

P.N. ABF-087

22383

RESIDENTIAL AND AGRICULTURAL LAND DISPUTES IN MAPUTO

by

**Laurel Rose, Steve Boucher, Antonio Francisco,
Fernanda Zaqueu and Michael Roth**

November 1992

* Laurel Rose is research consultant and Steve Boucher is research assistant with the Land Tenure Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison. Antonio Francisco is professor and Fernanda Zaqueu is research assistant with the Department of Economics, Eduardo Mondlane University. Michael Roth is associate research scientist with the Land Tenure Center and the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF BOXES AND TABLES	ii
DISPUTE CASE INDEX	iii
INTERVIEW INDEX	iv
MAP 1	v
I. Overview and Research Methods	1
A. Background of Study	1
a. Land History	1
b. Land Law	1
c. Land Administration	5
d. Permanent Green Zones	7
B. The Survey: Case Study Selection	7
C. Survey Follow-Up Structured Informal Interviews	8
D. Factors Influencing Survey Implementation and Data Analysis	9
E. Importance of the Study	9
II. Data Analysis: 26 Dispute Cases	9
A. Dispute Configurations	9
B. Disputant Characteristics	12
C. Dispute Issues	12
D. Dispute Processes	12
III. Informant Responses: 26 Dispute Cases and 9 Bairro Leader Interviews	13
A. Landholder and Bairro Leader Accounts	13
B. Dispute Causes	14
C. Dispute Processes	19
D. Dispute Policy	19
IV. Conclusions and Policy Implications	20
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	24
REFERENCES	27
ANNEX A: LANDHOLDER ACCOUNTS (26 CASE INFORMANTS)	28
Table A1: Summary of Dispute Causes	29
Table A2: Summary of Dispute Processing	32
Table A3: Summary of Dispute Policy	33
ANNEX B: LEADER ACCOUNTS (9 INTERVIEWS WITH BAIRRO LEADERS)	34
Table B1: Summary of Dispute Causes	35
Table B2: Summary of Dispute Processing	37
Table B3: Summary of Dispute Policy	38
ANNEX C: DISPUTE CASE SUMMARIES	39
ANNEX D: SECRETARY INTERVIEWS	86



LIST OF BOXES AND TABLES

	Page
Box 1: The Pressures of Urbanization, An Environment Conducive to Land Disputes	3
Box 2: Land Nationalization: A "Just" Policy that Leads to Land Disputes?	3
Box 3: Land Administration and History of Laulane: Preparing the Way for Land Disputes	6
Table 1: Summary of Disputant Characteristics, Dispute Issues, and Dispute Processes	10
Table 2: Summary of Landholder Perspectives on Dispute Causes, Dispute Processes, and Dispute Policy	15
Table 3: Summary of Bairro Leader Perspectives on Dispute Causes, Dispute Processes, and Dispute Policy	16

DISPUTE CASE INDEX*

	<u>Page</u>
Case 1: Land Encroachment by Elite Man	40
Case 2: Dispute Over Land and House Ownership	42
Case 3: Unauthorized Construction of Dwelling	44
Case 4: Dispute Over Agricultural Plot Boundaries	46
Case 5: Dispute Over Land and House Ownership	48
Case 6: Land Confiscation by the State	50
Case 7: Land Encroachment and Resettlement to Prepare for Police Housing	52
Case 8: Land Encroachment by Elite Man	54
Case 9: Land Reallocation by Authorities	57
Case 10: Land Grabbing Subsequent to Development	59
Case 11: Resettlement Caused by Government Appropriation of Land	60
Case 12: Resettlement Caused by Government Appropriation of Land	62
Case 13: Land Encroachment by War Refugees	63
Case 14: Land Encroachment and Resettlement to Further Commercial Development	64
Case 15: Land Encroachment and Resettlement to Prepare for University Student Housing	66
Case 16: Land Grabbing by High Ranking Official	67
Case 17: Land Plots Allocated but Occupied by Squatters	70
Case 18: Land Confiscation by Officials	72
Case 19: Land Sold but Title Withheld by Previous Owner	74
Case 20: Land Abandoned by Foreigner and Title Unclear	75
Case 21: Land Encroachment by Church Group	77
Case 22: Land Claimed by Heir of Previous Owner	78
Case 23: Dispute Over Land Inheritance	80
Case 24: Dispute Over Residential Plot Borders	82
Case 25: Reassertion of Claim to Nationalized Houses	83
Case 26: Dispute Involving House Squatting	84

* Detailed case studies are presented in Annex C.

INTERVIEW INDEX*

	Page
Interview A: Secretario-Adjunto in Mikadjuine	87
Interview B: Secretario-Adjunto in Xipamanine	89
Interview C: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Polana Caniço A	92
Interview D: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Inhagoia A	94
Interview E: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Jorge Dimitrov	96
Interview F: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Maxaquene C	99
Interview G: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in 25 de Junho	102
Interview H: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Laulans	104
Interview I: Secretary of Grupo Dinamizador in Simpeto	106

*Detailed case interviews are presented in Annex D.

RESIDENTIAL AND AGRICULTURAL LAND DISPUTES IN MAPUTO

I. Overview and Research Methods

A. Background of Study

a. Land History

In the years following Independence, i.e. the late 1970s, pressures upon arable land (e.g. the Green Zones of Maputo) came mainly from urban workers or ex-agricultural laborers within the city. By the 1980s, however, several factors gave rise to greatly sharpened land pressures that expanded to include people from outside Maputo. These factors, including 1) closure of the South African mines to Mozambicans, 2) severe droughts and thus a severe drop in agricultural exports, and 3) armed attacks by Rhodesians, led to massive migrations into Maputo of people seeking work, land, and security (see Pinsky 1985: 285; and Roth, Boucher and Francisco 1992).

These three themes--employment, land, and security--recur in nearly every dispute case and interview compiled in this study. As both the literature and the oral land histories collected during this study reveal, people flowed into Maputo, haphazardly occupying previously demarcated parcels, and creating a situation of unclear, overlapping, and contradictory land rights--a situation ripe for conflict (see Box 1).

b. Land Law

The constitution adopted at Independence nationalized all land. According to Article 8, "The land and the natural resources in the soil and subsoil... are property of the State" acting in the name of the Mozambican people, who thus became collective owners of their territory. Although the nationalization of land was intended to improve living conditions (see Box 2), most of the informants in the survey blame land nationalization policies for their problems, including a rise in land disputes.

According to the terms of the land law of 1979 (Law No. 6/79), as well as amendments enacted in 1987, all land is part of "public domain." In practice, this means that individuals or collective persons cannot sell, cede, rent, mortgage or pawn land, although they can transact existing infrastructure. The State grants title, a lease of use rights, for up to fifty years to any individuals or collective persons with legal identity. Title does not grant such parties ownership or unconditional management rights: they must exploit the land rationally and in conformity with an authorized development plan; otherwise, their use rights will be terminated and the land will revert to State control (See Roth, Boucher and Francisco (1992) for greater detail about the land registration/titling process).

The land law covers the basic types of right-holders--the state, cooperatives, private holders, and families--although it focuses on private title-holders and families. The Land Regulations enacted in 1987 (Decree No. 16/87) spell out a number of provisions regarding land registration, leases,

Box 1:

The Pressures of Urbanization, An Environment Conducive to Land Disputes

"The four largest cities (Maputo, Beira, Maputo, and Quelimans) have modern core areas of office buildings, hotels, and apartment blocks, along with expansive residential areas that were once reserved for the settler population. The rapid growth of these so-called cement cities in the 1960's and early 1970's produced enormous speculative profits for the landowners (or their corporate heirs), the largest of whom had acquired their holdings when the land around the cities was ceded for agricultural purposes. The owners cashed in as the city expanded and the land was converted to urban use; some even sold it back to the municipal government, as when land was acquired for the airport and a second railway station in Lourenco Marques. Despite laws to the contrary, city officials were often financially involved in these deals and the direction of growth was undoubtedly determined in part by personal interest.

Expanding urban development added to the misery of the 75 to 80 percent of the population that lived precariously in the shanty-towns surrounding the cement cities. Since Mozambicans were not permitted to own land, many families were forced to occupy illegally land unsuitable for building or public and private land slated for future development. Some rented tiny plots from the land-owners, and many were subject to periodic flooding or were bull-dozed out of their homes at the whim of speculators and government bureaucrats.

At independence most of the shantytown areas lacked water, sanitation, and community services, despite the start in the early 1970's of a "psychosocial" program, a last-gap attempt to culturally integrate the urban population, and not so coincidentally to develop a more skilled and loyal workforce...

The enormous problem of improving living conditions in the growing shantytowns was made even more difficult by the collapse of local government as the professional and administrative staff abandoned the country. Originally created to serve only the cement city, the "camaras municipais" (city councils) combined inefficiency and corruption with an inability to finance the enormous infrastructural works that were needed to match the level of building activity. The colonial division of local government responsibility further confused the situation. Not considered part of the city, most shantytown areas were under a separate administration, usually the rural district administration..."

Pinsky, Barry. 1985. "Territorial Dilemmas: Changing Urban Life." In: A Difficult Road: The Transition to Socialism in Mozambique (John S. Saul, ed.). New York: Monthly Review Press, pp. 286-7.

Box 2:

Land Nationalization: A "Just" Policy that Leads to Land Disputes?

"At one stroke speculation in urban land ceased since it could no longer be bought or sold. Every Mozambican family was instead guaranteed the right to own a house and to use land for this purpose. Family agricultural rights were recognized, and rights of use were transmissible to heirs, although the state has the right to expropriate land for development purposes--in which case the owner or heirs must be compensated for any improvements which they have made.

The revolutionary impact of this measure is perhaps difficult to gauge from a North American or Western European perspective where the concept of private land ownership is so firmly entrenched and mystified. Some examples of the way in which the new constitution could lead to the reversal of spatial inequalities may make this clearer. First, allocation of investment in infrastructure and urban development could now be planned on the basis of political and social goals rather than market and racial mechanisms. The housing situation of shantytown dwellers, no longer "squatters" on private land, could be legalized and improved. New jobs, commercial facilities, and community services could be relocated away from the cement cities, minimizing transportation time and costs. Pollution could be reduced and foreign exchange otherwise needed for imported petroleum and vehicles saved. Finally, with market pressures eased, more green space could be allocated for recreation and production in and around the towns...

Pinsky, Barry. 1985. "Territorial Dilemmas: Changing Urban Life." In: A Difficult Road: The Transition to Socialism in Mozambique (John S. Saul, ed.). New York: Monthly Review Press, pp. #90-1.

[REDACTED]

inheritance, resettlement, compensation, land abandonment and dispute resolution, among others.

The following select articles of the Land Law establish those provisions which most directly mold the legal setting within which land conflicts may be either provoked or settled (cases in parentheses are situations where the legal provisions have resulted in one or more of the land disputes elaborated in Annex C):

- o Land lease - A family farm need not have a lease, but can apply for one (art. 55). (Refer to Case 8)
- o Land registration - Rights to land and details of their use and capabilities are to appear in a National Land Register (art. 37, 38). (Refer to Cases 4 and 8)
- o Inheritance - Land rights (a lease) are inheritable (art. 32). Heirs cannot transfer the land but can transfer improvements, infrastructure, and buildings, if they have prior authorization from the leasing authority; the state enjoys a preferred right to purchase such improvements if it chooses to do so (art. 33). (Refer to Cases 2, 5, 22, 23, and 26)
- o Termination of leases - In the case of termination (due to expiration of the term, renunciation by the holder, and revocation by the state), all improvements, infrastructure, and construction revert to the state (art. 35) and "just compensation" is required (art. 36). (Refer to Cases 1, 17, and 18)
- o Resettlement - If the family is moved from the land they occupy, there must be an express declaration of why this is necessary for the convenience of the state in the public interest (art. 50). (Refer to Cases 7, 11, 15, and 17)
- o Land (i.e. infrastructure) compensation - If a family is moved, compensation for improvements on the land must be paid in advance, before the move, and new land of similar value must be provided. Those being moved should be able to view it before they move (art. 52). (Refer to Cases 12, 14, and 22)
- o Land abandonment - If a family leaves land of its own volition and remains away for over two years without justification, the right to use the land terminates and all improvements on the land go to the state without compensation. Where a lease has been granted, it must be cancelled in this case. But even if such a cancellation has taken place, the family may return to the land at a later date if no one else has begun to use it (art. 60). (Refer to Cases 13 and 18)
- o Dispute resolution - An administrative appeal process within the Ministry is described (art. 69), including the possibility of further appeal to the regular courts, with the exception that a decision by the Council of Ministers is not subject to appeal (art. 70). But there is also a provision for conciliation of disputes, and the composition of

conciliation committees (art. 82). It is not clear in what circumstances each of these routes is appropriate. (Refer to Cases 8 and 10) (Refer also to the discussion in Bruce 1990: 6-9; see also Garcia 1987 and Pinsky 1985).

As will be discussed in Part II, many land disputes reflect problems in provisions of the land law--lack of clarity, inconsistency, and unenforceability.

c. Land Administration

One of the neighborhoods (Bairros), Laulane, provides a good example of historical developments in land administration (Box 3, p. 12). As the example of Laulane and the case studies presented in Annex C demonstrate, an absence of clear legal mechanisms in most communities has given rise to numerous disputes.

Several local or governmental agencies may be called upon to resolve land conflicts. The "Grupo Dinamizador" (GD), the administrative structure at the local Bairro level, may be called upon to handle problems involving development projects, land purchase agreements, property inheritances, infrastructure constructions and occupations, land allocations, land transfers, land evictions, and resettlement. (See Cases 2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26 in Annex C in which disputants called upon the GD to assist with land transactions and disputes. See also, as a contrast, Case 1, in which the GD was believed to have caused a dispute by usurping the land rights of an ordinary citizen.) In addition to land matters, GDs may assume responsibility for: school and community committees; neighborhood cultural, sports, and recreational activities; production cooperatives; adult education and literacy campaigns; public transport; and preventive health programs (see Pinsky 1985: 300).

Another agency responsible for land administration, the Green Zones Directorate (Gabinete das Zonas Verdes) or GZV, implements policy that aims to prevent land conflict and is also involved in resolving actual conflict. The GZV was established in May 1980. One of its many duties is to investigate the existing situation of land occupation and inventory existing agricultural infrastructure. (See Cases 4 and 8 in which disputants asked the GZV to confirm the area of their lots, in contradiction to the claims of families or individuals. As a contrast, see Case 18 in which the GZV tried to seize land in order to reallocate it for "development" purposes.)

The Executive Council (Conselho Executivo) or CE is an agency at the municipality level that handles, among other things, land-use zoning and titling of both residential and agricultural lands. Officials within the CE are all FRELIMO party members (as are members of the GD). The office, or directorate, within the CE that handles zoning and titling is the Directorate of Construction and Urbanization (Direcção de Construção e Urbanização) or DCU. According to one survey interviewee, the CE requires that people officialize their land occupancy within 90 days. He commented that if they should fail to do so, the CE will expropriate the land and give it to other persons.

Land Administration and History of Loulane: Preparing the Way for Land Disputes

"During the 1950's and 1960's large parcels of land...were demarcated and registered by private individuals for agricultural use, but not necessarily developed. The existing local occupants' traditional rights were not respected in colonial law, and usually no form of compensation was proffered. Previous to this, land had been allocated by the "regulo," or traditional chief of the area who was recognized by the colonial authorities. One of the Bairros was known by the name of this family-- "Mavota" or "Mahotas"--the name of "Loulane" coming from a chief of the same clan in the late 19th century.

At the beginning of 1963 the Provincial Secretary of Lourenco Marques approved a plan of urban development to create a village in Bairro Mahotas. The only actual development to take place however was a commercial center, of which 6 plots were developed, however this represented the only commercial outlet in the zone. The Bairros were incorporated within the city limits--"Conselho da Cidade de Lourenco Marques"--when these were extended in the 1960's. At the beginning of the 1970's some large agricultural land parcels in both Bairros were subdivided for sale (commonly in .5 ha plots), primarily to city residents for market gardening and weekend residences. However, before Independence relatively few were occupied and developed partly because of the cost of water provision.

After Independence, in 1979, the municipal authorities were reorganized, with clear topographical city limits and the exclusive right to land allocation and registry defined as the responsibility of a newly created Construction and Urbanization Directorate, within the new Executive City Council, which took over the previous municipal land demarcation and registry role. This Directorate, which was created in 1980, was also made responsible for urban planning and development, which it began to develop despite severe technical limitations during 1981.

Although general guidelines for urban development were established at the time of municipal reorganization, legal mechanisms for urban planning and investment procedures, and post-nationalization land law regulations dealing with tenure, transmission and land use control were not defined, and thus, the newly formed Directorate initiated a series of essentially ad-hoc urban interventions on an experimental basis, primarily the creation of basic peri-urban sites and services areas with support to self-help house construction."

Jenkins, Paul. 1991. Housing and Living Conditions in Peri-Urban Areas of Maputo City. UNDP/UNCHS report for Project MOZ/86/005. Ministry of Construction and Water Affairs. Republic of Mozambique, pp. 7-8.

d. Permanent Green Zones

The disputes in the sample tend to be clustered within permanent green zone areas (Zonas Verdes Permanentes)--the former Portuguese estates (quintas)--which were demarcated and officially registered with the municipality before Independence (refer to Map 1). Within these areas, the land redistribution policy and the semi-formal administrative and legal registration process have led to disputes. Regarding the land redistribution policy, disputes arose when un- or under-utilized quintas were turned over to individuals who demonstrated the means to exploit them--i.e. enterprising state functionaries, merchants and other residents with either capital or political influence. Unfortunately, those people who had spontaneously occupied the quintas after Independence were evicted. Regarding the administrative and legal registration process, disputes arose when land was turned over to persons who had not acquired the required documents from the GD which confirmed whether or not a requested parcel was vacant (the acquired land proved to be already occupied). Or, the government (DCU) took back or reallocated those concessions of concession holders that it assessed did not fulfill the development conditions approved at the time the concessions were issued.

Although the registration process only applies to the previously demarcated area, some individuals with money or influence have succeeded in hiring topographers from the DCU, or the cadastral office of the Ministry of Agriculture (DINAGECA), to conduct new land surveys outside the demarcated permanent green zones. These individuals, having acquired an "extra-legal" new demarcation, skip the normal steps in the registration procedure, and thus deal solely with the DCU which grants official concessions. As the interviews in this study illustrate, such actions have given rise to a multiplicity of land conflicts.

B. The Survey: Case Study Selection

A baseline survey of the peri-urban area within and around Maputo was administered to 320 households by researchers from Ohio State University. One question in the survey asked about land conflict. Of the 320 respondents, 40 mentioned the incidence of a land dispute. Of these 40 dispute cases, 21 were selected as case studies for further analysis.

Researchers from the Land Tenure Center (LTC) of the University of Wisconsin-Madison conducted a land use and land market survey of 126 households in the peri-urban green zones of Districts IV and VI of Maputo (refer to Map 1). Again, a series of questions were asked about the frequency, type and resolution of land conflicts. Fifteen respondents mentioned the incidence of one or more land disputes. Five of these dispute cases were selected for further analysis.

Under the peri-urban baseline survey, blocks and households were randomly selected within each of districts II to VIII of Maputo. Heavily populated areas were represented more significantly in the sample, whereas areas of lower population density--particularly agricultural areas characterized by violence--were under-represented. The LTC study focused specifically on holders of agricultural land in the peri-urban zones, characterized by lower population density.

The disputes in the surveys (40 from the baseline and 15 from the land market survey) were categorized in order that the smaller sample could be selected to represent: all eight districts in Maputo; all types of disputes (e.g. inheritance within families and land grabbing by the authorities); and all major disputant categories (e.g. government agencies, private institutions such as churches, and individuals such as wealthy entrepreneurs and poor farmers). Following case selection, the smaller land dispute sample comprised 26 cases--all of which are analysed in this paper.

The following types of disputes are represented in the reduced sample:

- o disputes involving land administration (e.g. Case 1 involves abuse of authority by the local government; Case 4 involves disorganization within the municipality in terms of registering agricultural lands; and Cases 18 and 21 involve institutional confusion); and,
- o disputes involving tenure on both residential and agricultural land (e.g. Cases 2 and 5 involve inheritance; Case 4 involves land registration rights; and Cases 6, 7, and 12 involve the state's special reserve zone).

The following types of disputant categories were also covered:

- o government agencies (e.g. Case 7 involves the Ministry of the Interior and Case 17 involves the Ministry of Agriculture); private institutions (e.g. Case 22 involves a church and Case 10 involves an agricultural cooperative); and
- o individuals of varying social/economic status (e.g. refugees, long-standing residents with varying levels of economic well-being, and Case 8 involves two prominent officials).

C. Survey Follow-Up: Structured Informal Interviews

Follow-up interviews were conducted by a student from Eduardo Mondlane University in Maputo in the native language of the informant; usually Portuguese, Xichangana or Xironga then translated into Portuguese. Thereafter, at the university, historical backgrounds were supplied to select cases. These versions were then translated into English at the LTC. Although the field investigator conducted the interviews according to a basic outline, so as to ensure that all case histories covered the same basic points, the respondents were permitted to inject their opinions whenever they saw fit. At a minimum, the respondent was asked for the following details about the dispute they experienced: date of dispute, nature of dispute, whether and how the dispute was resolved, parties involved, and compensation received or expenses incurred. The informant was also asked to provide his or her opinions on the source and nature of land conflicts in the neighborhood, on land prices and land value, on the effectiveness and role of official institutions in resolving and mediating disputes, on the extent to which the war and refugees are causing land disputes, and on policies to lessen the costs of disputes. Not all informants answered or responded fully to each and every one of these questions.

While one researcher was conducting the in-depth interviews with those survey respondents who had experienced land disputes, another conducted interviews with nine local leaders (the "Secretario-Adjunto" and the

Secretaries of the Grupo Dinamizador) regarding land conflicts within their areas. The disputes from the survey sample were not specifically mentioned by the interviewer to the leaders, but some of the leaders nonetheless alluded to the same disputes. In general, the leaders provided the social, economic, legal, and political context associated with land problems in their respective bairros.

D. Factors Influencing Survey Implementation and Data Analysis

Several factors influenced the sample selection, the style of interviewing, and the analysis and interpretation of findings. Some households were selected over others due to the safety of a region and the cooperativeness of respondents. Also households were selected to achieve the maximum variation possible in two dimensions of disputes: type of dispute and individuals/institutions involved (households with similar types of disputes were those excluded). The limitations of language and reliance upon one interviewer resulted in some dilution of data through translation (from native language into Portuguese and then into English) and uniform structuring of interviews. Also, the necessity of relying upon one interviewer who conducted semi-structured interviews sometimes resulted in non-uniform (i.e. non-comparable) data, whereas relying upon one disputant-informant sometimes resulted in one-sided interviews which were characterized by exaggerated accounts that aimed to justify a particular viewpoint or land interest.

E. Importance of the Study

The study contributes important field data on land issues and land problems arising from the administration of Mozambique's land laws. These problems are reflected in land disputes arising in the research area of Maputo. The aims of this focused land dispute study are:

1. to demonstrate the impact of land legislation upon tenure practices; moreover, how legal developments and changed tenure practices are associated with land disputes;
2. to categorize the types of land conflicts which arise, the types of land disputants (socio-economic characteristics) who become embroiled within such disputes, and the types of institutions and authorities that handle such disputes;
3. to differentiate objective dispute data (reported case facts) from subjective data interpretations (opinions about case facts). Such a differentiation demonstrates the relationship between informant perceptions about social problems and the reality of such problems as expressed in concrete data; and,
4. to make policy proposals based upon the data.

II. Data Analysis: 26 Dispute Cases

A. Dispute Configurations

Table 1 presents the "objective" aspect of the land dispute study: informants' accounts about disputant characteristics, dispute issues, and

Table 1: Summary of Disputant Characteristics, Dispute Issues, and Dispute Processes

Disputant Characteristics

Local Disputants Involved:
(Cases 1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 21, 24, 26)

Non-Local/Foreign Disputant Involved:
(Cases 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25)

Peasant Disputants Involved:
(Cases 2, 3, 9, 12, 13, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25)

Elite Disputant Involved:
(Cases 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26)

Woman Landholder Involved:
(Cases 1, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24)

Male Landholders (Only) Involved:
(Cases 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 25, 26)

Single Landholders Involved:
(Cases 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24)

Extended Family Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 20, 23, 25, 26)

Dispute Issues

Agricultural Plot(s):
Cases 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 16, 7, 18)

Residential Plot(s):
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

One Plot Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 5, 13, 16, 19, 1, 22, 23, 24, 26)

Multiple Plots Involved:
(Cases 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 25)

Destruction of Property:
(Cases 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)

No Destruction of Property:
(Cases 1, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Land Inheritance:
(Cases 2, 5, 22, 23, 26)

Land Purchase/Acquisition/Occupation:
(Cases 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25)

Dispute Processes

Violent Confrontation:
(Cases 2, 7, 8, 9, 17, 26)

No Violent Confrontation:
(Cases 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25)

Third Party Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

"Outsiders" Not Involved:
(Cases 5, 6)

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)

Local Authorities Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9,
10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17,
18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Police Involved:
(Cases 2, 7, 8, 11, 26)

Military Involved:
(Case 8)

Lawyers Involved:
(Case 8)

Court Involved:
(Cases 8, 10, 16)

Government Officials Involved:
(Cases 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12,
14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20?)

Money Spent/Lost:
(Cases 4, 6, 8, 9, 16)

Dispute Appealed:
(Cases 8, 10, 16, 17, 18, 20)

Short-Lived Dispute:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 12, 19, 21,
22, 24)

Dispute Resolved:
(Cases 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12,
19, 21, 22, 24)

Private Action Taken by Disputant:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,
9, 10, 17, 23, 26)

Local Authorities Not Involved:
(Cases 5, 19)

Police Not Involved:
(Cases 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12,
13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20,
21, 22, 23, 24, 25)

Military Not Involved:
(Cases 1-7 and 9-26)

Lawyers Not Involved:
(Cases 1-7 and 9-26)

Court Not Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9,
11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19,
20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Government Officials Not Involved:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 13, 19,
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Money Not Spent/Lost:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12,
13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21,
22, 23, 24, 25, 26)

Dispute Not Appealed:
(Cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9,
11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22,
23, 24, 25, 26)

Extended Dispute (More Than A Year):
(Cases 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,
13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20,
23, 25, 26)

Dispute Not Resolved:
(Cases 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13,
14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23,
25, 26)

Action Not Taken by Disputant:
(Cases 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,
18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25)

dispute processing. The data come from one group of informants: the disputants in the 26 cases. When an informant did not mention a particular aspect (e.g. police involvement), this aspect was considered absent from the case.

B. Disputant Characteristics

The first category, disputant characteristics, indicates that most cases (18) involved at least one disputant/party who did not reside within the community of the disputed land. This included former residents who attempted to reclaim land--e.g. either heirs or former landowners of a nationalized property, former landowners who abandoned their property, or Portuguese residents who left the country at Independence. Moreover, most cases (17) involved at least one elite disputant (an individual with either wealth or political connections); this disputant was often wealthy and sought to acquire land for commercial activities through encroachment or through assistance by the authorities, or this disputant was an authority figure who encroached upon land or manipulated property rights. In just over half of the cases (16), male landholders/claimants alone were involved. Women who were involved in disputes tended to be widows or uneducated landholders who could not effectively defend their rights. Finally, most cases (17) involved single parties (landholders) representing a land interest; occasionally an extended family acted collectively in asserting or debating a land claim.

C. Dispute Issues

The second category, dispute issues, indicates that most cases (20) involved a conflict over the use rights of a residential plot. This outcome was heavily influenced by the urban bias of the peri-urban baseline study conducted by The Ohio State University. In fact, both groups of informants (the disputants and the leaders) generally stated in interviews that population migrations had resulted in severe shortages in land available for housing purposes. Moreover, the land under dispute often (14 cases) involved multiple plots; sometimes these plots were held by one party and sometimes by multiple parties--the latter often being threatened by development interests. In 9 cases, a contributing factor to the dispute was destruction of property, such as the pulling out of fruit and shade trees, or damages rendered to a house or building. Finally, issues of land inheritance were involved in only 5 cases, whereas the majority of cases (21) involved problems with land purchase, acquisition, or occupation (disputes concerning land inheritance were usually confined to the family unit, whereas disputes concerning land transactions involved various members of a community or external agencies).

D. Dispute Processes

The third category, dispute processes, indicates that most disputants (20) did not opt to confront one another violently as a way to make known their grievances or to receive redress. In nearly all cases (24) a third party was involved at some point--for example, in actively assisting as a mediator or in hearing complaints but refusing to assist. In only 2 cases did the disputants manage to work out a settlement without third party intervention (either containing the dispute within the family unit or avoiding confrontations about the issues). At the same time, local authorities were involved in nearly all cases (24)--for example, granting land, mediating disputes, defining or revoking land rights, appealing to higher authorities, or hearing complaints.

Although most third party involvement concerned local authorities, local authorities did not act significantly as third parties when government officials were primarily or solely involved. The police were involved in only 5 cases, although some disputants may not have thought to mention police involvement to the researcher. Military officers and lawyers were only reported to be present in one very serious case--Case 8. At the same time, reliance upon a court was evident in only 3 cases--one of which was Case 8 which also involved the military and lawyers. Government officials were involved in dispute processing in half the cases (13) ("government" refers to levels above the local Bairro authorities--e.g. DCU). Sometimes government officials were called in to provide documentation, render surveying services, or to offer policy advice regarding particularly difficult disputes, but sometimes officials were personally involved in disputes by virtue of their land grabbing.

Money was reported by disputants to have been spent or lost in the dispute resolution procedures associated with 5 cases; this money ordinarily went towards travel costs, fees for land documentation, or money lost in fraudulent land transactions. No disputants reported having personally bribed the authorities, although many reported that bribes are frequently offered and accepted. Disputants appealed their cases in only 4 (possibly 6) instances; two disputants specifically explained to the researcher that one often experiences difficulties appealing disputes since the very officials to whom the case must be directed may be the same parties who are transgressing the property rights.

Most disputes (18) lasted more than a year, but even the shorter-lived disputes (8) threatened to resurface at a later point in time. In this regard, 16 cases were labelled "unresolved" at the time that the researcher concluded the interviews; however, the concept of "resolution" is very nebulous, since it tends to mean disputant acquiescence or obedience but not necessarily disputant satisfaction with the outcome of the dispute (only when all concerned parties are satisfied can the dispute be considered resolved). Finally, half the cases (13) involved some sort of private action taken by the disputant in an effort to resolve his/her land dispute or bring about satisfaction for grievances; for example, demarcation of land through fencing, building of infrastructures to assert a land claim, or confiscating agricultural produce as compensation for losses.

It should be noted that a disputant occasionally became involved in multiple, connected disputes, or in disputes comprising various layers of issues and processes. For example, the disputant in Case 9, who discovered upon returning from South Africa that he had been dispossessed of his land, became embroiled in two disputes--one to reclaim his land and one to receive compensation from a woman who fraudulently sold him an alternate plot which did not belong to her.

III. Informant Responses (26 Dispute Cases and 9 Bairro Leader Interviews)

A. Landholder and Bairro Leader Accounts

Tables 2 and 3 present the subjective aspect of the land dispute study: i.e. informants' opinions about the causes, processing, and solutions relevant

- 14 -

to their specific disputes or to land disputes in general. The data comes from two groups of informants: the disputants in the 26 cases (presented in Table 2) and the 9 Bairro level leaders (presented in Table 3). The 26 disputants tended to talk about their specific disputes, whereas the local leaders tended to talk about disputes in general, although they usually focused upon several disputes within their respective communities. Every informant spoke about multiple disputant categories (e.g. their opinions about national administration or about local administration).

The rows in Tables 2 and 3 are categories of informant perceptions on dispute causes, dispute processes and dispute policies summarized from more exhaustive and detailed data presented in Annexes A and B. The data reported on the right-hand-side of each table are the number of informants who made comments with regard to the respective conceptual category. The same sets of conceptual categories are included in Table 2 for the dispute cases and Table 3 for the Bairro leaders, enabling a comparison of perceptions among disputants and officials on land issues, problems, and solutions.

B. Dispute Causes

1. Contextual influences - Both the landholders and leaders placed considerable emphasis upon the impact of the war in creating a large population of refugees seeking land and housing. Unfortunately, land in Maputo is scarce (thus more valuable) and people are compelled to live in crowded conditions. Many circumstances associated with population migrations have contributed to land disputes: refugees moving into small dwellings with their relatives; refugees squatting on unused (although claimed) land; local authorities granting occupied plots to refugees; or former landholders returning from unsafe areas to reclaim their holdings.

2. National administration and policy - Both the landholders and the leaders significantly concurred that post-Independence land policy-- particularly land nationalization--is contributing to many of the land disputes presently occurring. Three points of conflict were mentioned by disputants and Bairro leaders alike. First, nationalization has created a situation of latent multiple claims, in which ex-owners are seeking to reclaim nationalized properties, while some current tenants who have been living in the houses since Independence have made substantial investments in the property. Second, socialist policies aimed at providing land for everyone, has created a very ambiguous sense of land rights among the people. A number of persons creating the disputes in the case studies demonstrated a basic disregard for the individual property rights of others. The outcome is a growing sense of tenure insecurity, and less desire to invest in land and property. Third, under the widespread situation of land purchases and rentals in Maputo, the land law is both out-dated and constitutes an ineffective basis for deciding disputes stemming from land transfers in the courts (i.e. the court has a difficult time deciding in cases of disputes over land purchases, when the land law makes such transfers illegal).

The landholders also strongly condemned the government's policy of expropriating land from citizens for development projects or commercial enterprises. They also widely protested the fact that government officials are often corrupt, thus guilty of: favoring friends and associates in land

Table 2:

Summary of Landholder Perspectives (26 Disputants) on Dispute Causes, Dispute Processes, and Dispute Policy

Dispute Causes	
<u>Contextual Influences:</u>	<u>No. of Informants</u>
War	13
Overcrowding and increased population pressure	5
<u>National Administration and Policy:</u>	
Government land policy	17
Government officials	14
Development activities	5
<u>Local Administration:</u>	
Local authorities control	15
Citizens' actions	18
<u>Land Affairs:</u>	
Land use	8
Land registration	7
Land transfers	6
Land security	11
Land development	5
Dispute Processes	
<u>National or Local Administration:</u>	
Institutions and methods	9
<u>Resolution Procedures:</u>	
Methods	6
Outcome	8
Appeals	4
Dispute Policy	
Contextual influences	7
National administration and policy	1
Local administration	4
Land affairs	17
Land resettlement	7
Land dispute processing	2
Community affairs	5

Table 3:

Summary of Bairro Leader Perspectives (9 Secretaries) on Dispute Causes, Dispute Processes, and Dispute Policy

Dispute Causes	
<u>Contextual Influences:</u>	<u>No. of Informants</u>
Environment	1
War	8
Overcrowding and increased population pressure	7
<u>National Administration and Policy:</u>	
Government land policy	6
Government officials	5
Development activities	2
<u>Local Administration:</u>	
Local authorities control	3
Citizens' actions	9
<u>Land Affairs:</u>	
Land use	1
Land transfers	2
Land security	5
Land development	1
Dispute Processes	
<u>National or Local Administration:</u>	
Institutions and methods	4
<u>Resolution Procedures:</u>	
Methods	1
Outcome	2
Appeals	1
Dispute Policy	
Contextual influences	5
National administration and policy	3
Local administration	2
Land affairs	8
Land resettlement	1
Land dispute processing	1
Community affairs	3

allocation or dispute situations; encroaching upon land interests for personal benefit; or taking money for rendering particular land-related decisions. Most important, the landholders commented that government and local officials do not communicate adequately with one another or with them; and moreover, that such officials often are not even clear about their professional responsibilities. In contrast, the local leaders complained that government officials do not communicate or cooperate with them. One consequence of such poor communication is that land is under-utilized or over-utilized--the same plot being neglected because of unresolved conflicts or being granted inadvertently by various officials to multiple parties (e.g. Cases 15 and 16).

Regarding development activities, the leaders complained that the government introduced activities that did not always accord with local priorities (i.e. that the local authorities had not been fully consulted about the national development program), whereas the landholders were concerned that the plans for land development had not been made clear to them, and consequently some people suffered from such unfavorable state interventions as involuntary relocation. On a lesser scale, even when landholders were informed about land development plans, they still endured considerable problems in trying to follow procedures for land registration because such procedures were unclear and confusing (e.g. Case 19).

3. Local administration - Both the landholders and the leaders accused one another of deception in land transactions. The landholders accused the local authorities of using false reasons (i.e. development) for evicting someone from land, when their actual intention was to reallocate the land to someone else (e.g. Case 20). On the other hand, the leaders accused some citizens of obtaining land under false pretenses (Interview B), such as claiming personal need, when such citizens actually intended to assign the land to a family member (Interview A) or to sell the land (Interview G). In effect, the leaders complained that citizens had subverted their authority and the cohesiveness of the community, by transacting land without their knowledge (Interview G), by falsely assuming land rights, by selling land rights to multiple parties (Interview F), or even by manipulating land rights in a manner that injured their own family members (compare disputes about authority with similar dispute cases from research in Swaziland: Rose 1992).

Landholders often attributed a significant role in land affairs to local officials. Sometimes they complained that local authorities were wrongfully unresponsive to their needs--i.e. regarding land transactions and dispute settlement. As a contrast, the leaders commonly commented that their role either was or needed to be limited--especially because government officials restricted their role, because they had no workable solution to problems, or because landholders did not approach them for assistance. The leaders primarily bolstered their role when they felt that citizens ignored their prerogative to monitor settlements and building activities.

As might be expected from the above discussion about government officials, landholders most commonly complained that local authorities improperly encroached upon their land interests--either by totally expropriating their landholding or by reducing their land rights. In their view, the authorities sometimes acted upon the authority of high ranking officials; but sometimes they independently invoked the land use "efficiency" standard. Many

[REDACTED]

landholders condemned the authorities for selling land for personal profit or for distributing land rights in order to assist high ranking authorities or associates who might be in a position to provide them with reciprocal services. As a contrast, the leaders rarely acknowledged such encroachments--except to comment about their colleagues' actions in their own absence (Interview F). One leader even commented that the authorities overlook citizen violations of land laws when local circumstances (e.g. shortage of agricultural land) necessitate (Interview A). But the landholders seemed to believe that many leaders not only administered land inefficiently but even corruptly, thus using legal and administrative confusion to their advantage.

When speaking about actions of members within their own ranks (i.e. other citizens), the landholders complained that the major problem was that other citizens, particularly powerful community members, encroached upon their land or even took over their land totally, thus cultivating or building housing without their consent (the landholders') or that of the authorities. Interestingly, the leaders claimed that the major citizen-initiated dispute problem lay with the following interferences by "ex-landlords" (i.e. ex-landowners before land nationalization): obstruction of current landholders' activities on their former properties; claims upon their former properties; or even reselling their former properties. The various problems experienced between ex-landlords and current tenants arose under a variety of circumstances: when the landlords resided in close proximity to their former holdings and attempted to monitor them (Interview A); when ex-landlords returned to an area and discovered that a house had been built on the holding (Interview B); when heirs to nationalized land continued to pay taxes on the land in the hope that they could someday reassert their rights (Interview C) and when rumors circulated that nationalized land would be sold.

As a whole, the leaders blamed the breakdown in local administration on landholders' resistance to their authority, while the landholders blamed the breakdown on the leaders' inefficiency and corruption.

The only things all informants agreed upon was that vague rules were widely manipulated and that many members of the community relied upon self-promoting, deceptive tactics.

4. Land affairs - As mentioned above, the leaders complained that citizens built dwellings without their permission (e.g. Case 23) or squatted upon land without their knowledge. They also accused citizens of selling land. Interestingly, they were concerned that land occupants could be evicted without warning. Women--particularly older, widowed, and uneducated women--seemed to face the greatest risk of eviction (e.g. Case 18). Those people who were most able to defend against eviction were those who had influential connections or who acted against an individual land grabber rather than against a powerful institution (compare Cases 16 and 18 with Case 7).

The landholders frequently protested that their land rights were unclear and that they could not obtain property titles. This is in contrast to the Bairro leaders who mentioned property titles much less often. Sometimes landholders did not even know how land affairs should be properly transacted (e.g. Cases 19 and 21). They also expressed resentment that commercial interests were often given priority over the interests of subsistence

farmers--based upon the land efficiency standard. Finally, the landholders, in their turn, accused the leaders of selling the land through bribery and corrupt actions.

Both leaders and landholders, but particularly landholders, stated concern that the current land tenure system does not guarantee property rights (inheritance) for future generations. Such a policy, in their view, inhibits land/infrastructure investments.

C. Dispute Processes

1. National or local administration - Very few informants mentioned the national or local administrative structures for handling land disputes--perhaps because they were not fully aware of the official intent and purposes of such structures. Both the leaders and the landholders asserted that the local authorities should ideally play a significant role in land dispute management but in practice are often unable to intervene. When the authorities do play a role in land dispute resolution, it is often one of ineffective third-party mediation.

2. Resolution procedures - Although the leaders said very little about land dispute resolution procedures, the landholders offered many complaints. Some commented that the methods used in dispute resolution are very undefined, varying from case to case and depending upon the initiative, resources, and connections of the disputants and the local leaders. As Table 1 indicates, very few disputants made use of courts.

Probably no cases were resolved to the satisfaction of all parties. As Table 1 indicates, only half the cases were considered "resolved." However, many of these cases had resulted in ruptured relationships between disputants or in disputant acquiescence (hostilities continued to simmer beneath the surface).

Few informants made mention of appeal procedures, except to indicate that they were time-consuming and expensive. Some landholders claimed that they would appeal their cases if they did not eventually receive a satisfactory outcome. Two landholders commented that they could not appeal their disputes since the very official to whom the appeal would have to be addressed was the individual who had transgressed their rights, acting in a private capacity (Cases 6 and 18).

D. Dispute Policy

1. Contextual influences - Many landholders and leaders stated that the best way to reduce the number and severity of land disputes would be to end the war. One landholder commented that ending the war will increase land disputes since at that time people will attempt to formalize disputed land holdings.

2. National administration and policy - The leaders, slightly more than the landholders, were concerned that the efforts of national officials need to be better coordinated.

3. Local administration - Both the leaders and the landholders indicated that the local authorities need to find solutions to disputants' problems. The

ideal solution would lie in finding land for one of two parties that claim the same plot of land, but unfortunately land scarcity in the peri-urban area has made this solution increasingly unrealistic.

4. Land affairs - The landholders overwhelmingly argued for granting permanent land titles. They repeatedly stressed in their interviews that they suffer enormous land insecurity because their land rights are fragile, land titles are difficult to obtain, and evictions from their land holdings is an ever-present threat. They said that the land laws should be reformed--land privatized; and they also said that the system for land administration should be reformed: administrative levels defined and differentiated; documentation improved; and credit made more accessible and equitable. Finally, they mentioned that the respective rights of former landowners and current land tenants need to be differentiated. The leaders, on the other hand, more strongly emphasized that ex-landowners should not be allowed to recover their former holdings and that nationalized houses should be sold to current tenants.

5. Land resettlement - The leaders scarcely mentioned land resettlement as a theme, but the landholders brought up the theme repeatedly. Their main concern was compensation for losses of improved land, infrastructures, or natural resources. Some people wanted full compensation for losses, others wanted partial compensation, and still others wanted to be assisted with moving costs and building materials. Some landholders who fought resettlement orders implied that communications between the authorities and community residents were poor, with the consequence that eviction orders often came as a surprise.

6. Land dispute processing - Neither the landholders nor the leaders said much about policy to improve land dispute processing (perhaps because the researcher did not emphasize this point), but several informants mentioned the displaced landholders (i.e. those people evicted after losing a land dispute) need to be assisted in finding new land.

7. Community affairs - Both the landholders and the leaders agreed that producer associations and cooperatives need to be strengthened in order that people can have a public forum through which to learn more about their land rights and through which to defend their land rights.

IV. Conclusions and Policy Implications

When considered as a whole, the most striking difference between the landholders' accounts and the leaders' accounts is the attribution of responsibility for disputes: the landholders tended to blame the local authorities for land conflict, whereas the local authorities tended to blame the DCU for taking actions without consulting them (Interviews C, G, and I). Several leaders even commented that the DCU creates disputes for them to solve. As expected, virtually no one took responsibility for failure of the institutions to which they belonged.

The informants indicated that one important consequence of poor communications between the DCU and the GD is that the weakest, most needy segment of the population is victimized--the war refugees. They are the people who most often need assistance in recovering from war-related losses, and yet

they are the ones who are most likely to receive very fragile rights to land. In other words, the GD, for want of better solutions and without clear instructions from the DCU, may grant refugees land rights in overpopulated, reserved, or disputed areas--areas that will most likely be reassigned or reclaimed (sometimes the GD will not assist refugees at all). When that occurs, the refugees are likely to be subjected once again to resettlement.

In the best of circumstances, land rights are fragile. In some cases, people lost land rights when entire plots were expropriated and granted to land-needy citizens or land-hungry elites. In other cases, their land plots were reduced in size (for example, when the authorities permitted settlers to build on their land claims). In still other cases, such as Case 18, peoples' land rights were terminated because they were deemed negligent, having abandoned land temporarily in order to attend to pressing personal responsibilities elsewhere.

A paradox about land rights arises: people realize that at times the most effective way to strengthen their fragile claims/rights to a plot is by putting infrastructures upon the plot (e.g. fences, trees, dwellings; see Case 23 and Interview H), and yet at other times they realize that the surest way to jeopardize their claims is by drawing attention to their intention to remain permanently. Therefore, in some situations, infrastructures establish a person's land claim within the community, whereas in other situations, such infrastructures threaten rival claims by stating that one is not merely a temporary squatter who can be tolerated. Unfortunately, land settlers cannot always accurately determine which actions will root their fragile claims and which actions will eliminate their claims. If they guess wrong, they stand to lose both land and infrastructures.

If Mozambican citizens are confused about how to best establish fragile land rights informally, they are even more confused about how to transact such rights formally--i.e. the institutions/officials that control access to the rights and the procedures for transacting the rights. In several cases, landholders paid for land that a seller did not own or that a community leader had no right to sell privately (most notably, Case 9). In other cases, they approached numerous institutions in their efforts to formalize their rights; unfortunately, they were referred from one agency to another--all of which denied jurisdiction over, responsibility for, or knowledge about the procedures for processing the land claims (usually titling) (e.g. Cases 4, 8, 16, and 17). Officials sometimes arrogantly breached land rights, and at other times timidly avoided decisions about land rights so that they became immobilized and ineffective. Even when such institutions did assume responsibility for land transactions, they occasionally changed their operational rules over time, thus creating confusion (Interview G).

But the most fundamental issue concerning land rights came into play when such rights were multi-layered: in many cases no one knew exactly who had the strongest claim to land--especially when such rights equally competed or were generally unclear. Most commonly, rights of current tenants came into question when ex-landlords wanted to reclaim nationalized holdings, but the current tenants wanted to retain those same holdings upon which they had made costly infrastructural improvements (Cases 22 and 25). At other times rights competed because authorities had allocated rights to the same plot of land to different people (Case 9). At still other times rights competed because landless residents/settlers established a reasonable new claim (Case 1).

Even though various parties competed to assert land rights, the new elite wielded the greatest power. It is a sad irony that the very land policies, i.e. land nationalization, that aimed to eliminate inequalities by reducing the power of the colonial elite, merely created a pliable framework within which a new elite could amass power and wealth through land usurpations.

Considering the high level of frustration experienced by many landholders who were compelled to stand by passively while their tenuous land rights were eroded, it is remarkable that so few protested with violence (refer to Table 1 and Cases 8 and 14). Evidently they hoped that an end to the war would bring positive changes--a return of some urban dwellers to the rural areas, less urban land grabbing, and new land policy initiatives.

Nonetheless, all informants seemed to believe that building an effective land policy--one that does not "promote" land disputes--constitutes a monumental task. Despite the difficulties and obstacles, such a policy is essential if the costs of land disputes are to be reduced. From a national perspective, the land disputes in this sample incurred considerable transaction costs--scarce state and local resources had to be diverted to institutional processing of such disputes. From an individual perspective, the land disputes incurred undesirable transaction costs--lost time and money--as well as outcome costs--ruptured relationships within communities and widespread distrust in the legal system. In effect, government development objectives were stalled while institutional energies were invested in resolution of such disputes, whereas individual development incentives were reduced as disputants suffered tenure insecurity.

In summary, policy initiatives need to achieve the following:

1. Contextual influences - plan land development programs under the assumption that many war refugees are permanently settled in Maputo and will not relocate to rural areas following the war.
2. National administration and policy - define and delineate the responsibilities of all government agencies responsible for land affairs.
3. Local administration - clarify the roles of local authorities and strengthen local institutions. Nearly every informant mentioned the GD, but each informant had a different perspective regarding the proper roles.
4. Land affairs - assign a task force with the responsibility for reforming or clarifying land laws that have in practice subverted justice and promoted inequality: laws dealing with land "ownership", transactions, development, expropriation, and compensation, etc. In particular, study possibilities for granting some type of land titles--the major solution for the fragile and ambiguous land rights which are currently leading to land disputes according to virtually all informants. Finally, commit resources to land surveying and registration programs and to training programs, for example, in surveying methods.
5. Land dispute processing - delegate responsibility for processing various land disputes. The survey data demonstrate that few informants--either leaders or landholders--knew how the system should function.

- 2 -

Consequently, the system functioned very slowly and ineffectively. More research needs to be done on how the current land dispute management system operates before interventions can be devised to improve upon this system.

6. Community affairs - inform people through citizen groups (e.g. producer associations and cooperatives) about the nature of individuals' land rights and about the procedures for protecting such rights (i.e. required "paperwork"). Most landholders complained to the researcher that they did not know what their rights were in law: they seemed to believe that the legal system protected the rights of the wealthiest or those most clever in manipulating the system.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Administrador do Distrito (do Posto) - the District Administrator. The highest authority at the district level. Maputo is divided into eight geographic/administrative districts, each one having its own District Administrator.

Associação de Pequenos Criadores de Animais - A city-wide association of small animal (usually pigs) raisers.

Banco Popular de Desenvolvimento - The Peoples Development Bank.

Barrio - neighborhood. The geographic/political division below the district level. Each of the 8 urban districts in Maputo has over 10 separate Bairros. Each Bairro, in turn, may be subdivided into as many as 70 quartercoes or blocks.

Caixa de Credito - One of the now-defunct lending institutions.

Casa Agraria - The building out of which the extension agents of the GZV work. Agricultural inputs are also sold here. There are 4 Casa Agrarias in Maputo: 1 in each of districts 4, 5, 6 and 7 (refer to Map 1). Casa Agrarias are found outside of Maputo but are administered by the Ministry of Agriculture.

Centro Social do Ministerio dos Recursos Minerais - The Social Center of the Ministry of Mining.

Chefe do Quarteirao - The political leader of the block. Responsible for a single block subdivision of a Bairro. The authority above him is the Grupo Dinamizador.

Chefe das terras - Head of lands. This is a position at the block level responsible for ensuring that the occupation of lands and houses occurs according to the law. The Chefe cannot grant title. He may be involved in resolving residential disputes but rarely agricultural disputes.

Colono - The Portuguese that were granted land concessions by the Portuguese government in the colonial era.

Comando - Army post.

Comissao de habitacao - An ad-hoc committee formed at the block level to treat residential disputes.

Conselho Executivo - Executive Council. The political/administrative authority at the municipality level. Officials within the Conselho Executivo are all FRELIMO party members. In addition to the usual responsibility of a municipality, the Executive Council of Maputo is responsible for land-use zoning and titling. The office, or directorate, within the Conselho Executivo that handles zoning and titling is the Directorate of Construction and Urbanization (DCU).

- 24 -

Conto (informal) - One thousand meticals (2.2 contos=\$1 U.S.).

Contribucao Industrial - Tax on industry.

Cooperante - A foreign solidarity worker.

Cooperative Maguigane I - An agricultural cooperative in Maputo.

Declaracao - Declaration, formal accusation.

Decreto Lei - Term for a law.

Deslocados - People displaced by war, war refugees.

Dinageca - The Cadastral Office of the Ministry of Agriculture

Direcção de Construção e Urbanização - (DCU: sometimes referred to as the Conselho Executivo). Office within the Executive Council (municipality) responsible for land-use zoning and titling of both residential and agricultural lands within the city of Maputo.

Direcção Distrital de Agricultura - District office of the Ministry of Agriculture. Has no jurisdiction within the city of Maputo.

Direcção Provincial de Agricultura - Provincial office of the Ministry of Agriculture. The administrative level between the districts and the Central Office of the Ministry of Agriculture.

Estrutura de base - Base organization. Usually refers to the Grupo Dinamizador.

Estrutura local - Same as the Grupo Dinamizador.

Forças de Defesa e Segurança - National Defense and Security Forces, Armed forces of FRELIMO.

Gabinete das Zonas Verdes - Green Zones Office. An institution created in 1980 to promote the organization and increased efficiency of agricultural production within the green zones of the city of Maputo.

Governo da Provincia - Provincial government.

Grupo Dinamizador - Political/administrative institution at the Bairro level. The "Grupo Dinamizador" is responsible to the Urban District Administration, and through this to the City Council. It is composed of a full-time Secretary, who directs the "Grupo Dinamizador," a full-time assistant for bureaucratic aspects, called a "Permanente," and several voluntary "responsaveis" who direct a variety of social programs, including security, women's affairs, health, housing, production, etc. There is also a "Tribunal Popular" or local court, which is part of the "Grupo Dinamizador" (see Jenkins 1991: 128). A potential contradiction in the role of the GD still exists: on the one hand, it is supposed to be a forceful and critical representative of popular concerns, while, on the other hand, it may be required to represent unpopular state initiatives, as the lowest tier of the state structure (see Pinsky 1985: 301).

Guevas - Market women. Guevas buy agricultural produce (principally lettuce and kale) directly at the peri-urban plot. Often the guevas cut the produce themselves, then take it to the market where they either deliver it to their employer or sell it themselves.

Lei de Terras - The land law in the latest constitution.

Machamba - Farm, agricultural parcel.

Machambeira - Farmer, peasant.

Materiais duraveis - Durable housing materials, usually cement or wood.

Palhotas - Traditional, straw or reed housing unit.

Posto Administrativo - The building in which the offices of the Administrador do Distrito are housed.

Quinta - A titled plot, usually larger than 0.5 hectare, in the peri-urban area. The quintas were controlled by Portuguese settlers before Independence.

Quintaleiros - Owners of the quintas.

Regulo - Traditional, pre-Independence authority serving as the link between the colonial government and the local populations. In the peri-urban areas their authority was greatly diminished if not eliminated as they were replaced by the Grupo Dinamizadores.

Requerimento - Formal petition or request form.

Secretario-Adjunto - The individual who replaces the secretary of the Grupo Dinamizador when the secretary is absent.

Zonas Verdes - Areas of agricultural production within the limits of Maputo city.

REFERENCES

- Bruce, John W. 1990. Land Policy and State Farm Divestiture in Mozambique. Land Tenure Center Research Paper. Madison: Land Tenure Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Garcia, Maria Isabel. 1987. General Aspects of the Problematical Use and Occupation of Urban Land in the People's Republic of Mozambique. Report to UCHS Habitat.
- Jenkins, Paul. 1991. Housing and Living Conditions in Peri-Urban Areas of Maputo City. UNDP/UNCHS report for Project MOZ/86/005. Maputo: Ministry of Construction and Water Affairs, Republic of Mozambique.
- Pinsky, Barry. 1985. "Territorial Dilemmas: Changing Urban Life." In: A Difficult Road: The Transition to Socialism in Mozambique (John S. Saul, ed.). New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Rose, Laurel L. 1992. The Politics of Harmony: Land Dispute Strategies in Swaziland. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Roth, Michael, Steve Boucher and Antonio Francisco. 1992. Land Markets, Transactions Costs, and Land Use in the Peri-Urban Green Zones of Maputo, Mozambique. Land Tenure Center Research Paper. Madison: Land Tenure Center, University of Wisconsin.



ANNEX A:

LANDHOLDER ACCOUNTS (26 CASE INFORMANTS)

Table A1: Summary of Dispute Causes

Subject Matter	Contextual Influences	Case Numbers
War:		
War conditions		1, 2, 3, 4, 14, 19, 23, 26
War refugees seeking land/housing		1, 2, 6, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20
<u>Overcrowding and Increased Population Pressure:</u>		
Mixing of populations in new communities		2
Overcrowding in communities		2, 13
Population increase		6, 9
Migrations due to war or migrant labor		6, 9, 13
<u>National Administration and Policy:</u>		
<u>Government Land Policy:</u>		
Post-Independence government policy		1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 23
Nationalization of private land		1, 2, 8, 10, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25
Government expropriates land in nationalization program		6, 7, 10
Government expropriates land for development project or commercial enterprise		7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18
Government control/regulation of land is inappropriate or inefficient (e.g. no titles or slow granting of titles, and poor documentation)		8, 10
Government decentralizes land control to local authorities who are not adequately prepared		22
Government does not control land values, thus speculation		23
<u>Government officials:</u>		
Government officials are corrupt/display favoritism		6, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17
Government officials encroach upon land interest to obtain personal profit (land or cash)		16, 17, 18
Government officials are incompetent		7, 8, 9
Government officials authorize illegitimate land transactions		9
Government and local officials do not cooperate or communicate with one another		7, 8, 14, 15, 16
Government and local officials do not cooperate or communicate with citizens/communities		7, 8, 12, 22, 23
Government agencies perform overlapping/conflicting responsibilities or deny responsibilities		9, 16, 23
(continued)		

Table A1 (continued)

Subject Matter	Case Numbers
<u>Development activities:</u>	
Project introduced	7, 10
Project introduced that benefits some parties but not others	3
Land development and planning not clear	7, 8, 10, 12
Local Administration	
<u>Local Authorities' Control:</u>	
Authorities expropriate land and/or give it to other people	5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18
Authorities encroach upon land interests to obtain personal profit (land or cash)	3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 16
Authorities encroach upon land interests to benefit high ranking authorities or close associates	1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 18, 26
Authorities allocate land that has been settled by squatters	17
Authorities administer land improperly or inefficiently	4, 7, 8, 13, 20
Authorities administer land with favoritism	11, 20, 24
<u>Citizens' Actions:</u>	
Citizen(s) encroaches upon neighbor's land	1, 8, 10, 24
Landless squatter(s) encroach upon land	4, 17
Powerful community members encroach upon land	1, 8, 10, 16
Citizen(s) takes over land and/or builds house without consent of authorities	1, 3, 10, 17, 21, 23
Citizen(s) uses land for purposes other than authorized	5
Citizen(s) sells land that he/she does not own	9
Family member(s) sells land without consent of all family members	2
Citizen(s) sells land and refuses to turn over title papers	19
Citizen(s) refuses to sell land without property title	20
Citizen(s) allocates land to one party in order to avoid unfavorable encroachment by another party	21
Ex-landlord tries to reclaim former property	22, 25
Heirs try to reclaim former property	23, 26

(continued)

Table A1 (continued)

Subject Matter	Case Numbers
Land Affairs	
<u>Land Use:</u>	
Land rights are unclear	5, 8, 9, 10, 17, 20, 23
Abandoned land reassigned	18
Abandoned land (by Portuguese) not reassigned	20
Land registration	
Property titles not granted	4, 5, 7, 8, 14, 16
Property titles not respected	10
<u>Land Transfers:</u>	
Land transactions secretive	1
Land transfers unclear	8, 9, 10, 19, 20
<u>Land Security:</u>	
Land inheritance policy unclear	2, 5, 9, 22, 26
Land inheritance for children threatened	6, 23, 25
Land rights potentially threatened	7, 17, 22
No compensation or compensation for expropriated land does not cover losses	7, 13
Natural resources damaged or destroyed	18
<u>Land Development:</u>	
Commercial use of land given priority over citizen residency	14, 15
Citizen will not develop land for fear of expropriation/lack of title	17, 20
Fencing disapproved by neighbors	23

Table A2: Summary of Dispute Processing

Subject Matter	Case Numbers
National or Local Administration	
<u>Institutions and Methods:</u>	
Local authorities approached but fail to act	1, 2, 13, 20, 25, 26
Local authorities unable to act (DCU involved)	14, 15
Government agencies/courts deny jurisdiction over matter	16
Resolution Procedures	
<u>Methods:</u>	
No procedures	14, 15
Mediation by local leader	19
Court adjudication	8, 16
Disputants seek help from government official	18
<u>Outcome:</u>	
Disputants' relationship disrupted	7
Authorities offer land in compensation for expropriated land, but no secure title offered	17
Authorities render one-sided decision	21
Authorities reallocate disputed land	22
Disputant abandons case	14
Disputants decide to sabotage development project if compensation for resettlement not offered	16
Court cannot/does not enforce decision	16
<u>Appeals:</u>	
Time-consuming and expensive	8
Court appealed to because property loss would be high	8
Court appealed to when local authorities are at an impasse	20
Officials who expropriate land are the ones to whom an appeal must be addressed	6, 18

Table A3: Summary of Dispute Policy

Subject Matter	Case Numbers
<u>Contextual Influences:</u>	
End war	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 12
Encourage return of refugees to original areas	2, 9
<u>National Administration and Policy:</u>	
Coordinate efforts of authorities	1
<u>Local Administration:</u>	
Authorities should find solution to disputants' problems	12, 14, 21, 26
<u>Land Affairs:</u>	
Grant permanent land titles	1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14 16, 17, 18, 20, 23
Privatize land	1, 5, 14, 16
Promote written rather than oral agreements	25
Improve system for documentation	4
Make credit more equitably accessible	8, 16
Clarify responsibilities of individual authorities	8
Clarify responsibilities of agencies	8
Reduce corruption by authorities (regulate fee assessment, collection, and distribution)	3
Disband local authorities and rely upon government ministries	80
Do not permit ex-landowners to recover former holdings	22
Clarify ex-landowner-tenant rights	25, 26
<u>Land Resettlement:</u>	
Improve channels of communication between authorities and citizens before resettlement	7
Provide compensation for loss of houses, improvement to houses, destruction of natural resources	6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15
Provide partial compensation (residual value of total land value, after deductions for maintenance and improvements)	22
Provide compensation for moving costs	15
Provide people who are resettled with building materials	14
<u>Land Dispute Processing:</u>	
Find land for evicted landholders	12, 14
<u>Community Affairs:</u>	
Strengthen farmer associations/cooperatives	6, 7, 8, 18
Implement literacy programs so that people can defend their land rights	10



ANNEX B:

LEADER ACCOUNTS (9 INTERVIEWS WITH SAIRRO LEADERS)

Table B1: Summary of Dispute Causes

Subject Matter	Contextual Influences	Interview
<u>Environment:</u>		
Drought		I
<u>War:</u>		
War conditions		G
War refugees settling on land without proper authorization		A, I
<u>Overcrowding and Increased Population Pressure:</u>		
Overcrowding in communities		D, E, F, G
Influx of new or returning settlers from cement city		B, E, F, H
National Administration and Policy		
<u>Government Land Policy:</u>		
Post-Independence government policy		D, G
Nationalization of private land		A, D, G, I
Contradictory land laws		G, H
<u>Government Officials:</u>		
Government officials are incompetent or inefficient		G
Government officials do not cooperate or communicate with one another (or with local authorities)		C, H, I
<u>Development Activities:</u>		
Project introduced that benefits some parties but not others		C
Project introduced that does not consider local priorities		G
Local Administration		
<u>Local Authorities' Control:</u>		
Authorities circumvent or ignore law		A
Authorities grant land to favorites		F
Authorities profit from land transactions		F
Authorities overlook citizen violations of land laws--when local circumstances necessitate		A
Authorities play small role in land transactions between citizens		A
(continued)		

Table B1 (continued)

Subject Matter	Interview
<u>Citizens' Actions:</u>	
Wealthy citizens use money to gain access to land	
Citizens assert claim to a lot that belonged to someone else during colonial times	
Citizens deceive authorities (false presentation of status/interests)	A, B, E
Citizens occupy land or build dwellings without permission from authorities	A
Citizens cheat one another (using land improperly for profit)	A, B, F, H
Citizens struggle against involuntary relocation	B
Ex-landlords interfere with current landholders	A, B, D, E
Ex-landlords try to reclaim former properties	D, E, F, G, I
Ex-landlords try to resell former properties	F
Land Affairs	
<u>Land Use:</u>	
Building without authorization	
Using land for purposes other than authorized	A, B, F
Conflicting land rights	G, H
Residential land is greater problem than agricultural land	
<u>Land Transfers:</u>	
Land transactions are secretive	C
Purchased land is occupied by several families	F
<u>Land Security:</u>	
Land inheritance for children threatened	D, F
No compensation, or compensation for expropriated land does not cover losses	B
Land occupants can be evicted without warning	B, C, H
<u>Land Development:</u>	
Commercial use of land given priority over citizen residency	B

Table B2: Summary of Dispute Processing

Subject Matter	National or Local Administration	Interview
<u>Institutions and Methods:</u>		
Local authorities act as mediators between disputants		D, E, F, H
Local authorities in no win-situation-- caught between disputants		E
Resolution Procedures		
<u>Methods:</u>		
Wealthy use court		I
<u>Outcome:</u>		
Disputants' relationship disrupted		A

Table B3: Summary of Dispute Policy

Subject Matter	Interview
<u>Contextual Influences:</u>	
End war Encourage return of refugees to original areas	E, K, L, M
<u>National Administration and Policy:</u>	
Coordinate efforts of authorities Develop coherent legislation	C I
<u>Local Administration:</u>	
Authorities need to propose compromises between disputants	E
Authorities need to find solutions to disputants' problems	E, I
<u>Land Affairs:</u>	
Clarify land rights Do not permit ex-landowners to recover former holdings	F A, B, D, I
Clear up ambiguities in housing policy Sell nationalized houses to current tenants Cancel land allocations in highly contested areas (e.g. irrigated areas)	D
Favor commercial over private land use in order to provide produce for the market, contribute taxes to the state, and create jobs	I
Evict squatters	I
<u>Land Resettlement:</u>	
Provide people who are resettled with building materials	I
<u>Land Dispute Processing</u>	
Find land where displaced persons can resettle	E
<u>Community Affairs</u>	
Support farmer associations/cooperatives Inform people about the requirements of land occupancy and utilization	H, I G

ANNEX C

DISPUTE CASE SUMMARIES

CASE STUDY NO. 1

LAND ENCROACHMENT BY ELITE MAN

Date of Interview: 15 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Inhagoia

I. Date and Type of Conflict

In 1986, Mrs. Malhalele's residential lot was occupied by a man sent by the leader of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD).

II. Background

Mrs. Malhalele has held the lot since 1970. At the time of the dispute, she was living with her mother and brother. In 1986, she received a notice from the leader of the GD telling her that she had to allow the leader of the "ten houses group" to occupy part of her lot since he did not have a house and two other families had already refused to shelter him.

Mrs. Malhalele agreed, because in her words "they give the orders". The leader of the "ten houses group" began buying his construction materials at once. A month later he told the woman that he was going to build a straw house with a zinc roof. Mrs. Malhalele informed him that he was able to do that only because the leader of the GD had decided to place him on the lot.

The conflict began when the man began to build the foundations of his house adjacent to Mrs. Malhalele's brother's house who lived on the same lot, but in a separate house. Mrs. Malhalele's brother asked the man to build his house a bit further away because it would block his house's entrance and the pathway from the house to the latrine. According to Mrs. Malhalele, the man could have built his house in the same spot where he previously had built the straw house.

When the man did not abide by the brother's request, the brother complained to the quarteirao leader, asking him to do something. The latter informed him that he had nothing to do with the case as long as the lot owner had agreed to let the newcomer build the house. The construction continued. The woman is angry because her brother has suffered from the infringement, but she thinks that it is useless to further battle the problem. Mrs. Malhalele has not yet spent any money in resolving the problem nor has she asked for compensation.

In order to strengthen her right of possession over the remainder of her lot, Mrs. Malhalele has acted to clearly delimit the boundary between her area and that of the newcomer. She is further not willing to share with him the bathroom, the latrine or the kitchen.

Mrs. Malhalele is offended by the decision of the leader of the GD requiring her to accept a stranger on her property. She has nephews and other

relatives who have lost their houses as a result of the war; instead of helping them she was instead obliged to give a piece of land to a stranger.

III. Other Opinions

Mrs. Malhalele feels that this type of conflict stems from the arbitrariness of the local authorities and from the pressure exerted by war refugees. There have been two other conflicts in her neighborhood. The first happened two years ago when a tenant from APIE decided to build a brick house without the required authorization. The local authorities and the residence^l commissary decided to demolish the house but still allowed the resident to continue living on the same lot.

The second conflict happened in 1991, when a man managed to obtain a lot, ignoring the GD. When he started to build the house, once again disregarding the authorities, he was forced to demolish the building. The man later disappeared from the neighborhood.

According to Mrs. Malhalele, there are more conflicts now than before Independence. Prior to Independence, land conflicts were fewer because lots had private owners. Anyone could obtain a lot by paying an annual fee of 600 escudos. There were no housing conflicts because the people knew which houses were empty and which ones were not. The owners would post notices in the doors and windows of the houses announcing they were vacant. Not only were there no doubts related to which houses to rent, but also there was no need to go through hidden procedures to acquire a property.

The direction of the frequency of land conflicts in coming years will depend on the war situation, the type of coordination (or lack thereof) among the authorities, and on the level of respect demonstrated by citizens for private property. In her opinion, land conflicts will continue as long as rights of private property are ignored or disregarded by people.

CASE STUDY NO. 2

DISPUTE OVER LAND AND HOUSE OWNERSHIP

Date of Interview: 19 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Luis Cabral

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict happened in 1985 when the brother of the person from whom Antonio had bought the house made a claim to it.

II. Background

Mr. Antonio bought the house in 1984 from a man called Joao. He paid 90 contos (90,000 meticals) for it. The house had four rooms and was built of wood and zinc. The former owner had decided to sell it because he wanted to go back to his place of origin in Inhambane. Besides this house, Mr. Antonio owns another in Bagamóio, which he left to his brother.

When Mr. Antonio bought the house he and the owner signed a purchase agreement. The procedure was witnessed by the owner's relatives so that the transferal of the title would be legally acknowledged. The ex-owner even gave Mr. Antonio a declaration of purchase which he currently holds.

The conflict began in 1984 when Mr. Joao's brother found out about the transaction and decided to sell his own house in Bagamóio. The brother showed up at the house asking Mr. Antonio to leave in a designated period of time. Mr. Antonio refused to do so, showing the man the declaration of purchase. In 1985, the brother again visited Mr. Antonio's house, destroyed a straw hut on the property, and broke some windows of the house's main room. Mr. Antonio reported the act to the local authorities (the leader of the quarteirao and the secretary of the Grupo Dinamizador). Some time later, when it appeared as though the conflict was over, the brother again showed up and destroyed part of the house. This time Mr. Antonio reported the incident to the police. The man claimed himself as the legitimate heir of the house, since his brother was in Inhambane. The police threatened to jail him if he continued his behavior and told him to reimburse Mr. Antonio for the damages, which the brother paid. The conflict appears to have been resolved although the man occasionally shows up around the house and neighborhood.

III. Other Opinions

Mr. Antonio feels that there are more problems with land now than before Independence. The problems are caused both by the war crisis and by the nationalization of private property. There are many families who have arrived from the provinces to Maputo where they cannot find a place to live. People have no alternative but to escape the war. The relatives of these families living in Maputo feel obliged to help them because they do not feel like leaving them on their own. Today people build houses in swampy areas, in

garbage dumps, and in other unsuitable places. There is not enough room to shelter the people that continue to arrive daily in Maputo.

In his opinion, peace would be the only way out of the current state of land conflicts. Then, without any doubt, young and old would return to their places of origin. They are not used to the city where they have to buy everything; they are instead accustomed to living off the produce of their machambas. Even the youngsters would go back to their native land as their ancestors owned lands and apple trees that must be managed by the newer generations. Mr. Antonio has a piece of land in Inhambane that is currently occupied by the RENAMO forces; once the war is over he will try to go back and recover this land.

Finally, he states that there are no conflicts where he lives, as the same people have been living there for a long time.

CASE STUDY NO. 3

UNAUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION OF DWELLING

Date of Interview: 18 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: ?

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict began in 1988 when the leader of the housing committee demolished the house that Mr. Siteo had begun building, arguing that it had been built without the local authorities consent.

II. Background

Mr. Siteo, at the time, was living in his sister's house in Infulene where he met a friend whose grandfather lived in Bagamóio and owned a lot big enough for more than one house. He contacted his friend's grandfather and asked his consent to build a house on part of the lot.

Before beginning construction, Mr. Siteo contacted the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) and registered the declaration of the grandfather which stated that the grandfather ceded part of his lot to Mr. Siteo to build a house. During construction, the leader of the housing committee showed up one day and destroyed the building stating that Mr. Siteo should have obtained his consent before beginning construction.

Mr. Siteo stopped the construction and contacted the secretary of the GD and the block leader. They informed him that he had their authority to continue construction.

At a later meeting to discuss the problem among all parties involved, the housing leader justified his actions by explaining that there were many thieves in the neighborhood that could steal Mr. Siteo's construction material. Should such a theft have occurred, he would have been responsible for it.

Mr. Siteo did not spend any money to settle the conflict as very little construction had taken place. While the conflict was being resolved, he stored the construction material in the house of the grandfather. After two weeks, and the conflict had been resolved, Mr. Siteo began construction anew. Since that time, he has experienced further problems with the leader of the housing committee.

Mr. Siteo continues to feel that the housing leader acted in the way that he did in order to obtain money from Mr. Siteo. Fortunately, Mr. Siteo had the support of local authorities, which prevented the man from carrying out his intentions.

III. Other Opinions

He is unaware of other land conflicts in the neighborhood. Nevertheless he can remember that the area where he lives was not as populated as it is now.

- 45 -

In the past, anyone wanting a plot could have obtained one by paying the owner 600 escudos and promising not to build a brick house. However, beginning in 1983, the neighborhood started to be become rapidly settled due to the flooding of the Jardim zones, to the construction of a nearby highway, and more recently to the armed conflict and insecurity in the rural areas. In his opinion the conflicts that result from the war will only be resolved once there is peace throughout the country and people are able to return to their homes.

7
CASE STUDY NO. 4

DISPUTE OVER AGRICULTURAL PLOT BOUNDARIES

Date: 28 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: "25 de Julio"

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict, which occurred in 1984, involved the spontaneous settlement of a number of families on Mr. Chilaule's agricultural holding.

II. Background

Mr. Chilaule has been the owner of his machamba since 1964. During a period over a number of years in which he did not work the plot, a number of families spontaneously occupied the land, resulting in his holding being sub-divided into several independent parcels. In times past, such a situation would not have been a problem as there was not a major incentive for people to occupy land for agricultural production. It was normal for large owners to ask smaller households to occupy small plots on their land in order to maintain the land in good farming condition.

Mr. Chilaule was one of the first residents to settle in the area. He set out the boundaries of his machamba which measured 3000 m² in size. The borders was breached when a number of peasant households started appropriating pieces of the plot with the intention of staying. In 1984, Mr. Chilaule asked the Green Zones Office (Gabinete das Zonas Verdes) to confirm the area of his lot. He then obtained official acknowledgment of his occupation from the Executive Council.

Despite these measures, his problems with the land have continued. Theft has become a constantly recurring problem. He used to obtain a significant profit selling bananas, but currently almost his entire harvest is stolen. The same happens with vegetables. The machamba is frequently raided by people living nearby. He has already contacted the Green Zones Office asking for a new demarcation of his property. They told him to ask the Executive Council for the documents of the machamba, but the Council has informed him that it has lost the documents. Mr. Chilaule is now making visits to the cadastral office of the Ministry of Agriculture (DINAGECA) to start the process of demarcation and documentation from the beginning.

In order to protect his ownership rights, Mr. Chilaule plans to build a small house on his machamba where he can place a guard. He also intends to build a fence around the lot.

With more security, he plans to build pig stalls and raise chickens, and feels that his agricultural output will improve. He has already started the paperwork for a bank loan for these investments.

III. Other Opinions

According to Mr. Chilaule, one way to avoid land problems would be to distribute ownership titles among all those who possess a machamba. He knows of cases in which people with agricultural tools, tractors and charruas cultivate machambas of poorer peasants. When the peasants protest, they demand retribution for the investment they made in the land. If the owners do not have the cash for payment, they wait until the crops are harvested, then demand payment. This happened to his son's father-in-law in Xinavane.

Conflicts have intensified since Independence. He feels that the land problems originate from the lack of clear title to the land. The problems may worsen once the war is over as he predicts there will be a fierce struggle to own the land that is closer to Maputo.

Mr. Chilaule is not interested in selling his machamba. On the contrary he wants to invest more, raise animals, improve his crops, and buy an electric pump. Once he adds value to his machamba he would be interested in selling it. The price would then take into account the investments and the potential profit. But even if he sold the machamba, he would try to buy another one.

- 48 -

CASE STUDY NO. 5

DISPUTE OVER LAND AND HOUSE OWNERSHIP

Date of Interview: 28 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: "25 de Junho"

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict happened in 1985. The house and the machamba, where Mr. Xaval and his wife are currently living, was given to Mrs. Xaval by the previous owner. In 1989, a daughter of the former owner claimed the house declaring herself to be the only heir of her father in Mozambique.

II. Background

Mr. Xaval lived with his family on the island of Inhaca until the former owner of the house decided to leave for Portugal. The previous owner is the cousin of Mrs. Xaval. Upon leaving for Portugal, the ex-owner decided that his cousin should keep his belongings, including the machamba (1500 m²) and the house. He announced his decision in a family meeting so that every member would know his wish. The title of the property was given to Mrs. Xaval who has since kept it without having transferred it into her name.

In 1989, the ex-owner's daughter showed up, asking for the title. According to Mr. Xaval, the woman is an illegitimate daughter of the previous owner, born out of extra-marital relations. Given that the former owner's will to leave the documents with Mrs. Xaval had been expressed in an extended family meeting, the couple decided to call a similar meeting to discuss the problem raised by the daughter of the ex-owner.

The members of the family meeting were informed that the daughter had not been present at the meeting called by her father in 1978. She had now shown up, out of her own initiative, to claim the things of her father. The family members thus decided that the only and legitimate owner of the controversial property should be the ex-owner's cousin, Mrs. Xaval.

The daughter was not satisfied with the family's decision. Some months later she showed up again, this time asking that they at least give her part of the house. This time, Mr. Xaval intervened directly in the problem, and refused the woman's demands. He informed her that he had already spent at least 3,000,000 meticaís repairing and maintaining the house and that he was not ready to share it with someone who would make problems in the future. He would change his mind only if the previous owner changed his mind, and thus refused to accept the woman into the house.

Mr. Xaval feels that after all the years of maintaining the house he should not be obliged to share the property with anyone else. If he had not spent as much as he did, or the house was in bad condition, no one would be interested in sharing the property. To avoid problems in the future, Mr. Xaval

has made an application to the Administration of District V to change the ownership title to his name.

III. Other Opinions

Mr. Xaval knows of no other land conflicts in the neighborhood, a fact which he attributes to the land being parceled (titled) long ago. The only dispute is about the "empty" land in which some people cultivate vegetables. With regard to those plots, regulations of the Executive Council and the DCU stipulate that people should register their occupancy within 90 days. He is currently in the process of registering his agricultural land holdings.

He further noted that some conflicts with the local authorities result from the fact that people use the land for purposes other than those originally declared--a point which the Executive Council can use to expropriate land and give it to others.

- 199 -

CASE STUDY NO. 6

LAND CONFISCATION BY THE STATE

Date of Interview: 5 October 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Lulane

I. Date and Type of Conflict

This conflict occurred in 1982 when the DCU decided to expropriate a parcel of land held by Mr. Jopeia on grounds that the land was state property.

II. Background

When Mr. Jopeia arrived in Lulane in 1964 from the province of Gaza, the neighborhood was almost uninhabited and covered by woods. If a Mozambican wanted land, all that was required at the time was to ask the regulo of the zone. For 50 escudos payment, the regulo would grant a plot of land, demarcate it, and authorize the applicant to cultivate the plot.

Six years after Independence, in 1982, the Executive Council decided that the DCU should begin the process of parcelization. This process entailed the demarcation of new plots of land in order to meet the needs of the vast population that had immigrated to the area during the previous years. This process angered Mr. Jopeia, because his parcel was not included in the delimitation made by the DCU and because the persons in charge of the neighborhood decided to include his land in the state's special reserve zone for public works projects. Mr. Jopeia noted that the decision to create a special reserve was unilaterally taken by the state institutions involved.

III. Current Situation

Once the state reserve was demarcated, the Executive Council granted Mr. Jopeia three plots located near his house. This allotment was to serve as compensation for the land that was taken away, plus additional land for his two sons. However, development of the new plots proved difficult because Mr. Jopeia lacked money to invest, and because the plot from which he had earned most of his income had been expropriated. After a period of time, he was informed that the DCU was going to demolish the house he had on the expropriated lot in which he had been living. Later in 1990, he was informed that the newly assigned plots were going to be given to someone else. Fortunately, when one of his two sons came back from East Germany, he brought with him some material that allowed them to build a new house.

He currently plans to finish construction of his new house in order to validate his right to the property in Lulane. He refuses to leave the old house and thinks that the state should reimburse him for all the damages they caused when they expropriated the machamba; the reimbursement should include the fruit trees he had planted. He has the property titles of the new plots, which were given to him by the Executive Council in 1982.

IV. Miscellaneous

Mr. Jopeia is a member of an association of small producers in which he pays a membership fee. He has been farming for more than twenty years. He produces for both subsistence and the market. Two years ago, the DCU seized from him another machamba that was then given to a high ranking official. He kept a small extension from that machamba, which according to him is not enough to support family consumption. He does not know to whom he can complain about these injustices. When government officials seize land from the peasants, the producers association can not appeal to any governmental office.

The conflicts he has been involved in started after Independence, and worsened with the presence of war refugees. The quarteirao leaders take advantage of the crisis by selling land for agricultural and residential purposes. He knows that such transactions involve large amounts of money; there are cases in which lots are sold for 1,000,000 meticals.

Mr. Jopeia believes that land conflicts are going to get worse in the coming years, even if the war comes to an end. He intends to go back to Gaza, where he owns agricultural land, once the war is over. He will give his children the plots he has in Laulane.

CASE STUDY NO. 7

LAND ENCROACHMENT AND RESETTLEMENT TO PREPARE FOR POLICE HOUSING

Date: 7 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Lamlane

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict happened in 1981 when people were removed from a neighborhood to make way for a housing project for the workers of the police force (Policia de Mozambique).

II. Background

In 1972, Mr. Kipisso was living in a zone that had been designated a state reserve area. He got his lot through the land administrator (chefe das terras) who was subordinated to the neighborhood regulo. He paid 5,500 escudos which was a high sum at the time. Mr. Kipisso justified the price by saying that besides the residential site, the lot included a large agricultural area.

Mr. Kipisso knew before the purchase that the land was located in a state reserve zone. When buying the property, he was told not to build a brick house as the state was going to build a cement highway.

In 1981, the inhabitants of the zone received an eviction order to make way for a state housing project for workers in the police force. At the same time that the people were sent the eviction notice, the Executive Council assigned them new parcels to build their houses. The new construction was the responsibility of the Ministry of Interior. When the houses were finished the families were transferred and they received a document granting them the right of provisional occupancy on the new lots.

This title of provisional occupation, according to Mr. Kipisso, does not provide the new house owners security. First, the document fixes a ninety day term after the assignment of the lot for the beneficiary to start the construction of a house made of non-permanent materials (de materiais ligeiros). Second, the Ministry of Interior was responsible for the construction of the houses, but never gave them a document granting them definite ownership rights to the property. Third, the construction of a house of permanent materials [materiais duraveis] was considered legal only once the authorization from the DCU had been granted, and once they possessed the final property title. Neither of these steps has yet been accomplished.

The problems with lack of definite property title have never been clearly resolved. For a long time, Mr. Kipisso felt that everything had been legally settled. But as discussions around the houses became more and more frequent, and mainly now when the need for clear rights of occupation is becoming especially important, he realizes that there are many unclear issues. He is concerned that new authorities will one day show up with documents evicting the

residents for one reason or another. Should such a thing happen, the current dwellers of the houses would lack a clear legal base to defend themselves.

Mr. Kipisso has not yet taken steps to protect his possession rights. At the beginning he found the move to be advantageous because the houses built by the Ministry of Interior were bigger than their previous houses. However, he then began to realize that there were disadvantages as well, in particular his previous lot was bigger and had several fruit trees that were partially destroyed for the construction of the housing project.

III. Other Opinions

He does not remember other specific land conflicts in the area. He thinks that Laulane is big enough, one of the biggest in Maputo, and not even the war refugees pose a serious problem from the point of view of residential space. There are problems with agricultural lands in the irrigated areas. He knows of one large project where land is being expropriated, with considerable promotion by state officials. Mr. Kipisso described a case of an influential person, belonging to the state apparatus, who showed up with a document that granted him the right to occupy plots that were occupied by persons who had been there for a long time. The producers were furious, and collectively went to the district administrator for an explanation, but to no avail. In the end, the producers were attacked by the police, and the government official kept the plots and built a fence to protect himself from confrontations with the local inhabitants.

Mr. Kipisso is a member of a peasants association called "Samora Machelo". The association's machambas were parceled and reorganized in order to improve the use of the lands. Although the process of parcelization harmed him somewhat, he feels that being a member of the association protects him. He paid an initial membership fee of 2,800 meticals, in addition to a monthly fee of 250 meticals. The association is doing the paperwork for the procurement of the property title. At the time of the interview the plots of the members of the association had already been mapped.

During colonial times there were not many conflicts related to agricultural land, mainly because the area was relatively uninhabited. The current problems stem from authorities who try to profit from the powerless position of the peasants to confront local authorities.

Mr. Kipisso wants to go back to Inhambane once the war is over. Besides his father's property, he has coconut trees, cashew trees and other types of tree crops. He adds that it is going to be difficult to avoid having land conflicts in the near future as people are not on equal standing. On one side there are the government officials, on the other, there are the peasants.

CASE STUDY NO. 8

LAND ENCROACHMENT BY ELITE MAN

Date: 19 October 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Zona Verde

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict started in 1988 when Mr. Nhamgumbe's property was usurped by a private farmer.

II. Background

Mr. Nhamgumbe has several businesses including two farms, one store, a restaurant and two fishing boats. The farms were obtained in 1974 and 1979. One of them was given to him by the former owner, a colono for whom he worked until the owner left Mozambique around the time of Independence. He acquired the other quinta, a farm abandoned by a Portuguese landowner, through a petition to the district administrator. During the years following Independence, Mr. Nhamgumbe found it very difficult to maintain the farms because inputs were scarce and because there were no market incentives. These two reasons explain why, in the years immediately following Independence, so few people were interested in claiming abandoned agricultural land. Those who were interested either had the means or the influence to get agricultural inputs.

III. Historical Context

The conflict involves the farm (quinta) that Mr. Nhamgumbe occupied in 1974, located in the irrigated zone. It is 2 hectares in size. Adjacent to this property is a piece of land that until 1979 was considered unusable for agriculture because it was uneven and swampy. According to Mr. Nhamgumbe, others could not believe that this land could be cultivated. Together with his workers, he transformed the land into a very productive plot. Mr. Nhamgumbe decided that he had the right to work it because the plot had not been demarcated, as it was located outside the zone where the Green Zones Office (Gabinete das Zonas Verdes) gave possession titles. In 1988, nine years after his occupancy, a man called Mr. Maria, who occupied the plot next to the one held by Mr. Nhamgumbe, seized a large portion of the plot that Mr. Nhamgumbe had cleared. He destroyed 368 banana trees, 25 square meters of tomato plants and 15 papaya trees that had been planted by Mr. Nhamgumbe.

Although he is a member of a producers association, the association did not help him resolve the problem. Mr. Nhamgumbe first tried to resolve the conflict by talking with Mr. Maria. However, he refused to discuss the dispute. Mr. Nhamgumbe informed the district administration of this fact, the personnel of which then called the DCU to make a new parcelization. The DCU sent a group of three topographers to measure the plot on three different occasions. Each time they reached a different conclusion on the lot's size and boundaries, which acted to further confuse and complicate the dispute.

Mr. Nhamgumbe charges that Mr. Maria profited from an unfair delimitation of the plot and also began to menace him physically. In February 1989, he organized a group of military men that went to Mr. Nhamgumbe's machamba to threaten him and even shot at him. The situation was controlled thanks to the fast participation of the local military base (comando), from which soldiers were sent by the secretary of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD).

The GD informed the PIC (Criminal Investigation Police) of the state of events. The PIC sent an agent to investigate the case, together with a man from the Casa Agraria of the district. They determined that as long as the conflict remained, neither men could conduct work on their machambas. Another survey was undertaken in addition to the three already performed by the DCU topographers.

In November 1990, Mr. Maria brought claim against Mr. Nhamgumbe in city court. Mr. Nhamgumbe was found guilty of having acted viciously and of bad conscience, and was ordered to pay damages of 4,000,000 meticaís. Mr. Nhamgumbe contested the decision of the city tribunal on the grounds of complicity between the PIC agent and Mr. Maria.

IV. Current Situation

The two owners are waiting for the court to make its decision, which is expected to come in late 1991 or the beginning of 1992. Meanwhile both men are still working their own machambas.

Mr. Nhamgumbe still hopes that his arguments and rights will prevail in the conflict. But in order to be able to officialize the property titles he needs a decision from the authorities. By the time the conflict had started, he had already begun to legalize his occupancy of the machambas; he had even asked for the final property title at the Executive Council in the city of Matola.

V. Expenses Caused by the Conflict

It is difficult to estimate the cost of the damages caused to both of them by the conflict. Besides the material damages he also referred to moral harm. The friendship between he and Mr. Maria has been broken; they had been close friends. Mr. Nhamgumbe had helped Mr. Maria to get a loan to work his machamba. He had also lent him a truck that has since been rendered completely useless. Besides the expenses made directly in the machamba, he has had to pay his lawyer two hundred contos; Mr. Maria has paid his lawyer 1,000 contos. Mr. Nhamgumbe feels that additional and sizable expenses will be incurred before the conflict is solved.

VI. Other Opinions

Such conflicts did not exist before Independence. The problems started when the government declared that the land belongs to the state and that its alienation was forbidden. But the law is frequently ignored. He bought one quinta in 1983 for 1,500,000 meticaís and sold another for 50,000,000 meticaís.

Another source of conflict is the recent acceleration of land appropriation by government officials. This harms the oldest producers as they



also want more land. Once the war is over, there should be less conflicts as the people return to their places of origin. But that will not be enough. The best way to end the conflicts will be to give the producers their property titles, so they will have security as the real owners.

CASE STUDY NO. 9

LAND REALLOCATION BY AUTHORITIES

Date of Interview: 8 October 1991

District: III

Neighborhood: Polana Caniço A

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict began in 1977 when Mr. Wamusse protested a decision by the leader of the block to give part of his lot to a woman.

II. Background

According to Mr. Wamusse, the problem began while he was still a miner in South Africa and his mother was keeping his belongings. She died in 1976 while he was still in South Africa. Some time after his mother's death, the leader of the block started the demarcation of lots in his neighborhood. In the process, his lot was reduced and a piece of it given to a woman who was a friend of the block leader. Shortly thereafter she built a straw house on the lot.

When Mr. Wamusse returned from South Africa, he went to the block leader for an explanation. He was told that the woman was going to stay there temporarily until he found another lot for her. A year later, the woman started to build a brick house indicating her intentions to stay on the property. The conflict then became serious with physical aggressions, insults and material damages. Once the woman pulled out Mr. Wamusse's fruit and shade trees; he responded by doing the same to her. After further investigation, Mr. Wamusse discovered that the block leader had created several conflicts of the same kind, as he was "trafficking" in the distribution of plots. The block leader was eventually removed from his job.

Once the block leader had lost his position of authority, Mr. Wamusse presented the conflict to the GD, but no solution was found. The problem became more serious when one of his brothers and family came to live with him, fleeing armed attacks in Gaza. The small space that was already being disputed by two families began to be shared by yet one more family.

Mr. Wamusse started looking for alternative space. He found a lot in Polana "C" which is a new neighborhood, located in an extension of Julius Nyerere Ave, on the way to the airport, between the University and the countryside of Costa do Sol. Unfortunately, the lot was not suitable for residential purposes as it is located in a zone susceptible to erosion. The Executive Council of Maputo has tried to solve the problem by planting trees, but the people occupying the zone continue to pull out the trees for firewood and to build houses.

III. A New Conflict

In August 1991, Mr. Wamusse found someone who was returning to Inhambane and wanted to sell a lot and a house. The woman, who claimed to be the owner,

[REDACTED]

informed him that she would sell the house and the lot for one hundred contos. He accepted the proposition and gave her 30 contos and a cassette player as guarantee for the remaining 70 contos. Two months later when he was renovating the house, he discovered that the woman was not the legitimate owner of the property. When Mr. Wamusse found her, she had already spent the money he had given her.

The local authorities decided that the woman had to sell Mr. Wamusse her own plot and house as she did not have the means to reimburse him. She agreed as she also wanted to move to another house. The new house and the plot were priced at 85,000 meticals, of which Mr. Wamusse has already given her 60,000 meticals. At the time of the interview both of them were living in the same house, although the house already belongs to Mr. Wamusse. He has been living in his new property since October of 1991.

IV. Other Opinions

Mr. Wamusse and his wife feel that the kind of conflicts they went through originate from the bribes that some of the local authorities accept. They authorize "illegal" occupations of lots that are not intended for housing, and allocate lots to persons newly arrived to Maputo, in the process collecting bribes.

This is one of the neighborhoods where land conflicts are pervasive. Besides being a relatively new neighborhood, its growth increased when the war worsened in the southern provinces. The majority of its residents have been subjected to temporary and dangerous life-styles as they did not have a place to go. In 1989, some deaths were registered in Polana-Canico "C" when some straw houses fell down due to torrential rains. A majority of the residents of Polana Canico come from Magude, and many of them were or still are professional miners. They own good houses and plots in their places of origin. When the war is over, it is possible that they will prefer to go back to their places of origin than remain in the city. Mr. Wamusse feels that this will help bring the conflicts to an end.

CASE STUDY NO. 10

LAND GRABBING SUBSEQUENT TO DEVELOPMENT

Date of Interview: 16 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Navalans A

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict started in 1988 when a production cooperative seized one-half of Mrs. Duvane's machamba in the Infulene Valley. In addition, her neighbor, a woman farmer, also seized part of the machamba.

II. Background

Mrs. Duvane has owned a machamba in the Infulene Valley since 1957. It is a big machamba, where she grows vegetables and rice. She bought the machamba through a loan. She says that the machamba has always been wanted by many people. When her husband died, a cooperative seized a piece of her property without telling her in advance or giving an explanation. Last year a woman neighbor took another piece and started growing vegetables.

III. Authorities Involved

Neither conflict has yet been resolved. She claims to have the property title which she obtained with the plot. Her oldest son apparently has other documents that help support her rights to the property. Mrs. Duvane is afraid that someone will try to steal the documents if she left them at home, because her old age does not allow her to defend herself. She has thus given the documents to her son who will go to the tribunal to present their case. The son could not be contacted.

IV. Other Opinions

According to Mrs. Duvane, problems of land grabbing began only after Independence. After 1975, there were many people who manipulated the idea that "the land belongs to the people", and ignored private property. Some people from the Green Zones Office, from the agricultural cooperatives, and even from the Grupo Dinamizador use their authority and transgress or expropriate private lands. She thinks that if the literacy level of the people were improved, the conflicts would diminish. In her opinion, illiterate persons are more inclined to provoke conflicts and do not know how to resolve them.

CASE STUDY NO. 11

RESETTLEMENT CAUSED BY GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATION OF LAND

Date of Interview: 14 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Mahotas

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict began in 1989 when a police agent occupied two lots belonging to Mrs. Matusse.

II. Background

Around 1976 a police brigade gathered the population of Mahotas to announce that they were going to be moved to a communal zone. It was claimed that the urban plan of the neighborhood--houses and streets--was going to be altered. That plan never materialized. In 1980, Mrs. Matusse's husband who is now dead asked the Executive Council for two lots. He wanted one to cultivate plants and to raise animals, and the other to build a house. After his death, many people advised Mrs. Matusse to sell the land but she refused to do so. One day a police agent invaded her land with a tractor and destroyed her crops.

Mrs. Matusse denounced the incident to the neighborhood Grupo Dinamizador (GD). The secretary of the GD sent a notice to the police agent asking him to come to the GD office. The police officer never showed up and instead continued to work the land. Mrs. Matusse insisted that the GD resolve the case but she was unsuccessful. She thinks that both the policeman and the local authorities are using the fact that she is a widow to ignore her. She said that she got tired of struggling and gave up the attempt to recover her plot.

Mrs. Matusse did not spend any money trying to resolve the conflict. If she had the money, she would have paid the people from the GD to help her find a solution to the conflict. However, luck was eventually on her side. Although the GD did not help her, two years later the policeman left the plot and she was able to take it back.

Mrs. Matusse has all the documents related to the allocation of the two lots, including the plan of the house. The only documents that she does not have are the ones related to the house where she now lives, but she has already made the application to the GD.

Her major concern is that the property titles are still considered temporary, i.e. restricted to a fixed term. She has fifteen lambs, some goats and hens which she is planning to sell before someone attempts to take the lot from her.

III. Other Opinions

She is aware of other cases of people appropriating somebody else's land, a new occurrence involving powerful government officials. She has been living

in the zone for many years now. Only in the last few years have conflicts noticeably increased. She feels that the end of the war will put an end to the conflicts because there is no more room in the city for the refugees who are increasing the demand for land.

CASE STUDY NO. 12

RESETTLEMENT CAUSED BY GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATION OF LAND

Date of Interview: 11 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Laulane

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict occurred in 1984 when a lot belonging to Mr. Dimande was enclosed in a "state reserve".

II. Background

Mr. Dimande lived in Hulene until he got married in 1980, at which point he decided to build a house for his family. He got a transfer permit from the residence commissary and moved from Hulene to Laulane. Once there he presented the permit to the secretary of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) and was assigned a lot where he has lived since.

In 1984, a big sign reading "state reserve zone" was placed on his lot. Only after the sign was posted did the GD secretary inform the residents of the area that they would have to move somewhere else in Laulane. He did not assist in assigning new plots to the people involved in the transfer. Fortunately, nothing further came of the incident.

In 1987, some authorities approached Mr. Dimande and asked for a piece of his lot in order to shelter the families of several war refugees. He did not protest the request from the GD, because in his own words "they order and we obey". He added that they were not aggressive, on the contrary, they were very polite in their explanation of their need for land.

Living in an area that is considered a state reserve is no longer a concern. He has decided not to seek a different lot because he thinks it would be very difficult to get one through his own initiative. He also believes that the authorities should take responsibility for those people who are removed from their lots, and the government should reimburse them for damages.

He does not know of other conflicts. Recently, conflicts have been suppressed by the fact that people know their options: they either rent or buy land from the owners. Currently one has to acquiesce to the refugees demands because they are not responsible for the war. One should accept the Grupo Dinamizador's request for land for the refugees. He feels that peace is the first condition for conflicts to disappear. He himself is awaiting peace to go back to Manhiça to work in agriculture.

CASE STUDY NO. 13

LAND ENCROACHMENT BY WAR REFUGEES

Date of Interview: 11 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Laulane

I. Date and Type of Conflict

In April 1990, a family of war refugees occupied a lot of land belonging to Mr. Nhangale and constructed a house.

II. Background

In 1983, after having obtained the necessary authorization from local authorities, Mr. Nhangale and his brother, who were both miners in South Africa, acquired the lot where they currently live. Shortly after buying the lot, they built a house on the property. In April 1990, a family of war refugees occupied the lot [presumably during both brothers absence in South Africa], destroyed part of the existing fruit tree stand (cashew, orange, and mango), and built a house on the lot.

Mr. Nhangale was infuriated when he discovered what the "new dwellers" had done. When asked to explain their actions, they responded that he had no say in the matter as the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) had authorized their occupation.

Mr. Nhangale tried several times to find out who in the GD had authorized the strangers to build the house on his lot but no one has yet given him a satisfactory answer. Given the confidence that the "invaders" show in asserting their claim, Mr. Nhangale believes that it might be a trick from the GD. He is waiting for his brother to return from South Africa to resolve the problem. He will at least try to seek compensation for the destruction of the fruit trees even if the new family remains on the lot. The conflict remains unresolved, and to date there has been no skirmishes among the families.

III. Other Opinions

Before living in Laulane, Mr. Nhangale lived in Mavalene. He does not recall any land conflicts in that Bairro. Before Independence, the houses belonged to private owners or were the property of a "senhorio". If someone did anything wrong, the senhorio would evict them. Currently, there are many conflicts in the area because of the large in-migration of war refugees to the city and also because the local authorities abuse their power. He plans to ask for a lot to build a brick house as soon as he has enough money. He feels that one option for giving land holders greater security and to avoid conflicts would be to grant them property titles.

CASE STUDY NO. 14

LAND ENCROACHMENT AND RESETTLEMENT TO FURTHER COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Date of Interview: 12 October 1991

District: III

Neighborhood: Polana Caaico "A"

I. Date and Type of Conflict

Mrs. Daniel is a domestic servant married to a soldier. The conflict occurred in September 1991 when the DCU and the District Administration wanted to remove nine families and allocate the land to a private individual who wanted to build a bakery.

II. Background

The lot measures approximately 50 by 15 meters. The families found out about the decision last September, when the families noticed that bricks were being made. After a couple of days a sign was erected that read "State Reserve Zone under the control of the Executive Council". It was in this way that Mrs. Daniel and the other families found out about the decision of the DCU and the fate of the lot or lots (for the other families) in question.

The families built their houses in 1990, when the Grupo Dinamizador had allocated the land to them. Eight of the nine families are war refugees, who had come from Albazine, Magumane and Laulane due to frequent military attacks. The authorities want these people to go back to those zones, even though it is public knowledge that these regions have enormous instability and military risk.

The decision to remove the families was taken without consulting the neighborhood authorities, the GD or the block leaders. These authorities were also surprised by the decision and they sided with the families. According to Mrs. Daniel, each family spent almost 200 contos to build their houses; some of them, hers included, have not yet been finished.

III. Current Situation

The families still remain on the lot although construction of the bakery has begun. If they are to be evicted, the families feel that at a minimum they should be given a lot in a safer zone, near to the city. The Executive Council through the DCU should be able to meet their demands. Mrs. Daniel expresses surprise and dismay at the indifference shown by these authorities toward the safety of the population.

The families also claim that they should be reimbursed for moving expenses and for the cost of building a new house. The owner of the bakery and the Executive Council should reimburse the families, both with money and construction materials. Further, they should be given property titles to their new dwellings. If these requirements are not met, the families have decided to resist and even to sabotage the construction of the bakery.

IV. Other Opinions

Mrs. Daniel feels that this type of conflict stems from the lack of consciousness and respect for private property. She believes that if the lot belongs to the Executive Council, the local authorities should be made aware of it. But not only were the families not notified, the local authorities knew nothing of what had taken place.

Years ago, she lived in the zone where the neighborhood called COOP is currently located. When they started building the dwellings for the people currently residing there, the former dwellers were transferred to Polana Caniço. Then the Camara Municipality took charge of building the new houses for those who were moved. This is the kind of responsibility that Mrs. Daniel claims the Executive Council is presently remiss in not providing. The war certainly exacerbates the land problem, but the problem is rooted in the lack of respect demonstrated by the Executive Council.

CASE STUDY NO. 15

LAND ENCROACHMENT AND RESETTLEMENT TO PREPARE FOR UNIVERSITY STUDENT HOUSING

Date of Interview: 12 October 1991

District: III

Neighborhood: Polana Caniço

I. Date and Type of Conflict

Mrs. Seudela, due to the war, moved from Vilanculos to Maputo a year ago. She is married to a miner who works in South Africa; four persons reside in her household. Mrs. Chambale arrived from Chókwe almost one year ago. She is married and lives in a six member household. Both women were involved in the same type of conflict, which happened in September 1991. The conflict began when the dwellers of a lot were told that they would have to move because the land was going to be used for a housing complex for university students.

II. Background

This conflict is similar to the previous one (case no. 14); both happened in the same neighborhood. The lot where the two women (and other refugee families) reside, was allocated to them by the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) to construct their houses. In September 1991, the Administrator of District IV informed them that they should abandon the place because the University planned to use it to construct housing for its students. The families asked the secretary of the GD to explain the eviction. The secretary was unable to help them as she had not been involved in the decision. However, she did inform them that the borders of the university were larger than the area that the university was currently occupying. The two women thus thought it strange that the University was intending to expand onto their land when it still had room within its own property.

While the notice was delivered by the DCU, the DCU was informed about the decision by the district administrator. The secretary of the GD raised the issue with the administrator, who agreed to contact the university about the case. The women do not know how the conflict is going to be resolved. They know simply that the DCU wants to transfer them to Laulane and Albasine. Such conflicts arise because many people are trying to find a safe place in the city to live.

The two women have not yet spent any money in an attempt to resolve the dispute. They estimate the construction cost of their houses at between 200,000 and 300,000 meticals. They feel that they should be reimbursed for the amount they have invested in construction if they are to be moved.

They however hope to stay in Polana Caniço. The conflict has shocked them; they feel it has happened because of the authorities lack of respect for war refugees. They feel discriminated against after having lost their belongings in the war and having escaped to the city. They fail to understand the decision to move them to the outlying zones of Maputo where there are armed conflicts. Instead, they feel that the authorities should allocate them another plot in Polana Caniço and in addition reimburse them for moving costs.

- 87 -

CASE STUDY NO. 16

LAND GRABBING BY HIGH RANKING OFFICIAL

Date of Interview: November 1991

District: VIII

Neighborhood: Fomento

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The dispute, which happened in 1988, involves a high ranking public official who cleared land and began cultivating crops on a lot held by Mr. Mabasso.

II. Background

Before talking about the specifics of his land dispute, Mr. Mabasso described the general context in which land conflicts among agricultural producers occur. Besides struggling for access to land people also struggle to get access to scarce bank loans for agricultural purposes. A few years after Independence, the people had to get up very early to stand in line to buy bread, meat and other products. Six hours later certain privileged people would show up and join the line, but at the front. Within minutes they would leave with their merchandise while others would remain in the line for eight or nine hours. Sometimes after a long wait, the owner would state that everything had been sold out. Some people would then return home empty handed to wait for the next day's line.

The same thing is currently happening with bank loans. Many people stand in line for long hours, while others get their credit through the back door. A bank employee will appear and say that there is no more money for bank loans. This is what happens when we go to the bank to ask for agricultural credit.

In mid-1988, Mr. Mabasso tried to get a loan for the first time. He asked for 20,000,000 meticaís to buy equipment and to start work on his machamba which was located in Changalane. The bank required that he give collateral, pawn his belongings and pay for a co-participation in the loan. In order to fulfill these requirements he had to sell his hens.

Mr. Mabasso made a written request for his machamba in February, 1988. He gave the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) from Mafavuca, in Namaacha, the request along with the map of the machamba. The machamba, which measures 60 hectares is located in Changalane; he acquired it for agricultural purposes.

The GD gave him an occupancy declaration, which he presented at the local government offices (Estrutura de Base) in Changalane. The latter maintained a list of all persons requesting agricultural land. The list was sent to the District Office of Agriculture (Direcção Distrital de Agricultura) from Namaacha, which then sent a copy of it to the Provincial Agricultural Office (Direcção Provincial de Agricultura) which was responsible for demarcating the lots and delivering the occupancy titles. The District office asked for a payment of 15,000 meticaís for the paperwork.

Mr. Mabasso followed the described requirements. He then cleared the first twelve (of sixty) hectares. In December 1990, when he was ready to prepare the land a man from the Social Center of the Ministry of Mining (Centro Social do Ministerio dos Recursos Minerais) decided to cultivate an area of land that Mr. Mabasso had not yet cleared.

Mr. Mabasso took the dispute to the District Administrator, explained how the invasion of his plot happened, and demanded justice. The Administrator sent details of the case to the Provincial Agricultural Office. In response, the District Administrator received the following document (translated from Portuguese):

Having consulted the administrative authorities of the district we verified a contradiction between the District Administration and the office of the District Administrator (Posto Administrativo) of Changalane who were not able to decide who would work in the controversial plot, not even with a temporary title. The dispute originated when several institutions were involved in the assignment of the lot. These institutions were: Grupo Dinamizador, Directors of companies (Directores de Empresa), Administrador de Posto, and even the District Administrator. According to the law, the lots should be allotted by the District Office of the Ministry of Agriculture (Direcção Distrital da Agricultura) under the supervision of the district administrator. The local base authorities (Estruturas de Base) are only responsible for the defense of the family sector. Given this context we find that Mr. [first name omitted by the authors] Mabasso is the most deserving beneficiary as he was the first to be assigned the lot.

It is your duty to decide and guarantee the legal assignment of the lot. While completing the work towards legal documentation, Mr. Mabasso must be considered legitimately entitled to the possession of the disputed lot.

O Governo da Provincia
Direcção de Apoio e Controlo

III. Current Situation

The conflict has not yet been resolved. The man is still occupying the lot and refuses to leave until he is allocated a new one where he intends to transplant his nurseries. Mr. Mabasso feels that this is a pretext and the real reason why he refuses to move is because he is a personal friend of the Provincial Director of Agriculture. Mr. Mabasso contacted the State Inspector (Inspector do Estado) to find out which authority could force the man to abandon the lot. When the Inspector went to investigate the issue, the paperwork was once again sent to the Provincial Agricultural Office, which sent the documents to court. The city court responded that they could not take the case as it was not a criminal issue. The court then sent the documents back to the Provincial Agricultural Office.

The process described above happened 90 days ago. Mr. Mabasso hopes to find a solution to the problem before the year ends. He is resolved to enforce

the authorization issued to him by the authorities, even if he has to appeal to higher authorities.

IV. Conflict Costs

Mr. Mabasso cannot remember how much he spent on the dispute since the conflict began. Besides spending money for the administrative paperwork, he had to make six trips to Namaacha. He spent almost 60,000 contos for each trip, including 20,000 meticais for traveling, 20,000 meticais for lodging, and 20,000 meticais for meals.

V. Other Opinions

Mr. Mabasso does not understand the government's desire to develop agriculture while at the same time granting agricultural loans to persons that have not been involved in farming before. The man, who illegally occupied his lot, received a loan from the BPD even before he possessed the land to farm.

He knows about land purchases taking place in Changalane. The persons interested in acquiring land apply to the local authorities, and pay a price in money or drinks to acknowledge the favors they received. He also narrated the case of a farmer who bartered his twenty hectare property for three trucks owned by a couple of Italian men.

If the land belonged to each farmer, conflicts would be avoided. Issuing property titles is the best way to protect the producer. Otherwise, the bourgeois will take the land away from the poor people because they are powerful. The conflicts exist because of the widely held view that the land belongs to all the people. Yet, if it belongs to everyone the most powerful will keep it.

CASE STUDY NO. 17

LAND PLOTS ALLOCATED BUT OCCUPIED BY SQUATTERS

Date of Interview: December 1991
District: VI
Neighborhood: Zona Verde (Infulene Valley)

I. Date and Type of Conflict

This interview was done as a result of information obtained in a previous case study. The case involves the occupation of a lot belonging to Mrs. Gabriel with the authorization of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD).

II. Background

The farm (quinta) that Mrs. Gabriel and her husband are working formerly belonged to a Portuguese man who left the country after Independence. When he abandoned the lot, several families occupied it spontaneously and began farming the land. In 1982, inspired by the presidential speech that proposed the policy of making Maputo's green belt into the city's supplier of agricultural produce, Mrs. Gabriel and her husband decided to obtain a plot and begin farming.

At that time there were still several vacant parcels; but shortly afterwards many candidates came forward to occupy them. A committee of dwellers was organized to regulate the occupation of the land; some of its members worked in the GD or in the Administration and were also interested in occupying the empty parcels. A list of applicants was prepared according to the time of solicitation, and the committee began to distribute the parcels. Once the distribution began, however, it was discovered that many of the parcels were already occupied by small family farmers who had populated the zone during the first years of Independence after the Portuguese had abandoned the land.

The GD took responsibility for informing these farmers that the parcels were being legally reassigned to new owners. According to Mrs. Gabriel, the old occupants knew that sooner or later they were going to be evicted from the land they had occupied without legal authorization. The GD held a meeting to inform them of the reasons and details surrounding their eviction. The majority of the families understood the problem and accepted leaving.

Also in 1982, CETA (a semi-private enterprise specializing in engineering works) began draining and opening irrigation channels in the area. This provided the GD with land to provide to the farmers who were asked to abandon the parcels, a significant number of whom were old women. In exchange for their work, the GD promised them a plot of land. As soon as they harvested their last crops, the farmers were supposed to abandon their plots and the Gabriel couple was supposed to take them over.

The process of transferring the families from their old plots to their new plots lasted six months. However, one person refused to leave his land

holding--a man who was absent from the earlier meeting of the GD and the evicted families. When opening the channels, CETA occupied almost half a hectare of Mrs. Gabriel's property, including the parcel where the man cultivated his machamba. The man then decided to occupy another spot on the same lot that was already under the possession of the Gabriel couple.

Mrs. Gabriel complained to the GD and the Casa Agraria and urged them to intervene in the conflict. The Casa Agraria asked the man to come to the office to discuss the problem, but he never responded. When the man's wife found out about the denouncement made by the couple to the GD, she went to Mrs. Gabriel's machamba, insulted her and threatened to beat her.

III. Current Situation

Given the fact that the man did not respond to the GD's call, Mrs. Gabriel decided that he should pay fifty percent of the entire parcel's taxes. If he does not yield to these requirements, the case will be reported to the corresponding authorities.

Mrs. Gabriel has a temporary title and a written agreement from the GD that confirms the legitimacy of her occupation. However, she does not have the definitive property title because all the processes of land registration were recently transferred from Maputo to Matola.

IV. Other Issues

Even though the irrigation works carried out by CETA occupied half a hectare of her property, she nevertheless dismissed any concern at the time because it was for the public benefit. However, she was later surprised to see that an officer from CETA began to cultivate land in the most fertile irrigated area on her property. She does not know how to contest the incident, since the government continues to say that the "land belongs to the State".

Given these conditions, she has no intention of investing significant amounts of money in the parcel; neither will she risk asking for a loan and indebting herself. Besides the tenure insecurity associated with her land holding, the zone is not safe from military attacks. The parcel has already been attacked by armed men, and is being constantly assaulted by thieves. Currently she uses the land to cultivate vegetables, but feels that it would be futile to make significant investments in the land.

1. In a companion article by Roth, Boucher and Francisco (1992), they indicate that the titles were shifted from Maputo city to Matola in 1989, and as of the end of the field research in December 1991, were still sitting in disarray in a room of the Matola municipality.

CASE STUDY NO. 18

LAND CONFISCATION BY OFFICIALS

Date of Interview: 23 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Zimpeto

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict started at the beginning of 1991 when the machamba of Mrs. Lucas was expropriated by officials from the Green Zones Office (Gabinete das Zonas Verdes).

II. Background

In 1978, Mrs. Lucas, as many other families from Zimpeto, acquired a machamba for agricultural purposes. It measures 600 square meters. Her plot was part of the property of a man who left the country in 1975. It is located in a wet area where production for both the market and self-subsistence takes place.

At the beginning of 1991, a group of machamba owners including Mrs. Lucas revolted when some officials from the Green Zones Office tried to seize their lands and give them to the General Director of MABOR (Rubber Manufacturers of Mozambique). The director was intending to develop a quinta by consolidating six small machambas. The case was reported to another officer of the Green Zones Office who was responsible for the utilization of machambas and the protection of their users. With the assistance of this officer, this first conflict was averted, but it was made clear that those who did not work their properties would be at risk of losing them. The six persons who would have lost their machambas were thus able to keep them.

While this conflict was resolved in her favor, after a period of time, Mrs. Lucas became involved in another conflict. She had to visit Gaza for family reasons, and left her machamba idle for a period of time. She received a notice from the GD and from the Green Zones Office informing her that starting in September she would be removed from her machamba because she had been negligent. At the beginning of September, her machamba was given to a "Director" (not the same as the one from MABOR) from Maputo.

There are five other cases in Zimpeto similar to the one described--women losing their machambas because of supposed negligence. Mrs. Lucas knows that there is a group of "high ranking officials" avidly looking for fertile lands in Zimpeto. The women whose lands were taken do not think that they would be successful if they fought to get their land back. It is entirely possible, according to Mrs. Lucas, that the process of land redistribution and re-appropriation is being orchestrated by those officials to whom they would have to present their claim. She exclaims "How would I be able to prove that I am not negligent and that I need the land to survive?" For the last two months, the women have been looking for the one person from the Green Zones

Office who helped them during their earlier dispute. Without his assistance, they feel that there is no possibility of their retaining possession of the land.

III. Other Opinions

The small farmers who are losing their land have been cultivating it since Independence. They have never had a legal property title; they possess only a certificate that was distributed to them by the "association of machamba workers". Its members pay an annual fee of 1,200 meticals that is used to pay the general expenses of the association, such as the wages of watchmen and the advanced purchases of agricultural inputs.

The problems started when state officials in Maputo decided to procure land for themselves. She is perplexed. If the government who gave us the land is currently expropriating it from us, to whom can we appeal for a solution to the problem?

There were no such problems in the past because Zimpeto is far away from the city and most of the land is unsuitable for agriculture. Even now, the zone is not densely populated because it is affected by armed conflicts.

CASE STUDY NO. 19

LAND SOLD BUT TITLE WITHHELD BY PREVIOUS OWNER

Date of Interview: 24 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: "25 de Junho"

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict happened in 1986 when the seller of a lot refused to deliver the property title to the buyer.

II. Background

The lot was bought in colonial times by a man who in 1980 decided he would sell it to a woman. The latter, who was dissatisfied with the purchase, decided in turn to sell the lot to Mr. Magaduni. The transaction took place in 1986. When Mr. Magaduni presented the payment of 45 contos, the agreed transaction price, he asked the woman for the property title. He wanted to build a house on the lot and needed the Executive Council to approve the project and the building plan. The conflict began when the ex-owner refused to give him the documents which proved the purchase. At the same time she asked for a higher price than the one originally agreed upon. The conflict was settled with the backing of a person responsible for the distribution and control of the lots. The Magaduni family took possession of the property title, without having to pay any expenses beyond the purchase price of the lot.

III. A New Stage of the Conflict

Once the procedures required to transfer the property title were completed, Mr. Magaduni signed a contract with a construction enterprise to start building a house. The price for the work in the contract was 4,000,000 meticaís; the works were to begin once Mr. Magaduni (who works as a miner in South Africa) returned from South Africa bringing with him construction materials.

Mr. Magaduni advanced 3,000,000 meticaís, but when the construction ended it was obvious that it was not worth more than 1,000,000 meticaís. Mrs. Magaduni is waiting for her husband to come back from South Africa to solve the problem. Contrary to the other interviews, this woman had all the property papers, the transaction documents, the authorization for the construction, and the receipts for the construction expenses. She said that she knew the importance those documents had in case of any type of conflict.

IV. Other Opinions

Mrs. Magaduni feels that people take advantage of the war situation to create conflicts. She has always wanted to be a peasant. She spends the day in the machamba and depends on it for her subsistence. She will go back to the countryside once the war is finished, and would leave the house to her sons who are currently studying in Maputo.

CASE STUDY NO. 20

LAND ABANDONED BY FOREIGNER AND TITLE UNCLEAR

Date of Interview: 17 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Jorge Dimitrov

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The conflict began in 1977 when Mr. Muianga tried to transfer land in the name of his former stepfather's boss into his own name to secure property rights for investment.

II. Background

Mr. Muianga lived with his stepfather on the property of the latter's boss. In 1974, the owner of the lot decided to return to Portugal. Upon leaving he indicated that he would return some day, but did not say when. The man, who owned two lots, prepared a document certifying that Mr. Muianga's stepfather was being left in charge of the lot adjacent to the house. The other lot, located in Jardim, was left under the care of another employee.

In 1977, the latter employee died and Mr. Muianga asked the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) for the lot. Later in 1980, he officially asked the Executive Council to grant him possession of the lot, but they informed him that they would assign it only if the former owner would provide documents (a declaration) supporting his claim.

Parallel to this process in 1980, Mr. Muianga contacted the GD once more about the property title of the lot in Jorge Dimitrov. He did this because his stepfather was getting old and wanted to give him all his belongings.

The boss had been absent for many years and Mr. Muianga thought it would be convenient to change the property title to his name and then continue the former's project of building an auto-garage and gasoline station on the property. Plans had already been prepared for both projects.

He never received an answer from the GD. When he went to the Executive Council to ask for instructions on how to proceed, they asked for a written declaration from the GD confirming that Mr. Muianga and his stepfather had been living in Jorge Dimitrov since 1973. The GD secretary refused to sign such a document arguing that he had a document from the owner of the lot saying that he would return one day to Mozambique.

Mr. Muianga responded that if the former owner would return and claim the lot then he would abandon it. The GD secretary in turn was incredulous that Mr. Muianga could leave the property after having invested a significant amount of money building a garage and gasoline station on it. The secretary demanded that Mr. Muianga present a written declaration from the lot owner. However, Mr. Muianga, upon contacting persons in Portugal, found out that the owner had died.

According to Mr. Muianga, the GD continued to hamper his attempts to acquire title because someone else was interested in acquiring the lot. In 1980 the secretary placed a widow on the lot, who was a market woman. She was to live there only temporarily; but soon thereafter she built a cement block house, installed electricity, and channeled water to the house. Mr. Muianga feels that he could not have objected because it was the authorities' decision that the woman stay there.

The lot is very well located between the market and a bus station. Mr. Muianga has received several offers to sell the lot for significant sums of money. People would willingly establish stores or other businesses on the site. One person wanted the place to open a pharmacy and a clinic. Mr. Muianga refused all the offers because he wants to first obtain the property title to the land.

To resolve the impasse with the GD and the Executive Council, Mr. Muianga plans to appeal to the administrator of District V and to other authorities if necessary.

III. Other Opinions

Mr. Muianga believes that the conflicts in his barrio are caused primarily by the incompetence and negligence of members of the GD. The arrival of war refugees continues to exacerbate the land scarcity. To avoid the existing land conflicts he feels that it is necessary to dissolve the GDs or to appoint an institution that would be accountable to the Ministry of Agriculture or to the Ministry of Construction and Housing. He said that many problems are the result of corrupt authorities.

CASE STUDY NO. 21

LAND ENCROACHMENT BY CHURCH GROUP

Date of Interview: 16 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Jorge Dimitrov

I. Date and Type of Conflict

The dispute began in 1989 when The Church of the Twelve Apostles wanted to build its parish on Mr. Faduco's lot.

II. Background

The legitimate owner of the lot gave it to Mr. Faduco's father in 1969 or 1970. When his father died, Mr. Faduco inherited the lot.

The lot has a large extension that has not yet been occupied. In 1989, the minister of the Church together with a group of people started measuring the lot for purposes of building a new church. Mr. Faduco went to talk to the minister and to the people to tell him that he owned the lot, but they ignored him. When he contested the infraction to the local authorities, the leader of the block asked him for part of the lot to build a kiosk for some of his relatives who were war refugees. He agreed and gave him the spot that had been invaded by the church people. He was successful in defeating the invasion because the church people would not be able to overturn a decision that was going to benefit the block leader. He did not spend any money to solve the problem, but lost the land nonetheless [whether the land was permanently lost or temporarily lost until the refugees found another place, is not clear from the testimony].

III. Other Opinions

Mr. Faduco does not know of other land conflicts in his block. The zones with the most intense land conflicts are the areas surrounding the market and the ravine by the Church of San Roque. He has heard rumors about the return of land and houses to their former owners. He nonetheless feels that the local authorities should be able to avoid conflicts because they were the ones to allocate the properties that had been abandoned by the Portuguese.

CASE STUDY NO. 22

LAND CLAIMED BY HEIR OF PREVIOUS OWNER

Date of Interview: October 1991

Neighborhood: Mikadjuine

I. Nature of Conflict

The grandson of the previous owner (now deceased) of the house in which Mrs. Chiule is living claims to be the legitimate heir to his grandfather's property. He demanded that Mrs. Chiule abandon the house so that he could take possession.

II. Background

In 1973, Mrs. Chiule began renting the house where she currently resides. The property contains several buildings. The main building, a house, is constructed of wood with zinc panels as roofing. In addition to this main unit there is: 1) a smaller house built of the same materials, 2) a straw hut which serves as Mrs. Chiule's office for medical consultation (she is a traditional doctor), and 3) a latrine. None of these units have electricity or running water.

Prior to the time that property was nationalized by the state, Mrs. Chiule paid 260 escudos rent per month, of which 10 escudos were earmarked for public health and hygiene services. After nationalization, in February 1976, Mrs. Chiule became a tenant of APIE. At that time her rent was 210 escudos per month, of which APIE paid a certain amount (unknown to Mrs. Chiule) to the ex-owner (the grandfather) because he was elderly and had no other sources of income.

Last year (1990) the grandfather died. Since he was a widower and his only son lived outside of Mozambique, the part of the rent which APIE had previously been paying to him was instead paid to the next of kin--a grandson who resides in the "cement city" of Maputo. The grandson showed up at her house at the end of 1990 claiming the property. The timing corresponded with the end of 1990 when the National Assembly was debating the possibility of selling nationalized properties to the current tenants or to ex-property owners.

III. Institutions Involved

Due to the claim made by the grandson, Mrs. Chiule took the case to the Secretary of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) of the Bairro of Mikadjuine. Fortunately, the grandson never came back. Still, Mrs. Chiule feels worried, knowing that at any moment the grandson might again reappear. Should that happen, she would take the case to the Secretary of the GD and the APIE office of District I.

Mrs. Chiule does not question the right of the grandson to try to claim his rights as inheritor, even though he has never presented documentation

proving that he is the legitimate heir. But she also feels that the conflict should be resolved by the institutions that represent the government in the nationalization of residential properties. Her own rights should be respected, especially because she has lived in the house for nearly 30 years and has invested a lot of personal resources in maintaining the house and property. Within the last several years she has obtained the authorization from the GD to build a cement addition to the house. In theory, APIE is supposed to reimburse expenses for improvements that are made to houses they control. However the functionaries of APIE refuse to reimburse anything in the peri-urban zone, arguing that these expenses benefit the well being of the renters and therefore there is no need to compensate.

Mrs. Chiule believes that she is not free from the threat of losing everything she has invested in the house. She fears both the possibility that the grandson may win the right to the house or that APIE could invent a motive to evict her from the premises. As of the date of the interview, no monetary costs had yet been incurred by Mrs. Chiule in the conflict.

What Mrs. Chiule wants most is to purchase the house that she is renting from APIE. It makes no difference to her whether she deals with APIE or with the grandson. She claims that she is willing to pay whatever price that is suggested. If she were not able to negotiate the purchase of the house she would at least want compensation for the maintenance and improvements that she has made in the property.

IV. Other Opinions

The conflicts involving nationalized property have recently intensified. The debates at the end of 1990 and since on divestiture of state properties^{††} have boosted the expectations of the ex-owners on reclaiming the properties they lost following Independence. She is not aware of the occurrence of other conflicts in her Bairro. However, she states that in colonial times these conflicts did not exist because there was an articulation (relationship) between the regulos (traditional Bairro chiefs) and land owners. Rental rates and taxes were determined in coordination with the Ministry of Finance.

After Independence, the worst problem that could afflict a tenant was eviction from not having paid the rent. Otherwise there were no conflicts because all property was under control of the State. But as time passed, the State relinquished much of its centralized power, explaining why there are many conflicts arising now over property rights.

In her opinion, if the government were to recognize the rights of the ex-owners they would certainly be placing the current tenants and the ex-owners in direct conflict. The tenants would not accept eviction after having lived in the houses for so long, and after having invested so much of their time and money in maintenance and improvements. She feels that after assessing the value of investments made on maintenance and improvements, the tenants should be given the chance to pay the residual value left in the property (i.e. total property value less the value of investments).

CASE STUDY NO. 23

DISPUTE OVER LAND INHERITANCE

Date of Interview: 3 October 1991

District: II

Neighborhood: Xipamanine

I. Date of Conflict

The conflict began in March 1990 when the daughters of Mr. Dgege's first wife (now deceased) showed up at his house to claim possession of the property where Mr. Dgege is living.

II. Background

Mr. Dgege's first wife acquired the plot in 1973, upon which she built her house. At that time, she purchased the property from the Regulo for 2,500 escudos. The payments were spread over a 23 month period, including 250 escudos the first two months then 100 escudos monthly for the remainder of the period. When she married Mr. Dgege, she already had two daughters. At that time, Mr. Dgege moved from his previous residence to the disputed house where he is still living.

Mrs. Dgege died in 1984 leaving Mr. Dgege and the two children to live, together in the house. Shortly thereafter, the two daughters married and moved away from home. In March 1990, the two daughters asked Mr. Dgege to cede to them part of the parcel in order to build a house for a cousin of theirs who had recently arrived from the countryside as a result of the war. For the next several months, Mr. Dgege talked with the daughters' families in an attempt to resolve the dispute within the family.

In October 1990, the deceased wife's sister and her husband arrived at the house and demanded that Mr. Dgege cede to them the property. They argued that since it was Mr. Dgege's wife who had purchased the parcel, the property rights to it automatically passed to her family. Therefore, as a widow, Mr. Dgege had no right to remain in the house, and no longer being part of the family, he was not the legitimate heir of the property.

His attempt to reach an agreement with the daughters proved unsuccessful. Shortly thereafter, he discovered that his wife's family was planning to build a house on the property, at which time Mr. Dgege took the case to the neighborhood (Bairro) authorities. The Bairro leader (chefe do quarteirao) declared that the house could not be built without the consent of Mr. Dgege. However, the in-laws refused to accept this decision. On various occasions when Mr. Dgege was not present, the sister's family began to place construction materials (stones, cement blocks and sand) on the property.

Mr. Dgege is now hesitant to leave home; he believes that his in-laws would take advantage of his absence to begin constructing a house on the property. Their inclination to do so has already been proven by the disregard

of the in-laws for the Bairro authorities decision (Grupo Dinamizador) as demonstrated by the placement of construction materials on the place.

Until now, the conflict has not generated monetary costs. However, once it is apparent that resolving the dispute within the family is impossible, Mr. Dgege would spend money to involve the district administrative authorities.

III. Other Opinions

The interview with Mr. Dgege was accompanied by several women who are neighbors and friends of the deceased wife. They unanimously claimed that this type of conflict, in which the family of a deceased individual attempts to claim the land of the widower, has never before occurred in the Bairro. The more frequent type of conflict arise's when residents want to make investments in their property, and that investment affects their neighbors. For example, when a neighbor decides to construct a fence to demarcate his or her property, and one or more of the neighbors cannot afford a fence, the neighbor the builds the fence may feel that she or he has the right to expand the property at the expenso of others. These cases generally end up being resolved by the GD. In 1990 there were three such cases.

In the opinion of Mr. Dgege, this type of conflict (i.e. the fence conflict) was less frequent in colonial times as the properties were simultaneously sold and demarcated by the buyer and seller. Property rights were clearly and publicly established. If any type of doubt or conflict arose regarding property borders, it was easy to find the institution or individual who could officially establish the boundaries. After Independence this responsibility was passed to the housing commission (comissao de habitacao) of the Bairro. Now this commission is only responsible for distributing land, not resolving conflicts. The situation of land conflicts has worsened as a result of the soaring cost of living, and with the war which directly or indirectly continues to affect Maputo. Both a better relationship among neighbors and granting residents property titles are necessary to improve the situation of land conflicts.

- 82 -

CASE STUDY NO. 24

DISPUTE OVER RESIDENTIAL PLOT BORDERS

Date of Interview: 3 October 1991

District: II

Neighborhood: Chamanculo B

I. Date and Nature of Conflict

In 1989, Mr. and Mrs. Muchange had a dispute with a neighbor over the boundary line between their residential plots.

II. Background

Mrs. Muchange asked her neighbor's permission to extend her yard into her neighbor's property. She wanted to construct a garage to store her sons' cars when they came to visit on weekends, but she needed a very small portion of her neighbor's yard. Without the garage, there was no other way to protect the vehicle at night.

At first the neighbor accepted the request. Yet when Mrs. Muchange began constructing the garage, the neighbor protested the violation of her property. She claimed that she had agreed initially only because she did not think that Mrs. Muchange was serious about building the garage.

Both Mrs. Muchange and the neighbor presented the case to the Grupo Dinamizador (GD). The GD ruled in favor of Mrs. Muchange because the neighbor failed to give a valid reason for why she reversed her earlier decision permitting the construction, and because the construction had already begun. The conflict was resolved without monetary costs. The greatest cost was the loss of friendship between Mrs. Muchange and the neighbor.

CASE STUDY NO. 25

REASSERTION OF CLAIM TO NATIONALIZED HOUSES

Date of Interview: ?
District: ?
Neighborhood: Chamanculo D

I. Date and Nature of Conflict

The conflict occurred in 1989 when Mr. Jeremia attempted to reclaim the houses that were taken by APIE after they were nationalized following Independence.

II. Background

Mr. Jeremia owns 3 houses in Chamanculo which were nationalized in 1976. He has children and grandchildren that are now in need of housing. Since he retired this year, he asked APIE to return the nationalized houses to him, and if this were not possible, that they sell them back to him. He claims he needs the houses since he is old, no longer works, and only survives by doing temporary jobs.

The Grupo Dinamizador (GD) was informed by Mr. Jeremia of his attempt to recover the houses. Beyond delivering a declaration confirming that the properties of Mr. Jeremia had indeed been nationalized, the GD could not do anything further to help Mr. Jeremia. No costs were incurred in this conflict.

III. Current Situation

The conflict still remains unresolved. APIE eventually rejected Mr. Jeremia's request, provoking his anger and indignation. While he was still working, Mr. Jeremia did not have the right to receive the subsidy from APIE (a percentage of the rent paid by the renters to APIE). He therefore feels that since he is no longer working he should at least be provided the subsidy. He plans to resubmit the request to APIE along with documentation confirming his retirement so that they at least return one of the houses, and/or grant him the appropriate percentage of the rental revenue.

IV. Other Opinions

This type of conflict, involving attempts to reclaim nationalized property, have worsened since the Assembly in 1990 raised the possibility of divesting APIE's stock of houses and property. He is unaware of other conflicts in the Bairro but feels that land conflicts in general are more frequent now than in the past. He also feels that if the properties are to be sold the conflicts will become much worse. The owners will want to buy them back and the renters will refuse to vacate the properties. In order to avoid these conflicts (if indeed the houses are to be sold) the rights and responsibilities of the renters and the owners must be made very clear and explicit.



CASE STUDY NO. 26

DISPUTE INVOLVING HOUSE SQUATTING

Date of Interview: ?

District: II

Neighborhood: Chamanculo D

I. Date and Nature of Conflict

The conflict began in 1983 when friends of Mr. Mabote's brother, who were allowed to live in two rooms of his house while he was still living, failed to vacate the house after Mr. Mabote inherited the property following his brother's death.

II. Background

The house and lot originally belonged to the brother of Mr. Mabote. The brother was single, and while still alive, had several rooms in his house that were unoccupied. A friend of the brother, who was a soldier, asked if he could occupy the empty rooms. The brother agreed. After his brother died 4 years ago, Mr. Mabote, a painter and farmer in Marracuene, inherited the house and lot.

Since he has a large family and was living in Marracuene (an outlying district with security risks), he asked the soldier-friend to leave the house so that he could occupy it with his own family. The house, being in Chamanculo, is much safer than life in Marracuene. Mr. Mabote acquired a parcel for the soldier's family in Bairro T-3, purchased building materials for a house, rented a truck to move the materials to the site, and began constructing a house for the soldier's family, all in attempt to facilitate the friend's move. The soldier's family moved to the new house once it was finished but, instead of completely vacating the house of Mr. Mabote, he placed two new family members in the rooms he had been occupying and threatened Mr. Mabote with a gun.

III. Current Situation

The house that he inherited is in deplorable condition and needs major repairs before Mr. Mabote would feel comfortable installing his own family. But since the conflict is at an impasse, he is waiting for the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) to resolve the case before making any improvements in the property. In the meantime, the family members that the soldier placed in the inherited house are not paying rent, either to APIE or to Mr. Mabote.

Mr. Mabote and his family tried to force the two individuals to vacate the house earlier in 1991 by removing the zinc roof sheets that cover the two rooms in which the individuals live. But they simply found more material to cover the house and did not leave. In spite of pressing the case frequently to the GD nothing has been resolved, in Mr. Mabote's view, because the soldier and the new occupants are friends of the local police squad. He claims that if these

individuals do not vacate the house by January 1992 he will destroy the house and build a new one, since the house is already in poor condition.

To date, he has incurred costs totalling 100,000 meticals to resolve the case including costs of truck rental and the materials he purchased to build the new house for the soldier's family.

VI. Other Opinions

Mr. Mabote feels that this type of conflict arises because people do not respect inheritance and property rights of rightful owners. He feels that land conflicts, while not very frequent, are more common now than 10 years ago. If the Bairro authorities do not help the owners in resolving such conflicts, and if bribery continues, Mr. Mabote feels that there will be an inevitable increase in conflicts in the future.



ANNEX D
SECRETARY INTERVIEWS

CASE A

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARIO-ADJUNTO, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, MIKADJUINE

Date of Interview: 29 September 1991

District: II

Neighborhood: Mikadjuine

I. Bairro Context

The adjunct secretary estimates that the population of Mikadjuine is 9,000 people, distributed among 28 blocks (quarteiros). The largest ethnic group is muslim, among which the highest rate of private house ownership can be found. They are also the largest group to hold land upon which taxes to the Executive Council (Conselho Executivo) are still paid.

The Grupo Dinamizador, hereafter called the GD, knows which houses were nationalized and fell under APIE control and which ones are privately owned. There are houses that were never nationalized because their owners "gave" them to relatives or friends who pretended to be the legal owners of the dwellings. During the last 15 years these individuals continued to pay rent to the real owners of the houses. If the GD finds houses being illegally rented in this manner it is required to intervene, by modifying their status to conform to the nationalization law. Even though there are strong expectations that the land law will be ratified, the local authorities must rule according to prevailing law.

II. Conflict Sources

1. Nationalization of Land and Houses

The predominant conflicts in the neighborhood are related to land nationalized in July 1975. These conflicts occur with every type of dwelling affected by the policy of nationalization, principally the prohibition on renting and on private exploitation of dwellings. In many cases, those people living in nationalized houses are neighbors of their former landlords. Sometimes they even share the same yard. Due to the resentment of ex-landlords over the government's action of nationalizing property, ex-landlords frequently have conflicts with the occupants of those houses that are currently under state control. Such conflicts are frequently taken to the neighborhood authorities for resolution, usually to the GD.

In some instances, former owners intervene to prevent tenants of APIE from making changes or improvements on land which they lost under nationalization. These conflicts occur both because former owners have never accepted the expropriation of their properties and because they are aware that if the new occupant makes improvements, there is greater incentive for them to fight for the right to maintain possession of the house.

2. Dishonest Transactions

Another type of conflict relates to trickery among persons, including relatives. Recently the GD had to arbitrate a conflict involving a women, who

[REDACTED]

upon her arrival to Maputo after a period of absence, discovered that her son-in-law had sold her house for 600 contos (1 conto=1,000 maticais). The case did not go to court because the GD was able to resolve the conflict in favor of the woman.

The only way to reduce the number of conflicts such as the ones described above, is to prevent the ex-owners from harassing the tenants of the state expropriated houses. Rational behavior by all parties is necessary. Above all, the problems that the state created should not evolve into conflict and feuding among persons in the community.

3. Influx of War Refugees

In Mikadjuine, the arrival of refugees, due to the intensification of war in the southern provinces, has resulted in the occupation of previously vacant plots. The institution with the power to authorize the construction of permanent houses (those constructed of cement blocks) should be the Directorate of Construction and Urbanization (Direcção de Construção e Urbanização) or the DCU. Currently, the Bairro authorities authorize the construction of temporary houses (those made of reeds or zinc sheets). If a migrant wants to build a permanent house, she must submit a written statement surrendering the right to any future compensation from the State should the State need that property for construction of a public project.

III. Agricultural Land

Because Mikadjuine is predominantly residential the population goes to other areas such as Beane and Kilometro 15 to look for agricultural plots.

IV. Possession and Transfer of Use Rights

In general, the GD does not participate in the transfer of agricultural land or residences. These transfers are carried out by the private parties involved in the transaction. The GD does not mediate in any kind of monetary arrangement between buyer and seller. The usual procedure is that once the two parties reach an agreement they invite the GD to witness the new occupation and registration of the property.

- 39 -

CASE B

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARIO-ADJUNTO, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, XIPAMANINE

Date of Interview: 3 October 1991

District: II

Neighborhood: Xipamanine

I. Bairro Context

Because of its central location Xipamanine, is densely populated and strongly influenced by the dynamic of the more urbanized cement city, which it borders. According to the adjunct secretary, the neighborhood (Bairro) has a population of approximately 24,000 persons. Because there is no agricultural land in the neighborhood, the interview dealt principally with housing issues and matters related to business and industry.

II. Conflict Sources

1. Unclear Land Rights

Land conflicts have been exacerbated in Xipamanine because some of the residents have tried to gain lodging space for their recently arrived relatives who have sought refuge from the ongoing war in the countryside. The conflicts arise because, in spite of nationalization, the ex-owners still believe that the land is theirs. Problems arise, for example, when former landholders realize that a house has been built on their previous holdings.

Such an incident recently occurred. An individual constructed a house on a lot owned by an individual of Indian descent. The Grupo Dinamizador (GD) decided to demolish the house, both because it had been built without local authorization and because it caused many problems with the ex-owner.

Claims for houses are also lodged by potential heirs to the lots. Sometimes the heirs continue to pay taxes, and thus do not accept the occupation of their property.

Another recent case of a land conflict originated when a group of people requested permission to build a church on an apparently empty lot. The GD asked the DCU about the status of the lot, realizing that it was registered under the name of the Amaral family. The owner of the lot, who was in Mozambique, was informed of the attempt to build a church on his property. Instead of proceeding immediately to protest the claim, he waited for the group to clean the lot. Only after it was cleaned and prepared for occupation, was the church group informed by the owner that the land was his and that he was going to fence his property. At this point the dispute over rights to the lot began.

When the secretary of the GD was informed that the conflict was worsening, he called both sides together. The owner, expressed deep disappointment, and stated that he would not forgive the transgression, as his lot was not "the land of nobody".

[REDACTED]

After further discussions, the GD discovered that the church group had been using two separate explanations for their desire to occupy the lot. They had told the secretary that they wanted to build a church, while they had informed the owner of their desire to build a house. In the end it was clear that they wanted to acquire a large house on a separate lot to serve as the church. The owner of the house, which was to be the future church, would be given the contested lot and the materials to build a new house. But the owner of the contested lot would not yield, especially after he was informed of the misunderstandings related to the group's real purposes. The man was firm in his decision and did not yield until the GD confirmed his right over the lot. The church thus lost the labor it had invested in clearing the land.

2. Bairro Development Projects

Many conflicts originate when individuals present neighborhood development projects that involve land unofficially occupied.

One of the most controversial cases involved an entrepreneur from Kaputo and eighteen families that lived in a contested zone. The lot had belonged to a Portuguese man that had left the country in 1974; he had built a compound with a group of houses to lodge his tenants. After the period of nationalization, the rented houses came under the responsibility of APIE, to which the tenants paid their rents.

Recently, a businessman obtained authorization to build a nightclub and a hotel on the lot. At first, he asked the DCU only for the space where a hotel had previously existed. But when DCU officials were appraising the lot, they informed the man that before Independence the property title also included the area where the eighteen houses of the compound were located. The businessman then demanded the whole area, as it was registered in the DCU files.

One day, the man showed up at the grounds and started knocking at the doors of each house, telling the families that they should leave. This infuriated the families, not only because of the manner in which they were being informed of the decision, but also because they were current with their rent payments. The families became very angry, to the point that they armed themselves with iron bars to defend their right to stay. The GD intervened immediately to calm the families and to avoid physical injuries. It then began to seek a solution that would be reasonable for both parties.

The businessman was first asked for his authorization to occupy the lot, which he presented. With his documents in order, the GD found it very difficult to find a solution that would satisfy both sides. The GD was accused by both parties--the businessman and the families--of siding with the adversary. The businessman argued that he had been granted the lot because he had demonstrated the potential to generate a project that would benefit the community economically. On the other hand, the families criticized the DCU for prioritizing a project to build a hotel and nightclub over the rights of eighteen families.

The families also criticized the businessman because he was demanding a lot that had never been his. If he were the legitimate owner, things would be different. Instead, the families felt that he was able to claim the grounds

and evict them only because he was influential with the DCU. The real owner had left the country after Independence and according to the law had lost his rights to the lot both because he was not in Mozambique and because he was a foreigner.

But the businessman persisted. In addition to the authorization of the DCU he later showed up with the plans of the project he intended to implement. He also had convinced the DCU to designate sixteen lots in Zimpeto (District V) where he proposed that the families be resettled. In the beginning, the businessman did not want to assume any responsibility for moving the families to Zimpeto or for building them new houses. But once the GD had obtained the families' acceptance to leave the disputed lot, the entrepreneur decided to finance the cost of moving the eighteen families to their new place.

The conflict lasted six months; it ended by November 1990. By then the priest of a nearby church started to protest, because in his opinion the opening of a nightclub on the property would adversely affect the neighborhood youth.

III. Post War Hopes and Expectations

1. Return of Refugees

If the war were to end soon most people expect that the war refugees would want to return to their place of origin, in particular those that presently have not been able to achieve a means of livelihood in Maputo. To live for a long time with only 7,000 meticals per month, as some families do, is inhumane.

Furthermore, land conflicts will not be easily solved in a context of war. To an extent, the resolution of problems will be achieved once the outskirts of the city are made safer, because the city can no longer grow inward. Peace is needed so that the city can grow outward, towards those places where it is presently not possible to live because of threat of violence.

2. Pressure from the Cement City

Compared to in-migration from rural areas, migrants coming out to Xipamanine from the cement city is a more important source of property conflicts. There are more and more people who previously lived in the cement city that are now moving to this Bairro. They are selling the keys to their city apartments in exchange for the materials to build a new house in Xipamanine. Other residents in the cement city simply sublet their houses or apartments in foreign currency or in meticals and then take up residence in Xipamanine.

People are able to return to the Bairro from the cement city because they are able to sell their city apartment keys primarily to Mozambicans of Indian descent. The adjunct secretary suggests that these Indianos want to control the housing market even before peace is made. Growth of the urban housing market has encouraged owners to recover their former suburban properties. If this continues to happen, many more problems related to property conflicts will occur in the future.

- 93 -

CASE C

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, POLANA CANICO A

Date of Interview: 6 October 1991

District: III

Neighborhood: Polana Canico A

I. Institutional Conflicts

Conflicts in Polana Canico A, a densely populated urban Bairro bordering the cement city, mainly stem from the lack of coordination between the centralized DCU and the local district and Bairro institutions. The following three conflicts illustrate the various dimensions of this lack of coordination.

Case 1: Private Individual Versus Local Institution

This conflict, not yet resolved, originated when the DCU granted a concession to a private individual for the lot where the buildings of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) and the elementary school are located. The individual intends to build a bakery there and has the support of the DCU which argues that, because it has never been officially claimed, the lot is illegally occupied by the GD.

According to the testimony of the GD secretary, the DCU had never before shown any concern about the illegal occupation of the lot by the GD. Nor did the DCU involve the GD at any stage of their allocation of the lot to the private individual. By failing to involve or even inform the GD of the allocation process, the DCU violated the concept according to which the local institutions (Estruturas) should coordinate lot allocations among themselves. The DCU had decided to grant the lot without any consultation. The local authorities only found out about the concession when the person to whom the lot had been allocated showed up to claim it.

Case 2: Youth Group Versus a Church

A second conflict occurred between the Organization of Mozambican Youths (Organizaçao de Juventude Moçambicana) and a church--Igreja dos Velhos Apostles. The latter sought concession from the DCU to the lot where the neighborhood soccer field is located. The DCU granted the lot to the religious group in order to build a church. This was not expected by the young men who refused to give up their field. The GD was not informed that the concession had been allocated to the church group by the DCU until members of the youth organization informed them. This conflict still remains unresolved.

Case 3: University Housing Versus Neighborhood Residents

Still in the same Bairro, another conflict with the DCU occurred because the director of the DCU had ordered the relocation of several families who live adjacent to Eduardo Mondlane University. The DCU told the GD of the Bairro to inform the neighbors that the University intends to build houses for university

students and that the area occupied by the houses had been assigned as the University's expansion area long ago.

This case, together with that of the bakery mentioned above, would require the relocation of 60 families. The Bairro authorities were greatly shocked and offended by the allocation of the properties to the University. Only recently the local authorities and the University administrator had reconfirmed the campus perimeter, which did not include the area in which those families facing eviction reside. Moreover, as one of the Bairro officials pointed out, facing the street that divides the University from the neighborhood there is a large patch of land owned by the university that, to this date, has not been used.

According to the information presented by the GD secretary, when they approached the University administrator he claimed to be unaware of the procedures that the DCU had undertaken in the name of the University. Hence, they decided to take up the problem with the District Administrator (Administrador do Distrito) who said he would discuss the problem with the DCU director. This case also remains unresolved.

II. Conclusion

Two points came across from the interview with the secretary of Polana Caniço:

1. The DCU seems to decide the reallocation of lots within neighborhood borders without coordination with either the district or barrio authorities.
2. The conflicts generally originate from the recent increase in (a) private ventures, including investment by small businesses and private institutions, and (b) the expansion of the cement city into areas of this Bairro.

CASE D

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, INHAGOIA A

Date of Interview: 15 October 1991

District: V

Bairro: Inhagoia A

I. Bairro Context

Inhagoia was never officially demarcated and parceled. Before Independence most of the land belonged to a Mr. Lima who rented out the parcels for an annual fee. A guard was responsible for the property. Those that had the money could rent more than one lot. Many built two or more houses, living in one and renting out the others.

According to the secretary, housing conflicts dominate agricultural conflicts in Inhagoia A. People seeking access to an agricultural plot go to other Bairros within Maputo or to the less secure agricultural lands outside Maputo city such as Boane. Most of the residents seeking agricultural land from Inhagoia have acquired plots in the Infulene valley (Districts V and VI). There are over two hundred residents of Inhagoia A with machambas in Infulene. Many others retain access to a machamba through the FAO's experimental plot near Michafutene.

II. Conflict Sources

1. Nationalization of Housing and Land

Within the last several years, those who owned lots or houses before Independence have reappeared to reclaim their properties. If they go to APIE, they are told to file a claims form (requerimento), which they then take to the Grupo Dinamizador (GD). Ex-owners feel pressure to reclaim their properties as conflicts appear within their own families. Frequently their children get married but, due to the lack of alternatives, they continue to live in their parents' house. After a while, problems emerge.

Other claims are filed by ex-owners who, before the armed conflict, had moved to the interior of the country. Many have been obliged to return to Maputo as a result of the war and have sought to reclaim their properties.

2. In-migration of War Refugees (Deslocados)

Generally the refugees that come to this neighborhood first seek a relative's house where they are offered temporary shelter. They then ask the GD to help them find a permanent place to live.

For those refugees who have no relatives in the Bairro, finding housing is more difficult. According to the secretary,

"We have no alternative except ask those persons to go to Boane or Zimpeto. Zimpeto is a peripheral neighborhood of Maputo which has been

subject to frequent armed attacks, while Boane is approximately 20 km outside Maputo City. If a refugee accepts, the GD writes a declaration (declaração) asking the authorities of the other neighborhoods to help them find a place to stay."

3. Out-migration of Cement City Inhabitants

The arrival of people from the cement city is a problem in this neighborhood. Generally, inhabitants of Inhagoia A originally came from the southern provinces of Inhambane and Gaza. Immediately after the period of nationalization some people left the countryside as well as the peripheral Bairros of Maputo to occupy apartments in the cement city; a relative was normally left behind to care for the house in their place of origin.

As long as living was cheap, there were few problems in the cement city. But now there are people who cannot afford to pay their electric bills, and the time is coming when they will not be able to pay their rent. And, they are now remembering what they left behind. Only a few are able to make a decent living in the city while the majority of the immigrants are waking up from the dream in which they lived during these past years. Many now want to return to the less expensive peri-urban Bairros. But they are also beginning to realize that things have also changed there.

When former owners return and reclaim their properties they find diverse situations. Some discover that the tenant has replaced the reed walls with cement blocks. These tenants refuse to vacate the property without at least being compensated for the investment they made in the house. When the people involved are not able to solve the problems on their own, the GD or the district administrator is called upon to mediate and find a solution.

III. Post War Expectations

People's decisions to return from Maputo to their places of origin will depend more on economic conditions than on the political situation. While it is true that many people left their houses as a result of the war, it is possible that when peace arrives there will be a return to a situation that was common decades ago--i.e. the man lives in the city to earn a salary while the woman and children stay in the countryside. They will visit each other every now and then. The house from the countryside will send agricultural products to the city (beans, manioc, and corn); similarly the man will send urban products (mainly rice, sugar) to his family in the countryside. Each family will effectively be two separate but dependent units.

CASE E

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, JORGE DIMITROV

Date of Interview: 17 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Jorge Dimitrov

I. Bairro Context

1. Unclear Land Rights

The secretary emphasized the fact that many conflicts are occurring in the nationalized houses which are managed by APIE. Beginning in 1989, many ex-owners have visited the office of the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) to reclaim the houses that were nationalized in 1976. Some of them have demonstrated persistence and determination in recuperating their properties; others make their initial demands but do not show interest afterwards. In either case the Bairro authorities are made aware that residential property rights in the peri-urban areas are not well defined. For that reason they try to dissuade current tenants (inquilinos) from investing in the houses they live in until they are certain that no one is going to appear to reclaim the property. In spite of these efforts, many renters have made improvements in the houses they live in.

2. Recent Involvement of Local Authorities in Conflicts

During the last year the GD intervened in the resolution of three conflicts. In all cases the dispute was resolved in favor of the plaintiff. In two cases, those who claimed to be former owners argued that they wanted to bequeath the houses to their children. They were also able to prove that the current tenants were destroying the house. After an initial disagreement, the local authorities were asked to mediate. They endorsed the rights of the ex-owners but also tried to defend the rights of the families that were being evicted. Eventually the conflict was resolved when the owners accepted to build reed straw houses for the evicted families.

3. Lots Reserved for Public Projects

A large area within the neighborhood of Jorge Dimitrov is demarcated as a state reserve (reserva do estado). This reserve was initially set aside to implement several non-residential projects such as small businesses, industry, and schools. Instead, with the intensification of violence in the Southern countryside, the local authorities have been using this area for temporary housing for the flood of incoming war refugees. According to the Secretary,

"When we were assigning lots in the reserved area we asked the refugees to write a letter saying that if asked to leave they would not demand any compensation. We also told them that they were only allowed to build straw, wooden or zinc houses. But in 1990 we stopped assigning lots both because the neighborhood was overpopulated and because we were concerned that we would have problems when trying to evict people from the lots

located in the reserve zone and other areas not intended for housing. At that point every space was occupied, including the lots that were supposedly reserved for World Bank projects, the paper recycling factory, and many other projects."

Land and housing conflicts have increased due to the presence of both war refugees coming into the peri-urban area from the countryside and people leaving the cement city to look for residential land in the peri-urban Bairros.

The task of residential settlement is not carried out exclusively by the Bairro authorities. Since 1987, some of the block leaders (Chefe do Quarteirao) have been distributing lots in the reserve zone. These block leaders have also been involved in the sale of residential lots (see appendix E of Roth, Eoucher, Francisco 1992).

II. Conflicts Over Residential Purchases

In the last several years the number of persons wanting to buy colonial quintas (the residential/agricultural parcels in the peri-urban areas that were occupied by the Portuguese colonists until Independence) has increased. Conflicts arise when these individuals discover that the parcel, as it was originally demarcated and still registered in the municipality's books, has already been occupied by several families. The following examples of this type of conflict occurred during 1991.

1. Family of Government Member Versus Local Residents

One of the most recent cases involves Mrs. Mucumbi, the wife of a prominent member of the government. She applied to buy a quinta adjacent to the principal church in Jorge Dimitrov. After the DCU accepted her request, Mrs. Mucumbi called together those families that had occupied the quinta and told them that she intended to cultivate the land where they had built their houses. Although the people listened to her explanations they refused to leave, arguing that they had been living there for a long time. Some of the families claimed that their children had been born there. They became infuriated when she continued with her demands to occupy the quinta. Even though she is the wife of a member of the government, they felt that she has no more right to occupy the lot than they have.

After several failed attempts to convince them to abandon the quinta, Mrs. Mucumbi tried to use aggressive tactics [no specifics given]. However, the families that she was trying to evict belonged to two popular neighborhood organizations--the Associação Força do Povo and the Cooperativa Maguiguane I. Both organizations have strengthened and unified the families to such a point that the secretary advised Mrs. Mucumbi to renounce her claim to the families' machambas. She refuses to do so, arguing that she was granted the entire extension of the quinta including the house, the orchard and the irrigated land adjacent to the Infulene irrigation channel. This conflict still remains unresolved.

2. Local Authorities Involved in Land Sales

Other conflicts occur when persons wanting to take up residence in the Bairro arrive claiming that they had lived there previously and now want to

return. After Independence, many people left the Bairro and went elsewhere, frequently to the cement city, looking for a place to live. Upon leaving the Bairro, they normally left a relative in charge of their land and property. Many of these individuals are now returning to reclaim the properties they left years ago. According to the secretary, many of these individuals want their lots back in order to sell them and not, as they claim, to give them to other landless relatives.

Recently a young member of the local authorities committed suicide after trying to sell the same lot to several persons. He became desperate when he could not clear up the confusion he had created. The eventual buyers had given him 1,000,000 meticals for the lot. After building a reed hut (palhota) they were able to sell the lot and the house for only 10,000 meticals.

3. Conflicts Between Family Members

Another conflict occurred in 1986 between an individual from Marracuene and his cousin to whom he had left a house in Jorge Dimitrov. Recently the man showed up asking the cousin to return the house. The cousin refused to leave, arguing that he had been living in the house for more than ten years and was its legitimate owner. There was no written proof supporting the two men's claims. Therefore the cousin was evicted. After his eviction, the cousin returned to attempt to reoccupy the house. He was imprisoned and was still in jail at the time of the interview.

4. Private Resident Organizing Land Sales

The biggest conflict in the neighborhood was caused by a private resident who organized a thriving business selling lots. He even had bodyguards to defend him. He lost his business when he sold the same lot to several different persons. He was imprisoned for some time and, upon his release, the persons whom he had cheated took their revenge by burning his house.

CASE F

DISCUSSIONS WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, MAXAQUENE C

Date of Interview: 6 October 1992

District: III

Neighborhood: Maxaquene C

I. Assimilating the War Refugees

According to the secretary, incoming refugees to this Bairro come mainly from the southern districts of Manhiça, Magude, and Macia. Upon arrival in Maputo City, they first seek out relatives. In the case of Maxaquene, almost all the refugees coming in have either close or distant relatives in the Bairro. No one shows up asking for a place to stay without having family contacts.

Consider the secretary's family as an example! In early 1991, one of his uncles arrived in the Bairro fleeing the war and moved in with his son. The latter had his own family, including a wife and children, and lived in a small one bedroom house. Along with the uncle came his two wives and children as well as a brother and his wife. With the additions, the former six person household expanded to twenty people.

The uncle was offered a house when he first arrived. At first he did not accept, but by the end of the week he decided to move to the house. Nevertheless, he still visits his son's house daily.

Refugees resort to the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) after having failed to find housing within their family networks. They ask the GD for a "little place" where they can live with their family. The problem is then assumed by the official in charge of housing who has to find a ten by sixteen meter lot. If one is available, they authorize its occupation. The concession of the lot does not imply any payment because the law prohibits land sales.

Such was the procedure for land allocation while there were still lots available; but now there are not. On the contrary, there are blocks that should have only twenty five houses, but currently have fifty. For this reason the GD is advising the refugees to go to another neighborhood and gives them a letter of recommendation. Some manage to find a lot on their own; generally they buy one in spite of the law. This is possible because there are powerful persons who work in South Africa who are always willing to sell land.

II. Conflicts with Ex-Owners

At the moment there are no procedures for dealing with owners that attempt to reclaim their former properties. In discussions between the government and local authorities, there were some officials who proposed that the houses should be given back to former owners while others thought that current tenants should have the right to buy the houses. The secretary feels that the nationalization of reed houses in the peri-urban zones was a mistake; but

nevertheless it was a fact. The pressing need now is to solve the problems caused by that mistake. According to the secretary,

"Many of the neighborhood secretaries have told the government that the houses should not be given back to their former owners; to do so would provoke another war. It would cause great confusion both among current tenants and ex-owners who for one reason or another have already lost their houses. For example, when some houses were demolished, the GD kept the zinc roof strips and in some places streets were built. No compensation was paid. What are we going to give back now?"

The secretary felt that the most appropriate and less controversial decision would be to sell the houses to the tenants, even if at a symbolic price--because many tenants have spent a lot of money improving the houses. Nevertheless he feels that the houses should not be donated to the tenants. If the houses are sold, the government could then give those ex-owners who want to reclaim their properties the sale price of the house.

III. Conflicts Due to New Projects

The secretary talked about the project that built houses for teachers of the Industrial Institute (Instituto Industrial) near the Praça da OMN. The project stipulated that the existing tenants should be moved to Laulane. The authorities bought the materials to build reed houses and the project beneficiaries provided the doors and locks. Instead of being managed by APIB, the new houses were the beneficiaries' property.

There are also regulations that ban the construction of brick houses in certain areas. The tenants that occupy those places know that they are temporary dwellers and that someday they are going to be requested to leave. The secretary reflects on one pressing problem,

"What worries me is that the lots were distributed in 1977 and at that time there was an architect who thought that the lots should measure 10x16 meters instead of 16x24 meters. At that time I was secretary and thought that everything had been approved. The lots were given out for free, maybe in pursuit of the principles of socialism. Now the DCU has come with a different architect saying that the zone is not yet urbanized. They want to get involved and redo everything again. That could cause chaos."

IV. Ex-residents Returning from the Cement City

After Independence some people sold their houses and hurried to claim the vacant apartments being offered by the government in the cement city; others left their houses to relatives. One current resident was left a cement block house by his brother, who went to occupy an apartment in the cement city at the time of Independence. At the time, the brother only wanted to maintain claim to the zinc roofing strips. Recently he returned, but claimed the whole house. The brother, having resided in the house for the last fifteen years, already had a refrigerator, a stove and many other things. He was in a very difficult situation because he did not know where to find a house to take his family and belongings. He decided to call a family meeting and tell them what his brother had done. With the intervention of the GD he managed to stay in the house.

Similar problems have divided several families. There are persons who are heartless even with their siblings. People sometimes offer building materials and 300,000 or 400,000 meticals to persons who are willing to sell their land and house. People go crazy over the knowledge of such offers, and to reap the economic benefit, act to reclaim property they had abandoned fifteen or twenty years ago.

The secretary recalls even more severe family conflicts. The family of the wife of a recently married couple offered the newlyweds a house. Soon thereafter the wife died. After ninety days mourning, the widower took another wife. The deceased wife's family accused him of having killed his former wife. They forced him to give the house back as it had been given to his wife and not to him. The husband, however, refused to leave.

When the conflict was presented to the GD they decided that the husband should abandon the house within ninety days. But that time passed and he still refused to leave. The case went to the Bairro court which gave him an additional fifteen days to vacate the place, but he insisted on staying. The case was then forwarded to the district court. With both sides having some local influence, the case finally arrived at the office of the Presidency. The President himself sent the district attorney to resolve the case. He met with the district administrator who gave the husband one last chance to leave the house. But again he would not leave. The administrator ordered the GD and the police to notify the man of the date they were going to evict him. When the time for eviction came, the eviction committee visited the house and threw the man's belongings into the street. At noon, the husband, who had been away in Moamba, showed up in a truck and moved his personal effects somewhere else.

V. Post War Expectations

Although native Maputo residents want the refugees to go back to their homelands, things are not so easy. Each family member is making their own plans. The elderly will return to where they came from, but young men and women will either stay in Maputo to study or go to South Africa. In the secretary's view,

"The houses (reed huts) will never disappear. Some people will leave but others will come and occupy them. Some can sell the houses and return to their homelands. But there will also be new waves of people that will show up, including soldiers, either from Renamo or Frelimo, and the refugees currently living in camps in the neighboring countries. The end of the war will not bring the end of property conflicts."

CASE 6

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, 25 DE JUNHO

Date of Interview: 28 October 1992

District: V

Neighborhood: 25 de Junho

I. Conflict Sources

1. Nationalization of Houses

Before Independence people had the tradition of buying lots to give their children as inheritance. When land was nationalized people found themselves confronted by a different set of rules. Some had just paid off their lots. Others had only signed a contract and had not yet made any payments, and there were those who had paid off their lots, built houses and were renting them out.

Almost every one was happy with the nationalization. Because most people had nothing to begin with, nationalization gave them the opportunity to acquire land and property. In the beginning, even Mozambican landowners were happy with the measure since they thought it was directed only at the white Portuguese. But the revolution against exploitation affected everyone. Frelimo brought with the armed struggle the principle that they would not simply substitute the Portuguese flag with the Mozambican flag, nor would they simply replace a white exploiter with a black one.

The people are now divided. Politics have changed and those who were damaged by revolutionary measures feel that it is time to reclaim their rights. There are several causes of conflict. First, in the span of only a few years the government has issued many contradictory laws. Second, the rights of one group of persons are beginning to conflict with those of another group. Third, the Economic Recovery Program instituted in 1987 and the war have worsened the standard of living. Life has become difficult for many people.

Another problem originates from the fact that many people are unfamiliar with the requirements of land utilization. As noted by the secretary,

"In order to maintain the right over land it is necessary to develop a productive infrastructure on it. But many people do not do that. They work and work until one day somebody shows up saying 'this is my land, I have a document that proves it' and then the conflict starts".

2. War Refugees

In 25 de Junho, there are approximately three hundred families that are considered war refugees. Most are from Manhiça, Marracuene and other districts in the regions surrounding Maputo. If the family demonstrates the capacity to build their house the local authorities can authorize construction. Generally those who can afford to build a house are individuals with relatives working in South Africa. Normally lots are assigned only to refugees who have relatives in the neighborhood; those who do not, are granted only temporary occupancy.

Within the confines of the lot, each family decides how its members are going to live. They can live together or build separate houses for each nuclear group.

Due to security problems in the peripheral Bairros some families have moved into this Bairro in order to have access to a secure house at night. They only sleep in 25 de Junho, then every morning they go to Intaca, Infulene, Michafutene or other outlying Bairros, many to work on their agricultural plots.

3. Bairro Development Projects

Development projects undertaken in the Bairro are coordinated by the Grupo Dinamizador (GD), the Green Zones Office (Gabinete das Zonas Verdes) and the authorities related to the project. Whoever wants to develop a project has to go through the GD. Problems start when initiatives do not follow the usual procedures.

For example, by Independence all the land in the Bairro had been parceled. One of the current problems is that the areas designated in the colonial period for industrial use have been occupied by houses. Also in 1980 the Executive Council (Conselho Executivo) and the Directorate of Construction and Urbanization (DCU) wanted to introduce a new plan that consisted of dividing each lot in half so that instead of one family the same space would shelter two. The purpose of this plan was to increase access to health care and other urban services for very poor families. But the people from the Bairro never agreed, because of the habits of Mozambican families--households start with a few members at first, but after a couple of years become very big.

II. Post War Expectations

The secretary feels that once the war is over families will revert to the life style that was enforced during colonial times: employed men and children going to school will stay in the city, while the women will return to their places of origin. The war has cut the umbilical cord that tied country and city. Almost certainly people are going to reestablish their former way of life. Much will depend upon what people find in their birth places in the countryside.

CASE E

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY, GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, LAULANE

Date of Interview: 4 November 1991

District: IV

Neighborhood: Laulane

I. Bairro Context

Laulane is divided into residential, industrial and agricultural zones. The Bairro has a large area of naturally irrigated land where intensive horticulture is practiced. Not long ago this neighborhood was considered to be far away from the city, and for that reason many lots had absentee owners while others were completely vacant. In 1978, the Executive Council (Conselho Executivo) of Maputo carried out the first land allocation in the Bairro and in 1985 the second. The second was characterized by lack of coordination between the DCU and the Grupo Dinamizador (GD) and by the arrival of many war refugees. The allocation of many lots during this period provoked severe problems.

II. Land Sales

The majority of conflicts have appeared in respect of residential lots. Recently a man sold a lot to a person for 70,000 meticals (see annex E in Roth, Boucher, and Francisco 1992) then sold it again to another person for 200,000 meticals. At this point the GD had to mediate to defend the first buyer.

III. Conflicts Between Local Authorities and the DCU

The worst problems have been with the DCU, mainly in the last several years because they have declared themselves the highest authorities regarding land allocation. At the same time, people started selling lots on their own and many times the GD has had to solve problems created by the DCU. For example, not long ago, a topographer from the DCU started placing markers on lots that belonged to several persons, causing problems that the GD had to resolve.

IV. War Refugees

The war refugees occupy lots however they want to, as there are no resources for a planned and controlled occupation. The majority make arrangements to stay in the Bairro through contacts with their relatives. But the final decision of allocation of temporary lots is made by the block chief (chefe do quarteiro).

V. Agricultural Conflicts

There is an important difference between agricultural areas that have been officially parceled and those that have not. Many conflicts occur in the parceled areas because people present documents showing they have rights to a demarcated parcel. Starting around 1985 there were so many conflicts in the

CASE I

DISCUSSION WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE GRUPO DINAMIZADOR, ZIMPETO

Date of Interview: 29 October 1991

District: V

Neighborhood: Zimpeto

I. Conflicts Related to War Refugees

Zimpeto, according to a pre-Independence development plan, was divided into three zones: residential, industrial/commercial, and agricultural. Because of Zimpeto's location as one of the outlying peri-urban Bairros, continually subject to armed attacks, there are fewer war refugees than in other Bairros. Refugees fleeing the war head toward the more secure zones nearer to the cement city. Nevertheless, the industrial zone has already been occupied by war refugees.

The vast majority of the refugees come from Gaza and have relatives in the Bairro. Some come from other conflict zones nearby such as Intaca and Kongolote. These refugees usually spend the night in Zimpeto and then return to their plots during the day.

Some of the refugees who came from Intaca built reed huts (palbotas) in the agricultural zone. The Administrators of Districts V and VI have already made it clear that the zone was not intended as residential. They told these refugees that it was a dangerous zone to live in and tried giving them an area located behind Mabor (a local industry) called FO2. The people requested authorization to remain there but the authorities of FO2 demanded a written promise that they would abandon the place once the war was over. The district administrator did not want to get involved as he envisioned problems when they would have to ask the refugees to leave.

II. Conflicts on Agricultural Lands

Before Independence the agricultural zone was reserved for large Portuguese landowners (quintaleiros) and entrepreneurs. There were numerous enterprises such as the Empresa Algodoneira (cotton processing plant), the Vulcanizadora (rubber processing plant), the Fabrica de Licores (alcohol company), and the hospital. The land belonged either to the quintaleiros or to the entrepreneurs. Most of the rural land was controlled by the traditional local leader (regulo). When the Bairro was urbanized it was divided into lots that were then bought by different persons. According to current law a person can legally own only the lot where his or her house is located. If anyone tries to officially claim a lot that formerly belonged to someone else during colonial times, the previous owner, if still in the Bairro, will not allow its occupation.

When Independence arrived, Zimpeto had only owners, no tenants. The only rentals that occurred were of plots in the agricultural zone. Presently it appears that some of the Portuguese owners want to return.

One former Portuguese has already regained a quinta. He is the owner of a business that makes metal fences for machambas. People say he is not the real owner but that he bought the factory from the owner who had a quinta in the neighborhood. When the purchaser arrived he found that the quinta had already been occupied. The purchaser, however, had acquired authorization from the DCU to occupy the parcel. Even though this concession was given without considering that the parcel was already occupied, the new claimant appeared with policemen to evict the occupants. The case was taken by the current residents to court where it is still pending.

Many people use the quintas for subsistence production. According to the secretary,

"In such cases I agree that people who can afford to produce for the market can claim the land. The city needs the produce and it is not fair that a family keeps the land to cultivate it only for their own consumption needs. The person who can implement a commercial project on those lands, in addition to producing more, pays taxes to the state and creates jobs."

Most of the conflicts in the irrigated plots surface because of the drought. If the drought were to end many farmers would go to the rainfed plots and abandon horticulture. People are not interested in kale. At present they are only producing kale and lettuce because they cannot cultivate corn and peanuts because of lack of rain.

III. Conflicts from Local Development Projects

In the process of job creation from new projects intermediated by land concessions granted by the DCU there arise many conflicts with those families that have spontaneously occupied the concession lands. According to the secretary there are only two solutions: either stop granting land to new people or evict the persons who squatted on the land. In either case, coherent legislation is needed.

It is likely that priority will be given to those people that can implement profitable business ventures, because, they are the ones who make investments that provide money to the government. The small farmers do not.