

PD-FAQ-736

10/14/71

Attachment A to M.C. 1026.1 (TL 9:168)

PDF/ET

AID 1020-25 (10-70)

1001

138/110

REPORT U-446

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 510-11-110-079.2	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: 5/31/69 TO 1/31/71	3. COUNTRY Argentina	4. PAR SERIAL NO.
------------------------------------	--	-------------------------	-------------------

5. PROJECT TITLE

Balcarce Agricultural College (BAC)

NOT ON ML

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 65 Ends FY 71	7. DATE LATEST PROP Sept. 6, 1968	8. DATE LATEST PIP	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 5/31/69
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------	------------------------------

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 924,000	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 50,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 974,000
------------------	--	---	--

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME Michigan State University	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. Contract AID/1a-245
--------------------------------------	--

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
			None.	

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES

REVISED OR NEW:

PRO: PIP PRO AG PIO/T PIO/C PIO/D

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW

3-31-71

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE

FAO: John M. Halpin:

JMH

3/30/71

MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE

RDO: Allen D. Gordon:

A. D. Gordon

4/1/71

AID 1020-251(10-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 2 PAR	510-11-110-079.2	TO 5/31/69 - 1/31/71	Argentina	

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	D. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM			HIGH
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. Michigan State University					X							X	
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

The quality of personnel furnished under contract and timeliness of their assignments - the achievement of overall objectives.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING				X									
-------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

Type of candidates selected - their value to meet future project goals after termination of contract - timing of training in relation to program objectives.

5. COMMODITIES		X								X			
----------------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

Equipment purchased under contract was poorly organized by the University - delivery was delayed and poorly controlled - many small shipments were made rather than one consolidated consignment.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X								X
	b. OTHER												

Comment on key factors determining rating

Personnel assigned to this project were satisfactory and extremely important to achieving project objectives. In certain areas key personnel were not available at time of arrival of U.S. specialist.

7. OTHER DONORS													
-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-28(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 510-11-110-079.2	PAR FOR PERIOD: 5/31/69 - 1/31/71	COUNTRY Argentina	PAR SERIAL NO.
----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------	----------------

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					END OF PROJECT
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY		FY ____	FY ____	
			TO DATE	TO END			
Participants sent to U.S. for training	PLANNED	7	8	8			8
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT:					
1. Improve the organization at BAC		The contractor was able to bring about a reorganization of the Experiment Station and Balcarce Agricultural College (BAC) so major departments were established.					
2. Curriculum Development		COMMENT: All areas of teaching were influenced by contractor but special mention should be made of increased efficiency in teaching Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Engineering, Biological Sciences and Soils.					
3. Coordination of teaching, extension and research		COMMENT: Greater coordination between three areas could be observed at the conclusion of the project.					

AID 1020-25 (110-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 4 PAR	510-11-110-079.2	5/31/69 - 1/31/71	Argentina	

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

To develop a "land-grant" college which combines research, extension and teaching.

<p>B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.</p>	<p>2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.</p>
<p>Institution will be firmly established and self running - with locally recruited staff in fields described in III.B.2.</p>	<p>Since termination of contract, BAC has continued to function on its own, reasonably well staffed in basic courses, plant production and animal production, but with improvements still pending in agricultural engineering.</p>

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

Improve quality of training in agricultural sciences available in Argentina.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Yes. Will serve as model as one of key institutions in its field, despite minimal number of graduates compared to national requirements. Several of the national universities are adopting innovations that were introduced at BAC