



UNITED STATES AGENCY for INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

PD-ABL-560

CAIRO, EGYPT

FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

Name of Country: Arab Republic of Egypt

Name of Project: PVO Development Project

Number of Project: 263-0220

1. Pursuant to Section Part II, Chapter 4 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, the PVO Development Project was authorized on August 29, 1990. The authorization is hereby amended as follows:

a. Paragraph 1 is amended by deleting "\$9,000,000 (nine million dollars) in Grant funds over a two year period" and by substituting "\$12,500,000 (twelve million five hundred thousand dollars) in Grant funds over a five year period" therefor.

b. Paragraph 2 is amended by deleting "facilitate their qualification for AID-registration,"

2. The authorization cited above remains in force except as hereby amended.

*Christopher D. Crowley*  
Christopher D. Crowley  
Acting Director

*17 July 1991*  
Date

Clearances:

- OD/HRDC/ET/PVO, TMcKEE
- AD/HRDC, JRiggs-Perla
- PDS/PS, ERauch
- AD/PDS, RJordan
- AD/FM, DFranklin
- D/DIR, DMiller

*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]* 7/10  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*

DRAFTED:AD/LEG:VMoore: (6/8/94):1PATH0220

*[Handwritten mark]*



UNITED STATES AGENCY for INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

CAIRO, EGYPT

**ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR THE ACTING MISSION DIRECTOR**

**DATE:** July 10, 1994

**FROM:** Joy Riggs-Perla, A/AD/HRDC 

**SUBJECT:** PVO Development Project (263-0220) -  
Project Paper Supplement

**ACTION REQUESTED:** Approval of modifications to the PVO Development Project which will allow the Project to accomplish the Project Purpose "To support and strengthen PVO community self-help activities". These modifications include: 1) modifying the threshold for providing grant funds to Egyptian PVOs (EPVOs), which also requires changing an End of Project Status (EOPS) indicator of USAID registration of 10 EPVOs; 2) increasing the USAID Life of Project (LOP) funding by \$3.5 million to a total of \$12.5 million; and 3) extending the PACD to September 30, 1996. These modifications to the Project require your approval in the form of a Project Paper Supplement, a Project Authorization Amendment, and Project Grant Agreement Amendment.

**BACKGROUND:** During the last year the Office of Education and Training has proposed several modifications to the Project. Three of these changes: (i) modifying the threshold for allowing Egyptian Private Voluntary Organizations (EPVOs) to receive grants under the Project and eliminating the End of Project Status (EOPS) indicator of having ten (10) Egyptian PVOs (EPVOs) registered with USAID; (ii) increasing the Life of Project (LOP) Funding; and (iii) extending the Project Activity Completion Date (PACD), were discussed with and supported by senior management at the November 28, 1993, Fall Portfolio Review. The Project Team convened on March 30, 1994 to address project issues and plan how to make the necessary modifications to the existing Project documentation to accommodate required changes. The following discussion sets forth the proposed method of implementing the changes.

**DISCUSSION:** The three changes which need to be incorporated into existing documentation are: 1) modifying the requirements for allowing EPVOs to access the Grant Fund (originally USAID registration of the EPVOs was required); 2) increasing the LOP funding; and 3) extending the PACD.

1. USAID Registration of EPVOs as an EOPS indicator and as a requirement for allowing EPVOs to access the Grant Fund

The most obvious change appears to be the modification of the criteria for allowing EPVOs to access the Grant Fund and changing the EOPS Objectively Verifiable Indicator of **"At least 10 EPVOs registered with AID"**. This particular EOPS indicator (and this criteria for accessing of the Grant Fund by EPVOs) appears to be unattainable within the current scope of the Project. Failure to achieve USAID registration by EPVOs will **not** affect achievement of the Project Purpose, **"to support and strengthen PVO community self-help activities."**

The implementing organization for this activity, The National Council of Negro Women (NCNW), recently presented two EPVO registration packages to the USAID/Egypt Mission and neither is adequate to meet USAID registration requirements. The weaknesses in the registration packages of these organizations stems primarily from their lack of experience in adhering to internationally recognized financial reporting requirements which are part of the substantial financial requirements for USAID registration. USAID staff have now determined that the financial requirements for USAID registration may exceed the financial accountability needs of these small EPVO organizations.

The USAID Financial Management (FM) Directorate has determined that although EPVOs generally do not utilize international accounting and financial reporting standards, their financial systems may nevertheless be adequate to account for and report on uses of funds. FM has furthermore indicated that although they may not technically meet USAID registration financial accountability requirements, **some EPVOs will be able to properly account for USAID funding received as sub-grants from USAID registered PVOs.** In this situation, AID would not be relying entirely on the sub-grantee's system but on principal grantee oversight as well.

The Project Paper specifically states that the capacity building component **"provides technical assistance and training to a selected group of experienced EPVOs to improve their financial and management structure. The project will therefore increase the number of EPVOs registered with USAID."** The NCNW, as the Umbrella Management Institution (UMI), was tasked with providing training and technical assistance to EPVOs, desiring registration with USAID, **in order to bring them to the point of eligibility for registration with USAID,** enabling at least 10 EPVOs to become registered with AID.

The Project Paper and the Cooperative Agreement with NCNW state that **"the intent of AID registration is to assure that the entities which solicit financial assistance from AID are private, voluntary and non-profit in nature; that they have adequate**

management capability, including satisfactory financial management systems to administer AID grant funds in accordance with required procedures; that they are engaged in assistance operations of a nonreligious nature; that there is a responsible governing body (board); and that they expend their funds in conformity with accepted ethical standards. Registration with AID is therefore a good measure of a PVO's maturity, and therefore is used in this project as a proxy for the level of institutional and financial capacity which a PVO needs for long-term sustainability and developmental impact."

As is shown in the above excerpt, the Project used USAID registration as a proxy to indicate a certain level of capability which would predict long-term sustainability and the ability to have development impact. Because registration with USAID is a proxy, the Project Purpose can be obtained without formal AID registration. The intent was to develop the capacity of EPVOs so that they could effectively manage funding from sources, such as AID, to undertake development activities. The actual registration of the EPVOs is being used here as a measure of the maturity of the EPVOs.

The Project Team, as well as senior Mission management, have concluded that requiring registration for 10 EPVOs will not appreciably increase the effectiveness of the EPVOs and will, in fact, increase the cost of the project. To resolve this impasse a decision was reached by the Project Team to replace the requirement for AID registration, as an EOPS indicator and as a requirement for accessing the Grant Fund, with another measure of a PVO's ability to receive and properly utilize grant funds from donor organizations. The Office of Financial Analysis in the Directorate of Financial Management (FM/FA) has provided supplementary measurements for determining financial capability and accountability which would be sufficient for NCNW to use as a measure of an EPVO's maturity.

All other USAID registration requirements, excluding the financial registration condition (which is modified, based on FM/FA's input, and described in this PP Amendment), will continue to be used in determining the maturity of the EPVO and its ability to properly use donor funds. The target of having 10 EPVOs reach a level of maturity which would predict long-term sustainability and the ability to have development impact is retained as a project output. To determine when an EPVO has matured or graduated, the UMI will assess the EPVO using the criteria provided in Attachment 2. This assessment is then reviewed by an external audit firm, selected from a list provided by RIG/A, which will certify that the EPVO meets the criteria to receive a grant under this Project. A scope of work (SOW) for the audit firms to follow in reviewing the UMI assessments will be developed by NCNW and approved by FM/FA.

## 2. Increasing LOP Funds

This initial issue goes back to September 1991 when UMI first presented its proposal to USAID and then in January 1992 when the Cooperative Agreement was awarded to UMI. The initial proposal of UMI was substantially larger than that estimated in the Project Paper. As a result of the difficulties USAID encountered in negotiating the Grant Agreement with the GOE substantial time passed from the Project design phase in mid 1990 when the USAID cost estimate was prepared and September 1991 when the cost proposal was submitted by UMI. This time lapse contributed to an increase in Project costs. Following negotiations with UMI, which lasted several months, the amount of the difference was reduced and an agreement was reached between UMI and the Grants Officer to a total award amount of \$3.1 million for UMI's costs, **subject to the availability of funds**. This difference was \$800,000 more than that available in the Project budget and required that the Project LOP funding be increased accordingly. This \$800,000 was the amount discussed and agreed to in the portfolio reviews of this Project.

In addition to the need to amend the Project budget to account for the above mentioned shortfall, the inability of the EPVOs to access the Grant Fund when funds were available, for the reasons stated above in section 1, now requires adding more time and funding to the Project. The UMI was not required to set aside funds in the Grant Fund for EPVOs, and subsequently has allocated the majority of those funds for USPVOs. To right this oversight the project team has proposed adding an additional \$2.0 million to the Grant Fund of the project to cover the needs of EPVOs and USPVOs for the remainder of the Project, based on information received from the UMI. This amount is felt sufficient to provide grant funding for a substantial number of the EPVOs which will meet the graduation criteria over the extended PACD. Provision of additional grant funds, along with the extension of time for EPVOs to meet the graduation criteria and access the Grant Fund will also provide a sufficient basis upon which to evaluate the performance of EPVOs. The final evaluation will determine whether the activity is performing well enough to amend the Project for a Phase II. Given that the Project Purpose is "To support and strengthen PVO community self help activities", it is imperative that we be able to evaluate the impact USAID's Project has had in strengthening EPVOs. A review of the performance of these organizations in carrying out activities using Grant Funds is, therefore, necessary in conducting an overall evaluation of the Project's effectiveness. The extension to the UMI cooperative agreement for nine months, plus the previously noted shortfall is expected to cost approximately \$1.5 million.

The increased funding for the Project will fully fund expected grant applications from EPVOs and USPVOs for the remainder of the Project and all costs for UMI services. This \$3.5 million will provide for all costs up to the PACD of September 30, 1996.

### 3. PACD Extension

Like the situation with the LOP funding action, a decision was previously made to extend the PACD but no action was taken. The original PACD of September 30, 1994 anticipated that the Project Agreement would be signed in September 1990. The Project was authorized in August 1990 but due to extensive negotiations with the Ministry of Social Affairs, the Project Grant Agreement was not signed until May 30, 1991. The Cooperative Agreement with the UMI was effective on January 1, 1992. These delays in starting project implementation were sufficient for requesting a PACD extension just to allow a full four years of Phase I. In addition to these problems, the MOSA (Ministry of Social Affairs) approval for the UMI to work with specific EPVOs in the Project was delayed and, subsequently, caused further delays in providing assistance to EPVOs included in the capacity building component of the Project.

The inability of the EPVOs to access the Grant Fund and the subsequent lack of time to allow an evaluation of the potential for using the UMI model for future activities with EPVOs and USPVOs now requires an extension beyond that originally intended to appropriately evaluate the Project. It is estimated that with the new graduation criteria approximately 10 EPVOs will graduate and be eligible to access the Grant Funds by June 1995. Currently there are 4 or 5 EPVOs which appear capable of reaching the new criteria within a couple of months of institution of the new criteria. Extending the PACD to September 1996 will allow the UMI cooperative agreement to be extended to the same date. Under the UMI cooperative agreement, all sub-grants which are provided must end three months prior to the end of the cooperative agreement. This would mean that the sub-grants to both EPVOs and USPVOs would end no later than June 1996. A final evaluation would be scheduled for December 1995. This date would allow an opportunity to review all grants provided by NCNW and also allow sufficient time for the Mission to process an amendment to the Project for Phase II, if the results of the evaluation are positive.

**PROJECT MODIFICATIONS:** The Project modifications requested are:

1) modifying the requirements for EPVOs to be eligible for accessing the Project Grant Fund [the requirements have been reduced from the previous requirement of USAID registration of the EPVO to a more reasonable level of financial capability as described in Attachment 2],

2) modifications to the Project budget to add \$3.5 million [Attachment 1], and

3) extension of the PACD to September 30, 1996 [which will allow for the planned four year period for Phase I of the Project and a proper evaluation of the sub-grant procedures under the UMI implementing arrangement].

## 1. EPVO ELIGIBILITY FOR GRANT FUNDS

The EOPS benchmark of having at least 10 EPVOs obtain USAID registration during the project was intended to demonstrate that the capacity building component of the Project has succeeded and that these EPVOs would be capable of receiving and managing grants from the Grant Fund of the Project. The benchmark is revised to an EOPS of at least 10 EPVOs meeting Project graduation criteria. The graduation criteria is, in essence the USAID registration requirements, modified to lessen the financial requirements EPVOs must meet to those which are attainable given Project resources, but sufficient to ensure appropriate use of USAID funding by the EPVO (see Attachment 2 for the new graduation criteria, including the financial requirements). This allows the EPVOs to graduate from the capacity building stage of the Project [where they have access to small scale grants under the Technical and Institutional Enhancement (TIE) Fund of from \$5,000 to \$50,000] and to proceed to accessing Grant Funds under the Project [which allows both US and Egyptian PVOs which are eligible to obtain grants from \$25,000 to \$1.0 million]. It is expected, based on information received from the UMI that the average amount of Grant Funds received by EPVOs will be \$100,000. The change in the financial requirements for EPVOs to receive grants under the Grant Fund doesn't change the requirement that EPVOs have adequate financial capability to manage USAID funds and to account for them.

This new criteria will be incorporated into the existing Project documents and related documents [i.e. Cooperative Agreement with NCNW, Operations Manual, and Project Grant Agreement Annex I (Project description), etc.]. The new criteria for graduation will require the UMI to assess the EPVO, using the new graduation criteria. Once UMI concludes the EPVO has met the graduation criteria, an external audit/CPA firm will do a pre-award audit/review of the UMI assessment. The audit firm will come from the approved list of audit firms provided by RIG/A. A Scope of Work (SOW) for the audit firm will be developed by UMI and agreed to by USAID/Cairo. This additional requirement of an external review by an audit firm will give UMI greater confidence in awarding a sub-grant from Grant Funds to the EPVO.

## 2. PROJECT BUDGET

The Project budget is increased by \$3.5 million to: 1) cover the UMI's costs in implementing the Project until September 30, 1996, 2) fund the additional requirements of USPVOs during this extended period, and 3) provide Grant Funding to EPVOs which meet the graduation criteria. The Umbrella Management Institution contract will require approximately \$1.5 million in additional funds to implement the project. The additional requirements for EPVOs and USPVOs is approximately \$2.0 million (\$1.0 million each). Budget modifications are reflected in Attachment 1 to this PP

Amendment. This budget will also be incorporated into the Project Grant Agreement and into the Cooperative Agreement with UMI.

### 3. PACD EXTENSION

The Project as designed envisioned four years in Phase I. This was to be a pilot test using an intermediary funding mechanism or Umbrella Management Institution, which would make financial assistance readily available to PVOs. This Phase I period was to be sufficiently long for all grants to be completed, should a decision not be reached to do a Phase II. In order to provide sufficient time for a true test of this funding mechanism, the full four years of Phase I should be completed. This supports a PACD extension to September 1996, given that the UMI did not commence implementation until January 1992 and MOSA's approval to work with EPVOs was not received until May 1993. The final evaluation will be completed in December 1995 and the PACD and Cooperative Agreement with the will be extended until September 1996. Attachment 3 to this PP Amendment provides an illustrative implementation plan which lays out how the time extension will be used to implement and evaluate this pilot activity.

**AUTHORITY:** The Mission Director has authority under Delegation of Authority No. 653 to: amend project assistance authorizations (Item 2); approve, negotiate, execute and implement project assistance agreements and amendments (Item 3); and extend Project Assistance Completion Dates (Item 10), all subject to certain conditions. None of the restrictive conditions exist for these actions. These authorities are also contained in Mission Orders 5-4 and 3-16 and have not been redelegated.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That you sign: 1) the face sheet for Project Paper Supplement No. 1 to the PVO Development Project (263-0220) and 2) the Project Authorization Amendment No. 1.

### **Attachments:**

- Attachment 1 - New Project Budget
- Attachment 2 - Graduation criteria for EPVOs
- Attachment 3 - Illustrative Implementation Plan

Clearances:

for	TMcKee, OD/HRDC/ET	<u>T. McKee</u>	date	<u>7/10/94</u>
	JDunlap, OD/DIR/CS	<u>JD</u>	date	<u>7/10/94</u>
	VMoore, AD/LEG	<u>V. Moore</u>	date	<u>7/11/94</u>
	RHelmi, PDS/P	<u>R. Helmi</u>	date	<u>7/12/94</u>
	ALevenson, OD/FM/FA	<u>all</u>	date	<u>7/10/94</u>
	DFranklin, AD/FM	<u>D. Franklin</u>	date	<u>7/12/94</u>
	RSteelman, A/OD/PDS/PS	<u>RS</u>	date	<u>7-11-94</u>
	RJordan, AD/PDS	<u>R. Jordan</u>	date	<u>7/12/94</u>
	DMiller, A/DDIR	<u>D. Miller</u>	date	<u>7/14/94</u>

Drafted by Eugene H. Rauch, PDS/PS/Karim Gohar, HRDC/ET/PVO,  
 5/31/94  
 B:\PVODOC2

**ILLUSTRATIVE FINANCIAL PLAN  
PROJECT 263-0220**

(\$ 000)

BUDGET ELEMENT	AID CONTRIBUTION			NON-USG CONT.	GRAND TOTAL
	PREV OBLIGS	FY 94 OBLIGS	TOTAL OBLIGS TO DATE	ANTICI- PATED LOP BUDGET	
	US \$	US \$	US \$	US \$	
TA, TRNG, MGT, AUDITS OF PVOs	2,026	1,776	3,802	0	3,802
GRANTS	3,850	4,650	8,500	2,850	11,350
EVALUATIONS	75	68	143	0	143
AUDITS OF UMI	55	0	55	0	55
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>6,006</b>	<b>6,494</b>	<b>12,500</b>	<b>2,850</b>	<b>15,350</b>

\* Includes both US \$ fixed costs as well as LE local currency amounts expressed in U.S. Dollars (for details on procurement sources and disbursement refer to articles 6 & 7 of the Grant Agreement for Project 263-0220).

Graduation Criteria for Egyptian PVOs

GRADUATION CONDITIONS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ANALYSIS:

CONDITION 1:

The EPVO is a legal entity organized under the laws of Egypt and is philanthropic and/or public service oriented in purpose. It is not a research organization, private foundation, university, college, or other similarly structured and degree-awarding, accredited institution of learning, nor is it church or organization engaged in exclusively religious activities.

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 2:

The EPVO is a private, nongovernment entity which receives funds from private sources (all non-host country government funds) in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ (US \$ equivalent).

Sources of Funds:

Private contributions	_____	_____%
Private grants	_____	_____%
Revenue	_____	_____%
U.S. Government	_____	_____%
Other Government	_____	_____%
Other _____	_____	_____%
TOTAL Revenue	_____	100%

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 3:

The EPVO is a voluntary organization, receiving voluntary contributions of money, staff time or in-kind support from the general public.

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 4:

The EPVO is a nonprofit organization with tax-exempt status under its country's tax laws, if they exist and are appropriate.  
Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 5:

The EPVO is engaged in voluntary charitable or development assistance operations of a type consistent with its Articles of Incorporation, and within the broad purposes of the Foreign Assistance Act and P.L. 480. Include a brief description of purpose and activities below along with any further comments.

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 6:

The EPVO has an acceptable system to account for the receipt and expenditure of donor funds. Each of the following eight (8) specific conditions of financial and internal control structures and accounting practices are required for each EPVO.

1. The EPVO should have a solid understanding of AID general cost principles including allowability, allocability and reasonableness of costs.
2. Internal controls should be adequate to provide reasonable assurance that with respect to USG funds:
  - Transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization
  - Transactions are recorded as necessary to maintain accountability for assets, and to permit preparation of required financial reports.
  - Access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management's authorization
  - Recorded accountability for assets is compared with existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action taken with respect to any differences.
3. The EPVO must be capable of maintaining of books, records and documentation related to any AID activity in an orderly manner to allow for required audits as needed.
4. The EPVO should be capable of cost sharing, including proper accounting for and documentation of any cost sharing inputs that may be required under the sub-grant.
5. The EPVO must establish a separate bank account for deposit of grant funds, and must be capable of segregating grant activities in their accounting records and preparing separate financial reports on the AID activity being undertaken.
6. The EPVO should prepare monthly bank reconciliations, including a reconciliation of bank statements for the separate AID account with activity books and records.
7. The EPVO must be able to monitor it's budget by line item, and should understand proper classification of expenses.
8. The EPVO should have the ability to account for and safeguard property purchased with AID funds.

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 7:

The EPVO is controlled by an active and responsible governing body which maintains effective policy and administrative control, which meets at least annually and whose members serve without compensation; if paid officers serve on the governing body, they cannot constitute a majority in any decision.

Comments:

Justification:

CONDITION 8:

The EPVO, under its own established priorities and programs, obtains, expends, and distributes its funds and resources in conformity with accepted ethical standards, without unreasonable cost for promotion, publicity, fund raising and administration. Such Costs in excess of 25% are generally considered to be unreasonable.

Comments:

Justification:

CHECKLIST

EPVO DOCUMENTATION REVIEW  
(for internal use only)

Instructions: Please check the documents you have reviewed to determine whether the applicant organization meets the conditions of registration. If a particular document is not submitted by the organization or is not applicable, an explanation should be provided in the "Comments" section.

CONDITION 1: Legal Entity

Articles of Incorporation \_\_\_\_\_  
By-laws \_\_\_\_\_  
Statement of Original Purpose \_\_\_\_\_  
Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 2: Private Sources

Statement of Revenues and Expenses \_\_\_\_\_  
Sources of Funds Listed \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 3: Voluntary Contributions

Annual Report (or similar document) \_\_\_\_\_  
Financial Statements \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 4: Tax Exempt

Statement of Tax-Exempt Status (or other  
relevant condition) \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 5: Charitable or Development Activities

Annual Report of Activities (or other  
narrative explanation) \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 6: Sound Financial Management and Viability

Financial Statement \_\_\_\_\_  
Current Budget \_\_\_\_\_  
Acceptable Accounting System (Y/N) \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

CONDITION 7: Board

List of Board Member Names \_\_\_\_\_  
Evidence that Board meetings have \_\_\_\_\_  
been conducted (e.g. Board \_\_\_\_\_  
minutes; other documentation, etc.)

Comments:

CONDITION 8: Uses of Funds

Financial Statements (to verify reasonable \_\_\_\_\_  
fundraising costs) \_\_\_\_\_  
Statement listing top 5 salaries \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

Please Note: In the absence of these specific documents, the certifying officer should use his/her discretion in accepting substitutes which provide the same or equivalent information, provided there is an explanation in the "Comments" section.

## Illustrative Implementation Plan

1990	August, 20	Project Paper Approved
1991	May 30	Project Grant Agreement Signed
	September	NCNW Presented Proposal to USAID/Cairo
1992	January 1	Cooperative Agreement with NCNW effective
	December	Operations Manual Approved by MOSA
1993	January	First Official Contact With EPVOs
	February	First EPVOs Submitted to MOSA (6)
	-----	First Grants Awarded to US PVOs
	May	First EPVOs Approved by MOSA (6)
	August	First 10 EPVOs Approved by MOSA
	August	First Workshop held for EPVOs
	October	
	November	Workshops held to assist EPVOs
	December	
	December	12 EPVOs submit proposals for TIE grants
1994	March	First two TIE grants Awarded to EPVOs
		[TENTATIVE SCHEDULE]
	July	PP Supplement approved by Director
		[Interim Evaluation conducted to assess effectiveness of UMI procedures on proposal review.]
	August	ProAg Amendment signed by MIC and MOSA
	September	Cooperative Agreement with NCNW modified
	September	First of EPVOs are reviewed by NCNW against "Graduation" criteria (Only EPVOs who meet Graduation criteria can access Grant funds)

October	Audit firm contracted to review EPVO's assessment done by NCNW
October	First "Graduate" EPVOs submit proposals for grants
November	Grant proposals from graduated EPVOs are reviewed by NCNW
December	First Grant awards made to graduate EPVOs
December	Last TIE Grant Awarded
1995	
June	Last one year grant awarded to any PVO
August	Evaluation PIO/T approved and contractor selection has started
November	Final evaluation starts
December	Final evaluation report received by Mission
December	Minimum Time to end TIE Grants
1996	
January	Decision made on whether to do a Phase II
February	PP Amendment Design commences
March	PP Amendment completed and in approval process
June 30	All EPVO and US PVO activities completed
September 30	Cooperative Agreement with NCNW ends
September 30	PACD

**Note:** Many of the latter actions depend on the decision to continue into a Phase II. If a Phase II is contemplated, once the PPA is being drafted negotiations with NCNW will commence in an effort to avoid a gap in funding of PVOs.

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

1. TRANSACTION CODE  
 A = Add  
 C = Change  
 D = Delete

Amendment Number: 1

DOCUMENT CODE: 3

2. COUNTRY/ENTITY: EGYPT

3. PROJECT NUMBER: 263-0220

4. BUREAU/OFFICE: Asia - Near East

5. PROJECT TITLE (maximum 40 characters): PVO Development

6. PROJECT ASSISTANCE COMPLETION DATE (PACD): MM DD YY 09 30 96

7. ESTIMATED DATE OF OBLIGATION (Under 'B.' below, enter 1, 2, 3, or 4)  
A. Initial FY - 91 B. Quarter 3 C. Final FY 95

8. COSTS (\$000 OR EQUIVALENT \$1 = )

A. FUNDING SOURCE	FIRST FY 91			LIFE OF PROJECT		
	B. FX	C. L/C	D. Total	E. FX	F. L/C	G. Total
AID Appropriated Total	3,000		3,000	12,500		12,500
(Grant)	( 3,000 )	( )	( 3,000 )	( 12,500 )	( )	( 12,500 )
(Loan)	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )
Other U.S.						
1.						
2.						
Host Country						
Other Donor(s) PVOs					2,850	2,850
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>3,000</b>		<b>3,000</b>	<b>12,500</b>	<b>2,850</b>	<b>15,350</b>

9. SCHEDULE OF AID FUNDING (\$000)

A. APPROPRIATION	B. PRIMARY PURPOSE CODE	C. PRIMARY TECH CODE		D. OBLIGATIONS TO DATE		E. AMOUNT APPROVED THIS ACTION		F. LIFE OF PROJECT	
		1. Grant	2. Loan	1. Grant	2. Loan	1. Grant	2. Loan	1. Grant	2. Loan
(1) ESF				6,006		3,500		12,500	
(2)									
(3)									
(4)									
<b>TOTALS</b>				<b>6,006</b>		<b>3,500</b>		<b>12,500</b>	

10. SECONDARY TECHNICAL CODES (maximum 6 codes of 3 positions each)

11. SECONDARY PURPOSE CODES

2. SPECIAL CONCERNS CODES (maximum 7 codes of 4 positions each)

A. Code

B. Amount

3. PROJECT PURPOSE (maximum 480 characters)

To support and strengthen PVO community self-help activities.

1. SCHEDULED EVALUATIONS

Interim MM YY 11 94 Final MM YY 11 95

15. SOURCE/ORIGIN OF GOODS AND SERVICES

000  941  Local  Other (Specify)

AMENDMENTS/NATURE OF CHANGE PROPOSED (This is page 1 of a \_\_\_\_\_ page PP Amendment)

- 1) Modify criteria for Egyptian PVOs to access the Grant Funds of the project.
- 2) Increase LOP funding by \$3.5 million.
- 3) Extend the PACD to 9/30/96.

USAID/Egypt concurs with the proposed method of implementation and financing.

Douglas P. Franklin  
Douglas Franklin, AD/FM

17. APPROVED BY

Signature: [Signature]

Title: Acting Director USAID/Cairo

Date Signed MM DD YY 09 12 96

18. DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED IN AID/W, OR FOR AID/W DOCUMENTS, DATE OF DISTRIBUTION

MM DD YY