

DRAFT

FY 1981 ABS

Narrative

PD-ACB-910

OFFICE OF TITLE XII COORDINATION
AND UNIVERSITY RELATIONS

I. Statement of Responsibilities and Objectives

Title XII was designed to mobilize the skills and knowledge of the U.S. agricultural university community more effectively to address the food and nutrition problems of the LDCs through A.I.D. programs. The need for these university resources has increased dramatically with the decrease in technical and professional staff in the Agency, particularly in the U.S. Host Country Missions. U.S. universities with their very substantial specialized manpower resources and knowledge bases, many with experience in agricultural development in the LDCs, are the logical reservoirs to tap for assistance. Their assistance is needed for research to develop new knowledge or to adapt existing technology, to plan and implement country development programs, to train participants on their home campuses, and to assist A.I.D. in its centrally-programmed activities.

The Title XII Coordination and University Relations Staff is the Agency focal point for the coordination and development of Agency policies required for effective implementation of Title XII legislation. It supports the activities of the Title XII Board for International Food and Agricultural Development (BIFAD) and Joint Committees, and relates to U.S. universities in their activities with A.I.D. under Title XII.

The major objectives of the Office for DS/XII are as follows:

1. Carry out the legal responsibilities required by the Advisory Committee Act by providing Agency representatives to the BIFAD and each of the two joint committees, the JRC and the JCAD.

2. Assure that the university strengthening programs meet the criteria and guidelines established in accordance with the Title XII mandate. A particular objective is the increased capability of the minority agricultural institutions to participate in A.I.D. programs overseas:

- a. to utilize their small farm experience;
- b. to increase minority representation on overseas contract teams; and
- c. to further support Affirmative Action.

3. Insure that Agency policies, procedures and contract/grant instruments are designed so as to be consistent with Title XII legislation. This is particularly important in the case of the Collaborative Research Support Programs, the strengthening grant program, the collaborative assistance method of contracting, and the new cooperative agreements.

4. Maintain and strengthen relations with Title XII Institutions and their representative associations and improve the understanding within A.I.D. of the organization and operation of these universities and colleges.

A. Role of BIFAD and its Subordinate Joint Committees

The role of BIFAD is to advise the Administrator and participate with A.I.D. in the planning, development, implementation and monitoring of programs to: build and strengthen institutional capacity and human resource skills of agriculturally developing countries; provide program support for long-term collaborative university research in food production, distribution, storage, marketing and consumption; involve U.S. agricultural universities more fully in the international network of agricultural science, including the international research centers; provide program support for international agricultural research centers, to provide support for research projects identified for specific problem-solving needs, and to develop and strengthen national research systems in developing countries; and to strengthen the capabilities of universities (U.S.) in teaching, research and extension work to enable them to participate more fully in A.I.D. programs. The Administrator looks to the Development Support Bureau (DS/XII) for leadership in coordinating Agency positions on issues and policies, and in the implementation of BIFAD recommendations agreed by the Administrator.

BIFAD will continue its principal emphasis on the increasing involvement of qualified U.S. universities and related institutions in research and technical assistance programs agriculture, rural development and food and nutrition. In this respect, major attention is given to the program to strengthen the capacities of U.S. universities. Also, BIFAD is focussing more attention on major policy questions concerning agricultural development programs, and addressing issues in the apportionment of funds for such programs.

The two subordinate joint committees, established to assist BIFAD in the performance of its duties, are the Joint Research Committee (JRC) and the Joint Committee on Agricultural Development (JCAD). The JRC advises on priorities for research, the selection of research areas, planning and implementation of collaborative research support programs (CRSPs) and other research programs in food and nutrition. The JCAD advises on country programs in food and nutrition, and on the program to strengthen capacities of U.S. institutions. An important activity of JCAD is identifying country projects suitable for university participation, and matching university resources with project needs. The JCAD's activities in these areas are supported by the BIFAD staff.

II. Functional Statement - DS/XII

The staff functions include:

1. providing the analysis, leadership and coordination for developing and establishing Agency positions, policies and procedures on issues and problems arising from the implementation of Title XII.
2. providing overall coordination of analyses and other preparation needed for Agency decisions in response to Board recommendations. This involves close working relations with the Regional Bureaus, the GC, PPC and SER:
3. making presentations at professional or university association meetings, and briefing other elements of the Agency regarding Title XII objectives, policies and procedures;
4. coordinating the selection process for awarding strengthening grants and backstopping the implementation of this grant program;

5. preparing program statements on the university strengthening and collaborative research support programs for the congressional presentation;

6. preparing special and periodic reports, including the Annual Report to Congress on Title XII activities, and responding to public and Congressional inquiries on Title XII and related university relations;

7. insuring that Agency policies, procedures and contract/grant instruments are consistent with Title XII legislation. To do this, DS/XII staff members work closely with the BIFAD and Joint Committees, and concerned A.I.D. offices including the offices of the General Counsel, Contracts Management and Plans and Policy Coordination;

8. keeping the AA/DS informed on critical matters arising from the implementation of Title XII activities and program.

9. carrying out other responsibilities required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, which includes: providing federal officers for meetings of the BIFAD, JRC and JCAD, to assure that the meetings are conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, including approval of the agenda; assuring public notification of the meeting and that minutes of the meeting are taken; maintaining all records and proceedings and documents of meetings; and responding to requests by the public for information arising from or related to these meetings. The Agency representative also contributes to the deliberations and work of the committees as appropriate;

10. participating in Regional Bureau reviews of PIDs and advising on the appropriateness of the proposed project for the different modes of project planning and contracting (collaborative assistance, university

contract, PASA, or other); and

11. contributing to the development of A.I.D. policy and procedures relating to country programs and projects as they pass through the BIFAD mechanism. In addition, DS/XII helps shape country programs and projects through the Federal Advisory Committee Representatives, and by participation in Regional Work Groups and in the scheduled reviews of country project documents.

12. DS/XII is directly responsible for the program to strengthen capabilities of U.S. universities to participate in A.I.D. programs. This responsibility is carried out by working with the BIFAD mechanism and directly with U.S. universities.

III. Program Responsibilities and Objectives

A. Collaborative Research Support Programs

The authority for CRSPs is found in the Title XII Act: (Sec. 297 (a)(3)) to provide program support for long-term collaborative research on food production, distribution, storage, marketing and consumption, and (Sec. 297 (b)(2)) "to take into account the value to United States agriculture of such programs, integrating to the extent practicable the programs and financing authorized under this title with those supported by other federal or state resources so as to maximize the contribution to the development of agriculture in the United States and in agriculturally-developing countries."

One of the long-range means of assisting developing countries in solving the pervasive problem of inadequate agricultural production is

through strengthening their agricultural research institutions. This is a major emphasis of our Title XII country programs. But research to develop more effective technologies for production, distribution and consumption in the developing countries is necessarily a large-scale enterprise. No single institution or country can do it alone.

Agricultural research scientists in all countries, including the United States, can contribute more to the solution of many of their own country's problems by working as part of an international research team than by working entirely within their own state or country. Consequently, although each such collaborative research program is directed at developing country needs and problems, the benefit to the domestic missions of the collaborating U.S. universities is such that they agree to contribute a minimum of 25 percent of the total dollar cost of each program.

DS/XII has the responsibility for defining the overall parameters of the CRSP concept to meet A.I.D. goals. Matching A.I.D. regulations with those eligible universities governing their resource uses is a complex task involving the JRC, BIFAD and interested universities. BIFAD and JRC feed the university interests into this endeavor while DS/XII represents A.I.D. interests. A.I.D. regional representatives also participate in JRC proceedings. Four planning models for CRSPs are being used and a fifth suggested to determine the most suitable one for this planning-exploratory exercise. The planning contracts are managed by DS/AGR and DS/Nutrition, with overall monitorship by DS/XII. DS/XII and the JRC will jointly evaluate the results, in coordination with the technical divisions.

B. Program to Strengthen University Capacities

Title XII legislation requires that A.I.D. increase and make more effective use of land grant and other eligible agricultural institutions in A.I.D.'s agricultural programs. It also specifies that A.I.D.'s resources may be used to strengthen universities' capacity to respond to needs of the LDCs.

The DS/XII Office will continue to revise and refine policies and procedures as required for implementing the university strengthening program; advise and participate in the Agency review of strengthening proposals; and serve as the A.I.D. office of contact for universities participating in the strengthening program.

Strengthening of U.S. institutions for international involvement will be achieved through a program of recurrent A.I.D. grants of funds to eligible qualified universities under a formula in which A.I.D. matches each university's own investment, up to a specified limit, in activities oriented to technical assistance and research needs of LDCs. The matching formula program applies to all 1862 land grant institutions and funds will be provided in one-year increments.

The minority strengthening program is a time-limited, special assistance program for minority agricultural universities to qualify them to enter the international agricultural assistance arena. The minority institution grants would be funded for an initial three-year period followed by a final two years of funding.

In addition to participating with the BIFAD in shaping the review and evaluation process for selection of grantees, DS/XII has the responsibility for managing the implementation of this program, including the monitoring and evaluating of individual grants and the program as a whole.

By FY 1985, it is anticipated that we will have contributed to the strengthening of approximately 60 eligible agricultural universities under the matching program, and about 15 minority institutions. This will be done through two programs: a program for all eligible land grant universities and a special program for the minority institutions.

IV. Progress to Date

A. Summary of Overall Accomplishments

DS/XII submitted the third Annual Report to Congress on Title XII activities on April 1, 1979. This report focused on accomplishments. It was a year of progress in implementing Title XII,

although the processes and procedures for greater and more effective involvement of U.S. Land and Sea Grant Universities through the mechanism of the Board for International Food and Agricultural Development are still evolving.

BIFAD and A.I.D. designed and have in operation a special review and selection process, unique to Title XII, for involving universities in the planning, shaping and implementing of country agricultural development projects and programs. A.I.D. has full access, for the first time in its history, to the enormous resources of outstanding talent in the university community.

Nineteen country projects, costing about \$72 million (life-of-project), have been shaped and implemented through the joint BIFAD/A.I.D. review and contractor selection process. Sixteen more projects, costing \$75 million, have been approved and contractor selection is in process. Still another nineteen projects, costing \$183 million, are in an advanced planning stage. Thus, 54 projects costing a total of \$329 million have had the influence of the BIFAD/A.I.D. process.

In 1978, four additional Collaborative Research Support Programs (CRSPs) were added to the four programs selected during the previous year. Planning grants were made for five CRSPs, and one grant in the amount of \$4.652 million was made for research on small ruminants (sheep and goats). Research on this CRSP by the twelve participating universities will begin in 1979.

Plans and procedures for the university strengthening program to enable the universities to implement current programs authorized by Title XII were developed by BIFAD and A.I.D. Fifty-one universities submitted proposals for strengthening grants and, based on peer review and evaluation, it is anticipated that first-year grants to twenty-eight of these universities will soon be made.

Title XII activities include not only those in which universities participate but also a wide range of other activities in food and nutrition. In FY 1979 the mission and regionally funded programs accounted for 89 percent of the Food and Nutrition account while centrally funded programs made up eleven percent.

Host country governments continue to show keen interest in expanded U.S. participation in their agricultural development programs. This is shown by sharply-increased requests, for FY-80, for assistance in research, education and extension, and for advisory services and training in a wide range of development projects.

B. Collaborative Research Support Programs

In 1977, the BIFAD and A.I.D. selected four priority areas for research under the CRSP process: (1) small ruminants; (2) grain sorghum/pearl millet; (3) fisheries/aquaculture; and (4) implications of marginal nutrient deficiencies in human diet.

In 1978, the following additional areas were selected for development of CRSPs: (1) beans/cowpeas; (2) soils management; (3) basic food crops/integrated pest management; and (4) post-harvest food losses. Peanuts was added to the list in FY-79.

As shown in Table 1, planning grants for five CRSPs were made in FY-77 and 78, and one research grant was made in FY-78. A.I.D. expects to obligate \$9.55 million in FY-79 covering the costs of the small ruminants and grain sorghum/millet CRSPs, and planning and exploratory study grants for three other areas. The program is expected to increase in FY-80 to \$13 million.

TABLE 1

CRSPs Funded in FY-77 and FY-78, Programmed for Funding in FY-79 and Projected for Funding in FY-80

	<u>Millions \$</u>		
	<u>FY-77/78</u>	<u>FY-79</u>	<u>FY-80</u>
Small Ruminants	4.801 ^{1/}	4.0	1.50
Grain Sorghum/Pearl Millet	0.219 (planning)	5.0	2.50
Fisheries/Aquaculture	0.277 (planning)		1.75
Marginal Nutrient Deficiencies	0.220 (planning)		1.75
Beans/Cowpeas	0.250 (planning)		3.00
Soils Management		0.250 (planning)	2.00
Basic Food Crops-Integrated Pest Management		0.250 (planning)	
Post-Harvest Losses		0.050 (exploratory study)	0.25 (planning)
Peanuts			0.25 (planning)
Totals	5.767	9.550	13.00

^{1/} Includes \$0.149 million for planning in FY-77.

C. Program to Strengthen Capacities of U.S. Universities

All activities in the strengthening programs, whether funded by A.I.D. or the universities, are to strengthen the universities' capability to carry out A.I.D. foreign assistance efforts as specified by Title XII.

Under the strengthening program, universities will do such things as:

- provide U.S. graduate student training and overseas experience specifically designed to fit LDC circumstances and, therefore, future A.I.D. technical assistance requirements;
- modify U.S. university curricula to be more relevant to LDC needs by addition of new courses and/or modification of old courses, at both undergraduate and graduate levels;
- improve university administrative and organizational arrangements and policies to increase availability of faculty to A.I.D. programs;
- develop specific, technical, regional/country and problem area background and expertise;
- develop appropriate LDC language skills among the U.S. faculty members most needed in A.I.D.'s technical assistance activities and build language skills in graduate training programs to meet future manpower needs.

Guidelines for the preparation and submission of proposals for the matching formula and minority institution strengthening grants were prepared jointly by BIFAD and A.I.D., and published and distributed to

all eligible Title XII institutions. A peer review and evaluation procedure has been developed to assist the Board in making its recommendations to the Agency regarding the award of these grants.

Of the 75 institutions which met the criteria necessary to apply for the matching formula strengthening program, 51 institutions submitted proposals within the deadline for FY-79 funding. The initial screening rated none of these as immediately actionable, 27 required minor revisions, 10 required major revisions, and 14 required major reworking. Forty-four institutions submitted revised proposals. Of these, twenty-eight have thus far been recommended by the BIFAD to A.I.D. for action at this time.

These figures reflect the fact that carefully-developed criteria have been applied rigorously to the proposals for these matching grants to insure that these strengthening programs at each university, financed in large part by the institutions themselves, will directly and effectively serve Agency and LDC needs.

There are several elements of the formula funding which limit the size and determine the life of these strengthening grants:

(a) The A.I.D. grant is always limited to matching, dollar-for-dollar, the university's contribution. (In addition, all of the campus overhead costs are borne by the university.)

(b) In addition, the A.I.D. grant is limited to 10 percent of the university's level of Title XII-type contract technical assistance

with A.I.D. (computed as a moving average of the previous three years) or \$100,000 for each of the first five years, whichever is greater.

(c) The A.I.D. grant is limited to a maximum of \$300,000 per year in any event.

The budgetary requirements of the Strengthening Program for FY-79 and FY-80 for both the matching formula and the minority strengthening programs are as follows:

-- The 18 in the matching formula program recommended for immediate funding: \$2.1 million, average \$117,000 each. The estimate for the remainder for this fiscal year (including Minority Grants): \$2.9 million, for a total requirement in FY-79 of \$5.0 million.

-- The total requirement for FY-80 will be \$9.0 million.

It is pointed out that minority universities (1890 land grant universities) are also eligible for participating in the matching formula program under the same criteria used for 1860 land grant universities. One such institution submitted a proposal under the matching program. In the special minority institution program, 10 institutions of the 18 minority universities have submitted strengthening proposals. These are currently in the review process.

D. Other Title XII Activities

DS/XII has also been involved through the operations of JCAD and the BIFAD Staff with a number of other Title XII activities. Principal among these has been the work with the regional bureaus in activities of the joint regional work groups on country programs.

The largest component of the Title XII Program is direct assistance to developing countries through the A.I.D. country mission programs. These programs are of two general types: (a) building or strengthening LDC capacity in agricultural research, extension and training (REE), policy, planning and related services; and (b) providing advisory services on a wide range of agricultural and rural development subjects such as irrigation, rural credit, area development, crop and livestock production and marketing.

Requests for country projects in the general areas of improving developing country capabilities for research, education and extension, have increased from \$28 million in FY-76 to \$90 million in FY-79 and \$173 million in FY-80. These figures represent 5, 13 and 24 percent respectively of the Food and Nutrition Account. Projects directed at providing advisory services have increased from \$38 million in FY-76 to \$95 million in FY-79 and \$150 million in FY-80.

As of mid-March 1979, nineteen country projects, costing about \$72 million (life-of-project), have been shaped and implemented by the joint BIFAD/A.I.D. review and contractor selection process. Sixteen more, costing about \$75 million, have been approved and the process of selecting the U.S. contracting institutions(s) is underway. Still another nineteen, costing about \$183 million, are in an advanced planning stage but are not yet fully approved. These 54 projects, with a total

life-of-project cost of about \$329 million, represent an annual average cost of about \$75 million. Detailed information on the 54 projects shaped by Title XII is included in Appendices A through D.

Recognizing the critical importance of training to the agricultural development process, the BIFAD has established a special working group under the Joint Committee structure to focus specifically on Human Resource Development Programs under Title XII. This group, having joint representation of A.I.D. and the BIFAD, is developing a training source book which will show the different kinds of agricultural training in U.S. agricultural institutions that is available to persons from the LDCs.

We anticipate, based on country mission projects for FY-80 projects, that more and more Title XII projects will be designed and implemented through the BIFAD/A.I.D. review and selection process.

V. Projections

A. Overall Activities

While BIFAD and the joint committees have begun to dwell more on substantive program and developmental issues with reduced attention to procedural and policy issue, this trend is likely to be temporarily retarded by the reorganization imminent in the passage of the current Foreign A.I.D. legislation before Congress. Policy and procedural questions likely to arise from the reorganization will undoubtedly occupy considerable time of BIFAD and the committees in the months ahead. In the long run, the BIFAD mechanism should increase its focus on substantive programs and developmental issues.

The nature and directions of the BIFAD mechanism naturally influence the orientation and activities of DS/XII. As the mechanism moves more into program and developmental issues, DS/XII can increase its activities in this direction. In the program areas, the JRC will likely play an increasing role in A.I.D.'s centrally funded contract and research programs/in participating in the resolution of issue on international research centers. The JCAD will likely increase its activities in matching A.I.D. country projects with university resources.

B. Collaborative Research Support Programs

There has been general agreement between the JRC and DSB on the priorities to follow in selecting new CRSPs. Implementation will depend on availability of financial resources and manpower to monitor.

the programs, on A.I.D.'s ability to adequately simplify procurement and procedures and methods, ^{and} the mutual abilities of A.I.D. and of JRC and A.I.D. to find solutions to government and university impediments to pooling government and universities' resources in joint collaborative efforts. DS/XII will focus on the last two items while DS/Agriculture is addressing the first two items.

In addition to those CRSPs underway or being planned, subject areas for future exploratory studies, planning, and implementation of CRSPs over the next five years include the following (not in order of priority):

- Fisheries and Aquaculture;
- Integrated Pest Management - Basic Crops;
- Post-Harvest Food Losses;
- Maize;
- Product Marketing;
- Small Farm Mechanization
- Large Ruminants;
- Food Supply;
- Roots and Tubers; and
- Soy Beans

e. Program to Strengthen U.S. Institutions

The Agency's intention is to establish the matching grant strengthening program as a continuing relationship with the universities as a component of Title XII activities. Each grant will be reviewed

annually to assure that it is contributing to the basic objectives of expanding, improving and making more accessible the pool of expertise available for assistance programs to developing countries.

The DS/XII Office will continue to revise and refine policies and procedures as required for implementing the university strengthening program; advise and participate in the Agency review of strengthening proposals; and serve as the A.I.D. office of contact for universities participating in the strengthening program.

Application of the established criteria and formulae should result in the total cost of the program leveling off at slightly above the level requested for FY-80:

-- the special program for minority institutions, which does not require matching budget contributions by the university, is limited to five years;

-- after five years, the ceiling on the A.I.D. matching contribution may not exceed 10 percent of the university's volume of business with A.I.D., or \$300,000, whichever is the smaller.

By FY 1985, it is anticipated that we will have contributed to the strengthening of approximately 60 eligible agricultural universities under the matching program, and about 15 of the minority institutions in the special program for the minority institutions. The projected estimates of funding requirements for the program for this number of institutions are shown in Table 2. (See Table 2 attached.)

TABLE 2
Strengthening Program
(Alternative I)

Year	Matching Formula*		Minority Program**		Total Funding
	Number of Institutions	(\$Millions) Funding	Number of Institutions	(\$Millions) Funding	
1979	35	3.5	5	1.5	5.0
1980	60	6.0	10	3.0	9.0
1981	60	6.0	10	3.0	9.0
1982	60	6.75	15	2.5	9.25
1983	60	7.0	18	2.5	9.5
1984	60	7.75	15	2.0	9.75
1985	60	8.0	18	2.0	10.0

* One-year forward funding for matching program.

** Three-year forward funding at initial year for minority program; second funding would be in third year for two years.

D. Workforce

The workforce projections are shown in Attachments B-1, B-2, B-3 and C.

The DS/XII Staff will be reduced to four professionals from six by the allocation of two positions to the ISTC. The reduction of the staff by one additional position would mean that the staff could not perform all the legal requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of overseeing the activities and meetings of the three advisory bodies, BIFAD, JCAD and JRC. Activities within these three bodies would have to be reduced. Also, management of the strengthening program would be weakened.

In case the reduction is necessary, it would be the agricultural development officer. In this case, some of the functions of this position, such as handling publication of notices of meetings in the Federal Register and preparing material for the BIFAD meeting, would have to be transferred to the BIFAD Staff. Other functions of coordinating and overseeing JCAD operations would have to be shared by the three remaining professionals at a reduced level or discontinued.

Attachments

- A*
- A-1*
- A-2*
- B-1 B-1 Base,*
- B-2 B-1 minimum*
- B-3 B-1 proposed*
- C -*

TABLE V - FY 1981 PROPOSED PROGRAM RANKING

RANK	DECISION PACKAGE/PROGRAM ACTIVITY	TERM/ NEW/ CONT.	LOAN/ GRANT	APPROP. ACCT.	PROGRAM FUNDING (\$000)		WORK FORCE (Number of Positions)						
					INCR	CUM	USDI INCR	USDI CUM	ENDI INCR	ENDI CUM			
Base													
1	Management of Title XII	-	-	-	-	-	6	6					
Mn.	Strengthening	Contr	Grant	FN	7,000	7,000	-	6					
AAPL	Strengthening		Grant	FN	2,000	9,000	-	6					

22

23

Bureau Code: 0220 DS/XII
 Decision Code: 031220-3 XII
 P/H/Thompson - A-1

TABLE III - PROJECT OBLIGATIONS BY APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT
FY 1979 TO FY 1981 (\$ THOUSANDS)

OFFICE: DS/XII

APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT - FN

PROJECT#	PROJECT TITLE	FY 1979	FY 1980	FY-81 MINIMUM	FY-81 CURRENT	FY-81 AAPL
931-1282.01	MATCHING FORMULA	\$3,500	\$6,000	\$5,500	\$5,500	\$6,000
931-1282.02	MINORITY INSTITUTIONS	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$3,000
TOTALS FOR FN		\$5,000	\$9,000	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$9,000

* * OFFICE TOTAL:

\$5,000 \$9,000 \$7,000 \$7,000 \$9,000

25

SUMMARY FY 1979 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: 022 TITLE XII COORDINATION & UNIV RELATIONS B SUMMARY FY 79 04/26/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	FY 79 ABS-OYB \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	8.66	5,000	4.50	4.75	2.25	41.00	51.50	104.00
TOTAL	8.66	5,000	4.50	4.75	2.25	41.00	51.50	104.00

SUMMARY FY 1980 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: 022 TITLE XII COORDINATION & UNIV RELATIONS B SUMMARY FY 80 04/26/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	FY 80 ABSOYB \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	7.41	9,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00
TOTAL	7.41	9,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00

SUMMARY FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: 022 TITLE XII COORDINATION & UNIV RELATIONS ATTACHMENT B-2 04/26/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	6.41			3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	38.00	77.00
TOTAL BASE	6.41			3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	38.00	77.00

SUMMARY FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: TITLE XII COORDINATION & UNIV RELATIONS

ATTACHMENT B-2 04/26/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
MINIMUM									
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	7.41	7,000	7,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00
TOTAL MINIMUM	7.41	7,000	7,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00
CURRENT									
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	7.42		7,000	3.60	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.03	89.13
TOTAL CURRENT	7.42		7,000	3.60	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.03	89.13
AAPL									
DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY	7.41	2,000	9,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00
TOTAL AAPL	7.41	2,000	9,000	3.50	4.50	2.00	29.00	50.00	89.00

FY 1980 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE
(IN WORK-MONTHS AND \$000 DOLLARS)

DECISION UNIT: RANK WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY				B REPORT FY 80		TOTAL	RECNO
		ABSORBED \$000	DIR	DEP DIR	UNIV REL	AG ECON	RES OFF		
	FIELD SUPPORT				2.00		1.00	3.50	601 1859
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				1.00		1.00	4.50	601 1860
	ADMINISTRATION		2.50				1.50	2.00	601 1861
	CLERICAL SUPPORT		0.50					29.00	601 1862
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	24.00		0.00	9.00	0.00	9.50	50.00	425 1863
936-1400	PLANNING & STRATEGIZING	()	(2.00)	()	(2.00)	()	(0.50)	()	()
936-1401	TITLE XII ACTIVITIES	()	(2.00)	()	(2.00)	()	(2.00)	()	()
936-1403	GENERAL PROGRAM SUPPORT	()	(0.50)	()	(0.50)	()	(5.25)	()	()
936-1404	ABS AND CP PREPARATION	()	(0.25)	()	(0.25)	()	(0.25)	()	()
936-1412	POLICY-PLANNING STRATEGY	()	(1.00)	()	()	()	(2.00)	()	()
936-1413	COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM	()	(2.00)	()	()	()	(1.00)	()	()
931-128201	MATCHING FORMULA	6,000	()	(0.75)	()	()	(0.75)	(1.00)	()
931-128202	MINORITY INSTITUTIONS	3,000	()	(0.50)	()	()	(0.50)	(0.50)	()
931-128201	MATCHING FORMULA	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()
931-128202	MINORITY INSTITUTIONS	()	()	()	()	()	()	()	()

TOTAL 9,000 24.00 12.00 0.00 12.00 12.00 0.00 12.00 5.00 89.00

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

ATTACHMENT B-1 04/26/79

COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY

DECISION UNIT: 0220 DS/XII

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	SECS	DIR	DIR	DEP DIR	UNIV REL	AG ECON	RES OFF	AG DEV STRNGTH IPA	UNIV STRNGTH IPA	SEC PT	TOTAL	RECN0
BASE		FIELD SUPPORT							2.00		0.50	1.00			3.50	25000
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				2.50			1.00		1.00				4.50	25001
		ADMINISTRATION				0.50						1.50			2.00	25002
		CLERICAL SUPPORT	24.00											5.00	29.00	25003
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT			0.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	0.00	10.50	9.50	0.00	0.00	38.00	25004
936-1400		PLANNING & STRATEGIZING		0		(2.00)			(2.00)		(2.00)	(0.50)			(6.50)	25005
936-1401		TITLE XII ACTIVITIES		0		(3.25)			(2.00)		(3.50)	(2.50)			(11.25)	25006
936-1403		GENERAL PROGRAM SUPPORT		0		(0.50)			(0.50)		(0.50)	(6.25)			(7.75)	25007
936-1404		ABS AND CP PREPARATION		0		(0.25)			(0.25)		(0.25)	(0.25)			(1.00)	25008
936-1412		POLICY-PLANNING STRATEGY		0		(1.00)					(2.00)				(3.00)	25009
936-1413		COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM		0		(2.00)					(1.00)				(3.00)	25010
931-12820		MATCHING FORMULA		0					(2.25)		(0.75)				(3.00)	25011
931-12820		MINORITY INSTITUTIONS		0					(2.00)		(0.50)				(2.50)	25012
		SUBTOTAL		0	24.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	0.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	5.00	77.00	

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

ATTACHMENT B-1 04/26/79

DECISION UNIT: 0220 DS/XII COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ AGGR \$000	SECS	DIR	DEP DIR	UNIV REL	AG ECON	RES OFF	AG DEV	UNIV STRNTH IPA	SEC PT	TOTAL	RECNO
CURRENT	FIELD SUPPORT							2.00	1.00	0.50	1.00			3.60	25039
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION							1.00		1.00				4.50	25040
	ADMINISTRATION														
	CLERICAL SUPPORT														
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT														
			24.00												
936-1400	PLANNING & STRATEGIZING		0	0	0.00	9.00	0.00	9.00	0.00	10.50	9.50	12.00	0.00	50.00	25043
936-1401	TITLE XII ACTIVITIES		0	0	0	2.00	0	2.00	0	2.00	(0.50)			(6.50)	25044
936-1403	GENERAL PROGRAM SUPPORT		0	0	0	2.00	0	2.00	0	3.50	2.00			9.50	25045
936-1404	ABS AND CP PREPARATION		0	0	0	0.50	0	0.50	0	0.50	(5.25)			(6.75)	25046
936-1412	POLICY-PLANNING STRATEGY		0	0	0	0.25	0	0.25	0	0.25	(0.25)			1.00	25047
936-1413	COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM		0	0	0	1.00	0	1.00	0	2.00				3.00	25048
931-12820	MATCHING FORMULA		0	0	0	2.00	0	2.00	0	1.00				3.00	25049
931-12820	MINORITY INSTITUTIONS		5,500	5,500	0	0.75	0	2.25	0	0.75	1.00	6.00		10.75	25050
			1,500	1,500	0	0.50	0	2.00	0	0.50	0.50	6.00		9.50	25051
SUBTOTAL	CURRENT		0	7,000	24.00	12.00	0.00	12.00	0.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	5.00	89.00	

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

ATTACHMENT B-1 04/26/79

DECISION UNIT: 0220 DS/XII		COORDINATION & UNIVERSITY													
RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	SECS	DIR	DEP DIR	UNIV HEL	AG ECON	RES OFF	AG DEV STRNGTH	UNIV STRNGTH	SEC PT	TOTAL	RECNO
											IPA				
AAPL	FIELD SUPPORT														
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION							2.00		0.50	1.00			3.50	25026
	ADMINISTRATION					2.50		1.00		1.00				4.50	25027
	CLERICAL SUPPORT					0.50					1.50			2.00	25028
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT			24.00									5.00	29.00	25029
936-1400	PLANNING & STRATEGIZING		0		0.00	9.00	0.00	9.00	0.00	10.50	9.50	12.00	0.00	50.00	25030
936-1401	TITLE XII ACTIVITIES		0			(2.00)		(2.00)		(2.00)	(0.50)			(6.50)	25031
936-1403	GENERAL PROGRAM SUPPORT		0			(2.00)		(2.00)		(3.50)	(2.00)			(9.50)	25032
936-1404	ABS AND CP PREPARATION		0			(0.50)		(0.50)		(0.50)	(5.25)			(6.75)	25033
936-1412	POLICY-PLANNING STRATEGY		0			(0.25)		(0.25)		(0.25)	(0.25)			(1.00)	25034
936-1413	COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM		0			(1.00)				(2.00)				(3.00)	25035
931-12820	MATCHING FORMULA		500	6,000		(0.75)		(2.25)		(0.75)	(1.00)	(6.00)		(10.75)	25037
931-12820	MINORITY INSTITUTIONS		1,500	3,000		(0.50)		(2.00)		(0.50)	(0.50)	(6.00)		(9.50)	25038
SUBTOTAL AAPL			2,000	9,000	24.00	12.00	0.00	12.00	0.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	5.00	89.00	

PROGRAM: CENTRALLY FUNDED

Project Manager:

Attachment A

TITLE Streng. US U. Cap. for Inc. Partic. in Title XII Prog. 931		FUNDS		Proposed Obligation FY 1981 9,000		Proposed Life of Project per App. PP Indef.		Proposed Est. Final Oblig. FY Indefinite		Proposed Completion Date FY Indefinite	
Grant (X) Loan <input type="checkbox"/>		PRIOR REFERENCE		FY 81 Oblig. Auth. by PP Indef.		Life of Project per App. PP Indef.		Final Obligation per PP FY Indef.		Completion Date per PP FY Indefinite	
Grant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		931-128201 & 202		Date of Last Intensive Evaluation:							

PURPOSE: To strengthen the capacities of U.S. Land, Sea Grant and other eligible universities in order to apply more effective agricultural sciences toward increasing world food production and solving food and nutrition problems of the lesser developed countries.

BACKGROUND & PROGRESS TO DATE: This program was authorized in Section 206 of the Title XII Amendment to the International Development and Food Assistance Act of 1975. AID, with the advice and participation of the Board for International Food and Agricultural Development (IFAD) and its joint committees developed criteria and procedures, which were distributed to all eligible universities in guidelines for the two types of program. In the matching formula program, the criteria requires each university to contribute from its own (non-federal) resources, on a one-to-one basis, in programs oriented toward participating in development assistance activities of AID. Universities must also contribute their overhead costs. An AID grant is limited to 10% of the university's level of Title XII-type contract technical assistance with AID, computed as a moving average of the previous three years, up to a maximum of \$300,000. There is a time-limited program to strengthen minority land grant (1890) universities. This has no matching requirements, but university proposals must meet other criteria. Twenty-eight proposals reviewed for grants in the matching formulae are being processed for funding in FY-79. Ten proposals have been received in the minority program and are being reviewed. Proposals which do not meet the criteria can be adjunted and resubmitted for future funding.

HOST COUNTRY & OTHER DONORS: AID Strengthening grants will be matched on a formula basis by the universities from non-federal funds.

BENEFICIARIES: The direct beneficiaries will be eligible U.S. Land, Sea Grant and other qualifying agriculture universities which develop increased response capabilities to participate in Title XII programs. The ultimate beneficiaries will be the developing countries which, as a result of these strengthening programs, will receive more effective technical assistance from these U.S. universities.

FY 1981 PROGRAM: The proposed funding level would permit grants, at an appropriate level, to 60 universities in the matching formula program, and to 10 minority institutions in a special program.

MAJOR OUTPUTS: Twenty-five institutions will receive grants in the matching formula program and 5 in the minority program in FY-79. By 1985, 60 institutions in the matching formula program and 15 in the minority program are expected to be participating effectively.

AID FINANCED INPUTS: (\$ thousands)

Grants to U.S. Institutions **FY 81**
9,000

Through September 30, 1978	Obligations (\$000)	Exp. Obligated (\$000)	Unliquidated (\$000)	Funding Per Job	Principal Contractors or Agencies & Contract Number
Estimated FY 1979				XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	These will be grants to universities and consortia of universities.
Estimated Through FY 1979	5,000	5,000	0 XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Proposed FY 1980	9,000	9,000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Estimated Through FY 1980	9,000	9,000	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Proposed FY 1981	9,000	Future yr. obligation	Estimated Total Cost	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

FUNDING AND STAFF PROJECTIONS

	FY 1979		FY 80		Min.		FY 81		AAPI.		FY 82		FY 83		FY 84		FY 85							
	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT						
STAFF (Total)	8	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1	6	1				
TITLE XII																								
Food-Nutrition																								
Strengthening																								
U.S. Universities																								
(Funds Millions \$)	5.000		9.000		7.000		9.000		9.000		9.250		9.500		9.750		10.000							