

PN A34 422
ISBN 97388

**Building Linkages
between the
Microenterprise
and Shelter Sectors:**

An Issues Paper

GEMINI

GROWTH and EQUITY through MICROENTERPRISE INVESTMENTS and INSTITUTIONS
7250 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 200, Bethesda, Maryland 20814

**DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVES, INC. • Michigan State University • ACCION International •
Management Systems International, Inc. • Opportunity International • Technoserve • World Education**

Building Linkages between the Microenterprise and Shelter Sectors:

An Issues Paper

by

Priscilla M. Phelps
Senior Fellow in Development Finance
U.S. Agency for International Development

September 1995

This work was supported by the U.S. Agency for International Development through a buy-in to the Growth and Equity through Microenterprise Investments and Institutions (GEMINI) Project, contract number DHR-5448-Q-82-9081-00.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
Background Workshop	1
Unifying Themes	2
THE PROVISION OF URBAN SERVICES	2
A Shift in Roles	2
Opportunities for Microenterprises	3
DEVELOPMENT OF MICROENTERPRISE FINANCE SYSTEMS	4
Increased Access to Financial Services	4
Principles of Microenterprise Operation	5
A Broad-based Approach	5
DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE MECHANISMS FOR SHELTER FINANCE	6
Sectoral Mechanisms	6
Strengthening Systems That Support Shelter	6
The Need for New Strategies	7
ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROVISION OF SHELTER	7
Case Studies	7
Housing As Both Shelter and Business Base	10
The Incremental Approach to Housing	10
ISSUES RELATED TO THE DEMAND FOR CREDIT	11
Using Market Mechanisms to Provide Credit	11
Savings Services	12
ISSUES RELATED TO INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	12
Financial Institutions	12
Other Institutions	14
FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMON AGENDA	15
Potential Benefits	15
Specific Ideas for Action	16
BIBLIOGRAPHY	19

INTRODUCTION

This paper examines the potential for adapting microenterprise finance systems for low- and very-low-income people in developing countries to finance the shelter needs of the same population. It is recommended that the development community consider the joint development of microenterprise and shelter activities because of the potential they have to complement and strengthen each other. This paper is preliminary and is intended to encourage discussion and result in better and more appropriate shelter and urban services delivered more efficiently to the ultimate beneficiaries — poor people whose lives stand to be improved by development activities.

Background Workshop

On April 26, 1995, the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) sponsored a workshop in Washington, D.C., titled "Building Linkages: Workshop on Microenterprise and Housing." The workshop was designed as a means to discuss linkages between microenterprise and housing development efforts taking place internationally, with a focus on financing mechanisms. The workshop was the first step in the formulation of a joint action framework for USAID on microenterprise and housing development that will guide future research, programs, and policy initiatives. Approximately 40 professionals working in one or both fields attended, including representatives of NGOs, USAID and other donors, consulting firms, and financial institutions.

Early on in the workshop, the need for a distinction between the terms "housing" and "shelter" was identified. In its programs, USAID employs the term "shelter" rather than "housing" to mean not only buildings themselves but also the municipal infrastructure and urban services that support them. Today, 90 percent of USAID's urban finance programs address urban services and systems, whereas only 10 percent address housing specifically. Consequently, workshop participants agreed to employ the term "shelter" in their discussions, and to direct discussions to this broader category of development activities.¹

Although the purpose of the workshop was to identify opportunities in and share lessons from both microenterprise development and shelter, this paper is concerned primarily with the shelter development process and how it can be strengthened using the principles, experience, and support of the microenterprise development field. Because benefits, as well as drawbacks, could ensue from the involvement of microenterprise development institutions in shelter development, the paper discusses these considerations; however, the discussion herein is neither exhaustive nor reflective of the consensus of microenterprise development practitioners.

¹Numerous definitions of urban services exist; some definitions include the provision of housing itself. The urban services referred to here and in the workshop are generally basic infrastructure systems and services such as water, sewerage, transportation, solid waste management, and energy provision that can feasibly be carried out by a microenterprise using relatively low investments of capital. A broader definition could include more complex and capital-intensive activities such as road construction and maintenance, and health and educational services. In many instances, urban services are successfully being carried out by small community-owned enterprises, which are considered here as another type of microenterprise.

Unifying Themes

Four themes were proposed for use in framing and organizing discussion during the workshop. These were as follows:

1. **Clientele.** Shelter and microenterprise development programs appear to work with the same clientele, a group whose households are the relevant unit for work (in fact, for these individuals, often no clear distinction exists between the household and the enterprise). Among these clients, very-low-income households have shown that they can successfully use credit and savings as tools for their own advancement.
2. **Informal Sector.** Both shelter provision and income-generating activities are often carried out informally, incrementally, and in ways that minimize risk to the household. Economic practices in both fields tend to reflect local custom and rational thinking on the part of those carrying them out.
3. **Institutional Development.** Only by supporting the creation of institutions and other mechanisms for development that are flexible, sustainable, and replicable can donor activities have significant and continuing impact. Attention should also be paid to designing delivery mechanisms that can reach significant scale, given the magnitude of the shelter shortage being addressed.
4. **Appropriate Methodologies.** Methods of intermediation and delivery, structures and institutions, and products and services used in microenterprise and shelter development must (a) be designed by or be responsive to the people they benefit; (b) be based on the experience of such beneficiaries; and (c) be manageable by the beneficiaries. For instance, credit mechanisms such as group lending that are based on long-standing informal practices seem to have much greater potential for acceptance and broad reproduction than do unfamiliar or outside mechanisms.

These four themes are incorporated throughout the remaining sections of this paper.

THE PROVISION OF URBAN SERVICES

A Shift in Roles

The role of donors in the shelter sector in the developing world has shifted in the past few years from direct investment in housing toward support for urban infrastructure systems that must either precede the construction of housing or be provided after it is built if the quality of urban life and environmental standards are to be maintained. In fact, as in the developed world, the value of housing in any developing location depends on the availability and quality of these systems.

A similar shift from the provision of housing to the provision of ancillary systems and services is taking place in the public sector of many developing countries, reflecting the general lack of success in sustaining quality public housing, as well as an emerging belief that creating the conditions that encourage private shelter development is more productive. Accompanying this shift in thinking about shelter are a number of phenomena that are changing the public sector's role in the urban economy altogether. Each

of these phenomena has the potential to increase opportunities for microenterprises in the provision of urban services and to ensure microenterprises an accepted role in the systems that furnish these services.

The phenomena that are altering the public sector's role include rapid urban growth and the resulting growth in demand for a wide range of services, as well as the growth of informal settlements;² the decentralization of central government responsibilities to local governments and the greater need for accountability in providing services; and belt-tightening by governments at all levels, leading to increased interest in alternative approaches to service provision (such as privatization) that reduce government costs or tie the level of services more closely to the revenue that users are willing to contribute.

Opportunities for Microenterprises

Microenterprises are coming to be recognized as effective providers of urban services in the developing world. In fact, most urban services available in low-income settlements are already provided by microenterprises without encouragement from government. Both the quantity and quality of services delivered in many urban locations must be increased, however, and governments are generally held accountable for doing so. The provision of urban services by microenterprises assists local governments in their efforts to privatize and provide efficient services that reflect local demands.

Microenterprise-based urban services include many services that ordinarily are furnished through capital-intensive means beyond the capability of microenterprises. Examples include garbage collection in the Solena slum of Port-au-Prince, Haiti; small-scale energy production and sales in New Delhi and Guatemala City; and water provision to otherwise unserved communities around the world. Often, these services are provided commercially, with no assistance from government, and closely reflect the level of service provision demanded by customers. Yet, all too often, microentrepreneurs try to provide these services with insufficient equipment and training; poor communities pay more for certain services such as water than do customers in more affluent areas; and excess competition among entrepreneurs in the provision of services generates a high turnover of providers, resulting in very low profits (if not losses) for providers and unpredictable service levels for communities.

Communities' willingness to pay represents an income opportunity for small enterprises that microentrepreneurs can tap successfully. If, however, microenterprises are to be successful in providing urban services in the private market, a number of issues will need to be addressed; namely, credit, government cooperation, and community organization.

Credit. As with so many microenterprises, businesses providing urban services have difficulty obtaining credit for their operations and equipment. Yet the enabling conditions for service-providing microenterprises often are more complex than those for other microenterprises and should probably be addressed as sectoral development needs by those interested in encouraging this development strategy. For one thing, because there may be public health or jurisdictional issues associated with urban services, government policy formulation and intervention may be needed if the firms are to be successful.

²The share of the population of low- and middle-income countries found in urban areas was 22 percent in 1960 and 34 percent in 1990, and is projected to exceed 50 percent in 2020, according to the World Bank. The United Nations says that while in 1990 24 cities in these countries had populations of at least 5 million, by 2020 more than 60 cities will reach that level.

Government Cooperation. The need to be recognized and supported by government is another area where urban service providers share objectives with microentrepreneurs in all sectors. Supportive roles for the government might include allocating territories through franchises or contracts that, among other things, allow businesses to access credit to upgrade their equipment; enforcing participation and payment by users; and setting service standards. Involvement on behalf of microentrepreneurs in informal settlements particularly may be difficult for governments that have discouraged informal settlements or informal service provisions within them in the past, but recognition of the benefits that can accrue to residents from these services with minimal government expenditure should help win governments over to this strategy.

Community Organization. Another factor that may determine the success of microenterprise service providers is the level of organization of the community in which they operate. As donors and local governments are acknowledging, developing cost-recovery systems that are affordable to low-income people is a fundamental step in providing sustainable urban services and accessing the credit to finance them. In fact, projects may at times be most appropriately designed by working backward, from what people are willing to pay rather than from what providers would like them to pay. This is a design approach that can best succeed if users participate directly in the discussion of alternatives and their financial impact on the household. If the enterprise is to be community owned or operated, the need for this type of organization is even greater.

To the extent that credit or other financial service needs are a principal constraint, businesses that provide urban services share the same concerns with microentrepreneurs in any sector and with households attempting to upgrade or construct housing. In addition, the shelter financing issues discussed in this paper apply equally to business establishments across all sectors. For the reasons discussed above, however, it appears that credit in many instances is not the only constraint on the success of urban-service-providing microenterprises, and that attention to matters of community participation and government cooperation is also needed if the benefits of this approach to development are to be fully realized.

DEVELOPMENT OF MICROENTERPRISE FINANCE SYSTEMS

Increased Access to Financial Services

In the past decade, microfinance institutions throughout the developing world have been making credit and savings services available to individuals and in locations previously considered "unservable" by the financial sector. These efforts have generally been designed to support business development by low-income people and have been generously supported by donors such as USAID, the World Bank, and the Inter-American Development Bank. As a result of these activities, very-low-income people, particularly women, have been given new economic opportunities and access to financial services from which they have traditionally been excluded.

The focus on microenterprise development as an economic development strategy did not appear spontaneously, but evolved over many years from numerous efforts to increase private sector activity and, hence, jobs and income in developing countries. The relative success of individuals in creating their own employment even when the resources available to them were apparently insufficient led donors and governments to conclude that one potentially powerful economic development strategy would be to support the initiative inherent in these efforts with additional resources, such as information, credit, and training.

Ideally, support for microenterprise development would be supplied from the private market, and, in some cases, it has been. In the case of credit, for example, support has come from moneylenders and other informal sources. Yet these forms of support are judged by users and policy makers alike to be inadequate to meet demand. They provide only a narrow range of financial services (generally just credit), are unable to mobilize the savings that a growing economy needs, and are seldom predictable or sustainable sources. Demand for credit among microentrepreneurs is not sufficiently understood or organized to interest the formal financial sector — banks — so that, at least on an interim basis, public and nonprofit sector involvement has been a critical factor in increasing the availability of credit for low-income entrepreneurs.

Having gained greater access to financial services, low-income communities have accumulated greater resources and developed methods of decision making and resource allocation that serve as tools for continuing development in the future. To the extent that financial institutions providing these services become financially viable, self-sustaining, and integral to the communities in which they operate, the institutions have the potential to grow by attracting and leveraging much greater capital and to provide their clients with ongoing and expanded services. Consequently, developing financially sustainable institutions with outreach that is both broad (widespread) and deep (reaching low-income levels) has become a major focus of the microenterprise finance field.

Principles of Microenterprise Operation

In their recent paper on women's enterprise development, Elisabeth Rhyne and Sharon L. Holt identify the principles by which successful microenterprise finance institutions operate (Rhyne and Holt, 1994). These include the following:

1. **Offer services that fit the preferences of low-income entrepreneurs.** Give small, short-term, repeat loans that can be used on a relatively unrestricted basis. Provide a customer-friendly environment.
2. **Streamline operations to reduce unit costs.** Standardize the lending process and streamline operations, minimizing staff time per loan. Keep costs low by (a) decentralizing the loan approval process, (b) maintaining inexpensive offices, and (c) selecting staff from local communities who will expect lower salaries than those paid by banks.
3. **Motivate clients to repay loans.** Substitute motivation to repay for preloan project analysis and formal collateral. Joint liability groups have proved effective in many settings worldwide. Provide incentives to repay quickly, such as guaranteed future loans, increased loan sizes, and preferential pricing. Staff and the organization's image must signal a serious intention to collect on loans.
4. **Charge full-cost interest rates and fees.**

A Broad-based Approach

The emphasis of development practitioners and donors in extending microenterprise finance has been specifically on building institutions that provide financial services to support income-generating

activities or on microenterprise development among the poor and very poor (who are generally operating in the informal sector), not on any specific form of financial service such as housing finance, insurance, or old-age savings. The logic of this approach, given the obvious need for additional income by the households in question and their ability to use the additional income for a variety of purposes, is difficult to question. Financial services have been limited and costly for these households. The response of clients to the availability of small infusions of credit and low-cost savings services has been strong. When available, these services raise incomes and strengthen the demand side of the development equation.

DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABLE MECHANISMS FOR SHELTER FINANCE

Sectoral Mechanisms

Various development efforts, including many in the shelter field, have focused sectorally on facilitating the capital investment process that development requires. In many cases, this has involved investments carried out by the public sector, with donor efforts centering on the ways these investments and their related services could be provided more efficiently and in greater quantity.

Some development projects have addressed scale economies or the use of new technologies, or have brought the experience of others to bear. Often private-sector-type mechanisms, such as financial institutions, have been created with the public sector to provide specialized and targeted shelter credit for development purposes. Because of the complex operating incentives under which such entities function, their success has been mixed. Over time, efforts have moved toward strengthening the ability and willingness of others, especially the private sector, to invest in shelter and to provide the resources for others to invest in shelter.

USAID's involvement in shelter goes back to 1964, and has included activities of each type described above. In the intervening years, the agency has established programs to support U.S. builders, establish savings and loan associations, and upgrade human settlements, among other things. During much of this time, USAID has successfully used a loan guarantee mechanism to encourage the private sector to invest in shelter in developing countries.

Strengthening Systems That Support Shelter

Significant strides have been made in the past two decades by USAID and others to develop and strengthen the systems whereby housing is built and purchased in developing countries, including mortgage and other financial market development, creation of new financial instruments, innovations in construction technology, and changes in urban planning philosophies to regularize rather than replace informal settlements, to name only a few. As a result of the developments in housing finance and the growth in incomes, especially in urban areas, housing finance markets in most industrializing and some other developing countries function adequately, in particular for people in urban areas whose earnings take the form of a regular salary.

Yet the systems that have been developed are generally failing to reach the low end of the income scale with additional finance for shelter development. Meanwhile, the shelter gap continues to grow and shelter quality falls, especially for poor urban areas. For many countries, the World Bank reports, even as living standards have risen, conditions at the bottom of the housing market have actually worsened,

especially in large cities. Additionally, as developing countries continue to urbanize, the number of poor urban residents continues to increase.

The Need for New Strategies

Strategies to provide affordable shelter for very-low-income people on a sustainable basis have been as hard to come by as strategies for increasing household income, if not more difficult. The shelter development process is complex, with many steps, and the term and scale of financing required may be longer and greater, respectively, than those required to boost income.

Some of the techniques popularly employed to support the construction and acquisition of shelter, such as subsidized credit, have been found to be regressive — that is, benefiting the wealthy over the poor. In addition, there are limits on the amount of subsidies that can be provided, whether by donors or local governments, and allocating such a good to the poorest is often unpopular because it reduces the number of potential beneficiaries. Clearly, sustainable financial mechanisms for shelter development are needed as much as are those for enterprise development.

ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROVISION OF SHELTER

The informal processes whereby most low-income communities are developed may hold the key to designing sustainable approaches to urban development worldwide. Without outside intervention, most poor people manage to mobilize enough resources to develop their own housing and enterprises and, as communities, to support the services that are necessary for survival, at the very least. Yet the quality of life in these communities suggests that constraints prevail on the availability of resources to support this development process, even though profitable opportunities for investment may exist. This is the lesson the microenterprise development field learned during the past decade, and the one that now needs to be applied to the shelter development process.

Informal communities, housing thousands or even millions of generally poor inhabitants, exist in urban peripheries around the world. Until recently, the need for investment capital or services in these communities was largely ignored, in the hope that the settlements were only temporary or in the belief that no institutional mechanisms existed in these communities to handle them. Yet with the unrelenting growth of most urban areas in the developing world, the permanence of these settlements and their importance in the metropolitan landscape are increasingly accepted. The challenge then becomes to support in sustainable ways the mechanisms that contribute to the growth and development of these areas and their economies.

Case Studies

Four examples of approaches being tried to facilitate the provision of credit for shelter development in developing countries are found in the boxes below. Three of these were presented as case studies during the “Building Linkages” workshop.

CASE STUDY: INFORMAL INSTITUTIONS AS A SOURCE OF FINANCE

*Abt Associates: Housing Finance Project (India)
Presented by Sally Merrill and Harry Garnett, Principal Associates*

Abt Associates is working with USAID/India on the Housing Finance Project, which involves the National Housing Bank. The project has two objectives: formal financial sector improvement and low-income housing financing. USAID/India has convinced the Government of India that a significant impact on low-income households can result from strengthening community-based lenders' ability to deliver shelter finance, and therefore that it is an appropriate focus of the project.

Abt has identified a range of community-based organizations (CBOs) interested in delivering housing finance. These CBOs range from a bank developed by a women's labor organization (the Self-employed Women's Association, or SEWA, Bank) to nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) that want to become banks and others that want to deliver housing finance but remain NGOs. In India, some NGOs, such as the Society for Promotion of Area Resource Centres (SPARC) in Bombay and Mahila Milan, are already acting as intermediaries or brokers to formal housing lenders.

The challenge at this time is to identify the types of support Abt can provide to this group of organizations to improve their outreach and scale as housing lenders. Abt is addressing how informal institutions can transform themselves into more formal financial institutions, as well as the forms of technical assistance called for, product development assistance the institutions may need, and whether and how these groups can link with the formal financial sector. Abt is also examining how to institutionalize the consultant's role in supporting these efforts. Other concerns are the role of regulators and what alternatives there might be to complete formalization of informal institutions.

CASE STUDY: CREDIT THROUGH HOUSING NGOs

*Cooperative Housing Foundation: Neighborhood Improvement and Jobs Creation Program
(Central America, the Philippines, South America, Eastern Europe, and the former Soviet Union)
Presented by Judith Hermanson, Executive Vice-President for International Programs*

This program was initiated in 1985 to provide housing credit to people living in informal housing, using NGOs (co-ops, credit unions, and others) as intermediaries. The Cooperative Housing Foundation (CHF), using funds from the MacArthur Foundation, its own capital, and funds from other sources, lends funds to NGOs for five years that are in turn on-lent to borrowers for 18 to 36 months. Loans to the NGOs are structured to take into consideration the need for the NGOs to cover their costs. Fifty NGOs have participated in the program to date.

One of CHF's objectives is to raise the lending capacity of the NGOs involved in the program. The most successful on-lending has been done for home improvement purposes by intermediaries with ties to the community. Typical is a short-term loan that is based on character rather than collateral (although nonhousing collateral or cosigners are sometimes used), sized so that it does not unduly burden the borrower. Many of the loans are used to make improvements related to family remodeling activities (putting in a cement floor, adding ventilation, and so on).

CASE STUDY: LOAN GUARANTEES FOR URBAN SERVICES

USAID's Office of Environment and Urban Programs is supporting a unique approach to lending for urban services in Central America. The Central American Bank for Economic Integration (CABEI), a regional quasi-public financial institution, has developed a program called PROMUNI (The Financial Program for Municipal Infrastructure). Through PROMUNI, CABEI lends money to municipalities, which then on-lend to community organizations and individuals who need capital to improve urban infrastructure. USAID guarantees the loans through its housing guarantee program. Some of the NGO loans have had to be rescheduled, but no defaults have occurred.

In Guatemala, Genesis Empresarial, a local NGO, plans to use PROMUNI funds to expand its successful electrification program. Genesis specializes in organizing communities around their infrastructure needs, getting communities in contact with various service providers, and providing communities with market interest rate loans to help finance projects. Genesis's repayment rates are high, both for borrowers and intermediaries (97 percent), with women proving to be the best repayers.

CASE STUDY: FINANCING COMMUNITY-BASED HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

*Urban Systems: MEDET (South Africa)
Presented by Elizabeth Poynter, President*

The Mangaung Education & Development Trust (MEDET) Project is a community-based development project that was designed by residents of the Mangaung community in South Africa in 1989. Although numerous development needs were identified in this township of 450,000, residents perceived the most critical to be assistance, particularly credit, for microenterprise, housing improvement, and housing construction. From the beginning, MEDET established the goal of creating a financially self-sustaining organization that would be driven by the community.

MEDET's approach is to mobilize resources from the private sector, governments, and the township. In South Africa, these include development capital from banks and housing subsidies from employers and regional governments; building sites and permits from local governments; and training and capacity building and wholesale building materials from the private sector. Urban Systems strongly believes this model can be replicated elsewhere and is hoping to do so itself.

With respect to its credit activities, MEDET acts as a financial intermediary to channel formal funds to Mangaung residents by means of a line of credit with a bank. It also provides loan servicing and performance reporting for participating banks. In addition to two commercial banks, MEDET has received funds from the Development Bank of Southern Africa for housing loans.

MEDET maintains many connections between housing and microenterprise activities, including the following:

- Twenty-five percent of business loan clients are involved in the construction sector. They include small builders, artisans, and manufacturers of building products.
- Housing loan clients frequently use the funds borrowed for improvements that allow them to start or enlarge home-based businesses. They also build additional space to produce rental income.

- Female borrowers have strengthened the program through their housing improvement and construction activities, which have created demand for construction firms, and by making housing improvements that also enhance their home-based businesses.

Housing As Both Shelter and Business Base

Low-income families view housing not only as a secure dwelling but also as an asset that (a) can house a business, (b) may directly generate revenue, and (c) serves as a form of savings when it appreciates in value. Thus, housing affords families flexibility by diversifying the household's sources of income and providing a location to run a business. In fact, the finances of the home-based microenterprise and the household itself are often found to be one inseparable system (United Nations Center on Human Settlements, 1995).

The most prevalent housing development approach is assumed to be incremental development or improvement projects that can be supported with small, short-term loans. Because the household and the enterprises of low-income families are inextricably linked, improvements in shelter conditions can aid the prospects for the enterprise of a low-income person, and vice versa.

Home-based businesses are prevalent among the clients of microenterprise finance institutions. As Simon Fass (1987), Graham Tipple (1993), Paul Strassman (1981 and 1985), and others have observed, the home is much more apt to be a productive asset for a low-income person than for someone at a higher income level. Working or producing from home (and often renting out some portion of the property) is not uncommon and should be considered another type of microenterprise activity. Such activity provides a family with more housing than they otherwise could afford.

The Incremental Approach to Housing

Provision of housing is known to take place on an informal, incremental basis for many low-income people in all countries, with land being purchased or inherited first, and construction taking place in stages, often over many years.³ Upgrading and expansion of housing are important phenomena that in effect add to the supply of units in the system. Both of these activities take place even in communities where there is limited or no formal land tenure. The assumption is made, but may require further validation, that just as with microenterprise, informal market rate systems exist that help poor people finance the construction and improvement of their housing. Savings is of course a critical component of the finance formula for housing, and it is known that many people save by purchasing building materials that are stored or traded. Barter of both materials and labor are also assumed to be important methods for accumulating the components of labor and materials needed to complete a shelter project.

The incremental approach to housing development is economical only if no earning opportunity exists to produce sufficient additional income to offset the cost of interest from borrowing funds to finish the house or improvements more quickly (Dunham, et al., August 1989). Because many low-income households use their housing or additional space for income-producing purposes, it is suspected that access

³The United Nations Center on Human Settlements estimates that 86 percent of all shelter provision in the Philippines is informal, as well as 82 percent in Brazil, 77 percent in Venezuela, and 85 percent in urban areas of Indonesia (United Nations Center on Human Settlements, 1995).

to credit for housing would increase the economic status of these households by producing opportunities to earn additional business income. A number of studies have shown that even very small, short-term loans are sufficient in many instances to effect such an improvement in a household's economic status. This suggests that even without an interest rate subsidy, enormous economic leverage could be gained from the provision of "micro" loans for shelter purposes in informal low-income neighborhoods.

As has already been discussed with respect to urban services, housing construction and improvement have great potential to generate enormous economic activity in low-income neighborhoods, much of which can be carried out by microenterprises. The United Nations Center on Human Settlements (Habitat) has estimated that the multiplier effect in the economy from expenditures on housing construction may be as great as three, counting the secondary effects of money spent on materials purchases and labor as these funds are recycled within the local community (United Nations Center on Human Settlements, 1995).

ISSUES RELATED TO THE DEMAND FOR CREDIT

As noted earlier, microenterprise finance institutions (MFIs) and shelter development finance programs are generally concerned with similar clients. These are low- and very-low-income people in urban areas who are outside of the formal sphere with respect to employment, financial services, shelter, and so on. (Often they are women, and the difficulties women face in owning and financing housing constitutes a critical issue that deserves to be addressed.) Although their businesses may not be registered or their land tenure strictly legal, low- and very-low-income clients are stable, productive members of the communities in which they reside, and are generally good credit risks for the organizations that finance them.

The fact that the credit needs of the household for business and shelter purposes are not separate, but rather are closely related, may present problems for financial institutions whose purpose is to provide one or another form of credit. The challenge in this situation is to identify more closely actual customer needs. If a housing loan is in fact more important for the success of a microenterprise than a business loan, loan products and services may need to be redesigned, and rules that restrict lending for other than business purposes may need to be reconsidered. Such changes may require renegotiating agreements with donors or lenders. Additional research and case studies on this topic may be needed to justify changes in lending practices to reflect real household needs.

Using Market Mechanisms to Provide Credit

Often by observing existing informal systems, the microenterprise development field has taught us that, contrary to long-standing practice, services for poor people do not need to be subsidized in order to be effective or in demand. In the case of microenterprise credit, entrepreneurs consider market rate sources that are available on a predictable basis to be much more valuable than subsidized sources that are only temporary or sporadic. As a result, the focus of communities and supporters of microenterprise development has shifted to finding ways to use market mechanisms to create sustainable sources of credit and away from providing low-cost funds.

Some research has shown that very small, very-short-term shelter loans can be used by very-low-income people to make significant improvements in their shelter conditions (Dunham, et al., op. cit.).

These loans closely resemble many of the loans currently being made for microenterprise development purposes. Such short-term loans can be made without collateral and loaned at market rates of interest. However, there are likely to be other kinds of financial needs for housing as well. For example, larger and longer-term loans may be required for more substantial shelter investments, such as housing additions or land acquisition. Developing sustainable systems for supplying such financial services requires a better understanding of demand and innovations in delivery mechanisms. Furthermore, as cities become larger and more dense, the single-family home can be expected to decline in importance as the most relevant housing solution for large numbers of people, and ownership options may be found more frequently in multifamily buildings. In response, lenders will probably need to address the demand for housing credit for this form of housing as well.

Savings Services

In microenterprise finance spheres, the statement is often heard that savings services are in greater demand than credit, and evidence such as the ratio of savers to borrowers in certain financial institutions catering to a low-income clientele seems to confirm this statement. How prevalent savings are as a form of housing finance for low-income people in developing countries is not well known, but if one counts among savings things such as the accumulation of materials, savings are assumed to be an important mechanism. Identifying the demand for savings, methods of savings being used in low-income communities, and ways in which the savings process could be facilitated would seem to be a critical aspect of this inquiry.

ISSUES RELATED TO INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

Financial Institutions

Some existing institutions providing finance to microenterprises, both formal and informal (unregulated), have the potential to provide credit for shelter and services or are doing so already. These include the Grameen Bank, the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC), Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI), and others. Some NGOs involved in shelter provision are setting up loan programs as a way to provide financing more sustainably. The Cooperative Housing Foundation (CHF) is one organization actively pursuing this approach (see the CHF case study above).

In many countries, credit unions are also significant providers of loans for shelter development for low-income people, although they are rarely considered to be a central component in the housing finance equation. Nonetheless, their experiences — successful or otherwise — should be taken into consideration in this discussion (*Environment and Urbanization*, April 1993).

Portfolio Diversification

It is uncertain whether the single-purpose nature of MFIs has been the reason they have been successful at bringing down the cost of lending to the low-income market. One question worth considering, then, is whether the addition of new loan offerings for shelter would contribute to or undermine the success of MFIs. Provision of shelter loans by specialized MFIs would help the institutions diversify their loan portfolios and offer a broader range of financial services to their clients.

The development field has experimented at various times with the development of single-purpose financial institutions and has sometimes learned that their lack of diversity presents a risk to their long-term survival. The same concerns might be raised regarding financial institutions focused solely on the provision of microenterprise loans. The possibility of diversifying the portfolios of these institutions with the introduction of shelter loans should be considered a potential benefit. In order for MFIs to diversify, the technical aspects of this type of lending will need to be fully analyzed and made available to these institutions. Perhaps the key to such a diversification strategy is maintaining the streamlined nature of MFI operations, as discussed earlier.

Similarly, shelter loans that differ greatly in size or term could have a substantial impact on the financial management practices of MFIs. Larger, longer loans will increase the risk of the portfolio and reduce the velocity of funds moving through the organization, allowing fewer loans to be made from the same amount of funds, unless corresponding measures are taken to increase the funds available for lending. MFIs may need training to address these challenges. Clearly, more information is needed on the factors MFIs should consider before diversifying their lending programs into shelter.

Linking the Informal and Formal Sectors

As noted above, MFIs have learned that both credit and savings services are needed to support the activities of microentrepreneurs. Savings services may also represent an important source of funds to provide credit for shelter, in two ways. First, potential homeowners have a motivation to save for their housing purchases. Just as the creditworthiness of low-income borrowers was originally a surprise to many observing the development of this subsector for financial institutions, so was the ability of poor people to save. Now, the importance of savings as a source of funds for microenterprise development is well understood. Second, while many MFIs at first provided services from their roots as NGOs, using donor funds as loan capital, attention is increasingly being given to the need to mobilize and channel savings to provide services on a sustainable basis. With this realization has come the knowledge that mechanisms must be developed to ensure the safety of deposits and the soundness of the institutions taking them. (See the case study on informal institutions, above.)

Combining the efficiency and outreach of MFIs with the legitimacy of the banking system has become an area of great interest in the microenterprise finance field, and it would need to be for microfinance for shelter as well. In the field of microenterprise finance, significant attention has been paid to finding ways for MFIs to act as intermediaries between borrowers and the formal financial sector. From a macroeconomic perspective, savings are an important element of a developing financial system, and MFIs may have the capability to act as intermediaries for the savings being deposited in formal financial institutions.

Recently, various studies have looked for ways to link the formal and informal financial sectors to deliver shelter finance to lower-income people. These include works by Dunham, et al. (op. cit.) on Indonesia, and Mehta (March 1994) on India. A number of intermediation models have been developed in the microenterprise field, and similar configurations of MFIs and the formal financial sector are being considered and attempted for shelter finance. One such approach is the on-lending of funds for urban services, backed by a public sector guarantee (see the case study "Loan Guarantees for Urban Services," above).

There also exists a hope that sustainable private sector mechanisms can be found to finance affordable shelter for low-income people directly. For example, the successful experience of the Housing Development Finance Corporation in India has been widely examined.

Formal financial institutions are likely to encounter many of the same problems in providing shelter finance that they have encountered in offering microenterprise finance for very-low-income people. Among such problems are the need to lower administrative costs and to reach a large scale before lending becomes economical for the institution, and the ability to overcome locational and cultural barriers that may make it difficult to design and deliver effective financial services suited to demand.

Property Tenure Issues

In many urban areas, many very-low-income people occupy their land on a nonrecorded basis, without secure tenure. This may be because they are squatters who have never legally occupied their housing or land, or because the legal system has not allowed their legal occupancy to be registered for a number of reasons. Even if occupancy cannot be proven at the time of the loan request, or may never be proven, however, borrowing to improve the property may be economically rational. Property tenure issues add complexity to the financing process that will call on lenders to be flexible and creative in order to accommodate the demand for financial services in low-income markets.

The Continued Use of Subsidies

Although extending the practice of “microlending” to the shelter sphere has the potential to increase the number of very-low-income people who can finance their own housing, even this approach will continue to keep decent housing out of reach of many poor people. By providing sustainable financing at market rates to another tier of householders using this method, perhaps scarce public sector housing subsidies can be targeted more directly to the remaining very needy who have no hope of using credit as a tool to acquire shelter. This method may also make subsidies available to those who can afford partial financing, with the subsidy and the financing kept separate and made explicit. (See the case study on MEDET for an example of this kind of sustainable financing.)

Even if microlending and subsidies are used jointly, the need for full housing subsidies will remain for many poor people in need of shelter. Historically, the public sector has at least contributed to the cost of shelter development, if only by providing services to housing sites. MFIs may be able to participate as intermediaries in the efficient delivery of public funds in the shelter field, and assist with the delivery of such financial assistance to lower-income clientele, but such intermediation is best seen as a service to be done for a fee, and not necessarily as a sign that an institution is on the road to operating sustainably by means of its links to the financial marketplace.

Other Institutions

Other, nonfinancial institutional issues arise when considering the development of sustainable systems of support for shelter and services in low-income — especially informal — communities. These pertain to the relationships of these communities with the municipality of which they are a part and with other community organizations, both formal and informal.

As discussed in “The Provision of Urban Services” above, more so than with microenterprise, successful shelter development depends on the organization of the community. This is particularly true if the service being provided, such as water or energy, requires involvement and a commitment to pay on the part of the entire community, or if the community is interested in owning and operating a facility themselves. Lenders may need to make changes in requirements or procedures to accommodate this type of microenterprise.

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMON AGENDA

Potential Benefits

It is proposed that the ideas presented in this paper be used as the basis for additional discussion and research in the development field. In particular, the question is being asked, Can the expertise, experience, and resources of microenterprise finance be adapted to create more efficient and effective systems of shelter provision in the developing world?

Several benefits could accrue from additional thinking and programmatic development based on the ideas in this paper. These benefits include the following:

- The provision of shelter loans for low-income people by microenterprise finance institutions;
- The development of joint shelter and microenterprise projects by practitioners in both fields;
- The development of shelter finance programs that take into account the lessons learned by microenterprise finance institutions;
- The creation of financial instruments to provide shelter for low-income people that are designed to accommodate the informal shelter development process and the credit needs that result from it;
- The development of model systems for the provision of microfinance for shelter for low-income borrowers, followed by the implementation of pilot programs that could include NGO and public and private sector involvement, configured several ways to test for best practices;
- Increased visibility of the need for shelter credit for low-income people, particularly women, which microenterprise finance programs have achieved with business credit;
- Research and programs to address the sectoral development needs of microenterprises providing urban services, and their requirements with respect to the policy and regulatory environment; and
- The presentation of successful existing shelter development models for consideration and re-creation by the development field.

Specific Ideas for Action

At the “Building Linkages” workshop, participants were asked to identify concrete ideas for advancing the joint housing and microenterprise agenda, and to identify steps for creating a more enabling environment for such activities. Recommendations fell into several categories, as follows:

1. Increase communication about the topic
 - Create joint teams of microenterprise and shelter finance people to discuss these issues;
 - Disseminate literature on microenterprise finance to those working on shelter projects;
 - Hold more joint workshops in the field and at the home office;
 - Schedule cross-program exchanges between microfinance and shelter finance institutions;
 - Hold NGO meetings on this topic or on diversifying financial services; and
 - Develop a shared nomenclature for this topic
2. Develop case studies and pilot projects
 - Document “anecdotal” experiences (for example, instances in which microenterprise loans were used for shelter);
 - Support pilot programs and the replication of current successes;
 - Disseminate/analyze project models that address both shelter and microcredit/savings needs;
 - Develop one or more microenterprise and shelter demonstration projects (for example, a project involving microenterprises that provide urban services).
3. Conduct research
 - Research and disseminate information on existing systems of informal shelter finance and identify ways to strengthen and replicate them, as the microenterprise finance field has done;
 - Research and disseminate information on community-based organizations that are in the financial intermediation business and reach low-income people;
 - Research the savings practices of low-income microentrepreneurs who use formal financial institutions (or other institutions that accept deposits), determining, for example, where/how they save or fail to save; and
 - Study the housing finance component of large microfinance institutions.
4. Support institutional interest in shelter lending
 - Develop guidelines for microenterprise finance institutions contemplating shelter lending/savings mobilization;
 - Encourage microenterprise financial institutions to expand credit for shelter;
 - Ask clients what is needed, and what are the ideal and existing means of delivering services;
 - Develop management systems appropriate for a large loan volume and more diversified products;
 - Explore the use of credit guarantees or other risk management techniques to enhance formal/informal relationships;
 - Develop programs that graduate microfinance institutions to shelter lending; and
 - Develop standards for loan recovery, rate of return, and so on to encourage more businesslike lending practices for shelter finance.
5. Take other steps
 - Create joint project design teams that combine microenterprise and shelter technical assistance into one team;
 - Educate women in legal literacy regarding housing;

- Continue to work to convince the private sector and governments that the poor are economically capable and are generally good credit risks; and
- Increase the flexibility of macroeconomic and regulatory frameworks.

In the summary discussion, workshop participants generally agreed that the exploration of linking microenterprise and shelter had just begun. Participants expressed a particular interest in more information exchanges between the two fields, and in the dissemination of examples and models that could be replicated or at least considered as joint projects. Participants also addressed the institutional and funding incentives that help to maintain the separation between the two fields — a division that all agreed was not rational when looked at from the client's point of view. Some participants said that donors, including USAID, help to maintain this separation via their own institutional separation of microenterprise and shelter activities and via USAID staff's lack of familiarity with the range of relevant issues and their interconnectedness.

Shelter experts attending the workshop expressed hope that linking their activities with those of microenterprise development not only could result in improved projects, but could help keep shelter on the development "radar screen" from which some said it was in danger of disappearing. Some also noted that shelter development has been underestimated as an economic growth strategy.

International development practitioners, activists, and donors are encouraged to create opportunities to carry on the discussion of a joint microenterprise/shelter approach to development, and especially to disseminate information on successful efforts of this kind. It is hoped that in the near future, this paper will have been only one effort among many in the attempt to link microenterprise and shelter activities.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amole, B., D. Korboe, and G. Tipple. "The Family House in West Africa: A Forgotten Resource for Policy Makers?" *Third World Planning Review* 15(4): 355-372 (1993).
- Anzorena, Jorge. "Supporting Shelter Improvements for Low-Income Groups," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 122-131 (April 1993).
- Arimah, B. C. "The Income Elasticity of Demand in a Sub-Saharan African Housing Market: Evidence from Ibdan, Nigeria," *Environment & Planning* 26(1): 107-119 (1994).
- Buckley, Robert M. "Housing Finance in Developing Countries, a Transaction Cost Approach," *Policy, Planning and Research*, The World Bank, December 1989.
- Buckley, Robert, Constance R. Dunham, J. Christopher Walker, and Thakoor Persaud. "Private Housing Finance for Low-Income Households in India: Final Analysis of the Housing Guaranty (HG-002) Project with the Housing Development Finance Corporation, Ltd. (HDFC)." Prepared for the U.S. Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute and The World Bank. December 1989.
- Christen, Robert Peck, Elisabeth Rhyne, and Robert C. Vogel. "Maximizing the Outreach of Microenterprise Finance: The Emerging Lessons of Successful Programs." Draft. IMCC (Arlington, Va.) and Harvard Institute for International Development (Cambridge, Mass.). September 1994.
- Cooperative Housing Foundation. "Supporting Shelter and Community Improvements for Low-Income Families in Central America," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 38-51 (April 1993).
- Dowall, David. "A Second Look at Bangkok Land and Housing Market," *Urban Studies* 29(1): 25-37 (February 1992).
- Dowall, D. "The Benefits of Minimal Land Development Regulation," *Habitat International* 16(4): 15-26 (1992).
- Dunham, Constance R., Tantri M. H. Marbun, and Tammy Kang. "Expanding the Supply of Housing Loans to Low-Income Urban Households in Indonesia." The Urban Institute (Washington, D.C.) and P. T. Hasfarm Dian Konsultan (Jakarta). August 1989.
- Environment and Urbanization*, "Supporting Shelter and Community Improvements for Low-income Families in Central America: Cooperative Housing Foundation," 5(1): 48 (April 1993).
- Fass, Simon M. "Factory Workers' Center Project: Report of the Mid-Point Evaluation." Washington, D.C.: OEF International, USAID/Haiti. Project no. 521018207. Document identification/order no. XD-ABC-444-A. August 5, 1987.
- Ghouri, Naeem Shahid, and Hector Nihal. "The Housing Loan Programme of the Catholic Social Services in Karachi," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 18-25 (April 1993).

Previous Page Blank

- Goodrich, Monica Michejda. "Construction Market Booms in Eastern Europe," *Business America*: 11-12 (September 1993).
- Guidelines for the Development of Small-scale Construction Enterprises*. Geneva: International Labor Office. 1987.
- "HDFC: The Making of a Financial Powerhouse," in *Business India*, Bombay, India (August 19 to 29, 1993).
- Hermanson, Judith A. and Richard T. Owens. "The Informal Sector in Housing and Urban Development: A Review and a Road Map." Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, U.S. Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C.: Cooperative Housing Foundation. March 1990.
- Holt, Sharon L. "Village Banking: A Cross-Country Study of a Community-Based Lending Methodology." GEMINI Working Paper No. 25. Bethesda, Md.: Development Alternatives, Inc. December 1991.
- Hosaka, Mitsuhiro. "Sharing Local Development Experiences Transnationally: Networking in Support of Community and Local Initiatives," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 132-147 (April 1993).
- Housing Development Finance Corporation Limited, Thirteenth Annual Report, 1989-1990.
- Hussey, Pamela B., Jeffrey P. Telgarsky, et al. "Transformation of the Housing Sector in Poland and Hungary." Prepared for USAID, Office of Housing and Urban Programs. March 1990.
- "Impact on the Poor of USAID's Private Sector Programs in the Dominican Republic: Final Report." USAID/Dominican Republic. Project No. 940202803. Document identification/order no. PN-ABN-097. Management Systems International, Inc. (Washington, D.C.) and Arthur Young & Co. (Reston, Va.). April 1989.
- Lea, Michael J. "Indonesian Secondary Mortgage Market Study." Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C., June 1993.
- Liedholm, Carl. "Dynamics of Small and Micro-Scale Enterprises and the Evolving Role of Finance." GEMINI Working Paper No. 26. Bethesda, Md.: Development Alternatives, Inc. December 1991.
- Mautner, Y. M. M. "Housing and the Production of the Built Environment in Sao Paulo," *Regional Development Dialogue* 13(4): 200-218 (1992).
- Mehta, Meera. "Strategy: Down Marketing Housing Finance through Community Based Financial Systems." Prepared for USAID/New Delhi. Cambridge, Mass.: Abt Associates Inc. March 1994.
- Miller, John. "Sri Lanka: the Land Tenure Problem as a Constraint to Housing Finance." Prepared for Mission to Sri Lanka, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. March 1993.
- Mitchell, M., and A. Bevan. *Culture, Cash and Housing: Community and Tradition in Low-Income Building*. London: Intermediate Technology Publications. 1992.
- Mitlin, Diana. "Funding Community Level Initiatives," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 148-154 (April 1993).

- Musandu-Nyamayaro, O. "Housing Design Standards for Urban Low-Income People in Zimbabwe," *Third World Planning Review* 15(4): 329-354.
- NAHB National Research Center. "Preliminary Study of Poland's Housing Construction Sector." Draft report. Developed for USAID/Washington under contract to PADCO. August 1991.
- Okpala, D. C. I. "Housing Production Systems and Technologies in Developing Countries: A Review of the Experiences and Possible Future Trends/Prospects," *Habitat International* 16(3): 9-32 (1992).
- Patel, Sheela, and Celine D'Cruz. "The Mahila Milan Crisis Credit Scheme: From a Seed to a Tree," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 9-17 (April 1993).
- Peterson, George E., G. Thomas Kingsley, and Jeffrey P. Telgarsky. "Urban Economies and National Development." Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, U.S. Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute. February 1991.
- Philpott, Julia. "Office of Housing and Urban Programs' Research on Urbanization and Related Issues: Bibliography." USAID Office of Housing and Urban Programs. September 1988.
- Renaud, Bertrand. "Understanding the Collateral Qualities of Housing for Financial Development, the Korean 'Chonse' as Effective Response to Financial Sector Shortcomings." Infrastructure and Urban Development Department, The World Bank, Washington, D.C. June 1989.
- Rhyne, Elisabeth. "A New View of Finance Program Evaluation." GEMINI Working Paper No. 32. Bethesda, Md.: Development Alternatives, Inc. November 1992.
- Rhyne, Elisabeth, and Sharon L. Holt. "Women in Finance and Enterprise Development." Working paper. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank. June 1994.
- Rhyne, Elisabeth, and Maria Otero. "A Financial Systems Approach to Microenterprises." GEMINI Working Paper No. 25. Bethesda, Md.: Development Alternatives, Inc. December 1991.
- Rietveld, Piet. "Housing and Employment in Indonesia: Prospects for Employment Generation in the Construction Materials Sector," *Bulletin of Indonesian Studies* 28(2): 55-73 (August 1992).
- Saffer, Adam, Mirosław Zielinski, Jerzy Zielinski, Marek-Tadeusz, and Matthew Gamser. "Assessment of Policy Issues and Constraints in the Construction Sector in Poland." GEMINI Working Paper No. 34. Bethesda, Md.: Development Alternatives, Inc. February 1993.
- Serageldin, Mona. "The Use of Land and Infrastructure in the Self Improvement Strategies of Urban Lower Income Families." USAID's Family and Development Program and Office of Housing and Urban Programs. Presented at the 14th Commission of the United Nations Center on Human Settlements (Habitat), Nairobi. May 1993.
- Serageldin, Mona, Driss Benejelloun, et al. "Review of Ongoing USAID Shelter Sector Activities in Morocco." Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, USAID/Morocco. Washington, D.C.: International City/County Management Association. June 1992.
- Serageldin, Mona. "Regularizing the Informal Land Development Process." Volume 1: Background Paper. Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, U.S. Agency for International Development. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Graduate School of Design. September 1991.

- Serageldin, Mona. "The Impact of Investments in Urban Infrastructure on Municipal Revenues and the Integration of Informal Sector Activities: the Abidjan Experience." Prepared for the Office of Housing and Urban Programs, U.S. Agency for International Development. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Graduate School of Design. September 1990.
- Sevilla, Manuel. "New Approaches for Aid Agencies: FUPROVI's Community Based Shelter Programme," *Environment and Urbanization* 5(1): 111-121 (April 1993).
- Strassman, Paul. "Types of Neighborhoods and Home Based Enterprises: Evidence from Lima, Peru." USAID/Peru. Project no. 9311090. Document identification/order no. PN-ABA-591.1985.
- Strassman, Paul. "Employment and Income from Production in Dwellings: The State of the Art." USAID/Office of Urban Development, Bureau for Development Support. East Lansing, Mich.: Housing in Development Unit, Michigan State University. September 1981.
- Tipple, A. Graham. "Shelter As Workplace: A Review of Home-based Enterprise in Developing Countries," *International Labour Review* 132(4): 521-539 (1993).
- United Nations Center on Human Settlements (Habitat). *Shelter Provision and Employment Generation*. Geneva: Nairobi and International Labor Office. 1995.
- Varley, Robert C.G. "Financial Services and Environmental Health." Prepared under the Environmental Health Project for the Office of Health and Nutrition, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. January 1995.
- White, Karen, Maria Otero, et al. "Integrating Women-in-Development Programs: A Guide for Implementation for Latin American Countries and the Caribbean." USAID Latin America Bureau, Office of Women in Development. Project no. 5980000. Document identification/order no. PN-AAX-047 (English version) or PN-ABI-541 (Spanish version). Washington, D.C.: International Center for Research on Women. October 1988.

GEMINI PUBLICATION SERIES

GEMINI Working Papers:

1. "Growth and Equity through Microenterprise Investments and Institutions Project (GEMINI): Overview of the Project and Implementation Plan, October 1, 1989-September 30, 1990." GEMINI Working Paper No. 1. December 1989. [not for general circulation]
- *2. "The Dynamics of Small-Scale Industry in Africa and the Role of Policy." Carl Liedholm. GEMINI Working Paper No. 2. January 1990. \$5.50
3. "Prospects for Enhancing the Performance of Micro- and Small-Scale Nonfarm Enterprises in Niger." Donald C. Mead, Thomas Dichter, Yacob Fisseha, and Steven Haggblade. GEMINI Working Paper No. 3. February 1990. \$6.00
4. "Agenda Paper: Seminar on the Private Sector in the Sahel, Abidjan, July 1990." William Grant. GEMINI Working Paper No. 4. August 1990. \$3.00
- *5. "Gender and the Growth and Dynamics of Microenterprises." Jeanne Downing. GEMINI Working Paper No. 5. October 1990. \$10.50
6. "Banking on the Rural Poor in Malaysia: Project Ikhtiar." David Lucock. GEMINI Working Paper No. 6. October 1990. \$3.30
7. "Options for Updating AskARIES." Larry Reed. GEMINI Working Paper No. 7. October 1990. \$3.50
- *8. "Technology — The Key to Increasing the Productivity of Microenterprises." Andy Jeans, Eric Hyman, and Mike O'Donnell. GEMINI Working Paper No. 8. November 1990. \$3.60
9. "Lesotho Small and Microenterprise Strategy — Phase II: Subsector Analysis." Bill Grant. GEMINI Working Paper No. 9. November 1990. \$15.50
- *10. "A Subsector Approach to Small Enterprise Promotion and Research." James J. Boomgard, Stephen P. Davies, Steven J. Haggblade, and Donald C. Mead. GEMINI Working Paper No. 10. January 1991. \$3.10
11. "Data Collection Strategies for Small-Scale Industry Surveys." Carl Liedholm. GEMINI Working Paper No. 11. January 1991. \$1.30

12. "Dynamics of Microenterprises: Research Issues and Approaches." Carl Liedholm and Donald C. Mead. GEMINI Working Paper No. 12. January 1991. \$6.50
13. "Dynamics of Microenterprises: Research Priorities and Research Plan." Carl Liedholm and Donald C. Mead. GEMINI Working Paper No. 13. August 1990. [not for general circulation]
14. "Review of Year One Activities (October 1, 1989 to September 30, 1990) and Year Two Work Plan (October 1 to November 30, 1990)." GEMINI Working Paper No. 14. January 1991. [not for general circulation]
- *15. "The Process of Institutional Development: Assisting Small Enterprise Institutions to Become More Effective." Elaine Edgcomb and James Cawley. GEMINI Working Paper No. 15. February 1991. \$9.70
16. "Baseline Surveys of Micro and Small Enterprises: An Overview." Donald C. Mead, Yacob Fisseha, and Michael McPherson. GEMINI Working Paper No. 16. March 1991. \$2.60
17. "Kenya: Kibera's Small Enterprise Sector — Baseline Survey Report." Joan Parker and C. Aleke Dondo. GEMINI Working Paper No. 17. April 1991. \$6.40
- *18. "A Financial Systems Approach to Microenterprises." Elisabeth Rhyne and Maria Otero. GEMINI Working Paper No. 18. April 1991. \$3.00
- *19. "Agriculture, Rural Labor Markets, and the Evolution of the Rural Nonfarm Economy." Steve Haggblade and Carl Liedholm. GEMINI Working Paper No. 19. May 1991. \$2.50
- *20. "The Microenterprise Finance Institutions of Indonesia and Their Implications for Donors." Elisabeth Rhyne. GEMINI Working Paper No. 20. June 1991. \$3.40
21. "Microenterprise Growth Dynamics in the Dominican Republic: The ADEMI Case." Frank F. Rubio. GEMINI Working Paper No. 21. June 1991. \$3.10
- *22. "Credit Unions: A Formal Sector Alternative for Financing Microenterprise Development." John H. Magill. GEMINI Working Paper No. 22. September 1991. \$3.80
23. "A Proposed Subsector-Based Monitoring and Evaluation System for CARE/Thailand's Silk Promotion Efforts." Steven Haggblade. GEMINI Working Paper No. 23. September 1991. \$3.60
24. "Steps to the Creation of a Viable Financial Institution for Microenterprise Development in the Philippines: Notes on a Process for the Staff and Board of Tulay sa Pag-Unlad, Inc." Doug Salloum and Nan Borton. GEMINI Working Paper No. 24. November 1991. \$2.00
- *25. "Village Banking: A Cross-Country Study of a Community-Based Lending Methodology." Sharon L. Holt. GEMINI Working Paper No. 25. December 1991. \$12.60
26. "Dynamics of Small- and Micro-scale Enterprises and the Evolving Role of Finance." Carl Liedholm. GEMINI Working Paper No. 26. December 1991. \$3.00
- *27. "Opportunities for Intervention in Thailand's Silk Subsector." Steven Haggblade and Nick Ritchie. GEMINI Working Paper No. 27. January 1992. \$3.20

- *28. "Apex Study of the Asociación de Grupos Solidarios de Colombia." Arelis Gomez Alfonso, with Nan Borton and Carlos Castello. GEMINI Working Paper No. 28. April 1992. \$4.60. [See Technical Reports No. 36 and No. 39 for apex studies in Senegal and Thailand.]
29. "The Subsector Methodology, A Field Orientation for CARE/Egypt, January 20-February 7, 1992." William Grant. GEMINI Working Paper No. 29. April 1992. \$9.50
30. "Poverty Lending and Microenterprise Development: A Clarification of the Issues." Mohini Malhotra. GEMINI Working Paper No. 30. May 1992. \$3.60
31. "The Solidarity Group Experience." Shari Berenbach and Diego Guzman. GEMINI Working Paper No. 31. June 1992. \$5.80
32. "A New View of Finance Program Evaluation." Elisabeth Rhyne. GEMINI Working Paper No. 32. November 1992. \$1.50
33. "The Role of Savings in Local Financial Markets: The Indonesian Experience." Marguerite S. Robinson. GEMINI Working Paper No. 33. November 1992. \$3.50
34. "Assessment of Policy Issues and Constraints in the Construction Sector in Poland." Adam Saffer, Mirosław Zielinski, Jerzy Zielinski, Tadeusz Marek, and Matthew Gamser. GEMINI Working Paper No. 34. February 1993. \$5.20
35. "BancoSol: A Private Commercial Bank. A Case Study in Profitable Microenterprise Development in Bolivia." Amy J. Glosser. GEMINI Working Paper No. 35. February 1993. \$8.60
36. "The Structure and Growth of Microenterprise in Southern and Eastern Africa: Evidence from Recent Surveys." Carl Liedholm and Donald Mead. GEMINI Working Paper No. 36. March 1993. \$5.60
37. "Transformation Lending: Helping Microenterprises Become Small Businesses." Larry Reed and David Befus. GEMINI Working Paper No. 37. April 1993. \$4.80
38. "Should Principles of Regulation and Prudential Supervision be Different for Microenterprise Finance Organizations?" Rodrigo A. Chaves and Claudio Gonzalez-Vega. GEMINI Working Paper No. 38. April 1993. \$3.40
39. "Application of the GEMINI Methodology for Subsector Analysis to MSE Export Activities: A Case Study in Ecuador." Gary D. Kilmer. GEMINI Working Paper No. 39. June 1993. \$2.80
40. "Private Business Organizations and the Legislative Process." Tom Gray. GEMINI Working Paper No. 40. July 1993. \$4.20
41. "Financial Institutions Development Project in Indonesia: Developing Financial Institutions to Serve Small Enterprises." Roland Pearson and Dallas Garland. GEMINI Working Paper No. 41. July 1993. \$13.90
42. "Review of Years 1-3 Activities and Workplan for Years 4 and 5 (December 1, 1991 to November 30, 1992)." GEMINI Working Paper No. 42. June 1993. [not for general circulation]
- *43. "CARE and Subsector Analysis: A Report on CARE's Formative Experience." Marshall Bear. GEMINI Working Paper No. 43. October 1993. \$2.00

44. "Small and Medium Enterprise Development: A National Assessment of the Agroindustry Sector of Poland." GEMINI Working Paper No. 44. Volume One, technical report; Volume Two, annexes. George L. Metcalfe and Debra Wahlberg. January 1993. \$37.80
45. "FondoMicro: Lessons on the Role of Second-Tier Financial Institutions in MSE Development." Mohini Malhotra. GEMINI Working Paper No. 45. February 1994. \$1.40
46. "Methodology for Microenterprise Strategy Design in the Sahel." William Grant and Matthew Gamser. GEMINI Working Paper No. 46. February 1994. \$3.00
47. "Bridging the Gap between Equity and Impact: A Subsector Approach to Export Promotion in Ecuador." John Magill. GEMINI Working Paper No. 47. April 1994. \$3.00
48. "Structure and Growth of Small Enterprises in the Forest-Products Sector in Southern and Eastern Africa." J.E.M. Arnold and I.M. Townson (Oxford Forestry Institute), and C. Liedholm and D. Mead (Michigan State University). GEMINI Working Paper No. 48. September 1994. \$6.20
- *49. "Toward More Cost-effective Nonfinancial Assistance: Case Studies in Subsector-based MSE Development." Mohini Malhotra and Jennifer Santer. GEMINI Working Paper No. 49. October 1994. \$3.60
- *50. "Business Linkages in Zimbabwe: Concept, Practice, and Strategies." John P. Grierson and Donald C. Mead. GEMINI Working Paper No. 50. May 1995. \$2.00
51. "Review of Year Five Activities (December 1, 1993-September 30, 1994) and Year Six Workplan (October 1, 1994-September 30, 1995)." GEMINI Working Paper No. 51. December 1994. [not for general circulation]
- *52. "State-Owned Agricultural Development Banks: Lessons and Opportunities for Microfinance." Claudio Gonzalez-Vega and Douglas H. Graham. GEMINI Working Paper No. 52. September 1995. \$4.80
- *53. "Where the Microfinance Revolution Began: The First 25 Years of the Bank Dagang Bali, 1970-1994." Marguerite Robinson. GEMINI Working Paper No. 53. September 1995. \$4.00
- *54. "Nigeria 1961-1991: Closure, Survival and Growth of Small Enterprise." Peter Kilby and Monibo Sam. GEMINI Working Paper No. 54. September 1995.

GEMINI Technical Reports:

1. "Jamaica Microenterprise Development Project: Technical, Administrative, Economic, and Financial Analyses." Paul Guenette, Surendra K. Gupta, Katherine Stearns, and James Boomgard. GEMINI Technical Report No. 1. June 1990. [not for general circulation]
2. "Bangladesh Women's Enterprise Development Project: PID Excerpts and Background Papers." Shari Berenbach, Katherine Stearns, and Syed M. Hashemi. GEMINI Technical Report No. 2. October 1990. [not for general circulation]
3. "Maroc: Conception d'une Enquête pour une Etude du Secteur Informel." Eric R. Nelson and Housni El Ghazi. GEMINI Technical Report No. 3. November 1990. \$12.50

4. "Small Enterprise Assistance Project II in the Eastern Caribbean: Project Paper." James Cotter, Bruce Tippet, and Danielle Heinen. GEMINI Technical Report No. 4. October 1990. [not for general circulation]
5. "Technical Assessment: Rural Small-Scale Enterprise Pilot Credit Activity in Egypt." John W. Gardner and Jack E. Proctor. GEMINI Technical Report No. 5. October 1990. \$4.00
- *6. "Developing Financial Services for Microenterprises: An Evaluation of USAID Assistance to the BRI Unit Desa System in Indonesia." James J. Boomgard and Kenneth J. Angell. GEMINI Technical Report No. 6. October 1990. \$9.00
7. "A Review of the Indigenous Small Scale Enterprises Sector in Swaziland." David A. Schrier. GEMINI Technical Report No. 7. October 1990. [not for general circulation]
8. "Ecuador Micro-Enterprise Sector Assessment: Summary Report." John H. Magill and Donald A. Swanson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 8. April 1991. \$10.20
9. "Ecuador Micro-Enterprise Sector Assessment: Financial Markets and the Micro- and Small-scale Enterprise Sector." Richard Meyer, John Porges, Martha Rose, and Jean Gilson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 9. March 1991. \$16.00
10. "Ecuador Micro-Enterprise Sector Assessment: Policy Framework." Bruce H. Herrick, Gustavo A. Marquez, and Joseph F. Burke. GEMINI Technical Report No. 10. March 1991. \$11.30
11. "Ecuador Micro-Enterprise Sector Assessment: Institutional Analysis." Peter H. Fraser, Arelis Gomez Alfonso, Miguel A. Rivarola, Donald A. Swanson, and Fernando Cruz-Villalba. GEMINI Technical Report No. 11. March 1991. \$25.00
12. "Ecuador Micro-Enterprise Sector Assessment: Key Characteristics of the Micro-Enterprise Sector." John H. Magill, Robert Blaney, Joseph F. Burke, Rae Blumberg, and Jennifer Santer. GEMINI Technical Report No. 12. March 1991. \$19.60
13. "A Monitoring and Evaluation System for Peace Corps' Small Business Development Program." David M. Callihan. GEMINI Technical Report No. 13. [not available for general circulation]
14. "Small-Scale Enterprises in Lesotho: Summary of a Country-Wide Survey." Yacob Fisseha. GEMINI Technical Report No. 14. February 1991. \$6.40
- *15. "An Evaluation of the Institutional Aspects of Financial Institutions Development Project, Phase I in Indonesia." John F. Gadway, Tantri M. H. Gadway, and Jacob Sardi. GEMINI Technical Report No. 15. March 1991. \$8.80
- *16. "Small-Scale Enterprises in Mamelodi and Kwazakhele Townships, South Africa: Survey Findings." Carl Liedholm and Michael A. McPherson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 16. March 1991. \$4.60
17. "Growth and Change in Malawi's Small and Medium Enterprise Sector." Michael A. McPherson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 17. June 1991. \$2.20
18. "Burkina Faso Microenterprise Sector Assessment and Strategy." William Grant, Matthew Gamser, Jim Herne, Karen McKay, Abdoulaye Sow, and Sibry Jean-Marie Tapsoba. GEMINI Technical Report No. 18. August 1991. Volume One, Main Report, \$7.60; Volume Two, Annexes, \$14.20

- *19. "Women in the BPD and Unit Desa Financial Services Programs: Lessons from Two Impact Studies in Indonesia." Sharon L. Holt. GEMINI Technical Report No. 19. September 1991. \$3.80
20. "Mali Microenterprise Sector Assessment and Strategy." William Grant, Kim Aldridge, James Bell, Ann Duval, Maria Keita, and Steve Haggblade. GEMINI Technical Report No. 20. October 1991. Volume One, Main Report, \$6.70; Volume Two, Annexes, \$13.00
21. "A Microenterprise Sector Assessment and Development Strategy for A.I.D. in Zambia." Eric L. Hyman, Robert Strauss, and Richard Crayne. GEMINI Technical Report No. 21. November 1991. \$10.00
22. "Bangladesh: Women's Enterprise Development Project Paper." GEMINI Technical Report No. 22. August 1991. [not for general circulation]
23. "Peru: Small Business and Employment Expansion Project Paper." GEMINI Technical Report No. 23. November 1991. [not for general circulation]
24. "A Country-wide Study of Small-Scale Enterprises in Swaziland." Yacob Fisseha and Michael A. McPherson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 24. December 1991. \$5.40
- *25. "Micro and Small-Scale Enterprises in Zimbabwe: Results of a Country-wide Survey." Michael A. McPherson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 25. December 1991. \$5.00
26. "The Development Impact of Financing the Smallest Enterprises in Indonesia." GEMINI Technical Report No. 26. January 1992. [not for general circulation]
27. "Midterm Evaluation of the ASEPDE Component of the Small Business II Project, Honduras." Arelis Gomez Alfonso, Wesley Boles, and Donald L. Richardson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 27. February 1992. \$5.80. Also available in Spanish.
28. "Midterm Evaluation of the ANDI/PYME Component of the Small Business II Project, Honduras." Arelis Gomez Alfonso, Wesley Boles, and Donald L. Richardson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 28. February 1992. \$6.60. Also available in Spanish.
29. "The Role of Financial Institutions in the Promotion of Micro and Small Enterprises in Burkina Faso." John McKenzie. GEMINI Technical Report No. 29. February 1992. \$10.40
30. "Small and Micro Enterprise Development Project No. 262-0212, Egypt. Midterm Evaluation." Katherine Stearns. GEMINI Technical Report No. 30. March 1992. \$7.60
31. "A Review of the Prospects for Rural Financial Development in Bolivia." James J. Boomgard, James Kern, Calvin Miller, and Richard H. Patten. GEMINI Technical Report No. 31. March 1992. \$4.60
32. "The Role of Private Sector Advocacy Groups in the Sahel." William Grant. GEMINI Technical Report No. 32. March 1992. \$2.40
- *33. "Access to Credit for Poor Women: A Scale-up Study of Projects Carried Out by Freedom from Hunger in Mali and Ghana." Jeffrey Ashe, Madeline Hirschland, Jill Burnett, Kathleen Stack, Marcy Eiland, and Mark Gizzi. GEMINI Technical Report No. 33. March 1992. \$11.80

- *34. "Egyptian Women and Microenterprise: the Invisible Entrepreneurs." C. Jean Weidemann. GEMINI Technical Report No. 34. March 1992. \$11.20
- *35. "A Pre-Project Identification Document Analysis of the Lesotho Agricultural Enterprise Initiatives Project." Mike Bess, Don Henry, Donald Mead, and Eugene Miller. GEMINI Technical Report No. 35. April 1992. \$20.00
36. "Apex Study of the Small Enterprise Development Program of Catholic Relief Services, Senegal." Arelis Gomez Alfonso. GEMINI Technical Report No. 36. May 1992. \$3.00
37. "The Private Operators' Perspective on an Agenda for Action," Dakar, Senegal, November 22-25, 1991. A Seminar on the Private Sector in West Africa. Organized by the Senegalese National Employers' Union (CNP), the Club du Sahel, CILSS and USAID. GEMINI Technical Report No. 37. May 1992. \$7.00
38. "Background Documents to the Seminar on the Private Sector in West Africa," Dakar, Senegal. November 22-25, 1991. GEMINI Technical Report No. 38. May 1992. \$5.00
39. "Apex Study of the Small Enterprise Development Program of Catholic Relief Services, Thailand." Arelis Gomez Alfonso. GEMINI Technical Report No. 39. May 1992. \$3.20
40. "Study of Informal Cross-border Trade, Poland." SMG-KRC/Poland. GEMINI Technical Report No. 40. May 1992. \$3.20
41. "Study of the Informal Commercial Sector, Poland." SMG/KRC Poland. GEMINI Technical Report No. 41. May 1992. \$4.20
42. "Evaluation of the Micro and Small Enterprise Development Project (MSED) in Bolivia." William Fisher, Jeffrey Poyo, and Ann Beasley. GEMINI Technical Report No. 42. June 1992. \$10.60. Also available in Spanish.
43. "Analysis of Funding Mechanisms for the Small and Micro Enterprise Development Project, Egypt." Kenneth J. Angell and John M. Porges. GEMINI Technical Report No. 43. June 1992. \$3.80
44. "Get Ahead Foundation Credit Programs in South Africa: The Effects of Loans on Client Enterprises." Jennefer Sebstad. GEMINI Technical Report No. 44. June 1992. \$3.00
45. "Get Ahead Foundation in South Africa: Final Evaluation." Robert Christen, Elisabeth Rhyne, Doug Salloum, and Jennefer Sebstad. GEMINI Technical Report No. 45. June 1992. [not for general circulation]
46. "Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprises in Botswana: Results of a Nationwide Survey." Lisa Daniels and Yacob Fisseha. GEMINI Technical Report No. 46. August 1992. \$4.40
- *47. "The Growth and Dynamics of Women Entrepreneurs in Southern Africa." Jeanne Downing and Lisa Daniels. GEMINI Technical Report No. 47. August 1992. \$3.10
48. "Small Business Development Programming Trip: Peace Corps/Albania and the Office of Training and Program Support, Small Business Development Sector." Lauren Spurrier and Wesley Weidemann. GEMINI Technical Report No. 48. October 1992. \$6.00

- 49a. "Small Enterprise Development in the Russian Far East." Martha Blaxall, Yasuo Konishi, Virginia Lambert, Jennifer Santer, and Timothy Smith. GEMINI Technical Report No. 49a. October 1992. \$12.00
- 49b. "Supporting Private Enterprises in Uzbekistan: Challenges and Opportunities." Nan Borton, John Magill, Neal Nathanson, and Jim Packard Winkler. GEMINI Technical Report No. 49b. November 1992. \$5.60
- 49c. "Assessing the Prospects for Small Enterprise Development in Kazakhstan." Kenneth Angell, James J. Boomgard, Mohini Malhotra, and Robert A. Rodriguez. GEMINI Technical Report No. 49c. December 1992. \$3.90
- 49d. "Small Enterprise Development in Ukraine." Dennis De Santis, Jean Gilson, Max Goldensohn, Jennifer Santer, and Timothy Smith. GEMINI Technical Report No. 49d. December 1992. \$8.10
- *50. "Skins and Hides in Four Countries in Africa: The Potential Role for Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprise Development." William Grant. GEMINI Technical Report No. 50. November 1992. \$3.00. Also available in French.
- 51a. "Morocco: Assessment of Programming Options for Microenterprise Development." Housni El Ghazi, Sheila Reines, Steve Silcox, Katherine Stearns, and Matthew Gamser. GEMINI Technical Report No. 51a. November 1992. [not for general circulation]
- 51b. "USAID/Morocco: Assessment of Programming Options for Microenterprise Development. Report on Workshop and Field Investigations." Matt Gamser, Housni El Ghazi, Sheila Reines, Steve Silcox, and Katherine Stearns. GEMINI Technical Report No. 51b. December 1992. Also in French. [not for general circulation]
52. "Small Enterprise Development in Armenia: Programming Recommendations for Peace Corps Volunteers." Timothy J. Smith. GEMINI Technical Report No. 52. July 1992. \$2.20
53. "Results of a Nationwide Survey on Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises in Malawi." Lisa Daniels and Austin Ngwira. GEMINI Technical Report No. 53. January 1993. \$11.80
- *54a. "A Review of Donor-Funded Projects in Support of Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprises in West Africa." William Grant. GEMINI Technical Report No. 54a. February 1993. \$18.80
- *54b. "A Review of Donor-Funded Projects in Support of Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprises in West Africa: Case Studies." William Grant. GEMINI Technical Report No. 54b. March 1993. \$15.60
55. "Business Linkages and Enterprise Development in Zimbabwe." Donald C. Mead and Peter Kunjuku. GEMINI Technical Report No. 55. April 1993. \$3.40
56. "End of Project Evaluation, Enterprise Development Project, Bangladesh." Mohini Malhotra, John Magill, and James Packard-Winkler, with the assistance of M.M. Nurul Haque. GEMINI Technical Report No. 56. April 1993. \$19.20
57. "Small Business Development Support Project in South Africa: Concept Paper." Richard Betz, Ian Clark, Matthew Gamser, Juneas Lekgetha, Jacob Leviisky, Neal Nathanson, Sango Ntsaluba, and Barney Tsita. GEMINI Technical Report No. 57. June 1993. [not for general circulation]

58. "Attitudes and Practices of Credit Union Members and Non-Members in Malawi and Grenada: Synthesis Report." John Magill. GEMINI Technical Report No. 58. November 1993. \$5.00
59. "Midterm Evaluation of the Microenterprise Development Project in Jamaica." Surendra K. Gupta and Mario D. Davalos, with assistance from Marcia Hextall. GEMINI Technical Report No. 59. September 1993. \$13.80
60. "Investing in the Future: Report of the Task Force for Small and Medium Enterprise in Poland." GEMINI Technical Report No. 60. May 1993. \$13.00
61. "New Competitiveness and New Enterprises in Peru: Small Businesses in an Internationalized Economy." Fidel Castro Zambrano and Ernesto Kritz. GEMINI Technical Report No. 61. August 1993. \$11.80. Also available in Spanish (\$13.20).
62. "Principles for Effective Design and Management of Small Business Development Centers." Jennifer Santer, Neal Nathanson, Steve Thalheimer, and Anita Campion. GEMINI Technical Report No. 62. October 1993. \$13.60
63. "Mongolia: Options and Strategies for Small- and Medium-Scale Enterprise Development." John Magill, Clara Lipson, and Michael McKone. GEMINI Technical Report No. 63. November 1993. [not for general circulation]
64. "Credit Unions and Microenterprises: The WOCCU Perspective." World Council of Credit Unions. GEMINI Technical Report No. 64. December 1993. \$4.00
65. "Strategic Option Paper for Malawi Small Enterprise Support Institutions." Stephen C. Silcox, Anicca Jansen, and Mark Baughan. GEMINI Technical Report No. 65. January 1994. \$9.20
66. "Integration of Gender into GEMINI." Catherine R. Neill and Olaf Kula. GEMINI Technical Report No. 66. January 1994. \$9.80
67. "A Training Program for Microenterprise Lending Agencies in Jamaica." Mohini Malhotra, with assistance from David Logan and Valerie Tate. GEMINI Technical Report No. 67. January 1994. \$3.60
68. "Study of the Financial Sector and SME Development in Poland." Bruce Heatly, Cynthia Lynn Chrzan-Lanigan, and Cathy Silverstein. GEMINI Technical Report No. 68. February 1994. Volume One: Main Report \$5.00; Volume Two: Appendices \$25.20
69. "Private Sector Business Associations in South Africa and Zambia: Advocacy for SMEs." Kenneth Angell. GEMINI Technical Report No. 69. March 1994. \$4.80
70. "A Dynamic Study of Jamaican Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprises." Yacob Fisseha. GEMINI Technical Report No. 70. March 1994. \$3.40
71. "Changes in the Small-scale Enterprise Sector from 1991 to 1993: Results of a Second Nationwide Survey in Zimbabwe." Lisa Daniels. GEMINI Technical Report No. 71. March 1994. \$11.80
72. "The Contribution of Small Enterprises to Employment Growth in Southern Africa." Donald C. Mead. GEMINI Technical Report No. 72. March 1994. \$2.80
73. "Small Enterprise Development in Poland: Does Gender Matter?" C. Jean Weidemann and Carol Finnegan. GEMINI Technical Report No. 73. March 1994. \$6.80

74. "Slovakia Small Business Assessment." Tony Barclay and Bruce Heatly. GEMINI Technical Report No. 74. March 1994. \$6.60
75. "Micro- and Small-Scale Enterprises in Kenya: Results of the 1993 National Baseline Survey." Joan C. Parker with Tanya R. Torres. GEMINI Technical Report No. 75. March 1994. \$12.00
76. "Measuring Socioeconomic Impact of Credit on SMI: Assessment of the Monitoring System Used by the Alexandria Businessmen's Association, Egypt." Linda Oldham and others. GEMINI Technical Report No. 76. May 1994. \$12.20
77. "The Kenya Rural Enterprise Programme under Cooperative Agreement No. AID-615-0238-A-00-7026-00: A Final Evaluation." Catherine Neill, Mario Davalos, Washington Kiiru, M. Manundu, and Jennefer Sebstad. GEMINI Technical Report No. 77. September 1994. \$17.60
78. "Summary Report on the Polish Delegation's Tour of Small Business Assistance Organizations in the United States." Adam P. Saffer. GEMINI Technical Report No. 78. September 1994. \$5.00
79. "Mongolian Chamber of Commerce and Industry Study Tour: U.S. Business Associations and Services." Tom Gray. GEMINI Technical Report No. 79. September 1994. \$4.60
80. "Morocco Microenterprise Finance Concept Paper." Jim Kern, Emile Salou, Housni El Ghazi, and Matthew Gamser. GEMINI Technical Report No. 80. March 1995. \$8.00. [not for general distribution]
- *81. "The USAID Microenterprise Initiative in Sri Lanka." David A. Lucock, Wesley J. Weidemann, J. Charitha Ratwatte, and Mahinda Gunasekera. GEMINI Technical Report No. 81. April 1995. \$9.60
- *82. "Stimulating the Growth and Development of Small and Medium Size Enterprises through Financial Sector Policy Reform." Malcolm Toland, Adam P. Saffer, and Bruce Heatly. GEMINI Technical Report No. 82. March 1995. \$10.20
83. "Review of the Covelo Foundation in Honduras and the Organizations it Supports." Robin Bell and Bruce Heatly. GEMINI Technical Report No. 83. March 1995. \$7.60 Also available in Spanish.
84. "Proposed Small and Microenterprise Program Activities for USAID in Hungary: the GEORGETTE Project." Neal Nathanson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 84. August 1995. \$7.60
85. "Zimbabwe: Financial Sector Assessment." Robin R. Bell, Geoffrey Peters, and Mehlo Ndiweni. GEMINI Technical Report No. 85. August 1995. \$5.30
86. "Membership Survey of Dominica Cooperative Credit Union League." Olaf Kula and Melissa Punch. GEMINI Technical Report No. 86. August 1995. \$6.20
- *87. "The Art of Lobbying in Poland." Daniel R. Mastromarco, Adam P. Saffer, and Miroslaw Zielinski. GEMINI Technical Report No. 87. September 1995. \$10.80
- *88. "Lessons Learned from Small and Medium Size Enterprise-Related Regional Development Programs in Poland." Adam P. Saffer, Malcolm Toland, and Daniel Wagner. GEMINI Technical Report No. 88. September 1995. \$4.40
- *89. "Patterns of Change among Jamaican Microenterprises: Results from a Quarterly Panel Survey 1993-1994." Todd Gustafson and Carl Liedholm. GEMINI Technical Report No. 89. July 1995. \$5.80

90. "FUNADEH: Assessment for USAID/Honduras of the Prospects for Institutional Transformation." Rodrigo Lopez. GEMINI Technical Report No. 90. September 1995. \$4.80 Also available in Spanish.

*91. "Uganda Private Enterprise Support, Training, and Organizational Development (PRESTO) Project, Project Concept Paper." Olaf Kula, Peter Ondeng, Peter Robinson, and Ann Ritchie. GEMINI Technical Report No. 91. September 1995. \$4.70

*92. "Employment and Income in Micro and Small Enterprises in Kenya: Results of a 1995 Survey." Lisa Daniels, Donald C. Mead, and Muli Musinga. GEMINI Technical Report No. 92. September 1995. \$12.40

*93. "Microenterprise and Gender in India: Issues and Options." C. Jean Weidemann. GEMINI Technical Report No. 93. September 1995. \$7.90

*94. "Evaluation of the Impacts of PRIDE/VITA (The Guinea Rural Enterprise Development Project)." Lucy E. Creevey, Koumakh Ndour, and Abdourahmane Thiam. GEMINI Technical Report No. 94. September 1995. \$11.20

*95. "Rural Financial Institutions, Economic Policy Reform Programs, and Agribusiness in Sub-Saharan Africa." Lucy E. Creevey, Olaf Kula, Juneas Lekgetha, Catherine Neill, Eric R. Nelson, and Roland Pearson. GEMINI Technical Report No. 95. September 1995. \$22.60

Technical Notes:

Financial Assistance to Microenterprise Section:

*1. Series Notebook: "Tools for Microenterprise Programs" (a three-ring binder, 1 and 1/2 inches in diameter, for organizing technical notes and training materials) and "Methods for Managing Delinquency" by Katherine Stearns. April 1991. \$7.50. Also available in Spanish and in French.

*2. "Interest Rates and Self-Sufficiency." Katherine Stearns. December 1991. \$6.50. Also available in Spanish and in French.

*3. "Financial Services for Women." C. Jean Weidemann. March 1992. \$5.00. Also available in Spanish and in French.

*4. "Designing for Financial Viability of Microenterprise Programs." Charles Waterfield. March 1993. \$10.00 with diskette. Also available in Spanish and in French.

*5. "Monetary Incentive Schemes for Staff." Katherine Stearns, ACCION International. April 1993. \$3.80. Also available in Spanish and in French.

*6. "Fundamentals of Accounting for Microcredit Programs." Margaret Bartel, Michael J. McCord, and Robin R. Bell. December 1994. \$6.30

*7. "Financial Management Ratios I: Analyzing Profitability in Microcredit Programs." Margaret Bartel, Michael J. McCord, and Robin R. Bell. February 1995. \$5.90

*8. "Financial Management Ratios II: Analyzing for Quality and Soundness in Microcredit Programs." Margaret Bartel, Michael J. McCord, and Robin R. Bell. February 1995. \$6.30

Nonfinancial Assistance to Microenterprise Section:

- *1. "A Field Manual for Subsector Practitioners." Steven J. Haggblade and Matthew Gamser. November 1991. \$4.65. Also available in Spanish and in French.
- *2. "Facilitator's Guide for Training in Subsector Analysis." Marshall A. Bear, Cathy Gibbons, Steven J. Haggblade, and Nick Ritchie. December 1992. \$35.00. Also available in Spanish and in French.
- *3. "Management Information Systems for Microenterprise Development Programs." Mark King and Charles Waterfield. January 1995. \$6.50.

Field Research Section:

- *1. "A Manual for Conducting Baseline Surveys of Micro- and Small-scale Enterprises." Michael A. McPherson and Joan C. Parker. February 1993. \$13.60. Also available in Spanish and in French.

Special Publications:

- *1. "GEMINI in a Nutshell: Abstracts of Selected Publications." Compiled by Eugenia Carey and Michael McCord. Special Publication No. 1. 1993. \$10.00
- *2. "GEMINI in a Nutshell II: Abstracts of Selected Publications." Compiled by Eugenia Carey and Linda Rotblatt. Special Publication No. 2. 1995. \$14.60

Copies of publications available for circulation can be obtained from PACT Publications, 777 United Nations Plaza, Sixth Floor, New York, NY, 10017, U.S.A.

9/95

34