

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 492-11-005-236 .02	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: 1 Jul 1968 TO 1 Oct 1971	3. COUNTRY PHILIPPINES	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 72-6
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------	---------------------------

PROVINCIAL DEVELOPMENT

4. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 69 Ends FY 75	7. DATE LATEST PROP 9/1/70	8. DATE LATEST RIF 1/21/71	9. DATE THIS PAR 1656 NS No previous PAR.
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ 2,750,000**	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 635,000	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 6,260,000

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
Five direct hire USAID Technicians (Baldwin, Dotta, Knutson, Umhoefer)	

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID*W	HOST		
X	X		Training Specialist on TDY to work with Local Government Center.	FY 73
X			Real Property Appraiser on TDY to work in Assessment Program.	FY 72
X		X	More pre-project training for selected local governments.	FY 73
			Greater effort will be made to use respective skills of technicians (i.e., tax administration, public administration, program planning, management systems) in more than one province.	Continuous
		X	Provinces will be required to agree to specific phases of accomplishment based on locally prepared development plans. Continued USAID support in a province will depend upon what a province is willing to accomplish.	Continuous
			* Project to be modified and will move toward a more action-oriented program in support of overall mission rural development activities. This will require a new PROP.	
			** Includes \$1,500,000 for school reconstruction.	

D. REVISIONS REQUIRED							E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW	
REVISED OR NEW:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIF	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO T	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO C	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO P	March 8, 1972	
PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE				MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE				
Thomas L. Rose, Asst. Dir. for Provincial Development				Thomas C. Niblock, Director				

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)				
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
1.												
2.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
					X							X

Comment on key factors determining rating

In debriefing of three returned participants, they felt training was so relevant that arrangements are being made to send seven more (Provincial Development Coordinators) to the United States for similar training and Local Government seminars.

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
					X							X

Comment on key factors determining rating

Without commodity support for the projects, the Provincial Development Staff, the tax mapping projects and the motor pools could not have become operational. Funding adequate for project needs.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL	a) PDAP1/ National	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
		b) LGC2/ & UP2/		X			X					X		
	b. OTHER	c) Provincial				X								X

Comment on key factors determining rating

- a) The PDAP staff and leadership have been disappointing. This is the major reason for the shift in emphasis from developing the National Government technical capability (PDAP) to one of working with the training institution (UP Photogrammetry Center and LGC) and building the staff on a province by province basis.
- b) UP Photogrammetry Center and LGC provide important inputs into the program and their role will greatly expand during the next FY.
- c) The organization of the Provincial Development Staff is crucial in achieving the planning objectives of the project.

7. OTHER DONORS	N/A	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors.)

1/ Provincial Development Assistance Project

Local Government Center

3/ University of the Philippines

10-925(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 492-11-995-236	PAR FOR PERIOD: 1 Jul 68 - 1 Oct 71	COUNTRY PHILIPPINES	PAR SERIAL NO. 72-6
-----------------------------	-------------------------------	--	------------------------	------------------------

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

N/A

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMU- LATIVE PRIORITY	CURRENT FY 72		FY 73	FY 74	END OF PROJECT 75
			TO DATE	TO END			
1) Adoption by the provin- cial government of offi- cial staffing patterns for the development staff and the motor pool.	PLANNED	9	6	to	be	revised	
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	8	2	"	"	"	
	REPLANNED			"	"	"	
2) Formal release of funds by the province to support the development program.	PLANNED	9	6	"	"	"	
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	9	6	"	"	"	
	REPLANNED			"	"	"	
3) Increased efficiency for real property tax collect- ion by the Province.	PLANNED	5	4	"	"	"	
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	3	2	"	"	"	
	REPLANNED			"	"	"	
4) Release of appropriation by province for 608 counterpart, construct- ion of facilities and hiring of personnel.	PLANNED	9	6	"	"	"	
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	9	6	"	"	"	
	REPLANNED			"	"	"	
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1. Quality of Development Staff work gradually im- proving.	Even with the past rather unimpressive quality of the in-country training programs the staffs are gradually gaining a better understanding of their tasks.						
2. Quality of training pro- grams should improve with the more active involve- ment of LGC and UP Photo- grammetry Center.	COMMENT: Greater emphasis will be directed toward strengthening the training capability of LGC and UP Photogrammetry Center with minimum attention being paid to strengthen- ing PDAP technical capability for work at the provin- cial level.						
3. Greater awareness and in- volvement of provincial governors in the identifi- cation of development prior- ities that are intended to reach the little man.	COMMENT: There is a growing awareness that good government (i.e., development) is good politics. During recent country- wide election all Governors in USAID/PDAI provinces were re-elected.						

AID 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 4 PAR	492-11-995-236	1 Jul 68-1 Oct 71	PHILIPPINES	72-6

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. i. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

To develop the institutional capability of selected local governments to effectively plan, finance and implement socio-economic development programs that will significantly contribute to the improvement of the living standard and real income of the local people.

1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
<p>Six provinces will be carrying out comprehensive multi-year development planning including the preparation of multi-year framework plans, capital improvement program, one year action program and program implementation</p> <p>Six provinces will have development staffs capable of planning and coordinating the respective provinces' development program.</p>	<p><u>4</u> of <u>6</u> provinces have multi-year development plan.</p> <p><u>2</u> of <u>6</u> provinces have prepared CIP's.</p> <p><u>6</u> of <u>6</u> provinces have prepared One Year Action Program.</p> <p><u>6</u> of <u>6</u> provinces are currently implementing One Year Action Program.</p> <p><u>4</u> of <u>6</u> provinces have fully functioning development staffs.</p>

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To develop the institutional capability of selected local governments to effectively plan, finance and implement development programs which will lead to greater local participation in the development process.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

A substantial contribution in achieving the programming goal is being made. There is a growing awareness at the provincial level that a more systematic approach to development organization, planning and financing will result in an increased rate of project implementation and better use of local resources.

This is supported by the results of the infrastructure program in South Cotabato; the pilot barrio project in Iloilo; the family planning program in Laguna and the school nutrition program in Misamis Oriental.

PRO AG
USAID/P
FY 1972

PROJECT AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE, AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT (AID)
AN AGENCY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND
THE NATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL (NEC)
AN AGENCY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PHILIPPINES

Under the terms of the Economic and Technical Cooperation Agreement signed April 27, 1951, and the Standard Provisions Foreign Currency Standard Provisions annexes attached, it is agreed to carry out a project in accordance with the terms set forth herein.

1. PROJECT NO. 492-11-995-236 2. ORIGINAL 3. REVISION NO.

4. PROJECT TITLE: Provincial Development AGREEMENT NO.: 72-02

5. ANNEX A PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND EXPLANATION

6. APPROPRIATION: 72-1121004 7. ALLOTMENT: 254-50-492-00-69-21

B. AID FINANCING	PREVIOUS TOTAL (A)	INCREASE (B)	DECREASE (C)	TOTAL TO DATE (D)
a. PERSONNEL COSTS				
PASA				
Contract				
b. PARTICIPANTS		66,650		66,650
c. COMMODITIES		38,000		38,000
d. OTHER COSTS				
AID Direct				
Contract				
e. TOTAL (Dollars)		104,650		104,650
f. US OWNED (Pesos)				
3. GOP FINANCING \$1.00 = Pesos				
a. COUNTERPART				
Trust Fund -- "A"				
-- "B"				
Special				
Other				
TOTAL (Pesos)				
b. OTHER				

10. REFERENCES AND REMARKS:
 This agreement provides for the funding for seven participants in development administration, one for program evaluation and research methodology and for the procurement of excess property. A subsequent revision will cover the overall project for FY 1972.
 PIO/Ps 1-20025, 1-20026 & PIO/C 0-20024.

CLEARANCES

GOP: _____ USAID: *Thomas K. Rose* Project Manager
 _____ *James L. Howard* Controller
 _____ *Mgr. Rural Dev.*

11. DATE OF ORIGINAL AGREEMENT: October 28, 1971 12. DATE OF THIS REVISION: _____ 13. EST FINAL CONTRIBUTION DATE: September 30, 1974

14. GOVERNMENT OF THE PHILIPPINES
 Signature: *B. G. Villavicencio* 10/19/71
 Title: Director of Foreign Aid Coordination

15. AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
 Signature: *Thomas K. Rose*
 Title: Director, USAID

NEC/OFAC:
CDPC/MSS
Sr. DFC-TPWC
/FLV