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## DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

### KEY DEFINITIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct beneficiary</td>
<td>An individual that benefits (usually economically) as a result of working directly with a volunteer. Usually as a host or member of a host organization. Also called a customer or a client.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client/Customer</td>
<td>USAID lexicon for a beneficiary, with emphasis on making sure that the beneficiary actually wants what the volunteer seeks to provide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADS</td>
<td>Automated Directives System. USAID’s operating manual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td>The individual or organization, public or private, providing funding for the volunteer program. Also termed the “funding agency”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field staff Focus Area</td>
<td>Staff of implementing agencies located in developing countries receiving volunteers. Term used in the FtF Program for a subsector project receiving FtF volunteers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding agency</td>
<td>The individual or organization, public or private, providing funding for the volunteer program. Also termed the “donor”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host</td>
<td>An individual or organization that receives technical assistance services and serves as the focus of the volunteer’s work. Examples include an individual farmer, a cooperative, a bank, an agribusiness, or a department in the ministry of agriculture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host’s strategic plan</td>
<td>The project or plan of activities and objectives for volunteer work with one host.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementer, Implementing Agency, Implementing Partner</td>
<td>The organization that provides volunteers for economic growth programs overseas, using funding from donors provided under a grant or a contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect beneficiary</td>
<td>An individual that benefits (usually economically) as a result of volunteer assignments, but does not work directly with the volunteer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediary</td>
<td>A local organization that helps the volunteer program connect with a host and with local organizations/individuals that can benefit from the volunteer. They may or may not have a sub-contract with the Implementing Agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>Same as Intermediary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsector</td>
<td>Under the FtF program, a program area for work by volunteers, including commodity subsectors (e.g., dairy, horticulture, wheat, etc.) or services (e.g., financial services, extension, certification, input supply, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsector project</td>
<td>A development activity focused on a subsector and that has defined goals, objectives, strategy, and budget. Also called Focus Area within the current FtF Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer</td>
<td>An individual who provides technical assistance under a volunteer program, receiving no direct salary from the assignment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer program</td>
<td>An implementing agency’s subsector plan and budget for volunteers in a specific country or region that has been approved by the funding agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACRONYMS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDI/VOCA</td>
<td>Agricultural Cooperative Development International/Volunteers in Overseas Cooperative Assistance (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFTA</td>
<td>Central America Free Trade Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNFA</td>
<td>Citizens Network for Foreign Affairs (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAMU</td>
<td>Florida A&amp;M University (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FtF</td>
<td>Farmer-to-Farmer Volunteer Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACCP</td>
<td>Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOL</td>
<td>Land O'Lakes, Inc. (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA</td>
<td>Partners of the Americas (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVO</td>
<td>Private voluntary organization (a USAID registered NGO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q/C</td>
<td>Quality control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA</td>
<td>Request for Assistance (USAID procurement document)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOW</td>
<td>Scope of Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>Sanitary and phytosanitary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWOT</td>
<td>Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>U.S. Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSU</td>
<td>Virginia State University (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Winrock International Institute for Agricultural Development (an FtF implementing partner)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREFACE

Purpose of the manual: The purpose of this manual is to serve as a reference for those who are new to setting up or managing an international volunteer program. It draws from a review of the 20 years of experience of the Farmer-to-Farmer (FtF) Volunteer Program. Since 1986, FtF has used short-term volunteer technical assistance to promote agricultural sector development, people-to-people exchanges, and wider public understanding of development issues and objectives. The FtF programs have been implemented through grants to various private, voluntary organizations and universities, including ACDI/VOCA, Land O'Lakes (LOL), Partners of the Americas (POA), Winrock International (WI), and Citizens Network for Foreign Affairs (CNFA). Grants were usually competitively awarded for five-year projects.

This manual describes many of the good practices developed by these organizations. While it generally answers the question, “What should the implementing agency do?” it does not necessarily explain how the agency should do it. Such information is often codified in an organization’s operations manual (containing form letters, pay and benefit scales, insurance policies, travel policies, communication policies, etc.). Clearly a new organization can learn from an operations manual of an established organization. However, it must recognize that many of the policies are unique to the culture of that organization. The authors of this manual welcome feedback so that we can produce a revised version in a year or so. For questions that cannot be answered in a generic manual for old and new, big and small implementing organizations, we recommend that consultants be used to develop management systems tailored to the unique characteristics and objectives of the organization.

The Farmer-to-Farmer Program: The FtF Program was first authorized by the U.S. Congress in 1985 to provide for the transfer of knowledge and expertise from U.S. agricultural producers and businesses on a voluntary basis to middle-income countries and emerging democracies. Since then, the program has earned respect for the high-quality technical services it provides to developing country host institutions. The U.S. Congress re-authorized the FtF Program in the 2002 Farm Bill, designating it the John Ogonowski FtF Program in honor of one of the pilots killed September 11, 2001. Evaluations have consistently found that the program provides high quality technical services. To date, volunteers have contributed over $34 million worth of their time. Approximately one million farm families (representing about five million people) have been direct beneficiaries of the FtF Program, and approximately 10,000 volunteer assignments have been completed in over 80 countries.

Approach: In preparing this Manual, the FtF implementing organizations provided detailed information on their established procedures and practices. Consultants Elon Gilbert, Anne Cullen, and Ronni Flannery prepared a first draft of the Manual, synthesizing the best practices of the various organizations. This final version reflects additional reviews and edits by the implementing organizations and by USAID staff.
Scope and structure of manual: The Manual focuses on program management practices for international volunteer programs promoting economic growth objectives. Section 1 discusses key concepts and trade-offs common in the management of volunteer programs. Section 2 covers those activities that normally precede a volunteer assignment, notably the development of program and project strategies and plans as well as the selection of country program themes, partners, and host organizations. Project implementation and management of the volunteer assignments themselves is the focus of Section 3. Section 4 examines ways in which economic growth oriented volunteer programs can address other objectives of poverty reduction and food security, gender and social equity, environmental conservation, and outreach to further public understanding of international development issues. Section 5 discusses monitoring, evaluation, and impact assessment for volunteer programs.

Each section is divided into separate topical notes addressing particular issues in the management of volunteer programs. The topical notes begin with an introduction of why the topic is important to efficient and effective volunteer program management followed by major issues relating to the topic, current practices that have proven successful in FtF programs, and key recommendations.

While many lessons from FtF programs are thought to be valid for international volunteer programs in general, this guidebook has not attempted to draw extensively on the lessons learned from other volunteer programs, such as those run by the U.S. Peace Corps, the United Nations, or religious groups. We defer to those more familiar with these other programs to decide how valuable it would be to review their experiences also. Ultimately the best structures and operations of volunteer organizations must be tailored to the specific context of each program. This Manual is therefore a guide, not a cookbook.
SECTION 1: UNDERTAKING VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

"Volunteerism is an important part of America’s response to problems in the developing world. From the Peace Corps to today’s Farmer-to-Farmer programs, American volunteers have been making a difference in these countries for 50 years."

- USAID Administrator Andrew Natsios upon presenting the Presidential Call to Service Award to 11 volunteers on May 18, 2004

As the opening quotation suggests, the people-to-people nature of the FtF Program is one of its principal assets. Over the years, thousands of dedicated U.S. citizen volunteers have had rewarding experiences in international development activities. These successes have been met with increased expectations as the needs of developing nations have become better understood and have grown more acute. Organizations that run international volunteer programs are increasingly responsible for not simply doing good works, but making sure that they efficiently and effectively achieve positive impacts for clients.

What are the strengths and weaknesses of programs using volunteers? These are covered in the following sections:

- Exploiting the Comparative Advantages and Balancing Objectives in Volunteer Programs
- Phases of the Volunteer Program Cycle
- Procurement and Implementing Agency Selection
1.1 COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE OF VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Many international volunteer programs, like FtF, were originally designed to promote better understandings between the people of different countries. Yet the costs involved and the developmental needs and opportunities dictate that volunteer programs also address a broader set of developmental objectives.

Key considerations for volunteer programs

Comparative advantage of volunteer programs: Promoting economic development with only short-term voluntary technical assistance is a bit like trying to build a house with only a hammer. On their own, volunteer programs are limited in what they can accomplish. However, the services of highly qualified and motivated volunteers with specialized expertise can be quite effective where legal and pricing policies are favorable, and when volunteers are coupled with other resources such as paid consultants, loan guarantees, and investments in infrastructure.

Volunteer program management increasingly must strive to exploit the flexibility and specialized skills available from volunteer programs by developing relations with other organizations and projects that provide the range of complementary inputs needed to produce sustainable impacts. While it may be impossible to transform a hammer into a saw, one might arrange to borrow a neighbor’s (partner’s) saw and together complete construction of a house.

Program efficiency: Program efficiency is all about the bottom line. One proxy for efficiency is how many volunteer assignments (or volunteer weeks) can be squeezed out of the allocated budget. A quick scan of FtF reports suggests that implementers are routinely able to meet their targets in terms of volunteer assignments, a tribute to their budgeting and targeting skills.

Travel and logistical requirements make international volunteer programs costly. When including all overhead, planning, and support costs, the cost per volunteer-day for short-term specialist assignments can range from US$ 600 to US$ 1200. Longer-term assignments, where appropriate, can substantially lower the cost per day. Resources from donors are made to go further through cost sharing arrangements with implementing agencies, in country host organizations, contributions arranged by volunteers, and leveraged funds from a variety of other sources to provide complementary inputs.

But the program with the lowest cost per volunteer day is not necessarily the best. Different volunteers have different levels of skills. Some countries are more expensive to work in than others, and some programs devote more time than others to program planning, monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment. As the cost gap between fielding volunteers and regular technical assistance narrows and the skill requirements for volunteers increase, the rationale for maintaining international volunteer programs as distinct entities may rest increasingly on U.S. public and political perceptions that these programs are special. In this context, it seems neither probable nor desirable that development objectives alone should drive volunteer program decisions and management systems. A balance is needed. That said, it is still necessary to monitor program efficiencies—cost per volunteer assignment and cost per volunteer day—to maximize the numbers of volunteers involved consistent with needs for adequate support and program
Program effectiveness: FtF did not always emphasize development impact to the extent it does today. In the early years, the focus was on greater understanding and appreciation between American farmers and their counterparts in developing countries. Volunteer assignments provided an opportunity for this to happen when host organizations requested assistance from volunteers. If sustainable economic and other tangible benefits flowed from these services, all the better, but this consideration was secondary.

These “secondary” considerations are now front-and-center in the consciousness of most international volunteer programs. Both USAID and the implementing agencies are devoting increased efforts to quantifying developmental impacts when volunteer assignments are designed, monitored, and evaluated (see Section 5). Impact is commonly expressed in economic terms such as growth in production, sales, and income at the level of individual farms, firms, agricultural associations, and occasionally the subsector. However, volunteer programs can also be associated with a broader range of development objectives relating to environmental conservation, social/gender equity, poverty reduction, building civil society, reforming policies and legal frameworks, strengthening institutions, and improving a range of quality of life indicators. Yet, there are formidable challenges associated with measuring and attributing impacts from short-term volunteer assignments.

The increased emphasis on results and impacts has made volunteer program management much more complicated. Cost effectiveness, a term that explicitly relates expenditures to impact, is now more appropriate than simply cost efficiency in placing volunteers. While it remains important to meet targets for numbers of volunteer assignments, the quality of what happens as a result of those assignments increases in importance, as do costs associated with ensuring that the right things happen. More skills, time, and effort are required up front to plan for volunteer assignments; greater attention to monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment is needed to provide evidence of results and to guide future activities; and more attention must be given to follow-up and longer-term innovation. All of this adds to the cost of placing volunteers.

Thus, while there is considerable scope for greater precision in targeting volunteer programs on economic growth impacts, this may or may not be desirable, given the costs involved and the overall character of volunteer programs. There are concerns that a focus on impacts might fundamentally change the character of a volunteer program and the ability to recruit volunteers. Some people will be attracted to a program that has major impacts, while others may shy away from programs that have greater demands for results.

Balancing objectives

Development objectives shape the planning, monitoring, evaluation, and impact assessment of volunteer programs. In other words, the selection of regions, countries, subsectors, partners and host organizations is largely driven by objectives previously identified, and typically established in the funding agency’s strategic plan.

Measurable impacts vs. the “volunteer experience”: The current emphasis in FtF programs—reflecting a general trend in government introduced in the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)—is that of focusing more and more on impacts and measurable results. This shift from the people-to-people orientation prominent in the initial phase of the program is a continuing evolution that remains a subject of debate among
concerned parties. Some feel that volunteer programs should remain in their essence people-to-people programs, while staying open to contributing to broader development objectives. Others see volunteer programs principally as a means of furthering developmental objectives, notably those relating to economic growth, poverty reduction, food security, and concern for the environment. There are compelling arguments against going to the extreme in either direction, and if there is any consensus, it is that international volunteer programs should reflect both of these orientations and retain a degree of flexibility to respond to new opportunities.

Immediate vs. longer-term impacts: Volunteers are well known for solving specific problems, thereby generating an immediate impact. Examples might be the introduction of a technology that produces higher yields, or a management system that identifies opportunities to increase profits or cut losses. Yet short-term volunteers can effectively address many problems in the developing world that do not lend themselves to quick fixes. Examples include environmental conservation and aspects of poverty reduction. Organizational strengthening is a common theme in development programs, as is working to make a regulatory and economic framework more conducive for private sector led economic growth. Volunteers often have valuable training and experience in improving the operations of organizations, or in training people to be better managers. Yet the timeframe for such activities to realize measurable impacts in terms of growth indicators may be several years, often well beyond the completion dates for contracts for volunteer programs. The trick is to come up with proxies for long-term development that can be measured in the short-term. One possibility is to conduct pre- and post- sample surveys of a group’s knowledge, attitudes, or practices. Ideally a survey design would include a control group that allows for the effects of the volunteer’s efforts to be isolated from other influences on the behavior of the beneficiaries. Since such surveys can be expensive, the implementing organization must make sure that the benefit of such surveys is worth the cost.

Poverty reduction vs. economic growth: A sole focus on economic growth could lead to assisting large-scale commercial enterprises that are best equipped to drive the economy in subsectors with greatest growth potential. Some economists argue that poverty reduction will then occur via the “trickle down” effects of such growth in employment and incomes. However, assessments of the trickle down effects of economic growth suggest that key connections are often missing. Economic growth in the 21st century is strongly associated with a highly skilled and productive workforce (among other things) and there is a serious disconnect with the capacities of the majority of rural poor throughout the developing world. Poverty is perhaps best addressed by giving poor people access to the skills that will improve their chances of success in pursuing more remunerative livelihoods.

Do international volunteer programs have a role here? Or is the reduction of rural poverty so complex that program resources are unlikely to produce significant sustainable change? Clearly, where large-scale commercial enterprises are able to hire consultants, volunteers should be placed elsewhere. A positive view is that international volunteers may, in fact, be well suited to provide the sort of training/skills enhancement that poor people require to successfully transition from dependence on low productivity traditional occupations to engagement in higher value added productive activities or other employment in the services or other sectors. However, in order to maximize the benefits gained from a volunteer's time, volunteers should work with intermediaries serving groups of beneficiaries rather than with individuals. This may include small and medium scale private enterprises, or local organizations, that offer employment opportunities and
services for the poor (See Section 4.1).

**Country objectives vs. volunteer program objectives:** Volunteer program agreements may call for greater attention to and convergence with objectives of donor country missions than in the past. This has been true for the FtF program, in which implementers have been asked to develop regional and country programs and select partners and host organizations in order to meet USAID country objectives. At first glance, this appears to simplify planning– one need only follow the lead of the country missions. However, occasionally this is not the case. Timing may be a problem. Implementers may have to select country program themes prior to finalization of country mission objectives. Since the list of possible objectives and priorities commonly exceeds volunteer program resources by a considerable margin, prioritizing is still necessary. Finally, it is often important to preserve the special identity of long standing volunteer programs, such as FtF, which have the support of Congress and the American public. This can be done by reporting achievements against both a broad set of objectives as well as against the specific objectives of the volunteer program. Also, volunteer programs can play an important role in donor country programs by exploring new sectors for development. Their high degree of flexibility allows volunteer programs to experiment with new activities, partners, and subsectors that may warrant broader donor investment in the future.

**Implementers’ vs. donors’ objectives:** The interests of the volunteer program implementers also need to be considered, as these vary and may differ from donor objectives. The current set of FtF implementers includes private voluntary organizations (PVOs), international cooperatives, universities, and minority serving institutions (MSIs) with considerable variety within each category of institutions. The manner in which individual implementers manage their volunteer programs is a reflection of their respective organizational cultures and objectives. Some implementers place varying degrees of emphasis on economic growth, poverty reduction, food security, or issues of environmental and social equity.
Key recommendations

♦ Ensure that volunteer programs complement existing programs. This will ensure that the benefits reach a broad audience and there is continued support after the volunteer’s departure.

♦ Balance the twin program goals of assuring a "good experience" for volunteers with the need to show results against the objectives of the donor and the host country.

♦ Design volunteer assignments so they work with intermediaries serving groups of beneficiaries rather than with individuals. This will maximize the benefits gained from a volunteer's time and have the greatest impact. Such assignments might include work with small and medium scale private enterprises or local organizations that offer employment opportunities and services for the poor.

♦ Report results and impacts against both a broad set of objectives as well as against the specific objectives of the volunteer program. This is often important to preserve the special identity of long standing volunteer programs, such as FTIF, which have the support of Congress and the American public.

♦ Monitor program efficiencies—cost per volunteer assignment and cost per volunteer day—to maximize the numbers of volunteers involved consistent with needs for support and program effectiveness. While the lowest cost volunteer per day is not necessarily the best, such monitoring can reveal opportunities to expand the number of volunteer assignments within a given budget.

♦ Consider potential impacts on poverty reduction, equity, and environmental conditions. Volunteer programs should pursue programs that further both poverty reduction and economic growth. Volunteer programs can help poor people acquire the necessary information and skills to pursue the livelihoods of their choice successfully.

♦ For general information on how to conduct foreign assistance, refer to USAID’s Core Values at http://www.usaid.gov/policy/ads/200/.
1.2 PHASES OF THE VOLUNTEER PROGRAM CYCLE

Developing a quality international volunteer program requires attention to management of four phases of program development and implementation. The best organizations foster the sharing of lessons learned, such that lessons from one phase of the cycle feed back to the other phases, even before the final evaluation phase.

Considerations at each phase of the project cycle

Although country projects and plans vary, the program cycle does not. As such, it is important that implementing organizations develop a cohesive management system that meets the needs for each country program.

Contracting: The contracting phase encompasses everything that happens in the process of obtaining funding for the program up to and including the formal project agreements, notably the preparation of the requests for applications/proposals (RFAs), preparation and submission of proposals, the award of contracts, and the formalization of the project agreements. The contracting process defines the general character and potential impacts of the program to an important extent. The determination of objectives, scope (selection of regions/countries) and scale (numbers of volunteer assignments) of operations and budgets are normally specified by the donor in the RFAs.

Prospective implementers are generally required to develop a provisional series of regional, country, and thematic plans related to a proposed deployment of volunteers and to define the prospective results and impacts from these activities. These plans, activities, and expected results are meant to be indications rather than specific promises, and can usually be modified during the planning and implementation phases that follow.

The RTF program encourages its implementing organizations to be creative in selecting countries, as well as in planning volunteer assignments. Implementing organizations need
to analyze country economic trends and policies as well as the strategies and programs of the donor. Proposal preparation normally involves country visits and consultations with donor country missions, local governments, and potential partners and host organizations. All of this is required for the identification of country program themes as well as the preparation of project strategies and plans. The implementing organizations must propose not only how they will identify in-country assignments, but also how they will find good volunteers.

Contracting is normally carried out by the home offices of prospective implementers, some of whom may already have field offices in the regions and/or countries designated for the volunteer program. While understandably constrained by lack of time and resources prior to the formal award of contracts, the quality of effort that goes into the preparation of the proposals greatly influences what is required during the planning phase that follows (as well as presumably affecting decisions on the proposals). Thus, while development of proposals often requires services of an external consultant or a home office staff person, field staff and those who will implement the program should participate to the extent possible.

**Planning:** Planning encompasses the preparation of strategies and plans for countries, programs and projects (see Section 2). As noted, the preparation of proposals during the contracting phase provides at least the broad outlines of the set of strategies and plans. FtF program implementers generally feel that a focus on impacts has increased the rigor of the planning processes and welcome this, despite the additional time and skill requirements.

A major task during the planning phase is the refinement of regional, country, subsector, and project strategies and plans. Regional and country offices must be established and field staff must be recruited to play leading roles in this process as well as to facilitate the participation of partners and host organizations. Field staff will need to review the selection of country themes, partners and hosts as a first order of business since conditions, including donor and partner programs and priorities, may have changed since the proposals were submitted.

An important part of the planning phase is giving attention to the provision of complementary services. In general, realization of sustainable impacts requires various inputs—such as capital and equipment—in addition to volunteers’ services. While volunteer programs generally cannot provide these complementary inputs directly, implementers and volunteers are frequently involved in efforts to arrange for them, via government and private sector agencies and projects in the host countries, as well as through networks and associations that volunteers are able to access in the U.S.

The selection of partners and host organizations is a critical part of the planning phase, as the partners and hosts commonly participate in the planning. As one FtF implementer observed, with careful selection of partners and hosts, much of the in-country program implementation can take care of itself, both in terms of planning and implementation of volunteer assignments as well as arranging for critical complementary inputs and services.

The thoroughness of planning is influenced by the availability of resources (skills and budgets) as well as by time. There are pressures to get on with implementation, meaning the actual fielding of volunteers, but there is appreciation on all sides that greater concern for results and impacts requires a deeper understanding of the country institutions,
markets, and economic subsector and more attention to planning than in the past.

**Implementation:** Implementation encompasses everything associated with fielding a specified number of volunteers, including the development of scopes of work (SOWs) for volunteers, recruitment, orientation, implementation of assignments, monitoring of performance and results, and periodic revision of strategies and plans, as required (see Section 3). Field staff, in collaboration with partners and host organizations, initiate implementation by developing SOWs. Implementer staffs in the U.S. are normally responsible for recruiting, orienting, and making necessary arrangements for volunteers prior to their departures. Field staffs receive volunteers in the host countries and arrange technical and logistical support (translation and transport) necessary for their assignments. Field staffs maintain regular contact with partners and host organizations, before, during and after volunteer assignments, both to facilitate volunteer assignments and to ensure that—to the extent possible—volunteer recommendations and activities essential to achieve impact are being implemented.

Implementation systems must be sufficiently flexible to adjust to changing conditions, including shifts in priorities among objectives, changes in country themes and even changes in the selection of countries themselves. In the FtF program, much more prominence is now accorded to monitoring and associated data collection and analysis, both for purposes of reporting to USAID on progress, as well as for adjusting strategies and plans. This activity consumes a considerable amount of the time of field staff and requires skills that were often in short supply among the field staffs of volunteer programs in the past.

**Evaluation and ex post impact assessment:** Final evaluations and assessments of impacts after conclusion of a project/program are generally required for accountability and planning purposes. However, information required for evaluations and ex post impact assessments depends on the collection of data before, during, and after implementation of volunteer assignments. Work on monitoring and evaluation therefore must begin with program planning (see Section 5).

Evaluations and impact assessments are receiving considerably more attention as a consequence of the increased emphasis on performance and impacts. Ideally, evaluations and ex post impact assessments are carried out by teams or agencies other than the implementers themselves to avoid the perception of a conflict of interest.
**Key recommendations**

♦ Develop a draft monitoring and evaluation system during the planning process and make these operational early in program implementation.

♦ Develop systems for feedback and continuous learning that will strengthen all aspects of the project cycle.
1.3 PROCUREMENT AND IMPLEMENTING AGENCY SELECTION

The mechanism for identifying and selecting implementing agencies to manage an international volunteer program is a critical program component for any funding agency. This is true whether the funding is from public or private sources or for a prime implementer or a sub-contractor/grantee to participate in defined areas of program implementation.

Why are procedures for partner selection and funding important?

Selecting the right implementing agency for international volunteer programs can, among other things: (1) increase the number and variety of volunteers participating in program activities; (2) increase program impact; (3) lower costs; and (4) provide important outreach and education to the U.S. public. A sound funding mechanism based on a competitive selection process helps to ensure that all of the above elements are inherent in any agreement.

Issues in selecting implementing agencies

New volunteers: In order to increase overall participation in a volunteer program, it is important to consider the volunteer pool available to an implementing agency. Having a large database of potential volunteers available to a recruiter is valuable and shows a high capacity for volunteer recruitment. However, beyond size, volunteer programs should also strive to maintain a balance of gender, a variety of experience in different sectors and fields, both academic and technical, and a recruitment outreach strategy that continuously strives to access new constituencies in the U.S.

Increase linkages to new organizations: An important factor in finding new volunteers is reaching out to new implementing agency organizations. Partnerships with a variety of organizations help to expand the boundaries of volunteer programming, both internationally and in the U.S. constituency base. Organizations’ geographic foci or established programs that can be augmented with volunteer inputs are important considerations in partner selection. However, there can be a steep learning curve to overcome when new implementing agencies establish international volunteer programs, and it is important to consider trade offs with program efficiency.

Increase minority participation: Increasing the number of minority volunteers and minority institution participation overall is important to draw on all resources the U.S. has to offer. This participation can be promoted by increasing ties with implementing agencies that are minority owned or operated. Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs) include Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic Serving Institutions, American Indian Tribal Colleges and Alaska Native or Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions. Programs can reach beyond academia through partnerships with non-profit Minority Controlled PVOs and for-profit small and disadvantaged businesses. Some capacity building may be necessary in order to bring new partners to the table. In these instances, it can be helpful to build a mentoring program into agreements to enable the new partner to work with more experienced partners.

Funding and contracting mechanisms: Consideration of various competitive
mechanisms for funding will help to determine the appropriate level of management oversight and operational flexibility in the program. Options available through USAID include:

- **Grants**: Grants are considered “gifts” by the U.S. government. The procurement process is therefore less strict and oversight of the funds, including reporting requirements is often reduced. This makes management less intensive and reduces the donor’s involvement in program operations.

- **Cooperative Agreements**: Cooperative Agreements are similar to grants, with the exception of increased oversight by agreement officers. Competition must therefore be more regulated. This can result in a longer competitive procurement process, more reporting requirements, and a higher level of involvement by the donor’s technical officer.

- **Contracts**: This offers the highest level of oversight by the donor’s management team. This mechanism could also open competition up to for-profit entities.

- **Leader with Associate Grants**: Awarding a “leader” grant to an organization that can then negotiate separate country or regional level “associate” grants offers the opportunity for buy-in capability without lengthy procurement delays. This allows a program to quickly expand operations technically and geographically, while maintaining a degree of central oversight. One possible drawback can result if the multiple funding sources through buy-ins complicate reporting requirements and dilute the focus on program objectives.

**Current practices in procurement and partner selection**

The U.S. Congressional mandate for selection and funding implementing agencies for the FtF Program is quite broad. The Program is authorized by Congress to, “make grants to or enter into contracts or other cooperative agreements with private voluntary organizations, cooperatives, land grant universities, private agribusiness, or nonprofit farm organizations…using…United States agricultural producers, agriculturalists, colleges and universities (including historically black colleges and universities (HBCUs), land grant colleges or universities, and foundations maintained by colleges or universities), private agribusinesses, private organizations (including grassroots organizations with an established and demonstrated capacity to carry out such a bilateral exchange program), private corporations, and nonprofit farm organizations.”

The Program has traditionally funded volunteer activities through cooperative agreements with the U.S. based community of non-governmental, not-for-profit Private Voluntary Organizations (PVOs). In fiscal year 2000, the FtF Program began an effort to include more Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including HBCUs, in the Program. Initially, the program funded special mentorship subgrants between experienced implementing agencies and a number of HBCUs and Minority Controlled PVOs. The intent of this initiative was to bring new organizations into the program and attempt to increase future competition and participation by MSIs. In an FY 2003 Request for Applications (RFA), the program set aside two programs for competition restricted to MSIs.

The FtF Program currently operates under 11 cooperative agreements, funding 12 programs. Ten of these were awarded on the basis of regional competition, with the two additional agreements set aside and competed only among Minority Serving Institutions.
Criteria used in selection and award of agreements to implementing agencies are shown in the accompanying box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES FROM THE FY 2003 FTF RFA:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Planning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Potential for impact in terms of rationale, innovativeness, strategic approach including use of appropriate volunteer specialists, subrecipients/MSIs, and host implementation partners;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rationale and feasibility of program approach as related to country selection, selection of sector, subsector, or commodity chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of understanding and responsiveness to program objectives and sector results for each proposed country and/or region;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capability to clearly define approaches for identification of viable, innovative host partners and evidence of relevant past developmental experience with such organizations; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capability to utilize data from the monitoring and evaluation system to impact program planning decisions as related to overall program results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institutional and Management Capacity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of ability to manage and organize program resources, and provide quality control for products and services; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence to provide key personnel with appropriate level of education and experience for proposed program work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past Performance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demonstrated success in achieving results in technical programs;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ability to comply with terms and conditions of the award (technical, business, cost controls, and administrative);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Capacity for problem solving; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sound ethical standards in business relations (ethics, integrity, honesty, and fair dealings).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of cost effectiveness, evidence of innovative cost savings approaches; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cost realism with respect to technical approach and achieving results within funding levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key recommendations

♦ Support expansion of volunteer recruitment through partnerships with new organizations that open windows to new sources of volunteers, including minorities.

♦ To overcome the learning curve associated with managing a volunteer program, new partners should be encouraged to team up with an experienced organization, or hire experienced managers.

♦ Select an appropriate procurement mechanism by considering various factors such as the desired level of management oversight, reporting requirements, ability to access new markets, ease of the competitive process, and ability for outside funding sources to buy-in to existing programs.

♦ Recognize the complexity of establishing and managing international volunteer programs and allocate adequate resources to establish all needed procedures and structures. Hire part-time consultants or other organization for skills that are not available in house.
SECTION 2: DEVELOPING STRATEGIES AND PLANS FOR INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Strategies and plans for volunteer programs should balance needs in the field with available resources. Not all needs in the field are top priority, nor is any one implementing organization an expert in all areas of development. The choices made in the planning process are reflected in the volunteer program strategies and plans and should be consistent with the organization’s overall strategy.

Implementers typically have considerable latitude in areas such as the definition of strategies and plans for country programs, subsectors, and activities, as well as the selection of partners and host organizations. All of these decisions bear upon the effectiveness of the volunteer programs and are discussed in the following sections:

- Regional Planning: Allocating Volunteers Among Countries for International Volunteer Programs
- Targeting Volunteer Program Activities: Selecting and Analyzing Commodity and Service Subsectors
- Developing Country Projects
- Ex ante Impact Assessment
- Selecting Partners for Volunteer Program Implementation
- Selecting Host Organizations
- Planning Host Projects
2.1 ALLOCATING VOLUNTEERS AMONG COUNTRIES FOR INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Donors commonly specify the allocation of resources across selected geographic regions and countries before contracts or grants are signed. However, allocations and priorities may evolve as conditions change and new opportunities emerge.

Why is country selection important?

By selecting countries and allocating the number of volunteers to them early in the planning process, it sets the stage for defining potential impacts from a volunteer program. The allocation of volunteers among countries is at least as important as decisions on priority sub-sectors, partners, and hosts. The decision process at this level need not be arduous, but it is important that it take into account the comparative advantages of the program, country receptivity, potential for impacts, funding availability, donor interests, and the proposed implementing agency's capacity and objectives.

Major issues related to allocating volunteers among countries

To the extent that implementers have scope for decision making on the allocation of volunteers across countries and regions, the following issues need be considered.

Criteria for country selection: A wide range of criteria need to be considered when deciding how to allocate volunteers. The security of volunteers is a first concern. While there will always be people who will volunteer to go into dangerous situations, recruiting organizations have a difficult time coping with the effects of any harm done to volunteers. The most hostile environments should probably be left to the military or people who are on contracts that compensate the employee for accepting the heightened risks. The policy and economic environment is also important. Volunteers are not likely to leave behind sustainable impacts if their success is based on the availability of subsidies or tariff or other forms of artificial protection for the program with which the volunteer works. Existence of complementary activities and programs in a country is a plus, as these can help assure that a volunteer's work is shared widely and that impact reaches a scale that justifies the expense of the volunteer program.

Participants in the decision-making: The allocation of volunteers is ultimately decided by the implementing organization, although the decision should be reached after consultations with field-based partners who have insights on the local situation. Discussions with potential local partners, local government officials and businesspeople, and donors can elicit useful insights on the potential for volunteer programs.

Available resources and skills: Both donors and implementers are constrained by budget considerations and staff limitations. While the implementers' staff in the U.S. and in the host country can manage recruitment of volunteers quite ably, launching a country volunteer program requires more resources. Not only must the program be able to identify good opportunities for placement of volunteers, but these placements must be monitored, evaluated, and impact of the assignment assessed. The implementer must ensure adequate staff resources and skills in country to manage an efficient and effective volunteer program.
**Regional vs. country planning:** When conditions and needs are similar in countries throughout a region, it may make sense to program at the regional rather than at the country level. Regional planning can provide some economies of scale and opportunities for sharing resources between countries. However, provision must be made for adapting activities to target country-specific needs (see Annex A for examples of simplified country program plans).

**Country environment:** Conditions vary considerably, with some countries providing much better environments for successful volunteer assignments. Country environments for volunteer programs can be categorized as follows:

1. **Potential for early impact:** Good prospects for economic growth and a facilitating regulatory environment; ample supply of host organizations that are experienced, well managed, and financially sound.

2. **Organizational strengthening required:** Good environment for growth and potential for impact, as above, but potential host organizations require significant strengthening in order to implement project strategies effectively (e.g., diversify production or expand marketing and processing).

3. **Host organization creation required:** Good environment for growth and potential for impact, but with few, if any, suitable host organizations in selected subsectors. This situation may occur where a subsector is just beginning to emerge as important or where negative political, security, or natural conditions have disrupted a subsector. Prospects may be excellent (e.g., good agro-ecological conditions and market possibilities), but there are few if any existing enterprises that produce, process, or market the specific commodities. Alternatively, there may be many producers that operate on a small scale, are unorganized, and produce largely for home/local consumption.

4. **Regulatory framework required:** Lack of viable host organizations due to lack of a satisfactory regulatory framework for such organizations to emerge and prosper.

5. **Civil society required:** Lack of the basic conditions required for progress on any large scale or consistent basis. These countries may be “failed states,” if indeed, civil society, was ever present to any extent. Regulatory frameworks may exist, but are not enforced or are seriously corrupted. Macro economic policies and conditions may be blocking broad-based economic growth. Opportunities and potential partner and host organizations may exist, but require major strengthening efforts and a more facilitating environment to operate effectively.

Because subsectors of the same country can fall into different categories, it may not be accurate to affix one of the labels above to an entire country. More institutions and organizations of civil society are needed in virtually all countries, but “islands” of civil society exist in nearly every developing country. Volunteer programs have made valuable contributions in all these situations. However, the probability of successful outcomes and impacts increases the closer one gets to the conditions described under the first category.
**Post-conflict situations:** Development activities active in many post-conflict situations around the world can make good use of volunteer technical services. In such post-conflict situations, security for volunteers is a critical criterion for a volunteer program. The development of civil society organizations is frequently proceeding concurrently with and in support of the restoration of stability. Volunteer activities can make important contributions to civil society development and people’s confidence in the future. In implementation, the more practical issues are important. Can logistics be arranged and ensured? Can volunteers’ security be guaranteed? Winrock managed an effective volunteer program in Haiti where civil society was actually breaking down, but volunteers were very effective in helping villages to produce badly needed protein sources (meat and eggs) at a time when markets, legal structures and civil society were becoming more chaotic (Demetria Arvanitis, Personal Communication, 2004).

**Current practices in allocating volunteers among countries**

**Country selection:** For the most recent award of grants under the FtF program, USAID developed a list of countries eligible for FtF programs based on USAID country strategy objectives that showed an interest in agricultural development. With this list, USAID solicited proposals from potential implementing agencies (see box). With program grants awarded by geographic region, the FtF programs are administered regionally, but country level staffs within the regions play a key role in program planning and implementation¹. Individual volunteer assignments may provide assistance to hosts in more than one country in the same region, although it is more common for volunteers with multiple assignments to work with two or more hosts in the same country.

How FtF implementers allocate volunteers among countries is illustrated below by an example from Winrock (see box). This box provides a useful checklist to guide selection of countries within a region. Since there are often more candidate countries than a program can service, difficult choices must be made.

**Regional vs. worldwide programming:**
Volunteer programs can be developed by country, region, or on a global basis. Single country programs may allow clearer focus on local needs and a deeper understanding of the development challenges. Programs that cover a geographic region or even the globe can achieve economies of scale. Such programming may allow the implementer to provide volunteers in countries in which it has on-going activities, special capabilities, or

---
¹ Russia is a notable exception, as was Nigeria for the period prior to 2003.

---

**CURRENT FtF PROGRAMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACDI/VOCA/Caucasus</th>
<th>Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACDI/VOCA/Eastern Africa</td>
<td>Kenya, Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDI/VOCA/Russia</td>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNFA/Western NIS</td>
<td>Belarus, Moldova, Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida A&amp;M University/Southern Africa</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land O’Lakes/Southern Africa</td>
<td>Angola, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIC International/Western Africa</td>
<td>Ghana, Guinea, Mali, Nigeria, Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners of the Americas/Caribbean</td>
<td>Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia State University/Eastern Africa</td>
<td>Eritrea, Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winrock/Asia</td>
<td>Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Nepal, Sri Lanka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winrock/Central Asia</td>
<td>Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winrock/Latin America</td>
<td>El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
longer term interests, or allow the implementer to focus on a specific commodity subsector in which it has expertise (e.g., dairy or horticulture) or on a particular service subsector (e.g., financial services or extension).²

On a continuum, global programming would achieve the greatest economies of scales, but the tradeoff is generally a lack of country-level specificity. In a global program, field operations might require special arrangements with partners and hosts, since it may be difficult to employ country or even regional level staff on a full time basis. A combination of country, regional, and global programming might make sense using a consortium or prime/sub arrangements. One implementer might take the lead at the regional and country level, but accommodate other implementers fielding volunteers under a worldwide program with a commodity or service subsector focus. Land ‘O Lakes’ earlier participation in FtF was of this character since it has operated in several regions as a subgrantee or consortium member specifically to provide volunteers in the livestock/dairy areas.

CRITERIA FOR SELECTING COUNTRIES FOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

With FtF country selection driven by USAID, implementers still have some choice on volunteer resource allocation within a region. However, the scoring criteria for project proposals and competition usually drive implementers towards working in as many countries of a region as funding allows. The result is a large number of country programs with relatively few volunteers per country. In choosing between countries, the key decision factor is often what countries have greatest potential for impact or where is there a particular interest on the part of USAID or the implementer. Criteria that Winrock uses for selecting countries include:

- Does the grant have funds to support working in a given country;
- Are there specific needs in a country that can be met through volunteer activity;
- Is there a sector or sectors that volunteers can target where they can have measurable impact;
- Are there host organizations in country with the capacity and interest to host volunteers;
- Can the logistics for transport, hosting, and translation for volunteers be easily managed;
- Is there USAID mission interest in having volunteers in country; and
- Are there other programs that are compatible with volunteer assignments?

Key recommendations

♦ Design the volunteer program to conform to the operating environment and stage of development of the country concerned. There is a trade-off between operating in countries with environments supportive of successful volunteer interventions, and countries that lack such environments, but where the greatest needs exist. As in other development programs, volunteer programs should avoid countries where risks of program failure are too high.

♦ Within the constraints imposed by donors and funding availability, volunteer programs can often benefit from focusing activities within a specific region or on a specific thematic area (e.g., financial services, dairy) in a country, across a region, or worldwide.

² Roughly analogous to the set of Cooperative Research Support Programs (CRSPs) supported by USAID.
Design volunteer programs to achieve a balance between specified targets and flexibility. Having specified targets and objectives allows for better accountability, planning, and results. Having sufficient flexibility allows a small program to be more useful as conditions and needs change.
2.2 TARGETING VOLUNTEER PROGRAM ACTIVITIES:
SELECTING AND ANALYZING COMMODITY AND SERVICE
SUBSECTORS

Should the programming of volunteers simply respond to targets of opportunity, or should there be more direction, wherein the programs have specific objectives other than just the number of volunteers placed abroad? As volunteer programs seek greater development impact, in addition to the rewarding people-to-people exchanges, there has been a shift towards greater specificity in the expectations for impact. Implementing organizations usually focus on providing voluntary technical assistance services in areas where they have a comparative advantage, concentrating volunteer services within a subsector. The commodity subsector is an example, referring to firms involved with a specific agricultural good or product. Another example is support service subsectors that include the financial, educational, research and extension services vital to functioning of a competitive agricultural sector.

Why is the selection and analysis of subsectors important?

Early generations of FtF programs assigned volunteers wherever good hosts were found. As a result, volunteers worked in a wide range of areas and capacities. Evaluations indicated that greater impact was achieved when activities were focused geographically and on targeted commodity and service subsectors. As the FtF strategy evolved to place increased emphasis on economic impact and measurable results, the identification of appropriate and viable country projects has become more critical to volunteer program success. Analysis of commodity and service subsectors (see value chain analysis at http://www.quickmba.com/strategy/value-chain/) now provides a framework for programming volunteers. Which subsectors have the most potential for broad and sustainable impacts from volunteer services? What geographic areas or commodities have the greatest potential to produce for the local, national, or export markets? Can forward and backward linkages of enterprises be strengthened to create more income and employment? What activities of a firm or a group of firms (subsector) are the most efficient or have the greatest potential for creating competitive advantages?

Major issues in selecting and analyzing subsectors

Criteria to be applied in subsector selection: A subsector analysis should at a minimum demonstrate the potential of volunteer assistance to have impact (perhaps affecting a large population), growth prospects (a change now will have ripple effects in the future), and the ability to affect the target population. Typical questions might include: How many of the country’s workers are employed through the subsector? What is the share of income spent on these activities? Is there an export market? Will exports compete with U.S. exports, and thus be ineligible for USAID funded assistance? What level of participation do specific target groups, such as women and minority groups, have in the selected subsectors?

Availability of partners and host organizations: If partners and potential hosts do not exist or are very thin, the subsector may not be a good choice even if growth prospects are significant. However, it may be important to take a longer-term view. If there is considerable potential in the subsector but hosts are weak, organizational development
and strengthening could be considered a priority. This involves definite tradeoffs: organizational strengthening has potential for significant long-term impact, but may offer limited impact in the near to medium term.

**Donor restrictions:** Donor policies and restrictions are an important consideration in selecting subsectors in which to work. Some USAID restrictions that have implications for the FtF program are listed in the box below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USAID RESTRICTS ASSISTANCE RELATED TO:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Tobacco production and processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Luxury goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Export of U.S. jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Agricultural products that compete with U.S. products in international markets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level of resources to devote to subsector analysis:** Much time and energy can be expended with such analyses, even if it is limited to reading existing documentation and meeting with potential hosts and partners. In the case of FtF, implementers provide this initial analysis and select subsectors as part of their proposals when initially seeking funding. Contract-seekers are understandably reluctant to invest major resources in analytical efforts for which there is no guarantee of future funding. Subsector selections may be modified during the planning phase after the contracts have been awarded, but again there are pressures to limit the resources and time devoted to this effort. As such, major reliance is usually placed on the guidance provided by donor sector studies and strategies. The trick is to reduce the number of options to a limited and manageable “short list” and focus analytical efforts on those remaining candidates.

**Current practices for selecting subsectors**

**Aligning volunteer program and donor country objectives:** Currently, most FtF implementers align program objectives with local USAID mission objectives. They then conduct a value chain analysis such as Winrock International's that is outlined in the box below. Volunteers can use this to prioritize interventions.

**Weighing objectives:** With a clear set of objectives, a volunteer program can be more focused and efficient, and can develop baseline data at the outset that will help document progress and impacts. Common objectives include economic growth, poverty reduction, gender/social equity, and conservation of natural resources. Some programs feature objectives that have the potential to realize measurable impacts in the near term while others focus on building capacities for future impacts through strengthening institutions (civil society) and building organizational and human capital. Before beginning a process of selecting and analyzing subsectors, implementers need to determine the relative importance of their various program objectives and develop criteria in order to select the most appropriate subsector(s).
Participation of partners and other organizations: The existence of strong partner organizations is an important criterion for selecting a subsector, and vice versa. Most volunteer program implementers work with local partner organizations to help find hosts, develop SOWs, provide logistics support for volunteers, and provide follow-up with the hosts after the volunteer departs. The absence of these services could negatively affect program success. Thus, although the level of collaboration with partners varies among implementers, the need to plan carefully for that collaboration does not. It is very useful to have clear program objectives and criteria for selection of volunteer assignments in order to avoid pressures from local partners to provide assistance for their pet projects. Broad participation and collaboration during subsector planning is key to developing an effective program. All participants—implementers and partner organizations alike—need to be
aware of their responsibilities and duties. Garnering feedback from the local USAID mission and other on the ground implementers is also essential.

**Level of intervention:** Volunteer programs do not need to work at all levels in a country subsector, although this certainly is possible and at times necessary. Rather, value chain analysis can reveal constraints (policy, technology, organizations, etc.) and opportunities that provide focus for volunteer efforts within a subsector. The generic levels that need to be analyzed in order to program a volunteer’s time efficiently are: input supply (land, labor, capital, seed, and equipment), production, post-production cleaning, grading, packaging, labeling, transport, storage, processing, and marketing (pricing, advertising, and customer support). Another way of looking at the possible interventions for volunteers is to focus on one or more of the following:

- **System nodes:** points where large volumes of product pass through the hands of only a few key players. These are often times input suppliers and output distributors.

- **Clusters:** areas where micro- and small-scale enterprises cluster together to ensure access to key inputs and market output or because of zoning regulations, ethnic segregation, or historical happenstance.

- **Policy level:** where thousands of farmers, firms, and agribusinesses could be affected as the result of a policy reform.

**Tools for use in subsector assessments**

FtF program implementers utilize several analytical tools to identify and evaluate potential FtF subsectors. Each analytical tool has its advantages and disadvantages and none are appropriate in all circumstances; rather, the nature of the problem dictates what analytical tool would be most appropriate. Some are particularly data intensive and might not be practical in countries where statistical information is not readily available. Furthermore some are somewhat sophisticated for the typical volunteer program. Terms for these tools (e.g., subsector analysis and value chain analysis) are often used synonymously, even though the approaches are slightly different. The point here is to make the reader aware of the concepts, so that he/she may seek further information elsewhere or hire a specialist.

**Subsector analysis:** Subsector analysis provides a framework through which the dynamics of key players in the network can be understood and effective interventions can be realized. Subsector analysis is a way of viewing complex issues to identify key constraints and areas of opportunity for interventions. Subsector analysis should enable implementers to target volunteer assignments effectively in order to generate impact. The analysis concentrates on how public policy can improve coordination and looks beyond firm profitability to a larger set of performance dimensions. It is based upon the analysis of

---

vertical coordination and is geared to improve coordination. In conducting a subsector analysis, implementers answer the following types of questions: What is the sector/industry structure? Is it dominated by small or large firms (or farms)? Is it export-oriented or domestic market-oriented? Is it traditional or modernizing and commercial? What are the subsector strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and constraints?

**Supply chain analysis:** The term supply or market chain has emerged to describe the collaborative arrangement that a specific firm establishes within the buying and selling process. As such, a firm’s supply chain reflects its individual strategy with respect to purchasing sources and distributive agents. Supply chain relationships take place within, and are technically a subset of, the overall institutions that make up the marketing channel. Supply chain analysis focuses on business, marketing, and logistics strategies that can be developed and adopted to increase coordination within the system and increase firm profitability. Supply chain analysis also assesses vertical coordination and is geared to improve coordination to gain a competitive advantage.

**Industrial organization:** As opposed to analyzing vertical linkages, industrial organization focuses on horizontal linkages between firms. Industrial organization analyzes the structure, conduct, and performance of firms for a given market. While the objectives of industrial organization and subsector analysis are the same: to identify needs and constraints, the methodologies are quite different. Industrial organization relies heavily on statistical and data analysis to identify the nature and the performance of the market. Given the limited availability and the difficulty of accessing such data in many countries, the industrial organization approach may not be suited to international volunteer programs. Implementers can use rapid reconnaissance to understand the dynamics of a system quickly for planning volunteer interventions. Formal industrial organization analysis tends to be more of an instrument for affecting policy change.

**Food systems framework:** A food system framework incorporates both the vertical and horizontal examination of subsector analysis and industrial organization.

**SWOT analysis:** SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats) is a framework for analysis of sectors, industries, or enterprises. SWOT is not a comparative analysis in itself, as different sectors cannot be analyzed together to determine which is best. Rather, a SWOT analysis is conducted for any given sector to determine its potential. Ideally, strengths will be matched against market opportunities and opportunities to overcome weaknesses and counter potential threats. Although SWOT analysis is common in strategic planning by companies, implementers of development projects can use it as well and can even devise numerical scores to help in choosing between candidate subsectors.

**Key recommendations**

♦ **Be clear on objectives:** Selection of subsectors is best done with a clear understanding of overall program objectives. This will help avoid otherwise "good projects" that meet someone’s objectives, just not those of the program.

♦ **Analyze the whole sector/market chain:** When selecting subsectors, it is important to first look at the entire sector. Focusing on individual segments can result in either the selection of an inappropriate country project or the discarding of a project that has serious potential to meet the program’s objectives.
♦ **Invest in technically qualified and business oriented staff:** Much can be gained by investing in in-country project managers that have both relevant technical and business experience. Project managers with significant in-country experience can provide much of the background information needed for technical analyses.

♦ **Select subsectors with substantial potential for impacts:** While choices may be numerous, the potential for impact is the most compelling. Impact potential should be weighted heavily in both SWOT and subsector analysis.

♦ **Pick subsectors in which volunteers can be recruited:** Although it is possible to find volunteers with expertise in almost anything, recruitment is greatly facilitated through the selection of subsectors for which there is a significant pool of potential volunteers. (For example, it may be quite difficult to get volunteers from the U.S. for animal traction programs.) There needs to be a dynamic interaction between implementers in the field and home office recruiters, who must identify volunteers with particular skills and expertise.
2.3 DEVELOPING SUBSECTOR PLANS

After a subsector has been identified, a plan for work in the subsector must then be developed. This subsector project plan details the approach to volunteer assignments. The plans typically discuss collaboration with partner and host country organizations, the amount and sequencing of volunteer assignments, and time frames for implementation. The subsector plan also clarifies the objectives for work in the subsector and the expected impacts.

Why is a subsector plan important?

FtF program evaluations and final reports generally conclude that focusing volunteer activities in specific subsectors and geographic areas enhances impact. When volunteer programs are focused on specific subsectors, it is important to specify the strategy for the subsector and the expected results from volunteer inputs. An explicit subsector plan also helps implementers orient staff and volunteers to the tasks at hand, and set priorities for their work.

Issues in preparation of subsector plans

Level of effort for subsector plan preparation: Volunteer programs generally operate on limited budgets and cannot afford extensive analysis and planning efforts. That said, volunteer resources are valuable and deserve to be used effectively within a strategy that responds to the constraints and opportunities identified through subsector analysis.

Flexibility: Subsector plans must be modified in response to changing conditions in the country, the subsector, and skill sets of the volunteers. Implementer staff that design a volunteer program are often not specialists in the technical areas in which volunteers will work. Thus, the initial volunteer assignment or series of assignments may indicate that significant changes are needed in the subsector strategy.

Size and number of subsector projects: The size of a subsector project—that is the number and length of volunteer assignments allocated to that subsector project—depends on what is needed to accomplish the stated objectives. There is, however, generally some minimal number of volunteers needed to make it worthwhile in defining a separate subsector project with a reasonable expectation of achieving measurable impacts.

Market chain interventions: Volunteer programs that focus on a specific commodity, service, or industry might need to focus volunteer services on multiple levels of the commodity chain (input supply, production, processing, marketing, and regulation). This is particularly true for new industries.

---

5 Terminology for such subsector projects varies. These may be called “projects,” “activities”, or “subprojects” within an overall country program. The current FtF program uses the term “Focus Area”, of which there are 94 Focus Areas (or subsector projects) across the 40+ countries in which the FtF program is active.
**Number of hosts:** What is the optimal number of hosts with which a volunteer program should work within a subsector? FtF program experience indicates that better results are often obtained with repeat assignments to a single host. This is logical, as is the fact that this results in higher cost per host with fewer hosts directly impacted. Working with multiple hosts is often desirable, and volunteers can often assist several hosts in addressing the same types of problems. Subsector project strategies need to assess the number of hosts that must be assisted in order to introduce an innovation successfully, as it is not realistic to expect volunteers to assist all firms within a subsector. Strategies need to promote wide dissemination of volunteer recommendations to expand the number of indirect beneficiaries. One option for doing this is for volunteers to work with local associations, or at least have end-of-assignment meetings with larger audiences to share lessons and recommendations. Economic growth volunteer programs focused on enterprise development need to develop strategies to maximize impact on enterprises (see box).

### ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT

Sustainable growth of private enterprises is the basis for increasing per capita incomes, creating jobs, and reducing poverty. Enterprises operate in a competitive market environment in which growth is shaped by: (1) demand for their products and services; (2) the quality of the business environment (policies, regulations, and incentives); and (3) their competitive responses to market demand. Volunteer programs can address constraints in all of these areas, but especially in the latter two—improving the business environment and strengthening the individual firm.

Enterprise development activities have greater impact when larger numbers of enterprises are affected by the activity. The level of impact increases progressively as activities move from direct firm-level technical assistance to work with industry clusters to sector wide initiatives to policy reform and finally to global market integration. Good practice in enterprise development work is reviewed in a recent USAID publication: Snodgrass, D. and J. Winkler. 2004. *Enterprise Growth Initiatives: Strategy, Direction and Options: Final Report.* Development Alternatives, Inc.

---

4 Dissemination of volunteer recommendations often must recognize the proprietary interests of the host, as some business information cannot be made publicly available. However, it is often possible to publicize some volunteer recommendations while still maintaining confidentiality with hosts.
**Monitoring & evaluation indicators:** Monitoring and evaluation (M&E) can be expensive. The scope, scale, and specific indicators in monitoring and evaluation need to be commensurate with the benefits. While some volunteers and program managers may see M&E expenses cutting into the program implementation budget, they must understand the utility of learning lessons for the next generation of development activities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTORAL OBJECTIVES</th>
<th>TARGETS</th>
<th>INDICATORS</th>
<th>MEANS OF VERIFICATION</th>
<th>INPUTS/ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Africa: Improving emerging agribusiness access to the commercial market through out-grower schemes and improved business skills</strong></td>
<td>1.a. 500 emerging agribusiness people trained in business skills</td>
<td>1.a. Number trained</td>
<td>Data analysis between baseline surveys, impact surveys and a annual survey among hosts that track:</td>
<td>Twenty 21-day assignments over 5 years will focus on agribusiness skills training and applying this training directly to issues facing targeted agribusiness:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.b. 25 agribusinesses with increased profits of $5,000 or more due to improved business skills and market linkages</td>
<td>1.b. Net incremental profit of client firms (Incremental revenues minus incremental costs)</td>
<td>• Name, address, and business of emerging agribusiness people participating in training;</td>
<td>• Business Planning/Management;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.c. 200 emerging farmers with increased annual incomes of $500 or more due to increased market linkages</td>
<td>1.c. Average income of participating farmers</td>
<td>• Volume and value of sales made by targeted agribusiness;</td>
<td>• Marketing;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.d. 20 Agribusinesses marketing products cooperatively</td>
<td>1.d. Number of client firms marketing products cooperatively</td>
<td>• Costs of targeted emerging agribusiness; and</td>
<td>• Financial management/accounting; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Agribusiness capacity to respond to market opportunities enhanced</td>
<td>2.a. 25 emerging agribusinesses with business plan to access credit for capital improvements</td>
<td>2.a. Number of business plans written to access credit</td>
<td>• Type, volume, and value of inputs accessed by targeted agribusinesses.</td>
<td>• Cooperative development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.b. 25 agribusinesses with credit lines to access inputs</td>
<td>2.b. Inputs accessed through credit by targeted emerging agribusinesses</td>
<td>Information will be gathered from targeted groups as part of every intervention, direct interviews by program staff and shared project results between Land O’Lakes and collaborating programs.</td>
<td>Nineteen 21-day assignments over 5 years will focus on technical agriculture needs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.c. 10 agribusinesses with increased value adding capacity due to access to credit for capital equipment</td>
<td>2.c. Number of client firms obtaining capital equipment through access to credit</td>
<td>• Production and post harvest technology;</td>
<td>• Production and post harvest technology;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Agribusiness access to capital resources increased</td>
<td>2.d. 20 Agribusinesses accessing inputs or credit cooperatively</td>
<td>1.d. Number of client firms accessing inputs or credit cooperatively</td>
<td>• Grading and standards; and</td>
<td>• Grading and standards; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Value added processing technology.</td>
<td>• Value added processing technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annually, a three-volunteer team will conduct a 21-day assignment to:</td>
<td>Annually, a three-volunteer team will conduct a 21-day assignment to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide group training and direct technical assistance focusing on accessing inputs, including capital, for agribusinesses;</td>
<td>• Provide group training and direct technical assistance focusing on accessing inputs, including capital, for agribusinesses;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Research and educate emerging agribusinesses on methods to access high value markets; and</td>
<td>• Research and educate emerging agribusinesses on methods to access high value markets; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Develop credit and out-grower schemes with suppliers and buyers to increase access to inputs and finance for targeted agribusinesses,</td>
<td>• Develop credit and out-grower schemes with suppliers and buyers to increase access to inputs and finance for targeted agribusinesses,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complementary inputs: Subsector plans must take account of complementary inputs needed to achieve desired outcomes. Lack of credit, infrastructure, training, and markets have often constrained FtF program hosts from implementing recommendations of volunteers. Subsector projects must identify critical inputs and assess their likely availability, making provision for them from the volunteer program, from partners or from related projects.

An outline for subsector plans: The following outline can be filled out in two or three pages. This plan captures the key factors affecting a volunteer program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested Outline for Subsector Planning:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Objective (approx one sentence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Description of subsector (what is being targeted for assistance?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. General description (why is this subsector being targeted?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Policy, regulatory, human resources, financial, and physical infrastructure environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Key problems/constraints to be addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Opportunities to impact on target clients (possibly use market chain analysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Strategic approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. What the FtF program can do and how (what is the comparative advantage of the FtF program?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. How would FtF volunteers fit into programs/objectives of the local government, the local private sector, the local civil society, USAID, or other organizations that affect the subsector?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Is there a sequencing of assignments wherein one assignment will build off of other ones?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. How can the advice/technology of the volunteers that are given to one group be shared more broadly across the subsector so as to raise the benefit/cost ratio of the assignment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Key partners (if any) and their roles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Target hosts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Number and type of expected hosts; names of those identified; criteria for identifying others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Numbers and types of volunteer assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Target clients (beneficiaries, both direct and indirect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Numbers and location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. How they will be impacted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expected benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Timeframe and phasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Priorities for this year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. List of planned volunteer assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Other key actions by field staff to follow up and reinforce the results of the volunteer assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Monitoring and Evaluation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. What indicators/proxies will be used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Who will collect the data and how</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is some disagreement over the advisability of providing additional inputs through volunteer programs. Some note that such inputs (operating cost grants, training, R&D costs, etc.) greatly enhance volunteers’ impact. Others note that such resources distort incentives for a volunteer program, with hosts requesting volunteers mainly to gain access to the complementary inputs.
**Current practices in volunteer program subsector project planning**

USAID uses the Strategic Objective and Intermediate Result (SO/IR) framework as a planning tool for its programs (see ADS Section 200). Subsector plans are generally summarized in a Planning Matrix that sets out the subsector strategy, expected results, benchmarks along the way to results, and specific activities that will be undertaken to achieve those results. An example of a Planning Matrix for an LOL Subsector Plan (Focus Area) is presented in Table A.

Formerly USAID used the logframe, a planning tool that remains widely used by donor agencies and can be useful in project planning. The logical framework (logframe) relates indicators and targets in a more logical hierarchical order based on the expected cause-and-effect relationship between project activities, outputs, outcomes, and goals (see Table B). This provides a concise conceptual framework for how the project will lead to expected impacts and is best developed in a participatory manner to ensure broad understanding and agreement on the project concept.

The logframe is a standard project-planning tool, although specific formats vary somewhat from agency to agency. Many references are available describing the use of this tool in project planning and evaluation. Key elements of a project plan set out in the logframe are:

- **Impacts (or goal, overall objective, development objective):** The long-term objective, change of state or improved situation towards which the project is making a contribution.

- **Outcomes (or results, purpose, or project objective):** The immediate project objective, the overall observable changes in performance, behavior, or resource status that should occur as a result of the project.

- **Outputs:** The products, services, or results that must be delivered by the project for the component outcomes to be achieved.

- **Inputs:** The resources required to achieve the outputs through project activities.

Logframes may include—in addition to or in place of “inputs”—a line for “activities”, the actions taken by the project employing inputs to produce required outputs.

---


7 See for example: [http://www.kar-dht.org/logframe.html#matrix](http://www.kar-dht.org/logframe.html#matrix)
Table B: The Logical Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrative Summary</th>
<th>Key Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Source of Data</th>
<th>Critical Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The logframe and the planning matrix are useful to summarize on one page the project concept and rationale. This helps to clarify what the project intends to accomplish and how it is to be achieved. As a concise summary of the project strategy, these planning tools then become the basis for evaluation and assessment of success.

**Key recommendations**

- Define subsector projects (volunteer assignments) at the start of a volunteer program, drawing on subsector analysis to identify constraints and opportunities to improve subsector performance and competitive advantage.

- Develop a planning matrix and a Strategic Objective/Intermediate Result (SO/IR) structure or logical framework to help clarify what needs to be done and how it is to be accomplished.
2.4 EX ANTE IMPACT ASSESSMENT IN VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

An ex ante impact assessment is a tool for designing projects to make sure that the benefits of the volunteers' assistance justify the costs. Ex ante analysis therefore compares the value of expected benefits or impacts (positive or negative) between a “with project” situation and a “without project” situation, before the project has been undertaken. The difference in revenues between the two situations is known as incremental benefits or program impacts.

Why is ex ante impact assessment useful?

Ex ante impact estimates serve as supporting analysis for a proposed course of action in use of volunteer resources. Implementers are not held to these projections, nor indeed are they held to the revised projections made during construction of work plans. The ex ante impact assessment provides an opportunity for the program designer to consider other options that may yield higher economic returns to the volunteer’s efforts.

Although important, economic analysis is only one of several considerations in making decisions on programs, projects, and hosts. Impact assessment should also consider other factors, such as impacts on social equity, poverty reduction, environment, and people-to-people dimensions. All development programs face questions of balancing these issues.

Issues with ex ante impact assessment for volunteer programs

Appropriate indicators: The impact of an economic development project can mean different things to different people. Some are convinced that the impact of a project should be limited to easily quantified measures, such as the numbers of people trained, numbers of people adopting a new technique, increases in total physical production or unit rates of production such as yield per hectare, or numbers of hectares under a new crop or soil conservation system. Nevertheless, the use of physical measures for a volunteer program often is not adequate because of the problem of aggregation. How much are fourteen people trained plus an additional 1.5 tons of mustard seed per hectare worth? The solution is to translate these physical measures into monetary values. Then economists can, “compare the incremental change in the value of net income or revenue of an enterprise ‘with’ project situation (recommended new technique adopted) to the ‘without’ project situation (recommended new technique not adopted).”

---

8 Based on a “Review of Farmer-to-Farmer Impact Assessment” by Roger Montgomery (8/17/04).

9 Ex ante assessments are estimates made before a project or activity is undertaken. Ex post assessments are actual measurements or estimates made after a project or activity has been implemented.
Alternatives to economic analysis: For certain types of projects, it is virtually impossible to estimate changes in net revenue. With environmental projects it is often only possible to evaluate alternative methods of achieving the same objective (such as measurable increase in biological oxygen in polluted waters, or certain maximum limits on pollutants in air or water supplies). In these cases, the method used is to determine the least cost of achieving the desired physical indicator, e.g., a new, more severe pollution standard.

Problems with baseline data: Baseline data on production, income, and other indicators is seldom readily available and costly to obtain. However, ex ante impact assessments need only a reasonable estimate of current indicators and a reasonable estimate of potential impact: ex post assessments are much more demanding of data quality.

Measuring incomes: It is often not possible to measure incomes of participants in development programs. Household or business income is a very personal and private matter, not likely to be disclosed to outsiders. Therefore data is sought instead on the incremental change in net income or revenue of an enterprise. Since most volunteer program hosts are profit-oriented enterprises that are themselves interested in their net income, the volunteer program appropriately tracks changes in incremental net incomes. In other cases, where the host is an association or group of individual enterprises, assumptions must be made about the “typical” enterprise, say one hectare of mustard seed, or one box of honeycombs. The net revenue for the entire host (club, association) is then this unit-revenue multiplied times the number of hectares or number of honeycomb boxes operated by all members.

Role and importance of time: Because investments occur now, but benefits or impacts take some time to develop, a benefit/cost analysis must calculate present, capitalized values in order to resolve the issue of time. A promise to pay $100 this afternoon is more important, more valuable than a promise to pay $100 one year from now. All of us unconsciously “discount” future values back to the present. Economists have formalized a system of discounting future values back to the present, in order to compare costs (incurred now or very soon) with benefits (likely to occur farther off into the future).

Discounting typically uses a 12 percent discount rate for future values of costs and benefits (although this is really a function of inflation rates), such that an expected $7 next year is worth $6.25 this year. The longer the time period for discounting, the less is the present value of a similarly sum - a commitment to pay $7 in year 3 is worth only $4.98 now. And finally, a commitment to pay $7 each year for the next 10 years is worth a total of $37.30 now. Electronic spreadsheets compute these values for users under the function =NPV (net present values). The discount rate commonly adopted for use in project evaluation is called the social discount rate. Discounting cost and benefits from a program gives the following indicators:
- The net present value is the capitalized value today of a future value or series of annual values (income stream), calculated using the social discount rate.

- The benefit/cost ratio is the ratio between the net present value of all identified incremental net revenues (benefits), divided by the net present value of all identified incremental costs, all discounted back to the present using the social discount rate.

- The internal rate of return does not assume a social discount rate, but instead calculates the rate that makes the present value of all benefits exactly equal to the present value of all costs (investments).

**Complexity of economic analysis:** Economic analysis of simple subsector projects is not difficult, but calculation of Net Present Value of Investments and Costs, Net Present Value of Benefits, Benefit Cost Ratio, Internal Rate of Return is a task for an economist, and is a specialized skill. Volunteer program field staffs typically do not have these skills, nor is there a routine need for such calculations. Programs should however establish monitoring systems that yield all of the information required for such analyses to be undertaken by the journeyman economist who periodically spot-checks volunteer programs to make sure they are on track.

**Financial prices vis-à-vis economic prices:** Existing market prices are often distorted by subsidies and taxes. These prices, called “financial prices,” are distinct from the “economic” prices that reflect true international values that should be used in economic analyses. While correcting for such price distortions is important when a country is considering expansions of imports and exports, for example, it is beyond the scope for most volunteer program needs.

**Income distribution:** Economic growth projects are typically measured by the incremental change in revenue that they generate. Yet because a fundamental reason for foreign assistance is poverty reduction, there is concern about how this increased income is spread across income groups. Unfortunately, the tools commonly used for impact assessment (benefit/cost, internal rates of return) are blind to income distribution aspects and are not sensitive to the initial income or wealth status of the recipient. A number of tools exist for assessing poverty focus (by targeting geographic areas with a relative high incidence of poverty, or targeting products generally produced or consumed by the poor). Although detailed poverty analyses are beyond their scope, volunteer programs should at least comment on the expected effects of the volunteer program on poverty reduction.
EX ANTE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR THE FTF PROGRAM:

The FtF program calculated rough estimates of cost/benefit for subsector projects by including five identified elements of investment cost (program costs, value of volunteer time, additional resources obtained by the volunteers, value of host contributions, and value of resources mobilized by hosts from other sources). Expected Net Revenue or Net Income Benefits were estimated based on increased net income or revenue from improved business operations, organizational capacity, and productivity.

Economic analysis showed that overall the FtF program was projected to have an IRR of 18 percent. While many projects had non-economic impacts or lacked adequate data to estimate rates of return, 30 of the 94 FtF subsector projects had positive IRRs. This analysis showed that while it is difficult to conduct cost/benefit analysis of volunteer programs, the effort is worthwhile because it can show if a program is heading in the right direction. The FtF program was found to be heading in the right direction and the program should not shy away from striving for development impact as well as simple goodwill and cultural exchanges.

Current practices in ex ante impact assessment of volunteer programs

FtF volunteer programs typically have several small subsector projects. Extensive analysis is neither practical nor warranted. Benefits of some element of simple feasibility analysis are often available, by relying on analyses conducted by larger programs with which the volunteer program is associated.

Net vs. gross income increases: Net income is used for impact assessment, not gross income or revenue. Gross values (total value of production or sales) are relatively meaningless, unless costs of achieving that production or sale have been subtracted. For example, the cost of feed consumed in producing broiler chickens is about 65% of the value of the chickens. Were the feed cost not subtracted, the gross value of chicken production would dramatically overstate the value-added in converting feed into chickens. Net income means that all associated incremental costs of production, transportation, storage, and marketing have been identified, accounted for, and subtracted.

In estimating net income changes, full total enterprise budgets are not required. Instead, partial budgets that represent the changes in costs associated with a new practice are all that are required. Incremental means the “with project” (or technical change) net benefits minus the “without project” (farmer/enterprise continues on current trend) net benefits. For example, a farmer switches from low valued oats to higher valued wheat. The incremental income is therefore the value of the wheat produced, less the value of the oats now foregone.

Ex ante assessment of a subsector project normally requires the estimation of potential incremental benefits and costs, based on a minimal feasibility analysis that constructs simple enterprise budgets for both the “without project” and “with project” cases. Partial budgets will suffice, as illustrated in the simple example from a fertilizer-on-rice.
demonstration presented in the Table below. The partial budget accounts only for those inputs and outputs that change due to adoption of a new technology. Thus, it is not necessary to have a full budget for rice production (for all costs, land, labor, machinery, pesticides, etc.) in order to estimate the incremental change.

**Table: Example of a Partial Budget, Agronomic Demonstration of Fertilizer on Rice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input/Output</th>
<th>Without Adoption of Fertilizer</th>
<th>With Adoption of Fertilizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical Units (kgs, days)</td>
<td>Unit Costs, Values (Rupees/unit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical Units (kgs, days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer (kg)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra labor for fertilizer application (labor days)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of Paddy Rice (kg/ha)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income (minus variable inputs above)</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Net Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The individual undertaking the feasibility estimation must know the relevant technologies (existing vis-à-vis proposed new) and the related physical changes in inputs and outputs that might be expected. But it is not reasonable to expect existing volunteer project managers and office staff to have sufficient technical information to assess feasibility. Preparing a feasibility study for a volunteer program design will often require assistance from a consultant or from partner and host organizations. Later, the task of refining simple partial budgets for a host or subsector can be made part of the assignment of the volunteer, as the person best suited to do simple tabular comparisons of current practice and new practice and to estimate costs and returns.

**Key recommendations**

- Prepare simple ex ante feasibility studies to assess whether a subsector project will be financially viable. The studies should calculate discounted present values to compare benefits with costs. A major utility of ex ante analysis is as an aid in deciding among subsector projects and allocating volunteers. Assume that all candidates are potentially viable, but some are more promising than others.

- For subsector projects without direct economic benefits (e.g., environmental or organizational strengthening projects), develop other impact indicators using—where possible—cost efficiency measures, such as least cost per beneficiary or per unit of impact.

- Develop simple partial budgets for individual enterprises, based upon changes expected as a result of a host adopting volunteers’ recommendations and on estimates of incremental net income and incremental costs associated with adopting the recommendation.
Use “with project” numbers to compare to “without project” numbers, isolating only those inputs and outputs that will change. Avoid using “before” and “after” estimates of net incomes of enterprises, as too many other things change over time and it is notoriously difficult to get many enterprises to disclose their true net income.

Do not try to estimate incomes of each individual household or each individual small enterprise with which the program is working, as there are too many. Instead, model their activities into “typical” production units, such as a one-hectare crop input-output budget, a one-beehive budget, or a one-vat cheese-making budget.

Rely on analyses conducted by complementary programs so as to minimize the cost of ex-ante analyses.
2.5 SELECTING PARTNERS FOR VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Projects and volunteer assignments are commonly developed and implemented with “partners,” a term that can carry a range of connotations among implementers. The term ‘partners’ is used herein to refer to in-country organizations that collaborate with U.S. volunteer program implementers in the development and implementation of program and project strategies and plans. Partners can be both public and private agencies and can include trade associations, NGOs, commercial firms, projects, government departments and programs (e.g., Peace Corps) and educational institutions. Partners are commonly involved in providing goods and services to agricultural producers and/or other agribusinesses. These clients of partners include public and private organizations that are potential hosts for volunteers. Roles of partners vary, but commonly include serving as: (1) local representative for the implementer; (2) retailer of volunteer services; (2) link to clients (hosts); and (4) technical backstop.

Why is the selection of partners important?

Partners are usually critical to the identification of suitable hosts and to arranging complementary inputs required to achieve impacts. Partners are often larger organizations or projects than are host organizations. They typically work with multiple potential hosts to whom they provide various services. In some cases, volunteers may work with partners to strengthen the partner’s own capacity to provide goods and services to their clients (in which case the partner also serves as a host organization). Generally, good partners have an interest in seeing that the specific knowledge or skills that volunteers bring to host organizations are spread to others. This is important as this can strongly influence the extent of impact, but volunteer program implementers alone are often ill equipped and under-resourced to achieve this.

Major issues in partner selection

The major question with respect to partners is whether the anticipated advantages of working with and through them sufficiently outweigh the costs and potential problems inherent in partnerships. In theory, partnerships are very attractive and vital to achieving sustainable impacts. However, there can be major transactions costs in establishing and

10 At the extreme, the term can be quite inclusive and refer to virtually all program participants, including the range of participating organizations, hosts, networks, as well as current and former volunteers.

11 The term partner can also be used to refer to U.S. based organizations that have formal sub-contract agreements with the primary implementer. While these sub-contract partners may play vital roles in volunteer programs, the focus of this section is on host country partners.
maintaining partnerships. Further, partner organizations and projects can dominate relationships, when they are large operations with in-country presence, a broad range of responsibilities and relationships, and their own agendas. A volunteer program may see a partnership as a way of leveraging the resources of the partner. The partner on the other hand is likely to see the volunteer program as a set of resources to be captured to further its own program. There is always a risk of a volunteer program being co-opted by a larger, more assertive partner. Effective, lasting partnerships require a fair degree of convergence (or at least compatibility) in objectives and organizational philosophies.

**Current practices for selecting partner organizations**

Three general approaches to partnering are currently used by FtF implementers.

**Partners of the Americas (POA)** embraces partnerships as a cornerstone of its programs, with networks and partnering embedded in the organization’s philosophy and history. Virtually every POA FtF project has support from one or more networks of organizations, projects, and volunteers (current and former). POA’s organizational profile notes “networking and building linkages is one of Partners' core competencies.” POA fosters long-term institutional linkages between Latin American and Caribbean agricultural organizations and counterpart institutions in the U.S. These relationships, sustained through the POA network, endure beyond the length of a specific subsector project and help ensure sustainability of program objectives. These long-term partnerships can leverage resources and result in unexpected benefits for both organizations.

**Land O’Lakes Strategic Partnering in Southern Africa**

LOL’s FtF program in Southern Africa is based on a variety of partnership arrangements. In Zambia, the FtF Office is located in the Zambian Agricultural Commodity Agency, where an LOL country manager works closely with FtF staff in programming volunteer activities. In Malawi, the FtF program works in concert with the LOL Malawi Dairy Business Development Program that provides a broad range of complementary inputs. In Angola, LOL is partnered with the Cooperative League of the USA (CLUSA) in support of a Rural Group Enterprise and Agricultural Marketing Project that seeks to increase the market linkages of high-value crops to premium markets. And in South Africa, LOL works with Ebony Consulting International and IDEAA (Initiative for Development and Equity in African Agriculture) to improve emerging farmer’s access to commercial markets through out-grower schemes and links to agribusinesses.

LOL utilizes a three-tiered approach with each of its implementation partners. The three tiers involve: (1) identifying and strengthening suitable farmer associations with the organization, willingness, and capacity to respond to market demands; (2) locating high-value market linkages for these farmer associations and determining the requirements of these markets; and (3) sourcing critical inputs needed by farmers and developing schemes to source these important inputs.

POA makes a special effort to create networks and linkages that bring together public and private sector organizations, including universities, research institutes, local NGOs, agribusinesses, processors, and community associations. These link poor producers to networks that provide access to information and technology, marketing know-how and...
new opportunities. Benefits can include: (1) access to reliable and timely information on market and weather conditions; (2) assistance with marketing, including branding; (3) access to improved plant varieties and technologies; and (4) learning and sharing best practices among hosts either through seminars or virtual networks.

CNFA works with some partners in the implementation of its FtF program, but it has found that reconciliation of corporate objectives is often very difficult and that great care and effort is often required to make such partnerships successful. For CNFA, partnerships are an option, not an imperative, and are to be approached with caution.

LOL and ACDI/VOCA enter into partnerships on a case-by-case basis where it seems to make sense in achieving the objectives of specific programs. Partnerships are often logical connections between their pre-existing agricultural projects abroad and FtF. Current activities of LOL and ACDI/VOCA in Eastern and Southern Africa are illustrative of this approach (see boxes).

ACDI/VOCA Partnerships in Eastern Africa

The ACDI/VOCA Eastern Africa FtF program works closely with a Land O’Lakes dairy project in Uganda. An agreement between LOL and ACDI/VOCA provides for about 50% of the FtF volunteer slots to be used in the LOL dairy development program. This collaboration fosters links to other organizations and individuals working with LOL in the sector. This is an example of a large country project requesting volunteer technical assistance on behalf of smaller scattered organizations in its wide partnership base spanning a larger geographical area.

Given the short-term nature of volunteer assignments, partnerships and collaborative approaches with in-country organizations are often critical to the sustainability and spread effect of volunteer programs.

Key recommendations

♦ Select partners on the basis of mutually shared objectives, and on their ability to provide critical complementary inputs, such as infrastructure, credit, longer-term training, and technical assistance.

♦ Nurture partnerships by developing close personal relations.

♦ Integrate volunteer programs and assignments with complementary programs in a particular geographic area. Taking the time to design assignments that build off of these synergies will result in more sustainable results and impact.

♦ Select country managers and host country staff that have the skills, knowledge, and network of contacts that can enable them to identify and sustain partnerships, where appropriate, or provide the services one might otherwise seek through partnerships.
2.6 SELECTING HOST ORGANIZATIONS

Hosts are organizations or individuals that request volunteer services and work most directly with the volunteers. Host organizations commonly include private businesses, community groups, trade or business associations, local government offices, cooperatives, or public or private service providers (inputs, credit, information, marketing, processing, storage, etc.). Identifying potential host organizations and selecting specific organizations to receive volunteers is the first step towards implementing the subsector project plan.

**Why is selection of host organizations important?**

The ability of host organizations to absorb, utilize, and disseminate information provided by volunteers is a critical consideration in determining the impact of a volunteer’s assignment. Host organizations should be involved early on in developing the FtF program strategies and plans. They should also contribute to the costs of fielding volunteers and facilitate an assessment of the impact of the volunteer’s efforts. The impacts of a volunteer program are primarily a function of what host organizations do as a result of the information and training provided by volunteers.

**Major issues in host organization selection**

**Number of hosts:** Should volunteer assignments be focused on a few hosts (clustering) in hopes of improving chances of positive outcomes for that host? Or should volunteers be spread around to enable as many as possible to benefit? Impact considerations generally favor the clustering of volunteers to work with a limited number of hosts, rather than spreading the volunteers too thinly. Still, broad impact requires innovation to spread beyond individual hosts. The FtF implementer must also guard against providing an unfair competitive advantage to one business over another. Hosts should be organizations that are able or willing to share what they have learned from a volunteer with others, including competitors.

**Potential for impact:** Strategies for spreading program impact beyond the initial host include: working with trade groups or business associations, widely disseminating training materials and reports prepared by volunteers, and publicizing volunteer activities and recommendations. Holding a workshop open to all in the industry at the end of a volunteer’s assignment is one means of reducing unfair advantage and spreading benefit beyond the specific host with which the volunteer has worked.

**Upstream or downstream:** Where in the market chain should volunteers work? Should they focus primarily on input suppliers and producer groups, or downstream with processing and marketing organizations that have the potential to affect larger numbers of beneficiaries? Decisions here should be guided by the prospects for impact as revealed by the analysis of the market chain.
Focus groups: Should host organizations be largely those serving resource poor and disadvantaged groups or are volunteers more effective in working with the not so poor who are more likely to be able to act on the information and training provided? Donor concern with poverty reduction strongly suggests a focus on work with resource-poor groups, directly or indirectly, where possible. Still, many resource-poor groups are ill equipped to work with or benefit from volunteer services (See Section 4.1).

Forming new groups: When development programs form new organizations, they frequently disappear when program support is withdrawn. This results because the impetus for group formation is that of accessing resources from the development program. In most cases, FtF implementers prefer to work with existing host organizations that have a measure of established capacity and some track record in operations. However, where a country has few, if any, suitable host candidates, a volunteer program may have no option other than getting involved in their formation.

In Southern Africa, LOL and FAMU assist small farmers form associations that allow members to create market linkages to access inputs and markets for their products. These linkages allow farmers to move from traditional subsistence farming to commercial operations. While this logic is compelling and there are examples of successful efforts to establish producer and related service associations involving volunteers, the progress and sustainability of such efforts normally requires more support than an individual or series of short-term volunteers can provide.

Volunteers can be effective in strengthening existing organizations and businesses and the many examples of volunteer program success in these efforts suggest that the hands-on training that volunteers provide gives them a comparative advantage for work in this area. Still, organizational strengthening takes time and its results are hard to quantify. Short-term volunteer assignments are most effective when there are periodic repeat assignments to assist in strengthening the same organization or firm.

Termination/graduation: What are the criteria for terminating or graduating a project with a host organization? How long should implementers work with hosts? This determination is greatly facilitated if the objectives of the assignment are clear before the assignment begins. A program needs to guard against proposed assignments that merely continue a comfortable relationship with a good host, without sufficient regard for the benefits of the volunteer’s assignments. Periodic reviews of host performance are useful to re-evaluate plans for use of volunteer resources when conditions of a host have changed significantly either for better or for worse.

Current practices in volunteer host selection

FtF host organizations include individual producers (in some cases), producer groups and associations, local NGOs, cooperatives agribusinesses, large producers and agro-industries, trade associations, processors, marketing organizations, and farm produce buyers. Other hosts are service providers—extension agencies, training institutions, rural financial service providers, input suppliers, and research programs. Some country programs work with multiple types of hosts within a subsector in order to address varied
constraints within the commodity chain, for example linking the poor with the non-poor to achieve a subsector-wide impact.

**Selection criteria:** Hosts are typically selected based on their potential to show results. Criteria may include minimum eligibility requirements, internal capacity and interest, and suitability of the business environment in which they operate (availability of markets, inputs, comparative advantage). Where demand for volunteer services is high, transparent selection procedures are important. Where potential host organizations are weak, country staff or partner organizations may need to provide advice and training to help potential hosts reach the point at which they can benefit from volunteer services. Checklists of criteria utilized by POA and CNFA (see boxes) can be effective in screening and ranking potential host organizations.

**POA Criteria for Host Selection**

Criteria used by POA to identify counterpart host organizations includes their ability to:

- Utilize technical assistance and adopt technical recommendations;
- Identify and clearly state their technical assistance needs;
- Participate in the design of a multi year action plan with specific goals;
- Support the active participation of women and operate using democratic principles;
- Share and disseminate information that reaches large numbers of producers;
- Demonstrate value placed on the program by supporting program activities either by paying a fee for technical assistance provided (based on a sliding scale according to ability to pay) or through in-kind contributions valued at an equivalent amount (e.g., local transportation, room and board for volunteers); and
- Relate the volunteer program to broader sectoral needs.
CNFA Checklist for Host Selection

- Markets are available and there is strong interest in doing business/selling/increasing income;
- The number of participants is sufficiently large to achieve a reasonable impact;
- Hosts have the interest and energy to innovate, and training will translate into impact when the hosts apply their training to improve performance and increase incomes;
- Strong leadership demonstrates sincere dedication to serving the group. It is essential to evaluate the strength of the group, and the extent of transparency in its financial affairs. Hosts must not be engaged in dishonest activities. Leaders should be strong and effective, but not so strong that they can appropriate resources in their own interests at the expense of other members;
- Clearly identified training needs linked to increasing sales and income. Hosts should participate in development of a training plan and “own” it. Most importantly, they must see a clear linkage between the training they are to receive and how it will benefit them;
- Prospective hosts should understand the potential to increase income through their own efforts and be willing to work for that without expecting any assistance other than training;
- At least some members of the host group are fully literate and numerate; and
- Logistics are as easy and inexpensive as possible.

Knowledge of subsectors: Personal networking by country staff of volunteer program implementers or their local partners is probably the most effective means of identifying hosts, but does risk missing some potentially good hosts. It requires local staff to be knowledgeable about the subsectors in which they are working and to develop a wide network of contacts. Formal advertising of the volunteer program in trade publications, and with business groups, and even in the mass media may be a good complement to personal networking and can help to avoid charges of cronyism or favoritism. But such advertising might require a large increase in staff time to review the applications.

Assessing host capabilities: Some implementing agencies make an effort to assess systematically the capacities of potential hosts via formal interviews. Winrock employs a version of SWOT analysis to assess potential partners, the internal strengths and weaknesses of their organizations and the opportunities and threats to their market and from other external factors. Concurrently, an effort is made to distinguish between weaknesses that can addressed (e.g., inadequate planning, weakness in management, lack of necessary skills and market specific experience, etc) and those that are more problematic (e.g., extent of motivation, honesty).

In East Africa, the ACDI/VOCA staff assesses the suitability of a host organization using the Organizational Capacity Assessment Tool (OCAT) developed by USAID and its partners. This tool is particularly useful in the preparation of association strengthening assignments, but it is also used in developing other scopes of work in order to determine the organization’s ability to host a volunteer and make effective use of the resources.
OCAT measures capacity in critical operational areas such as governance, leadership and management practices, financial and assets management, and business capacity, among others. OCAT is then supplemented by sector-specific questions designed to gather baseline data on indicators on which the program will be reporting\textsuperscript{12}.

\textit{Using partners:} While there is widespread agreement on the attributes of a good host organization, these are still not easy to find. Formal search procedures might assist, but can be costly and skill intensive to administer correctly. In general, it is preferable to have a local partner do this work, as opposed to having a U.S.-based organization do it on its own. At the same time, local partners have their own biases and agendas and it is essential for the U.S. implementer to periodically review host organization selections.

\textit{Key recommendations}

\begin{itemize}
  \item Have local implementer’s staff develop a thorough knowledge of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats in the subsectors targeted by volunteer programs.
  
  \item Maintain a demand-driven approach to identifying hosts. If a volunteer’s assignment is driven by a host wanting to work with certain volunteers, as opposed to an organization’s desire to place a volunteer overseas, the results tend to be better.
  
  \item Maintain transparency in host selection procedures. Advertise the volunteer program, if appropriate, but weigh the staff implications against the potential gains of publicizing the availability of volunteer services programs in order to develop a good pool of potential hosts.
  
  \item Develop checklists of criteria for evaluating potential hosts as to their eligibility and potential for impact.
  
  \item Develop linkages with local partners to assist in identifying and working with hosts, but ensure that partners’ interests are compatible with the objectives of the volunteer program.
  
  \item Ensure that hosts are interested and able to address those issues identified as critical in subsector assessments.
  
  \item Require some resource contribution from the host to ensure commitment to the project and to help defray program costs.
\end{itemize}

2.7 PLANNING HOST PROJECTS: THE HOST STRATEGIC PLAN

Volunteer program planning occurs at various levels, but for the volunteer’s host, the “project” is largely limited to the activities that they undertake jointly with the volunteer. The specific services provided and activities undertaken in conjunction with a specific host represents the “host project”. The following diagram illustrates different levels in the FtF program planning system.

The term “program” in this Guidebook is associated with a broader country, regional, or global volunteer initiative. Each implementing agency should have a program plan and descriptions of subsector projects that, when implemented, result in the achievement of the program objectives. Each subsector project typically involves a number of host organizations—local service providers, NGOs, associations, and private sector firms. The Subsector Project Plan specifies a subsector strategy (the FtF refers to these as Focus Areas) and usually includes a corresponding set of illustrative activities. For work with each host, a Host Strategic Plan identifies the goals and objectives, and a specific set of activities (volunteer assignments) for work with that host. Host Strategic Plans provide the basis for the volunteer assignment.

A Scope of Work (SOW) is prepared for each volunteer assignment, detailing the tasks and anticipated results in relation to the objectives of the host organization. Where a Subsector Project includes only a single host organization and one volunteer assignment (as might well be the case for exploratory type assignments), subsector and host project strategies and plans might all be telescoped into a single SOW (complemented by the reports emanating from the assignment). Alternatively, a single volunteer assignment might encompass service for two or more hosts in which case the volunteer’s would have more than one SOW.

The Host Strategic Plan is normally a short statement that indicates the objectives and anticipated impact of the host organization/firm/association. This usually provides a

---

13 Terminology differs considerably. Host projects can also be called sub-projects or activities. Some implementers use the terms project, program, and activity interchangeably.
basis for describing how volunteers would contribute. The formal agreement between the host and the program implementer specifies the contributions of both parties in the implementation of volunteer assignments. It also provides the Scope of Work (SOW) for the volunteer. A Host Strategic Plan must be congruent with the implementing agency’s Program Plan and usually features some of the following elements:

- Objectives that will be addressed by volunteers;
- Type and sequencing of volunteer assignments;
- Complementary inputs from partner organizations;
- Costs of fielding the volunteers and/or how the costs will be shared (contributions from hosts and partners); and
- Anticipated results/impact.

The time frame covered by the plan is usually between 1 and 3 years. Typically the Host Strategic Plans are revised quite often in anticipation of new opportunities.

**Why are host strategic plans so important?**

Host Strategic Plans provide the basis for volunteer Scopes of Work (SOW) that articulate how the host, local volunteer program staff, and partner organizations will work together. Essentially they constitute an informal agreement among the parties involved – the hosts, the volunteer program and partner organizations – delineating the responsibilities of each participant in the project.

**Important issues related to project planning**

**Partner participation in host project planning:** Broad participation in planning generally leads to better results. However, it is time consuming. Furthermore, there is some question as to when and how to arrange for effective participation of intended beneficiaries. FfF implementers often rely to a large extent on partners to identify hosts and plan projects. Prior to the start of volunteer assignments, implementing agencies and hosts should have already been involved in participatory discussions to delineate the essential features of their proposed projects and activities. Volunteer program staff must have the skills and authority to critically review proposals from partners and hosts and make adjustments, as required.

**Host participation in the design of a volunteer’s assignment:** As a rule, a volunteer’s assignment should be bottom-up, responding to a need of a specific host. In practice, a combination of bottom-up and top-down is most practical with volunteer program field staff coordinating the process of developing an assignment to ensure that the results conform to the realities of what the volunteer program can provide and includes the information required by the program. If there are a few identifiable weaknesses, an initial
volunteer assignment or assignments might remedy these, prior to providing additional volunteer support to that host. This kind of organizational strengthening is a comparative advantage of volunteer programs and can establish the basis for a close and productive longer-term relationship.

**Reviewing host strategic plans:** Host strategic plans should be revisited at least once a year, particularly if serious consideration is being given to adjusting allocations of volunteer slots among projects, programs, or countries. The debriefing of volunteers at the conclusion of their assignments is a good opportunity for all parties to review the Host Strategic Plans and make adjustments, as required.

**Current practices in host strategic planning**

Currently, all FtF programs operate under cooperative agreements between implementing agencies and USAID. Cooperative agreements, grants, and contracts imply a different relationship between USAID and the implementing agency, but generally the implementing agency must be responsive to USAID objectives in the target country. This top-down planning is complemented by consultations with potential hosts in planning subsector projects and strategies. FtF implementing agencies generally must complete some subsector and host strategic planning prior to being awarded funding for a volunteer program.

All implementers employ some variation of the approach used by POA for host strategic planning (see box). WI does not prepare host strategic plans, per se, in an effort to reduce the paper flow. Rather, the host strategic plans are incorporated into the SOW of each volunteer assignment. Where there are multiple volunteer assignments for the same host, WI uses annual action plans for the host that serve the same purpose as host strategic plans. LOL works through local partners who play major roles in the selection of hosts and in the development of their hosts' strategic plans.

CNFA has integrated its Planning Matrix into an FtF Integrated Project Design and Evaluation System (IPDES) to ensure consideration of strategic issues (such as alignment with USAID Country Strategies) all the way down to management and evaluation of individual hosts and volunteer assignments. Working closely with the proposed hosts, CNFA develops a Host Profile and Project Strategy for each proposed volunteer assignment. Because of the importance of host commitment to work with volunteers, if positive impacts are to be achieved, CNFA requires hosts—all participating members, not just one or two leaders—to confirm commitment and buy in to the proposed Project Strategy. An example of a CNFA Host Project Profile for Moldova is found in Annex B.

---

14 This may have the undesirable side effect of discouraging organizations from submitting proposals, because of the time and expense associated with preparing proposals (unless they are confident of winning).
Key recommendations

The POA host strategic planning process (see box above) illustrates the basic elements of good practice in planning host projects. These generally require that the host strategic plan:

♦ Be developed through participatory planning processes in full collaboration with partners and host organizations.

♦ Describe the context for work with the host, notably the characteristics of the host organizations, its objectives/prospects, and how volunteers will assist in the realization of those objectives.

♦ Specify the tasks for which volunteers are required, the skills needed, timing, and any other inputs or resources to be provided by the volunteer or the implementer.

♦ Indicate the contributions of resources expected from partners and hosts for the realization of the host organization plans and the success of the volunteer assignments.
SECTION 3: DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING VOLUNTEER ASSIGNMENTS

This Section focuses on the core element of an international volunteer program—developing good scopes of work (SOWs) and finding the right volunteers to fill these assignments. The preceding chapter explains how planning at many levels and by many organizations are a precursor to good SOWs. If the SOWS are not clear and with sufficient detail, the recruiters cannot seek the appropriate volunteers. With good SOWs in hand, the recruiters seek U.S. volunteers who have appropriate skills and a willingness to serve at the desired time. Emphasizing not only the importance of people-to-people exchanges, but measurable development impact, increases the burdens on the volunteer program implementing agency. This burden is more than justified by better assignments, for both the volunteers and the clients.

To achieve greater impact, FtF program implementers increasingly encourage past volunteers to volunteer again for new assignments, thus making use of "master" volunteers with established track records, experience in international travel and work, and familiarity with specific subjects, commodities, and/or geographic areas. In addition, implementing agencies must give greater attention to providing technical information and other complementary inputs before, during, and after volunteer assignments to help ensure impact. Finally, debriefing and reporting at the conclusion of volunteer assignments are more than just formalities as the results may require adjustments in program and project plans as well as providing a basis for monitoring impacts. This section discusses:

- Preparing volunteer scopes of work (SOWs)
- Volunteer recruitment
- Volunteer orientation
- Volunteer logistics and technical support
- Providing complementary support services to hosts
- Volunteer program structure and staffing
3.1 PREPARING VOLUNTEER SCOPES OF WORK

The SOW is a description of the services that will be required by the host organization and the skills and experience that volunteers will need for the assignment. The SOWs may also include background on the subsector and host project, relating the specific volunteer assignment to the project strategy and plan. Some implementers combine host strategic plans with SOWs to provide a more complete context for the assignment. The SOW may also identify complementary inputs that are forthcoming from the host and other partners. Finally, the SOW identifies the results anticipated from the assignment. Implementers use various terms to refer to the SOW, including “terms of reference” and “volunteer assignment form.”

Why is the preparation of SOWs important?

SOWs translate program and project plans into specific tasks for volunteers. Ideally, as with project planning, there should be a high degree of participation by both the implementing agency and host organizations in the preparation of SOWs.

The SOWs document the understandings reached among the parties involved, namely the host organization, partners, and the program implementer. The clearer the SOW can be in terms of specifying who, what, where, when, why, and how, the easier it will be to recruit for the volunteer assignment, guide the volunteer once on the job, and finally assess the success of the volunteer assignment. The process of approving a SOW also clarifies the roles of the funding agency, the implementing agency, partners (both local and in the U.S.), and the hosts in making the assignment a success.

Major issues in preparing SOWs

Participation: To what extent should partners, hosts, donors, volunteers (current, former and prospective), and home office recruiters be involved in the preparation of SOWs? There is general agreement that there should be broad consultation on SOWs, but the extent of participation varies significantly. Hosts in particular should be involved in the preparation of SOWs, and virtually all implementers at a minimum should consult with hosts and partners in this process. In general the implementer’s field staff are in the best position to determine who needs to participate and how extensively. Most FtF implementers feel strongly that field staff should take the lead and facilitate reaching a consensus on each SOW. Hosts should be involved in the development of the SOW, but should not write them on their own because of the tendency to include too many tasks and expectations. Above all, it is important to limit SOWs to a do-able set of tasks and avoid unrealistic expectations. Home office recruiters need not be involved in the drafting of the SOW if their inputs are reflected already in the subsector plan.

-------------------------

15 An example of a SOW is included as Annex C.
**Scope of the SOW:** How much information should be included in the SOWs? Experience from Ff programs suggests that good SOWs are clear, informative about the host, and provide structure to the assignment. In addition to providing basic information about the country, the community, the problem to be solved (or opportunity to be seized), the SOWs should clearly specify what is expected from both the host organization and any partners whose inputs are critical to the success of the mission. This includes host contributions of staff time, transport, accommodation, translators, and financial assistance to the mission. Further, the SOWs can indicate sources of information that might significantly enhance the effectiveness of an assignment. Most importantly, the SOW should not ask for superman or superwoman. The recruiter will not know where to begin and end the search. The qualities of the “perfect” volunteer should be prioritized so that the recruiter knows what qualities are essential, what are important, and what would be nice but not required.

**Current practices in preparing volunteer SOWs**

All implementers use variations of a fairly standard procedure for preparing SOWs in country (see box). Assuming a proposed assignment falls within a subsector project plan, SOW preparation should not be a complex process. Ideally, a request for a volunteer should be at the initiative of the host, perhaps in the form of a draft SOW. Volunteer program country staff might provide hosts with the required format (possibly as a form to be completed) and samples of SOWs. Program country staff might have a workshop on SOW preparation for host organizations at the initiation of a new phase of the volunteer program in a country; and provide briefings for new hosts that are added as time goes on.
### PREPARING SCOPES OF WORK (CNFA)

CNFA field staff, in consultation with hosts and any partner organizations, produces a SOW for each volunteer assignment. The SOW confirms the objectives of the assignment (originally listed in the Project Strategy), summarizes how the assignment is expected to translate into an increase in income, specifies the volunteer qualifications desired for the assignment, and reviews the anticipated itinerary and logistical arrangements (volunteer lodging and transportation, etc.) for the assignment:

1. **Title of Assignment**, with proposed dates and objectives.
2. **Desired Qualifications of Volunteer.**
3. **Issue Description** (detailed description of the problems that the volunteer will address).
4. **Desired Impacts** (usually copied from the Project Strategy).
5. **Background Update** (information supplemental to that contained in the Project Strategy that will be valuable to the volunteer in executing the assignment, e.g., a summary of the results of previous assignments on the project since the PS was written).
6. **Assignment Itinerary** (day-by-day work plan for the volunteer's time in country).
7. **Lodging** (where the volunteer will be staying during the assignment, including address, phone numbers and e-mail addresses as appropriate; if the volunteer will be staying with a host family, relevant information about the family).
8. **Recommended Preparations** (any preparations the volunteer should make in order to maximize effectiveness during the assignment, including preparation of training materials or familiarization with training materials available from and recommended for use by the field office; describes equipment available for the volunteer's use, such as copiers, laptops, projection equipment).
9. **Project Contacts** (contact information for Washington and in-country program staff, project hosts, and previous volunteers who have worked on the project).

After SOWs are prepared and cleared by a field office, they go to the implementer’s head office for further review. ACDI/VOCA allows up to three working days for review of SOWs and fine-tuning between Field Representatives and Project Coordinator at headquarters. Approved SOWs are then sent to recruiters for action. Once one or more suitable volunteers are located, they are provided with copies of the SOW, which serve to initiate a dialogue between the volunteer and the implementing agency—or even directly with host and partner organizations—in preparation for the assignment.

Draft SOWs should be reviewed in an expeditious manner by all levels of the volunteer program implementing organization to make sure that they are complete and conform to project planning documents. In the rare instances that a SOW is outside of the priority areas specified in planning documents, the SOW needs to give reference to documents that support such a deviation.
Key recommendations

♦ Develop draft SOWs in consultation with hosts, partners, and the recruiter. Have implementer country staff finalize the SOW to ensure that it is clear, informative about the host’s strengths, weaknesses, and context, and reasonable.

♦ Include in the SOW the expected or promised contributions from host and partner organizations.

♦ Prepare weekly schedules of activities that the volunteer should accomplish.

♦ Use a checklist to ensure that SOWs include all the background and logistical information needed by the volunteer.

♦ Establish guidelines for prompt review and approvals of SOWs by the implementing organization (including the recruiters) to facilitate recruiting and timely fielding of volunteers.
3.2 VOLUNTEER RECRUITMENT

Volunteers form the centerpiece of international volunteer programs, providing the high quality services and specialized skills that, in turn, directly translate into measurable improvements in the lives of millions of people across the globe. Volunteers have contributed an estimated $34 million worth of time and services toward development efforts over the life of the FtF program.

Databases

The electronic database is the primary tool used by recruiters to fill a position described in a SOW that comes in from the field. All implementers maintain large and sophisticated computerized inventories of contact information on potential volunteers. Such databases typically include names of technical specialists proficient in various fields, individuals who have expressed an interest in volunteering, and past and current volunteers. Databases are searchable by specific skills or other criteria. Recruiters that maintain the volunteer databases verify information with employers and other references. Some implementing agencies have databases that include information on the status of volunteers’ travel vouchers and impact from the volunteers’ assignments. An organization must budget for sufficient resources to make sure that the database is diverse, extensive, and has purged outdated entries. An example of the data found in the ACDI/VOCA volunteer database is found in Annex D.

Why is volunteer recruitment important?

The success of any given assignment is largely a function of the quality of the volunteer. Building institutional capacity or influencing overall subsector development typically requires the aggregate efforts of a series of effective volunteers working in tandem with local partners and other development programs.

Major issues in volunteer recruitment

Balancing the use of new volunteers with "experienced" volunteers: USAID’s increased emphasis on impacts makes recruiting volunteers with established track records much more attractive, since they can be counted on to “deliver” for the host. However, it is neither desirable nor possible to rely primarily on “master” volunteers. This detracts from the objective of exposing significant numbers of Americans to other countries, cultures, and development issues. It also limits the range of skills and ideas brought to a volunteer program.

Recruiting specialized skills: Finding volunteers with some types of skills is very challenging and requires creativity. For example, it is difficult to recruit volunteers with expertise in seed certification. Apparently the few qualified people in the U.S. are in great demand domestically and therefore are generally not available for volunteering abroad. Successful recruiters put themselves in the shoes of the volunteers, and make
appeals to things that would be of interest to them, such as travel to new countries to gain new insights related to their fields of expertise and interest. Recruiting tools are discussed below.

**Increasing participation of women and minorities:** Women and minorities are underrepresented in most international volunteer programs (about 30 percent of FfF volunteers have been women). While there are several reasons for this, efforts can and should be made to increase their participation. Targeted recruitment may help to reach larger numbers of potential women and minority volunteers. The inclusion of minority-serving institutions (MSIs) as either lead- or sub-contractors is one way to increase access to potential volunteers from minority communities.

**Using volunteers from third countries:** While most U.S. volunteer programs are intended to provide opportunities for U.S. citizens to volunteer, FfF and other programs have had quite positive experiences with third country volunteers. U.S. volunteers can be hard to find in some skill areas, such as those involving labor intensive technologies or language skills that are difficult to find in the U.S. In the later case, it may make sense, for example, to have French-speaking Africans volunteer in Guinea, for example. Having at least a limited provision for use of third country volunteers can be useful. Even the FfF Program can use non-U.S. volunteers on an exceptional basis, with prior approval from USAID.

**Targeting types of volunteers:** Should recruiters target retired persons? Young, recent graduates? Business people? Scientists? Government and university staff? Recruiters are always seeking volunteers who can produce results and are current in their areas of specialization. In the past, most volunteers were retired people, but this is changing with more professionally active and younger people participating in volunteer programs. Professionally active people see the benefits for themselves in participating in volunteer programs. Sometimes their employers encourage them to do volunteer work. Other times the volunteers realize that they can broaden their own experience and knowledge base by volunteering. Some volunteers are professional consultants who take a volunteer assignment to develop new experience and contacts for future paid consultancies. Volunteers come from business, government, and educational institutions. The relative suitability of these as sources depends very much on the requirements of the specific assignments.

**Who make the best volunteers?** Experience has shown that the most effective volunteers typically have at least several years of experience in their respective fields, are still practicing in such areas, and have some overseas experience. Active private sector business people are highly sought after as volunteers. Recruiters usually check several references for each volunteer.
Current practices in recruiting volunteers

The set of recruiting practices utilized by FtF implementers is impressive. As noted in the 2003 FtF Evaluation, "from the perspective of the PVO field staff and the host organizations, the recruitment process for volunteers is a stunning success."

The recruiter is the key link to the volunteer before and after the assignment. Following the assignment the recruiter maintains contact with volunteers to get them to complete reports, as required, and facilitates their involvement in post-assignment outreach activities. Most, if not all, implementing agencies utilize in-house recruiters. The advantage of this is that in-house recruiters with ongoing responsibilities for individual projects will usually have considerable experience with projects and may have visited the field site for the assignment. This adds up to better understanding of the history, needs, and details of assignments and results in the in-house recruiter being better equipped and motivated to identify and place suitable volunteer candidates.

Recruiters use a wide variety of methods, depending on their locations in the U.S., the type of expertise needed, and the nature of the assignment for which they are recruiting. If an assignment requires highly specialized skills, a good way to identify a suitable volunteer is to contact other known experts in the field and seek their recommendations. Use of former volunteers who are connected to professional networks in the U.S. to recruit colleagues is particularly cost effective. More generic recruiting methods, on the other hand, are effective for less specialized assignments.

Experienced recruiters can recruit simultaneously for many diverse assignments. New recruiters usually work best by initially being focused on just a few fields of expertise. Over the years, FtF implementing agencies have refined approaches to volunteer recruitment and tailored these to their individual needs. Recruitment actions fall into two key categories: 1) identification of potential volunteers; and 2) final selection.

Identifying potential volunteers: Implementers employ a variety of methods to identify qualified candidates for volunteer assignments, and add their information to the database. Such methods include:

- **Targeted recruitment.** All implementing agencies draw on their extensive networks of business and professional relationships and seek assistance with volunteer recruitment through contacts with a variety of organizations—business groups, volunteer organizations, colleges and universities, professional associations and clubs, cooperatives, and scientific organizations. Specific targeting for recruitment of women and minorities is pursued through collaborative arrangements with MSIs.

- **In-house recruitment.** When possible, implementers utilize their own resources—employees, retirees, and other affiliated persons—to fill volunteer positions.

- **Repeat volunteers.** Implementers often recruit past volunteers and, through them solicit additional references and recommendations. Volunteers who have completed multiple assignments seem to enjoy the work and do well in their assignments. They
are often a fruitful source of recommendations for recruiters, as they understand program needs and can recommend colleagues with relevant expertise for future assignments. Implementers need to make special efforts to maintain contacts with effective volunteers. Likewise, prudence dictates the need to flag files of volunteers that have not performed well.

- **World Wide Web.** Implementers advertise volunteer opportunities on their own web sites (with links to online application forms), as well as on-line newsletters, and general volunteer recruitment websites (e.g., Volunteers for Prosperity, USA Freedom Corps, Idealist, VolunteerMatch, ServeNet). When appropriate, implementers advertise volunteer positions on specialized (i.e., agricultural and agribusiness-related) email list serves.

- **Special events, conferences, and fairs.** Implementers occasionally attend various conferences, fairs, and other special events to publicize volunteer program opportunities and to collect resumes of interested candidates.

- **Economies of scale in recruiting volunteers.** An experienced recruiter with a good database can be expected to recruit 40-60 volunteers per year. One recruiter finds and processes about 80 people per year. A new recruiter without a good database may have trouble recruiting more than ten the first year. To foster the success of such new recruiters, recruiting organizations should help them to focus on finalizing the recruitment of just a few volunteers.

**Final selection:** Implementers typically screen all prospective volunteers by having a recruiter interview them prior to assignment nomination. The recruiter generally submits several volunteer nominations to the field representative and host organization to allow them to assess how well candidates’ expertise and availability match the needs and objectives of the project and host. Often three to four volunteer resumes are provided for each proposed assignment. The reaction of local staff and the host to the resumes is a key factor in the final selection decision.

Close communication and coordination is essential during the final selection process to ensure that all questions and concerns about scopes of work and specific needs of assignments are addressed. Occasionally there is a clear trade-off between finding the perfect volunteer and fielding a volunteer on a timely basis to meet needs of the host. This is particularly true if a planting season cannot be missed, or a deadline for filling an export order looms.
Key recommendations

♦ Develop an extensive network of contacts in organizations, trade groups, and communities relevant to recruitment of volunteers with qualifications needed for the programs.

♦ Maintain a good database and network of returned volunteers to assist in developing additional contacts in relevant technical areas and in planning future programs and assignments.

♦ Consider supply as well as demand when planning volunteer programs. Selection of subsector program areas must take into account the availability of volunteers in relevant fields. Experienced recruiters can provide feedback on the availability of volunteers in different areas fairly quickly and consultation with them should be part of the program planning process.

♦ Set targets for increased participation of women and minorities. Inclusion of MSIs, as sub-contractors increases access to potential volunteers from minority communities.

♦ Maintain a mix of experienced and new volunteers.

♦ Develop linkages with other volunteer program implementers to share information and contacts for volunteer recruitment. While this is a challenge because organizations usually guard closely their list of contacts, most organizations are happy to cooperate once a relationship of trust and reciprocity is established.

♦ Where funding criteria permits, consider accessing some specialized volunteers services from non-U.S. countries. U.S. volunteer programs might explore partnership arrangements with volunteer programs and organizations in other countries.
3.3 VOLUNTEER ORIENTATION

Volunteer orientation encompasses a range of activities that facilitate a successful assignment, including information about travel, the country, and the organizations and individuals with whom the volunteer will work, as well as the specific tasks of the assignment. The orientation process begins as part of volunteer recruitment and extends through the initial days of their arrival in the host country.

Why is volunteer orientation important?

The more informed volunteers are about what they are getting themselves into, the better equipped they will be to make their assignment successful both for the host as well as for themselves.

Major issues in volunteer orientation

What and how much information to supply: Some volunteers desire large amounts of information while others have difficulty absorbing even modest amounts. Some information, including that related to health and travel safety, is essential for all volunteers. Volunteers also must be aware of the basic requirements of their assignments so that they can adequately prepare themselves. Beyond that, the supply of information becomes progressively “demand driven”, depending on the time and interest of individual volunteers. A flexible response capacity that links volunteers directly to partners and hosts early on can be very effective, although this may not be appropriate in some cases, as with inexperienced hosts or very complex situations where the volunteer will need time to understand the operating environment.

Who should orient new volunteers: Some implementing agencies have their recruiters do the orientations. This provides a personal touch to the experience. The alternative is to have a specialist in logistics take over after the person has been recruited. This is seen as being a more efficient division of labor and expertise. Former volunteers who have worked with the same host organizations can be particularly helpful to the new volunteer. Once the volunteer is ready to find out about the specifics of the assignment, then the recruiter should put the new volunteer into direct contact with local partners and host organizations.

Current practices in orienting volunteers

Even though people learn in different ways and at different rates, it is more efficient for the implementing agency to have a comprehensive set of orientation materials to cover as many of the volunteers’ potential questions as is possible.
**Orientation coverage:**

In the FtF program, implementing agencies generally provide the volunteer with an orientation package that contains information relating to living conditions in the host country, health, safety, and travel. The briefing also reminds the volunteer that while they can do what they want in their free time, they must make sure that their activities are perceived as being a good reflection on their funding agency—the U.S. government. Therefore, the volunteer must be aware of restrictions on religious proselytizing, political activities, illegal activities, and the appearance of professional conflicts of interest. However, beyond this essential information, the orientation process should become progressively demand-driven and should avoid information overload.

Volunteer orientation materials may be categorized as project materials and country and travel materials (see table). Some implementers provide the orientation information in stages, with the more general material preceding the more specific documentation on the assignment. Others provide the information all at once. The orientation materials come from a variety of sources, including the recruiter, the home office, and in-country staff upon the volunteer's arrival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Materials</th>
<th>Country and Travel Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Letter of Agreement</td>
<td>✓ Information on visas, as necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Confirmation of dates of assignments</td>
<td>✓ Information on required immunizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Overview of the agricultural sector in the country</td>
<td>✓ IRS tax forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Scope of Work and related details concerning the assignment</td>
<td>✓ Medical clearance documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Final report guidelines</td>
<td>✓ Information on the country in which the assignment will take place (geography, history, government and political structure, culture, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Expense report instructions</td>
<td>✓ Information on entry and exit requirements, as well as safety and security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Information on the implementing agency</td>
<td>✓ Area maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Information about the Farmer-to-Farmer program, background and goals</td>
<td>✓ Contact information and staff list (both D.C. and in-country)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Host organization profile</td>
<td>✓ Business card information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Specific project information, including strategy, background, and trip reports from past exchanges</td>
<td>✓ Evacuation insurance information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ An explanation of the specific outputs expected from the assignment</td>
<td>✓ Hotel or other lodging contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Project Impact Assessments from previous years</td>
<td>✓ A language primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Media kits</td>
<td>✓ Donor materials to explain the overall program and the role of volunteers in development of the host country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of orientation materials used by LOL (Zambia) and ACDI/VOCA (Kenya) are found in Annex E.

**Pre-recruitment orientation:** Orientation really begins during the recruitment process, since all potential candidates require information about the assignment prior to reaching a decision on participation. Recruiters often supply potential volunteers with copies of the SOWs, which contain a fair amount of information about the program, project strategies and objectives, and the host organization as well as the specific requirements of the assignment.

**Pre-departure orientation:** To ensure that volunteers fully understand the orientation materials, some implementers provide personal briefings with volunteers prior to their departure. Others place follow-up telephone calls with volunteers to discuss administration, logistics, or technical aspects of the assignments. Some organizations put volunteers in direct contact with field offices and returned volunteers. New volunteers are normally provided with copies of past assignment completion reports that can serve as starting points for communications with former participants. Frequent correspondence by telephone, email, and fax increases the overall comfort level of the volunteer and ultimately makes the assignment more productive.

**Networks of individual volunteers:** Connecting volunteers with those who have “been there” have proven to be a valuable tool in preparing volunteers for assignments, especially when returned volunteers have worked with the same or similar hosts. FtF implementers frequently provide new volunteers with trip reports and encourage them to get in touch with previous volunteers for additional information about the host organizations, their needs, and progress to date.

**Pre-departure contacts with hosts:** The implementing agency may relay questions from the volunteer through its recruiter or project coordinator to the host organization or put the volunteer in direct contact with program field staff for any additional communication prior to departure. Where appropriate, FtF implementers may also encourage direct communication between the volunteer and the host organization via the project coordinator and in-country manager.

**Encouraging self-orientation:** Volunteers can be provided with a list of references in case they would like to acquire more information relevant to their assignment. Excellent web-based search engines have lessened the need for implementing partners to provide references. Implementing agencies should encourage self-orientation, and monitor this to make sure that the volunteers are getting accurate and complete information.

**Maintaining a ‘frequently-asked-questions’ list:** Implementers may be well served by keeping track of commonly asked questions and ensure that these are adequately addressed in standard orientation materials.

**In country orientation:** New volunteers should be met at the airport by the local representative of the implementing agency, and possibly the host. They might also meet with donor agency staff, appropriate government officials, and others who can provide a useful context to the assignment. Volunteers and hosts who have been previously
involved in the FtF program might be able to hook up immediately upon arrival in country and get to work.

Since the ACDI/VOCA Russia program has a large volume of volunteer assignments, the in-country office generally tries to schedule volunteer travel so that joint in-briefings for new volunteers can be held on Mondays and exit briefings can be held on Fridays. This saves time for the country office and provides for more thorough briefings, as well as giving the volunteers an opportunity to share experiences and understanding of the larger country context.

Preparing the host organizations: Volunteers are routinely briefed on how the host country conducts business and on local culture. Volunteers have also requested that host organizations be given a clear understanding of the role of the volunteer. Briefing hosts on the culture and expectations of the U.S. volunteer can help make assignments much more fruitful. Volunteers that have completed their assignments can be enlisted to help develop new SOWs for future volunteers, and even work with future hosts to make sure they are prepared to make effective use of a volunteer. This might include confirming arrangements for adequate lodging and transportation, as well as for developing a plan to orient the new volunteer.

Debriefing volunteers and sharing information: All SOWs should require volunteers to prepare reports, at least in draft form, prior to their departures. Volunteers should be debriefed by program field staff on the basis of these draft assignment reports. In addition to recording the specifics of their accomplishments, volunteers should comment on the host’s strategic plans and provide specific recommendations for SOWs for any future volunteers to work with the same hosts (or hosts in related areas). This is an opportunity for field staff and hosts to make adjustments to host strategic plans and subsector projects, as required.

Such debriefings and final reports from volunteers are an effective means of ensuring that the lessons from each volunteer assignment are shared with the program implementer and available for use by partners, hosts, and future volunteers.
**Key recommendations**

♦ Develop a set of orientation materials for volunteers covering the implementer’s policies and logistical arrangements, project information, country conditions, and host needs. Update this material regularly based on experience with commonly asked questions and problems that volunteers experience.

♦ Provide volunteers with a packet of basic orientation materials covering issues of safety, logistics, policies, and the intended scope of work.

♦ Make orientations demand driven. Provide supplemental orientation materials—beyond the critical minimum information—as requested by the volunteer, thus minimizing paper and information over-load.

♦ Put potential volunteers in direct contact with country staff, country partners, returned volunteers, and hosts, where this is possible, to encourage a free flow of information.

♦ Keep volunteers informed of developments with regular communications to build their comfort level in knowing what is going on.

♦ Arrange pre-departure meetings or phone calls with volunteers to confirm key logistical information and answer last minute questions.

♦ Brief host organizations on the culture and expectations of American volunteers.

♦ Provide briefings in the host country after the arrival of a volunteer in collaboration with host organizations and partners.

♦ Conduct thorough exit briefings and use feedback from these to improve orientation sessions and project plans.
3.4 VOLUNTEER LOGISTICAL AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT

Implementing agencies and host organizations provide various services that greatly impact the effectiveness of volunteers. Logistical support includes transport, housing, translators, secretarial assistance, compensation arrangements, and other services. Technical support includes information, links to local technology programs, teaching aids, and equipment.

*Why are logistical and technical support services important?*

Volunteers that are appropriately supported will have more time to focus on their assignments and will develop a better understanding of the host’s operating environment and constraints. Technical support can help volunteers adapt their technical knowledge and skills to local conditions and develop appropriate linkages to local technical support institutions. Such support is particularly important given the relatively short duration of many volunteer assignments.

*Major issues relating to technical support and complementary services*

**Volunteer perks:** Most volunteer programs have eliminated “special perks” due to the poor image that it presents. This is true even for people who are accustomed to luxurious standards of travel. Most use the U.S. government travel regulations as their guide, and only pay a fraction of the lodging and expenses line items. Volunteer assignments are made attractive in other ways.

Nevertheless, short-term volunteers need adequate support to be productive and find enjoyment in their assignments. They are not interns and should not waste their valuable time arranging logistics and learning to survive “on the local economy.” Productivity depends on their staying healthy, feeling secure, being able to get adequate rest, and being shown respect. Failure to meet these needs risks success of the assignment and is likely to affect an agency’s ability to recruit volunteers in the future.

**Lead responsibility for volunteer support:** A major issue is what services should be provided by hosts and what should (must) come from the implementing agencies. Leaving aside cost considerations, hosts should contribute something to show interest and ownership in the volunteers’ efforts. Contributions from local partner and host organizations towards basic costs of fielding volunteers (cost sharing) should be welcomed, but in a form that allows field staff and volunteers to have effective control over the support services provided. While host organizations frequently arrange accommodations for the volunteers, some FTF implementing agencies have found that it is best not to make hosts responsible for either interpretation or transportation for volunteers, as assignments run more smoothly if the implementer and the volunteer retain control of these resources. Cash, rather than in-kind support is definitely preferred, although cash may be more difficult for hosts and partners to provide.
**Health and safety:** A volunteer’s health and safety are the paramount concern. The implementing agency should make sure that it has a written set of procedures to handle emergency medical and other situations seven days a week, 24 hours per day. The volunteer should provide a set of emergency contact numbers that the implementing agency keeps secure but accessible. Back-up staff should be trained to handle emergencies when the primary contacts for the volunteers are not available. Upon arrival in country, a volunteer should receive a thorough briefing on health, security, and safety issues. Implementers must ensure that in-country staff, hosts, interpreters, and hotel staff avoid putting the volunteers in potentially dangerous situations—political rallies, high crime areas, war zones, etc.

Implementers’ country offices must have ready contacts with hospitals and medical personnel to respond to any emergencies or health issues that arise. Traffic accidents are perhaps the greatest threat, requiring that implementers ensure that volunteers use safe vehicles with good drivers. Implementing agencies must also provide emergency health and evacuation insurance coverage for all volunteers.

**Volunteer remuneration:** Arrangements for covering volunteer’s costs vary with country conditions and implementer’s policies. The basic premise of FtF programs is that the program will cover all volunteer’s basic costs so that a volunteer does not have to use personal funds to accomplish the assignment. Some programs provide cash advances that are liquidated upon presentation of receipts. Others simply reimburse documented and approved expenses. Some programs simply provide a per diem. Others have the host or implementer’s local office provide food, lodging, and transportation. Common support costs for volunteers are listed in the accompanying box.

**Encouraging spread of impact:** Volunteer assignments often result in preparation of technical and training materials and recommendations for hosts. Getting them translated into the local languages can be expensive but worthwhile. Volunteer programs might well consider making such materials widely available to other entities in the subsector or industry as a means of enhancing the impacts of the volunteer program. This can become problematic in cases of proprietary information, requiring strategies to be worked out on a case-by-case basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volunteer support costs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Pre-departure medical check-up, inoculations, malaria medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Visa and passport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training materials, with versions in the local languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Computer and Internet access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Translation services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Food and lodging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Health and emergency evacuation insurance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current practices in arranging logistical and technical support services**

The guiding principle is that volunteers are provided with support that allows the volunteer to focus on accomplishing the assignment, not on logistics. However, volunteers assigned to places that need their services cannot expect to find all of the conveniences that they might find in the U.S. Even running water, continuous electricity, and air conditioning may be periodic or absent altogether. Current policies of the established FtF implementing agencies are shown in the Table.
### Table: FtF Implementers’ Policies on Per Diem and Other Volunteer Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementer</th>
<th>Per Diem: Meals/Incidentals (M&amp;IE) and Lodging*</th>
<th>Other Expenses: Transport, Translation, Materials, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ACDI/VOCA            | - Modified per diems rates average approximately 50% of USG maximum allowance. Lodging is reimbursed according to actual expenses.  
                        - M&IE is a flat rate and covers meals, laundry, and other incidentals.  
                        - Volunteers receive M&IE for travel days; generally at the capital city rate plus $10 for meals (since airlines now make passengers pay for meals on planes).  
                        - On occasion when a host family provides food and lodging, the volunteer will give a set amount to this family.  
                        - Volunteers also receive a weekly telephone allowance -- $16/week for Kenya and Uganda.  
                        - Other assignment-related expenses (such as printing/photocopying, equipment, work-related internet/phone usage, medicines, etc.) are reimbursed, if they allowable and reasonable.  
                        - Expenses must be justified by receipts and pre-approval is generally required for large expenses (such as equipment). |
| CNFA                 | - Reimbursement to volunteers on actual cost basis. No firm rates are given, but volunteers are asked to stay within USG rates.  
                        - For lodging, volunteers log the actual amounts spent.  
                        - Reimbursement to volunteers on an actual cost basis.  
                        - For M&IE costs, volunteer must keep records of expenses and submit them as part of their travel voucher. (Volunteers are not required to keep receipts.) |
| Land O’Lakes         | - Per diem is different for all countries, but on average per diem is about 70% of the USG rate with a percentage of that subtracted (15% Breakfast, 25% Lunch, 40% Dinner) for meals provided by hosts.  
                        - About 90% of hosts cover lunch and most hotels include free breakfasts.  
                        - In Angola, the in-country partner covers all costs.  
                        - Actual expenses are reimbursed up to maximum USG rate. However, host organizations and in-country collaborators cover many of these expenses.  
                        - Volunteers turn in expense forms at the end of their trip (or Field Staff cover costs and submit these with their financial reports). |
| POA                  | - Per diem is provided at a lower rate than USG maximum. The discount varies across countries and regions, but in the Central Asian Republics for example, the average is about 79% of the USG rates.  
                        - Per diem levels are based on feedback from field staff and HQ staff experience during travels to the field. Rates are reassessed yearly, or when there are changes to the USG rates.  
                        - Volunteers also receive a weekly telephone allowance -- $16/week for Kenya and Uganda.  
                        - Other assignment-related expenses (such as printing/photocopying, equipment, work-related internet/phone usage, medicines, etc.) are reimbursed, if they allowable and reasonable.  
                        - Expenses must be justified by receipts and pre-approval is generally required for large expenses (such as equipment). |
| Winrock              | - U.S. government (USG) per diem rates are found at:  
                       [http://www.state.gov/m/a/als/prdm/](http://www.state.gov/m/a/als/prdm/).  
                       - Upon arrival in country, volunteers are briefed by the local staff and partner organization staff on logistical arrangements, country conditions, project background, assignment objectives, and (if applicable) what previous volunteers have accomplished. |

* U.S. government (USG) per diem rates are found at: [http://www.state.gov/m/a/als/prdm/](http://www.state.gov/m/a/als/prdm/).
Implementers stay in touch with the volunteers throughout the assignment to monitor the assignment’s progress and address any problems.

Housing is a major logistical issue. “Home stays” in which the volunteer lives with a local host family are often great for providing opportunity for cross-cultural experience and learning, but can be difficult for both the volunteer and the host, as some hosts cannot afford the additional expense involved. Home stays should be arranged only if facilities and food arrangements are adequate and both parties are agreeable— or if there are no other alternatives. Hosts can frequently provide lodging in a local guesthouse or hotel, but again implementing agency field staff must ensure that facilities are safe and suitable. More up-scale hotels may be relatively expensive, but worth the price if they facilitate a productive and rewarding volunteer assignment.

Volunteers are provided with equipment necessary to carry out their assignment. Wherever possible, field offices offer volunteers the use of laptop computers, projectors, and VCRs for use on assignment, to do research over the Internet, and to write their final report. If at all possible, implementers arrange for the volunteers to have access to the Internet and e-mail services throughout their assignment. For security purposes, they may also be provided with a cell phone.

Volunteers are provided with interpreters, as necessary. Trained interpreters might escort the volunteers throughout the assignment and work with the volunteers and hosts in managing the assignment and reporting any problems back to the field office. Interviews with past FtF volunteers indicate that in most cases interpreters are very good. However, interpreters are often limited in their capability in technical vocabulary needed for specific projects (e.g., a banker and food technologist said they were hampered because interpreters were not familiar with terms), and arrangements must be made to help them develop necessary technical vocabulary. In their Russia program, ACDI/VOCA has found it important for its in-country office to check with volunteers after the first few days on assignment to see if translators, hosts, and volunteers are working well together. If problems are caught early, they can be rectified before the outcome of the assignment is jeopardized.

New information and communications technologies provide opportunities for better technical support of volunteer assignments. Resources include cell phones, web sites, CD ROMs, Research Centers, DVDs, and videos of lectures. Some implementers make training materials available to the volunteers for use on their assignments. Volunteers are also encouraged to develop or provide their own training materials (e.g., handouts, questionnaires, transparencies) early in their assignment to allow country staff adequate time for necessary translation and printing/preparation of materials for use by the volunteers. PowerPoint presentations, photographs, and educational videos are also encouraged.
Key recommendations

- Be clear for volunteers what logistical and technical support they can expect to receive, and what they will need to provide.

- Hold down costs where possible, but ensure adequate support to allow volunteers to be productive. On short-term assignments services of highly qualified volunteers can be wasted if they are not able to rest or work comfortably.

- Obtain host and partner organization contributions to logistical and technical support for volunteers to the extent possible, but ensure that critical support (interpreters and transportation) is under the control of the volunteer and implementing agency.

- Ensure access to health and medical services for emergency and non-emergency needs of the volunteers. Obtain health and emergency evacuation insurance for volunteers.

- Provide email, internet, and computer support services to the extent possible.
3.5 PROVIDING COMPLEMENTARY SUPPORT SERVICES TO HOSTS

Complementary services include such things as financial services (especially credit), equipment and infrastructure, input supply, database services (e.g. marketing and pricing information), marketing, and processing arrangements that make up the environment in which the host must operate. These services are important, but not within the scope or budget of the individual volunteer.

*Why are complementary services important?*

Complementary support services encompass the range of resources host organizations need to operate effectively. A volunteer often recommends implicitly or explicitly that a host draw on such resources to implement the volunteer’s recommendations. Volunteers may be able to complete their assignments satisfactorily without these services, but they are often critical determinants of the level of impact from the volunteer assignment. For example, a volunteer in Ethiopia found that coffee cooperatives could dramatically increase their revenues and profits by selling through alternative marketing channels, but there was no impact from the volunteer’s work until external resources became available to put in place the new marketing arrangements.

*Major issues relating to complementary services*

**Incentives:** Volunteer programs often provide only a single input – short term, technical assistance – even though other inputs or complementary services may be the limiting factor for host innovation. Still, many argue that volunteer programs should scrupulously avoid direct involvement with providing or facilitating host access to other inputs (loans, inputs, grants, government programs), as this distorts the incentives for hosts requesting volunteer assignments. There is some concern that the demand for volunteers could increase to unmanageable and unproductive levels if volunteers are sought for their dollars, and not their brains. While the FtF legislation does not prohibit the financing of some materials in addition to technical assistance, the managers of the program generally find that extreme discretion should be used.

**Costs of additional support:** Volunteer programs commonly have neither the mandate nor the resources to provide many complementary services. However, there is clearly value in helping the host obtain these complementary services and inputs, if they are part of the volunteer’s recommendations and essential to the achievement of the objectives of the assignment. If a volunteer program provides these complementary services, there will be fewer resources for new volunteer assignments.
Current practices in arranging complementary services

Providing more comprehensive support: With increased emphasis on impacts, implementers are spending more time arranging for complementary services as well as more creatively using their single input (volunteer assignments). Clustering of volunteers on one host and a selected subsector provides more comprehensive support for that subsector or host project. Subsector and host project planning must often commit partners or hosts to arrange for complementary services so that the objectives of the assignment can be met. If such services are not provided, then the implementing agency must be prepared to suspend or even terminate a project and shift volunteer slots to other projects.

Field staff: Staff of the implementing agency stay in contact with host organizations before, during, and after assignments to encourage these agencies to make the necessary arrangements for complementary services necessary to the effectiveness of a volunteer assignment. Some key requirements may only be identified during the course of a volunteer assignment. These requirements help define future volunteer assignments as well as follow up actions required of the partner and host organizations.

Sustainable support services: Volunteers, with their fresh set of unbiased eyes, often identify individuals or groups with whom the host might work more closely, possibly providing access to needed complementary services.

Reverse volunteer program: Earlier FtF programs included funding for host organization staff to visit the U.S. (termed “reverse volunteer” travel). Such host travel often involved visits to the volunteer’s home institution (farm, cooperative, or business) and an opportunity to see volunteer recommendations put in practice. Even though reverse volunteer travel is often a very good idea and is not prohibited by the FtF legislation, it is not encouraged and current programs do not fund such travel. In part this is because the costs would reduce funding for sending U.S. volunteers abroad, and in part because USDA’s Cochran Program is available (in many countries) to provide agricultural visits in the U.S. Volunteer programs can often make arrangements for host visits to the U.S. by seeking funding from other sources.

Small grants: Some volunteers would like to have the ability to provide small grants (either in cash or in kind) to hosts to test or implement their recommendations (e.g., obtaining improved seeds, fertilized embryos, computer software, soil test kits, etc.). While compelling arguments have been made in favor of such an arrangement, administering such a program must ensure that it does not turn into a grants program with volunteers as the afterthought.
Key recommendations

♦ Consider the need for and sources of critical complementary services (financial, technical, infrastructure, seeds, measuring instruments, markets, software) when planning projects.

♦ Encourage partners and host organizations to obtain the necessary complementary support services and, where possible, include flexible funding in volunteer programs to provide complementary services when there is a clear case for them.

♦ Recruit in-country staff with the ability to provide follow up advice to hosts and to develop networks of hosts, partners, and service providers that can facilitate access to needed complementary services.

♦ Provide volunteers with information on possible sources of funding for hosts to visit the U.S. as a complement to the U.S. volunteer travel abroad.
3.6 PROGRAM STRUCTURE AND STAFFING

For a volunteer program to be efficient and effective, the implementing agency must have adequate capacity to handle the four stages of the volunteer program cycle—notably contracting, planning, implementation, and evaluation. This requires the implementing agency to have an appropriate organizational structure and the right staff, both in the U.S. and abroad.

Why are structure and staffing important?

Good staff, good procedures, good systems, and a good chain of command increase the chances that volunteers will achieve results. The trick is to keep the cost of this overhead to the minimum necessary to accomplish the job.

Major issues in program structure and staffing

Structure: What functions are most effectively carried out by staff in the U.S. and what can best be done by offices at the regional and country levels? The basic requirements for recruiting and sending volunteers to work in other countries for short periods of time dictates that implementers maintain offices and staff in both the U.S. and the regions/countries to which volunteers are going. Recruiting and predeparture orientation must be done in the U.S. Nearly all other volunteer program tasks can be decentralized to the regions and host countries.

Economies of scale suggest that it makes sense to have regional offices coordinate and support country offices where programs supply relatively small numbers of volunteers to several countries in the region. At least one staff person is necessary in every country (at least at the time volunteers are present), but regional offices can provide much of the planning, monitoring, and reporting support services required for the country programs.

U.S. based program staff can be small, geographically dispersed and part time, but the different character of the tasks and skills needed (proposal development, recruiting, orientation, reporting, and public outreach) suggests that more than one individual is required, particularly if the implementer is operating in more than one region.

Staff skill mix: What is the appropriate mix of skills and number of staff required to manage volunteer programs at all levels (U.S., regional, country)? A combination, of technical, managerial, and people-to-people skills are required. As managers of resources, the staff need to be able to prepare annual plans, define interim targets, and

-------------------

16 The preparation of proposals and interactions with donor offices are qualified exceptions. U.S. staff typically does these with inputs from field staff.
measure for results. In addition, given that many volunteer programs are increasingly emphasizing impacts, staff must have training in development theory and practice.

Some of the required tasks can (and should, as in the case of impact assessment) be contracted out to third parties, notably consultants and university staff. Implementers and donors are aware that increased efforts to monitor and report come at the expense of time and funding for fielding more volunteers. This trade-off needs to be monitored to make sure that the assumption remains valid, namely that a fewer number of fully successful volunteers is better than a greater number of more marginally successful volunteers.

FtF implementers indicate that they could best manage their programs with staff “possessing graduate degrees and experience in agriculture, economic development, and business education, as well as English language and highly-developed interpersonal skills.” However, practicalities and budgetary constraints dictate that implementers hire highly motivated college graduates with degrees in a single field relevant to the volunteer program and then provide them with on-the-job training required to design, manage, and assess projects. Few such people—foreign or American—start out with the analytical skills to perform rigorous technical analyses of projects. Implementers’ project document templates and management systems must be refined to provide step-by-step instructions that allow employees with little professional training to execute them effectively.

**Division of labor with partners:** What responsibilities can safely and effectively be undertaken by partners or host organizations? Participation by hosts and partners can improve the quality of a volunteer program and economize on the costs of fielding volunteers. There have been mixed results from use of local partners, host organizations, and volunteers (current and former) to perform functions normally the responsibility of the implementing agency. Some local partners, including international NGOs and development projects, are able to provide effective volunteer support services (e.g., transportation, translation, in-country orientations, etc.). However, services critical to success of volunteer assignments, especially travel, country logistics, and translation services, should not be left to chance. The implementing agency should do this itself or set up a formal contract to make sure that these services are performed well by a third party.

**Role of current and former volunteers:** Former volunteers are often quite effective in assisting with recruitment, orientation, and public outreach activities. Current volunteers should be used very selectively and sparingly in performing staff functions, with the qualified exception of reviewing project and program plans as part of debriefing after their assignments. Expanding their role in other program management functions may detract from their ability to assist hosts and may place them in roles in which they are not qualified or where longer-term staff attention is needed.

**Contracting out selected services:** What functions are best contracted out to third parties (not assigned to implementers, hosts, partners, or volunteers)? In general, evaluations and ex post impact assessments should be contracted out to third parties, such as consultancy firms and research institutes in host countries to engage individuals
with the requisite expertise, ensure objectivity, and reduce even the appearance of a conflict of interest.

**Current practices in volunteer program structure and staffing**

The basic organizational structure for FtF programs is similar for most implementers. The character of the program, namely the recruitment of volunteers from the U.S. to spend two or more weeks with carefully selected host organizations in developing countries largely defines the organizational structure as well as the staffing patterns. The U.S. based staff typically do not work full time on FtF. This reflects the fact that only a few recruiters produce 70-90 volunteers per year. The rest have smaller quotas that do not justify full time employment.

Preparation of proposals and the initiation (or restarting) of field activities usually require the full time attention of one or more U.S. based staff for a relatively brief period of time. Other interactions with donors, including reporting, work plan approvals, and evaluations, can also consume significant amounts of staff time. Outside of those events, most of the stateside staff time is focused on fielding volunteers (recruiting and orientation) and to a lesser extent public outreach. In the FtF program, overhead cost of U.S. based staffed is relatively modest given the scope of their responsibilities.

Although there is considerable interaction between U.S. and field offices related to fielding volunteers, regional offices have, in varying degrees, a fair degree of autonomy to implement program plans. This makes sense from both financial and management perspectives. Country offices vary considerably depending on the size of the country program. ACDI/VOCA in Russia and the former WI program in Nigeria were both quite large and required full time staffs of several people. In contrast, countries hosting a dozen or fewer volunteers per year may rely on a single full time staff person.

### Winrock International's Program in Central America

**A regional manager** based in Nicaragua supervises four FtF country offices to ensure a regional approach, to develop alliances, and to serve as country manager for Nicaragua. The Regional Manager assists in hiring and training regional field staff and assembles field reports for submission to USAID. The incumbent, a skilled project manager with 20 years experience in agricultural development, private business, and trade, also serves as Manager for a Nicaragua Technical Services to Small Farmers Project. He has developed business relationships and alliances with project organizations and international fresh fruit and vegetable buyers, has an MBA, and is well qualified to manage agribusiness trade capacity building activities.

**FtF country managers** in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras identify baselines, develop country work plans and performance targets, identify partners, host organizations, and other collaborators, assist in implementing volunteer assignments, monitor assignment and sector level results, validate sector and commodity chain assessments, and coordinate complementary resources and follow-up assistance to hosts. Country managers also identify and train key partner organizations to manage FtF field operations and prepare country reports. Country managers typically have degrees in technical agricultural fields and prior agribusiness experience.
Regional and country offices are overwhelmingly staffed by host country nationals or people resident in the region. This makes sense given the high cost of having U.S. staff abroad, and the fact that local staff in most countries have the required skills, and can be trained in the paperwork processes. The accompanying examples (see boxes) illustrate two different models. WI’s program in Central America is a regional program that fields a relatively small numbers of volunteers for several countries. The second model is for a large program in a single country (Russia), implemented by a consortium of ACDI/VOCA, WI, and LOL\(^\text{17}\).

### ACDI/VOCA, WI, and LOL Joint Program in Russia

The FtF Russia Consortium was formed to provide more responsibility and authority to the key program staff in Russia. Two Deputy Project Directors based in Moscow oversee regional field offices, client identification, scope of work development, volunteer selection, program logistics, and monitoring and evaluation. One works directly with the Novosibirsk office and one with a Saratov office. Each has specific technical expertise with one taking the lead on financial, educational, and association development projects and the other on agricultural production, processing, and technology transfer.

Each Consortium member has staff with specialist knowledge of the agricultural sector, experience with donor programs, and/or project management. Many of these individuals have grown with the program over the last ten years and have been promoted into higher-level positions after demonstrating the ability to accept greater responsibility. Technical staffs in Moscow, Saratov, and Novosibirsk have responsibility for SOW development.

Because of the time it takes to traverse Russia’s vast landmass to visit project sites, the consortium opened project offices in Saratov and Novosibirsk. Each has a regional program manager and five staff. A Moscow regional program manager is responsible for project implementation around Moscow. The regional offices coordinate daily through email and telephone with the Moscow office, which serves the central office for FtF Russia operations.

The Project Director and Deputy Project Directors provide strategic guidance on sector focus, client identification, feasibility of assignment tasks, and monitoring and evaluation. All SOWs are sent to Moscow for review, comment, revision, and approval. Most assignments are discussed with Deputy Project Directors prior to the drafting of a SOW. Regional field offices consult with Moscow on review of resumes for potential volunteers and provide volunteer assessments and other monitoring data to a Monitoring and Evaluation Coordinator in Moscow.

The Moscow and regional offices coordinate extensively to ensure efficient volunteer logistics. All volunteers typically travel to Moscow first for a program briefing prior to travel to hosts in the various program regions. While coordinating with Moscow on all project planning, consultant selection, and logistics, the regional offices act independently to seek identify and develop host organizations and subsector opportunities in the region.

The only expatriate staff member is the Project Director (PD), who serves as ACDI/VOCA’s Country Representative. The PD provides overall program coordination, develops program strategy, maintains liaison with donors, conducts selected de-briefings of volunteers, prepares program reports, and has signing authority for financial documents. The PD has final approval for all volunteer assignments and monitors performance of volunteers. The PD reviews all volunteer evaluations and scopes of work and handles unforeseen problems that may arise. (From ACDI/VOCA “Guide to Using Volunteers Effectively”.)

---

\(^{17}\) The WI and Russia Consortium descriptions are modified versions of documentation provided by WI and ACDI/VOCA respectively.
Most ongoing FtF programs are regional in character and use management arrangements similar to those of the WI program in Central America. The notably exception is POA, which until recently operated almost exclusively through networks of volunteer-based partner organizations in the U.S. and throughout Latin America and the Caribbean. Cost is a major advantage of this model. Although POA continues to rely on networks of chapters in the U.S. for recruitment and outreach, the more demanding character of planning for and reporting on impacts has necessitated the engagement of professional staff in host countries.

**Staffing:** Significant economies of scale can be achieved in the staffing of programs both in the U.S. and in the field. The marginal costs in terms of staff time of fielding additional volunteers in a given country are low. Country field offices can generally be small and focus on facilitating volunteer assignments. Regional staff can perform key functions on behalf of various countries in a region, including most of those associated with planning as well as assembling and analyzing information needed for reporting.

In order to avoid spreading themselves too thinly across several countries, some FtF implementers stagger activation of country programs. The implementers plan to send most volunteers to country A for the first two years and then shift the focus to country B for the final period. This makes sense from the perspective of the costs of operating country field offices. However, some program and project strategies require a flow of volunteers to selected hosts over a longer period of time for significant impact. Another approach is to have one volunteer work in a number of countries while out on assignment since problems tend to be similar in neighboring countries. Such trade-offs need to considered.

**Key recommendations**

♦ Ensure adequate U.S.-based staff to launch a volunteer program and put in place all necessary management systems—program design, recruiting, program support, and reporting. After home office systems are in place, home office staffing levels can be relatively modest.

♦ Delegate responsibilities to country program offices to the extent possible. Provide training to country staff, especially in the area of reporting and documentation.

♦ Recruit staff with the interpersonal and intercultural skills that are essential for working with volunteers and hosts and the technical and business skills needed for planning subsector and host projects and networking with partners and hosts.
SECTION 4: ADDRESSING ADDITIONAL OBJECTIVES IN VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS FOCUSED ON ECONOMIC GROWTH

International volunteer programs invariably have multiple objectives. For FtF, these objectives include economic growth, poverty reduction, food security, gender, social equity, and environmental conservation. Further, all volunteer programs have major people-to-people exchange and public education dimensions.

This section examines ways in which volunteer programs focused on economic growth can enhance their impacts on social and other objectives through careful selection of strategies, countries, subsectors, partners, and host organizations. In this section, the tradeoffs and implications for planning and implementing volunteer programs are discussed around the following topics:

- Volunteer programs and poverty reduction, food security, and social equity
- Incorporating gender issues in volunteer programs
- Addressing environmental considerations
- Public outreach activities in volunteer programs
4.1 VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS AND POVERTY REDUCTION, FOOD SECURITY, AND SOCIAL EQUITY

The challenge in running a volunteer program is to plan and implement so that disadvantaged groups with high rates of relative and absolute poverty and food insecurity share in the benefits of economic growth.

Why are social equity issues important?

Poverty reduction features prominently in the strategies of most development agencies and in the developing countries where volunteer programs operate. Poverty reduction is central to achieving the Millennium Development Goals of the United Nations and the World Bank. Economic growth is generally regarded as the essential component of sustainable solutions to poverty and food security, but can either contribute to wider income inequalities or promote balanced development with wide participation in the benefits from growth.

Proponents of economic growth-led solutions to poverty argue that there are major “trickle down” benefits for the poor in the form of employment and improvements in food availability. However, history suggests that these benefits are often highly skewed toward the less poor and may serve to increase social tensions, particularly in societies where poverty is endemic and civil society weak or nonexistent. Hence, an explicit concern for poverty reduction, food security, and social equity within the context of economic growth strategies (and by extension, in international volunteer programs) is generally recognized as desirable.

An additional consideration is the fact that volunteers and volunteer providing organizations—motivated by humanitarian goals—are usually oriented toward poverty reduction with the volunteers commonly donating their time specifically to help address problems of poverty. Donors too find it difficult to justify support for programs, including volunteer programs, if benefits accrue only to the wealthy (or relatively wealthy) or worsen the status of the poor.

Major issues relating to poverty reduction, food security and social equity

Since poverty reduction features prominently in most development strategies and in the motivation of volunteers, volunteer programs must explore options for contributing to poverty reduction.

Potential for impacts on poverty reduction: Three difficulties illustrate the challenges of having volunteers attempt to reduce acute poverty when their main focus is on economic growth. First, the poor tend to live on the edge and cannot afford to take on new risks inherent in many innovations. Second, the poor do not have the capital to take
on new investments. Lastly, suitable host organizations serving the very poor are often in short supply. In the early days of FiF, volunteers often worked with small groups of resource-poor farmers. The experience was memorable for all concerned, but the lasting impacts on the host farmer groups were often limited or nonexistent. Farm families at this level are often unable to make good use of information and skills without complementary inputs, including capital and access to such things as improved seed and fertilizer. Impacts on poverty reduction, therefore, often require volunteer programs to arrange for access to complementary inputs (see Section 3.5 above), to strengthen intermediaries, or to target the not-so-poor. Building social capital to facilitate access to services for the poor is an important strategy for volunteer programs.

**Ability to work with the poor:** Volunteers are usually more successful with not-so-poor hosts (as distinct from both the relatively well-to-do and the poorest of the poor). The poor often have less education, less language ability, and less of the confidence needed to work with a foreign adviser. Direct contact between a volunteer and poor farmers may make for heart-warming stories and photo opportunities, but may not be the most efficient way to improve the lives of more than a handful of people. Except for those who are underemployed, many poor people are too busy working multiple jobs to attend training sessions conducted by a volunteer, especially those that cannot promise an immediate tangible increase in income. Not-so-poor hosts are in a position to listen, experiment, and invest some capital in new ideas. When volunteers work directly with the poor, the role of host and partner organizations and implementing agency field staff are critical to effectiveness.

**Indirect impacts:** Development programs can benefit the poor even without working with them directly. Work with financial institutions, input supply systems, extension services, and market systems improve services and markets for the poor. Work with private businesses can increase employment opportunities. These are often the most important impacts on poverty reduction.

**Scaling up:** How can a volunteer quickly get an in depth understanding of a given problem so that his/her recommendations are useful and can benefit a significant number of needy people? Reaching large numbers of beneficiaries is key to achieving significant impacts among these groups. The solution lies in the careful selection of partners and hosts, as well as in the identification of subsectors where the poor feature prominently. Strategies for wide dissemination of volunteer-induced innovation are particularly important. One such strategy is to work with hosts that are intermediaries providing services to the poor. Another strategy is for a volunteer to end an assignment with a host by holding a public seminar or workshop in which the recommendations can be shared with other businesses and organizations that can utilize the recommendations and reach more beneficiaries.

---

18 The key word here is “suitable”. There are certainly many organizations serving the very poor, but it is often difficult for these organizations to utilize U.S. volunteers effectively. They often lack adequate resources to provide complementary inputs critical to the successful utilization of short-term training and advisory assistance of the type that volunteer programs can most readily provide.
Impact of donor restrictions such as the Bumpers Amendment: How can programs maximize developmental effects of funding that is limited in its flexibility due to Congressional earmarks or Executive Branch directives? For example, the Bumpers Amendment requires that U.S. foreign assistance dollars not promote the production of commodities that directly and significantly compete with U.S. production in world trade. This issue is less of a problem than it might at first appear. While many of the commodities upon which poor people depend are the same ones that the U.S. exports (notably grains, fiber, and vegetables), the overwhelming bulk of production by resource-poor farmers in developing countries does not enter international trade and, when it does, does not constitute significant competition with U.S. producers.

Volunteer program implementers should become familiar with all such restrictions on use of funds. As volunteer assignments are developed in the field, the implementers should review them through the optic of these restrictions and seek advice from donor offices if there are any questions.

Current practices in addressing poverty issues in volunteer programs

FtF implementers commonly make some reference to poverty reduction in their general strategies, but do not uniformly reflect the concern in selection of subsectors, partners, and host organizations. While poverty reduction, food security, and social equity are not explicit foci of most of the current set of FtF activities, two exceptions are described in the boxes below:

FAMU/CNFA: South African Agricultural Development Project

The South African Agricultural Development Project (SAADP) provides business skills and farm management training to selected new owners of commercial farms in South Africa. The project goal is to increase income for new farm owners, generate additional employment, and promote general economic progress and reduced levels of food insecurity.

FAMU/CNFA SAADP will work with 28 farm groups over five years. Farmers in SAADP must be willing to contribute financially to their training by either buying some of the basic classroom supplies, providing housing for volunteers, or providing meals for participants. FAMU estimates that contributions will average US$ 100 per participating farm. Direct beneficiaries of training are expected to transfer their newly gained knowledge and skills to other farmers in their area.

Large commercial enterprises have considerable potential to provide employment and other benefits for poor people (e.g. lower prices for goods and services as a result of improved efficiency and competition). Despite this potential, most FtF implementers avoid working with such firms, because they can afford to pay for any technical assistance they may require. But where these firms may not understand how their self-interest can be served by providing services to the poor, sourcing goods or services from them, or using more environmentally sound technologies, FtF volunteers can provide useful insights and impact significantly on poverty.

The experience of FtF programs over the years suggests that it is possible to incorporate poverty reduction concerns effectively into volunteer programs. Poverty and social
inequity are associated with a complex set of factors that are not easily overcome, particularly by a volunteer program. More time and effort (expense) is involved in selecting appropriate partners and hosts and the chances of success are commonly less than with programs that work primarily with the not-so-poor. Furthermore, an emphasis on measurable impacts and economic growth as the prime objective for volunteer programs tends to reduce interest among implementers in trying to incorporate poverty reduction concerns and objectives systematically in their programs.

Targeting poverty reduction usually involves working with associations or projects that are serving large numbers of resource-poor farmers (as illustrated by ACDI/VOCA’s work with cooperatives in Ethiopia). Even though volunteers providing training to the staff of the Cooperative Improvement Bureau in Ethiopia may have had little direct contact with poor farmers during their assignments, the benefits to those farmers were significant. The assistance helped transform inefficient socialist cooperatives into commercial operations that could function effectively in a free economy (see box).

Generally, even when not working with the ultimate program beneficiaries, it is advisable for volunteers to visit some of this client group (e.g., small farmers) to better understand their needs and how intermediaries (e.g., credit, input supply, business development services, etc.) can best work with them.

ACDI/VOCA: COOPERATIVE MOVEMENT IN ETHIOPIA

The FtF program in Ethiopia has been instrumental in developing primary cooperatives and cooperative unions based on free-market economic principles. Since 1994, ACDI/VOCA has seen the development of 2,068 primary societies that are professionally managed, economically viable, transparent, and sustainable rural businesses. Volunteers worked directly in Cooperative Promotion Bureaus established to facilitate cooperative development throughout Ethiopia.

The FtF program integrated into two USAID financed projects covering four regions of Ethiopia taught basic training-of-trainer courses to Ethiopian cooperative promoters on cooperative organization and management, book keeping and accounting; marketing, and cooperative credit. These promoters trained other cooperative promoters who in turn trained cooperative members. As the cooperative unions developed, farmers were able to realize higher prices for outputs and lower prices for inputs. Some benefits were passed on to members in the form of dividends, the first time in the history of cooperatives in the country that dividends have been paid to members.

Some implementers, notably POA, give priority attention to food production, processing, and marketing in their selection of programs and projects. Active participation of women and adherence to democratic principles are explicit criteria used by POA in selecting host organizations.

The FtF program implementers generally use poverty reduction, food security, and social equity criteria (in addition to economic growth criteria) in the selection of subsector projects, local partners, and hosts. While it is not always possible to work directly with the poor on economic growth activities, at minimum, volunteer programs need to ensure that they are not subsidizing selected hosts at the expense of the poor and a competitive marketplace.
Key recommendations

♦ Review government or donor strategy papers on poverty reduction for information priority on subsectors and partners that target poverty reduction.

♦ Identify a short list of strong and effective partners and hosts that are directly associated with poverty reduction, food security, and/or social equity and that can use volunteers. Since effective poverty reduction commonly requires a range of complementary inputs, volunteer programs generally find it essential to partner with other organizations or projects that supply these services and have a strong record of accomplishment.

♦ Identify projects and activities that effectively reach large numbers of beneficiaries indirectly. Often this will involve work with cooperatives and local organizations that are controlled by and serve large numbers of members.

♦ Identify projects that empower the poor through forming and strengthening associations and cooperative unions that serve the poor. Developing sustainable markets and market linkages—for input and output markets—is particularly important to empowering the poor.

♦ Make volunteers aware, at least in a general sense, of poverty reduction objectives of their work, even if their assignments focus primarily on economic growth. At the end of an assignment, have the volunteers share their recommendations in a workshop that reaches beyond the immediate host.
4.2 ADDRESSING GENDER CONSIDERATIONS

Gender issues and impacts need to be considered in volunteer programs, as in all economic growth programs. Although gender analysis considers impacts on both men and women, in practice, the concern is nearly always ensuring equitable participation and access to benefits by women.

*Why are gender considerations important?*

Development programs must systematically consider the implications of gender for program impacts. Biases against women are pervasive in much of the world, as are differentiated roles between the sexes. Programs can have unintended negative impacts on women. For example, economic development has occasionally increased the gap between the status of women and men by introducing technologies and crops that require more labor (e.g., weeding, watering) from women while men control the profits from the increased yields. Benefit distribution is a key consideration for ensuring gender equity in development. Furthermore, it is not uncommon for development programs to fall short of their goals (e.g., improvements in health and education) specifically because women have not been permitted to participate fully in program design and implementation.

*Major issues related to gender*

**Gender analyses:** Gender issues of social equity vary over time and place. Most but not all gender issues involve promoting equitable access by women and girls to program benefits. In the highlands of Nepal, the critical issues may relate to the inability of young girls to attend school due to their responsibilities at home and in agriculture, while in the UK, issues of unequal pay and conditions for women and men working in the same situation may predominate. Issues of gender can also be made more complex by related issues of ethnicity, caste, and class. Gender analyses are used to understand gender roles and potential differential impacts of development activities.

**Partner and host commitment:** Most partner and host organizations are willing to include women in program activities and employ some women. However, if a gender analysis indicates that participation and employment opportunities are not equitable, the implementing agency and volunteers should encourage local partners and hosts to take corrective action. This might be a concerted effort to recruit more women to attend training sessions, or it might require training directed at men to “give women permission” to be more actively involved in activities from which they were traditionally excluded. For example, women in West Africa were able to acquire the right to earn their own income for the first time when they began producing marketable surpluses of home garden vegetables. Since women are the primary care-givers to children, a larger proportion of their income went to improving the health and welfare of their children than did the men’s income.
Equitable access to services: A major challenge is to make program services available to women. Men’s traditional roles seem to position them to participate in most development activities or programs. Women have traditional household and reproductive roles that limit their ability to participate in other activities. Gender analyses can help identify ways to make services more accessible to women.

Current practices in considering gender in volunteer programs

A starting point for promoting gender equity in volunteer programs is the collection of gender-differentiated data, both on volunteers and hosts and beneficiaries. In the FtF program, data on volunteers and beneficiaries are broken down by gender. Most implementers consciously make an effort to consider gender and income levels in their selection of host organizations, giving preference to organizations where women occupy positions of authority and/or to those that are disproportionately serving or employing women.

CNFA Commitment to Gender Equity

CNFA accepts the promotion of equal opportunity and equal treatment of women as a high priority and works towards this goal in four ways in the FtF program:

- Employing women throughout the organization as program managers and coordinators
- Recruiting as many women volunteers as possible
- Favoring women owned or led host organizations
- Actively encouraging host organizations to increase the number of women in training sessions, reaching 26 percent in FY 2002

Key recommendations

- Conduct an analysis of gender issues as part of planning for each subsector project, both as input to selecting subsectors and to identifying how training programs are to be structured (e.g. women with men in the same sessions, or in separate sessions).
- Where women have been historically disadvantaged, set up activities that would help rectify the inequity.
- Collect and report gender disaggregated data on program participation and benefits.
- Consider gender equity in recruiting and fielding volunteers and in selection of countries, subsectors, partner organizations, and host organizations.
- Arrange training and other programs for women at appropriate times and in appropriate places to facilitate their participation. For example, women may need childcare services, whereas men might not. Women may not be able to travel away from the community for training; and women may not be able to participate in program activities at certain times of the day or year.
4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL AND NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ISSUES

Environmental and natural resource considerations feature in the policies of most developing countries and in the objectives of funding agencies. Economic activities impact on these resources and—as in the case of agriculture—may be completely dependent on and potentially deplete them.

Why are environmental and natural resource considerations important?

A healthy environment and the sustainable use of natural resources are essential to long-term economic growth. All U.S. government financed programs, including the FtF program, are obligated to comply with U.S. environmental regulations stipulating that the environment is to be safeguarded from adverse consequences in the implementation of all program activities. Volunteers are expected to consider the potential environmental consequences of their work and to promote active environmental stewardship. USAID's environmental guidelines require programs that it funds to:

- Ensure that the environmental consequences of USAID-financed activities are identified and considered by USAID and the host country prior to a final decision to proceed and that appropriate environmental safeguards are adopted;

- Assist developing countries to strengthen their capabilities to appreciate and effectively evaluate the potential environmental effects of proposed development strategies and projects, and to select, implement, and manage effective environmental programs;

- Identify impacts resulting from USAID's actions upon the environment, including those aspects of the biosphere which are the common and cultural heritage of all mankind; and

- Define environmental limiting factors that constrain development and identify and carry out activities that assist in restoring the renewable resource base on which sustained development depends.

Major environmental issues in volunteer programs

Relative priority for environmental activities: To what extent should implementing agencies be required to incorporate environmental and natural resource considerations into economic growth oriented volunteer projects and assignments? Increasing attention to environmental issues might lessen economic impacts on hosts and subsectors. However, environmental and natural resource conservation is often critical to long-term sustainability of most industries. Thus, many projects and assignments naturally address
these issues, although generally as a secondary objective. Natural resource management and environmental protection considerations can be appropriate as explicit criteria for selection of subsectors, partners, and hosts, and will likely yield positive results. Furthermore, sustainable conservation practices generally must also meet criteria of producing positive economic benefits, if they are to be adopted by hosts.

**Monitoring environmental and NRM impacts:** Implementing agencies must be able to identify how volunteer assignments benefit the environment and sustainable natural resource management and must be able to quantify project impacts on the environment. This necessitates more attention to environmental impacts in the design of subsector and host projects. However, these impacts are quite variable, particularly with regards to water and air pollution, soil and water conservation, biodiversity, and food safety. Many of these impacts are a challenge to measure and to aggregate for reporting (as can be done in dollar terms for economic growth impacts). These challenges plus the long-term nature of many environmental and NRM impacts makes planning and reporting quite difficult.

**Current practices for environmental considerations in volunteer programs**

Environmental protection and natural resource considerations have not been a major focus in the FtF Program, but FtF implementing agencies have been effective and creative in serving environment and natural resource management goals, while targeting economic development as their main program objectives.

**Environmental impact assessment:** A USAID-commissioned examination of the environmental effects of the FtF Program in the Newly Independent States concluded that, because the scope of FtF activities consisted principally of “technical assistance in the form of recommendations, advisements, and training”, no adverse impacts on the environment were anticipated. Consequently, the report recommended no further environmental review. Such environmental determinations are updated periodically.

**Environmental guidelines:** Two sets of environmental guidelines have been developed for the FtF program – one specific to the NIS program and one more broadly applicable. The environmental guidelines are set out in a six-column folded brochure that focuses on protecting the health and safety of volunteers and on encouraging volunteers to think about how their recommendations, advice, and efforts might affect the environment. Most, but not all, implementers provide volunteers with a copy of the FtF environmental guidelines and provide more detailed advice on necessary environmental precautions if a particular region or activity warrants it.

**Environmental volunteer assignments:** Some implementers specifically incorporate natural resource management and environmental themes into volunteer assignments, while others do not. Many assignments do have noteworthy secondary environmental benefits (see box). Projects focused on recycling and composting, water management, environmental and health-sensitive disposal of pesticides, integrated pest management, organic agriculture, and forest management may be undertaken for economic growth objectives, but in practice contribute to environmentally responsible and sustainable
practices. The FtF subsector projects promoting horticultural exports into the demanding markets of Western Europe and North America require that volunteers assist hosts in complying with trade standards that are in part “environmentally friendly”.

### EXAMPLES OF “ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY” VOLUNTEER ASSIGNMENTS.

**Honduras sugar cane production**: FtF volunteers in Honduras worked with small sugar cane producers in the Taulabe area of Siguatepeque who make *rapadura*, a hard brown sugar that is sold in the local market. *Rapadura* producers traditionally boil the juice from sugar cane in primitive pans using wood, sugar cane waste, and old tires as fuel. The burning of tires causes environmental pollution and health hazards to those who tend the fires. Contaminants from this process also get into the *rapadura* and are passed on to the consumer. The project has helped producers improve processing and reduce contaminants using techniques similar to those used by Vermont Maple sugar makers. Simple flue pan technology that was introduced to reduce fuel consumption, reduce boiling time, and improve quality also eliminates the need to use tires as fuel and improves the quality of the brown sugar in an environmentally sustainable way.

**Haiti FtF projects**: Organizations working in the Cap Haitian region have adopted technologies that help conserve soil and water. These include planting bamboo beside riverbeds to prevent soil erosion and diminish flooding, use of compost to increase soil fertility and water retention, and contour planting to avoid erosion and increase water retention in the dry season. This has been a focus of the FtF program in this region due to Haiti’s severe environmental problems.

**Ghana bamboo project**: Bamboo can be transformed into hundreds of products, including furniture and household decoration and tools. When sold for these uses, bamboo demands a much higher price than when sold as poles. Bamboo cultivation is good for the environment, helping to alleviate soil erosion and requiring little treatment.

**Organic agriculture**: Organic agriculture is a huge industry in the U.S. and Europe, growing at 22-25% a year and worth about $15 billion in the U.S. alone. This presents a significant financial opportunity for African farmers to export or sell to the fledgling but growing organic market within Africa. Farming organically reduces input costs for African farmers and impacts positively on soil erosion, soil health, desertification, plant health, farmer health and welfare, and consumer nutrition.

POA reports that sustainability at all levels – economic, social, cultural, and environmental – is considered in all of its projects, and that environmental sustainability is particularly relevant in its FtF Program. POA has found “substantial impact regarding host adoption of environmentally oriented practices, particularly in the adoption of practices to improve natural resource management.” Throughout the life of its program, POA volunteers have worked to increase knowledge about – and help farmer and ranchers adopt – practices that are economically viable and environmentally sound.

LOL’s experience with FtF programs has shown that it is important that there be an economic incentive for producers to adopt environmentally friendly practices, as the short-term demands of daily life make it difficult for them to devote resources to long-term objectives, such as environmental protection and natural resource management. At the same time, experience has shown that when these long-term goals are connected to economic benefits in the short- or medium-term, producers readily adopt new practices.

**Role of field staff**: Field staffs are key to a volunteer program’s positive impacts on the environment and should always be on the look out for negative impacts, avoiding work with hosts that have a poor environmental record or little interest in changing their practices. Ideally, a senior staff member with environmental expertise might review
Checklists for volunteers: The following checklist of questions can help volunteers incorporate environmental considerations into their work. Will the volunteers’ recommendations and efforts promote:

1. soil and water conservation?
2. protection of water, soil, air, and food from contamination?
3. ecologically sound management and disposal of wastes?
4. integrated pest management and best management practices?
5. the importance of occupational health and safety both on the farm and in industry?
6. alternatives to chemical inputs when feasible?
7. awareness of environmental health risks?
8. income generating activities that can be used to finance the costs of pro-environment technologies and practices?
9. reform of government policies and regulations to better manage and protect natural resources?
10. reform of government policies and regulations that better protect farmers and other agricultural industry workers from environmental hazards?
11. procedures for measuring, assessing, monitoring, and mitigating the environmental impact of unsound practices currently in use?
12. the emergence of an indigenous agricultural research capacity committed to developing environmentally sustainable practices, processes, and environmentally friendly technologies?
13. the concept of pollution prevention?

Protecting a volunteer’s health: Implementing agencies need to be especially concerned with protecting volunteers’ own health while they are working abroad. Severe environmental pollution problems and health risks may be local or regional in magnitude. These conditions exist or can arise due to a lack of training, poor regulations, poor enforcement, and a lack of financial resources. Volunteers should be
alert for antiquated and defective equipment and machinery and improperly stored or mislabeled containers of pesticides and chemicals. In some locations, particularly in the former Soviet Union, radioactive materials and heavy metals have contaminated soil, air, and water. General guidelines for all volunteers during their assignments are to:

- Be familiar with major pollution issues in the region/locale you are visiting;
- Avoid demonstrating the operation of machinery and equipment that you are unfamiliar with or for purposes for which its use is not intended;
- Avoid exposing yourself to chemicals and pesticides that are unlabeled or not in proper containers; and
- Make health and safety issues a part of the information and advice you leave behind. By raising these issues, you can help to educate your hosts on the importance of a safe working environment and dangers that should not be passed on to others.

**Key recommendations**

Those designing assignments for volunteers can enhance positive impacts on the environment by:

- Reviewing available documentation related to environmental conditions and the extent to which natural resource depletion limits economic growth. Many countries have environmental plans or national plans that provide basic information on the subject.
- Targeting work on subsectors with an environmental and natural resource management focus, where possible. Soil erosion, biodiversity conservation, air and water pollution, forest management, water use, and other environmental technologies are all areas in which the U.S. possesses significant experience and many potential volunteers.
- Ranking the short list of candidate subsector projects, as part of the subsector selection process, on the basis of the severity of environmental issues involved and the potential for volunteers to contribute significantly to mitigating actual and potential adverse environmental consequences of subsector expansion.
- Identify potential partners and hosts that understand the connections between sustainable productivity and sustainable use of natural resources. Host organizations that may be polluters should at a minimum have a willingness to explore alternative approaches.
♦ Include questions relating specifically to environmental and natural resource management issues as a routine part of the preparation of project plans with partners and host organizations, even where these do not feature in the proposed set of volunteer assignments.

♦ Tap the large pool of potential U.S. volunteers in the environmental and natural resource management areas.

♦ Incorporate environmental and natural resource management considerations into volunteer orientation and reporting, even when these are not the primary focus of the assignment.

♦ Make all volunteers aware of conditions in the host countries and communities that might affect their personal health.

**Information sources on environmental health and safety**

USAID's general launching point for information relating to environmental assessments and guidelines are at: http://www.usaid.gov/our_work/environment/compliance/index.html.

USAID's list of key contacts (Environmental Officers) in Bureaus and Missions at http://www.usaid.gov/our_work/environment/compliance/officers.html#aec.


4.4 OUTREACH AND PUBLIC AWARENESS

Outreach encompasses the full set of activities designed to inform both the general public and particular interested parties of the character and accomplishments of a volunteer program. Outreach is an important aspect of virtually all current international volunteer programs.

Why is outreach important?

Volunteer program outreach has three principle benefits: 1) educating the public on global development issues and market opportunities; 2) building public support for U.S. foreign development efforts; and 3) recruiting new volunteers.

Public education: Community outreach is an effective tool for educating members of the public on development needs abroad and demonstrating how U.S. foreign assistance can effectively and efficiently respond to these needs. Through public outreach, Americans learn about the lives and struggles of people in other countries, and how U.S. policies and actions can make a difference. Public outreach helps people understand how markets for goods and services, food supply, employment, and migration issues in other countries are all relevant to the life of the average U.S. citizen. Public education through sharing of volunteer experiences also serves to disseminate information about opportunities for U.S. businesses to sell goods and services.

Public support: Citizens with a better awareness and understanding of the development needs of other countries are more likely to support U.S. involvement in international development in the future.

Volunteer recruitment: Some of the best recruiters for new volunteers are volunteers who have recently completed their assignments. They know intimately what the needs are, and their contacts with friends and colleagues often lead to good matches between prospective volunteers and volunteer assignments.

Major issues in outreach

Purpose of outreach: Should outreach focus principally on informing the public about the volunteer program and recruiting future volunteers or should it attempt to educate public opinion on important issues involving global trade and foreign aid? In contrast to many more complex foreign assistance programs, volunteer programs are a “feel good” activity that many Americans can relate to and support. Furthermore, volunteers are generally most comfortable in relating their personal experiences in a host country rather than commenting on broader foreign policies issues. Thus, in practice, the volunteer program itself is usually the best topic for outreach and the audience is largely defined by whom the volunteers are willing and able to reach. As a result, most outreach activities target the general public in the volunteers’ home communities and professional colleagues of the volunteers. Reaching an additional priority target group— decision
makers in government and the private/voluntary sectors— requires implementing agencies to develop more specific action plans.

**Outreach in host countries:** Volunteer program publicity in host countries can garner goodwill for the U.S. and the volunteer program and help promote the spread of the innovations introduced by volunteers. This outreach can also help identify new hosts and partners for the volunteer program. Still, time and budget realities dictate that country outreach activities complement core responsibilities of the volunteers and field staff and not become a major consumer of time and resources. Country programs should, to the extent possible, be proactive in outreach activities and involve the local media, wherever appropriate.

**Effectiveness of outreach:** How effective are different outreach approaches? As increased time and resources are devoted to public outreach, it would be appropriate that there be some assessment of their effectiveness, possibly as a component of program evaluations and impact assessments. One technique is to administer pre- and post-questionnaires that measure changes in knowledge and/or attitudes (see Section 5.3).

**Current practices in performing public outreach**

**Role of volunteers:** FtF implementers strongly encourage returned volunteers to perform public outreach activities to share their overseas experience and demonstrate the benefits of international assistance. For example, one implementer asks each volunteer to undertake eight hours of public outreach following each assignment. While individual approaches differ, all implementers have developed materials to support outreach activities, including information on crafting messages, targeting audiences, communicating with the media, making presentations, and answering difficult questions about foreign assistance and international development.

Volunteers are usually provided with considerable information on outreach before they begin their in-country assignments. Specialized media kits can provide specific instructions for contacting local newspapers, radio or television stations, or appropriate magazines or newsletters and encouraging them to cover upcoming assignments. Before volunteers depart on assignment, implementers can provide media kits with blank progress reports that volunteers can fill out during their assignments and send home to various media outlets. Implementers may provide additional outreach information during “exit interviews” held on completion of the volunteer assignment but before the volunteer returns home.

After returning from their assignments, volunteers are provided with outreach training kits that may include press release templates, background information on the FtF Program and the implementing agency, slides that can be used for public presentations, a list of upcoming assignments in the event the volunteer attracts inquiries from new people interested in volunteering, and postcards for reporting back to the implementer on each outreach activity.
Types of outreach activities: Volunteers who do not like public speaking may opt to prepare written accounts of their assignments for newsletters or web sites. Taking advantage of the wide array of outreach options increases the odds that outreach actually takes place and the word effectively gets out. Examples of the impressively diverse variety of outreach activities performed by volunteers include:

- Making presentations about volunteer assignments to local schools and universities, professional, civic groups, and religious organizations;
- Obtaining media coverage;
- Contacting local Congressional representatives about experiences in the program;
- Writing assignment summaries for newsletters and other relevant publications; and
- Posting assignment highlights on the web. Some volunteers have created their own websites that provide an overview of their assignment, photos, and other items.

Volunteers generate a great deal of media coverage in their respective states and communities. This, in turn, may fill a void in the media of many rural communities. For example, the POA FiF coordinator in Wisconsin reports that in northern Wisconsin, where the population is 99 percent Caucasian, media and news articles are a way of introducing different cultures to their communities.

Role of implementers: FiF implementing agencies track volunteer outreach activities by recording specific outreach events and by collecting copies of published articles, interview transcripts, or other outreach records. One implementer reports that twice per year it phones volunteers who have returned from assignments within the past 12 months to inquire about outreach activities. Such phoning is said to improve data on volunteer outreach and encourage more of it.

Implementing agencies themselves carry out significant volunteer program outreach activities. In addition to promotional work directed at volunteer recruitment, implementers must be prepared to respond to requests for information generated through volunteer outreach activities. Major outreach activities by implementers include:

- Disseminating information about development activities, opportunities for involvement, and U.S. foreign assistance in newsletters, e-newsletters, annual reports, and related publications;

---

**“TALK RADIO”**

As part of its outreach program, ACDI/VOCA has arranged to supply occasional guests to a top rural talk radio program. Seven ACDI/VOCA volunteers have been featured on AgriTalk, a nationally syndicated hour-long show produced by Doane Broadcasting, St. Louis, and broadcast on 115 stations in 22 states. With a potential audience of 750,000, it has the largest reach of any U.S. farm or rural radio show.
- Arranging trips for returned volunteers to Washington D.C. to meet with their congressional representatives, and with embassy officials of the countries in which they worked;

- Attending agricultural fairs, conferences, and seminars to disseminate information about the FtF program and encourage interest in volunteer participation;

- Maintaining web sites from which the general public can learn about the FtF Program, and about available volunteer assignments, volunteer success stories, and links to related sources of information; and

- Providing information on the FtF Program at board meetings, membership meetings, and other events.

---

**“Community Pride through Public Outreach”**

As part of its Farmer-to-Farmer outreach, the North Carolina Chapter of Partners of the Americas has put together an effective educational display board highlighting projects of the North Carolina-Cochabamba Partnership in Bolivia. The board has been displayed at meetings, fairs, and conventions of many different organizations throughout North Carolina. In addition, the chapter publishes a newsletter that is distributed to members throughout the state. Farmer-to-Farmer activities are featured in almost every issue of the newsletter, examples of which can be found on their web page: [http://www.ncboliviapartners.org](http://www.ncboliviapartners.org). This outreach has strengthened the North Carolina-Cochabamba, Bolivia Partnership and attracted new members interested in the partnership’s activities. The North Carolina Chapter’s FtF program is one of the strongest, due, in large part to these public outreach efforts that increase volunteer recruitment, stimulate project follow-up, and evoke feelings of pride in significant accomplishments.

As a general rule, first-time volunteers tend to perform more public outreach than repeat volunteers. However, since first-time volunteers also take longer to train and to become proficient in their assignments, FtF implementers strive for a mix of first-time and repeat volunteers. This increases the need for techniques to increase the likelihood that returned volunteers carry out outreach activities. Options include sending follow up letters or telephoning returned volunteers to remind them of their commitment to do outreach and offering assistance with preparing for the outreach activity. Another approach is to have volunteers prepare their own outreach plans before they leave for their assignments. This may enhance their sense of investment in outreach and also get volunteers to focus on outreach as an integral part of their assignment.

As part of outreach efforts, USAID encourages implementing agencies to help volunteers convey information about how the FtF program relates to other USAID programs in the host country. To accomplish this, implementing agencies must provide volunteers with some information about such activities and remind them to incorporate this in their outreach activities. This is especially easy where other USAID projects serve as partners to the FtF volunteer program.

An example of public outreach materials used by POA is found in Annex G.
Key recommendations

♦ Encourage outreach by all returned volunteers. Provide volunteers with user-friendly materials for outreach activities within their communities. Make outreach a commitment of the volunteer and check back with them to monitor and encourage outreach.

♦ Ensure that implementing agency staff recognize the value of outreach activities, plan for them, and consider outreach as a part of their responsibilities. Ensure also that staff are available and able to respond to questions that arise due to outreach activities.

♦ Use a wide array of outreach strategies—press releases, public talks by returned volunteers, presentations in professional meetings, newsletters, and websites—and try to evaluate the effectiveness of different approaches.

♦ Target a diverse range of audiences to ensure that the various purposes of public outreach are served. Attempt to reach professional groups and colleagues, members of the general public, decision makers, politicians, and potential volunteers.

♦ Plan for outreach activities within host countries to broaden the reach of the volunteer program and promote U.S. public image in the developing world. An effective strategy in this regard is reaching out to the news media of the host country. The U.S. Embassy's Public Affairs Office can provide guidance and any cautions regarding interaction with different elements of a country's media.

♦ Broaden the message in public outreach. While the individual volunteer’s story is the point of interest, public education is furthered by placing this in the context of overall economic and social development needs and programs, globalization trends and the reciprocal benefits to the U.S. from international development.
SECTION 5: MONITORING, EVALUATION AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

For any volunteer program, there is an obvious and understandable need to show that the funding provided is a good investment. Program monitoring and evaluation serve this function and guide further strategic planning and program design. Volunteer programs are increasingly called upon to be more than just good people-to-people exchanges; they are expected to result in sustainable development. This requires additional measures of impact. This section includes:

- Management Information Systems for International Volunteer Programs
- Reporting on Volunteer Programs
- Volunteer Program Evaluation
5.1 MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Management information systems (MISs) are the set of indicators, procedures, and reporting systems used in tracking program performance and impact. MISs are frequently computer-based and set up to provide routine data on programs. This data is used in program management decision-making and in evaluation of program performance and impact.

Why is an MIS important for volunteer programs?

International volunteer programs typically involve many discrete activities with possibly hundreds of volunteers, hosts, and innovations. Tracking these activities and the impacts from them are important to sound management of program resources, effective planning, and accurate reporting on accomplishments and impacts. Information on program impacts is important not only for good program management, but also for justifying use of funding and defending proposals for future funding for volunteer programs.

Issues in development of an MIS for international volunteer programs

Relevant indicators: Program performance and impact indicators provide the basis for an MIS. The development literature is replete with lists and analyses of indicators relevant to economic growth programs. The initial monitoring systems for many volunteer programs focused only on simple—yet relevant—input indicators of numbers of volunteer assignments and budget expenditures. More comprehensive MISs now must track and report on indicator changes along the cause and effect pathway of the project’s development hypothesis—from inputs and activities to outputs, outcomes (results) and impacts. The numbers of people benefited and the level of their financial benefit are commonly the critical impact indicators for economic growth programs.

Volunteer assignments: The volunteer assignment is the basic input for a volunteer program. So that annual and other reports of the program can aggregate across all assignments, the definition of a volunteer assignment must be standardized. For example, is one volunteer’s trip to work with two hosts in a country defined as one or two assignments? Is a five-day assignment counted the same as a three-week assignment? Some programs count an assignment as assistance to hosts provided by phone or internet, without traveling to the host country (usually only for repeat volunteers). Still others define travel of groups of volunteers to work with a host as a single assignment.

Complexity: Volunteer programs involve extremely diverse activities (subsector and host projects) with differing objectives, hosts, strategies, and timeframes. An MIS must capture adequate detail on individual activities to reflect program and host performance and progress. In providing data across hosts, subsectors, and country programs, the
MIS must progressively simplify the indicator sets and aggregate data in easily understandable and relevant terms. At the host level multiple indicators are needed to track progress, but at higher levels of program management the masses of data and long lists of indicators become progressively less useful.

**Organization:** Robust MISs are computer-based and adaptable to different project and country situations. They also need to be capable of generating a range of project specific and special reports. Data must be accessible for multiple users.

**Current practices in use of MIS for volunteer programs**

The USAID FtF Office aggregates information from eight implementing agencies on approximately 94 Focus Areas (subsector projects) to report on the overall program using standardize performance and impact indicators (see Tables below). This standard set of indicators was developed through extensive consultations between USAID and its FtF partners and is the result of several years of debate and evolution, as the program adapted to changing areas of focus, reporting, and management needs. While this type of flexibility is essential for monitoring and impact assessment, it has also been important to maintain some stability of common indicators over time, so that both USAID and FtF implementers can present a clear picture of the longer-term impact of the program.

USAID’s FtF program monitoring is based on systems FtF Program implementing agencies have more or less independently developed to monitor program activities and track results. Although the systems are unique, there are, by necessity, similarities as all programs report to USAID in a common format on progress towards similar objectives. All use standard FtF performance and impact indicators in addition to those specific to individual subsector projects (see Section 2.3). The following descriptions of ACDI/VOCA, Winrock and CNFA FtF program monitoring systems illustrates the different systems in use.

**ACDI/VOCA:** ACDI/VOCA’s Project Reporting, Information, Monitoring, and Evaluation System (PRIME) monitors performance and impact of volunteer programs at various levels and provides information necessary to manage program activities. The M&E system is implemented in three stages at the time of: (1) SOW development (including host organization profile and base-line surveys), (2) the volunteer assignment, and (3) a field survey conducted 3 – 12 months after assignment completion.

The system tracks and reports on information by type of organization, location, and demographic. The system also tracks enterprise, organization, and financial institution performance by aggregating quantitative information on sales, employment, productivity, costs, firms’ adoption of management and quality assurance practices, new products and/or services offered, and the amount of rural loans. This data enables the program staff and the recipients of assistance to measure program impact through analysis of improved financial performance, increases in production and processing, introduction of new/improved products, improved management expertise, adoption of quality assurance practices, and improved loan portfolio performance.
### Table: Standard Performance and Impact Indicators in Use in the FtF Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of volunteers:</td>
<td>Host Institutions:</td>
<td>Economic:</td>
<td>Economic:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Male</td>
<td>• Cooperatives and associations</td>
<td>• Number of relevant hosts</td>
<td>• Increased net income across hosts adopting (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Female</td>
<td>• Individual private farmers</td>
<td>• Number of hosts adopting volunteer recommendations</td>
<td>• Increased gross value of sales (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Total</td>
<td>• Other private enterprises</td>
<td>• Number of hosts reporting improvement</td>
<td>Organizational capacity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of volunteer days completed</td>
<td>• Non-profit, public interest NGOs</td>
<td>• Number of hosts associated with hosts</td>
<td>• Increase in revenues (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program expenditures (U.S.$)</td>
<td>• Public and private education institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of new or improved products and/or services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average FtF Program cost per volunteer day (U.S./day)</td>
<td>• Rural financial institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial services:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value (U.S.$) of volunteer professional time</td>
<td>• Public sector technical agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of hosts with loan delinquency rate less than 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of resources leveraged by grantee/ volunteers in the U.S.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase in the amount of rural/agricultural loans (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of resources mobilized by Host</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of rural/agricultural loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of host contributions (U.S.$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase in the value of the institution's net equity (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of volunteer assistance:</td>
<td>Benzeficiaries receiving formal training:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Technology transfer</td>
<td>• Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased gross sales (U.S.$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Organizational development</td>
<td>• Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Area under improved NRM (ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Business/Enterprise development</td>
<td>• Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of hosts adopting one or more environmental technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Financial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• People with improved safety and working conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environmental conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• People with improved environmental services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity chain activities:</td>
<td>Beneficiaries receiving on-the-job training:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public outreach:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information and input (pre-production)</td>
<td>• Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of volunteers performing public outreach activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support services</td>
<td>• Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of press releases issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On farm production</td>
<td>• Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of media events by implementers and volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Processing (product transformation, storage, transportation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number and attendance of group presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marketing (branding, advertising, promotion, distribution, sales)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PRIME database enables the FtF program to easily report to USAID by tracking the standard FtF program indicators and generating reports in a format for the USAID FtF Standard Reporting Tables. While the system serves primarily as an M&E tool, it is also an effective project management tool. Weekly reports are generated by the M&E Coordinator and circulated to program field staff to monitor progress in attaining FtF program goals for the current fiscal year. This enables the program managers to track recruitment efforts, maintain an accurate account of each organization’s level of effort, and track progress by subsector, type of organization, and geographic focus.

The PRIME database completes a baseline profile for each host organization using five standard host organization profile forms created for producers, processors, goods and service providers, associations/cooperatives, and financial institutions. The appropriate form for each host profile captures the host contact information, financial information, obstacles to profitability, and baseline data (including production unit, number of units, cost per unit, product unit, total yield, gross sales, total expenses, and net revenue). This baseline is attached to the volunteer’s scope of work and serves as a good reference point for the volunteer prior to arrival.

After completion of an assignment the volunteer and the FtF program staff determine the most appropriate time to conduct an evaluation of the host. Evaluations occur 3 to 12 months after the completion of the volunteer assignment and are conducted either by telephone or in person. The FtF program staff then completes an evaluation survey form and updates the host organization profile spreadsheet. The survey form records which volunteer recommendations have been implemented by the host, the indicators that have been impacted and the justification for this claim, resources mobilized by the host, employment generation, and host staff participation in additional training programs.

Results of the host evaluation survey and the updated host organization profile serve as the basis for determining which FtF program indicators have been impacted and enable the program staff to quantify changes experienced by the host during the evaluation period (i.e., increases in production, reduction in cost of production, increase in sales, increase in net revenue, etc.). Data from updated host organization profiles are entered into the PRIME database and the survey forms are attached. The indicators impacted by the assignment are also entered in the database. ACDI/VOCA is then able to report to USAID on the number of hosts impacted for each indicator and provide quantifiable results attained by each host organization.

Winrock: Winrock uses a web-enabled database, which contains information about hosts, assignment activities, and results. This decentralized system allows the FtF team to efficiently track and report activities and results at the country, regional, and program levels and is also instrumental for program management by HQ and field staff. A “Partner Web Portal” has also been developed that will allow Winrock partners to store and retrieve information relevant to volunteers they deploy.

Ex ante projections are based upon project analytical frameworks. Analysis conducted for each target sector includes a review of all the complementary inputs that are necessary to make volunteer technical assistance effective. Ongoing project monitoring and annual sector reviews are used to manage the project plans, update project analytical frameworks, and improve future decisions regarding target sectors, host selection, and volunteer assignment design.
To manage project activities and report on indicators effectively, field staffs remain in close contact with hosts. A formal follow-up impact survey is conducted 6 to 12 months after each assignment. In most cases, hosts receive multiple volunteers and additional impact surveys are conducted with key hosts throughout the life of project. In addition to this ongoing monitoring, Winrock is establishing new procedures to monitor and analyze sector-level results.

Baseline data is collected at both the host and sector levels. Baseline data for individual hosts is collected in a SOW host profile that includes detailed information about the host’s production, services, membership, and incomes. This enables field staff to assess changes after volunteer assignments. This information also informs the volunteers about the host’s size and capacity. The FtF program also draws on existing sources of sector data for baseline and annual monitoring. These include studies from donors, government statistics, and surveys by FtF partner organizations. In some cases, volunteers may conduct baseline surveys as part of their assignment.

**CNFA**: CNFA uses a custom-designed Integrated Project Design and Evaluation System (IPDES) to develop, monitor, and evaluate FtF projects. IPDES provides a framework for: (1) analyzing host needs and the kinds of volunteer training that can best help them, (2) projecting the extent of impact that can be expected from the training, and (3) monitoring and evaluating whether impact is being achieved. Its primary goal is to ensure that desired results are defined explicitly as part of the approval process for each FtF project and that progress toward those results is monitored and assessed regularly as volunteer assignments occur. IPDES prescribes completion by the CNFA field staff of a series of interrelated reports on each FtF project:

- **A Host Profile (HP)** prepared as part of the project development process records key data about the host group related to the proposed FtF training and desired impacts. This serves as a baseline for evaluation of project progress. Data must be verifiable, not informal or oral, drawn from objective sources such as host activity reports, financial records, or sales data. In order to economize on manpower and cost, FtF relies as much as possible on data collected by the hosts themselves and actively promotes data collection as part of host capacity building.
- **A Project Strategy (PS)** draws on the HP to identify the needs of the hosts, propose volunteer assignments to respond to those needs, describe how the proposed training will improve hosts' lives, and predict how many people will benefit in various ways as a result of the training. No volunteer training occurs until the PS has been reviewed and approved by CNFA headquarters.
- **Scopes of Work (SOWs)** for each volunteer assignment lay out in detail what each volunteer is to do and the impacts that are expected to flow from that assignment.
- **Volunteer trip reports** and notes from debriefings of volunteers confirm the extent to which assignment objectives were achieved and predictions of impact remain valid.
- An annual Host Profile update and **Project Impact Assessment (PIA)** examine the pre-selected indicators of host impact and the extent to which desired impacts are being achieved and lives are being improved.
Key recommendations

♦ Identify relevant and practical performance and impact indicators for each volunteer subsector project area with indicators covering inputs, outputs, outcomes, and impacts.

♦ Provide uniform operational definitions for units of measure such as assignments, hosts, and beneficiaries.

♦ Consider development of a computerized Management Information System to assist in managing and reporting on the volunteer program, but recognize the cost and complexity as well as the utility inherent in most such systems.

♦ Identify and use existing sector assessments and sector data for impact monitoring wherever possible to avoid duplication of effort. Use volunteers and field staff to conduct limited baseline and follow-up annual sector assessments in cases where adequate data does not exist from other sources.

♦ Conduct commodity chain analysis for each target subsector to document baseline status and expected improvements. Finalize initial subsector-level performance and impact targets in consultation with partners and donors.

♦ Disaggregate and track volunteer assignments and results by subsector project area and host assignment.

♦ Where organizational strengthening is a key objective, develop organizational capacity indicators tailored to the specific needs of the hosts and subsector.

♦ Review aggregate subsector results compared to targets established during the initial planning phase. Adjust subsector targets annually in consultation with donors and partners based upon this analysis of results to date.

♦ Assess costs and benefits to determine how much of the budget should be spent on the MIS.
5.2 REPORTING ON VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

Program reporting involves summarizing activities and accomplishments in a way in which the implementer’s experience can be shared with others. In essence, it involves telling the story of what has happened and how this has impacted on participants and the target beneficiaries. Program reporting draws heavily on MIS systems and self-evaluation, but in narrative form goes further in analyzing why programs have or have not worked as expected.

Why is reporting important?

Program reports help donors ensure that their funds are used effectively. The reports are also used as a marketing instrument for the volunteer program implementing agencies. Donors like to hear success stories. But, reporting on problems and shortfalls in achievements helps to focus on collaborative efforts to overcome problems and improve implementation. Reports on successful volunteer placements help attract new volunteers and interest from hosts in having additional volunteer assignments. Reports provide an opportunity to learn from past performance and to improve future performance.

Issues in reporting on volunteer programs

Frequency of reporting: The FtF Program earlier required quarterly reporting and some volunteer programs have even required monthly reports. Currently, FtF implementers produce a Semi-Annual and an Annual Progress Report with an Annual Work Plan for the next year. The program has found that this is adequate for program progress monitoring and does not overburden program staff.

Impact reporting: Although reporting on volunteer activities is straightforward, reporting on impacts is much more challenging. Impacts accrue across many hosts and subsectors, complicating the data collection process for an MIS. FtF programs have found that some impacts can be observed and documented within six months of a volunteer’s assignment with a host. Many other innovations take longer to implement and generate impacts and some may be tried and later discarded for one reason or another. Thus, deciding when it is reasonable to begin reporting on impact from a volunteer program depends on the activities of the specific program. The FtF program is now requiring impact reporting only at mid-term (after 2 ½ years) and at the end of the program (after five years).

Current good practice in reporting on volunteer programs

Volunteer program reports should provide a succinct analytical assessment of progress against objectives and detail on experience and progress in each subsector project and country of operation. In addition, FtF reports include statistical data on performance and impact indicators. The FtF program reports assess trends and issues within each subsector project (“Focus Area”) of activity, as well as reporting on individual volunteer assignments, plans, and host experiences.
Volunteer program reporting is to a large extent based on individual volunteer reports that serve as a basis for tracking program indicators and understanding the nature and direction of changes in a subsector. An example of a volunteer assignment report from Winrock’s program in Nigeria is found in Annex F.

Success stories provide a balance to the quantitative data and analysis of subsector trends. These are a more interesting and personalized description of what the programs do and accomplish. Success stories can be either comprehensive, focusing on broad impacts over entire regions, or subsectors within a country, or they can tell a single story of a volunteer’s success. They are often the most effective piece of public relations that a volunteer program can generate. One example of a story highlighting one volunteer’s achievement was presented in a USAID ceremony honoring 50 years of support for volunteer programs (see box).

USAID Volunteer Brings New Opportunities to Haiti through Bamboo Production

**Challenge:** Haiti, once considered the Pearl of the Antilles, is now the poorest country in the Western Hemisphere. Decades of political turmoil and high levels of poverty have contributed to environmental degradation and extreme depletion of Haiti’s natural resources. Restoring native ecosystems, preserving soil nutrients for future crops, and decreasing erosion are critical to improving farm life for Haitians.

**Initiative:** The Farmer-to-Farmer program implemented by Partners of the Americas has been working for many years to address these issues. Bamboo, which grows rapidly and has multiple applications, is ideal to help with these needs. Bamboo can be used as construction material, to stabilize stream banks, and for traditional handicrafts, an industry of growing interest to small-scale farmers. FtF volunteer Norm Bezona, a bamboo expert, has traveled five times to Haiti to work with improved bamboo. He worked with Peace Corps volunteers and a local NGO, the Organization for the Rehabilitation of the Environment (ORE), on initial project planning. On his first assignment, Bezona brought 200 plants representing twelve bamboo varieties donated by the Quindembo Nursery in Hawaii.

This project has progressed through two phases. First, the project introduced and propagated new species of bamboo and educated farmers regarding multiple benefits of bamboo. Later, a second phase expanded activities to additional agricultural groups and regions and distributed plants to individual farmers and collaborating groups for nursery and communal reforestation projects.

**Results:** After four months, the donated plants were doing better in Haiti than in their native Hawaii. Techniques such as root division and branch cuttings generated over 40,000 plants from the original 200. Fifteen thousand bamboo plants have been distributed throughout the country. Two hundred plants were brought to the Asociacion de Paysans de Vallue (APV) in the south, where staff members were trained on the propagation and use of bamboo. In the Camp Perrin area, USAID helped distribute plants to 2,000 individual farmers, most of whom farm one to two hectares. Farmers have planted 50% of the bamboo plants for construction, 25% for crafts, and 25% for erosion control and reforestation.

The quantitative performance and impact indicator data reporting format used for the FtF program is found in Annex H.
Key recommendations

♦ Combine quantitative and qualitative reporting on performance and impact.

♦ Use a limited number of meaningful indicators to report on overall program performance, including people level indicators of numbers of beneficiaries and financial indicators for level of impact obtained.

♦ Complement quantitative indicators with case studies to provide a human face to what volunteers really do and what they can accomplish.

♦ Incorporate objective analysis of what has and has not worked in the volunteer program and how problems are to be addressed.
5.3 VOLUNTEER PROGRAM EVALUATION

Evaluations are systematic analytical efforts planned and conducted in response to specific management questions about assistance programs or activities. Unlike performance monitoring, which is ongoing, evaluations are conducted when needed. Evaluations often focus on why results are or are not being achieved and may address issues of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, or sustainability. Good evaluations provide management with lessons and recommendations for adjustments in program strategies or activities.

**Issues in evaluating volunteer programs**

**Level of evaluation:** Volunteer programs can be evaluated at the level of: (1) the overall program and its efficiency and effectiveness; (2) the country program; (3) the subsector project; (4) the host; or (5) the individual volunteer assignment. To some extent evaluation at the higher levels requires evaluation of the activities, performance, and impacts at the lower levels.

**Evaluations of volunteers/assignments:** Each implementing organization has developed its own methods of assessing the quality and impact of volunteer assignments. Evaluating the performance of individual volunteers themselves requires some finesse. Due to the fact that volunteers by nature offer their specialized services free of charge, a publicized negative performance evaluation has the potential to be a public relations disaster, damaging future recruitment efforts and perhaps work with hosts. Thus, evaluations of individual volunteers are not performed or reported systematically. Problems are generally identified in regular performance monitoring and management processes, and kept internal to the implementing organization.

To some extent, evaluation of a volunteer assignment is covered by the periodic evaluations of the host organization that received the volunteer. These host impact assessments provide up-to-date client information on the host and ensure that program activities are evaluated. Individual assignment stories are often cited in program wide evaluations as well, serving to highlight the successes of the program that cannot be discerned from aggregated impact tables and overarching analyses of spread of impact.

**Cost issues:** Surveys of randomly or purposefully selected hosts can be a more cost efficient means of assessing impact than attempting to complete a census of all hosts. When using a sample survey, it is important to state clearly the means of sampling. Any appearance of attempting to pass off the most successful hosts or projects as representative of all hosts is likely to damage a program’s credibility.

**Current practices in evaluating volunteer programs**

**At the subsector project level,** impact evaluations can be useful to determine how effective volunteers are in generating impacts in particular subsectors. The evaluation provides an opportunity for an in-depth look at trends and opportunities within the subsector and can help to reorient activities, as needed. Implementing agencies routinely collect the impact data needed for evaluation of the work in subsectors.
The chart below derived from an ACDI/VOCA survey in the Caucasus region is a good example of indicators to evaluate true impacts of a program and not just its outputs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Assignment</th>
<th>Baseline Sales</th>
<th>Surveyed Sales</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Baseline Revenue</th>
<th>Surveyed Revenue</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farm Management</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue Management</td>
<td>$1,080</td>
<td>$1,440</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>$230</td>
<td>$390</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Erosion Control</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$990</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>$280</td>
<td>$540</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbanzo Bean Production</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,340</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Preparation/Wheat Production</td>
<td>$20,556</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>143%</td>
<td>$13,106</td>
<td>$30,050</td>
<td>129%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Preparation/Wheat Production</td>
<td>$5,139</td>
<td>$15,360</td>
<td>199%</td>
<td>$3,206</td>
<td>$9,510</td>
<td>197%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FtF program implementers occasionally commission internal evaluations of their programs, in order to access their own performance and management needs. These may use the agencies’ own staff or external consultants.

At the *larger program wide level*, since 1985, USAID has formally evaluated the FtF Program about every six years. USAID evaluations seek an independent assessment of the program by outside consultants. Program funds are set aside in advance and the main issues to be addressed and geographic areas of concentration are outlined in a Scope of Work prepared by analyzing progress reports and discussing program issues with partners, evaluators, and USAID management staff.

Key findings from the last program-wide evaluation completed in the spring of 2003 were as follows:

- The quality of the volunteers recruited is generally high and the advice and training provided is universally appreciated.

- Over a five year period, FtF volunteers have directly assisted 238,535 people (30% women) and provided formalized training to 78,766 (20% women).

- The program is capable of moving to a more focused approach, in order to achieve greater impact through more concise planning of volunteer assignments based on pre-selected sectors and/or commodities, ideally linked to USAID Mission strategies.

- Evaluations should be followed up with a conference with implementing agencies, focused on planning for and assessing impact.

- There is a need for better results oriented frameworks that drive the planning process and help develop clear hypotheses and basic baseline analysis that support intended results.
USAID provides guidance for performing program evaluations in a series of publications entitled “Performance Monitoring and Evaluation TIPS” produced by the USAID Center for Development Information and Evaluation. These publications are available on the USAID website (http://www.dec.org/pdf_docs), or can be obtained by contacting the Center directly.

**Key recommendations**

♦ Internal evaluations are useful to improve management operations, but independent, external evaluations are necessary to have greater credibility.

♦ Monitoring and evaluation systems should be developed during the planning process and made operational early in program implementation.

♦ Volunteer program evaluations need to be highly participatory involving consultations with implementing agency staff, volunteers, hosts, partner organizations, and others familiar with work in the subsectors in which the program is working.

♦ Evaluations can often usefully be structured to address specific management issues of current importance to the program.

♦ A combination of independent and self-evaluation methodologies work well and can be usefully combined in an overall evaluation plan for a program.

♦ Cost considerations are a factor in planning evaluations. Survey sampling can be cost effective for performing impact assessments, but methodologies must be well-documented and reported.

♦ Assessing each individual assignment allows a program to update information on hosts receiving multiple volunteers, providing a better picture of impact over time.
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ANNEX A: EXAMPLE OF COUNTRY/SUBSECTOR PLANS

Winrock International in Nicaragua

In Nicaragua, Winrock/FIU will target horticulture, tree crops/forest products, and dairy. This work will support USAID/Nicaragua’s new 5-year strategy, Strategic Objective 2: Economic Freedom: Open, Diversified Expanding Economics and Intermediate Results 2.2: More Competitive, Market-Oriented Private Enterprises. The FtF Program will coordinate and collaborate with organizations such as World Relief’s horticulture projects, TechnoServe, Federacion de Asociaciones Ganaderas de Nicaragua, the national Livestock Producer’s Council (CONAGAN), and the Nicaraguan government’s Competitiveness Program.

1. Focus areas: Horticulture

Nicaragua imports approximately $17 million worth of fresh vegetables and fruits each year, mostly from Costa Rica and Honduras. Most of these imports are consumed within the Managua metropolitan area, but some of this volume is consumed in smaller cities (Matagalpa, Leon, and Jinotega), and some is transshipped through Nicaragua into neighboring countries. Winrock has worked in the vegetable sector for five years and developed cost-effective technical packages making vegetables competitive with competing countries and developed buyer/vendor linkages with a regional supermarket supplier, Managua wholesale markets, and other local markets. Winrock will replicate this model in other FtF participating countries. Key topics of FtF intervention will include assisting producers to meet sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) requirements and manage their supply chains. For example:

- Hortifruti is a private company that partnered with Winrock’s USAID-funded Technical Services to Small Farmers (TSSF) project. Hortifruti distributes fresh fruits and vegetables to supermarket chains in Central America. They collect produce from farmers in different areas of the country, and will assist Winrock to identify groups of small-scale farmers that would be able to access these new markets or expand sales with FtF technical assistance. They are also very interested in collaborating with Winrock to assist growers in Honduras.
- World Relief reports that they would welcome FtF assistance with their Asociación Pueblos en Accion Comunitaria program. This organization is requesting specialized volunteers in fruits, vegetables, and tree crops. Similar to Winrock’s TSSF project, World Relief helps establish linkages to higher value markets for small-scale farmers.
- A private enterprise called La Matagalpa produces pickled vegetables. They work with a group of 20 farmers who provide them with the vegetables (e.g., onions, baby corn, chayote, green peppers, carrots, cucumber, and garlic). They have requested FtF assistance in two areas: technical assistance to the farmers to improve product quality and also technical assistance for the processing plant in labeling, product presentation, and quality standards to enter new regional markets.

In addition, Latin American food exporters are ill-prepared for new regulations that come into effect this month as a result of the United States' Bioterrorism Act of 2002.19 Where

---

appropriate, Winrock and FIU may field technical specialists to Nicaragua and neighboring countries to assist agricultural exporters to comply with new safety and phytosanitary regulations from the United States, European Union, and neighboring countries.

2. Focus Area: Tree crops/forest products

Cacao represents a viable cash crop in many areas of the country. Winrock’s TSSF project partnered with the Asociación de Productores de Cacao de Muelle de Bueyes (ACODEMUBE). The association has 423 manzanas (mz) under production in the El Rama and Los Buyes areas, benefiting 574 farm families. There are also some 185 mz that can be rehabilitated. Nicaragua has a cacao production area estimated at 7,000 mz, not all of which is in production. ACODEMUBE is one of the major players in the national market, managing 10 percent of national production. ACODEMUBE sells to El Caracol Inversiones, SA, in Managua and is working with Winrock to develop long-term contractual agreements with other national buyers, including Industrias Paramo SA and Ecomerco SA. Prices from El Caracol in 2002 averaged between 400-900 cordobas per 100 pounds dried cacao or $1,062 per mt. Instituto Nicaraguense de Tecnología y Agropecuaria (INTA) is also working with cacao at an experimental station, where they sell seeds and have selections of hybrid plants. Winrock will continue to develop this industry and further market linkages with Honduras and Costa Rica as well as domestic markets. FtF interventions will focus on post-harvest practices, quality control, and meeting market requirements.

Cardamom is another viable cash crop for diversifying coffee plantations in some areas of the country. Production estimates are approximately 6.5 quintals per mz with FOB prices in Nicaragua providing up to $360 per quintal FOB Managua, or up to $400 per quintal in Guatemala. Production costs are estimated at $300 per mz. Producers are interested in improving their post harvest practices and learning about markets and value-added strategies, as well as expanding production. Winrock has developed market linkages for finished cardamom seed in Guatemala and oil seed in Honduras. Cardamom has a robust market and this crop can also be replicated in other participating FtF countries. FtF intervention will focus on post-harvest practices, market linkages, and quality control.

Winrock has also received requests for technical assistance in agroforestry and wood products. For example, POSAF, an agroforestry program working in different parts of Nicaragua, is requesting technical assistance for its producers in commercialization, certification, and value-adding issues.

3. Focus Area: Dairy

Nicaragua’s total milk production increased from 43 million gallons in 1994 to 66 million gallons in 2002, an annual average increase of 4.7 percent.\(^{20}\) Nicaragua has an estimated average consumption of 42 kg per person of dairy products, 11 percent of which is imported. Dairy is a $100 million per year industry accounting for 5 percent of

\(^{20}\) USDA GAIN Report, 2003
GDP. Principal products are cream, cheese, yogurt, and fresh milk. The industry includes 55,000 producers, most small farmers who typically have 6 to 15 milking cows.

Nicaragua began exporting cheese to El Salvador in 1990 with a gross value of $128,000. In 1998 this amount rose to 8,400 metric tons with a gross value of $14 million. Most of this cheese is Morolique, a salty white cheese made from unpasteurized milk, which is what Salvadoran consumers prefer. FECALAC estimates that Nicaragua exported some 52 million metric tons of dairy products in 2001, most produced by 1,200 small-scale producers. El Salvador has begun enforcing its food quality standards and few of Nicaragua’s small-scale producers are in compliance.

Emerging regional trade agreements create new opportunities for Nicaraguan milk processors to market their products in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Mexico. These countries have growing populations and economies, as well as increasing pressure on land resources. However, to expand regional exports, Nicaraguan processors must address milk hygiene regulations. Less than 30 percent of Nicaraguan milk is pasteurized. Milk quality at the farm level suffers from lack of infrastructure and proper milk management. Loss of milk at collection centers due to contamination and seasonal declines in supply prevents processing plants from operating at full capacity, creating a disincentive to investment in new equipment and facilities.

The formal sector, mainly four industrial milk plants including Parmalot, supplies approximately 20 percent of the dairy products consumed. These products are pasteurized, packaged, stored, and sold under reasonably good quality standards. The remaining 80 percent is marketed through channels consisting mainly of small-scale rural cheese factories that do not pasteurize milk or maintain sanitary conditions and quality controls, although most have a health certificate to operate. Product shelf life is approximately 10 days and raw product prices paid to the informal sector are 20 percent less than the formal sector pays to its suppliers. Specific constraints that FiF can help address include:

**Seasonal decrease in milk production.** There is little use of feed concentrates, because the majority of producers prefer grass feed to lower production costs. During the rainy season (June through November), supply of natural grass is sufficient. However, during the dry months, limited fodder results in significant decreases in milk production. Price differentials, up to 50 percent, occur as a result of nearly double the amount of milk being available during the rainy season. Milk also suffers from longer transport times, higher bacterial counts, and lower milk solids content in the rainy season. Prices are greater during the dry periods as rural conditions are not as prone to contamination, the total solids content is greater and transport time less, resulting in a higher quality raw product. Consequently, more milk is required to make the same amount of cheese during the rainy season than the dry season. In contrast, farms supplying the industrial sector are managed to provide a constant year-round supply of raw product through better feed and quality control programs.

---
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**Lack of infrastructure at the farm level.** Farmers are not investing in the infrastructure (e.g., proper housing) and equipment (basic milking tools) needed for hygienic milk production and handling. They also do not have the facilities to store silage.

**Poor management of processing.** At all levels of the Nicaragua dairy industry, poor handling of milk takes place. This is the major contributing factor to the deterioration of milk quality.

**Lack of incentives to market high quality products.** Milk producers and processors lack price incentives to market higher quality pasteurized products because there has been little branding or means for consumers to differentiate between products that have been made from properly pasteurized milk and those made from low quality milk.

Winrock’s FtF Program worked with the dairy/cheese sector during its previous grant in Nicaragua from 1992 to 1997, and has continued its relationship with the sector in the current TSSF project. FtF will collaborate with the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, TechnoServe, and others to upgrade Nicaragua’s artisan dairy products. Other weaknesses in the dairy sector that FtF can effectively address include business skills, marketing, packaging, processing, phytosanitary requirements, and differentiating products to enter new market niches. For example, the Nicaraguan Dairy Chamber and CONAGAN are requesting FtF technical assistance related to improved production practices, pasture management, and dairy products commercialization.

==================================================================

**ACDI/VOCA - Kenya**

**Summary Program Description and Strategy**

USAID awarded ACDI/VOCA the John Ogonowski Farmer-to-Farmer program in East Africa on September 30, 2003. This program will be concentrated in Kenya and Uganda, following on ACDI/VOCA’s previous activities with the Greater Horn of Africa component of the Worldwide Farmer-to-Farmer program. During the course of this five-year project, ACDI/VOCA staff will field a total of 160 volunteers, who will provide short-term technical assistance in the maize/grains, dairy, and horticulture sectors in each country. In addition, ACDI/VOCA’s Minority Serving Institution (MSI) partner in this project, Virginia State University (VSU), will field a total of 28 volunteers over the life of the project. The VSU-fielded volunteers will complement the ACDI/VOCA volunteers by establishing embedded extension services in the three focus commodity subsectors, and by working with the Cooperative College of Kenya and Egerton University.

The Kenya FtF program aims to encourage economic and social development in the agricultural sector in order that farmers make higher profits on their products. To achieve this objective, an integrated approach to enhance the quality of production, management, marketing, market information access, and development of other support activities such as extension services has been top of agenda. ACDI/VOCA will focus on the improvement of the operations of smallholder associations that currently lack
adequate representation due to the near collapse of the cooperative sector in Kenya. These focus areas are designed to follow up on past interventions and complement larger programs currently being implemented in Uganda and Kenya, in order to maximize the impact of short-term assignments. Follow-up assignments will be carried out to comply with recommendations from previous Farmer-to-Farmer volunteer interventions, continuing long-term relations with clients and host organizations that have hosted ACDI/VOCA volunteer consultants.

ACDI/VOCA’s Farmer-to-Farmer Program in East Africa has been targeting and will continue to target farmer groups at the grassroots level, beginning with small village-level groups of 10-20, creating sustainability through follow-up assignments with such groups, which then serve as models to be emulated by other groups. Assignments targeted at cooperatives will focus on the need for a change in culture to enable them to compete in a liberalized environment where markets require customer orientation, and where organizations need growth, liquidity, and solvency. Assignments will incorporate business and market strategy development, and will aim to create sustainability through relevant strategic plans, alongside other aspects of association development.

In addition, HIV/AIDS-related activities in specific volunteer assignments have become integrated into the Farmer-to-Farmer Program’s interventions, especially those in areas with high prevalence rates. HIV/AIDS is becoming an important part of all interventions made by development organizations throughout sub-Saharan Africa. Consequently, in FY 2004 the program will explore methods to synergize its activities in this field with other organizations to which social development is core business.
ANNEX B: EXAMPLE OF PROJECT PLAN CNFA MOLDOVA

Date of Submission: 
Date Project Began: July, 2002 
Host Organization: Lactica Dairy Cooperative 
Project Location: Village of Cuconestii Noi, northern Moldova near the town of Edinet 
CNFA Work Plan Objective Served: Objective 1– Increase the incomes of private farmers by helping them create democratic business cooperatives 
Strategy Developed By: Mr. Oleg Brinza 

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHOSE LIVES ARE IMPROVED THROUGH THIS PROJECT:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Large Improvement</th>
<th>Small Improvement</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directly Improved</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirectly Improved</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following groups of people will experience one or more of the Level III impacts described in the Desired Impacts table below:

- 1,200 dairy producers supplying raw milk to the cooperative
- 1 co-op manager
- 3 veterinarians
- 17 cooperative employees
### DESIRED IMPACTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Impact</th>
<th>Desired Impacts</th>
<th>Host Profile Verification Items</th>
<th>USAID Indicator Tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Host performs specific acts using the information &amp; skills provided by the volunteer</td>
<td>Lactica operates a veterinary pharmacy</td>
<td>Section V: Question G: “Member services”</td>
<td>Table V: Question A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The cooperative manages its business affairs following a business plan and monthly budgets</td>
<td>Section V: Question A: “Does the cooperative have a work plan?” Section IV: Question C: “Has the cooperative adopted a budget?”</td>
<td>Table VI: Question B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local dairy producers expand their dairy herds</td>
<td>Section II: Question E2: “Size of herd of largest member” Section II: Question E3: “Herd size of smallest member”</td>
<td>Table V: Question A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The cooperative opens an A/I station</td>
<td>Section V: Question G: “Member services”</td>
<td>Table V: Question A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Milk production increases as result of over 50% of supply-line farmers using A/I services</td>
<td>Section IV: Question D1: Vet. and A/I services “Total Income (breakdown per service)”</td>
<td>Table V: Question B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Intermediate impacts (link Level I to Level III)</td>
<td>Lactica milk collection amount increases due to improved lactation, rotational conception schedule and more dairy producers selling milk to the cooperative</td>
<td>Section II: Question F2: “Quantity of milk collected by the cooperative (average kg/day)”</td>
<td>Table V: Question B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Improvements in the lives of host people (usually an increase in income).</td>
<td>Lactica improves revenues from increased milk &amp; inputs sales over FY2001 records</td>
<td>Section V: Question M: “Revenue from services”</td>
<td>Table V: Question D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local dairy producers’ cash income increases due to increased milk sales to the cooperative</td>
<td>Section V: Question P: “Total cash paid out to members”</td>
<td>Table V: Question E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22 Since the goal of the overall program is to improve people’s lives, the project should have a succession of desired impacts leading logically up from Level I to at least Level III. Level IV identifies ways (if any) that the improvements generated by the assignment might be transmitted to a population beyond the immediate hosts.

23 Identify specific items in the Host Profile that will provide direct or – if it will not be possible to monitor the activity directly – indirect confirmation of the impacts having been achieved. If data will be collected by means other than CNFA staff survey (for example, by host organization survey of its members), specify those means.

24 Specify the category/ies in the USAID Indicator Tables that will be credited if the desired impact is achieved.
ANTICIPATED ASSIGNMENTS AND OBJECTIVES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Business Development                     | 09/01/-09/22/02| 1. Lactica cooperative management determines priority areas for cooperative development.  
                                         |                | 2. Lactica Board and Manager draw a long-term development strategy.  
                                         |                | 3. Lactica Board and Manager adopt a short-term action plan.  
                                         |                | 4. Lactica Manager develops and presents full-cost budgets for enterprises planned for launch or expansion. |
| Veterinarian Service Development         | 09/25-10/16/2002| 1. Lactica veterinarian assesses and develops a plan for improving the overall cow maintenance conditions.  
                                         |                | 2. Lactica Veterinarian, with manager’s support, develops mastitis prevention/control regulations for supply-line producers.  
                                         |                | 3. Lactica management sets a plan for developing a veterinarian pharmacy within the cooperative. |
| Milk Production (Nutrition Development)  | 10/25-11/16/2002| 1. Lactica Manager and Agronomist put together a silage development program for the cooperative, including crop production and marketing to members.  
                                         |                | 2. Lactica cooperative designs an educational program for its supply-line farmers to instruct them on production of alfalfa, soybean, and other forage supplements.  
                                         |                | 3. Cuconesti dairy producers learn to prepare and store good quality hay for winter. |
| Artificial Insemination Service Development | 02/01-02/22/2003| 1. Lactica cooperative establishes an artificial insemination station in the village.  
                                         |                | 2. A/I specialist and Manager develop an artificial insemination program aiming to improve winter milk supplies of the village herd. |

BACKGROUND:

4,500 people reside in Cuconesti community. During Soviet times, a large “kolkhoz” collective farm operated there, being specialized in orchard and dairy production. The old dairy’s capacity constituted 1,000 milking cows. After 1990, unable to adjust to free market conditions, the farm had no other option than bankruptcy. Most of the assets were used to cover farm’s debts, while the rest were distributed between the employees based on their seniority on the farm. Today, about 640 Cuconesti farming families own over 1,200 heads of cattle and almost every family sells raw milk on the local market.

The average Cuconesti farmer sells milk because of the stringent need for money. Although now only 20% of dairy farmers consider selling milk as their primary source of income, this trend is growing in conditions where milk is becoming the only constant cash source. More and more farmers express interest in expanding their dairy enterprise to increase the cash flow on their farms. Following this rationale, about 120 dairy producers set up a dairy marketing cooperative to access and exploit a stable and reliable market for their produce. Of the initial 120, 80 participated with 50 Lei (approximately $3.75) as joining fee.

The producers view the cooperative as a possibility to sign a long-term contract with processors and avoid many questions that usually arise when selling milk at an individual level. The organization structure and all the implying proceedings discipline member suppliers and ensure quality control and quantity increase. This in turn increases
cooperative’s bargaining power, while earned profits allow members to develop the organization.

Mr. Ion Borta, the leader of Cuconesti dairy marketing group, initiated collection of milk back in 1996. That year and the following three were famous for the events that took place in economic life of many Moldovan operators. Most of formerly owned state enterprises underwent privatization and became joint-stock companies with management in private hands. Unfortunately, that period is also infamous for failures to make timely payments to farmers. Ion Borta and his wife Natalia, entrepreneur and accountant, were constantly looking for ways to improve terms of delivery contracts as well as expand the milk collection operations. In his ambitions to find better terms, Ion came across Alba Dairy, a Moldovan-American joint venture, which turned out to be the most understanding and supporting of dairy producers around the country. Realizing the challenges that Ion and his colleagues struggled with, Alba provided them with a milk truck to help expand the operations in the neighboring villages. Undercapitalized yet sure of their success, the Borta family started to look for more support among private producers, because a cooperative formation would have more development potential than an individually run enterprise. More control over the quality of delivered product, a forum for structured training workshops to improve milk production, a good bargaining power are some advantages of cooperatives over private ownership structures.

In their efforts to consolidate the community around a cooperative, the Borta family found some support from Agriment, a Dutch Government development organization. Agriment provided some basic training on cooperative concepts and assisted the newly formed cooperative with milk collection and office equipment. The cooling equipment allowed the cooperative to expand its operations into 7 neighboring villages. Today, the daily collection amounts have exceeded 7,000 liters per day and the total number of suppliers has reached 1,200. Agriment’s collaboration with Cuconesti will end in December 2002. By that time, the Cooperative will be fully equipped with a German-made Mueller cooling tank as well as some other accessories. Agriment will also provide equipment for a milk storage room, laboratory, and office. Understanding the limitations of their project, Agriment fully supported Cuconesti farmers’ initiative in requesting CNFA volunteer assistance in different areas of their activity.

Even with such impressive development over the last several years, the cooperative management and active membership still realizes that the road to successful operations is long and challenging. Realizing the tasks laying ahead, Cuconesti dairy producers requested CNFA volunteer assistance to address issues of silage production, uneven milk supply, cow maintenance (i.e., mastitis prevention and control) and other veterinary issues. At the same time, to properly envision and plan all activities, the cooperative management asks for assistance in business planning and management.

Attachment: Host Profile Spreadsheet
ANNEX C: EXAMPLE OF SCOPE OF WORK

ACDI/VOCA – KENYA

The following example from ACDI/VOCA’s FtF program in Eastern Africa combines both a SOW for a specific assignment and project plan for the Odong’ Multipurpose Cooperative.

Scope of Work/Target Organization Profile for ACDI/VOCA Technical Assistance in Institutional and Marketing Capacity Building for the Odong’ Multipurpose Cooperative.

I. ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION

Assignment Number: 441011-001
Assignment Title: Institutional and Marketing Capacity Building.
Assignment Location: Country: Kenya.
                   Region: Migori District.
Submission Date: June 2004
Assignment Summary:

The Ondong’ Farmers Multipurpose Cooperative Society started in 1994 as a marketing organization representing over 1,500 farmers. The society represents over 20 different farmer groups, which are administered under its umbrella in a confederation model. Years of setbacks in performing its core function of marketing members’ dairy and horticultural produce have been attributed to an underdeveloped institutional and organizational capacity to adequately live up to its mandate. The ability of the management to create and implement an institutional structure to enable business growth and to support its complex membership structure is essentially lacking.

The Ondong’ Farmers Multipurpose Cooperative Society has sought ACDI/VOCA technical assistance in Cooperative Institution Strengthening. The successful volunteer will help the cooperative develop a model organizational structure and management system, and initiate techniques through which improved organizational and management capacity will translate into increased business and improved member services and participation. Interventions will be aimed at identifying the best approaches for mobilizing and training its members for effective agricultural planning and marketing. This assignment will also explore how the improved structure will support the cooperative’s key function of providing market access and timely market information to its members.
Assignment Dates:

a. Acceptable Range of Start Dates: July 31-August 31, 2004
b. Preferred Assignment Start Date: August 9, 2004
c. Assignment Duration: 16 days

Assignment Subsector: Agriculture – Cooperative Management Services.

Commodities/Products: Dairy, Horticulture, Fresh Fruit.

II. ASSIGNMENT DESCRIPTION

A. Problem statement:

An interview with the cooperative’s leadership and members revealed major constraints to be reduced financial and institutional sustainability, which can be attributed to unfocused and poorly articulated objectives as well as inept management. The farmers and Ministry of Cooperative Development and Marketing officials interviewed concurred with the assessment that the cooperative lacks the institutional and organizational capacity to represent its members adequately in its key functions – farm input sourcing, information transfer, and most importantly marketing. This conclusion was emphasized by an organization capacity assessment test (OCAT) which pointed out weaknesses in governance, management practices, external relations, and financial resource management. These weaknesses have resulted in deplorable service delivery, poor membership relations and eroded resource base sustainability.

B. Objectives of the assignment:

The Ondong’ Cooperative Society has existed since 1994 as a marketing organization representing over 1,500 farmers, but in the past 10 years have not made a significant impact on the incomes of its members. Despite well-intended goals, the recurring setbacks in performance have been attributed to underdeveloped institutional and organizational capacity to live up adequately to its mandate. An enlightened management could make a tangible difference in the cooperative’s capacity to create and implement an institutional structure to enable turnover growth and to support its complex membership structure. Most of all, institutional arrangements must be incorporated to ensure that the cooperative is answerable to the farmers. It is notable that past initiatives aimed at improving access to input credit and dealing with gender imbalances in access to resources failed because of lack of proper institutional control mechanisms and farmer member participation in decision-making – a common occurrence in cooperatives in Kenya.

This assignment is intended to provide a basic set of management resources – a blueprint – that can be utilized by the society to institutionalize a flexible organizational structure that reflects the goals and aspirations of the coops members, for the purpose of managing local resources and adapting to prevailing environments. With an improved capacity to plan for the market, the cooperative should be able to access and disseminate market information to its members, thereby increasing their revenues.
For participatory development, the farmer members have to be empowered to take the leading role with respect to decision-making in planning, operations, and management. This participation will then represent an opportunity to improve their capacity and strengthen the organization, which are prerequisites for any further development interventions.

C. Assignment tasks:

Before leaving U.S.:

- Acquire vaccinations recommended for tropical areas;
- Conduct research on cooperative development and associated constraints in sub-Saharan Africa;
- If possible, the volunteer should bring books and materials that would assist the company to sharpen its business strategy.
- Prepare resource materials, which will be used during the training. Note that additional copies of these materials may be produced in Nairobi prior to the assignment.
- Please note that ACDI/VOCA Headquarters must approve any expenses exceeding $100, prior to the dates of the assignment.
- Most importantly, he/she will be required to understand the concepts of smallholder farmer organizational development and associated management constraints. The volunteer should be in a position to design management structures reflecting cooperative action in development. The volunteer should also be able to prepare training materials and modules.

In-country activities/tasks:

- Discuss needs/goals of cooperative members, identify priority areas of intervention.
- Discuss with the cooperative leaders, leadership, and cooperative extension officials how the association can be strengthened.
- Train the association leaders on the running of such an institution.
- Design an administrative structure for the growth of Ondong Multipurpose Cooperative.

Post Assignment:

After the assignment, the volunteer will be expected to prepare a report on the assignment, giving an implementation timeline for changes in the designed management structure. The report should give indicators on how a comprehensive evaluation can be done by the group and ACDI/VOCA by providing visible and timed indicators to gauge performance and the impact of the assignment. In addition, the volunteer will be expected to make recommendations on potential follow-on activity.

D. Deliverables: Technology Transfer/Recommendations - General Management.

E. Anticipated Work schedule:
Week 1: Briefing at ACDI/VOCA. Travel to the Co-op and discussion of joint plan of work. Fact-finding about the cooperative’s existing decision-making process, especially as related to management composition and roles. Visits to farmers’ association representatives, traders, processors, and Ministry of Cooperative development staff. Meet with cooperative membership for SWOT analysis and hold discussions with the association’s management to source ideas.

Week 2: Carry out training of management on aspects of cooperative management strategy. Train cooperative leadership on how to mobilize the grassroots associations that constitute the cooperative membership for effective and efficient collective action for the core marketing function. Design a management structure with the association leaders. Create a basic market information access and dissemination system. Meet membership at open forum to present findings. Prepare report, debriefing and leave for U.S.

III. Volunteer Qualifications and Priorities

- Background in group / association development and management.
- Background in agribusiness planning and marketing.
- Experience in community mobilization
- Experience in developing countries, preferably in Africa.
- Excellent health condition
- Training skills: experience with management education would be beneficial to translate technical expertise into materials that can be easily interpreted by farmer members of the cooperative.
- Country Experience/Language Capability: Experience with smallholder production systems.
- Should have good analytical skills.
- Availability for assignment timing

IV. Requesting Organization

A. Name: Ondong Farmers Multi-purpose Cooperative Society Ltd.

   Primary Contact: Mr. Muturi, Chairman
   Address: P.O. Box 855 - 40400, Suna-Migori, Kenya.
   Tel: Office: (254) - 722-832901

B. Type of host organization: Association/Cooperative

C. Organization Profile

The Ondong’ Cooperative Society is located in Migori Town, on the Nairobi-Isebania Road in Migori District, on the shores of Lake Victoria (about 400 km from Nairobi). Membership consists of over 1,500 small-scale farmers farming an average of 10 acres each. These farmers keep cattle for dairy production, and are often also engaged in intensive horticultural crop production, which they market locally and regionally. The activities of the cooperative are geared to benefit its members and it aims to establish effective and innovative approaches to commodity production, storage, marketing, and credit systems for its members through:

- Mobilizing smallholder farmers, consolidating their produce for onward linkages to the market.
• Upgrading capacities of members through training and access to sustainable technologies for high production, right qualities, and efficient delivery to the market.
• Harnessing the existing production potentials through proper planning and forward contracting.
• Establishing effective and efficient delivery and payment systems.
• Establishing the much-needed linkages to affordable credit and current market information.
• Attaining sustainability through provision of other relevant services and developing required internal capacities through regular training.

The farmers elect a committee of nine members consisting of one representative from each block plus a chairperson, treasurer and secretary in conformity with the statutory requirements. The management committee manages policy, fee collection, and operations. Although women form at least half of the active membership, only one woman sits on the management board, courtesy of a requirement in the bylaws requiring women representation. Cost of managing the coop’s operations and other expenses is borne from a monthly levy charged to each farmer and administered collectively.

Apart from the marketing function, the cooperative schedules production regimes for its members to ensure timely and constant delivery of produce to make sure that the organization fulfills contractual obligations it makes. The society has not been able to sell directly to the market due to poorly developed access to reliable market information and frequent inability to create consistent volumes to fulfill supply contracts. The society however sells to brokers who then source for alternative markets usually at a considerable profit. The cooperatives’ uniqueness comes from the fact that its members are not individual farmers but small informal groups from three different localities who have agreed to come together and act as one larger group. Each group that wishes to join has to meet certain criteria, which includes a registration fee and a share-capital subscription fee, after which the group’s chairperson is appointed to the executive committee of Ondong’ Cooperative. Nominating groups each hold their own elections, and in most cases have different governing constitutions. The cooperative is a loose federation of 20 semi-autonomous groups.

Apart from marketing members’ produce, the society has endeavored to purchase inputs in bulk on behalf of the members and sell to them at discounted prices. Profits from this operation are channeled to cover for operation costs. The cooperative has 3 salaried employees: a manager/bookkeeper, a marketing representative, and an animal husbandry technician. It has rented an office and also has a basic storage facility for horticultural crops. The cooperative has recently intensified recruitment of grassroots membership in order to generate critical masses to support its ambitious marketing plans. The cooperative is reaching out to both individual farmers and other producer groups, and is aiming to register a total of 3,000 members. The management contends that this would be a sufficient number to guarantee consistency in produce reaching the market or to fulfill contract farming based on production capability.

D. Assignment Background

The cooperative is located in Migori district, which borders Lake Victoria. While the lake offers certain possibilities in terms of fisheries, irrigation and transport, much of the land away from the lakeshore is of low potential regarding commercial production, a situation compounded by poor infrastructure. Nevertheless, crops such as cotton, tobacco, and horticultural crops can be beneficial to the smallholders. Moving further from the lake...
and with increasing altitude, agricultural potential improves and crops such as sugarcane, coffee, and tea can be found in the eastern parts of the district. Agriculture contributes to 75% of household incomes in the area. Dairy cattle are kept under zero grazing\textsuperscript{25} and there is an increasing demand for dairy goats. Sugarcane and tobacco are widely produced in the district as rain fed crops on contract basis to various processors. In addition to food crops such as bananas and maize, farmers grow horticultural crops including kales, tomatoes, onions, pineapples, citrus, bananas, mangoes, and more recently, passion fruit. Cotton and pineapples are also grown on a relatively low scale, and there is no organized processing (juice extraction, packaging) of marketing channels.

There are no successful farmer cooperatives to enable bulk input purchasing or effective collective product marketing. There is no substantial private sector support to farming. Farmers lack capital for commercial production, while financial institutions in the vicinity are few. There are about 20 operational cooperatives in the region, including dairy cooperatives, fishermen’s cooperatives, multipurpose cooperatives, and 10 savings and credit cooperatives (SACCOs). Coffee coops, which concentrate on the provision of inputs and technical advice and marketing to coffee farmers, seem to be the only vibrant coops.

Government extension services, limited to dairy and livestock, farming provide clinical and vaccination services as well as technical advice on demand, and until recently had been offering natural bull services for exotic dairy cattle and an upgrading project for local breeds. Extension services in other areas are severely limited, and production levels by smallholder farmers are generally low, as most have not adopted improved production technologies. This situation is exacerbated by poverty and the ensuing lack of capital for inputs as well as the inability to access technical information. Farmers have attempted to address their problems jointly through informal farmer groups, which attempt to facilitate credit, training and agricultural information. This assignment will attempt to build the capacity of the Ondong’ Multipurpose Cooperative which is a loose federation of several of these informal farmer self help groups into a responsive and businesslike organization and offer direction on how to be able to do this systematically.

Marketing is problematic due to poor infrastructure, marketing channels, and storage facilities. Farmers tend to incur high post-harvest losses due to spoilage or destruction of produce. Lack of storage space forces farmers to sell all at once, flooding the market and occasioning low prices. Sustained tobacco growing has rendered the soils in the area unsuitable for the growing of all but a few crops, and Spirited Campaigns by the UN- World Health Program on tobacco growing and poor producer prices offered by tobacco firms has resulted in farmers exploring alternative cash crops, such as passion fruit. Passion fruit growing has become increasingly widespread in the recent past, notwithstanding anticipated problems in marketing. Ever-optimistic farmers are counting on their cooperative to play a major role in market intelligence gathering, and consequence bulk selling and forward contracting, a possibility only if the organization has a structure and orientation to support it.

\textsuperscript{25} Zero Grazing: a labor intensive animal feeding and husbandry method peculiar to small scale farmers where usually one dairy animal is kept confined in a more often than not rudimentary structure, food and water being rationed out.
The cooperative and its management must be able to build a collective decision capability in allocating their resources. This assignment will attempt to build the cooperatives capacity to analyze problems, create strategies necessary to overcome them and promote sustainability by offering proper member services.

E. Organization plans/goals:

**Immediate**

1. Recruit optimum membership through groups and associations.
2. Establish an effective organizational structure.
3. Develop standards for production and marketing.
4. Establish a secretariat complete with fully equipped office and member support.
5. To develop value-added processing at the local level (e.g. fruit juice processing).

**Long Term Plans**

1. To improve the production and to ensure regular availability of produce.
2. To secure a storage facility for members at District level.
3. To strategically locate buying centers and other commodity handling facilities.
4. To establish a strong and effective network with stakeholders.
5. To develop a handbook used to train group leaders chosen by groups in the district.
6. To develop management information systems in order to increase the efficiency of the association and its stakeholders.

V. Beneficiaries (Anticipated – To be confirmed by volunteer during assignment)

A. Direct beneficiaries: female  20  B. Direct beneficiaries: male  25  
C. Indirect beneficiaries: female  400  D. Indirect beneficiaries: male  250

VI. Expected Outcomes

A. Expected Results/Impact

- Establishment of management and institutional systems for effective planning.
- Improved membership base
- Increased crop marketed through the cooperative
- Improved member services and market information availability
- Establishment of a reliable market information collection and dissemination system
- Improved profitability of the cooperative.

B. Assignment Results/Impact as linked to overall Project Objectives
This assignment falls under Farmer-to-Farmer’s horticulture focus area, particularly in its second objective: increasing domestic and international trade for horticulture farmers. This objective has a particular focus on enabling farmers and associations to access marketing information services and gain access to higher-value, reliable markets for their produce.

C. USAID Regional Objectives

This assignment is in line with USAID-Kenya’s Strategic Objective 7 (SO7), which seeks to increase rural household income. The SO7 approach is to integrate all the process involved in the horticulture, dairy and the maize industries in Kenya by addressing input supply, husbandry methods, production, processing, and marketing of products in these subsectors with a vision of increasing the rate of returns on farmer’s and other stakeholders’ investments. The SO7 also seeks to evaluate the environmental and cultural effects of farming in the rural areas of Kenya. The mission is funding the Kenya Horticulture Development Project (KHDP) and the Kenya Dairy Development Program (KDDP) to assist small-scale farmers in meeting increasing competition by identifying new product opportunities and improvements in technology and production systems. Overall strategy for both programs is to work for stronger, more representative producer organizations capable of sustainably entering into business arrangements.

D. Post-Assignment Survey

The volunteer will be expected to prepare a post-assignment report, indicating how the organization should implement the recommendations given. The report should provide a framework for a comprehensive evaluation that can be done by the host, ACDI/VOCA and possibly USAID, by providing visible and timed indicators to gauge host performance and assignment impact. This assignment is designed to be the first of a series that will complement KHDP and FtF’s initiatives in technology transfer of good agricultural and natural resource management practices, in post-harvest handling and farmer sensitization in marketing and market strategy.

VII. Resources to be Contributed by the Host/Client

| A. Drivers: | 14 of days | Estimated Value: $140 |
| B. Interpreters: | # of days | Estimated Value: $________ |
| C. Lodging: | # of days | Estimated Value: $________ |
| D. Meals: | # of days | Estimated Value: $________ |
| E. Transportation: | 14 days | Estimated Value: $420 |
| F. Other: | | Estimated Value: $________ |

VIII. Financial Information for Calculating Volunteer Travel Advance

| A. Travel and Transportation: | Cost: $________ |
| B. Lodging: 3 days in Nairobi; 14 days in Migori | Cost: $255, |
| C. M&IE: 3 days in Nairobi; 14 days in Migori | Cost: $398, |
| D. Interpreter/Translator: # of days | Cost: $________ |
| E. Other Costs (i.e. visas, training materials, etc.) | Cost: $________ |
## ANNEX D: RECRUITING DATA BASE FORMAT – ACDI/VOCA

### EXAMPLE OF INDIVIDUAL RECORD IN REGISTRY OF VOLUNTEERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Name:</th>
<th>First Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td>Title, Suffix:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruit Region:</th>
<th>Volunteer Term:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant Term:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary USPS Mailing Address (including c/o instructions, company names and PO boxes or street addresses):</th>
<th>Alternate Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>Citizenship:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home Phone:</th>
<th>Work/Cell Phone, Extension:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receive Mailings?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax:</td>
<td>Web Site:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email 1:</td>
<td>Email 2:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REFERENCES/EDUCATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Second</th>
<th>Third</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree Institution:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree Year:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SKILLS: (selected from a drop down menu)

### EXPERIENCE SUMMARY:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment status:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### PERFORMANCE EVALUATION/PERMANENT COMMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Contact Date:</th>
<th>Last Contact Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### CONTACT LOG/TEMPORARY INFORMATION:

### NON-RESUME ATTACHMENTS (evaluations, reports, etc.)

### LANGUAGE/AFFILIATIONS:

### LIST OF ASSIGNMENTS COMPLETED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Completed Assignment Date:</th>
<th>Country:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
ANNEX E: ORIENTATION MATERIALS

I. Land O’Lakes Briefing Book Table of Contents for Zambia Farmer-to-Farmer Program

1. Scope of Work
2. Briefing Notes
3. Country Information
4. Overview of Projects
5. Statement of Value
6. Medical Evacuation Insurance
7. Map
8. Hotel Information
9. Per Diem Information
10. Contact List & Phone Information
11. Expense Report
12. Match Report
13. Final Report Format
15. Miscellaneous
II. Kenya Orientation Materials – ACDI/VOCA

A. Assignment Logistics

1. Working/living conditions at the site

Migori district is located on the plain that rises gradually from the shores of Lake Victoria. The area has a modified climate and is at an average altitude of 800 m above sea level. Most of the year, it is warm and humid throughout the day. The preferred assignment period is just before the onset of the short rains. Daylight temperatures are average 26°C. The volunteer will be more comfortable in casual summer attire. A pair of study boots may come in handy.

2. Materials needed for the assignment

The consultant will be required to prepare resource materials to facilitate the training exercise properly. The ACDI/VOCA-Kenya office will provide flip charts and pens. If possible, the volunteer consultant should bring books and materials that will act as reference and assist in business plan development.

3. Other Logistics: On-site details

**Computer:** The volunteer will have access to the ACDI/VOCA laptop. He/she will access to a telephone. E-mail facilities can be accessed after a 15-minute drive.

**Volunteer Arrival and Transport to Hotel:** The volunteer will be picked at the Jomo Kenyatta International Airport, Nairobi by ACDI/VOCA staff carrying a placard on which will his/her name will be written, and then taken to the Jacaranda Hotel for a day of rest.

**Accommodation:** While in Nairobi, the volunteer will stay at the Jacaranda Hotel. There are a variety of restaurants and shopping malls next to the hotel.

**The Jacaranda Hotel:** The Jacaranda Hotel is situated in Westlands, on the outskirts of Nairobi. It is a four-star hotel set in spacious gardens with a swimming pool and salon. The rooms are clean and comfortably equipped with a television with 9 different channels, including CNN. International direct dialing from rooms can be arranged through the reception desk. The hotel provides laundry and dry cleaning services. It is next to the Sarit Centre shopping mall, which houses a bank, forex bureau, shops, grocery store, bookshop, restaurant, fast food center, and a Cyberspace Center for emails, fax and internet services.

The Jacaranda Hotel  
P.O. Box 14287  
Westlands, Nairobi  
Phone: 254-20-444-8713 / 4 / 5 / 6 / 7  
Fax: 254-2-444-6159  
Email: Jacaranda@africaonline.co.ke

**Gilly Hotel, Migori:** The Gilly Hotel located of the Kisii-Isebania road, about 50 km from Kenya’s border with Tanzania. Its rooms are self-contained, have hot water and electricity.

Note: The exact assignment accommodation location may change to enable the consultant have closer relations with the host organization.
Travel Advance Schedule: The assignment is based mainly in rural Kenya. The volunteer will be provided with transport by ACDI/VOCA while in Nairobi and by the Ondong Cooperative while in Migori. Prior to leaving the United States, the volunteer will be provided with adequate per diem for each location, ($30/day while in Nairobi and $22/day upcountry). Lodging will be paid separately and will be on an actual basis.

Exchange Rate: U.S. $ 1 = 77.5 Kenya Shillings.

B. Country Information.

Official Name: Republic of Kenya

Geography:
Area: 582,646 sq. km. (224,960 sq mi.); slightly smaller than Texas, of which 130,000 sq km is water area.
Cities: Capital--Nairobi (pop. 2.1 million). Other cities--Mombasa (665,000), Kisumu (504,000), Nakuru (1.2 million).
Terrain: Kenya rises from a low coastal plain on the Indian Ocean in a series of mountain ridges and plateaus, which stand to, above 3,000 meters (9,000 ft.) in the center of the country. The Rift Valley bisects the country above Nairobi, opening up to a broad arid plain in the north. Mountain plains cover the south before descending to the shores of Lake Victoria in the west.

Climate: Although the country lies across the equator, it experiences wide climatic variations due to great differences in altitude. There is a narrow tropical belt along the coastline behind which lies large areas of arid and semi arid land. The land then rises steadily to the temperate highland plateau (where Nairobi is situated) through which passes the spectacular Rift Valley with the highlands to its west and east. Towards the extreme West and South-West the presence of Lake Victoria (the second largest single fresh water body in the world) has modifies the climate to humid semi-tropical.

Environment and Natural Resources
Kenya’s natural resources include gold, limestone, soda ash, salt barites, rubies, fluorspar, garnets, and wildlife.

Current environmental issues of greatest concern are water pollution from urban and industrial wastes; degradation of water quality from increased use of pesticides and fertilizers; deforestation; soil erosion; desertification; and poaching.

Kenya is party to the following international agreements: Biodiversity, Climate Change, Desertification, Endangered Species, Law of the Sea, Marine Dumping, Marine Life Conservation, Nuclear Test Ban, Ozone Layer Protection, Ship Pollution, Wetlands.

History:
Fossils found in East Africa suggest that protohumans roamed the area more than 20 million years ago. Recent finds near Kenya’s Lake Turkana indicate that hominids lived in the area 2.6 million years ago.

Cushitic-speaking people from northern Africa moved into the area that is now Kenya beginning around 2000 BC. Arab traders began frequenting the Kenya coast around the
first century A.D. Kenya's proximity to the Arabian Peninsula invited colonization, and Arab and Persian settlements sprouted along the coast by the eighth century. During the first millennium A.D., Nilotic and Bantu peoples moved into the region, and the latter now comprises three-quarters of Kenya's population.

The Swahili language, a mixture of Bantu and Arabic, developed as a lingua franca for trade between the different peoples. Arab dominance on the coast was eclipsed by the arrival in 1498 of the Portuguese, who gave way in turn to Islamic control under the Imam of Oman in the 1600s. The United Kingdom established its influence in the 19th century.

The colonial history of Kenya dates from the Berlin Conference of 1885, when the European powers first partitioned East Africa into spheres of influence. In 1895, the U.K. Government established the East African Protectorate and, soon after, opened the fertile highlands to white settlers. The settlers were allowed a voice in government even before it was officially made a U.K. colony in 1920, but Africans were prohibited from direct political participation until 1944.

From October 1952 to December 1959, Kenya was under a state of emergency arising from the "Mau Mau" rebellion against British colonial rule. During this period, African participation in the political process increased rapidly.

The first direct elections for Africans to the Legislative Council took place in 1957. Kenya became independent on December 12, 1963, and the next year joined the Commonwealth. Jomo Kenyatta, a member of the predominant Kikuyu tribe and head of the Kenya African National Union (KANU), became Kenya's first president. The minority party, Kenya African Democratic Union (KADU), representing a coalition of small tribes that had feared dominance by larger ones, dissolved itself voluntarily in 1964 and joined KANU.

A small but significant leftist opposition party, the Kenya People's Union (KPU), was formed in 1966, led by Jaramogi Oginga Odinga, a former vice president and Luo elder. The KPU was banned and its leader detained after political unrest related to Kenyatta's visit to Nyanza Province. No new opposition parties were formed after 1969, and KANU became the sole political party. On Kenyatta's death in August 1978, Vice President Daniel arap Moi became interim President. On October 14, Moi became President formally after he was elected head of KANU and designated its sole nominee.

In June 1982, the National Assembly amended the constitution, making Kenya officially a one-party state, and parliamentary elections were held in September 1983. The 1988 elections reinforced the one-party system. However, in December 1991, parliament repealed the one-party section of the constitution. By early 1992, several new parties had formed, and multiparty elections were held in December 1992.

President Moi was reelected for another 5-year term. Opposition parties won about 45% of the parliamentary seats, while President Moi's KANU Party obtained majority of the seats. Parliamentary reforms in November 1997 enlarged the democratic space in Kenya, including the expansion of political parties from 11 to 26. President Moi won re-election as President in the December 1997 elections, and his KANU Party narrowly retained its parliamentary majority, with 109 out of 122 seats.
In the last general elections held in December 2002, the KANU party lost to the National Rainbow Coalition (NARC), a coalition of majority opposition parties which united to topple the ruling party. Mr. Emilio Mwai Kibaki won the Presidential elections, making him the third President of Kenya since independence.

**Government:**

The Republic of Kenya gained its independence from the UK in 1963. The President is the chief of state, head of the government, and commander in chief of the armed forces. The legislative branch consists of the parliament consisting 288 representatives elected for a fixed 5-year term. Kenya has enjoyed relative political stability, especially in the context of its neighbors in eastern Africa.

Kenya has many fundamentals of a democratic society: strong civic institutions, including a vocal press, and citizens committed to the ideals of democracy. Suffrage in Kenya is universal for those over 18 years of age, but women’s participation in government is limited at the ministerial and sub-ministerial level (less than 6% of positions are occupied by women). Likewise, women’s representation in the Kenyan Parliament was low at about 3% following the 1992 elections.

The unicameral assembly consists of 210 members elected to a term of up to 5 years, plus 12 members appointed by the president. The president appoints the vice president and cabinet members from among those elected to the assembly. The attorney general and the speaker are ex-officio members of the National Assembly.

The judiciary is headed by a High Court, consisting of a chief justice and at least 30 High Court judges and judges of Kenya’s Court of Appeal (no associate judges), all appointed by the president.

Local administration is divided among 63 rural districts, each headed by a presidentially appointed commissioner. The districts are joined to form seven rural provinces. The Nairobi area has special status and is not included in any district or province. The government supervises administration of districts and provinces.

Administrative subdivisions: 63 districts, joined to form 7 rural provinces. Nairobi area has special status.

Political parties: 41 registered political parties.

Ruling party: National Rainbow Coalition (NARC).

Suffrage: Universal at 18.

**People and Culture:**

Kenya has a culturally diverse population of about 30 million that includes most major language groups of Africa (Swahili and more than 40 local ethnic languages; and English). Ethnic groups include: African--Kikuyu 21%, Luhyia 14%, Luo 13%, Kalenjin 11%, Kamba 11%, Kisii 6%, Meru 5%, non-African--Asian, European, Arab 1%. In 1998, the population growth rate was estimated to be 1.71%.

The national motto of Kenya is Harambee, meaning, "Pull together". In that spirit, volunteers in hundreds of communities build schools, clinics, and other facilities each year and collect funds to send students abroad.
Traditional pastoralists, rural farmers, Muslims, and urban residents of Nairobi and other cities contribute to the cosmopolitan culture. The standard of living in major cities, once relatively high compared with much of Sub-Saharan Africa has been declining in recent years. Most city workers retain links with their rural, extended families and leave the city periodically to help work on the family farm. About 75% of the work force is engaged in agriculture, mainly as subsistence farmers.

Kenya is home to various religious groups, including: indigenous beliefs (10%), Protestant (40%), Roman Catholic (30%), Muslim (20%).

**Population Structure**

The population statistics in Kenya as of 2002 reveal that there were about 31,138,735 persons living in the country (*note*: estimates explicitly take into account the effects of excess mortality due to AIDS; this can result in lower life expectancy, higher infant mortality and death rates, lower population and growth rates, and changes in the distribution of population by age and sex than would otherwise be expected (July 2002 est.) The population structure is given as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of Males</th>
<th>Number of Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 14 years</td>
<td>6,462,430</td>
<td>6,327,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 64 years</td>
<td>8,769,546</td>
<td>8,694,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 years and above</td>
<td>385,361</td>
<td>499,612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The population growth rate is estimated at 1.15%, with a birth rate of 27.61 births per 1000 persons and a death rate of 14.68 deaths per 1000 persons. The net migration rate is put at -1.48 per a population of 1000 persons. This has been detrimental to the economy since emigrants are usually young professionals seeking “greener pastures” abroad.

**Sex Ratio:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At birth</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 15 years</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 64 years</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 years and above</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The infant mortality rate is estimated at 67.24 deaths per 1000 live births. The life expectancy at birth for the entire population is 47.02 years.

**Political Conditions:**

Since independence, Kenya has maintained remarkable stability despite changes in its political system and crises in neighboring countries. Particularly since the re-emergence of multiparty democracy, Kenyans have enjoyed an increased degree of freedom.
A bipartisan parliamentary reform initiative in the fall of 1997 revised some oppressive laws inherited from the colonial era that had been used to limit freedom of speech and assembly. This significantly improved public freedoms and assembly and made for generally credible national elections in December 1997.

President Moi’s term ended in December 2002. General elections held at the end of December resulted in the election of a new president, Emilio Mwai Kibaki, and a substantial win and Majority of parliamentary seats by his NARC Party.

**Education:**

Primary education is now compulsory under the NARC government. As a result, attendance in primary school has increased. Although official enrollment figures are not yet available, it is estimated that primary school attendance may now be close to 95%.

Literacy, defined as the percentage of those aged 15 and over who can read and write, in the total population is 78%, but this is highly skewed by gender. For males, literacy is estimated at 86% for females 70%.

The five state universities enroll about 38,000 students, representing some 25% of the Kenyan students who qualify for admission. Girls have made gains relative to boys in percentages enrolled in primary education, but at the university level, only 38 women are enrolled for every 100 male students. The increasing education of women has accompanied a decline in fertility in Kenya over the past several decades.

**Foreign Relations:**

Despite internal tensions in Sudan and Ethiopia, Kenya has maintained good relations with Sudan and Ethiopia, its northern neighbors. Recent relations with Uganda and Tanzania have improved as the three countries work for mutual economic benefit. In March 1996, the Presidents of Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda re-established the East African Co-operation (EAC). The EAC has grown into the East African Community (EAC), with the signing of a treaty for the community by the three presidents on November 30, 1999. The EAC’s objectives include harmonizing tariffs and customs regimes, free movement of people, and improving regional infrastructures. The lack of a cohesive government in Somalia prevents normal contact with that country, but Kenya serves as the major host for refugees from turmoil in Somalia.

Kenya maintains a moderate profile in Third World politics. Kenya's relations with Western countries are generally friendly, although current political and economic instabilities are often blamed on Western pressures.

Kenya serves as a major host for refugees from Somalia and Sudan and currently has troops in three UN peacekeeping operations in the Bosnia-Herzegovina, Democratic Republic of Congo, Liberia, and Sierra Leone.

**U.S.-Kenyan Relations:**

The United States and Kenya have enjoyed cordial relations since Kenya's independence. More than 7,000 U.S. citizens live in Kenya, and as many as 25,000 Americans visit Kenya annually. About two-thirds of the resident Americans are missionaries and their families. U.S. business investment is estimated to be more than $285 million, primarily in commerce, light manufacturing, and the tourism industry.
U.S. assistance to Kenya promotes broad-based economic development as the basis for continued progress in political, social, and related areas of national life. U.S. aid strategy is designed to achieve four major objectives: health care, including family planning and AIDS prevention; increasing rural incomes by assisting small enterprises and boosting agricultural productivity; sustainable use of natural resources; and the strengthening of democratic institutions. The U.S. also is helping the Kenyan victims of the August 7, 1998 bombing of the American Embassy to recover and rebuild.

The U.S. Peace Corps has over 100 volunteers in Kenya.

**Principal U.S. Officials:**

- Ambassador: William Bellamy
- Deputy Chief of Mission: Leslie V. Rowe
- USAID Mission Director: Kiert Toh
- Public Affairs Officer (USIS): Peter Claussen
- Agriculture Business and Environmental Office Director/ Team Leader SO7: Dr. Meg Brown

**REGISTRATION/EMBASSY LOCATION:**

U.S. citizens visiting or resident in Kenya are encouraged to register with the U.S. Embassy, where they may obtain updated information on travel and security within Kenya. Biographic information, passport data, and itinerary may be faxed to the U.S. Embassy at (254) (2) 537-810; or directly to the consular section at (254) (2) 537-837.

The Embassy in United Nations Avenue, Gigiri, Nairobi, Kenya; telephone (254) (2) 537-800; facsimile (254) (2) 537-810. In the event of an after-hours emergency, the Embassy duty officer may be contacted at (254) (2) 537-809. The Embassy's international mailing address is P.O. Box 30137 Nairobi, Kenya.

**ENTRY and EXIT REQUIREMENTS:**

A passport and visa are required. Visas should be obtained in advance, although airport visas are available. Travelers who opt to obtain an airport visa should expect delays upon arrival. There is a fee for the visa, whether obtained in advance or at the airport. Evidence of yellow fever immunization may be requested.

Travelers may obtain the latest information on visas as well as any additional details regarding entry requirements from the Embassy of Kenya, 2249 R Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20008, telephone (202) 387-6101, or the Kenyan Consulates General in Los Angeles and New York City. Persons outside the United States should contact the nearest Kenyan embassy or consulate.

On leaving the country, an exit tax of $25 is levied (this amount is normally included in airport taxes charged on tickets).
SAFETY AND SECURITY:

All efforts are made to ensure the safety of our volunteers. ACDI/VOCA's Emergency Hotline can be reached 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, at 1-703-259-2288. The Hotline accepts collect calls. Contact information for ACDI/VOCA staff in country can be found below.

The State Department has recently downgraded its travel advisory to Kenya. The new travel warning can be found below:

May 21, 2004: This Travel Warning is being issued to alert American citizens to ongoing safety and security concerns in Kenya. This supersedes the Travel Warning of September 25, 2003.

Due to ongoing security concerns, the Department urges Americans to consider carefully the risks of travel to Kenya at this time. The Department recommends that private American citizens in Kenya evaluate their personal security situation in light of the current terrorist threat.

The U.S. Government continues to receive indications of terrorist threats in the region aimed at American and Western interests. Terrorism poses a continuing threat in Kenya and throughout East Africa. Terrorist actions may include suicide operations, bombings, kidnappings, or attacks on civil aviation. U.S. citizens should be aware of the risk of indiscriminate attacks on civilian targets in public places including tourist sites and locations where westerners are known to congregate, as well as commercial operations associated with U.S. or other Western interests.

American citizens resident in or traveling to Kenya should remain vigilant, particularly in public places frequented by foreigners such as hotels, shopping malls, restaurants, and places of worship, and should also avoid demonstrations and large crowds. In particular, there is a continuing threat against westerners in the capital, Nairobi, and the coastal region.

U.S. citizens in or traveling to Kenya should consult the Department of State's Consular Information Sheet for Kenya, the East Africa Public Announcement, and the Worldwide Caution Public Announcement, which are available via the Internet at http://travel.state.gov. American citizens may obtain up-to-date information on security conditions by calling 1-888-407-4747 toll-free in the United States or 317-472-2328 from overseas.

U.S. citizens visiting or resident in Kenya are encouraged to register with the U.S. Embassy. Security updates are e-mailed to all registered Americans monthly. American citizens may complete a registration form on-line at http://usembassy.state.gov/nairobi/wwwwhcon3.html or may request one by email at: kenya_acs@state.gov. American citizens living or traveling in Kenya may contact the U.S. Embassy in Nairobi at 363-6000 during normal business hours; after-hours phone numbers are 363-6170 and 0722-514-246.
Economy:

Kenya’s gross domestic product (GDP), Purchasing Power Parity (PPP), was $31 billion in 2001, and per capita income (PPP) was $1,000. The formal economic sector is estimated to employ 1.6 million wage earners, nearly one million in the country’s main urban areas. Formal sector economic activities are divided into three main sectors: services (47%), industry and commerce (34%), and agriculture (19%), whereas the overall GDP in the country is produced by the same major sectors in slightly different proportions: services (53%), agriculture (27%), and industry (20%). The urban sector employs 0.9 million people of the country’s total labor force of nearly 9 million people. In 2001, the unemployment rate was estimated at 40% in urban areas.

Informal sector activities are dominated by smallholder farming households, which are estimated to number approximately 3 million in Kenya, accounting for 70% of the economically active population and 75% of total agricultural production.

Officially, women account for 46% of the labor force in Kenya, and 74% of women age 15 years and older are economically active. Across the major sectors, women’s economic activity is concentrated in agriculture (85% of economically active women), with a much smaller percentage involved in services (12%), and even smaller proportion in industry (3%). Women provide over half the agricultural labor, and manage nearly half of all farms in Kenya. In 1990, women earned 73% of what men earned in manufacturing (all figures are estimates from the United Nations).

Kenya’s farmers produce tea, coffee, sugarcane, maize, wheat, rice, sisal, pineapples, pyrethrum, dairy products, meat and meat products, hides and skin. The major industry in Kenya consists of production of petroleum products, cement, beer and soft drinks, textiles and paper, grain and sugar milling, and other light manufacturing. Kenya’s major exports in 1996 were tea, coffee, horticultural products, petroleum products, cement, pyrethrum, soda ash, sisal, hides and skins, fluorspar. Its major export markets include Uganda, Tanzania, the United Kingdom, Germany, the Netherlands, Ethiopia, Rwanda, Egypt, South Africa, and the United States. From its major import suppliers—the United Kingdom, Japan, South Africa, Germany, United Arab Emirates, Italy, India, France, United States, and Saudi Arabia—Kenya imports machinery, vehicles, crude petroleum and refined petroleum products, iron and steel, resins and plastic materials, pharmaceuticals, paper and paper products, fertilizers, and wheat.

In 1993, with the assistance of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Government of Kenya began a major program of economic reform and liberalization. Economic conditions have improved with the implementation of new economic measures, but Kenya currently faces a growing budget deficit, high interest rates, rising inflation, and deteriorating infrastructure. Although many economic reforms put in place in 1993-94 remain, further reforms, particularly in governance, are necessary if Kenya is to increase GDP growth and combat poverty among the majority of its population. Tourism is a major income-generating sector of the economy, but its growth has slowed in the late 1990s. The reality and the public perception of political and social unrest in Kenya, and the destruction of infrastructure by disastrous floods in 1997 and 1998, have slowed tourist traffic.
### Staff List and In-country Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACDI/VOCA –KENYA</th>
<th>ACDI/VOCA HEADQUARTERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>209 Muthangari Drive, off Waiyaki Way Westlands, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 254-20-4443254 Fax: 254-2-4450785 E-mail: <a href="mailto:mail@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke">mail@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke</a></td>
<td>ACDI/VOCA 50 F Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20001 Tel: (202) 879-0268 Fax: (202) 626-8726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Director: Steve Collins E-mail: <a href="mailto:scollins@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke">scollins@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke</a></td>
<td>Project Assistant: Elisabeth Farmer Email: <a href="mailto:efarmer@acdivoca.org">efarmer@acdivoca.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Coordinator, Kenya Farmer-to-Farmer Program: Sebastian Wanjala Cell Phone number: +254-733-714468 E-mail: <a href="mailto:sowanjala@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke">sowanjala@acdivoca-kenya.or.ke</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX: Description of Town.

The volunteer will be resident in Migori, the headquarters of Migori District in the Republic of Kenya.

Location: 450 Kilometers South West of Nairobi

Population and People 40,000 (1999 Census)

Bargaining Standard

Electricity 240 volts (rationing is regular)

Hours of business 08.00 – 17.00 Hrs

Security Fair

Dress Standard/Casual (hot and humid climate)

Time (GMT + 03.00) East African Time: Nairobi

Currency Kenya Shilling (Kshs)

Banks Kenya Commercial Bank.

Hotels Migori Tourist Hotel

Credit Cards Visa etc.

Tipping At discretion

Health Nairobi Hospital Evacuation Services (Africa Air Rescue, Amref, Flying Doctor Services etc.)

Transport Road Network (Buses)

Communications Telephone, Net Services, e-mail etc.


Languages English and Kiswahili (official languages), Dholuo and Kisii (native dialects).
ANNEX F: EXAMPLE OF ASSIGNMENT REPORT: WINROCK INTERNATIONAL – NIGERIA

End of Assignment Report

NIG107

Cover Page and Specific Questions

Winrock requires a record of your volunteer activities in order to learn from your work and use that to develop future assignments. USAID also requires a report from every overseas assignment. Documenting and learning from completed assignments is critical to the long-term success of the overall Farmer-to-Farmer Program. We are particularly interested in the impact of your work on the people and organizations you interacted with. Therefore, we ask you to answer the following questions in order for us to better understand the activities and impacts that occurred during your assignment.

Typically, you will have 2 days at the end of your assignment in a Winrock field office to finalize your end of assignment report. We recommend that you keep a daily journal of your activities, recommendations, and impacts to assist in completing this report. For assignment teams of two or more volunteers, we request that volunteers work together and submit a joint end of assignment report.

Part A. Please provide the following information:

Volunteer name(s): Mary Carmen Yamamoto
Assignment dates: Sep 7- Sep 21 (Host 1)
Name of host(s): Diocesan Development Services
Assignment location(s): Idah, Kogi State

Number of individuals directly participating in technical assistance or training:
Male: 12
Female: 3

Number of individuals that you anticipate may benefit from your assistance (for example, other employees of the enterprise you worked with or other family members who are likely to benefit from your assignment):
Male: 100
Female: 30

Please attach this cover page to your responses to the questions listed below.

Part B. Please answer the following questions in as much detail as you think is necessary.
1. What were the objectives of your assignment? What assistance did your host request? (Please note any changes made in your scope of work during your assignment.)

Objectives of assignment:

- Provide new methods of composting.
- Improve their composting methods and increase the efficiency.
- Identify different materials in the area for composting.
- Change in SOW that I made: Trainee in waste management.

Assistance that the host request: In this case, DDS didn’t ask for advice about organic fertilizer. It was an introduction for me to starting work in Organic fertilizer in Nigeria.

2. What activities and assistance were part of your assignment? Who was involved? What topics were addressed? (Details regarding any materials that were developed, the number of people trained, the organizations that participated in your programs or that you met with, etc., are all very important.)

People involved: DDS farm workers

Activities and assistance

First week:

- I met Sister Nora, DDS staff office and DDS farm staff.
- Each morning I went to the farm and watched the different systems of agriculture.
- Postharvested some products as oranges, maize and chilli pepper with workers for understanding their job.
- Showed to the workers some photos of composting in my area and some graphics.
- We made a pit with palm leaves and the workers showed how they made it.
- Analyzed each compost method in DDS farm.
- We planned the training with the workers and planned to make the compost heaps for next week.
- In the weekend I worked in DDS compound where they have a little plot of maize, so we mulch it with some leaves around.

Second week

- Training in composting: Introduction of composting methods to the workers. Then, we walked around each heap in the farm. We analyzed and discussed with the workers the problems; advantage and disadvantage of each heap and find solutions together.
- Analyze the mulching in trees in the farm and how to do it better.
- Make 3 compost heaps with different materials.
• Training in waste management: Identify problems and possible solutions in the farm with the workers.

3. Did you observe any improvements with respect to the objectives and expected results outlined in your assignment scope of work? Please explain.

• Yes, the workers were very enthusiastic with the training, and they were very interested in learning another way to make compost, with different materials in the farm.

• Also, the workers were very motivated when we discussed during the training about the different topics and they were very participative.

4. Could you see any other impacts by the end of your assignment? If so, what? (Please include any new knowledge transferred, skills obtained by the host, and new attitudes observed.)

• Working in groups to analyze and discuss in the trainings.

• Identify a problem and find a solution together.

5. Do you anticipate any future impacts as a result of your assignment? (Please explain and list ways in which you think the results of your assignment can be measured 6 months from now.

• Mulching and composting the trees in a correct way: 1 foot away from the main stem.

• Use of different materials for doing compost from the farm and from outside.

• Better waste management: Less plastic bags and empty bottles of pesticides around the farm.

6. What effect did your assignment have on you personally and/or professionally (for example, new knowledge, contacts, etc.)?

• During this assignment I feel very happy with the job and all the DDS staff. They were very friendly and receptive to learn.

• I knew more about sustainable methods in agriculture with DDS.

• I was very impressed about the pressure of land in Idah because I visited some farmers around the area and many of them are not the owners and that means:

• Anytime, the owner could come and they have to leave the land. So they don’t take care of land and for example composting is not important for them because they think it’s a waste of time.

• DDS is an example of organization that really works for development.

• DDS books are really interesting and very well analyzed.

7. Drawing on your volunteer experience, please provide us with any conclusions and recommendations for follow-up activities to build on your assignment.

• Winrock International is doing a good job providing volunteers in DDS because, after the program many farmers are going to be benefit from DDS program.
Part C. In the form of a personal letter to your host, please summarize your short- and long-term recommendations. This letter will be translated and delivered to your host. (attached)

Part D. Please attach a list of the names of people contacted during your assignment. You can photocopy business cards collected or photocopy the contact list from your daily journal.

Sister Nora McNamara          Mr. Stephen Morse
Mr. Chris Vasiliu              Sister Catherine Oguono
Mr. Remi Ikka                 Mr. Gerald Obaje
Workers in the farm
Patience Alfa, Deborah Yunusa, Alwall Okpanchi, Paul Usman,, Joel Ikani, Yusufu, Idakiwo, Gabriel Ibrahim, Anthony Ezema

(Personal letter to host summarizing short- and long-term recommendations.)

Sister Nora McNamara
Diocesan Development Services
Idah, Kogi State
Nigeria
Dear Sister Nora,

Thank you for the opportunity to help DDS farm and to share new skills in composting and agriculture.

I really appreciate your attention with me. The time that I expend with the workers farmer was really good.

In general composting methods in DDS farm are very good and I made some observations and recommendations, as well I described the activities that I made in the farm. I'm attaching this at the end of the letter.

I hope that the workers could go on with the compost heaps and check and go on to improve them.

About the composting samples to be analyzed, in this moment in IITA are not accepting samples from outside. So, I found another laboratory in Ibadan and I’m going to try.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to assist DDS with knowledge and skills about composting and waste management.

Please give my greetings to the people in the farm.

Sincerely,

Mary Carmen Yamamoto
Attachment: Observations and recommendation for each compost method:

- Oil palm bunches: Collect oil palm bunches and makes a heap in the surface. It was made 8 months ago and it’s turned once a month. It has aeration, good moist, colors and good odor.
- Pit: DDS dig into a hole 5m x 3 m and 30 cm deep. Put layers of palm trees leaves, weeds, soils, and compost already done. They don’t turn it and It will be used next year. Recommendation: Put more layers, use fresh manure, and for enrich the compost use some legumes leaves which are near to this area. And something very important is not to put so much water.
- Cow dung: DDS let decay the manure for some months, but the manure is too wet and has a bad odor. Recommendation: Mix cattle manure with something else like sawdust, green leaves or rice chaff (waste near River Niger). Turn it at least once or twice a month.
- Goat’s manure: DDS let decay the manure and mix with soil. The light is very good and the odor is very well and goat’s manure has a very good texture.
- Leaves heaps: Carry leaves, branches into heap and then the tractor passed up and break the branches, wait 1 year or more for using that compost.

Activities at DDS farm:

- Taking samples of each compost heap that are going to be analyzed in the laboratory.
- Compost heaps made

1.- We made a heap of goats manure with weeds and some leaves from trees from the area. 0.60m x 4m and 0.60m height. This heap is longer but not to wide for facilitating turn it at least once a month.

2.- Cow dung with rice chaff ashes.

3.- Cow dung with rice chaff ashes, weed and cover crops.

- Training at DDS farm

Training in composting: First show photos of composting an introduction: definition, process, methods, and application of composting. After we walked around each composting area and we discuss the different methods. Participation of the workers and give ideas to resolve different problems.

We discuss that mulching and composting are too close to the stem trees causing too much humidity and many ants in that area that could cause many sicknesses. Besides the most important roots are 2 foots from the stem. This is very important because the principal roots are not taking advantage of mulching. They just have to take care when they mulch.
• Training in waste management:

We analyzed problems in the farm and solutions. We walked around and identified that pesticides management and plastic management are not very appropriate. There are many plastics around the farm and many empty bottles of pesticides for example in the classrooms.
End of Assignment Report

Cover Page and Specific Questions

Winrock requires a record of your volunteer activities in order to learn from your work and use that to develop future assignments. USAID also requires a report from every overseas assignment. Documenting and learning from completed assignments is critical to the long-term success of the overall Farmer-to-Farmer Program. We are particularly interested in the impact of your work on the people and organizations you interacted with. Therefore, we ask you to answer the following questions in order for us to better understand the activities and impacts that occurred during your assignment.

Typically, you will have 2 days at the end of your assignment in a Winrock field office to finalize your end of assignment report. We recommend that you keep a daily journal of your activities, recommendations, and impacts to assist in completing this report. For assignment teams of two or more volunteers, we request that volunteers work together and submit a joint end of assignment report.

Part A. Please provide the following information:

Volunteer name(s): Mary Carmen Yamamoto
Assignment dates: Sep 24 - Oct 14 (Host 2)
Name of host(s): University of Ibadan, Dept. of Agronomy
Assignment location(s): Ibadan, Oyo State

Number of individuals directly participating in technical assistance or training:
Male: 4
Female: 7

Number of individuals that you anticipate may benefit from your assistance (for example, other employees of the enterprise you worked with or other family members who are likely to benefit from your assignment):
Male: 40
Female: 70

Please attach this cover page to your responses to the questions listed below.

Part B. Please answer the following questions in as much detail as you think is necessary.

1. What were the objectives of your assignment? What assistance did your host request? (Please note any changes made in your scope of work during your assignment.)

Objectives of assignment:
- Improve their composting methods.
- Identify different materials in the area for composting
• Assistance that the host request: Decrease the time of composting.

2. What activities and assistance were part of your assignment? Who was involved? What topics were addressed? (Details regarding any materials that were developed, the number of people trained, the organizations that participated in your programs or that you met with, etc., are all very important.)

People involved: Professor Omueti, workers in the plant, students University of Ibadan, Winrock staff.

Activities and assistance
• Brochure how to make compost in the plant. (see attached)
• Training in how to increase microorganism in the compost process.
• Find waste materials that serve as activator for doing faster the compost.
• Production cost of a compost heap.
• Photo shows of composting in my area.
• Make temperatures graph of some heaps during the process.

3. Did you observe any improvements with respect to the objectives and expected results outlined in your assignment scope of work? Please explain.
• Yes, the people trained were very interested in a different compost method carry out.
• One of the principal factors which was carry out for composting is microorganism. So they learnt how to increase the microorganism in the heap for a faster process.
• During the teaching with the people, we found out that there are many other cheaper or free materials for accelerating the compost such as activators like cassava effluent, palm wine, waste of malt, waste of sugar process.

4. Could you see any other impacts by the end of your assignment? If so, what? (Please include any new knowledge transferred, skills obtained by the host, and new attitudes observed.)
• Yes, there was a student working in how to redeem soils polluted with oil in Delta state using microorganisms. I’m sure the training about microorganisms in composting was very useful for him.

5. Do you anticipate any future impacts as a result of your assignment? (Please explain and list ways in which you think the results of your assignment can be measured 6 months from now.)
• Yes, the reasons are because reducing the compost time with the microorganism and using the activators.
• The brochures have to be a tool for farmers and people interested in a composting plant.

6. What effect did your assignment have on you personally and/or professionally (for example, new knowledge, contacts, etc.)?
• I expand my knowledge about the new development of composting in Organo Mineral Fertilizer Plant in Ibadan.

• I also notice about the problems of waste management in Ibadan like plastic bags of water and cow dung in the roads.

• I feel very good working in the plant with the student that were very enthusiastic.

8. Drawing on your volunteer experience, please provide us with any conclusions and recommendations for follow-up activities to build on your assignment.

• The Organo Mineral Fertilizer Plant in Ibadan is a plant that many people could beneficiate, because their process is very good and they want to teach other farmers and make other plants in other states.

Part C. In the form of a personal letter to your host, please summarize your short- and long-term recommendations. This letter will be translated and delivered to your host. (See below.)

Part D. Please attach a list of the names of people contacted during your assignment. You can photocopy business cards collected or photocopy the contact list from your daily journal.

Dr. Professor Omueti
Leonides Halos - Kim
Olu Osiname
Daniela Horna
J.I. Uponi
Rodomiro Ortiz
Joseph J. Adu-Gyamfi
Obgbareno Pipy Fawole
Volker Decher
Students and Workers in the plant
Onibon Vinvent Lanre
Ishola O. Ganiyat
Bimbo Alao
Anthonia Izevbigie
Lawrence Uswa
Goodluck Ogbonna
Ajaji Nike

========================================================
(Personal letter to host summarizing short- and long-term recommendations.)

Professor John Ajayi Omueti
Professor in Soil Science
Department of Agronomy
University of Ibadan

Dear Professor Omueti,

Thank you for the opportunity to help in the Organo Mineral Fertilizer Pilot Plant in the University of Ibadan to share new skills in composting. I really appreciate your attention with me as the attention of the workers and students at University of Ibadan.

I would like to hear from you about the different experiments that we made, as the microorganism of forest, the use of cassava effluent in the heaps and reduce turning for increasing the temperature at the beginning.

As well the brochure that I made from the plant is going to be very useful for showing others how to make compost, because the way that the plant makes compost now is very good and it is an example for many farmers and interested people.

I hope that the materials that I gave you are going to be very useful for you and your students.

Thanks again for having the pleasure of working with you at the plant and send my regard to the workers and the students.

Sincerely,

Mary Carmen Yamamoto
Farmer-to-Farmer

End of Assignment Questionnaire

Winrock International Institute for Agricultural Development is committed to ranking all Farmer-to-Farmer volunteer assignments as constructive and rewarding as possible. We ask that you take a few minutes and tell us whether your time was well spent. In particular, we will use your comments to strengthen the experience of future Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers and improve the contributions that our volunteers make. Your insights and suggestions are appreciated. Please complete this questionnaire and return it to Winrock International Field Staff.

Location and Dates of Assignment:

- DDS, Kogi State
- University of Ibadan

Please circle the corresponding number to rate your opinion in each category below.

Where response is not applicable, please leave blank.

1. Pre-Assignment Orientation/Briefing:

   | Excellent | Poor |
---|---|---|
   a. Host country information                      | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   b. Host country organization information         | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   c. Information on culture and customs                     | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   d. Information on your assignment                   | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   e. Travel preparation and support                   | 1 2 3 4 5 |

   How can we improve?

   There is enough information about the host but not about the problems they have. Define exactly and better what the host wants in the scope of work.

2. Logistics of Your Assignment In-Country:

   | Excellent | Poor |
---|---|---|
   a. Host country organization support                   | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   b. Access to translator if needed                      | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   c. Accommodations in country                           | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   d. Other logistics, i.e. local transportation             | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   e. Per diem level                                      | 1 2 3 4 5 |
   f. Program staff support and assistance                 | 1 2 3 4 5 |

   How can we improve?
3. Volunteer Assignment Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Matching your skills with assignment</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Appropriate season for the assignment</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Length of your assignment</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Availability of appropriate local staff</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Access to local recipient populations</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Access to facilities/equipment</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How can we improve?

4. The degree to which your actual tasks matched the original scope of work:

1) Very Closely   2) Closely   3) Somewhat   4) Very slightly   5) Not at all

What factors account for any gap that you may have noted above?

- Practical experience in composting in a similar climate as Nigeria.

5. Receptiveness of your assignment by the host country organization and/or beneficiary farmers?

1) Extremely well   2) Well   3) Somewhat   4) Very Little   5) Not at all

What improvements can be made?

6. Final Comments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. On a personal level, your overall impressions of the assignment.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. On a professional level, your assessment of the effectiveness of the assignment.</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Is this your first volunteer assignment with Winrock?</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Would you volunteer with Winrock again?</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. With the same host country organization?</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Would you recommend our program to colleagues?</td>
<td>Yes No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall impressions of your volunteer assignment:

- This is the first time that I work with Winrock International and I’m very impressed about its job. As well It’s a very well organized institution. Winrock Nigerian staff was really good and they helped me as much as they could, that was very useful for my job.
If you think you know of colleagues who are interested to learn more about Winrock International's Farmer-to-Farmer Program, please provide their name and contact information below and we will send them a brochure of the program:

Your assistance in providing this summary report will help us to ensure that your assignment has the maximum impact possible. Your efforts are greatly appreciated!
Main objective: Reduce time of composting.

Activities in the plant

1. Temperature records from past compost: PM/SD 1, PM/SD 2, CD/SD 1 and CD/SD 2.

2. Increase temperature in the heap
   A) Heap CD/SD 4
   B) Heap CD/SD nylon cover
   C) Heap CD/SD and heap PM/SD turning each 5 days

3. Increase microorganism in the heap
   A) Heap CD/SD cassava effluent
   B) Make forest microorganisms (FM).

Other activities

1. Brochure of composting in the Organo - mineral fertilizer Plant.

2. Production cost of one compost heap.

1. Temperature records from 4 compost heaps

In the Organo - Mineral Fertilizer Plant the students take the temperature of the heaps for looking the variation each day. We recorded the temperature of 4 heaps to analyse them.

In the graphic 1 shows the temperature records from 4 heaps:

PM/SD 1 and 2 (Poultry manure/Sawdust)

CD/SD 1 and 2(Cow dung/Sawdust)

In a normal process of compost the temperature increase at the beginning, after it reach a constant temperature (curing compost). In this case the temperature is not so high at the beginning and that could increase the time of composting.

Temperature in all the heaps don’t increase more than 53 degrees and we need a temperature between 55 and 60 ºC for at least 3 days for killing all weeds and disease-causing organisms (pathogens).
The recommendation is to increase the temperature at the beginning (the first 3 weeks):

Normally the heaps are turning each 3 days, you could wait more time to turn.

Add an activator like waste from sugar process.

We could see that PM/SD 1-2 have very low temperature. There are many things that could happen:

Not enough water.

Turning too much.

Quantity of sawdust is very high.

**Graphic 1.**

![Time-temperature for composting](image)

2. Increase the temperature in the heaps

As we said before, the peak temperature is 60 °C in a compost process. We made 3 experiments or heaps with different factors for increase the temperature to kill pathogens and to reduce the time of composting.

A) Heap CD/SD 4

Date: 26 sep

For the first two weeks has been turn each 3 days, for the next 2 weeks will be turn each 5 days for increase the temperature.

B) CD/SD with nylon cover

Date: 3 oct
We put a nylon cover in the top for increase the temperature. It is partially cover for permitting the aeration in the low areas.

C) CD/SD and PM/SD turning each 5 days

Date: 11 Oct

The first week will be turn each 3 days and thereafter will be turn each 5 days for increase the temperature.

3. Increase microorganisms in the heaps

A) Heap CD/SD with cassava effluent

Date: 3 Oct

We are adding once a week cassava effluent for increase the temperature and as an activator because it has a lot of quantities of sugar and that increase the microorganisms too. Cassava effluent is a waste from the process of cassava all around Nigeria and is a free material (another material to use could be waste from malt beverages).

B) Make forest microorganisms

Forest microorganisms (FM) in composting

Microorganisms are the most important factor for composting because they decompose organic materials and break them down from complex to simple compounds. Apply them to increase the microorganism in compost, it is used to reduce the time of composting and reduce the odors too.

What do we need?

Forest microorganisms: In the topsoil of an undisturbed forest, below the leaves or below some stems decaying, look for white or cream powders. These are actinomycetes, bacteria and fungi that decompose organic materials. Pick the microorganisms with leaves and topsoil around (no more than 5 cm deep).

Poultry litter or not cure compost: It's optional because there are a lot of microorganisms. You could see a white powder inside these materials.

Fiber: Wheat bran, maize bran, rice chaff, coconut fiber. It provides the medium where the microorganism could grow.

Charcoal: The microorganisms are going to colonize the charcoal.

Sugar: Molasses, sugar cane juice, palm wine, effluent of cassava.

Water: Microorganism needs moisture.
Ingredients

4 kg forest microorganisms, 1 kg of poultry litter, 3 kg wheat, 1 kg charcoal, 1 kg sugar (or 1 lt palm wine or 1 kg effluent of cassava or 1 kg malt waste) and 2 lt water

Preparation

Collect the microorganism from the forest. Pick them with some leaves and the topsoil around (no more than 5 cm deep)

Move this topsoil to the place where you are working.

Mix the topsoil with poultry litter, wheat and charcoal in little pieces.

Add the source of sugar diluted with water to moist, but not watering.

Put the mix in an anaerobic environment, a bucket or a plastic bag and closed, for 2-4 week, depending on climate. If climate is hot the process is going to be faster.

For activating put 1 kg of FM in 20 lt of water and store for 24 hours.

Then mix with water in doses of 15-20%.

Spray in the heaps once a week or as you see convenient.

Other activities

A) Brochure of composting in the Organo - mineral fertilizer Plant. (attached)

The compost in the plant has a high quality and many people could learn how to make compost. This brochure serves for showing farmers, companies, enterprises and all people interested in learning about composting.

B) Production cost of one compost heap

For this plant is very important to have a production cost of a compost heap. I estimated the production cost of 60 days, that is the time for the compost process. Production cost of one compost heap in the Organo-mineral Fertilizer Plant is estimated below:

**Total hours of work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Number of times</th>
<th>Time require (hours)</th>
<th>Total (hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dropp materials</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build heap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watering</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>23.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Production cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit cost (nairas)</th>
<th>Total cost (nairas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dropp materials</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build heap</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>187.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watering</td>
<td>hours</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>15.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan tractor</td>
<td>day</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>day</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bags</td>
<td>bag</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total production cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2954.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total production costs for 1 heap is 2954.4 nairas.

Each 50 kg bag of compost costs 3016.9/10 bags = 295.4 nairas.

Each kg of compost costs 301.7/50 Kg = 5.9 nairas.
Composting is a natural way of recycling organic material and returning it to the earth to be reused.

The Organo-mineral Fertilizer Pilot Plant was established in University of Ibadan in 1995 to alleviate the fertilizer need of farmers.

This plant produces compost in heaps using waste material like market refuse, cow dung, poultry waste, sawdust, etc.

Because the compost is usually low specially in N and P, urea and rock phosphate are usually added in small quantities to make the finished product in this plant “Organo - mineral Fertilizer”

Let’s show how to make compost in the Organo-mineral Fertilizer Pilot Plant.

What is composting?
Composting is a simple biological process that breaks down materials into a dark odorless, nutrient-rich organic product. It is an organic fertilizer that comes from the decomposition of materials with the help of microorganism.

Factors of composting
Temperature: 35-55°C
Moisture: 70-85%
pH: 7 - 8.5
Ratio C:N - 30:1
Oxygen and Microorganism
What do we compost?
Cow dung
Poultry waste
Market refuse
Sawdust

What do we not compost?
Cans, glass, plastics or nylon.

How do we make compost?
Select a flat surface, protected from rain
Mix 3 parts of fresh materials with 1 part of sawdust or market refuse (the ratio 3:1 is from research results).
- 3 cow dung/1 sawdust
- 3 poultry manure/1 sawdust
- 3 cow dung/ 1 market refuse
- 3 poultry manure/ 1 market refuse
Mix and make a heap in windrows 10 m x 1 m and 1.5 m high.

Composting process
Temperature change:
1. Heap is at environment Temp°
2.-Temp° starts to elevate.
3.-Temp° starts to decrease to a constant.
Time of process: 8 weeks.
Turning: every 3 days
Watering: No water the first 3 weeks, thereafter water every 4 or 5 days.
A good compost has a dark color and no odor
ANNEX G: PUBLIC OUTREACH MATERIALS—PARTNERS OF THE AMERICAS

Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers and committee members come from many backgrounds, they include farmers, extension agents, business people, university affiliated faculty and staff - in general, individuals active and respected in their fields and communities. These volunteers are the best advocates for U.S. foreign assistance as they put a face and a personal story of success to the acronyms PVO and USAID. Through the public outreach that Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers perform, U.S. communities learn about the life and struggles of other communities in the hemisphere in a way that directly relates to them and shows how and where they can make a difference. Public outreach also emphasizes global dynamics and explains how such issues as markets for goods and services, food supply, and migration are all relevant to the life of the average U.S. citizen. More than just helping to educate U.S. citizens about the developing world, however, public outreach and development education help increase recruitment of Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers, garner support from the organizations that provide the volunteers, and raise public awareness of the role PVOs and USAID play in tangibly improving quality of life in developing countries.

Examples of Farmer-to-Farmer outreach activities include direct presentations to the local community or university about recent trips, program publications, local media coverage, and Farmer-to-Farmer program web sites. All of these examples help strengthen public-private partnerships by educating the public about development needs and showing how U.S. foreign assistance responds to these needs. Partners’ volunteers have excelled in the number of group presentations delivered and the percentage of volunteers participating in public outreach activities.

An example of a U.S. Partners chapter that excels in public outreach is the North Carolina chapter. The local Farmer-to-Farmer coordinator put together an effective and educational display board highlighting agricultural projects of the North Carolina-Cochabamba Partnership in Bolivia. This board has been displayed at meetings, fairs and conventions of many different organizations throughout North Carolina. In addition, the chapter publishes a newsletter that is distributed to members throughout the state. Although the newsletter covers all aspects of the North Carolina – Cochabamba, Bolivia Partnership, Farmer-to-Farmer activities are featured in almost every issue. Examples of this newsletter, as well as other Partnership information can be found on their web page: [http://www.ncboliviapartners.org](http://www.ncboliviapartners.org). These activities have served to strengthen the North Carolina-Cochabamba, Bolivia Partnership and to attract new members interested in the partnership's activities. The North Carolina Chapter’s Farmer-to-Farmer program is one of the strongest, due, in large part to these public outreach efforts that increase volunteer recruitment, stimulate project follow-up, and evoke feelings of pride at significant accomplishments.

Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers generate quite a lot of media coverage in their own states and communities. The Farmer-to-Farmer coordinator in Wisconsin explains that in Northern Wisconsin, where the population is 99% caucasian and 1% Native American, they also use news articles as a way to bring different cultures to their communities.
addition to the media coverage generated in the U.S., Farmer-to-Farmer is increasingly covered in local news in Latin America and the Caribbean. This news coverage broadens the potential reach of the Farmer-to-Farmer program and helps promote USAID’s public image throughout the hemisphere.

In today’s society, an organization’s web page is becoming its “face” to the outside world and having an organized and useful site helps improve the public image of Partners and the Farmer-to-Farmer program. Wisconsin Partners have developed their own Farmer-to-Farmer web site, in addition to the chapter’s general web site, that provides information about the program’s projects and activities: www.winifff.org. Many portions of the site are available in Spanish as well as English. This site is a way to share Farmer-to-Farmer experiences and accomplishments with friends, family and the community in addition to serving as a recruitment tool for attracting potential new members. The material posted on the web is also used to orient and familiarize new travelers with the work of previous volunteers.

Partners experience has found that increased understanding and awareness of the benefits of international assistance lead directly to increased public support. In order to encourage volunteers to initiate public outreach activities, information on this topic is distributed to each Farmer-to-Farmer volunteer before he or she begins an in-country assignment. In addition, selected Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers are trained in message crafting, targeting audiences and communication with media to insure that these development education efforts are successful.

Since returned volunteers conduct much of the public outreach in their local communities, the local chapter is primarily responsible for responding to inquiries generated and requests for information. However, we also receive many requests for information on both Partners and the Farmer-to-Farmer program from our web site and are quick to respond with program materials and contact information for the inquirer’s local Partners chapter.
SHARE YOUR EXPERIENCE!

What can you do, now that you’re home?

Public Outreach

- **Play the role of local outreach coordinator.** Talk with others about ideas on who to contact, what groups are interested in presentations and how to best “get the word out” and “deliver your message.”

- **Talk to former volunteers.** Previous Farmer-to-Farmer volunteers may have contact information for local media sources or additional ideas on how to generate trip coverage.

- **Tell others about your experience.** Organize presentations for your local chapter, professional colleagues, community groups and area students about your volunteer assignment, estimated impact abroad and potential Farmer-to-Farmer assignments in the future.

- **Encourage local newspapers to cover your story.** Complete and send the attached Farmer-to-Farmer press release template to several local and regional newspapers and include good “action” photographs. In your story, highlight the local angle. How is your experience relevant to your community? How does Farmer-to-Farmer raise multicultural awareness at the global and local levels?

- **Contact local radio and television stations.** Tell area broadcast stations about your volunteer assignment and encourage them to highlight your experience on a local radio show or in the daily news.

- **Position yourself as a resource.** Put your knowledge and experience with Farmer-to-Farmer to use by offering information on Latin America and the Caribbean in general, and on your Partner country in particular, and cultivate an ongoing relationship with individual reporters.

Why is Public Outreach Important?

- **Impacts local communities.** Your impact in the U.S. can be just as important as abroad. This also gets the word out about Farmer-to-Farmer opportunities and encourages potential volunteers to participate. They will provide the expertise necessary to make your work more meaningful.

- **Shows the difference you made.** Presentations and media coverage demonstrate how people-to-people development, particularly your experience, makes a difference and should continue.

- **Demonstrates the benefits of international development.** Public outreach, the exchange of ideas and showing the positive role played by U.S.-supported development efforts are important goals of Partners of the Americas and the Farmer-to-Farmer program.
This notice is to inform you of a unique experience I will participate in when I travel to Guyana through the sponsorship of Partners of the Americas and the Farmer-to-Farmer Program. I believe my experience will be of interest to local readers of your newspaper and I hope to submit an article for publication upon my return.

Dairy Development in Guyana

What: On this volunteer assignment, I will work with the Guyana Dairy Development Project and provide guidance to the National Project Coordinator in the preparation of relevant follow-up projects. I will also offer assistance to the St. Stanislaus Training Centre, and St. Stanislaus College Farm in streamlining its operations as a training institute for farmers and students.

When: March 28-April 10, 2004

Where: Guyana

How: The trip is sponsored by Partners of the Americas (see below), specifically the Farmer-to-Farmer Program. The Farmer-to-Farmer Program improves economic opportunities in rural areas of Latin America and the Caribbean by increasing food production and distribution, promoting better farm and marketing operations and conserving natural resources. The program is supported by Congress and the Agency for International Development as part of the United States foreign assistance program. Farmer-to-Farmer brings together agricultural professionals and practitioners from the U.S. and Latin America. Volunteers from the U.S. work with farmers and ranchers in Latin America to identify local needs and design projects to address them.

Sponsoring Organization Information: Partners was founded in 1964 as the people-to-people component of the Alliance for Progress. Today, it is a private, nonprofit and nonpartisan organization, enjoying the support of many committed volunteers, international corporations, public agencies, and foundations. Partners of the Americas is the largest volunteer-based organization in the western hemisphere engaged in social, economic, and cultural development. Partners of the Americas turns ideas into action. We envision a hemisphere in which opportunity is abundant for all and dreams are not bound by borders. The organization works by pairing U.S. states with Latin American and Caribbean countries in 60 “Partnerships.” For example, Alabama is partnered with Guatemala; New Jersey with Haiti; and Missouri with the state of Pará, Brazil.

Please visit the Partners of the Americas website at www.partners.net for additional program information.
Local Farmer-to-Farmer Volunteer Jeff Adams Travels to Honduras

Volunteer Jeff Adams (Charlotte, VT) traveled to La Esperanza, Honduras, from April 1-18 through the Farmer-to-Farmer Program with Washington, D.C.-based Partners of the Americas. During his volunteer assignment, Adams provided technical assistance related to family garden projects, therefore addressing the needs of professional growers in the Intibucá region and those left behind by the extensive emigration from this area. With support from southern colleagues, Adams established three demonstration gardens, distributed seed at those sites, and provided training at a fourth site. During the second week of his trip, Adams trained 37 Hondurans in square meter gardening and composting techniques and delivered a 4-day seminar on chemistry and biology of composting in San Pedro Sula. He also consulted several Ministry of Agriculture officials regarding the management of fish viscera and blood by-products from the marine fishing industries along the coast. A long-time Farmer-to-Farmer volunteer, Adams is currently employed by the Department of Agriculture at the University of Vermont.

The trip was sponsored by Partners of the Americas (see below), specifically the Farmer-to-Farmer Program. The Farmer-to-Farmer Program improves economic opportunities in rural areas of Latin America and the Caribbean by increasing food production and distribution, promoting better farm and marketing operations and conserving natural resources. The Partners’ Farmer-to-Farmer Program is supported by Congress and the Agency for International Development as part of the United States foreign assistance program. Farmer-to-Farmer brings together agricultural professionals and practitioners from the U.S. and Latin America. Volunteers from the U.S. work with farmers and ranchers in Latin America to identify local needs and design projects to address them.

Partners was founded in 1964 as the people-to-people component of the Alliance for Progress. Today, it is a private, non-profit and non-partisan organization, enjoying the support of many committed volunteers, international corporations, public agencies, and foundations. Partners of the Americas is the largest volunteer-based organization in the western hemisphere engaged in social, economic, and cultural development. Partners of the Americas turns ideas into action. We envision a hemisphere in which opportunity is abundant for all and dreams are not bound by borders. The organization works by pairing U.S. states with Latin American and Caribbean countries in 60 “Partnerships.” For example, Alabama is partnered with Guatemala; New Jersey with Haiti; and Missouri with the state of Pará, Brazil.

Adams can be contacted by telephone or e-mail to further explain his farmer-to-Farmer volunteering in Ecuador.
## ANNEX H: FTF STANDARD PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT INDICATOR REPORTING TABLES

### Table 1: Data on Volunteers and Values of Inputs Invested, by Country and Focus Area, Cumulative Life Of Project Inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Number of Volunteers(^2)</th>
<th>Number of volunteer days completed(^3)</th>
<th>Estimated FTF Program expenditures to Date ('000 U.S.$)(^4)</th>
<th>Average FTF Program cost per volunteer day ('000 U.S.$/day)</th>
<th>Estimated value of volunteer professional time ('000 U.S.$)(^5)</th>
<th>Estimated value of resources leveraged by the grantee and volunteers in the U.S. ('000 U.S.$)(^6)</th>
<th>Estimated value of resources mobilized by Host ('000 U.S.$)(^7)</th>
<th>Estimated value of host contribution ('000 U.S.$)(^8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please list all focus areas that you will be reporting against as stated in your approved planning matrices. This should be completed the same for all tables. If you have left a small percentage of volunteer days as "flexible" or "unplanned", you may list them under a "flexible" sector category if they do not fit under one of your planned sectors. Subsequently, if the numbers of flexible volunteers become large enough in a single focus area, such that they would warrant tracking as a new sector or focus area, please switch all information for those assignments under the new sector heading.

2. These three columns provide a cumulative (life of project) count of the number of volunteers, disaggregated by gender. One volunteer is considered to be the same as one overseas trip. Volunteers who travel more than once during the course of the FTF Program will be counted for every overseas trip they make. If a volunteer makes one overseas trip, but provides technical assistance under two different FTF Cooperative Agreements during the same trip, that volunteer may be counted once by each of the organizations operating under the different cooperative agreements. However, travel to multiple countries to perform multiple tasks under one Cooperative Agreement still counts as only one volunteer. In this case, you should mark the volunteer under the specific country and focus area where he/she spent the majority of time. The number of volunteer days can then be divided up more appropriately in the next column for "Volunteer Days".

3. Volunteer Days should be calculated the same as "per diem days". Any day, or fraction thereof, in which a volunteer is entitled to per diem is considered a Volunteer Day. These days will be based on seven-day work weeks beginning from the day the volunteer departs for his/her overseas assignment to the day he/she returns from that assignment. If a volunteer works in more than one country, and/or in more that one focus area, the number of days should be divided up accordingly in this column.

4. In estimating program expenditures by focus area, a simple calculation based on number of volunteer days for each given sector will suffice. Formula: sector expenditure = (total expenditure / total # of volunteer days) x # of volunteer days in that given sector.

5. This figure will be based on each individual implementing organization's standard estimates.

6. These funds are raised in the U.S. by the volunteer or grantee and counted as a matching contribution for the grant.

7. "Resources mobilized" are resources that FTF program managers and volunteers assist their hosts in accessing, such as various sources of credit, state assistance, PL 480 local currency, other donor assistance, etc. Sum across years will provide LOP total.

8. This is the contribution made by the host organizations towards the cost of the volunteer assignment. It can be cash or in-kind contribution. Some examples might be translation services, transportation, or room/board.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>States</th>
<th>Previous Total</th>
<th>This Period</th>
<th>New Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>States</td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Midwest</td>
<td>Michigan, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain</td>
<td>Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah, Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast</td>
<td>Alaska, Hawaii, California, Oregon, Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The same definition for volunteers given on Table 1, Footnote 1 applies here. Therefore the TOTAL of this table should equal the total number of volunteers from Table 1. Note that the volunteer's state of primary residence should be used as the determining factor for this table.
Table 3 - Data on Volunteers: Classification of Their Technical Assistance, and Commodity Chain Placement by Country and Focus Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Type of Volunteer Assistance</th>
<th>Commodity Chain Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technology Transfer</td>
<td>Information and Input (pre-production) SUPPORT SERVICES²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Development</td>
<td>PROCESSING (including primary and final product transformation, storage, transportation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business/Enterprise Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Conservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|         |            | TOTAL | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |

¹On this table, each volunteer (as defined on Tables 1 and 2) should be classified under the two categories provided. A volunteer should only be counted once under the heading “Type of Volunteer Assistance” and once under “Commodity Chain Activity”. The totals of both sections of the table will be equal to the number of volunteers listed in Tables 1 and 2. If a volunteer provides multiple types of assistance and/or focuses assistance on multiple categories of the commodity chain, determine the one category that the volunteer spent the majority of his/her time with and use that for the classification. The volunteers will also be classified by one major country and focus area. All numbers are cumulative.

²This category should include activities related to such areas as extension services, input supplies, veterinary services, and credit.
Table 4: Data on Hosts - Description of Institution Types (Legal Enterprise or Association Status) by Country and Focus Area Also, Numbers of Beneficiaries and Numbers Receiving Training, by Country, by Focus Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host Institutions</th>
<th>Direct Beneficiaries</th>
<th>Beneficiaries Receiving Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives and Associations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Private Farmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Private Enterprises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit, Public Interest NGOs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public and Private Education Institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Financial Institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector [gov't] Technical Agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Host organizations may only be counted once for the LOP and may only be categorized under one of the following types, unless some fundamental change requires that they be re-classified: Host Institution Categories: Cooperatives and Associations: Member-based organizations representing stakeholders in the agricultural sector. Do not include Credit Unions or other similar organizations that provide credit or finance as a primary service. These organizations will be categorized under "Rural Financial Institutions". Cooperatives will commonly have a cash flow; associations will not (other than minor membership dues). Individual Private Farmers: Hosts that can be considered private farmers, whose technical assistance is not based on their membership or affiliation with a cooperative, association, agribusiness or other private enterprise. Other Private Enterprises: These are primarily agribusinesses (pre-production inputs, post-harvest handling), but may also include informal farm and community groups. Non-Profit Public Interest NGOs: non-governmental organizations serving community interests, with no profit motive. NGOs are "host country PVOs". Use the NGO category if a host cannot be defined in any other category according to the indicator guidelines set forth. For example, an association is an association first and an NGO second. “Association” will provide a more specific definition of the host type. Public and Private Education Institutions: Publicly or Privately funded Colleges and Universities or any related departments or affiliated agencies. Rural Financial Institutions: These are lending institutions with rural outreach to the agricultural sector. Public Sector Technical Agencies: This would include public extension service agencies or other government agencies serving that function.

2 Resources mobilized are resources that FfF volunteers assist their hosts in accessing, such as various sources of credit, state assistance, PL 480 local currency, other donor assistance, etc. Sum across years will provide LOP total.

3 Direct beneficiaries receive face-to-face or hands on training or assistance from the FfF volunteer. Indirect beneficiaries (for example, those trained by direct beneficiaries) should not be included in this data.

4 Direct Beneficiaries that receive technical or in-country training as defined under USAID ADS Chapter 253.4 and ADS Glossary as follows: Technical Training: Formally structured learning activities, generally in a classroom, which do not lead to an academic degree. Can include technical courses at community colleges, technical institutes or universities, on-the-job activities tied to technical-area classroom work, or any combination of such formally structured, non-degree producing instructional activity. In-Country Training: A learning activity taking place in a classroom or workshop with formally designated instructor(s), learning objectives, and outcomes, conducted full-time or intermittently within the host country.

5 Indirect beneficiaries are those who do not receive face-to-face or hands on assistance from an FfF volunteer, but who otherwise benefit from assistance. This may include family members based on survey counts or average sizes. This number is difficult to measure and best estimates are acceptable. However, to the extent possible, please footnote source for data or calculation.
**Table 5: FtF Program Economic Impacts - Incremental Net Incomes of Hosts, Numbers Adopting and Reporting Improvement and Organizational Capacity Impacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Economic Impacts</th>
<th>Organizational Capacity Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts</td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Relevant hosts are those hosts who seek improvement in the given results category. The primary focus of both the host and the volunteer assignment should be on producing a result in this category for a host to be counted as relevant.

2. This number is very subjective, but should reflect hosts that have adopted volunteer recommendations in a substantial way.

3. Increased Net Income: Increase in Incremental ("With" adoption of recommendation, less "Without" adoption of recommendation) Net (after subtracting production costs in both cases) Income (expressed in thousand U.S. Dollars). The hosts and the volunteers will be enlisted to prepare simple enterprise budgets or per-hectare crop budgets (partial budgets will do) to compare the "With" and "Without" cases, as part of the terms of reference for their assignment.

4. Revenues raised through member dues, services fees, or other sources of income such as contracts or grants.

Note: Baseline data collected in prior years needs to be updated as of time of volunteer assignment. Prices change rapidly.
### Table 6: FtF Program Outcomes and Impacts from Financial Services and Environmental Protection Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Financial Services (e.g. Credit) Indicators</th>
<th>Environment/NRM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hosts adopting volunteer recommendations&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Number of hosts adopting volunteer recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hosts reporting improvement</td>
<td>Number of hosts reporting improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hosts with loan delinquency rate maintained at less than 10%</td>
<td>Number of hosts with loan delinquency rate maintained at less than 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in the amount of rural and/or agricultural loans ($000)</td>
<td>Increase in the amount of rural and/or agricultural loans ($000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in the number of rural and/or agricultural loans</td>
<td>Increase in the number of rural and/or agricultural loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in the value of the host’s net equity&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; ($000)</td>
<td>Increase in the value of the host’s net equity&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; ($000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts</td>
<td>Number of relevant hosts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hosts adopting volunteer recommendations</td>
<td>Number of hosts adopting volunteer recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of hosts reporting improvement</td>
<td>Number of hosts reporting improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased incremental net income&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; ($000)</td>
<td>Increased incremental net income&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; ($000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased gross value of sales ($000)</td>
<td>Increased gross value of sales ($000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area covered by improved natural resource management (ha)</td>
<td>Area covered by improved natural resource management (ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of hosts adopting one or more environmental technologies</td>
<td>Total number of hosts adopting one or more environmental technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>People with improved safety and working conditions</td>
<td>People with improved safety and working conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>People with improved environmental services</td>
<td>People with improved environmental services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Relevant Hosts are those hosts who seek improvement in the given Results category. The primary focus of both the Host and the volunteer assignment should be on producing a result in this category for a host to be counted as relevant.

<sup>2</sup> This number is very subjective, but should reflect hosts that have adopted volunteer recommendations in a substantial way.

<sup>3</sup> Net equity equates to assets minus liabilities.
Table 7: Increased Awareness in the U.S. Agricultural Sector Concerning International Agricultural Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of FtF volunteers who have performed public outreach activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Press Releases (issued by FtF implementing agency) to local press/radio/TV media in area of origin of volunteer.¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of media events by implementers and FtF volunteers.²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of group presentations by implementers and FtF volunteers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹A new category we intend to track is the number of press releases issued by the grantee to local press/radio/TV media in area of origin of volunteer. This is not a specific requirement in the cooperative agreements, and not all FtF grantees perform this activity, but we would like to track the extent to which it is taking place.

²Any internet-based outreach activity should be counted as a media event. Examples may include hosting a chat room or using the internet or an email system to disseminate a newsletter. This does not include emailing information packets for recruitment purposes. Other examples of media events might include newspaper articles, radio or television news coverage.
Table 8: Semi-Annual Volunteer Tracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volunteer Name</th>
<th>Country(ies) Visited</th>
<th>Trip dates (from/to)</th>
<th>Number of Volunteer Days</th>
<th>Type of Assistance</th>
<th>Hosts Assisted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Please provide the information requested in this table. If you have the information in another format that is easier to report, then you may use that, but please make sure all of the above fields are provided. We would like this information in both semi-annual and annual reports; however you should only provide information on volunteer activities completed during the six-month reporting period between reports. This table will not be a running count of volunteers, but should only correspond to the reporting period for which it is presented.