

847-031

PAGE 1 **PD-AAI-477-F1** PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR) **9310031001001**

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. PROJECT NO. 931-11-899-039 | 2. PAR FOR PERIOD: June 1974 to June 1975 | 3. COUNTRY TAB-Interregional | 4. PAR SERIAL NO. |
| 5. PROJECT TITLE Pilot Networking in Urban Development | | | |

4

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 1974 Ends FY 1975 | 7. DATE LATEST PROP April 1, 1974 | 8. DATE LATEST PIP None | 9. DATE PRIOR PAR None |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|

| | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10. U.S. FUNDING | a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 555,221 | b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ - | c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ - |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|

| | |
|---|--|
| 11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency) | |
| a. NAME Planning and Development Collaborative International (PADCO, Inc.) | b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. AID/ta-C-1140 |

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

| A. ACTION (X) | | | B. LIST OF ACTIONS | C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE |
|---------------|-------|------|---|------------------------------------|
| USAID | AID W | HOST | | |
| | X | | A follow-up dissemination seminar, postponed in June 1975, is now planned for November 1975. The contractor will be involved in planning/hosting the seminar. | <u>November 1975</u> |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| NE PLANNING REQUIRES | <input type="checkbox"/> REVISOR OR NEW | <input type="checkbox"/> PROP | <input type="checkbox"/> PIP | <input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG | <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T | <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C | <input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P | E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW August 28, 1975 |
| MISSION DIRECTOR NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE | MISSION DIRECTOR NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE | | | | | | | |
| William R. Miner August 28, 1975 | William R. Miner August 28, 1975 | | | | | | | |

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

| A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY | B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN | | | | | | | C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--------------|---|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|--------|---|------|
| | UNSATISFACTORY | | SATISFACTORY | | | OUT-STANDING | | LOW | | | MEDIUM | | HIGH |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| 1. PAIDCO, Inc. | | | | | X | | | | | | | X | |
| 2. American Institute of Planners (AIP) - Subcontractor | | | | | X | | | | | | | X | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comment on key factors determining rating

Contractor provided a well-conceived, well-written report in a timely manner, making good use of the subcontractor and the subcontractor's work, and of periodic consultations with AID/TA/UD during the course of the contract. The contractor volunteered to assist with the dissemination seminar, even though it was postponed to a date well after the completion of the contract and of the contractor's obligations.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Comment on key factors determining rating

Not applicable

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5. COMMODITIES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Comment on key factors determining rating

Not applicable

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. COOPERATING COUNTRY | a. PERSONNEL | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | b. OTHER | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comment on key factors determining rating

Contractor interviewed officials in a number of developing and developed countries. The subcontractor sent a questionnaire to 350 individuals and institutions in 80 developing countries, and received replies from 55 individuals from 32 countries. Many of the respondents added extensive and valuable comments, in addition to replying to the questions per se.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. OTHER DONORS | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

Not applicable

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

| A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount) | | | | | | END OF PROJECT |
|---|---|------------|--------|---------|---------|----|----------------|
| | CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY | CURRENT FY | | FY ____ | FY ____ | | |
| | | TO DATE | TO END | | | | |
| A report (a) to serve as a basis for determining the feasibility and elements of a program for pilot networking in urban development, and (b) to provide components of a possible AID strategy. | PLANNED | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | NA | NA | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | COMMENT: | | | | | | |
| 1. Identification and assessment of institutions and networks in urban development in selected developing and developed countries. | Final report contains descriptive information on a number of institutions and networks. | | | | | | |
| 2. Identification of topics and types of activities of mutual interest for possible networking concentration. | COMMENT: Final report contains an analysis of the results of the questionnaire survey and supporting interviews. | | | | | | |
| 3. Identification of candidate institutions, etc. interested in and capable of participating in network development. | COMMENT: Final report contains a few suggestions. | | | | | | |

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

To explore the feasibility of networking as a means of improving technical services available for urban development and to identify a possible contributory role for the Agency.

| | |
|---|--|
| a. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved. | 2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions. |
|---|--|

A report which serves not only as a basis for determining the feasibility and elements of a program for pilot networking in urban development, but also provides components of a possible AID strategy.

A completed report, FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR NETWORKING IN URBAN AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT, which provides the requisite basis for making a determination and the components of a possible strategy.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal To foster the building of collaborative linkages between institutions working on pragmatic solutions to the pressing problems of urban development in developing countries as a means of building on and making wider use of existing strengths and resources.

Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

The feasibility study report already has received some attention in the international community, even though it has not yet been distributed formally. A dissemination seminar was planned for June 1975, and has been rescheduled for November 1975. Prior to that time, the report will be distributed, and the comments and reactions will become a part of the deliberations at the seminar. The seminar is designed also to help determine appropriate next steps, using the strategy outlined in the report as a point of departure. The report will continue to be useful also as a rare source of information of this kind.