

Order	RF Level	RF #	TITLE in FTF Results Framework (RF)	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	Req?	Whole of Gov (WOG)?	Level of collection		Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Disaggregates
									*national level is for contextual monitoring *targeted zone of influence is for monitoring USG attributable results					
	Goal	G	Sustainably Reduce Global Poverty and Hunger	4	1	Prevalence of Poverty: Percent of people living on less than \$1.25/day*	X		.1) National .2) Targeted Zone of Influence	.1) M&E contractor pulls from UN MDG database .2) M&E contractor collects	biennial	impact	by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F)	
	Goal	G	Sustainably Reduce Global Poverty and Hunger	3	1	Prevalence of underweight children under five years of age	X		.1) National .2) Targeted Zone of Influence	.1) DHS .2) M&E contractor	.1) DHS every five years .2) biennial	impact	Sex	
	Key Objective - Agriculture	A	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5	7	Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index (still under development)	X		Targeted Zone of Influence	M&E contractor	biennial	outcome	n/a	
	Key Objective - Agriculture	A	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5	1	Per Capita Income (as proxied by expenditures) of USG targeted beneficiaries.	X	X (pending)	Targeted Zone of Influence	M&E contractor	biennial	outcome	by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F)	
	Key Objective - Agriculture	A	Inclusive Agricultural Sector Growth	4.5	3	Percent change in agricultural GDP	X		National	M&E contractor, from host government sources	annually, if data are available	impact	None	
	Key Objective - Nutrition	N	Improved Nutritional Status Especially of Women and Children	3	2	Prevalence of stunted children under five years of age	X		.1) National .2) Targeted Zone of Influence	.1) DHS .2) M&E contractor	.1) DHS every five years .2) biennial	impact	Sex	
	Key Objective - Nutrition	N	Improved Nutritional Status Especially of Women and Children	3	3	Prevalence of wasted children under five years of age	X		.1) National .2) Targeted Zone of Influence	.1) DHS .2) M&E contractor	.1) DHS every five years .2) biennial	impact	Sex	
	Key Objective - Nutrition	N	Improved Nutritional Status Especially of Women and Children	3	4	Prevalence of underweight women	X		.1) National .2) Targeted Zone of Influence	.1) DHS .2) M&E contractor	.1) DHS every five years .2) biennial	impact	None	
	IR	1	Improved Agricultural Productivity	4.5	4	Gross margin per unit of land or animal of selected product (crops/animals selected varies by country)	X		Targeted beneficiaries, Targeted commodities	Implementing Partners	biennial (required); annual (recommended where possible)	outcome	Targeted commodity (type of crop, type of animal, or type of fish - freshwater or marine) by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F) rain-fed v. irrigated areas	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.1	7	Number of institutions/organizations that, as a result of USG assistance, are in one of these five stages of increased institutional capacity: Stage 1 of 5 Number of institutions/organization undergoing capacity/competency assessments as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Outcome	by type of institution: ---Public institution (e.g. Ministry of Health) ---Private for-profit institution or firm ---Private non-profit organization	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.1	3	Number of institutions/organization with assessments presented for consultation as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Outcome	by type of institution: ---Public institution (e.g. Ministry of Health) ---Private for-profit institution or firm ---Private non-profit organization	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.1	8	Number of institutions/organization undertaking capacity/competency strengthening as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Outcome	by type of institution: ---Public institution (e.g. Ministry of Health) ---Private for-profit institution or firm ---Private non-profit organization	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.1	5	Number of institutions/organization making significant improvements as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Outcome	by type of institution: ---Public institution (e.g. Ministry of Health) ---Private for-profit institution or firm ---Private non-profit organization	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.1	6	Number of institutions/organization that are mature/viable as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Outcome	by type of institution: ---Public institution (e.g. Ministry of Health) ---Private for-profit institution or firm ---Private non-profit organization	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	5	Number of farmers and others who have applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	X	X	Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Sex: -Type of person: -Producers (farmers, fishers, pastoralists, ranchers, etc.) -People in government -People in firms, e.g. processors, service providers, manufacturers -Other rural people (i.e. rural people that are not producers or in firms) New/Continuing --New = This reporting year is the first year the person applied the new technology or management practice --Continuing = The person first applied the new technology or management practice in the previous year and continues to apply it	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	6	Number of individuals who have received USG supported long-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	7	Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training	X	X	Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex Type of individual: • Producers (farmers, fishers, pastoralists, ranchers, etc.) • People in government (e.g. policy makers, government officials) • People in firms, e.g. processors, service providers, manufacturers • Other rural people (i.e. rural people that are not producers or in firms)	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	11	Number of private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG assistance	X	X	Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) New/Continuing: --New = the entity is receiving USG assistance for the first time during the reporting year --Continuing = the entity received USG assistance in the previous year and continues to receive it in the reporting year	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	27	Number of members of producer organizations and community based organizations receiving USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex of member, type of organization (producer organization, CBO or "other") New/Continuing (members) --New = the member joined the organization or CBO for the first time during the reporting year --Continuing = the member joined in a previous year and continues to be a member in the reporting year	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	28	Number of private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	X	X	Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) New vs. Continuing: --New = the entity applied the targeted new technologies/management practices for the first time during the reporting year --Continuing = the entity applied the targeted new technologies/management practices in a previous year and continues to apply them in the reporting year	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	32	Number of stakeholders using climate information in their decision making as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex of stakeholder (stakeholder = policy-maker, decision-maker)	
	Sub IR	1.1	Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased sustainable agricultural sector productivity	4.5.2	34	Number of stakeholders implementing risk-reducing practices/actions to improve resilience to climate change as a result of USG assistance			Targeted hectares under USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	None	
	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.1	21	Number of climate vulnerability assessments conducted as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	None	

Order	RF Level	RF #	TITLE in FTF Results Framework (RF)	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	Req?	Whole of Gov (WOG)?	Level of collection <small>*national level is for contextual monitoring *targeted zone of influence is for monitoring USG-attributable results</small>	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Disaggregates
3	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	2	Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance	X	X	Targeted hectares under USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	<p>•New vs. Continuing: --New = this is the first year the hectare came under improved technologies or management practices --Continuing = the hectare being counted continues to be under improved technologies or management practices from the previous year</p> <p>•Sex of the adopter/implementer of these new technologies on the hectares being counted: male, female, or association-applied</p> <p>•Technology type: crop genetics (including nutritional enhancement), animal genetics, pest management, disease management, soil-related (fertility and conservation, including tillage), water management, post-harvest handling and storage, processing, climate mitigation or adaptation, fishing gear/technique, and other</p>
4	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	10	Number of new technologies of management practices in one of the following phases of development: Phase 1 of 3 Number of new technologies or management practices under research as a result of USG assistance			Targeted technologies/practices under USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	<p>•Commodity</p> <p>•Technology type: crop genetics (including nutritional enhancement), animal genetics, pest management, disease management, soil-related (fertility and conservation, including tillage), water management, post-harvest handling and storage, processing, climate mitigation or adaptation, fishing gear/technique, and other</p>
					9	Phase 2 of 3 Number of new technologies or management practices under field testing as a result of USG assistance							
					8	Phase 3 of 3 Number of new technologies or management practices made available for transfer as a result of USG assistance							
5	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	13	Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG interventions			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	<p>•by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F)</p> <p>•By Continuing vs. New households: Rural households reported as benefiting should be those benefiting in the current reporting year. Any households that benefited in a previous year but not benefiting in the reporting year should not be included. Taking the example of a benefit derived from technology adoption, if a household adopted last year an agricultural technology provided under a USG program and continued to use that technology in the current (reporting) year, then that household should be counted. If the household adopted the technology last year but was not using it during the current (reporting) year, then the household should not be included. Any household that benefited in the previous year and continues to benefit in the reporting year should be counted under "Continuing." Any household that benefited for the first time during the current reporting year should be counted under "New." No household should be counted under both "Continuing" and "New."</p>
6	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	40	Number of hectares of agricultural land (fields, rangeland, agro-forests) showing improved biophysical conditions as a result of USG assistance	X		Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners or host government institutions, if applicable	biennially	outcome	<p>•by the following improved technologies or management practices: • No/low till • Permanent soil cover • Integration of perennials into farming system • Water harvesting</p>
7	Sub IR	1.2	Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation	4.5.2	43	Number of water resources sustainability assessments undertaken			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	<p>Scale (basin-level, sub-basin level, field level) •Transboundary vs. national basins</p>
8	Sub IR	1.3	Improved Agricultural Policy Environment (increase productivity)	4	8	Ease of Doing Business rank			National (WB Doing Business report)	M&E contractor	annually	outcome	each of the 9 components (rank will be reported for each component)
9	Sub IR	1.3	Improved Agricultural Policy Environment (increase productivity)	4.5.1	9	NUMBER OF POLICIES / REGULATIONS / ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES in each of the following stages of development as a result of USG assistance in each case: Stage 1 of 5 Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures analyzed			Targeted policies under USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	Stages 1 & 2 = Output Stages 3, 4, & 5 = Outcome	<p>Sector: • Inputs (e.g. seed, fertilizer) • Outputs (e.g. rice, maize) • Macroeconomic (e.g. exchange rate) • Agricultural sector-wide (e.g. wage rate for ag labor) • Research, extension, information, and other public service • Food security/vulnerable (e.g. safety net) • Climate change adaptation or natural resource management (NRM) (ag-related)</p>
					10	Stage 2 of 5 Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation							
					13	Stage 3 of 5 Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures presented for legislation/debate							
					12	Stage 4 of 5 Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures prepared with USG assistance passed/approved							
					11	Stage 5 of 5 Number of policies / regulations / administrative procedures passed for which implementation has begun							
10	IR	2	Expanding Markets and Trade	4.5.2	23	Value of incremental sales (collected at farm-level) attributed to FTF implementation	X		Targeted beneficiaries, Targeted commodities	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Targeted agricultural products
11	IR	2	Expanding Markets and Trade	4.5.2	35	Percent change in value of intra-regional trade in targeted agricultural commodities (for regional missions)	X		National/Regional level, Targeted commodities	Regional USAID Missions, from applicable trade data sources for their region	annually	outcome	<p>•by commodity •exporting country</p>
12	IR	2	Expanding Markets and Trade	4.5.2	36	Value of exports of targeted agricultural commodities as a result of USG assistance (for bilateral missions)			USG-attributable, Targeted commodities	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	<p>•by Regional trade (value of exports sent within the region) •by Non-regional trade (value of exports going outside of region) - Missions can use this disaggregate to help report on indicator #4.5.2-17 "Percent change in value of international exports of targeted agricultural commodities as a result of USG assistance"</p>
13	Sub IR	2.1	Enhanced Agricultural Trade	4.5.1	18	Average number of days required to trade goods across borders (average of export/import time)			National	M&E contractor, from WB Doing Business Report	annually	outcome	None
14	Sub IR	2.2	Property Rights to Land and Other Productive Assets Strengthened	4.5.1	16	Number of households with formalized land	X	X	Targeted hectares under USG assistance	Implementing Partners, from host government National Cadastral Service	annually	outcome	<p>Sex of landowner with the formalized rights: - males or females, if owned by individuals; (if firm-owned, use sex of proprietorship) -to both, if registered to both a male and female (e.g. married couple) -or "firm-owned" if proprietorship is unknown or inappropriate to disaggregate</p>
15	Sub IR	2.2	Property Rights to Land and Other Productive Assets Strengthened	4.5.1	22	Number of rural hectares mapped and adjudicated			Targeted hectares under USG assistance	Implementing Partners, from host government (e.g. National Cadastral Service)	annually	outcome	<p>Sex of registrant, i.e. # of hectares registered: -to males or females, if owned by individuals; (if firm-owned, use sex of proprietorship) -to both, if registered to both a male and female (e.g. married couple) -or "firm-owned" if proprietorship is unknown or inappropriate to disaggregate</p>
16	Sub IR	2.3	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5	5	Total increase in installed storage capacity			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	<p>•Dry storage •Cold storage</p>
17	Sub IR	2.3	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5	9	Market discount of targeted agriculture commodities			Targeted commodities	Implementing Partners and M&E Contractor	annually	outcome	Commodities
18	Sub IR	2.3	Improved Market Efficiency	4.5.1	17	Kilometers of roads improved or constructed	X	X	Targeted roads under USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	<p>•Improved •Constructed (new)</p>

Order	RF Level	RF #	TITLE in FTF Results Framework (RF)	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	Req?	Whole of Gov (WOG)?	Level of collection <small>*national level is for contextual monitoring *targeted zone of influence is for monitoring USG-attributable results</small>	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Disaggregates
	Sub IR	2.4	Improved access to business development and sound and affordable financial and risk management services	4.5.2	29	Value of Agricultural and Rural Loans	X	X	Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Continuing/New: Recipients reported as benefiting should be those benefiting in the current reporting year. Any recipients that benefited in a previous year but not benefiting in the reporting year should not be included. Any recipient that benefited in the previous year and continues to benefit in the reporting year should be counted under "Continuing." If a recipient received last year a loan facilitated under IEHA and continued to benefit from that capital and/or continued to access credit with the same lender in the current (reporting) year, then that recipient should be counted. If the recipient accessed credit last year, e.g., as working capital, and repaid that loan and is not accessing credit facilitated by IEHA during the current (reporting) year, then the recipient should not be included. Any recipient that benefited for the first time during the current reporting year should be counted under "New." No recipient should be counted under both "Continuing" and "New." Type of loan recipient: producers, local traders/assemblers, wholesalers/processors, and others.
	Sub IR	2.4	Improved access to business development and sound and affordable financial and risk management services	4.5.2	30	Number of MSMEs receiving USG assistance to access bank loans			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex of owner of MSME Size of MSME (micro, small, or medium)
	Sub IR	2.4	Improved access to business development and sound and affordable financial and risk management services	4.5.2	37	Number of MSMEs receiving business development services from USG-assisted sources			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex of enterprise owner(s): Most enterprises are likely to be small (or very small), probably single proprietorships, in which case the sex of the proprietor should be used for classification. For larger enterprises, the majority ownership should be used. When this cannot be ascertained, the majority of the senior management should be used. Size of enterprise: micro, small, or medium, as defined above Type of enterprise: ag. producer, input supplier, trader, output processor, non ag. other
	IR	3	Increased Investment in Agriculture and Nutrition-related Activities	4.5.2	12	Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of FTF assistance			Partnerships formed with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Type of partnership (refer to the primary focus of the partnership): -agricultural production -agricultural post harvest transformation -nutrition -other (do not use this for multi-focus partnerships) multi-focus (use this if there are several components of the above sectors in the partnership)
	IR	3	Increased Investment in Agriculture and Nutrition-related Activities	4.5.2	38	Value of new private sector investment in the agriculture sector or food chain leveraged by FTF implementation	X		Targeted firms or businesses with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	None
	Sub IR	3.1	Increased Public Sector Investment	3.1	5	Percentage of national budget invested in nutrition	X		National	M&E Contractor with host nation budget data	annually	outcome	None
	Sub IR	3.1	Increased Public Sector Investment	4.5	10	Percentage of national budget invested in agriculture	X		National	M&E Contractor with host nation budget data	annually	outcome	None
	Sub IR	3.2	Increased Private Sector Investment	4.5.2	39	Number of firms (excluding farms) or CSOs engaged in agricultural and food security-related manufacturing and services now operating more profitably (at or above cost) because of USG assistance	X		Targeted firms/CSOs with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Disaggregated by type of entity (firm or CSO) and level of profitability: For firms, # of firms that were operating at a loss (costs>revenue) in the last business cycle before USG assistance # of firms that were already operating profitably in the business cycle, but are now operating more profitably because of USG assistance (costs<revenue) For CSOs, There are 2 phases of CSO capacity/self-sufficiency: 1. Operational self-sufficiency (as defined above) 2. Financial self-sufficiency (as defined above) Disaggregate by where in the 2 phases the CSO is during the reporting year: # of CSOs that were operating below the level of operational self-sufficiency (see accounting classifications above) in the last fiscal year before USG assistance # of CSOs that were already at financial self-sufficiency but which have increased their scope of operations or reduced their reliance upon third-party donor support or otherwise improved their ability to operate independently Sex of jobholder: (if one FTE is split by a male and a female, then it would be 0.5 FTE for females and 0.5 FTE for males) Urban/rural (location of job) New vs. Continuing: ---New= this is the first time the person holds a job created by FTF ---Continuing = the person continues to hold a job from a previous fiscal year created by FTF
	IR	4	Increased employment opportunities in targeted value chains	4.5	2	Number of jobs attributed to FTF implementation	X		Targeted beneficiaries or firms with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Urban/rural (location of job) New vs. Continuing: ---New= this is the first time the person holds a job created by FTF ---Continuing = the person continues to hold a job from a previous fiscal year created by FTF
	IR	5	Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households	3	5	Prevalence of households with moderate or severe hunger	X		1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	impact	by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F)
	IR	5	Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households	3.3.3	15	Number of USG social assistance beneficiaries participating in productive safety nets			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex Type of Asset strengthened: community assets, human assets/capital, and household assets, New vs. Continuing: ---New = this is the first year the beneficiary participated in a productive safety net ---Continuing = this beneficiary may have participated in the year prior, but participated again this fiscal year
	IR	5	Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households	4.5.2	14	Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG interventions			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	by gendered household type: female no male (FNM); male no female (MNF); male and female (M&F) by Continuing vs. New households: Vulnerable households reported as benefiting should be those benefiting in the current reporting year. Any vulnerable households that benefited in a previous year but not benefiting in the reporting year should not be included. Taking the example of a benefit derived from technology adoption, if a vulnerable household adopted last year an agricultural technology provided under a USG program and continued to use that technology in the current (reporting) year, then that household should be counted. If the vulnerable household adopted the technology last year but was not using it during the current (reporting) year, then the household should not be included. Any vulnerable household that benefited in the previous year and continues to benefit in the reporting year should be counted under "Continuing." Any vulnerable household that benefited for the first time during the current reporting year should be counted under "New." No vulnerable household should be counted under both "Continuing" and "New."
	IR	5	Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households	4.5.2	25	Number of people with a savings account or insurance policy as a result of USG assistance			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Sex of account owner or policy holder (male, female, or jointly-held) type of account-policy (savings, insurance)
	IR	6	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9	2	Prevalence of children 6-23 months receiving a minimum acceptable diet	X		1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS module 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	outcome	Sex
	IR	6	Improved Access to Diverse and Quality Foods	3.1.9	12	Women's Dietary Diversity: Mean number of food groups consumed by women of reproductive age			1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS module 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	outcome	Urban vs. Rural
	IR	7	Improved nutrition-related behaviors	3.1.9	4	Prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding of children under six months of age	X		1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS module 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	outcome	Sex
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	1	Number of people trained in child health and nutrition through USG-supported programs			Targeted Zone of Influence	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	6	Prevalence of anemia among women of reproductive age	X		1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS module 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	outcome	Pregnant Women Non-pregnant Women **Note that this disaggregation is a post-stratification, i.e. the collected data is separated into these categories without necessarily having a representative sample size of each.**
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	7	Number of health facilities with established capacity to manage acute under-nutrition			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	outcome	Urban vs. rural
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	9	Prevalence of anemia among children 6-59 months			1) National 2) Targeted Zone of Influence	1) DHS module 2) M&E contractor	1) DHS every five years 2) biennial	outcome	Sex

Order	RF Level	RF #	TITLE in FTF Results Framework (RF)	SPS Location	ID number under SPS location	Indicator Title	Req?	Whole of Gov (WOG)?	Level of collection	Who collects?	Frequency of collection?	Indicator Type	Disaggregates
									*national level is for contextual monitoring *targeted zone of influence is for monitoring USG-attributable results				
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	10	Number of children under five years of age who received vitamin A from USG-supported programs			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex
	IR	8	Improved utilization of maternal and child health and nutrition services	3.1.9	11	Number of children under five reached by USG-supported nutrition programs			Targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance	Implementing Partners	annually	output	Sex
							67	29	9				