

PROJECT EVALUA

Report Symbol: 11447

1. PROJECT TITLE PVO Co-Financing The Asia Foundation/Population (TAF/Pop)			2. PROJECT NUMBER 388-0010-04/15	3. MISSION/AID/W OFFICE Bangladesh
5. KEY PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION DATES			4. EVALUATION NUMBER (Enter the number maintained by the reporting unit, e.g., Country or AID/W Administrative Code, Fiscal Year, Serial No. beginning with No. 1 each FY)	
A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY <u>79</u>	B. Final Obligation Expected FY <u>79</u>	C. Final Input Delivery FY <u>83</u>	6. ESTIMATED PROJECT FUNDING A. Total \$ <u>500,000</u> B. U.S. \$ <u>500,000</u>	
			7. PERIOD COVERED BY EVALUATION From (month/yr.) <u>September 1981</u> To (month/yr.) <u>September 1982</u> Date of Evaluation Review	
E. ACTION DECISIONS APPROVED BY MISSION OR AID/W OFFICE DIRECTOR <u>October 14, 1982</u>				

A. List decisions and/or unresolved issues; cite those items needing further study. (NOTE: Mission decisions which anticipate AID/W or regional office action should specify type of document, e.g., airgram, SPAR, PIO, which will present detailed request.)	B. NAME OF OFFICER RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTION	C. DATE ACTION TO BE COMPLETED
None		

8. INVENTORY OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVISED PER ABOVE DECISIONS			10. ALTERNATIVE DECISIONS ON FUTURE OF PROJECT	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Paper	<input type="checkbox"/> Implementation Plan e.g., CPI Network	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify)	A. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue Project Without Change	
<input type="checkbox"/> Financial Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	_____	B. <input type="checkbox"/> Change Project Design and/or	
<input type="checkbox"/> Logical Framework	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change Implementation Plan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P	_____	C. <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinue Project	

11. PROJECT OFFICER AND HOST COUNTRY OR OTHER RANKING PARTICIPANTS AS APPROPRIATE (Name and Title)		12. Mission/AID/W Office Director Approval	
PH: SConly <u>[Signature]</u>	PRO: MChatman <u>[Signature]</u>	Signature <u>[Signature]</u>	
PH: SOlds <u>[Signature]</u>	PRO: SPlunkett <u>[Signature]</u>	Typed Name <u>James A. Norris</u>	
PH: CGurney <u>[Signature]</u>	TAF: SWallen <u>[Signature]</u>	Date _____	

The Asia Foundation/Population-PES (cont.)

Summary:

USAID and TAF held a joint review to assess project implementation during the last year, to address problem areas identified in the Third Annual Evaluation Report and to discuss future directions under the TAF/Pop Grant. Performance in this third year of grant activity has been good. Several new projects were funded and the project pipeline significantly reduced. All funds are expected to be expended by the new PACD, August 22, 1983. Subgrants will receive follow-on funding under Family Planning Services (388-0050). The detailed description of Grant performance is to be found in the Third Annual Evaluation Report.

Grant Management: The pace of subgrant development picked up considerably this year. 4 ongoing activities were refunded; 5 new subgrants were made; 1 large new project was recently approved by the Population Control Division; and 2 more new subgrants are in the approval process. This has therefore been a year of expansion. A stable staff situation in the TAF/Dacca office has facilitated the stepped-up pace of project activity.

While IDG approvals continue to take several weeks, TAF's good working relationship with Ministry officials has helped to expedite the approval process.

Project Design: TAF has taken steps to implement AID's recommendations on project design in last year's PES. However, certain philosophical differences remain between TAF and AID. TAF views integrated community development projects as more effective, while AID's overriding concern is on family planning service delivery.

AID suggested during the review that these tensions could be partially resolved if community development activities were more closely linked with family planning acceptance or if community development activities could be funded from other sources.

Implementation and Monitoring: TAF has monitored ongoing subgrants closely and satisfactorily.

Evaluation: TAF's annual program and subgrant evaluations have improved significantly in the quality of project performance data.

Future Director of USAID stated that funding is likely to be tight in FY 1983, and recommended that strategy for TAI population activities in the next two years be to implement projects initiated during the recent expansion and not to seek out major new starts unless they are exceptionally promising and appear worth the risk that future funding may not be available at current levels.

October 1982

BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT