

PROJECT EVALUATION SUMMARY
 (Submit to MO/PAV after each project evaluation)

731097101501
 93109710
 931-0971
 bp

1. Mission or AID/W Office Name
 DSB/HEA

2. Project Number
 931-0971

3. Project Title
 Development and Evaluation of Integrated Delivery Systems (DEIDS)

4. Key project dates (fiscal years)

a. Project Agreement Signed 12/6/71	b. Final Obligation 5/28/79	c. Final input delivered not determined	5. Total U.S. funding life of project \$10,539
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	---

6. Evaluation number as listed in Eval. Schedule

7. Period covered by this evaluation
 FROM: 5/76 Month/year TO: 2/78 Month/year

8. Date of this Evaluation Review
 2/16, 17/78 month/day/year

9. Action Decisions Reached at Evaluation Review, including items needing further study (Note--This list does not constitute an action request to AID/W. Use telegrams, airgram, SPARS, etc., for action)

- State of the Art Study needs to be improved and expanded with more analyses and evaluation, as opposed to description.
- Monographs - utility needs to be assured before more money is expended.
- Technical assistance needs to be more appropriately used; less interference with consultant selection; better utilization of minorities; and less cronyism.
- Feasibility of combining DEIDS and CATAS should be carefully studied and considered.
- DEIDS/Thailand component should be transferred to Asia Bureau.

10. Officer or Unit responsible for follow-up
 D. C. E. Ferguson
 Project Manager
 DSB/HEA
 Div. Health Delivery Systems

11. Date action to be completed

- In preparation for 3rd year funding
- Development of PP for 3rd year beginning 6/1/79

Signatures:

Project Officer
 Donald C. E. Ferguson, Ph.D., M.B.H.
 7/30/78

Mission or AID/W Office Director
 Lee M. Howard, M.D.
 7/30/78

13. SUMMARY - Summarize in about 200 words the current project situation, mentioning progress in relation to design, prospects of achieving purpose, major problems encountered, etc.

This project was first approved 12/6/71 for a ten year life-of-project. The current contract (No. AID/ta-C-1320) was signed on May 28, 1976, with an estimated completion date of May 28, 1979. The core project, was the Agency's first effort to define patterns for delivery of integrated health, population and nutrition services to LDC populations in locations in which the majority live. The Congressional mandate in the 1973 Foreign Assistance Act, specifically validated this approach. The following is a listing of the activities. The specifics may be noted beginning on page 1 of the contract.

1. Intermediate management of the demonstration project in Thailand
2. The provision of technical assistance.
3. Development of evaluation guidelines.
4. State-of-the-Art Analysis.
5. Information dissemination
6. Conference management
7. Participation in project evaluation.

Dissemination of information elements have been well carried out. State-of-the-Art has been a descriptive exercise rather than an analytical tool—technical assistance has been sluggishly utilized—Conference management has been good—DEIDS/Thailand has been well managed—Guidelines for Evaluation are still in development.

14. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY - Describe the methods used for this evaluation, i.e. was it a regular or special evaluation? was it in accordance with the Evaluation Plan in the PP with respect to timing, study design, scope, methodology and issues? What kinds of data were used and how were they collected and analyzed? Identify agencies and key individuals participating and contributing.

* This was a special team evaluation, composed of three AID officers and 2 outsiders, both M.D. s. It was based on an indepth evaluation of the contract, PP, Contractors reports (progress and annual), correspondence, consultants reports, interviews, and a 2 day on site evaluation with all appropriate APHA and AID personnel present.

15. Documents to be revised to reflect decisions noted page 1 (other side:)

- Project Paper (PP) Logical Framework CPI Network Financial Plan
- PIO/T PIO/C PIO/P Project Agreement Other

This evaluation brought out ideas for a ~~new~~ continuation project -- a Project Identification Document (PID) will follow.

16. Evaluation findings about EXTERNAL FACTORS - Identify and discuss major changes in project setting which have an impact on the project. Examine continuing validity of assumptions.

The basic assumptions continue to be valid. There are no major changes in project setting contemplated. The major changes recommended have to do with how outputs are managed and implemented.

7. Evaluation findings about GOAL/SUBGOAL - For the reader's convenience, quote the approved sector or other goal (and subgoal, where relevant) to which the project contributes. Then describe status by citing evidence available to date from specified indicators and by mentioning progress of other projects (whether or not U.S.) which contribute to same goal. Discuss causes--can progress toward goal be attributed to project, why shortfalls?

The project goal is to improve the health status and the quality of human life of the populations of developing nations. through the assistance in health planning, integrated health delivery services and improvements in the environment.

There has been progress toward achieving goal, but the reality is that this effort plus many others by AID and other donors will work toward achieving the goal over years. Shortfalls will be corrected through implementation of recommendations.

18. Evaluation findings about PURPOSE - Quote the approved project purpose. Cite progress toward each End-of-Project Status (EOPS) condition. When can achievement be expected? Discuss causes of progress or shortfalls.

"The Project purpose is to support the Agency's capability to respond rapidly to Mission and Regional Bureau requests for information, feasibility assessment, project design assistance, and evaluation of systems to deliver combined health, population and nutrition to a majority of the population within the limits of national resources. It provides for intermediate management of a low cost health delivery system in a less developed country - Thailand."

Progress is taking place. Shortfalls will be corrected via implementation of recommendations.

19. Evaluation findings about OUTPUTS and INPUTS - Note any particular success or difficulties. Comment on significant management experiences of host contractor, and donor organizations. Describe any necessary changes in schedule or in type and quantity of resources or outputs needed to achieve purpose.

See attached evaluation report.

20. Evaluation findings about UNPLANNED EFFECTS - Has project had any unexpected results or impact, such as changes in social structure, environment, technical or economic situation? Are these effects advantageous or not? Do they require any change in plans?

No

21. CHANGES in DESIGN or EXECUTION - Explain the rationale for any proposed modification in project design or execution which now appear advisable as a result of the preceding findings (items 16 to 20 above) and which were reflected in one or more of the action decisions listed on page 1 or noted in Item 15 on page 2.

See attached evaluation report.

2. LESSONS LEARNED - What advice can you give a colleague about development strategy-- e.g., how to tackle a similar development problem or to manage a similar project in another country? What can be suggested for follow-on in this country? Similarly, do you have any suggestions about evaluation methodology?

See attached evaluation report.

SPECIAL COMMENTS or REMARKS (For AID/W projects, assess likelihood that results of project will be utilized in LDC's).