

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

1. PROJECT NO. 62-11-130-876	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: July 1972 TO January 74	3. COUNTRY Turkey	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 5p.
--	---	-----------------------------	---------------------------------

Accelerated Livestock Production

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 1971 Ends FY 1975*	7. DATE LATEST PROP 12/11/70	8. DATE LATEST PIP -----	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 12/20/72
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 318,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$
11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)			
d. NAME		b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.	
U.S. Department of Agriculture (USA)		AFR (AJ) 04-72	
U.S. Peace Corps		-----	

* Phase I Termination Date

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
X	X		1. Maintain currently established participant training schedule. Confirm in new PROP.	Fall 1974
	X	X	2. Continue to push for greater donor/GOT program coordination.	Continuous
X		X	3. Examine more closely possible overlap between USAID/GOT and SIDA/FAO projects.	Fall 1974
X		X	4. Examine feasibility of adding formal information gathering component to project design.	Fall 1974
X	X	X	5. Complete livestock sub-sector baseline study.	August 1974
X	X	X	6. Upon completion of baseline study organize redesign team and prepare project for entering Phase II.	Fall 1974
X	X	X	7. Prepare new PROP.	December 1974

A.I.D.
Reference Center
Room 1656 NS

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES						E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW	
REVISED OR NEW:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIP	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input type="checkbox"/> FIO/T	<input type="checkbox"/> FIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> FIO/P	1/23/74
PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE				MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE			
Donald Shallow, LVP <i>DSH</i> July 15, 1974				Herbert Thompson, A/D <i>HT</i> 7/15/74			
PPS: J. Holtaway <i>JH</i>		AGR: B. Grigsby <i>BG</i>		TRG: E. Mogannam <i>EM</i>			
PPS: R. Carlson <i>RC</i>							

AID 1020-28 (10-70) PAGE 2 PAR	PROJECT NO. 664-11-130-276	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO July 72 - Jan. 74	COUNTRY Tunisia	PAR SERIAL NO. -----
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---	--------------------	-------------------------

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. USDA (FASA)					X								X
2. Peace Corps			X										X
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

The team of three advisors were on the job for practically the entire reporting period and performed at a very satisfactory level to meet project targets. They are a suitably qualified, effective group of technical advisors.

The initial group of Peace Corps Volunteers had difficulty adjusting to their first assignment overseas and often times did not follow administrative guide lines. Close supervision was required and Peace Corps eventually had to provide an agricultural staff assistant to coordinate administrative matters among GOT, USAID and Peace Corps.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING				X										X
-------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

During initial part of this appraisal period, degree level training program fell considerably behind original PROP schedule, but more recently the input targets have been reached with 6 participants in training and 4 more scheduled for departure in the next 6 months. Due to the slow start the participants will not return to their project as originally planned but still within an acceptable time frame. (Continued)

5. COMMODITIES		X										X		
----------------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

Clearance of commodities through customs became GOT responsibility this appraisal period. Archaic system precludes timely arrival of equipment and seeds on project sites. Fifty percent of previously Trust Fund purchased commodities, materials, supplies, and services now transferred to GOT project budget and procurement system resulting in substantial delays in receipt of items.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X									X
	b. OTHER			X								X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

a) The relatively high rating for "personnel" is based on the quality of the individuals supplied and the initial success in launching a field staff in the 5 northern governorates. Unfortunately, the flow of extension agents has diminished somewhat as the number of Ministry of Agriculture claimants has risen. The only serious effect of this factor will be future expansion of the project and maintaining short term training schedule.

b) "Other" refers to the antiquated administrative procedures from which all projects and Ministries suffer. By transferring the project to O.E.P. there is more operational and management freedom.

7. OTHER DONORS				X										
-----------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-28 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 66-11-130-876	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO July 72 - Jan. 73	COUNTRY Tunisia	PAR SERIAL NO.
---------------------	-------------------------------------	--	---------------------------	-------------------------

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1.													
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

(Continued)

Practical, short term training schedule not met due to absence of sufficient/^{Tunisian} field staff personnel to permit release of training candidates for English language preparation.

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
	b. OTHER												

Comment on key factors determining rating

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-28(10-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 3 PAR	66-11-130-876	July 72 - Jan. 74	Tunisia	-----

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

Other donors do not contribute directly to this project but their research and demonstration information is very useful. There has been minor overlapping of activities. We have provided some commodities like seeds to the Dutch when alfalfa plantings were washed out by last years floods. But there is a great deal of activity in the livestock sub-sector by other donors.

There has been some concern expressed about possible overlap between the recently negotiated SIDA/FAO livestock project and the USAID/IGOT activity. USAID in process of ascertaining any conflict.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMU- LATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY 74		FY 75	FY 76	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
1. Trained engineers and ad- joint techniques placed on extension teams: <u>M.S. level</u> (Accumulative) <u>A.T. short</u> term	PLANNED	0	0	1	9	2	12
		8	10	0	10	7	35
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	0	0				
		8	0				
	REPLANNED			1	2	6	9
				0	5	5	18
2. Pilot demonstration farms. (Intensely assisted farms. Declining "planned" target figure represents selection process which resulted in 30 of the best demonstration farms)	PLANNED	50	50	40	30	30	25-40
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	60	67				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
3. Package of improved animal husbandry techniques es- tablished and used by live- stock farmers. (# Farms/ year in ea. 6 Gov.): <u>private</u> <u>public</u>	PLANNED	200	310	460	670	-	870
		30	50	40	30	-	30
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	200	310				
		50	67				
	REPLANNED						
4. Better use of existing ir- rigation facilities.	PLANNED	1200	1500	1600	2000	-	2000 ha.
		30	30	-	30	-	30 T/yr.
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	1200	1500				
		10	17				
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT: The target is to develop a minimum of 10 pro- fessional-level staff members providing essential tech- nical supervision and administrative support of field ex- tension service. Currently 3 engineers on-the-job with 6 in U.S. for M.S. training. American advisor assuming sub- stantial portion of workload since majority of permanent					
1. Integrated technical and administrative headquarters operation.		COMMENT: staff in U.S. for training.					
2. Technical package of im- proved range management, forage, and feed grain pro- duction practices developed and disseminated.		target is to develop uniform cultural practices recommend- ations for farmers and incorporate them in extension handbook for each field agent. This output has been substantially accomplished with dissemination of practices paced to over- all expansion of project.					
3.		COMMENT:					

AID 1020-28 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 66h-11-130-276	PAR FOR PERIOD: July 72 - Jan. 74	COUNTRY Tunisia	PAR SERIAL NO. *****
PAGE 4 PAR				

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

1. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? YES NO
To establish by 1975 a GOF extension program which will enable Tunisia to increase livestock production through improved efficiency and the introduction of new techniques.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An extension force of 1 eng. & 5 or 6 A.T. in each of 6 gov. govern. 2. Farmer contacts increased from base of 0 to 150/agent or 5-6000/year. 3. 50% farmer contacts accepting one or more methods for less 1 year. 4. Substantially increased forage/feed grain production on hect-areage influenced by project. 5. a) Increased number and quality of animals marketed from proj. areas b) Increased volume of milk/cow from proj. areas. 6. Fewer incidence of disease on farms under project. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Currently 3 Tunisian engineers, 4 Peace Corps engineers, 4 engineers adjoint, and 24 Adjoint techniques evenly distributed in 6 gov. 2. Field agents now averaging 17 contacts. Low performance reflects inexperience of young staff, lack of transportation, inadequate staff size. 3. No definitive data available at this point. 4. Precise data presently being collected. There are indications of substantial increases in yield and possibly 75% increases in surface area sowed to forage crops. Feed grain trials continue as scheduled. 5. a) No firm data available as yet. Should be outcome of current baseline study. b) Not presently compiled but raw data available. 6. Data should be available from baseline study presently underway.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

Increase quantity and quality of meat and meat products production.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

The Tunisian government has formulated long range plans for accomplishing its overall development objectives. An important component of these plans is mobilizing agricultural sector resources to meet food production and nutrition targets dictated by current and projected human consumption requirements. An important ingredient to accomplishing this task is increased production and quality of protein-giving meat and meat products. The AID/GOF accelerated livestock production activity is specifically designed to establish a national organizational foundation for encouraging the cultivation of essential forage and feed grain crops and the introduction of modern animal husbandry technology. It is hypothesized that such a structure will lead directly to the national food production goals.