

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

5210069-10

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 521-15-190-069	2. PAR FOR PERIOD 11-75 TO 5-76	3. COUNTRY Haiti	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 521-069-602A 48
----------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------

5. PROJECT TITLE  
**AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT : Sub-project Irrigation Studies**  
**DD-AAA-963-F1** 5210069001005

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 73 Ends FY 77	7. DATE LATEST PROP	8. DATE LATEST PIP	9. DATE PRIOR PAR
---	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$
------------------	--	------------------------------------	--

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)	
a. NAME J.G. White Corp.	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. Contract

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
X			USAID/H will continue efforts to obtain sufficient counterparts for the J.G. White team.	10/76

D. RE PLANNING REQUIRES	REVISED OR NEW:	<input type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input type="checkbox"/> PIP	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P	E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW June 4, 1976
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE Frank P. Schwencke, AID/AADO	MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE Scott L. Behoteguy, AID/DIR
---	---

## II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUT-STANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. J.G. White Corp.			X									X	
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

1. Administrative problems in home office delayed field operations for two months.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
None												

Comment on key factors determining rating

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
			X							X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

Excessive time between PIO/C and delivery through GS

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
		X										X
b. OTHER												
			X							X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

1. Personnel promised for working on project not yet forthcoming.
2. Handling and movement of commodities slow and only after continual AID urging.

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
None												

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

11.7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

**III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS**

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY		FY 10	FY 77	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
Feasibility study (no. of studies)	PLANNED	0	1	-	-	-	1
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			1	-	-	1
Pre-feasibility studies (hectares)	PLANNED	0	2000	4000	2000	-	6000
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	1500				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
Rehabilitation Community Irrigation Systems (hectares improved)	PLANNED	0	400	800	100	300	1200
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			500	200	500	1200
Community Organizations developed and functioning to operate and maintain the irrigation systems of Jean-Rabel and Dubreuil	PLANNED	0	0	0	1	1	2
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			0	0	0	0
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1.							
2.	COMMENT:						
3.	COMMENT:						

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

- A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP:  YES  NO
- 1) To test on pilot basis the feasibility of rehabilitating decaying irrigation systems, introduce intensive cropping systems and develop community organization.
  - 2) Pre-feasibility studies on 6000 hectares and feasibility study on 1500 ha. of irrigation systems in need of rehabilitation.

a. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
<p>GOH should have enough information and experience to continue rehabilitation of all irrigation systems in the country.</p>	<p>One feasibility study complete  " " " " nearly complete pre-feasibility studies completed on 1500 ha. rehabilitation work commenced on one irrigation system.</p> <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px auto; width: fit-content;"> <p>RECEIVED  AGRICULTURE  MINISTRY  PORT-AU-PRINCE  HAITI</p> </div>

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal  
**To increase and improve agricultural production in Haiti thereby contributing to better nutritional levels.**

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.  
**No, sub-project completion will provide information and experience necessary to initiate a much larger program which will be a very significant contribution.**