

(coded as a PAR Type 13 2/27/77)

2770367 (3)
AAF-429-01

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROJECT EVALUATION REPORT	1. Cooperating Country TURKEY	2. Data Current as of: September 30, 1966
3. Project Title DEVELOPMENT STATISTICS		4. Project Number 277-11-740-364 <i>g p</i>
5. Date original Project Executed Obligating Document Mo. 5 Day 28 yr. 62	6. Estimated Date of U. S. Physical Completion 1972	7. Estimated Date of U. S. Financial Completion 1972

8. IMPORTANCE RANKING BY MISSION DIRECTOR
In terms of overall importance to the achievement of U. S. objectives in this country, this project falls in the:

a. Lowest Quartile b. 3rd Quartile c. 2nd Quartile d. Top Quartile

of all AID dollar TA projects in all activity fields in this country (exclude Technical Support). One fourth of the number of projects must be ranked in each quartile.

9. CONTRIBUTION - The actual contribution of the project to the following U. S. objectives during the last six months is:

a. U. S. Objectives	b. Rating					
	1. Not Relevant	2. Negative	3. None	4. Modest	5. Good	6. Outstanding
1. Directly Increase Production	X					
2. Improve Infrastructure	X					
3. Improve Economic Institutions					X	
4. Directly Improve Personal Welfare	X					
5. Improve Social-Political Institutions				X		
6. Security	X					
7. U. S. Relations					X	
8. U. S. Commercial Interest				X		

10. ACHIEVEMENT OF ACTIVITY TARGETS

a. How well are the activity targets of the project being achieved?
1. Unsatisfactory 2. Marginal 3. Adequate 4. Good 5. Outstanding

b. In general, project execution in relation to schedule is:
1. Seriously behind 2. Slightly behind 3. On time 4. Ahead

11. MISSION DIRECTOR RECOMMENDATION: (Check one)

a. This project should be discontinued earlier than originally planned. (If checked, when? 6/30/72)

b. This project should be evaluated in depth as a basis for determining its effectiveness, future scope and direction.

c. This project should be continued as currently planned.

12. PROJECT COORDINATOR

Lowell T. Galt
Name
Principal Statistical Advisor
Title and Grade **FC-3**

Lowell T. Galt
Signature
November 10, 1966
Date

13. APPROVED BY MISSION DIRECTOR

Signature

Date

I. ACCOMPLISHMENTS

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

- A. List all significant accomplishments attributable to this project during the six months covered by this report. Be succinct, explicit and, where possible quantitative. For each accomplishment indicate whether it is substantially the same as, more than, or less than planned.
- B. List in comparable realistic terms expected accomplishments during the next six months.
- A.
1. Pilot Labor Force Survey conducted in Turkey. 12,000 sample households interviewed in 8 urban areas by State Institute of Statistics (SIS).
 2. 32 Certificates earned by students completing first year's course at Statistical Training Center, SIS.
 3. Two-day nationwide Inter-University Statistical Conference conducted at SIS.
 4. Systems and Procedures Unit established to strengthen management of data processing operations in SIS.
 5. Data collection phase of tabulation workload study completed in SIS.
 6. Accelerated processing of 1965 Census of Population achieved, making target completion by December, 1967, realistically possible.
 7. Continuation of Turkish Demographic Survey (TDS) in Regions I, II and III and in major urban places. Initiation of Survey in Region IV. (Total coverage of TDS, 65,000 households.)
 8. Calculation of crude vital rates for Regions I and II and major urban places based on first six months data from TDS.
 9. Continuation of experimental Agricultural Statistical program with bulk of analysis completed on the Konya Test of Methods.
 10. Technical report released evaluating results and methodology of 1963 Census of Agriculture.
- B.
1. Publication and release of sample tabulation of 1965 Census of Population (Compilation complete).
 2. Complete feasibility study leading to management decision on acquisition of computer equipment.
 3. Select and orient at least 10 participant candidates for overseas training in appropriate fields of statistical development.
 4. Select and train 30 students in the 1966-67 academic year program of the Statistical Training Center.
 5. Conduct training seminars in data processing at METU and SIS (including Training Center) in anticipation of computer human resource needs.
 6. Conduct pretest of Survey of Turkish Workers in Europe.
 7. Initiate a continuing national Survey of New Construction starts and expenditures.
 8. Publish results of the Post Enumeration Survey of the 1965 Census of Population and Housing.
 9. Complete plans for extension of the experimental Agricultural Statistical Survey (areas sown to major crops) to 3-4 Provinces.
 10. Continue registration, enumeration, matching, and compilation of results for Regions I - IV in the TDS and initiate the Survey in Region V, completing national coverage.

II. TECHNICIANS - U. S. and Third Country

 None BEST AVAILABLE COPY

A. ON BOARD AND RATING

Enter the number of technicians in each category.

1. Type of Technician	2. Total on Board		3. Rating of Performance					
	a. Scheduled	b. Actual	a. Unsatisfactory	b. Marginal	c. Adequate	d. Good	e. Outstanding	f. Not Rated
a. U. S. Contract								
b. U. S. PASA	7	6				6		
c. U. S. Direct Hire								
d. Third Country								

B. CONTRACTORS

1. Contract Number	2. Name of Contractor	3. Type of Contractor
a.		
b.		
c.		
d.		
e.		

C. PARTICIPATING AGENCIES

1. PASA Number	2. Name of Participating Agency	3. Organizational Entity
a. WSA-600	Department of Commerce	Bureau of the Census
b.		
c.		

D. Indicate the number of each type of technician with any of the following problems which have had a significant adverse effect on the project during the past six months.

1. Type of Technician				2. Problem
a. Contract	U. S.	d.		
	b. PASA	c. Direct Hire	Third Ctry.	
				a. Inadequate technical knowledge
				b. Inadequate knowledge of country and culture
				c. Language barrier or translation difficulties
				d. Health problems resulting in evacuation or excessive absenteeism
				e. Family or emotional problems
				f. Negative attitude toward project
				g. Poor personal relations with U. S. technicians
				h. Poor personal relations with Third Country technicians
				i. Poor personal relations with counterpart technicians
				j. Poor personal relations with local citizenry
				k. Inadequate communication between project technicians and Mission
				l. Lack of occupational adaptability (ability to apply technical knowledge to country situation)
				m. Other (Specify in Narrative Section)

III. PARTICIPANTS

 None

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

A. Give the number of participants falling in each of the following categories by the location of training.

1. Location of Training		2. Participant Program Status
a. U.S.	b. Third Country	
16		a. Participants have received training and returned to country from start of project to the beginning of this reporting period
3		b. Participants have returned to country during this six month reporting period
11		c. Participants are being trained
3		d. Participants are being processed for training
50		e. Participants have yet to be selected
83		f. Participants will have been trained when project terminates (Item f. is the sum of a. through e.)

B. In general, the participant training component of this project in relation to schedule is:

1. Seriously behind 2. Slightly behind 3. On time 4. Ahead

C. Of the returned participants, indicate the number whose performance in their job is rated as follows:

1. ___ Unsatisfactory 2. 2 Marginal 3. 6 Adequate 4. 8 Good
5. 2 Outstanding 6. 1 Not Rated

D. Indicate the number of returned participants who fall into each of the following categories:

1. ___ Have undesirable character traits
2. ___ Are hostile to the United States
3. ___ Received inadequate training in the United States
4. ___ Received inadequate training in a Third Country
5. ___ Are assigned to positions below their level of competence
6. 2 Are assigned to positions above their level of competence
7. ___ Are unable to get their ideas accepted by their supervisors
8. 16 Are using their training almost as planned
9. ___ Are employed in higher rank positions than planned
10. ___ Are not being utilized to take good advantage of their training
11. 1 Have been lost to the project but are using their training
12. ___ Are no longer in contact with the Mission

 IV. AID DOLLAR FINANCED COMMODITIES None

 A. Quality of commodities delivered for use in this project in general is: (Check)

- 1.
-
- Unsatisfactory 2.
-
- Marginal 3.
-
- Adequate 4.
-
- Good 5.
-
- Outstanding
-

 B. Use being made of commodities in this project in general is: (Check)

- 1.
-
- Unsatisfactory 2.
-
- Marginal 3.
-
- Adequate 4.
-
- Good 5.
-
- Outstanding
-

C. U. S. Government Excess Property

 1. Has any such property been delivered to date for use on this project? Yes No

 2. Original acquisition cost of such property: \$ Ten (10) jeeps acquired for Agriculture Census as surplus property. No value indicated on E-1.

3. Has the quality of the excess property satisfied the needs of the project?

 Yes No

 If no, briefly describe problem in the Narrative Section.

D. Are there any commodity problems which have had a significant adverse effect on the project during the past six months? (Check)

- 1.
-
- Late delivery of commodities to port of entry
-
- 2.
-
- Difficulty or serious delays in transporting commodities from port of entry to project site(s)
-
- 3.
-
- Lack of adequate storage facilities
-
- 4.
-
- Severe damage in shipment
-
- 5.
-
- Commodities have arrived but are not being used
-
- 6.
-
- Significant portion lost or stolen
-
- 7.
-
- Commodities received did not meet PIO specifications
-
- 8.
-
- Related facilities not ready to receive commodities
-
- 9.
-
- Unsited to climate/environmental conditions
-
- 10.
-
- Unnecessarily sophisticated for use by country nationals
-
- 11.
-
- Lack of satisfactory maintenance facilities and sufficient spare parts
-
- 12.
-
- Other (Specify in Narrative Section)
-

E. OVERDUE COMMODITY DELIVERIES

If any deliveries on any PIO/C are overdue 120 days or longer, check the responsible authorized procurement agent and describe the situation in the Narrative Section.

- 1.
-
- Cooperating Country 2.
-
- Mission 3.
-
- GSA 4.
-
- Other: _____

F. List the FIO/Cs issued for this project and the dollar value of commodities authorized, ordered and delivered. Check Column 2 for FIO/Cs on which deliveries are 120 days or more overdue.

1. FIO/C Number	2. Deliveries 120 Days Overdue	Dollar Value (000)		
		3. Authorized	4. Ordered	5. Delivered
a. Total Number Completed FIO/Cs:				
b. 277-26-0-60092		10	10	
c. 277-304-0-60169		.9	.9	.9
d.				
e.				
f.				
g.				
h.				
i.				
j.				

V. COOPERATING COUNTRY

A. In general, the country government's participation in this project is:

1. Unsatisfactory 2. Marginal 3. Adequate 4. Good 5. Outstanding

B. Check country problems of a serious nature having a significant adverse affect on this project's progress in the last six months:

- 1. Disagreement between government ministries
- 2. Disagreement between government officials within a single ministry
- 3. Change in executive leadership
- 4. Sufficient cooperating country funds have not been provided
- 5. Necessary enabling legislation is not being developed/passed
- 6. An organization has not been established or staffed as agreed
- 7. Excessive red tape, bureaucratic delays, or important deadlines missed
- 8. Delays in the clearance of U. S. Technicians
- 9. Qualified counterpart technicians have not been assigned
- 10. Qualified counterpart technicians have not been assigned on time
- 11. Qualified participant trainees are not available
- 12. Qualified participant trainees have not been nominated
- 13. Qualified participant trainees have not been nominated on time
- 14. Maintenance of facilities and equipment is sub-standard
- 15. Local political differences
- 16. Tribal, class, or caste conflicts
- 17. Cultural resistances to changes
- 18. Cooperating country personnel not receptive to U. S. technician major recommendations
- 19. Other (Specify in Narrative Section)

C. Missions estimate of attitude toward the project. If there are significant differences between government entities, check Mixed and explain in Narrative Section.

1. Cooperating Country	2. Rating Categories				
	a. Negative	b. Mixed	c. Passive	d. Favorable	e. Enthusiastic
a. Government in General					X
b. Citizens Being Reached				X	

D. Take-over and Continuation Plans of the Cooperating Country

1. Does the Cooperating Country have take-over and continuation plans?

- a. Yes b. No c. Inapplicable

2. Cooperating Country attitude with respect to take-over and continuation of the project:

- a. Uncertain b. Intends to take over c. Anxious to take over

3. If take-over stage is in progress, are Cooperating Country's activities proceeding satisfactorily?

- a. Yes b. No c. Inapplicable

E. Counterpart Technicians

1. Indicate the number in each of the following categories:

- a. 6 On Board b. Unsatisfactory c. Marginal d. 1 Adequate
 e. 4 Good f. 1 Outstanding g. Not Rated

2. Indicate the number of counterpart technicians in each of the following areas which have had a significant adverse effect on the project during the past six months:

- a. Inadequate technical education
 b. 1 Inadequate technical experience
 c. Inadequate leadership and supervision skills
 d. Working only part time, whereas full time is required
 e. Technicians have been assigned and then transferred
 f. Unwillingness to work or travel in rural or provincial areas
 g. Pay and allowances are too low
 h. Maturity and age
 i. Motivation
 j. Morale
 k. Other (Specify in Narrative Section)

F. Total Cooperating Country Financial Contribution Directly to Project

1. Type of Contribution	In Dollar Equivalents (000)		
	2. Total Planned for Life of Project	3. Actually Committed to Date	4. Disbursements to Date
a. Cash			
b. In Kind	50	25	25
c. TOTAL	50	25	25

G. Were the contributions of the cooperating country over the past six months reasonably in accordance with agreements?
 Yes No If no, discuss problem in Narrative Section

VI. PROJECT SUPPORT

A. Rate the backstopping for this project as shown below:

1. Type of Backstopping		2. Rating Categories				
		a. Unsatisfactory	b. Marginal	c. Adequate	d. Good	e. Outstanding
a. Timeliness	i. AID/W					
	ii. PASA				X	
	iii. Contractor					
b. Quality	i. AID/W					
	ii. PASA					
	iii. Contractor					X

B. Check any backstopping deficiencies which have had a significant adverse effect on the project's progress in the last six months:

1. Backstop			2. Deficiency
a. AID/W	b. PASA	c. Contractor	
			<i>None</i>
			a. Technicians have not been recruited on schedule
			b. PASA/Contract negotiations have not been concluded on schedule
			c. Participant call forward dates have been delayed
			d. Commodities have not arrived on schedule
			e. Approvals and guidance required have been significantly delayed
			f. Information and technical support have been inadequate
			g. Actions currently pending are impeding project progress
			h. Other (Specify in Narrative Section)

VII. GENERAL (If answer is Yes to any of the following, describe in Narrative Section)

Yes	No	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. Are there any significant problems or causes of delay in this project not already covered in this report?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B. Has this project revealed the need for technical aids, e.g., pamphlets, materials or equipment, which are not currently available from back-stopping sources?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C. Has this project revealed any requirement for research to be financed by AID/W?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		D. 1. Does the project involve participation of organizations or countries other than AID and the cooperating country?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. If the answer to D.1. is Yes, are there any significant personal, logistic, technical, or financial problems resulting from this arrangement?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		E. 1. Does the project have significant characteristics transferrable to other countries?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		2. Are there important lessons (positive or negative) to be learned from this project?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		3. Has the project employed any unusual techniques, devices, or tools from which others may profit?
		F. Do any aspects of the project lend themselves to publicity (newspaper, magazine, television, or films) in the United States?

G. Indicate the number of times in the reporting period the project site(s) was visited by each of the following:

days (Office maintained at SIS)

1. 210 / Project Coordinator 3. X AID/W Personnel
2. 60 Local Mission U. S. Personnel 4. 4 U. S. VIPs

VIII. NARRATIVE SECTION

Organize this section to correspond with the order of the structured portion of the TAPER. Identify each narrative statement by the appropriate section and item number.

- VII D. 1. During the reporting period, an OECD advisor on National Accounts reviewed problems at SIS and left a series of recommendations. Representatives of the Population Council, UN, and the Ford Foundation resident in Turkey have also evinced a constructive interest in demographic data now emerging from the TDS. (Turkish Demographic Survey)
- VIII E. 1. 2. 3. The outstanding example of lasting benefit may be the institution of the Training Center as an integral part of the State Institute of Statistics. Such a program might well be carried out in other countries and hence be the major device whereby developing nations create the body of statistical personnel that they need to have.