

CLASSIFICATION  
PROJECT EVALUATION SUMMARY (PES) - PART I

Report Symbol U-447

<p>1. PROJECT TITLE</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Farming Systems R&amp;D Methodology</p>	<p>2. PROJECT NUMBER</p> <p style="text-align: center;">931-1006. <i>M</i></p>	<p>3. MISSION/AID/W OFFICE</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DS/ACR</p>							
<p>4. EVALUATION NUMBER (Enter the number maintained by the reporting unit e.g., Country or AID/W Administrative Code, Fiscal Year, Serial No. beginning with No. 1 each FY) <span style="float: right;"><i>80-56</i></span></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>9/12/80</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REGULAR EVALUATION    <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL EVALUATION</p>									
<p>5. KEY PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION DATES</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY <u>78</u></td> <td style="width: 33%;">B. Final Obligation Expected FY <u>78</u></td> <td style="width: 33%;">C. Final Input Delivery FY <u>81</u></td> </tr> </table>	A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY <u>78</u>	B. Final Obligation Expected FY <u>78</u>	C. Final Input Delivery FY <u>81</u>	<p>6. ESTIMATED PROJECT FUNDING</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">A. Total</td> <td style="width: 50%;">\$ <u>1,200,000</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. U.S.</td> <td>\$ <u>1,200,000</u></td> </tr> </table>	A. Total	\$ <u>1,200,000</u>	B. U.S.	\$ <u>1,200,000</u>	<p>7. PERIOD COVERED BY EVALUATION</p> <p>From (month/yr.) <u>9/78</u></p> <p>To (month/yr.) <u>6/80</u></p> <p>Date of Evaluation Review <u>6/12-14/80</u></p>
A. First PRO-AG or Equivalent FY <u>78</u>	B. Final Obligation Expected FY <u>78</u>	C. Final Input Delivery FY <u>81</u>							
A. Total	\$ <u>1,200,000</u>								
B. U.S.	\$ <u>1,200,000</u>								

B. ACTION DECISIONS APPROVED BY MISSION OR AID/W OFFICE DIRECTOR

A. List decisions and/or unresolved issues; cite those items needing further study. (NOTE: Mission decisions which anticipate AID/W or regional office action should specify type of document, e.g., program, SPAR, PIO, which will present detailed request.)	B. NAME OF OFFICER RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTION	C. DATE ACTION TO BE COMPLETED
<p>1. Provide for an extension of the time to complete the project, with no additional funds revised termination date will be April 15, 1981, from November 15, 1980.</p>	<p>McDermott and Bender</p>	<p>10/15/80</p>

<p>9. INVENTORY OF DOCUMENTS TO BE REVISED PER ABOVE DECISIONS</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> project paper</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Implementation Plan e.g., CPI Network</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>Action Memo</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Financial Plan</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIO/T</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>PAF, Part I&amp;II</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Logical Framework</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> Project Agreement</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> project paper	<input type="checkbox"/> Implementation Plan e.g., CPI Network	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>Action Memo</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Financial Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>PAF, Part I&amp;II</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Logical Framework	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C		<input type="checkbox"/> Project Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P		<p>10. ALTERNATIVE DECISIONS ON FUTURE OF PROJECT</p> <p>A. <input type="checkbox"/> Continue Project Without Change</p> <p>B. <input type="checkbox"/> Change Project Design and/or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change Implementation Plan</p> <p>C. <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinue Project</p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> project paper	<input type="checkbox"/> Implementation Plan e.g., CPI Network	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>Action Memo</u>											
<input type="checkbox"/> Financial Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PIO/T	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify) <u>PAF, Part I&amp;II</u>											
<input type="checkbox"/> Logical Framework	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/C												
<input type="checkbox"/> Project Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> PIO/P												

<p>11. PROJECT OFFICER AND HOST COUNTRY OR OTHER RANKING PARTICIPANTS AS APPROPRIATE (Names and Titles)</p> <p>DS/AGR: KMcDermott <u>[Signature]</u> date <u>9/12/80</u></p> <p>MMozynski <u>[Signature]</u> date <u>8/5/80</u></p>	<p>12. Mission/AID/W Office Director Approval</p> <p>Signature <u>[Signature]</u></p> <p>Typed Name <u>Donald R. Fiester</u></p> <p>Date <u>9/12/80</u></p>
---	---

### 13. SUMMARY:

This project progressing according to design and to date, is on schedule. The pilot training exercise will be held on schedule. However, more work will be needed after this exercise than was anticipated. In part this is due to the fact that the art of Farming Systems R&D Methodology is more dynamic than anticipated; i.e. new insights from continued experience keep showing up. Therefore, a four (4) month extension of project is required to finalize the Handbooks.

### 14. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

This is a relatively simple project of short duration, and the evaluation methodology reflected those characteristics. The project is evaluated by an analysis of the materials produced, draft materials, so far. This analysis was done in two internal seminars involving consultants selected from persons working in farming systems projects, who at this stage are literally the innovators. In addition, one seminar was scheduled for persons not engaged in farming systems activities.

### 15. EXTERNAL FACTORS

In a sense there has been a change in external factors. Experience since the project began has been more fruitful than was anticipated and reflected in the assumptions. More insights have become possible, and some of the methodologies have been more thoroughly tested.

### 16. INPUTS

There has been no problem with inputs, and no change is needed in the input plan.

### 17. OUTPUTS

Taking into account quality as well as quantity of output, the project is on schedule. However, experience with the project clearly indicates that the task of revising the material, preparing the handbook, and publishing it will require more time after the pilot training test than was scheduled. Unless the time is extended, this process will have to be hurried, and the quality of the handbook will be impaired. We do not consider this need for contract extension to have been caused by lack of performance by the contractor. We consider it to be in the interest of the Agency rather than contractor's convenience.

18. PURPOSE

The purpose of this project is to improve the capability of agricultural R&D systems in LDC's to generate and diffuse technology innovations in intensive production systems for small farm agriculture that increase food production and farm income.

Output indicators are the existence of handbooks synthesizing experience and the presence of these handbooks in all of AID client countries. Draft copies of the handbook have been prepared.

19. GOAL

The goal is to increase income and welfare of the poor majority in LDC's. No progress can be reported on this goal. It lies beyond the project.

20. BENEFICIARIES

First beneficiary group will be the personnel of the LDC technology innovation systems through training and an improvement in their capabilities.

The second beneficiary group will be the small farm operator clients of these systems who have the potential to be commercial, even though small, farmers.

Finally, over time this project will contribute to the stabilization of both food supply and food price at levels acceptable both to the producers and the consumers.

Given mission and country interest in farming systems chances appear excellent that material produced in this project will be used.

21. UNPLANNED EFFECTS

No Comment.

22. LESSONS LEARNED

Nothing other than what will be contained in project output.

23. SPECIAL COMMENTS

None