

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

Reference Room 1656 NS
PD-MID-422-C1

PAGE 1

1. PROJECT NO. 489-11-110-594	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: 11/1/70 TO 6/30/72	3. COUNTRY Republic of Korea	4. PAR SERIAL NO. 72-5
----------------------------------	--	---------------------------------	---------------------------

Rural Policy Planning and Survey (Michigan State University)

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY <u>64</u> Ends FY <u>72</u>	7. DATE LATEST PROP 3/10/70	8. DATE LATEST PIP 3/10/70	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 10/30/70
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: \$ <u>246,700</u>	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ <u>78,000</u>	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ <u>N.A.</u>

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
Michigan State University	AID/SA/PROC-184

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
			The evaluation indicates that this sub-project is progressing according to plans and will be completed as scheduled.	

D. REPLANNING REQUIRES
 REVISED OR NEW: PROP PIP PRO AG PIO/T PIO/C PIO/B

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW
 March 23, 1972

PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
 Francis C. Jones

MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE
 Michael H. B. Adler

AID 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 489-11-110-594	PAR FOR PERIOD: TO 11/1/70-6/30/72	COUNTRY Republic of Korea	PAR SERIAL NO. 72-5
---------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)						
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW	MEDIUM			HIGH		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		1	2	3		4	5
1. Michigan State University				X										X
2.														
3.														

Comment on key factors determining rating

The Sector Analysis Team is on schedule and has done a particularly thorough job in establishing and maintaining good professional and social relations with Korean public and private sector agriculturalists at all levels.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

N.A.

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

N.A.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
	b. OTHER				X							X	

Comment on key factors determining rating

The quality of the personnel working closely with the sector team is higher than originally anticipated. To date, personnel have been the key factor but as we move from the "study" to the "acceptance and implementation of recommendations stage" the other factors (those identified on Cooperating Country Performance Analysis Worksheet) will assume greater importance.

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-25(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 489-11-110-594	PAR FOR PERIOD: 11/1/70-6/30/72	COUNTRY Republic of Korea	PAR SERIAL NO. 72-5
----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

11.7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

N.A.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMU- LATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY		FY ____	FY ____	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
Agricultural Sector Analysis, including recommendations, drafted.	PLANNED	-	-	1	-	-	1
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	-	-				
	REPLANNED						
Report on National Agri- cultural Cooperatives Federation written.	PLANNED	-	-	1	-	-	1
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	-	-				
	REPLANNED						
Investment areas reports prepared.	PLANNED	-	-	2	-	-	2
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE	-	-				
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORM- ANCE						
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1. Agricultural Sector Analysis, including recommendations, written.	The contractor is on schedule toward meeting the target date of April 1 for a draft report and June 1 for the final report.						
2. Report on National Agricultural Cooperatives Federation written and Investment Areas reports prepared.	COMMENT: Contractor is on schedule for April 1 submission of an initial report on investment areas and a June 1 submission for a report on the National Agricultural Cooperatives Federation. An additional report on selected investment areas is to be submitted by August 31.						
3. Analytic Skills refined.	COMMENT: In conducting the sector study the MSU personnel are involving extensively their Korean counterparts in the collection and analysis of data on Korean agriculture. Through this cooperative research, and the on-the-job training which it entails, the analytic skills of the counterparts are being refined and further developed.						

AID 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 4 PAR	489-11-110-594	11/1/70-6/30/72	Republic of Korea	72-5

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

To provide the ROKG with an Agricultural Sector Analysis.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
<p><u>Sector Analysis Conditions</u></p> <p>A. 1) Agricultural development problems facing Korea and their implications understood by ROKG policy makers.</p> <p>2) Decision-making units of MAF reorganized.</p> <p>3) Agricultural development priorities in terms of resources and manpower reordered.</p> <p>B. NACF operational and decision-making units reorganized.</p> <p>C. Domestic and foreign capital flows to agriculture raised.</p> <p>D. *Possible areas for future inputs in the agricultural sector identified, e.g.</p> <p>* ROKG USAID IBRD JAPAN & ADB.</p>	<p><u>Comments on Conditions A thru D.</u></p> <p>Specific evidence of progress toward these conditions is not yet available. Indications of future success include: a) Several meetings have been held with the Vice Minister and Assistant Vice Minister of MAF on the conduct and expected results of the sector analysis; b) According to MAF officials a reorganization of NACF has been delayed pending the Sector Team's report on NACF.</p>

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To meet the agricultural sector growth goal of the Third Five Year Plan which is projected to average 4.5% per annum.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

If the completed sector study provides the types of information and recommendations expected and if the information and recommendations are utilized by Korean decision makers in formulating appropriate policies, the sub-project could play a major role in determining whether the goal is achieved or surpassed.