



February 7, 1994

QUARTERLY REPORT
For the period July 1 through September 30, 1993
Grant No. AEP-0000-G-00-2037-00

Objectives and Accomplishments for this period:

Objectives for this period are itemized according to the budget categories in the grant. The objectives and outcomes during the period (for grant areas in which activities were conducted) are as follows:

1. Grant Budget Category 1(a) and 1(b). Support of the PECC Fund and Standing Committee and Coordinating Group.

Objective: To follow up the results of the Ninth General Meeting and to finalize some budgetary items in the PECC Fund for the coming year.

Outcome: The Standing Committee and Coordinating Group met in Indonesia, July 6-7. The meeting focused on ways to restructure PECC to work more appropriately with APEC. Also, further plans were made for the development of projects and programs that would follow up recommendations on "Open Regionalism" in PECC IX.

2. Grant Budget Category 2. Future of Pacific Agriculture Production & Markets

Objective: To develop government, research and industry participation in the first region-wide forum on Asia-Pacific policy and business environments for trade in food and agriculture.

Outcome: A successful series of meetings were arranged in five Asia-Pacific economies between project coordinator Carol Brookins and representatives from the tripartite sectors in food and agriculture.

3. Grant Budget Category 3. Science & Technology Meetings

Objective: A) To examine, with businesses and governments in the region, those policy issues and current informational needs that affect technology transfer and technology growth.

Outcome: A) In July, the U.S. Committee, in cooperation with other Member Committees of the PECC, organized a Task Force planning meeting in Sapporo, Japan to develop the final agenda for a workshop on technology transfer in November and to make longer term plans for the Task Force.

United States National Committee For Pacific Economic Cooperation

EAST COAST:
1755 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036
Phone: (202) 745-7444 Facsimile: (202) 797-1355

WEST COAST:
The Asia Foundation, P.O. Box 3223, San Francisco, CA 94119
Phone (415) 982-4640 Facsimile: (415) 392-8863

4. Grant Budget Category 4. Telecommunications in Development: Symposia

Objective: To support and extend the work of the APEC Telecommunications Working Group on Telecommunications through a PECC private sector group.

Outcome: US-PECC organized a U.S. private sector delegation to an APEC Telecommunications Working Group meeting in Manila and made a presentation on PECC activities in this area.

5. Category 5. International Air Transport and Economic Development Roundtables

Objective: To support APEC activities in areas related to transportation and to contribute independent data and analysis from PECC for this purpose.

Outcome: US-PECC organized U.S. private sector participation in a meeting in Seattle of the APEC Transportation Working Group and assisted in logistical matters. Presentations of PECC studies on transportation were made as well.

6. Category 9. Other Temporary Costs

Objective: To generally support PECC liaison with APEC and, more generally, liaison by the private sector with APEC throughout the U.S.

Outcome: c.f. Categories 4 and 5 above.

Expenditures:

Expenditures for this period have been within the timeframe and general guidelines set forth by the grant. The expenditures are summarized in the attached financial reports.

Trip Reports:

The trip reports for the period of this report are attached. They are:

1. Food & Agriculture Forum
29 June - 16 July 1993
Various Asian Cities
2. PECC Standing Committee Meeting
July 9-10, 1993
Bali, Indonesia

3. S&T Task Force Advisory Group Meeting
July 9, 1993
Sapporo, Japan
4. 4th APEC Working Group Meeting on Transportation
July 21-23, 1993
Seattle, Washington
5. PECC Fisheries Task Force Workshop
September 13-14 1993
Vancouver, Canada
5. 8th APEC Working Group Meeting on Telecommunications
September 14-16, 1993
Manila, The Philippines

FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

(Short Form)

(Follow instructions on the back)

1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted Agency for International Development EA/EM/A/NPA + PA	2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned By Federal Agency AEP-0000-G-00-2037-00	OMB Approval No. 0348-0039	Page 1	of 1 pages
---	---	--------------------------------------	------------------	----------------------

3. Recipient Organization (Name and complete address, including ZIP code)
US National Committee for Pacific Economic Cooperation
1755 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 412
Washington, DC 20036-2102

4. Employer Identification Number 52-1365614	5. Recipient Account Number or Identifying Number 499-0013-3-2672507	6. Final Report <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	7. Basis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cash <input type="checkbox"/> Accrual
--	--	--	---

8. Funding/Grant Period (See Instructions) From: (Month, Day, Year) May 1, 1993	To: (Month, Day, Year) April 30, 1994	9. Period Covered by this Report From: (Month, Day, Year) July 1, 1993	To: (Month, Day, Year) September 30, 1993
--	---	---	---

10. Transactions:	I Previously Reported	II This Period	III Cumulative
a. Total outlays	354,065.59	182,221.97	536,295.56
b. Recipient share of outlays	0	0	0
c. Federal share of outlays	354,065.59	182,221.97	536,295.56
d. Total unliquidated obligations	0	0	0
e. Recipient share of unliquidated obligations	0	0	0
f. Federal share of unliquidated obligations	0	0	0
g. Total Federal share (Sum of lines c and f)	354,065.59	182,221.97	536,295.56
h. Total Federal funds authorized for this funding period	354,065.59	182,221.97	536,295.56
i. Unobligated balance of Federal funds (Line h minus line g)	0	0	550,000.00
	33,934.41	20,221.97	13,704.44

11. Indirect Expense	a. Type of Rate (Place "X" in appropriate box) NONE <input type="checkbox"/> Provisional <input type="checkbox"/> Predetermined <input type="checkbox"/> Final <input type="checkbox"/> Fixed			
	b. Rate	c. Base	d. Total Amount	e. Federal Share

12. Remarks: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing legislation.

13. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the purposes set forth in the award documents.

Typed or Printed Name and Title Mark Berthwick, Executive Director	Telephone (Area code, number and extension) (202) 745-7444
Signature of Authorized Certifying Official 	Date Report Submitted 5 October 1993

FEDERAL CASH TRANSACTIONS REPORT

(See instructions on the back. If report is for more than one grant or assistance agreement, attach completed Standard Form 272-A.)

Approved by Office of Management and Budget, No. 80-RO182
 1. Federal sponsoring agency and organizational element to which this report is submitted

Agency for International Development FA/FM/A/NPA-1

2. RECIPIENT ORGANIZATION

Name : US National Committee for Pacific Economic Cooperation
 Number and Street : 1755 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, # 412
 City, State and ZIP Code: Washington, DC 20036-2102

4. Federal grant or other identification number
 AFP-0000-6-00-2037-00

5. Recipient's account number or identifying number
 499-0013-3-2672507

6. Letter of credit number
 72001590

7. Last payment voucher number
 025

Give total number for this period

8. Payment Vouchers credited to your account
 2

9. Treasury checks received (whether or not deposited)
 NONE

3. FEDERAL EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.

52-1365614

10. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT

FROM (month, day, year)
 July 1, 1993

TO (month, day year)
 September 30, 1993

11. STATUS OF FEDERAL CASH

(See specific instructions on the back)

a. Cash on hand beginning of reporting period	\$ 33,938.07
b. Letter of credit withdrawals	162,000.00
c. Treasury check payments	0
d. Total receipts (Sum of lines b and c)	162,000.00
e. Total cash available (Sum of lines a and d)	195,938.07
f. Gross disbursements	182,229.97
g. Federal share of program income	0
h. Net disbursements (Line f minus line g)	182,229.97
i. Adjustments of prior periods	0
j. Cash on hand end of period	\$ 13,708.10

12. THE AMOUNT SHOWN ON LINE 11J, ABOVE, REPRESENTS CASH REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ENSUING

Days

13. OTHER INFORMATION	
a. Interest income	\$ 108.23
b. Advances to subgrantees or subcontractors	\$

14. REMARKS (Attach additional sheets of plain paper, if more space is required)

15.

CERTIFICATION

I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is true in all respects and that all disbursements have been made for the purpose and conditions of the grant or agreement

AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL

SIGNATURE

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

Mark Borthwick
 Executive Director

DATE REPORT SUBMITTED

5 October 1993

TELEPHONE (Area Code, Number, Extension)

(202) 745-7444

THIS SPACE FOR AGENCY USE

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 29 June - 16 July 1993

Meeting Venue: Various Asian Cities

Participants: Carol Brookins
President
World Perspectives, Inc

Purpose: Food and Agriculture Forum

REPORT:

Carol Brookins visited the Asian region as part of a PECC-sponsored trip to six economies designed to introduce the Food and Agriculture Forum to important private sector and government officials. The economies visited were: Indonesia, Singapore, Thailand, Hong Kong, Korea, and Japan. In brief, there were many common concerns expressed by various industry sectors throughout the region which can be addressed by the Forum next year. There appears to be general interest in engaging collectively on a region-wide basis to examine policies and developments in the marketplace under this type of interaction.

The purpose of these meetings was to set both the objectives and agenda for the Forum, which will take place in 1994 in Singapore. PECC's Industry Forum on Pacific Food and Agriculture has a unique role to play in providing unprecedented networking for industry leaders throughout the food agriculture and fisheries sectors in the 21 PECC member economies. The Forum also will permit industry leaders to meet and interact with government officials acting in "non-official" roles as participants in the dialogue on policies and industry developments.

The Forum thus can serve as a laboratory for ideas that can be brought as PECC-wide effort to governments, especially those who are members of APEC. It is worth noting here that PECC member economies account for more than 40 percent of the world's population.

Food & Agriculture Trip Report
Page Two

The following provides the conceptual framework for the Forum and specific details on scheduling:

1. A 2-day Pacific Food and Agriculture Forum will be held on 8-9 April 1994. This date was set to immediately precede the large trade show, Food and HotelAsia, which opens on Tuesday morning, 12 April and attracts more than 3,000 participants from an estimated 40 countries.
2. A meeting of the PECC's group of agricultural economists will be scheduled tentatively for Sunday, 10 April to begin formulating plans to develop and produce a Pacific Food Outlook publication.
3. The 2-day Forum will consist of an opening one-half day plenary session for all participants from the private and public sectors in the 21 member economies of the PECC. This will be followed by a full day's meetings (PM/Friday, AM/Saturday) of smaller task forces targeted to specific sectors of the industry.

The Forum participants will come back into plenary session for the closing afternoon to present their reports. The plenary will then seek to forge consensus on a set of issues or policies on which to focus the work of the PECC Forum during the year, specifically including any efforts to be directed to governments through the Ministerial forum on Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC).

4. The first day's plenary session will address topics of general concern to the growth of dynamic food, agriculture and fisheries systems in the Pacific Rim. Topics that are likely to be on the agenda in a round-table format, with discussion leaders representing industry and government views, are:

- Trade Flows and Barriers / Investment Regimes
- Consumer Trends
- Regulatory Standards and Disciplines
- Environmental Factors and Agricultural Productivity

5. Working Group sessions will be the major focus of the Forum in establishing the basis for region-wide consensus in critical industry sectors. The PECC can be extremely effective in providing policy concerns and options to governments, looking beyond bilateral issues, because of the vast size of the PECC marketplace. As the only non-governmental body with an official observer status at APEC, PECC can provide direct input to the policy work of APEC.

6. Working Groups will be formulated on the basis of specific sectors, so that each addresses plenary issues within its individual context and/or other specific issues with a policy impact. At this first 1994 industry Forum, each Working Group would be co-chaired by a representative of industry and government.

Working Groups could include:

- Fishery Products
- Fruits and Vegetables
- Oilseeds
- Grains
- Livestock
- Food Processing
- Consumer-ready foods / Food Service Industries
- Farm Inputs / Productivity / Biotechnology

Report Prepared By: Mark Borthwick

Date Prepared: August 2, 1993

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 9-10 July 1993
Meeting Venue: Bali, Indonesia
Participants: Adlai Stevenson, Incoming President
Richard Fairbanks, Outgoing President
Mark Borthwick, Executive Director
Purpose: PECC Standing Committee Meeting

REPORT:

The meetings reviewed the initial draft of a restructuring plan for PECC by the Standing Committee as well as general planning and financial matters related to the administration of PECC.

See attached meeting minutes.

The three participants also held meetings in Singapore with government officials associated with APEC and PECC as well as at the PECC Secretariat in Singapore.

Report Prepared By: Mark Borthwick

Date Prepared: August 1, 1993

Draft Minutes

PECC Standing Committee Meeting Bali 10 July

Dr Noordin Sopiee, the PECC Chair, welcomed participants to Bali and opened the Standing Committee Meeting at 9.15 am, following the Board of Directors meeting and the Annual General Meeting of the PECC Fund Limited.

I MATTERS ARISING

1. Respects to Dr Saburo Okita

In recognition of Dr Saburo Okita's contribution to PECC as a founder and key contributor to the cause and process of PECC, the Chair led the meeting to a one-minute silence to pay due respect to Dr Okita.

2. Farewell, Welcome, Introductions and Changes in Chairs

The meeting noted the following changes of Chairs: from Amb Richard Fairbanks to Sen Adlai Stevenson, III (USNCPEC), from Dr Duck-Woo Nam to Dr Kihwan Kim (KOPEC), and from Dr Raymond Ch'ien to Mr William Fung (HKCPEC). The Chair welcomed the three new chairs and bid farewell to the resigning chairs for their outstanding contributions.

3. Apologies

The meeting noted apologies received from Dr William G Saywell of CANCPEC, Mr Li Luye of CNCPEC, Amb Nobuo Matsunaga of JANCPEC, Prof Wee Chow Hou of SINPEC, Dr Chen-fu Koo of CTPECC, Mr Javier Barros-Valero of MXCPEC, Hon Jeremia T Tabai of PINs, Mr Frederick Whitemore of PBEC, Mr Carlos G Dominguez, III of PPECC and Mr Alexandre G Granberg of RNCPEC.

The meeting also noted the presence of alternate Chairs and representatives: Mr Kenneth Lewis of CANCPEC, Mr Chen Luzhi of CNCPEC, Prof Seizaburo Sato of JANCPEC, Dr Hank Lim of SINPEC, Dr Jeffrey L S Koo of CTPECC, Amb Hector Cardenas of MXCPEC, Mr Cecilio Chan of PPECC and Ms Tanya Tolkachova of RNCPEC.

4. Approval of the Minutes of the previous Standing Committee meeting

The Minutes of the Standing Committee Meeting held in Kuala Lumpur, 7 January 1993 were approved without amendment.

II ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The agenda of the meeting was adopted as written.

III REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUB-COMMITTEE

Dr Jeffrey Koo, Chair of the Finance Sub-Committee, reported on the routine financial matters regarding the statement of accounts, member committee contributions and financial assistance to the task forces travelings.

He also reported on the long term financial outlook of PECC, member committee contribution formula and new guidelines for financial assistance, following a sub-committee meeting the day before.

1. The Statement of Accounts

At the recommendation of the Finance Sub-Committee, the statement of accounts of the PECC Fund Ltd for the period of January - May, 1993 was approved.

2. 1993 Member Committee Contributions

The Chair reported that all member committees, except the US and Russia, had paid their 1993 contribution, including due interests for some. RNCPEC had indicated their fund were forthcoming and USNCPEC's contribution was forthcoming pending administrative clearance.

3. Long Term Financial Outlook

The Finance Sub-Committee expressed concern over PECC's overall financial status and the long-term prospect of the central fund. Based on analyses and projections made by the Secretariat up to 1996, in most cases the Fund balance would be driven well below present levels unless the current financing practices were changed. Eight balance projections were distributed to member committees for information and review. (Attachment I)

4. Member Committee Contributions

The Standing Committee accepted in principle the CANCEC proposed "PECC Fund Contribution Formula" for 1994 based on the recommendation of the Finance Sub-Committee, pending review and approval of the member committees (Attachment II). The proposal would be discussed at the next Standing Committee in Kuala Lumpur before a formal decision was made .

The Standing Committee noted that the member committees of Japan, Korea, Chinese Taipei and the US agreed to contribute at their current dollar levels instead of the lower amounts calculated under the formula if the total contribution in 1994 remained at 1993 levels.

5. Task Force Financial Assistance

At the recommendation of the Finance Sub-Committee, the Standing Committee agreed to cap annual dollars available for task force travel assistance under a new arrangement. Total available dollars would be allocated to eligible members committees on an annual basis (Attachment III). The details would be discussed as part the proceedings of the Committee for the Future of the Pacific.

6. PECC X Financial Assistance

The Standing Committee agreed that a maximum of US\$90,000 from the PECC Fund would be allocated to MANCPEC for assisting with costs of PECC X.

IV REPORT FROM APEC

Ambassador William Bodde Jr., Executive Director of APEC, attended the meeting and gave a presentation on setting up the APEC Secretariat and its present role; and, the relationship between PECC and APEC.

He stressed that the PECC-APEC relationship was of mutual benefit. PECC's greatest strength was its tripartite structure which enabled it to be a 'laboratory' for new ideas and initiatives that later could be sponsored by APEC. Given the distinct nature of each organisation, the relationship was better handled in an informal way. He wanted to develop strong practical working links between PECC's task forces and APEC's working groups which in some instances already existed.

V REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE FOR THE FUTURE

A report of the meeting of the Committee for the Future of the Pacific held on 9 July was tabled (Attachment IV).

Kerrin Vautier asked that a new round of submissions be made by Member Committees on Part 3 of the report so that the paper could be drafted for the November meeting. She asked that these submissions address issues in addition to those raised under Part 3 the previous day.

VI PROGRESS REPORT ON PECC X

MANCPEC, the organising committee for the PECC X General Meeting tabled a progress report on the preparations for the event. After the presentation, the Meeting discussed the tentative program. Several suggestions were tabled and it was decided that MANCPEC would take these into account and re-circulate a new version of the program for Member Committees to consider.

The paper comprised four sections:

- **Theme and Content:** The Theme for the Meeting would be "Open Regionalism: The Way Forward".
- **Finance:** An estimated budget of US\$450,575 was presented. The possibility of using the practical and private sector orientation of the Concurrent Sessions to assist with raising financial sponsorship was mentioned.
- **Venue and Accommodation:** The venue of the General Meeting will be the Istana Hotel. Alternatives for accommodation are the nearby Regent and Hilton Hotels. They may also be used for concurrent sessions
- **Plenary and Concurrent Sessions;**

The meeting decided in principle on the following Concurrent Sessions which are to be coordinated by the individuals noted below and their Member Committees or task forces:

A. FULL DAY CONCURRENT SESSIONS:

1. Privatization of Power: Opportunities for Business

Senior private sector person nominated by MANCPEC & Dr Findlay

2. Air Transportation-Flying into the Future

Dr Mark Borthwick

3. Infrastructure in Malaysia

Dr Jawhar

4. New Strategic Planning for Production & Trade

Professor Sato

5. Emerging Capital & Financial Markets

Mr William Fung

6. Automobile Industry

Dr Noordin Sopiee

B. HALF-DAY CONCURRENT SESSIONS:

1. Telecommunications

Professor Sato

2. International Trade Law

AUSPECC/KOPEC

The Meeting agreed that in the next 4 weeks, the Coordinators would require any further suggestions for these sessions. The Coordinators would also be required to send out to all Member Committees an outline of every one of the sessions.

On the question of the "Leaders Forum" section of the Program, the Meeting endorsed the importance of obtaining high-level political participation from every economy of PECC.

The Meeting discussed the principle of charging a registration fee for PECC X and the Standing Committee agreed that it would be up to the Member Committees to decide on this charge.

VII PACIFIC ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REPORT (PEDR)

The Secretariat presented an updated report on the progress of the PEDR, noting the slow response received up to this moment for the initiative. At the same time it encouraged quality participation of all Member Committees and Task Forces to ensure the success of this publication.

IX OTHER BUSINESS

1. Registration at Singapore and the Fund Transfer from Hong Kong

The Secretariat informed the Standing Committee that PECC could now register under "Societies Act" as a non-profit seeking organisation with IPC (Institution of Public Character) status. This meant that under most circumstances PECC's funds would be exempted from income tax.

The Secretariat was requested to conduct a cost-benefit analysis of the accounts transfers.

2. Financial Assistance for PECC XI

Following discussions of the financial status of PECC and the report of the Finance Sub-Committee, the Standing Committee agreed that the China National Committee for PEC would remain eligible for financial assistance for PECC XI in 1994.

15 \$100,000

3. Dates and Venues of the Next Standing Committee and Coordinating Group Meetings

The Standing Committee agreed to bring forward the dates of the Coordinating Group and Standing Committee Meetings by one day to 28 and 29 of November, 1993. The venue would be Kuala Lumpur.

4. Proposal for a PECC research institute

Dr Jeffrey Koo introduced a proposal for PECC to establish a research institute which would be funded by a substantial endowment from PECC Member Committees. Dr Koo considered that the academic sector was one of PECC's major strengths and it should be encouraged. He was concerned that PECC had to operate with such low levels of finance and wanted to ensure that the organisation's work, and particularly its research work, could move ahead with more security.

The Standing Committee was encouraged by the initiative and asked Dr Koo to prepare a formal and detailed proposal for discussion at the November meeting of the Standing Committee.

5. Representation at the November APEC Ministerial Meeting

The Meeting agreed that PECC's delegation at the next APEC-Ministerial Meeting in Seattle; in November 1993 would include at least the Chair, Dr. Noordin Sopiee, and the USNCPEC President, Senator Adlai Stevenson III. It was also agreed that delegation should include at least one business representative from the Standing Committee. Dr Jeffrey Koo indicated he would be available to be part of the PECC delegation at the APEC Ministerial as a business representative.

The Chair would consult with Standing Committee members on additional representatives when it was known how many members could represent PECC at the Ministerial Meeting.

6. Reports on Strengthening Member Committees

The Meeting approved an initiative to include in each Standing Committee meeting a report from all members on the strengthening of the structures of their Member Committees.

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 9 July 1993

Meeting Venue: Sapporo, Japan

Participants: Dr. Denis Fred Simon
Director, Center for Science & Technology Policy
Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy
Tufts University

Purpose: S&T Task Force Advisory Group Meeting

REPORT:

SEE ATTACHED MINUTES FROM S&T CO-CHAIR, M.A. COLLINS

Report Prepared By: Christopher Ragonese

Date Prepared: August 13, 1993



SCIENCE and
TECHNOLOGY
TASK FORCE

9 August 1993

PECC NATIONAL COMMITTEES

Attached please find minutes from the S&T Task Force Advisory Group meeting held in Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan on 9 July 1993.

Best wishes.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'J. Y. Y. Y.', is positioned above the typed name.

J. Y. Y. Y.
for M A Collins
Co-chair



SCIENCE and
TECHNOLOGY
TASK FORCE

PECC SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TASK FORCE

ADVISORY GROUP

Sapporo, Hokkaido, 9 July 1993

MINUTES

Present

Mr Raymond Young, Hong Kong
Mr Vincent Wong, Hong Kong
Prof Eiji O'Shima, Japan
Dr Sung Chul Chung, Korea
Dr Yoo Soo Hong, Korea
Mr Carlos Prieto, Mexico
Mr Mike Collins, New Zealand
Mr Wayne Robertson, New Zealand
Dr Alan Frampton, New Zealand
Prof Ta-Ho Lin, Chinese Taipei
Dr Denis Simon, USA

Apologies

Mr Wu Yikang, People's Republic of China
Dr Karen Minden, Canada
Dr Wong Poh Kam, Singapore
Mr Alan Bickell, USA
Dr Dipo Alam, Indonesia
Mr Mario Leviste, Philippines

The Co-chair welcomed Dr Alan Frampton (New Zealand) to his first meeting of the Task Force.

1. Minutes of the Last S&T Meeting (17 February 1993)

These minutes were passed as a true and correct record.

2. Matters Arising

Human Resource Development Workshop, Jakarta - Dr Alam was to prepare a paper on the costs of the workshop and present it to Dr O'Shima (JANPEC) and Mr Bickell (USPECC). This had not been done and the Co-chair said that he would write to Dr Alam asking him to follow this up.

ACTION: Mr Collins to write to Dr Alam concerning budget of HRD workshop.

KOPEC Involvement in S&T Task Force - Mr Bickell had written to KOPEC concerning their future involvement in S&T Task Force activities. KOPEC had replied saying that they would be represented at the next S&T Task Force meeting which would be followed by on-going involvement in activities of the Task Force. This was evidenced by attendance at the Sapporo meeting.

Follow-up to Stuart Harris (AUSPEC) - Mr Collins had written to Stuart Harris and received a reply which was tabled.

Greenhouse Gas Project - The follow up to this was discussed later in the meeting.

Training Courses on Technology - The Canadian/Singapore proposal for training courses on management of technology had not been received to date. This would be followed up.

ACTION: Secretariat to follow up with CANPEC and SINCPEC S&T representatives.

3. Reports from S&T Country Sub-committees

New Zealand - The New Zealand Committee reported that it had had a meeting on 8 May 1993. At this meeting they had reported on the HRD Workshop in Jakarta and the up and coming Tech Transfer Workshop in Hong Kong. It was also agreed to try and get more private sector membership on the Sub-committee and to invite the Deputy Secretary from the Ministry of the Environment to join the Sub-committee (who has accepted). The Committee was also asked to consider possible future projects to be carried out by the S&T Task Force.

Japan - The JANPEC Sub-committee held a meeting in May 1993 which reported on the activities in Jakarta and the future workshop to be held in Hong Kong, especially what the actual definition of software would be as related to this Workshop. It was reported to the meeting that the Japanese STA was interested in a workshop in the area of international cooperation in the field of basic technology and pure science and had asked whether this would be an appropriate activity for the PECC S&T Task Force.

Korea - It was reported that the KOPEC S&T Sub-committee was too large to call a meeting, however a sub-group had met in May 1993 to consider how KOPEC could be more active in the S&T activities. Its conclusions were:

- a. To form a special working group with 4-5 active members, with the Science and Technology Policy Institute, being responsible for planning, coordinating and implementing the Sub-committee's activities.
- b. To recommend to the S&T Task Force that a follow-up to the Hong Kong Workshop be held in Korea, maybe concentrating on the area of techno-market issues.
- c. That a sub-committee of the S&T Task Force be formed at the end of the Hong Kong Workshop to decide what follow-up should be taken.
- d. That the Korean S&T Sub-committee should offer to host an S&T Advisory Group meeting in Seoul.
- f. That Dr Yoo Soo Hong be appointed on the Editorial Sub-committee.
- g. That the S&T Sub-committee should carry out research on technology policies in the Asia-Pacific area. This research to be published in Korean, however if possible it could also be published in English by the S&T Sub-committee.

Hong Kong - The Hong Kong Sub-committee have been very active organising the November Workshop. It had a meeting on 2 July 1993 and it was reported that good progress was being made on the Workshop. Also, a meeting of the Workshop Organising Committee was held, with Dr Simon. The Science Park Follow-up Publication Sub-committee had also met. The lack of input from Hong Kong in the S&T indicators booklet had been noticed, and now the Industry Department will coordinate this input and it is hoped that Hong Kong will have input in the next publication. Consequently, it would like to know the methodology used for the data.

ACTION: Editorial Committee to inform HKPECC S&T Sub-committee on methodology.

Chinese Taipei - The CTPECC Sub-committee met in June 1993 and now has 17 members, with a new member from the private sector. The follow-up publication, namely "S&T Parks, Successful Experience in the Asia/Pacific Area" will now be published in August in conjunction with the PECC Secretariat. The Sub-committee will have a good attendance to the Hong Kong Workshop.

U S A - The US Sub-committee recently held a conference-call meeting. It was reported that Mr Bickell's involvement is being substantially reduced because of his work commitments. However, the Sub-committee would like to revitalise its membership by getting more private sector members from organisations such as AT&T, etc. The US Sub-committee does not have any direct funding except that assigned to it by the PECC National Committee out of its general operating budget. To create a central focus the Sub-committee wishes to hold a seminar on Pacific Rim Science and Technology Development in conjunction with the Smithsonian Institute. The Sub-committee is working with Hong Kong on the Technology Transfer Workshop and the follow-up from the Kuala Lumpur meeting. The Sub-committee considers that the PECC S&T Task Force should have a presence at PECC X at Kuala Lumpur. It also considers that the November Workshop is a very important one for the S&T Task Force because it could have a number of spin offs, including a high quality publication. The Sub-committee also wishes to promote the science and technology relationship between APEC and PECC.

Mexico - Mr Prieto had just taken over as the Mexico representative of the S&T Task Force. Mexico to date has no sub-committee. He was attending this meeting to see how the PECC S&T Task Force worked and how Mexico could participate in future activities.

4. Organisation of Technology Transfer Workshop, Hong Kong, November 1993

Mr Wong from HKPECC summarised the organisation that had been done to date for the Technology Workshop.

It had been concluded by the Organising Committee that the Workshop should run in five sessions with 15 papers being presented in all, each session having two discussants and one chair. A preliminary flier was tabled, outlining the objectives of the Workshop, its programme and containing a form for registration. Following discussion it was concluded that the registration portion of the flier could be misinterpreted and should only be used for local Hong Kong participants. Alternatively the Secretariat will produce another registration form for the registration of international participants. Once the details on the hotels have been received from Hong Kong the form will be faxed to all Task Force members. It was agreed that this form should be inserted in the flier before being distributed in the various member economies, outside Hong Kong.

It was agreed that overseas delegates will not pay any registration fee and the \$US300 fee will only apply to Hong Kong delegates.

- ACTION:**
1. Hong Kong Organising Committee to supply details of hotels, for registration of international participants.
 2. S&T Secretariat to format a new form and send to all Task Force members for distribution within the Workshop flyer.

Workshop Agenda - Dr Simon emphasised that the following principles had been agreed by the organising committee for the format of the agenda:

- Important to get a balance of papers within the Workshop.
- Important to get a balance of discussants from member economies.
- The need to get a balance of speakers representing the three sectors of PECC membership.

All Sub-committees have been written to requesting them to confirm the chairs, speakers and discussants. To date 11 speakers have been confirmed with four yet to reply. Dr Simon said he needs to know the speakers no later than 15 July.

Technology Transfer Workshop Programme - The meeting went through the draft programme, and with the assistance of Dr Simon and Mr Wong, endeavoured to confirm the role to be played by the S&T Task Force members, ie chair, discussant, speaker.

It was confirmed that the deadline for confirming discussants was 1 August, and that conference papers needed to be sent to the Organising Committee by 1 October. These papers to be 25-30 pages in length and to be submitted on 3.5" disks in Word or Word Perfect. Alternatively they could be submitted through Internet.

Instructions on the preparation of papers were to be provided by the Organising Committee to paper presenters by 25 July. Copies will also be sent to Sub-committee members.

It was agreed that Task Force Sub-committees were to provide the Organising Committee with contact details of speakers, chairs and discussants. Also consideration should be given to possible speakers who could be used as alternatives in case all spots are not filled or there are withdrawals. It was suggested Dr Chi-ning Liu (ex Hewlett Packard) could be approached.

The Organising Committee is to provide instructions to the chairs and discussants on their role at the Workshop.

It was decided that the role of the Task Force Co-chairs would be to open and close the Workshop.

5. PECC X, Malaysia

It was reported that Dr Mark Borthwick (USPECC) was concerned about the passive view of the S&T Task Force's possible involvement in PECC X in Malaysia and considered that the PECC S&T Task Force should promote itself where possible.

It was proposed that the S&T Task Force should rethink its involvement in PECC X, drawing on the resources in the region. One possibility was a round table discussion in conjunction with another task force. Another possible theme for S&T involvement was to have a session on science and technology cooperation in the region, similar to the earlier proposal by JANPEC Sub-committee.

It was agreed that the Co-chair was to assess the outcome from the PECC Standing Committee meeting in Bali and then get back to the members for their comment on a possible proposal for the S&T Task Force's involvement in PECC X.

ACTION: Co-chair to write to S&T Sub-committees on S&T involvement in PECC X following the Standing Committee meeting in Bali.

6. PECC XI, Beijing

The meeting discussed a proposal from Mr Wu Yikang, CNPECC Sub-committee, that the Task Force activity at PECC XI should involve a half day visit to a high tech development park in Beijing, to study and discuss high tech development in China. After some discussion, it was considered that the theme for the Task Force's activity at PECC XI could concentrate on the micro-electronic sector. This could be achieved by holding a session, at a science park followed by detailed discussion on the micro-electronics industry within China and other PECC economies.

ACTION: Co-chair to write to Mr Wu outlining the suggestion to focus the Task Force activities on micro-electronics at PECC XI.

7. On-going PECC S&T Programmes - Future Workshops

Greenhouse Gas Project - Mr Robertson reported that no comment had been received from S&T Task Force members on the proposal for Stage 2 of the Greenhouse Gas Project, which was tabled at the last Task Force meeting in Hong Kong.

Following discussion there was some confusion over the actual objectives of the proposal for Stage 2 and it was decided that it should be redrafted clearly outlining the objectives of the proposal.

ACTION: Greenhouse Gas paper to redrafted by NZPEC S&T Sub-committee for distribution and discussion at the November S&T Workshop.

Science and Technology Industrial Parks - Mr Lin reported that the follow-up report to the Science and Technology Industrial Workshop will be published on 1 August.

Clean Technology - The Co-chair reported that he had received a proposal from Canada and Singapore to hold a Clean Technology Workshop in either Singapore or Vancouver in 1994.

Further to this the NZPECC S&T Sub-committee had received proposals for work in other environmental areas. For example, clean technologies in waste management, environmental auditing, and tertiary education on environmental issues. Following discussion it was considered that one possible way of incorporating all these suggestions was for the Task Force to conduct a workshop on regional environmental technologies, which could also include input from the Greenhouse Gas Project. It was also considered that this could be a combined APEC/PECC Workshop.

HRD Workshop - The Co-chair tabled a paper (attached) from Mr Mario Leviste of Philippines S&T Sub-committee reporting on the progress for organising the HRD Workshop to be held in the Philippines in November 1994.

The report indicated that the Philippine Sub-committee was receiving good local support for their Workshop and that some interim planning had been undertaken. As planning for this workshop was still in the early stages it was decided that the Task Force would fully review the organisation of the HRD workshop at its meeting in Hong Kong in November.

Some members also considered that the PECC HRD Sub-committee might have some ideas on the programme for the HRD workshop and there may be some possibility of holding a joint workshop between the two Task Forces.

Future Programmes - The meeting discussed a possible future programme for the Task Force taking into consideration:

- the on-going programmes
- the proposed Clean Environment Technologies Workshop
- proposed follow-up to Hong Kong Workshop
- Workshop on International Science Collaboration
- PECC X and XI, and Task Force meetings.

It was agreed that the attached draft programme should be used as a basis for the Task Force's future activities - and the programme to be updated at each Task Force meeting.

8. Planning for a Future Symposium re Task Force's Future Work Programme

The Co-chair said that as the Task Force was rapidly working through the recommendations from the first S&T Task Force Symposium held in Korea in 1990 it was suggested that consideration be given for holding another symposium to work out the future strategy and programme for the Task Force. It was suggested that it should be aimed to hold such a symposium in 1996.

9. Other Activities

The S&T Profile Update - JANPEC reported that the third issue of the S&T Profile will be published for PECC X and that the deadline for providing information will be the end of 1993. Notice will soon be sent out asking each economy for information for input into the profile. It was decided that the specialists in each economy would be approached first, followed on by an edited version being sent to S&T Task Force members for comment. Publication will proceed at the end of January whether comments have been received from Task Force members or not.

As mentioned earlier, KOPEC reported that they were planning to carry out a research project on technology policy in the Asia/Pacific region and will require some cooperation to collect the data. If the resulting publication is approved, it will be published in Korean and maybe a summary could be published in English by the S&T Task Force, if funds were made available from the PECC Secretariat.

However, if this was to be an official PECC publication each member economy would wish to approve its own material in the publication. It was considered that KOPEC should consider a proposal to prepare the publication on a PECC team approach and if it was agreed, they should then submit a proposal to the S&T Task Force for consideration.

Proceedings of Jakarta Workshop - It was reported that the proceedings of the HRD Workshop had been published. The Korean and US representatives said that they had not received their copy. It was agreed that all S&T Sub-committees should receive two copies of all Science and Technology publications from the PECC Secretariat and that the Secretariat should be informed of this request.

ACTION: S&T Secretariat to inform PECC Secretariat of the request to send two copies of publications to all Science and Technology Task Force members.

Other Publications - Future planned S&T publications are:

- a. Follow-up report to the Shanghai Workshop on Science and Technology Parks
- b. The third publication of the S&T Profile
- c. The publication on the Technology Transfer Workshop, Hong Kong.

Although the PECC publications are still issued free this will change when "Times Publishing" starts printing the publications.

Dr O'Shima commented that JANPECC's agreement to cover the costs of publishing the S&T Profile was an initial one and that this decision may need to be reviewed in future.

APEC Working Group Meeting on Expansion on Investment and Technology Transfer, Seoul - Dr Chung, KOPEC, spoke to a paper he tabled which was a summary for the 4th APEC Working Group meeting on Expansion on Investment and Technology Transfer in Seoul which he attended on behalf of the PECC S&T Task Force. (This paper is attached to the minutes.) Following his report Mr Robertson reported that the APEC Senior Officials meeting recently held in Seattle had approved the renaming of the APEC Working Group to Investment and Industrial Science and Technology.

There was some concern that some of the Governments were strengthening their interests in APEC and that this may result in a reduction in support for PECC activities.

It was considered that it was time to set PECC's role in relation to APEC and to determine who PECC's audience was. There was general consensus that PECC can help to drive APEC, being ahead of Government policy and officials in carrying out leading edge research on regional activities. As far as science and technology is concerned the PECC S&T Task Force can be a think tank to APEC, especially with its business, government and academia linkages, which is an extremely important part of PECC, ie the tripartite approach.

It was considered that future communications with APEC were very important and that some effort should be made for the PECC/APEC S&T organisations to meet together so each organisation is aware of each other's programme. This could put PECC in a good position to drive the future agenda. This agenda should not be driven by individual countries which seems to be happening within APEC. Accordingly, it was decided that the Co-chair should write to each of the four shepherds of the APEC Working Group on Investment and Industrial Science and Technology, including a copy of the PECC S&T Task Force's programme, future meeting dates, a copy of the S&T Profile and a list of the publications. In addition a request should be made to the APEC working group for a copy of their programme and meeting dates.

ACTION: Co-chair to write to the four shepherds of APEC Working Group on Investment and Industrial Science and Technology, providing the information outlined above and requesting copies of APEC working group's programme.

10. Other Business

Communications - The Co-chair reported that it was important to get an update of the current addresses of the S&T Task Forces and when these are received the Secretariat will send out copies to all Task Force members.

The Co-chair also reported that it would be useful to get a list of all the members of the various S&T Sub-committee members, location of the sector they represented. The Secretariat will send out a request for a copy of the Sub-committee list.

ACTION:

- a. Task Force members to provide current contact addresses to Secretariat.
- b. Secretariat to request Task Force members to provide a list of Sub-committee membership.

Programme of Task Force Advisory Group Meetings - The future programme for Task Force Advisory Group meetings was discussed earlier in the meeting. KOPEC raised the fact that as it was difficult to get approval to participate in one day meetings, ie the IAG meetings, they requested whether in future it was possible to make these two day meetings. The Co-chair said that he thought this was a very good request and with the agreement of the Task Force he suggested that in future all IAG meetings be expanded to full Task Force meetings. This was agreed.

Thanks from JANPEC - Dr O'Shima, JANPEC, thanked all S&T Task Force representatives for coming to Sapporo for the meeting and referred to the letter from the JANPEC S&T Chair in which he apologised for not being able to participate at the meeting. On behalf of JANPEC Dr O'Shima invited all Task Force members to dinner that evening.

11. Conclusion

The Co-chair closed the meeting thanking everyone for their input and their participation and most importantly thanked the JANPEC Committee for arranging such a good venue and for its organisation before the meeting. Special thanks went to Ms Yoshiko Takeuchi for all her help during and before the Task Force meeting. The Co-chair said that he would also like thanks to be passed on to Mr Atsushi Kuroda for his assistance in the early planning stages of the meeting and, as he was shortly to leave JANPEC, for all his past support to the Task Force.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TASK FORCE (Philippines)



PACIFIC ECONOMIC COOPERATION CONFERENCE

201 Ferdinand Suter, Salcedo Street, Legaspi Village, Manila, Philippines
Telephone: 8162665 / 6174204 Fax 8162664

Date: July 6, 1993
To: Mike Collins
Chair, Science and Technology Task Force, PECC
c/o Mr. Vincent Wong, HKPECC
From: Mario "Sammy" Leviste
Subject: Science and Technology Conference in the Philippines November 1994

My apologies for not being able to attend the Japan Meeting. Very tight schedules here. Do have a good time. I'll see you in Hong Kong.

The Philippine Science and Technology Task Force has had four meetings since our meeting in February in Hong Kong. We have consulted Willy Ortaliz (PECC Vice Chair for the Philippines) and he is very excited about the prospects of holding the conference here. The National Committee will back up the project.

For now, the core group involved in the Science and Technology Task Force is collating and processing the different possibilities for next year's affair. The core group is composed myself and Dr. Osman Luis Ranit representing De La Salle University (Academia), Eng. Ceferino Dulay of San Miguel Corporation (Private Sector), and Dr. Veneranda L. Eclar of the Department of Science and Technology. Obviously, we have thrown in the support of our organizations for this endeavor within our own limitations.

More organizations have signified their support for the project. Among them are the Center for Social and Corporate Development, The Private Investment and Trade Opportunities - Philippines (PITO-P), SAZTEC Information and Technology Center. We expect more organizations to join the fray in the coming months specially when we have the materials ready for the PECC X in Malaysia.

Basic Parameters:

The ST Conference on November 1994 will be held over a period of three (3) days. There are two options for the venue:

- 1) it can be held in the traditional hotel setting in the urban center like the cities of Manila, Cebu, Bacolod, Baguio, Davao or Cagayan de Oro.
- 2) it can be held in a cruise ship since we will be in conference most of the time. In this option, we can start the meeting in say Cebu and have a 18 hour trip to Manila for the wind up.

A city based conference will make other places for visits possible. The cruise can have us zero in on the conference while travelling from one city to the other. Enough first class facilities may be a constraint except for the Super Ferry # 3, which has private cabins (2-4 per room with th) for up to 68 persons. Private cabins for 136 persons (4 to a room w/o th). Let me check this further.

Proposed Program Outline:

The following schedule was proposed during our last meeting:

Day 0 - Welcome Dinner for the participants (sponsored)

Day 1 -

Opening Ceremonies

Welcomes: Hon. Carlos G. Dominguez - PECO Chairman (Philippines)

Opening Speaker: Hon. Secretary Ricardo T. Gloria

-BREAK-

Plenary Session:

Common Issues for One World

IIRD/TQM in one Lake (Pacific Lake)

The Science and Technology Perspective

-LUNCH-

Technical Sessions

-BREAK-

Technical Sessions (continuation)

-DINNER-

Day 2 -

Summary Presentations of:

Government

Academic

Private Sectors

-BREAK-

Open Forum

-LUNCH-

Integrative Discussions

-DINNER-

Day 3 -

Integrated Presentation

Resolutions

-BREAK-

Key Note Address - His Excellency President Fidel V. Ramos

Open Forum

-LUNCH- Task Force Meeting

Plant Visit / Visit of Malacanang Palace

The above mentioned format is just a guide. Please advise us of the groups recommendations so that they can be adopted or integrated into the program.

Funding:

The National Committee is very supportive but is constrained by funds. The ST Task Force expects to be incurring more expenses towards the end of the year. We anticipate expenses to come up to roughly Ten Thousand Pesos (P10,000) per head for the three/four day affair. This would amount to about US\$ Sixty Thousand (\$60,000) for 150 persons. We will try to raise as much as we can on this end. We do expect the Central Committee to help.

In the next few months, we will have identified who the speakers will be. We intend to have the materials available for distribution by March 1994 in time for PECC X. Printing will be done in Manila starting January.

Please ask the National Committees to inform us ahead of time how many representatives they would be sending so that we can have a more accurate estimate of the people coming and locals to be invited. We would also appreciate very much your comments and suggestions. Since several new hotels are rising, changes will occur in costings. Listed below is a rough guide to present hotel rates for different services.

Other Matters:

Please inform the Hong Kong National Committee that Dr. Ceferino Pulosco (former Secretary of the Department of Science and Technology) has agreed this morning to be the discussant for Session V in Hong Kong Nov. 16, 1993. It took a while to catch him and convince him but he is well worth the effort because of his reputation, past performance and heavy endorsements.

HOTEL SERVICE COMPARISON
(In Philippine Pesos Approximately US\$ 1.00 to RP26.000)

	HOTEL	ROOM	SHUTTLE SERVICE	SNACK	COCKTAIL	LUNCH
01	EDSA PLAZA			80-190	280-470	SITDOWN 270-1375 BUFFET 285-730
02	HYATT		500/TRACE	70-190++	140-260++	LUNCH/DINNER 230-900++
03	MANDARIN			50-555	285-1250	LUNCH 295-1100
04	MANILA DIAMOND			115++	300-560	SITDOWN 260-495 BUFFET 520-560
05	MANILA PEN			100-395	395-850	SITDOWN 300-850 BUFFET 425-800
06	NIKKO		520			
07	PHILIPPINE PLAZA		990 BENZ			
08	SHANGRI-LA MAKATI		810 BLUE BIRD	100-190	350-430	LUNCH: 490-550 DINNER: 520-699
09	SHERATON		475 CROWN 660 BENZ			
10	SUPER FERRY 1 MANILA - LOILO	544 - 930				
11	SUPER FERRY 2 CEBU - MANILA SAT	2000 - 2400				
12	SUPER FERRY 3 ZAMBOANGA - MANILA ROXAS - MANILA	2500 - 3200 12X4/8X1 2X2				

*Note: Philippine hotel rooms average US\$190/day or P4940 pesos

PECC S&T TASK FORCE

DRAFT PROGRAMME

November 15,16. 1993 Tech. Transfer Workshop Hong Kong

November 17 1993 Task Force Meeting

March 1994. PECC X Kuala Lumpur (and Task Force Meeting)

July/August 1994 IAG Meeting Manila, Philippines ??

November 1994 Workshop on HRD/TOA Manila Philippines

May 1995 Workshop on "Clean Technologies" Vancouver Can.?

July /August 1995 IAG Meeting New Zealand? / Korea?

October 1995 PECC XI Beijing

OTHER SUGGESTIONS

May 1994 Basic Research Review, Kuala Lumpur (PECC X)

November 1994 Hong Kong follow-up Seoul, Korea

**Summary for the PECC S&T Task Force of the Fourth APEC Working Group
Meeting on Expansion of Investment and Technology
Transfer, Seoul, Republic of Korea 18 - 20 May 1993 .**

**Sung Chul CHUNG
S&T Subcommittee, KOPEC**

o The Fourth APEC Working Group Meeting on Expansion of Investment and Technology Transfer was held in Seoul on 18-20 May 1993. Delegates from Australia, Brunei, Canada, The People's Republic of China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, New Zealand, Philippines, Singapore, Chinese Taipei, Thailand, and U.S. attended.

o Japan, Indonesia, and Philippines are Shepherds for the Working Group on Expansion of Investment and Technology Transfer.

o **INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE**

1. The APEC Secretariat brought to the attention of the Working Group members the "Science and Technology Initiative" which was discussed at Williamsburg SOM.
2. The Meeting reviewed the extract of the Summary Conclusions of the SOM held in Williamsburg, Virginia in March, 1993, in relation to the Science and Technology Initiative put forward by the People's Republic of China.
3. Confirming the consensus reached on the importance of science and technology in maintaining the industrial vitality and dynamism of the Asia-Pacific economy, the Meeting expressed its willingness to incorporate science and technology activities into this Working Group, and to submit it to the next SOM in Seattle for its consideration.
4. The Japanese delegation briefed the Meeting on the Informal Meeting of Industrial Science and Technology held in Tokyo in March this year. The Meeting recognized the importance of increasing mutual understanding in this field. The Meeting also expressed its appreciation to the Japanese delegation for its efforts. Japan proposed to establish a Round Table on

Industrial Science and Technology within this Working Group, and also expressed its willingness to initiate the first round table meeting in Japan this September. The meeting agreed to have a special meeting on industrial science and technology in Japan, and to inform the Senior Officials at their next meeting.

5. China reconfirmed its initiative to host a seminar on science and technology and industrial parks, discussions for the preparation of which was suggested for the upcoming special meeting on industrial science and technology in Japan.
6. In this connection, China expressed its interest in being one of the Shepherds of this Working Group. The Meeting agreed to this and to submit it to the next SOM for consideration.
7. In order to duly reflect the importance of industrial science and technology activities in this Working Group, it was also suggested that the present Working Group be renamed to "Investment and Industrial Science and Technology." The Meeting agreed to submit it to the next SOM for its consideration.

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 21-23 July 1993
Meeting Venue: Seattle, Washington
Participants: Janet Pearce
Deputy Director
Purpose: 4th APEC Working Group Meeting on Transportation

REPORT:

The responsibilities assumed by the US-PECC offices during this meeting of the APEC Transportation Working Group were numerous. The following is a brief list.

- 1) Presentation on existing PECC Work Programs and Proposal for a joint PECC-APEC project on transportation bottleneck alleviation in the Asia-Pacific region.

In addition to the presentation of a report on our last Triple-T task force meeting, I was also responsible for presenting a U.S. initiated proposal for a joint PECC/APEC bottleneck project and for responding to comments and suggestions. The delegates were quite interested and agreed that a project of the nature we had described would be useful. It was agreed that the Shepherd's office would work with PECC to find funding for the project and to arrange a network of contributors.

- 2) Support for an ICAO/PATA/IATA proposal for an APEC Transportation Ministers Meeting.

During the last PECC meeting it was agreed that a meeting of the Transportation Ministers of the APEC economies would be needed within the next few years to expand the dialogue between the APEC economies on a number of critical infrastructure and regulatory matters. PECC worked with the various aviation associations to form a presentation to the APEC Working Group and worked to enlist the support of representatives from other transportation modes.

- 3) Organization of private sector presentations in the APEC Facilitation Workshop and of private sector observers in the APEC Working Group meeting.

The Transportation Working Group is unique in its orientation in as much as it always arranges to have its working group meeting preceded by a workshop that is chaired by APEC delegates but open to the general public and that solicits private sector panels and presentation. It was our responsibility to publicize this workshop and help enlist participants in the meeting. Over 20 private sector representatives from the US attended. In addition to this, the APEC Working Group on Transportation encourages private sector observers in their meetings and has allocated an afternoon for private sector presentations. Five companies made presentations.

- 4) Development and coordination of private sector sponsored meals, receptions and tours for the visiting APEC delegates.

US-PECC was responsible for organizing the luncheon and reception for the facilitation workshop as well as the receptions on the first two evenings of the APEC Working Group meeting. The agendas and lists of sponsors are provided.

Report Prepared By: Janet Pearce

Date Prepared: August 15 1993

AGENDA
FOURTH MEETING OF THE APEC TRANSPORTATION WORKING GROUP
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, UNITED STATES

July 21-23, 1993

Morning Session - July 21, 1993
(8:30 - 12:00)
EAST BALLROOM

- I. OPENING STATEMENT BY SHEPHERD (U.S.)
- II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
- III. PROGRESS REPORTS ON WORK PROJECTS
 - a. SURVEY OF TRANSPORTATION BOTTLENECKS (JAPAN)
 - b. SURVEY OF EXISTING TRANSPORTATION DATA (CANADA)
 - c. SURVEY OF TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (U.S.)

BREAK

- IV. DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED BUDGET FOR WORK PROJECTS
- V. NEW INITIATIVES
 - a. AUSTRALIAN PROPOSAL
 - b. RELATIONS WITH OTHER WORKING GROUPS

LUNCH - HOSTED BY THE UNITED STATES
ASPEN ROOM

Afternoon Session - July 21, 1993
(1:30 - 5:00)

- VI. PRESENTATIONS
 - a. PRESENTATION BY JOHN MEREDITH, AIR TRANSPORT ACTION GROUP (ATAG), "ASIA PACIFIC INFRASTRUCTURE: THE NEED FOR ACTION."
 - b. PRESENTATION BY DALLAS-FORT WORTH AIRPORT AUTH.
 - c. PRESENTATION BY LOUIS BERGER INT'L INC.
 - d. ESCAP PRESENTATION - IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION & COMMUNICATION DECADE
 - e. PECC PRESENTATION - AIRPORT SURVEY

(6:30 - 8:00)
RECEPTION - HOSTED BY THE U.S. TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY

Morning Session - July 23, 1993
(8:30 - 10:00)
WEST BALLROOM

VII. PRESENTATIONS BY EACH DELEGATION HIGHLIGHTING KEY
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENTS AND ACTIVITIES IN THEIR ECONOMY

BREAK

Morning/Afternoon Session - July 23, 1993
(10:30 - 12:00)

VIII. DISCUSSION: "A STATEMENT OF TRANSPORTATION POLICY
OBJECTIVES" (PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED AS THE VISION PAPER)

LUNCH - HOSTED BY THE UNITED STATES
GRAND BALLROOM A

(1:15 - 3:30)

CONTINUATION OF DISCUSSION ON " A STATEMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
POLICY OBJECTIVES" (PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED AS THE VISION PAPER)

BREAK

(3:30 - 5:00)

VII. CLOSING

- a. CO-CHAIRMAN'S REPORT
- b. DETERMINATION OF NEXT MEETING

RECEPTION - HOSTED BY THE PORT OF SEATTLE

*JULY 23RD IS RESERVED FOR A TOUR OF THE PORT OF SEATTLE AND THE
BOEING AIRCRAFT PLANT

Industry Sponsors

The Boeing Corporation

Dallas Fort Worth Airport

Port of Seattle

United Parcel Service

U.S. Sprint

ASIAN PACIFIC ECONOMIC COOPERATION
TRANSPORTATION WORKING GROUP
FACILITATION MEETING
JULY 20-23, 1993
AGENDA

REGISTRATION: METROPOLITAN BALL ROOM

Morning Session
Passenger and Cargo Processing

July 20: 8:30 a.m.
METROPOLITAN BALL ROOM

Welcome: Chair, APEC Transportation Working Group

Vice-Chairs: Dan White - Canada
Cliff Woodward - United States

I. Passenger Processing

Panel Presentation:

- A. Advance Passenger Information System
 - 1. U.S. Customs Service
 - 2. U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service
- B. Joint Advance Passenger Information System between U.S., Australia and New Zealand
 - 1. Australia and New Zealand Customs and Immigration
- C. Use of Biometric Technology in Passenger Processing
 - 1. Immigration and Naturalization Representatives
 - 2. Air transport Association

Problems and Issues: Summary by Chair

Questions and Answers

(Break)

Panel Presentation:

II. Cargo Processing

- A. Presentation on the Asean Cargo Transport Study
- B. Facilitation Issues in ESCAP (Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific)
- c. Use of New Inspection Technologies--X-rays and other Devices
 - 1. U.S. Customs Service
 - 2. Chinese Taipei
 - 3. Hong Kong

III. Questions and Answers

IV. Summary of Problems and Issues: Chair

Lunch: HOSTED BY U.S. INDUSTRY
SPEAKER: MR. JOHN MILLER
DISCOVERY INSTITUTE

Afternoon Session
Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)

Opening Comments: Chair, Transportation Working Group

Vice Chair

Panel Presentation

V. Electronic Data Interchange

- A. Implementation of UN/EDIFACT and its Contribution to Trade and Travel Facilitation

Pan American Rapporteur /UN/EDIFACT

(Break)

VI. EDIFACT Users - Panel Presentation

- 1. U.S. Customs Service
- 2. Transportation Industry Users
 - a. Air - Federal Express, UPS, Northwest Airlines, Air Transport Association
 - b. Maritime - Port of Seattle, APL, Empire

Stevedoring (Vancouver)

VII. Telecommunication /Value Added Networks

1. Chair, APEC Telecommunication Working Group

VII. Questions and Answers

VIII. Summary of Problems and Issues: Chair

IX. Closing Comments

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 13-14 September 1993

Meeting Venue: Vancouver, Canada

Participants: Mr. G. Stephen Crane
President
Crane International

Other U.S. Participants:

Mark Freeburg, Vice President, Natural Resource
Consultants

Purpose: PECC Fisheries Task Force Workshop

REPORT:

This was the seventh workshop of the Task Force. The purpose was to review progress to date, draft future plans, and discuss major policy issues.

See attached report.

Report Prepared By: Mark Borthwick

Date Prepared: October 1, 1993

DRAFT

**REPORT ON
THE SEVENTH WORKSHOP OF THE PECC TASK FORCE
ON FISHERIES DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION
SEPTEMBER 1993**

Gordon R. Munro
Task Force Coordinator

October 1993

Introduction:

The Task Force on Fisheries Development Cooperation held its Seventh Workshop in Vancouver, Canada, on September 13-14, 1993. Twenty-one Pacific countries and entities were represented at the Workshop, as were six regional and international organizations. Of particular note was the presence of the delegation from Vietnam. This was the first occasion that Vietnam was represented at a Fisheries Task Force activity. The Task Force looks forward to ongoing participation by Vietnam in the future work of the Task Force.

At its Seventh Workshop, the Fisheries Task Force reviewed its progress to date, drafted general plans for the future, and discussed two major policy issues of interest to Pacific fisheries. This report, and the accompanying recommendations to the PECC, reflect the deliberations which took place at the aforementioned Workshop.

The Fisheries Task Force's Relations with Other PECC Task Forces and Fora and with APEC:

It was noted at the Task Force's Seventh Workshop that the Fisheries Task Force was approaching its tenth anniversary. The Task Force was established by the Third PECC in November 1983 as the Task Force on Agricultural and Renewable Resources. The Task Force was to address issues in fisheries, agriculture and forestry, but was given instructions by the PECC to give particular attention to fisheries issues. At the Fourth PECC, the Task Force was instructed to divest itself of all non-fisheries concerns and became the Task Force on Fisheries Development and Cooperation.

The objective of the Task Force in its early years was to demonstrate that it was capable of achieving practical results in fisheries cooperation. The Task Force did demonstrate such a capability, particularly in the realm of South-South cooperation. The Task Force has succeeded in establishing interregional cooperative links between the coastal states of the ASEAN, the Pacific Island Nations, and Pacific Latin America. Such links had not existed prior to the advent of the Fisheries Task Force. In developing these links, the Fisheries Task Force has enjoyed generous financial support from the Canadian International Development Agency, through the Agency's Asia Branch and Management For Change Program.

With the establishment of APEC, however, the Fisheries Task Force has entered a new phase. The Fisheries Task Force must both respond to the emergence of APEC and to the changes occurring with PECC, as a consequence of the advent of APEC.

APEC established a Working Group on Fisheries in 1991. The PECC Fisheries Task Force has had a strong working relationship with the APEC Fisheries Working Group, since the latter's inception. There is an extensive cross-over in membership between the two. Furthermore, the APEC Fisheries Working Group has asked for the assistance of its PECC counterpart. Thus, for example, the APEC Fisheries Working Group requested that the Fisheries Task Force undertake a survey of non-tariff barriers to trade in fisheries products. The survey will be discussed in detail at a later point in the report.

Moreover, also to be discussed at a later point in the report is a proposal for a possible joint Fisheries Task Force - Fisheries Working Group project pertaining to fisheries education and training. The project, if it were to come to fruition, would be based upon a need and opportunity identified by the Fisheries Task Force.

APEC has a second working group focusing on marine issues, namely, the APEC Working Group on Marine Resource Conservation. The concern of this working group is on marine pollution, which has obvious implications for fisheries management.

Representatives of the APEC Marine Resource Conservation Working Group were present at the Fisheries Task Force Seventh Workshop. It was agreed that the Fisheries Task Force could usefully establish links with this second APEC working group. From the perspective of the APEC Marine Resource Conservation Working Group, the only feasible means at present by which it can establish links with the PECC is through the Fisheries Task Force.

Participants in the Fisheries Task Force are anxious to cooperate with both aforementioned APEC working groups. At the same time, however, the participants are equally anxious to ensure that the Fisheries Task Force retains its full independence. Significant differences exist between the Fisheries Task Force and its counterparts in APEC. The Task Force is informal, non-official and has direct participation by the private sector. Furthermore, the Fisheries Task Force has a much broader membership than either the APEC Fisheries Working Group, or the Marine Resource Conservation Working Group.

It is, therefore, recommended that:

- I. The PECC should instruct the Fisheries Task Force to maintain cooperation with the APEC Working Group on Fisheries and to explore opportunities for cooperation with the APEC Working Group on Marine Resource Conservation on matters of common interest, while retaining its independence.

It was brought to the attention of the Fisheries Task Force Workshop that the PECC Standing Committee is searching for means to rationalize the work of the PECC task forces and fora. It was also reported to the Workshop, although the report could not be confirmed, that thought was being given to merging the Fisheries Task Force with another PECC task force or forum. While the members of the Fisheries Task Force are pleased to cooperate with other PECC bodies, e.g. the Pacific Agriculture and Food Forum, they would view with alarm any attempt to bring about an outright merger between the Fisheries Task Force and another PECC body. The Fisheries Task Force is well established and has a well developed program. It has now established a strong relationship with one APEC working group and looks forward to establishing links with a second. A forced merger of the Fisheries Task Force with another PECC body would threaten to dilute, if not undermine, the work of the Task Force. It is, therefore, recommended that:

- II. While the PECC should encourage the Fisheries Task Force to cooperate with other PECC task forces and fora, the PECC should give appropriate recognition of the uniqueness of the Fisheries Task Force as a separate and distinct body in any rationalization of the PECC task forces and fora.

It was agreed that the future relationship of the Fisheries Task Force with APEC and with other PECC bodies was somewhat uncertain because the future relationship of the PECC as a whole with APEC is far from certain. In light of this uncertainty, it was agreed that it would be very helpful for future planning if a careful analysis and assessment of the Task Force's achievements to date were undertaken. It is, therefore, recommended that:

- III. The PECC should instruct the Fisheries Task Force to document and analyze the achievements of the Task Force since its inception and to outline further directions and potential projects or work programs, for the Task Force.

Interregional Cooperation Among Pacific Developing Coastal States:

The Fisheries Task Force has for many years sought to enhance fisheries cooperation between and among three regional groups of Pacific developing coastal states, namely the coastal states of the ASEAN, the Pacific Islands Nations, and Pacific Latin America. These efforts have led to the establishment of two informal bodies to coordinate such cooperation, the Western Pacific Fisheries Consultative Committee (WPFCC), which has a secretariat in Manila, and the Trans Pacific Fisheries Consultative Committee (TPFCC), which has a secretariat in Santiago, Chile.

The Fisheries Task Force Workshop was presented with a report on successful attempts to foster cooperation in fisheries research between the Pacific Islands and the ASEAN. The cooperative research has given particular emphasis to immensely valuable tuna resources shared by the two regional groups of coastal states. Research undertaken by the Pacific Islands regional organization, the South Pacific Commission (SPC), in cooperation with Philippine and Indonesian scientists, has done much to clarify the nature of the sharing of tuna resources between the two regional groups. Contrary to earlier expectations, the sharing of tuna resources between the Pacific Islands and the Philippines is limited. The sharing of tuna resources between the Pacific Islands and Indonesia, on the other hand, is massive. Such cooperative research, which is essential for effective resource management, has been brought about by the joint efforts of the Fisheries Task Force and the WPFCC.

Furthermore, the WPFCC has been instrumental in enabling the South Pacific Commission to assist both the governments of Indonesia and the Philippines in research on their respective domestic tuna resources. The SPC has, moreover, undertaken to train ASEAN fisheries scientists in the collection and analysis of tuna research data.

Significant progress has, as well, been made in interregional fisheries cooperation in the area of fisheries education and trade. The WPFCC mounted a conference for Pacific Island Nations and ASEAN specialists in fisheries education and training in April 1992. This was followed in October/November 1992 by a study tour of ASEAN fisheries education and training institutions for Pacific Island officials and education/training specialists. The study tour, which was very successful, is already bearing fruit. Pacific Island students are now coming to be placed in ASEAN fisheries education/training institutions. An interregional conference for educators in

community based fisheries management is being planned, which will be held in the Pacific Island Region. The Pacific Islands are more advanced than their ASEAN neighbours in community based fisheries management.

In 1991, the TPFCC organized a successful study tour of Pacific Latin America fisheries post harvest (i.e. handling and processing) training institutions for Pacific Islands specialists. As a consequence of the study tour, a fisheries post harvest technologist from the Peruvian Instituto Tecnológico Pesquero spent two months during the first half of 1992 in the Pacific Islands Region, lecturing and participating in training programs. This was seen as a first step in developing an ongoing transfer of fisheries post harvest technology between Pacific Latin America and the Pacific Islands Region. Plans are now being developed to bring Pacific Islands students to Pacific Latin America fisheries post harvest research and training institutions.

In light of the ongoing successful work of the two consultative committees, it was agreed that the Fisheries Task Force should continue to give maximum support to these two bodies. It is thus recommended that:

- IV. The PECC should instruct the Fisheries Task Force to continue to give full support to the Western Pacific Fisheries Consultative Committee and the Trans Pacific Fisheries Consultative Committee.

The discussion on South-South cooperation in the area of fisheries education and training brought the Task Force Workshop back to the question of cooperation with APEC. It was observed that the APEC Working Group on Fisheries has taken a strong interest in fisheries education and training. This led to the suggestion that the PECC and APEC might usefully explore opportunities for entering into a collaborative undertaking in fisheries education and training.

To take but one example of a possible opportunity, the Fisheries Task Force and the WPFCC have, as was indicated earlier, succeeded in revealing that there are extensive opportunities for mutually beneficial cooperation in fisheries education and training between the Pacific Islands and the ASEAN. Such cooperation, as well as being mutually beneficial to the two regions, could benefit donor countries by leading to greater integration of donor supported education/training programs in the Pacific Islands and the ASEAN. The integration could lead to more efficient use of donor funds. The likelihood of successfully effecting the desired integration would be much greater if APEC support and collaboration could be obtained.

The Fisheries Task Force does, as a consequence, recommend that:

- V. The PECC should encourage the Fisheries Task Force to continue fostering cooperation in fisheries education and training. The PECC should, in addition, encourage the Fisheries Task Force to explore opportunities for collaboration with the APEC Working Group on Fisheries in the promotion of fisheries education and training in the Pacific region.

It was agreed further that the Fisheries Task Force should build upon its past success to explore other opportunities for facilitating cooperation in Pacific fisheries. In so doing, the Task Force should seek to ensure active participation by both the private and academic sectors. It is, therefore, recommended that:

- VI. The PECC should instruct the Fisheries Task Force, being mindful of its independent character and its past success in linking sub-regional fisheries bodies, to encourage and support further cooperative activity, and to encourage greater participation by industry and academia in its work.

Barriers to Trade in Fisheries Products:

An ongoing concern of the Fisheries Task Force has been that of enhancing the flows of trade in fisheries products in the Pacific. The issue, which is of interest to both developed and developing fishing nations, was discussed at some length at the Task Force's Seventh Workshop. The point was made that issues in trade in fisheries product cannot be divorced from issues of resource management. Barriers to trade can exacerbate resource management problems, and vice-versa.

Several years ago the Task Force undertook a well received survey of tariff barriers to trade. Leadership was provided by New Zealand. At the request of the APEC Working Group on Fisheries, the Task Force undertook to conduct a survey of non-tariff barriers to trade in fisheries products. Once again, New Zealand offered to provide leadership.

This undertaking was a much more demanding task than the survey of tariff barriers. Non-tariff barriers are often difficult to identify. What is perceived by one country as non-tariff barrier will be seen by another as a necessary instrument to protect the health and well being of the citizens of the country imposing the so called "barrier."

At the Sixth Workshop of the Fisheries Task Force held in February 1992, the New Zealand delegation presented a first draft of the survey of non-tariff barriers. The survey was based upon the barriers which the New Zealand fishing industry perceived itself facing in Pacific markets. The New Zealand delegation readily conceded that its survey was incomplete and invited participating members to add to the survey.

Since that time, there has been some progress in expanding the survey, but progress has been slow. It was agreed, however, that the survey would not go on indefinitely. It was agreed further that the survey should be completed by no later than mid-December 1993.

Countries, other than New Zealand, participating in the Fisheries Task Force were not, and are not, called upon to prepare their own separate full surveys. They were rather called upon to review the New Zealand draft of the survey, to determine the non-tariff barriers faced by their respective exporters of fish products not included in the New Zealand draft, and to report their findings to the New Zealand organizers of the survey. If a particular country has not so reported by mid-December 1993, it will be assumed that the non-tariff barriers facing exporters of fish products of that country are fully accounted for in the New Zealand draft survey.

It is, therefore, recommended that:

- VII. The PECC should instruct the Fisheries Task Force to complete its survey of non-tariff barriers to trade in fisheries products by no later than December 15, 1993.

Among the most difficult and controversial non-tariff barrier issues confronting trade in fisheries is the issue of non-tariff barriers associated with so called "environmental concerns." These barriers typically arise when one country chooses to punish a second country for tolerating unacceptable environmental damage in its fishing operations. The first country's punishment consists of a severe restriction on imports of fisheries products from the second country. To the second country, the restriction is a non-tariff barrier; to the first country it is nothing of the kind.

There was a spirited discussion of this issue at the Workshop. There was general agreement that the problem has increased in severity and can be expected to become worse over time. Interestingly, it was an issue in which coastal states and distant water fishing nations found themselves making common cause.

The issue is one that is difficult to address effectively. Private sector participants in the Workshop were particularly concerned that steps might be taken to attempt to mitigate the problem, which could, in the end, have the effect of doing precisely the reverse.

In May 1992, the government of Mexico, in cooperation with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the U.N., mounted the Conference on Responsible Fishing in Cancun. Arising from the Conference was the Declaration of Cancun. One section of the Declaration, Part 15, addresses the issue of the environment and trade in fisheries products. Part 15 of the Declaration does not dismiss environmental concerns out of hand, but rather argues that environmental policies should be focused on the root causes of environmental degradation and should not be used as a disguised restriction on trade.

The Declaration of Cancun was to have a significant impact on the United Nations Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED), held in Rio de Janeiro in June 1992. Principle 12 of the Rio Declaration, brought forth by UNCED, addresses the issue of environment and trade in general. Principle 12 was clearly influenced by Part 15 of the Declaration of Cancun.

The Workshop agreed that Part 15 of the Declaration of Cancun and Principle 12 of the Rio Declaration provide a useful and constructive framework within which to address and analyze the issue of the environment, trade in fisheries products and non-tariff barriers. It was agreed further that APEC should be encouraged to give appropriate recognition to the relevant parts of the Declaration of Cancun and the Rio Declaration. Part 15 of the Declaration of Cancun and Principle 12 of the Rio Declaration are attached to this report as an appendix.

It is recommended that:

- VIII. In light of growing concerns about the use of so called "environmental" issues as a basis for unilateral trade intervention in the area of fisheries affecting both coastal states and distant water fishing nations alike, the PECC should encourage APEC to give appropriate recognition to Part 15 of the Declaration of Cancun and to Principle 12 of the Rio Declaration.

The Management of High Seas Fishery Resources:

A major issue which has emerged over the past few years in international fisheries is the management of fishery resources that are to be found both in the coastal state Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) and the adjacent high seas. These fishery resources are divided into two broad categories. The first consists of the so called highly migratory species, the most important of which are the tuna species. The Pacific has major tuna fisheries in both the western and eastern parts of the region. The second category consists of so called "straddling" stocks. The term is, to a considerable degree, a catchall term covering fishery resources, found in both the EEZ and adjacent high seas, other than highly migratory species. Examples of "straddling" stocks in the Pacific are provided by pollock in the Bering Sea, jack mackerel off of Chile and orange roughy off of New Zealand.

The high seas portions of highly migratory and straddling stocks are usually exploited by distant water fishing nations. The issue of managing such resources is difficult because the Law of the Sea Convention, arising from the U.N. Third Conference on the Law of the Sea, is vague on the division of rights, duties and obligations between distant water fishing nations and coastal states with respect to the high seas portions of the resources.

In December 1992, the U.N. announced that it was to convene an intergovernmental conference on the issue: the U.N. Conference on Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory Fish Stocks. A preliminary session of the Conference was held in April 1993. The first negotiating session was held in July of the same year. Further sessions of the Conference are planned for 1994.

The Workshop had a lengthy discussion on the significance of the issue to the Pacific and received a report on the first negotiating session of the U.N. Conference. Several participants in the Workshop had been participants in the July 1993 session of the U.N. Conference.

The significance of the issue for the Pacific is straightforward. There is no region of the world for which the issue is more important than the Pacific.

The purpose of the discussion on the U.N. Conference to monitor the Conference and provide information on its progress. During the discussion there were several expressions of appreciation for the information that was being provided through the session.

It was learned that the first full session of the U.N. Conference achieved some measure of success by producing a Negotiating Text. Not surprisingly, the alignment emerging in the Conference is, not between developing and developed states, but is rather between coastal states and distant water fishing nations. Several very difficult issues remain to be negotiated at future sessions of the Conference, e.g. the division of resource management powers between coastal states and distant water fishing nations.

The Fisheries Task Force has as participants all of the Pacific Coastal states most affected by highly migratory and straddling fish stocks and has all of the major Pacific distant water fishing nations. It was agreed that these facts, combined with the informal and tri-partite nature of the PECC, meant that the Fisheries Task Force Workshop provided an excellent forum within which to discuss the issues emerging from the aforementioned U.N. Conference and their implications for the Pacific.

It was agreed further that the Fisheries Task Force should continue to monitor the developments in the aforementioned U.N. Conference. It is, therefore, recommended that:

- IX. The PECC should instruct the PECC Fisheries Task Force to disseminate and to discuss relating to the management of Highly Migratory Stocks and Straddling Stocks in the Pacific.

Conclusions:

The Seventh Workshop of the Fisheries Task Force was successful and productive. The Task Force looks forward to continuing its efforts to enhance and encourage cooperation in Pacific fisheries and to doing so in collaboration with its partners in APEC.

APPENDIX

UNCED: Principle 12 of Rio Declaration:

"Trade policy measures for environmental purposes should not restrict international trade. Unilateral actions to deal with environmental challenges outside the jurisdiction of the importing country should be avoided. Environmental measures addressing transboundary or global environmental problems should, as far as possible, be based on international consensus."

International Conference on Responsible Fishing Part 15 of the Declaration of Cancun

"States recognize that environmental policies should deal with the root causes of environmental degradation, thus preventing environmental measures from resulting in unnecessary restrictions to trade. Trade policy measures for environmental purposes should not constitute a means of arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination or a disguised restriction on international trade. Unilateral actions to deal with environmental challenges outside the jurisdiction of the importing country should be avoided. Environmental measures addressing international environmental problems should, as far as possible, be based on an international consensus. Domestic measures targeted to achieve certain environmental objectives may need trade measures to render them effective. Should trade policy measures be found necessary for the enforcement of environmental policies, certain principles and rules should apply. These could include, inter alia: the principle of non-discrimination; the principle that the trade measure chosen should be the least trade-restrictive necessary to achieve the objectives; an obligation to ensure transparency in the use of trade measures related to the environment and to provide adequate notification of national regulations; and the need to give consideration to the special conditions and developmental requirements of developing countries as they move towards internationally agreed environmental objectives."

**PAPERS PREPARED FOR THE SEVENTH WORKSHOP
OF THE PECC FISHERIES TASK FORCE**

Plinio Arias Arias, "Colombian Fisheries"

Canada, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, "Non-Tariff Barriers to Seafood Trade"

Hugo Llanos, "Notes on the United Nations Technical Consultation on High Seas Fishing for Fish Stocks Occurring Both Within and Beyond the Exclusive Economic Zones and Highly Migratory Species"

Marshall Moffatt, "The Opportunity to Improve Pacific Trade in Fish Products"

Byron Moya Reyes, "The Ecuadorian Perspective"

Ulises Munaylla Alarcon, "Peruvian Overview of the Role of the PECC Fisheries Task Force in Pacific Fisheries Cooperation"

Gordon R. Munro, "The PECC Fisheries Task Force's First Decade and Future Directions: An Overview"

New Zealand, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, "PECC Fisheries Task Force Survey on Non-Tariff Barriers to Seafood Trade"

Particio Pavey Carrera, "Proposed Cooperation in Fisheries Training and Education"

Pham Thuoc, "Some Data on Fisheries Production and Mariculture in Vietnamese Sea Waters"

Tatsuo Saito, "Management of Highly Migratory Species"

South China Sea Project, "Statement on the Fourth Workshop on Managing Potential Conflicts in the South China Sea"

South Pacific Commission, "Interactions Amongst Tuna Fisheries of Philippines, Indonesia and Adjacent Western Pacific Areas, Based on Tagging Experiments"

South Pacific Commission, "Pacific Island Nations (PIN) Fisheries Officers Study Tour to ASEAN Fisheries Education and Training Institutions and Prospects for Development of ASEAN/PINs Cooperation in this Area"

South Pacific Forum Fisheries Agency, "The Management of High Seas Fisheries Resources and the Pacific"

*Copies of any of the papers listed are available from the
Task Force Coordinator upon request.*

**PARTICIPANTS IN THE SEVENTH WORKSHOP
OF THE
PECC FISHERIES TASK FORCE**

MEMBER COMMITTEE OR COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT	TITLE & ORGANIZATION
AUSPECC	Mr. David Barnes	Assistant Secretary, Fisheries Policy Branch, Minerals & Fisheries Group Department of Primary Industries and Energy
CANPEC	Dr. John Davis	Director, Institute of Ocean Sciences Department of Fisheries and Oceans Sidney, B.C.
	Mr. Roderick Forbes	Biologist, Ocean Environment and Fisheries, Institute of Ocean Sciences Department of Fisheries and Oceans Sidney, B.C.
	Mr. Richard Gregory	Senior Vice-President, Administration British Columbia Packers Ltd. Steveston, B.C.
	Mr. Robert Hand	Vice President, Tuna and Aquaculture British Columbia Packers Ltd. Steveston, B.C.
	Mr. Richard Heroux	General Manager, Tuna Development British Columbia Packers Ltd. Steveston, B.C.
	Mr. Stewart Lewis	Policy Advisor, Office of Canadian Ambassador for Fisheries Conservation Department of External Affairs
	Mr. Kenneth Lucas	President, Agrodev Canada Ltd., Ottawa
	Ms. Evelyne S. Meltzer	President, Meltzer Research and Consulting Ltd., Halifax, NS
	Dr. Gordon Munro	Professor, Department of Economics University of British Columbia

MEMBER COMMITTEE OR COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT	TITLE & ORGANIZATION
CANPEC	Mr. C. Michael Renwick	President, C.M. Renwick Consulting Ltd. Port Moody, B.C.
	Dr. William Saywell	Chair, Canadian National Committee on Pacific Economic Cooperation, and President, Asia Pacific Foundation of Canada
	Dr. Ian Townsend-Gault	Professor, Faculty of Law University of British Columbia
	Mr. Steven Wright	Program Planning and Economics Branch Department of Fisheries and Oceans Vancouver, BC
CHILPEC	Prof. Patricio Pavez-Carrera	Professor, School of Marine Resources Universidad Catolica de Valparaiso
COLPEC	Sr. Plinio Arias-Arias	Head, Technical Regulations Division Department of Agriculture
COSTA RICA	Sr. Herbert Nanne	Deputy Director General, Fisheries Department of Agriculture
ECUADOR	Sr. Byron Moya Reyes	Director General, Department of Fisheries
INCPEC	Ambassador Hasjim Djalal	Indonesian Ambassador to Germany
	Dr. Nurzali Naamin	Director, Research Institute for Marine Fisheries, Department of Agriculture
JANCPPEC	Mr. Satoshi Kuwahara	Section Chief, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Fisheries Agency of Japan
	Mr. Hiromoto Watanabe	Fisheries Planning Officer, International Affairs Division, Oceanic Fisheries Department, Fisheries Agency Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

MEMBER COMMITTEE OR COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT	TITLE & ORGANIZATION
KOPEC	Dr. Seong-Kwae Park	Department of Fishery Economics Korea Rural Economic Institute
MANCPEC	Mr. Brahim Saleh	Director, Fisheries Management Division Department of Fisheries
MXCPEC	Sr. Rolando Monroy Soto	Advisor to the Minister, Secretaria de Pesca
NZCPEC	Mr. Grant Bryden	Policy Analyst, Fisheries Policy Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
	Mr. Michael Riepen	Advisor-International, Fisheries Policy Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries
REPUBLIC OF PALAU	Mr. Victorio Uherbelau	Presidential Legal Advisor Foreign Affairs Bureau and Chairman, Forum Fisheries Committee
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	Mr. Joseph Gabut	Secretary, Department of Fisheries and Marine Resources, and Deputy Chairman, Forum Fisheries Committee
PERUPEC	Dr. Ulises Munaylla Alarcon	Advisor, Ministry of Fisheries
	Sra. Lola Dulanto de Soldi	Assistant to Director, TPFCC
PPECC	Atty. Reuben A. Ganaden	Legal Officer IV, Bureau of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Department of Agriculture
	Dr. Raphael Lotilla	Director, Institute of International Legal Studies, University of the Philippines

MEMBER COMMITTEE OR COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT	TITLE & ORGANIZATION
RUSPEC	Mr. Vadim M. Broukhis	Deputy Chief, Department of Raw Materials and Fisheries, Fisheries Committee of Russian Federation
	Mr. Alexander Medwedev	Vice-Governor, Administration of Sakhalin Region
	Mr. Alexandre Popov	General Director, Binom Company Sakhalin Region
	Mr. Valery Shegnagaev	Chairman, Fishery Kolkov Vostok
CTPECC	Dr. Chien Chen Ming	Professor, National Taiwan University
	Mr. Tah-Wei Chu	Head, Department of Aquaculture National Koashiung Institute of Marine Technology
	Mr. Chuang-Ti Chueh	President, Taiwan Fisheries Consultants Inc.
	Dr. Shean-Ya Yeh	Professor, Institute of Oceanography National Taiwan University
	Ms. Ro-Chan Yu	Senior Assistant Research Fellow Taiwan Institute of Economic Research
TNCPEC	Mr. Chaipat Rojanavipart	Chief, Planning and Budgeting Sub-Division, Department of Fisheries Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives
USNPEC	Mr. Boris Alkhazov	President, Fishery Kolkoz Vostok
	Mr. G. Stephen Crane	President, Crane International Inc., Seattle
	Mr. Mark Freeberg	Vice-President, Natural Resources Consultants Inc., Seattle
	Mr. Mikhail Miasnikov	General Manager, Marine Resources Company International Inc., Seattle

**MEMBER COMMITTEE
OR COUNTRY**

PARTICIPANT

TITLE & ORGANIZATION

VIETNAM

Eng. Nguyen Van Chau

Deputy Director, Department of Fisheries
Management, Ministry of Fisheries

Mr. Hoang Ngoc Giao

Director of Ocean Management Information
Centre, Continental Shelf Committee

Dr. Pham Thuoc

Vice-Director, Institute of Aquatic
Products, Ministry of Fisheries

Dr. Tran Cong Truc

Vice-Chairman, Continental Shelf Committee

ORGANIZATION

PARTICIPANT

TITLE

**COMISION PERMANENTE
DEL PACIFICO SUR**

Ambassador Hugo Llanos M.

Secretary-General

FAO of the U.N.

Mr. Joaquin Cortez

FAO of the Fishery Planning Officer
Fisheries Department

**FORUM FISHERIES
AGENCY**

Mr. Andrew Wright

Deputy Director

**SOUTH PACIFIC
COMMISSION**

Mr. Julian Dashwood

Fisheries Coordinator

**TRANS-PACIFIC
FISHERIES CONSULTATIVE
COMMITTEE (TPFC)**

Ambassador Hugo Llanos M.

Director

**Western Pacific Fisheries
Consulate (WPFCC)**

Ms. Elvira Baluyut

Director

TRIP REPORT

Meeting Dates: 14-16 September 1993

Meeting Venue: Manila, The Philippines

Participants: Janet Pearce
Deputy Director

Purpose: 8th APEC Working Group Meeting on Telecommunications

REPORT:

In addition to our responsibility for the organization of private sector presentations and general attendance in the APEC Working Group meeting, I was also responsible for leading discussions in the sub-group on Data Compilation (DC) and for the construction of a report by this sub-group to the plenary working group. Since the U.S. Chair of the Working Group was experiencing staff shortages, and since the U.S. PECC offices have worked closely with the Shepherd's office to both construct the data compilation questionnaire and to convene meetings where the results of the government's data compilation efforts are studied by industry representative, I was included as a member of the U.S. APEC delegation and given responsibility for the DC sub-group.

Beneficial discussion occurred in the sub-group as to ways to assist those economies who had not yet been able to make submissions to the APEC group and suggestions were noted for future improvement of the questionnaire. The PECC's efforts to organize a second Pacific Telecommunications Roundtable were widely supported. In addition, during a presentation on general PECC work programs identified with telecoms, numerous economies expressed their support for the continuing association of PECC and APEC working groups.

Manila Trip Report
Page Two

In addition to the convening, rapporteuring, and presentation responsibilities, I was held responsible for liaising with the U.S. business representatives observing the meeting. Among this group we had representatives from AT&T, NYNEX, United Parcel Service, PanAmSat, IBM and Comsat.

Report Prepared By: Janet Pearce

Date Prepared: November 1, 1993

OPENING CEREMONIES
APEC WORKING GROUP ON
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
(EIGHTH MEETING)
MANILA, PHILIPPINES
14-16 SEPTEMBER 1993

P R O G R A M M E

- I. PHILIPPINE NATIONAL ANTHEM

- II. OPENING REMARKS - FEDERICO M. MACARANAS
Undersecretary for Economic, Science
and Technology, and Development
Cooperation
Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA)

- III. WELCOME ADDRESS - JOSEFINA T. LICHAUCO
Undersecretary for Communications
Department of Transportation and
Communications (DOTC)

- IV. KEYNOTE ADDRESS - HON. JESUS B. GARCIA, JR.
Secretary
Department of Transportation and
Communications (DOTC)

APEC: EIGHT MEETING OF THE WORKING GROUP ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS - ANNOTATED AGENDA

APEC WORKING GROUP ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS
EIGHTH MEETING, MANILA PHILIPPINES
SEPTEMBER 13-16, 1993
DRAFT ANNOTATED AGENDA

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13

DELEGATES ARRIVE P.M. REGISTRATION
EARLY EVENING-MEETING OF WGT SHEPHERD WITH PROJECT
RAPORTEURS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14

PROJECT GROUPS MEET CONCURRENTLY

8:00 - 9:30 REGISTRATION

9:30 - 12:20 MEETINGS

CONFERENCE ROOM A DATA COMPILATION
REVIEW SUBMISSIONS BY MEMBER
ECONOMIES FOR THE 1993 STATE OF
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE
AND REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT OF
APEC ECONOMIES WITH A VIEW TOWARD
FINALIZING SUBMISSIONS TO GO TO THE
PRINTER.

CONFERENCE ROOM B - EDI
SUGGESTED TOPICS - EDI/APEC INITIATIVE WITH BROKEN HILL
PROPRIETARY CO. LTD.; UPDATES OF EDI
ACTIVITIES IN MEMBER ECONOMIES; EDI
INITIATIVE WITH SMALL BUSINESSES;
ANALYSIS OF ELECTRONIC COMMERCE IN
APEC; EDI TRAINING FACILITIES IN
REGION.

CONFERENCE ROOM C - HRD
REVIEW OF USG FUNDED APEC TRAINING
NEEDS ASSESSMENT BASED ON THE
PHILIPPINES, INDONESIA AND THAILAND,
REVIEW OF OTHER HRD PROJECTS.

CONFERENCE ROOM D - INFRASTRUCTURE
FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED
APEC MANUAL ON INFRASTRUCTURE AND
REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED MANUAL'S
OUTLINE.

12:00 - 2:00 - LUNCH

2:00 - 5:00 - RESUMPTION OF PROJECT MEETINGS

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15 AND THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 16
PLENARY SESSIONS

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION
WELCOMING REMARKS FROM THE PHILIPPINES HOST AND CO-
CHAIRMAN OF THE MEETING, INTRODUCTION BY THE SHEPHERD.
2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA
3. REVIEW AND PROGRESS SINCE SEVENTH MEETING (MARCH, 1993)
AND APEC DEVELOPMENTS
4. VOLUNTARY REPORTS OF MEMBER ECONOMIES ON NEW
POLICIES/REGULATIONS/INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT SINCE
MARCH, 1993
5. DRAFT MINISTERIAL STATEMENT
REVIEW AND FINALIZE PROPOSED MINISTERIAL STATEMENT FOR
APPROVAL BY THE SENIOR OFFICIALS MEETING IN HONOLULU.

6. POLICY, PRIORITIES, PARTICIPATION
 - (A) REQUEST FOR PARTICIPATION IN WGT ACTIVITIES REVIEW APEC POLICY ON GUEST AND CONSIDER THE POSSIBILITY OF INVITING GUESTS TO ATTEND OR PARTICIPATE IN FUTURE WGT ACTIVITIES.
 - (B) COORDINATION WITH OTHER APEC WGS
 - (C) PUBLICATIONS POLICY REVIEW APEC SECRETARIAT'S PUBLICATIONS POLICY
 - (D) USE OF CONSULTANTS/EXPERTS REVIEW APEC SECRETARIAT'S POLICY ON EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.
7. BUDGET ISSUES
 - (A) STATUS OF DISBURSEMENTS FOR 1993
 - (B) PROJECT PROPOSALS FOR 1994 FUNDING & PRIORITIZATION
8. REPORT OF THE APEC WGT PROJECT GROUPS
 - (A) DATA COMPILATION
 - (B) ELECTRONIC DATA INTERCHANGE (EDI)
 - (C) INFRASTRUCTURE
 - (D) HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (HRD)
9. OTHER PROPOSED PROJECTS
 - (A) STANDARDS
10. FUTURE MEETINGS
11. OTHER BUSINESS
12. REVIEW OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT