

PAGE 1

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR) 1001

1. PROJECT NO. <b>391-11-760-037</b>	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: <b>4/16/70</b> TO <b>6/30/71</b>	3. COUNTRY <b>(PAKISTAN)</b>	4. PAR SERIAL NO.
---	--	---------------------------------	-------------------

5. PROJECT TITLE  
*511-28336*

STATISTICAL SERVICES

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY <b>1955</b> Ends FY <b>1971</b>	7. DATE LATEST PROP <b>Oct. 1, 1969</b>	8. DATE LATEST PIP <b>Feb. 1970</b>	9. DATE PRIOR PAR <b>4/15/70</b>
---	--	--	-------------------------------------

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ <b>2,522,130</b> <b>1971</b>	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ <b>Nil</b>	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ <b>Nil</b>
------------------	---	---	---

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
<b>U.S. Bureau of Census</b>	<b>DASA</b>

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
			<p>This project, as a vehicle for the improvement of Pakistan's general purpose statistics, has been terminated as of June 30, 1971. Sufficient funds were obligated under this project in FY 1971 to continue the Agricultural Census activity through its termination in FY 1973.</p> <p>PAR will be prepared for Agricultural Census sub-project at the end of FY 1972.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>NOT ON M.L</i></p>	June 1972

D. RE-TRAINING REQUIRES REVISED OR NEW: <input type="checkbox"/> PROP <input type="checkbox"/> PIP <input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P	E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW
--	---------------------------

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE <b>Frank M. Landers</b>	MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
--	--

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 2 PAR	PROJECT NO. <b>391-11-780-037</b>	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO <b>June 30, 1971</b>	COUNTRY <b>PAKISTAN</b>	PAR SERIAL NO.
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------	----------------

**II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS**

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW	MEDIUM			HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. <b>U.S. Bureau of Census</b>					X								X
2. <b>U.S. A.I.D.</b>					X					X			
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

**PASA teams performance for 7 out of 11 applicable factors rated "As Planned" three "Superior" and one "Negative". The team should have pressed the GOP harder for meeting its obligations so that agreed project goals could have been achieved. All project advisors prepared progress reports each month and from these a consolidated monthly report was prepared mainly for Bureau of Census consumption. USAID also benefited by these reports. All along the period the advisors enjoyed excellent relationships with their counterparts.**

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING					X							X	
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

**A recent survey of statistics participants shows that of the 121 individuals trained under this project over the last 21 years, 94 participants (or 77%) are still working on the jobs for which they were trained. Another 18% left the job after serving in the field for which trained from 3 to 18 years. Some participants programmed to leave in Aug./Sep. 1970. Could not go because of the lack of GOP funding.**

5. COMMODITIES													
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

**No commodities programmed during the reporting period.**

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL					X							X
	b. OTHER			X									X

Comment on key factors determining rating

**A. Personnel: The Host Country's performance for 9 out of 11 is as planned. One negative rating is for the low salary structure prevalent in the country; while one superior is meant for the excellent leadership the project had during the reporting period.**

**B. Other: The Host Country's performance for 6 out of 13 is negative. The GOP did not fulfil certain obligations that were necessary to achieve project objectives. Details can be seen in the final narrative report of the project.**

7. OTHER DONORS					X							X	
-----------------	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

A/D 1020-25(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. <b>391-11-780-037</b>	PAR FOR PERIOD: <b>June 30, 1971</b>	COUNTRY <b>PAKISTAN</b>	PAR SERIAL NO.
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	----------------------------	----------------

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

**IBRD team surveyed the GOP position in statistics and made valuable recommendations to the government. These recommendations have not yet been implemented.**

### III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMU- LATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY		FY ____	FY ____	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
1. Participants trained in U.S.A.	PLANNED	150	-	-	-	-	150
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	121	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
2. Technical Advisors on board.	PLANNED	30	-	-	-	-	30
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	26 (80m/yr.)	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
3. Major statistical units established and strengthened.	PLANNED	8	-	-	-	-	-
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	5	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
4. Computer installed in the Stat. Units.	PLANNED	3	-	-	-	-	-
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	3	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1. Established primary statistical series and national accounts.	Important statistical series have been established such as price, industry, construction, external trade, manpower, GNP, MNP, Etc., but the data gathered is not very reliable and consistent.						
2. Status of statistical agencies raised and laws enacted.	COMMENT: Status of the Central Statistical Office has been raised but not to desirable state. Statistical laws are still in draft form.						
3. Introduction of proba- bility sampling device in sample survey.	COMMENT: Substantial progress has been made towards introduction of probability sampling in the National Sample Survey of CSO, Agricultural Census 1960 and other ad-hoc surveys. Major attention since 1968-69 is towards the control of non-sampling errors.						

3

AIC 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. <b>391-11-780-037</b>	PAR FOR PERIOD: <b>June 30, 1971</b>	CCOUNTRY <b>PAKISTAN</b>	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 4 PAR				

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. <sup>2. Same as in PROP?</sup>  YES  NO

The purpose of this project is to assist the GOP, the GOEP, and the GOWP to establish a functional system under which (1) general purpose statistics will become available from statistical surveys as a by-product of administrative operations in the public and private sectors; will be of adequate quality; and will cover the needed wide-range of subjects; (2) there will be flexibility to meet new needs; and (3) there will be an organized body of trained staff to provide special purpose statistics needed by administrative organizations such as family planning, agricultural development, irrigation, etc.

*TTC 2 ✓*

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.      2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.

Please see the detailed review of the project history, achievements and failures, prepared and distributed on January 20, 1972. The project was terminated on June 30, 1971.

*None*

*Do not have*

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To develop reliable and timely statistics for the improvement of decisionmaking in and outside the country.

*IV / I ?*

B. Have the achievements of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

While the project has been successful in many respects, it did not succeed in bringing about a general pattern of timely and reliable statistics. If it had, the country might have been more successful in its economic and social development efforts. It still is possible, with the available trained staff, to make some improvements. However, it will take a conscious effort based upon a top policy decision to marshal and wisely use Pakistan's statistical capabilities.

*NONE*