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## Agenda

- Overview of Financial Analysis Solvency Tools (FAST) Ratios
- Explanation and calculation of ratios
- Financial Analysis scoring system and implications
- Supervisory Measures


## DuPont Formula

Return on Equity (RoE)
= Net income / Equity (NI/E)
= (Net income/sales)(sales/assets)(assets/equity)
= Net profit margin x asset turnover
$x$ finance leverage multiplier

## Sustainable Growth Rate = $\mathbf{g}$

Risk Based approach

- Very basic risk assessment factor

$$
g=R R \times R o E
$$

- $R R$ is the retention rate

RR = 1 - Dividend declared / NI

- RoE is the return on equity $R o E=N I / E$


## Financial Analysis of a Company

## Four Major Areas of Financial Analysis

- Internal liquidity: company's ability to pay its short-term liabilities
- Current ratio = current assets/ current liabilities
- Operating performance: management performance
- Equity turnover = Net sales / average equity
- Gross profit margin = gross profit / net sales
- Return on equity = net income / average total equity


## Financial Analysis of a Company (continued)

- Risk: uncertainty of company's income and profit
- Sales variability $=\Delta$ sales / average sales
- Business variability $=\Delta$ operating income /
mean operating income
- Debt-equity ratio = long-term debt / total equity
- Operating leverage $=\% \Delta$ operating earnings $/ \% \Delta$ sales
- Growth analysis: sustainable growth
- $G=R R x$ RoE, where RR is retention ratio and RoE is return on equity
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## Overview of Financial Analysis Solvency Tools - Insurance

- Helps regulators target resources on more risky companies
- To be supplemented by in-depth financial analysis and/or on-site examinations
- 22 ratios: within "normal range"- no score
- Outside normal range: graduated score from 0 to 175 (mostly to 100)
- The higher the score, the more risky the company
- Ratios may be used to compare a company against national averages (peer to peer comparison)
- Detailed annual or 5-year analysis
- Simplified quarterly comparisons


## Ratios (Indicators)

- Grouped into four areas
- Profitability ratios
- Leverage ratios
- Asset and Liquidity ratios
- Miscellaneous ratios


## Profitability Ratios

- Ratio P1 - Investment yield deviation
- Ratio P2 - Change in combined ratios
- Ratio P3 - Gross expenses and commissions to gross premium written
- Ratio P4 - Change in gross expenses and commissions


## Leverage Ratios

- Ratio L1A - Gross premiums written to Equity
- Ratio L1B - Net premiums written to Equity
- Ratio L2A - Change in gross premiums written
- Ratio L2B - Change in net premiums written


## Leverage Ratios (continued)

- Ratio L3 - Surplus aid to Equity
- Ratio L4 - Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses to Equity
- Ratio L5 - Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses to Equity
- Ratio L6 - Reserves to Equity
- Ratio L7 - Two-year reserve development to Equity


## Asset and Liquidity Ratios

- Ratio A1A - Affiliated investment to Equity
- Ratio A1B - Affiliated receivables to Equity
- Ratio A2 - Miscellaneous receivables to Equity
- Ratio A3 - Non-investment grade bond/debt exposure
- Ratio A4 - Other invested assets to Equity
- Ratio A5 - Change in liquid assets
- Ratio A6 - Change in gross agents' balance
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## Miscellaneous Ratios

- Ratio M1 - Cash flow from operations
- Ratio M2 - Change in Equity (Capital \& Surplus)
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## Ratio P1 - Investment Yield Deviation

- Compares the investment yield of insurance assets to industry average
- Investment yield = Net investment income / average cash and invested assets
- Too much deviation from the industry average may mean:
- Low yield: non-investment grade, non-performing assets, investment management needs improvement, home/branch/sales offices are recorded as invested assets, etc.
- High yield: non-investment grade, extraordinary dividend payments from parents, affiliate and subsidiaries; huge realized capital gains, booking income that are not yet realized, windfall profit from highly speculative investments, etc.


## Calculation of Ratio P1

Investment Yield Deviation from Industry Average
A - Net Investment Income
B - Cash and Invested Asset
C - Prior year Cash and Invested Asset
D - Investment Yield = 100A[(B+C)/2]
E - Average Industry Average (source: market)
$F$ - Investment Yield Deviation = D-E

## Ratio P2 - Change in Combined Ratio

- The combined ratio measures underwriting profitability
- Combined ratio = loss \& LAE ratio + expense ratio + policyholder dividend ratio
- Change in combined ratio is \% increase of current (this) year's ratio over the prior (last) year's ratio
- Combined ratio < 100\% indicates underwriting profit
- Decline in combined ratio indicates improvement in underwriting results
- Increasing trend of changes in combined ratio signals deterioration of profitability
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## Calculation of Ratio P2

Change in Combined Ratio = CCR
A - Earned Premium; H - Prior Year's
B - Incurred Losses; I - Prior Year's
C - Incurred Loss Expenses; J - Prior Year's
D - Other Underwriting Expenses Incurred; K - Prior Year's
E - Aggregate Write-in for Underwriting Deductions; L- Prior Year's
F - Dividend to Policyholders; M - Prior Year's
G - Net Premium Written; $\boldsymbol{N}$ - Prior Year's
1 - Current year's Combined Ratio $=100[(B+C+F) / A+(D+E) / G]$
2 - Prior year's Combined Ratio = 100[(I+J+M)/H+(K+L)/N]
$C C R=1-2$ (1 minus 2 )

## Ratio P3 - Gross Expenses and Commissions to Gross Premium Written

- Gross expenses and commissions: costs of acquiring and underwriting business
- This ratio is expense ratio relative to gross premium written
- Normal range is 15\% - 35\%
- High ratio indicates some degree of inefficiency in managing and controlling cost
- High ratio may also indicate inadequacy of premium rates (deficient pricing)
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## Calculation of Ratio P3

Gross Expense and Commission/Gross Premium Written
A - Direct Commission
B - Reinsurance Assumed Commission
C - Contingent Commission, Direct and Indirect
D - Membership fees
E - General Expenses
F-A \& H Reimbursements
G - Aggregate Write - ins
H-Rent Expenses (Sales/underwriting Offices)
I - Direct Premiums Written
$J$ - Reinsurance Premium (Assumed from Affiliated companies)
$K$ - Reinsurance Premium (Assumed from unaffiliated companies)
$L-G r o s s$ Expenses $=A+B+C+D+E+F+G+H$
$M$ - Gross Premium Written $=1+J+K$
N - Gross Expense/Gross Premium Written (P3) $=100 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{M}$ Normal range: 15\% - 35\%

## Ratio P4 - Change in Gross Expenses and Commissions

- This ratio is \% increase in a company's gross expenses and commissions
- Too big an increase is cause of concern; may indicate:
- Inefficient cost management
- Premium rates (pricing) are no longer adequate/competitive
- Cash-flow underwriting
- Abnormal management cost and service fees
- Sales campaign, expansion \& promotion
- Other non-recurring costs


## Calculation of Ratio P4

Change in Gross Expenses \& Commissions
A - Direct Commission; I - Prior Year's
B - Reinsurance Assumed Commission; J - Prior Year's
C - Contingent Commission, Direct and Indirect; K - Prior Year's
D - Membership fees; L - Prior Year's
E - Other Insurance Business Expenses; M - Prior Year's
F - A \& H Reimbursements; $N$ - Prior Year's
G - Aggregate Write - ins; O-Prior Year's
H - Rent Expenses (Own Offices); P - Prior Year's
Q - This Year's Gross Exp \& Commissions = A+B+C+D+E+F+G+H
$R$ - Prior Year's Gross Exp \& Commissions $=I+J+K+L+M+N+O+P$
$S$ - Change in Gross Expenses \& Commissions $=100(Q-R) / R$

## Ratio L1A - Gross Premium Written to

## Equity

- Equity = Capital and Surplus
- Gross and net premium written: measures of the marketing \& sales efficiency of the insurance company
- Ratios: measure asset turnover
- Reflective of management effectiveness in using capital
- Also reflect on the quantum of risk management is willing to take (one of the ratios to consider in determining prudent level of the company's risk tolerance)


## Calculation of Ratio L1A

Gross Premium Written to Equity
A - Direct Premium
B - Reinsurance Assumed (Indirect) Premium, Affiliated Companies
C - Reinsurance Assumed (Indirect) Premium, Unaffiliated Companies
D - Capital and Surplus (Equity)
$E$ - Gross Premium Written = A+B+C
F - Gross Premium Written/Equity = 100 (E/D)

- It is prudent to separate business done with affiliates from unaffiliated companies because the risks inherent between these types of business activities are different.

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

## Ratio L1B - Net Premium Written to Equity

- Net premium written = gross premium written - reinsurance ceded
- Ratio L1B also reflects on management's willingness to leverage its C \& S to generate sales revenue
- It is important that Ratio L1A does not exceed Ratio L1B by a wide margin
- This would indicate that an abnormal (large) amount of the company's capital and surplus comes from reinsurance


## Calculation of Ratio L1B

Net Premium Written to Equity

A - Net Premium Written
B - Capital and Surplus
$C=$ Net Premium Written/Equity $=100$ A/B
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## Ratio L2A - Change in Gross Premium Written

- The change is expressed as a percentage of gross premium written in the prior year
- It measures sales variability
- Significant increase or decrease indicates instability in the company's operation
- It is normal to observe large increases during the first few years of introduction and marketing of a product
- Such variability is covered by the surplus of the company


## Calculation of Ratio L2A

Change in Gross Premium Written

A - Gross Premium Written, Current Year (Period)
B - Gross Premium Written, Prior Year (Period)
C - Change in Gross Premium Written = 100 (A-B)/B

## Ratio L2B - Change in Net Premium Written

- The change is expressed as a percentage of net premium written in the prior year
- Similar to the L2A ratio, it measures sales variability
- Significant increase or decrease indicates instability in the company's operation
- In addition, significant increases may indicate that the company entered into unfamiliar territories
- Thus, ceding more and more insurance to reduce net premiums (and risk retention)
- Watch also for possible cash-flow underwriting practice


## Calculation of L2B

Change in Net Premium Written

A - Net Premium Written, Current Year (Period)
B - Net Premium Written, Prior Year (Period)
C - Change in Net Premium Written = 100 (A-B)/B

## Ratio L3 - Surplus Aid to Equity

- Surplus aid is the estimated amount of commissions on unearned ceded reinsurance premiums
- Technically, this amount belongs to the reinsurer
- But it may be retained by primary (ceding) insurer according to the terms of cession (Reinsurance)
- If a large portion of Capital \& Surplus depend on surplus aid
- Continued solvency of primary (ceding) insurer depends on the continued accommodation and co-operation of the reinsurer
- Financial distress of the reinsurer seriously threatens the solvency of the primary (ceding) insurer


## Calculation of Ratio L3

Surplus Aid to Equity
A - Ceded Reinsurance Commission
B - Reinsurance Ceded Premium
C - Total Ceded Unearned Premium
D - Capital and Surplus
$E$ - Surplus Aid = A x (C/B)
$F$ - Surplus Aid to Equity = 100 E/D

## Ratio L4 - Reinsurance Recoverable on Paid Losses to Equity

- Reinsurance recoverables on paid losses and paid LAE include
- Current balances: arise due to timing difference between billing and settlement dates
- Aged (past due) balances indicate reinsurer's
- poor operating performance
- lack of credit worthiness
- differences in the evaluation of, or disputed, losses
- High ratio raises concern of reinsurer's credibility


## Calculation of Ratio L4

RI Recoverables for Paid Losses to Equity A - RI Recoverable for Paid Losses from Affiliated Companies
B - RI Recoverable for Paid Losses from Unaffiliated Companies
C - Capital and Surplus
D - RI Recoverable for Paid Losses = A+B
E-RI Recoverable for Paid Losses to Capital \& Surplus = 100 (D/C)

- You may need to separately calculate the ratios applicable to affiliates and non affiliates in which case the formulae would be: 100 (A/C) for affiliates and 100 (B/C) for non affiliates, then add (combine) the 2 ratios to arrive at ratio $E$, above. (Reason: risks in collections differ)
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## Ratio L5 - Reinsurance Recoverable on Unpaid Losses to Equity

- This ratio is another measure of the level of risk of primary (ceding) insurer related to reinsurance
- High ratio raises credibility concern of reinsurer
- Relatively higher level of this ratio may be acceptable for long-tail writer
- For short-tail writer, high ratios indicate a buildup of ceded loss reserves


## Calculation of Ratio L5

RI Recoverable for Unpaid Losses to Equity
A - RI Recoverable for Unpaid Losses from Affiliated
Companies
B - RI Recoverable for Unpaid Losses from Unaffiliated
Companies
C - Capital and Surplus
D - RI Recoverable for Unpaid Losses = A+B
E - Reinsurance Recoverable for Unpaid Losses to Equity = 100 (D/C)

- Again separate calculation of ratio for affiliates and non affiliated reinsurers is suggested
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## Ratio L6 - Reserve to Capital \& Surplus

- This ratio concentrates on Reserves for losses and LAE in relation to capital \& surplus
- It measures the company's exposure to errors in estimation of liabilities for losses and LAE
- Especially critical if significant amount of net premium written is attributable to long-tailed business
- Due to long or prolonged time to settle claims


## Calculation of Ratio L6

A - Net Premium Written on Long-tailed lines
B - Total Net Premium Written
C - \% of net long-tailed line = 100 (A/B)
D - Loss Reserves
E - Reserve for Loss Adjustment Expenses
F - Capital \& Surplus
G - Reserve to Capital \& Surplus = 100 (D+E)/F
H - Ratio for long tailed products = (G x C)

- Note: Ratio H (relates to long tailed products) $=G^{*} C$. Ratio $H$ will indicate the extent of leveraging reserves for longtailed products to capital \& surplus.


## Ratio L7 - Two-year Reserve Development to Capital \& Surplus

- Losses outstanding for two years prior and up to the current statement date is the sum of
- Current reserves outstanding for losses incurred two years ago
- Loss payments made during last two year
- Two-year reserve development is difference
- Updated loss estimate above, minus
- Reserve at the end of prior year
- If two-year reserve development is
- Positive: reserves were deficient
- Negative: reserves were redundant


## Calculation of Ratio L7

First, calculate the 2 yr loss development; then Ratio L7
"2 year Reserve Development to Equity"

- A - Current reserves outstanding for losses incurred two years ago (last 2 years)
- $B$-Loss payments made during last two year
- C - Updated 2 year loss estimate = A + B
- D-Reserve at the end of prior year
- E-2 Year Reserve Development = C - D
- F-Capital \& Surplus
- G - 2 year Reserve Development to Capital \& Surplus= 100 (E/F)
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## Ratio A1A - Affiliated Investments to Capital \& Surplus

- This ratio measures the company's investment in parent, subsidiaries, affiliates
- Too high ratios indicate extra risk of the company due to risks faced by the parent, subsidiaries and affiliates
- Measures the extent to which the capital \& surplus of the insurance company is exposed or threatened by the solvency risks faced its parent, subsidiaries and affiliates companies
- Calculation of ratio: 100 (A/B) where:
- A - Total investment in parent, subsidiaries or affiliates
- B - Capital \& Surplus


## Ratio A1B - Affiliated receivables to Capital \& Surplus

- If Receivables are unsecured: risk of collection is high
- This ratio measures the company's risk due to large amount of unsecured collectibles from company's parent, subsidiaries, affiliates
- It measures the extent to which capital \& surplus is leveraged in case of default by parent, affiliates and subsidiaries
- Calculation: $100(A+B) / C$, where:
- A = Receivables from parent, affiliates and subsidiaries
- B = Reinsurance recoverable from parent, affiliates, subsidiaries
- C = Capital \& Surplus


## Ratio A2 - Miscellaneous Recoverables to Capital \& Surplus

- Recoverables from creditors other than reinsurers cause major risks to the financial stability of an insurance company
- Illiquid and non-income producing
- Include write-ins which may not be permitted or admissible assets
- The higher the ratios = the higher is the risk of collection = the higher is the (potential) threat to C \& S
- Calculation: $100(A+B+C) / D$, where:
- A - Aggregate asset write-in other than invested assets
- B - Income tax and other taxes recoverable plus accrued interest
- C - Other non-trade related recoverables
- D - Capital \& Surplus


## Ratio A3 - Non-Investment Grade Bond to Capital and Surplus

- This is commonly referred to as "Non Investment Grade Bond Exposure"
- Non-investment grade bonds may be inadmissible or partially admissible assets
- The ratio measures the extent to which C \& S is exposed or leveraged to the credit risks of the bond and the issuer making payment of bond obligations as they fall due
- Credit risk and liquidity risk are the major concerns associated with holding such bonds
- The higher the ratios; the higher is the indication of management's decision to take more credit and liquidity risks, thus: higher risk exposure of C \& S to credit risk of the bond issuer and the insurance company's own liquidity risk.
- Calculation: 100 (A/B) where:
- A = Total of non investment grade bonds
- B = Capital \& Surplus


## Ratio A4 - Other Invested Assets to Capital \& Surplus

- Other assets include real estate, mortgage loans, shares in joint-ventures, partnerships, resource development or the like
- Characterized with illiquidity and highly vulnerable to market risks
- High ratios indicate potential asset risks; high level of risk to stable company's capital and surplus
- Calculation: $100(A+B+C) / D$, where:
- A - Real Estate
- B - Mortgage Loans on Real Estate
- C - Other Invested Assets
- D - Capital and Surplus


## Ratio A5 - Change in Liquid Assets

- Liquid assets include
- Cash and tradable securities
- Receivables for securities plus accrued interest/dividends
- Investment in cash and tradable securities of affiliates
- Insurer need liquid assets to meet obligations
- Poor liquidity: risk of forced sale of assets below market
- Excessive liquidity: poor investment performance
- Stable, low ratios are ideal


## Calculation of Ratio A5

## Change in Liquid Assets

A - Bank and Cash Fund; F- Prior Year's
B- Bank Deposits; G- Prior Year's
C- Government Bonds; H - Prior Year's
D - Shares (available for sale); I- Prior Year's
E - Investment Certificates; J - Prior Year's
K- This year's Liquid Assets $=A+B+C+D+E$
L - Last Year's Liquid Assets $=F+G+H+I+J$
$M$ - Change in Liquid Asset $=100[(K-L) / L]$

## Ratio A6 - Change in Gross Agents' Balances

- Agents' balances are not easily converted to cash even in time of liquidation
- Significant increase in gross agents' balance spells liquidity problem
- Measures the extent by which C \& S is leveraged to Agent's Balances
- Calculation: 100 (A-B)/B, where:
- A - Agents' balances at end of current year
- B - Agents' balances at end of previous year


## Ratio M1 - Cash Flow from Operation

- This is a ratio of the net cash flow from operation to net premium collected
- Measures the insurer's ability to generate cash from normal operation of the company
- Test of the profitability of the operation of the company
- Cash flow is stated as outgoes - incomes


## Calculation of Ratio M1

Cash Flow from Operations
A - Loss and LAE paid; I - Prior Year's
B- Underwriting (Commission and Acquisition Cost) Expense paid; J - Prior Year's
C - Dividends paid to Policyholders; K - Prior Year's
D- General and Administrative Expense; L - Prior Year's
E - Net Investment Income (loss); M - Prior Year's
F - Other Income (expense); N - Prior Year's
G - Recoverable taxes Received (Paid); O - Prior Year's
H - Net Premium Collected; P - Prior Year's
Q - Cash Flow from Operations, Current Year = $100[(A+B+C+D)-$ ( $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{G}$ )/H]
R - Cash Flow from Operations, Previous Year = 100[(l+J+K+L) $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}) / \mathrm{P}$

## Ratio PM2 - Change in Capital \& Surplus

- This is the ultimate measure of the financial condition of the company
- A negative change shows deterioration: bad
- Drastic increase shows instability
- It is sometimes related to a change of ownership
- Many insolvent companies have high surplus increases prior to insolvency of the company


## Calculation of Ratio M2

A - Capital \& Surplus End of Current Year
B - Capital \& Surplus End of Previous Year 1(Last Year)
C - Capital \& Surplus End of Previous Year 2 (Year before Last Year)
D - Change in Capital \& Surplus, this Year = 100 (A-B)/B
$E$ - Change in Capital \& Surplus, last Year $=100(B-C) / C$

- This is a 3-year calculation. Changes to C \& S for the lasts five (5) years or longer period gives you better idea about the developments (growth, stability or deterioration) of the company's financial condition
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| FAST Factors Summary Sheet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Normal Range |  | Outermost Range |  |
|  |  | Low | High | Low | High |
| PP1 | Investment Yield Deviation from Industry Average | -1.5 | 1.5 | -15 | 30 |
| PP2 | Change in Combined Ratio | -5 | 5 | -25 | 25 |
| PP3 | Gross Expenses and Commissions / Gross Premium Written | 15 | 35 | 5 | 70 |
| PP4 | Change in Gross Expenses and Commissions | -20 | 20 | -100 | 100 |
| PL1A | Gross Premiums Written / Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 300 | 0 | 900 |
| PL1B | Net Premiums Written to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 225 | 0 | 675 |
| PL2A | Change in Gross Premium Written | -20 | 20 | -100 | 100 |
| PL2B | Change in Net Preiums Written | -15 | 20 | -75 | 100 |
| PL3 | Surplus Aid to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PL4 | Reinsurance Recoverable on Paid Losses to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PL5 | Reinsurance Recoverables on Unpaid Lossess to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 25 | 0 | 75 |
| PL6 | Reserve to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 175 | 0 | 525 |
| PL7 | Two-Year Reserve Development to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PA1A | Affiliated Investment to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 20 | 0 | 60 |
| PA1B | Affiliated Receivables to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 10 | 0 | 30 |
| PA2 | Miscellanious Recoverables to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PA3 | Non-Investment Grade Bond Exposure | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PA4 | Other Invested Assets to Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 |
| PA5 | Change in Liquid Assets | -5 | 25 | -25 | 125 |
| PA6 | Change in Gross Agents' Balances | -2.5 | 10 | -12.5 | 50 |
| PM1 | CashFlow from Operation | 0 | 95 | 0 | 142.5 |
| PM2 | Change in Policyholders' Surplus | 0 | 25 | 0 | 50 |

## FAST SCORES

- No score is given inside the normal range
- Graduated score is given outside the normal range
- From 0 to 100 at or beyond the maximum range
- Some items have extra points up to another 70
- Average for each group is calculated and added together
- High FAST scores indicate need of more regulatory attention

Thank you!

Discussions

## Appendix 5

Exit Report of Edgar P Balbin
TAPR II - Component B, Insurance
May 29, 2008

