

5880050
PO-AMU-654
150750262

EVALUATION OF THE VOLUNTARY
STERILIZATION PROGRAM

TABLES ON BANGLADESH ASSOCIATION FOR
VOLUNTARY STERILIZATION (BAVS) CLINICS

F O R

JULY - SEPTEMBER 1986 QUARTER

M. A. QUASEM AND Co.
7/16 LALMATIA, BLOCK-B
DHAKA - 7, BANGLADESH

MARCH 05, 1987

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the agreement between the Government of Bangladesh (BDG) and the USAID, a protocol was signed stipulating the reimbursement by the latter of the selected costs of the BDG Voluntary Sterilization (VS) Program. The protocol also provides for an independent audit/evaluation of the VS program. Accordingly, in March 1983, USAID, Dhaka, appointed M/s. M.A. Quasem & Co. - a Bangladeshi Chartered Accountants firm to conduct quarterly audits of the voluntary sterilization of BDG clinics. The contract expired in December, 1984. However, another agreement signed between USAID and M.A. Quasem and Co. provided scope for conducting eight quarterly evaluation of the VS program covering both BDG and Non-Government Organisation (NGO) clinics beginning from January-March 1985 quarter. Under the given objectives and approved methodology, the present report, the seventh of its kind, is the evaluation for the July-September 1986 quarter of the VS program of both BDG and NGO done through a nationally representative sample survey. The report has already been submitted to the USAID, Dhaka.

The field survey of the seventh quarterly evaluation was carried out in September and October 1986. It was carried out in 50 selected upazilas of the country of which 12 upazilas were selected for evaluation of NGO clinics and the rest 38 upazilas were selected for BDG clinics only. Of the 12 upazilas where NGO clinics were selected for evaluation, BAVS (Bangladesh Association for Voluntary Sterilization) clinics operated in 11 upazilas. The selected BAVS clinics are Nilphamari Sadar, Dinajpur Sadar, Comilla Sadar, Rangpur Sadar, Khulna Sadar, Jessore Sadar, Pirojpur Sadar, Bhola Sadar, Barisal Sadar, Tangail Sodar and Faridpur Sadar.

From those selected upazilas, 265 BAVS clients were selected for field survey. Data were collected for those clients from both the clinic records and from the clients directly through personal interview.

The detailed methodology and the objectives of the evaluation are contained in the report of the evaluation of the VS program for July-September 1986 quarter and hence are not repeated here.

According to the contract, this report, containing selected tables, has been prepared separately on the findings of BAVS clinics only as 'parallel tables' of the report of the seventh quarter of the evaluation of the VS program and are shown in the annexure.

- 1 -

A N N E X U R E

Tables based only on the BAVS clinics

Table 1: Percentage distribution of the SELECTED CLIENTS by
results of clients survey

Results of clients' survey	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
A. INTERVIEWED	<u>79.0</u>	<u>74.5</u>	<u>76.6</u>
Sterilized within the reference quarter in the recorded clinic	79.0	74.5	76.6
Sterilized in the recorded clinic but before the reference quarter	-	-	-
Sterilized within the reference quarter in other than the recor- ded clinic	-	-	-
Sterilized before the reference quar- ter in other than the recorded clinic	-	-	-
Sterilized twice (1st operation before the quarter in other than the recorded clinic and 2nd operation within the quarter in the recorded clinic)	-	-	-
Never sterilized	-	-	-
B. NOT INTERVIEWED	<u>18.6</u>	<u>19.8</u>	<u>19.3</u>
Clients not available	6.5	11.3	9.1
Client has permanently left the recorded address	4.8	7.8	6.4
Client was only temporarily visi- ting the recorded address	7.3	0.7	3.8
Client died before the reference quarter	-	-	-
Client died within the reference quarter	-	-	-
C. ADDRESS NOT LOCATED	<u>2.4</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>4.1</u>
Address does not exist/not found	1.6	5.7	3.7
Not attempted	0.8	-	0.4
Incomplete address	-	-	-
T o t a l	100.0	100.0	100.0
Weighted N	124	141	265

Estimated false * cases for tubectomy : 1.6 percent

Estimated false * cases for vasc'omy : 5.7 percent

* False cases means those clients who fall under the category, 'sterilized in the recorded clinic but before the reference quarter, 'sterilized within the reference quarter in other than the recorded clinic', 'sterilized before the reference quarter in other than the recorded clinic', 'sterilized twice', 'never sterilized', 'client died before the reference quarter', and 'address does not exit/not found'.

Table 2: Percentage distribution of all the SELECTED CLIENTS by type and status of informed consent forms

Status of informed consent forms	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
<u>USAID-approved</u>			
Signed by clients	100.0	98.6	99.3
Not signed by clients	-	-	-
<u>Not USAID-approved</u>			
Signed by clients	-	1.4	0.7
Not signed by clients	-	-	-
<u>No informed consent form</u>	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	124	141	265

Table 3: Percentage distribution of the ACTUALLY STERILIZED clients by types of informed consent forms and status of signing

Types of consent forms and status of signing	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
<u>USAID-approved</u>			
Signed by clients	100.0	99.1	99.5
Not signed by clients	-	-	-
<u>Not USAID-approved</u>			
Signed by clients	-	0.9	0.5
Not signed by clients	-	-	-
<u>No informed consent form</u>	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 4: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by status of informed consent forms and status of receipt of surgical apparel

Status of informed consent forms	Status of receipt of surgical apparel	Categories of clients		
		Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
USAID-approved informed consent forms signed by clients	Received	100.0	97.1	98.5
	Did not receive	-	1.9	1.0
Sub-total		100.0	99.0	99.5
Informed consent form not USAID-approved/informed consent form USAID-approved but not signed by clients/ no consent form	Received	-	1.0	0.5
	Did not receive	-	-	-
Sub-total		-	1.0	0.5
All	Received	100.0	98.1	99.0
	Did not receive	-	1.9	1.0
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0
N		98	105	203

Table 5: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized tubectomy clients by amount reportedly received

Amount reportedly received in Taka	All clients	Status of facilities received	
		Received any facility	Received no facility
175.00	83.7	NA	NA
162.00	6.1	6.1	-
160.00	4.1	4.1	-
155.00	1.0	1.0	-
150.00	4.1	4.1	-
130.00	1.0	1.0	-
Total N = 98	100.0	16.3	-

Reported average amount: Tk.171.91

Estimated average amount considering the 'received any facility' category received the approved amount: Tk.175.00

Note: NA in this table stands for not applicable cases

Table 6: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized vasectomy clients by amount reportedly received

Amount reportedly received in Taka	All clients	Status of facilities received	
		Received any facility	Received no facility
175.00	93.3	NA	NA
170.00	2.9	1.9	1.0
140.00	1.0	1.0	-
125.00	1.0	-	1.0
110.00	0.9	-	0.9
No payment	0.9	-	0.9
Total N = 105	100.0	2.9	3.9

Reported average amount: Tk.171.16

Estimated average amount considering the 'received any facility' category received the approved amount: Tk.172.19

Note: NA in this table stands for not applicable cases.

Table 7: Percentage distribution of the ACTUALLY
STERILIZED clients by status of promise
for unapproved items

Status of promise for unapproved items	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Promised for unapproved items	-	-	-
Not promised for unapproved items	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 8: Percentage distribution of the actually
sterilized clients by whether they knew
before sterilization that they could not
have any child after accepting sterilization

Status of knowledge	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Knew	100.0	100.0	100.0
Did not know	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 9: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by the length of time they had seriously thought about having the sterilization method

P e r i o d	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
1 day to 7 days	3.1	32.4	18.2
8 days to 15 days	2.0	7.6	4.9
16 days to 29 days	-	-	-
1 month to 2 months	19.4	19.0	19.2
More than 2 months to 4 months	7.1	8.6	7.9
More than 4 months to 6 months	15.3	4.8	9.9
More than 6 months to 12 months	27.6	14.3	20.7
More than 1 year	25.5	13.3	19.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 10: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by categories whether they had talked to anyone who had already had a sterilization before their operation

Whether talked to anyone or not	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Talked	74.5	57.1	65.5
Did not talk	25.5	42.9	34.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 11: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by the length of time they had seriously thought about having the sterilization method and whether they had talked to anyone who had already had a sterilization before their operation

Period of thinking before sterilization	Type of operation					
	Tubectomy			Vasectomy		
	Talked	Did not talk	Total	Talked	Did not talk	Total
Less than 30 days	2.0	3.0	5.0	17.1	22.9	40.0
1 month to 6 months	35.7	6.1	41.8	22.9	9.5	32.4
More than 6 months to 12 months	19.4	8.2	27.6	8.6	5.7	14.3
More than 1 year	17.5	8.1	25.6	8.5	4.8	13.3
Total	74.6	25.4	100.0	57.1	42.9	100.0
N			98			105

Table 12: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by categories whether they had suggested anyone for sterilization after accepting sterilization method or whether they would suggest to anyone in the future

Suggestion by clients	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Gave suggestion	62.3	36.2	48.8
Would suggest in future	34.7	61.9	48.7
Would not suggest in future	3.0	1.9	2.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 13: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized tubectomy clients by recorded and reported helpers

Recorded helper	Reported helper									All
	BDG fieldworker	BAVS salaried fieldworker	Other NGO fieldworker	BAVS registered agent	Registered Dai	Unspecified category ¹	Went alone	Does not know		
BDG fieldworker	6.1	-	3.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	10.2
BAVS salaried fieldworker	-	24.5	3.1	7.1	-	1.0	-	9.2	-	44.9
Other NGO fieldworker	-	-	21.4	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	23.4
BAVS registered agent	-	-	-	7.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	8.2
Registered Dai	1.0	-	-	-	12.3	-	-	-	-	13.3
Total	7.1	24.5	27.6	15.3	12.3	2.0	-	11.2	-	100.0
N = 98										

¹The clients could not specify the categories of their helpers whether they were FP workers or registered agents.

Table 14: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized vasectomy clients by recorded and reported helpers

Recorded helper	Reported helper									All
	BDG fieldworker	BAVS salaried fieldworker	Other NGO fieldworker	BAVS registered agent	Registered Dai	Unspecified category ¹	Went alone	Does not know		
BDG fieldworker	3.8	-	-	3.8	-	-	-	0.9		8.5
BAVS salaried fieldworker	-	41.0	-	5.7	-	1.0	2.9	15.2		65.8
Other NGO fieldworker	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	2.9		3.9
BAVS registered agent	-	0.9	-	12.4	1.9	0.9	1.0	1.9		19.0
Registered Dai	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	0.9	-		2.8
Total	3.8	41.9	1.0	21.9	3.8	1.9	4.8	20.9		100.0
n=105										

¹The clients could not specify the categories of their helpers whether they were FP workers or registered agents

Table 15: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized tubectomy clients by reported age of client and husband

Age group of clients (in years)	Age group of husband (in years)								Total
	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	80-84	
15 - 19	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0
20 - 24	1.0	11.2	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	14.2
25 - 29	-	6.1	18.4	6.1	2.1	-	-	-	32.7
30 - 34	-	1.0	12.3	13.3	4.1	1.0	1.0	-	32.7
35 - 39	-	-	1.0	6.1	7.2	1.0	-	1.0	16.3
40 - 44	-	-	-	-	2.1	1.0	-	-	3.1
Total N= 98	1.0	19.3	32.7	26.5	15.5	3.0	1.0	1.0	100.0

Mean age of tubectomy client: 30.4 years

Mean age of the husband : 40.4 years

Table 16 : Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized vasectomy clients by reported age of client and wife

Age group of clients (in years)	Age group of wife (in years)								Total
	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50+	
25 - 29	2.9	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.7
30 - 34	-	3.8	12.4	-	-	-	-	-	16.2
35 - 39	-	-	6.7	4.8	-	-	-	-	11.5
40 - 44	-	-	2.9	10.5	6.7	-	-	-	20.1
45 - 49	-	-	1.0	3.8	8.6	0.9	-	-	14.3
50 - 54	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.8	-	0.9	-	7.7
55 - 59	-	-	-	1.9	1.0	3.8	3.8	-	10.5
60 - 64	-	-	-	-	2.9	0.9	1.9	0.9	6.6
65 - 69	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	-	0.9	1.8
70 - 74	-	-	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	0.9
75 - 79	-	-	-	-	0.9	-	0.9	0.9	2.7
Total N = 105	2.9	9.6	24.0	22.0	24.8	6.5	7.5	2.7	100.0

Mean age of vasectomy client: 45.3 years
Mean age of the wife : 33.6 years

Table 17.: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by reported number of living children

Reported number of living children	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
1	-	2.9	1.5
2	20.4	23.8	22.2
3	30.6	15.2	22.7
4	25.5	21.9	23.7
5	10.2	14.3	12.3
6	8.2	9.5	8.9
7	3.1	8.6	5.9
8	1.0	1.0	1.0
9	-	1.9	0.9
10	1.0	0.9	0.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203
Mean number of living children	3.7	4.1	3.9

Table 18: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by employment status of women

Employment status of wife/client	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Employed with cash earning	10.2	10.5	10.3
Employed without cash earning	-	-	-
Not employed	89.8	89.5	89.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 19: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by occupation of husband/wife

Occupation of husband/wife	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Agriculture	8.2	13.3	10.8
Day labour	53.0	61.0	57.1
Business	23.5	15.2	19.2
Service	14.3	5.7	9.9
Unemployed	-	3.8	2.0
Others	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 20: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by their educational level

Educational level	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
No schooling	64.3	61.9	63.0
No class passed	-	1.9	1.0
Class <u>I</u> - <u>IV</u>	16.3	21.9	19.2
Class <u>V</u>	9.2	5.7	7.4
Class <u>VI</u> - <u>IX</u>	8.2	7.6	7.9
SSC and HSC	2.0	1.0	1.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 21: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by religion

Religion	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Muslim	76.5	83.8	80.3
Hindu	22.5	16.2	19.2
Christian	1.0	-	0.5
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 22: Percentage distribution of the actually sterilized clients by ownership of land

Status of land ownership	Categories of clients		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Owned land	30.6	24.8	29.6
Did not own land	69.4	75.2	72.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	98	105	203

Table 23 : Percentage distribution of the service providers/helpers by status of interview

Interview status	Categories of service providers/ helpers		
	Physican	Clinic staff	Helpers
Interviewed	92.9	85.2	68.6
Not interviewed	7.1	14.8	31.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	14	27	51

Table 24 : Percentage distribution of the clients whose helpers were interviewed by status of receipt of helpers fee

Status of receipt of helper fee reported by helpers	Number of clients whose helpers were interviewed		
	Tubectomy	Vasectomy	All
Received	100.0	100.0	100.0
Did not receive	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Weighted N	22	19	41

Table 25: Estimated proportions of actually sterilized clients by selected upazilas

District/upazila	Selected sample size			Proportion of actually sterilized cases		
	Tub.	Vas.	All	Tub.	Vas.	All
<u>Dinajpur</u>						
Sadar	3	5	8	1.00	0.80	0.88
<u>Nilphamari</u>						
Sadar	10	30	40	1.00	0.97	0.98
<u>Rangpur</u>						
Sadar	4	3	7	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Jessore</u>						
Sadar	9	17	26	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Khulna</u>						
Sadar	2	7	9	1.00	0.57	0.67
<u>Pirojpur</u>						
Sadar	18	22	40	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Bhola</u>						
Sadar	14	26	40	1.00	0.96	0.98
<u>Barisal</u>						
Sadar	23	6	29	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Tangail</u>						
Sadar	19	13	32	0.89	0.92	0.91
<u>Faridpur</u>						
Sadar	10	10	20	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Comilla</u>						
Sadar	12	2	14	1.00	0.50	0.93
Total	124	141	265	0.98	0.94	0.96