific to a particular individual for the specified award. This authority is independent of any other roles, responsibilities, and duties the designee may fulfill in his or her technical capacity.

The AO must designate the AOR for each grant or cooperative agreement in accordance with the policy directives and required **Agreement Officer's Technical Representatives Appointment Procedures** of this chapter. The AO must appoint the AOR (and alternate if applicable) as early in the award process as practical. The AO must use the AOR designation letter to define the scope of authority of the AOR to carry out grant or cooperative agreement administration duties which would otherwise be the AO's responsibility.

a. Eligibility and Appointment

In order to be eligible for designation as an AOR or an alternate AOR, the appointee must:

- Have a direct employee – employer relationship with the U.S. Government that allows him or her to perform inherently governmental functions. AOR eligibility is not based on the program which brought the individual into the Agency, (e.g. Fellows or Participating Agency Service Agreements (PASA), but on the individual's employment status). They must work for USAID as a(n):
    - Direct-hire employee,
    - Employee of another U.S. Government agency through an interagency agreement or on detail, or
    - PSC (U.S. citizen, Foreign Service National or Third Country National).

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Be certified prior to designation through the Agency's mandatory training and certification program requirements specified in paragraph “b” of this section.

- Possess experience commensurate with the responsibilities to be delegated.

b. Certification and Training Requirements

The Office of Human Resources, Training and Education (OHR/TE), in coordination with M/OAA, established a Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR)/AOR certification program. The program provides Agreement Officer’s Technical Representatives and their alternates with the basic knowledge and skills they need to effectively perform their role. Specific certification requirements are outlined in **ADS 458.3.5.5 (b)** and include successful completion of:

- Web-based Phoenix Accruals online course, and

- A&A 104: Acquisition and Assistance Management for CORs and AORs.

AORs must maintain their certification through completion of 40 hours of continuous learning every two years.

Exceptions

(1) In exceptional circumstances, the Office of Acquisition and Assistance, Evaluation Division, may authorize the designation of an uncertified individual as an AOR for a grant or cooperative agreement at USAID/W for a period of up to six months, or the Mission Director may do the same in the field. If a nominating office requests approval of such an AOR designation, the request must include

- A description of the compelling circumstances requiring the exception,
- Affirmation that the individual has completed the Phoenix Accruals online course, and
- Confirmation from the individual’s supervisor that the individual will complete AOR certification within six months.

Extension of the exception beyond six months can only be approved by the Director of M/OAA. The exception may not last more than one year in total.

(2) The following individuals may be designated as AORs without completion of the COR/AOR certification program:

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Warranted Contracting/Agreement Officers,
- Procurement Management Certification Program certified individuals, and
- Those possessing a current Federal Acquisition Certification in Contracting (FAC-C).

However, they must still complete the Web-based Phoenix Accruals online course before they are designated as AORs.

c. Issuing the AOR Designation Letter.

AOs must use the Standardized Designation Letter to appoint an AOR to perform award administrative responsibilities and authorities. The standardized letter is provided in **Agreement Officer's Technical Representatives Appointment Procedures**. AOs must use the standardized letter essentially as written, but some tailoring of the letter is acceptable as long as it does not affect the substance.

*The AO may also designate an Alternate AOR to perform AOR duties during the AOR's absence. The alternate must meet the AOR certification requirements and be appointed by designation letter, preferably the same designation letter as the AOR. The AOR cannot further delegate the authority designated to him or her by the AO. If neither the AOR nor the alternate are available to perform their duties, the AOR must direct the recipient to the AO for guidance.

By issuing the designation letter, the AO confirms that he or she has verified the appointee's eligibility, including his or her certification status.

d. Rescission/Expiration of an AOR Designation Letter.

The AOR designation is effective for the duration of the award unless otherwise specified or rescinded. AOs have the authority to rescind a designation letter at any time if the individual's performance as an AOR is unsatisfactory. For example, if the individual fails to perform his or her duties and responsibilities or if the individual exceeds his or her authority as specified in the designation letter, the AO may exercise this rescission authority. Specific examples of circumstances that might warrant rescinding an AOR designation include the AOR's failure to adequately monitor the available funding on an award or authorizing recipient actions without proper authority.

AOs must rescind a designation letter and appoint a new AOR if the AOR:

- Is assigned to a new post or position unrelated to the contract, and

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Has not completed the certification program within six months of his or her designation (only applicable to those AORs who have an exception to the certification policy), unless the Director of M/OAA authorizes an extension. If such an AOR has not completed the certification program within one year of his or her designation, the AO must rescind the designation letter with no further exception.

e. Documentation and Monitoring

The AO must ensure that the AOR designation is up to date in Global Acquisition and Assistance System (GLAAS) and must maintain the signed designation letter in the contract file. AOs must designate the AOR as early in the award process as is practical and may not finalize an award until after they have signed the AOR designation letter and received the signed AOR acknowledgement.

303.3.15 Congressional Award Notification System

Effective Date: 02/20/2009

The Congressional Award Notification System requires the AO to notify the Bureau for Legislative and Public Affairs (LPA) when they make certain awards to U.S. organizations.

a. AOs must follow the notification procedures in **Congressional Award Notification**. This requires the AO to notify LPA AFTER signing but BEFORE releasing, or allowing to be released, an announcement of the awards listed below. This restriction on announcing the award applies to notifying the awardee that the award has been signed. For further details, see Section II, Other Considerations of that same document.

b. Types of awards that require notification include the following:

(1) Awards of any value to an organization that has not previously received an award from USAID.

(2) Any award with which there was Congressional correspondence during the pre-award stage. LPA will alert the AO that such correspondence has occurred, and the AO will flag the award as falling under these notification criteria and procedures.

(3) Any award for a particular program or geographic region that the operating unit or LPA identifies as being of particular interest to Congress, the Agency, or the general public; for example, earthquake relief in Haiti or the international HIV/AIDS program. LPA will coordinate with its liaison in the operating unit to identify

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

these awards and will alert the operating unit, the AO, or both of them at the earliest opportunity before the award decision.

(4) Grants, cooperative agreements, and any amendment so them with a total estimated cost (TEC) or TEC increase of US $500,000 or more, respectively. Modifications providing only incremental funding (and involving no increase to the contract TEC) are not included.

303.3.16 Distribution of Awards

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Generally, distribution of awards is at the discretion of the AO. At the minimum, a copy of the agreement document and all modifications to the award must be part of the official award file and provided to the:

- Recipient,
- AOR,
- Office responsible for payment under the agreement, and
- Accounting station, if it is different than the office responsible for payment.

If payment is by letter of credit, the AO must immediately send a copy of the award and any modification to the Bureau for Management, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Cash Management and Payment Division (M/CFO/CMP). Because the funds are obligated when the AO signs the award, the AO should not obtain the recipient's signature before sending the award to M/CFO/CMP. The AO must provide M/CFO/CMP with the signed award letter or modification cover page, accounting and appropriation data, and the schedule. The AO may send a scanned copy by e-mail at the FM/CMP Letter of Credit Activity mailbox or a copy faxed to LOC Unit, M/CFO/CMP at (202) 216-3234. The AO must send the award or modification to M/CFO/CMP within 10 business days after signing it.

303.3.17 Award Administration

Effective Date: 08/14/2008

Award administration includes all interactions concerning the award between USAID officials and the recipient from the time the award is made until the end of USAID support. The specific nature and extent of award administration varies from award to award in the normal exercise of Federal responsibilities. It ranges from reviewing and analyzing performance reports and performing site visits to specific elements of substantial involvement by USAID under a cooperative agreement.

a. Administrative Duties. The AOR and the AO share in the oversight of an assistance award. It is essential that they work as a team in order to administer

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

the grant or cooperative agreement effectively. While there is a clear division of responsibility between the functions, AO and AOR functions are closely related and cannot be performed in isolation from each other.

The AO provides oversight of the financial management aspects of the award through reviews of reports, correspondence, site visits, or other appropriate means. When necessary, the AO will request or arrange for special audits. The AO is responsible for all award suspensions and terminations, except for national interest terminations, which the Assistant Administrator has authority over.

The AO should give a post-award orientation to the recipient and AOR to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the USAID officials who will administer the award. If the AO delegates specific authority to the AOR, the AO must notify the recipient in writing of the delegation, either in the schedule of the award or a letter. The AO must ensure that the award does not include administrative approvals that are in conflict with the regulations and policies of **22 CFR 226**, the **Standard Provisions for U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations,** and the **Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations**. If the AOR becomes aware of any other administrative requirements levied on the recipient, the AOR must immediately notify the AO.

The AO is the records custodian for all official communication that constitutes a modification of the award. The AOR keeps the AO informed of the recipient's performance. The AOR must ensure that the AO receives copies of all performance and financial status reports, as appropriate. The AOR reviews all performance and financial reports for adequacy and responsiveness. When reports are not on time, inadequate, or some other problem becomes apparent, the AOR advises the AO to take the necessary action.

The AOR ensures the recipient submits reports or deliverables under the award to the DEC. The types of documentation the recipient must submit are found in **ADS 540.3.2.5, Documentation Grantees Submit to the Development Experience Clearinghouse (DEC)**. Documentation that is not considered development experience material is described in **ADS 540.3.2.6**, **Documentation Agency Contractors Must Not Submit**. **ADS 540.3.2.11**, **Where to Submit Development Experience Documentation**, provides the Web site and mailing address for submitting material.

When a modification to the award is necessary, the AOR prepares internal USAID documentation that supports the modification and meets the satisfaction of the AO.

b. **Site Visits.** Site visits are an important part of effective award management because they usually allow a more effective review of the project. Site visits occur as needed and do not take place on a set schedule. Joint visits by the AO

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

and the AOR are encouraged. When the AO or AOR makes a site visit, the AO or AOR must write a brief report highlighting his or her findings and put a copy in the official award file.

303.3.18 Unauthorized Commitments and Expenditures Requiring Prior Approvals

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

a. Unauthorized Commitments. When a USAID official, who does not have the authority to do so, acts in a way that leads a recipient or potential recipient acting in good faith to believe that USAID has committed to make a specific award; change the amount of an existing award; or, revise an existing award budget, program description, or any of the terms and conditions of the award, the official has made an unauthorized commitment. It is against U.S. Government and USAID policy to enter into unauthorized commitments.

When the AO believes that an unauthorized commitment should be ratified and recommends payment, and the cognizant General Counsel or Regional Legal Advisor concurs in the recommendation, the AO submits an action memorandum through the M/OAA/Evaluation Division to the Director, M/OAA, for ratification. The memorandum must show:

- Evidence that the grant would otherwise have been proper, if made by an appropriate AO;
- The AO reviewing the unauthorized commitment must determine the cost to be reasonable;
- The provided program has furthered USAID's objectives;
- The findings of facts essential to the situation, arranged chronologically with cross-references to supporting enclosures;
- The nature of the unauthorized commitment and funds citation;
- Funds are available and was available at the time the unauthorized commitment was made;
- The recommended disposition; and
- A written statement from the person who made the unauthorized commitment. This statement must detail how the mistake occurred, what training he or she has received from the AO on proper procedures since the incident, and what steps will be taken to prevent future unauthorized commitments.

Only the Director, M/OAA, has the authority to ratify unauthorized commitments.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

b. Expenditures Requiring Prior Approval. Recipients must comply with prior approval requirements that are established in the agreement. Failure to comply with prior approval requirements generally causes USAID to deem the costs unallowable.

When it is in the best interest of the Federal Government and funds are available, the AO may review the facts and circumstances of the expenditure made without prior approval and approve the expense if the

- Expenditures must be otherwise allocable, allowable, and reasonable.
- AO could have approved the expenditures at the time that they were made.
- AO has the authority to approve the same type of expenditure at the time of the request for approval.
- Approval promotes efficient implementation of USAID's program, or
- Facts and circumstances of the expenditure show that the recipient was not grossly negligent and did not intend to circumvent USAID requirements.

The recipient must submit a written request to the AO addressing the criteria set out above and the AO must make a written determination.

303.3.19 Controlling Language

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

It is USAID policy that English is the official language of all award documents because a translation may not convey the full meaning of the original. If an award or any supporting documents are provided in both English and a foreign language, each document must state that the English language version is the controlling version.

303.3.20 File Documentation

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Policy regarding the maintenance of the Agreement File may be found in the mandatory reference, **PEB 2005-06, File Standardization Pilot** (only available on the USAID intranet).

303.3.21 Sub-awards

Effective Date: 02/06/2012

a. Source and Nationality Rules

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

(1) **22 CFR 228** Rules on Procurement of Commodities and Services Financed by USAID Federal Program Funds is the regulation that governs the Source and Nationality of goods and services procured with USAID funds. This regulation applies to USAID-financed goods and services procured by a recipient and sub-recipients (see the provision entitled "USAID Eligibility Rules for Goods and Services" and Standard Provision for U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations and Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations). **22 CFR 228**.**02** provides those circumstances to which Source and Nationality rules do not apply.

(2) **22 CFR 228** Source and Nationality rules do not apply to award of sub-agreements.

b. Sub-awards to PIOs and Foreign Governmental Organizations

If appropriate, a recipient may enter into a sub-agreement with organizations that are not non-governmental organizations, including a Public International Organization (PIO) or a host country governmental organization. If it takes this action, the recipient may require the sub-recipient to comply with some of the terms and conditions that flow down from the prime recipient's agreement with USAID and not necessarily with the provisions that would apply to a USAID direct award to a PIO or foreign governmental organization. An approved deviation (**303.3.3**) is necessary before the recipient may deviate from the terms and conditions of its agreement. The AO will consult with the cognizant General Counsel or Regional Legal Advisor to determine the appropriate provisions for the sub-agreement.

303.3.22 The Role of the Agreement Officer in the Debt Collection Process

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The Federal Claims Collection Act of 1966 and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (DCIA) mandates that agencies comply with standard, Government-wide debt collection procedures and centralize the Government-wide collection of delinquent debt. For the process for recovering debt see **ADS 625, Administrative Accounts Receivable** or **AAPD 03-07 (Revised) – Instructions to Contracting Officers/Agreement Officers on their Role in the Debt Collection Process**.

303.3.23 Disputes and Appeals

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

22 CFR 226.90 and the **Standard Provision for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations** "Disputes and Appeals" establishes that the AO decides any dispute between the recipient and USAID arising under or related to an assistance award. The

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

AO's decision is final unless the recipient appeals the decision to the Assistance Executive. Appeals must be conducted in writing.

303.3.23.1 Disputes

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

The AO must give the recipient a written final decision within 60 calendar days of receiving notice from the recipient of a dispute. If the AO is not able to reach a final decision within that time, the AO must notify the recipient that he or she requires more time to consider the dispute. The AO must place a copy of the final decision in the award files.

303.3.23.2 Appeals

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

If the recipient is not satisfied with the AO's final decision, the recipient may appeal to the Assistance Executive within 30 days of receiving the final decision. The appeal must be in writing, and the recipient must provide a copy to the AO. If USAID has issued a Bill of Collection, the Bill of Collection is suspended pending resolution of the appeal.

The Assistance Executive forwards the appeal to M/OAA/Evaluation Division. M/OAA/E determines which other divisions within OAA review the appeal – usually M/OAA/Policy or M/OAA/Contract Audit and Support Division, or both. M/OAA/E asks the Office of General Counsel, Acquisition and Assistance (GC/A&A) and GC Litigation (GC/LE) for a review, as appropriate. In addition, M/OAA/E determines if other participants need to review the appeal, based on its dollar value and complexity. Within 60 days of receiving the appeal, the Assistance Executive, or, if a decision has not yet been made, M/OAA/E, must notify the recipient of the status (i.e., denied, approved, or more time is needed).

303.3.24 Simplified Grants

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

A deviation is not required from the requirements of **22 CFR 226** or the policies of this chapter in order to use a Simplified Grant for small awards on a cost reimbursement basis as described below. For FOGs, see **303.3.25**. Except as discussed in paragraphs **a** through **h** below, a grant using the Simplified Grant Format generally does not include any of the Standard Provisions used for USAID grants.

USAID may authorize advance payments if the conditions for advance payments in **ADS 636** and **22 CFR Part 226** Section C apply.

The AO may award a Simplified Grant, if the grant meets all of the following conditions:

a. The assistance instrument is a grant, not a cooperative agreement.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

b. The total grant amount does not exceed $150,000.

c. The recipient will not purchase any goods or services, except as authorized pursuant to **22 CFR 228** or ADS **310** or any applicable waivers, and the recipient will not purchase any single item that has a useful life over one year and a cost of $5,000 or more.

d. The recipient has signed pre-award certifications as required in **303.3.8**.

e. The grant requires the recipient to allow USAID access to its records for up to three years after the end date of the grant, and the recipient will refund USAID for any funds it receives for any costs that did not meet the terms and conditions of the grant.

f. USAID will reimburse only those direct costs that are identified in the grant text. The Simplified Grant Format is not authorized for use when the recipient has a negotiated indirect rate agreement with a U.S. Government Agency.

g. The AO may modify the Simplified Grant Format as long as the agreement includes the applicable Standard Provision for related costs in the small grant award, and if the support of international travel or the purchase of equipment is necessary for performance of the grant.

h. The grant must be included in any audit required by **OMB A-133** or **ADS 591.3.2.**

303.3.25 Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

When USAID awards a grant to support a program with very specific elements, the FOG format allows performance without monitoring the actual costs incurred by the recipient. The FOG is appropriate for supporting specific projects when the AO is confident that a reasonable estimate of the actual cost of the overall effort can be established and USAID can define accomplishment of the purpose of the grant through defined milestones.

It is essential that the AO have sufficient cost information to allow for negotiation of a reasonable estimate of the actual cost of the overall effort, so that the U.S. Government does not pay more than the reasonable value for the completion of the grant.

Because payments under FOGs are based on the achievement of milestones, the structure of the payments is very important. USAID pays the recipient a set amount when it accomplishes a milestone. The AO may follow the guidance in **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations** for structuring the grant milestones to provide the recipient with the necessary financial liquidity for the performance of the activity.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

USAID may also authorize advance payments when recipients meet the conditions for advance payments in **ADS 636, 22 CFR Part 226 Section C**, and if providing liquidity through an initial financing milestone is not sufficient to meet implementation requirements.

The procedures in this ADS section, **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations**, and **FOG Entity Eligibility Checklist** are to be used in lieu of those specified in **303.3.9**. The criteria in the section of the Checklist for pre-award financial review for advances, adequately address the conditions for advances in **ADS 636** and **22 CFR 226 Section C**.

Grant closeout is accomplished by the AOR's acceptance of the final milestone and approval of payment.

A prime recipient may use FOGs in subgrants when the same standards for their use are met. In those cases, the prime recipient is substituted for USAID in these policies and procedures. Prime recipients must report on the use of FOGs.

a. Factors for Determining the Use of a FOG

In order for an AO to use a FOG, the following conditions apply:

(1) The assistance instrument is a grant, not a cooperative agreement.

(2) The AO and technical office have complied with the requirements of the **FOG Entity Eligibility Checklist,** including ensuring that the recipient has signed pre-award certifications, as specified in **ADS 303.3.8**.

(3) The prospective recipient, technical office, and AO must be able to identify and quantify programmatic accomplishments or results in establishing grant milestones. For further guidance, see **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations**.

(4) The AO, with the advice of the technical office and controller, must assess the risk factors that could prevent the proposed recipient from completing the activity or require a substantial change in the milestones. The operating unit should not use the FOG mechanism if there is an unacceptably high risk of failure or substantial changes in the milestones are expected as the recipient implements its program. The AO and technical office must document the risk assessment and may use the guidance in **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations.**

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

(5) Adequate cost information must be available to allow the AO to determine and negotiate the fixed amount of the grant and payment structure. The fixed amount should include all reasonable costs, as determined by the AO. For further guidance concerning the cost information required and payment structuring, see **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations** and the **FOG Entity Eligibility Checklist**.

(6) The AO must document the rationale for selecting the FOG mechanism.

(7) For U.S. NGOs, the total amount of the FOG must not exceed $150,000. For Non-U.S. NGOs, the total value for each year of the FOG must not exceed $500,000. For both U.S. and Non-U.S. NGO recipients, the duration of the FOG must not exceed three years.

(8) The FOG must not include the purchase of any real property.

b. Required Provisions for a Fixed Obligation Grant

(1) The AO must ensure that a FOG award includes all of the Mandatory Provisions from the **Standard Provisions for Fixed Obligation Grants to Nongovernmental Organizations**. In addition, the AO must ensure the FOG award includes ONLY the applicable "Required, As Applicable" provisions from the **Standard Provisions for Fixed Obligation Grants to Nongovernmental Organizations**.

(2) The AO must use the **Sample Fixed Obligation Grant** as a template.

c. Amending Milestones

The AO may amend milestones during the period of the grant, if the original milestones are no longer feasible or appropriate due to circumstances beyond the control of the recipient, and if the amended milestones are compatible with and satisfy the original purpose of the grant. The AO may terminate the grant if he/she concludes that multiple or substantial amendments indicate that continuing the grant is no longer in the best interests of the Agency. For additional guidance, see **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations**.

d. Disposition of Equipment or Property

Recipients must not procure real property under a FOG. Real property means land, including land improvements, structures and appurtenances thereto, but excludes movable machinery and equipment. Personal property is any tangible or intangible property other than real property.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

Depending on the activities funded and milestones established by the FOG, a recipient may procure equipment or personal property in order to accomplish a milestone. The recipient may not procure real property under a FOG. The distinction between whether purchase of the equipment or personal property is a milestone or is one possible means by which the recipient may accomplish a milestone is important for certain aspects of the award. Unless a milestone is itself the purchase of the equipment or personal property, milestones must not list equipment or personal property a recipient may potentially purchase to accomplish the milestone, but the costs of such equipment or personal property may be included in the budget from which milestone payment amounts are estimated and negotiated. Regardless of whether the equipment or personal property is listed in or as a milestone, the FOG must state that title to the equipment or personal property vests in the recipient upon acquisition with the condition that the recipient must use the equipment or personal property for the grant as long as it is needed for such.

If a milestone under a FOG requires the recipient to procure equipment or personal property, and such requirement is specifically provided in the milestone, then:

(1) The grant must require that the purchase be in accordance with **22 CFR 228**, ADS **310**, ADS **312** and any applicable waivers,

(2) The "Required, As Applicable" provisions from the **Standard Provisions for Fixed Obligation Grants to Nongovernmental Organizations** applicable to the purchase of the equipment or personal property must be included in the FOG award, and

(3) The grant must include disposition instructions for the equipment or property. For additional guidance, see **Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations**.

303.3.26 Leader/Associate Awards

Effective Date: 11/18/2011

A Leader/Associate Award involves the issuance of an award that covers a specified worldwide activity. The Leader Award includes language that allows a Mission or other office to award a separate grant to the Leader Award recipient without additional competition and which supports a distinct local or regional activity that fits within the terms and scope of the Leader Award. This is called an Associate Award.

Leader/Associate Awards should not to be confused with Indefinite Quantity Contracts (IQC) that are used in acquisition or any of the procedures used under an IQC. As examples, a Leader Award cannot be made without a program description and a budget with sufficient funds to carry out that program, and Associate Awards are not made using fair opportunity procedures.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

a. Competition of Leader Award

The AO or Activity Manager must issue a Request For Applications (RFA) for every Leader/Associate Award program, following the procedures in **303.3.5**. These arrangements may not be based on unsolicited applications or waivers of competition, unless a deviation is authorized in accordance with the requirements of **303.3.4**. The RFA must state that the competition covers both the initial Leader Award and all subsequent Associate Awards and include an estimate of the total grant amount, again including all Associate Awards.

The funding announcement for the Leader/Associate assistance program must include an estimate of the dollar amount of anticipated Associate Awards; however, it is not necessary to amend the Leader Award if the amount of Associate awards exceeds the estimate. The Leader Award and each Associate Award must specify the total award amount for that instrument. Likewise, the RFA will state that there is no guarantee regarding of the number or amount of each Associate Award.

Leader and Associate Awards are separately obligated instruments. Associate Award estimates included in the Leader Award do not suggest that funds obligated under a Leader Award can be moved to an Associate Award without a deobligation of those funds.

Once USAID selects a recipient pursuant to a Leader/Associate Award program RFA, it does not require any further competition or waiver of competition for any Associate Award issued within the terms of the Leader RFA. The AO's determination of the responsibility of the recipient for the Leader Award applies to Associate awards. However, before awarding an Associate Award, the AO must verify that the applicant does not appear on the **Excluded Parties List System**. The AO for the Associate Award should not require additional documentation concerning the recipient's financial or other management systems, unless there is reason to believe that the recipient does not meet the criteria in **303.3.9**.

The AO must obtain the required certifications (see **303.3.8**) prior to the execution of the Leader Award. Before the AO may award an Associate Award, the recipient must affirm that those certifications remain valid or provide new certifications (see **Affirmation of Certifications**).

USAID may issue more than one Leader Award for a program under the RFA if the Bureau determines that it is appropriate. However, USAID must not compete Associate Awards among the different recipients of the Leader Awards.

The AO has the discretion to issue one or more Associate Awards to a Leader Award recipient or to issue more than one Associate Award under different Leader Awards for a program. When a Leader Award recipient works with a

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

consortium or sub-recipients, any Associate Awards under the Leader Award must be issued to the Leader Award recipient, not to any of the consortium or sub-recipient members. The recipient of the Leader Award may make sub-awards as necessary for the program. The Leader Award recipient must provide a programmatic report to the AOR that summarizes activities undertaken, progress made/results achieved, trends, or problems under both the Leader Award and all Associate Awards. The report may be provided either semi-annually or annually.

b. Length of Award

Normally, a Leader Award is for five years. An award may be extended up to cumulative of ten years for purposes of the Leader Award activities using a justification based on **303.3.6.5a.** Associate Awards may be issued until the Leader Award expires for up to an initial five years. Associate Awards may then be extended for a cumulative term of up to ten years, but not more than five years beyond the expiration of the Leader Award. A Leader or Associate Award may not be extended more than five years into the future at any given time.

USAID does not fund activities under the Leader Award after its expiration date.

After completion of the activities under the Leader Award, if funds are available, the AOR may request that the recipient provides a report on lessons learned.

c. Procedures for issuing an Associate Award

After the AO awards the Leader Award, the AO sends a copy of the award to all Missions, along with any necessary guidance or instructions regarding issuance of Associate Awards. AOs responsible for the program of the activity, within the limits of their authority, may issue Associate Awards. Leader Awards are also posted on the USAID Business and Acquisition and Assistance Intranet site (**http://inside.usaid.gov/M/OAA/lwa/index.html**).

Before requesting the AO to issue an Associate Award, the Activity Manager must consult with the AOR of the Leader Award. After receiving the AOR's concurrence, the Activity Manager asks the Leader Award recipient to provide an application. The request includes a background statement; a discussion of the area of activity; host country involvement; funding; any period limitation; and, description of why the activity falls under the Leader Award. The application for an Associate Award contains a specific program description and budget.

An SF-424 is not required. The Activity Manager reviews the application and provides his or her comments to the AO responsible for the Associate Award. The AO issuing the Associate Award must ensure that the Associate Award is within the scope of the Leader Award when reviewing the recipient's proposed

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

costs and negotiating the final award. The AO has the decision authority whether or not to award the Associate Award.

An Associate Award may be a grant or a cooperative agreement, independent of whether the Leader Award is a grant or cooperative agreement. An Associate cooperative agreement must specify the terms of any substantial involvement. Such substantial involvement must be consistent with the requirements of **303.3.11** and the program description for the particular Associate Award. The Associate Award will use the standard grant or cooperative agreement award format.

The AO must include appropriate language in the schedule of the Associate Award, requiring the recipient to provide copies of all program and financial reports to the AOR with copies of all programmatic reports to the AOR of the Leader Award. The schedule of the Associate Award must also specify:

- The Authorized Geographic Code for procurement, if it is different from the Leader Award;
- Any cost sharing requirements; and
- Any additional standard provisions, such as Title to and Care of Property (Cooperating Country), that are not included in the Leader Award, but are necessary to the Associate Award**.**

d. File Documentation

The AO's file documentation for Associate Awards must include

1. A copy of the Activity Manager's consultation with the AOR for the Leader Award;
2. The request for the recipient's application;
3. The recipient's application with affirmation of certifications;
4. A memorandum of negotiation, including a cost analysis; and
5. A copy of the final Associate Award (a copy must be sent to the AOR for the Leader Award) and a copy of the Leader Award.

303.3.27 Global Development Alliance (GDA)

Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Global Development Alliance (GDA) awards provide resource leverage (see **303.3.10**) from sources outside USAID. The Activity Manager should consult closely with the

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

GDA Office, the Assistance Executive, the General Counsel or Regional Legal Advisor when developing the program description for these types of awards. Additional guidance may be found at the **GDA Web site** (available only to those with access to the USAID internal Web site) and in **AAPD 04-16, Public-Private Alliance Guidelines and Collaboration Agreement**.

303.3.28 Participation Of Faith-Based And Community Organizations

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

a. In accordance with **Executive Order 13279, Equal Protection for the Laws for Faith-Based and Community Organizations**, and **22 CFR 205, Participation by Religious Organizations in USAID Programs**, the following principles guide USAID on the participation of faith-based and community organizations in USAID-funded programs:

(1) The Federal Government must distribute Federal financial assistance for social service programs in the most effective and efficient manner possible (see **Executive Order 13279**).

(2) All eligible organizations, including faith-based and other community organizations, must be able to compete on an equal footing for Federal financial assistance used to support social service programs and participate in any program for which they are eligible.

(1) USAID, and organizations that make and administer sub-awards of USAID funds, must not discriminate for or against an organization on the basis of the organization's religious character or affiliation [see **22 CFR 205.1(a)**]. USAID must not disqualify religious organizations from participating in USAID's programs because such organizations are motivated or influenced by religious faith to provide social services (see **22 CFR 205.1(f)**). Additionally, when limiting competition using the local competition exception (**ADS 303.3.6.5e**), USAID must not limit competition solely to either faith-based or secular organizations.

(2) All organizations, whether religious or secular, that participate in USAID programs must carry out eligible activities in accordance with all program requirements and other applicable requirements governing the conduct of USAID-funded activities, including those prohibiting the use of direct financial assistance from USAID to engage in inherently religious activities (see **22 CFR 205.1(f)**).

a. Faith-based organizations may not be required, as a condition of Federal assistance, to sacrifice their independence, autonomy, expression, or religious character. A faith-based organization that

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

applies for or participates in a USAID program retains its independence and may continue to carry out its mission, including the definition, development, practice, and expression of its religious beliefs, provided that it does not use direct Federal financial assistance to support any inherently religious activity, such as worship, religious instruction, or proselytizing. Among other things, faith-based organizations may use their facilities to provide social services supported by USAID, without removing or altering religious art, icons, scriptures, or other symbols from these facilities. In addition, a faith-based organization may retain religious terms in its name, select its board members on a religious basis, and include religious references in its mission statements and other chartering or governing documents [see **22 CFR 205.1(c)**].

(3) Organizations that receive direct financial assistance under any USAID program may not engage in inherently religious activities as part of the programs or services thus funded. Inherently religious activities must be offered separately, in time or location, from the programs or services funded with direct financial assistance from USAID, and participation must be voluntary for beneficiaries of the programs or services funded with such assistance. These restrictions on inherently religious activities do not apply to programs where USAID funds are provided to chaplains to work with inmates in prisons, detention facilities, or community correction centers, or where USAID funds are provided to religious or other organizations for programs in prisons, detention facilities, or community correction centers, in which such organizations assist chaplains in carrying out their duties [see **22 CFR 205.1(b)**].

(4) USAID funds may not be used for the acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation of structures to the extent that those structures are used for inherently religious activities. USAID funds may be used for the acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation of structures only to the extent that those structures are used for conducting eligible activities under this part. Where a structure is used for both eligible and inherently religious activities, USAID funds may not exceed the cost of those portions of the acquisition, construction, or rehabilitation that are attributable to eligible activities in accordance with the cost accounting requirements applicable to USAID funds in this part. Sanctuaries, chapels, or other rooms that a USAID-funded religious congregation uses as its principal place of worship, however, are ineligible for USAID-funded improvements. Disposition of real property after the term of the grant, or any change in use of the property during the term of the grant, is

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

subject to Government-wide regulations governing real property disposition [see **22 CFR 205.1(d)**].

(5) An organization that participates in programs funded by financial assistance from USAID must not, in providing services, discriminate against a program beneficiary or potential program beneficiary on the basis of religion or religious belief [see **22 CFR 205.1(e)**].

(6) A religious organization's exemption from the Federal prohibition on employment discrimination on the basis of religion, set forth in Sec. 702(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000e–1, is not forfeited when the organization receives financial assistance from USAID [see **22 CFR 205.1(g)**].

(7) Solicitations that require organizations to have nonprofit status will specifically so indicate in the eligibility section of a solicitation [see **22 CFR 205.1(h)**]. In USAID programs in which an applicant must show that it is a nonprofit organization, other than programs which are limited to registered Private and Voluntary Organizations, the applicant may do so using any of the following:

- Proof that the Internal Revenue Service currently recognizes the applicant as an organization to which contributions are tax deductible under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code;

- A statement from a state taxing body or the state secretary of state certifying that the organization is a nonprofit organization operating within the state; and no part of its net earnings lawfully benefit any private shareholder or individual;

- A certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation or similar document that clearly establishes the nonprofit status of the applicant; or

- Any item described in paragraphs (b)(1) through (3) of this section if that item applies to a state or national parent organization, together with a statement by the state or parent organization that the applicant is a local nonprofit affiliate.

b. The Secretary of State may waive the requirements of this section in whole or in part, on a case-by-case basis, where the Secretary determines that such waiver is necessary to further the national security or foreign policy interests of the United States [see **22 CFR 205.1(i)**].

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

c. Survey On Ensuring Equal Opportunity For Applicants. All USAID Requests For Applications (RFA)'s must include the Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants as an attachment to the RFA package. Applicants under unsolicited applications must also be provided the survey.

(1) **Voluntary Completion and Submission.** AOs must include the survey in all RFA packages. However, applicants are not required to complete the survey, and completion of the survey must not be a requirement of the RFA. The absence of a completed survey in an application may not be a basis upon which the application is determined incomplete or non-responsive. Applicants who volunteer to complete and submit the survey under a competitive or noncompetitive action are instructed within the text of the survey to submit it as part of the application process.

(2) **Use of Survey Data and Processing/Disposition**. The information collected through the survey is intended for data collection purposes only.

(3) **Survey Distribution.** As a part of the award distribution process, after entering the required data, the AO must forward each completed survey to the following address:

Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives
A/AID, Rm. 3.9-023
U.S. Agency for International Development
Washington, D.C. 20523

d. Clarification Regarding Proper Implementation and Use Of Data

Executive Order 13279, Equal Protection for the Laws for Faith-Based and Community Organizations, and **22 CFR 205, Participation by Religious Organizations in USAID Programs**, call for equal opportunity for faith-based organizations. They do not provide for set-asides or evaluation preferences for faith-based organizations, and data collected is not to be used for purposes of funding decisions. The AO must not:

- Provide for set-asides, reservations, or evaluation preferences for faith-based organizations in RFAs.

- Include participation of faith-based organizations as a specific evaluation factor or requirement for award. It may, however, be referred to as an example of one of the many types of organizations whose participation could potentially enhance the quality and impact of development assistance programs.

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Provide completed surveys to the technical evaluation committee for evaluation along with the technical portion of the applications.

e. AOs must ensure that the Mandatory Standard Provision, "Equal Participation by Faith-Based Organizations (June 2012)," is included in all solicitations and awards (see **ADS 303.4.2**).

303.4 MANDATORY REFERENCES

303.4.1 External Mandatory References

Effective Date: 02/15/2012

a. **5 CFR 1320, Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public**

b. **22 CFR 203, Registration of Private Voluntary Organizations (PVOs)**

c. **22 CFR 205, Participation by Religious Organizations in USAID Programs**

d. **2 CFR 780, Non Procurement Debarment and Suspension**

e. **22 CFR 216, Environmental Procedures**

f. **22 CFR 226, Administration of Assistance Awards to U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations**

g. **22 CFR 227, New Restrictions on Lobbying**

h. **22 CFR 228, Rules on Procurement of Commodities and Services Financed by USAID Federal Program Funds**

i. **31 USC 6301- 6308, Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act**

j. **Excluded Party List System**

k. **Executive Order 13279, Equal Protection of the Laws for Faith-Based and Community Organizations**

l. **Executive Order 13280, Responsibilities of the Department of Agriculture and the Agency for International Development With Respect to Faith-Based and Community Initiatives**.

m. **Executive Order 13317, Volunteers for Prosperity**

n. **Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended**

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

o. 2 CFR 220, Cost Principles for Educational Institutions

p. OMB Circular A-110, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Other Agreements With Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-Profit Organizations

q. 2 CFR 230, Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations

r. OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations

s. Paperwork Reduction Act

t. Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) and Blocked Persons List

303.4.2 Internal Mandatory References

Effective Date: 06/18/2012

a. ADS 103, Delegations of Authority

b. ADS 201, Planning

c. ADS 204, Environmental Procedures

d. ADS 206, Prohibition of Assistance to Drug Traffickers

e. ADS 216, USAID-Higher Education Community Partnership

f. ADS 260, Geographic Codes

g. ADS 304, Choice of Implementation Instrument

h. ADS 312, Eligibility of Commodities

i. ADS 458, Training and Career/Professional Development

j. ADS 540, USAID Development Experience Information and Reference Services

k. ADS 591, Financial Audits of USAID Contractors, Grantees, and Host Government Entities

l. ADS 625, Administrative Accounts Receivable

m. Affirmation of Certifications

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

n. Agreement Officer's Technical Representatives Appointment Procedures

o. A.I.D. Partnership in International Development with Private and Voluntary Organizations (Policy Paper)

p. Certifications, Assurances, Other Statements of the Recipient and Solicitation Standard Provisions

q. Contract Clause Guide for Unclassified Information System Security Systems and Services

r. Fixed Obligation Grant (FOG) Entity Eligibility Checklist

s. Guidance on Funding Foreign Government Delegations to International Conferences

t. Guide to USAID's Assistance Application Process and to Submitting Unsolicited Assistance Applications (only available on the USAID intranet.]

u. Justification for Exception to Competition (JEC) Template

v. PEB 2005-06, File Standardization Pilot (only available on the USAID intranet)

w. Policy Determination 21, Endowments Financed With Appropriated Funds

x. Procurement and Assistance Procedures for the HIV/AIDS and Infectious Disease Initiatives (Policy Notice only available on the USAID intranet)

y. Simplified Grant Format

z. Standard Provisions for an Endowment with Non-U.S., Nongovernmental Organizations

aa. Standard Provisions for an Endowment with U.S., Nongovernmental Organizations

ab. Standard Provisions for Non-U.S. Nongovernmental Organizations

ac. Standard Provisions for U.S., Nongovernmental Organizations

ad. Standard Provisions for Fixed Obligation Grants to Nongovernmental Organizations

ae. USAID Guidance on Congressional Award Notification System

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

af. USAID Policy Guidance on Posting Grant Opportunities and Application Packages on Grants.Gov

ag. Award Provisions Encouraging More Comprehensive Nondiscrimination Policies by USAID Contractors and Recipients

303.4.3 Mandatory Forms

Effective Date: 02/15/2012

a. SF-270, Request for Advance or Reimbursement

b. SF-271, Outlay Report and Request for Reimbursement for Construction Programs

c. SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance

d. SF-424a, Budget Information, Non-Construction Programs

e. SF-424b, Assurances, Non-Construction Programs

f. SF-425, Federal Financial Report

g. SF-425a, Federal Financial Report Attachment

303.5 ADDITIONAL HELP

Effective Date: 06/12/2012

a. Agreement Officer's Technical Representative (AOR) Designation – Grant Administration

b. Agreement Officer's Technical Representative (AOR) Designation – Cooperative Agreement Administration

c. Awarding Fixed Obligation Grants to Non-Governmental Organizations

d. Cross Reference Index

e. Guidance on Closeout Procedures for A&A Awards

f. Non-U.S. Organization Pre-Award Survey Guidelines and Support

g. Operational Security – General Information

h. Profit Under USAID Assistance Instruments

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

i. **Policy Paper, Women in Development**

j. **Procurement Reform Documentation Requirements for Non-Profit Recipients (self-certification)**

k. **Sample Action Memorandum for Deviation**

l. **Sample Award Format and Schedule**

m. **Sample Fixed Obligation Grant**

303.5.1 Optional Forms
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

a. **Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants**

303.6 DEFINITIONS
Effective Date: 06/01/2006

Agreement Officer (see also Contracting Officer)
A person with the authority to (1) enter into, administer, terminate, and close out assistance agreements, and (2) make related determinations and findings on behalf of USAID. An Agreement Officer may only act within the scope of a duly authorized warrant or other valid delegation of authority. The term "Agreement Officer" includes persons warranted as "Grant Officers." It also includes certain authorized representatives of the Agreement Officer acting within the limits of their authority as delegated by the Agreement Officer. (Chapters 303, 304)

assistance
Financial support to accomplish a public purpose, including grants, cooperative agreements and other agreements in the form of money, or property in lieu of money, by the Federal Government to an eligible recipient. The term does not include technical assistance, the provision of services instead of money; other assistance in the form of loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, or insurance; direct payments of any kind to individuals; or, contracts which are required to be entered into and administered under procurement laws and regulations. (Chapter 303, 304)

Assistance Executive
The Director, Office of Acquisition and Assistance (M/OAA/OD), or his or her designee in USAID/W who

- Acts as the Agency's coordinator for all assistance matters (that is, financial assistance that provides support to a non-governmental entity to accomplish a public purpose), which may require OMB approval (such as deviations to OMB circulars or class deviations to OMB Circular A-110);

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*

- Makes final decisions for any appeals brought under 22 CFR 226.90 or the Standard Provision entitled "Disputes," as applicable to non-US organizations; and
- Makes the final determination of the choice of implementation instrument when there is disagreement between the contracting activity and the Development Objective team. (Chapters 303 and 304)

award
Financial assistance that provides support or stimulation to accomplish a public purpose. Awards include grants and cooperative agreements. (Chapter 303)

recipient
An organization that receives direct financial assistance (a grant or cooperative agreement) to carry out an activity or program. (Chapters 303, 304, 305, 591)

303_070312

**An asterisk and yellow highlight indicate that the adjacent information is new to this chapter or substantively revised.*