

PD: ABI 912

- BEFORE FILLING OUT THIS FORM, READ THE ATTACHED INSTRUCTIONS.
- USE LETTER QUALITY TYPE, NOT DOT MATRIX TYPE.

**IDENTIFICATION DATA**

<b>A. Reporting A.I.D. Unit:</b> Mission or AID/W Office <u>G/EG/SMIE</u> _____ (ES# <u>01</u> )	<b>B. Was Evaluation Scheduled in Current FY Annual Evaluation Plan?</b> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slipped <input type="checkbox"/> Ad Hoc <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>C. Evaluation Timing</b> Interim <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final <input type="checkbox"/> Ex Post <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>
	Evaluation Plan Submission Date: FY <u>93</u> Q <u>3</u>	

**D. Activity or Activities Evaluated** (List the following information for project(s) or program(s) evaluated; if not applicable, list title and date for the evaluation report.)

Project No.	Project / Program	First PROAG or Equivalent (FY)	Most Recent PACD (Mo/Yr)	Planned LOP Cost (000)	Amount Obligated to Date (000)
940-0015	Institutional Reform and the Informal Sector	5/24/90	9/96	Original CA of \$8,835 plus OYB transfers of \$1,800 equals: \$10,835	\$7,695 of the \$8,835 in original CA (this excludes country-specific work under OYB-transfers)

**ACTIONS**

E. Action Decisions Approved By Mission or AID/W Office Director	Name of Officer Responsible for Action	Date Action to be Completed
<b>Action(s) Required</b> <u>Extend Project</u> 1. One-year no-cost extension of: -- Project Authorization -- Cooperative Agreement -- Basic Ordering Agreement  2. Inform Missions of this extension  3. Prepare justification for further extension and/or a new project (IRIS-II)  <u>Extend Project Services to New Countries/Regions</u>  4. Prepare and implement marketing strategy  <u>Relax Costly and Counterproductive Administrative Burdens</u>  5. Prepare and gain approvals for annual work plans (for the Cooperative Agreement and country-specific Delivery Orders) that adequately cover issues requiring AID Contracting Officer's approval	G/EG/SMIE M/FA/O/B/PCE  G/EG/SMIE  G/EG/SMIE  IRIS Center  IRIS Center G/EG/SMIE M/FA/O/B/PCE	March 31, 1994 April 30, 1994 April 30, 1994  May 12, 1994  July 1, 1994  June 15, 1994  On-Going

**APPROVALS**

**F. Date of Mission Or AID/W Office Review Of Evaluation:**

(Month) (Day) (Year)  
 March 28 1994

**G. Approvals of Evaluation Summary And Action Decisions:**

Name (Typed)	Project/Program Officer	Representative of Borrower/Grantee	Evaluation Officer	Mission of AID/W Office Director
Signature	R. Mitchell, G/EG/SMIE	Not Applicable	John Gelb, G/EG/DP	F. Duncan, G/EG/SMIE
Date	<i>[Signature]</i> 6/16/94		<i>[Signature]</i> 6/20/94	<i>[Signature]</i> 6/16/94

1

**ABSTRACT**

**H. Evaluation Abstract (Do not exceed the space provided)**

The purpose of the mid-term evaluation is to assess whether the project is on the right course in achieving its goals. The evaluator is also to offer suggestions for improved effectiveness and on whether the project should continue after its five-year life. Additional more specific tasks are to ascertain if the project is achieving its three purposes, the appropriateness of research proposals funded, the success of outreach/dissemination efforts, the degree to which IRIS has been a source of new ideas, the adequacy of core staff and their funds, and how to make the project more relevant than it already is.

**Major findings:** The evaluators "found the IRIS Center to be highly effective in carrying out a broad-based program of technical assistance, education, and research aimed at promoting both legal and institutional reform ... (in) increasing the international awareness of the role of the rule of law and institutions in economic development, and (in) expanding the endowment of knowledge relating to institutional economics... the Center (is) exceptionally well staffed.. (and has) conducted an effective outreach and dissemination effort... programs are making strongly positive contributions in those areas in which they have been active." "... the IRIS Research Program is a success and a very valuable resource to AID and the development community."

**Major Recommendations:** "AID support for the Center and its work be both continued and extended substantially [in time and in geographical regions served] beyond the two years remaining under the contract." Also, costly and counter-productive administrative burdens "ought to be relaxed considerably."

**Lessons learned:**

1. In-country long-term expatriate presence important to success.
2. University affiliation helps avoid political sensitivities associated with focus of IRIS activities.

**COSTS**

**I. Evaluation Costs**

1. Evaluation Team		Contract Number OR TDY Person Days	Contract Cost OR TDY Cost (U.S. \$)	Source of Funds
Name	Affiliation			
Andrew Billings Garth Trinkl	Brimmer & Co. Brimmer & Co.	PCE-0001-C-00- 3018-00	\$48,309	PD&S
2. Mission/Office Professional Staff Person-Days (Estimate) <u>10</u>		3. Borrower/Grantee Professional Staff Person Days (estimated) <u>5</u>		

**BEST AVAILABLE DOCUMENT**