

I. PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1. PROJECT TITLE AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT		APPENDIX ATTACHED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
3. RECIPIENT (specify) HAITI		2. PROJECT NO. (M.O. 1095.2) 521-15-190-069
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COUNTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> REGIONAL	5. SUBMISSION <input type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REV. NO 2 5-23-75
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERREGIONAL	4. LIFE OF PROJECT BEGINS FY 73 ENDS FY 77	CONTR./PASA NO.

II. FUNDING (\$000) AND MAN MONTHS (MM) REQUIREMENTS

A. FUNDING BY FISCAL YEAR	B. TOTAL \$	C. PERSONNEL		D. PARTICIPANTS		E. COMMODITIES \$	F. OTHER COSTS \$	G. PASA/CONTR.		H. LOCAL EXCHANGE CURRENCY RATE: \$ US (U.S. OWNED)		
		(1) \$	(2) MM	(1) \$	(2) MM			(1) \$	(2) MM	(1) U.S. GRANT LOAN	(2) COOP COUNTRY (A) JOINT (B) BUDGET	
1. PRIOR THRU ACTUAL FY	326	150	30			60	116	150	30			41.0
2. OPFN FY 75	620	410	64			55	155	410	64			165.0
3. BUDGET FY 76	561	306	59	35	6	65	155	306	59			135.0
4. BUDGET 5th Q.	160	110	12			30	20	110	12			90.0
5. BUDGET +2 FY 77	75	40	4				35	40	4			65.0
6. BUDGET +3 FY												
7. ALL SUBQ. FY												
8. GRAND TOTAL	1742	1016	160	35	6	210	481	1016	160			496

9. OTHER DONOR CONTRIBUTIONS	(A) NAME OF DONOR	(B) KIND OF GOODS/SERVICES	(C) AMOUNT
	Chinese Agric Mission	Technical Assistance	\$120,000

III. ORIGINATING OFFICE CLEARANCE

1. DRAFTER W. W. Rhodes <i>WW Rhodes</i>	TITLE Desk Officer	DATE 5-23-75
2. CLEARANCE OFFICER for J. T. Craig <i>WWR</i>	TITLE Acting, AID Representative	DATE 5-23-75

IV. PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

1. APPROVAL IS FOR \$620,000 FOR FY 1975 FUNDING ONLY, AS SHOWN ABOVE.
2. REVISED PROP COVERING FUTURE YEAR FUNDING SHOULD BE SUBMITTED TO AID/W BY OCTOBER 31, 1975. REVISED PROP SHOULD INCLUDE EVALUATION PLANS FOR EACH SUBPROJECT AND SHOULD JUSTIFY FUNDING FOR LIFE OF PROJECT.

2. CLEARANCES					
BUR/OFF.	SIGNATURE	DATE	BUR/OFF.	SIGNATURE	DATE
LA/DP	<i>PSigman</i>	6/27/75	LA/OPNS	<i>C Uyehara</i>	
LA/CP	<i>WRWheeler</i>	6/11/75	GC/LA	<i>I Levy</i>	
LA/D	<i>L Harrison</i>	6/11/75	LA/DR	<i>L Green</i>	
3. PRIVATE AAS OR OFFICE DIRECTORS			4. APPROVAL A/AID (See N.O. 1025.1 VI C)		
NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE	
Herman Kleine	<i>Herman Kleine</i>	6/27/75	NA		
TITLE: <i>LA</i>			ADMINISTRATOR, AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT		

The purpose of this revision is to provide for an increase in total project costs brought on by escalating contractor fees, rapidly increasing commodity and freight costs, and by the inclusion of a necessary field trial in the project that was not originally foreseen. In addition the PROP revises the funding tables to show (a) a general movement forward of fiscal year schedules as implementation is accelerated to meet other programming goals and (b) a provision for Funding for the 5th quarter.

The original estimate of project costs totaled \$837 thousand. Revised estimates as of 5/23/75 total \$1,742, an increase of \$905. \$658 thousand of this is due to increased contractor costs caused not only by escalating fees but also by changes in the scope of the Agricultural Policy Studies and the Community Irrigation Systems sub-activities, and expansion of the maize demonstration trials into a limited -- area production trial before the end of the project. \$95 reflects the effects of inflationary forces on commodity prices and especially on freight costs.

The Agriculture Policy Study of five commodities was originally envisaged as being just that - a series of short term assignments of qualified personnel with a duration of 6 to 8 weeks each for the purpose of analyzing one commodity area. As the activity evolved, and upon the request of the GOH, an Institutional Strengthening element has been added which will require one full man year of Senior Economist in addition to specialists in taxation etc.. The cost of this change in scope is estimated at \$155 thousand.

An erosion control element has been added to the rehabilitation phase of the Community Irrigation Systems activity. The condition of the watersheds from which the irrigation water derives was not foreseen as a critical problem when the original PROP was prepared. It is now apparent that watershed protection must be an integral part of any rehabilitation of the lands below. This, plus increased contractor costs overall, requires an additional \$316 thousand in funding spread over the final three fiscal years of the project.

It is not anticipated that additional monies will be requested for the Community Irrigation Systems, since remaining work to be done on the two test areas will be shifted over to Loan financing in FY 76.

REVISED BUDGET SUMMARY--U.S.
(000 \$'s)

Fiscal Year 1973

<u>Activity</u>	<u>PERS</u>	<u>PART</u>	<u>COMM</u>	<u>O/C</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Policy Analysis	53.0 (10MM)	4.0(1MM)	1.0		58.0

Fiscal Year 1974

Policy Analysis	40.0				40.0
Rice Multiplication			60.0	10.0	70.0
Maize Trials	15.0		5.0	5.0	25.0
Comm Irr System Studies	130.0			13.0	143.0
					(278)

Fiscal Year 1975

Policy Analysis	180			11.0	191
Community Irr Studies	70.0			10.0	80
Comm. Irri Sys. Reconstruction	100		55	123	278
Maize Demos	60			11	71
					(\$620)

Fiscal Year 1976

Comm Irr System (watershed control)	306	35	65	155	561
					(\$561)

<u>Activity</u>	<u>PERS</u>	<u>PART</u>	<u>COM</u>	<u>O/C</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Sch. Quarter					
Comm Irr System watershed control	110		30	20	160
					(\$160)
Fiscal Year 1977					
Policy Analysis (up date Sector Assessment)	40			35	75
					(\$75)
Total	\$1,742.00				