

PD-AAA-661
22 MAR 1984

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20523

SEP 30 1983

~~ORIGINAL~~

Mr. Norman E. Barth
Executive Director
Lutheran World Relief
360 Park Avenue South
New York, N.Y. 10010

Re: Matching Grant No. PDC-0176-G-SS-3162-00

Dear Mr. Barth,

Pursuant to the authority contained in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, the Agency for International Development (hereinafter referred to as "AID" or "Grantor"), hereby grants to Lutheran World Relief (hereinafter referred to as "LWR" or "Grantee") a matching sum of \$800,000 to provide support for LWR's Development Assistance Program as described in the Schedule of this 50/50 Matching Grant and Attachment 2 to this Grant entitled "Program Description".

This grant is effective and obligation is made as of September 1, 1983 and shall apply to commitments made by the Grantee in furtherance of program objectives during the period September 1, 1983 to August 31, 1986. (See Paragraph B of the Schedule.)

This Grant is made to LWR on condition that the funds will be matched on a 50/50 basis by the LWR's funds or "in-kind contributions" (see Paragraph F of the Schedule), and that the Grant will be administered in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Schedule (Attachment 1), and the Standard Provisions (Attachment 3).

Please sign the original and eight copies of this letter to acknowledge your receipt of the Grant, and return the original and seven (7) copies to this office.

Sincerely,



Judith D. Johnson
Grant Officer
Services Operations Division
Office of Contract Management

PREVALIDATED ON PAGE 2

10

ACKNOWLEDGED:

Lutheran World Relief

X By *Thomas B. Farrel*

Typed Name _____

Title _____

Date *October 26 1983*

Fiscal Data

PIO/T No.:	938-0176-3834022
Appropriation Sym.:	72-1131021.3
Allotment Sym.:	343-38-099-00-76-31
Budget Plan Code:	EDAA 83 13810 AG11
Obligation This Action:	\$800,000
Estimated Grant Amount:	\$2,400,000
Funding Source:	AID/W

Attachments

1. Schedule
2. Program Description
3. Standard Provisions
4. List of AID - Assisted Countries

6/c 4190
FUNDS AVAILABLE

SEP 30 1983
Farrel
Program Acctg. Division
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

SCHEDULE

A. Purpose of Grant

The purpose of this Grant is to provide support for LWR's Development Assistance Program as more specifically described in Attachment 2 to this Grant entitled, "Program Description". Except as AID may otherwise agree in writing, the use of Grant funds for Project Support will be limited to the countries listed in Attachment 4 to this Grant. This list may, from time to time, be amended. Grant funds shall be used for projects in areas of AID priority such as: private sector development, institutional development, technology transfer and enhancing the developmental impact of P.L. 480; and/or AID Program interests such as: agriculture and rural development, health, nutrition, education and training, population, housing, environment and energy. In addition, in the course of the Grant activities, the Grantee will explore opportunities for its involvement in food aid programming.

B. Period of Grant

1. The effective date of this Grant is September 1, 1983. The expiration date of this Grant is August 31, 1986.

2. Funds obligated hereunder are available for program expenditures for the period September 1, 1983 to August 31, 1984 as shown in the Financial Plan below.

C. Amount of Grant and Payment

1. The total estimated amount of this Grant for the period shown in B.1 above is \$2,400,000.

2. AID hereby obligates the amount of \$800,000 for program expenditures during the period set forth in B.2 above and as shown in the Financial Plan below.

3. Payment shall be made to the Grantee in accordance with procedures set forth in Attachment 3 Standard Provision 7A, entitled "Payment - Federal Reserve Letter of Credit (FRLC) Advance.

4. Additional funds up to the total amount of the grant shown in C.1 above may be obligated by AID subject to the availability of funds, and to the requirements of the Standard Provision of the Grant, entitled "Revision of Financial Plans."

5. All submissions required by Standard Provision 7A of this Grant are to be submitted to:

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Office of Financial Management
Program Accounting Division
Washington, D.C. 20525

D. Financial Plan

The following is the Financial Plan for this Grant, including local cost financing items, if authorized. Revisions to this plan shall be made in accordance with Standard Provision of this Grant, entitled "Revision of Financial Plans."

COST ELEMENTS	FROM 9/1/83		FROM 9/1/84		FROM 9/1/85		TOTAL
	TO	8/31/84	TO	8/31/85	TO	8/31/86	
Agricultural Development	\$	820,000	\$	820,000	\$	820,000	\$2,460,000
Institution Building		110,000		110,000		110,000	330,000
Community Development		200,000		190,000		200,000	590,000
Health and Welfare		130,000		120,000		130,000	380,000
Human Resource Development		130,000		120,000		120,000	370,000
Evaluation & Consultancies		21,000		51,000		21,000	93,000
Overhead		189,000		189,000		189,000	567,000
		<u>\$1,600,000</u>		<u>\$1,600,000</u>		<u>\$1,600,000</u>	<u>\$4,800,000</u>
AID:	\$	800,000					
GRANTEE:	\$	800,000					
		<u>\$1,600,000</u>					

E. Reporting and Evaluation

LWR will report on an annual basis the activities financed by the grant. The report must cover project fund grants by country, and describe each including the activity, purpose, duration, location (District, Region and Village, as appropriate) local counterpart and contact persons. It should reflect expenditures of AID and LWR funds against the grant budget and provide a description of program status. In addition to such reporting on an annual basis, LWR should develop and maintain an information system which can provide the details described above on a reasonably timely basis (e.g., quarterly) in response to AID calls for such data.

The Annual Report should give an overview of the years operations and specifically cover institution building activities, sector and geographic region distribution of activities including any development of regional or sub-regional strategies, evaluation/assessment activities including cases demonstrating baseline data systems, progress

in linking food and cash aid, as well as regular financial reporting including private fund raising and expenditures. It should describe future year plans including new country programs.

LWR will provide copies of any studies or reports which they financed from the grant.

Apart from systematic self-monitoring of grant activity and the monitoring and assessment systems LWR has for the projects, a joint evaluation of the grant will take place involving LWR, FVA/PVC and outside evaluators acceptable to both parties o/a March 1985.

F. Special Provisions

1. Matching Provisions

a. For each year (or funding period) under this grant, the Grantee agrees to expend from non-Federal funds an amount at least equal to 50% of the total expenditures under this grant.

b. Eligibility of non-Federal funds applied to satisfy cost sharing/matching requirements under this grant are set forth in paragraph (b) of the Standard Provision of this grant entitled "Allowable Cost and Contributions".

c. Individual expenditures do not have to be shared or matched provided that the total expenditures incurred during the year (or funding period) are shared or matched in accordance with the agreed percentage set forth in paragraph (a) above.

d. If at the end of any year (or funding period) hereunder, the grantee has expended an amount of non-Federal funds less than the agreed percentage of total expenditures, as set forth in paragraph (a) above, the difference may be applied to reduce the amount of AID funding the following year (or funding period), or, if this grant has expired or been terminated, the difference shall be refunded to AID.

e. Extended failure to meet the cost sharing/matching requirements set forth in paragraph (a) above shall be considered sufficient reason for termination of this grant in accordance with the Standard Provision of this grant entitled "Termination and Suspension", paragraph (a) entitled "For Cause".

f. The restrictions on the use of AID grant funds hereunder set forth in the Standard Provisions of this grant are applicable to expenditures incurred with AID funds provided under this grant. Except for paragraph (b) of the Standard

Provisions of this grant entitled "Allowable Costs and Contributions", the Standard Provisions are not applicable to expenditures incurred with funds provided from non-Federal sources. The grantee will account for the AID funds in accordance with the Standard Provision of this grant entitled "Accounting, Audit and Records".

g. Notwithstanding paragraph (c) of the Standard Provisions of this grant entitled "Refunds", the parties agree that in the event of any disallowance of expenditures from AID grant funds provided hereunder, the Grantee may substitute expenditures made with funds provided from non-Federal sources provided they are otherwise eligible in accordance with paragraph (b) of this provision. At the expiration or termination of this grant amounts of disallowances of expenditures from AID grant funds for which substitution with eligible expenditures from non-Federal funds cannot be made will be refunded to AID.

2. The following Standard Provisions are not applicable to this grant and are hereby deleted:

- a. 5A - "Negotiated Overhead Rates - Predetermined"
- b. 7B - "Payment - Periodic Advances"
- c. 7C - "Payment - Reimbursement"
- d. Paragraph (a) of Standard Provision 8, "Travel and Transportation"
- e. 10A - "Procurement of Goods and Services Under \$250,000"
- f. 13B - "Title and Care of Property (U.S. Government Title)"
- g. 13C - "Title to and Care of Property (Cooperation Country Title)"

3. The "Alterations in Grant" dated July 1982 and attached to the Index of Standard Provisions are incorporated into this grant.

4. Subrecipient Audit and Records

- a. The Recipient shall require subrecipients (see paragraph L3, Chapter 1 of Handbook 13) to maintain books, records, documents and other evidence and accounting procedures and practices sufficient to reflect properly that funds provided by the Recipient

were expended exclusively for the purposes of the subagreement. Such records shall be maintained for three years following the expiration of the subagreement.

- b. The Recipient shall ensure that, after each twelve months of a subagreement, an audit is conducted on the subrecipient's records by an independent public accountant with a national certification similar or equivalent to a certified public accountant. If the recipient determines that an audit is not possible or feasible, it shall submit to the AID Grant Officer the reasons why such an audit is not possible or feasible and present, for the approval of the AID Grant Officer, alternatives which will achieve the objectives set forth in this provision. The Recipient shall include in each subagreement hereunder a provision by which the Recipient assigns the right to audit if the independent audit does not take place or is unacceptable. The subagreement shall also require that the subrecipient shall make available any further information as requested by the Recipient with respect to questions concerning the audit. The report of independent audit shall be submitted to the Recipient and retained by it in accordance with the Standard Provision entitled "Accounting, Audit and Records".
- c. The purpose of the independent audit shall be to determine the propriety and allocability of the subgrantee's expenditure in terms of the purpose for which the funds were made available and the adequacy of the subgrantee's financial management. The AID Inspector General will review the adequacy of the executed independent audit during regularly scheduled Inspector General audits of the grantee. In the event the Inspector General advises the Grant Officer that the independent audit is not adequate to meet the purposes of the independent audit as set forth above, the Grant Officer may require that a second audit be conducted. In the event the second audit is determined by AID to be inadequate to meet the purposes set forth above, the Grant Officer may require the full amount of the subgrant in question to be refunded to AID.

G. Overhead Rate

In accordance with Standard Provision 5B of this Grant, "Negotiated Overhead Rates - Nonprofit Organizations Other Than Educational Institutions", the following rate is established for this grant:

<u>TYPE OF RATE</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>BASE</u>	<u>PERIOD</u>
Overhead	13.4%	Total Direct Costs excluding commodity shipments, storage and related costs, equipment, construction, alterations, renovations and other capital expenditures	9/1/83 until amended

H. Title to Property

Title to property procured under this Grant shall vest in the Grantee.

ATTACHMENT 2

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Goal

The goal of LWR's development assistance program (DAP) is:
To enable the poor majority to develop the ability to meet their basic needs while becoming full participants in socially, economically, and politically viable communities.

II. Purposes

The development assistance program (DAP) funded in part by a new matching grant will:

- Stimulate individual communities to undertake their own development by participating in projects designed to meet basic human needs.
- Support the evolution of networks of indigenous organizations capable of and committed to continuing development assistance beyond the period of the grant.

In support of each of these related purposes, this program will: support a series of projects which will contribute directly to the first purpose; provide training and exchange opportunities for community leaders and the staff of counterpart agencies and regional organizations.

I. Support to Projects

LWR will identify and support up to 60 projects implemented by communities with the assistance of about 30 indigenous organizations in approximately 15 countries. Projects carried out by participating communities will: foster community building and organization; address basic needs such as increased food production, improved health care systems, literacy, safe water supplies, and new sources of income; and increase community access to, and participation in, economic, social, and political structures. Project proposals will be examined against: LWR's Development Strategy Guidelines; contributions the project's activities will make toward the purposes of this program; and the consistency of the project's design.

LWR will support community-level projects primarily through indig-

18

enous agencies. Partner agencies are chosen by LWR on the basis of their capability to implement projects consistent with commonly shared goals. Verification of administrative and program capacity, track record, and fiscal responsibility is accomplished through field visits and consultation with other funding agencies.

The primary beneficiaries of DAP projects will be the poor majority, emphasizing community participation in planning, implementation, and evaluation. LWR gives special attention to projects which address the needs of groups otherwise unlikely to be assisted (the landless and remote communities).

LWR encourages projects designed to enable women to participate more fully in community structures. These include projects which promote family gardens, cottage industries, primary health care systems, literacy, and vocational training.

Agricultural development will continue to be a primary focus of activities supported under this program. However, LWR anticipates increased emphasis on primary health care, efforts to relate health and agriculture, and literacy through nonformal education. These emphases will be promoted by consulting with counterpart agency staff, and reflect the direction counterpart agencies indicate they wish to move. Representative of sectors to be addressed by projects include the following:

Agricultural Development

- crop, livestock and dairy development
- family gardens
- water resource development for increased agricultural production
- cooperatives for procuring inputs, credit, or improved marketing
- extension assistance and agricultural training
- environmental improvement through land reclamation, forestation, improved water retention, etc.
- land to the tiller activities through land reform or land purchase.

Institution Building

- . training for partner agency's staff
- . providing opportunities to exchange experiences among counterparts
- . facilitating programatic cooperation among counterpart agencies.

Community Development

- . small business development
- . cottage industries
- . cooperatives for non-agricultural purposes

Health and Welfare Services

- . community-based primary health care services
- . water resource development for improved health and sanitation
- . education and training for improved health and sanitation
- . family planning activities
- . maternal and child health and nutrition activities

Human Resource Development

- . literacy and numeracy training
- . vocational training
- . leadership development training
- . enhancing women's role in the community

An illustrative table showing the geographic and sectorial spread of the program to be supported is found in attachment 2. A logical framework outlining the expected accomplishments of this program is found in attachment 3.

IV. Support to Organizational Development and Networking

In consultation with indigenous counterpart agencies, LWR will set up a series of training opportunities and seminars for the staffs of participating agencies. These experiences will contribute to an evolving network of indigenous development agencies by:

- . increasing the competence of individual agencies through staff training;
- . building understanding among indigenous agencies through exchanging experiences and personnel;

- enhancing linkages among indigenous development agencies through opportunities for their leaders to exchange ideas and experiences in seminars and workshops; and
- encouraging joint development activities.

Through routine visits LWR staff will promote and assess counterpart agencies interests and needs in staff training. Counterparts will be encouraged to develop proposals for staff training through appropriate courses for individual staff members, inservice staff training workshops, and staff exchanges with other agencies.

Some broader seminars and workshops for staff from several agencies may be organized to expose participants to additional program and management concepts. These seminars will also be fora for exchanging experiences and situations in which linkages and cooperation can be encouraged.

V. Country Programs

Though LWR staff assist partner agencies in identifying and developing project proposals, proposals come from these organizations and the communities they serve. This requires a form of planning which anticipates the types of projects that will be proposed, but which is sufficiently flexible to enable appropriate responses. Therefore, the following country program description must be seen as illustrative and the financial commitments as indicative.

India

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$300,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include: increased crop production based on improved agricultural practices and irrigation infrastructure; improved livestock production based on better breeding stock, particularly goats; training in crop and livestock management through local institutions; establishing cottage and village industries; health education and training; literacy training using any of the foregoing as subject matter; or vocational training.

Project holders will be local indigenous agencies with programmatic and management competence. Examples include: a training institute

offering a Master of Social Work degree using projects as training opportunities for students; a charitable trust based on a seed demonstration and teaching farm governed by a board of local business and civic leaders and which promotes new agricultural techniques among surrounding villages; an urban social service center which has successfully expanded to rural development, emphasizing community organization among tribals and low castes; rural health programs centered around established hospitals or clinics, but which may have broader interests including literacy and agriculture.

Kenya

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$100,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities supported in Kenya may include livestock and crop production in small-scale holdings; range management; appropriate technology; functional literacy education; and health education. Project holders will be locally based personnel of either the National Christian Council, specific social service organizations of individual churches, or secular agencies.

Tanzania

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$175,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include: increased crop production based on improved water resources, enhanced garden production, and improved local extension assistance; improved supplies of safe drinking water; primary rural health care; developing small village-level industries; and functional literacy training. Anticipated project holders are synods of the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Tanzania, individual mission hospitals, and other church-related institutions such as technical schools.

Zimbabwe

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$40,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include: essential support systems for newly settled African farmers, including extension assistance, input procurement, and marketing; agricultural training; primary health care; and functional literacy. Project holders

may include mission hospitals and organizations of national and local churches.

Niger

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$300,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include: increased water availability for both human and livestock consumption and for increased dry-season vegetable production; developing local institutions to manage input procurement and marketing; agricultural credit; support to government technical services; health education and training; functional literacy for project participants; development of live fences to guard gardens and avoid imported wire fencing. Partner agencies in Niger will be local technical services of the Ministry of Rural Development. LWR has direct-hire staff in Niger who monitor projects through frequent site visitation and regular reporting. These staff also provide informal consultative assistance in project planning and evaluation.

Togo

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$60,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include crop and livestock production based on improved water resources and animal traction; fostering better use of existing government services; small-scale local village industry; marketing and milling coops; rural health education; and functional literacy training. The counterpart agency is a private organization governed and staffed entirely by Togolese and which was established to manage earlier LWR-supported projects.

Sudan

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$50,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Project activities may include increased crop production through support for animal traction training, input procurement, marketing, and extension assistance; health education and development of safer drinking water; functional literacy training.

23

Project holders will be either the Sudan Christian Council or individual institutions related to the Christian Council.

Bolivia

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$100,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Specific project activities may include: increasing campesino organizations' effectiveness; increasing agricultural and livestock production through extension assistance; establishing agricultural coops for input procurement and more advantageous marketing; establishing primary health care clinics; improving domestic water supplies; teaching better water and sanitation practices; and literacy training in the context of conscientization. Partner agencies will be organizations with access to both foreign and domestic financial resources, staffed by Bolivians, and governed by indigenous boards of directors.

Ecuador

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$75,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Specific project activities may include: increasing campesino organizations' effectiveness; increasing agricultural and livestock production through extension assistance; establishing agricultural coops for input procurement and more advantageous marketing; establishing primary health care clinics; improving domestic water supplies; teaching better water and sanitation practices; and literacy training in the context of conscientization. Partner agencies will be organizations with access to both foreign and domestic financial resources, staffed by Ecuadorians, and governed by indigenous boards of directors.

Peru

LWR anticipates committing approximately \$40,000 to new projects during the first year of the grant. Specific project activities may include: increasing campesino organizations' effectiveness; increasing agricultural and livestock production through extension assistance; establishing agricultural coops for input procurement and more advantageous marketing; establishing primary health care clinics; improving

24

domestic water supplies; teaching better water and sanitation practices; and literacy training in the context of conscientization. Partner agencies will be organizations with access to both foreign and domestic financial resources, staffed by Peruvians, and governed by indigenous boards of directors.

Other Agencies

LWR is exploring the possibility of project support in: Brazil, Chile, Namibia, Uganda, and several Sahelian countries. It is aware that not all of these are AID-approved countries and will make appropriate arrangements before using any AID funds in such countries.

PL 480 Title II

LWR is giving serious consideration to establishing a new PL 480 program, probably in Africa. When this materializes, LWR anticipates situations in which PL 480 resources and development assistance program funds (AID or private) could be programmed to support the same project. The program LWR supported for many years in India encompassed examples of effective local programming which combined food-for-work commodity use with private financial resources to establish small-scale irrigation infrastructures. Review of that experience will be instructive in establishing any new PL 480 program.

VI. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COORDINATION

The primary tasks LWR will perform for this program are to:

- . Identify approximately 25 projects each year to develop and prepare for LWR board approval.
- . Develop and carry out regional plans for counterpart organizational and staff development in consultation with such agencies.
- . Monitor project progress and expenditure to assure appropriate feedback and support to partner agencies.
- . Prepare an annual report and work plan for submission to AID.

LWR staff visit counterpart agencies at least annually to discuss status of current projects, plans for future projects and organizational issues. During these visits projects for possible LWR support are identified and areas for possible support in organizational and staff development are considered.

After initial screening by the area staff person, LWR headquarters program staff decide as a committee of the whole whether to: recommend the project to the Board of Directors for funding; seek more information before making a recommendation; or reject the proposal. Following authorization by its Board of Directors, LWR and the project holder enter into a grant agreement.

LWR has in its headquarters a staff person responsible for project identification, monitoring and support for each of the following geographic areas:

- . Asia and English Speaking Africa
- . French Speaking Africa
- . Latin America

LWR has regional representatives for the Andean Region and Central America, a country representative in Niger, and plans to have a regional representative in East Africa by late 1983. These representatives carry primary responsibility for project identification, fostering relationships with counterpart agencies and coordinating project monitoring in

their geographic regions. They report to the appropriate headquarters staff. Where LWR does not have regional staff, e.g., for Togo, the counterpart agencies relate directly to New York staff responsible for the area. In India, the Interchurch Service Agency coordinates monitoring and evaluation activities as well as financial support to projects.

Project monitoring is based on project reports, regional staff reports, and annual staff field visits with counterpart agencies. Area executives and their program assistants review each progress, finance, evaluation, and audit report from projects in their region. The LWR project system includes: a log of reports received; routine acknowledgment, review, and response to issues raised in reports; and a tickler system for following up late reports. The purpose of this system is to enable LWR to monitor an individual project's progress and to provide a composite picture of the development assistance program's status.

The Director for Grant Relations is responsible for: coordinating overall program reporting including the annual report to AID; evaluation activities; choosing projects for inclusion in DAP; and relations with AID concerning grant-related issues. The Program Finance Manager prepares and submits quarterly finance reports, and tracks LWR expenditures of both AID and matching private funds.

Recognizing AID and LWR's mutual interest in the progress of DAP, LWR will extend invitations or consider requests for occasional field visits by staff of AID/w or USAID missions. Any relationship between AID/w or USAID missions and DAP projects will be through LWR.

LWR will submit annual narrative and quarterly financial reports. LWR will seek a waiver of the AID Inspector General's right to audit subgrantees. The waiver will be sought in the context of established AID procedures.

VII. EVALUATION

LWR's evaluation system seeks answers to the following issues:

- Project impact with respect to project purposes and matching grant purposes.
- Counterpart agency program management support to projects.
- Timeliness and quality of LWR's support to project holders and projects.

Annual evaluations are required by the grant agreement with each project. These can be either self-evaluations or third-party evaluations. Some guidance for evaluations is given to project holders through staff visits and the project system manual (attachment 4).

Each project proposal includes an evaluation plan. LWR staff have observed that the quality of evaluation plans have improved over the life of the first matching grant. During that period LWR staff emphasized evaluation and program management in their consultations with project holders. LWR staff will use the logical framework indicators (attachment 3) in their review of individual project evaluations. These indicators will be used as the primary basis for determining how well the program purposes have been achieved.

LWR will set up a program evaluation in collaboration with AID at a mutually agreeable time during the life of the grant. The full impact of DAP-supported projects can take up to five years. Therefore, LWR staff are considering the feasibility of including some projects from an earlier phase of DAP in the scope of evaluation for this program.

During the first matching grant period LWR has used third-party consultants for evaluating programs in two regions. These evaluations have been useful. LWR remains open to using third-party evaluations during the life of this phase of DAP.

ATTACHMENT 4

AID - Assisted Countries

Antigua	Malawi
Bangladesh	Mali
Barbados	Mauritania
Belize	Mauritius
Benin	Montserrat
Bolivia	Morocco
Botswana	Mozambique
Burma	Nepal
Burundi	Niger
Cameroon	Oman
Cape Verde	Pakistan
Central Africa Republic	Panama
Comoro Islands	Papua New Guinea
Congo	Peru
Cook Islands	Philippines
Costa Rica	Portugal
Djibouti	Rwanda
Dominica	Senegal
Dominican Republic	Seychelles
Equador	Sierra Leone
Egypt	Solomon Islands
El Salvador	Somalia
Equatorial Guinea	Sri Lanka
Fiji	St. Kitts-Nevis
Gambia	St. Lucia
Ghana	St. Vincent
Guatemala	Sudan
Guinea	Suriname
Guinea-Bissau	Swaziland
Guyana	Tanzania
Haiti	Thailand
Honduras	Tonga
India	Tunisia
Indonesia	Tuvalu
Israel	Togo
Ivory Coast	Uganda
Jamaica	Upper Volta
Jordan	Vanuaru
Kenya	Western Samoa
Kiribati	Yemen
Lebanon	Zaire
Lesotho	Zambia
Liberia	Zimbabwe