

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
PROJECT PAPER FACESHEET
TO BE COMPLETED BY ORIGINATING OFFICE

1. TRANSACTION CODE ("X" appropriate box) PD-AAC-092-ET
 Original Change
 Add Delete

DOCUMENT CODE 3

2. COUNTRY/ENTITY Interregional GTS-KPA #8

3. DOCUMENT REVISION NUMBER 1

4. PROJECT NUMBER 931-11-560-225

5. BUREAU
 a. Symbol TAB
 b. Code 6

6. ESTIMATED FY OF PROJECT COMPLETION FY 79

7. PROJECT TITLE - SHORT (stay within brackets) Soybean Utilization

8. ESTIMATED FY OF AUTHORIZATION/OBLIGATION
 a. INITIAL mo. yr. 6 76
 b. FINAL FY 78

9. ESTIMATED TOTAL COST (\$000 or equivalent, \$1 =)

a. FUNDING SOURCE	FIRST YEAR FY			ALL YEARS		
	b. FX	c. L/C	d. Total	e. FX	f. L/C	g. Total
AID APPROPRIATED TOTAL						
(Grant)	(50)	()	(50)	(819)	()	(819)
(Loan)	()	()	()	()	()	()
Other 1.						
U.S. 2.						
HOST GOVERNMENT						
OTHER DONOR(S)						
TOTALS	50		50	819		819

10. ESTIMATED COSTS/AID APPROPRIATED FUNDS (\$000)

a. Approp- riation (Alpha Code)	b. Primary Purpose Code	c. Primary Tech. Code	FY 76		FY T.O.		FY 78		ALL YEARS	
			d. Grant	e. Loan	f. Grant	g. Loan	h. Grant	i. Loan	j. Grant	k. Loan
FN	330	330	50		500		269		819	
TOTALS			50		500		269		819	

11. ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES

12. PROJECT PURPOSE(S) (stay within brackets) Check if different from PID/PRP

To develop a methodology for introducing unprocessed and simply processed soybeans as a food staple among the rural poor in LDCs.

13. WERE CHANGES MADE IN BLOCKS 12, 13, 14, or 15 OF THE PID FACESHEET? IF YES, ATTACH CHANGED PID FACESHEET. A revised budget (page 19) is attached which Yes No should be substituted for original pages 19, a, b.

14. ORIGINATING OFFICE CLEARANCE

Signature: Martin J. Forman
 Title: Director, Office of Nutrition
 Date Signed: mo. day yr.

15. Date Received in AID/W, or For AID/W Documents, Date of Distribution: mo. day yr.

Notation:

This PP Amendment provides for an increase of total project funding for FY 76 through FY 79 from \$675,000 to \$819,000.

A. Originating Office:

Drafter: *[Signature]* Dr. Irwin Hornstein, TA/N

B. Clearance:

Carl R. Fritz, TA/PPU

[Signature]

Date: 7/19/76

C. Approved:

Curtis Farrar, AA/TA

[Signature]

Date: 7/19/76

Budget of Annual Expenditures

Major outputs	Project year			Total
	1	2	3	
Pilot test and development of methodology for introduction of soybeans	281,200	250,000	237,800	769,000
<u>Direct costs:</u>				
Salaries, U.S.	93,000	93,000	93,000	279,000
Salaries, Cooperating country	29,500	29,500	29,500	88,500
Travel and allowances	28,000	16,000	15,000	59,000
Materials, supplies and printing	20,000	5,000	9,000	34,000
Communications	5,000	3,300	3,200	11,500
Statistical Analysis	3,500	3,500	3,500	10,500
Subcontracts	57,500	55,000	40,000	152,500
<u>Indirect costs:</u>				
	44,700	44,700	44,600	134,000
Inventory of information on availability and utilization of soybeans	50,000	---	---	50,000
TOTAL	331,200	250,000	237,800	819,000

Proj. No. 9310225
PW

ACTION MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

JUL 19 1976

THRU: TA/PPU, Carl Fritz
FROM: TA/N, Martin J. Forman



Problem: To approve an increase in the total level of the "Soybean Utilization Project" for FY 76 through FY 79 from an approved total funding level of \$675,000 to a new level of \$819,000.

Discussion: The Soybean Utilization Project is designed to develop a methodology for introducing unprocessed and simply processed soybeans as a food staple among the rural poor in LDCs. The original PP requested funds for a project consisting of two activities: (1) A major activity - the introduction of direct consumption of soybeans among a sample population (about 100,000) in an LDC and the development of a methodology for replication in other areas (\$625,000); and (2) A complimentary activity - the compilation of an "inventory of information" on the utilization of soybeans (\$50,000). The inventory of information will provide information on availability and utilization of soybeans in LDCs and is being developed through a PASA with USDA/ARS/NRCC. The major activity will be carried out by a contractor selected through an RFP.

Requests for Proposals for the main activity were invited from selected universities. Proposals were received from the University of Michigan and the University of North Carolina (UNC). After careful review of the technical proposals, the University of North Carolina was selected as the contractor for the implementation of the project. The budget, later submitted by UNC, was originally twice the amount approved in the PP. This was due, in part, to a much larger test population size than specified in the project design.

During contract negotiations the test population was reduced to conform to the project design and the budget was reduced to \$769,000, a difference of \$144,000 above the PP level of \$625,000. The increase is due principally to a greater amount of U.S. staff time required for the performance of the work than was initially projected. The present plan has been worked out in detail between the University, the TA/N project monitor and the AID Contract Office. We believe that the present level of \$769,000 now constitutes a reasonable estimate of resources required by the University to implement this project.

Recommendation: That you approve an increase of \$144,000 in total funding of the project by signing page two of the attached Project Paper, Revision 1.

Approved: MB Bickel

Disapproved: _____

Date: July 19 '76