

PD-1111-824

936-4013/

DA 20746

19

Worksheet

AID 1380-1X (1-78)	DEPARTMENT OF STATE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	1. Cooperating Country DS Bureau	Page 1 of 4 Pages
		2. PIO/T No. 936-4013 3606635	3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original or Amendment No. _____
		4. Project/Activity No. and Title (936-4013.11) Peanut Collaborative Research - CRSP/PL (Planning)	
		5. Appropriation Symbol 72-1101021.3	

DISTRIBUTION	6. Allotment Symbol and Charge 043-36-099-00-20-01
	7. Obligation Status <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative Reservation <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing Document
8. Project Assistance Completion Date (Mo., Day, Yr.) 12-31-81	9. Authorized Agent AID/W
10. This PIO/T is in full conformance with PRO/AG Date N/A	

11a. Type of Action and Governing AID Handbook <input type="checkbox"/> AID Contract (HB 14) <input type="checkbox"/> PASA/RSSA (HB 12) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AID Grant (HB 13) <input type="checkbox"/> Other	11b. Contract/Grant/PASA/RSSA Reference Number (if this is an Amendment) To be determined
---	---

12. Estimated Financing (A detailed budget in support of column (2) is attached as attachment no.)

Maximum AID Financing	A. Dollars	(1) Previous Total	(2) Increase	(3) Decrease	(4) Total to Date
		B. U.S.-Owned Local Currency	-	\$367,000	-

13. Mission References

14a. Instructions to Authorized Agent This PIO/T authorizes SER/CM/COD /AN to initiate a grant to the University of Georgia(UG) for the purpose of planning a Collaborative Research Support Program (CRSP) in peanut research according to the Budget (Attachment 1) and the Statement of Work (Attachment 2) outlined therein. The grant proposal from UG (dated August 31, 1979 revised March 1, 1980) is also included herein (Attachment 3). In any situation where the UG proposal differs from the Statement of Work (Attachment 2), the Statement of Work is controlling.

PIO/T authorizes a grant of \$367,000 for an 18 month period.

Article # Voucher Identification: In each instance of voucher (SF-1034), submission made by the contractor for payment hereunder the following. (Cont'd)

14b. Address of Voucher Paying Office
Office of Financial Management, Agency for International Development,
Washington, D.C. 20523

15. Clearances—Include typed name, office symbol, telephone number and date for all clearances.

A. The project officer certifies that the specifications in the statement of work are technically adequate DS/AGR/FCP: RJ Jackson 7/15/80 DS/AGR/FCP: JMYone 7/19/80	Phone No. 235-2318	B. The statement of work lies within the purview of the initiating and approved agency programs DS/AGR:RSO
C. DS/AGR:SEngberg 7/14/80	Date Apr 9, 1980	D. Funds for the work are available
E. DS/PO:ASilver AS Date 6/5/80	POSTED SER/PM/CSD	

16. For the cooperating country: The terms and conditions set forth herein are hereby agreed to

Signature _____ Date _____

17. For the Agency for International Development

Signature **Kenneth A. Melow** Date **6/6/80**

DS/PO OFFICIAL FILE

Worksheet

AID 1350-1X (1-70)	1. Cooperating Country DS Bureau	2. PIO/T No. 931-4013	Page 2 of 4 Pages
PIO/T	4. Project/Activity No. and Title (931-4013.11) Peanut Collaborative Research - CRSP/PL (Planning)		

SCOPE OF WORK

18. THE SCOPE OF TECHNICAL SERVICES REQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT ARE DESCRIBED IN ATTACHMENT NUMBER 2 HERETO ENTITLED "STATEMENT OF WORK".

19. SPECIAL PROVISIONS

- N/A. LANGUAGE REQUIREMENTS (SPECIFY) _____
(IF MARKED, TESTING MUST BE ACCOMPLISHED BY AID TO ASSURE DESIRED LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY)
- B/A. ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION WILL WILL NOT BE REQUIRED BY TECHNICIAN(S).
- C. DUTY POST(S) AND DURATION OF TECHNICIANS' SERVICES AT POST(S) (MONTHS) Experiment, GA - life of project
- D. DEPENDENTS WILL WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO ACCOMPANY TECHNICIAN.

N/A E. WAIVER(S) HAVE BEEN APPROVED TO ALLOW THE PURCHASE OF THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) (COPY OF APPROVED WAIVER IS ATTACHED)

N/A F. COOPERATING COUNTRY ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PROJECT (APPLICABLE TO AID/W PROJECTS ONLY)
 HAS BEEN OBTAINED HAS NOT BEEN OBTAINED
 IS NOT APPLICABLE TO SERVICES REQUIRED BY PIO/T

G. OTHER (SPECIFY) Travel Clearances: Prior to travel by personnel, the grantee will acquire clearances from AID mission/representatives in the developing countries and the AID regional bureaus concerned. All international travel under the grant must be approved by the AID project manager.

Trip Reports - After completion of each overseas TDY assignment, a trip report will be prepared giving itinerary, people contacted, discussions, accomplishments and suggestion resulting therefrom. Ten copies of the report should be sent by the grantee to DS/AGR/FCP.

20. BACKGROUND INFORMATION (ADDITIONAL INFORMATION USEFUL TO AUTHORIZED AGENT)

- PAF approving subject project.
- UG Proposal dated March 1, 1980.

21. SUMMARY OF ATTACHMENTS ACCOMPANY THE PIO/T (INDICATE ATTACHMENT NUMBER IN BLANK)

- 1 DETAILED BUDGET IN SUPPORT OF INCREASED FUNDING (BLOCK 12)
- N/A _____ EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT (BLOCK 14)
- N/A _____ JUSTIFICATION FOR NON-COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT (BLOCK 14)
- 2 STATEMENT OF WORK (BLOCK 18)
- N/A _____ WAIVER(S) (BLOCK 19) (SPECIFY NUMBER)
- 3 UG Proposal dated March 1, 1980.

AID 1350-1X (1-78)	1. Cooperating Country DS Bureau	2. PIO/T No. 931-4013-	Page 3 of 4 Pages
	4. Project/Activity No. and Title (931-4013.11) Peanut Collaborative Research - GRSP/PL (Planning)		

PIO/T

22. Relationship of Contractor or Participating Agency to Cooperating Country and to AID

A. Relationships and Responsibilities

The AID Administrator through DS/AGR to University of Georgia

B. ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ Liaison Official

Dr. Curtis R. Jackson
Associate Director
Georgia Station Experiment, GA 30212

C. AID Liaison Officials

Dr. Robert I. Jackson, Mr. Ray Solem
DS/AGR/FCP DS/AGR

LOGISTIC SUPPORT

23. Provisions for Logistic Support

A. Specific Items (Insert "X" in applicable column at right. If entry needs qualification, insert asterisk and explain below in C. "Comments")	IN KIND SUPPLIED BY		FROM LOCAL CURRENCY SUPPLIED BY		TO BE PROVIDED OR ARRANGED BY SUPPLIER
	AID	COOPERATING COUNTRY	AID	COOPERATING COUNTRY	
(1) Office Space					
(2) Office Equipment					
(3) Housing and Utilities					
(4) Furniture					
(5) Household Equipment (Stoves, Refrig., etc.)					
(6) Transportation in Cooperating Country					
(7) Transportation To and From Country					
(8) Interpreter Services/Secretarial					
(9) Medical Facilities					
(10) Vehicles (official)					
(11) Travel Arrangements/Tickets					
(12)					
(13)					
(14)					
(15)					

N/A

(OTHER SPECIFY)

B. Additional Facilities Available From Other Sources

N/A

APO/FPO

PX

COMMISSARY

OTHER (Specify, e.g., duty free entry, tax exemption)

BUDGET FOR PEANUT COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH PLANNING

PROJECT NUMBER: 931-4013.11

A. PERSONAL SERVICES

1. Project Director (18 months at 1/2 time)	\$38,250
2. Associate Project Director (18 months at full time)	64,757
3. Secretary (18 months full time)	<u>18,883</u>

SUBTOTAL \$121,890

B. FRINGE BENEFITS

1. Faculty - 22% of \$103,007	22,662
2. Secretary - 25.6% of \$18,883	<u>4,834</u>

SUBTOTAL \$27,496

C. OVERHEAD

46.6% of \$121,890

SUBTOTAL 56,801

D. TRAVEL

1. International	20,880
2. Domestic	<u>7,680</u>

SUBTOTAL \$28,560

E. OPERATING SUPPLIES AND SERVICES

1. Assistant Project Director
(time & travel subcontract)

SUBTOTAL \$40,000

F. 2. Honoraria for Consultants

a. Foreign travel	59,290
b. Domestic travel	<u>15,450</u>

SUBTOTAL \$74,740

3. Communications	\$ 9,500
4. Publication costs	500
5. Computer costs	1,600
6. Domestic and foreign regional conferences and miscellaneous costs	<u>6,000</u>

SUBTOTAL \$17,600

TOTAL \$367,087

PROJECT: Peanut Collaborative Research Planning/CRSP-PL

ATTACHMENT 2
PIO/T

PROJECT NUMBER: 931-4013.11

SCOPE OF WORK
FOR PLANNING

A COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM (CRSP)
ON THE
SOCIOECONOMICS AND BIOLOGY OF PEANUTS
PRODUCTION AND USE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

A. Purpose of Grant: The objective of this CRSP is to plan the detailed program and organizational foundation upon which the Peanut CRSP can be constructed. Research shall be directed toward solving constraints both technical and socioeconomic to increased production and utilization of peanuts in developing countries where peanuts are an important economic food crop of rural and urban poor. Adaptive research and field testing will be emphasized with socioeconomic studies, technical service, and training on integral part of the program.

B. Implementation: In planning the Peanut CRSP, the grantee will take the following steps:

- I. Appoint an Advisory/Steering Committee with concurrence of JRC. If appropriate, a representative from ICRISAT should be a member. One or two members from the JRC And The A.I.D. Project Manager should serve as ex-officio members. This committee will advise on organization and procedures of the planning process.
- II. Develop a state-of-the-art (SOTA) report on peanut production and utilization including:
 - a. An inventory of U. S. and LDC institutions, including but not confined to universities, government ministries, and private sector entities, with a manifest interest in research and technical capability in this crop;
 - b. An inventory of research being conducted in the United States and, to the fullest extent possible, the rest of the world; and
 - c. A tabulation of peanut production by countries throughout the world, where peanuts comprise a reasonably important component of agriculture production, based upon the most recent five-year period where figures are available.

The A.I.D. Guidelines on a methodology for preparing a state-of-the-art analysis, documents, and handbooks for world food problems should be considered in determining if the SOTA should be presented in a handbook or monograph form.

- III. Identify the researchable problems limiting production and utilization of peanuts in developing countries and design a

general research program for acquiring new information necessary for controlling or removing those factors. Major emphasis should be placed on the problems of the small farmer and ways to improve his capability in adapting the required technology. The small farmers limited risk capital and risk aversion characteristics should be taken into consideration when developing technology for his use. The focus at all times will be on the LDC rather than developed country problems and constraints.

- a. The grantee will contact and invite suggestions from potential collaborators in developing country universities, ministries and the private sector, USAIDs, regional bureaus, national institutions, International Agricultural Research Centers (IARCs), Universities designated as "eligible: by BIFAD and "noneligible" U. S. universities known who have a manifest interest in peanut research, the USDA Federal Research (FR), Cooperative Research (CR), and Economic Research Groups, research foundations, private seed organizations with ongoing programs on peanuts having international implications, and other private organizations as well as individuals who have demonstrated research interests in peanut production to:
 1. Develop an inventory of research institutions in the U.S. and developing countries with a manifest interest and research capability on this crop;
 2. Determine the principle constraints both technical and cultural of small farmers in production, marketing, transportation, storage, processing, nutritional value, and utilization of this crop in the developing countries as identified through the evaluation of the state-of-the-art report interviews with both LDC and DC collaborators and surveys by constraint identification teams. Such teams should include LDC and mid-income country scientists where possible.
 3. Summarize the most urgent research needs for peanuts in LDCs and include an estimate of costs and potential benefits expected to be derived from the various individual research items comprising the list.
 4. Assign priorities to the urgent research needs in consultation with LDCs, IARCs, JRC, and A.S.D. Develop a generalized CRSP plan.
- b. For travel to LDC sites, the grantee will coordinate through the Chiefs of the Agricultural Divisions of the regional

3.

bureaus, technical resources, and Development Resources Office. The regional bureaus and USAIDs will assist in LDC contact identification. In all cases, the grantees will submit to the A.I.D. project manager 45 days in advance all travel itineraries for team visits to LDC sites for mission and country clearance.

IV. Participation in the CRSP.

- a. With the distribution of the state-of-the art report and the list of prioritized, most urgent research needs to all U.S. institutions having indicated manifest interest in participation, the recipient institutions will be expected to submit proposals in uniform format provided by A.I.D. to correspond to research priorities identified and within the constraints of an overall authorized CRSP budget.
- b. The grantee will then evaluate the submitted proposals and the qualifications of potential participants in the CRSP. In this process a peer review panel of some 6-10 individuals representing research fields closely related to the problem areas previously identified and institutions not directly involved in the planning process will be utilized. It is expected that the panel will include developing country representation and/or representation from at least one international center. The grantee will visit prospective participant institutions to assist in evaluation of proposals and capabilities.
- c. The criteria to be utilized by the peer review panel in evaluating the proposals is as follows:
 1. Relevance to priorities considered to be an essential component of the CRSP which addresses production and utilization constraints of small farmers in LDCs.
 2. Estimates of the sponsoring institutions and principal investigators demonstrated (past) and/or current capabilities for successful implementation of the proposed research or research training.
 3. Evidence that funding of the proposed research or research training will lead to effective linkages between the U. S. institution(s) and scientist(s) and counterparts in the LDCs.
- d. The criteria to be utilized by the planning entity in evaluating qualifications of potential participants in the CRSP are as follows:

4.

1. The participant has one or more proposals which have been evaluated by the peer review panel and judged acceptable and relevant to the generalized CRSP plan.
 2. Commitment of the institution to overall objectives of LDC small farmer agricultural enterprises.
 3. Previous, and/or present, involvement in peanut research.
 4. Capability and commitment of the institution to make available the support services necessary for the CRSP success, e.g., language, training, etc.
- e. The Grantee will prepare a draft peanut CRSP within ten months of the initial grant date. This draft CRSP will serve as an interim report to JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D.
 - f. The state-of-the-art report, the list of prioritized constraints on problems and research needs, and the draft CRSP plan will be used as discussion papers in a workshop of LDC, IARC, A.I.D., and JRC personnel to discuss and modify as appropriate the draft CRSP plan.
 - g. The Grantee will notify those institutions whose proposals are accepted and those whose were unsuccessful following approval by JRC, BIFAD, and A.I.D.
 - h. The Grantee will prepare a final peanut CRSP Proposal and submit it to JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D. for approval within five-months after notice of A.I.D. concurrence with the draft proposal. The program proposal will be presented at three levels of funding, low, medium, and high, within limits set by A.I.D. which will reflect priority of various program elements.
 - i. The Grantee will be available for quarterly meetings to discuss progress with JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D.
- V. Propose an Administrative Entity (AE) to Manage the Peanut CRSP.
- a. Define the functions and operational procedures of the AE including staff, policy body, technical control body, external evaluation mechanism, financial, and reporting arrangements.

- b. Make 2-3 recommendations of an AE or for creating a suitable AE acceptable to all participating institutions, i.e., JRC/BIFAD/A.I.D. The process for developing a recommendation is described in the JRC Guidelines. The criteria to be used in evaluating qualifications of institutions recommended for management of the CRSP will be developed jointly by AID/BIFAD staff and the JRC. They should make this recommendation at/or before the time of submitting the final peanut CRSP proposal to JRC/BIFAD/AID.

VI. Close Out the Planning Process.

- a. Provide JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D. and the AE each with three copies of a Final Activity Report summarizing the entire planning processing, pointing out lessons learned, especially helpful actions or techniques and pitfalls to be avoided.
 1. The following shall be attached as appendixes to the Final Activity Report:
 - (a) Final peanut CRSP proposal;
 - (b) Copies of preliminary and detailed research project proposals submitted by potential participants;
 - (c) Minutes of all meetings leading to definitive action.
- b. In addition to distribution of reports, mentioned above three copies of all reports listed as a product of the planning process shall be submitted directly to the Documentation Coordinator, DS/DUI, Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C. 20523. Such reports shall include a title page showing the title of the report, the project title as set forth in the grant agreement and the grant number. One copy of each report shall be clearly typed or printed on white paper so that it may be photographed to produce a microfilm master. Technical reports shall be accompanied by a 250 word abstract.
- c. A final financial statement and record of the disposition of all property acquired by the grantees in the course of planning the peanut CRSP shall be furnished to A.I.D. within 90 days of submission of the final activity report.

A

Proposal by the
University of Georgia Research Foundation, Inc.

For A

Peanut (Groundnut) CRSP Planning Grant

Entitled

Peanut Research Program Planning

(Title XII)

College of Agriculture Experiment Stations

Georgia Station, Experiment, GA 30212

August 31, 1979

(Revised March 1, 1980)

11

Proposal to: United States Agency for International Development

Title: Peanut Research Program Planning (Title XII)

Proposed Amount: \$367,087

Effective Date: May 1, 1980
(or when approved)

Duration: 18 Months

Project Director

Associate Project Director

Name: Curtis R. Jackson
Title: Associate Director
Soc. Sec. No. 266-32-4298
Telephone No. 404/228-7263

David G. Cummins
Professor, Agronomy
414-54-1054
404/228-7279

Make Award to: UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC.
IRS Identification No. 58-1353149 Cong. Dist. No. 10

Curtis R. Jackson
Project Director

J. E. Goulet
Vice President for Services

William O. Burke
Dir., Agr. Exp. Stations

William O. Burke
Institutional Admin. Official
William O. Burke
Executive Vice President,
Univ. of Georgia Res. Fdn. Inc.
Phone: 404/542-3360
To receive Notification of Award
and copies of correspondence

Carl E. Shuster
Director, International Devl.

August 28, 1979
Date

Originally submitted 8/31/79

Revised 3/1/80

For Negotiations Contact:
Curtis R. Jackson
Georgia Station
Experiment, GA 30212
Phone: 404/228-7263

Curtis R. Jackson 3/1/80
Curtis R. Jackson

1. TITLE: Peanut Research Program Planning (Title XII)
2. BACKGROUND: Many U. S. Universities engaged in peanut research and extension shared their expertise and interest in 1977 to develop a document which addressed peanut research needs in developing countries as perceived by U. S. researchers. This document was sent to BIFAD as expression of interest and concern that peanut research was vital to the agricultural development of many countries.

A Peanut Title XII CRSP organizational meeting was held in Atlanta, Georgia on June 28, 1979 as an initiative of BIFAD/JRC and A.I.D. The University of Georgia was nominated as one potential recipient of a planning grant for the Peanut CRSP. On August 14, 1979 the University of Georgia was invited to submit a Peanut CRSP Planning Proposal.

3. PURPOSE: The purpose of this research planning grant is to develop and plan the detailed program and organizational foundation upon which a Peanut Cooperative Research Support Program (CRSP) can be constructed; to suggest the principal features of the CRSP; and to propose an Administrative Entity to manage the Peanut CRSP. Research proposed under the CRSP will be directed toward solving constraints to increased production and utilization of peanuts in countries where peanuts are an important economic food crop of urban and rural poor.
4. IMPLEMENTATION: The Grantee will take the following steps and accomplish the following tasks:
 - A. Appoint a Peanut Research Planning Advisory Committee, with the concurrence of the Joint Research Committee (JRC), to advise the Grantee on organization and procedures of the planning process.
 - B. Develop a State-of-the-Art (SOTA) report on peanut production and utilization including:
 1. An inventory of United States and developing country institutions with manifest interest in, and research capability on peanuts.
 2. An inventory of research being conducted in the United States and in the rest of the world.
 3. A tabulation of peanut production by countries throughout the world, where peanuts comprise a reasonably important component of agriculture production, based on the most recent five-year period for which data are available.
 - C. Identify the problems and research needs limiting production and utilization of groundnuts in developing countries and design a general research program for acquiring new information necessary for controlling or removing these constraints and limitations. To help accomplish this the Grantee will:
 1. With the advice of the Advisory Committee, (see 4.A.), contact and invite suggestions from potential collaborators in developing countries, from all appropriate levels and units of U.S.A.I.D., from International Agricultural Research Center ((IARC) and particularly from ICRISAT), "eligible" and "noneligible" U. S. universities

known to have a manifest interest in peanut research, from USDA (SEA/AR, SEA/CR, ESCS), and from private organizations and individuals who have significant research interests in peanuts; and arrange U. S. research team visits to and/or conferences, in central locations, with, leaders in research programs in developing countries, and with international organizations to:

- a. Facilitate accomplishment of steps listed in 4.B.1 and 4.B.2.
 - b. Identify principal constraints in seed availability, production, harvesting, storage, processing, and utilization, and food safety of peanut in developing countries and summarize the most urgent research needs for groundnuts in developing countries, including a cost/benefit appraisal related to principal research items.
 - c. Assign priorities to the urgent research needs, in consultation with JRC and A.I.D., and develop a generalized CRSP plan.
 - d. For travel to developing country sites, the Grantee will coordinate through the Chiefs of the Agricultural Divisions of the regional bureaus, technical resources, or Development Resources Office. The regional bureaus and USAIDs will assist in developing country contact identification. In all cases, the Grantee will submit to the A.I.D. project manager 45 days in advance all travel itineraries for team visits to developing country sites for mission and country clearance, with only critical trips with bearing on the success of the project being exempted by obtaining A.I.D. Project Manager and regional bureau approval.
- D. CRSP participation will be determined by the following procedure arranged by the Grantee:
1. The Grantee will promulgate a SOTA report and summarizations of information referred to in step C. above to all U. S. institutions previously identified (step 4.B.1.). Any and all institutions will be invited to respond, in an A.I.D. designated format, with research proposals which address the solution of identified developing-country constraints.
 2. The Grantee will then evaluate the submitted proposals and the qualifications of potential participants in the CRSP. In this process, a peer review panel of some 6-10 individuals representing research fields closely related to the problem areas, previously identified and institutions not directly involved in the planning process, will be utilized. It is expected that the panel will include developing country representation and/or representation from at least one international center.

The grantee will visit prospective participant institutions to assist in evaluation of proposals and capabilities. The criteria to be utilized by the peer review panel in evaluating the proposals is as follows:

- a. Relevance to priorities considered to be an essential component of the CRSP which addresses production and utilization constraints of small farmers in developing countries.
 - b. Estimates of the sponsoring institutions and principal investigators demonstrated (past) and/or current capabilities for successful implementation of the proposed research or research training.
 - c. Evidence that funding of the proposed research or research training will lead to effective linkages between the U. S. institution(s) and scientist(s) and counterparts in the developing countries.
3. The criteria to be utilized by the Grantee in evaluating qualifications of potential participants in the CRSP are as follows:
- a. The participant has one or more proposals which have been evaluated by the peer review panel and judged acceptable and relevant to the generalized CRSP plan.
 - b. Commitment of the institution to overall objectives of Title XII;
 - c. Previous, and/or present, involvement in peanut research;
 - d. Capability and commitment of the institution to make available the support services necessary for the CRSP success, e.g., language, training, etc.

E. The Grantee will:

1. Prepare a draft CRSP, which will serve as an interim report to JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D., within 13 months of the initiation date of this grant.
2. Arrange two or three workshops of developing country, IARC, A.I.D., and JRC personnel to discuss and improve the draft CRSP plan.
3. After approval of the modified draft of the Peanut CRSP by JRC, BIFAD, and A.I.D., notify successful and unsuccessful institutions and persons.
4. Prepare a final Peanut CRSP and submit it to JRC/BIFAD within five months after notice of the A.I.D. concurrence with the draft proposal. The program proposal will be submitted at low, medium, and high levels of funding, which will reflect priority of various program elements. The program plan will include all items as may be specified by JRC/BIFAD/A.I.D.

F. The Grantee will propose an Administrative Entity (AE) to manage the Peanut CRSP. The Grantee will solicit expressions of interest and ability from institutions or entities to become the AE for the Peanut CRSP. With advice from the Advisory Committee, this AE proposal will include:

1. A definition of the function and operational procedures of the AE, including staff, policy body, technical control body, external evaluation mechanism, financial, and reporting arrangements.
2. Recommendations of two or more prospective AEs will be made at or before the time of submission of the final Peanut CRSP proposal to JRC/BIFAD/A.I.D.

5. TERMINATION OF PLANNING PROCESS: The Grantee will:

- A. Provide JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D. and the AE each with three copies of Final Activity Report summarizing the entire planning process, pointing out lessons learned, especially helpful actions or techniques and pitfalls to be avoided. The following shall be attached as appendices to the Final Activity Report:
 1. Final Groundnut CRSP Proposal;
 2. Copies of preliminary and detailed research project proposals submitted by potential participants;
 3. Minutes of all meetings leading to definitive action.
- B. In addition to distribution of reports mentioned above three copies of all reports listed as a product of the planning process shall be submitted directly to the Documentation Coordinator, DS/DUI, Agency for International Development, Washington, D. C. 20523. Such reports shall include a title page showing the title of the report, the project title as set forth in the grant agreement and the grant number. One copy of each report shall be clearly typed or printed on white paper so that it may be photographed to produce a microfilm master. Technical reports shall be accompanied by a 250 word abstract.
- C. A final financial statement and record of the disposition of all property acquired by the Grantee in the course of planning the Groundnut CRSP shall be furnished to A.I.D. within 90 days of submission of the Final Activity Report.

6. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- A. The Grantee will receive and administer the A.I.D. funds necessary for all expenses of the planning effort.
- B. The site of the planning effort will be the Georgia Station, Experiment, GA, 30212, a unit of the College of Agriculture, University of Georgia.

- C. The Grantee will be available for quarterly meetings to discuss progress with JRC/BIFAD and A.I.D.
- D. The Grantee will work with developing countries, international centers, and U. S. institutions to formulate proposed project plans that will be component parts of the detailed program plan.
- E. The Grantee will request from each identified institution an assessment and evaluation, subject to A.I.D. audit, of present institutional commitment to peanut research and potential for new program development.
- F. The Grantee will facilitate travel of key developing country peanut researchers, identified in concert with USAID Missions as from appropriate institutions for collaborative relationships to relevant U. S. based workshop on peanut research. Their ideas will be carefully considered in developing the research program.

7. GOALS FOR PLANNING PROJECT:

August 31, 1979	Submission of planning grant proposal to A.I.D.
Beginning date	Award of peanut planning grant
+ 10 months	List of constraints and SOTA completed. Invitations for research participation completed.
+ 12 months	Evaluation of project proposals completed.
+ 13 months	Interim report completed and delivered
+ 18 months	Final Peanut CRSP delivered. Termination report completed and submitted to A.I.D.

8. PROPOSED BUDGET (18 months):

A. Personal Services:

1. Project Director (Univ. of Georgia) ^{1/} 18 months at 1/2 time	\$ 38,250
2. Associate Project Director (Univ. of Georgia) ^{2/} 18 months at full time	64,757
3. Assistant Project Director (sub-contract) ^{3/} About 6 man-months	0 ^{3/}
4. Secretary (Univ. of Georgia) 18 months at full time	18,883
Sub-total	<u>121,890</u>

B. Employee Benefits for Univ. of Georgia Employees:

1. Faculty - 22% of \$103,007	22,662
2. Secretary - 25.6% of \$18,883	4,834
Sub-total	<u>27,496</u>

C. Overhead: 46.6% of \$121,890^{4/} 56,801

D. Travel (UGa employees only):^{5/}

1. International - \$20,880	
2. Domestic - <u>7,680</u>	
	28,560

E. Operating Supplies and Services:

1. Asst. Project Director (time & travel, sub-contract)	40,000
2. Honoraria for Consultants ^{6/}	
a. Foreign travel \$ 59,290	
b. Domestic travel <u>15,450</u>	
	74,740
3. Communications	9,500
4. Publication costs	500

E. Operating Supplies and Services (Cont.)

5. Computer costs	\$1,600
6. Domestic and Foreign Regional conferences and miscellaneous costs	6,000

GRAND TOTAL

\$367,087

1/ Curtis R. Jackson, Associate Director, Agricultural Experiment Stations and Professor of Plant Pathology.

2/ David G. Cummins, Professor of Agronomy.

3/ We anticipate 1890 Land Grant College input to this position. See Operating Supplies and Service Budget.

4/ Base is direct salaries and wages, HEW agreement of 5/25/79, effective period 7/1/79 to 6/30/80.

5/ Univ. of Ga. fiscal policy prohibits payment of funds for travel reimbursement to anyone other than an employee. All other persons traveling in connection with this planning grant will be paid by honorarium (amount based on standard UGa travel claim policies). Honoraria are operating supply items.

6/ Advisory committee meetings, foreign visits by U. S. teams, U. S. visits by foreign experts on peer panel and advisory committee and regional workshops. Travel only repayment except in certain designated cases of peer panel, etc.