

EXTRA

UNCLASSIFIED  
CLASSIFICATION

PD-072-972/15  
15N-14903

PROJECT EVALUATION SUMMARY (PES) - PART I

Report Symbol U-447

1. PROJECT TITLE Weed Control Utilization (Oregon State University AID/ta/c/1303)			2. PROJECT NUMBER 931-0206.11	3. MISSION/AID/W OFFICE DS/AGR/FCP
5. KEY PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION DATES			4. EVALUATION NUMBER (Enter the number maintained by the reporting unit e.g., Country or AID/W Administrative Code, Fiscal Year, Serial No. beginning with No. 1 each FY)	
A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY <u>76</u>	B. Final Obligation Expected FY <u>81</u>	C. Final Input Delivery FY <u>82</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULAR EVALUATION <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL EVALUATION 7. PERIOD COVERED BY EVALUATION From (month/yr.) <u>8/78</u> To (month/yr.) <u>8/79</u> Date of Evaluation Review <u>July 26 &amp; 27, 1979</u>	
6. ESTIMATED PROJECT FUNDING				
A. Total \$ <u>2,398,000</u>				
B. U.S. \$ <u>2,398,000</u>				
8. ACTION DECISIONS APPROVED BY MISSION OR AID/W OFFICE DIRECTOR				

A. List decisions and/or unresolved issues; cite those items needing further study. (NOTE: Mission decisions which anticipate AID/W or regional office action should specify type of document, e.g., airgram, SPAR, PIO, which will present detailed request.)	B. NAME OF OFFICER RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTION	C. DATE ACTION TO BE COMPLETED
1. Recruit weed scientists for the positions that are open in the Philippines and Central America.	OSU	As soon as possible
2. Prepare an annual report on the project.	OSU	Sept. 1979
3. Send cables to all AID missions informing them of technical assistance available on terrestrial and aquatic weed control problems.	AID/W	Sept. 1979
4. Review weed control problems in selected African and Near East countries	OSU	Before October 1980
5. Publish literature review on weed losses	OSU	October 1979
6. Publish information on use of knapsack sprayers	OSU	December 1979
7. Prepare "success stories" as a result of the project activities.	OSU	Continuing

9. INVENTORY OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVISED PER ABOVE DECISIONS			10. ALTERNATIVE DECISIONS ON FUTURE OF PROJECT	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Paper	<input type="checkbox"/> Implementation Plan &g. CPI Network	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>N/A</u>	A. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continue Project Without Change	
<input type="checkbox"/> Financial Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) _____	B. <input type="checkbox"/> Change Project Design and/or	
<input type="checkbox"/> Logical Framework	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Change Implementation Plan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P		C. <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinue Project	

11. PROJECT OFFICER AND HOST COUNTRY OR OTHER RANKING PARTICIPANTS AS APPROPRIATE (Names and Titles)		12. Mission/AID/W Office Director Approval	
DS/AGR/FCP; GFWarren, Project Manager <u>J.F.A.</u> Date: <u>8/25/79</u>		Signature <u>John R. Wilson</u>	
DS/AGR, MZozynski <u>M.S.</u> Date: <u>8/25/79</u>		Typed Name <u>John R. Wilson, DS/AGR</u>	
		Date <u>8/30/79</u>	

13. SUMMARY:

Many subjects were discussed including recruiting of staff, the INFOLETTER, and correspondence from LDCs and pending publications on weed-crop competition and knapsack sprayers. The INFOLETTER is providing an excellent service for weed scientists world-wide. The other publications are on schedule. The overseas work is in a lull due to the resignation in June of two weed scientists. Replacements are being actively recruited but the number of qualified applicants is very small. However, a good prospect for the Philippines is presently being seriously considered. Plans are being made for the revised programs in the Philippines and Central America and country contracts have been made. The project is on schedule with no major problems except recruiting staff.

14. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY:

This regular annual evaluation was made by G.F. Warren at Oregon State University. It included formal presentations by the project staff as well as informal discussions. The program was well organized so that all aspects were covered appropriately.

Staff members making presentations were:

Dr. Stanley Miller, Director  
Mr. Larry Burrill, Weed Specialist  
Mr. Allen Deutsch, Information Specialist  
Mr. Herbert Fisher, Weed Specialist  
Mr. Frank Fraser, Technician

15. GENERAL COMMENTS:

The recruiting activities for two weed scientists to replace those who resigned in June were discussed. The number of applicants is very limited and many are not U.S. citizens. However, one good candidate is presently being seriously considered for the position in the Philippines. Recruiting for the Central America position is more difficult since Spanish language capability is required.

The review of the world literature on weed-crop competition has been completed by Dr. Robert Zimdahl of Colorado State and is being prepared for publication and distribution by the project.

Larry Burrill and Frank Fraser are preparing a publication on the use and operation of knapsack sprayers.

CIMMYT requested preparation of a weed control teaching outline which has now been completed.

Larry Burrill pointed out that they receive many individual letters every year requesting information on a wide variety of weed problems. These are faithfully researched and answered.

The continued need for "success stories" was pointed out to the staff.

PES,  
Weed Control Utilization  
(Oregon State University  
AID/ta/c/1303)

We had considerable discussion on the INFOLETTER. This publication has been well received and is now sent to 4,436 people in 145 countries. The number receiving the INFOLETTER has increased by 500 in the past four years. In the discussions it was agreed that a very important feature of this newsletter is the list of meetings of interest to weed scientists and announcements of new weed science publications and where to order. Possible items for feature stories were discussed including summaries of OSU research results such as the mulch system developed in Costa Rica and the package of technology developed in the Philippines, results of studies on weed losses and labor required for weeding, simple, low-cost equipment ideas, and highlights of meetings of interest to LDC weed scientists

The annual project report is being prepared and should be completed in September.

The problem of how to encourage greater involvement of the Africa and Near East countries was discussed. It was decided to send cables to all AID Missions informing them of technical assistance available on terrestrial and aquatic weed control under the project. Based on interest expressed by missions and discussions with the Bureaus, at least two OSU staff members will then travel to selected African and Near East countries to review weed problems. This should be done, with regional bureau participation, sometime during the next year.