PD-AAB-426-E1 528:04/.2

5280041-(12) 5p

8. PROJECT TITLE
AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MARKETING

6. PROJECT DURATION: Began FY 1966 Ends FY 1977	7. DATE LATEST PROP 10/20/71	8. DATE LATEST PIP -	9. DATE PRIOR PAR June 15, 1976
10. U.S. FUNDING	a. Cumulative Obligation Thru Prior FY: $ 2,807,000	b. Current FY Estimated Budget: $ -	c. Estimated Budget to completion After Current FY: $ -

11. KEY ACTION AGENTS (Contractor, Participating Agency or Voluntary Agency)

a. NAME	b. CONTRACT, PASA OR VOL. AG. NO.
Agricultural Environmental Systems, Inc.	AID La-C-1127

I. NEW ACTIONS PROPOSED AND REQUESTED AS A RESULT OF THIS EVALUATION

A. ACTION (X) USAID	AID/W	HOST	B. LIST OF ACTIONS	C. PROPOSED ACTION COMPLETION DATE
			The principal activity under this project was terminated in May 1976 and was covered by PAR 76-4. This PAR covers exclusively the residual activity under Contract AID/La-C-1127 ($ 38,119) for provision of soil fertility evaluation equipment and personnel training during the year ended June 30, 1977. No actions are being proposed on this terminated project.	

D. REVISED OR NEW: ☐ PROP ☐ PIP ☐ PRO AG ☐ PIO/T ☐ PIO/C ☐ PIO/P

E. DATE OF MISSION REVIEW: July 15, 1977

PROJECT MANAGER TYPED NAME SIGNATURE INITIALS AND DATE: Ronald CURTIS

MISSION DIRECTOR TYPED NAME SIGNED INITIALS AND DATE: Leonard J. HORWITZ

PROGRAM OFFICE:

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT / CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN: UNSATISFACTORY 1	2	SATISFACTORY 3	4	5	OUTSTANDING 6	7	C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X): LOW 1	2	MEDIUM 3	4	HIGH 5
1. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS				X						X		
2. INC.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

Contractor's performance has been satisfactory. Technical services of excellent quality have been provided in a timely manner to the entire satisfaction of both the GOU and USAID.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
4. ~~PARTICIPANT~~ TRAINING				X						X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

Contractor efficiently provided the required in-country training to local technicians in setting up and carrying out the different phases of a soil fertility evaluation program.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
5. COMMODITIES				X						X		

Comment on key factors determing rating

All required equipment was procured by Contractor and has been delivered and is being properly utilized.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL				X						X		
	b. OTHER				X						X		

Comment on key factors determining rating

Counterpart, laboratory personnel and logistic support were adequately provided by the GOU.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
7. OTHER DONORS												

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-25(10-70) PAGE 3 PAR	PROJECT NO. 528-0041.2	PAR FOR PERIOD: 6/1/76-6/30/77	COUNTRY URUGUAY	PAR SERIAL NO. 77-3

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

N.A.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		CUMULATIVE PRIOR FY	CURRENT FY TO DATE	CURRENT FY TO END	FY ___	FY ___	END OF PROJECT
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						
	PLANNED						
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE						
	REPLANNED						

B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS	COMMENT:
1. Soil fertility laboratory properly installed and equipped.	All required equipment has been received, installed and is being properly utilized.
2. Soil fertility laboratory personnel adequately trained in the utilization of modern equipment and techniques.	Laboratory personnel has received adequate training from contract technicians.
3.	COMMENT:

AID 1020-25 (10-70) PAGE 4 PAR	PROJECT NO. 526-0041.2	PAR FOR PERIOD: 6/1/76-6/30/77	COUNTRY URUGUAY	PAR SERIAL NO. 77-3

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

A. 1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged. 2. Same as in PROP? ☒ YES ☐ NO

1. Increase the capability of the IAP and other agencies assisting the agricultural sector so as to increase production and improve the marketing of agricultural products.
2. Specifically provide improved agricultural research with emphasis on development of new procedures that are economically attractive and effectively introduced in commercial agriculture.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
A soil fertility evaluation program will be functioning regularly.	

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

To achieve a substantial increase in agricultural exports as a base for overall economic expansion.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.

Yes. The increased production and the improved quality of fruits and vegetables is contributing to agricultural exports as shown below. The trend is expected to continue because the major impact of new technologies developed by the project will reflect in the next three to five future production years.

Report Control Symbol U-1423.4

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

PERIOD COVERED

FROM: January 1976 TO: June 1977

TYPE OF REPORT

[ ] INITIAL [ ] ANNUAL [X] FINAL

INSTRUCTIONS:

A. PROJECT MANAGER: Complete original and three copies in accordance with M.O. 1423.9; detach two copies and make appropriate distribution; forward original and one copy to Contracting Officer.

B. CONTRACTING OFFICER: Review and sign form; retain copy for Contract File; forward original to CM/SB, A.I.D./W.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. NAME OF CONTRACTOR	2. CONTRACT NO/TASK ORDER NO.	3. CONTRACT DURATION	4. CONTRACT AMT. (CUM)
Agricultural Environmental Systems, Inc.	AID/La -C-1127	16 months	530,119

5. PROJECT TITLE	6. PROJECT NO.	7. COUNTRY
AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MARKETING	528-0041.2	URUGUAY

B. CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE RATING

1. EVALUATION FACTORS	CHECK, if SIGNIFICANT	PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN (✓) NA	NEG.	AS PLANNED	SUCCESS
a. Understanding project purpose				X	
b. Planning to achieve purpose				X	
c. Staff of proper size				X	
d. Timely arrival of personnel				X	
e. Technical qualifications of personnel	X			X	
f. Responsiveness to A.I.D. Directions				X	
g. Adherence to scope of work				X	
h. Adherence to work schedule				X	
i. Contractor's home office support				X	
j. Relations with cooperating country nationals				X	
k. Local staff training and utilization	X			X	
l. Effective administration of participants		X			
m. Management of commodities	X			X	
n. Timely submission of required reports				X	
o. Candor and usefulness of required reports				X	
p. Other (specify)					

2. OVERALL EVALUATION

(✓) Check one →

UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
			X			

3. If any factor is rated "negative" or if overall rating is unsatisfactory, describe underlying circumstances. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

C. SIGNATURES

1. PROJECT MANAGER		2. CONTRACTING OFFICER	
TYPED NAME: Ronald CURTIS		TYPED NAME:	
SIGNATURE:		SIGNATURE:	
MISSION/OFFICE SYMBOL: USAID/Uruguay - RSO	DATE: 7/15/77	MISSION/OFFICE SYMBOL:	DATE REVIEWED:

AID 1420-43 (6-72)