

PAGE 1

PROJECT APPRAISAL REPORT (PAR)

1. PROJECT NO. 386-11-110-281.6	2. PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/1/71 TO 9/30/72	3. COUNTRY India	4. PAR SERIAL NO. FF73-15
---	---	----------------------------	-------------------------------------

THIS IS A TERMINAL PAR

ADD - Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya (JNKVV) Madhya Pradesh

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 1964 Ends FY 1973	7. DATE LATEST PIP 1/13/72	8. DATE LATEST PIP	9. DATE PRIOR PAR 1/20/72
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------------

10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: 2800,000	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: \$ 73	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: \$ 74
------------------	---	--	--

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME University of Illinois	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO. AID/1000-149
--	--

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X)			B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
USAID	AID/W	HOST		
			<p>Note: It is planned to conduct an indepth evaluation and review of project accomplishments on all contracts under the ADD Project in FY73.</p> <p>This sub-project terminated four years ahead of schedule at the recommendation of the GOI and concurrence of USAID/India.</p>	

D. REPLANNING REQUIRED						E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW	
REVISED OR NEW:	<input type="checkbox"/> PROP	<input type="checkbox"/> PIP	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO AG	<input type="checkbox"/> PIC/T	<input type="checkbox"/> PIC/C	<input type="checkbox"/> PIC/P	March 14, 1973
PROJECT MANAGER: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE				MISSION DIRECTOR: TYPED NAME, SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE			
E. T. Bullard				Howard E. Houston			

AID 120-28 (10-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 3 PAR	386-11-110-281.6	TO 0/30/72	7/1/71 India	FI73-15

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)					
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			CUT-STANDING		LOW	MEDIUM			HIGH	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	
1. University of Illinois						X							X
2.													
3.													

Comment on key factors determining rating

The contractor fielded high quality personnel and improved working relationships with the JMKVV. Carefully planned efforts yielded good results in involving key state and university officials and staff in the university development effort.

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING						X									X
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

The selection process improved considerably. The University of Illinois Team took an active part in the selection process. Trainees were placed in positions for which they were trained.

5. COMMODITIES					X								X	
----------------	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Comment on key factors determining rating

Commodities represented a minor input in this project. They were well utilized and turned over to JMKVV for continuing the on-going activities.

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X									X
	b. OTHER				X									X

Comment on key factors determining rating

The University could not make headway in the development of a long-range development plan. The Board of Management continues to be large and is detrimental to the smooth working of the University. Construction and maintenance facilities are rather inadequate.

7. OTHER DONORS	Not Applicable													
-----------------	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

M. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage Rate Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY 73		FY 74	FY 75	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
5. 100 acre or more farm development per year.	PLANNED	-	-	100	100	100	1000
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	375	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
6. Joint thesis project with other institutions per year.	PLANNED	-	-	2/yr	3/yr	4/yr	6/yr.
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	6	-				
	REPLANNED			-	-	-	-
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:						
1.							
2.	COMMENT:						
3.	COMMENT:						

AID 102D-23110-701	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD:	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 3 PAR	386-11-110-281.6	7/1/71 to 9/30/72	India	FY73-15

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

Not applicable

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY-72	CURRENT FY-73		FY-74	FY-75	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
1. Participants U.S. Trained	PLANNED	71	10	10	10	10	-
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	79	-	-	-	-	-
	REPLANNED	-	-	-	-	-	-
2. Student enrollment - 1900 students 70-71, growth 100 per year.	PLANNED	1900	-	2000	2100	2200	2300
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	1753	-	-	-	-	-
	REPLANNED	-	-	-	-	-	-
3. Research (a) New practices/year (b) research reports/year	PLANNED	5	-	8	10	-	-
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	12	-	20	25	-	-
	REPLANNED	5	-	-	-	-	-
4. Extension (a) extension specialist teams in all seven divisions. (b) subject-matter specialists	PLANNED	4	-	5	6	7	7
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	6	-	9	12	15	15
	REPLANNED	3	-	-	-	-	-
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT:					
1.	Long-range development plan.	Though a good beginning was made, the university was not able to develop long-range academic plan in the report period. This was due to the change of Vice-Chancellor and the staff remaining busy in the National Symposium on Teaching held at JNKVV campus.					
2.		COMMENT:					
		COMMENT:					

AID 1020-25 (10-70)	PROJECT NO. 386-11-110-281.6	PAR FOR PERIOD: 7/1/71 to 9/30/72	COUNTRY India	PAR SERIAL NO. FY73- 15
---------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------	----------------------------

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

Establishment and development of service-oriented state agricultural universities to the point where they have the capacity for planning and administering fully-integrated state-wide programs in agricultural teaching, research and agricultural education.

E. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.

2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.

1. Adequate physical plant per plan.

Work is progressing. It is, however, behind schedule at research centers and in staff houses.

2. Integration of extension, research and teaching is operating on department level.

Accepted as a concept, but only partially operation at the functional level.

3. Long-range development plan used as basis for program implementation.

University was committed to formulate such a plan by March 31, 1972 but could not accomplish it due to the transfer of Vice-Chancellor and also because of staff remaining busy with the National Symposium on Teaching.

4. Public financial support is adequate.

State support now regularized with a built in 5 percent annual growth factor. ICAR support for research is steadily increasing.

(cont'd on next sheet)

(cont'd on next sheet)

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

Continuing rapid growth in agricultural production in Madhya Praesh.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Assistance provided by contract AID/nesa-149 accelerated the development of JNKVV. It is yet too early for the university to make a significant impact on agricultural production in Madhya Pradesh. However, the new institution is emerging as a source of new ideas and production practices, which when adopted will significantly increase agricultural production in the state. The institution is establishing effective channels of communication and action with farmers, agricultural firms and various government action agencies serving the rural sector. The most visible evidence of the university's impact on agricultural production to date is the development work on soybean.

- | | |
|---|---|
| B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved. | 2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions. |
| 5. University staffed with adequately trained personnel. | Good progress in academic and research positions. Little progress in upgrading personnel in the supporting infrastructure. |
| 6. Curriculum is relevant to need of students for employment | Some progress in curriculum revision, but only marginal improvement in relevance and practical problem solving experience. |
| 7. Effective administrative performance. | The performance was adequate. |
| 8. University is responsive to the needs of the state. | The staff often called to assist in planning and evaluating action programs by State and Central Government and by private firms and individuals. |
| 9. Functional professional linkages with other Indian and foreign agricultural institutions. | Cooperative graduate research program with the University of Illinois in effect. Cooperation with Ford Foundation in research. |