

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PARTIAL)

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 511-11-570-439.5
 2. PAR FOR PERIOD 6/8/72 TO 5/24/72
 3. COUNTRY Bolivia
 4. PAR SERIAL NO. 72-4

5. PROJECT TITLE

PD-AAA-114-G1

Family Care

6. PROJECT DURATION: Begin FY 68 Ends FY 77
 7. DATE LATEST PROP 5/25/72
 8. DATE LATEST PIP 11/28/69
 9. DATE PIP OR PAR 6/7/72

10. U.S. FUNDS
 a. Cumulative Obligation Through Prior FY: \$ 622,824
 b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 309,000
 c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 920,000

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

| a. NAME | b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |

12. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

| A. ACTION (X) | | | B. LIST OF ACTIONS | C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE |
|---------------|-------|------|---|------------------------------------|
| USAID | AID/C | HOST | | |
| | | | Note: Due to the closing of the universities, and the delay in getting a GOB commitment to support CENAPA this project is being extended to FY 1977 in accordance with the PROP approved May 25, 1972. | |
| X | | | 1) Investigation of the condition of commodities financed with prior year funds that were delivered before August 1971. | September 1972 |
| X | | X | 2) Delivery of commodities presently in storage to university health centers. | September 1972 |
| X | | X | 3) Re-selection of candidates for participant training to staff university preventive medicine departments. | January 1973 |
| | X | | 4) Assist in locating a qualified demographer for a 12 month contract with CENAPA. | September 1972 |
| X | | | 5) Modify the Logical Framework. | June 30, 1972 |
| X | | | 6) The Project Manager will follow-up on the development of the plans for the construction of the Health Center in Ayo Ayo to make sure the MSW/PH and CENAPA have sufficient justification for the size of the Center and to assure that construction starts during Q1 1972. | September 1972 |

13. REPLANNING REQUIRED
 REVISION OR NEW PROP PIP PRO AG PIG/T PIG/C PIG
 PROJECT MANAGER: TYPES, NAMES, INITIALS AND DATE: Andres S. Jandry 6/27/72
 MARCH DIRECTOR: TYPES, NAMES, INITIALS AND DATE: David V. ... 6/27/72

B. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS:

| A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY | B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN | | | | | | | C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|--------|---|------|---|
| | UNSATISFACTORY | | SATISFACTORY | | | OUTSTANDING | | LOW | | MEDIUM | | HIGH | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comment on key factors determining rating

There was no contractor during the period covered by this evaluation.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | X | | | | | | | | | | | X |

Comment on key factors determining rating

Due to the closing of the universities in August, training had to be cancelled for several participants who were scheduled to work for Preventive Medicine Departments.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5. COMMODITIES | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | X | | | | | | | | | | | X |

Comment on key factors determining rating

The dismal performance rating given to this input is due to the effects of the closing of the Universities following the August 1971 revolution. It has not been possible to deliver the commodities that arrived after, or shortly before, the universities were closed. There have been reports of damage to some of the commodities that were delivered but follow-up will not be possible until the universities are reopened.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. COOPERATING COUNTRY | a. PERSONNEL | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | b. OTHER | | | | | | | X | | | | | X |

Comment on key factors determining rating

GENAPA's work has progressed very well and some of the effects are starting to be felt. The Ministry of Social Welfare/Public Health requested assistance for its Maternal and Child Health Department with the stated intent to work in family planning in the early future. An "Altiplano" community has officially requested GENAPA's assistance in obtaining financial support for the construction of a maternal and child health center which will provide preventive medicine services, including family planning. The community will provide labor and local materials for this, the Ministry will provide personnel, and AID will provide equipment.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. OTHER DONORS Pathfinder and GE ENFO | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | | | | X | | | | | X | | |

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

8. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

The project is limited in scope and directed only at laying the groundwork so these or similar organizations can assist Bolivia's family planning efforts. Although these types of organizations will be relied upon to achieve the Goal toward which this project is directed, their action is not of high importance to achieving the project purpose.

Neither CELADE nor ASCOPANE had an opportunity to provide inputs during this period.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

| A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | | TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount) | | | | | END OF PROJECT TT |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| | | CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY | CURRENT FY 72 | | FY 73 | FY 74 | |
| | | | TO DATE | TO END | | | |
| I. Universities 1) Modified preventive medicine curricula. | PLANNED | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | 3 | - | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | - | - | - | 3 |
| 2) University Health Centers | PLANNED | 2 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3) Qualified Bolivian professional staff in preventive medicine and population dynamics. | PLANNED | 20 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 33 |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | 19 | 0 | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | 0 | 6 | 5 | 32 |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | COMMENT: | | | | | | |
| I. Universities 1) Modified preventive medicine curricula. | The Mission expects a continued use of the curricula once the universities are reopened. | | | | | | |
| 2. | COMMENT: | | | | | | |
| 3. | COMMENT: | | | | | | |

8. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Desires

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

| A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | | TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount) | | | | | END OF PROJECT TT |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| | | CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY | CURRENT FY 72 | | FY 73 | FY 74 | |
| | | | TO DATE | TO END | | | |
| II. CENAPA 1) Qualified Personnel | PLANNED | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | 1 | 0 | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 2) Publications | PLANNED | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| | PLANNED | | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL PERFORMANCE | | | | | | |
| | REPLANNED | | | | | | |
| B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS | COMMENT: | | | | | | |
| 1. Semi-autonomous, GOB financed institutions. | In the FY 1972 Projg the GOB will agree to finance 10% of the cost for CENAPA starting January 1, 1973. By 1977 the GOB should be providing 100% of the financing for CENAPA. | | | | | | |
| 2. National family planning policy. | COMMENT: While the need for legislation has been recognized by responsible GOB officials, no concrete steps are yet underway. Our comment in part II 6 of this PAR indicates that considerable progress has been made in this area. | | | | | | |
| 3. | COMMENT: | | | | | | |

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

1. Encourage the establishment of family planning clinics.
2. The establishment of a semi-autonomous government agency capable of conducting research in population dynamics and disseminating the results to GOB decision makers.
3. Creation of the institutional capability necessary to train medical and para-medical personnel in all aspects of preventive medicine with emphasis on population dynamics and family planning.

a. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.

2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.

1) The existence of preventive medicine departments and associated health centers including specialized personnel at three Bolivian universities (La Paz, Sucre, Cochabamba).

1) At present, preventive medicine departments with revised curricula exist at the three universities. Construction plans which had been completed for the health center at UMSA could not be implemented because of the August 1971 revolution. Initiation of work should start by July 1, 1972. The closing of the universities following the revolution has also delayed the construction of the Sucre and Cochabamba health centers. Commitments for this will be finalized after the reopening of the universities.

2) A GOB financed permanent, semi-autonomous population dynamics/action research agency, called CENAPA.

2) The GOB has agreed to start financing CENAPA. The FY 1972 ProAg outlines a plan whereby the GOB assumes increasing financial responsibility reaching 100% in 1977.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

2.1% net population growth rate, FY 1981 vs 2.5%, FY 1971.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

The Ministry of Social Welfare/Public Health and others have expressed an interest in initiation of family planning activities. Although the Mission continues to consider caution advisable, it feels this increased interest is directly attributable to increased awareness of the problem due to the work of CENAPA and greater sensitivity resulting from the impact of the preventive medicine program. It seems likely that upon attaining the project purpose, the GOB, with the assistance of organizations such as Pathfinder, will be actively engaged in family planning.