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INTRODUCTION

The development of an environmental goods and services sector in Eastern Europe is a key element to stimulating the expansion of the free market system in the region and improving environmental conditions. The American Business and Private Sector Initiative Project provides technical assistance and funds for long-term capital development that will lead to environmental investments by the private sector. The Capital Development Initiatives component of this project provides for technical assistance and a feasibility fund to encourage private sector development in East Europe, including the environment sector.

At the beginning of 1992, the USAID Project in Development and the Environment (PRIDE), in support of the American Business and Private Sector Initiative Project and the Capital Development Initiative, sent Dr. Kenneth Macek to Poland for one year as an Environment Business Specialist to provide technical assistance to the private environmental business sectors in Poland, the Czech and Slovak Republics and Hungary.

This technical assistance was to be in the form of identifying firms in the environmental sector suitable for enterprise strengthening. Once the firms were identified, Dr. Macek assisted the firms in accessing sources of credit and capital available from the CDI, other Eastern European venture funds, U.S. firms and technologies, and/or joint ventures. Dr. Macek assisted the firms in the preparation of proposals, prospectuses and other documentation necessary for gaining access to the available funds.

The following reports are the results of Dr. Macek's one year as the Environmental Business Specialist. These reports include market assessments and investment proposals for key environmental businesses in Eastern Europe, as well as a possible joint venture with a U.S. firm. These reports have been submitted to the appropriate venture sources and USAID. They are being compiled in this binder as a reference source for future joint venture/funding projects.
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Mr. Charles Huebner  
Director  
HUNGARIAN AMERICAN ENTERPRISE FUND  
East-West Business Center, 6 fl.  
Rakoczi ut 1-3.  
H-1088 Budapest, HUNGARY

Dear Mr. Huebner:

Pursuant to our discussions of the past few months, I am pleased to enclose three (3) copies of an Investment Proposal from HYDROCOR Kft. of Budapest. I worked together with the company's management over a period of several months to develop an understanding of their technology, business, and competitive situation. I also have assisted them in the preparation of the enclosed investment proposal.

During our discussions you mentioned that technical assistance during your due diligence activities could be very helpful. The World Environment Center (WEC), New York, is very active in the region, providing technical assistance on waste management issues. I have requested them to identify an appropriate western expert to provide the Fund with an assessment of the company's paint sludge processing technology. I will forward the references to you as soon as I receive a response from WEC.

I trust you'll find my inputs helpful in evaluating the enclosed proposal from HYDROCOR. If I can be of further assistance to you, or your staff, during your evaluation of this proposal, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D.  
Environmental Business Advisor,  
Central Europe
INVESTMENT PROPOSAL

Submitted to:

THE HUNGARIAN-AMERICAN ENTERPRISE FUND
BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Submitted by:

HYDROCOR, Kft.
1142 Bp. Kassai ter. 34
Tel: 251-0677 / Fax: 252-2987

JANUARY, 1992
DISCLOSURE

The U. S. Agency for International Development (AID) provides a Resident Environmental Business Advisor (the Advisor) to the private environmental business sectors in the Czech and Slovak Republics, Poland, and Hungary.

The Advisor's goal is to assist private environmental businesses to improve their competitive market position. The Advisor focuses primarily on "enterprise strengthening" activities. He first screens the environmental business sector to select domestic firms deemed suitable. This selection process targets firms that appear to have (a) identified market needs; (b) established real competitive advantages; and (c) developed a strategy to leverage its advantages into significant market penetration. He then assists those domestic firms to access sources of credit and capital, U.S. technologies, and/or joint-venture partners.

The Advisor assisted the management of HYDROCOR (the Company) in the preparation of this Proposal by suggesting the types of information required by the Fund and preparing the Executive Summary. The Proposal supports the Company's request to the Hungarian-American Enterprise Fund for credit and/or equity investment. The Advisor intends that the information, contained herein, assist the Fund in evaluating HYDROCOR's request by describing its business, competitive advantages and strategy in typical western management terms.

The material concerning the Company's technology, proposed project, business, markets and strategy reflects the understanding of the AID Advisor resulting from (a) representations made to him by the management of HYDROCOR, Kft.; (b) his understanding of the current environmental conditions in Hungary; and (c) his familiarity with the environmental services sector.

The Advisor provided assistance to the Company under a contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development. The Advisor's assistance does not represent, nor does it imply, endorsement of the Company's request by the U.S. Government. The Advisor assumes that the Hungarian-American Enterprise Fund will conduct its normal due diligence activities before taking any action concerning the Company's request for credit.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HYDROCOR Kft. (the Company) provides proprietary volume reduction and pyrolysis technology for processing waste paint (sludges) remaining after spray painting operations. Recent studies by the International Bank of Technical Development (Budapest) estimate that, due to the lack of a suitable disposal alternatives, there may be more than 3 million tons of such sludges currently stored in Hungary.

The Company currently manufactures and sells mobile and stationary waste processing systems. The standard systems have the capacity to process 400 tons/year. Customers are the producers of paint wastes, or operators of regional waste paint processing facilities (e.g. in Germany). HYDROCOR's process also reclaims secondary raw materials (zinc and titanium) which can be resold to paint manufacturers. Although these reclaimed material are not suitable for the production of virgin paints, they are suitable for the production of paint primers.

The Company is applying to the Enterprise Fund for a combination of credits and/or equity investment of HUF 82 Million. HYDROCOR proposes to utilize the funds to construct and operate a 2000 tons/year paint waste processing facility in Hungary. It estimates that the total cost of such a waste processing facility will be HUF 165 Million. HYDROCOR will invest approximately HUF 33 Million of it's own funds. As the project contributes to the solution of a significant environmental problem, it is eligible for grant support from the Ministry of Environment & Regional Development. The Company will apply for a grant of HUF 30 Million under this program to complete the project financing.

The wastes that the Company's technology processes are currently classified, by law, as hazardous wastes. Producers of such wastes are required, by the law, to safely dispose of these wastes within one year, or pay significant annual fines until they dispose of the wastes. Many of the Company's potential customers have indicated that they are unwilling or unable to justify the significant capital investment to purchase and operate HYDROCOR's processing equipment. However, most expressed a desire to be able to pay, out of their annual operating budgets, to have their paint wastes safely disposed of (processed) at a facility in Hungary.
HYDROCOR intends to leverage its competitive advantages to become the market leader in the safe disposal of hazardous paint wastes in Hungary. The competitive advantages of the Company's proprietary technology include recovery and reuse of valuable components of the wastes, reduction of net energy consumption, and no waste production.

Currently, the Company is unaware of any domestic or foreign direct competitors. The only feasible alternatives to the Company's processing technology for paint waste disposal are (a) storage (not disposal) of the waste at a designated hazardous waste site, or (b) waste incineration (without recovery of secondary raw materials). This latter alternative is not available to many paint waste producers as the solvent content of their waste exceeds acceptable limits for incineration at the only existing hazardous waste incinerator (Dorog).

HYDROCOR's strategy is to make it economically more attractive for the waste generators to send their wastes to the Company's processing and recovery facility than to (i) pay the fines for continued storage on site; (ii) to pay the fees for storage at designated hazardous waste sites; or (iii) pay the fees for waste incineration (when possible).

This Proposal describes in detail:

(1) HYDROCOR'S proprietary waste processing technology (See Figure 1);

(2) the major customers and the estimated annual volume of paint wastes produced;

(3) the end uses for the Company's products and proposed services;

(4) the Company's competitive advantages;

(5) a proposed financing plan and project completion schedule; and

(6) company financial history and pro forma financial statements.
1. **PRODUCT**

1.1 'PIGMENT 400' Paint sludge processing line.

1.1.1 The purpose of the processing line is to process the paint sludge of spray finishing. The sludge is previously separated by a water screen, settled and dehydrated mechanically.

1.1.2 Capacity of the processing line is 400 tons/year and multiples thereof.

1.1.3 The processible sludge:

1.1.2.1 Moisture content: 50-65%
1.1.2.2 Organic (resin) content: 15-75%
1.1.2.3 Solvent content: 3% max.

2. **USES OF THE PRODUCT**

2.1 **Mobile model**

2.1.1 To process the paint sludge produced by workshops locally. The end user in this case is the operator of the workshop, where the sludge is produced.

2.2 **Stationary model**

2.2.1 To process the sludge collected by the regional waste processing centres.

The user can be any organisation or individual who buys the equipment.

The end user in this case is the owner of the processing line. The latter can also be the operator of the waste processing centre. In the case of a leasing, the end user is the entrepreneur who rents the line.

2.3 The processing line produces a secondary raw material out
it also saves energy, because the process is maintained by the combustion of the organic materials contained by the paint sludge. The remaining metal oxides can completely be used up in the production of various coatings, such as priming paints, underspraying compounds for vehicles, insulation coatings for roofs and other purposes, or as filling aggregates.

The quantity of the secondary raw material which is produced by this process is approximately 15% of the paint sludge (of 50% water content), which also means that 7.5% of the hazardous waste is utilised as a secondary raw material; the remaining water is evaporated, the organic compounds are incinerated.

3. CUSTOMERS

The following can be regarded as potential customers:

3.1 Domestic manufacturers who have to eliminate large amounts of paint sludge every year, such as the Hungarian Suzuki, Opel, Rába and Ikarus.

3.2 Foreign manufacturers

3.3 Foreign operators of waste processing centres

3.4 Foreign entrepreneurs who eliminate hazardous waste materials for a commission fee

3.5 One should also regard as potential customers those who intend to operate paint sludge processing lines in the Far East or overseas; it would make sense to conclude a license agreement with them.
For domestic uses and with appropriate locations it would be advisable to erect processing plants. The owner and operator of these plants would be HYDROCOR Ltd.

We have the following ideas as to the locations:

- Mőr, the former soviet barracks,
- Győr, Rába Vagon és Gépgyár Rt. (Rába Waggon- and Machine Factory Joint Stock Company)
- Székesfehérvár, VIDEOTON Joint Stock Company or IKARUS Joint Stock Company
- Esztergom, Hungarian Suzuki Joint Stock Company

4. COMPETITORS

4.1 We are not aware of any companies owning a technology similar to this one, but as soon as the domestic processing plants have been erected, the fee payable by the customer for the processing of the sludge on the PIGMENT 400 line will be affected by the actual prices of those operating

- other elimination methods
- incinerators
- waste storage centres

4.2 Indirect competitors:

4.2.1 Dorog Waste Incinerator Ltd.
4.2.2 Aszód Storage Centre for Hazardous Wastes
Sales up till now:

We have sold one complete line in Germany. The details of the deal are the following:
- Manufacturing and installation: DEM 250,000.-
- Value of parts supplied by the customer under temporary customs admission, approximately: DEM 250,000.-
- Starting-up and trial runs: DEM 50,000.-

Foreign competitors:

As to the best of our knowledge there aren't any. The invention which is a result of our research and development work, has been patented in five countries: Austria, the United Kingdom, France, Liechtenstein, Germany and Switzerland.

In these countries none of the investigations have revealed any technologies or equipment that could impair the novelty of our product.

5. COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES:

As mentioned above, we have no information of any competitors, so let us outline the advantages of the products below:

5.1. The final product of the technology is a secondary raw-material.

5.2. The processing saves energy, because after the system has been heated to its operating temperature the combustion is
self-supporting, by means of the incineration of the organic contents of the sludge.

5.3. No waste is produced during the process.

5.4. The composition of the smoke-gases of the process are in agreement with the most stringent European regulations (TALuft, BimSchG, DIN and VDE).

5.5. The processing line is automated, does not require continuous control, only supervision.

In order to secure the continuous analysis of the paint sludge to be processed, it is necessary to have an on-site laboratory equipped with appropriate instruments and qualified staff. This is indispensable.

Further advantages:
- Heating up can be achieved either with natural gas or mineral oil, which are comparatively cheap
- The costs of operation are tolerable, but not low
- The approximate endurance is 8-10 years
- Some of the parts can be replaced by those available in the customers' country, which means cost and time saving in maintenance, servicing and replacement.

Warranty:
1 year from the date of starting-up.
Limits of suitability:

The "Pigment 400" paint sludge processing line

- has a limited capacity compared to the size of a waste processing centre or that of a waste material incinerator, i.e. falls into another order of magnitude

- is only suitable for the processing of paint sludge of strictly specified composition and moisture content.

6. DRIVING FORCES

In countries where the environmental laws are enforced and there is sufficient investment capital, the producers of paint sludge wish to eliminate this waste material at the lowest possible cost and with respect to the environment. The traditional way of elimination of paint sludge is to store it in hazardous waste collection centres or to incinerate it, depending on the composition of the source paint. Both methods are expensive.

In comparison to these costs the operation of a PIGMENT 400 paint sludge processing line are favourable. When the owner of the processing line is not the producer of the paint sludge,

- the drying and pyrolysis can be done at first-cost prices,

- and the resulting secondary raw material means a substantial additional source of income.

This is the purpose of the so-called mobile processing line, which has been developed by HYDROCOR Environmental Ltd.
This line is housed in a container and can be moved to any new location or sold as the need arises, when there is no more paint sludge to be eliminated at the given location.

Other entrepreneurs will be driven to buy the processing line in a view of making profit. Apart from the mobile version they can also operate stationary processing lines of larger capacities to serve regional demands.

There is legislation in Hungary specifying the elimination of hazardous waste materials. The authorities are in a position to enforce these regulations, but there are not enough sound enterprises to serve the needs of the public in this respect. As a result of this fact and because the law facilitates it, HYDROCOR Ltd. is seeking to contact foreign partners willing to invest capital who would establish one or more Paint Processing Plants with the help of state subventions.

This plan seems to be supported also by the fact that according to the results of a study made by the OMFB (International Bank of Technical Development) in April 1992 - and which are in close agreement with our own market research data - that the technical requirements in Hungary are higher than the solvent demand of the customers.

The study also mentions the amount of varnish- and paint sludge to be found in various parts of the country:

3,679,281 tons
(For more details see: OMFB 9-9103-T Veszélyes hulladékok kezelése (Handling of hazardous waste materials), April 1992).

According to the data we have collected so far the amount of sludge generated by some of the major producers is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Amount (tons/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MÁV Járműjavító Üzem, Szombathely</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezőgazdasági Gépgyár, Szolnok</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHG Híradástechnikai Vállalat, Budapest</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hajdúsági Iparművek, Téglás</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magyar Vagon és Gépgyár, Győr</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikarus Járműgyártó Rt.</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magyar Suzuki Rt., Esztergom</td>
<td>1,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hűtőgépgyár, Jászberény</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total:</strong> 2,518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Budapest, 14th October 1992)

This amount exceeding 2.5 tons can be stored up to one year on the own premises of the producers, after which period they are compelled to eliminate it.

7. **MARKET**

7.1 Hungarian market

7.1.1 Manufacturers of 300-400 tons/year or more paint sludge can economically operate a drying or pyrolysis equipment, such as: Hungarian Suzuki, Rába-Opel, Ikarus etc.
7.1.2 For producers of a smaller quantity or those who in the near future intend to change for another technology which does not produce any sludge, it is more sensible to hand over the sludge to the operators of a paint sludge processing plant.

7.1.3 The own processing plant intends to buy a "PIGMENT 400" processing line.

7.2 Foreign market:

7.2.1 The same as above

7.2.2 The operators of regional waste collection centres

7.2.3 Other entrepreneurs who wish to embroad their scope of activity and who are already active in the field of waste elimination

7.2.4 Entrepreneurs who seek to make a living solely on this activity.

8. FINANCIAL

HYDROCOR Environmental Ltd. was founded in the second half of 1990. The fact that the company has not had any other activities prior to this one is reflected in its financial situation. After the balance deficits of the first one and a half years the balance of the first half of 1992 shows a profit of HUF 1,540,000. The balance by the end of this year will be approximately HUF 0.
The financial plans of the following three years base on the incomes generated by two major activities:

1. Sales and
2. Processing.

8.1 As to sales: The German co-owner of the company - who has the right sole agency for Western Europe - has undertaken in the Company Agreement to sell 5-10 processing lines in 1993. Apart from sales we have to conduct an intensive research in order to determine the feasibility of processing paint sludge with a basis other than water. We also have to begin searching for other technologies and equipment suitable for processing other types of paint waste materials and dead paints.

For the sake of better understandability let us explain the financial balance of HYDROCOR Ltd. from two sides.

As a first step we would like to disclose the sales plans concerning the PIGMENT 400 processing line for the years 1993-95: (see next page)
### Hungarian-Amercan Project Financing Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1993-95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turnover (x 1,000 $)</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>8,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production (x 1,000 $)</td>
<td>937.5</td>
<td>1,968.75</td>
<td>2,953.13</td>
<td>5,859.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit margin (x 1000 $)</td>
<td>312.5</td>
<td>781.25</td>
<td>1,446.87</td>
<td>2,540.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cent</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>30.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remark:** Calculated on current prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>1993-95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; D (x 1,000 $)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisements (x 1,000 $)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative expenses (x 1000 $)</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>374.54</td>
<td>533.76</td>
<td>1,970.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits (x 1000 $)</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>296.71</td>
<td>913.11</td>
<td>1,322.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cent</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>15.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remark:** The realisation of the above financial plan is dependant on the number of processing lines sold.

And finally we would like to explain the plans of HYDROCOR Ltd. as to the Processing Plant for the years 1994-95:

(See next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1994</th>
<th>1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>121,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x 1000 Ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>81,340</td>
<td>87,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x 1000 Ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits</td>
<td>29,660</td>
<td>33,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x 1000 Ft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cent</td>
<td>26,72</td>
<td>27,55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remark:**
1. Calculated on current prices.
2. This annual financial plan is valid only in case no PIGMENT 400 paint sludge processing plants are sold. In this case the enterprise has 25 employees: 5 persons in the Budapest management and 20 factory hands.
Characteristics of a thermal paint-processing plant with a capacity of 2000 tons/year

In the Hungarian industrial plants there is a large quantity of technological paint sludge generated and/or stored idly every year. The separate quantities of accrued and idly stored paint sludge exceed several tons. Processing of this 'Class A' Hazardous Waste Material is an unsolved task both in Hungary and in other parts of Europe.

HYDROCOR Environmental Ltd. is in the possession of a technology that eliminates the majority of these paint sludge without any remaining wastes. The industrial realisation of this technology has begun, the first paint sludge processing line has been sold to Germany and is being operated continuously.

It seems to make sense to build a plant in Hungary that is suitable for the processing of the large quantities of paint sludge mentioned earlier. As a first step we believe it would be necessary to build a high-capacity plant.

Technical specification:

The processible poisonous waste material is a semi-dry technological paint sludge of medium or low solvent contents.

Capacity of the processing plant:

2,000 tons/year of paint sludge specified as above.
The final product:

300 tons of industrial, mixed, pigment-like secondary raw material.

Description of the processing system:

The plant comprises 3 parts:
- Raw-material pre-treatment system
- Pyrolysis system
- Environmental system (end-gas scrubber)

Use of the final product:
- In priming paints
- Insulating materials
- Underspraying compounds for vehicles and as
- Filling aggregates in other products.

Estimated schedule of construction:

- Beginning - execution phase : 1st March 1993
- Completion : 31st October 1993
- Opening up : 2nd January 1994

Preparation of construction:

Conclusion of the contract with the Hungarian-American Enterprise Fund : 10th January 1993
Conclusion of the contract with the Ministry for Environment and Regional Development : 10th January 1993
Planning : 1st February 1993
Completion of manufacturing of the plant: 30th September 1993
Completion of erection of the plant: 30th September 1993
Beginning of trial runs: 20th November 1993
End of trial runs: 31st December 1993
Opening up: 1994

Description of the project

Construction site:
The construction site can be in several locations, see the opening paragraphs, such as Székesfehérvár, Esztergom, Győr, Móra.

Size of building area:
2,000 m², fenced around. The enclosed area of the plant is located on a reinforced concrete tray with a flange. The access to the area is gained via an interconnecting road and through a gate to be opened and closed manually.

Technological building:
To be built on an area of 400 m² (20 x 20 x 6 m), it is heat insulated, pre-manufactured, supported by a steel framework, heatable and ventilated.

In the technological building there is the drying, pyrolysing and gas-scrubbing system. It also serves as a container storage facility for the amount of paint sludge needed for the continuous operation.
It also houses the office, a laboratory, the dust-free packaging unit, the maintenance workshops, service magazine and the sanitary rooms, approximately 115-120 m² on two storeys.

Storage area:

For the warehousing of the full and empty containers there is a storage area of approximately 400 m².

There is a weighing bridge, a gas-reception unit, a blowing plant, a parking lot for the trolleys, a finished product storage area and a waste water tank.
The combined wherewithal of the project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Cost (HUF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>57,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means of transport</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning fees</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of invitation of tenders</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>136,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortisation (approx. 20%)</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rental fee of the area</strong></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(200 x 500 HUF / m² / year)</td>
<td><strong>163,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income sources to cover the costs of the project - 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own resources</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Fund</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian-American Enterprise Fund</td>
<td>50 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precondition of completion of the project:

THE INVESTMENT ASSETS MUST BE LIQUIDATED/TRANSFERRED IN APPROPRIATE SUMS AND TIME INTERVALS.
Calculation of current assets:

Number of staff : 20 employees

Wages (HUF 35,000/pers/month x 20 x 12) : 8,400
Social Insurance Fee (50 %) : 4,200
Materials and energy : 24,000
Maintenance, repairs, renewal rate : 8,200
Miscellaneous : 2,400

Thousand HUF / year: 37,000

Calculation of sales returns:

Capacity : 2,000 tons/year
Specific delivery price : HUF 45,000/ton

Sales return (2,000 tons x HUF 45,000) : 90,000
Pigment (300x70,000) : 21,000

Thousand HUF / year: 111,000

Gross balance:

111,000
- 64,000

Thousand HUF / year: 47,000

Index of return:

\[
\frac{-136,000}{47,000} = 2.89\text{ years}
\]

Budapest, 30th November 1992

BORZA György
Manager
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Technológiai folyamatábra

I. konténer (szárító)
Container No. 1 (Drying System)

KÉSZÜLÉKJEGYZÉK

LIST OF EQUIPMENT
Container No. 1. (Drying System)

1. Paint sludge receiving tank
2. Screw feeder
3. Dryer
4. Coffee feeder
5. a Settling tank
6. b Pulp separator
7. Cylindrical cutter
8. Spring actuated transporter
9. Container for dried paint sludge
10. Smoke-gas feed-in and gas burner
11. Pyrolytic reactor
12. Cyclone
13. Pigment container
14. Cell feeder
15. Heat exchanger
16. Sack dust-filter
17. a Spring actuated transporter
18. b Cell feeder
19. Smoke-gas feed in and gas burner
20. Catalytic reactor
21. b Ventilator

9. Spring actuated transporter
9. a Feed-in container
9. b Heat resistant cell feeder
10. Pyrolytic reactor
11. Smoke-gas feed-in and gas burner
12. Cyclone
13. Pigment container
14. Cell feeder
15. Heat exchanger
16. Sack dust-filter
17. a Spring actuated transporter
17. b Cell feeder
18. Heat exchanger
19. Smoke-gas feed in and gas burner
20. Catalytic reactor
21. b Ventilator

List of equipment for Container No. 2.

- Paint sludge receiving tank
- Screw feeder
- Dryer
- Coffee feeder
- Settling tank
- Pulp separator
- Cylindrical cutter
- Spring actuated transporter
- Container for dried paint sludge
- Smoke-gas feed-in and gas burner
- Pyrolytic reactor
- Cyclone
- Pigment container
- Cell feeder
- Heat exchanger
- Sack dust-filter
- Spring actuated transporter
- Cell feeder
- Smoke-gas feed in and gas burner
- Catalytic reactor
- Ventilator
FIGURE 1B

FESTÉKISZAP FELDOLGOZÓ
PAINT SLUDGE PROCESSING LINE
BERENDEZÉS

II. konténer (pirolizátor)
Container No. 2 (Pyrolysis)

II. konténer (pirolizátor)
Container No. 2 (Pyrolysis)

JELMAGYARÁZAT

Legend:
Area of containers—
Dry paint sludge—
Granulate (sludge or pigment)—
Cool air—
Pre-heated air—
Air containing dust—
Purified air—
Water supply—

Konténeres berendezés területe
Nedves festékszaporodás
Száraz festékszaporodás
Granulátum (iszap, vagy pigment)
Hideg levegő
Előmelléktett levegő
Poros levegő
Tisztított levegő
Tápvíz
HYDROCOR Ltd.

TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

of a paint-processing plant with a capacity of 2000 tons/year

Budapest, November 1992
As a result of the characteristics of the process the plant is designed to be operated ten months a year in a continuous, four-shift working order, with 3 operators per shift and a plant manager, a plant clerk, one shift-leader and two laboratory technicians.

Description of the technological process

The paint sludge that is received by the plant in closed containers has a moisture content of 50-60%.

A sample is taken from each container and the chemical characteristics of the contents are determined by means of analysis. Only sludge with a composition complying with the specifications can be processed.

The sludge to be processed is placed into the contact-dryer, where it is dried and granulated by means of a counter-current air flow which has been pre-heated with the waste heat of the pyrolysis reaction.

The dehydrated paint-sludge powder is carried away from the dryer by an air-flow. In this transport-section of the system there is also a sizing-screen that separates any bigger lumps from the powder. These are then crushed by a hammer-mill and then carried back to the main stream.
January 4, 1992

Dr. Bronislaw Kaminski, Chairman
PROEKO
ul. Krzywickiego 34, room 233
02-078 Warszawa, POLAND

Dear Dr. Kaminski,

Enclosed find three copies of my assessment of the municipal environmental consulting, management and engineering services market in Poland.

As will be evident from the report, all of the information that I collected confirmed your assessment of the market, the customers, and the basis upon which environmental services will be procured by municipal officials in the future.

I trust this information will be helpful in bringing ProEko's negotiations regarding a joint-venture with Science Applications International Corporation to a successful conclusion.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D.
Environmental Business Advisor
Central Europe

Enclosure
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CENTRAL EUROPE

Prepared for:

PROEKO Ltd.
ul Krzywickiego 34/233
00-078 Warsaw, POLAND

JANUARY, 1993
DISCLOSURE

The U. S. Agency for International Development (AID) provides a Resident Environmental Business Advisor (the Advisor) to the private environmental business sectors in the Czech and Slovak Republics, Poland, and Hungary.

The Advisor's goal is to assist private environmental businesses to improve their competitive market position. The Advisor focuses primarily on "enterprise strengthening" activities. He first screens the environmental business sector to select domestic firms deemed suitable. This selection process targets firms that appear to have (a) identified market needs; (b) established real competitive advantages; and (c) developed a strategy to leverage its advantages into significant market penetration. He then assists those domestic firms to access sources of credit and capital, U.S. technologies, and/or joint-venture partners.

The Advisor has assisted the management of ProEko (the Company) in developing a business plan and in other aspects of its negotiations with potential U.S. joint-venture partners. He prepared this Market Assessment to strengthen ProEko's efforts to complete successfully such negotiations.

The material concerning the Company's business and potential markets reflects the understanding of the AID Advisor resulting from (a) representations made to him by the management of ProEko; (b) his understanding of the current environmental conditions in Poland; (c) his familiarity with the environmental services sector in the region; and (d) representations made directly to him by various government officials at the federal, Wojewoid (state), and miasto/gmina (city/town) levels of government, and by U.S. companies.

The Advisor provided assistance to the Company under a contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development. The Advisor's assistance does not represent, nor does it imply, endorsement of the Company by the U.S. Government. The Advisor assumes that potential U.S. joint-venture partners will conduct their normal due diligence activities before formalizing any relationship with ProEko.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ProEko is a Polish environmental consulting, management and engineering firm based in Warsaw. The company's strategy includes combining its resources with a U.S. environmental consulting firm to address the emerging municipal market for environmental consulting services.

In Poland, environmental investment has increased dramatically during the past two years, with the annual investment exceeding $1 billion. Unlike most of its neighbors in Central Europe, Poland self-finance more than 90% of its environmental investment. The government expects the annual level of environmental investment to increase throughout the remainder of the decade. The Environmental Business Advisor estimates that annual growth rates will be a minimum of 5%-10%, and could reach 20% if Poland succeeds in its negotiations for additional Ecoconversion of its foreign debt.

Recently the government has shifted the responsibility for environmental investment decisions and financing from the central government to the municipalities. Today, no matter how large or small the municipality, the mayor appears to make all of these decisions. There are only two real criteria for making these decisions, especially the decision regarding the supplier of environmental services. The first is assistance in financing. The other is apparently the personal relationship between the consultant and the customer, with a clearly stated preference for domestic firms.

For foreign firms, only two alternate strategies exist for successfully penetrating that market. One alternative is a "greenfield" strategy, where the foreign firm is willing to invest 3-4 man years and significant sums of capital to establish credible personal relationships with a large and often unsophisticated customer set. The other alternative is a joint-venture with a credible and highly visible Polish firm in order to leverage its existing personal relationships with the municipal customers into significant market share.
INTRODUCTION

Recently, the Polish government implemented a program to decentralize responsibility for implementing environmental programs (e.g. solid waste management) and environmental infrastructure projects (e.g. water and wastewater treatment) to local governments. This program included decentralization of project management responsibility and project financing, subjects about which local officials are relatively inexperienced.

ProEko was established specifically to respond to the need, among municipal officials, for credible environmental consulting, management, financing and engineering services. ProEko envisions providing those types of services to assist municipal officials in setting environmental priorities, evaluating alternative environmental technologies, devising creative financing mechanisms, and managing, designing and/or implementing environmental infrastructure projects.

The current management and staff of the Company is qualified to provide many of these services. Dr. Kaminski, the Chairman, is eminently visible and credible in this market. Polish customers recognize him as a person familiar with environmental priorities, sources of financing, and technical expertise. However during this period of dynamic change, ProEko believes that it can enhance its penetration of the municipal market, by cooperating with an experienced, recognized international environmental consulting firm.

During serious negotiations with one such potential U.S. partner, the partner requested additional information on the market segment targeted by ProEko's. Specifically, the U.S. company asked for objective information relating to (a) the customers need for environmental services; (b) their familiarity with both foreign and domestic providers of such services; (c) sources of funding for local projects; (d) the process for procuring such services, and (e) the nature of the decision process and decision criteria.

To assist both ProEko and its potential partners during their negotiations, this assessment provides information regarding these issues. Personal interviews with local and regional officials, as well as U.S. competitors, form the principal basis for the author's views and conclusions contained herein. Appendix I lists the author's sources of information.
In order to appreciate and understand the depth of Poland's political and financial commitment to restoring and preserving its environment, it is helpful to understand how it differs from other countries in the region.

**General Economic Trends**

Poland instituted its plan of "shock therapy" in 1989, slashing price subsidies, making the country's currency convertible in world markets, and forcing citizens to cope with a drastic decline in buying power. Now the government's austerity program has begun to pay off. Poland is the only country of the former Eastern bloc whose economy has stopped declining, after drops in the GDP of 12% in 1990 and 8% last year. This year the government expects no growth but, significantly, no further decline (this despite a severe summer drought). The forecast is for modest growth (2-3%) in Poland's GDP in 1993. Even in Hungary, generally considered the best "managed" country in the region, industrial production fell by almost 20% during the first half of 1992, and economists expect a decrease of 3-5% for the full year.

Although the flow of foreign investment into Poland is slower than into other former Soviet bloc countries, the development of the private sector there continues unabated. Currently the government estimates that the private sector employs approximately 50% of the total work force in the national economy, and provides 45% of the GDP. Unemployment, after reaching a level of 18% last year, is steady at around 12%. Poland's trade balance, which showed a deficit of $330 million last year, is heading toward a $750 million surplus in 1992, and an estimated $1 billion in 1993. Inflation (@ 40% in 1992) is still a problem, but is forecasted to decline by about 10% next year.

**Political Environment**

The oxymoron "unstable paralysis" characterizes the Polish national government, with its large number of fractious political elements. This is particularly true when compared to the relatively stable governments of its neighbors (e.g. Hungary, the Czech Republic, etc.) However, despite this political gridlock, Poland appears farther along than its neighbors in addressing its environmental problems.
The author believes that this is the result of the Roundtable discussions of 1989 between Solidarity and the newly formed democratic government. Unlike its neighbors in the former East bloc, Poland maintained a powerful popular front (Solidarity) while forming its new democracy. This front insisted that the government place environment on a "level" equal to other major political, economic and social issues. It proposed such concepts as the National Fund for Environmental protection and the Environmental Protection bank. By serving as a driving force for the environment, Solidarity assured that Poland would not lose sight of the need to take the actions required to develop and implement a proactive environmental policy.

**Legislative Environment**

It is not the author's intent to amplify here the litany of environmental policy choices, legislative actions and environmental regulations that currently drive the emerging environmental markets in Poland. Rather, it is important to note two fundamental differences between the environmental legislative environment in Poland and that in its neighboring countries.

First, Poland was diligent in including fundamentally sound economic mechanisms in every major environmental policy decision and legislative action. Thus, it is not necessary for Poland to rely on foreign aid to fund environmental programs. Existing economic mechanisms always produce a source (albeit often inadequate) of funds to implement environmental programs.

Second, the government has "earmarked" those funds to ensure that they are not diverted from environmental programs to other uses during difficult economic times (as they do not flow back into general revenue funds). It is noteworthy that in spite of deep economic declines in Poland during the last three years, environmental investment in Poland has increased (in real terms) each year during that time.

**Responsible Authorities**

The new environmental legislation moved the decision making process from the national level down to the almost 1000 cities and towns directly affected by pollution. Local mayors now have complete authority to determine environmental priorities, arrange project financing and select contractors.
Sources of Funding

General Trends

Poland's environmental strategy reflects the experience of the west. It recognizes that a country must invest at least 1.5% of its GDP in the environment to neutralize environmental disruption caused by normal economic development. Poland's annual environmental investment (public and private) has grown from less than 0.3% of GDP during the period 1985-89, to @ 1.1% in 1991 and 1992. The total annual investment in the environment, during this period, grew from less than $400 million in 1989 to more than $1.1 billion in 1991 and in 1992.

Such dramatic growth in Poland's total environmental investment is unlikely to continue. However, the total amount of environmental investment will continue to increase significantly for the next decades due to four factors:

(1) The government is committed to raise the level of environmental investment, as a percentage of GDP, to 1.5% or more;

(2) As mentioned earlier, Poland has turned the corner into positive growth of its GDP, thus producing more dollars for environmental investment (even without raising its percent of GDP for such purposes);

(3) As the major source or transferring funds into environmental investment is the system of fees and fines, enforcement and collection efficiency are critical. In 1985, only 37% of fees and fines were actually paid. By 1990, this percentage increased to 68%. The government estimates that, during 1992, it will collect @ 80% of fines due. The future goal is 100%. In fact, the government estimates that it is already collecting 100% of the fines for entities exceeding permissible air quality standards; and

(4) Additional revenues will be added through the implementation of product charges, with those revenues earmarked for the National Fund.
The national environmental strategy dictates that tasks pertaining to the creation of municipal infrastructure (e.g. water treatment, sewage and solid waste treatment and disposal) are the responsibility of the municipality. As such, financing must be based on local budgets, augmented by other available sources of grants and credits.

The National Fund

By far the largest single source of funding (primarily soft loans) for environmental protection in Poland is the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management (the National Fund). The Fund collects user fees from entities for (a) using natural resources emitting pollutants to air and water resources (e.g. water intake fees); (b) fees for quantitative degradation of environmental resources (e.g. air emission charges); (c) penalties from those violating permit limits or environmental regulations; and (d) royalty payments from those exploiting exhaustible natural resources. During both 1991 and 1992 the National Fund accounted for 35-45% of all spending on environmental protection in Poland.

The National Fund has two components. The first (40%) is disbursed centrally and addresses environmental problems that have regional, or even transboundary implications. It limits its participation in projects to <50% of the total project cost. The second component (40%) consisting of 49 Regional (Wojewoid) Funds disburse funds at the local level and focus on solving local problems (sometimes providing more than 50% of project funding).

Poland's national environmental strategy envisions that there will be a gradual shift toward all user fees and fines remaining at the local level. Product charges such as taxes on fossil fuels, non recyclable products, etc. will then provide sources of funds for the national component of environmental investment. The long term strategy strives to keep the absolute amount of public (national) funds more or less stable (in real terms).

Municipalities and Private Enterprise

The second major source of funds for environmental protection comes from municipal budgets and from the budgets of industrial enterprises (both state owned and private). Together these two sources generate a level on investment
that approaches that of the National Fund. It is the author's assessment that the bulk of such funds (>70-80%) presently comes from the municipalities. In the future, as the private sector becomes larger and more viable, it will likely contribute the greater proportion of environmental investment from these combined funding sources.

Other Funding Sources

The remainder of the environmental investment in Poland comes from the State (national) budget; from a plethora of multilateral and bilateral foreign assistance agreements (many of which target specific transboundary pollution problems); and from credits provided by the international development banks (e.g. EBRD, IBRD).

Beginning this year, Poland developed another source of funding for environmental investment, namely debt for environment swaps (Ecoconversion). With the United States agreeing to an Ecoconversion program, Poland has established an EcoFund to finance environmental investment using debt for environment swaps. Over the next 20 years the U.S. debt for environment Ecoconversion will produce $350 million in environmental investment.

Poland is currently negotiating with other major foreign creditors to arrange additional Ecoconversion agreements. If completely successful, such agreements could produce an infusion of up to $3 billion into Poland's environmental markets over the next two decades.

The last significant source of funds for environmental investment is the Environmental Protection Bank. This bank is a commercial entity, currently capitalized at $25 Million. By providing soft loans (at preferential rates), for up to 50% of project costs, the Bank leverages its resources, while both preserving and increasing its capital assets.
FUNDING PRIORITIES

During the period 1988-1990, expenditures for typical municipal infrastructure projects such as water related investments (potable water, sewage treatment) and solid waste management projects accounted for 70-80% of environmental investments. During 1991, a shift occurred resulting in an increase in investments related to air quality (particularly by the National Fund). However, it appears that most of environmental investments in Poland are still directed toward municipal infrastructure projects. This trend is expected to continue until the private sector begins to make significant contributions to environmental investment.

Water Treatment

Slightly more than half of Poland's 45 million inhabitants live in urban areas, while slightly less than half live in rural areas. Although virtually all inhabitants of urban areas have access to potable water, the quality of water still leaves much to be desired, requiring significant upgrading. Among inhabitants of rural areas, almost 30% don't have access to running water, and 50% rely on wells. Water supplies in 20% of all municipal water supply systems (serving @ 5 million people), 46% of all public wells, and 66% of all private wells (serving @ 15 Million people) are still of unacceptable quality. Clearly, there exists a large potential market for consulting and engineering services related to water treatment.

Wastewater Treatment

Approximately 15% of the municipalities in Poland do not have sewage collection systems. Some 40% of municipalities do not have facilities for wastewater treatment, and less than 20% of wastewater receives biological treatment. In addition, many existing facilities are only partially completed or are seriously degraded. These facilities require significant investment to repair and/or upgrade them. Poland's national environmental strategy establishes a goal of creating the capacity to reduce the amount of untreated municipal sewage by 0.6 billion cubic meters by the year 2000. It also establishes a goal of creating additional capacity to treat sewage chemically and/or biologically by 260 million cubic meters.
These data suggest that there are literally hundreds of small and large municipalities requiring environmental consulting and engineering services in the area of wastewater treatment. Approximately 350 new municipal wastewater treatment plants may be built in Poland, and 400 existing plants may be retrofitted and/or upgraded.

**Solid Waste Management**

There currently exist 1500 legal landfills in Poland and perhaps an equal number of illegal landfills. Municipalities make little or no effort to recycle materials, compost or incinerate wastes, or to protect groundwater resources from seepage from such landfills. Some Polish cities are just beginning to examine and consider more advanced techniques for dealing with solid wastes.

Considering the relative magnitude of water and sewage problems in Poland, the author believes that solid waste management will probably remain the smallest segment of the municipal environmental consulting and engineering services market for the near term.

**Financing**

The local mayor (the exact title in Polish depends upon the size of the community), has complete responsibility for arranging project financing. The primary source of available funding for environmental investment is the local budget. Virtually all other available sources of funding, require a significant amount (~ 50%) of local co-financing for all environmental infrastructure projects. Depending upon the stage of a project, and the size of the municipality, as little as 1% or as much as 20% of the local government operating budget may be committed to environmental investment in a given year. The larger cities (e.g. Poznan, Wroclaw, Krakow) allocate smaller percentages of their local budgets to environmental investment. The smaller towns and villages must allocate proportionally larger amounts of their local budgets to fund infrastructure projects. Conversely, the larger cities usually must finance most of the environmental investment from local funds, while smaller towns can receive more assistance from the Environmental Funds.

The local funds are the basis for applying to the Regional Environmental Funds and/or to the National Environmental Fund for grants or credits. Only the
creativity of the mayor limits the types of other financing sources. However, he cannot get bank credits without a guarantee from the state (national government). There are no local revenue bonds in Poland.

One might consider the town of Gnieszno (Pop. 70,000) as a typical example of how the system works. Last year the town committed 24% (17 billion Zlotys) of its annual budget to the construction of a new water treatment plant. This represented 80% of the 1991 investment in the plant, with the other 20% coming in the form of a grant from the Regional Environmental Fund. During 1992, only 20% of that year's construction costs came from the town budget -(3 billion Zlotys), while the remaining 80% of 1992 investment again came from the Regional Environmental Fund in the form of a grant. The plant will be completed in 1993 at an additional cost of 4 billion Zlotys, provided by the Regional Fund. Over the life of the project, funding was approximately 50-50 between local budget and the Regional Environmental Fund.

Over the last 6 years, Gnieszno has also been converting its existing sewage treatment plant to biological treatment at a total cost of 24 billion Zloty. Gnieszno co-financed the project using 9 billion Zloty of town funds and a 15 billion Zloty credit from the National Environmental Fund.

The town is now looking at developing a solid waste management plan and facility. They have a preliminary assessment (completed by a small local firm), and are looking for someone to construct and operate a solid waste facility on a fee basis.

Another typical situation exists in the nearby town of Konin (Pop. 80,000). They have completed a new biological wastewater treatment plant. The town funded 40% of the project, a grant from the Regional Environmental Fund (50%), and a credit from the National Fund (10%). Again, total project financing was split \( \approx 50/50 \) between local funds and the Regional Environmental Fund.

With some creative financing, the local contributions can be kept to a minimum. For example in Legnica (Pop. 110,000) they have just developed a new town landfill that recovers biogas and sends it to the district heating plant, reducing the demand for coal by 50%. The mayor of Legnica considers this project the prototype sweetheart deal, as the town utilized almost no local funds.
A Swedish firm that brought the biogas technology and a Swedish credit for a significant portion of the capital investment made the project possible. These credits and others from the National Fund allowed completion of the project. The town used the money saved from heating costs to pay off the credits, and in the process was able to develop its sanitary landfill with no net contribution of local funds.

There are also situations where the local need for infrastructure has regional implications but the local resources are limited. In such cases the normal requirement for local contributions can be waived. For example in the town of Olesznica untreated sewage was eventually reaching the Oder River in Wroclaw. The Wojewoid decided that the town required a wastewater treatment plant. In this case however, the local budget contributed only 8% of the financing. The Regional Environmental Fund provided a grant equalling 45% of the total investment. Finally, the National Environmental Fund provided soft credits for the remaining 45% of the investment, allowing the town to finance that portion over the life of the plant.

Another such example is the town of Nowy Sacz in the Wojewoid of Krakow. There the Regional Environmental Fund is financing 100% of a new wastewater treatment plant because the town could never afford to put together a standard financing proposal.
PROCUREMENT PROCESS

The procurement process is under the total control of the local mayor. Even when the Regional and National Funds provide significant funds, there seems to be no oversight by these organizations. There is a movement to require tenders for projects involving Regional Funds, meaning there would be a tender requirement for virtually every project.

The problem remaining is the definition of a tender. In many cases, the local official feels he has undertaken a tender process by merely running a small advertisement in the "local" newspaper. In fact, if he already has an incumbent supplier in mind, the size of the advertisement can be quite small. Obviously an extensive information network, yielding information about projects while in the very early planning stages, represents a significant competitive advantage in this market segment.

After the mayor receives all offers, the decision is basically his. There are some restrictions, that may require him to convene a technical advisory council. However the degree to which such a body actually affects the final decision is not clear. For example, the mayor cannot obligate the town funds beyond a five year period. Contractual obligations beyond that time frame require approval of the town council. Also, if there is any question about creating a monopoly, the mayor may require the approval of the Federal Antimonopoly Agency, before he can approve a contract.

The decision criteria are simple and direct. Anyone who helps to bring financing to a project gets preferential treatment. Lacking such financing the objective criteria are price, familiarity, with a clear preference for domestic firms, credibility and creative solutions. In view of these criteria, it appears that a credible and qualified local partner is essential to successful entry, by foreign environmental consulting firms, to the emerging market for municipal environmental consulting, management and engineering services in Poland.
COMPETITION

A variety of local Polish firms represent the major competition in the municipal environmental services market. A few of these operate on a national scale (e.g. Ekolog, Hydrobudowa, HydroProject). Others operate on a more regional or even local scale (e.g. Dr. Winnicki's firm in Wroclaw). Within the latter group there may be 10-12 viable competitors capable of carrying out major infrastructure projects. Based on the interviews conducted during this assessment, there is not yet a clear market leader recognized by the municipal customers (mayors).

Regarding foreign competition, very few foreign firms have recognized and responded to the decentralization of responsibility yet. Most of the mayors of smaller towns did not have contact with large numbers of potential foreign suppliers of environmental consulting services. The most aggressive countries in marketing environmental consulting and management services to this emerging market segment have been Germany, Sweden, France and Denmark. However, it was apparent that no single foreign firm has made significant inroads into this market segment or established a visible presence in Poland. Even more conspicuous by their absence among the municipal customers in Poland are American environmental consulting firms.

The two names mentioned more than once were CH2M Hill, which apparently works in Poland mainly on World Bank financed projects and Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan (PBS&J). The latter appears to be the only environmental and consulting engineering firm that recognizes the opportunity represented by the emerging municipal environmental services sector in Poland. However, they seem to be attempting to implement a "greenfield strategy". There is no evidence that they have established any type of formal relationship with a credible Polish partner, although they have worked with several different partners on various projects.

Camp, Dresser and McKee is also opportunistically active in the region, primarily working on U.S. financed programs. However, so far it has been less active in Poland than in other countries in the region.
CONCLUSIONS

The market in Poland for environmental consulting, management and engineering services has increased dramatically during the past two years. During this period, the annual investment in environmental products and services has exceeded $1 billion. Unlike its most of its neighbors in Central Europe, Poland self-finances more than 90% of its environmental investment. There is every indication that the annual level of environmental investment will increase throughout the remainder of the decade. This author estimates that annual growth rates will be a minimum of 5%-10%, and could reach 20% if Poland succeeds in its negotiations for additional Ecoconversion of its foreign debt.

Recently the government has shifted the responsibility for environmental investment decisions and financing from the central government to the municipalities. Decisions regarding environmental priorities, financing and, most significantly, who will provide the required services rests solely with one individual in each municipality. No matter how large or small the municipality, the mayor clearly makes all of these decisions.

It appears that there are only two real criteria for making these decisions, especially the decision regarding the supplier of environmental services. The first is financing. Whoever can bring, or assist in arranging project financing clearly will get the job. Lacking any clear advantage with respect to project financing, that the only other real selection criteria is apparently the personal relationship between the consultant and the customer.

The situation in the municipal environmental services market in Poland today clearly suggests that, for foreign firms, only two alternate strategies exist for successfully penetrating that market. One alternative is a "greenfield" strategy. In this case, the foreign consultant must be willing to invest 3-4 man years and significant sums of capital to establish credible personal relationships with a large and often unsophisticated customer set. The other alternative is to establish a relationship with a credible and highly visible Polish firm in order to leverage its existing personal relationships with the municipal customers into market share.
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Ing. Bogdan Zdrojewski; Mayor, Wroclaw
Dr. Bob Zeigler; President, Geraghty & Miller International
Ing. Ryszard Zelinka; Mayor; Olesznica
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INTRODUCTION

Environmental degradation and inefficient resource use in Poland and Eastern Europe represent a serious threat to human health, economic development, and the general quality of life in the region. There can be no doubt that countries in the region will require huge investments to restore the environment conditions resulting from the abuses of the past 40 years, and to promote sustainable economic development in the future. Poland estimates that the investment required over the next decade to address its environmental problems is $20 Billion. The resolve of the governments of Central and Eastern to address their environmental problems, to prevent further degradation, and to restore the present environment is nowhere more evident than in Poland.

Unlike other countries that have relied principally on foreign assistance to fund major environmental efforts, Poland has taken the "bull by the horns". First, it has raised concern for the environment to a level commensurate with political and economic issues. Secondly, and perhaps more importantly, it has already established many basic economic mechanisms required to fund its national environmental programs. By establishing these critical
economic mechanisms early, Poland has fostered policy reform, legislative and regulatory development, improved enforcement, and substantial investment in the environment.

The 1991 market for environmental products and services in Poland approached $1 Billion (5% funding was from foreign donors). Poland has also established a goal of providing 1.5% of GDP for investment in the environment. It has not yet achieved this level of investment due to both economic and political pressures. However, continued progress toward this goal, and future growth in the Polish economy, both will combine to provide continued financial resources for environmental investment.

Recently, Poland began a program of decentralization of the government. This program shifts the responsibility for conceptualizing and creating environmental infrastructure programs to the cities and villages. PROEKO believes that this basic change in the way Poland will address its environmental issues and will establish responsibilities for setting environmental priorities and implementing environmental programs represents a unique business opportunity.
The representatives of local governments (e.g. the mayors of cities and towns) have little or no experience in managing such responsibilities or implementing such programs. The local governments are typically organizationally weak. Its leaders have few, if any, technical resources at their disposal to assist them in carrying out their newly delegated responsibilities. Also, they lack experience to access financial markets and institutions. Therefore, they will rely on credible qualified consultants to assist them in (a) setting environmental priorities, (b) evaluating alternate solutions to environmental issues, (c) devising creative financing mechanisms for infrastructure investment, (d) preparing technical and financial documentation to access the financial markets, and (e) managing the implementation of large environmental infrastructure projects.

PROEKO was founded to address this unique opportunity afforded by the shift in responsibility for implementing environmental programs from the federal to the local levels of government. PROEKO became an active company in June, 1992 when Dr. Bronislaw Kaminski accepted the position as Chairman of the company. As a former Minister of the Environment, and President of the National Environmental Fund in Poland,
Dr. Kaminski brings in depth understanding of both the environmental problems facing Poland, and the government's strategy for solving those problems. As one of Poland's leaders responsible for halting the process of environmental degradation in the country and for establishing a blueprint for restoring the environment and improving the quality of life, he brings instant credibility to the company in the eyes of potential customers. Such visibility and credibility represent real and significant competitive advantages for PROEKO in the marketplace.

**MARKET**

PROEKO views, and therefore defines, its markets in terms of the SERVICES it supplies, the CUSTOMERS who require these services, the END USE for which these customers utilize these services, and the DRIVING FORCES operational in the market that force the customer to take actions.

PROEKO's strategic goal is to become the premier supplier of quality environmental consulting services to the local governments in Poland. The services provided by PROEKO will assist the agents of these local governments in
carrying out their responsibilities to implement and manage programs that address the critical environmental issues of human health, resource efficiency, and quality of life. A significant component of this assistance will be to serve as a link between these local governments and the financial institutions, both domestic and international.

These services could include:

(a) conceptualization of infrastructure projects,
(b) evaluation of alternate approaches and technologies,
(c) documentation, including design and engineering, of the basis for the most cost-effective alternative,
(d) assistance in accessing financing institutions, developing self-financing mechanisms, and/or providing a basis (mechanisms) for credible future revenue streams to allow privatization of municipal services,
(e) preparing tenders and negotiating contracts,
(f) coordinating and managing the implementation of projects, and
(g) project implementation.
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The technical focus of PROEKO will be on the critical issues currently facing the customers. These are wastewater treatment, energy/heating, water supply and solid waste management. In order to provide the types of consulting services described above, technical expertise is required in a variety of disciplines including environmental audits, environmental impact assessment, engineering (e.g. water, wastewater, energy,) financial and economic analyses, and quality program and systems management. PROEKO intends to provide its customers expertise in all of these disciplines. This expertise will either come from internal staff resources, collaborations with recognized technical experts in Poland, or the resources of a Joint-Venture Partner.

PROEKO will focus its marketing activities on the various levels of government in Poland. This includes the federal government (e.g. Ministry of Privatization), as well as state (wojewodztwo), city (miasto) and town (gmina) governments. [NOTE: In view of the potential for conflicts of interest, PROEKO does not anticipate working for the Ministry of Environment, PHARE, etc.] PROEKO does, however, anticipate providing environmental consulting services to those international financial lending institutions that are
supporting the environmental efforts of local government in Poland (e.g. IBRD, EBRD, etc.) However, in the near term (1-2 years), PROEKO's primary market focus will be on the local governments (city and town).

The end use for PROEKO's services by its customers is to assist them in overcoming their organizational and financial weaknesses in discharging their responsibilities to create solutions to local environmental problems.

The forces driving these markets are well known and are overwhelming. The health risks derived from a virtual complete lack of wastewater treatment, the virtual absence of safe potable water supplies, and the ever increasing problem of solid waste disposal (resulting from a trend toward western consumerism) contribute real social pressures on the local governments to act. Also motivating the local governments are the economic and environmental costs resulting from inefficient resource use. Finally, the emerging regulatory requirements regarding many of these issues provide legal pressures for local governments to deal with these issues.
COMPETITIVE POSTURE

PROEKO views its main competitors as a small number of credible Polish full-service environmental consulting engineering firms (e.g. EKOLOG) and the major western environmental consulting engineering firms that are currently active in Poland (e.g. CDM; Post, Buckley, Schuh & Jernigan; CH2M Hill, Dames & Moore).

PROEKO believes that it has significant competitive advantages versus their foreign competitors. These advantages include:

(1) a more intimate understanding of, and familiarity with, the customer and his needs;

(2) a clearer understanding of the political, social, regulatory and cultural issues that affect what is possible in Poland, both financially and programatically;

(3) greater credibility in the eyes of the local customer, which derives from the reputation of the Chairman and other principals (whether active or passive participants); and
(4) a clear price advantage derived from the lower cost of intellectual capital available to PROEKO and from the logistical cost advantages derived from its geographical location.

The major competitive advantages of PROEKO versus those local firms offering a similar range of environmental consulting services will be:

(A) western quality services and better access to the latest technological advancements;

(B) better understanding of the viable technical and financial options available to local governments (which derives from the background and experience of PROEKO's senior management);

(C) the ability to attract the highest caliber of technical expertise because of the reputation of the firm's senior management;

PROEKO also recognizes that it is at a significant competitive disadvantage versus western consulting engineering firms in several significant areas. These include;
(i) familiarity with advanced environmental technologies and methodologies;
(ii) financial resources;
(iii) internal business management capabilities;
(iv) economic and financial analysis capabilities; and
(v) quality systems management capabilities to carry out complex programs requiring multi-disciplinary approaches.

PROEKO also realizes that there exist in Poland some established competitors entrenched in the existing marketplace with the existing customer base. The company intends to overcome this disadvantage by seizing the opportunity presented by the decentralization of services, which in effect is creating a new, and level, playing field for competitors in a new, rapidly growing market niche.
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STRATEGY

PROEKO's fundamental strategy is to become the leading environmental consulting firm in Poland by providing equal emphasis on responding to both the technical and financial needs of its customers. In the near term, the strategy has two objectives. The first is to leverage its competitive advantages of familiarity and credibility with, and understanding of the needs of, local governments to build share in the emerging market for environmental consulting services. The second objective is to enter into a joint venture with a partner who can assist PROEKO in overcoming its competitive disadvantages. PROEKO looks to its joint-venture partner to strengthen its financial resources, management capability, and familiarity with advanced (western) environmental technologies and methodologies.

The company's longer term strategy also has two objectives. The first is to position PROEKO to serve the emerging private sector resulting from the government's efforts to restructure its industrial base. This will be
accomplished by leveraging the successes, derived from the firm's near term focus on serving local governments, to build an image and reputation for PROEKO as the premier supplier of environmental consulting services in Poland. The second objective of the company's long-term strategy is to selectively search for opportunities where it can use its geographical proximity to selected C.I.S. states to begin to build market share in those foreign markets, as they emerge.

OPERATIONS & FORECASTS

The immediate needs of PROEKO are to increase both its technical and financial resources. They will accomplish the former requirement, in the near term, by establishing working relationships with recognized technical experts who can provide the firm the required technical base at minimal investment. In the future, the company will attract qualified technical staff, and incentivize them to assure their identifying the success of PROEKO with their own personal success. The company will accomplish this objective by providing key employees with an opportunity for equity participation.
The latter will be addressed in the context of a joint venture, wherein PROEKO will give up equity in the firm in return for a financial investment by a joint-venture partner. However, the firm will be careful to identify and negotiate only with prospective partners who can provide not only the required financial investment, but also, who can bring management, technical, financial and logistical support to PROEKO. The major objective of the joint-venture is to create a Polish environmental consulting firm that can offer "western quality performance at Polish prices" to solve the technical, management and financial problems facing the local governments which have responsibility as guarantors of a healthy environment and an improved quality of life.

Although PROEKO has been operating as an active business entity for only two months, and has only three full-time employees, progress in business development has created a considerable backlog. The Appendix contains descriptions of those projects already under contract. In view of the concentration of backlog and future business opportunities within the region of Silesia, PROEKO expects that its first field office will be located in that region sometime during early 1993.
Also, included in the Appendix to this plan are pro forma income statements for future years operations, as well as detailed information on the assumptions underlying those forecasts. The Appendix also includes a list of milestones which are critical to the successful implementation of this Strategic Business Plan.
Appendix.

Project opportunities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Ecological studies for project application</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Environmental impact assessment</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Environmental audits for privatization</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water use and disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Integrated water use and disposal system</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pilot study for modernization of two cities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Upgrading and improvement of existing</td>
<td>C+E</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waste water treatment plants in approx. 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small and medium towns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sludge treatment</td>
<td>C+E</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tomaszow Mazowiecki</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In thousand U.S. dollars
1 dollar = 15000 zlotys
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>C+E</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>130</th>
<th>90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Automation and steering of a waste water treatment plants</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Construction of a new sewage treatment plants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Madex Glass</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Płock</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Upgrading of industrial and special water use and waste water intakes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tuszynek hospital</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Improving of the existing approach to water use and waste water disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Protection of Sulejow reservoir</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Water use and disposal for villages</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating and energy systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Integrated modernization of a municipal heating systems in Rzeszow and</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomaszow Mazowiecki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Improvement of existing heat sources</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other projects related to energy economy</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal and industrial waste</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Integrated waste minimization program for towns</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilization of a toxic and hazardous wastes</td>
<td>C+E</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Recycling of energy and resources</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing of environmental friendly production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. RAFAKO boiler factory</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Assessment of the market for air protection devices</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Others</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training, technical assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Workshop on waste water treatment plant modernization and improvement</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Others</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>5700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
1. C - consulting, E - engineering
2. To assess PROEKO profit the following structure of a total sale is anticipated: subcontractors - 60%, PROEKO costs - 30%, PROEKO profit - 10%
Appendix.

Prediction of PROEXO cash-flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sale</th>
<th>PROEXO share in sale</th>
<th>Advances</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Insurance</th>
<th>Other costs</th>
<th>Advance repayment</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>71,3</td>
<td>70,2</td>
<td>9,1</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td>12,4</td>
<td>12,9</td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>7,7</td>
<td>4,7</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,3</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,2</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>5,0</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9,2</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,5</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,3</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>3,8</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3,0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
January 6, 1992

Mr. Marek Kulczycki, President
The Enterprise Credit Corporation
Polish-American Enterprise Fund
ul. Towarowa 25
00-869 Warszawa, POLAND

Dear Mr. Kulczycki:

Enclosed find three (3) copies of an application, from ASKOM Sp. zo.o., (Poznan), for US$ 150,000 credit. Also enclosed are resumes of the two principals. I have worked with the ASKOM'S management for several months to understand their business, technology, and competitive situation. I also spent considerable time conducting an assessment of the domestic markets for aeration systems (blowers) for water and wastewater systems. I have assisted ASKOM in preparing the enclosed application.

As a result of these activities, I have my own ideas about ASKOM's business, strategy, management and markets. Enclosed also are three (3) copies of a brief "Prospectus" describing, in conventional western terms, my understanding of their business, strategy and markets.

I trust this information is useful in evaluating the enclosed credit application from ASKOM. If I can be of further assistance, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D.
Resident Environmental Business Advisor,
Central Europe

Enclosures:
PROSPECTUS

Submitted to:

THE ENTERPRISE CREDIT CORPORATION
POLISH-AMERICAN ENTERPRISE FUND
WARSAW, POLAND

Submitted by:

ASKOM Sp. zo.o.
ul. Kordeckiego 58
60-144 POZNAN
Tel: 3327-590, 327-853

JANUARY, 1993
DISCLOSURE

The U. S. Agency for International Development has provided a Resident (Warsaw) Environmental Business Advisor (the Advisor) to the private environmental business sectors in Poland, Hungary, and the Czech and Slovak Republics.

The principal function of the Advisor is to assist private environmental businesses to improve their competitive position in their respective markets. The Advisor directs his activities toward "enterprise strengthening." He first screens the environmental business sector to select domestic environmental firms suitable for enterprise strengthening. The selection process targets firms that appear to have (a) identified market needs; (b) established real competitive advantages; and (c) developed a strategy to leverage those advantages into market penetration and, ultimately, the largest market share. He then assists those domestic firms to access sources of credit and capital, U.S. technologies, and/or joint-venture partners.

The Advisor prepared this addendum (Prospectus) to the enclosed application to support ASKOM's application to the Enterprise Credit Corporation (ECC) for $150,000 credit. The Advisor intends that the information, contained therein, assist the Enterprise Credit Corporation in evaluating the Company's application by describing ASKOM's business, its competitive advantages and strategy in typical western management terms.

The material concerning the Company's business, markets and strategy reflects the understanding of the AID Advisor resulting from (a) representations made to him by the management of ASKOM Spo. z.o.o.; (b) his understanding of the current environmental conditions in Poland; and (c) his familiarity with the environmental products private sector.

The Advisor provided his assistance to ASKOM under a contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development. The Advisor's assistance does not represent, nor does it imply, endorsement of the Company's application by the U.S. Government. The Advisor assumes that the Enterprise Credit Corporation will conduct its normal due diligence activities before taking any action concerning this application.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ASKOM Sp. zo.o., Poznan, (the Company) provides low pressure water aeration devices (blowers) for the treatment of municipal and industrial water and wastewater. These standard products represent 85% of the current sales. They are sold to Polish and foreign engineering and design firms, and municipalities, industry, and commercial fish growers. ASKOM also manufactures and/or distributes high pressure aeration devices (blowers), compressors and pumps, both to its base market and to new markets. It maintains and services all of its products.

ASKOM currently shares leadership (30% share) in the market for aeration systems for water and wastewater treatment. It enjoys significant competitive advantages versus all of its competitors in this market segment. Its products are more reliable and durable, operate more quietly, and require less service than those of its major domestic competitor. While quality of ASKOM's products are comparable to its foreign competitors', its price is significantly less.

The Company is applying for a credit of $150,000.00. These funds will be combined with approximately $25,000 of ASKOM's own funds to implement the Company's three pronged business strategy to expand production of its existing products, increase its product line to better serve its existing customer base, and enter new markets with its existing products. The Company's strategy leverages its competitive price and performance advantage with its rapidly growing reputation for quality water aeration systems.

ASKOM will combine approximately $75,000 of the credit with its operating capital to purchase $100,000 of equipment to expand and improve its manufacture capacity. The Company expects this investment will allow it to lower production costs; and improve product performance, reliability and durability.

The Company intends to use approximately $75,000 of the credit to build parts and finished goods inventory to shorten the product delivery cycle.
INTRODUCTION

ASKOM Spo. z.o.o. (the Company) was organized in March of 1991 to produce blowers and compressors. These blowers function as key components of most municipal and industrial (e.g. food processing, chemical process) wastewater treatment systems, and water reclamation systems. It now distributes larger aerators, other types of compressors and pumps to the water and wastewater treatment markets in Poland.

The Company sells primarily to domestic and foreign engineering firms that design municipal and industrial water and wastewater treatment systems. It also sells to water management agencies (e.g. municipalities responsible for maintaining the quality of surface impoundments) and to commercial fish farmers.

The company has an exclusive license, from RKR Gm.b.h., Germany, to import key electromechanical components (stages) for its blowers and compressors. It adds noise reduction devices (for both intake and discharge), electronics and controls, and domestically produced motors, to provide the customers complete systems. The Company also manufactures piston type compressors. It is responsible for quality control and provides service and maintenance.

The domestic demand for ASKOM's water aeration devices and compressors is increasing more rapidly than the Company's ability to provide products. The Company is seeking $150,000 from the Enterprise Credit Corporation. Approximately half the funds are to purchase equipment to expand manufacturing capabilities. This will result in increased production, lower manufacturing costs, and improved product quality and reliability. ASKOM will use the remaining funds to build inventory of imported components (stages) and finished goods to shorten the product delivery cycle. This will allow the company to respond rapidly to market demand and to satisfy the customer's needs in a more timely manner.

The Company believes that the requested credits will provide the necessary infusion of capital to allow it to gain market leadership in its principal market niche in Poland. Also, the Company believes there exist opportunities to expand its market share for other mechanical devices used by their existing customers (e.g. screw compressors, oil-free compressors, pumps, etc.) that it can successfully exploit.
MARKETS

The Company defines its markets in terms of the products provided, customers, end uses served, and the driving forces motivating customers to purchase their products. The common denominator to the current and future market segments served by the Company are the customer sets.

Products

Currently ASKOM derives approximately 85% of its sales revenue from the production and distribution of aerators. In addition, 10% of sales comes from the production of piston compressors and distribution of screw compressors, and 5% from service and maintenance fees.

The Company's aerating devices (blowers) range from low volume units (0.5m³/min) to mid-range systems (20 m³/min). In order to increase its market penetration and broaden its customer base, ASKOM distributes RKR's larger volume units (up to 200m³/min). They incorporate these into complete systems by providing platforms and inexpensive Polish motors. The Company also distributes oil-free compressors for water and wastewater treatment applications and screw compressors for industrial pneumatic applications. The Company maintains and services all the products that it distributes.

Customers

The Company sells it's principal product (aerators) to over 100 domestic engineering and design firms that specify these systems as components of municipal and industrial water and wastewater treatment systems. It also sells its aeration systems directly to the industrial sector (e.g. chemical and food processing industries), to commercial fish growers, and to municipalities responsible for the water quality of ponds and lakes used for recreational purposes.

ASKOM sells its compressors and pumps to the water and wastewater treatment sectors, as well as to small industrial workshops requiring pneumatic capabilities, to the food processing industry, and to the chemical process industry.
End Use

Municipal and industrial customers rely on the Company's water aeration systems to provide and maintain the dissolved oxygen concentrations essential for some chemical, and all biological treatment of wastewater. Commercial fish farmers rely on ASKOM's systems to maintain dissolved oxygen levels, increasing the yields from their production ponds. Entities (e.g. gminas) responsible for maintaining recreational water bodies, use the company's aeration systems to maintain dissolved oxygen concentrations, during the summer months, and prevent fouling of water.

Driving Forces

Increasing concern for human health, environmental awareness, and new environmental regulations (with their associated system of fees and fines) all contribute to the demand for the Company's products. Wastewater treatment systems, incorporating ASKOM's products help to reduce the discharge of hazardous environmental pollutants, preserve the quality of nearby groundwater, and decrease the potential hazards to human health.

Approximately 40% of municipalities in Poland do not have facilities for wastewater treatment, and less than 20% of wastewater receives biological treatment. In addition, many existing facilities are only partially complete or are seriously degraded. These facilities require significant investment to repair and/or upgrade them. Poland's national environmental strategy establishes a goal of creating the capacity to reduce the amount of untreated municipal sewage by 0.6 billion cubic meters by the year 2000. It also establishes a goal of creating additional capacity to treat sewage chemically and/or biologically by 260 million cubic meters. The government estimates that approximately 350 new municipal wastewater treatment plants must be built in Poland, and 400 existing plants may be retrofitted and/or upgraded. These market forces will create an ever expanding market for ASKOM's principal products.

The industrial wastewater treatment sector currently is much smaller than the municipal sector. However, as Poland privatizes its state industries and increases environmental
enforcement, the economic benefits to industries of avoiding pollution penalties and reducing pollution fees will drive the industrial wastewater treatment market. Eventually, the government estimates that the private industrial wastewater treatment sector will exceed the municipal sector. This will assure expanding long term demand for ASKOM's products.

Also, the need for commercial fish growers to maintain saturated concentrations of dissolved oxygen to optimize production yields, and thus income, creates additional demand for the Company's aeration devices. Finally municipalities, responsible for the quality of surface water used for both drinking and recreational purposes, rely on the Company's products to maintain healthy and aesthetic water resources.
The competitive dynamics of the market for low pressure water aerating units for both the municipal and industrial wastewater treatment markets are unusually clear.

There are two principal competitors, each with approximately 30% of market share. These two Polish firms are easily differentiated. ASKOM, the new competitor in the marketplace, relies on importing a key western component and providing value added engineering to supply complete aeration systems. Its products are more reliable, durable, operate more quietly, and are more energy efficient. Spomasz (Ostrow) was the established market leader prior to ASKOM's entry. It relies on reverse engineering of western products using all Polish components. They provide a lower quality product at lower cost. Among the customers, Spomasz's products are notorious for being loud, unreliable, requiring frequent service, and lacking the durability required in the typical operating environment.

The only significant foreign competitor is Aerzner, a German firm that has been serving the market in Poland for almost 20 years, and once was the market leader. However, it currently has only about 15% of market share. It provides products of a quality comparable (to ASKOM's), but at a significantly higher price.

Several other domestic firms, including Comprot (Wroclaw) and Tepro (Koszalin) share the remaining 25% of the market for aeration devices in Poland. Some of these firms do not provide complete systems, however.

There are virtually no domestic suppliers of similar compressors in Poland. Polmo-Gorlica, a Polish manufacturer of brake compressors is attempting to serve this market with its existing products. However, their products are more expensive and less reliable than ASKOM's products. The Company's major competitors in the compressor market are importers of more expensive western products.
Competitive Advantages/Disadvantages

ASKOM has distinct competitive advantages versus each of its major competitors in the market for aeration devices. Their products have already earned a reputation in the marketplace for reliability, durability and quiet operation that far exceeds that of the former market leader (Spomasz). Spomasz competes solely on the basis of 30-40% lower price. Also, it does not provide complete systems, only certain components.

ASKOM rapidly achieved market share equal to that of Spomasz, despite a significant price disadvantage. This rapid growth in market share demonstrates that the customers recognize (a) the need for product reliability, durability, quiet operation and energy efficiency, (b) the advantages of purchasing a complete system, and (c) the relative value offered by ASKOM's products.

The Company enjoys a major price advantage versus the German supplier Aerzner due to (a) their aeration systems are subject to 30% import duties (the German component that ASKOM imports from RKR is not subject to duty), and (b) Polish labor costs are obviously significantly lower than German labor costs. ASKOM utilizes its price advantage to overcome Aerzner's historical domination of the market for aeration devices in Poland.

As the major competitors in the domestic market for compressors are all importers of western products, ASKOM again has a distinct price advantage for the above reasons.
STRATEGY

ASKOM's strategy is to leverage its competitive price and performance advantages to build market share in its base business (i.e. the domestic market for aeration devices used in water and wastewater treatment). It will also become more responsive to its customer's needs by shortening the product delivery cycle, creating yet another competitive advantage in its base business.

The Company also will utilize its existing competitive advantages to expand its business through (i) output development (i.e. offering existing customers additional products), and (ii) market development (i.e. offering existing products to new markets). To support these objectives the Company will open sales/service offices in Katowice, Krakow and Jelenia Gora.

ASKOM will utilize the credits to expand manufacturing facilities and shorten the product delivery cycle. These investments will allow ASKOM to lower product costs, improve product quality, and provide product to the customer in a more responsive manner. They will also allow the Company to meet the expected demand from new markets for existing and related products.

Use of Proceeds

During the first quarter of 1993, ASKOM intends to invest $100,000 in manufacturing equipment to increase its production capacity. The Company intends to contribute $25,000 from its operating funds, and to use $75,000 of the requested credits for the balance of this investment. The equipment to be purchased includes drill presses, machine tools, an electronic/diagnostic test station, lathe, welder, hydraulic lift, fork lift, and service van.

In order to increase both the production of aeration devices and new products and shorten the product delivery cycle ASKOM must increase its inventory of its key imported components (stages) and finished goods. The Company intends to use $75,000 to finance the costs of additional inventory of both components and finished goods.
Polsko-Amerykański Fundusz Przedsiębiorczości

Polish - American Enterprise Fund

Nr ewidencyjny: 

Nazwa firmy: ASKOM spółka z o.o.


Telefon: 327-590 327-853

Warszawa - Nowy Jork
Oskodawca nie jest zobowiązany do ponoszenia jakichkolwiek opłat zacz jakiejkolwiek osoby lub instytucji, która pomagała w wypełnieniu lub przygotowaniu niniejszego wniosku. Pracownicy Banku, w którym wniosek jest składany oraz pracownicy PAFP mogą omawiać z oskodawcami wszystkie lub tylko niektóre elementy niniejszego osku, ale nie wolno im przyjmować od oskodawcy żadnego wyrożenia w postaci pieśledzy, prezentów lub usług i to bez względu na rodzaj. Osoby te jedynkże nie mogą przygotować wniosku za oskodawcę.

UWAGA!

PAFP rezerwuje sobie prawo przeprowadzenia rozpoznania potrzebnego do podjęcia decyzji kredytowej ustnie oskodawcy i może skontaktować się z kimkolwiek, kto byłby w tym pomocny. Jeżeli Wnioskodawca życzy sobie, aby pewne osoby nie były informowane, proszę podać nazwisko i przyczynę (np. obecny pracodawca lub konkurent).

PAFP rozpocznie procedurę rozpatrywania wniosku o pożyczkę dopiero po dostarczeniu przez Wnioskodawcę wszystkich danych i dokumentów, niezbędnych dla prawidłowego ocenienia zdolności kredytowej Wnioskodawcy. Wszelkie opóźnienie w dostarczeniu tych danych i dokumentów spowoduje przedłużenie okresu rozpatrywania wniosku.

Wnioskodawca oświadcza i gwarantuje, że ani podmiot gospodarczy, którego dotyczy niniejszy wniosek o pożyczkę, ani żaden z jego udziałowców lub osób pozostających z nim we wspólnym gospodarstwie domowym nie otrzymań i nie stara się o uzyskanie pożyczki z Polsko-Amerykańskiego Funduszu Przedsiębiorczości.

Wnioskodawca oświadcza i gwarantuje, że wszystkie informacje zawarte w niniejszym wniosku i załączonych do niego dokumentach są prawdziwe i zgodne ze stanem faktycznym.

Składając poniżej swój podpis wnioskodawca niniejszym potwierdza, że zapoznał się z treścią powyższych paragrafów i w pełni je zrozumiał.

(data)  (podpis Wnioskodawcy)

(data złożenia kompletnego wniosku)
STATUS PRAWNY FIRMY: Spółka prawa handlowego z o.o.

właściciele:
mgł inż. Wojciech Krzewiński - 50%

dr inż. Władysław Stróżyk - 50%

PROPONOWANY SPOSÓB ZABEZPIECZENIA ZWROTNOŚCI POŻYCZKI PAFF:

umowa zastawu majątku Spółki

W RAZIE ZAMIARU KORYSTANIA PRZY REALIZACJI PROJEKTU DODATKOWO Z POŻYCZEK/KREDYTÓW INNYCH NIŻ POŻYCZKA PAFF, PROSZE OPISAĆ ICH PRZEZNACZENIE, POŻYCZKODAWCÓW, WARUNKI OTRZYMANIA ORAZ SPOSÓB ZABEZPIECZENIA ZWROTNOŚCI:

- nie

- przesunięcie terminów płatności zobowiązań wobec dostawców od 30 do 120 dni - na podstawie zawartych porozumień

PROSZĘ OPISAĆ PRZEZNACZENIE ANGAŻOWANYCH W PROJEKT ŚRODKÓW WŁASNYCH:

- zwiększenie kapitału obrotowego 80 %

- wyposażenie w narzędzia i oprzyrządowanie 25%

NAZWA I ADRES BANKU PROWADZĄCEGO RACHUNEK BIEŻĄCY FIRMY: Wielkopolski Bank Kredytowy II O Połnań

Al. Marcinkowskiego konto nr 356208-105402-136.0

OPIS PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWA (stan aktualny)

STRUKTURA ASORTYMENTOWA PRODUKCJI/USŁUG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa produktu/usługi</th>
<th>% obrotów</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>produkcja agregatów z dmuchawami</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>usługi serwisowe</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZDOLNOŚCI PRODUKCYJNE (proszę opisać)

ograniczone możliwościami zgromadzenia podst.

materiałów, słabym wyposażeniem w urządzenia do montażu.

AKTUALNIE WYKORZYSTYWANEJ jest 95% ZDOLNOŚCI PRODUKCYJNYCH.

ZDOLNOŚCI PRODUKCYJNE PO ZREALIZOWANIU PROJEKTU (proszę opisać)

Wzrost o 250% - wzrost ilości montowanych agregatów z dmuchawami, uruchomienie montażu małych agregatów ze sprzętem tłokowym i pompami

POŁOŻENIE

CZY DO FIRMY ISTNIEJE DOGODNY DOJAZD? (proszę opisać) tak

biura w pobliżu linii tramw., 15 min. od centrum

i dworca PKP i PKS

CZY ISTNIEJĄ MOŻLIWOŚCI PARKOWANIA SAMOCHODÓW? (proszę opisać) tak

WŁASNOŚĆ OBIEKTÓW PRODUKCYJNYCH

CZY JĄ WŁASNOŚCIĄ SĄ OBIEKTY PRODUKCYJNE FIRMY? (Jeśli firma działa
w obiektach dzierżawionych, proszę podać warunki dzierżawy)

obiekty dzierżawione - dzierżawa opłacona do

1.09.1993 - możliwość przedłużenia umowy na czas nieograniczony.
# DOSTAWCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dostawca</th>
<th>Proszę wypełnić głównych odbiorców:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Przed-robot Inwent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>w.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## KIEROWNICTWO

Rozpoczęto imiona, nazwiska, kwalifikacje osób zajmujących w firmie kluczowe stanowiska wraz z wysokością wynagrodzenia:
- Dyrektor - dr inż. Władysław Strzyż - specj. II st.
  z zakresu sprzedaży
- Główny Księgowy - mgr Danuta Sosińska

## CZŁA I KURSY WALUT

AKI Wpływ na działalność firmy mają taryfy celne i kursy walut? (później opisać, jeśli jest to wpływ znaczący)
- wzrost kosztów zużycia materiałowego - ok. 2% mies.
- zmniejszenie zysku brutto - ok. 20% mies.

## PŁACE

Oprocentowana płaca w firmie (I-IX) brutto 5.000.000 zł

## KOSZTY MATERIAŁOWO-SUROWCOWE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rodzaj surowca/materialu</th>
<th>Cena jednostkowa</th>
<th>Zużycie miesięczne</th>
<th>Koszt miesięczny</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dmuchawy</td>
<td>35.000.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>175000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>silniki (1,5-12)</td>
<td>8.000.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zawory bezp.</td>
<td>1.500.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>koła pasowe</td>
<td>330.000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3300000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ramy</td>
<td>4.500.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91700000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**KONKURENCJA**

ILE FIRM OFERUJE PODOBNY PRODUKT? 3

GŁÓWNI KONKURENCI (proszę wymienić):
- SPOMASZ Osirów Wlkp., COMPROM Wrocław,
- SPOMASZ Toruń

CZY ISTNIEJE KONKURENCJA ZAGRANICZNA? (jeśli tak, proszę opisać)
- Aerzener Maschinenfabrik Niemcy—firma wcześniej znana na rynku, wyższe ceny, gorszy serwis
- Dresser - USA-Anglia—przedstawiciel, wo w Holand-ceny podobne lub wyższe, brak serwisu, gorsza jakość

POD JAKIMI WZGLĘDAMI FIRMA MA PRZEWAGĘ NAD KONKURENCJĄ?
- wysoka jakość, szeroki asortyment, konkurencyjne ceny, wysokie kwalifikacje pracowników

**MARKETING**

W JAKI SPOSÓB FIRMA DOCIERA DO NABYWCÓW?
- udział w targach, wystawach, sympozjach specjaliss reklama w katalogach, bezpośrednie kontakty

JAK FIRMA ZAMIERZA DOCIERAĆ DO NABYWCÓW W PRZYSZŁOŚCI?
- jak wyższa, organizacja sympozjów i szkoleń, zwiększenie przedstawicielstw w innych miastach

**UBEZPIECZENIE**

PROSZĘ PODAĆ RODZAJ, WYSTAWCIE I WARTOŚĆ POLISY UBEZPIECZEŃI
FIRMY: PZU 3

---

**BILANS PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWA NA DZIEN** 1.01.-30.10.1992 r

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AKTYWA</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
<th>PASYWA</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gotówka w kasie i banku</td>
<td>315.112.250</td>
<td>Pozwazy krótkoterminowe</td>
<td>1252.058.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Należności od odbiorców</td>
<td>50.410.000</td>
<td>Pożyczki długioterminowe</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zapasy</td>
<td>457.005.501</td>
<td>Pożyczki długoterminowe</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maszyny i urządzenia (netto)</td>
<td>204.550</td>
<td>Zobow. wobec budżetu</td>
<td>39.507.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieruchomości</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Inne zobowiązania</td>
<td>153.353.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pojazdy</td>
<td>393.598.000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne aktywa</td>
<td>258.589.999</td>
<td>Kapitał</td>
<td>100.000.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RAZEM AKTYWA** 1.344.920.300

**RAZEM PASYWA+ KAPITAŁ** 1544.920.300

**NALEŻNOŚCI OD ODBIORCÓW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dłużnik</th>
<th>Kwota</th>
<th>Od jak dawna?</th>
<th>Przewidywany termin spłaty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promont Kępno</td>
<td>20.850.000</td>
<td>VIIIIXIX)92</td>
<td>XI)92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woj.Dyr.Inw.-P-ń</td>
<td>4.560.000</td>
<td>X)92</td>
<td>XI)92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosopan Wieruszów</td>
<td>25.000.000</td>
<td>X)92</td>
<td>XI)92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZAPASY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAŁY I SUROWCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRODUKCJA W TOKU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYROBY GOTOWE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RAZEM** 457.005.501
### MASZyny I URZĄDZENIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rodzaj</th>
<th>wartość netto zł</th>
<th>zł</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>komputer</td>
<td>28.250.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>przyczepa N-126p</td>
<td>41.954.550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urządzenie OPTIMA</td>
<td>3.900.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urządzenie Metalcraft</td>
<td>32.772.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lodówka</td>
<td>4.250.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POJAZDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marka</th>
<th>Rok produkcji</th>
<th>Wartość rynkowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>samochód Citroen tow. C-25</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>213.600.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samochód Citroen osob. CX 25 GTi</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>179.998.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNE AKTYWA (proszę opisać)

- rozrachunki z pracown. 54.578.000
  - z budżetem (nadpłata pod.) 82.646.989
  - z Espero 121.365.000
**ZOBOWIĄZANIA WOBEC DOSTAWCÓW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dostawca</th>
<th>Przeciwłot dostawy</th>
<th>Kwota</th>
<th>Warunki płatności</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KR Verdichtertechnik</td>
<td>1.252.058.800 wydrużony</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niemoju</td>
<td>dwuchawy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>części do</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agregatów</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ZOBOWIĄZANIA WOBEC BUDżETU** (proszę opisać)

*ZUS za X)92: *

- składki ZUS za X)92: 28.181.200
- podatek dochod. od osób fiz. X)92: 11.326.700

**INNE ZOBOWIĄZANIA** (proszę opisać)

*PZU za X)92: *

- składki PZU za X)92: 353.600
- przedpłaty tyt. dostaw: 153.000.000

**ZAŁOŻENIA DO PROJEKCJI FINANSOWych**

**HARMONOMGRAM REALIZACJI PROJEKTU**

**DATA ROZPOCZĘCIA REALIZACJI:** 1)93

**ETAPY REALIZACJI PROJEKTU** (proszę podać zakres prac i planowany termin realizacji każdego etapu): wg załącznika

- 1)93 - spłata zadłużenia wobec RKR Verdichtertechn.
- zakupy środków trwałych - inwestycyjnych - wg harmonogramu w załączeniu

**DATA ZAKOŃCZENIA REALIZACJI PROJEKTU:** 1994 r.

**DATA OSIĄGNIĘCIA PEŁNYCH ZDOLNOŚCI PRODUKCYJNYCH:** 1995 r.

**PLANOWANA SPRZEDAŻ** (po osiągnięciu pełnych zdolności produkcyjnych)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa wyrobu/usługi</th>
<th>Cena zbytu</th>
<th>Miesięczna sprzedaż</th>
<th>Wartość miesięczna sprzedaży</th>
<th>zł</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- agregaty 1-5</td>
<td>54.000.000</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>350.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 5-18</td>
<td>80.000.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>400.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- (wys. cięć)</td>
<td>200.000.000</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>500.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- sprzęg. tłok.</td>
<td>8.000.000</td>
<td>40-42</td>
<td>350.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- sprzęg. śrub.</td>
<td>100.000.000</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>150.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- pompy</td>
<td>90.000.000</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>500.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- usługi serwisowe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kompl. dostaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2350.000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CZY Firma posiada jakieś potencjalne zobowiązania (np. z tytułu udzielonych poręczeń)?** (jeśli tak, proszę opisać)

- nie

**CZY WYSTĘPUją SEZONOWE WAHANIA SPRZEDAŻY?** (jeśli tak, proszę opisać)

Tak. Wzrost zapotrzebowania w okresie od wiosny do jesieni - dot. oczyszczalni ścieków.

Inne nie występują.
### ANOWANE KOSZTY

**ŻYCIE MATERIAŁÓW I SUROWCÓW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Przyniesiony materiał</th>
<th>Zużycie miesięczne</th>
<th>Cena</th>
<th>Wartość zużycia miesięcznego</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>uchawy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35000000</td>
<td>4200000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ręczarki</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6000000</td>
<td>2460000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mpy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25000000</td>
<td>2000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lniki</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10000000</td>
<td>2500000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ne</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3040000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE po osiągnięciu pełnych zdolności produkcyjnych

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pracownik</th>
<th>Średnia jest</th>
<th>Miesięczne koszty</th>
<th>Miesięczna wysokość narzutów na pracę</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 osób</td>
<td>7,500,000</td>
<td>82,500,000</td>
<td>39,000,000(ZUS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOKÓŁ PLAC KIEROWNICTWA:**

| Osoba | 15,000,000 zł/mies. |

**ŚREDNIE KOSZTY TRANSPORTU** (proszę podać wysokość i sposób kalkulacji)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legacje</th>
<th>4x mies.</th>
<th>4000kmx 2.200zł/km =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.800.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|inne k-ty transportu | 3.700.000|

**ŚREDNIE KOSZTY ENERGII, GAZU I WODY** (proszę podać wysokość i sposób kalkulacji)

| w okresie I-X)92 | 2.400,000|

**MIESIĘCZNE KOSZTY ADMINISTRACJI I REKLAMY** (proszę podać wysokość i sposób kalkulacji)

| Wartość | 30,000,000 zł |

**MIESIĘCZNA WYSOKOŚĆ POZOSTAŁYCH KOSZTÓW** (proszę podać rodzaj kosztów i sposób kalkulacji):

**PODATKI PŁACONE PRZEZ FIRMIĘ** (proszę podać rodzaje płaconych podatków oraz sposób obliczania ich wyników):

- podatek dochodowy od osób prawnych - 40% zysku brutto
- podatek dochodowy od osób fizycznych - 20%
- fundusz prac brutto

**CZY FIRMA KORZYSTA Z ULG PODATKOWYCH** (jeśli tak, proszę opisać ulgi w podatku dochodowym - tyt. odliczenia strat z I-go roku działalności (3 lata))

**WYSOKOŚĆ KURSU DOLARA ZAŁOŻONA PRZY OBLICZANIU WYSOKOŚCI SPŁAT POŻYCIKI**:

| 1 USD = 15.456 PLZ |

Wzrost kursu średni 9 zł dziennie do 30.11.92
# ZESTAWIENIE DOCHODÓW I KOSZTÓW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miesiąc</th>
<th>Dochody (A)</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.r.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wcześnie</td>
<td>5 925 470 000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>800 000 000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kierownictwo</td>
<td>128 145 000</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>128 145 000</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stale płace</td>
<td>3 282 530 000</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3 282 530 000</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uty na płace</td>
<td>163 699 422</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>163 699 422</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tłumaczenia</td>
<td>524 546 800</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>524 546 800</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Życie</td>
<td>4 303 940 000</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4 303 940 000</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>141 854 780</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>141 854 780</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telekomunikacja</td>
<td>142 815 710</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>142 815 710</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetyka</td>
<td>3 076 100 000</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3 076 100 000</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochrona</td>
<td>1 343 200 000</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1 343 200 000</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praca</td>
<td>2 045 480 000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2 045 480 000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szczegółowe</td>
<td>3 500 000 000</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3 500 000 000</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zyski</td>
<td>1 029 366 986</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1 029 366 986</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PROGNOZA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rok 1</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok 2</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok 3</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 000 000 000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20 100 000 000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28 200 000 000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>6 352 000 000</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>12 125 800 000</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>17 000 000 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rok 1</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok 2</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok 3</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 100 000 000</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>16 600 000 000</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23 200 000 000</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANOWANE ROK</td>
<td>m-c 1</td>
<td>m-c 2</td>
<td>m-c 3</td>
<td>m-c 4</td>
<td>m-c 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przychód poczatkowy</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przychód za gotówkę</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprzedaż gotówki</td>
<td></td>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splaty bieżących</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zmiana gotówki (A)</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>na początku</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakup samochodów</td>
<td>1423.6</td>
<td>1501.7</td>
<td>1625.4</td>
<td>1803.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakup sprzętu</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozostałe na początku</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narzuty na place</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czynsz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty usług pakietowych</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty napraw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty transportu</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty telekomunikacyjne</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozostałe dotyczące</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty energetyczne, gazu i wody</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty administracji</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty reklamy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubezpieczenia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podatki na stronie</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podatki z bieżącego gotówki</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odsetki od pożyczek PAPP</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odsetki od innych kredytów</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne koszty finansowe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty (B) brutto</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>2595</td>
<td>3185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty (B) netto</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wydatki na Investycje</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wydatki na Równo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwota brutto (A-B)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwota netto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wydatki pożyczek PAPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wydatki innych pożyczek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty końcowe</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PLANOWANE

### ROK DRUGI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kwartał I</th>
<th>kwartał II</th>
<th>kwartał III</th>
<th>kwartał IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tówka początkowa</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprzedaż za gotówkę</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spłaty bieżących należności</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>5400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zem gotówka (A)</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dla inna</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakupy surowców</td>
<td>2666.3</td>
<td>2883.4</td>
<td>3090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plac kierownictwa</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozostałe płace</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narzuty na płace</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czymsze</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty usług</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty napraw</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty transportu</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty telekomunikacyjne</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podróże służbowe</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty energii, gazu i wody</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty administracji</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koszty reklamy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubezpieczeń</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podatki łączne</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pozostałe koszty em.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odsłonięcie od pożyczek PAFP</td>
<td>120,7</td>
<td>124,6</td>
<td>130,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odsłonięcie od innych kredytów</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne koszty finansowe</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ROK TRZECI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kwartał I</th>
<th>kwartał II</th>
<th>kwartał III</th>
<th>kwartał IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 3907,9</td>
<td>4033,5</td>
<td>4409,0</td>
<td>4680,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 220</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 280</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. 90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 370</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. 30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. 134,1</td>
<td>144,5</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>151,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## PRZEPŁYWY GOTÓWKI

### ROK DRUGI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kwartał I</th>
<th>kwartał II</th>
<th>kwartał III</th>
<th>kwartał IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2690</td>
<td>3267</td>
<td>3898</td>
<td>4528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4300</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2690</td>
<td>3267</td>
<td>3898</td>
<td>4528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6300</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ROK TRZECI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kwartał I</th>
<th>kwartał II</th>
<th>kwartał III</th>
<th>kwartał IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5723</td>
<td>6094</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>3188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2690</td>
<td>3267</td>
<td>3898</td>
<td>4528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3267</td>
<td>3898</td>
<td>4528</td>
<td>5340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Splała kred.- 3853
Pozostałą 1529
MIEJSCE NA DODATKOWE INFORMACJE


1.01.1993  16.050, - zł
1.04.1993  16.860, - zł
1.07.1993  17.670, - zł
1.10.1993  18.480, - zł
1.01.1994  19.210, - zł
1.04.1994  20.020, - zł
1.07.1994  20.830, - zł
1.10.1994  21.640, - zł
1.01.1995  22.450, - zł
1.04.1995  23.260, - zł
1.07.1995  24.070, - zł
1.10.1995  24.800, - zł

dla ustalenia kwoty kredytu pobranej - przyjęto kurs z 1.01.1993 t.j. - 16.050, - zł

3. dla ustalenia kwoty kredytu do spłaty - przyjęto kurs na 1.01.1996 t.j. 25.690, - zł

4. do wyliczenia kwoty odsetek przyjęto oprocentowanie kredytu wraz z kosztami operacji bankowych i prowadząmi - w wysokości 16% rocznie.

5. wysokość odsetek ustalono przy założeniu kwartalnych okresów spłaty odsetek od kredytu wykorzystanego z uwzględnieniem w/w wzrostu kurs dolara.

6. przeprowadzono szczegółową analizę stopnia opłacalności kredytu dewizowego w stosunku do kosztu kredytu złotówkowego przy założeniu wzrostu kursu o 9 zł dziennie i o 15 zł dziennie.

7. przeprowadzono analizę stopnia ryzyka kredytu i możliwości jego spłaty przy założeniu skokowego wzrostu kursu dolara w okresie trwania umowy kredytowej o 3000 zł i o 5000 zł.

8. wysokość odpisów amortyzacyjnych wyliczono przy założeniu niezmiennych stawek amortyzacji w okresie trwania umowy i dokonaniu zakupów inwestycyjnych z kredytu i środków własnych w wysokości ok. 100.000, - dolarów.

9. wysokość kosztów podróży służbowych wyliczono - dla podróży zagranicznych w oparciu o obowiązujące stawki diet i kursy walut z uwzględnieniem wzrostu j.w.

- dla podróży krajowych - wg. obowiązujących stawek z uwzględnieniem przewidywanej inflacji.

10. koszty płac ustalono uwzględniając przewidywany wzrost zatrudnienia w okresie trwania umowy - związany ze wzrostem zdolności produkcyjnych.
...cy miesięcznej / o ok. 1500000, - zł brutto w każdym roku.

11. wpływ kursu walut na na zysk brutto i koszty materiałowe wyliczono na podstawie wielkości zakupów materiałowych dewizowych w okresie 1-IX.92, osiągniętego w tym okresie zysku brutto oraz w przewidywanych w latach 1993 - 1995 zakupów materiałowych przy założeniu prolongaty terminu płatności do 60 dni od daty dostawy.
OSOBISTY KWESTIONARIUSZ INFORMACYJNY

IMIĘ I NAZWISKO: Władysław Stróżyk

ADRES ZAMIESZKANIA: Poznań 60-193 Poznań
ul. Andersena 25

NUMER TELEFONU: 

JEŚLI POD POWYŻSZYM ADRESEM MIESZKA PAN/PANI Mniej NIZ 10 LAT,
PROSZĘ PODAĆ POPRZEDNI ADRES: nie dotyczy
od 1975

DATA URODZENIA: 16.05.1936 MIEJSCE URODZENIA: Opalenica

OBYWATELSTWO: polskie ZAWÓD: inżynier mechanik

WYKSZTAŁCENIE I KWALIFIKACJE: wyższe Politechnika Poznańska
dr nauk techn. WAT, specjalizacja zawodowa II st. z zakresu
sprężarek, rzeczoznawca SIMP.

CZY BYŁ PAN/PANI KIEDYKOLWIEK KARANY/A? (jeśli tak, proszę podać szczegóły)
nie

CZY NIE BYŁ PAN/PANI KIEDYKOLWIEK W STANIE WYWIĄZAĆ SIĘ ZE
ZOBOWIĄZAŃ FINANSOWYCH? (jeśli tak, proszę podać szczegóły)
nie
# Polsko-Amerykański Fundusz Przedsiębiorczości

## Historia Zatrudnienia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Od</th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Zakład pracy</th>
<th>Stanowisko</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>Z-dy Elektrotechn. AICO P-ń</td>
<td>technolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Centralny Ośrodek Rozwoj Kolejn.</td>
<td>konstruktor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gł. Spec. ds. Sprzętarek</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Dane Dotyczące Podmiotów Gospodarczych, w Działalność Której Jest Pan/Pani Zaangażowana (poza podmiotem, którego dotyczy niniejszy wniosek): nie jestem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa i adres</th>
<th>Data nabycia</th>
<th>Status prawnego</th>
<th>Wielkość udziału</th>
<th>Rodzaj działalności</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Osoby Pozostające z Wnioskodawcą We Wspólnym Gospodarstwie Domowym:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imię i nazwisko</th>
<th>Pokrewieństwo</th>
<th>Wiek</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maria Ziółkiewicz-Stróżyk</td>
<td>żona</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piotr Stróżyk</td>
<td>syn</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irena Ziółkiewicz</td>
<td>teściowa</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Miesięczne Przychody Rodziny (wraz z wnioskodawcą): 15.000.000,-

## Łączne Miesięczne Wydatki: 11 mln

## Źródła Przychodów:

- Wynagrodzenie za pracę 12.000.000,-
- Dywidenda z przedsiębiorstwa -
- Dochód z nieruchomości -
- Inne dochody (wymienić) 5.000.000,-
- Prace zlecone, praca -
- Renta -
### Osobiste Zestawienie Finansowe na Dzień 30-11-92

#### Aktywa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aktywa</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
<th>Pasywa</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gotówka w domu i w banku</td>
<td>80 mln</td>
<td>Pożyczki otrzymane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papiery wartościowe</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inne zobowiązania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Należności</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pożyczki udzielone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieruchomości</td>
<td>1100 mln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pojazdy</td>
<td>4 mln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne aktywa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wartość netto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Razem Aktywa</strong></td>
<td>1184 mln</td>
<td><strong>Razem Pasywa</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lokaty Terminowe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kwota</th>
<th>Okres</th>
<th>Do kiedy?</th>
<th>W jakim banku?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Papiery Wartościowe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa</th>
<th>Ilość</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
<th>Aktualna wartość rynkowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Pożyczki Udzielone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pożyczkobiorca</th>
<th>Kwota pożyczki</th>
<th>Kwota do spłaty</th>
<th>Termin spłaty</th>
<th>Stopa %</th>
<th>Zabezpieczenie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Inne Należności (proszę opisać)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inne Należności</th>
<th>nie ma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## NIERUCHOMOŚCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lokalizacja</th>
<th>Powierzchnia</th>
<th>Data nabycia</th>
<th>Wartość rynkowa</th>
<th>Sposób wykorzystania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poznań</td>
<td>310 m²</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1,1 mld</td>
<td>dom jednorodzinny</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POJAZDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marka</th>
<th>Rok produkcji</th>
<th>Wartość rynkowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiat 126 P</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>4 mln</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNE AKTYWA (proszę opisać)

### POŻYCZKI OTRZYMANE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pożyczkodawca</th>
<th>Kwota pożyczki</th>
<th>Kwota do spłaty</th>
<th>Termin spłaty</th>
<th>Stopa</th>
<th>Zabezpieczenie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNE ZOBOWIĄZANIA (proszę opisać)

### CZY POSIADA PAN/PANI JAKIEŚ INNE ZOBOWIĄZANIA (NP. Z TYTUŁU UDZIELONYCH PORĘCZEŃ)? (Jeśli tak, proszę opisać)

nie mam
Urodziłem się 16 maja 1936 r. w Opalenicy. Szkołę Podstawową Nr 26 w Poznaniu ukończyłem w 1950 r. a potem Liceum Ogólnokształcące im. I. Paderewskiego w 1954 r.

W 1960 r. uzyskałem dyplom mgr inż. mechanika na Wydziale Budowy Maszyn Politechniki Poznańskiej.

Początkowo pracowałem jako technolog w Poznańskich zakładach Elektrotechnicznych a następnie jako konstruktor w Centralnym Ośrodku Badawczo-Rozwojowym Kolejnictwa w Poznaniu.


Podlegała mi całość problematyki sprzężeń poczynając od spraw rozwojowych przez technologię aż do etapu produkcji i polityki marketingowej.


Brałem aktywny udział w pracach środowisk inżynierskiego i naukowego związanego z dziedziną budowy maszyn a szczególnie z zagadnieniami trybologii (trwałości maszyn, problemy tarcia i smarowania). Utrzymywałem również szerokie kontakty zawodowe i osobiste z przedstawicielami zagranicznymi i krajowymi producentów sprzężeń oraz firm współpracujących z tą branżą.

W trakcie praktyki zawodowej miałem okazję osobiście zaznajomić się z organizacją produkcji, technologią i produkcją takich zakładów jak: Fabryka Sprzężeń ATLAS COPCO w Szwecji, AERZENER MASCHINENFABRIK, MAN-GHH, ECOAIR, RKR w Niemczech, CKD Ząb w Czechosłowacji, LEBERSDORFER MASCHINENFABRIK, HOERBIGER w Austrii, FABRYKA SPREZAREK z Gery w byłym NRD oraz oraz 3 przedsiębiorstw dealerskich i serwisowych w USA.

Politechnika Poznańska zapraszała mnie jako wykładowcę na studiach podyplomowych dla inżynierów oraz jako konsultanta prac dyplomowych z zakresu sprzężeń.

Współpracowałem również przy rozwijywaniu trudnych problemów badawczo-wdrożeniowych z Ośrodkiem Badawczo-Rozwojowym Przemysłu Chemicznego w Krakowie, Uniwersytetem Śląskim w Katowicach, Instytutem Technologii Nafty w Warszawie.
Do moich najważniejszych osiągnięć zawodowych zaliczam:

- udział w opracowaniu i wdrożeniu do produkcji i typozeregmu sprezarek tlozkowych,
- zorganizowanie laboratoriów, stacji prób i badań sprezarek,
- opracowanie, przebadanie i wdrożenie do produkcji 15 różnego typu agregatów sprezarkowych w tym specjalistycznych agregatów hamulcowych dla kolejnictwa,
- udział w opracowaniu i wdrożeniu do produkcji rodziny tlozkowych sprezarek bezolejowych w tym również specjalnych sprezarek na 320 atn,
- opracowanie i wdrożenie do produkcji specjalnych agregatów sprezarkowych dla potrzeb górnictwa i kolejnictwa na bazie sprezarek śrubowych,
- opracowanie i wdrożenie do produkcji agregatu sprezarkowo-próżniowego na bazie sprezarki bezolejowej dla układu chlodzenia akceleratora jonów Instytutu Fizyki UJ.

Jestem autorem 25 projektów racjonalizatorskich. Za swoje wynalazki uzyskałem świadczenia autorskie z Urzędu Patentowego, 8 krajowych i 3 zagraniczne świadectwa o dokonaniu wynalazku.

Wszystkie moje wynalazki zostały wdrożone do produkcji.

Jestem autorem wielu ekspertyz i rozwiązań wykonywanych w ramach Ośrodka Rzeczoznawstwa i Postępu Technologicznego Stowarzyszenia Inżynierów Mechaników Polskich.

Jestem Rzeczoznawcą SIMP. W 1979 r. uzyskałem specjalizację zawodową I-go stopnia z dziedziny konstrukcji sprezarek powietrza i gazów a w 1986 r. II stopień specjalizacji zawodowej.

W 1989 r. zorganizowałem Przedsiębiorstwo Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowe Sprezarek ASPOL.

W 1990 r. przeszedłem przeszkolenie z zakresu "Jak kierować przedsiębiorstwem w gospodarce rynkowej" organizowane dla polskich menagerów przez Polish American Partnership for Enterprise Development.

W czerwcu 1991 r. doprowadziłem do powołania prywatnej spółki ASKOM, której jestem dyrektorem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMIĘ I NAZWISKO:</th>
<th>Wojciech Krzewiński</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADRES ZAMIESZKANIA:</td>
<td>60-193 Poznań</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ul. Makowej Panienki 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMER TELEFONU:</td>
<td>481 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEŚLI POD POWYŻSZYM ADRESEM MIESZKA PAN/PANI Mniej NIŻ 10 LAT, PROSZĘ PODAĆ POPRZEDNI ADRES:</td>
<td>od 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA URODZENIA:</td>
<td>20-06-1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIEJSCE URODZENIA:</td>
<td>Poznań</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBYWATELSTWO:</td>
<td>polskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAWÓD:</td>
<td>technolog drewna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYKSZTAŁCENIE I KWALIFIKACJE:</td>
<td>wyższe, mgr inż.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZY BYŁ PAN/PANI KIEDYKOLWIEK KARANY/A? (jeśli tak, proszę podać szczegóły)</td>
<td>nie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZY NIE BYŁ PAN/PANI KIEDYKOLWIEK W STANIE WYWIĄZAĆ SIĘ ZE ZOBOWIĄZAŃ FINANSOWYCH? (jeśli tak, proszę podać szczegóły)</td>
<td>nie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HISTORIA ZATRUDNIEŃ:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Od</th>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Zakład pracy</th>
<th>Stanowisko</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Ośrodek Badaw-Rozw. Meblarstwa</td>
<td>konstruktor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>P. Bruning Scientific Instr. NL</td>
<td>doradca techn/dyrektor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vitatron Medical NL</td>
<td>Sales manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Merck Darmstadt D</td>
<td>Sales Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DANE DOTYCZĄCE PODMIOTÓW GOSPODARCZYCH, W DZIAŁALNOŚĆ KTÓRYCH JEST PAN/PANI ZAANGAŻOWANA (poza podmiotem, którego dotyczy niniejszy wniosek): nie jest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa i adres</th>
<th>Data nabycia</th>
<th>Status prawnny</th>
<th>Wielkość udziału</th>
<th>Rodzaj działalności</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OSOBY POZOSTAJĄCE Z WNIOSKODAWCA WE WSPÓLNYM GOSPODARSTWIE DOMOWYM:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imię i nazwisko</th>
<th>Pokrewieństwo</th>
<th>Wiek</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elżbieta Krzewińska</td>
<td>żona</td>
<td>43 lata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olga Krzewińska</td>
<td>córka</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maja Krzewińska</td>
<td>córka</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MIESIĘCZNE PRZYCHODY RODZINY (wraz z wnioskodawcą): ponad 25 mln

ŁĄCZNE MIESIĘCZNE WYDATKI: 15 mln

### ŹRÓDŁA PRzychodów:

- Wynagrodzenie za pracę: tak
- Dywidenda z przedsiębiorstwa: -
- Dochód z nieruchomości: -
- Inne dochody (wymienić): -
OSOBISTE ZESTAWIENIE FINANSOWE NA DZIEN 28-11-92

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AKTYWA</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
<th>PASYWA</th>
<th>PLZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gotówka w domu i w banku</td>
<td>0,5 mld</td>
<td>Pożyczki otrzymane</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papiery wartościowe</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Inne zobowiązania</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Należności</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pożyczki udzielone</td>
<td>2 mld</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieruchomości</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pojazdy</td>
<td>0,42 mld</td>
<td>Wartość netto</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne aktywa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RAZEM AKTYWA ponad 3 mld RAZEM PASYWA+ + Wartość netto -

LOKATY TERMINOWE  nie ma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kwota</th>
<th>Okres</th>
<th>Do kiedy?</th>
<th>W jakim banku?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAPIERY WARTOŚCIOWE  nie ma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nazwa</th>
<th>Ilość</th>
<th>Nominal</th>
<th>Aktualna wartość rynkowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POŻYCZKI UDZIELONE  nie ma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pożyczkobiorca</th>
<th>Kwota pożyczki</th>
<th>Kwota do spłaty</th>
<th>Termin spłaty</th>
<th>Stopa %</th>
<th>Zabezpieczenie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INNE NALEŻNOŚCI (proszę opisać)  nie ma
### NIERUCHOMOŚCI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lokalizacja</th>
<th>Powierzchnia</th>
<th>Data nabycia</th>
<th>Wartość rynkowa</th>
<th>Sposób wykorzystania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poznań</td>
<td>500 m²</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>1,5 mld</td>
<td>dom jednorodzinny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skórzewo</td>
<td>3360 m²</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0,5 mld</td>
<td>ogród</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### POJAZDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marka</th>
<th>Rok produkcji</th>
<th>Wartość rynkowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMW 524</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>300 mln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daihatsu</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>120 mln</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNE AKTYWA (proszę opisać)

__________________________
__________________________
__________________________

### POŻYCZKI OTRZYMANE

nie ma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pożyczkodawca</th>
<th>Kwota pożyczki</th>
<th>Kwota do spłaty</th>
<th>Termin spłaty</th>
<th>Stopa</th>
<th>Zabezpieczenie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INNE ZOBOWIĄZANIA (proszę opisać)

nie ma

__________________________

CZY POSIADA PAN/PANI JAKIEŚ INNE ZOBOWIĄZANIA (NP. Z TYTUŁU UDZIELONYCH PORĘCZEŃ)? (Jeśli tak, proszę opisać) nie ma

__________________________
ŻYCIOORYS


Od roku 1971 jestem żonaty, mam dwie córki w wieku 20 i 14 lat.

Wojciech R. Krzewiński
December 28, 1992

Mr. Marek Kulczycki, President
The Enterprise Credit Corporation
Polish-American Enterprise Fund
ul. Towarowa 25
00-869 Warszawa, POLAND

Dear Mr. Kulczycki:

Enclosed find three (3) copies of an application, from ELCO Sp. z.o.o., (Warsaw), for US$ 50,000 credit. I have worked together with the management of ELCO for several months to develop an understanding of their business, technology, and competitive situation. I have also spent considerable time conducting an assessment of ELCO's domestic markets for small water filtration systems and wastewater treatment systems. I have assisted ELCO in the preparation of the enclosed application.

As a result of these activities, I have formed my own ideas about ELCO's business, strategy, management, and their understanding of their own business and markets. Enclosed also are three (3) copies of a brief "Prospectus" describing, in conventional western terms, my understanding of their business, strategy and markets.

I trust you'll find this information useful in evaluating the enclosed credit application from ELCO. If I can be of further assistance to you, or your staff, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D.
Resident Environmental Business Advisor,
Central Europe

Enclosures:
Zdobywcy SUPER-EKO '92

EKOFINN-POL Gdańsk

ELCO Warszawa

HAD Milanówek

FORVEST Koszalin

MATZ Poznań

WAMAG Walbrzych

Z przyjemnością informujemy, że po raz pierwszy zorganizowany na Międzynarodowych Targach Ekologicznych POLEKO w Poznaniu konkurs o Nagrodę Publiczności „SUPER-EKO '92” okazał się strażak w dziesiątkę. Kilka tysięcy głosujących, blisko 100 wyrobów linii technologicznych Itp. zgłoszonych do Nagrody, zainteresowanie, doskonłe obłężenie zwiazane z przygotowanym losowaniem upominków dla publiczności (na I i przy ogłoszeniu końcowego weryfikatu), stosunki NFOSIGW i BOS, w którym był wyłożony „Agrobazar” ze specjalnym kuponem — te fakty mówią za siebie.

A oto laureaci „SUPER-EKO '92”:

- **ELCO** z Warszawy — za urządzenie do oczyszczania i uzdatniania wody
- **EKOFINN-POL** z Gdańska — za oczyszczalnię ścieków BIOCLEERE
- **FORVEST** z Koszalina — za ekologiczną technologię tczu trzody chlewnej
- **HAD** z Milanówka — za środki alternatywne z zakresu biologii gospodarczej posiadające zdolność szybkiej biodegradacji w środowisku naturalnym
- **MATZ** z Poznania — za zestaw biopreparatów do oczyszczania ścieków
- **WAMAG** Zakłady Urzędów Górniczych z Walbrzych — za linie technologiczne do produkcji piwa ekologicznie czystego w postaci brykietów z trocin

Wszystkie te firmy otrzymują promocję na łamach „Agrobazaru” i na antenie Polskiego Radia w audycjach Redakcji Rolnej na koszt fundatorów i współorganizatorów konkursu „SUPER-EKO '92” — Narodowego Funduszu Ochrony Środowiska i Gospodarki Wodnej oraz Banku Ochrony Środowiska.


„W następnym numerze „AB” prezentacja laureatów SUPER-EKO '92 — firm: EKOFINN-POL, ELCO, HAD, FORVEST, MATZ, WAMAG”.
PROSPECTUS

Submitted to:

THE ENTERPRISE CREDIT CORPORATION
POLISH-AMERICAN ENTERPRISE FUND
WARSAW, POLAND

Submitted by:

ELCO Spo. z.o.o.
ul. Gorczewska 62/64
01-401 WARSZAWA
Tel: 36.01.55

DECEMBER 28, 1992
DISCLOSURE

The U. S. Agency for International Development has provided a Resident (Warsaw) Environmental Business Advisor (the Advisor) to the private environmental business sectors in Poland, Hungary, and the Czech and Slovak Republics.

The principal function of the Advisor is to assist private environmental businesses to improve their competitive position in their respective markets. The Advisor directs his activities toward "enterprise strengthening." He first screens the environmental business sector to select domestic environmental firms suitable for enterprise strengthening. The selection process targets firms that appear to have (a) identified market needs; (b) established real competitive advantages; and (c) developed a strategy to leverage those advantages into market penetration and, ultimately, the largest market share. He then assists those domestic firms to access sources of credit and capital, U.S. technologies, and/or joint-venture partners.

The Advisor prepared this addendum (Prospectus) to the enclosed application for credit from ELCO Spo. z.o.o. (the Company). The Prospectus is intended to support the Company's application to the Polish-American Enterprise Fund's Enterprise Credit Corporation (ECC) for credit. The Advisor intends that the information, contained therein, assist the Enterprise Credit Corporation in evaluating the Company's application by describing ELCO's business, competitive advantages and strategy in typical western management terms.

The material concerning the Company's business, markets and strategy reflects the understanding of the AID Advisor resulting from (a) representations made to him by the management of ELCO Spo. z.o.o.; (b) his understanding of the current environmental conditions in Poland; and (c) his familiarity with the environmental products private sector.

The Advisor provided his assistance to the Company under a contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development. The Advisor's assistance does not represent, nor does it imply, endorsement of the Company's application by the U.S. Government. The Advisor assumes that the Enterprise Credit Corporation will conduct its normal due diligence activities before taking any action concerning this application.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ELCO Sp. z.o.o., Warsaw, (the Company) provides low volume water filtration devices for the removal of iron and manganese from water. These standard products are used in domiciles that rely on groundwater wells, small commercial businesses (restaurants, gas stations), small industries (e.g. food processing) that have special water quality requirements, and public buildings (e.g. office buildings, schools). The components for these water filtration systems are currently manufactured by subcontractors and assembled by ELCO.

The Company is applying for credits of US$ 50,000.00. The funds will be combined with approximately PZ 250 Million of the ELCO's own funds to implement the Company's three pronged business strategy to stimulate sales growth of its existing products, expand its product line to better serve its existing customer base, and improve profit margins. The Company's strategy leverages its competitive price and performance advantage with its rapidly growing reputation for quality water filtration products.

Approximately 65% of the combined funds will be utilized to purchase equipment and lease space in order that ELCO can manufacture all components for its water filtration units. The Company expects that manufacturing its own components will allow it to lower production costs; improve product performance, reliability and appearance; and provide the flexibility required to configure standard units into custom systems to satisfy specific customer needs.

Approximately 15% of the combined funds will be used to fund an aggressive television advertising campaign to increase awareness of the Company's products among the potential customer base.

Finally, approximately 25% of the combined funds will be utilized to (a) procure a license from the Warsaw Technical University for the rights to manufacture and sell an innovative low volume biological wastewater treatment system, and (b) develop a bench scale prototype system for testing.
INTRODUCTION

ELCO Spo. z.o.o. (the Company) was organized in 1987 to conduct various commercial activities in Poland. Since 1990, under a license from the Warsaw Technical University, it has produced and sold inexpensive, simple, low volume (1.5-4.5 m³) water filtration units to individual home owners and plumbers. Recently, it began providing larger integrated filtration systems to owners or operators of small commercial businesses (e.g. restaurants, offices), and of public buildings (e.g. offices, schools.)

To date the Company has installed over 400 water filtration devices in Poland, most in the suburbs of Warsaw (See Figure 1). Most recently, the Ministry of Environment & Natural Resources selected the Company to install a new water filtration system (four units) at its principal offices in Warsaw. This system, the largest yet installed by the Company (four units), also provides filtered water to the school adjacent to the Ministry's offices.

The company currently has the components of its water filtration products manufactured various by sub-contractors, some outside of Warsaw (e.g. Slupsk). The Company assembles the products and is responsible for quality control. The demand for ELCO's water filtration devices is increasing rapidly. The Company is seeking a credit from the Enterprise Credit Corporation to establish its own manufacturing capabilities. Such capabilities will allow the company to increase production, lower manufacturing costs, improve product quality and reliability, and be flexible in designing custom systems to meet specific client needs for water purification.

The Company currently distributes its products through a network of agents that install and service the Company's products. Now this network consists of approximately 10 agents clustered around Warsaw, and one each in Krakow and Stalowa Wola. The Company would like to increase the scope of this distribution network. ELCO plans to conduct a national television advertising campaign to reach a broader range of potential customers, and to attract additional distribution agents.
The Company believes that the requested credits will provide the necessary infusion of capital to allow it to gain market leadership in its principal market niche in Poland. Also, the Company believes there exists significant export potential for its water filtration systems to countries in Western Europe (e.g. Finland) and other countries of Central and Eastern Europe (e.g. Czechoslovakia.)

Finally, the Company has perceived a demand, within its present market niche (the same customers), for low cost, low volume packaged biological wastewater treatment systems. The Company recognizes that suppliers have cluttered this market segment with a variety of such products. However, it has negotiated with the Warsaw Technical University and with Dr. Ryzard Wenda for the design of an innovative, low cost, highly efficient low volume wastewater treatment system.

ELCO's management intends to penetrate the domestic market for low volume wastewater treatment systems. The Company believes that the superior technical performance and lower cost of this new technology, and its growing marketing presence and strength will allow it to succeed. Thus, the Company is also seeking the credit from the Enterprise Credit Corporation to license, manufacture and market the low volume wastewater treatment systems designed by Dr. Wenda and the Warsaw Technical University.
MARKETS

The Company defines its markets in terms of the products provided, customers, end uses served, and the driving forces motivating customers to purchase their products. The common denominator to the current and future market segments served by the Company are the customer sets.

Products

Currently ELCO supplies low volume water filtration devices. These devices range from units designed for private home (4 persons) to systems (multiple units) designed to treat volumes typical of restaurants, small hotels, small commercial and public buildings. The unit's simplistic design utilizes air and specially selected granular sand (Polish translation = zwir), of two particular diameters (0.5-0.8, 0.8-2.0 mm.), to remove oxidized iron and manganese. The filtration material never needs to be replaced, requiring only periodic (18-21 days) backflushing. Customers backflush the systems manually in only 15 minutes.

ELCO's water filtration systems complement imported under-the-sink drinking water purification devices. Those devices remove organic contaminants, bacterial contamination and nutrients from municipal water supply systems. In fact, without such pretreatment for iron removal, these under the sink devices are prone to rapid corrosion from the high levels of iron typically found in groundwater wells. Furthermore, unlike carbon filtration units, the Company's devices treat not only drinking water but most other domestic water (e.g. laundry, bath, etc.) The configuration of the Company's devices allow for bypassing the filter for other water uses (e.g. sanitary, gardening, etc.) The Company's devices typically sell for approximately 5 Million Zlotys/unit, well below the price of competitor's devices.

The Company has negotiated for the design of similar low volume packaged biological sanitary wastewater treatment systems. ELCO plans to provide these systems for use in buildings inhabited by 5-70 persons, or for commercial and public office buildings that are typically occupied by 30-500 persons. Wastewater treated by the proposed treatment systems will be suitable for direct discharge into surface waters or for leaching into the ground. The Company anticipates that these systems will sell for approximately 50 million zlotys.
Customers

The Company's sells filtration devices mostly (@ 90%) to home owners that depend upon well water (which typically does not contain bacteria or organic pollutants). It also sells products to plumbers involved in the construction of new real estate, to operators of small commercial businesses concerned about sanitation and health (e.g. food processors, hotels, restaurants), and to administrators of communal buildings (e.g. schools, institutions). The Company estimates that there are 3-4 million domiciles, and 6-8 thousand commercial enterprises and public buildings that could be potential customers for its water filtration devices.

In addition to Poland's Ministry of Environment and Natural resources, several western firms, now operating in Poland, have selected the Company's systems for water filtration. For example, the Austrian firm VITTON, which recently established a manufacturing facility on Poland has selected an ELCO system to satisfy its water filtration requirements. The Company has installed other systems in the food processing industry, where water quality requirements are obvious. For example, the Polish-Austrian joint venture Linhoff has recently selected ELCO to provide a water filtration system for its fruit processing plant in Radonice.

ELCO also recognizes a need among many of its customers, and among small villages, for low volume sanitary (communal) wastewater treatment systems. The Company believes the distribution of customers for wastewater treatment systems is probably the reverse of that for the water filtration systems (i.e. @ 10% domestic, @ 90% commercial, public and communal).

End Use

Customers rely on the Company's water filtration products to provide water that meets sanitary standards and is considered safe for human consumption, more suitable for other domestic uses (e.g. bathing, laundry), and that reduces corrosion of pipes and boilers in the home. The commercial customers rely on these products for water that is safer to drink, results in products (e.g. food) that taste better and arc less contaminated, and results in longer equipment life. The Company believes that many of those same customers would purchase its sanitary wastewater treatment systems.
Driving Forces

Increasing concern for human health, environmental awareness, environmental regulations and new construction regulations all contribute to the demand for the Company's products for treating water and communal wastewater. Those purchases would help customers meet local health standards, reduce the discharge of environmental pollutants, preserve the quality of nearby groundwater, and generally improve the quality and attractiveness of the natural environment surrounding their place of business or their home.

Approximately 50% of the population of Poland relies on groundwater sources (wells) for their drinking water. Recent data from the Ministry of Environment indicates that more than 70% of groundwater sources of drinking water have manganese and/or iron concentrations that exceed, (by 4-20 times) the drinking water standards set by the San-Epid (Agency for Hygienic Inspection). Such contamination represents a human health hazard. It also reduces the effectiveness of laundry detergents, and results in excessive corrosion of metal pipes, boilers and cooking utensils. ELCO's products effectively reduce the concentration of iron and manganese to below the established sanitary standards.

Conventional wastewater treatment systems for small groups of people are very difficult to justify on economic grounds. However, many local building authorities respond favorably to requests for building permits for new construction and remodeling when applicants include such systems in their proposals. Small "packaged" sewage treatment plants satisfy this demand for solutions to the problem of economies of scale. The company believes that its proposed wastewater treatment systems would provide a low cost solution, highly effective solution to the problem of low volume communal wastewater treatment.
COMPETITION

The market for low volume water filtration devices and systems has only recently emerged in Poland as products become affordable. The market is currently extremely fragmented with no dominant domestic or foreign competitor. The Company believes that it is one of only two domestic competitors that markets such products nationwide. The other major domestic competitor is Prodwodrol (Sulechow), who provide a similarly priced product. However their unit is smaller, requires constant pressurized aeration, and isn't as efficient at removing iron and manganese. Typical prices for competitor's products of comparable performance to ELCO's product are 7-12 Million Zlotys (compared to ELCO's price of 5 Million Zlotys).

Foreign competitors (mainly U.S.) provide "under-the-sink" cartridge devices that treat only drinking water. In addition, those cartridge devices frequently corrode due to the high concentrations of iron and manganese typical of most sources of groundwater. The major Polish importer of "under-the-sink" devices is Electrim (Warsaw). However, their devices are designed to operate in water with less than 1-2 mg/L (iron as Fe^{+++}). Most groundwater (well water) sources in Poland contain 4-12 mg/L iron as Fe^{+++}).

In the area of packaged low volume (<20 m³/day) BIOLOGICAL (????) communal (5-100 inhabitants) wastewater treatment systems, ELCO views Serbiofikon (Krakow) as the major domestic competitor. Many other foreign and domestic competitors provide larger communal wastewater treatment systems. They generally do not provide systems targeted for the same low volume niche that ELCO intends to address.

Competitive Advantages

The major competitive advantage of ELCO's water filtration device is the simplicity of its design. This simple design allows for lower production costs and thus, lower price. Due to its simplicity, ELCO's unit requires less frequent and simpler maintenance, at no additional maintenance costs. Finally, ELCO's system delivers superior performance (iron and manganese removal) compared to other, more expensive, domestic products and to the western "under-
ELCO expects that its major competitive advantages (versus Serbiofikon) in the low volume communal wastewater treatment market segment will be lower price and superior performance. ELCO expects to introduce its biological low volume communal wastewater treatment system to the market at a price of approximately 20 million Zlotys. The comparable Serbiofikon product currently sells for €50 million Zlotys. Furthermore, ELCO's new product will be the only small unit to provide both nitrification (to enhance biodegradation) and denitrification (to remove nutrients before discharge).

Competitive Disadvantages

ELCO's major competitive disadvantage is that it does not have control over the production, and thus the production costs, of its products.
STRATEGY

ELCO's business strategy for the low volume water filtration market is to leverage its competitive price and performance advantages to expand both its distributor and customer base. The company will utilize the requested credit to establish its own manufacturing facility, increase advertising of its existing product line, and expand its product line to include low volume communal wastewater treatment systems for sale to its existing customer base.

Use of Proceeds

Manufacturing its own products will allow the Company to better control and reduce production costs, enhance their product reliability and appearance, and improve quality control. The Company intends to use the bulk of the requested credits (660 Million Zlotys) to purchase manufacturing equipment and expand their existing manufacturing space.

The principal equipment required by the company includes (a) metal finishing equipment to ensure durable exterior finish for the products, (b) equipment to apply the required corrosion resistant lining (polymer) to the interior of the devices, and (c) devices for forming steel into tubular shapes and flanges. The total cost of this equipment is estimated to be 600 Million Zlotys.

Concurrent to this development program, ELCO also intends to utilize its own capital (150 Million Zlotys) to carry out a national television advertising campaign to increase potential customer's familiarity with its water filtration products. ELCO's advertising will leverage its price and performance advantages with its emerging reputation for quality water filtration products among the environmental community.

The Company will request approval, from the Ministry of Environment & Natural Resources, to cite in its advertising, the Ministry's selection of an ELCO system for use in its own offices (and the adjacent public school facility) in Warsaw. Also, ELCO was one of only five exhibitors (from over 400) at the recent POLEKO Fair in Poznan to be awarded the "SUPER-EKO '92" award from Poland's National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management. This award prominently will
Lastly, the Company will utilize the remaining credits (90 Million Zlotys), plus 100 Million Zlotys of its own capital to (i) acquire a license from Dr. Wenda and from Warsaw Technical University for the proprietary low volume biological communal wastewater treatment technology, and (ii) to develop a bench scale prototype unit for testing.
FIGURE 1

DISTRIBUTION OF ELCO WATER FILTRATION DEVICE INSTALLATIONS IN POLAND DURING 1992.
Polish-American Enterprise Fund

Name of the company: "ELCO". Sp. Z o.o.
Address: ul. ks. Skorupki 2, 05-220 Zielonka
Phone: ...360-155..............
PAEF Window in: ......................

WARSAW - NEW YORK

IMPORTANT!
The applicant is not obliged to incur any charges to the benefit of any person or institution who assisted him in filling-in or preparation of this application. Staff of the Bank where this application is being lodged as well as staff of PAEF can discuss with applicants all or only some of the elements of this application but they are not allowed to accept from the applicant any remuneration in the form of cash, gifts or service, no matter what kind of. The persons are still not allowed to prepare an application for the applicant.

How did you learn about potential of getting a loan of PAEF? Please choose one of the following:

1. Press
2. Radio
3. Television
4. Leaflets and ads at Bank
5. Family, acquaintances
6. Information seminars of PAEF
7. PAEF's borrowers
8. Others
Use of this document in all or part for purposes other than lodging of loan application related to funds of the Polish-American Enterprise Fund (PAEF) requires consent of PAEF.

-----------------------

LOAN APPLICATION

I apply hereby for a loan of 50,000 US dollars
Say: fifty thousand U.S. dollars.

For the term of 36 month, including the grace period of 6 months.....

for use in financing of production small volume devices, for treatment of water and waste water.

Use of the loan
- purchasing of manufacturing equipment to improve technical quality and reliability
- leasing of productive facilities
- exclusive licence fee

Sum applied for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>leasing of productive facilities</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchase of manufacturing equipment</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exclusive licence fee</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cost of the project

of that:
- Fund's loan: 750,000,000 zł
- other loans/credits: 
- own funds: 250,000,000 zł

Type of business: production and wholesale

Business starting date: 1987

Current employment: 5

Employment following completion of the project: 6

Legal status of the company: Limited Liability Company.

Owners: Jerzy Gorański, Tadeusz Kasprzyk, Łaszek Baryłka
Proposed security related to repayment of the PAEF's loan:

bought appliances, devices, goods and sources store, cooperators guarantee

In case it is planned that also loans/credits others than that of PAEF shall be used for realization of the project, describe their purpose, lenders, relevant terms and conditions and security related to repayment:

not planned

Describe allocation of the own funds involved in the project:

250,000,000 zł

Name and address of the bank where the current account of the company is held:

Rozszeźny Bank Kredytowy /PBK/ oddz. Sołomin, ul. Miła

DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY
(current situation)

ASSORTMENT STRUCTURE OF PRODUCTION/SERVICE

Name of product/service % of sales
iron contamination water filter 65
selling imported electric lamps 30
selling téléphone devices 5

PRODUCTION POTENTIAL (describe)

owned licences, know-how, store place and assembly room hold on lease.
Currently the production potential is used in 30%.

Production potential following completion of the project (describe) overcoming of technological barrier in tooling surfaces and production automated devices.

LOCATION

Does the company feature convenient access? (describe) Yes, by car or trucks.
Is there a room for parking of vehicles? (describe) ................

Yes. ................................................................

VALUE OF PRODUCTION OBJECTS

Who is the owner of production objects? (If the company proceeds
with business in leased objects, describe lease terms and
conditions) leased objects covered monthly. Company has right to
do necessary adaptations and extend.

---------------------------

PERMITS/LICENCES

Licences required for starting of the current/planned business of
the company: not required ................................................

Jerzy Górski, Tadeusz Kasprzyk, both graduates of technical...

MANAGEMENT

Specify surnames, names and qualifications of persons who hold key
positions in the company, together with their remuneration:

Jerzy Górski, Tadeusz Kasprzyk, both are graduates of technical
universities ............................................................

DUTIES AND EXCHANGE RATES

What is the effect of duties and exchange rates on company's
business? (describe if the effect is significant)

today is no effect of duties and exchange rates 

SALARIES

Average salary in the company: 4,900,000 zł/month...

COSTS OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mat'1/supply</th>
<th>Unit price</th>
<th>Monthly consumption</th>
<th>Monthly cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal body/trunk ..</td>
<td>1.8 zł/pc</td>
<td>17 pcs</td>
<td>30.6 zł</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complementary items</td>
<td>0.1 zł/pc</td>
<td>17 sets</td>
<td>1.7 zł</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SUPPLIERS**

Total number of suppliers: 3

Specify main suppliers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>% of total supply</th>
<th>Type of supplies</th>
<th>Terms of payment</th>
<th>Duration of cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>workshop of metal details</td>
<td></td>
<td>filter's body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kopalnij Sur. Miner.</td>
<td></td>
<td>filtering sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CUSTOMERS**

Total number of customers: 200

Specify main customers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer</th>
<th>% of total sales</th>
<th>Products sold</th>
<th>Terms of payments</th>
<th>Duration of cooperation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>individuals, homeowner</td>
<td></td>
<td>water filters</td>
<td>cash</td>
<td>average 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small commercial business</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plumbers, resellers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMPETITORS

How many companies offer the same product? *few companies*.

Main competitors (specify): small local suppliers.

Is there a foreign competition? (specify if Yes) yes.

Can firms offer richly equipped filters but rather for water from cities' network.

In what respects the company is superior to the competitors?

- simple, natural technology of filtering,
- easy manual operating,
- low cost, especially for ground water met in Poland.

MARKETING

How the buyers are accessed by the company? advertisements in newspapers, exhibitions, other user's advice.

How the buyers are to be accessed by the company in future? by TV advertising.

INSURANCE

State type, insurance company and value of insurance policy of the company: not insured.

BALANCE SHEET OF THE COMPANY AS OF 30.09.1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash in cash office</td>
<td>Liabilities rel. to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and bank 638,335</td>
<td>suppliers 120..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables to come from</td>
<td>Short-term loans 350,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>customers 432,743</td>
<td>Long-term loans 260,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories 554,806</td>
<td>Liabilities rel. to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery and equip. 1,150</td>
<td>budget 7,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real property</td>
<td>Other liabilities 1,084,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other assets 178,445 Capital 103,338

TOTAL ASSETS 1,805,479 TOTAL LIABIL. + CAPITAL 1,805,479

RECEIVABLE TO COME FROM CUSTOMERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debtor</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Since when</th>
<th>Planned repayment date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INVENTORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zloty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies 133,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production in progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished products 554,806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 688,067

MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year of man.</th>
<th>Number of</th>
<th>Current value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>air compressor</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>th.zl.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VEHICLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Year of man.</th>
<th>Market value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

OTHER ASSETS (specify)

REAL PROPERTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Purchase date</th>
<th>Market value</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

SHORT-TERM LOANS (up to one year)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lender</th>
<th>Sum of loan</th>
<th>Sum pending</th>
<th>Repayment</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALTAR</td>
<td>260 mln</td>
<td></td>
<td>repayment</td>
<td>date</td>
<td>rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank PBK</td>
<td>350 mln</td>
<td>530</td>
<td></td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LONG-TERM LOANS (in excess of one year)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lender</th>
<th>Sum of loan</th>
<th>Repayment</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIABILITIES RELATED TO SUPPLIERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Subject of supply</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Terms of payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energosvijaz Moscow</td>
<td>broken contract</td>
<td>1,084 mln</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIABILITIES RELATED TO BUDGET (describe)**

1,6 mln. 21. Mouthly paid.

**OTHER LIABILITIES (describe)**

Altar (sister company), Bank PBK

Does the company have some potential liabilities (e.g. resulting from issued guarantees)? (describe if Yes)

No

**ASSUMPTIONS FOR FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS**

**SCHEDULE OF PROJECT REALIZATION**

Realization starting date: 1 December 1992

Stages of project realization (specify scope of work and planned realization date of each individual stage): choice of technology and machinery - 31.12.1992, purchasing and installation - 31.01.1993.

Project realization completion date: 30.03.93

Date of arrival at full production potential: 30.12.1993

**PLANNED SALES** (following arrival at full production potential)
Name of product/service | Sale price | Monthly Value of mon'ly sales
--- | --- | ---
water filters | sale volume 150 mln zł/ | 150 mln zł/
wasted water contamin. removers | 240 mln | 240 mln
small filterstations | 60 mln | 60 mln

TOTAL: 450 mln zł/month.

Are there seasonal variations of sales? (describe is YES)

Yes, but not in all assortments in this same time.

--------------------

PLANNED COSTS

CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

Type of Mat'l/supply | Monthly cons. | Price | Value of monthly cons.
--- | --- | --- | ---
steel constructions | 251 mln parts ans. complementary goods | 251 mln | 251 mln

SALARIES

Employment Average salary | Monthly cost | Monthly amount of surcharge of salaries on salaries
--- | --- | ---
| 6.0 mln | 30.0 mln | 18.2 mln

LEVEL OF MANAGEMENT REMUNERATION:

2 men x 5.6 mln

MONTHLY COST OF TRANSPORT (specify level and way of calculation)

10.0 mln

MONTHLY COST OF POWER, GAS AND WATER (specify level and way of calculation):

(calculated all together at hire rate)

MONTHLY COSTS OF ADMINISTRATION AND ADVERTISING (specify level and way of calculation):

15.0 mln zł

MONTHLY LEVEL OF REMAINING COSTS (specify types and way of calculation):

30.0 mln zł
TAXES PAID BY THE COMPANY (specify types of taxes and way of their calculation): income tax 40% of income
commercial tax 1%

DOES THE COMPANY BENEFITS FROM TAX ALLOWANCES (describe if YES)
NO

US DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATE ASSUMED FOR CALCULATION OF LOAN REPAYMENT:

US$1 = .17,000...... Zloty
### ZESTAWIENIE DOCHODÓW I KOSZTÓW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krajowy</th>
<th>miesięczny %</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasy</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolne</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PROGNOZA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rok</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
<th>Rok</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>200.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>300.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>300.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>400.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>400.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>500.000</td>
<td>6.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Koszty działalności

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krajowy</th>
<th>miesięczny %</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasy</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolne</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Produkcja

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krajowy</th>
<th>miesięczny %</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasy</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolne</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ulotka A-B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krajowy</th>
<th>miesięczny %</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasy</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolne</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dodatkowe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Krajowy</th>
<th>miesięczny %</th>
<th>Plan do końca b.</th>
<th>% sprzedaży</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasy</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolne</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>150.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inne</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PLANOWANE
## ROK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 1</th>
<th>m-c 2</th>
<th>m-c 3</th>
<th>m-c 4</th>
<th>m-c 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>avia początkowa</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avia bieżących należności</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## gotówka (A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 1</th>
<th>m-c 2</th>
<th>m-c 3</th>
<th>m-c 4</th>
<th>m-c 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gotówka (A)</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## koszty (B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 1</th>
<th>m-c 2</th>
<th>m-c 3</th>
<th>m-c 4</th>
<th>m-c 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>koszty (B)</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRZEPŁYWY GOTÓWKI
## PIERWSZY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>avia początkowa</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avia bieżących należności</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
<td>160 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## koszty (B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>koszty (B)</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
<td>1 640 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## brutto (A-B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>brutto (A-B)</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
<td>4 840 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## netto

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>netto</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## inwestycje

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inwestycje</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## za pożyczki PAFP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>m-c 6</th>
<th>m-c 7</th>
<th>m-c 8</th>
<th>m-c 9</th>
<th>m-c 10</th>
<th>m-c 11</th>
<th>m-c 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>za pożyczki PAFP</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
<td>640 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kwartał I</td>
<td>kwartał II</td>
<td>kwartał III</td>
<td>kwartał IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a początkowa gotówka</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1350 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dług za gotówkę</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bieżących należności</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gotówka (A)</td>
<td>1614 412</td>
<td>1497 342</td>
<td>1372 412</td>
<td>1418 412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upy surowców</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>państw. kierownictwa</td>
<td>35 000</td>
<td>35 000</td>
<td>35 000</td>
<td>35 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostałe płace</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty na płace</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td>660 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>świadczenia</td>
<td>4 500</td>
<td>4 500</td>
<td>4 500</td>
<td>4 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty usług</td>
<td>16 500</td>
<td>16 500</td>
<td>16 500</td>
<td>16 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty transportu</td>
<td>120 000</td>
<td>120 000</td>
<td>120 000</td>
<td>120 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty telekomunikacyjne</td>
<td>12 500</td>
<td>12 500</td>
<td>12 500</td>
<td>12 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inne świadczenia</td>
<td>5 500</td>
<td>5 500</td>
<td>5 500</td>
<td>5 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty energety i wody</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty administracji</td>
<td>3 000</td>
<td>3 000</td>
<td>3 000</td>
<td>3 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zyty reklamy</td>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>30 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zpieczenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>płatki łączne</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td>10 500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostateczne koszty</td>
<td>90 000</td>
<td>90 000</td>
<td>90 000</td>
<td>90 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>koszty finansowe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>koszty (B)</td>
<td>1148 600</td>
<td>1148 600</td>
<td>1148 600</td>
<td>1148 600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brutto (A-B)</td>
<td>1143 342</td>
<td>1198 712</td>
<td>1144 142</td>
<td>1169 942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kowe inwestycje (Kw)</td>
<td>100 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netto</td>
<td>1133 342</td>
<td>1298 712</td>
<td>1144 142</td>
<td>1169 942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pożyczki PACP</td>
<td>96 000</td>
<td>96 000</td>
<td>96 000</td>
<td>96 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>innych pożyczek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>główna</td>
<td>100 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kowe inwestycje (Kw)</td>
<td>100 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netto</td>
<td>1133 342</td>
<td>1298 712</td>
<td>1144 142</td>
<td>1169 942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>długi (A-B)</td>
<td>1458 600</td>
<td>1458 600</td>
<td>1458 600</td>
<td>1458 600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwartał I</td>
<td>193 942</td>
<td>193 942</td>
<td>193 942</td>
<td>193 942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwartał II</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1350 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwartał III</td>
<td>1302 413</td>
<td>1302 413</td>
<td>1302 413</td>
<td>1466 412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwartał IV</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1200 000</td>
<td>1350 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Mr. Kenneth J. Macek!

Enclosed please find the list of 102 privat environmental companies You asked for.

At first I've collected the companies, who we can find in environmental publications. I've made the translating and copying of Your letter.

I hope, that you shortly will arrive in Hungary, and You will find time for talking about our further cooperation.

If this better convenient to You, I'm willing to translate the hungarian answers into English free of charge.

Yours sincerely:

Zsuzsanna Pirkner
1. AET Szigetelő és Szolgáltató Kft.
2. AKKA Kereskedelmi Kft.
3. AKTIV Korrozióvédelmi Berendezéseket Építő és Karbantartó BT.
4. ALFA Műanyag, Vegyi és Divatcikkipari Kft.
5. ALISCA PATENT Szellemitermékek Hasznosító Kft.
6. ALKOTÓ Műszaki Fejlesztő és Kereskedelmi RT.
7. AMBÍCIÓ Vegyi és Környezetvédelmi GMK.
8. AQUARIUS Kft.
9. AQUATEAM Műszaki-Kereskedelmi Kft.
10. AQUATECH PRODUCT Környezetvédelmi és Műszaki Fejlesztő Kft.
11. AQUIFER Kft.
12. ARTEMIS BT.
13. ATLAS Tervező és Szolgáltató Kiszövetkezet
14. ÁTRIUM Építőipari Kft.
15. AUTOTRIB Kft.

1116 Budapest
Kalotaszeg u. 6.
1027 Budapest
Vidra u. 4.
2475 Kápolnásnyék
Fő u. 49/25.
3100 Salgótárján
Fürst S. u. 3.
7100 Szekszárd
Belolánisz u. 1-3.
1052 Budapest
Régiposta u. 19.
1134 Budapest
Kassák L. u. 77.
1148 Budapest
Nagy Lajos király útja 1-9.
1118 Budapest
Ménesi út 74.
1365 Budapest
Postafiók: 699.
1039 Budapest
Bebő K. u. 4.
1024 Budapest
Keleti K. u. 9.
1111 Budapest
Egry J. u. 38.
1116 Budapest
Kalotaszeg u. 6.
1115 Budapest
Csőka u. 7-13.
17. Rákös Menvai Környezet- és Természettudományos Kft.
18. BIO-CONCEPTS Kft.
19. BIOFER Veszélyos hulladék feldolgozó és ipari szolgáltató Kft.
20. BIÖKÖR Technológiai és Környezetvédelmi Kft.
21. BIOVIN Kft.
22. BRITECH Környezettechnikai Kereskedelmi és Szolgáltató Kft.
23. BVM-Épelem Előregyártó és Szolgáltató Kft.
24. CANALTEST Csatornavizsgáló Kft.
25. CEMENT- és Mészipari RT.
26. CEMKUT Cementkémiai és Cementipari Kutató Fejlesztő Minősítő és Gyártó Kft.
27. CENTRISZERV Ipari és Laboratóriumi Centrifugák Ózemeltetését Elősegítő GMK
28. CHEMITECH Kémiai-Technológiai GMK
29. COMMUNITAS Környezetgazdálkodási Egyesülés
30. CHEMITECH Kémiai Technológiai GMK
31. COMMONVIRON Kft.
32. CORAX Környezetvédelmi Közös Vállalat

2800 Tatabánya
Mártírok u. 81/b.
5600 Békáscsaba
Szabadság tér 11-17.
6723 Szeged
József Attila út 118.
4400 Nyíregyháza
Stadion u. 5.
1116 Budapest
Építész u. 40-44.
8638 Balatonlelle
Lengyeltóti u. 51.

1117 Budapest
Budafoki út 215.

1093 Budapest
Közraktár u. 10.
3340 Bélapátfalva
Lenin út 1.
1034 Budapest
Bécsi út 122-124.
1181 Budapest
Barcsay u. 7.
2330 Dunaharaszti
Lenin út 4.
1073 Budapest
Akácfa u. 47.
1124 Budapest
Lékai tér 19.
4022 Debrecen
Epreskert u. 1.
1142 Budapest
Kassai tér 34.
33. DATAQUA Elektronikai Kft.
34. DIMED Műszertechnika Kft.
35. DIMENZIÓ Mérnöki Kisszövetkezet
36. EGI-NOVA Energetikai Fejlesztő-, Tervező és Vállalkozó Kft.
37. EINKOM Energiagazdálkodási Információs, Környezetvédelmi és Méréstechnikai Kft.
38. ENVIMARK Környezet- és Vízgazdálkodási Piacszerződési és Informatikai Kft.
39. ENVIRON Környezetvédelmi és Hulladékfeldolgozó Kft.
40. ERFATERV Erdészeti, Faipari Mérnöki Iroda Kft.
41. ETALON Ipari és Kereskedelmi BT.
42. ENVIRON Nyomdai és Környezetvédelmi GT.
43. FERRIT Filter GMK
44. Földgép – Rumpold Netta Kft.
45. FÉMSZELEKT Kisszövetkezet
46. GEOHIDRO Geotechnikai Kft.
47. GÉPSZEV RT.
48. GLOBUS–SYNCRONIC Környezet- és Munkavédelmi Kft.
49. GREENTECH Hulladékgyáztalálkozó és Ipari Mérnökszolgáltató Kft.
50. Gyémánt Ipari és Szolgáltató Kisszövetkezet
51. GYOPÁROS Környezetvédelmi Munkaközösség
52. GÉPSZÖV Cégtipari Kisszövetkezet

8220 Balatonalmádi
Apáczai Csere J. u. 3.
3531 Miskolc
Győri Kapu u. 24.
3300 Eger
Bajcsy Zsilinszky u. 9.
1015 Budapest
Ostrom u. 23–25.
1087 Budapest
Kerepesi út 17.
1095 Budapest
Kvassay J. u. 1.
1143 Budapest
1074 Budapest
Csengery u. 11.
6090 Kunszentmiklós
Hajnal u. 12.
1143 Budapest
7624 Pécs
Zója u. 5/a.
1117 Budapest
Bogdánffy út 4.
6721 Szeged

1148 Budapest
Nagy Lejós király útja 1–9.
2363 Felsőpakony

1135 Budapest
Mór u. 2–4.
1051 Budapest
Nádor u. 36.
1139 Budapest
Petneházy u. 58–60.
5600 Békéscsaba
Szató P. tér 7.
5350 Tiszafüred
Somogyi B. u. 5A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>HIDROCOMPLEX Kft.</td>
<td>1148 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nagy Lajos király útja 1-9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8800 Nagykanizsa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Béke út 113.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>HIDROPLASZTIK Kft.</td>
<td>1118 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Torbágy u. 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Humán-Szorvín Kutató és Munkakörnyezet-</td>
<td>114 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fejlesztő Kisszövetkezet</td>
<td>Francia u. 43.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>HYDROCHEM Kft.</td>
<td>1142 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kassai tér 34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>HYDROCOR Kft.</td>
<td>6600 Szentes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Berekhát u. 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>HYDROFERR Ipari Kft.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>INNO Ipari, Környezetvédelmi Szolgáltató GM</td>
<td>1092 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ráday u. 47.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>INNOMONTAGE-Vállalkozó Kft.</td>
<td>1116 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soproni u. 64.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>INNOTRADE-ENVIRO Kft.</td>
<td>1141 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mogyoródi u. 108.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>INTERMEDIER Környezetvédelmi és Vagyipari GMK</td>
<td>1054 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alkotmány u. 31.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>INNOTERV - Ipari Fővállalkozó Kisszövezkezet</td>
<td>5005 Szolnok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Környezetvédelmi és Fejlesztési Iroda -</td>
<td>Kun B. u. 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INNOFLEX</td>
<td>1108 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maglódi u. 36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>KATALIN NOHSE Kft.</td>
<td>1174 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Béla M. u. 12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>KBFI-TRIÁSZ Kft.</td>
<td>1037 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Makoviny S. u. 2-4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>KEMIKONTROLL Kft.</td>
<td>1221 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Honfoglalás u. 14.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>KEVITERV Vízügyi, Környezetvédelmi és</td>
<td>3300 Eger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vállalkozási Mérnöki Kft.</td>
<td>Lenin út 142/c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>KEVITERV PLUSZ Komplex Vállalkozási Kft.</td>
<td>3527 Miskolc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katalin u. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Környezettechnika Műszaki és Szolgáltató Kft.</td>
<td>1026 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Torockó u. 6/b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>KÖRTE Környezettechnika Kft.</td>
<td>2330 Dunaharaszti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lenin u. 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>KÖ-TECH Környezettechnikai Szolgáltató Kft.</td>
<td>1371 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Postafiók: 433.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>KUBATURA Kisszövetkezet</td>
<td>1145 Budapest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thököly u. 120.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
74. MECHATRONIKA Elektronikus Műszereket
Tervező Készítő és Javító GMK
75. MEGAMORV Kazánfejlesztő és Kutató Kft.
76. META2KA Egyedi Szerkezetgyártó Kft.
77. METEFÉM Műszerekészítő Kísérővételek
78. NOVOFER-PATIN Kft.
79. NYÍRFA Környezetvédelmi és Ipari
Szolgáltató Köszöventkezet
80. NATURA Környezetvédelmi Tervező
Fejlesztő és Szolgáltató Kísérővételek
81. ÖKO Környezeti, Gazdasági, Kereskedelmi
Szolgáltató RT.
82. OMIRKRON Kft.
83. PANNONPLAST Műanyagipari Rt.
84. PG. Acélszerkezetgyártó és Forgalmazó Kft.
85. PI-HUN Mérnöki Tervező Kft.
86. PRESSKAN Mérnöki Iroda Kft.
87. PRO-PLUS Szolgáltató Kft.
88. PYRUS Környezetvédelmi Szolgáltató Kft.
89. RENTUR Ipari Kereskedelmi Szolgáltató Kft.

1133 Budapest
Kárpát u. 42.
3214 Nagyréde
Kossuth u. 4.

Taktaharkány
Gépállomás u. 6.
1047 Budapeste
Timódi u. 28-30.
1086 Budapest
Koltói Anna u. 5-7.
1075 Budapest
Holló u. 11.
1158 Budapest
Molnár u. 9.

1054 Budapest
Alkotmány u. 29.
2146 Mogyoród
Király utca 150.

9700 Szombathely
Puskás T. út 6.
4110 Biharkeresztes
Felszabadulás u. 42.
1052 Budapest
Deák F. u. 10.
1054 Budapest
Széchenyi u. 8.
1078 Budapest
Hernád u. 48.
1117 Budapest
Bogdánffy u. 1-3.

6726 Szeged
Rózsa F. u. 135/E.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>SZIRT Analízis Informatikai és Gazdaságszervező Kft.</td>
<td>1148 Budapest Őrs vezér tere 20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>TRADEXPERT Kereskedelmi és Szolgáltató Kft.</td>
<td>1118 Budapest Rakó u. 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>TERSZOL Árutermelő és Szolgáltató Kisszöv.</td>
<td>8000 Székesfehérvár Úttörő u. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>TREDEX Kft.</td>
<td>1095 Budapest Kvassay J. Út 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>UNIFLEX Kereskedelmi Szolgáltató és Kooperációs Kft.</td>
<td>1027 Budapest Kandó K. u. 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>UNITECH Ipari Kisszövetkezet</td>
<td>7570 Barcs Nagyhíd u. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>VARIPLAST Kft.</td>
<td>1015 Budapest Hattyú u. 16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>VELIPE Mérnöki és Tanácsadói BT.</td>
<td>1012 Budapest Logodi u. 71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>VITUKI INNOSYSTEM Környezetgazdálkodási Innovációs Kft.</td>
<td>1095 Budapest Kvassay J. út 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>VRJ-VIDEO GMK</td>
<td>1016 Budapest Gellértthegy u. 34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Vegyes Műanyagipari Szolgáltató Kisszöv.</td>
<td>1103 Budapest Noszlopy u. 1. 8. emelet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABB FLAKT INDUSTRY LTD.
ul. Jasna 3a
31-227 Krakow
tel.(012) 337-148
fax 342-317

AERATOR S.A.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Urządzeń Ekologicznych
ul. Gen. Z. W. Jankego
40-615 Katowice
tel.(032) 525-065 to 7
tel/fax 528-333

AEROMONT sp.z o.o.
Przeds. Specjalistyczne
ul. Rogozińskiego 5
31-547 Krakow

AEROTECH Zakład
Urządzeń Techniki Powietrza
skr.pocz. 27
41-400 Mysłowice

AGENCJA OCHRONY SRODOWISKA Bogdan Gutkowski
ul. Grunwaldzka 76/78
80-244 Gdańsk

Agencja Rozwoju Przemysłu S.A. CONTACT REPORT P062992
ul. Wspólna 4
00-926 Warszawa
Mr. Marian Malecki
Mr. Edward Dzidek
AGROPLANTA - Zakład
Produkcyjno-Handlowy Rolnictwa
ul. Cieplownicza 1b
31-587 Krakow

AGROS S.A.
Agencja Gospodarki Odpadami
ul. Barbary 21a
40-053 Katowice
tel. (032) 515-281 w. 233
fax 517-591

AKCES Wielobranzowa
Spółka z o.o.
ul. Poselska 34
63-000 Sroda Wlkp.
tel 555-66
fax 531-52

AKWATECH Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Inżynierii Komunalnej
ul. Serbska 4
61-696 Poznan

ALERT sp.z o.o.
ul. Zielona 8
61-851 Poznan
tel. (061) 529.041
fax 521.109

Allied Colloids Poland
ul. Truskawiecka 2a
02-929 Warszawa

ALPHA MEDIC Sp.z o.o.
ul. Orzycka 20
02-695 Warszawa

CONTACT REPORT P061592
FAX\062492

Installations for wastewater purification.

Synthetic polielectrolycs for wastewater purify.

Program of protection the life and health.
ALSI
ul. Nizinna 30a
61-424 Poznan
tel.(061) 301.171
fax 313.145

ALTER S.A.
ul. Poznanska 96
62-080 Tarnowo Podgorne
tel/fax (061) 146.290

AMU-MODELS sp.z o.o.
ul. Morawska 4
61-615 Poznan
tel.(061) 206.175
fax 227.505

ANASERWIS
Os. Sobieskiego 17/35
60-688 Poznan
tel.(061) 234-813
fax 234-269

ANCO sp. z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Badawczo-Produkcyjne
ul. Jelitkowska 20
80-342 Gdansk
tel. (058) 532-079 w.1105

ANOS
ul. Walecka 2
72-002 Mierzyń k/Szczecina
tel.(091) 791-244

APAG sp.z o.o.
ul. Pniewskiego 13
60-681 Poznan
tel/fax (061) 223-441
AQUA - Przedsiębiorstwo Projektowo-Wdrożeniowe
Gospodarki Wodno-Sciekowej
ul. Blekitna 11
10-137 Olsztyn

AQUATECH
Przedsiębiorstwo Badawczo-Produkcyjne
Czarny Dwor 2/4
80-364 Gdansk
tel. (058) 534-199
fax 319-716

AQUASYS sp. z o.o.
ul. Kolobrzeska 14
02-920 Warszawa-Sadyba
tel. 642-5850,
tel/fax 421-286

ARCUS sp. z o.o.
Krakowskie Przedmieście 41
00-071 Warszawa
tel/fax 274-493
tel. 273-013

ARG - POL S.C.
ul. Targowa 12
Warszawa
tel. 182-846

ARKA S.C.
ul. Strzelecka 2
61-845 Poznan
tel. (061) 532-081 w.295
tel/fax 521-682

CONTACT REPORT PO62592A
Mr. Marek Bonkowski

Installations for environment protection.
ARMATECH sp.z o.o.
ul. Filtrowa 1a
00-611 Warszawa
tel. 258-092, 258-093
fax 257-593

ASKOM LTD.
ul. Kordeckiego 58
60-144 Poznan

CONTACT REPORT P082092
Mr. Wladyslaw Strozyk

ATMEN
ul. Ostrowek 19
45-088 Opole
tel. 385-20

ATMOSERVICE Sp.z o.o.
ul. Rzepinska 19/21
61-680 Poznan

Apparatus and measuring
systems of air protection
measuring station of
emissions, emission's
control systems.

AVIAECO, Ltd.
ul. Czapliniecka 44b
97-400 Belchatow

Works at the airports,
recultivation degraded
areas, also industrial
once. Building comunal
wastes storage yards.

AWA Przedsiebiorstwo
Produkcyjno-Handlowo-Uslugowe
ul. Staszica 1
33-300 Nowy Sacz
tel/fax 227-91

BALTIC-SERVICE
Bojano 113
84-207 Koleczkowo
tel. 385-230, 385-864
fax 250-344
BAROWENT
ul. Warszawska 31
40-010 Katowice
tel. (032) 597-478

BARTNICZAK PW
ul. Wnidnichowska 21/13
07-300 Ostrow Mazowiecki
tel/fax 40-82

BEPES
ul. Traktowa 5
05-800 Pruszkow
tel. 588-645
fax 587-107

BIALOGON
Fabryka Pomp
ul. Druckiego-Lubeckiego 1
25-818 Kielce

Bielskie Przedsiębiorstwo
Robot Instalacyjnych
ul. Legionow 37-39
34-100 Wadowice

BIO-ECOLOGY SERVICES
ul. Rzymowskiego 30
02-697 Warszawa
tel/fax 437-091 w.37

BIOKONSULT sp.z o.o.
ul. Strzeszynska 38/42
60-479 Poznan
tel.331-187, 221-011 w.272

BIOSYSTEM sp.z o.o.
ul. Hubska 60/68
50-502 Wroclaw
tel/fax 677-855

Production of pumps.
Producer of cyclonic
dust collectors.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Contact Person</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOPURE Sp. z.o.o.</strong></td>
<td>Mrs. Irena Langowska</td>
<td>Water conditioning, sewage purification, vitX sludge treatment, solid recovery, dumping ground and waste recovery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOTEX Prz. o.o.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dust removal and desulfurization of gases. Protect Fabryka Pomp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOTECHNIKA Sp.z.o.o.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygen pumps for biological wastewater purifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIOX - Zaklad</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIPROCEMWAP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIPROKOP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIPROKWAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gas desulfurization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BIOTEK sp.z o.o
ul.Konduktorska 14
00-775 Warszawa
tel. 40-06-92

BIPROWOD - Biuro Projektow
Gospodarki Wodnej i Sciekowej
ul.Rydygiera 8
01-793 Warszawa

BIPROWOD - Biuro Projektow
Gospodarki Wodno-Sciekowej
i Zagnspodarowania Odp`dow
Park Hut niczy 9
Fabryka Pomp
ul.Druckiego-Lubeckiego 1
25-818 Kielce

Biuro Projektow
Budownictwa Komunalnego
ul. Lisa-Kuli 20
35-025 Rzeszow
tel. 368-61

Biuro Projektow i Dostaw
Urzdzen Hutniczych HpH S.A.
ul. Porcelanowa 19
40-246 Katowice
tel.(032) 155-3517
fax 155-5264

Biuro Studiow i Projektowania
Rozwoju Gospodarki Komunalnej
ul. Waowa 19
80-859 Gdansk
tel.(058) 311-082
Biuro Usług Specjalistycznych
Dariusz Niwinski
ul. Przodownikow Pracy 16/31
85-843 Bydgoszcz
tel. 610-497

BIVAL-HOLDING
ul.Raclawicka 56
30-024 Krakow

BOBR sp.z o.o.
ul. Starocinska 2
82-100 Nowy Dwor Gdanski
tel.47-20
fax 41-84

BOWI Bogucin
ul. Helikopterowa 3
62-006 Kobylnica
tel. 170-686

BROKER
ul. Luzycka 1
44-100 Gliwice
tel.(032) 311-608
fax 317-412

BUDEKO
ul. 600-Lecia PRL 10
Rogowo Zninskie

BUDIMEX
Engineering & Construction
ul. Lubelska 46
10-409 Olsztyn

BUDOPLAN sp.z o.o.
ul. Wisniowa 16/2
53-137 Wroclaw
tel/fax (071) 676-293

Biotoilets, heating systems for one-family houses, waste containers.

Producer of air & water Treatment, Damps for 88-420 municipal & industrial wastes, recultivation.

CONTACT REPORT P061192
Mr. Leon Miloszewski
BUDROL-PROJEKT
ul. Jesionowa 9 A
40-146 Katowice
Consulting, designing, technical advises.

BUMAR - Przedsiębiorstwo
ul. Druckiego-Lubeckiego 1
25-818 Kielce

BUSINESS PROMOTION CENTER
Al. Korfantego 2
40-004 Katowice
Mr. Maciej Demidow

Bydgoskie Biuro Projekt.-
-Badawcze Budownictwa Przemysł.
ul. Bernardyńska 13
85-950 Bydgoszcz

C.B.W.C. sp. z o.o.
Centrum Badawczo-Wdrożeniowe
Ciepłownictwa
ul. Kukielki 4
02-207 Warszawa
Modern technic in heating systems.

CCM
ul. Weteranów 14
20-950 Lublin
CONTACT REPORT P061592C
Mr. Jystof Czarnecki
FAX 062392

CELPA
Fabryka Maszyn
ul. Dworcowa 1
46-074 Lambinowice
Installations for gasses desulfurization and dedusting gasses.

CEMENT
ul. Sandomierska 34
80-051 Gdańsk
tel. 316-291
fax 312-922
Cold storage plants for industrial water, biological wastewater treatment.
Centralne Biuro
Konstrukcji Kotlow
ul. Opolska 23
42-600 Tarnowskie Gory

Boilers.

CENTROZAP CHZ sp.z o.o.
ul. Mickiewica 29
40-085 Katowice

Air pollution.

CENTROZAP CHZ sp.z o.o.
ul. Mickiewica 29
40-085 Katowice

Forming of joint venture company in the field of effluent treatment plants or solid waste landfills.

Centralne Laboratorium
Chlodnictwa
Al. J. Pilsudskiego 87
Lodz
tel. 746-414 w.250

Centrum Techniki
Budownictwa Komunalnego
ul. Krzywickiego 9
02-078 Warszawa

Centrum Zastosowania
Ergonomii
Rynek 13, skr.poczt.40
65-958 Zielona Gora 8

CERPROJEKT Biuro
Projektowo-Badawcze
Przemyslu Ceramiki Budowlanej
Al. Niepodleglosci 188b
00-931 Warszawa
tel/fax 250-885

CHEMADEX
ul.J.Lea 114
30-133 Krakow

Installations for gasses desulfurization.

CHEMAN
ul. Rydygiera 8
01-793 Warszawa
tel. 633-9605
telefax 633-8343
*CHEMAN - Przeds.  
Chemiczne  
ul. Mieszka I 48  
05-090 Raszyn  

CHEMAR S.A.  
Zaklady Urzadzen Chemicznych  
i Aparatury Przemyslowej  
ul. K. Olszewskiego 6  
25-953 Kielce  

*CHEMITEX - CELWISKOZA  
Zaklady Wiokien Chemicznych  
ul. Karola Miarki 42  
58-500 Jelenia Gora  

Mr. Chrzan Tadeusz  
ul. Morelowskiego 23  
52-412 Wroclaw 21  
tel.(071) 386-84 (pon i pi.)  

City-Proff  
ul.Kordylewskiego 11  
31-547 Krakow  

CHLOR SERVICE  
ul. Romana Maya 1  
61-372 Poznan  
tel.(061) 771-011 w.584  

CHLOREX sp.z o.o.  
ul. Szczepznowskiego 13  
60-541 Poznan  
tel. (061) 480-299  
fax 480-321  

COMEF S.A.  
Przedstawicielstwo w Polsce  
ul. Graniczna 16  

Chemical reagents.  
Forming of limited liability company or joint stock company to manufacture equipment.
40-017 Katowice
tel./fax (032) 155-4404

COMERG S.C.
Os. Osviecenia 98/49
61-211 Poznan
tel.(061) 790-884

COMET ECO
Specjalistyczne Przedsiębiorstwo
Budowy Urządzeń do
Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Mlynska 5
64-000 Koszczyn

COMINDEX P.Z.
ul.17 Stycznia 32
02-148 Warszawa

COMINDEX P.Z.
ul. Fabryczna 5a
31-553 Krakow
tel.(012) 116-066 w.220,222

COMPACT
Al. Sikornik paw.64
44-114 Gliwice
tel.(032) 321-191

COMPROT sp.z o.o.
ul. Okolina 2
50-422 Wroclaw
tel. (071) 441-954
fax 441-029

CRYLOMAG - Zakład
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowy
ul. Pamiątkowa 12
66-300 Miedzyrzecz Wlkp.

CONTACT REPORT P070792
Mr. Boleslaw Onyszczka
CZARNECKI M. i S-ka
ul. Marszalkowska 87
00-683 Warszawa
tel. 291-795, 297-961
fax 295-943

DAJAR
ul. Marynarki Polskiej 59
80-557 Gdansk
tel/fax (058) 430-322

DEDAL S.C.
ul. Czysta 11
62-091 Kiekrz
tel.(061) 143-063

DEGAS
ul. Jesionowa 9a
40-159 Katowice
tel/fax (032) 585-262

DELTA RESCUE
ul. Kilinskiego 12/5
86-300 Grudziadz
tel. 229-55

DELTA SERVICE
ul. Marecka 66
05-220 Zielonka k/Warszawy
tel.(090) 201-107
fax 610-5125

DEKOR - Publidecor S.A.
ul. Rolna 4
04-561 Warszawa
tel. 121-857,152-659

DIALOG - BAU GmbH
ul. Francuska 70
40-085 Katowice

Ecological buildings.
DOLNAK S.A.
Przedsiębiorstwo Wielobranzowe
ul. Wapienniki
42-620 Nakło Slaskie
tel. 855-716
fax 851-178

DOMAT sp.z o.o.
ul. Lubieszowska 6
00-308 Warszawa

DOZOTECHNIKA
ul. Elblaska 15/17
01-747 Warszawa
tel. 633-3324
fax 633-4288

DYNAMIKA Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Usługowe
Badawczo-Projektowe
ul.Luzycka 16
44-100 Gliwice

DZWIGOPOL sp.z o.o.
ul. Leczycka 11/13
93-193 Lodz
tel. 845-610, 846-271
fax 846-050

EBRO
ul.Kasztanowa 10/34
16-400 Suwalki

ECOENERGIA sp.z o.o.
ul. Chmielna 89
00-805 Warszawa
tel. 203-011 w.263,264
tel/fax 205-124

CONTACT REPORT P062292
Mr.Michal Kulicki

CONTACT REPORT P060992
Mr.Romuald Turczynski

second contact:
ul. Panska 73
00-834 W-wa
tel.205-124, centr.203-031
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ECON - Przedsiębiorstwo
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowe
ul.Friedleina 6
30-009 Krakow

ECONOMY
ul. Marcelinska 71
60-953 Poznan
tel.(061) 676-831
tel/fax 673-341

ECOPLAN Sp.z o.o.
ul.Czerwinskiego 6
40-123 Katowice

EDA S.A.
ul. Fabryczna 16
24-320 Poniatowa
tel/fax 40-17 w.365

EDI - Firma
Uslugowo-Handlowa
ul.Sobieskiego 21/15
42-640 Piekary Ślaskie

EJK Sp.z o.o.
ul.Plebiscytowa 1 s/w 72 "A"
44-101 Gliwice

EKOBLOK - Ogólnokrajowe
Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-
-Handlowo Usługowe
ul.Lawska 2
07-412 Ostrołęka

EKOBUD - Przedsiębiorstwo
Budownictwa Ekologicznego
ul.Poznanska 224
88-100 Inowrocław

Dyr.Jan Popczyk - Electric heating.

CONTACT REPORT #PO60592
Mr. Eugeniusz Kroppe

Small biological wastegater purifications
type EKOBLOK.

Reservoirs for water retention and reservoirs for wastewater purifications.
EKOBUDEX - Przeds.
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowe purifications.
ul. Niepodległości 739 a
81-840 Sopot

EKOCOMP Sp. z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Kazimierzowska 49/10
02-572 Warszawa

EKODANA
83-132 Morzeszczyn
woj. gdyński
tel. (069) 351-712

EKOENERGIA
ul. Chmielna 89
00-805 Warszawa

EKOKRAK - Przeds. Studiów
Projekt. i Wykonawstwa w
Dziedzinie Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Piłsudskiego 21/6
31-110 Kraków

EKOL sp. z o.o.
ul. Przemysłowa 10, pok.317
40-020 Katowice
tel. (032) 572-909

EKOL Przeds. Usługowo-Handlowe
ul. Kolobrzeska 41
80-391 Gdańsk
tel. (058) 531-191, 572-259

EKOLAND - Stowarzyszenie
Producentów Żywności
Metodami Ekologicznymi
87-123 Pedziewo
Przysiek k/Torunia
tel. 856-78/19/15 (Torun)
EKOLOG - Przedsiębiorstwo Projekto-Wniryjne
Al.Wojska Polskiego 43
64-920 Pila

Production, installation
of wastewater purification

Mr. Henryk Dominiak

EKOLOG
ul. Pieskowa 61
72-010 Police

EKOLOGUM
ul. P.Sciegniennego 1/13
70-352 Szczecin

EKOLOT S.C.
ul. Jesionowa 9a
40-159 Katowice
tel.(032) 599-117

Installations for
cleaning and ventilation
of air, small wastewater
municipal purifications.

Ekonstal Sp. z o.o.
ul. XXX-lecia PRL 3
88-230 Piotrkow Kujawski

CONTACT REPORT P060292
Mr. Krzysztof Elmanowski

EKOPOL - Biuro Studiow, Projektow i Uslug
Ekologiczno-Inzynierskich
ul.Sliczna 34
31-444 Krakow

CONTACT REPORT P060892
Mr.Janusz Modzelewski
FAX\062692

EKOPOL
Przedsiębiorstwo Wielobranzowe
ul.Fordonska 10
85-739 Bydgoszcz
Ekopol Gornoslaski
ul.Opolska 19
41-507 Chorzow

Automatic systems of oil heating.

EKOPOLIN - Przedsiębiorstwo
Badawczo-Wdrożeniowe
Ochrony Środowiska
ul.Krowia 3/4
50-149 Wroclaw

Expertises of harmful effect of industry on the environment. Analyses of toxic substances (gas, dust, wastewater, solid waste).

EKOPROGRESS Sp. z o.o.
Ekologiczne Przedsiębiorstwo
Uslugowo-Handlowe
ul.Gliwicka 30b
40-853 Katowice

second contact:
Zbigniew Fidrych
ul. Piekna 15
40-591 Katowice
tel. 512-946

CONTACT REPORT P061592
Mr. Janusz Szlachetko

EKORAN Sp. z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Ochrony Środowiska
ul.Bkp. Bednorza 2a-6
40-384 Katowice

Monitoring, designing of green areas.

EKOS - Zakład
Badawczo-Uslugowy
ul.Mrozna 35
40-318 Katowice

EKOSILIA Przedsiębiorstwo
Projektowo-Produkcyjno-Handlowe
ul. Jaworowa 153
05-090 Warszawa-Raszyn
tel. 633-8646, 633-9511 w. 2886
fax 352-255

EKOSYSTEM sp. z o.o.
Pl. Piastowski 3
85-012 Bydgoszcz

Mr. Roszkowski
EKOSYSTEM sp.z o.o.
ul. Glowackiego 9
65-301 Zielona Gora
tel/fax 224-31

EKOSYSTEM UNI SCIENCE
Przedsiębiorstwo
Badawczo-Wdrożeniowe
ul.Trybunalska 4
20-113 Lublin

EKOTEST Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Innowacyjne
ul.Gen.Hallera 23
87-102 Toruń

EKOTEST INTERNATIONAL Ltd.
ul. Dobiszewskiego 5 m 2
01-404 Warszawa
tel/fax 377-043

ELAM sp.z o.o.
ul. Obornicka 66
51-114 Wrocław
tel.(071) 255-021
fax 252-385

ELCO Sp.z o.o.
ul.Gorzewska 62/64
01-401 Warszawa

CONTACT REPORT P071092
Mr. Język Gorski

ELEKTRA
Spółdzielnia Pracy
ul.Waska 8
81-625 Gdynia

ELEKTRIM S.A.
Polskie Towarzystwo
Handlu Zagranicznego
ul.Chalubinskego 8
00-950 Warszawa


Desulfurization and denitrification of fumes, removal mechanical pollutions. Water protection.
POLISH ENVIRONMENTAL FIRMS
DECEMBER, 1992 - PAGE 21

ELEKTROMONTAZ EKSPORT
ul.Ogrodowa 28/30
00-896 Warszawa

ELEO - Zaklady Produkacji
Urzadzen Mechanicznych
ul.Lubelska 44
43-200 Pszczyna

ELEWATOR - Zaklady
Urzadzen Technicznych
ul.1-go Maja
40-225 Katowice

ELMET - Przedsiebiorstwo
Handlowo-Uslugowe Sp.z o.o.
ul.Krucza 16/22
00-526 Warszawa

ELMET - Przedsiebiorstwo
Handlu Artykulami Technicznymi
ul.Kazimierza Wlk. 31/33
50-951 Wroclaw

ELJAK-ELEKTRONICS
Firma Elektroniczna
ul.Powstancow Slaskich 6a/34
95-100 Zgierz
tel/fax 164-600

ELMETRON
ul. Lesna 131
41-807 Zabrze
tel.(032) 715-881
tel/fax 716-556

ELPRO
ul. Filtrowa 1a
00-611 Warszawa
tel. 255-070
fax 257-593

Flue gas desulfurization and denitrification equipment.

Water-gas boilers for heating.

Producer of biological wastewater purifications.

Analysers of fumes.
(analizatory spalin).
ELPROTECH sp.z o.o.
ul. Zmigrodzka 41
60-171 Poznan
tel.(061) 679-382

ELWAG
ul. Krotka 5
64-100 Leszno
tel. 201-294
fax 201-200

ELWO sp. z o.o.
ul. Bielska 44
43-200 Pszczyna
tel.(032) 30.61 to 69
fax 49.39

EMIO Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowe
ul.Ofiar Oświecimskich 17
51-069 Wrocław

EMU-UNTERWASSERPUMEN
Biuro Informacji Technicznej
ul. Jodlowa 11
47-200 Kędzierzyn Kozle

ENEKO Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Wielobranzowe
ul.Zygmunta Starego 6
44-101 Gliwice

ENEKOL S.A.
ul.Mickiewicza 63
01-625 Warszawa

ENERGOEXPERT
ul.Sw.Sebastiana 36
31-051 Krakow
ENERGOMONTAZ - POLNOC
Przeds. Montazu Elektrowni i Urzadzen Przemyslowych
ul. Przemyslowa 30/32
00-450 Warszawa

Design, manufacturing and erection of equipment in industry, energy sector municipal economy.

ENERGOMONTAZ-POLNOC
ul. Nowy Swiat 9
00-950 Warszawa
tel. 628-2392, 211-374
fax 294-912

CONTACT REPORT P070892
Mr. Marek Wrzesniowski

Wastewater purifications for industry, towns, hotels and hospitals.

ENERGOPOL
Contractors and Designers
ul. Nowogrodzka 21
00-950 Warszawa

ENERGOPOL
ul. Sw. Floriana 9/13
70-646 Szczecin
tel. (091) 424-36, 426-31
fax 375-25

ENERGOPOL-Ex
Przedsiębiorstwo Eksportu Budownictwa
ul. Wojkowicka 14
41-250 Czeladz

Modern environment technologies.

ENERGOPOMIAR
Zaklady Pomiarowo-Badawcze Energetyki
ul. J. Sowinskiego 3
44-101 Gliwice
tel. (032) 376-543
ENERGOPROJEKT - Glowne Biuro
Studiow i Projektow Energet.
Zaklad Doswiadczalny
ul.Z. Nalkowskiej 41
60-573 Poznan

Automatic control systems for pollution's emission.

ENERGOTECHNIKA sp.z o.o.
ul. Szpitalna 8
44-190 Knurow

ENKO S.C.
ul. Przewozowa 32
44-100 Gliwice
tel.(032) 315-075 to 79
fax 319-511

ENTER sp.z o.o.
ul. Leborska 9
80-386 Gdansk
tel.(058) 524-820
fax 525-164

ENVICON-POLSKA sp.z o.o.
ul. Reymonta 35
63-400 Ostrow Wlkp.
tel. 662-81 to 5
fax 713-53

ENVIROIMPEX Sp.z o.o.
Agencja Handlowa
ul.Kochanowskiego 7
60-900 Poznan

ENVIROMATIC Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Automatyki
i Aparatury Pomiarowej
ul.Kochanowskiego 7
60-900 Poznan
ENVIROTECH Sp. z o.o.
Przedsiebiorstwo Wielobranzowe
ul. Kochanowskiego 7
60-900 Poznan
Installations for level of oxygen measurement.

ENVIRO-SILESIA GmbH
ul. Wita Stwosza 31
40-042 Katowice
tel. (032) 579-605, 579-668
fax 512-313, 510-127

ENVIROTEx Sp. z o.o.
Przedsiebiorstwo Usług Konsultingowych
ul. Zelazna 34/38 m 131
00-832 Warszawa
tel. 206-120
Municipal and industrial wastewater purify.

ERA sp. z o.o.
ul. Wadowicka 10
P.O. Box 79
30-415 Krakow
tel. 668-022 w. 183
tel. 676-060

ERG - Ośrodek Badawczo-Rozwojowy
ul. Mickiewicza 108
38-200 Jaslo
Join venture to manufacture equipment.

ESSAB sp. z o.o.
Polsko-Szwedzka Firma
ul. Kosciuszki 38
40-048 Katowice
tel. (032) 573-841

EURO-EKOLAS
Przedsiebiorstwo Inżynierii
Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Armii Krajowej 5
41-506 Chorzów
tel./fax 401-329
EUROCOLOR
ul. Tylna 3
P.O.Box 46
90-980 Lodz 7
tel.(042) 813-140
fax 844-609

EUROPA Corporation
ul.Napoleona 2
05-230 Kobylka k/W-wy

EUROSILVER Zaklady
Praetworstwa z Metali Szlachetnych
ul. Toszecka 99
44-101 Gliwice
tel.319-261,310-657
fax 315-208

EUROTRAN
wastewater purify.
81-314 Gdynia

EWA COMPANY
ul. Izbicka 57
04-822 Warszawa
tel.628-0345
fax 290-069

EXBUD S.A. CENTRUM BIZNESU
ul. Manifestu Lipcowego 34
25-323 Kielce

Gliwickie Zaklady
Urzdzen Elektronicznych
ul. Przewozowa 32
44-100 Gliwice
tel.(023) 316-051 to 54
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- **Fabryka Armatur**
  Przeds. Panstwowe
  ul. Armii Czerwonej 8
  62-020 Swarzedz

- **Fabryka Urzadzen**
  Wentylacyjno-Klimatyzacyjnych
  ul. Wojska Polskiego 6
  87-600 Lipno

- **FAKOP - Fabryka**
  Kotlow Przemyslowych
  ul. Pstrowskiego 4
  41-200 Sosnowiec

- **FAMET S.A.**
  Fabryka Aparatury i Urzadzen
  skr.pocz.t.313
  47-225 Kedzierzyn Kozle

- **FAWENT**
  Fabryka Wentylatorow
  ul.technikow 22
  43-146 Myslowice-Chelm Sl.

- **FIN SKOG LTD. MARKET GROUP**
  ul.Jaskowa Dolina 59
  80-286 Gdansk
  tel/fax (058) 476-771

- **FINPOL ROHR Ltd.**
  ul. Batorego 2
  02-591 Warszawa
  tel. 253-305
  fax 253-844

- **FLUID CORPORATION sp.z o.o.**
  Przy Rondzie 6
  31-547 Krakow
  tel. 120-743
  tel/fax 219-561

- **Fittings (armatura).**
- **Fans.**
- **Industrial boilers.**
- **CONTAVT REPORT P070292**
  Mr.Zdzislaw Porwit
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Fabryka Armatur
Przeds. Panstwowe
ul. Armii Czerwonej 8
62-020 Swarzedz

Fittings (armatura).

Fabryka Urzadzen
Wentylacyjno-Klimatyzacyjnych
ul. Wojska Polskiego 6
87-600 Lipno

Fans.

FAKOP - Fabryka
Kotlow Przemyslowych
ul. Pstrowskiego 4
41-200 Sosnowiec

Industrial boilers.

FAMET S.A.
Fabryka Aparatury i Urzdzen
skr.poczta.313
47-225 Kedzierzyn Kozle

FAWENT
Fabryka Werytlatorow
ul.Technikow 22
43-146 Myslowice-Chelm Sl.

CONTAUT REPORT P070292
Mr.Zdzislaw Porwit

FIN SKOG LTD. MARKET GROUP
ul.Jaskowa Dolina 59
80-286 Gdansk
tel/fax (058) 476-771

FINPOL ROHR Ltd.
ul. Batorego 2
02-591 Warszawa
tel. 253-305
fax 253-844

FLUID CORPORATION sp.z o.o.
Przy Rondzie 6
31-547 Krakow
tel. 120-743
tel/fax 219-561
FORVEST sp.z o.o.
ul. Kniewskiego 3d-8
75-445 Koszalin
tel/fax (094) 434-987

FUGO - Fabryka Urzadzen
Gornictwa Odkrywkowego
ul. Przemyslowa 85
62-510 Konin

FUNAM sp.z o.o.
ul. Skibowa 51
52-230 Wroclaw
tel.687-608
fax 677-618

GAIA
ul.Opolska 33/240
31-276 Krakow

GAS-ELECTRONIC
ul. Jarochowskiego 58
60-249 Poznan
tel.661-814

GEA Sp.z o.o.
Technika Energetyczna
i Ochrony Srodowiska
ul.J.Lompy 14
40-955 Katowice

GEA CONSULTING sp.z o.o.
ul. Sw. Marcin 40, pok.907
Poznan
tel.(061) 664-451 w.267

GEOBIOTECHNIKA Sp.z o.o.
Biuro Ksztalcenia Srodowiska
ul.Konarskiego 3
43-300 Bielsko-Biala

In cooperation with foreign capital starting of various activities in environment protection.

CONTACT REPORT P090192
Mr. Daniel Danilewski
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GEOS LTD.
ul. Wisnowa 18/94
31-423 Krakow

CONTACT REPORT #P060492
Mr. Marek Razowski

GEOTEX
ul. Bat. Chlopskich 49
70-770 Szczecin
tel. 615-981

Container wastewater purifications.

GLINIK SA
Fabryka Maszyn
ul. Michalusa 1
38-320 Gorlice

Dolomit mineral manure.

GLIWENT - Fabryka
Urzedzen Wentylacyjnych
ul. Tarnogorska 13
44-100 Gliwice

Gornicze Zaklady Dolomitov a
ul. Strzelcowa Bytomskich 450
42-635 Bytom 20

GREG
ul. Rodzinna 4
44-100 Gliwice
tel. (032) 342-501

Water and industrial boilers, production of boiler parts.

GROS-POL Sp.z o.o.
ul. Limanowskiego 7
60-743 Poznan

Control of quality of underground water.

GROUND-WATER Sp.z o.o.
Przeds. Specjalistyczne
ul. Zwirki i Wigury 1
31-465 Krakow

Fittings fans, air-condition equipment.
POLISH ENVIRONMENTAL FIRMS
DECEMBER, 1992 - PAGE 30

HURTOWNIA Preparatow do Neutralizacji Sciekow
Waldemar Kowalski
Os. Zgody 5/19
31-949 Krakow
tel.(012) 433-644

HYDROBUDOWA - 6
ul. Skoczylasa 4
03-469 Warszawa

HYDROBUDOWA-9-KONSORCJUM
ul. Sienkiewicza 22
60-900 Poznan
tel.(061) 456-21
fax 456-23

HYDROBUDOWA SLASK
ul. Francuska 34
40-028 Katowice

HYDRO-ECO-INVEST
ul. Bohaterow Getta 9
44-102 Gliwice 2
tel.(032) 316-011, 318-395

Mr. Henryk Grala
HYDROMECHE - Sp. z o.o.
Al. Wolnosci 18
58-530 Kowary /woj. Jel. Gora

HYDROMONT Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Produktacyjno-Uslugowe
ul. Staropolska 3
41-800 Zabrze

Recultivation of industrial areas, water supply installat., wastewater purifications.

HYDROPROJECT
ul. Dubois 9
00-182 Warszawa

Mr. Wieslaw Ciesielski

CONTACT REPORT P072792
Mr. Janusz Sokolowski
ICHPP
ul. Zamkowa 1
41-803 Zabrze

IMFITEX
ul. Broniewskiego 15
47-225 Kedzierzyn-Kozle
tel. (0794) 33181

INCO - Zakład Produkcji
Aparatury Elektronicznej
ul. Tarnogajska 11/13
Wrocław

INDUSTRIAL SERVICE
CORPORATION Ltd.
ul. Konstruktorska 11
02-673 Warszawa
tel. 436-451, 433-492
fax 431-520

INFO-COOP
Spółka Akcyjna
ul. Grabskiego 27a
40-824 Katowice
Importer of modern air-condition plants.

INFRACORR
ul. Legionow 126
81-472 Gdynia
tel. (058) 223-041
fax 222-934

INKOM Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Innowacyjno-Produkcyjne
ul. Dworcow 30
43-200 Pszczyna
Building constructions,
buildings protection
against noise, groundwater protection.
Mr. Bogusz Jazwierski

Mr. Ryszard Krzeminski

Air pollution.

Flue gas cleaning and recovery of heat equipment.

Installations for desulfurization and dedusting of gases, filters.
INTERATOMINSTRUMENT
ul. Energetykow 7
65-729 Zielona Gora
tel. 602-35, tel/fax 660-99

INTEREX
ul.Tucholska 11 m 33
01-618 Warszawa
tel/fax 396-305

INTERFLUID S.A.
ul.Mickiewicza 63
01-625 Warszawa

INTERMAT
Dymaczewo Nowe 10
62-050 Mosina
tel.(061) 132-104
fax 668-888

INTERMEX
Przedsiębiorstwo
Wdrożenie Nowych Technologii
i Instal. Sanit.-Przemysł.
ul.Deszczowa 65
85-467 Bydgoszcz

INTER-PROFI Sp.z o.o.
ul.Czarnomorska 13
02-758 Warszawa

INTER-PROJEKT PPH
ul. Moniuszki 7
40-005 Katowice
tel.(032) 596-803, 598-883
fax 598-763

INTROL
ul. barbar 21a
40-053 Katowice
tel.(032) 515-281
tel/fax 517-786
INWEST-COMERCE
ul. Sadowsa 10
41-200 Sosnowiec

INVESTOR Ltd.
ul. Brzozowa 13
40-170 Katowice
tel. (032) 584-451 to 3

INZ-EKO
Przedsiębiorstwo Inżynieryjno-Ekologiczne
ul. Hetmanska 28
82-300 Elblag
tel./fax 281-42

*INZYNIERIA Sp.z o.o.
ul. Szaserow 141
04-363 Warszawa

ITO sp.z o.o.
ul. Waryńskiego 41a
15-460 Białystok
tel. 514-145
tel/fax 514-244

ITT FLYGT
02-800 Warszawa-Dawidy
tel. 463-434
fax 560-773

Jarosław Kowal
ul. Manifestu Lipcowego 34
25-323 Kielce

Jednostka
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowa
Zakład Elektromechaniczny
ul. Bagatela 10
00-585 Warszawa
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JON sp.z o.o.
Os. Wichrowe Wzgórze 29F
61-698 Poznan
tel.(0610 205-908

KADA
ul. Starolecka 170
Poznan
tel.(061) 793-948

KANRO Ltd.
ul. Mlynowa 21
15-404 Białystok
tel./fax 213-49

KARTECH
ul. Przemysłowa 30/32
00-450 Warszawa
tel/fax 219-129

KATALIZATOR Sp.z o.o.
ul.Niezapominajek 2
30-239 Krakow

KARDEX
Przedsiębiorstwo
Zagraniczne w Polsce
ul.Górnicza 38
43-322 Czechowice-Dziedzice

Katowickie Przedsiębiorstwo
Robot Inżynieryjnych
Budownictwa Przemysłowego
ul.Francuska 34
40-950 Katowice

KLIMA Przedsiębiorstwo
Wielobranżowe
ul. Podlaska 8
60-623 Poznan
tel/fax 436-74
KLIMASERW

Environment protection technology: equipment for conservation, repairing, diagnostic sanitary installation.

CONTACT REPORT P072892A
Mr. Boguslaw Jacubowski

Installations for dedusting gasses, fans, equipment for wastewater purifications.
ul. Przebendowskich 49a
81-526 Gdynia-Orlowo
tel.(058) 248-436

KLIMA-TEST Sp. z o.o.
ul. Slupska 1/46
40-716 Katowice

Services in the range of ventilation and air-condition equipments.

KLIMATOR - Zaklady Urzadzen Klimatyzacyjno-Wentylacyjnych
ul. Swierczewskiego 38
58-160 Swiebodzice

KOPIGA sp. z o.o.
ul. N. dra 52
54-151 Wroclaw
tel/fax (071) 514-195

KOXSOPROJEKT Sp. z o.o.
Biuro Projektow
ul. Wolnosci 362
41-801 Zabrze

Modern methods of coal-gas cleaning,
dry extinction of coke
(suche gaszenie koksu).

KOMPOL CO.
ul. Zeylanda 6
60-808 Poznan
tel/fax (061) 650-713

Mr. Wcjiech Dudka

KOMPREKO
Przedsiębiorstwo Zagospodarowania Odpadow Komunalnych i Przemysłowych
ul. Rolna 6
40-556 Katowice
tel.(032) 532-029, 521-041/9 w.266

KOMPROJEKT
ul. Barbary 21
40-053 Katowice
tel.(032) 517-501, fax 515-581
KONSORCJUM WODY
UL. ROLNA 6
40-556 KATOWICE
TEL. (032) 521-041/9 W.22
      523-026
FAX 521-081

KOOBUD SP.Z O.O.
PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWO REALIZACJI
INWESTYCJI
UL. ERAZMA CIOLKA 12
01-402 WARSZAWA
TEL. 368-047
FAX 379-001

KOPEX - PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWO
EKSPORTU I IMPORTU
UL. GRABOWA 1
40-952 KATOWICE

KOPRODUKT SP.Z O.O.
LECZYNSK 48
07-400 OSTROLEKA

KOPRODUKT
UL. BARTYCKA 26
00-716 WARSZAWA

KOTREM
UL. KROTKA 3
42-200 CZESTOCHOWA

KOWENT - FABRYKA URZĄDZEN
ODPYLAJĄCYCH I WENTYLACYJNYCH
UL. WARSZAWSKA 52
26-202 KONSKIE

KPIS-CRACOVIA
PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWO
INSTALACJI SANITARNYCH
UL. LUBICZ 27
31-503 KRAKOW

GASSES DESULFURIZATION
PLANTS, WASTEWATER
PURIFICATIONS.

CONTACT REPORT P072092
MR. DARIUSZ BIELAWSKI

CONTACT REPORT P0060292B
MR. ANDRZEJ TYMIEŃIECKI

CONTACT REPORT P070992
MR. ZBIGNIEW SIPIKA

INSTALLATIONS FOR SLUDGE
REMOVAL.
Krakowskie Towarzystwo Przemyslu
Biuro Kooperacji Ekonomicznych
ul. Starowislna 13/20
31-038 Krakow

Krakowski Wydzial Handlu
i Przemyslu
ul. Dluga 1
31-147 Krakow

Krakowski Zaklad
Termoenergetyczny
ul. Cieplownicza 1b
31-038 Krakow

KRAJOWE CENTRUM
EDUKACJI EKOLOGICZNEJ
ul. Dubois 9
00-182 Warszawa
tel. 635-6468
fax 635-0020

KREVOX Ltd.
ul. Jelenich Rogow 5
05-540 Zalesie Gorne
tel. (022) 565-220
fax 215-135

KWADRUS sp. z o.o.
ul. Reduta Zbik 5
80-761 Gdansk
tel. (058) 311-021
tel/fax 313-515

LABCONSULT
ul. Parkowa 9/8
00-750 Warszawa
tel/fax 410-345
LAMINO MET S.C.
ul. Wyszynskiego 48
62-650 Klodawa
tel/fax 30-747 Klodawa
tel/fax 480-700 Torun

LANDEKO sp. z o.o.
ul. Siemianowska 16/18
41-902 Bytom
tel. 817-411
fax 810-304

LENTEX
ul. Powstancow 54
42-701 Lubliniec
tel. (0334) 626-41 to 49
fax 633-20

LOKATA
Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Uslugowe
ul. A. Struga 16
80-116 Gdańsk
tel. 329-931
fax 316-761

LABEDY
Zakłady Mechaniczne
ul. Mechnikow 9
44-100 Gliwice

LABIMEX - Spolka Handlu Zagranicznego
Krakowskie Przedmiescie 79
00-950 Warszawa

LUDMER
Ms. Danuta Ludwiczak
ul. Nowosolska 13
60-171 Poznan
tel.(061)671-775
LODZKIE KONSORCJUM EKOLOGICZNE
ul. Nowa 23
90-031 Lodz
tel.(042) 741-959
tel/fax 746-689

MAGNUM-POLAR
Magnum Trade Investments
ul. Szkolna 13
60-967 Poznan

MAWENT
Fabryka Wentylatorow
ul. Ciepia 6
82-200 Malbork

MATZ Sp.z o.o.
ul. Serbska 42
61-696 Poznan

MAX S.C.
Przedsiębiorstwo Handlowe Export-Import
ul. Limby 7
04-836 Warszawa

MAZUR
TRADING ENVIRONMENT Ltd.
ul. Rakowiecka 39a/11
02-521 Warszawa

MBM Technika G zfewcza
sp. z o.o.
ul. Grodziska 15
05-870 Blonie
tel. 553-737
fax 554-010

Water treatment and filtrating installations.

Fans.

Preparat to clean organic wastes.

CONTACT REPORT P072892
Ms. Lidia Rokicka

Air and water protection.
MECHANIKA PRECYZYJNA
Spolecznia Pracy
ul. Boremlowska 16
04-347 Warszawa
tei/fax 298-224

MEDICAT Ltd.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Innowacyjno-Wdrożeniowe
ul. Nowy Świat 18/20
00-920 Warszawa

MEGADEX
A. Mickiewicza 63
01-625 Warszawa

MERA Sp. z o.o.
Al. Jerozolimskie 202
02-363 Warszawa

MERA-BLONIE
Zakłady Mechaniczno-Produkcyjne
ul. Beniowskiego 5
80-382 Gdańsk

MERA - Centrum Naukowo-
-Produkcyjne Systemów Sterowania
ul. Korfantego 160
40-153 Katowice

Cooperation in electrical
engineering equipment
manufacture related to
the control of equipment
and systems.

MERA - Centrum
Naukowo-Produkcyjne
Systemów Sterowania
ul. Roosvelta 120
41-800 Zabrze

CONTACT REPORT P062692A
Mr. Jozef Kozłowski

MERCUMP - Centrum
Badawczo-Wdrożeniowe
ul. Nocznickiego 31
01-918 Warszawa

Technical consulting,
control and automatic
systems.
MERTRANS S.C.
ul. Obornicka 103
60-648 Poznan
tel.(061) 484-533
fax 533-020

METALCOOP-HOLDING
ul.Zawiszy Czarnego 17
80-433 Gdansk

METALCHEM - Zaklady Aparatury Chemiczne
ul.Oswieimska 121
45-643 Opole

METALCHEM - Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjne Pomp Chemoodpornych
ul.Studzienna 7a
00-961 Warszawa

METALCHEM Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Przemysłowe
ul. Plebiscytowa 1
44-100 Gliwice

METALEXPORT Sp.z o.
Biuro Importu DK
ul.Mokotowska 49
00-950 Warszawa

METALKOR
Zaklady Mechaniczno-Antykorozjyne
ul. Wojska polskiego 65
85-825 Bydgoszcz
tel/fax 610-538

Cold storage plants for technological water and dedusting cyclons.

Producer of biological wastewater purifications type MINIBLOK.

Pumps.

Installations for: wastewater purification, neutralization of gases, dust removal, utilization of solid wastes.

Contracts for delivery of ecological installations.
Metalowa Spoldzielnia Pracy
ul. Gdanska 34
83-300 Kartuzy

METANEL SA
ul. Pulawska 18
00-975 Warszawa

METEX POLAND Ltd.
ul. Humanska 10
00-789 Warszawa
tel. 496-633
fax 498-431

METRON
Fabryka Wodomierzy
i Zegarków
ul. Targowa 12/22
87-100 Torun
tel. 392-466
fax 398-473

METRONEX Sp.z o.o.
ul. Mysia 2
00-950 Warszawa

*Miejski Kombinat
Budowlany ZACHOD
ul. Falecka 10
02-547 Warszawa

MINING + EKO
Technik GmbH
ul. RAclawicka 56
30-017 Krakow

MIROMETR
ul. Michejdy 23
43-400 Cieszyn
tel. Katowice 57-87-60
Gliwice 37-45-90
Cieszyn 231-71

Installations for oil removal from industrial wastewaters.

CONTACT REPORT #P042192
Mr. Jan Niegowski
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MONTOREM Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Specjalistyczne
ul. Warszawska 44
25-312 Kielce

Filters for air dedusting,
plants for desulfurization
and dedusting gasses.

*MOSTOSTAL - Przeds.
Konstrukcji Stalowych
i Urzadzen Przemyslowych
ul. Kosciuszki 100
42-500 Bedzin k/Katowic

Construction of plants for
emission control in
therma-electric power
stations and in boiler
houses.

MOSTOSTAL - Przedsiębiorstwo
Konstrukcji Stalowych i
Urzadzen Przemyslowych
ul. Targowa 12
09-400 Plock

Wastewater purification-
constructions.

MOSTOSTAL - Przeds.
Konstrukcji Stalowych
i Urzadzen Przemyslowych
ul. Wolnosci 191
41-800 Zabrze

Manufacture and erection
of equipment for the
effluent treatment plants

Mr. Ryszard Ostromecki
ul. Zakroczymska 11/1
00-225 Warszawa

CONTACT REPORT P050692

*MUTECO
ul. Kosciuszki 112
40-519 Katowice

Places for composts,
municipal cars, sanitation
works.

MWM Trade Sp.z o.o.
Eksport-Import
ul. Ogrodowa 27
62-081 Przemierowo

Myslowickie Przedsiębiorstwo
Budownictwa Inżynieryjnego
i Przemysłowego
42-207 Częstochowa-Kucelin
NCE S.A.
ul. Wilczycka 14
51-311 Wroclaw-Kielczow

Dumps of wastes, wastewatervpurifications, water-supply systems.

NEDERPOL sp.z o.o.
ul. Elblaska 66
80-761 Gdansk
tel. 314-251/3
tel. (058) 314-252

NEVEXPOL Ltd.
Polsko-Francuskie Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Handlowe
ul. Sosnowa 43
97-200 Tomaszow Mazowiecki
tel/fax 22-52

NOMA Sp.z o.o.- Zakład Kształtowania i Rekultywacji Terenów Zielonych "JUNIPREX"
ul. Laziska 27
43-175 Tychy-Wyry

Recultivation of industrial areas, design of green areas.

NOVIS Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Wielobranzowe
ul. Staromorzyslawska 8e
62-510 Konin

NOWIMEX
ul. Braci Pillatich 4/51
00-771 Warszawa
tel/fax 417-911

OIKOS
Przedsiębiorstwo Uslugowe
ul. P. Gdanca 4/56
80-305 Gdansk
tel. (058) 411-496, 567-831
OMC sp.z o.o.
Biuro Techniczne
ul. Szpitalna 6
00-031 Warszawa
tel. 276-244
fax 275-930

OMEGA
Przedsiębiorstwo Badan i
Projektów Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Grabowa 2
40-955 Katowice
tel.(032) 592-746, 581-631
fax 581-023

OPAM
ul. Lubuska 23
40-952 Katowice

OPEX-Przedsiębiorstwo
Rzeczoznawstwa i Eksperterzy
ul.Czarnieckiego 6
80-239 Gdańsk

ORGANiKA - Osrodek
Badawczo-Rozwojowy
Przemysłu Barwników
ul.Struga 29
95-100 Zgierz

ORION - Przedsiębiorstwo
Produkcyjno-Handlowe
ul.Zwigórki i Wigury 3
42-600 Tarnowskie Góry

Osrodek Badan i
Kontroli Środowiska
Przedsiębiorstwo Państwowe
ul.Cwocolowa 8
40-158 Katowice

CONTACT REPORT P062492
Mr. Eugeniusz Cwieczek

Measurements of emission, immersion. Tests of water and wastewater for contents of heavy metals.

Environment protection.

Recultivation and building services.
Neutralization of dangerous wastes, desulfurization and denitrification.
PARYTOZ sp.z o.o.
ul. Bytomska 3
42-640 Piekary Slaskie
tel. 812-006 w.17

PCC Sp.z o.o.
ul.Litewska 11
80-719 Gdansk

PEFO - Przedsiębiorstwo
Produkcjno-Wdrożeniowe
Sp.z o.o.
ul.Długa 41/47
53-633 Wrocław

PEMUG S.A.
Przedsiębiorstwo Montażu
Konstrukcji Stalowych
i Urządzeń Gorniczych
ul.Reymonta 24
40-029 Katowice

PENTROL B.V.
Biuro Informacji Technicznej
ul. Jakuba Kubickiego 17/27
02-954 Warszawa
tel. 408-786
tel. 427-014
fax 408-786

Polskie Przedsiębiorstwo
Robot Inżynieryjnych
ul.Piaskowa 29
64-800 Chodziez

POFRIS-SARL sp.z o.o.
ul. Piotrkowska 113
90-430 Łódź
tel.321-297
fax 321-372

Steel containers for wastes.

CONTACT REPORT P062292B
Mr. Wieslaw Gaczynski

CONTACT REPORT P062592
Mr.Jan Szemet

Wastewater purifications for small towns, industry, settlements, breweries.
POLCONSULT Ltd.
ul. Wilcza 31
00-544 Warszawa

POLGEOL
ul. Berezynska 39
03-908 Warszawa

POLHO Sp.z o.o.
Polsko-Niemiecka
ul. Damrota 18
40-021 Katowice

POLIMEX-CEKOP Sp.z o.o.
ul. Czackiego 7/9
skr. poczt. 815
00-950 Warszawa

POLINVEST Sp.z o.o.
Biuro Doradztwa Ekonomiczno-Prawnego
AL. 3-go Maja 9
30-062 Krakow

Polish-Swedish Petrol
ul. Leszczynskich 3/35
80-464 Gdansk
tel. (058) 576-484

POL KORK S.A.P.P.H.
ul. Ksiazeca 1
60-963 Poznan
tel. 793-315
fax 793-120

POLMAG-EMAG - Przedsiębiorstwo Mechanizacji, Automatyzacji i Elektroniki Górniczej
ul. Niechoccka 20
06-400 Ciechanow

Raports on environment condition, hazard maps, water quality control.

Water and gasses purify, utilization of wastes, air filters.

Water supply systems, recultivation of lakes, wastewater purifications, air pollution control eq.

CONTACT REPORT P061392
Ms. Maura McGovern

Possibility of equipment manufacture for environmen protection.
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POLMO - Fabryka
Samochodow Rolniczych
Zaklad Mechaniczny
ul.Sikorskiego 12/13
61-535 Poznan

POLMO - Fabryka
Osprzetu Samochodowego
ul. Przybyszewskiego 99
93-126 Lodz
tel.814-135
fax 740-597

POLON
ul. Grzegorza 2
80-408 Gdansk

POLON
Zaklad Zastosowan
Techniki Jadrowej
ul. Kościuszki 112
40-519 Katowice
tel.(032) 519-207, 518-076 to 8
fax 517-282

POLON S.A.
ul. Oficerska 4/2
10-214 Olsztyn
tel/fax 260-446

*POLON - ZELMECH
Przeds. Prod.-Handlowe
ul. Fabryczna 14/17
65-410 Zielona Gora

POLSKIE GORNICTWO
NAFTOWE I GAZOWNICTWO
ul. Szczepanowska 21
32-800 Brzesko

CONTACT REPORT P052592
Mr. Janusz Droszcz

Environmental protection.
POLTRMIX
ul. Promienista 27
60-959 Poznan 2
tel/fax (061) 673-191

POL-WIN Zaklad Przetworstwa
Tworzyw Sztucznych
Maciej Kazimierczak
ul. Powstancow Wielkopolskich 3
63-600 Kepno
tel/fax 229-68

POM sp.z o.o.
ul. Wodociagowa 1
66-500 Strzelce Krajenskie
tel.914
fax 283-63

*PONAR-BIPRON - Przeds.
Projektowania i Dostaw
Przemyslu Obrabiarek
i Narzedzi
ul. Solec 48
00-382 Warszawa

POSTEOR
ul. 3go Maja 55
81-743 Sopot

POWOGAZ - Fabryka Aparatury
i Urzadzen Komunalnych
ul.Koninska 26
62-045 Pniewy

POWOGAZ - Osrodek
Badawczo-Rozwojowy
Aparatury i Urzadzen Komunalnych
ul.Szczepanowskiego 13
61-541 Poznan

Wastewater purification type BIOBLOK.
Wastewater purifications, sludge dewatering station (stacje odwadn.osadow).
POWOGAZ S.A.
Fabryka Wodomierzy
ul. Janickiego 23/25
60-542 Poznan
tel. (061) 444-01
fax 411-501

*Poznanskie Zaklady
Chemiczne
Lubon k/Poznania

The technology (unique in the world) for utilization of waste materials.

PPH AURA sp. z o.o.
ul. 1-go Maja 24/31
71-627 Szczecin
tel. 228-785

Pracownia Inzynierii
Ochrony Srodowiska
ul. Bratkowa 33
85-361 Bydgoszcz
tel. 396-826

Pracownia Perfekt
dr inz. Jerzy Slowikowski
ul. Grenadierow 24/49
04-052 Warszawa
tel. 107-036

Pracownia Projektowa przy
Wastewater purifications.
Rolniczej Spoldz.Produkc.
KIELCZEW 64-000 Koscian

Pracownia Projektowa
"Dom jak drzewo"
ul. Wasowiczow 8
33-300 Nowy Sacz

PREDOM PROJEKT
Utilization of galvanic wastes.
Biuro Projektowo-Technologiczne
Al. J. Slowackiego 35
50-411 Wroclaw
PRESKO Wytworna
Urzadzen Komunalnych
ul. Dluga 29/35
53-657 Wroclaw

PRO-AGRI
ul. Szalasowa 2
05-410 Jozefow 3

PROAT - Biuro Projektow
Ochrony Atmosfery
Pl. Orla Bialego 1
70-562 Szczecin

PROATOM
ul. Modlinska 15
03-216 Warszawa
tel. 111-985

PROCHEM S.A.
ul.Ostrobramska 103
04-118 Warszawa
tel.100-081
fax 107-694

PROD\WODROL-SULECHOW S.A.
ul. Zwriki i Wigury 2
66-100 Sulechow
tel. 24-21, 24-22
fax 713-05

PROECO sp.z o.o.
ul. 3 maja 25
43-300 Bielsko-Biala
tel. 286-47
fax 210-50

PROEKO LTD.
Dr Bronislaw Kaminski
ul. Krzywickiego 34
02-078 Warszawa
tel/fax 625-3648
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PRO-EKO
ul. Bartycka 26
00-716 Warszawa

PROFIT P.U.B.
ul. Przemysłowa 52
43-100 Tychy
tel/fax (832) 273-603

PROJMORS
Biuro Projektów
Budownictwa Morskiego
ul. Waly Piastowskie 24
80-855 Gdańsk
tel. (058) 316-502
fax 314-588

PROJPRZEM S.A.
ul. Bernardynska 13
85-950 Bydgoszcz

PROMEL
ul. Katowicka 39
45-061 Opole

*PROMEX - Przeds.
Usług Promocyjnych
ul. Gorkiego 7
00-033 Warszawa
tel. 278-454
fax 273-074

PROMIN Sp. z o.o.
ul. Lesna 19
85-676 Bydgoszcz

PROSERVICE
ul. Kormoranów 4/14
44-100 Gliwice

Microbiological remedy
SZAMBEX.
PROSYNCHEN - Biuro Projektow i Realizacji Inwestycji Przemyslu Syntezy Organicznej ul.Konstytucji 11 44-100 Gliwice

PROTECH - Przedsiębiorstwo Projektowania i Dostaw Al.J.Pilsudskiego 153 92-318 Lodz

PROWENT - Biuro Projektow Klimatyzacji i Wentylacji Rynek 50 50-116 Wroclaw

Przedsiębiorstwo Badan Geofizycznych ul.Stalingradzka 34 03-301 Warszawa

*Przeds. Budowy Elektrowni i Przemysłu ul. Czapliniecka 44 97-400 Belchatow

Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Handlowe "MET-POL" w Niedobiu ul. Warecka 15 98-200 Sieradz

Przedsiębiorstwo Projektowania i Dostaw Urzadzen Ochrony Srodowiska ul. Braterska 12 30-802 Krakow

Environment protection plants.

CONTACT REPORT P061292 Mr.Stefan Koslinski

Prospecting of underground water and mineral deposits.

Environmental protection plants.
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*Przeds. Realizacji
Usług Technicznych
ul. Kosciuski 136
61-717 Poznan

Przedsiębiorstwo Rekultywacji
i Gospodarki Wodno-Sciékowej
Przemysłu Węglowego
ul. Chlebowa
44-335 Jastrzebie Zdraj
tel. 63-073
fax 63-070

Przedsiębiorstwo
Robot Inżynieryjnych
ul. Findera 8
43-100 Tychy
tel. (832) 276-141,276-147
fax 272-801

PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWO ROBOT
WIERTNICZYCH I GORNICZYCH
ul. Pulawska 18
00-975 Warszawa
tel. 492-451
fax 495-847

PURAC POLAND
ul. Listopada 11
43-300 Bielsko-Biała

PURE AIR sp. z o.o.
ul. Kamienna 43/45
53-307 Wrocław
tel. (071) 681-155 w.650, 627
fax 619-107

Przedsiębiorstwo Usług
Inwestycyjnych sp. z o.o.
Al. Niepodległości 60
43-100 Tychy
tel. (832) 273-877,275-375
Przedsiebiorstwo Zmechanizowanych Mr. Stolarski, Dr. Stylinski
Robot Inżynieryjnych
ul. Plk. S. Dabka 2
30-955 Krakow

PTH ECONOMY
ul. Marcelinska 71
61-407 Poznan
tel/fax (061) 673-341

PUHP "PAGOR"
ul. Szopienicka 58
40-432 Katowice
tel. (032) 156-3517 w. 5990
fax 155-5506

PUPH "INPREX"
ul. Gen. Jankowskiego 15
40-615 Katowice Ochojec
tel. (032) 525-065 w. 255, 277
fax 528-333

PWP sp.z o.o.
Pl. Starynkiewicza 5
02-015 Warszawa
tel. 628-8061 w. 275, 519
fax 410-566

PZL - SEDZISZOW
Wytwornia Filtrów
ul. Fabryczna 4
39-120 Sedziszow

Filters for oil and air cleaning.

PZL - SWIDNIK
Wytwornia Sprzętu
Komunikacyjnego
ul. Przodowników Pracy 1
21-045 Swidnik

Flue gas cleaning installations.
RADIOTECHNIKA sp.z o.o.
ul. Sienkiewicza 6
50-335 Wroclaw
tel.(071) 210-960
fax 224-655

RADIUS Sp.z o.o.
ul.Leborska 19
80-386 Gdansk

RADUS Sp.z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Produkcyjno-Uslugowe
ul.Sienkiewicza 1
81-374 Gdynia

RADWAG
ul. Grudniowa 37/39
26-600 Radom
tel/fax 314-928

*RADWENT ZUTP
ul. Młodzianowska 94
26-600 Radom

RAFAKO
ul. Lakowa 33
47-400 Raciborz
tel.(0335) 21-71,
tel.(039) 121-817
fax (0335) 34-27
fax (039) 121-817

RAFAKO-EKO sp.z o.o.
ul. 22 Lipca
47-440 Nedza k/Raciborza
tel.(0335) 21-71 w.216
fax 34-27


Roof's fans.
RAPID
Agencja Handlowa
ul. Kamionkowska 35
03-812 Warszawa
tel. 101-793

RAWENT - Zaklady
Urzadzen Odpylajacych
i Wentylacyjnych
ul. Domarasiewicza 3/5
96-106 Skierniewice

REDOR
ul. I.J.Paderewskiego 11
43-300 Bielsko-Biala
tel. 250-31
fax 202-43

Rejonowe Przedsiebiorstwo
Wodociagow i Kanalizacji
ul. Obr. Westerplatte 130
40-334 Katowice

REMAK
Przedsiebiorstwo Rekonstrukcji
i Modernizacji Urzazden Energetycznych
ul. Zielonogorska 3
45-955 Opole
tel. 320-11,387-09
fax 298-50

REMES LTD.
ul. Slowianska 26
80-381 Gdansk
tel/fax (058) 522-475

REMOPAP
UL. Grunwaldzka 182/196
60-309 Poznan
tel. (061) 674-451
fax 671-322
Solving problems of air protection in industrial plants. Container waste water purifications. Utilization

CONTACT REPORT P060592
Mr. Marek Rozwadowski

Environmental protection publications.
Jerzy Walczak
SANIKO sp.z o.o.
ul. Lowicka 43
02-557 Warszawa
tel. 499-408, 494-020
tel/fax 482-729

SANITECH sp.z o.o.
ul. Krolowej Jadwigi 11
87-100 Torun
tel.(056) 233-65
fax 106-38

SANTINA Ltd.
ul. Lipowa 37
05-520 Konstancin

SATO sp. z o.o.
Wielobranzowe Przedsiębiorstwo
ul. Slowackiego 5/23
41-902 Bygoszcz
tel. 210-887

SEFAKO
ul. Przemysłowa 6
28-340 Sędziszow
tel.(498) 610-36
fax 620-49

SEGI-PBG sp.z o.o.
ul. Jagiellonska
03-310 Warszawa
tel. 112-492
fax 112-492

SEIKO
sp.z o.o.
ul. Krakowiakow 80/98
02-255 Warszawa
tel. 465-465-976

Water filters.
SEPARATOR
Glowna Biuro Studiow
i Projektow Przerobki Wegla
Al. Korfantego 2
40-952 Katowice
tel.(032) 598-061 to 7
589-080 to 9
fax 597-667

SERWIS AKPIA
ul. Krancowa 9
61-022 Poznan
tel.(061) 770-581 w.376,377
fax 774-004, 127-335

SIAL-tronik GmbH
ul. Pulaskiego 7
35-011 Rzeszow.
tel/fax 623-313

SKLEJKA-EKO S.A.
ul.Reymonta 35
63-400 Ostrow Wlkp.

SLANDI - Przedsiębiorstwo Zagraniczne
ul.Debowa 12
05-816 Michalowice

SOLVE
ul. Nadbrzeznia 1
62-500 Konin
tel.(063) 429-453

SONIX - Przemyslowe Urzadzenia Elektroniczne
ul.Spokojna 9
05-260 Marki k/Warszawy

Ger.-Polish joint venture company. Protection and purification of water.

Gas purification of HCHO on biological compost.

CONTACT REPORT P062692
Mr.Andrzej Szlapa

Ultrasonic flowmeters, sludge density controller (sygnalizator stezenia osadu).
SONOPAN
Przedsiębiorstwo
Doswiażdczalno-Produkcyjne
ul. Ciołkowskiego 2/2
15-950 Białystok

Producer of measuring apparatus: light and sound level meters.

SPIRO - Zakład Produkcji Urządzeń Ekologicznych
ul. Centralna 91
31-586 Kraków

Dust removal installation for power generating industry.

*Spoldzielnia Kolek Rolniczych
ul. Warszawska 22
32-830 Wojnicz k/Tarnowa

Flue gas cleaning plants.

Spoldzielnie Zakłady Techniczno-Wdrożeniowe
ul. Zawiszy Czarnego 8/10
91-829 Łódź

SPOMASZ
ul. Powstancow Wielkopolskich 23
64-510 Wronki
tel. 540-561 to 68
direc. 540-156
fax (061) 521-261

SPOMASZ AVISPOM
ul. Kaliska 61/63
63-400 Ostrow Wlkp.
tel. 656-11
fax 611-36

STANSTAL
ul. Miedziana 10
76-200 Słupsk
tel. 439-144
STEJTER
inz. Piotr Stejter
ul. Sienkiewicza 5
88-100 Inowroclaw

STOLICA KONSULTING sp.z o.o.
ul. Kredytowa 3
00-056 Warszawa
tel. 260-879, 264-251
fax 264-291

SUMECH
ul. Bielanska 10
30-246 Krakow
tel/fax (012) 675-780

SWINGTHERM - Laboratorium Katalizy Stosowanej
ul. Bularnia 5
31-222 Krakow

SYSTEM B.E.S.T. Sp.z o.o.
ul. Gotwalda 12a/16
40-139 Katowice

SYSTEM EKO
ul. Miodowa 6/8
00-251 Warszawa
tel. 635-0614,
tel. 267-021 w.628
fax 635-0907

SZUSTER
ul. Malopolska 28/3
40-737 Katowice
tel./fax (032) 526-476

SZUSTER
Biuro Techniczne
98-351 Naramice

Purify of gasses, decay of organic wastes.

Proecological control systems to save energy & raw materials, utilization of waste, wastewater purif

Plants for composting of wastes, biogas producing plants.
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T. GRA-MATRIX
ul. Blawatkowa 4
61-464 Poznan
tel/fax (061) 323-265,
tel. 300-011

TAKO Sp. z o.o.
ul. Lechicka 19
02-156 Warszawa

TANMIL sp. z o.o.
82-316 Milejewo
tel. 122-24
fax 122-83

TEBAH
ul. Hagera 41
41-800 Zabrze

TECATOR - Biuro
Przedstawicielskie
ul. Parkowa 9/8
00-759 Warszawa

TECH - AGRO
sp. z o.o. w W-wie
Oddzial w Katowicach
ul. Podgorna 4
40-026 Katowice
tel. (032) 156-1065, 156-1161

TECH - UNION
ul. Kossutha 6
40-832 Katowice
tel. (032) 546-031

TECHEM
ul. Dluga 8/14 m.2
00-238 Warszawa
tel. 635-8115, 635-8384
fax 635-8114

Air-conditioners.

CONTACT REPORT P071492
Dr. Korneliusz Wojnar

Laboratory and medical equipment.
TECHLOR sp.z o.o.
ul. Boleslawy 17
61-054 Poznan
tel.(061) 790-097

TECHMA PROJEKT
Przeds. Projektowania
i Realizacji Inwestycji
ul. Polna 40
00-716 Warszawa

TECHMA-ZUGIL
Zaklady Urzadzen
Galwanicznych i Lakierniczych
ul. Sieradzka 56
98-300 Wielun

TECHMEX sp.z o.o.
ul. Marynarska 14
02-674 Warszawa
tel. 434-432,
fax 432-782,
tel/fax 433-082

TEHABUD sp.z o.o.
ul. Gronowa 20
61-655 Poznan
tel.(061) 207-081 w.352, 358,359

TEL-EKO
Zaklad Elektroniki
ul.Slezna 110/128
53-111 Wroclaw

TERMAK-1
ul. Jednooci Narodowej 43/45a
50-260 Wroclaw
tel.(071) 226-925

Air and water protection.
Mr. W.Fruner

CONTACT REPORT P071492

Control-measurement apparatus for liquid analysis, mobile laborat.
for wastewater analysis.
TERENBUD
ul. Zubrow 3
71-617 Szczecin
tel.(095) 231-570,
tel.232-021 w.330
fax 520-005

CONTACT REPORT P062792
Mr.Robert Bugajny

THERMEX
ul. Friedleina 4/6
30-009 Krakow

Mr. Andrzej Listwan

THERMO
Firma Usługowo-Handlowa
ul. Sowinskiego 45
40-018 Katowice
tel.(032) 156-4222

TIPS
ul. Wolowska 41
60-161 Poznan
tel. (061) 673-671

TKP - Consultants Ltd.
ul.Swierczewskiego 117
00-140 Warszawa

Technologies of waste
utilization,wastewater
purifications.

TOMASZOWSKA FABRYKA
FILCOW TECHNICZNYCH
ul. Warszawaska 2/4
97-200 Tomaszow Mazowiecki
tel. 2331

TOMEXIM
Przedsiębiorstwo Handlowe
Eksport-Import
ul.Zeromskiego 14
41-500 Chorzow

Instalments for clean
water,magnetizers,filters
equipment for oil
utilization,wastewater
purifications.
TORFBUD Sp.z o.o.
Zakład Ochrony Środowiska
ul.Zmudzka 4
60-604 Poznan

Reduction of emission of contaminators into air.
Wastewater purifications.
Gas desulfurization equi.

TRANS-WEST-GmbH
ul. Poselska 28
63-000 Sroda wlkp.
tel/fax 559-68

Alternative sources of energy.
Wastewater purifications.

TRACK Sp.z o.o.
ul.Hortensji 16
91-480 Lodz

TYWENT - Tyczynska Fabryka
Urzadzen Wentylacyjnych
ul. Sieniwickiego 6
35-959 Rzeszow

UNIPROAT
ul. obywatelska 128/152
94-104 Lodz
tel.(042) 865-560
fax 863-881

Free chlorine detectors in air and water plants for chlorinate of water.

UNITECHNIKA S.A.
Przedstawiciel CMC
ul.Mielzynskiego 27/29
61-725 Poznan

UNITEX-Centrum
Badawczo-Wdrozeniowe
ul.Leborska 9
80-386 Gdansk

Water filters for iron and manganese removal.

UNIVEX
ul.Wroclawska 37A
30-011 Krakow

Air-conditioners.
Uslugowa Spoldzielnia Pracy
ul.1-go Maja
82-300 Elblag

Boilers for central heating, dust removing cyclons.

VENPAN - Zaklad Doswiadczalny
Podstawowych Problemow Techniki PAN
ul.Nowotki 1
24-110 Pulawy

WAMAG
ul. wroclawska 93
58-306 Walbrzych
tel.780-81 to 86
fax 782-62

WARIANT
Pracownia Projektowa
ul. Astrow 10
40-045 Katowice
tel. (032) 513-421 to 7, 515-151

WARKOS sp.z o.o.
ul. Owsiana 9/11
03-835 Warszawa
tel/fax 102-988

WARMA - Pomorskie Zaklady
Urzadzen Okretowych
ul.Lotnicza 21
86-300 Grudziadz

Wastewater purifications type BLOK.

WIBEX Sp.z o.o.
Biuro Organizacyjno-Technical
ul. Batorego 9
07-300 Ostrawia Mazowiecka
tel. 38-52
fax 280-076 W-wa

Storage yards (uszczelnianie skadowisk).
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Wielkopolskie Przedsiębiorstwo
Robot Inżynieryjnych
ul. Szarych Szeregów 23
60-462 Poznan
tel. (061) 201-002/03
fax 201-031

WIKTOR sp. z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo
Handlowo-Produkcyjno-Uslugowe
ul. Wrocławska 39/65
41-902 Bytom
tel. 813-945, 630-431

WITRATEMP
ul. Armii Krajowej 7/4
26-600 Radom
tel/fax (848) 316-949

WOBEX
Export-Import
Os.XXX-lecia, pawilon 19c
44-286 Wodzisław Śląski

WOBEX Biological wastewater purifications, air-conditioners, toilets.

WODPOL Sp. z o.o.
Przedsiębiorstwo Realizacji
Infrastruktury Technicznej
i Społecznej
ul. Osikowa 68
40-181 Katowice

WOD-PEG sp. z o.o.
ul. Nowopogórska 227
41-253 Czeladź
tel. 652-953
fax 652-717

WOMAR
ul. Skosna 12
30-383 Kraków
tel. (012) 660-121
WROSILBET sp.z o.o.
ul. Traugutta 1/7
50-954 Wroclaw
tel. 445-154
fax 443-487

WUKO WSCHOWA sp.z o.o.
ul. obroncow warszaway 26
67-400 Wschowa
tel. (065) 403-614
fax 403-618

WUKO Wytwornia
Urzadzen Komunalnych
ul. Okopowa 70/106
91-850 Lodz
tel. 575-110,
tel/fax 574-497

WUTECH - Przedsiebiorstwo
Proukcji Maszyn i Urzadzen
ul. Lipowa 3
63-600 Kepno

WYROB I NAPRAWA
Urzadzen Elektro-Technicznych
ul. Wyrobka 9D/33
80-288 Gdansk-Morena
tel. 487-333

WYTWORNIA PRZYPADKOW
LABORATORYJNYCH
ul. Gornych Walow 27
44-100 Gliwice
tel. (0320) 319-515
fax 312-784
WYTWORNIA SPRZETU
LABORATORYJNEGO
ul. Szyby Rycerskie
41-909 Bytom
tel. 819-221

ZACHOD
Miejski Kombinat Budowlany
ul. Falecka 10
02-547 Warszawa

Zakład Badawczo-Wdrożeniowy
Inżynierii Ochrony Środowiska
ul. Konstytucji 3-Maja 10
58-500 Jelenia Gora
tel. 226-20, 262-71

Zakład Budowy Szybow
ul.Katowicka 18
41-900 Bytom

ZAKLAD DOSKONALENIA
ZAWODOWEGO
ul. Zolkiewskiego 37/41
87-100 Torun
tel. 398-525 to 27
fax 398-535

*Zakład Maszyn Rolniczych
i Konstrukcji Stalowych
ul. Miedziana 10
76-200 Slupsk
Containers for wastes.

Zakład Mechaniczny
inz.T.Stomian
ul.Bukowa 9
41-303 Dabrowa Gornicza
Magnetizers and desludges
Zakład Ochrony Przyrody 
i Zasobow Naturalnych 
ul. Lubicz 46 
31-512 Krakow 
tel.(012) 210-348,2150144

Zakład Odsalania Wod 
Dolowych KWK "Debiensko" 
44-230 Leszczyny 
tel.280-002 
fax 311-017

ZAKLAD PRODUKCJI 
OCZYSZCZALNI ŚCIĘKOW 
ul. Slezna 185 
53-100 Wroclaw 
tel/fax (071) 671-223

Zakład Produkcji 
Urzadzen Ekologicznych S.C. 
ul. Centralna 91 
31-586 Krakow

Zaklad Projektowania i 
Nadzoru 
ul. Grabowskiego 9F m.57 
80-809 Gdansk 
tel.(058) 328-705

Zakład Techniki Odpylania 
ul.Graniczna 11 
26-200 Konskie

Producer of dedusting 
plants (filters). 
Mr. Zbigniew Golanko

Zaklad Techniki Prozniowej 
ul. Przemysłowa 1/3 
75-216 Koszalin
Zaklad Technologii
Oczyszczania Sciekow
Os.Zwyciestwa 15/46
61-680 Poznan

ZAKLAD URZADZANIA
I UTRZYMANIA ZIELENI
inz. Gedzior i sp.
uI. Korczaka 11
31-215 krakow
tel/fax 331-808

Zaklad Uslug Techniczych
Przedsiębiorstwo
Innowacyjno-Wdrozeniowe
ul.Nowowiejska 9
05-506 Komorow

Zaklady Papiernicze
ul. Opolska 103
47-300 Krapkowice

Zaklady Naprawcze
Sprzetu Weglowego
ul.Rymera 19
44-270 Rybnik
tel.271-46ax 273-36

Zaklady Urzadzen Technologicznych
ul. Dabrowskiego 6
12-100 Szczytno

ZAM Zaklad
Urzadzen Hutniczych
ul. Kosciuszki 115
32-650 Kety
tel.(0381) 523-85ax 536-53

CONTACT REPORT P061592B
Mr. A. Terlecki

Mr. Lech Wieczorek
*ZAMECH - Zakłady Mechaniczne
ul. Stoczniewa 2
82-300 Elblag

Adaptation of technology and production capacity in the field of equipment manufacture.

ZANMERK sp.ż o.o.,
ul. elektoralna 19B/11
00-137 Warszawa
gtel. 187-951

ZASTAL - Zaodrzanskie Zakłady Przemysłu Metalowego
ul. Sulechowska 4a
65-119 Zielona Gora

Manufacture of selected equipment for envir.prot.

ZGODA - Zakłady Urządzeń Technicznych
ul. Wojska Polskiego 66
41-603 Swietochłowice

Equipment manufacture.

Z-H-J "ECOINSTAL"
Import-Eksport Projektowanie i Wykonawstwo Instalacji
ul. Kwitnaca 45
52-242 Wrocław

ZUGIL S.A.
ul. Sieradzka 56
98-300 Wielun
tel.(0437) 3341 to 49
fax 326-554
LARGE SCALE COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION OF
"BIOBRIQUETTES" FROM WOOD WASTES

PROSPECTUS

WIMEX CO. LTD.
ul. Powsancow Slaskich 5
85-665 BYDGOSZCZ
POLAND

TEL: (48-52) 41.22.07
FAX: (48-52) 41.02.84
KOMERTEL(48-39) 12.19.65
TELEX: 562841, 562887

APRIL, 1993
DISCLOSURE

The U. S. Agency for International Development (AID) supports a long-term Resident Environmental Business Advisor to the private environmental business sectors in Poland, the Czech and Slovak Republics, and Hungary. Kenneth J. Macek, Ph.D., based in Warsaw, served in this capacity from February, 1992 through April, 1993.

The principal functions of the Advisor are to help private environmental enterprises improve their competitiveness, in both domestic and foreign markets, and access foreign and/or domestic investment. Dr. Macek screens the business sectors in each country to identify suitable environmental business opportunities. He targets firms that (a) focus on market demand; (b) create real competitive advantages; and (c) have a strategy to leverage those advantages into market penetration and, ultimately, market leadership. He assists selected domestic firms to access credit and equity capital, U.S. technology, and/or joint-venture partners.

The Advisor prepared this Prospectus to describe the business and investment opportunity WIMEX Co. Ltd (Bydgoszcz, POLAND). WIMEX proposes the large scale manufacture of biobriquettes from wood wastes. The Advisor intends the Prospectus assist investors to evaluate WIMEX's business and markets, the project's associated investment risks, and the potential financial returns to investors.

The material concerning the Company's business, markets and strategy reflects the understanding of the AID Advisor that results from (a) his familiarity with the current business and environmental conditions in Poland; (b) representations made to him by the management of WIMEX Co. Ltd.; and (c) the information contained in the Business Opportunity Report entitled "Biobriquette Production in Poland", prepared by Sanders International, Inc., Washington, DC (January, 1993).

Dr. Macek provided assistance to WIMEX under a contract with the U.S. AID. The Advisor's assistance does not represent, nor imply, any endorsement of WIMEX, its business, or this investment proposal by the Advisor or the U.S. Government. Interested parties should conduct their normal due diligence activities before taking action concerning this investment opportunity.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This "Prospectus" describes WIMEX Co. Ltd., which is engaged in the production of "biobriquettes". Biobriquettes are synthetically densified fuels manufactured from renewable resources (biomass). WIMEX currently produces biobriquettes from wood wastes. The Company's most significant strategic advantage is its proximity (150 m) to a guaranteed (through 1998) supply of suitable, low cost raw materials. In fact, the guaranteed supply of raw materials, available to WIMEX on an annual basis, exceeds its anticipated needs, for purposes of this plan, by a factor of three.

WIMEX is seeking US$ 5 Million in equity financing to develop a modern, high volume facility, using western technology, to manufacture biobriquettes for both domestic and foreign markets. The Company proposes to expand its production capacity (50X). It also intends to manufacture both cosmetic (log) briquettes and the more utilitarian (brick) briquettes.

WIMEX intends to supply low cost, high quality log briquettes for use in domestic heating in the environmentally conscious countries of Sweden, Denmark, the Netherlands, Germany and Austria. The markets for such products are well developed in these countries, and demand far exceeds supply.

It also proposes to manufacture brick type briquettes, as an alternative to high sulphur coal, for the generation of heat in Poland (and eventually the other countries of Central and Eastern Europe). The company's domestic strategy is to provide a lower cost (20%), cleaner (95-99% less sulphur dioxide) fuel alternative to coal.

This Prospectus includes a set of pro forma financials based on the assumption that WIMEX utilizes German technology and credit financing. It is probable that there exists suitable U.S. technology, that might be provided to WIMEX under more favorable terms, perhaps through the Export-Import Bank.

Based upon a set of reasonably conservative assumptions regarding sales mix, price and revenues over the next five years, it appears that U.S. investors can achieve attractive rates of return on invested capital, with relatively low risk. The risks might be further reduced through mechanisms available to U.S. investors from OPIC.
INTRODUCTION

Biobriquettes are synthetically densified fuels manufactured from renewable resources (biomass). Densification sometimes includes the use chemical binders (e.g. lime). Biobriquettes are often manufactured from solid wastes resulting from the manufacture wood and paper products or agriculture.

There are two principal forms of biobriquettes. The first are termed "cosmetic" briquettes (logs). These briquettes look, smell and burn like natural logs. They are intended primarily for residential heating consumption. The second common form of biobriquettes is a more utilitarian type product (bricks). These are intended as an alternative to fossil fuels (coal) in the small commercial boilers (e.g. smoke houses) and in the larger district heating plants, common in Central and Eastern Europe (CEE).

The principal markets for log briquettes are in western Europe. In addition, domestic markets are also emerging in Poland for log briquettes.

Significant potential markets also exist in CEE countries, among state-operated utilities to utilize lower cost bricks to reduce their dependence on high sulfur coal. Substitution of biobriquettes for coal results in both lower operating costs and significant environmental benefits.

Production of biobriquettes is an attractive investment opportunity in CEE countries, such as Poland. Not only are the economics of production extremely favorable to the investor, but also environmental benefits are obvious and considerable. This latter consideration is extremely important in a country whose environment has been desecrated by inefficient use of readily available, but poor quality, energy resources and by historical disregard for effects of the by-products of industrial activity.

There are several significant environmental benefits associated with the production of biobriquettes. First, biobriquettes offer an alliterative to sulfur rich coal in CEE countries. Their use reduces the amount of sulphur dioxide emitted. It also mitigates indirect forms of environmental degradation associated with current methods of coal production, distribution and consumption.
Also, biobriquette production constitutes the processing (recycling) of wood wastes into commercially valuable products. Such wastes often produce toxic (acid) runoff, threatening surface and groundwater supplies. They also pose the threat of spontaneous combustion of such waste piles. Utilization of such wastes as commercially valuable raw materials reduces the dangers to people, property, and the environment.

Despite environmental benefits, the Advisor recognizes that investors must judge business opportunities primarily on the basis of economics, strategy, risks and financial returns. Factors such as current and future market demand, reliable sources of low cost raw materials and labor, transportation costs, competition, and competitive advantages all are key to analyzing potential investment risks and returns.

The objective of this Prospectus is to describe, for potential investors, the proposed development of a modern facility for large scale production of biobriquettes from wood wastes. WIMEX Co Ltd. proposes to construct and operate a facility in Bydgoszcz, in north central Poland, with the capacity to produce 58,000 tons of biobriquettes per year. The facility will utilize state-of-the-art, reliable western breveting technology. The primary product (75% of the production) will be log briquettes for export to western European markets.

For strategic reasons, the facility will devote a portion of their capacity (25%) to produce brick type briquettes for the local utility, to partially replace high sulfur coal in the district heating system.
DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

The Company

WIMEX Co. Ltd. (WIMEX) is a small private Polish company organized in 1989 specifically to produce biobriquettes from wood wastes, such as bark, sawdust and wood chips. Over 1-2 million tons of such wood wastes are produced in Poland each year. The Polish Ministry of Environment estimates that 4-5 million tons of such wastes are currently stored in waste piles in Poland. These wastes are considered significant potential threats to surface and groundwater resources.

The company currently operates on a 28,000 m² site adjacent to the Świecie paper mill in Bydgoszcz. The site is owned by Mr. Z. Muszynski, who is the Director-President of WIMEX. The site has access to power. It is close (150 m) to the main road from Bydgoszcz to Gdansk, with highway connections to the west (e.g. Berlin). In addition, it is less than 400 m from a rail platform, and 8 km from a river port. From this port, access is available to major river routes to Western Europe through the Bydgoszcz channel and the Notec river. No residential areas lie in close proximity to the site.

Most important to the future success of the company is WIMEX's proximity (150 m) to the Świecie paper mill. This mill alone produces more than 150,000 tons of wood wastes (bark and sawdust) per year. In addition, the Świecie paper mill has accumulated and scores 500,000 tons of wood wastes. These stored wastes, alone, are sufficient to supply the necessary raw materials, to WIMEX's proposed production facility, for 5-6 years. The mill is anxious to rid itself of the environmental problems and liabilities associated with storing such large volumes of potentially hazardous wastes.

Thus the paper mill entered into a ten year contract with WIMEX in 1988. The contract provides that the paper mill will supply WIMEX with up to 300,000 tons of wood wastes per year. With modern production equipment, this guaranteed source of wood wastes is sufficient to produce approximately 200,000 tons of biobriquettes per year.

The company has been producing biobriquettes, on a relatively small scale (i.e. 0.2 tons/hr), using both Polish equipment (dryers and shredders) and Austrian equipment (press) for the past four years. The management proposes, initially, to increase annual production approximately 60-fold, to more
than 58,000 tons per year (10-12 tons/hr). It seeks equity investment of approximately US$ 5 Million to finance the required purchase of modern western production equipment and the construction of associated facilities to implement its planned expansion. Should market demand for these products develop as expected, WIMEX intends to further expand its production capacity to meet demonstrated demand.

Product(s)

Sufficient local demand (Bydgoszcz district heating facility) exists for 100% of WIMEX's initial proposed biobriquette production (as bricks). However WIMEX proposes to devote approximately 75% of the proposed production capacity to the manufacture of log type biobriquettes for export to Western Europe. The primary reasons for this strategic decision are (1) the existing domestic price structure for coal (and thus coal substitutes) in the CEE countries, and (2) the current price for log briquettes in Western Europe.

A market price of $55-65/ton for the log briquettes is well established in Western Europe. In addition, demand in Western European countries exceeds the current production capacity.

Conversely, the price that the local district heating plants will pay for biobriquettes ($50-55/ton) is determined by the current price of coal, minor incremental costs associated with adapting existing boilers to accommodate both coal and biobriquettes, and the perceived quality of the currently available bricks.

The incremental capital investment required to allow existing commercial boilers to burn bricks is considered negligible by the customer. The major factor limiting the current price for bricks is their variable quality and availability in large quantities.

The most commonly used coal for heating in north and central Poland is Grade II (high sulfur) coal. It originates from mines located in southern Poland. The coal is distributed nationally overland (by truck) at an average cost of $73/ton. Despite the fact that the price of coal is still subsidized in all of the CEE countries, biobriquettes currently enjoy an obvious price advantage relative to coal. This advantage is real as the caloric (Kcal/Kg) value of the two products are virtually identical. There appears to be considerable price elasticity in the domestic market for brick type briquettes.
An additional consideration is the environmental benefits associated with substituting biobriquettes for coal as an energy source. These include recycling of potentially dangerous waste materials into energy, reduced emissions of sulphur dioxide and a significant reduction of ash, compared to coal. Furthermore, as the market economies of CEE countries begin to internalize the true environmental costs of energy production, the cost advantages of biobriquettes, as a substitute for coal, will increase, creating additional price elasticity.

WIMEX proposes to devote 25% of its manufacturing capacity to the production of briquettes (bricks) to replace coal in small and large district heating plants. The reasons for this decision are economic (see above), political and strategic.

Politically, the image of the company as a good corporate Polish citizen would suffer if all of it's production were exported to benefit the west. WIMEX's will undoubtedly enhance its relations with local municipal and environmental officials by contributing to the solution of air pollution problems in Bydgoszcz.

Strategically, developing an image and presence as a local supplier of environmentally friendly products (bricks) will also serve to prime the domestic market for higher priced log briquettes, as well as support higher prices for bricks as coal substitutes.

Markets

The markets for WIMEX's log briquettes are primarily Denmark, Sweden, Germany, Austria and The Netherlands. The "greening" of residential consumers in these countries has produced an environmentally enlightened customer. He is typically aware of the environmental benefits associated with renewable energy resources, and is willing to pay a "premium" for such environmentally compatible sources of energy.

Thus, export demand, especially in Scandinavia, is for high quality log products, Sanders International, Inc. reported that some estimates indicate as much as half of the homes in southern Sweden are heated with biobriquettes. There is also emerging in Poland, demand for log briquettes. For example, WIMEX has a request for 50,000 tons of such product from a Polish trading company (Domiko). The request indicates willingness of the Polish customer to pay the equivalent of $53-55 per ton for the product. It seems reasonable to expect
that prices for log briquettes in Poland will increase substantially, as state subsidies for coal are removed and the true environmental costs of its use are internalized.

In Poland's domestic commercial markets, the current demand is for consistent quality product (bricks) and a reliable supply. The Sanders report points out that "there is no reason to think that commercial] demand will be saturated given the enormous pressures to reduce the pollution from coal burning and the relatively small portion (1-2%) of total energy consumption that biobriquettes could satisfy, even under the most optimistic assumptions".

WIMEX has received a written "expression of interest" from the local district heating authority. The authority indicates it would be interested in purchasing as much as 50,000 tons per year of "bricks" to replace high sulfur coal. This interest, of course, is dependent upon reliability of the supply and a competitive price. Clearly, it would be imprudent for WIMEX to allocate 100% of its proposed production capacity to a single customer, who is currently unwilling to pay a price comparable to that currently available on the export market.

On the other hand there are a variety political and strategic reasons for WIMEX to allocate a portion of its production capacity to the local district heating facility.
COMPETITION

Domestic competition is characterized by 25-30 small volume (<1,000 tons/year) producers that rely on local sources of wood wastes and Polish equipment. Suppliers export virtually all of their production, although often of poor quality, to western Europe, at prices ranging from $45-65 per ton.

Ready access to a reliable supply of raw materials and the durability and reliability of Polish equipment limit the capacity of these "mom and pop" operations to significantly increase production and provide consistent quality products. Consequently, these domestic competitor's ability to enter into long term, large volume supply contracts is severely limited. Currently, there are no domestic competitors operating on the "commercial scale" proposed by WIMEX.

Competitive Advantages

Implementation of the WIMEX proposal creates several real competitive advantages for the company in both the markets for both log and brick type biobriquettes. First, guaranteed ready access to a long-term, reliable supply of low cost raw materials provides WIMEX with a significant cost advantage. WIMEX plans to transfer the wood wastes from the Swiecie mill to its adjacent production facility by pneumatic conveyor.

The price of raw materials may increase as their value in the production of biobriquettes becomes more generally perceived. On the other, as the liabilities and penalties associated with the storage of such wastes increase, the generators may be come more anxious to find low cost, or no cost, methods of disposal. Furthermore, the volumes of such wastes produced in Poland can be expected to increase significantly, as Poland's pulp and paper products industry grows from its relatively modest levels. For example, Poland's current per capita consumption of paper is currently only one third that of other Central European countries (e.g. the Czech Republic) and only one tenth that of a typical western country. This difference should rapidly disappear, creating a significant in industry production and increased waste products.

In either event, long term access to a reliable and adequate supply, at a known cost, is clearly an advantage to potential investors trying to estimate production costs and potential returns on investment. Should the cost of raw materials and their transport increase, WIMEX's relative cost advantage increases. Should prices decrease, WIMEX might avail itself
of alternative sources of supply, or renegotiate the terms of it's current supply contract.

Secondly, economies of scale should provide WIMEX with additional significant cost advantages. More importantly, the scale of proposed production will allow WIMEX to enter into long term, high volume supply contracts under more favorable terms. Both of these factors provide strategic flexibility in pricing, providing avenues to increase market penetration, establish market leadership, and provide more attractive returns to investors.

Relative to export markets for the log products, reliable western manufacturing technology and product quality will provide WIMEX with significant competitive advantages versus domestic competitors. Its cost advantage derived from the availability of relatively low cost raw materials, will allow it to compete with competitors in western Europe. Also, the proposed production capacity will allow WIMEX to negotiate long-term, high volume supply contracts with customers in western Europe. For example, WIMEX has received an inquiry from Scanfuel (A Danish firm), regarding the purchase, from WIMEX, of 30,000 tons per year of log briquettes. WIMEX has identified several additional potential customers in western Europe interested in large quantities of log briquettes.

In emerging domestic markets, the environmental benefits of biobriquettes, relative to coal, are real and strategically significant. The well documented problems of air quality in the CEE countries have clearly been shown to be the product of inefficiency in energy production and reliance on poor quality energy sources (locally available high sulfur coal). The analysis (below) illustrates the advantages of biobriquettes as a substitute for domestic coal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BARK BRIQUETTE</th>
<th>COAL GRADE II</th>
<th>COAL GRADE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE PRICE/TON</td>
<td>$ 55</td>
<td>$ 73</td>
<td>$ 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCAL./KG.</td>
<td>3900-4850</td>
<td>4100-5000</td>
<td>6500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULPHUR (%)</td>
<td>0.09-0.10</td>
<td>3.0-5.0</td>
<td>1.0-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASH (%)</td>
<td>4.9-5.5</td>
<td>15.0-20.0</td>
<td>10.0-15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above analysis indicates that the combustion of one ton of Grade II coal produces 30-50X the amount of sulphur dioxide as the same quantity of biobriquettes. The emission charges for the additional sulphur dioxide emitted from coal, as opposed to the bricks, represent an additional cost to the utility of more than $30 per ton. Furthermore, the ash remaining from coal combustion is viewed as a waste requiring additional cost expenditures. Conversely, the ash remaining from the combustion of biobriquettes contains little, if any, of the heavy metals typical of coal ash and has been shown to be suitable as a fertilizer supplement in agriculture. It is thus viewed as a commercially valuable by-product rather than a waste product.

WIMEX submitted a description of its proposed production project to the Regional (Wojewoid) Environmental Department requesting review and comment on the environmental aspects of the project. The Environmental Authority's response to WIMEX specifically endorsed the project. The Department commented that it [the project] will "considerably limit [reduce] the quantity of waste stocks which are harmful for the air, soil and water...[and]...result in decreasing of sulphur dioxide emission when coal is replaced with briquettes". Their response also pointed out that "the bark substrates [ash] will be an excellent fertilizer containing no polluting substances and [will be] proper for different agriculture". The Regional Environmental authority's response concluded by stating that "our Department is convinced that utilization of bark and wood wastes will be of great benefit for [the] natural environment and will also result in good economic effects".
STRATEGY

WIMEX proposes to install western manufacturing equipment to produce approximately 58,000 tons of biobriquettes (without binder) per year. WIMEX expects the operation of the facility, and sale of the proposed product mix (75% logs-25% bricks) during the first year to verify their assumptions about foreign and domestic market demand. It will also serve to verify the operating (cost) model and financial returns to investors. Upon successfully demonstrating market demand and verifying the operating model, WIMEX proposes to seek equity capital to expand production by an additional 50,000-100,000 tons per year.

WIMEX intends to posture itself in the export market for log biobriquettes as a low cost, high volume, reliable supplier of superior quality of product. It will leverage its cost advantages, derived from its proximity to a long term, low cost supply of raw materials to penetrate western European markets for log briquettes. It will utilize its ability to produce relatively large quantities of high quality products (logs) to build market share in western European markets.

At the same time WIMEX will posture itself as the low cost, high volume supplier of consistent quality (caloric value) bricks for use as a replacement for high sulfur coal. By developing this market, WIMEX will build an image as the largest supplier of environmentally compatible fuels in Poland. It will the leverage this image to prime the development of a domestic market for it's higher margin log products.
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Required Investment

WIMEX seeks an equity investor to provide US$ 5 Million in financing to develop the initial phase of the proposed project. It estimates that the total project development cost will be in excess of US$ 7 Million. This figure includes interest on an anticipated hard currency credit, as well as working capital. The company has already expended US$ 1.3 Million, and expects its total contribution to exceed US$ 1.5 Million (20% of estimated project costs). A detailed description of proposed investment outlays, developed by WIMEX, is presented in Enclosure No. 1.

The investment and operating model that WIMEX has developed is based on the use of German (Krupp) technology for producing the biobriquettes. The cost of this equipment is quoted at 8 Million DM, approximately US$ 5 Million. Krupp has arranged credit financing for WIMEX for 85% of the purchase price under favorable terms and interest rates. However, the German Bank (Kreditanstalt fur Wiederaufbau) requires a guarantee from a Polish Bank. In order to secure such guarantees in Poland, 180% collateral is required. This represents an obvious "Catch-22" for virtually any credit financing in Poland.

WIMEX is not committed to the Krupp technology. It is one with which it is familiar, and which it knows to be reliable. It is possible that comparable suitable technology is available in the U.S. It is also possible that, should U.S. suitable U.S. technology be available, it might qualify for favorable financing terms (e.g. through the EX-IM Bank). Furthermore, if a U.S. investors take an equity position in the project, it's likely that more favorable financing could be arranged through a commercial bank, without the requirement for the guarantee from the Polish Bank. The project could even be 100% equity financed. Whatever structure is deemed suitable, it seems certain that the use of U.S. technology and/or the participation of a U.S. investor will provide access to more favorable financing than that currently proposed by WIMEX.
Operating Model

The Project is planned to be completed in early 1994, with start-up scheduled for February. The WIMEX model assumes the initial project is financed with hard currency credit, the company's own resources, and Polish zloty operating credit the latter two components to provide 100% of working capital. A general profile of the current proposed financing is:

- hard currency credit 74%
- Polish zloty credit 4%
- company resources 21%
- current profits 1%

The details of WIMEX's production cost model are presented in Enclosure No. 2. The general assumptions underlying the model are presented in Enclosure No. 3.

WIMEX assumes the selling price in the domestic market is 80% of an average coal price (i.e. ~$55/ton). Export prices are based on current prices for quality product in Scandinavia and Germany (~$120/ton). Planned yearly sales volume is based on an assumption that the plant operates 11 month out of the year. The current analysis assumes 60% sales to the domestic market and 40% to the export market. The total annual sales is estimated at US$ 4.7 Million.

As discussed in this prospectus, the Advisor believes that WIMEX assumption about the domestic price is reasonable and that it could readily sell 60% of its production in domestic markets. However, the Advisor believes that, based on the representations of WIMEX, it could also export the majority of its product to western Europe. The Advisor cannot confirm whether or not WIMEX's estimate of price for its briquettes in foreign markets is realistic. However, even if the actual price were 50% than WIMEX estimate, the 75-25 mix of foreign and domestic sales generates the same $4.7 Million revenues used by WIMEX in its analysis.

Pro Forma Financials

Five-year pro forma income statements, cash flows, and balance sheets are presented in Tables 13, 14, and 15. The Advisor believes these indicate significant returns on investment. For example, the cumulative positive cash flow during the period is estimated at almost US$ 7 Million (before the effects of inflation). Although inflation in Poland presents a substantial risk to future earnings, this
is clearly a much more manageable and predictable risk with regard to the export markets. The Advisor believes this is another reason to direct the majority of production capacity toward the export markets.

The *pro forma* financials also seem to conservatively reflect potential financial returns over the five year period 1994-1998, as some of the assumptions appear ultra-conservative. For example, in the out years both revenues and operational costs are shown to be constant. Although both will likely increase over the life of the plan, it seems reasonable to assume the price elasticity evident in both foreign and domestic markets should allow to revenues to increase faster than production costs. All other factors being equal, this should generate additional cash flow and higher retained earnings.

Regarding risk, it appears that by proposing a modest initial production facility (relative to known markets and customers, both domestic and foreign) WIMEX is minimizing the risk that it will be unable to meet its sales projections. In addition, analysis indicates that the volume of sales required to cover all fixed costs (excluding credit repayment) is only 24% of planned sales. This situation appears to provide a wide margin of safety to potential investors, particularly equity investors.

The Advisor concludes that the business activity proposed by WIMEX Co. Ltd. appears to represent a relatively low risk, high reward investment opportunity. This would appear to be true based purely on an economic analysis of the rate of return on net investment. It also appears to be a business that is without the environmental risks typically associated with investments in CEE countries. Finally, the environmental benefits derived from the business activity are real and not insignificant. Furthermore, they contribute to the creation of real competitive advantages for WIMEX in its markets.
INVESTMENT OUTLAYS

1. Investments already made


2. Investments to be made

Import

Purchase of the "Krupp" production line - 8 mio DM (briquetting machine, dryer, conveyors, packing department)

Above value includes also costs of transportation, assembling, insurance during transportation and assembling, training and documentation.

At this stage it isn't possible to fix value figures for these separate elements of the contract.

Domestic outlays

Warehouse building for ca. 1200 t. of briquette (400 sq m)

Built by a Russian company - payment settled within a special agreement (delivery of goods).

Estimated value ca. 800 mio zl.
Purchases

- fork lift truck ca. 150 mio. zl.
- loader ca. 200 mio. zl.
- auxiliary equipment ca. 300 mio. zl.

Cost of L/C ca. 713 mio zl.

3. Financing

Purchase of the production line - hard currency credit
(presumably from a German bank with interest of 12 % p.a.
repayment in 5 years, 10 instalments)

Domestic outlays - own sources

Working capital - 20% own sources
80% operating credit

Financing of "Krupp" line - irrevocable letter of credit
confirmed by renounced German bank;

10% paid on order
40% paid when assembled
50% paid when started-up
OPERATIONAL FIGURES

i. Production

Starting date - February, 1994
Full capacity - April, 1994
(Febuary - 60%, March - 80%)

Capacity in tons/hour - 10
Working hours/day - 24
Working days in month - 22
Working months in year - 11

2. Costs - raw material consumption per 1 t of ready product

1.15 t (0.15 t for dryer heating)
price of raw material - 5000 zl/t

Electricity consumption - briquetting machine - 240 kW/h
- dryer - 15 kW/h
price - 1000 zl/KWh

Water consumption - 5 l/t of ready product (cooling system)
price - 8000 zl/cu.m

Packing - plastic foil (export sales)
8 running m/1 t of ready product
price - 1.5 DM/1 running m
3. Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Average salary wage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory manager</td>
<td>1 6 mio. zl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountant (part time 1/2)</td>
<td>1 2,5 mio. zl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreman</td>
<td>1 3,5 mio. zl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader and lift truck operator</td>
<td>1 x 3 shifts 2,5 mio. zl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line operator</td>
<td>4 x 3 shifts 2,5 mio. zl.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: analysis includes vacation and sick leave reserve of 8% of salaries/wages*

4. Other costs (excluding salaries/wages)

**Electricity consumption**
- conveyors 7 hrs/day 5 KW/h
- lightning 1,5 KW/h

**Fuel consumption**
- loader 6 hrs/day 8 l/hr
- lift truck 6 hrs/day 8 l/hr

Diesel fuel price - 7000 zl./l

**Maintenance and repairs - machinery and equipment**
(except Krupp line) - 0,1% of starting value per year
- Buildings -200 mio.zl. every 3 years
- Spare parts -production line 1% of starting value per year
  - other machines and equipment 10%

**Industrial safety** - 10 mio.zl./month
5. Administration costs (excluding salaries/wages)

Telecommunication: 5 mio. zl/mth
Office materials: 0.5 mio. zl/mth
Business trips: 3 mio. zl/mth
Property tax: 54 mio. zl/year

6. Raw materials supply

Factory location - Konopat Wielki near Przechowo

Raw materials stock - at the dump: ca. 500,000 t
  - yearly income: ca. 120,000 t
  - yearly outcome: ca. 30,000 t

7. Sales

Markets:
60% domestic market, heating plants in Northern Poland.
A detailed analysis of the market potential is under elaboration (by the group of experts from Main Technical Organization and Municipal Heating from Bydgoszcz - completion date - 18.02.1993.)

40% export market, Germany and Scandinavian countries (packed in plastic bags).

Selling price (ex works) - domestic: 900,000 zl/t
  - export: 200 DM/t = 4.20/7.45 (HCU)

Payment terms - domestic: bank remittance
  - export: banker's cheque
8. Active Capital

Due amounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dom.</th>
<th>for.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packing - plastic foil</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spare parts</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready products</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

min. in days:
- domestic: 60
- foreign: 5
GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS

1. Exchange rate PLZ/DM 9900
2. Zloty credit interest rate 49%
3. Duty - n.a.
4. Turnover tax - n.a.
5. Income tax - 40% of gross profit
6. Charges on salaries/wages - 47%
7. L/C charges - each 3 month period (up to 6 months) 1.5%
               each month over 6 months - 1%
8. Banker’s commission - 1% of sales volume on average
9. Insurance
   - production buildings 1.15%
   - warehouse buildings 1.25%
   - office buildings 0.85%
   - machinery and equipment 0.70%
10. Depreciation rate
   - buildings 2.5%
   - machinery and equipment 8%
   - non-material values & legal rights 20%
11. Dividend - 10% of net profit after credit repayment
12. Property residual value

- production line 30%
- other machinery and equipment 0
- buildings 40%
- working assets 100%
- land 100%

13. Project economic life cycle 20 years
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Running assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>22.219</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22.219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>domestic</td>
<td>5225.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5225.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>638.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stock</strong></td>
<td>665.715</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>1595.990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Materials:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- others</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- materials</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spare parts</strong></td>
<td>406.500</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>892.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Packing:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>domestic</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>633.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production in progress</strong></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ready products</strong></td>
<td>55.215</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>105.440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Running Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>91.688</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>91.688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net working capital</strong></td>
<td>5615.246</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>7284.521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working capital increase</td>
<td>722.500</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>1214.772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Tab 13: Statement of net incomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>1972,000</th>
<th>1974,000</th>
<th>1975,000</th>
<th>1976,000</th>
<th>1977,000</th>
<th>1978,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales (without turnover tax)</td>
<td>1700.000</td>
<td>66600.000</td>
<td>77256.000</td>
<td>77256.000</td>
<td>77256.000</td>
<td>77256.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational cost</td>
<td>75.000</td>
<td>2312.500</td>
<td>7802.825</td>
<td>7802.825</td>
<td>7802.825</td>
<td>7802.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>953.000</td>
<td>9363.000</td>
<td>9363.000</td>
<td>9363.000</td>
<td>9363.000</td>
<td>9363.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating profit</td>
<td>672.000</td>
<td>50994.500</td>
<td>53994.264</td>
<td>60074.264</td>
<td>60074.264</td>
<td>59390.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>672.000</td>
<td>672.000</td>
<td>7451.126</td>
<td>7451.126</td>
<td>7451.126</td>
<td>7451.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>672.000</td>
<td>40155.231</td>
<td>52443.226</td>
<td>54772.124</td>
<td>56901.020</td>
<td>58223.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td>2158.000</td>
<td>16062.672</td>
<td>20977.391</td>
<td>21736.530</td>
<td>22760.492</td>
<td>23523.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net profit (loss)</td>
<td>403.200</td>
<td>84073.133</td>
<td>21145.937</td>
<td>33915.274</td>
<td>34140.618</td>
<td>35933.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit retained</td>
<td>403.200</td>
<td>24472.135</td>
<td>55722.272</td>
<td>56912.080</td>
<td>56963.612</td>
<td>58654.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulated retained profit</td>
<td>403.200</td>
<td>84472.135</td>
<td>55722.272</td>
<td>56912.080</td>
<td>56963.612</td>
<td>58654.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) own sources</td>
<td>114706.200</td>
<td>72667.530</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) sales revenues</td>
<td>24302.200</td>
<td>1213.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) hard currency credit</td>
<td>1700.000</td>
<td>5600.000</td>
<td>77265.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
<td>77251.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) other long term credits</td>
<td>3704.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) short term credits</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4554.030</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expalnditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment outlays</td>
<td>113550.000</td>
<td>5104.235</td>
<td>55654.435</td>
<td>52720.526</td>
<td>51473.155</td>
<td>50234.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating cost -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>75.000</td>
<td>6212.300</td>
<td>7998.636</td>
<td>7792.636</td>
<td>7792.636</td>
<td>7792.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit instalmants</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10769.215</td>
<td>7651.155</td>
<td>5558.240</td>
<td>2192.244</td>
<td>1064.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td>258.800</td>
<td>18082.692</td>
<td>20977.291</td>
<td>21905.850</td>
<td>22760.403</td>
<td>22520.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BALANCE</strong></td>
<td>1351.200</td>
<td>10861.308</td>
<td>21771.361</td>
<td>24465.474</td>
<td>25762.812</td>
<td>26921.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CUMULATED BALANCE</strong></td>
<td>1351.200</td>
<td>12217.508</td>
<td>32992.570</td>
<td>58474.044</td>
<td>54327.155</td>
<td>51119.906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tab 15: Balance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulated running assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) cash balance</td>
<td>32407.408</td>
<td>191057.898</td>
<td>224792.079</td>
<td>149914.555</td>
<td>166314.255</td>
<td>182257.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) running assets</td>
<td>1356.209</td>
<td>13217.595</td>
<td>33993.070</td>
<td>58474.344</td>
<td>84277.154</td>
<td>111152.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fixed assets</td>
<td>112953.000</td>
<td>106250.000</td>
<td>93227.000</td>
<td>83764.000</td>
<td>74601.000</td>
<td>65224.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running liabilities</td>
<td>17740.800</td>
<td>17283.423</td>
<td>17922.423</td>
<td>17522.423</td>
<td>17522.423</td>
<td>91.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) short term credits</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32.132</td>
<td>91.438</td>
<td>91.438</td>
<td>91.438</td>
<td>91.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) running instalments of long term credits</td>
<td>17740.500</td>
<td>17740.800</td>
<td>17740.200</td>
<td>17740.300</td>
<td>17740.300</td>
<td>17740.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term credits</td>
<td>79563.296</td>
<td>52232.466</td>
<td>55451.600</td>
<td>7740.800</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability totally</td>
<td>87304.000</td>
<td>71505.253</td>
<td>52314.088</td>
<td>35572.233</td>
<td>17522.423</td>
<td>91.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share capital</td>
<td>24302.293</td>
<td>25315.719</td>
<td>25515.715</td>
<td>25515.715</td>
<td>25515.716</td>
<td>25515.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained profit</td>
<td>403.200</td>
<td>24486.253</td>
<td>55882.575</td>
<td>36885.555</td>
<td>123461.182</td>
<td>153051.711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---