PROJECT EVALUATION SUMMARY (PES) — PART I PD-ABA-

Report Symbol U-447

1. PROJECT TITLE

Energy Technical Services Support Program

Project 323

4473

2. PROJECT NUMBER

936-5702 CTI

3. MISSION/A.I.D/W OFFICE

S&T/EY

PD-ABA-323

4. EVALUATION NUMBER (Enter the number maintained by the reporting unit e.g., Country or AID/W Administrative Code, Fiscal Year, Serial No. beginning with No. 1 each FY)

Final Evaluation.

☒ REGULAR EVALUATION ☐ SPECIAL EVALUATION

5. KEY PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION DATES

A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY 78

B. Final Obligation Expected FY 86

C. Final Input Delivery FY ____

6. ESTIMATED PROJECT FUNDING (000)

A. Total $ 1,782

B. U.S. $ 1,782

7. PERIOD COVERED BY EVALUATION

From (month/yr.) Sept. 1978

To (month/yr.) Sept. 1988

Date of Evaluation Review December 1988

8. ACTION DECISIONS APPROVED BY MISSION OR AID/W OFFICE DIRECTOR

A. List decisions and/or unresolved issues; cite those items needing further study. (NOTE: Mission decisions which anticipate AID/W or regional office action should specify type of document, e.g., airgram, SPAR, PIC, which will present detailed request.)	B. NAME OF OFFICER RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTION	C. DATE ACTION TO BE COMPLETED
Project termination; no action decisions required.		

9. INVENTORY OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVISED PER ABOVE DECISIONS

- ☐ Project Paper
- ☐ Financial Plan
- ☐ Logical Framework
- ☐ Project Agreement
- ☐ Implementation Plan e.g., CPI Network
- ☐ PIO/T
- ☐ PIO/C
- ☐ PIO/P
- ☒ Other (Specify) None
- ☐ Other (Specify) _____

10. ALTERNATIVE DECISIONS ON FUTURE OF PROJECT

- A. ☐ Continue Project Without Change
- B. ☐ Change Project Design and/or ☐ Change Implementation Plan
- C. ☐ Discontinue Project

11. PROJECT OFFICER AND HOST COUNTRY OR OTHER RANKING PARTICIPANTS AS APPROPRIATE (Names and Titles)

N/A

12. Mission/AID/W Office Director Approval

Signature: James Sullivan

Typed Name: James Sullivan, Director, S&T/EY

Date

AID 1330-15 (3-78)

1

13. Summary

The Office of Energy's Energy Technical Service Support project was initiated in 1978 to provide a means of responding to a broad range of requests for short-term professional, scientific and technical services. Most of the assignments funded under this project were implemented via inter-agency agreements between A.I.D. and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and were performed by personnel of DOE contractors. Independent consultants were occasionally chosen by the Office of Energy to work directly under specified projects, as well. Through mid-1988, aggregate life-of-project costs were $1.8 million.

A.I.D.'s current energy program focuses on three areas: enhancement and efficiency improvement in electricity generating systems with a growing role for the private sector, energy conservation in the commercial and industrial sectors, and increasing supplies of energy for rural development. Activities being financed are designed to address the most critical energy problems now facing the LDC's: the "power crisis" and the rising costs (and increasingly limited supplies) of fuels traditionally used for domestic purposes.

The energy program has evolved over the past few years in response to several factors: (1) the changing energy environment brought about to a great extent by significantly lower fossil fuel prices; (2) a better understanding of the role that donor assistance plays in policy change and the encouragement of private sector investments in energy; (3) the application of "lessons learned" from past projects activities; and (4) efforts to capitalize on unique technical and scientific skills available in the U.S. energy industry.

The Energy Technical Service Support Project, with the cooperation from DOE, clearly played a significant role in launching the agency's energy program.

14. Evaluation Methodology Final evaluation of a completed project.

15. External Factors Not pertinent at this time. (N.P.)

16. Inputs N.P.

17. Outputs N.P.

18. Pupose N.P.

19. Goal/Subgoal N.P.

20. Beneficiaries

Diverse policy considerations guided the implementation efforts of A.I.D.'s energy program, including the Energy Technical Service Support project, onto two principal tracks: the first in non-conventional renewable energy mainly dedicated to rural development, and the second in more traditional energy forms (i.e., derived from fossil fuels) associated with urban and industrial development. This distinction continues to the present day in A.I.D.'s energy program. Beneficiaries were and are similarly diverse, those of rural development and those of industrial development.

21. Unplanned N.P.

22. Lessons Learned N.P.

23. Remarks N.P.

Title:

Impact Assessment of
The Low Cost Energy Technology Project (936-5701)
The Energy Technical Service Support Program (936-5702)
The Small Decentralized Hydropower Program (935-5715), 93 pgs.