PAGE 1 PD-AAC-[illegible]

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

1. PROJECT NO.	2. PAR FOR PERIOD	3. COUNTRY	4. PAR SERIAL NO.
521-15-190-069	11-75 TO 5-76	Haiti	521-069-602A

5. PROJECT TITLE

AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT : Sub-project Irrigation Studies

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 73 Ends FY 77	7. DATE LATEST PROP	8. DATE LATEST PIP	9. DATE PRIOR PAR

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: $	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: $	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY.: $

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (*Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency*)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
J.G. White Corp.	Contract

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X) USAID	AID/W	HOST	B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
x			USAID/H will continue efforts to obtain sufficient counterparts for the J.G. White team.	10/76

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES REVISED OR NEW: ☐ PROP ☐ PIP ☐ PRO AG ☐ PIO/T ☐ PIO/C ☐ PIO/P

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW June 4, 1976

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE	MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
Frank P. Schwencke, AID/AADO	Scott L. Behoteguy, AID/DIR

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PAGE 2 PAR	521-15-190-069	TO 11/75 - 5-76	Haiti	521-069-602A

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT / CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN: UNSATISFACTORY 1	2	SATISFACTORY 3	4	5	OUTSTANDING 6	7	C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X): LOW 1	MEDIUM 2	3	4	HIGH 5
1. J.G. White Corp.			X								X	
2.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

1. Administrative problems in home office delayed field operations for two months.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING None												

Comment on key factors determining rating

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
5. COMMODITIES			X							X		

Comment on key factors determing rating

Excessive time between PIO/C and delivery. through GSA.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL		X										X
	b. OTHER			X							X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

1. Personnel promised for working on project not yet forthcoming.
2. Handling and movement of commodities slow and only after continual AID urging.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
7. OTHER DONORS None												

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

Best Available Document

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY TO DATE	CURRENT FY TO END	FY IQ	FY 77	END OF PROJECT
Feasibility study (no. of studies)	PLANNED	0	1	-	-	-	1
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			1	-	-	1
Pre-feasibility studies (hectares)	PLANNED	0	2000	4000	2000	-	6000
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	1500				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
Rehabilitation Community Irrigation Systems (Hectares improved)	PLANNED	0	400	800	100	300	1200
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			500	200	500	1200
Community Organizations developed and functioning to operate and maintain the irrigation systems of Jean-Rabel and Dubreuil	PLANNED	0	0	0	1	1	2
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			0	0	0	0

B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:
1.	
2.	COMMENT:
3.	COMMENT:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? ☒ YES ☐ NO

1) To test on pilot basis the feasibility of rehabilitating decaying irrigation systems, introduce intensive cropping systems and develop community organization.
2) Pre-feasibility studies on 6000 hectares and feasibility study on 1500 ha. of irrigation systems in need of rehabilitation.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
GOH should have enough information and experience to continue rehabilitation of all irrigation systems in the country.	One feasibility study complete " " " nearly complete pre-feasibility studies completed on 1500 ha. rehabilitation work commenced on one irrigation system.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To increase and improve agricultural production in Haiti thereby contributing to better nutritional levels.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

No, sub-project completion will provide information and experience necessary to initiate a much larger program which will be a very significant contribution.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY