

UNCLASSIFIED

PD-ACB-827



**AGENCY FOR  
INTERNATIONAL  
DEVELOPMENT**

**ANNUAL BUDGET SUBMISSION**

**FY 1981**

**DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT BUREAU**

**OFFICE OF ENGINEERING**

**DEPARTMENT  
OF  
STATE**



**MAY 1979**

UNCLASSIFIED

BEST AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
Narrative	1
Office of Engineering Central Program Strategy	5
Consolidated Table V	14
Table III	15
Summary Workforce Tables	16
Office of Director Table V	19
Office of Director Workforce Table	20
Transportation, Communications & Natural Resources Division Table V	23
Transportation, Communications & Natural Resources Division Workforce Tables	24
Project Data Sheet	28
Architecture, Utilities & General Engineering Division Table V	29
Architecture, Utilities & General Engineering Workforce Tables	30
Funding and Staff Projections	33
Contract Field Support	34
Table IV Project Budget Data	35
Listing of Differences From FY 89 CP	36
Country Project Activity Data	37

NARRATIVE

GENERAL

The decisions made in the CPSS reflect the broad objectives and responsibilities carried out by the Office of Engineering basic to the A.I.D. Mission which is to provide professional engineering expertise and support to the Agency's field activities.

We estimate that over 60% of A.I.D.'s activities require some degree of engineering judgment. The efforts of the Office of Engineering, therefore, are defined by the engineering content of A.I.D.'s development and security supporting assistance programs. The resources of the office will be a staff of professional engineers offering a variety of highly specialized engineering skills, complementing and supplementing the general engineering expertise within Bureaus and Missions. Specialized disciplines will include transportation, equipment, sanitary, water resources, telecommunications, hydrology, mineral resources, electric power, environment and industry. In addition to the decentralized direct field support function, the office will maintain the Agency's central link to the construction and professional engineering community. The office also serves as the locus of support for the Agency's career development program for engineers.

ENGR's Major objectives include:

1. Engineering and technical support to A.I.D. programs.
2. Technical leadership in developing A/E and construction policy, procedures, and standards for A.I.D. engineers worldwide.
3. Career development of engineers assigned to engineering codes including dissemination of professional and technical information.
4. Engineering and construction technology to LDC development programs.
5. Representational liaison with the A/E and construction industry and its various associations.

These development objectives or functional role of this office are important for A.I.D.'s development goals today and for changes

anticipated by 1985. U.S. bilateral economic assistance financed by SSA and DA has always included infrastructure, technology transfer and institution building. Without these elements there cannot be meaningful development, and because engineering has a critical role to play in all of these areas, DS/ENGR will remain responsible for engineering guidance and direction.

We are currently authorized ten professional positions and three support staff. These include the following engineering disciplines:

Administration  
Transportation  
Equipment/Airport  
Sanitary/Water Resources  
Telecommunications  
Electric Power  
Architectural  
Structural  
Chemical/Industrial  
Civil

For purposes of this discussion therefore, we shall consider for all three categories (1. Minimum, 2. Current, and 3. AAPL) that the position breakdown is ten (10) professional and three (3) support for a total FTEPP of thirteen (13).

From April 1, 1978 to April 30, 1979, the 12 professionals assigned to DS/ENGR have spent an average of 24% of their workdays in TDY status.

#### DISCUSSION

As noted in the ENGR CPSS, our capacity to respond to the Agency's many requirements has been made possible by the engineers assigned to the complement. This has been especially true in the fields of hydrology, administrative services and mineral resources. The imminent assignment of two engineers to the field and one loss to mandatory retirement means that the office will lose its capacity to serve in these three areas. Until these engineers are replaced, their loss will adversely impact on our programs and engineers worldwide, especially as the Agency pursues new initiatives in water, sanitation, and material resources and engineering.

1. Reduction of Staff by 10 Percent. A 10% reduction in the DS/ENGR staff would mean abolishing the position of one professional. Since DS/ENGR has only one engineer for each of the critical engineering functions, elimination of one specialized discipline of the ten above, would simply mean that we would be unable to provide services in that particular discipline of engineering. The engineers assigned to the bureaus are mostly generalists and, consequently, the Agency would be devoid of a needed technical specialty.

Due to the Office's skeleton staff of 13 positions, the Minimum, Current and AAPL levels shown in the workforce allocation tables are the same. As previously discussed, we are unable to reduce the staff without seriously affecting our ability to cover the Agency's engineering requirements worldwide.

2. An increase in staff is critical to fully carry out our mission.

#### First Priority

##### Water Resources Engineer (Irrigation)

The Agency continues to have a critical shortage of water resource engineers in AID/W and in the field. Expected retirements will increase our shortage and because recruitment is slow and uncertain, early efforts to locate suitable replacements are essential. With continued emphasis on rural development and agriculture, irrigation/land and water management has become an important feature in A.I.D.'s programs. Moreover, several multi-purpose river basin planning projects underway in West Africa will need water resources engineering. In the field of water supply and sanitation, A.I.D. must provide resources to implement the increased commitments planned for the next decade. It will be impossible to meet all of these demands with the one water resources engineer on board who is also doubling as sanitary and environmental engineer.

#### Second Priority

##### Deputy Director

The volume of administrative work performed over the last year has increased immensely for DS/ENGR with no signs that it will subside or level off. This is a superimposed workload which requires considerable management attention and continuity. Until recently, ENGR always had an Administrative Assistant and a Deputy Director. These two positions were eliminated during the reorganization. Fortunately, we have on the reassignment complement an engineer who has taken over and performed many of the functions. However, this is only a temporary relief situation. He is retiring within the next few months. Another essential function of a Deputy is to maintain the lines of communications with our engineers throughout the world and respond to their needs for technical and professional data.

The Director is almost totally engaged in administrative, policy, representational and staffing work. These activities and new initiatives cannot be properly pursued without assistance in the form of a Deputy Director to share in the work and to assist in professional interaction.

#### CENTRALLY MANAGED PROJECTS

DS/ENGR has managed three projects during the past year. These are:

- Ultra Low Cost Shelter
- Low Cost Roofing Utilization
- Transportation Technology Support Services

Ultra Low Cost Shelter will phase out this fiscal year with a final report from the contractor due in June, 1979.

Low Cost Roofing Utilization was proposed during the FY 1980 ABS reviews and approved as the only new project for DS/ENGR. This project follows research which was successful in developing four types of roofing materials. With the concurrence of USAID/Manila and the Government of the Philippines, an ex post facto evaluation is planned for this project. In an effort to consolidate responsibility within the DS Bureau and increase DS/ENGR field support capability, this project will be transferred to DS/ST.

Transportation Technology Support Services is currently on schedule, and we should continue to manage it through its completion in 1980.

One new project is proposed to be managed by DS/ENGR. This project is considered high priority, and because of its regional impact and that the engineering specialty in A.I.D. is located in our Office only, it is considered suitable for management by DS/ENGR. This project will not significantly affect our staff's ability to provide field support to the Agency in transportation engineering. The two engineers designated to manage the project have managed such activities for several years. Their services will still be available to regional bureaus, Missions and LDCs. The proposed project is:

#### Study of Engineering Properties of Arid Soils

The project is similar in scope and purpose to the recently completed A.I.D.-financed engineering study on "Laterite and Lateritic Soils and Other Problem Soils of the Tropics" which significantly contributed to tropical state-of-the-art design and construction of roads and other civil works. In the past several years, A.I.D. and other donors have become increasingly supportive of infrastructure projects in arid regions where unstable soils such as sands, silts, loams, alkaline and other problem soils dominate. Suitable materials for construction must

either be imported at great expense or the local materials must undergo expensive treatment to be usable. Therefore, we submit that a comprehensive study of such marginal or non-usable materials would greatly benefit the LDCs and should result in significant savings in investment costs. It is proposed to commence this project in FY 1981 with a study concentrating in the Sahel, Southern Africa, and Middle East regions. The project has support of the engineers in the geographic bureaus especially those in AFR and NE. As this project is directed towards field utilization and involves predominantly on-site evaluation and practical engineering research/adaptation concepts, it would not be suitable for undertaking by ISFC.

The project has been discussed with appropriate IBRD engineers and they support it. The World Bank, like other regional banks, while recognizing the problems, does not ordinarily finance such engineering studies. Bilaterally the U.K.'s Transportation Road Research Laboratory and the French have done some excellent work in some LDCs but limited in scope.

Exploratory discussions have been held with representatives of the Egyptian Ministry of Transport and Cairo University. They are highly enthusiastic about the proposed project and have promised support and cooperation if Egypt is approved as a site. A preliminary study, through an IQC contractor is planned to clearly define the locales and scope of work for this study.

#### SUMMARY

We know the problem - what A.I.D.'s projected requirements are through 1985, and we are prepared to provide the engineering resources needed to carry out the mission. To meet this challenge, DS/ENGR needs a professional engineering capacity supplemented with contract services and PASAs. Accordingly, our staff projections and resources required to carry out functions and A.I.D.'s objectives by 1985 as presented herein are conservative.

The broad objectives and responsibilities carried out by DS/ENGR basic to the A.I.D. Mission are to provide professional expertise and capacity for

1. Engineering and technical support to A. I. D. programs.
2. Engineering and construction technology to LDC development programs.
3. Technical leadership in developing A/E and construction policy, procedures, and standards for A. I. D. engineers world-wide.
4. Career development of engineers assigned to engineering codes including dissemination of professional and technical information.
5. Representational liaison with the A/E and construction industry and its various associations.

The development objectives or functional role in this strategy are important for A. I. D. 's development goals today and for any changes which may occur by 1985. U. S. bilateral economic assistance financed by SSA and DA has always included infrastructure, technology transfer and institution building. Without these elements there cannot be meaningful development, and because engineering has a critical role to play in all of these areas, DS/ENGR will continue to be responsible for engineering guidance in the foreseeable future.

#### DISCUSSION:

As the engineer's role is imperative to insuring A. I. D. program success, it is felt that some elaboration is necessary, particularly as this effort contributes to a central program strategy. It must be clearly understood that over 60% of the A. I. D. budget requires engineering considerations and judgements.

### Engineering and Technical Support:

AID's expanding role in both development and security supporting assistance (FAA sec. 103-106 and 531) has created a substantial increase for engineering services in all elements of the development and implementation processes. To meet this critical challenge, DS/ENGR provides the technical excellence and professional expertise to support the geographic bureaus and field missions, through its broad disciplinary and highly specialized staff of engineers.

The increases in the number of infrastructure projects (particularly rural) as well as a burgeoning supporting assistance program, has placed new responsibilities on DS/ENGR to determine how best to use the very limited professional resources available. Thus, is introduced new requirements for consultants, IQC's, Requirements Contractors, PASA's, and experts. When adding increased demands for extension of labor-intensive projects and more attention to appropriate technology, additional adaptations to normal engineering practice is an added burden calling for increased DS/ENGR information gathering and data flow to all field personnel, both direct hire and augmentees. All this is in addition to the basic requirement of law and common practice - to insure the best engineering in a timely manner for a fair cost.

DS/ENGR provides opportunities for engineers to be rotated from overseas and serve in AID/W for several years before they are reassigned abroad. Their resultant experience on central programs, policy matters, representational duties and their exposure to world-wide programs broadens their background and enhances their career development. This same experience becomes a valuable AID resource on policy and program matters.

### Engineering and Construction Technology:

New technology for the developing world goes much further than simply what is known and practiced at present. In engineering and construction, what is now called for, is a whole package of new technology and practice. This course requires close linkages between engineers and those funding and developing research projects. When

the research is completed DS/ENGR's role will be to assist the geographic bureaus and overseas missions in designing appropriate pilot or field adaptation projects. The multidisciplinary capacity of the Office, - i. e., architectural, structural, water resources, sanitary, electric power, industrial, transportation, telecommunication, hydrology and mineral resources - allows full coverage for practically all sectors of development and the close working relationship of all engineers in A. I. D., and can provide the resources needed to incorporate research in the long range strategy of the LDCs. This diversity in engineering capability and world-wide integrated professional strength will facilitate the interaction between Washington and field operations.

DS/ENGR's program goals and responsibilities in appropriate technology application derive from three sources.

Firstly, is the need for field application of research performed by other DS offices or ITC. DS/ENGR will provide engineering assistance to these offices in project design and will support them during the contract stage as needed. When the research is completed DS/ENGR's role will be to assist the geographic bureaus and overseas missions in designing appropriate pilot or field adaptation projects.

Secondly, DS/ENGR answers the need to support field operations in technology transfer and utilization. Through its multidisciplinary capacity, DS/ENGR will provide expertise to the regional bureaus and field missions in identifying and designing engineering and construction projects. This effort involves considerable TDY for DS/ENGR staff for purposes of consulting with mission and host government officials and institutions. The projects developed for the most part are to be funded by the mission or the AID/W geographic bureau, with DS/ENGR acting as technical advisors with responsibility for the entire development phase.

ENGR can contribute most effectively toward the application of engineering and construction technology in LDC's because of our intimate association and knowledge of the field activities and our professional association with the staff responsible for monitoring them. Moreover, our multidisciplinary staff is better equipped to respond to the many varied programs. Their insights and close involvement with the geographic bureaus and missions makes them uniquely qualified.

#### Technical Leadership in Developing Policy:

A central focal point for internal and external professional engineering contact is of increased importance now that there are five or more separate engineering offices located within AID/W. Thus,

the bureau and mission engineers look to DS/ENGR carrying out this essential policy role. Some of the activities include, determining country ability or eligibility to carry out engineering functions, advising management relative to selection procedures for A/E and construction firms, construction bonding, construction monitoring, evaluation procedures relative to consultant and contractor performance, and recommending and clarifying changes in public laws and regulations relative to construction and engineering practice. Incidentally, these are all functions which must be performed by D. H. engineers, not by contractors.

As A. I. D. assumes a leadership role in assisting the world's needy in support of the UN's water and sanitary decade, new challenges are presented to the engineer. The water and sanitary decade initiatives by the U. S. will require the development of a whole new set of criteria for designing and implementing the water and sanitary systems for billions of needy people. This work is predominantly (engineering) infrastructure, technology transfer (training) and institution building.

An important realization concerning the respective role of DS/ENGR (Central) vis-a-vis the operational bureaus is that economy of personnel dictates that a central office be responsible for providing certain specialized services to all A. I. D. elements. DS/ENGR provides and manages these technical support services in hydrology, industry, equipment, airports, architecture, telecommunications and mineral resources.

#### Career Development of Engineers:

Professional engineers similar to doctors, lawyers and architects, are specialized disciplines with a package of federal, state and local regulations, registrations, language and societies. The Office of Engineering provides a central contact point for insuring that the Agency practices conform to law and uphold professional standards.

The Office of Engineering operating as a central office, offers opportunities to gain the advantages of closer linkage with engineers working at the operating level but at the same time taking steps to insure that there is no tendency to duplicate staffs and without infringing on the prerogatives or priorities of the Regional Bureaus or others served. In fact, it bolsters those staffs. DS/ENGR provides the management coordination and continuity for recruitment of professionals, IDI's and other needed disciplines, and for training and assignments world-wide.

This important task requires a great deal of interaction and can be performed best by ENGR because of our world-wide involvement in program and project assistance. This offers excellent overview of world requirements and experience for better advice and decision making.

Our bi-monthly News Letter is one example of the effective contacts we have maintained with our engineers by distributing technical data, news of A. I. D. engineers and professional information. All of this is directed towards making the A. I. D. engineer a more viable and effective professional.

#### Representational Liaison with Industry:

The continuing force of the A. I. D. program rests with Congress that naturally react to constituent demands and desires. One element that is heard from forcefully, is the construction and A/E industries and manufacturers, and others who supply goods and services to those industries.

The Office of Engineering is a key working element within A. I. D. that functions on a day to day basis with these elements of industry. Four out of five visitors to the office are from these industries. The bulk of incoming telephone calls come as a result of potential suppliers and others seeking project information.

DS/ENGR is in contact with the Associated General Contractors (AGC), American Consulting Engineers Council (ACEC), American Institute of Architects (AIA), National Constructor's Association (NCA) and a host of other discussing matters of mutual interest. It should be noted also that these organizations which represent a large segment of the U. S. export community are A. I. D. supporters. This office is uniquely equipped in A. I. D. because of our knowledge of engineering and construction activities in A. I. D. and industry. With rare exceptions, A. I. D. engineers have industry experience and professional society affiliations.

Finally, and certainly not the least important, is the fact that A. I. D. engineers are its working professional representatives with all USG agencies that have assigned professional engineers working with A. I. D. associated projects. Even our small staff has routine contacts with something over 30 IBRD professionals. Routine contacts

are maintained with DOE, Department of Defense engineers, Department of Commerce and other agencies. Our staff site on interagency committees working out the details of implementing new laws government-wide which information is disseminated to all AID engineers. They routinely touch base with professionals at UNDP, OECD and other supporting agencies such as VITA, BRACE, and a number of foundations and think tanks.

It is the areas of representation where the thin manning of personnel takes its toll. These representation functions cannot be contracted out. The immediate strategy naturally is to fill as quickly as possible the vacancies that currently exist on the staff that these important contacts will not be left uncovered.

#### CONCLUSION:

In summary, we know the problem - what AID's projected requirements are through 1985, and we are prepared to provide the engineering resources needed to carry out the mission. To meet this challenge, DS/ENGR strategy is to be ready with a professional engineering capacity supplemented with contract services and PASAs. Accordingly, our staff projections and resources required to carry out our functions and carry out AID's objectives by 1985 are,

#### RESOURCES:

Geographic bureau and mission demands for DS/ENGR services have increased substantially over the last year and this trend is expected to continue through 1985. Since the geographic bureaus' own engineering staffs are primarily generalists, our specialists in hydrology, industry, equipment, transportation, mineral resources, geology, architecture and architectural engineering are increasingly in demand, for both TDY overseas and for bureau assistance.

In telecommunications, we have borrowed the services of the N.E. telecommunications engineer to define requirements and have used a Requirements Contractor for specific technical work. This is an interim arrangement pending recruitment of a Telecommunications Engineer for DS/ENGR. The large portfolio of the N.E. alone requires the total attention of its engineer. In the other bureaus (Asia, AFR and LAC) there is also sufficient work to justify a full time engineer in telecommunications.

In electrical power, we have devised the same interim and part time services of the electrical engineer assigned to the ASIA bureau. We are actively recruiting for this specialty also.

In hydrology, we have been fortunate to have the services of an engineer on the reassignment complement. As soon as he is assigned overseas, we propose to substitute an expert consultant, PASA or other contract service under the management of our Water Resources Engineer.

In the interest of consolidating our D.H. staff, the water resources engineer will have responsibility for all water activities including irrigation, drinking water and multi-purpose river basin projects, sanitary and environmental engineering. In the field of water we envisaged a major effort by AID to implement the water and sanitary decade sponsored by the U.N. We are also using contract services for certain technical requirements in support of geographic bureaus and missions programs.

In consideration of the increases expected in water, natural resources and environment, it will be necessary to add at least one position in water and sanitary engineering.

DS/ENGR involvement in policy and procedures on engineering and construction continues to be a high priority. This is also expected to continue to rise as we increase assistance in small infrastructure projects and in technology transfer. In SSA, AID's major bilateral contribution to the Middle East countries is predominantly capital project and infrastructure. In this new arena, contract problems have surfaced on eligibility, bank guarantees and a variety of considerations dealing with ways of enforcing good standards and professional practices. This Office is also heavily engaged with the updating of our Handbooks, through the Procurement Policy Advisory Panel (PPAP).

DS/ENGR has also initiated new initiatives with the engineering, architectural and construction community to increase the dialogue and improve lines of communications between AID and Industry. As a result, DS/ENGR has increased its involvement in representational matters.

The volume of administrative work performed over the last year has increased immensely for DS/ENGR with no signs that it will subside or level of. This is a superimposed workload which requires considerable management attention and continuity. The struggle to compete for funds on central programs is a time consuming and ever changing process. Until recently, DS/ENGR always had an Administrative Assistant and a Deputy Director. These two positions were eliminated during the re-organization. Fortunately, we have on the reassignment complement an

engineer who has taken over and performed many of the functions. However, this is only a temporary relief situation.

Another important function performed by this office relating to career development is world-wide dissemination of information to our engineers seeking technical and professional data. We are communicating with all of our engineers through a bi-monthly news letter. This work is also being coordinated by one of our engineers on the reassignment complement. When he leaves this important function will have to be drastically curtailed or eliminated.

At the present demand for field support, our entire professional staff in transportation, water resources, equipment/airports, industrial, civil, structural and architecture will not be able to handle any new work by 1980 without increase in staff.

DS/ENGR also has the primary responsibility for staffing and career development of AID's U.S. engineers. This important function involves recruitment of engineers including IDIs, reassignments, training and overall career and management. In the IDI program alone DS/ENGR has the responsibility for planning and coordinating the training and assignment.

The Director is almost totally engaged in administrative, policy, representational and staffing work. These activities and new initiatives cannot be properly pursued without assistance in the form of a Deputy Director to share in the work and to assist in professional interaction. The requirements for additional staff by 1985 are,

- FY 1979 - fill vacancies for Electrical Engineer and Electronics (Telecom) Engineer.
- FY 1980 - Deputy Director and Water Resources Engineer.
- FY 1981 - General Engineer
- FY 1982 - Office Engineer.



OFFICE: DS/ENG

TABLE III - PROJECT OBLIGATIONS BY APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT  
FY 1979 TO FY 1981 (\$ THOUSANDS)

APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT - SD

PROJECT#	PROJECT TITLE	FY 1979	FY 1980	FY-81 MINIMUM	FY-81 CURRENT	FY-81 APPL
931-0221.	ULTRA LOW COST SHELTER	\$26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
931-1116.	TRANSPORTATION TECH SUPPORT SERVICES	\$200	\$40	\$0	\$0	\$0
931-1161.	LOW COST ROOFING UTILIZATION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
936-2505.	SOILS STUDY IN ARID REGIONS	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$250	\$250
TOTALS FOR SD		\$226	\$40	\$250	\$250	\$250
* * OFFICE TOTAL:		\$226	\$40	\$250	\$250	\$250

SUMMARY FY 1979 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: 034 OFC. OF ENGINEERING

B SUMMARY FY 79

05/03/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	FY 79 ABS-OYB \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.66	0	9.00	2.50	8.50	12.00	0.00	32.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	5.66	200	49.00	2.50	1.50	12.00	3.00	68.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	7.00	26	62.50	4.00	1.50	12.00	4.00	84.00
TOTAL	15.33	226	120.50	9.00	11.50	36.00	7.00	184.00

SUMMARY FY 1980 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: 034 OFC. OF ENGINEERING

B SUMMARY FY 80

05/03/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	FY 80 ABSOPRED \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.00	0	4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	4.33	40	32.50	3.00	1.50	12.00	3.00	52.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	6.66	0	60.00	5.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	80.00
TOTAL	13.00	40	96.50	9.50	10.00	36.00	4.00	156.00

SUMMARY FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: OFC. OF ENGINEERING

ATTACHMENT B-2 05/03/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.00			4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	4.33			35.50	3.00	1.50	12.00	0.00	52.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	6.66			60.00	5.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	80.00
<b>TOTAL BASE</b>	<b>13.00</b>			<b>99.50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>156.00</b>

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
MINIMUM	2.00			4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.00			4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	4.33	250	250	32.50	3.00	1.50	12.00	3.00	52.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	6.66			60.00	5.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	80.00
<b>TOTAL MINIMUM</b>	<b>13.00</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>96.50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>156.00</b>

SUMMARY FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

OFFICE: OFC. OF ENGINEERING

ATTACHMENT B-2 05/03/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
----------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------------------	----------------	------------------	--------------------	-------

CURRENT

DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.00			4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	4.33		250	32.50	3.00	1.50	12.00	3.00	52.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	6.66			60.00	5.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	80.00
<b>TOTAL CURRENT</b>	<b>13.00</b>		<b>250</b>	<b>96.50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>156.00</b>

OFFICE: OFC. OF ENGINEERING

ATTACHMENT B-2 05/03/79

DIVISION	TOTAL STAFF	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	FIELD SUPPORT	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	ADMINISTRATION	CLERICAL SUPPORT	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	TOTAL
----------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------------------	----------------	------------------	--------------------	-------

AAPL

DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING	2.00			4.00	1.50	6.50	12.00	0.00	24.00
TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	4.33		250	32.50	3.00	1.50	12.00	3.00	52.00
ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR	6.66			60.00	5.00	2.00	12.00	1.00	80.00
<b>TOTAL AAPL</b>	<b>13.00</b>		<b>250</b>	<b>96.50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>10.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>156.00</b>

TABLE V - FY 1981 PROPOSED PROGRAM RANKING

RANK	DECISION PACKAGES/PROGRAM ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	TERM/ NEW/ CONT.	LOAN/ GRANT	APPROP. ACCT.	DECISION UNIT DIRECTOR'S OFFICE		WORKFORCE (Number of Positions)			
					PROGRAM FUNDING (\$000)	INCR	CUM	INCR	CUM	INCR
	BASE/MINIMUM/CURRENT/AAPL Administration of Office				0	0	2	2		

FY 1979 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS AND \$000 DOLLARS)

DECISION UNIT: 0340DS/ENG	PROJECT TITLE	OFFICE OF ENGINEERING ABS-0YB \$000	DIR	SEC	OPNS ASSI COMP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	B REPORT FY 79	TOTAL	05/02/79 REGNO
	FIELD SUPPORT			4.00									9.00	1229
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			1.50									2.50	1228
	ADMINISTRATION			6.50									9.50	1227
	CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	1226
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT												0.00	1225
TOTAL		0	12.00	12.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.00	

FY 1980 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS AND \$000 DOLLARS)

DECISION UNIT: 0340DS/ENG	PROJECT TITLE	OFFICE OF ENGINEERING ABS-0YB \$000	DIR	SEC	N/A	B REPORT FY 80	TOTAL	05/02/79 REGNO						
	FIELD SUPPORT			4.00									4.00	518
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			1.50									1.50	518
	ADMINISTRATION			6.50									6.50	518
	CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	518
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT												0.00	518
TOTAL		0	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00	

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0340 DS/ENG

OFFICE OF ENGINEERING

ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	DIR	SEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO
<b>BASE</b>														
		FIELD SUPPORT			4.00								4.00	2655
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			1.50								1.50	2656
		ADMINISTRATION			6.50								6.50	2657
		CLERICAL SUPPORT				12.00							12.00	2658
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT											0.00	2659
		<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>BASE</b>	0	0	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00

<b>MINIMUM</b>														
		FIELD SUPPORT			4.00								4.00	2660
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			1.50								1.50	2661
		ADMINISTRATION			6.50								6.50	2662
		CLERICAL SUPPORT				12.00							12.00	2663
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT											0.00	2664

<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>MINIMUM</b>	0	0	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00
-----------------	----------------	---	---	-------	-------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0340 DS/ENG OFFICE OF ENGINEERING ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ ACGR \$000	DIR	SEC	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO						
------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

CURRENT

		FIELD SUPPORT												4.00	2665
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION												1.50	2666
		ADMINISTRATION												6.50	2667
		CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	2668
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT												0.00	2669
		SUBTOTAL CURRENT	0	0	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00	

AMPL

		FIELD SUPPORT												4.00	2670
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION												1.50	2671
		ADMINISTRATION												6.50	2672
		CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	2673
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT												0.00	2674
		SUBTOTAL AMPL	0	0	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00	

TABLE V . FY 1981 PROPOSED PROGRAM RANKING

RANK	DECISION PACKAGES/PROGRAM ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	TERM/ NEW/ CONT.	LOAN/ GRANT	APPROP. ACCT.	DECISION UNIT		WORKFORCE								
					INCR	CUM	(Number of Positions)		INCR	CUM	INCR	CUM			
	<u>BASE</u> Administration of Office				0	0	5	5							
	<u>MINIMUM/CURRENT/AAPL</u> Study of Engineering Properties of Arid Soils 936-2505	New	G	SD	250	250	5	5							

1-23

FY 1979 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS AND \$000 DOLLARS)

DECISION UNIT: 0341TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV	RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	ABS-0YB \$000	TRANSPN ENGR (CHIEF)	EQUIP-MENT ENGR	TELECOM ENGR COMP	HYDRO-ENGR COM	WATER RESRCE ENGR	MINERAL GEOL COMP	B REPORT FY 79		TOTAL	05/04/79 RECMO
											SEC	N/A		
FIELD SUPPORT					7.00	11.00	4.00	12.00	3.00	12.00			49.00	1382
TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION					1.00	0.50			1.00				2.50	1381
ADMINISTRATION					1.00	0.50							1.50	1224
CLERICAL SUPPORT													12.00	1551
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			3.00	1515
	936-1404	ABS AND CP PREPARATION			( 0.50)	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( 0.50)	2245
	F 931-1116	TRANSPORTATION TECH SUPPORT SERVICES			200 ( 2.50)	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( 2.50)	1552
TOTAL				200	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	68.00

ITEMS RETRIEVED 7

FY 1980 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION TABLE  
(IN WORK-MONTHS AND \$000 DOLLARS)

DECISION UNIT: 034ITRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV  
 RANK WORK PROJECT ABSORBED TRANSPM EQUIP- TELECOM WATER SEC N/A N/A R REPORT FY RO TOTAL REGNO  
 CATEGORIES TITLE \$000 ENGR (CHIEF) ENGR MENT ENGR ENGR COMP ENGR RES ENGR

FIELD SUPPORT	7.00	11.00	11.50	3.00	0.00	32.50	518						
TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00	3.00	519						
ADMINISTRATION	1.00	0.50		0.00	0.00	1.50	519						
CLERICAL SUPPORT				0.00	12.00	12.00	518						
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	502						
936-1404 ABS AND CP PREPARATION	( 0.50 )	( )	( )	( 0.00 )	( 0.00 )	( 0.50 )	2250						
F 931-1116 TRANSPORTATION TECH SUPPORT SERVICES	40 ( 2.50 )	( )	( )	( 0.00 )	( 0.00 )	( )	2143						
TOTAL	40	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.00

ITEMS RETRIEVED 7

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0341 TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV

ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ AGGR \$000 (CHIEF)	TRANSPN ENGR	EQUIP-MENT ENGR	TELECOM ENGR	WATER RESRCE ENGR	SEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO
BASE															
		FIELD SUPPORT			10.00	11.00	11.50	3.00	0.00					35.50	2695
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00					3.00	2696
		ADMINISTRATION			1.00	0.50		0.00	0.00					1.50	2697
		CLERICAL SUPPORT						0.00	12.00					12.00	2698
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT						0.00	0.00					0.00	2699
SUBTOTAL BASE			0	0	12.00	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.00	

MINIMUM															
FIELD SUPPORT															
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION			7.00	11.00	11.50	3.00	0.00					32.50	2700
		ADMINISTRATION			1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00					3.00	2701
		CLERICAL SUPPORT			1.00	0.50		0.00	0.00					1.50	2702
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT						0.00	12.00					12.00	2703
								0.00	0.00					3.00	2704
F	936-2505	STUDY OF ENGR PROP OF ARID SOILS	250	250	( 3.00 )	( )	( )	( 0.00 )	( 0.00 )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( 3.00 )	2711

SUBTOTAL	MINIMUM	250	250	12.00	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.00	
----------	---------	-----	-----	-------	-------	-------	------	-------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	--

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0341 TRAN COMM & NATURAL RESOURCES DIV

ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ TRANSPN ACGR ENGR \$000 (CHIEF)	EQUIP- MENT ENGR	TELECOM ENGR	WATER RESRCE ENGR	SEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO
<b>CURRENT</b>														
		FIELD SUPPORT											32.50	2705
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	7.00		11.00	11.50	3.00	0.00					3.00	2706
		ADMINISTRATION	1.00		0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00					1.50	2707
		CLERICAL SUPPORT	1.00		0.50		0.00	0.00					12.00	2708
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					0.00	12.00					3.00	2709
													502	
F	936-2505	STUDY OF ENGR PROP OF ARID SOILS	250	( 3.00 )	( )	( )	( 0.00 )	( 0.00 )	( )	( )	( )	( )	3.00	2712
<b>SUBTOTAL CURRENT</b>														
			0	250	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.00	
<b>AAFL</b>														
		FIELD SUPPORT											32.50	2710
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION	7.00		11.00	11.50	3.00	0.00					3.00	2713
		ADMINISTRATION	1.00		0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00					1.50	2714
		CLERICAL SUPPORT	1.00		0.50		0.00	0.00					12.00	2715
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					0.00	12.00					3.00	2716
													502	
F	936-2505	STUDY OF ENGR PROP OF ARID SOILS	250	( 3.00 )	( )	( )	( 0.00 )	( 0.00 )	( )	( )	( )	( )	3.00	2717
<b>SUBTOTAL AAFL</b>														
			0	250	12.00	12.00	4.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52.00	

-27-

PROGRAM: CENTRALLY FUNDED

Project Manager: John P. Zedalis

TITLE Study of Engineering Properties of Arid Soils	FUNDS SD	Proposed Obligation FY 1981 \$250,000	Proposed Life of Project 1.15 M	Init. Oblig. FY 1981	Proposed Est. Final Oblig. FY 1985	Proposed Completion Date FY 1985
NUMBER 936-2505	New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuing <input type="checkbox"/>	PRIOR REFERENCE	FY 81 Oblig. Auth. by PP No PP	Life of Project per App. PP 1.15 M	Final Obligation per PP FY No PP	Completion Date per PP FY No PP
Grant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loan <input type="checkbox"/>		None				

Purpose: To enhance the technical know-how of LDCs to economically utilize arid and semi-arid soils for construction.

Background & Progress to Date

AID recently financed a worldwide engineering study of Laterite and Lateritic Soils and other Problem Soils of the Tropics for road building and other construction purposes. This study was extremely well-received by the LDC engineering community and significantly contributed to state-of-the-art design and construction. A similar study on how to utilize sands, silts, loam, alkaline and other problem soils in arid and semi-arid regions would be equally beneficial. Quality materials in such regions are usually not available locally and must either be imported at great expense or the local materials must undergo expensive treatment to be usable.

Host Country and Other Donors

Selected countries will contribute both professional and sub-professional manpower and existing laboratories/facilities.

FY 1981 Program

The study will be concentrated in three areas: the Middle East, Sahel Region, and Southern Africa, where extremely poor soils dominate. An approximate 5-year resident study program is planned and will include an evaluation of dry construction techniques and development of appropriate engineering criteria and standards for usage.

Major Impact Countries & Approximate \$ Amount	
Botswana	- 300
Upper Volta	- 200
Mali	- 200
Egypt	- 300
Syria	- 50
Jordan	- 100
Beneficiaries	
By enhancing the state-of-the art and effecting more economical utilization of soils for construction, local LDC communities will benefit by improved routes of communication.	
Major Outputs	<u>Proposed</u>
Country Reports	4
Consolidated Report	1
Seminars/Workshops	2

A.I.D. Financed Inputs

Key personnel & support staff	\$200
Equipment/Supplies	40
Logistics & misc.	10
Technical Office Support (in person months)	
FY 1981	3
1982	2
1983	2
1984	2
1985	1

Obligations (\$000)	Expenditures (\$000)	Unliquidated (\$000)	Funding Period	Principal Contractors or Agencies & Contract Number
Through September 30, 1978	-	-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	A/E firm to be selected by RFP.
Estimated FY 1979	-	-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Estimated Through FY 1979	-	-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Proposed FY 1980	-	-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Estimated Through FY 1980	-	-	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
Proposed FY 1981	250	Future Yr. Obligation 900	Estimated Total Cost 1150	

TABLE V - FY 1981 PROPOSED PROGRAM RANKING

RANK	DECISION PACKAGES/PROGRAM ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	TERM/ NEW/ CONT.	LOAN/ GRANT	APPROP. ACCT.	DECISION UNIT Utilities & General Engineering Division		Architecture, Engineering Division			
					PROGRAM FUNDING (\$000)		WORK FORCE (Number of Positions)		FUNDING	
					INCR	CUM	INCR	CUM	INCR	CUM
	<u>BASE/MINIMUM/CURRENT/APPL</u> Administration of Office				0	0	6	6		



FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0342 ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	WORK CATEGORIES	PROJECT TITLE	BGT INCR \$000	PROJ AGGR \$000	BLDGS CHIEF	CIVIL CONSTR ENGR	ELEC POWER ENGR	INDUSTRIAL ENGR	SANITARY ENGR	BLDGS ARCH	SEC	N/A	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO
------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	------------	-----	-----	-----	-------	-------

BASE															
		FIELD SUPPORT				10.00	9.00	11.00	11.00	7.00	12.00			60.00	2690
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				5.00	2691
		ADMINISTRATION				1.00	1.00							2.00	2692
		CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	2693
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT				0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2694
		936-1404 ABS AND CP PREPARATION	0	(	(	1.00)	(	(	(	(	(	(	(	(	1.00) 2724
		SUBTOTAL BASE	0	0	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	8.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	80.00

MINIMUM															
		FIELD SUPPORT				10.00	9.00	11.00	11.00	7.00	12.00			60.00	2730
		TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				5.00	2676
		ADMINISTRATION				1.00	1.00							2.00	2677
		CLERICAL SUPPORT												12.00	2678
		PROGRAM MANAGEMENT				0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2679
		936-1404 ABS AND CP PREPARATION	0	(	(	1.00)	(	(	(	(	(	(	(	(	1.00) 2721
		SUBTOTAL MINIMUM	0	0	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	8.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	80.00

FY 1981 WORKFORCE ALLOCATION BY ZBB FUNDING LEVEL  
(IN WORK-MONTHS)

DECISION UNIT: 0342 ARCHITECTURE UTIL & GEN ENGR ATTACHMENT B-1 05/02/79

RANK	MARK	PROJECT	BGT	PROJ	BLDGS	CIVIL	ELEC	INDUSTR	SANI-	BLDGS	SEC	N/A	N/A	TOTAL	REGNO
CATEGORIES	TITLE	INCR	ACGR	ENGR	ENGR	CONSTR	POWER	ENGR	TARY	ARCH					
		\$000	\$000	CHIEF	ENGR	ENGR	ENGR	ENGR	ENGR	ENGR					
<b>CURRENT</b>															
	FIELD SUPPORT				10.00	9.00	11.00	11.00	7.00	12.00				60.00	2689
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					5.00	2681
	ADMINISTRATION				1.00	1.00								2.00	2692
	CLERICAL SUPPORT													12.00	2683
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT				0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2684
	936-1404 ABS AND CP PREPARATION				0	( 1.00)	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( 1.00)	2722
<b>SUBTOTAL CURRENT 0 0 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 8.00 12.00 12.00 0.00 0.00 80.00</b>															
<b>APPL</b>															
	FIELD SUPPORT				10.00	9.00	11.00	11.00	7.00	12.00				60.00	2685
	TECHNICAL REPRESENTATION				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					5.00	2686
	ADMINISTRATION				1.00	1.00								2.00	2697
	CLERICAL SUPPORT													12.00	2698
	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT				0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	2699
	936-1404 ABS AND CP PREPARATION				0	( 1.00)	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( )	( 1.00)	2723
<b>SUBTOTAL APPL 0 0 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 8.00 12.00 12.00 0.00 0.00 80.00</b>															

FUNDING AND STAFF PROJECTIONS

STAFF (Total)	FY 79		FY 80		Min.		FY 81		FY 82		FY 83		FY 84		FY 85	
	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT	DH	PT
Dollar Amount by Appropriation	13	1	13	2	13	2	13	2	13	2	14	3	14	3	14	3
Selected Development Activities	226		40		250		250		250		250		250		250	150

FIELD SUPPORT  
( \$000 )

Project	FY '79			FY '80			FY '81			MAY 81		
	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Fld. Sup. Pers. Mos.	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Fld. Sup. Pers. Mos.	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Minimum Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Fld. Sup. Pers. Mos.	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Current Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)	Fld. Sup. Pers. Mos.	Total Field Support Cost (Dollars)
Ultra Low Cost Shelter 931-221	26	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transportation Technology Support Services 931-1116	200	8	40	40	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Study of Engineering Properties of Arid Soils 936-2505	0	0	0	0	0	250	250	20	250	250	20	250
TOTAL	226	14	40	40	12	250	250	20	250	250	20	250

TABLE IV PROJECT BUDGET DATA

NUMBER	PROJECT TITLE	G/L	OBLIGATION DATE		DATE OF NEXT PLANNED NON-ROUTINE EVAL	CUM. PIPELINE AS OF 9/30/78	ESTIMATED U.S. DOLLAR COST (\$000)			FY 1981 LABEL OBLIG.	FORWARD FUNDED TO (MO/YR)	DECISION UNIT			
			INITIAL	FINAL			FY 1979		FY 1980			FY 1982 OBLIGATIONS	FY 1983 & BEYOND		
							OBLIG.	EXPEND.	CUM. PIPELINE					OBLIG.	EXPEND.
9314116	Transportation Technology Support Services	G	77	79		336	200	200	336	40	376	-0-	-0-	9/80	-0-
936-2505	Soils Study In Arid Regions	G	81	85		-	-	-	-	-	-	250	1 year	900	
931-0221	Ultra Low Cost Shelter	G	76	79		72	26	98	-0-	-	-	-	9/79	-0-	
							-35-								

LISTING OF FY 1980 PROJECTS WITH EXPECTED DIFFERENCES

OF \$50,000 OR MORE THAN SHOWN IN FY 1980 CP

<u>Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>	<u>FY 1980 CP (\$000)</u>	<u>Decrease Per FY 1981 AFS (\$000)</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Low Cost Roofing Utilization 931-1161	SD	650	650	Possible transfer to other DS division.

04/17/19

DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT BUREAU  
PROJECT ACTIVITY BY OFFICE

PAGE:

OFF	COUNTRY	PRO-JECT	TITLE	ACTI-VITY	ACTIVITY STATUS	PROJECT MANAGER	TOP 1000 (\$ 000)	ITEM NO.
ENG	BOTSWANA	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	300	166
	EGYPT	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	300	154B
	JORDAN	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	100	1550
	MALI	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	200	722
	NIGER	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	200	116
	PERU	0221	ULTRA LOW COST SHELTER	DEV/ADAP	ACTIVE	STEARNS, P.	476	2689
	SYRIA	2505	SOILS STUDIES IN ARID REGIONS	DEV/ADAP	PROJECTED	ZEDALIS, J.	50	1549
	UNITED STATES	1116	TRANSPORATION TECH SUPPORT SERVICES	DEV/ADAP	ACTIVE	ZEDALIS, J	660	2711

CNT SUM 11 2436