

PD-AAG-256

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT

ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR,
PIA, DR. JAROLD A. KIEFFER

FROM: PHANPOP, R. T. Ravenholt

Problem: The rapidly increasing demand for condoms in AID-supported family planning programs exceeds the existing capability of American manufacturers, who are not inclined to expand their capacities under the term contract procedure presently in effect.

Discussion: Currently, condoms are provided to Mission and grantee programs under a term contract with a manufacturer, wherein the contractor agrees to provide the commodity for a guaranteed price for a fixed period of time based upon an estimate of requirements. Manufacture of the commodity is initiated only after the receipt of a USAID PIO/C or a grantee purchase order. The present demand for condoms as defined in incoming PIO/Cs and cables greatly exceeds the present production capability of a single American manufacturer. The potential availability of condoms from the American market is further restricted by the fact that only one manufacturer has installed the equipment necessary to produce the 49 mm size condom required for the Asian programs.

Discussions with members of American industry elicit the attitudes that, from a business point of view, it is unwise to invest in the equipment and to hire and train the personnel necessary to increase production capability without some indication that the future requirement will be adequate to amortize the capital outlay and yield a reasonable profit. Businessmen emphasize the fact that the purpose of their existence is to make a profit and, while they agree with the objectives of the AID worldwide family planning program, they cannot forego the realization that the domestic market is the basis of their livelihood.

To insure the continuing availability of condoms following the completion of deliveries under the contract (May 31, 1974) which presently supplies standard American condoms to the program, the General Services Administration (GSA) has been authorized to proceed with the development of a term contract utilizing all

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT

USAID- and grantee-funded documents on hand (totalling \$1,600,000). Additional requirements, not supported by funded requests, total ~~1.3 million gross~~ \$1.7 million). Requirements for central condom procurement total . million (1.8 million gross) as of 3/15/74.

We have reviewed with GSA all available alternatives for procurement of condoms, and it is concluded that the only feasible way to assure program continuity is through the central funding procedure developed jointly by the Office of Commodity Management (SER/COM), SER/FM and PHA and approved on May 9, 1973 by the Deputy Administrator for use in the procurement of oral contraceptives.

Under this procedure, modified for condom procurement:

1. AID would determine from each cooperating country and grantee an estimate of the quantity of condoms required to meet program needs for the coming fiscal year. A fiscal year basis will be used in estimating condom requirements instead of the calendar year basis used for orals because the production lead times are shorter for condoms. For example, FY 74 obligations will be used to contract for FY 75 delivery requirements for condoms and FY 75 delivery requirements for orals.

In the case of cooperating countries, Missions would obtain from appropriate government officials an indication that the host government wants, can distribute, and will use the estimated quantity of condoms requested. Missions would evaluate recipient country requests in light of anticipated needs and would satisfy themselves that the recipient has the ability to distribute the condoms requested (this would meet the requirements of Section 611 of the FAA).

In the case of grantees, PHA would obtain an estimate and determine a grantee's distribution capability.

Transportation costs for all condom supplies would be estimated.

2. Based on the requirements defined in 1 above, PHA would allot funds to permit GSA to contract for condoms under a fixed quantity contract and transport them to destinations. Funds would not be allotted to bilateral programs or obligated to grantees for these purposes, as is done under the present system.

3. Prior to shipment of condoms, Missions would sign Project Agreements with cooperating countries specifying the quantity of

condoms (and transportation costs) to be delivered pursuant to their earlier estimates. Similarly, AID/W would sign grant agreements with grantees.

4. The Office of Financial Management (SER/FM) will follow the same procedure as for oral procurement, under which the cost of condoms, plus shipping costs, will be attributed to each bilateral program or grantee.

At this time, the proposed central funding procedure will require ~~\$1,200,000~~ ^{\$2,766,000} for the purchase and shipment of ~~710,000~~ gross of condoms.

Recommendations:

1. That you approve central procurement of condoms.
2. That you approve the ^{administrative} expenditure of ~~\$1,200,000~~ ^{of \$2,766,000} for the procurement and shipment of condoms.

Approved: [Signature] *is per memo of 3/21/74*

Disapproved: _____

Date: 3/21/74

PIA/POP/FPSD:CAWright/JVan der Lugt:lv:2/15/74

- Clearances:
- AFR/DP:JGovan ff Date: 3/6/74
 - AA/SA:GZimmerly ff Date: _____
 - ASIA/DP:ASiakow _____ Date: _____
 - AA/LA:MHacker _____ Date: _____
 - SER/COM:WSchmeisser _____ Date: _____
 - GC/PIA:ARichstein _____ Date: _____
 - AA/PPC:PBarnbaum _____ Date: _____
 - PPC/DPR:AHandley _____ Date: _____
 - SER/FM:MHayden (draft) Date: 3/13/74

March 20, 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: AA/PIA, Dr. Jarold A. Kieffer
FROM: PHA/PRS, Mary Fowler /
SUBJECT: Central Procurement of Condoms

Attached is POP's request for your approval to (1) establish central procurement of condoms and (2) reserve \$2.766 million of FY 1974 funds to initiate the system. The system for condoms would operate in basically the same manner as that for oral contraceptives--e.g. funds would no longer be allotted to Missions or Grantees for purchase of condoms; instead AID/W, based on Mission/host country or Grantee estimates or non-funded PIO/Cs would purchase condoms in bulk and arrange for shipment to the appropriate Missions/Grantees. The only difference will be that the timeframe for estimating condom delivery requirements will be a fiscal year basis instead of the calendar year basis used with orals because PPC wishes us to keep forward funding for condoms to the minimum necessary to meet program needs. The fiscal year basis can be used in the case of condoms because the production lead time from the signing of a contract with a supplier to initial shipment of condoms is shorter than in the case for orals. This means that FY 74 obligations will be used to contract for FY 75 delivery requirements for condoms and CY 75 delivery requirements for orals.

I have made some changes on the memo to clarify the timeframe to be used with condoms; to reflect the latest estimates of condom requirements in hand; and to revise the amount of FY 74 funds which POP wishes to reserve at this time for condom procurement. POP concurs in these changes.

All Regional Bureaus, PPC, GC, and SER have cleared POP's first recommendation on establishing in principle a central procurement mechanism for condoms. All but SAB and PPC cleared the second recommendation relating to use of \$4.2 million (now

revised to \$2.766 million) of FY 1974 funds to initiate the system. These two Bureaus did not clear on this specific point because POP did not include in the package which was circulated for clearance the documentation, as required by the procedures on pp. 2 and 3 of the action memo, to support reservation of funds in this amount.

In addition, PPC suggests that PHA (1) explore the prospects for procurement of contraceptives from LDCs in the geographic areas in which they will be used and ascertain the effects of U.S. bulk procurement for LDC condom production; and (2) review with SER/FM the method (devised by SER/FM) for attributing orals and condoms procured in bulk to user programs to see if a simpler approach could be adopted.

We now have from POP, and are satisfied with, the documentation to support a specific obligation of funds. Cables and letters received to date from Missions/Grantees indicate delivery requirements through CY 1975 for centrally-funded condoms of nearly 2.7 million gross. Correcting this to the fiscal year basis which we have agreed to use for ordering condoms, we would need to purchase with FY 74 funds about 1.8 million gross for delivery in FY 75. At a probable cost of \$3.50 per gross, dollar obligation needed in FY 74 would be about \$6.3 million. (This would be in addition to purchase of some \$1.7 million worth of condoms via Mission/Grantee-funded orders which were received prior to the proposed switch to central procurement).

There is, however, a problem in reserving \$6.3 million of FY 74 funds at this time to cover FY 75 condom delivery requirements. In our current OYB we have only \$2.766 million programmed for central procurement of condoms, some \$3.5 million short of the needed \$6.3 million. Substantial reprogramming of funds would be necessary to accommodate the full \$6.3 million.

At this point we do not have a precise fix on (a) how much will be needed for oral contraceptives from FY 74 funds (\$11.2 million is programmed in the OYB) and (b) amounts that may become available for reprogramming by the end of the

fiscal year for one reason or another. Therefore, POP suggests and I agree that we should go ahead now with the \$2.766 million in the OYB for centrally-funded condoms, and see if additional funds can be made available later in the year. The \$2.766 will be added to the \$1.7 million of Mission/Grantee-funded orders to make a total package of about \$4.5 million against which U.S. industry will be asked to bid. This should be an adequate bid level to help us get a more precise idea of the interest and capability of U.S. condom manufacturers to meet A.I.D.'s growing requirements and the likely cost per gross on significantly larger orders than we've placed in the past.

Therefore I recommend that you approve POP's memo as revised but add the following conditions, which are spelled out in the attached memo to Ravenholt for your signature, to be satisfied by the end of FY 74.

1. POP should explore and report findings/recommendations to you on possibilities for LDC condom procurement.
2. POP should discuss with PPC and SER/FM the problems with the current system of attributing centrally-funded orals and condoms to user programs to determine if a simpler method could be employed.
3. POP should ascertain how much of the quantity purchased for \$2.766 million will be allocated to individual country programs, and process the necessary OYB changes after obtaining Regional Bureau clearances to reflect the allocations.

Conditions 1. and 2. will satisfy the points on local LDC production and attribution procedures raised in PPC's memo. PPC agrees that we can initiate bulk procurement now and look at these questions by the end of the fiscal year. Condition 3 would normally have to be done prior to obligation of funds under the Agency's OYB procedures. However, since the quantity of condoms which we can get for \$2.766 will not be precisely

known until the contract is finalized, and in any case that quantity will be short of presently estimated requirements through FY 75, we will have to sort out at a later date which programs will get how much of the smaller pie. PPC agrees we can do this after the condom contract is finalized.

cc: Mrs. Crowley

MAR 21 74

MEMORANDUM FOR: AA/PIA, Mr. Jarold Kieffer
FROM : AA/PPC, Philip Birnbaum
SUBJECT : Bulk Procurement of Condoms

Your proposal for the bulk procurement of condoms appears justified in the same manner as the one for orals which was cleared by the Deputy Administrator in May of 1973.

I would hope that bulk procurement of contraceptives in the U.S. market would not discourage the exploration of alternative local sources of supply--for example, Korea as a source for the Asian area. I suggest that PIA explore the prospects for procurement of contraceptives in the geographic areas in which they will be used, and ascertain the effects that bulk procurement in the United States will be likely to have on regional procurement. It is not AID policy to encourage dependence of IDC's on U.S. sources for contraceptives. However there appear to be advantages of cost and efficiency in switching to bulk procurement in those cases where local sources of supply are not feasible. For this reason, I am clearing recommendation no. 1 of Dr. Ravensholt's Action Memorandum to you which approves in principle central procurement of condoms, subject to your obtaining the clearance of SER/PM (which will be closely involved in implementing the procedure).

I concur with the use of FY 1975 funds for bulk procurement of condoms only if (a) the forward funding is reduced to one year after the end of the fiscal year in which funds are obligated, and (b) you are satisfied that this specific funding requirement is supported by the estimates or non-funded PIO/C's from Missions/Grantees for one year of forward funding. This supporting documentation must accompany the PIO/C for administrative reservation of the funds before the Controller will certify funds available.

One further problem is the system of attributing the orals or condoms which are procured in bulk to the user programs at the time they are shipped. Since there can be long lead-times between the central obligation of funds and shipments of contraceptives, funds are not attributed to user programs during the same fiscal year in which they are obligated. For program budgeting and C.P. exercises such as we are now going through, this causes a great deal of inconvenience and manual tabulation to arrive at get unattributable contraceptive costs to user programs. While resolution of this problem need not

hold up initiation of the bulk procurement of condoms, I recommend that by the end of FY 1974 PIA, PPC, and SER/FM should develop a procedure to deal with this problem and apply it to both oral and condoms.

cc: SER/FM, Mr. Brown
SER/FM, Mr. Hayden

Concurrences: PPC/DPRE:Alkaidly
PPC/RB:CSBiddle

3 APR 74

MEMORANDUM FOR: PHA/POP, R.T. Ravenholt, M.D.

FROM: AA/PIIA, Jarrod A. Kieffer

SUBJECT: Central Procurement of Condoms

Your recommendations to (1) establish central procurement of condoms and (2) reserve \$2.766 million of FY 74 funds to initiate the new system are approved with the conditions noted below. Approval is based on information in your action memorandum of 3-8-74 (as revised), and supplementary documentation provided to PRS indicating Mission/Grantee condom delivery requirements through FY 75 in excess of \$2.766 million.

The conditions of approval are:

1. POP will explore and report findings/recommendations to me by the end of FY 74 on:

- (a). The prospects for procurement of contraceptives from LDCs in the geographic areas in which they will be used, and the effect that bulk procurement in the U.S. is likely to have on local LDC condom production.
- (b). The problems in the current system of attributing centrally-procured orals and condoms to user programs, with a view to developing with PPC and SER/FM procedures to handle these problems for application to both orals and condoms.

2. After the contract for condom procurement is finalized and before the end of the fiscal year, POP will ascertain how much of the quantity purchased for \$2.766 million will be allocated to individual country programs, and process the necessary OYB changes after obtaining Regional Bureau clearances to reflect these allocations.

Condition 1 stems from points raised by PPC (see attached memo). Condition 2 is to comply with the Agency's OYB procedures.

cc: PHA/PRS, Mowler

STATE - A.I.D. - USIA ROUTING SLIP				DATE 9/5/74		
TO:	Name or Title	Jrgen, Symbol	Room No.	Bldg.	Initials	Date
1.	Jake Van der Vlugt					
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
Approval		For Your Information		Note and Return		
As Requested		Initial for Clearance		X Per Conversation		
Comment		Investigate		Prepare Reply		
File		Justify		See Me		
For Correction		Necessary Action		Signature		
REMARKS OR ADDITIONAL ROUTING						
<p>Please review and call any changes to Ray Martin so we can finalize. Please make sure Brackett's people can live with my statement on p. 1.</p> <p>Also, Dr. Kieffer would like to have prior to his September 18 AAC briefing on population a list of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Estimated contraceptive (orals and condoms) procurement of other donors and organizations (FY 74 and 75). 2. All major contraceptive (orals and condoms) manufacturers, U.S. and foreign. 						
cc: R Backlund, PHA/POP, RMartin, PHA/PRS						
FROM: (Name and Org. Symbol)			ROOM NO. & BLDG.		PHONE NO.	
Mary Fowler, PHA/PRS			3916		20990	

FY 1975 Title X Funded Oral Contraceptive and
Condom Requirements

Theory of "Planning for Success"

Over the past year PHA has encouraged Missions to "plan for success" in terms of providing increasing numbers of LDC populations with effective contraceptive supplies, particularly oral contraceptives (OC's) and condoms. Such planning involves:

- Calculating quantities of contraceptives needed on the basis of expanding numbers of acceptors rather than on past usage rates. PHA's target for OC coverage from all sources in a given country is 10% of the estimated fertile population (age 15-49) by the end of 1975 with a ^{1%}~~1%~~ annual increase thereafter. The target for condom coverage is 5% of the fertile population. Achieving an annual fertile population coverage rate of at least 15% would reduce a country's population growth rate by .5 to 1.0% annually, depending on such demographic factors as the age distribution of the country's population. These targets for LDC contraceptive coverage are relatively modest, particularly compared to coverage in the U.S. where, for example, it is estimated that 25% of the female population use OC's.

*Check
Kosel
P...*

Maintaining a year's supply of contraceptives in-country and a year's supply on order to avoid a detrimental hiatus in program supplies (especially in OC's), and to help overcome the "hoarding" mentality which a short supply situation tends to create.

-- Ordering contraceptives up to 24 months in advance of required shipment dates to allow adequate time for consolidation of bulk procurement requests, contracting action by GSA, and the long lead times needed by industry to manufacture very large quantities of OC's and condoms.

Amescom interval??

Dollar Requirements of "Planning for Success"

To provide sufficient quantities of OC's and condoms to meet even the modest coverage target of 17% by 1977 would require approximately \$180 million in FY 1975 funding. Even if LDC programs could expand rapidly enough to recruit the number of users implied by the coverage target, and even if other donors and organizations increased their levels of contraceptive support, the U.S. could not fund the large balance considering probable FY 1975 funding limitations. Moreover, even if funds were available, industry could not meet the production requirements in the specified timeframe without further capital investment.

[Note: 180 does not include contributions from other sources]

While attaining the 17% coverage target by 1977 is obviously not possible in light of FY 1975 funding limitations and industry's production capabilities, the costs involved provide a framework for reviewing actual requests received for FY 1975 funding.

Actual Requests Received

The AID OC and condom procurement requirement in FY 1975 for all countries for which Field Budget Submissions were prepared is about \$38 million, after adjustments for standard usage rates (13 monthly cycles of orals or

42
[Note: this is 38 from om task 250 of 8/30 plus additional plus 4 from Davis figure 44]

100 condoms per user per year), current costs (\$.20 per cycle of orals delivered and \$5 per gross of condoms delivered), and pipeline resulting from FY 1973/74 bulk procurements. The \$~~38~~⁴² million, in addition to estimated FY 1975 grantee contraceptive needs of about \$9 million, plus some \$11 million of unmet FY 1974 Mission and grantee condom requests, result in total AID requirements of \$~~38~~⁶² million for FY 1975 to meet projected usage needs through CY 1977.

Inability to Fully Accommodate Actual Requests in FY 1975

Although the actual request levels are considerably less than what would be needed under the "planning for success" formula, even these levels cannot be accommodated in FY 1975 at either the \$137.5 million or \$112.5 million level. At the higher FY 1975 level, we are currently budgeting \$37 million for contraceptives; at the lower level we can provide only \$21 million for contraceptives. To determine which country programs should be cut back from the requested supply, the raw list priority ranking of countries was compared with the projected percent of success in reaching the target coverage of 17% by 1977. Lower priority countries which came closest to meeting the target coverage were cut back first. For condoms (which are considered of lower priority than orals) all FY 1977 usage requirements were subtracted from FBS requests and it was also necessary to cut into FY 1976 usage projections for countries with large requirements.

Impact of Cuts

The net result of the cuts made in contraceptive procurement to fit projected FY 1975 funding availabilities is that condom supplies are expected to be entirely inadequate and oral contraceptive supplies will not adhere to the policy of maintaining one year's supply in-country and one year's supply on order. This situation will very likely result in shortages or a supply hiatus where usage figures have been misjudged or unexpected procurement/logistical problems develop.

Drafted: PHA/POP/FPSD:JVander Vlugt

Revised: PHA/PRS:MFowler

9/5/74

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : A/AID, Mr. John Murphy

DATE: December 30, 1974

FROM : PPC/DPRE, Arthur Handly *AH*

SUBJECT: Evaluation Planning

At our recent meeting to discuss planning for evaluation, you queried three activities in PHA which we had described as being "technical support oriented" and therefore not requiring evaluation. You queried the compatibility of these activities with present ground rules on financing program activities. The use of the term "technical support" in connection with the three activities was in error since they are not technical support projects in the sense traditionally understood in the Agency. The details of the projects as described to us by PHA are:

1. Project Nos. 932-11-570-982 (Bulk Procurement of Oral Contraceptives) and 932-11-570-~~613~~ (Bulk Procurement of Condoms) were initiated in FYs 1973 and 1974 respectively to provide a centralized funding mechanism for the procurement of these contraceptives for use in country and grantee programs.

The bulk procurement mechanisms were necessitated by the rapidly increasing demand for orals and condoms in AID-supported programs which the U.S. manufacturers were unable to meet when orders were placed individually by Missions and grantees. The bulk procurement system helps overcome this problem by amalgamating at a given point during the fiscal year the projected contraceptive requirements of all AID-supported country and grantee programs for the subsequent fiscal or calendar year, and placing a bulk order with manufacturers through GSA. This gives U.S. industry adequate advance notice of AID's needs to allow them to expand production capability. In addition, AID has benefitted from price decreases due to the economies of scale involved in manufacturing large quantities of contraceptives when adequate lead-time is provided.

These projects serve only as a centralized funding and procurement mechanism -- they are not used to justify quantities needed. Justification of quantities needed and in-country distribution of commodities are the responsibility of country/mission and grantee programs;



these functions are reviewed as an integral part of AID's regular evaluations of Mission and grantee population projects. PHA has now scheduled an evaluation to determine the contribution of the two central funding and procurement mechanisms in achieving program objectives and program effectiveness. The evaluation of the oral procurement mechanism is scheduled for October 1975 and the condom procurement mechanism for August 1975.

2. Project No. 932-11-570-002, Population and Family Planning Support, provides a mechanism for timely response to essential requirements which cannot be handled under existing projects or which are not suitable for formal project presentations -- e.g. procurement of technical equipment and contraceptives for field testing, development of improvements in new equipment under consideration for use in Title X programs, and special demonstration activities.

Originally the project also provided technical reference materials to Missions and AID/W offices involved in population work. However, early in FY 1975 operations-related costs, such as books and subscriptions, were shifted to SER/MO's allotment of operating expense funds in order to achieve a clearer differentiation between program and operations costs.

Due to the divergent and unique nature of the many individual actions carried out under this project, PHA continues to believe that it is not feasible to do a standard evaluation against predetermined performance criteria for this project.

3. Project No. 932-11-570-877, Field Support Technical Assistance, finances procurement of advisory services from the American Public Health Association (APHA). Services are provided only in response to country requests and are chiefly of a "trouble shooting" nature in highly technical areas. For example, a country where women suddenly reject certain types of contraceptives may request APHA help to diagnose the cause and to recommend a new approach or other types of contraceptives. The contract has provided a quick way to get technical experts into the field for needed consultations.

While Missions requesting APHA consultants informally assess the quality of services provided in response to the specific problems or needs which they have identified, no formal evaluation of the entire project has been made. Therefore, PHA is planning to evaluate the project in February 1975 with a view to determining if this is the most cost-effective method of providing the necessary technical services.

cc:

PPC/DPRE, AHandly

PPC/DPRE, AShakow

← PHA/PRS, MFowler

PPC/DPRE, WJF

October 29, 1975

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

THRU: ES
FROM: DAA/PHA, Henry S. Hendler *HS*
SUBJECT: Contraceptive Commodity Activities

A. Condom Acquisition

A contract statement was awarded on October 22, 1975 for a total of 2,432,036 gross of condoms at a cost of \$6,999,999.88. The high purchase from American manufacturers of colored condoms during 1974 was \$6.37 and the low was \$4.35; for non-colored the high was \$5.90 and the low \$4.02. This contract was consummated at \$2.96 per gross for colored condoms and \$2.83 per gross for non-colored condoms. This does not seem to reflect the increase in cost of packaging, film or lubricants. The contract was bid through standard procedures of the GSA.

B. Commercial Distribution Activities

Akweli Industries has submitted an unsolicited proposal regarding commercial distribution of contraceptives for our consideration. We are now engaged in reviewing it.

Syntex Vice President Stephen Corless has had a meeting with Dr. Suwardjono, Chairman of the National Planning Coordinating Board in Indonesia approximately October 14, 1975. Discussions were had regarding the possibility of making arrangements with the Government of Indonesia with regard to supplying them with bulk steroids and the assistance toward establishing a domestic manufacturing capability. Mr. Corless has reported that a mutuality was established and they are considering the next step.

Mr. Corless also met with the President of Pharmex in Manila, the Philippines, to discuss the distribution of oral contraceptives in the Philippines. He stated that they have had a successful meeting of the minds and are trying to structure a joint venture.

On my trip to the Philippines I was informed that Japanese manufacturers are exploring their market at the present time and have evidenced desires to expand their operations there.

cc: A/AID, Mr. Parker
AA/PHA, Mrs. Crowley
DA/AID, Mr. Parks

File
Bulk Procurement
Center

M.H.F.

DEC 10 1975

INFORMATION MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR

THRU : EXSEC

FROM : AA/PPC, *Ashe* Alexander Shakow

SUBJECT : Lower Negotiated Prices for Condoms--Implications for Budget Savings

REFERENCE: Hendler/Murphy, Information Memorandum, October 29, 1975

A. FY 1976

PHA's current FY 1976 budget is \$12.3 million for purchase of condoms and transport costs. The FY 1975 program requirements were based on USAID and grantee requests for 3.3 million gross of condoms. In October 1975, however, USAID/Islamabad notified AID/Washington that an additional 1.7 million gross were required for the Pakistan program. Thus, total requirements are now 5 million.

Based on previous condom procurements, PHA anticipated an average price of \$4.22 gross. At that price, the budget would have allowed purchase of only 2.8 million gross condoms (at purchase cost of approximately \$11.7 million plus \$.6 million for transport). Through contract negotiations, the price has been lowered to \$2.90 gross. At this lower price, the same volume would cost \$8.0 million. PHA plans to use the savings of \$3.7 million to fill the entire original FY 1976 field requirement and to meet part of the Pakistan request.

The current total Title X budget for FY 1976 is \$135.7. Depending on the final appropriation level, this budget may be reduced. It is premature for PHA to plan to apply all the savings to additional condom procurement. At the time of the OYB we will consider PHA's plans, assess the total population program needs, and make recommendations.

DEC 11 8 49 AM '75

AA/PIIA

The FY 1976 condom budget at \$2.90/gross can be summarized:

<u>Program</u>	<u>Cost</u> (\$ 000)	<u>No. Condoms</u> (gross)
Aug. 1975 Transport Cost	636	
Oct. 1975 Condom Procurement	7,000	2,432,036
Anticipated Jan. 1976 Condom Procurement	800	275,856
Anticipated Spring 1976 Condom Procurement	<u>3,864</u>	<u>1,332,413</u>
	12,300	4,040,305

B. FY 1977

The OMB Submission includes a budget of \$17.7 million for condoms and transportation. FY 1977 condom requests total 3.5 million gross.

If the most recent bid price for condoms (\$2.90/gross) remains constant, the required condoms will cost only \$10.2 million (plus approximately \$2.6 million for transport), a potential savings of \$4.9 million. This savings will help PHA reduce the Title X program to the lower level that will be accommodated within our final FY 1977 mark from OMB. (We calculate a reduction from \$170.5 to \$152.4 for the total Title X program).

PHA tells us that they might consider some reprogramming and apply the savings to additional condom procurement in order to achieve the following:

- (1) Provide additional condoms to the Pakistan, Indonesian, and Philippine programs, as recent reports indicate substantial increases in condom usage in these programs;
- (2) Provide sufficient supplies for a start-up of additional commercial distribution systems.

We believe that a wider variety of options should be considered including both non-contraceptive Title X programs (interregional and bilateral) and other agency programs.

PPC/RB/ABUCKLAND:b1h:12/10/75

cc:AA/PHA, Ms. Crowley

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 1		2. EFFECTIVE DATE		3. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NO.		4. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
5. ISSUED BY PHA Branch, Central Operations Division Office of Contract Management Agency for International Development Washington, D.C. 20523				6. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than block 5) CODE			
7. CONTRACTOR NAME AND ADDRESS <i>(Street, city, county, state, and ZIP Code)</i> Superintendence Company, Inc. 17 Battery Place North New York, NY 10004				FACILITY CODE		8. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <input type="checkbox"/> DATED _____ (See block 9) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AID/CM/pha-C-1073 DATED 09/10/74 (See block 11)	

9. THIS BLOCK APPLIES ONLY TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in block 12. The hour and date specified for receipt of offers is extended, is not extended. Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation, or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By signing and returning _____ copies of this amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted, or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE ISSUING OFFICE PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If, by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided such telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

10. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
N/A

11. THIS BLOCK APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS

(a) This Change Order is issued pursuant to _____
The changes set forth in block 12 are made to the above numbered contract/order.

(b) The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) set forth in block 12.

(c) This Supplemental Agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of **FAA of 1961, as amended, and Executive Order No. 11223**
It modifies the above numbered contract as set forth in block 12.

12. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION
ARTICLE I - STATEMENT OF WORK AND CONDITIONS OF PERFORMANCE

Under subhead D. "AREAS WHERE INSPECTIONS WILL BE PERFORMED", paragraph 1.b.(4) delete in its entirety, and in lieu thereof, insert the following:

"(4) Dong Shin Jin Young Co., Ltd.
Seoul, Korea".

VERIFIED & TRUE COPY
14th DAY OF December, 74
BY Dennis Colbert

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in block 8, as heretofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

13. <input type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT AND RETURN 5 COPIES TO ISSUING OFFICE	
14. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR E. Prifer <i>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</i>		17. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA USA <i>(Signature of Contracting Officer)</i>	
15. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) E. Prifer - Manager of Operations		18. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) GERALD P. GOLD	
16. DATE SIGNED 11/8/74		19. DATE SIGNED NOV 12 1974	

AS AMENDED, AND ORDER NO. (including Residuals) \$627,500

FOR: Condoms (latex lubricated)

CONTRACTING OFFICE (Name and Address) 1234 Main St Central Operations Division Office of Contract Management Agency for International Development Washington, D.C. 20523	CONTRACTOR (Name and Address) LONGRUE TRADING CO., LTD. NAME 18, Chungjin-dong, Chongro-ku STREET ADDRESS Seoul, Korea CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE
ISSUAL OFFICE /SP (Bureau for Population and Humanitarian Assistance)	EFFECTIVE DATE: 12 August 1974 COMPLETION DATE: 31 December 1974
COUCHERS (Original and 3 copies) Controller, U.S.A.I.D./Korea American Embassy Seoul, Korea	ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA Amount Obligated: \$627,500 PIO/CNO. 932-613-4-3257501 APPROPRIATION NO. 72-11X4703.1 ALLOTMENT NO. 352-50-391-00-11.53

TYPES OF BUSINESS (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX(ES))

<input type="checkbox"/> SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP	<input type="checkbox"/> SMALL BUSINESS
<input type="checkbox"/> PARTNERSHIP	<input type="checkbox"/> LABOR SURPLUS AREA
<input type="checkbox"/> JOINT VENTURE	
<input type="checkbox"/> CORPORATION INCORPORATED IN THE COUNTRY OF KOREA	

The United States of America, hereinafter called the Government, represented by the Contracting Officer executing this contract, and the Contractor agree that the Contractor shall perform all the services set forth in the attached Schedule, for the consideration stated therein. The rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be subject to and governed by the Schedule and the General Provisions. To the extent of any inconsistency between the Schedule or the General Provisions and any other provisions or other provisions which are made a part of this contract, by reference or otherwise, the Schedule and the General Provisions shall control. To the extent of any inconsistency between the Schedule and the General Provisions, the Schedule shall control.

This Contract consists of this Cover Page, the Schedule of 12 Pages, including the Table of Contents and the General Provisions Attachment D.

NAME OF CONTRACTOR LONGRUE TRADING CO., LTD.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Signature of authorized individual <i>Gerald P. Gold</i>	BY (Signature of Contracting Officer) <i>Gerald P. Gold</i>
TYPED OR PRINTED NAME GERALD P. GOLD	TYPED OR PRINTED NAME GERALD P. GOLD
Contracting Director	CONTRACTING OFFICER
DATE 12 August 1974	DATE 12 August 1974

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS
 3rd DAY OF September, 74
 BY *Denise Colbert*

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE

ARTICLE	I	STATEMENT OF WORK
ARTICLE	II	PERIOD OF CONTRACT
ARTICLE	III	DELIVERY SCHEDULE
ARTICLE	IV	CONTRACT PRICE
ARTICLE	V	METHOD OF PAYMENT
ARTICLE	VI	PACKAGING
ARTICLE	VII	MARKING
ARTICLE	VIII	INSPECTION AND QUALITY CONTROL
ARTICLE	IX	DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION AND SHIPPING INSTRUCTIONS
ARTICLE	X	OCEAN AND/OR AIR TRANSPORTATION
ARTICLE	XI	MARINE INSURANCE
ARTICLE	XII	SPECIAL PROVISIONS

GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. DEFINITIONS
2. CHANGES
3. EXTRAS
4. VARIATION IN QUANTITY
5. INSPECTION
6. RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUPPLIES
7. EXAMINATION OF RECORDS BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL
8. SUBCONTRACTS
9. ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS
10. TERMINATION FOR CONVENIENCE OF THE GOVERNMENT
11. DEFAULT
12. DISPUTES
13. NOTICE AND ASSISTANCE REGARDING PATENT AND COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
14. PRICING OF ADJUSTMENTS
15. CONVICT LABOR
16. OFFICIALS NOT TO BENEFIT

The Contractor shall provide, mark, pack, insure and transport 270,000 condoms which shall conform to S.I.D.A. specifications (Attachment A) shall meet the following requirements:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Width</u>	<u>Color</u>	<u>(Gross) Quantity</u>	<u>Delivery Point</u>
Latex, lubricated, with reservoir end (teat); overall length minimum 100mm; wall thickness 0.02mm in accordance with S.I.D.A. specifications.	52mm*	Colored (no green or black)	70,000	Pakistan
as above.	49mm*	Colored (no black)	100,000	Thailand
as above.	49mm*	Natural	<u>100,000</u>	Bangladesh
	*+1mm	TOTAL	<u><u>270,000</u></u>	

II - PERIOD OF CONTRACT

This contract is effective on the date of signature of the parties on Page of this contract and all condoms shall be furnished and delivered on or before 31 December 1974 in accordance with Article III "Delivery Schedule".

III - DELIVERY SCHEDULE

The Contractor shall provide the condoms in accordance with the delivery schedule so that they are produced, tested, inspected, exported and delivered to the port of exit for transportation to:

(52mm) Colored)

	<u>Gross</u>
31 October 1974	20,000
30 November 1974	25,000
31 December 1974	<u>25,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>70,000</u>

Thailand (49mm) (Colored)

30 September 1974	50,000
31 October 1974	<u>50,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>100,000</u>

Bangladesh (49mm) (Natural)

30 November 1974	50,000
31 December 1974	<u>50,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>100,000</u>

ARTICLE IV - CONTRACT PRICE

A. Fixed Price

The fixed price for the condoms to be provided hereunder, which is an all inclusive price except for those reimbursable costs specified under Paragraph B of this Article IV, shall be as follows:

1. 170,000 gross colored @ \$2.25 per gross \$382,500
2. 100,000 gross natural @ \$2.15 per gross \$215,000

Total Fixed Price \$597,500

B. Reimbursable Costs

The total estimated cost to this contract for the following items which shall be paid on a reimbursable basis is \$30,000:

1. Marine Insurance (BANGLADESH ONLY)
2. Ocean and/or Air Transportation
3. Emblems (Marking)

C. The total price of this contract, including, the total Fixed Price and Reimbursable Costs, Paragraphs A. and B. above, is \$627,500.

ARTICLE V - METHOD OF PAYMENT

A. Claims for payment for providing, insuring, marking, and transporting the condoms may be submitted to the paying office indicated on the Cover Page of the contract. Claims may be submitted after each shipment of condoms and shall be supported by the following documents:

1. U.S. Government Standard For Voucher SF-1034 in original and three (3) copies.
2. One copy of actual invoices for each separate payment transaction (condom unit fixed prices), ocean and/or air transportation, marking and marine insurance, if applicable.
3. One copy of the bill of lading evidencing shipment from the source country port of exit to the consignee as designated in the AID Form 11-94, Attachment B.
4. A.I.D. Supplier's Certificate Form 282, Attachment C.
5. Inspection Certificate by AID designated Inspector.

B. Each invoice shall:

(1) identify the applicable A.I.D. contract number, (2) be properly executed, (3) indicate the commodities and services for which compensation is claimed, (4) indicate the paragraph of the contract under which payment is requested (Article IV) and, when appropriate, (5) have a fully itemized statement of costs. The invoice shall include a certification signed by an authorized representative of the Contractor as follows:

"The undersigned hereby certifies: (1) that payment of the sum claimed under the cited contract is proper and due and that appropriate refund to A.I.D. will be made promptly upon request of A.I.D. in the event of nonperformance, in whole or in part, under the contract or for any breach of the terms of the contract, (2) that information on the invoice is correct and such detailed supporting information as A.I.D. may require will be furnished by the Contractor's home office or base office as appropriate promptly to A.I.D. on request and (3) that all requirements called for by the contract to the date of this certification have been met.

BY _____

TITLE _____

DATE _____ "

C. Reimbursable costs (Article IV B) shall be payable to the contractor in accordance with the above procedure and shall be determined by the Contracting Officer to be allowable in accordance with:

Subpart FPR 1-15-.2 (Principles and Procedures for Use in Cost Reimbursement Type Supply and Research Contracts with Commercial Organizations) of the Federal Procurement Regulations as in effect on the date of this Contract; and

(2) The terms of this Contract.

D. The Contractor agrees that any refunds, rebates, credits, or other amounts (including any interest thereon) accruing to or received by the Contractor or any assignee under this Contract shall be paid by the Contractor to the Government, to the extent that they are properly allocable to costs for which the Contractor has been reimbursed by the Government under this Contract. Reasonable expenses incurred by the Contractor for the purpose of securing such refunds, rebates, credits, or other amounts shall be allowable costs hereunder when approved by the Contracting Officer. Prior to final payment under this Contract, the Contractor and each assignee under this Contract whose assignment is in effect at the time of final payment under this Contract shall execute and deliver:

(1) An assignment to the Government, in form and substance satisfactory to the Contracting Officer, of refunds, rebates, credits, or other amounts (including any interest thereon) properly allocable to costs for which the Contractor has been reimbursed by the Government under this Contract; and

(2) A release discharging the Government, its officers, agents, and employees from all liabilities, obligations, and claims arising out of or under this Contract, subject only to the following exceptions:

(i) Specified claims in stated amounts

amounts where the amounts are not susceptible of exact statement by the Contractor;

(ii) Claims, together with reasonable expenses incidental thereto, based upon liabilities of the Contractor to third parties arising out of the performance of this Contract; provided that such claims are not known to the Contractor on the date of the execution of the release; and provided further that the Contractor gives notice of such claims in writing to the Contracting Officer not more than six (6) years after the date of the release or the date of any notice to the Contractor that the Government is prepared to make final payment, whichever is earlier; and

(iii) Claims for reimbursement of costs (other than expenses of the Contractor by reason of his indemnification of the Government against patent liability), including reasonable expenses incidental thereto, incurred by the Contractor under the provisions of this Contract relating to patents.

E. Any dollar cost incurred by the Contractor under the terms of this Contract which would constitute allowable cost under the provisions of this clause shall be included in determining the amount payable under this Contract, notwithstanding any provisions contained in the specifications or other documents incorporated in this Contract by reference, designating services to be performed or materials to be furnished by the Contractor at expense or without cost to the Government.

F. A final voucher shall be submitted by the Contractor promptly following completion of the work under this contract but in no event later

than 180 days (or such longer period as the Contracting Officer in his discretion approve in writing) from the date of such completion. Upon receipt and approval of the voucher designated by the Contractor as the "final voucher" submitted on form SF 1034 the Government shall promptly pay to the Contractor any amounts withheld and not previously paid to the Contractor, provided, however, that the Contractor shall furnish a release, in such form and with such exceptions as may be approved by the Contracting Officer, discharging the Government of the United States, its officers, agents, and employees from all liabilities, obligations, and claims arising out of or under this contract; accompanied by a satisfactory accounting of all Government owned property, if any, which the Contractor has custodial responsibility hereunder.

G. All currency expressed in this Contract is U.S. dollars.

ARTICLE VI - PACKAGING

The condoms shall be packaged for shipment as follows:

Each piece packed in a square aluminum foil, three (3) pieces per strip, one (1) gross in a cardboard case, fifty (50) gross in a triwall carton suitable for export shipment. There shall be no trademark or other commercial identification imprinted on the aluminum foil except a brief description of the content as follows:
"Lubricated Condom".

ARTICLE VII - MARKING

A. All export cartons (see Article VI above) must carry the official A.I.D. emblem (a sample of which has been provided to the Contractor)

designed for the purpose. This identification shall be made by metal plate, decalcomania, stencil, label, tag, or other means, depending upon the nature of the surface to be marked. The emblems placed on the export carton must be legible until they reach the consignee.

B. The emblem must be large enough to be clearly visible at a reasonable distance. In addition, the export carton will indicate clearly the A.I.D. contract number in characters at least equal in height to the shipper's marks.

C. The emblem will appear in the colors shown on the samples provided to the Contractor.

ARTICLE VIII - INSPECTION AND QUALITY CONTROL

Initial inspection shall be performed by an independent inspection firm designated by the Government. Inspection will be performed prior to producer shipment and shall confirm that the condoms meet SIDA specifications. Cost related to additional inspection(s) required due to initial rejection of any consignment must be borne by the Contractor. The Contractor shall insure that the condoms shipped are in conformity with the required specifications and are shipped in a manner to assure safe deliveries as scheduled. The Contractor shall provide at least ten (10) days advance notice to the independent inspection firm prior to each production of the condoms. Also refer to General Provision No. 5 "INSPECTION".

ARTICLE IX - DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION AND SHIPPING INSTRUCTIONS

Consignee marking instructions and distribution of documents are contained in AID Form 11-94, Attachment B. Data covering each shipment

(e.g. Name of vessel, BL No., quantity, destination, and consignee) shall be provided by the Contractor by cable to the AID Office of Population (PHA/PCP/FPSD), Washington, D.C. 20523 in order that AID may notify addresses regarding the arrival of shipments to insure timely pickup at destination.

ARTICLE X - OCEAN and/or AIR TRANSPORTATION

A. Shipment shall be by means of surface transportation unless specific instructions to the contrary have been received. All airshipments must be authorized in writing by AID/W (SER/COM/TR), Washington, D.C. 20523. Circumstances where through delivery to destination by air is demonstrably less expensive than by surface should be reported to AID/W (SER/COM/TR) together with supporting evidence and request for authorization to ship by air.

B. All shipment of items procured specifically for the performance of this Contract shall be made on United States Flag Carriers. Where United States Flag Carriers are not available, or their use would result in significant delays, the Contractor shall document such facts and arrange shipment on other free world vessels.

ARTICLE XI - MARINE INSURANCE

The Contractor shall obtain marine insurance for all shipments consigned to Bangladesh only. Such insurance is not required for shipments to Pakistan and Thailand.

ARTICLE XII - SPECIAL PROVISIONS

A. LANGUAGE, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

The English language shall be used in all written communications

The parties under this contract with respect to services to be performed and with respect to all documents prepared by the Contractor except as otherwise provided in the contract or as authorized by the Contracting Officer. Wherever weights and measures are required or authorized, all quantities and measures shall be made, computed, and recorded in the metric system, unless specified otherwise in the schedule of the contract.

B. STANDARDS OF WORK

The Contractor agrees that the performance of work and services, pursuant to the requirements of this contract, shall conform to high professional standards.

C. COVENANT AGAINST CONTINGENT FEES

The Contractor warrants that no person or selling agency has been employed or retained to solicit or secure this Contract upon an agreement or understanding for a commission, percentage, brokerage, or contingent fee, excepting bona fide employees or bona fide established commercial or selling agencies maintained by the Contractor for the purpose of securing business. For breach or violation of this warranty, the Government shall have the right to annul this Contract without liability or in its discretion to deduct from the Contract price or consideration, or otherwise recover, the full amount of such commission, percentage, brokerage, or contingent fee.

D. GRATUITIES

1. The Government may, by written notice to the Contractor, terminate the right of the Contractor to proceed under this Contract if it is found, after notice and hearing, by the Administrator or his duly

- 2 -

authorized representative, that gratuities (in the form of entertainment, gifts, or otherwise) were offered or given by the Contractor, or any agent or representative of the Contractor, to any officer or employee of the Government with a view toward securing a contract or securing favorable treatment with respect to the awarding or amending, or the making of any determinations with respect to the performing of such contract; provided, that the existence of the facts upon which the Administrator or his duly authorized representative makes such findings shall be in issue and may be reviewed in any competent court.

2. In the event this contract is terminated as provided in paragraph 1. above the Government shall be entitled (i) to pursue the same remedies against the Contractor as it could pursue in the event of a breach of the contract by the Contractor, and (ii) as a penalty in addition to any other damages to which it may be entitled by law, to exemplary damages in an amount (as determined by the Administrator or his duly authorized representative) which shall be not less than three or more than ten times the cost incurred by the Contractor in providing any such gratuities to any such officer or employee.

3. The rights and remedies of the Government provided in this clause shall not be exclusive and are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or under this contract.

E. NOTICES

Any notice given by any of the parties hereunder shall be sufficient only if in writing and delivered in person or sent by telegraph, cable, or registered or regular mail as follows:

1. To A.I.D.:

Administrator
Agency for International Development
Washington, D.C. 20523
Attention: Contracting Officer (the name of the

Designated Contracting Officer)

2. To Contractor:

At Contractor's address shown on the Cover Page of this Contract or to such other address as either of such parties shall designate by notice given herein required. Notices hereunder shall be effective when delivered in accordance with this clause or on the effective date of the notice, whichever is later.

D. DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION AND SHIPPING INSTRUCTIONS
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1. DATE: 1974
 2. U.S. AID PROGRAM/PROJECT: PIA/POP/FFSD
 Agency for International Development
 Department of State
 Washington, D.C. 20523

IMPORTANT: This form shall be completed by the U.S. AID Liaison Office and attached to all requests for commodities (PIA and P/O/C's) submitted for supply action. A separate form is required for each ultimate consignee receiving material.

3. U.S. AID PROGRAM/PROJECT: PIA/POP/FFSD
 613-4-3257501
 (302-40000)

4. DOCUMENT CONTROL NO. (leave blank)

5. NAME SURFACE: **Shipping Transmittal**
 TO: **Secretary**
Ministry of Health & Family Planning
Bangladesh Secretariat
Dhaka, Bangladesh

6. MARK FOR FINAL DESTINATION: **PIA/C 3257502**
 Same as Block 5
PORT OF ENTRY: CRITTAGONG

(Natural)

7. QUANTITY: **50,000 gross, size 49 mm./per month for 2 months**
 8. U.S. AID PROGRAM/PROJECT: **PIA/POP/FFSD**

9. U.S. AID PROGRAM/PROJECT: **PIA/POP/FFSD**
 Agency for International Development
 Department of State
 Washington, D.C. 20523

10. ADDRESS TO WHICH BILLING SHOULD BE SENT:
Controller,
U.S.A.I.D./Korea
American Embassy
Seoul, Korea

SHIPPING DATA listed complete unless noted otherwise. Indicate if special shipping instructions apply.

ADDRESS	QUANTITY OF LISTS FOR EACH UNIT, PACKING, FREIGHT, etc.				
	COPIES	COPIES	COPIES	COPIES	COPIES
PIA/POP/FFSD Agency for International Development Department of State Washington, D.C. 20523		2		2	2
Secretary Ministry of Health & Family Planning Bangladesh Secretariat Dhaka, Bangladesh	2			2	2
Director, Health & Population Division Area ID (USAID/Bangladesh) Department of State, Wash, D.C. 20520		2		2	2
Commodities & Logistics Section Area ID (USAID/Bangladesh) Department of State Washington, D.C. 20520	1	2		2	2

11. OTHER DOCUMENTATION (identify any special documents required, such as import licenses, certificates of origin, etc.)

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT

Many documents furnished to the addressee will serve to inform the addressee of the status of the production process during the current year and cycle.

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION AND SHIPPING INSTRUCTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

U.S. AID ORDER #
PIA/POP/FPSP
Agency for International Development
Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20523
U.S. AID ORDER # P10/C 335732
PIA/POP 932
613-4-3257501
(403-70071)

NOTE: This form shall be completed by the U.S. AID Contracting Office and attached to all requests for commodities (PIA and PIOC's) submitted for supply action. A separate form is required for each ultimate consignee receiving material.

Shipping Instructions:
To: Family Planning,
Health Dept Min Pu Health
962 Krung Kasem Rd
Bangkok, Thailand
Tel: 493-109-8-30140

Shipping Transportation: (as negotiated)
DTEC Family Planning P10/C 335732
Health Dept Min Pub Health
962 Krung Kasem Rd
Bangkok, Thailand

FINAL DELIVERY ACCEPTANCE

QTY: 50,000 gross, size 49 mm./per month for 2 months (colored)

FOR RECEIVING INFORMATION REGARDING STATUS OF ORDER, ADDRESS TO WHICH BILLING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE SENT:
PIA/FPSP
Agency for International Development
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20523

Controller,
U.S.A.I.D./Korea
American Embassy
Seoul, Korea

SHIPPING DATA: (Insert complete address of ultimate consignee through which to receive shipping documents in top number of copies indicated.)

ADDRESS	OCEAN BILL OF LADING (air shipment)			PACKING SLIP(S)	
	NECESSARY	COPY	D/L	ISSUE	RECEIVED
PIA/FPSP, Agency for International Development, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20523		2		3	2
DTEC Family Planning, Health Dept. Min Pub Health, 962 Krung Kasem Rd. Bangkok, Thailand	1	2		2	2
Controller, U.S.A.I.D. FY-74, American Embassy, San Francisco 96346		2		2	2
FOR IECM, STATISTICS TOPH, APO San Francisco 96346	1	2		2	2

FINAL DOCUMENTATION (Identify any special documents required, such as import licenses, certificates of origin, etc.)

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT

Shipping documents furnished to the addressee will serve to inform the ordering office of the status of the procurement request from the time of receipt through the cycle.