

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 615-11-110-158	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: Sept. 1972 TO Sept. 1973	3. COUNTRY Kenya	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 74-1
----------------------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------------

5. PROJECT TITLE  
University of Nairobi Veterinary Faculty

4p.

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 72 Ends FY 78	7. DATE LATEST PROP 2/8/71	8. DATE LATEST PIP 12/5/72	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 8/30/72
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 1,069	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 479,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 1,000,000

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
Colorado State University	AID/Afr 790

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
	X		Recruit special evaluation team Note: Nominations received 9/6/73	Sept. 1973
	X		Obtain replacement for Dr. Grant ASAP after completion evaluation	October 1973
	X		Urge early recruitment of new contract team	January 1974
X	X	X	Ensure a thorough orientation program for new contract team.	June 1974
X			Review administrative/managerial workload of Chief of Party	October 1974

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES							E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW
REVISED OR NEW:	<input type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input type="checkbox"/> PIP	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P	8/25/73
PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE				MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE			
W. A. Jones				Charles A. James, A.DIR, USAID/Kenya 9/15/73			

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 2 PAR	PROJECT NO. 615-11-110-158	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO Sept. 1972	COUNTRY Kenya	PAR SERIAL NO. 74-1
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	------------------------

**II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS**

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUT-STANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. Colorado State University			X										X
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

With the exception of one individual, the professional qualifications of the team were excellent, their motivation good, and their adjustment generally satisfactory after an overly long adjustment period. Poor initial orientation on the part of the contractor, USAID and the University undoubtedly contributed to the initial poor adjustment. Unfortunately, five of the six team members have decided to resign after one tour.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
					X							X

Comment on key factors determining rating

Five contract participants have just departed for U.S. training. Two earlier direct-AID participants are doing satisfactory work at CSU.

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
					X					X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

The contractor is competently handling a small commodity element related to laboratory equipment and books.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
	b. OTHER			X							X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

Inadequate communication between the team and an inexperienced, overextended Dean caused excessive misunderstanding and low morale for an extended period, especially for those team members under the direct supervision of the dean in his capacity of department chairman. Some difficulties were also caused by the late completion of a new clinical studies building which resulted in inadequate laboratory facilities. The Mission believes most of these problems have been satisfactorily resolved over the past few months and that the project is now making satisfactory progress. The forthcoming special evaluation will serve as a cross check of this judgment.

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
				X							X	

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-23 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 615-11-110-158	PAR FOR PERIOD: Sept. 1972-Sept. 1973	COUNTRY Kenya	PAR SERIAL NO. 74-1
---------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------	------------------------

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP?  YES  NO

To assist the University of Nairobi in the further development of its Veterinary Faculty with particular emphasis on 1) developing a satisfactory graduate program; 2) improving the undergraduate clinical program; and 3) developing a research program that all eventual graduate students participate in.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
13 AID-financed faculty members	Seven participants are in graduate training in the United States; another 6 are scheduled for Sept. 1974.
Improved reference library	\$10,000 worth of books added to the library since last year's negative PAR report.
Adequate laboratory facilities	New facilities coming into use; \$20,000 worth of laboratory equipment purchased.
Greater emphasis on a practical training and experience in the area of clinical medicine	Exposure of all students regardless of specialization to more basic courses in clinical medicine. The larger percentage of students' time being given to practical training. Arrangements completed with several livestock producers for carrying out practical field training on their premises.
Considerable improvement in the clinical, parasitology, pharmacology and microbiology curricula	Team members are continuously improving syllabi visual aids and exhibits for the use of their successors.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To help fill high-level veterinary teaching and research requirements of English-speaking countries in East and Southern Africa.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Yes. Improving the quality of instruction at the University of Nairobi Veterinary Faculty will allow the training of fully-qualified veterinarians at an East African institution rather than at foreign institutions. The veterinarians graduated after 1977 would have a better balanced grounding in major sub-specialities, be better equipped to conduct practical, problem solving research, and be better trained in practical application than at present.

The Veterinary Faculty is increasing its intake of Kenyan students, but by enlarging its total enrollment. It is maintaining a significant intake of students from other Eastern and Southern African countries.

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

Germany, Norway and the U.K. are providing personnel to the Faculty.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY 74		FY 75	FY 75	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
Trained veterinarians Graduated (cumulative)	PLANNED	106	-	161	225	-	304
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	102	-				
	REPLANNED			100	205	250	360
Participants sent to do graduate training in American University	PLANNED	3	5	5	-	-	13
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	7	-				
	REPLANNED			6	-	-	13
Research Projects Organized	PLANNED	5	2 *	7	4	4	20
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	5	5				
	REPLANNED			5	4	4	18
Qualified Kenyan Instructors on Faculty (cumulative)	PLANNED	25	25	28	31	33	38
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	19	19				
	REPLANNED			21	NA	NA	48
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT					
1.							
2.		COMMENT:					
3.		COMMENT:					

\* Two projects were terminated due to medical evacuation which resulted in the contract employee not returning to post.