

ANNUAL REPORT (PAR)

1. PROJECT NO. 528-55-130-041.2	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: Jan. 1973 to March, 1974	3. COUNTRY URUGUAY	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 74-4 1
------------------------------------	--	-----------------------	-----------------------------

5. PROJECT TITLE
AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MARKETING

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 1966 Ends FY 1977	7. DATE LATEST PROP 10/20/71	8. DATE LATEST PIP -	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 2/28/73
---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 1,941,267	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 436,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 1,100,000
------------------	--	--	--

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)	
a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
Pennsylvania State University, Michigan State, Texas A & M University Consortium	AID/La-722

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
		X	Complete office space and Laboratory at Las Brujas	April 30, 1974
		X	Expedite importation of equipment	April 1974
X			Review logical framework output indicators and quantitative benchmarks	April 30, 1974
X			Contractor will prepare an annual plan for work including a description of outputs	April 30, 1974
X			PROP Revision	April 30, 1974

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

12. REPLANNING REQUIRES	REVISOR OR NEW	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input type="checkbox"/> PIP	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P	E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW 4-8-74
-------------------------	----------------	--	------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
 Thomas O. Stephens 4/2/74
 MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
 Leonard J. Horwitz

B. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)				
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
1. University Consortium					X						X	
2.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

Qualified contract technical consultants have been provided in a timely manner except for the long term citrus advisor. However, the lack of a long term citrus advisor has not limited project progress as (1) effective short term consultants have been provided and (2) the MLA must make some organizational decisions concerning citrus research at the Salto Research Station.

A. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
						X					X	

Comment on key factors determining rating

Qualified local technicians have been made available for training and the GOU is paying international travel costs. As of March 1974, 101 participants received training in the U.S. or third country; most of them occupy key positions within the MLA.

B. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
				X						X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

Importation of equipment could be expedited. However, due to transportation time and customs procedures this is a slow process at best.

C. COOPERATING COUNTRY	c. PERSONNEL	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
	b. OTHER							X					X

Comment on key factors determining rating

The GOU has taken an active realistic approach towards meeting the purpose of the activity. Some operational problems and delays are encountered, however, these are considered normal when related to a complex activity that requires much coordination.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
					X						X	

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

IDB- GOU have started to develop a fruits and vegetable marketing project which might be approved by the middle of this year.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					END OF PROJECT
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY 74		FY 75	FY 76	
			TO DATE	TO END			
Participant Training	PLANNED	89	10	15	13	12	119
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	89	12				
	REPLANNED			25	29	20	163
Fruits and Vegetables Research Experiments (see B.1.a) on Page 4.	PLANNED	8	6	12	5	5	30
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	-	-				
	REPLANNED						
Agricultural Research Centers (See B.1d) on Page 4.	PLANNED	3					3
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	1	-				
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1. Modern Research Techniques applied at Las Brujas and Salto Research Stations.	The work of the advisors is improving the quality, level and number of research carried at Las Brujas and Salto.						
2. GOU personnel increased.	COMMENT: THE MLA has contracted more technicians to work as counterparts.						
3. Credit mechanism improved through farm plants.	The GOU did not complete the development of a supervised agri-credit program. However a pilot program was started in "El Tala".						
4. Information and Communication system fully staffed and operational	COMMENT: One long term and one short term expert will arrive on July 1974 to complete the reorganization of the system initiated by the MLA.						

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

3

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

Increase the capability of the MLA and other agencies assisting the agricultural sector so as to increase production and improve the marketing of agricultural products.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
a) Permanent research system in non-traditional crops established and two Research Stations equipped and operating for this purpose.	Research on fruit and vegetables production has been started, utilizing the latest and modern techniques. New quantitative benchmarks must be developed.
b) Technical agricultural information system improved and operating effectively.	Ministry is reorganizing this dept. and one long term and one short term expert will arrive starting next July.
c) Commercial farms have adopted new production systems and techniques.	Peach post-harvest chemical treatments have been adopted based on experiments at Las Brujas.
d) Agricultural research activities will be reorganized.	Ministry of Livestock and Agriculture has reorganized the agricultural research based on one Research Center and five experimental stations. PROP should be revised accordingly.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To achieve a substantial increase in agricultural exports as a base for overall economic expansion.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Yes. Assuming appropriate prices and availability of markets, increased production and marketing should lead to an increase of non-traditional agricultural exports.