

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

10/14/71 PDA/ES 4p.

1. PROJECT NO. 511-11-190-364.4	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/28/70 TO 6/2/71	3. COUNTRY BOLIVIA	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 71-10
5. PROJECT TITLE AGRARIAN REFORM			

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 67 Ends FY 71	7. DATE LATEST PROP 5/29/69	8. DATE LATEST PIP 3/13/70	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 7/28/70
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 451,000	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 93,500	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME <u>University of Wisconsin</u>	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. <u>ATD 511-56T</u>
---	---

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
Contractor	X		1) The Financial Review Branch USAID/Bolivia, recently discovered certain deficiencies in commodity records and controls at the Agrarian Reform Service warehouse. Corrective steps are being undertaken.	June 30, 1971.

NOT IN FILE if not incl received

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES
 REVISED OR NEW: PROP PIP PRO AG PIO/T PIO/C PIO/P

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
John R. [Signature] 6/17/71

MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
[Signature] 6-17-71

AID 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 511-11-190-364.4	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO 7/28/70 - 6/2/71	COUNTRY BOLIVIA	PAR SERIAL NO. 71-10
---------------------	---------------------------------	--	--------------------	-------------------------

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUT-STANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. University of Wisconsin					X								X
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

As far as the planning and execution of this project are concerned, the contractor's performance was generally excellent.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING														
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

NOT APPLICABLE

5. COMMODITIES			X											X
----------------	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

Delays in ordering necessary commodities on three occasions caused some delays in project implementation. During periods of political upheaval it was USAID policy not to order commodities.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X									X
	b. OTHER				X									X

Comment on key factors determining rating

Due to the very high priority of this project, recognized by all Bolivian governments, cooperation on part of the GOB has been satisfactory throughout.

7. OTHER DONORS				X							X			
-----------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

(S) Next Page for Comments on Other Donors

AID 1020-25(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 511-11-190-364.4	PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/28/70-6/2/71	COUNTRY BOLIVIA	PAR SERIAL NO. 71-10
----------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

The only noteworthy input during the evaluation period occurred on part of the British Tropical Agriculture Mission in the training of soils specialists, attached to the Mobile Brigades.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					END OF PROJECT
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY 71		FY 72	FY ____	
			TO DATE	TO END			
Mobile Land Titling Units.	PLANNED	18					18
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	8	10				
	REPLANNED						
Field Personnel.	PLANNED	162					162
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	72	90				
	REPLANNED						
Title Processing and Registration Personnel.	PLANNED	52					62
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	62					
	REPLANNED						
Titles Issued.	PLANNED	150,000	80,000		105,000		335,000
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT:					
1. Equipment.		All important equipment including the computer, perforators, sorters, etc., is now in operation.					
2. Revised Legal Procedures and 1953 Agrarian Reform Law.		COMMENT: These procedures were decreed in 1967 and are successfully being adhered to.					
3. Agrolological Map.		COMMENT: Preparation of the map started in late CY 1970. Due to the magnitude of the work involved only a beginning will be made by the end of FY 1972.					

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 4 PAR	PROJECT NO. 511-11-190-364.4	PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/28/70-6/2/71	COUNTRY BOLIVIA	PAR SERIAL NO. 71-10
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? YES NO
 To institutionalize a new land tenure system based on individual property rights of owner-operators of land, as opposed to the system based on serfdom which existed through 1952, by developing the capacity within the National Agrarian Reform Service to process and issue land titles more expeditiously.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
1) 18 Mobile units operational, each comprised of 8 topographers (1 soils specialist), 1 agrarian judge, 1 secretary, and 1 chauffeur, by FY 1972.	1) 18 Mobile Units operational in the field at present.
2) Capacity to process all titles from the data produced by the 18 Mobile Units by FY 1971.	2) Capacity is available at present.
3) Automated title registration and certification system by mid-FY 1972.	3) Personnel has been trained and employed, and are now engaged in making the system operational by the end of FY 1972.
4) Issuance of 284,136 titles to 180,000 peasant families in the period CY 1968 - mid-1971.	4) This has been accomplished.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal
 To institutionalize contacts between rural communities and the central government with the purpose of integrating the peasant more fully into the country's economic, social and political structure.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.
 The issuance of land titles to 90% of Bolivia's peasant families by 1975 through the land tenure system established by this project should most definitely open the way for the Bolivian peasant for increased participations in the national life.