

I. PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1. PROJECT TITLE
 Analysis and Evaluation of Population Policies and Dynamics

2. PROJECT NO. (M.O. 1095.2)
 932-11-570-979

3. RECIPIENT (specify)
 COUNTRY
 REGIONAL
 INTER-REGIONAL

4. LIFE OF PROJECT
 BEGINS FY 1972
 ENDS FY 1978

5. SUBMISSION
 ORIGINAL
 REV. NO. 1 (1776)
 CONTR. PASA NO. csd-359

APPENDIX ATTACHED
 YES (2) NO

II. FUNDING (\$000) AND MAN MONTHS (MM) REQUIREMENTS

| A. FUNDING BY FISCAL YEAR | B. TOTAL \$ | C. PERSONNEL | | D. PARTICIPANTS | | E. COMMODITIES \$ | F. OTHER COSTS \$ | G. PASA/CONTR. | | H. LOCAL EXCHANGE CURRENCY RATE: \$ US (U.S. OWNED) | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | (1) \$ | (2) MM | (1) \$ | (2) MM | | | (1) \$ | (2) MM | (1) U.S. GRANT LOAN | (2) COOP COUNTRY (A) JOINT (B) BUDGET | |
| 1. PRIOR THRU ACTUAL FY | 3930 | 1063 | | | | | 2867 | 3930 | | | | |
| 2. OPRN FY 76 | 500 | 100 | 38 | | | | 400 | 500 | 38 | | | |
| 3. BUDGET FY TQ | 500 | 100 | 38 | | | | 400 | 500 | 38 | | | |
| 4. BUDGET 11 FY 77 | 1400 | 280 | 104 | | | | 1120 | 1400 | 104 | | | |
| 5. BUDGET 12 FY 78 | 1400 | 265 | 90 | | | | 1135 | 1400 | 90 | | | |
| 6. BUDGET 13 FY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. ALL SUBQ. FY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. GRAND TOTAL | 7730 | 1808 | | | | | 5922 | 7730 | | | | |

9. OTHER DONOR CONTRIBUTIONS

| (A) NAME OF DONOR | (B) KIND OF GOODS/SERVICES | (C) AMOUNT |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | | |

III. ORIGINATING OFFICE CLEARANCE

| | | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1. DRAFTER David E. Mutchler Arlen W. Jee | TITLE Project Monitor Program Analyst | DATE 1/23/76 |
| 2. CLEARANCE OFFICER R. T. Ravenholt | TITLE Director, PHA/POP | DATE 1/28/76 |

IV. PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

1. CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

All regional/country applications subject to concurrence of appropriate USAID and AID/W offices.

2. CLEARANCES

| BUR/OFF. | SIGNATURE | DATE | BUR/OFF | SIGNATURE | DATE |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|------|
| POP/PPD | Carl J. Hemmer | 1/20/76 | PPC/DPRE | John M. Welty | |
| POP/OPN | E. R. Backlund George E. Gilmore | 1/20/76 | GC/PHA | Jan Miller | |
| PHA/PRS | C. David McMakin | | PHA/EVAL | William E. Alli | |

3. APPROVAL AAs OR OFFICE DIRECTORS

| SIGNATURE | DATE |
|-----------|------|
| | |

4. APPROVAL A/AID (See M.O. 1025.1 VI C)

| SIGNATURE | DATE |
|-----------|------|
| | |

TITLE Acting AA/PHA, Harriett Crowley

ADMINISTRATOR, AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

- 1 -

NON-CAPITAL PROJECT PAPER (PROP) REVISION

Analysis & Evaluation of Population Policies & Dynamics
Project Nr 932-11-570-979

References: Original PROP, approved 5/10/72
AID Project Evaluation, dtd 10/74
Update of Evaluation, dtd 12/75

A. FUNCTIONAL GOAL

1. Goal Statement. To assist less developed countries to consider the impact of population dynamics on their own development and to design/implement or improve population policies which will contribute to development goals.

2. Measures of Goal Achievement

2.1 LDC commitment of adequate national resources to preclude future imbalances between demographic forces and the nation's capacity to achieve its development goals.

2.2 Control of excessive growth due to demographic events (particularly, excessive fertility).

2.3 LDC has self-sustaining population policies and adequate institutional bases to carry them on.

3. Assumptions for Achieving Goal

3.1 LDC has opted for social and economic development.

3.2 A better understanding of population dynamics will lead to the adoption of more rational population policies and programs.

3.3 LDC recognize need and priority to formulate and implement population policies and programs to facilitate the achievement of its commonly accepted development goals.

B. PROJECT PURPOSE

1. Statement of Purpose. To identify and examine in a limited number of key LDCs (a) those researchable policy and social barriers which restrict the availability of contraceptives and surgical means of family planning, as well as (b) those factors which may impinge, for example, upon the status of women, age at marriage and first conception, and other determinants of fertility.

2. End-of-Project Status

2.1 Relevant and professionally acceptable country-specific research and analysis established in LDC; methodology and scope of research acceptable within social science and population communities.

2.2. Research findings and recommendations generated are within the capacity of specific LDC government to implement.

2.3. The subject LDC governments will possess innovative population policy designs which they themselves have formulated, tested and analyzed.

3. Assumptions for Achieving Purpose

3.1 LDC analysts are currently available and committed to make meaningful inputs into population policy development.

3.2 Present efforts in research and current level of knowledge remain inadequate.

3.3 A demand exists among analysts and decision makers both in the US and LDCs for better understanding of the social determinants and consequences of rapid population growth.

C. PROJECT OUTPUTS

1. Outputs and Output Indicators

1.1 Identification of Key Obstacles. Efforts will be initially made with relevant ministries and offices of three cooperating LDC governments per year to determine critical impediments to effective population and family planning. Emphasis will be upon the development of a focused but comprehensive list of population/family planning bottlenecks, principally those problems which restrict the availability of contraceptives and surgical means of family planning, as well as such factors which impinge, for example, upon status of women, age at marriage and first conception, and other fertility determinants.

1.2 Work Agreements. Research protocols will be mutually designed by indigenous researchers, the contractor and AID. A cluster of action research and analysis activity will be implemented and will be centered on the policy and social issues identified previously as part of the country assessment of impediments to population/family planning. Emphasis will be upon measuring the impact, feasibility and cost effectiveness of alternative population policy designs. An average of three work agreements per country per year will be funded at a maximum cost per agreement of \$50,000-\$55,000. (Three-year output: 27 work agreements in 9 cooperating LDCs; estimated cost, \$1.4 million).

1.3 Technical Meetings/Consultation. Approximately three technical meetings will be held per country per year to implement the above identification of impediments, to monitor research activities and to assure continued, relevant dialogue between indigenous research analysts and decision makers. These meetings will be small (under 10 participants), informal and will be held in country between the participating officials, contractor and AID population staff members. In addition, social science expertise will be provided to AID and the LDC officials, as may be required, to further the successful achievement of project outputs. (Three-year output: 27 man-months in 9 cooperating LDCs; estimated cost, \$85,000.)

2. Assumptions for Achieving Outputs

2.1 The analyses will produce information which is useful and relevant to the requirements of the donor, LDC planners and decision makers alike.

2.2 The information developed will be disseminated and will result in change.

2.3 The demand continues to exist for these forms of research in the specific LDC and for interchange/interaction between the investigators and decision makers.

D. PROJECT INPUTS

1. Statement of Project Inputs. AID input consists of budgetary support for the implementation and achievement of the specified outputs through an intermediary institution. These project activities will share AID experiences in institutional methodology, will be subject to AID evaluation, programming and contract management requirements. All phases of project activities will be closely coordinated between AID and the intermediary.

2. AID Funding Implications (x\$000)

| | <u>FY76</u> | <u>TQ</u> | <u>FY77</u> | <u>FY78*</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Salaries/Fringe Benefits | 100 | 100 | 280 | 265 | 745 |
| Technical Activities | 195 | 195 | 540 | 555 | 1485 |
| (Work Agreements) | (190) | (190) | (520) | (500) | (1400) |
| (Meetings/Consultation) | (5) | (5) | (20) | (55) | (85) |
| Direct Operating Costs # | 25 | 25 | 70 | 70 | 190 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$320 | \$320 | \$890 | \$890 | \$2420 |
| Overhead (57%) @ | 180 | 180 | 510 | 510 | 1380 |
| Total Estimated Budget | \$500 | \$500 | \$1400 | \$1400 | \$3800 |
| Estimated Months Activity | 5 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 36 |

*/ FY78 estimates reflect 10% inflationary increase.

#/ Includes direct costs, such as, office rental/utilities/communication/equipment/supplies, printing and miscellaneous operation expenses. (Footnote continued on next page)

@/ Includes administrative, legal, stenographic, clerical and other institutional support; percentage comparable to overhead charged in other AID/PHA/POP contracts.

3. Estimated Contractor Manpower Requirements

| <u>Position Title (Number)</u> | <u>Equiv. GS Grade</u> | <u>Man-Months per Year</u> |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Project Director (one) | GS-16 | 12 m-m |
| Field Director (one) | GS-15 | 12 |
| Social Scientists (two) | GS-14 | 18 |
| Research Associates (three) | GS-13 | 36 |
| Administrative Assistant (one) | GS-12 | 12 |
| Total Man-Months per Year | | 90 m-m |

4. Assumptions for Providing Inputs

4.1 The contractor will provide satisfactory management of the project.

4.2 An active, close working relationship will be established and sustained throughout the life-of-project period between AID and the contractor.

4.3 Senior social scientists can be temporarily employed to participate in segments of the research program and will be able to effectively provide technical assistance to the selected LDCs.

E. RATIONALE

This project as authorized in the original PROP (approved in May 1972) has been administered by the Interdisciplinary Communications Program of the Smithsonian Institution. Research priorities have been broadly defined and funding support for these investigations were granted to unsolicited proposals from a wide range of US, LDC and third-country researchers; conferences and publications supported by this project widely generated the needed dialogue along a broad range of population research issues.

The contractor for the project will be chosen through competitive bidding.

The recently held project review (in September 1975) and updated evaluation (in December 1975) reiterated the continuing need for social science research in the development/implementation of population policies. However, emphasis was placed on changing AID priorities, as well as on the need to focus project outputs upon a few key countries, to more specifically address actual policy and social issues in the respective LDCs, to implement clusters of in-country research studies which would more likely influence population policy.

The activities as enumerated in this revised Project Paper, then, reflect the conclusions drawn from the aforementioned project review and updated evaluation; they constitute "Phase II" in the examination of fertility determinants and consequences in population/family planning -- a shift from the "awareness" phase to that of "application." While the project goal and, in substance, the project purpose remain unchanged, the purpose has been necessarily re-worded to reflect the specificity of the future activities.

Specific policy and social issues will be addressed through clusters of research studies directed at selected LDCs with the objective of more directly influencing policy. Identification of specific policy and social issues, including barriers to full availability (rural and urban) of contraceptives and surgical family planning services will guide research activities from the outset. Population impact analysis of current or proposed government funding will enable LDC governments to derive added population-related benefits from their expenditures in agriculture, education, public health and other fields. This approach will assist the LDCs in linking family planning services, reliable contraceptive supply lines, educational programs, rural development, improved status of women, employment opportunities, income distribution and other objectives of development planning. The studies will be comprehensive in scope but focused upon a few key issues or bottlenecks in each of a few key LDCs.

In lieu of broad reaching conferences, technical meetings will be initiated and will provide means through which the actual investigators and decision makers of the subject LDCs will discuss the issues, the studies, their findings and implications. Publications previously prepared and disseminated through this project will be eliminated; however, research studies and findings will continue to be published but disseminated to a limited audience.

F. COURSE OF ACTION

1. AID Project Management. AID monitoring of project activities will be carried out as normally expected. Particularly close coordination with and approval by AID of all project activities will extend to the selection of key countries, key issues within these countries and research strategies for solving the problems.

2. Contractor Project Management. The contractor staff that will be directly responsible for the implementation of this project will number no more than eight:

2.1 A Project Director may be employed up to fulltime to serve as The general manager and will oversee the technical and administrative operations required in this project. Preferred qualifications: Ph.D. degree in social science with extensive research credentials.

2.2 The Field Director will serve fulltime as the principal technical supervisor of all research activities. Preferred qualifications: PhD degree in social science with extensive experience in carrying out population research in LDCs and in providing technical assistance on population matters to foreign governments.

2.3 Two other senior Social Scientists will be temporary members of the staff; each shall be the principal technician responsible for a country-specific research cluster and will serve, therefore, on a time limited basis for that period during which the studies are in progress. Preferred qualifications. PhD degree in social science; experience and credentials in the population assistance field in the LDC(s) for which he/she will be responsible.

2.4 Three Research Associates will be responsible for technical backstop functions; they will in general perform the necessary day-to-day duties to implement, monitor and guide the research studies and to support the senior technicians. Preferred qualifications: Master degree in social science.

2.5 An Administrative Assistant shall handle the routine administrative tasks, as may be required in the contract and the project activities, such as the preparation, submission and distribution of reports; handling of travel arrangements; scheduling of technical meetings; and similar tasks to assure the adequate administration of this project.

3. Technical Activities. The contractor will work with AID and the appropriate ministries and offices of the cooperating LDC governments to determine critical impediments to effective population and family planning. Research strategies will be jointly drawn up and work agreements initiated to conduct studies of the identified problems. The development and conduct of these studies will be coordinated and monitored principally through in-country technical meetings with the indigenous investigators and key decision makers. Approximately three of these meetings will be held in each country: initially to identify pertinent obstacles and design research strategies; during the work agreement period, to evaluate and coordinate the progress of the studies and assure their continued relevancy to the host country situation; and at the termination of the research, to assist in the assessment of research findings and implementation of population policy and action plans. The contractor, however, may find it necessary to provide further technical assistance and other consultation trips during the interim periods may be required.

PROJECT DESIGN SUMMARY
LOGICAL FRAMEWORK

Life of Project: _____
From FY 1972 to FY 1978
Total U. S. Funding \$7,730,000
Date Prepared: Jan 1976

Project Title & Number: Anal/Eval of Pop Pol/Dyn (932(11-570)979)

| NARRATIVE SUMMARY | OBJECTIVELY VERIFIABLE INDICATORS | MEANS OF VERIFICATION | IMPORTANT ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------|------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|---|
| <p>Program or Sector Goal: The broader objective to which this project contributes: (A-1) To assist LDCs to consider the impact of pop dynamics on their own development & to implement pop policies which will contribute to development goals.</p> | <p>Measures of Goal Achievement: (A-2) = Commitment of national resources = Control of excessive growth = Self-sustaining policies and institutional bases</p> | <p>(A-3) = LDC national budgets = Demographic studies of LDC = On-site evaluations</p> | <p>Assumptions for achieving goal targets: (A-4) = LDC opted for development = Better understanding leads to more rational population policies/programs = Need for pop policies and programs recognized by LDC</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Project Purpose: (B-1) To identify/examine those researchable policy/social barriers to family planning and other social issues which impede development.</p> | <p>Conditions that will indicate purpose has been achieved: End-of-Project status. (B-2) = Relevant/acceptable research in LDC = Findings/recommendations within LDC capacity to implement = LDC possess policy designs to implement</p> | <p>(B-3) = On-site evaluations</p> | <p>Assumptions for achieving purpose: (B-4) = LDC analysts available = Present research efforts & level of knowledge remain inadequate = Demand exists for better understanding</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Project Outputs: (C-1) 1.1 Ident of Key Obstacles 1.2 Work Agreements 1.3 Technical Meetings and Consultation</p> | <p>Magnitude of Outputs: (C-2) 1.1 Continuing activity 1.2 Total 27 1.3 Total 27 man-months</p> | <p>(C-3) = Contractor's monthly and annual reports on activities and expenditures</p> | <p>Assumptions for achieving outputs: (C-4) = Studies will produce useful & relevant information = Developed information disseminated/result in change = Demand continues for these studies, for dialogue btwn investigators and decision makers</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Project Inputs: (D-1) Salaries/Fringe Benefits Technical Activities Other Direct Costs Overhead TOTAL</p> | <p>Implementation Target (Type and Quantity) (D-2)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>FY76</th> <th>TQ</th> <th>FY77</th> <th>FY78</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>\$745</td> <td>100</td> <td>100</td> <td>280</td> <td>265</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1485</td> <td>195</td> <td>195</td> <td>540</td> <td>555</td> </tr> <tr> <td>190</td> <td>25</td> <td>25</td> <td>70</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1380</td> <td>180</td> <td>180</td> <td>510</td> <td>510</td> </tr> <tr> <td>\$3800</td> <td>500</td> <td>500</td> <td>1400</td> <td>1400</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | TOTAL | FY76 | TQ | FY77 | FY78 | \$745 | 100 | 100 | 280 | 265 | 1485 | 195 | 195 | 540 | 555 | 190 | 25 | 25 | 70 | 70 | 1380 | 180 | 180 | 510 | 510 | \$3800 | 500 | 500 | 1400 | 1400 | <p>(D-3)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>FY77</th> <th>FY78</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>280</td> <td>265</td> </tr> <tr> <td>540</td> <td>555</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70</td> <td>70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>510</td> <td>510</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1400</td> <td>1400</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | FY77 | FY78 | 280 | 265 | 540 | 555 | 70 | 70 | 510 | 510 | 1400 | 1400 | <p>Assumptions for providing inputs: (D-4) = Satisfactory contractor management of project = Active, close working relations btwn AID and contractor = Qualified social scientists available/able provide aid effectively to LDCs</p> |
| TOTAL | FY76 | TQ | FY77 | FY78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$745 | 100 | 100 | 280 | 265 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1485 | 195 | 195 | 540 | 555 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | 25 | 25 | 70 | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1380 | 180 | 180 | 510 | 510 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$3800 | 500 | 500 | 1400 | 1400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY77 | FY78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | 265 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 540 | 555 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 510 | 510 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1400 | 1400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Project Evaluations

A total of three evaluations will be conducted during the three years of activities, generally to review past performances, to note project status at the time of the respective appraisals and to assess future requirements and direction.

The first two evaluations will be conducted in accordance with AID requirements. Project Appraisal Reports (PAR) will be completed one and two years, respectively, after the initiation of this project's activities. Assessments will be made as to the timely accomplishment of project outputs and new or modified actions should be noted.

The third evaluation during the third year of this project will be an extensive examination of the activities. Technical consideration will be given to (a) the use and application of research findings; (b) their impact on the formulation, implementation or improvement of population policies; (c) the value of the project as it serves US interests and AID assistance priorities in population and family planning. Findings will be enumerated and recommendations made relative to project improvement, continuation or termination; in addition, alternative activities may be called for and hence would be addressed.

ANNEX II

Women's Impact Statement. In general, women have been employed for the various roles associated with this project's past activities in terms of their professional qualifications without any apparent bias. Seven of 14 professional staff members of the ICP are women. A cursory review of 41 work agreements further discloses that, as of June 30, 1975, seven of the principal investigators are women and several others employ women in key professional capacities. It would be fully expected that the contractor for the activities described herein would equally employ technicians without bias as to sex.

Host Country Contribution. The implementation of this project will be contracted to a private organization. Although in furtherance of their activities they will cooperate with and/or provide assistance to LDCs, the project is in fact an interregional program and hence does not fall within the purview of Manual Circular Nr 1000.1 or Section 110 (a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, which requires host country contribution towards the program cost.

Abortion-Related Activities. This project is consistent with AID policies relative to abortion-related activities and with Section 114 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended. No funds made available under this project and subsequent contract will be used for the procurement or distribution of equipment provided for the purpose of including abortions as a method of family planning; for information, education, training or communication programs that seek to promote abortion as a method of family planning; for payments to women in LDCs to have abortions as a method of family planning; nor for payments to persons to perform abortions or to solicit persons to undergo abortions.

FILE

Issues, Comments and Questions on Revised PROP for "Analysis and Evaluation of Population Policies and Dynamics"

1. Project redesigned to focus on fewer countries, research designed to lead directly to program and policy improvements, reduction in contractor staff from 20 to 8, elimination of big conferences and fancy publications. Despite these changes, project goal and purpose essentially unchanged so that this PROP is not considered a new project.
2. Although called a research project, it is operational or fact finding kind of research rather than hypothesis testing research; hence it is a General Technical Services project rather than a Research project requiring RAC approval.
3. How will countries in which research agreements to be carried out be selected? Is work in 9 countries, with an average of 3 work agreements per year, to be considered a limit, or an estimate? Language, (bottom of P.2) should be clarified and allow desired degree of flexibility. Is it intended to focus on 3 countries per year and develop 3 work agreements per country in that same year?
4. Although research topics will be determined in consultations among AID, contractor and host country, what kinds of topics and in what priority does POP anticipate will be investigated?
5. Because of large size of Title X interregional program and preference in PPC, OMB and Congress for bilaterally funded activity, what is the feasibility of bilaterally funding the work agreements?
6. A Project Implementation Plan showing key events and their estimated timing would be helpful in reviewing PROP and in future evaluation.
7. If contractor other than Smithsonian is selected, will funding of only \$500,000 in FY 76 be adequate and acceptable to contractor? If Smithsonian phase-out costs are necessary, amount for new contract would be even less.
8. Stated Outputs, p. 2, 3, such as work agreements and technical meetings, could be defined as inputs. Is it possible at this stage of project development to be more specific as to outputs such as types of knowledge to be gained and policy and program recommendations to be made

TRIVIA

1. The last part of the statement of purpose, p.1, is unclear. Does it mean "other factors which may determine fertility, such as status of women, age at marriage and first contraception, etc."
2. Reference to "man-months" should be changed to "worker-months" in accord with AID's guidelines on avoiding sex biased language.
3. The Discussion section of the memo to A/AID could be sharpened and expanded a bit to convey more clearly what the project will do. Also C.P. should be referenced.
4. Presumably the researchers will be LDC personnel rather than Americans. This should be clarified in PROP.